

On the spectral extremal problem of planar graphs

Xiaolong Wang, Xueyi Huang, and Huiqiu Lin

School of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology,
Shanghai 200237, China

Abstract The spectral extremal problem of planar graphs has aroused a lot of interest over the past three decades. In 1991, Boots and Royle [Geogr. Anal. 23(3) (1991) 276–282] (and Cao and Vince [Linear Algebra Appl. 187 (1993) 251–257] independently) conjectured that $K_2 + P_{n-2}$ is the unique graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all planar graphs on n vertices, where $K_2 + P_{n-2}$ is the graph obtained from $K_2 \cup P_{n-2}$ by adding all possible edges between K_2 and P_{n-2} . In 2017, Tait and Tobin [J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 126 (2017) 137–161] confirmed this conjecture for all sufficiently large n . In this paper, we consider the spectral extremal problem for planar graphs without specified subgraphs. For a fixed graph F , let $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F)$ denote the set of graphs attaining the maximum spectral radius among all F -free planar graphs on n vertices. We describe a rough structure for the connected extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F)$ when F is a planar graph not contained in $K_{2,n-2}$. As applications, we determine the extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, W_k)$, $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k)$ and $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$ for all sufficiently large n , where W_k , F_k and $(k+1)K_2$ are the wheel graph of order k , the friendship graph of order $2k+1$ and the disjoint union of $k+1$ copies of K_2 , respectively.

Keywords: Spectral radius; planar graph; wheel graph; friendship graph.

AMS Classification: 05C50

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. A graph is said to be \mathcal{F} -free if it has no subgraph isomorphic to some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$, then we write F -free instead of \mathcal{F} -free. The *Turán number* of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. In extremal graph theory, the Turán problem has aroused a lot of interest. Two earliest results on Turán problem are the Mantel's theorem and the Turán's theorem. In 1907, Mantel [24] determined the Turán number of K_3 , which is attained by balanced complete bipartite graphs. As an extension of Mantel's theorem, Turán [29] determined the Turán number of K_{r+1} for every integer $r \geq 2$, which is attained by balanced complete

E-mail address: xlwangmath@163.com (X. Wang), huangxymath@163.com (X. Huang), huiqiulin@126.com (H. Lin)

r -partite graphs. Over the past half century, a large quantity of work has been carried out in the Turán problems of various graphs, such as cycles [16, 30], wheels [34], friendship graphs [12], and so on. Nevertheless, the Turán problem for even cycles is still far from resolved. For more results on Turán problem, we refer the reader to the survey paper [17].

In 2015, Dowden [9] initiated the study of planar Turán problem. The *planar Turán number* of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{F} -free planar graph on n vertices. It was shown in [9] that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, K_3) = 2n - 4$ and $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, K_r) = 3n - 6$ for all $n \geq 6$ and $r \geq 4$. Since there are arbitrarily large triangulations with maximum degree 6, the planar Turán problem is trivial for graphs with maximum degree more than 6. For this reason, the next natural type of graphs considered are cycles and other variations. Dowden [9] proved that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_4) \leq \frac{15(n-2)}{7}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_5) \leq \frac{12n-33}{5}$ for $n \geq 11$, and obtained infinitely many extremal graphs attaining these upper bounds. Ghosh, Győri, Martin, Paulos and Xiao [18] proved that $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_6) \leq \frac{5n}{2} - 7$ for $n \geq 18$. Lan, Shi and Song [19] present a sharp upper bound of $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{C}_k + e)$ for $k \in \{4, 5\}$ and an upper bound of $\text{ex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{C}_6 + e)$, where $\mathcal{C}_k + e$ is the family of graphs obtained from a cycle C_k by linking two nonadjacent vertices (via e) in the cycle. Recently, Fang, Wang and Zhai [14] obtained sharp bounds of the planar Turán number of k -fans and friendship graphs for all non-trivial cases.

