Spatial Distribution of Inertial Particles in Turbulent Taylor-Couette Flow

Hao Jiang¹, Zhiming Lu^{1,2},[†] Bofu Wang¹, Xiaohui Meng¹, Jie Shen³ and Kai Leong Chong ^{1,2}[‡]

¹Shanghai Key Laboratory of Mechanics in Energy Engineering, Shanghai Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, School of Mechanics and Engineering Science, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200072, China

²Shanghai Institute of Aircraft Mechanics and Control, Zhangwu Road, Shanghai, 200092, China

³Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Turbulence Research and Applications, Center for Complex Flows and Soft Matter Research, and Department of Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

This study investigates the spatial distribution of inertial particles in turbulent Taylor-Couette flow. Direct numerical simulations are performed using a one-way coupled Eulerian-Lagrangian approach, with a fixed inner wall Reynolds number of 2500 for the carrier flow, while the particle Stokes number varies from 0.034 to 1 for the dispersed phase. We first examine the issue of preferential concentration of particles near the outer wall region. Employing two-dimensional (2D) Voronoï analysis, we observe a pronounced particle clustering with increasing St, particularly evident in regions of low fluid velocity. Additionally, we investigate the concentration balance equation, inspired by the work of Johnson et al. (2020), to examine particle radial distribution. We discern the predominant sources of influence, namely biased sampling, turbophoresis, and centrifugal effects. Across all cases, centrifugal force emerges as the primary driver, causing particle migration towards the outer wall. Biased sampling predominantly affects smaller inertial particles, driving them towards the inner wall due to sampling within Taylor rolls with inward radial velocity. Conversely, turbophoresis primarily impacts larger inertial particles, inducing migration towards both walls where turbulent intensity is weaker compared to the bulk. With the revealed physics, our work provides a basis for predicting and controlling particle movement and distribution in industrial applications.

Key words:

1. Introduction

Particle-laden turbulent flows are prevalent in both natural phenomena and industrial applications, such as sandstorms (Di Renzo & Urzay 2018; Zhang & Zhou 2020), combustors (Apte *et al.* 2003), and fluidized beds (Rokkam *et al.* 2010; Kolehmainen *et al.* 2016). These flows are inherently complicated due to the existence of turbulent fluctuation and also the boundary layer in shaping the intricate movement of particles. Despite its fundamental significance in multiphase flows, understanding the motion and distribution of particles within these systems is also important to efficiently manipulate the momentum or heat transports in industrial processes.

[†] Email address for correspondence: zmlu@shu.edu.cn‡ Email address for correspondence: klchong@shu.edu.cn

H. Jiang and orthers

Previous studies investigating the interaction between turbulent flows and particles have often focused on homogeneous isotropic turbulence (HIT) as an idealized system. In HIT, it has been observed that inertial particles exhibit non-uniform spatial distribution characterized by clusters and voids, a phenomenon known as preferential concentration (Squires & Eaton 1991; Saw *et al.* 2008; Monchaux *et al.* 2012). Through calculating the divergence of particle velocity, Maxey (1987) found that particles tend to accumulate in regions of high strain rate and low vorticity in the small Stokes number limit ($St \ll 1$). At first, this phenomenon was explained by the centrifugal effect caused by vortice in the turbulent flow (Abrahamson 1975; Reade & Collins 2000). As the particle inertia increases, the dominant mechanism of preferential concentration becomes the sling effect (Falkovich *et al.* 2002) and sweep-sticky mechanism (Goto & Vassilicos 2008).

Turbulent flows encountered in industrial settings are often bounded by solid walls. In such wall-bounded flows, the presence of no-slip and no-penetration boundary conditions leads to a sharp decrease in turbulence intensity near the wall. Consequently, particles disperse more rapidly in regions of higher turbulence intensity (Caporaloni *et al.* 1975; Reeks 1983), resulting in a tendency for particles to accumulate in the viscous sublayer at higher concentrations compared with other regions (Marchioli & Soldati 2002; Bernardini 2014). This phenomenon is known as turbophoresis, where couples of studies have indeed demonstrated the high particle concentration in the near-wall regions compared to the bulk (Marchioli & Soldati 2002; Sardina *et al.* 2012). It has been observed that particle accumulation at the wall becomes pronounced when the particle relaxation time matches the local turbulence timescale. Additionally, numerical simulations have shown that particles moving away from the wall are associated with the ejection events (Vinkovic *et al.* 2011). Johnson *et al.* (2020) have recently examined the effects of biased sampling and turbophoresis on particle concentration profiles in turbulent channel flows, applying their model to wall-modeled large-eddy simulations.

In certain natural and industrial environments, additional forces come into play, further complicating the dynamical system. In systems subject to rotation, such as Taylor vortex reactors, centrifugal forces significantly alter the spatial distribution of particles by driving them away from the center of rotation. To investigate the combined effects of shear turbulence and rotation, the Taylor-Couette (TC) flow (Couette 1890; Taylor 1923b; Grossmann *et al.* 2016) serves as a paradigmatic system. The TC flow consists of fluid confined in the gap between two rotating cylinders. The dimensionless parameters regarding the geometry can be described by the radius ratio $\eta = r_i/r_o$ and the aspect ratio $\Gamma = L/d$, where the r_i (r_o) is the inner (outer) cylinder radius, L and d are the axial length and the gap between two cylinders, respectively. As the rotation of the inner and outer cylinders drive the flow, the two governing dimensionless parameters are the two Reynolds numbers (Grossmann *et al.* 2016), namely

$$Re_{i,o} = \frac{r_{i,o}\omega_{i,o}d}{\gamma},\tag{1.1}$$

where Re_i and Re_o are the Reynolds numbers of the inner and outer cylinders, respectively, ω_i and ω_o are the angular velocities of inner and outer cylinders, respectively, ν is the kinematic viscosity of fluid. When the outer cylinder is fixed, the relevant control paramter is solely given by the inner cylinder Reynolds number $Re_i = r_i \omega_i d/\nu$. Althernatively, one characterize the driving of the flow by Taylor number, which is

$$Ta = \frac{(1+\eta)^4}{64\eta^2} \frac{(r_o - r_i)^2 (r_i + r_o)^2 (\omega_i - \omega_o)^2}{\nu^2},$$
(1.2)

and regarding the rotation ratio, one use the inverse Rossby number Ro^{-1} .