Let G be a graph, and let $A(G)$ denote the adjacency matrix of G . The *spectral radius* of G , denoted by $\rho(G)$, is the largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$. Analogous to the Turán problem, let $\text{spex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the maximum spectral radius of any \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices, and $\text{SPEX}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the set of extremal graphs with respect to $\text{spex}(n, \mathcal{F})$. In 2010, Nikiforov [27] pioneered the systematic study of spectral Turán problems, although there are several earlier results on this topic. In recent years, the spectral Turán problem has become very popular, and a lot of attention has been paid on determining $\text{spex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ and characterizing $\text{SPEX}(n, \mathcal{F})$ for various families of graphs [4–8, 20, 21, 23, 25, 31, 35–38, 40–43]. Very recently, Cioabă, Desai and Tait [6] made a breakthrough on spectral Turán problems. They determined the exact value of $\text{spex}(n, C_{2k})$ and characterized the extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}(n, C_{2k})$ for any $k \geq 3$ and sufficiently large n , which confirmed a famous conjecture of Nikiforov in 2010 [27].

Spectral Turán problems belong to a broader framework of problems called Brualdi-Solheid problems [2] that investigate the maximum spectral radius among all graphs belonging to a specified family of graphs. For planar graphs, the study of Brualdi-Solheid problems has achieved abundant results. Let G be a planar graph of order n . In 1988, Yuan [32] proved that $\rho(G) \leq \sqrt{5n - 11}$. In 1993, Cao and Vince [3] improved the upper bound to $4 + \sqrt{3n - 9}$. Later, Yuan [33] improved the upper bound to $2\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3n - \frac{15}{2}}$. In 2000, Ellingham and Zha [11] improved the upper bound to $2 + \sqrt{2n - 6}$. Additionally, it was conjectured by Boots and Royle [1] in 1991 (and independently by Cao and Vince [3] in 1993) that $K_2 + P_{n-2}$ is the unique graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all planar graphs of order n . Until 2017, Tait and Tobin [28] confirmed the conjecture for all sufficiently large n . Among other things, Dvořák and Mohar [10] found an upper bound on the spectral radius of planar graphs with a given maximum degree. For more results on the spectral radius of planar graphs, we refer the reader to [22].

As an analogue of the planar Turán problem, it is natural to consider the spectral Turán

problem within planar graphs (called *planar spectral Turán problem*). Let $\text{spex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the maximum spectral radius of the adjacency matrix of any \mathcal{F} -free planar graphs on n vertices, and $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the set of extremal graphs with respect to $\text{spex}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{F})$. In 2022, Zhai and Liu [39] characterized the extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{F})$ when \mathcal{F} is the family of k edge-disjoint cycles. Very recently, Fang, Lin and Shi [13] determined the extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, tC_{\ell})$ and $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, t\mathcal{C})$, where tC_{ℓ} is the disjoint union of t copies of ℓ -cycles, and $t\mathcal{C}$ is the family of t vertex-disjoint cycles without length restriction. In this paper, we provide a structural theorem for connected extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F)$ under the condition that F is a planar graph not contained in $K_{2, n-2}$ and n is sufficient large relative to the order of F , which extends a result of Fang, Lin and Shi (cf. [13, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let F be a planar graph not contained in $K_{2, n-2}$ where $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, \frac{10}{9}|V(F)|\}$. Suppose that G is a connected extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F)$ and $X = (x_v : v \in V(G))^T$ is the positive eigenvector of $\rho := \rho(G)$ with $\max_{v \in V(G)} x_v = 1$. Then the following two statements hold.*

- (i) *There exist two vertices $u', u'' \in V(G)$ such that $R := N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'') = V(G) \setminus \{u', u''\}$ and $x_{u'} = x_{u''} = 1$. In particular, G contains a copy of $K_{2, n-2}$.*
- (ii) *The subgraph $G[R]$ of G induced by R is a disjoint union of some paths and cycles. Moreover, if $G[R]$ contains a cycle then it is exactly a cycle, i.e., $G[R] \cong C_{n-2}$, and if $u'u'' \in E(G)$ then $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths.*

The *join* of two graphs G and H , denoted by $G+H$, is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by adding all possible edges between G and H . The *wheel graph* of order k and the *friendship graph* of order $2k+1$ are then defined as $W_k = K_1 + C_{k-1}$ and $F_k = K_1 + kK_2$, respectively. As applications of Theorem 1.1, we consider the planar spectral Turán problem for wheel graphs, friendship graphs, and independent edges, respectively. According to a result of Nikiforov [26], the extremal graph with respect to $\text{spex}(n, W_{2\ell})$ for sufficiently large n is exactly the Turán graph with three parts. For odd wheel graphs, Cioabă, Desai and Tait [7] determined the structure of the extremal graphs with respect to $\text{spex}(n, W_{2\ell+1})$ for all $\ell \geq 2$, $\ell \notin \{4, 5\}$ and sufficiently large n . With regard to planar graphs, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k and n be integers with $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{k-4} + 2\}$. Then $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, W_k) = \{W_{n, k}\}$, where*

$$W_{n, k} := \begin{cases} K_{2, n-2}, & \text{if } k = 3, \\ 2K_1 + C_{n-2}, & \text{if } k = 4, \\ K_2 + \left(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{k-3} \rfloor P_{k-3} \cup P_{n-2-(k-3) \cdot \lfloor \frac{n-2}{k-3} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } k \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

In 2020, Cioabă, Feng, Tait and Zhang [8] proved that every extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}(n, F_k)$ is exactly an extremal graph with respect to $\text{ex}(n, F_k)$ for all sufficiently large n . Based on this result, Zhai, Liu and Xue [40] identified the unique extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}(n, F_k)$ for all sufficiently large n . For planar graphs, we prove that the extremal graph is also unique.

Theorem 1.3. *Let k and n be integers with $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. Then $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) = \{F_{n,k}\}$, where*

$$F_{n,k} := \begin{cases} K_{2,n-2}, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ K_2 + (n-2)K_1, & \text{if } k = 2, \\ K_2 + (P_{2k-3} \cup (n-2k+1)K_1), & \text{if } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The *matching number* of a graph G is maximum size of a subset of $E(G)$ that contains only independent edges. In 2007, Feng, Yu and Zhang [15] characterized the extremal graphs attaining the maximum spectral radius among all graph on n vertices with given matching number. For planar graphs, we also obtain the extremal graphs.

Theorem 1.4. *Let k and n be integers with $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq N + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2N-6}$ where $N = \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. Then $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2) = \{M_{n,k}\}$, where*

$$M_{n,k} = \begin{cases} K_{1,n-1}, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ K_2 + (n-2)K_1, & \text{if } k = 2, \\ K_2 + (P_{2k-3} \cup (n-2k+1)K_1), & \text{if } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $M_{n,k}$ is the unique graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all planar graphs of order n with matching number k .

2 Key Lemmas

In this section, we list a series of lemmas, which focuses on the structural properties of a special class of graphs, namely the connected planar graphs on n vertices with spectral radius not less than $\sqrt{2n-4}$. These properties play a key role in the proof of our main results.

First of all, we recall a classic result of Ellingham and Zhang [11] regarding the upper bound of the spectral radius of planar graphs.

Lemma 2.1. *(Ellingham and Zha [11]) Let G be a planar graph with $n \geq 3$. Then $\rho(G) \leq 2 + \sqrt{2n-6}$.*

Before going further, we introduce some notations and symbols. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For any $v \in V(G)$, let $N_i(v)$ denote the set of vertices at distance i from u in G . In particular, we write $N_G(v)$ or $N(v)$ instead of $N_1(v)$, and denote by $d_G(v) := |N_G(v)|$. Also, for any subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $N_S(v)$ denote the set of vertices in S that are adjacent to v . For any two disjoint subset $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[S]$ denote the subgraph of G induced by S , and let $G[S, T]$ denote the bipartite subgraph of G with vertex set $S \cup T$ consisting of all edges between S and T in G . Set $e(S) = |E(G[S])|$ and $e(S, T) = |E(G[S, T])|$. For any planar graph G , it is known that

$$e(S) \leq 3|S| - 6 \text{ and } e(S, T) \leq 2(|S| + |T|) - 4, \quad (1)$$

where S and T are disjoint subsets of $V(G)$.