The pioneering work of flow structure in TC flow is done by Taylor (1923b), who revealed the existence of Taylor vortex. Since then, four distinct flow pattens has been found at different

control parameters, namely circular Couette flow (CCF), Taylor vortex flow (TVF), wavy vortex flow (WVF) and turbulent TC flow. Due to the rich variety of flow characteristics in TC flow, this system has gained significant attention. The focuses of the studies are the flow instabilities (Taylor 1923b; Rüdiger *et al.* 2018), ultimate regimes (Froitzheim *et al.* 2019; Hamede *et al.* 2023), property of inner and outer boundary layers (Brauckmann & Eckhardt 2017), pattern formation (Koschmieder 1993). There are also studies focusing the multiphase TC flow, where the important issue is the drag modulation led by dispersed phases, such as bubbles (van den Berg *et al.* 2005; Spandan *et al.* 2016*b*, 2018), droplets (Spandan *et al.* 2016*a*) and finite-sized particles (Wang *et al.* 2022). In the meantime, there are also several studies on examining the mixing problems in chemical processing applications (Schrimpf *et al.* 2021) and biomedical field (Curran & Black 2005).

TC flow is an ideal system for investigating dispersed multiphase flows with the interplay of shear turbulence and rotation. Studies on dispersed multiphase TC flow should date back to the 1920s (Taylor 1923*a*). Sebsequently, many studies found the drifting of neutrally buoyant particle from high to low shear region in TC flows (Tetlow *et al.* 1998; Fang *et al.* 2002; Qiao *et al.* 2015; Li *et al.* 2020; Kang & Mirbod 2021). A comprehensive experiment conducted by Majji & Morris (2018) observed the inertial migration of neutral particles in CCF, TVF and WVF with Reynolds numbers ranging from 83 to 151.4. They report the effect of the neutral particle inertial migration on the transition of TC flows (Baroudi *et al.* 2020). Li *et al.* (2020) adopted the Eulerian-Lagrangian simulation to examine the point particle equilibrium position in circular Couette flow with Reynolds numbers ranging from 60 to 90. Dash *et al.* (2020) considered cases with larger paramter range with the Reynolds number extended to $O(10^3)$. They found the distinct role played by the particles where instead of simply destabilizing the flow, neutral particles may also decrease the growth of flow instabilities.

However, existing studies predominantly focus on particle densities close to that of the carrier phase, also often neglecting turbulent flow regimes in multiphase TC flow. The question of how particle inertia play a role in shaping their dispersion behaviour and distribution in multiphase TC flow remains poorly addressed. Therefore, the primary objective of this study is to explore the influence of particle inertia on the spatial distribution of particles in turbulent TC flow.

In the present study, we analyze the effect of particle inertia on particle preferential concentration. We further examine how varying densities of particles influence the radial distribution of particles. Our objective is to uncover the mechanisms governing particle radial concentration profiles at various St. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The governing equations and problem set-up are introduced in §2. Then, the results are shown in §3. Concluding remarks are given in §4.

2. Governing equations and problem set-up

In the present investigation, we carry out direct numerical simulations (DNS) of theredimensional (3D) particle-laden turbulent Taylor-Couette (TC) flow as shown in figure 1.

2.1. Carrier phase

For the carrier phase, the governing equations are non-dimensionalized using the inner cylinder rotation speed, $\omega_i r_i$, and gap of cylinders, d, which are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re_i}\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

In the equation (2.1), $Re_i = d\omega_i r_i / \nu$ is the Reynolds number defined by the inner cylinder rotation.



FIGURE 1. Sketch of the set-up of particle-laden Taylor-Couette flow.

Re_i	$N_{\theta} \times N_r \times N_z$	Г	η	Nuω	Nuω	ϵ (%)
					(present study)	compared with Ostilla et al. (2013)
160	$128 \times 64 \times 64$	2π	0.714	1.878	1.869	0.48
2500	$384 \times 192 \times 192$	2π	0.714	6.443	6.421	0.34
	1 17 1: 1 .:	0	•	1	D 160 250	

TABLE 1. Validation of Taylor-Couette simulations at $Re_i = 160, 2500$. Re_i is the Reynolds number of the inner cylinder, $N_{\theta} \times N_r \times N_z$ the grid resolution, Γ the aspect ratio, η the radius ratio, Nu_{ω} the normalized angular velocity flux, ϵ is the relative error of Nu_{ω} comparing with Ostilla *et al.* (2013).

DNS of the carrier phase is performed using a second-order accurate finite-difference method in cylindrical coordinates (e_r, e_θ, e_z) (Verzicco & Orlandi 1996; Ostilla *et al.* 2013) with a uniform grid spacing in the azimuthal and axial directions and a non-uniform grid spacing by a clipped Chebychev type clustering method in the radial direction. The computational domain is $r_i \leq r \leq r_o$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ and $0 \leq z \leq L_z$, where r_i and r_o are the inner and outer cylinder radius, respectively, and L_z is the axial length of the domain. The no-slip boundary condition is applied at the inner and outer cylinder walls, and the periodic boundary condition is applied in the azimuthal and axial direction.

The numerical approach has been adopted in many of our previous studies to simulate the problem of single phase and multiphase turbulent flows under Cartesian geometry (Zhao *et al.* 2022*b*,*a*; Guo *et al.* 2023; Meng *et al.* 2024). In order to further validate our numerical code within cylindrical geometry, we perform simulation of TC flow with the same grid resolutions and control parameters as in Ostilla *et al.* (2013), and compare our results of Nu_{ω} in table 1. Here the Nu_{ω} is defined as,

$$Nu_{\omega} = \frac{J}{J_{lam}}, \quad \text{with } J = r^3 \left(\langle u_r \omega \rangle_{A,t} - v \partial_r \langle \omega \rangle_{A,t} \right),$$
 (2.3)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{A,t}$ denotes the azimuthal, axial and time average, and J_{lam} is the angular velocity flux for laminar flow. The results are in good agreement with the results of Ostilla *et al.* (2013).