Assume that G is a connected planar graph on n vertices with $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$ and $\rho := \rho(G) \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$. In what follows, we shall present some structural properties possessed by the graph G (see Lemmas 2.2–2.8 below).

Let $X = (x_v : v \in V(G))^T$ be the positive eigenvector of $\rho := \rho(G)$ with $\max_{v \in V(G)} x_v = 1$. For any real number $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{9^3}$, we define

$$L^\lambda = \left\{ u \in V(G) \mid x_u \geq \frac{1}{9^3 \lambda} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. $|L^\lambda| \leq \frac{\lambda n}{9^5}$.

Proof. By assumption, $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$, and hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{9^3 \lambda} \leq \rho x_u = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} x_v \leq d_G(u)$$

for each $u \in L^\lambda$. Summing this inequality over all vertices $u \in L^\lambda$, we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n-4}}{9^3 \lambda} \cdot |L^\lambda| \leq \sum_{u \in L^\lambda} d_G(u) \leq \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u) \leq 2(3n-6),$$

which implies that $|L^\lambda| \leq 3 \times 9^3 \lambda \sqrt{2n-4} \leq \frac{\lambda n}{9^5}$ as $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$. \square

Lemma 2.3. $|L^1| < 6 \times 9^4$.

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary vertex of G . For convenience, we denote $L_i^\lambda(u) = N_i(u) \cap L^\lambda$ and $\overline{L}_i^\lambda(u) = N_i(u) \setminus L^\lambda$. Recall that $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2n-4)x_u &\leq \rho^2 x_u = d_G(u)x_u + \sum_{v \in N_1(u)} \sum_{w \in N_1(v) \setminus \{u\}} x_w \\ &\leq d_G(u)x_u + \sum_{v \in N_1(u)} \sum_{w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)} x_w + \sum_{v \in N_1(u)} \sum_{w \in \overline{L}_1^\lambda(u) \cup \overline{L}_2^\lambda(u)} x_w, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $N_1(v) \setminus \{u\} \subseteq N_1(u) \cup N_2(u) = L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u) \cup \overline{L}_1^\lambda(u) \cup \overline{L}_2^\lambda(u)$.

Note that $N_1(u) = L_1^\lambda(u) \cup \overline{L}_1^\lambda(u)$ and $x_w \leq 1$ for each $w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in N_1(u)} \sum_{w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)} x_w &= \sum_{v \in L_1^\lambda(u)} \sum_{w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)} x_w + \sum_{v \in \overline{L}_1^\lambda(u)} \sum_{w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)} x_w \\ &\leq \left(2e(L_1^\lambda(u)) + e(L_1^\lambda(u), L_2^\lambda(u)) \right) + \sum_{v \in \overline{L}_1^\lambda(u)} \sum_{w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)} x_w \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Recall that $L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u) \subseteq L^\lambda$, and $|L^\lambda| \leq \frac{\lambda n}{9^5}$ by Lemma 2.2. Then from (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2e(L_1^\lambda(u)) + e(L_1^\lambda(u), L_2^\lambda(u)) &\leq 2(3|L_1^\lambda(u)| - 6) + (2(|L_1^\lambda(u)| + |L_2^\lambda(u)|) - 4) \\ &< 8|L^\lambda| \leq \frac{8\lambda n}{9^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Also note that $x_w < \frac{1}{9^3 \lambda}$ for each $w \in \overline{L}_1^\lambda(u) \cup \overline{L}_2^\lambda(u)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in N_1(u)} \sum_{w \in \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)} \cup \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}} x_w &= \sum_{v \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}} \sum_{w \in \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)} \cup \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}} x_w \\
&\leq \left(e(L_1^\lambda(u), \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)} \cup \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}) + 2e(\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}) + e(\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}, \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}) \right) \cdot \frac{1}{9^3 \lambda} \\
&\leq \min \left\{ 2|L_1^\lambda(u)| + 10|\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}| + 4|\overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}|, 6n \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{9^3 \lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the last inequality follows from

$$e(L_1^\lambda(u), \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)} \cup \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}) + 2e(\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}) + e(\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}, \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}) \leq 2e(G) < 6n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&e(L_1^\lambda(u), \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)} \cup \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}) + 2e(\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}) + e(\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}, \overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}) \\
&< 2(|L_1^\lambda(u)| + |\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}| + |\overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}|) + 6|\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}| + 2(|\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}| + |\overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}|) \\
&= 2|L_1^\lambda(u)| + 10|\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}| + 4|\overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2)–(5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2n-4)x_u &< d_G(u)x_u + \sum_{v \in \overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}} \sum_{w \in L_1^\lambda(u) \cup L_2^\lambda(u)} x_w \\
&+ \min \left\{ \left(\frac{8\lambda}{9} + \frac{54}{\lambda} \right) n, \frac{8n\lambda}{9} + \frac{9(2|L_1^\lambda(u)| + 10|\overline{L_1^\lambda(u)}| + 4|\overline{L_2^\lambda(u)}|)}{\lambda} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{9^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now we prove that $d_G(u) \geq \frac{n}{9^4}$ for each $u \in L^1$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a vertex $\tilde{u} \in L^1$ such that $d_G(\tilde{u}) < \frac{n}{9^4}$. Note that $x_{\tilde{u}} \geq \frac{1}{9^3}$ because $\tilde{u} \in L^1$. Take $u = \tilde{u}$ and $\lambda = 9$. Since $N_1(\tilde{u}) = L_1^9(\tilde{u}) \cup \overline{L_1^9(\tilde{u})}$, we have

$$2|L_1^9(\tilde{u})| + 10|\overline{L_1^9(\tilde{u})}| + 4|\overline{L_2^9(\tilde{u})}| \leq 10d_G(\tilde{u}) + 4|\overline{L_2^9(\tilde{u})}| < \frac{10n}{9^4} + 4n.$$

and it follows from (6) that

$$\frac{2n-4}{9^3} < d_G(\tilde{u})x_{\tilde{u}} + \sum_{v \in L_1^9(\tilde{u})} \sum_{w \in L_1^9(\tilde{u}) \cup L_2^9(\tilde{u})} x_w + \left(12 + \frac{10}{9^4} \right) \cdot \frac{n}{9^4}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, since $|N_1(\tilde{u})| = d_G(\tilde{u}) < \frac{n}{9^4}$ and $|L^9| \leq \frac{n}{9^4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_G(\tilde{u})x_{\tilde{u}} + \sum_{v \in \overline{L_1^9(\tilde{u})}} \sum_{w \in L_1^9(\tilde{u}) \cup L_2^9(\tilde{u})} x_w &\leq d_G(\tilde{u}) + e(\overline{L_1^9(\tilde{u})}, L_1^9(\tilde{u}) \cup L_2^9(\tilde{u})) \\
&< d_G(\tilde{u}) + 2(|\overline{L_1^9(\tilde{u})}| + |L_1^9(\tilde{u})| + |L_2^9(\tilde{u})|) \\
&\leq 3d_G(\tilde{u}) + 2|L^9| \\
&\leq \frac{5n}{9^4},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from (1). Then (7) implies that

$$\frac{2n-4}{9^3} < \frac{5n}{9^4} + \left(12 + \frac{10}{9^4} \right) \cdot \frac{n}{9^4} = \left(17 + \frac{10}{9^4} \right) \cdot \frac{n}{9^4},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_G(u) \geq \frac{n}{9^4}$ for all $u \in L^1$. Summing this inequality over all vertices $u \in L^1$, we obtain

$$|L^1| \frac{n}{9^4} \leq \sum_{u \in L^1} d_G(u) \leq 2e(G) < 6n,$$

and hence $|L^1| < 6 \times 9^4$. The result follows. \square

For convenience, we use L , $L_i(u)$ and $\overline{L}_i(u)$ instead of L^1 , $N_i(u) \cap L^1$ and $N_i(u) \setminus L^1$, respectively.