Case	d_p^*	ϕ_{v}	$ ho^*$	St
1	0.005	5.5×10^{-5}	10	0.034
2	0.005	$5.5 imes 10^{-5}$	50	0.17
3	0.005	$5.5 imes 10^{-5}$	100	0.34
4	0.005	5.5×10^{-5}	200	0.68
5	0.005	5.5×10^{-5}	288	1

TABLE 2. Details of inertial particle in the numerical simulations. The $d_p^* = d_p/d$ is the particle diameter normalized by the gap width, ϕ_v is the volume fraction, $\rho^* = \rho_p/\rho_f$ the density ratio between the particle and fluid, $St = \rho^* d_p^{*2} Re_i/18$ the particle Stokes number.

2.2. Lagrangian particle tracking

In this study, we consider the inertial particles using Lagrangian point particle approach (Maxey & Riley 1983; Maxey 1987; Gatignol 1983; Tsai 2022). Particles are released into the flow when the turbulent flow was fully developed. Low volume fraction of particles has been considered, and thus the feedback of particles to the flow field and the particle-particle collisions has been neglected (Elghobashi 1991, 1994; Elghobashi *et al.* 2006; Balachandar & Eaton 2010). Small diameter d_p and large density ratio $\rho^* = \rho_p / \rho_f$ are considered in this study. The Lagrangian particle tracking considers Stokes drag in the governing equation of particle motion. The dimensionless equations of particle translational motion in cylindrical coordinates read as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}v_r}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{C_D}{St} \left(u_r - v_r \right) + \frac{v_\theta^2}{r},\tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}v_{\theta}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{C_D}{St} \left(u_{\theta} - v_{\theta} \right) - \frac{v_{\theta}v_{\mathrm{r}}}{r},\tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}v_z}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{C_D}{St} \left(u_z - v_z \right). \tag{2.6}$$

where $C_D = 1 + 0.15 Re_p^{0.687}$ is the drag coefficient, $Re_p = d_p^* |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}| Re_i$ is the particle Reynolds number, where \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{u} are the particle and fluid dimensionless velocity, respectively. The particle diameter d_p^* is normalized by the gap width d. v_r , v_θ and v_z are the particle velocity in the radial, azimuthal and axial directions, respectively. The particle Stokes number is defined as $St = \rho^* d_p^{*2} Re_i / 18$, where $\rho^* = \rho_p / \rho_f$ is the density ratio between the particle and fluid. The particle Stokes number is a dimensionless parameter that describes the ratio of the particle relaxation time to the characteristic time scale of the flow. The particle Stokes number and Reynolds number are two important parameters that describe the particle motion in the flow field. In this study, the particle Stokes number is fixed at St = 0.034, 0.17, 0.34, 0.68, 1 as shown in table 2. The particle diameter is fixed at $d_p^* = 0.005$ and volume fraction is fixed at 5.5×10^{-5} , and at this small volume fraction, one-way coupling is sufficient for describing the motion of the particles. The particle density ratio increases from 10 to 288 to study the distribution of particles with different inertia.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Particles preferential concentration

To study how the particle inertia influences its distribution in Taylor-Couette flow, we first present the 3D visualization of the instantaneous particle distributions with varying Stokes numbers, as illustrated in figure 2. Different patterns of particle distribution emerge depending on *St*. When the particle inertia is minimal (St = 0.034), the particles are dispersed throughout



FIGURE 2. Three-dimensional visualization (a-c) and top view (d-f) instantaneous snapshots of particle distribution for the (a,c) St = 0.034, (b,e) St = 0.34, (c,f) St = 1; The iso-surface of radial velocity has also been drawn in the 3D visualization (a-c) where the reddish surface represents the outward velocity and the bluish one represents the inward velocity, particularly at the dimensionless radial velocity -0.1 and 0.1.

the entire domain. With an increase in particle inertia (i.e. with higher St), particles tend to cluster, forming distinct parallel strip-like structures in the axial direction. For example, four clearly defined strips become evident at St = 1 as seen in figure 2(c). We also draw the isosurface of in radial velocity in the 3D visualization which shows the footprint of Taylor rolls—a hallmark feature of Taylor-Couette flow. One sees that those strip-like particle clusters coincide with the inward velocity region of Taylor rolls. From the top-view of particle distribution shown in figure 2(d-f), it is observed the the stripped particle cluster located near the outer walls as the particle inertia becomes more pronounced with the increasing St.

In numerous industrial applications, the accumulation of particles near the wall region often leads to collisions or adhersions between particles, making this phenomenon a key aspect to examine. To characterize the particle distribution in the vicinity of the outer wall, we utilize a two-dimensional Voronoï diagrams analysis to quantify the preferential concentration of particles. The Voronoï diagram is a spatial tessellation in which each Voronoï cell is defined at the particle location based on the distance to adjacent particles. Consequently, in regions where particles cluster, the area of the Voronoï cells is smaller compared to those in adjacent regions. For the analysis of particle distribution in the near outer wall region, we consider particles within the range $r_0 - 1.5d_p^* \le r \le r_0 - 0.5d_p^*$. As an example, figure 3 illustrates the 2D Voronoï diagrams depicting the instantaneous distribution of particles near the outer wall for St = 0.034.

As the area of the Voronoï cells can represent particle clustering, we examine the Probability Density Functions (PDFs) of Voronoï cell areas to quantify the preferential concentration of particles. The PDFs of particle Voronoï area in the near outer wall region are presented in figure 4(a), with the solid lines representing the PDFs of simulation data. The dashed line



FIGURE 3. (a) Instantaneous snapshot of particle distribution at near outer wall region for the St = 0.034, (b) the 2D Voronoï diagrams corresponding to the (a).

corresponds to the PDF of Voronoï cell areas (normalized by the mean area) for randomly distributed particles, as described by a Γ -distribution (Ferenc & Néda 2007).

The Γ -distribution of two-dimensional Voronoï area is given as,

$$f(A^{+}) = \frac{343}{15} \sqrt{\frac{7}{2\pi}} (A^{+})^{5/2} \exp\left(-\frac{7}{2}A^{+}\right).$$
(3.1)

Here, $A^+ = A/\overline{A}$ represents the Voronoï cell area normalized by the mean value. At St = 0.034, the PDF of the Voronoï cell area closely aligns with the Γ -distribution, with only slight deviations in the regime where $A/\overline{A} < 0.6$. However, as the particle St number increases, the deviation from Γ -distribution becomes more pronounced. Moreover, the PDF values are not only larger than the Γ -distribution in the small area regime but also in the large area regime. Our results suggest that the preferential concentration of particles becomes increasingly significant with an increase in St. Next, we investigate the variation of the standard deviation of the Voronoï cell area normalized by the standard deviation of the Γ -distribution (Ferenc & Néda 2007), with respect to the particle Stokes number (St), as illustrated in figure 4(b). By comparing σ/σ_{Γ} across different St values, we observe a clear trend of increasing particle preferential concentration with higher Stokes numbers.

To closely inspect the particle preferential distribution, we examine the ratio of respective PDFs to the Γ -distribution PDF counterpart, namely the relative PDF (Monchaux *et al.* 2010) in figure 4(*c*). For each relative PDF, there are two intersections point with the value 1. According to Monchaux *et al.* (2010), these intersections present the formation of clusters (red) and voids (green). With the increase in *St*, particle clusters become more pronounced, which is consistent with the results shown in figure 4(*a*) and 4(*b*).

In addition, to further quantify the characteristics of the particle distribution in the outer wall,



FIGURE 4. Statistics of 2D Voronoï diagrams for particles near the outer wall at various Stokes numbers: (*a*) the normalized Voronoï area PDFs, where the grey dashed curve shows the Γ -distribution for 2D random distribution; (*b*) the normalized standard deviation σ/σ_{Γ} of Voronoï area distribution; (*c*) the relative PDF defined by the ratio of the PDFs to the 2D Γ -distribution counterpart. Here, the reddish (greenish) area denotes the regime having cluster (void) of particles; (*d*) the PDFs of Voronoï cells aspect ratio, defined by ratio of azimuthal length to the axial length, where the grey dashed curve shows the case for 2D random distribution.

we examine the PDF of the aspect ratio of the Voronoï cell: $l_{\theta,A}/l_{z,A}$. $l_{\theta,A}$ is the maximum length in the azimuthal direction of a Voronoï cell and $l_{z,A}$ is the maximum length in the axial direction. In Figure 4(*d*), it is evident that the area enclosed by the probability density function (PDF) curve within the range $l_{\theta,A}/l_{z,A}$ is greater than that within the range of $l_{\theta,A}/l_{z,A}$. This indicates that particles prefer to cluster in the azimuthal direction, which can also be seen in figure 5(*a*)-(*c*). In addition, as *St* increases, the left tail of the PDF gets higher and the peak shifts to the left. This suggests that the greater the inertia of the particles, the more pronounced the aggregation of particles on the outer wall and the formation of an azimuthal stripe structure.

Subsequently, we analyze the radial profile of the ratio of particle numbers with $u'_{\theta} > 0$ to those with $u'_{\theta} < 0$, as depicted in Figure 5(*d*). We can see from figure 5(*d*) that for particles with St > 0.034, the number of particles with $u'_{\theta} > 0$ is lower than that with $u'_{\theta} < 0$, implying that particles prefer to accumulate in low-speed streaks. For particles with St = 0.034, their trajectory is very close to that of the fluid particle (i.e., the tracer particle) due to their small inertia, and their velocity characteristics are close to those of randomly distributed particles.



FIGURE 5. (a)-(c) Snapshots depicting instantaneous distributions of particles at different Stokes numbers in the near outer-wall $(\theta - z)$ plane, with the color representing fluctuation of azimuthal velocity u'_{θ} of the fluid. (d) Radial profiles of the particle numbers with $u'_{\theta} > 0$ to those with $u'_{\theta} < 0$. The gray dashed curve represents the result for a random distribution of particles.

3.2. Profile of particle radial concentration

In wall-bounded particle-laden turbulent flow, the wall-normal particle distribution is also worthy to be studied. The presence of wall boundary affects the movement and distribution of particles along the wall-normal direction. To examine the underlying mechanism governing the radial distribution of particle concentration in particle-laden TC flow and the dominant forces, we employ a theoretical model inspired by Johnson *et al.* (2020), where their work considers the situation of plane Couette flow and disentangled the dominant effects into biased sampling and turbophoresis.

Following the concept by Johnson *et al.* (2020), we derived the corresponding disentangled relation for the particle concentration in particle-laden TC flow with an additional centrifugal term:

$$C^{*}(r) = \alpha \exp\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{St} \int^{r} \frac{\langle u_{r} | \eta \rangle}{\langle v_{r}^{2} | \eta \rangle} d\eta}_{\text{biased sampling}} - \underbrace{\int^{r} \frac{d \ln \langle v_{r}^{2} | \eta \rangle}{d\eta} d\eta}_{\text{turbophoresis}} + \underbrace{\int^{r} \frac{\langle v_{\theta}^{2} | \eta \rangle}{\langle v_{r}^{2} | \eta \rangle} d\eta}_{\text{centrifugal effect}}\right), \quad (3.2)$$

which is derived from the radial direction single-particle position-velocity probability density function (PDF). Detail of the derivation can be find in Appendix A. The numerical expression for the dimensionless concentration $C^*(r)$ is:

$$C^*(r) = \frac{n_r V}{n_0 V_{slice}}.$$
(3.3)

Here, n_r and V_{slice} represent the particle numbers in each slice and slice volume. n_0 and V are the total particle number and the volume of the entire domain. u_r is the radial component of fluid velocity sensed by particles, and v_r and v_{θ} are the radial and angular components of the particle velocity respectively. α is an integration constant. $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the ensemble average done by averaging over all particles in the slice at corresponding radial position. There are three terms known as phoresis integrals in right-hand side of the equation (3.2). The first term is the effect of biased



FIGURE 6. Radial profiles of particle concentration at various Stokes numbers. The curves are the results from DNS and the symbols represent the results based on the equation (3.2).

sampling on the particle concentration profile:

$$I_b = \frac{1}{St} \int^r \frac{\langle u_r | \eta \rangle}{\langle v_r^2 | \eta \rangle} d\eta.$$
(3.4)

The second term represents the effect of turbophoresis on the particle concentration profiles:

$$I_t = -\int^r \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\left\langle v_r^2 | \eta \right\rangle}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \mathrm{d}\eta.$$
(3.5)

The last term is given by

$$I_c = \int^r \frac{\left\langle \frac{v_{\theta}^2}{r} | \eta \right\rangle}{\left\langle v_r^2 | \eta \right\rangle} \mathrm{d}\eta, \tag{3.6}$$

which quantifies the centrifugal effect on the particle radial distribution.