Lemma 2.4. *For every $u \in L$, we have $d_G(u) \geq (x_u - \frac{4}{729})n$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{L}'_1(u)$ be the subset of $\overline{L}_1(u)$ in which each vertex has at least two neighbors in $L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)$. We claim that $|\overline{L}'_1(u)| \leq |L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)|^2$. If $|L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)| = 1$, then $\overline{L}'_1(u) = \emptyset$, as desired. Now assume that $|L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)| \geq 2$. Suppose to the contrary that $|\overline{L}'_1(u)| > |L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)|^2$. Since there are only $\binom{|L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)|}{2}$ options for vertices in $\overline{L}'_1(u)$ to choose two neighbors from $L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)$, we can find two vertices in $L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)$ with at least $\lceil \frac{|\overline{L}'_1(u)|}{\binom{|L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)|}{2}} \rceil \geq 3$ common neighbors in $\overline{L}'_1(u)$. Also note that $u \notin L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)$ and $\overline{L}'_1(u) \subseteq \overline{L}_1(u) \subseteq N_1(u)$. Then we see that G contains a copy of $K_{3,3}$, which is impossible because G is planar. Therefore, $|\overline{L}'_1(u)| \leq |L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)|^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} e(\overline{L}_1(u), L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)) &= e(\overline{L}_1(u) \setminus \overline{L}'_1(u), L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)) + e(\overline{L}'_1(u), L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)) \\ &\leq |\overline{L}_1(u) \setminus \overline{L}'_1(u)| + |L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)| \cdot |\overline{L}'_1(u)| \\ &\leq d_G(u) + (6 \times 9^4)^3 \\ &\leq d_G(u) + \frac{n}{729}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the penultimate inequality follows from $\overline{L}_1(u) \subseteq N_1(u)$ and $|L_1(u) \cup L_2(u)| \leq |L| \leq 6 \times 9^4$ (by Lemma 2.3), and the last inequality holds as $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$. Putting $\lambda = 1$ in (6) and combining it with (8), we obtain

$$(2n - 4)x_u \leq d_G(u) + \left(d_G(u) + \frac{n}{729}\right) + \frac{55n}{9^4},$$

and therefore,

$$d_G(u) \geq (n - 2)x_u - \frac{64n}{2 \times 9^4} \geq \left(x_u - \frac{4}{729}\right)n,$$

as desired. \square

Take $u' \in V(G)$ such that $x_{u'} = \max_{v \in V(G)} x_v = 1$. We have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *There exists some vertex $u'' \in L_1(u') \cup L_2(u')$ such that $x_{u''} \geq \frac{722}{734}$.*

Proof. Putting $u = u'$ and $\lambda = 1$ in (6), we have

$$2n - 4 < d_G(u') + \sum_{v \in \overline{L}_1(u')} \sum_{w \in L_1(u') \cup L_2(u')} x_w + \frac{55n}{9^4},$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{v \in \overline{L_1}(u')} \sum_{w \in L_1(u') \cup L_2(u')} x_w \geq 2n - 4 - \frac{55n}{9^4} - d_G(u') \geq 2n - 4 - \frac{55n}{9^4} - n + 1 \geq \frac{722n}{729}. \quad (9)$$

As $u' \in L$, by Lemma 2.4, we have $d_G(u') \geq \frac{725n}{729}$. Denote by $N_{L_1(u')}(u') = N_G(u') \cap L_1(u')$ and $d_{L_1(u')}(u') = |N_{L_1(u')}(u')|$. Then

$$d_{L_1(u')}(u') \leq |L_1(u')| \leq |L| \leq 6 \times 9^4 \leq \frac{n}{729}$$

as $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$, and it follows that

$$d_{\overline{L_1}(u')}(u') = d_G(u') - d_{L_1(u')}(u') \geq \frac{724n}{729}.$$

Combining this with (1), we obtain

$$e(\overline{L_1}(u'), L_1(u') \cup L_2(u')) \leq e(\overline{L_1}(u'), L) - d_{\overline{L_1}(u')}(u') \leq (2n - 4) - \frac{724n}{729} \leq \frac{734n}{729}. \quad (10)$$

According to (9) and (10), there exists some $u'' \in L_1(u') \cup L_2(u')$ such that

$$x_{u''} \geq \frac{\sum_{v \in \overline{L_1}(u')} \sum_{w \in L_1(u') \cup L_2(u')} x_w}{e(\overline{L_1}(u'), L_1(u') \cup L_2(u'))} \geq \frac{\frac{722n}{729}}{\frac{734n}{729}} \geq \frac{722}{734},$$

as desired. \square

Recall that $x_{u'} = 1$ and $x_{u''} \geq \frac{722}{734}$ (by Lemma 2.5). By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$d_G(u') \geq \frac{725n}{729} \text{ and } d_G(u'') \geq 0.978n. \quad (11)$$

Let $D = \{u', u''\}$, $R = N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'')$, and $R_1 = V(G) \setminus (D \cup R)$. Then

$$|R_1| \leq (n - d_G(u')) + (n - d_G(u'')) \leq 0.0275n.$$

Next we shall prove that the entries of the eigenvector X with respect to the vertices in $R \cup R_1$ are small.

Lemma 2.6. *For every $u \in R \cup R_1$, we have $d_R(u) \leq 2$ and $x_u \leq 0.124$.*

Proof. Note that $d_D(u) = 2$ for $u \in R$ and $d_D(u) \leq 1$ for $u \in R_1$. Also, for any vertex $u \in R \cup R_1$, we assert that $d_R(u) \leq 2$, since otherwise G would contain a copy of $K_{3,3}$, which is impossible. Note that $|R_1| \leq 0.0275n$ and $e(R_1) \leq 3|R_1|$ by (1). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \sum_{u \in R_1} x_u &\leq \sum_{u \in R_1} d_G(u) = \sum_{u \in R_1} (d_D(u) + d_R(u) + d_{R_1}(u)) \leq \sum_{u \in R_1} (3 + d_{R_1}(u)) \\ &\leq 3|R_1| + 2e(R_1) \leq 9|R_1| \leq 0.2475n, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\sum_{u \in R_1} x_u \leq \frac{0.2475n}{\rho}.$$

For any $u \in R \cup R_1$,

$$\rho x_u = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} x_v = \sum_{v \in N_D(u)} x_v + \sum_{v \in N_R(u)} x_v + \sum_{v \in N_{R_1}(u)} x_v \leq 4 + \frac{0.2475n}{\rho}.$$

It follows that

$$x_u \leq \frac{4}{\rho} + \frac{0.2475n}{\rho^2} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2n-4}} + \frac{0.2475n}{2n-4} \leq 0.124$$

as $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$ and $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$. \square

Lemma 2.7. *The subgraph $G[R]$ of G induced by R is a disjoint union of some paths and cycles. Moreover, if $G[R]$ contains a cycle then it is exactly a cycle, and if $u'u'' \in E(G)$ then $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths.*

Proof. Since G is $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free, we see that $G[R]$ is $K_{1,3}$ -free, and so has maximum degree at most two. This implies that $G[R]$ must be a disjoint union of some paths and cycles. Furthermore, if $G[R]$ contains a cycle and has at least two components, then we can choose two components H_1 and H_2 from $G[R]$ such that H_1 is a cycle and H_2 is a cycle or a path. After contracting H_1 into a triangle, contracting H_2 into a vertex x , and contracting $u'xu''$ into an edge $u'u''$, we will obtain a copy of K_5 . This indicates that G contains a K_5 -minor, which is impossible. Therefore, if $G[R]$ contains a cycle, then it is exactly a cycle. Also, if $u'u'' \in E(G)$ and $G[R]$ contains a cycle, then after contracting the cycle into a triangle, we will obtain a copy of K_5 , which is a contradiction. Therefore, if $u'u'' \in E(G)$, then $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths. \square

If G contains $K_{2,n-2}$ as a subgraph, then we can say more about the components of the eigenvector X of $\rho := \rho(G)$.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose further that G contains $K_{2,n-2}$ as a subgraph. Let u_1, u_2 be the two vertices of G that have degree $n-2$ in $K_{2,n-2}$. Then the following two statements hold.*

(i) $x_{u_1} = x_{u_2} = 1$.