Figure 6 shows the particle concentration profiles with various Stokes numbers (*St*) at $Re_i = 2500$. The figure displays both the data obtained from simulation (solid curves) and the theoretical points (open symbols) according to the equation (3.2). It shows that the equation can nicely describe the variation of the particle concentration. The concentration of particle decreases significantly near the inner wall and in the bulk with the increasing *St*, whereas there is substantial increase near the outer wall.

The benefit on using equation (3.2) is to disentangle the contributions from biased sampling, turbophoresis and centrifugal effect. In such way, one can examine how these three effects come into play in different regions of turbulent TC flow (near inner wall, outer wall and in the bulk). Thus, we examine the radial profiles of sampling bias I_b (3.4), turbophoresis I_T (3.5) and centrifugal effect I_c (3.6) integrals, as shown in figure 7, 8, and 9 respectively.

The first phoresis integral accounts for the average drag force on the ensemble of particles at a given radial location. As depicted in Equation (3.4), it is proportional to $\langle u_r | \eta \rangle$, which represents the fluid radial velocity sampled at the particle position. For small *St*, a relatively random distribution of particles can be observed as shown in figure 2(*a*), resulting in a vanished drag term. Conversely, with sufficiently large *St*, where particle inertia becomes dominant, heavy



FIGURE 7. (*a*) Radial profiles of negative of biased sampling integral (3.4); (*b*) Radial profiles of fluid radial velocity at particle location.



FIGURE 8. (*a*) Radial profiles of turbophoresis integral (3.5); (*b*) Radial profiles of root-mean-square radial velocity of particle.



FIGURE 9. (*a*) Radial profiles of centrifugal integral (3.6); (*b*) Radial profiles of azimuthal velocity of particle. As the reference, the black curve shows the radial profile of the fluid azimuthal velocity.



FIGURE 10. (a) Radial profiles of the ratio of biased sampling integral to centrifugal integral; (b) Radial profiles of the ratio of turbophoresis integral and centrifugal integral.

particles tend to accumulate in regions with radially-inward velocity. It is notable that for plane Couette flow, this biased sampling also occurs for large *St*, causing heavy particles to gather in low-speed streaks in the ejecting region (Rashidi *et al.* 1990; Eaton & Fessler 1994; Marchioli & Soldati 2002).

In Taylor-Couette flow, as heavy particles cluster around regions with inward radial velocity $(u_r < 0)$ more than those with outward velocity $(u_r > 0)$, it results in a negative value of the biased sampling term. In figure 7(a), we plot $-I_b$ in logarithmic scale versus radial position, also including the averaged fluid velocity versus radial position for reference in figure 7(b). Indeed, in the bulk, the particles experience progressively stronger inward radial velocity for larger St, causing I_b to monotonically decrease in the radial direction. This indicates that the biased sampling provides a net force that pushes the particles towards the inner walls.

The second phoresis integral addresses the turbophoresis pseudo-force, accounting for the migration of particles to regions with smaller radial velocity variance. With the no-slip and nonpenetration boundary conditions imposed at the inner and outer walls, the radial velocity variance vanishes at both walls, leading to a tendency for particle migration toward the walls. In figure 8(a), the turbophoresis integrals are plotted for different St, while the root-mean-square (rms) radial particle velocity ($v'_{r,rms}$) has also been depicted in figure 8(b). Notably, due to the vanished velocity variance at the two walls, a minimum I_t is indeed observed in the bulk. It is apparent that the velocity variance declines at a greater rate at the outer wall than at the inner wall, resulting in a larger I_t at the outer wall compared to the inner wall. This indicates a greater tendency to attract particles to the outer wall relative to the inner wall.

Finally, the radial dependency of the centrifugal effect (3.6) can be observed in figure 9(a), showing a monotonic increase of I_c along the radial direction, irrespective of St. The rotation of the inner wall causes particles to gain angular velocity, as depicted in figure 9(b), illustrating the radial profiles of the azimuthal velocity of particles. Consequently, particles exhibit a tendency to migrate outward in the domain due to the centrifugal effect.

We now comprehend the distinct roles of biased sampling, turbophoresis, and centrifugal effect in driving particles in TC flow: biased sampling leads to the migration of particles toward the inner wall, in contrast to the roles played by centrifugal and turbophoresis, where particles move toward the outer wall and both walls, respectively. To grasp the overall effect, we compare the relative dominance of the three mechanisms in particle concentration profiles, by examining the ratios of the intensities I_b/I_c and I_t/I_c , as depicted in figure 10. From the figure, it is evident that the magnitude of both quantities is consistently less than one, signifying that the



FIGURE 11. Schematic diagram showing the dorminant terms in shaping the radial distribution of inertial particles at various St in multiphase Taylor-Couette flow. The bluish and pinkish zone present the region of boundary layers and bulk, respectively. Three arrows of distinct colors represent represent the relative strength of the biased sampling, turbophoresis and centrifugal effect. Here, the length of arrow present the intensity of the effect, and the directions of arrows represent the direction of particle migration led by the corresponding effect.

centrifugal effect holds the greatest strength at any radial position. For the smallest explored St (= 0.034), the strength of biased sampling is nearly equivalent to that of centrifugal, while the effect of turbophoresis is negligibly small. As a result, with two competing effects of similar strength, the particle concentration remains relatively uniform throughout the domain. However, the situation of relative dominance changes for heavy particles (large St). The relative strength of biased sampling progressively weakens with increasing St. Concurrently, turbophoresis becomes significant, with the magnitude of I_t/I_c exceeding 60% in the bulk and reaching almost 100% near the outer wall. Indeed, a noticeable accumulation of heavy particles near the outer wall is observed due to the combined effect of centrifugal and turbophoresis, pushing heavy particles toward that wall.

4. Concluding remarks and outlook

To investigate the impact of particle inertial on particle distribution in wall-bounded turbulent flows, we conducted direct numerical simulations of particle-laden turbulent Taylor-Couette flow at $Re_i = 2500$, introducing particles with various Stokes numbers. The simulations employed one-way coupled Lagrangian tracking of particles, with particle motions solely governed by the Stokes drag. Given the very low solid volume fraction considered, both two-way coupling and inter-particle collisions were disregarded. The focus of the study is on the spatial distribution of particles in TC flow. We have first examined the particle distribution near the outer cylindrical wall via 2D Voronoï diagram analysis given that particles tend to cluster around that wall for large enough St. Then, the approach ultilizing the conservation equation for particle concentration has been employed, which is originally proposed by Johnson *et al.* (2020). The benefit of this approach is to disentangle the effect caused by biased sampling, turbophoresis, and centrifugal forces. The highlight of findings are below.

H. Jiang and orthers

It is evident that as particle inertia increases, a larger number of particles tend to accumulate in the outer wall region, leading to an increase in preferential concentration near the outer wall. To quantify the extent of particle accumulation near the outer wall, we employed two-dimensional Voronoï diagram analysis. While particles with low inertia exhibit a distribution close to random, an increase in particle inertia causes particles to cluster in the low-speed streaks at the outer wall, resulting in the formation of more clusters and voids. We further elucidated the emergence of strip-like structures by showing the ratios of azimuthal length to axial length for the Voronoï cells. Additionally, by counting the number of particles in regions of low and high azimuthal velocity, we observed a preference for particles to accumulate in low-speed fluid structures.

Then, we differentiated the effects of biased sampling, turbophoresis, and centrifugal forces by separately considering their relative strengths. By comparing the relative strength of these forces, we summarized the mechanisms of particle transport in Taylor-Couette flow in a schematic diagram depicted in figure 11. In terms of particle transport in the radial direction, centrifugal effects are predominant, with biased sampling effects being the second most influential for small inertial particles, while turbophoresis effects become second most important for large inertial particles. Each of these effects leads to distinct processes: centrifugal forces cause particles to migrate toward the outer wall; biased sampling forces cause particles to move toward the inner wall due to the fact that particles from the bulk region to the two walls due to the high turbulence intensity in the bulk region compared to that near the walls.

Finally, there are several crucial considerations that were not addressed in this study, which merit further investigation. For instance, at higher particle mass loading, turbulence is notably influenced by particle feedback forces, thus affecting both the turbulent flow and the particle distribution. The findings in this work provide groundwork for future study on the impact of inertial particles on particle distribution in rotating wall-bounded turbulent flows.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant nos. 11988102, 92052201 and 12372219.

Declaration of interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Derivation of the radial particle concentration profile

The wall-normal particle concentration profile in turbulent channel flow has been discussed by Johnson *et al.* (2020). Here we extend their proposed model to the radial particle concentration in Taylor-Couette flow with cylindrical coordinates. The derivation is based on the single-particle position-velocity probability density function (PDF) along radial direction. An additional centrifugal term emerges to account the effect of rotation.

The radial direction single-particle position-velocity PDF is denoted as $f(r, v_r; t)$,

$$f(r, v_r; t) = \left\langle \delta(r - \hat{r}(t)) \delta(v_r - \hat{v}_r(t)) \right\rangle, \tag{A1}$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the ensemble averaging over a disperse phase. Differentiating (A 1) in time and substituting the particle radial dynamic equation: $\dot{r} = \hat{v}_r$; $\dot{v}_r = \hat{a}_r$, we have the evolution of $f(r, v_r, ; t)$:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (v_r f)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial (\langle a_r | r, v_r \rangle f)}{\partial v_r} = \dot{f}_{\text{coll}}, \qquad (A2)$$

where the right hand side of (A 2) is \dot{f}_{coll} the particle collision term.

Since the particle phase in the turbulent Taylor-Couette flow is statistically homogeneous in the azimuthal and axial directions, The normalized particle concentration $C^*(r;t)$ can be obtained by integrating f over the particle velocity v_r :

$$C^*(r;t) = C_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(r, v_r;t) dv_r.$$
 (A3)

Here the C_0 is the bulk particle concentration. The particle radial direction momentum conservation is obtained as a first-order moment of f, i.e. multiplying (A 2) by v_r and C_0 and integrating over particle radial velocity v_r :

$$\frac{\partial \left(\left\langle v_r | r \right\rangle C^* \right)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left(\left\langle v_r^2 | r \right\rangle C^* \right)}{\partial r} - \left\langle a_r | r \right\rangle C^* = 0.$$
 (A4)

The particle collisional term can be neglected because of particle momentum conservation by each collision events. At statistically homogeneous state, the first term $\partial (\langle v_r | r \rangle C^*) / \partial t = 0$, and the particle radial momentum balance equation can be simplified as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(\left\langle v_{r}^{2}|r\right\rangle C^{*}\right) = \left\langle a_{r}|r\right\rangle C^{*}.\tag{A5}$$

Substituting the particle radial acceleration $a_r = (u_r - v_r)/St + v_{\theta}^2/r$ to the expression (A 5), the particle radial momentum balance equation at statistically homogeneous state can be rewritten as:

$$\left\langle v_r^2 | r \right\rangle \frac{\mathrm{d}C^*}{\mathrm{d}r} = \left(\frac{\left\langle u_r | r \right\rangle}{St} - \frac{\mathrm{d}\left\langle v_r^2 | r \right\rangle}{\mathrm{d}r} + \frac{\left\langle v_\theta^2 | r \right\rangle}{r} \right) C^*. \tag{A6}$$

Then equation (3.2) can be obtained in the formal solution of (A 6).

REFERENCES

- ABRAHAMSON, J. 1975 Collision rates of small particles in a vigorously turbulent fluid. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **30** (11), 1371–1379.
- APTE, S. V., MAHESH, K., MOIN, P. & OEFELEIN, J. C. 2003 Large-eddy simulation of swirling particle-laden flows in a coaxial-jet combustor. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* 29 (8), 1311–1331.
- BALACHANDAR, S. & EATON, J. K. 2010 Turbulent dispersed multiphase flow. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 42, 111–133.
- BAROUDI, L., MAJJI, M. V. & MORRIS, J. F. 2020 Effect of inertial migration of particles on flow transitions of a suspension Taylor-Couette flow. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **5** (11), 114303.
- VAN DEN BERG, T. H., LUTHER, S., LATHROP, D. P. & LOHSE, D. 2005 Drag reduction in bubbly Taylor-Couette turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (4), 044501.
- BERNARDINI, M. 2014 Reynolds number scaling of inertial particle statistics in turbulent channel flows. J. Fluid Mech. **758**, R1.
- BRAUCKMANN, H. J. & ECKHARDT, B. 2017 Marginally stable and turbulent boundary layers in low-curvature Taylor-Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 815, 149–168.
- CAPORALONI, M., TAMPIERI, F., TROMBETTI, F. & VITTORI, O. 1975 Transfer of particles in nonisotropic air turbulence. J. Atmos. Sci. 32 (3), 565–568.
- COUETTE, M. 1890 Études sur le frottement des liquides. Ann. Chim. Phys. 6 (21), 433-510.
- CURRAN, S. J. & BLACK, R. A. 2005 Oxygen transport and cell viability in an annular flow bioreactor: Comparison of laminar Couette and Taylor-vortex flow regimes. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 89 (7), 766– 774.
- DASH, A., ANANTHARAMAN, A. & POELMA, C. 2020 Particle-laden Taylor-Couette flows: higher-order transitions and evidence for azimuthally localized wavy vortices. *J. Fluid Mech.* **903**, A20.
- DI RENZO, M. & URZAY, J. 2018 Aerodynamic generation of electric fields in turbulence laden with charged inertial particles. *Nat. Commun.* **9** (1), 1676.

- EATON, J. K. & FESSLER, J. 1994 Preferential concentration of particles by turbulence. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* **20**, 169–209.
- ELGHOBASHI, S. 1991 Particle-laden turbulent flows: direct simulation and closure models. *Appl. Sci. Res.* **48**, 301–314.
- ELGHOBASHI, S. 1994 On predicting particle-laden turbulent flows. Appl. Sci. Res. 52, 309-329.
- ELGHOBASHI, S., BALACHANDAR, S. & PROSPERETTI, A. 2006 An updated classification map of particle-laden turbulent flows. *Fluid Mechanics and Its Applications* **81**, 3.
- FALKOVICH, G., FOUXON, A. & STEPANOV, M. 2002 Acceleration of rain initiation by cloud turbulence. *Nature* **419** (6903), 151–154.
- FANG, Z., MAMMOLI, A. A., BRADY, J. F., INGBER, M. S., MONDY, L. A. & GRAHAM, A. L. 2002 Flow-aligned tensor models for suspension flows. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* 28 (1), 137–166.
- FERENC, J.-S. & NÉDA, Z. 2007 On the size distribution of poisson Voronoï cells. Phys. A 385 (2), 518-526.
- FROITZHEIM, A., EZETA, R., HUISMAN, S. G., MERBOLD, S., SUN, C., LOHSE, D. & EGBERS, C. 2019 Statistics, plumes and azimuthally travelling waves in ultimate Taylor-Couette turbulent vortices. J. Fluid Mech. 876, 733–765.
- GATIGNOL, R. 1983 The Faxén formulae for a rigid particle in an unsteady non-uniform Stokes flow. *Journal de Mecanique Theorique et Appliquee* **9** (2), 143–160.
- Goro, S. & VASSILICOS, J. 2008 Sweep-stick mechanism of heavy particle clustering in fluid turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (5), 054503.
- GROSSMANN, S., LOHSE, D. & SUN, C. 2016 High-Reynolds number Taylor-Couette turbulence. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **48**, 53–80.
- GUO, X.-L., WU, J.-Z., WANG, B.-F., ZHOU, Q. & CHONG, K. L. 2023 Flow structure transition in thermal vibrational convection. J. Fluid Mech. 974, A29.
- HAMEDE, M. H., MERBOLD, S. & EGBERS, C. 2023 Transition to the ultimate turbulent regime in a very wide gap Taylor-Couette flow ($\eta = 0.1$) with a stationary outer cylinder. *Europhys. Lett.* **143** (2), 23001.
- JOHNSON, P. L., BASSENNE, M. & MOIN, P. 2020 Turbophoresis of small inertial particles: theoretical considerations and application to wall-modelled large-eddy simulations. *J. Fluid Mech.* 883, A27.
- KANG, C. & MIRBOD, P. 2021 Flow instability and transitions in Taylor-Couette flow of a semidilute noncolloidal suspension. J. Fluid Mech. 916, A12.
- KOLEHMAINEN, J., OZEL, A., BOYCE, C. M. & SUNDARESAN, S. 2016 A hybrid approach to computing electrostatic forces in fluidized beds of charged particles. *AIChE J.* **62** (7), 2282–2295.
- KOSCHMIEDER, E. L. 1993 Bénard cells and Taylor vortices. Cambridge University Press.
- LI, H., KU, X.-K. & LIN, J.-Z. 2020 Eulerian-Lagrangian simulation of inertial migration of particles in circular Couette flow. *Phys. Fluids* **32** (7).
- MAJJI, M. V. & MORRIS, J. F. 2018 Inertial migration of particles in Taylor-Couette flows. *Phys. Fluids* **30** (3).
- MARCHIOLI, C. & SOLDATI, A. 2002 Mechanisms for particle transfer and segregation in a turbulent boundary layer. J. Fluid Mech. 468, 283–315.
- MAXEY, M. R. 1987 The gravitational settling of aerosol particles in homogeneous turbulence and random flow fields. *J. Fluid Mech.* **174**, 441–465.
- MAXEY, M. R. & RILEY, J. J. 1983 Equation of motion for a small rigid sphere in a nonuniform flow. *Phys. Fluids* **26** (4), 883–889.
- MENG, W.-S., ZHAO, C.-B., WU, J.-Z., WANG, B.-F., ZHOU, Q. & CHONG, K. L. 2024 Simulation of flow and debris migration in extreme ultraviolet source vessel. *Phys. Fluids* 36 (2).
- MONCHAUX, R., BOURGOIN, M. & CARTELLIER, A. 2010 Preferential concentration of heavy particles: a Voronoï analysis. *Phys. Fluids* **22** (10), 103304.
- MONCHAUX, R., BOURGOIN, M. & CARTELLIER, A. 2012 Analyzing preferential concentration and clustering of inertial particles in turbulence. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* **40**, 1–18.
- OSTILLA, R., STEVENS, R. J., GROSSMANN, S., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2013 Optimal taylor–couette flow: direct numerical simulations. J. Fluid Mech. 719, 14–46.
- QIAO, J., DENG, R. & WANG, C.-H. 2015 Particle motion in a Taylor vortex. Int. J. Multiphase Flow 77, 120–130.
- RASHIDI, M., HETSRONI, G. & BANERJEE, S. 1990 Particle-turbulence interaction in a boundary layer. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* **16** (6), 935–949.
- READE, W. C. & COLLINS, L. R. 2000 Effect of preferential concentration on turbulent collision rates. *Phys. Fluids* 12 (10), 2530–2540.

- REEKS, M. 1983 The transport of discrete particles in inhomogeneous turbulence. J. Aerosol Sci. 14 (6), 729–739.
- Rokkam, R. G., Fox, R. O. & Muhle, M. E. 2010 Computational fluid dynamics and electrostatic modeling of polymerization fluidized-bed reactors. *Powder Technol.* **203** (2), 109–124.
- RÜDIGER, G., GELLERT, M., HOLLERBACH, R., SCHULTZ, M. & STEFANI, F. 2018 Stability and instability of hydromagnetic Taylor-Couette flows. *Phys. Rep.* **741**, 1–89.
- SARDINA, G., SCHLATTER, P., BRANDT, L., PICANO, F. & CASCIOLA, C. M. 2012 Wall accumulation and spatial localization in particle-laden wall flows. J. Fluid Mech. 699, 50–78.
- SAW, E. W., SHAW, R. A., AYYALASOMAYAJULA, S., CHUANG, P. Y. & GYLFASON, A. 2008 Inertial clustering of particles in high-Reynolds-number turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (21), 214501.
- SCHRIMPF, M., ESTEBAN, J., WARMELING, H., FÄRBER, T., BEHR, A. & VORHOLT, A. J. 2021 Taylor-Couette reactor: Principles, design, and applications. *AIChE J.* **67** (5), e17228.
- SPANDAN, V., LOHSE, D. & VERZICCO, R. 2016a Deformation and orientation statistics of neutrally buoyant sub-Kolmogorov ellipsoidal droplets in turbulent Taylor-Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 809, 480–501.
- SPANDAN, V., OSTILLA-MÓNICO, R., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2016b Drag reduction in numerical two-phase Taylor-Couette turbulence using an Euler-Lagrange approach. J. Fluid Mech. **798**, 411–435.
- SPANDAN, V., VERZICCO, R. & LOHSE, D. 2018 Physical mechanisms governing drag reduction in turbulent Taylor-Couette flow with finite-size deformable bubbles. J. Fluid Mech. 849, R3.
- SQUIRES, K. D. & EATON, J. K. 1991 Preferential concentration of particles by turbulence. *Physics of Fluids* A: Fluid Dynamics **3** (5), 1169–1178.
- TAYLOR, G. I. 1923*a* The motion of ellipsoidal particles in a viscous fluid. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **103** (720), 58–61.
- TAYLOR, G. I. 1923b Viii. Stability of a viscous liquid contained between two rotating cylinders. *Phil. Trans.* R. Soc. Lond. Series A 223 (605-615), 289–343.
- TETLOW, N., GRAHAM, A. L., INGBER, M. S., SUBIA, S. R., MONDY, L. A. & ALTOBELLI, S. A. 1998 Particle migration in a Couette apparatus: Experiment and modeling. *J. Rheol.* **42** (2), 307–327.
- TSAI, S.-T. 2022 Sedimentation motion of sand particles in moving water (I): The resistance on a small sphere moving in non-uniform flow. *Theor. Appl. Mech. Lett.* **12** (6), 100392.
- VERZICCO, R. & ORLANDI, P. 1996 A finite-difference scheme for three-dimensional incompressible flows in cylindrical coordinates. J. Comput. Phys. 123 (2), 402–414.
- VINKOVIC, I., DOPPLER, D., LELOUVETEL, J. & BUFFAT, M. 2011 Direct numerical simulation of particle interaction with ejections in turbulent channel flows. *Int. J. Multiphase Flow* 37 (2), 187–197.
- WANG, C., YI, L., JIANG, L.-F. & SUN, C. 2022 How do the finite-size particles modify the drag in Taylor–Couette turbulent flow. J. Fluid Mech. 937, A15.
- ZHANG, H. & ZHOU, Y.-H. 2020 Reconstructing the electrical structure of dust storms from locally observed electric field data. *Nat. Commun.* **11** (1), 5072.
- ZHAO, C.-B., WANG, B.-F., WU, J.-Z., CHONG, K. L. & ZHOU, Q. 2022a Suppression of flow reversals via manipulating corner rolls in plane rayleigh–bénard convection. J. Fluid Mech. 946, A44.
- ZHAO, C.-B., ZHANG, Y.-Z., WANG, B.-F., WU, J.-Z., CHONG, K. L. & ZHOU, Q. 2022b Modulation of turbulent rayleigh-bénard convection under spatially harmonic heating. *Phys. Rev. E* **105** (5), 055107.