(ii) For any vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$, $\frac{2}{\rho} \leq x_u \leq \frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2}$.

Proof. Since $K_{2,n-2}$ is a subgraph of G , we have $\rho(G) \geq \rho(K_{2,n-2}) = \sqrt{2n-4}$. Let $R = N_G(u_1) \cap N_G(u_2) = V(G) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$. Note that $d_R(u) \leq 2$ for any $u \in R$ as G is $K_{3,3}$ -minor-free.

(i) For any $u \in R = V(G) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$, we have $\rho x_u = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} x_v \leq 4$, and it follows that $x_u \leq \frac{4}{\rho} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2n-4}} < 0.124$ as $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$. Since $\max_{v \in V(G)} x_v = 1$, by the symmetry of u_1 and u_2 , we must have $x_{u_1} = x_{u_2} = 1$, as desired.

(ii) For any $u \in R = V(G) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$,

$$\rho x_u = x_{u_1} + x_{u_2} + \sum_{w \in N_R(u)} x_w = 2 + \sum_{w \in N_R(u)} x_w, \quad (12)$$

which gives that $x_u \geq \frac{2}{\rho}$. On the other hand, since $d_R(v) \leq 2$ and $x_v \leq 0.124$ for every $v \in R$, we have

$$\rho \sum_{w \in N_R(u)} x_w = \sum_{w \in N_R(u)} \rho x_w = \sum_{w \in N_R(u)} \sum_{y \in N_G(w)} x_y \leq 2 \times (2 + 0.124 + 0.124) = 4.496.$$

Combining this with (12), we obtain $x_u \leq \frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2}$, as required. \square

3 A structural theorem for the spectral extremal graph of F -free planar graphs

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1, which describes a rough structure for the connected extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F)$ under the condition that F is a planar graph not contained in $K_{2, n-2}$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, \frac{10}{9}|V(F)|\}$. The main result is as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $K_{2, n-2}$ is a connected F -free planar graph and G is a connected extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F)$, we have $\rho \geq \rho(K_{2, n-2}) = \sqrt{2n-4}$. Combining this with $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$, we see that the results in Lemmas 2.2–2.7 hold. Let u' , u'' , D , R and R_1 be defined as in Section 2. Note that (ii) follows from Lemma 2.7 and (i) immediately. Thus it suffices to prove (i).

First we shall show that $R_1 = \emptyset$. By contradiction, suppose that $a := |R_1| > 0$. Since F is a planar graph with $|V(F)| \leq \frac{9n}{10}$, from (11) we obtain

$$|R| = |N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'')| \geq |N_G(u')| + |N_G(u'')| - n \geq 0.972n > |V(F)|, \quad (13)$$

and

$$|R_1| = n - |R| - 2 \leq n - 0.972n - 2 < 0.028n.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{|R|}{|R_1|} > \frac{0.972n}{0.028n} \geq 34. \quad (14)$$

Since $G[R_1]$ is planar, we can order the vertices of R_1 as v_1, \dots, v_a such that $v_1 \in R_1$ satisfies $d_{R_1}(v_1) \leq 5$ and $v_i \in R_i := R_1 \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ satisfies $d_{R_1 \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}}(v_i) \leq 5$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, a\}$ (if $a \geq 2$). By Lemma 2.6, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, a\}$,

$$\sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} x_w \leq 1 + \sum_{w \in N_R(v_i)} x_w + \sum_{w \in N_{R_i}(v_i)} x_w \leq 1 + 2 \times 0.124 + 5 \times 0.124 = 1.868. \quad (15)$$

Also note that $\cup_{i=1}^a \{wv_i \mid w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)\}$ is exactly the set of edges in G that is incident with some vertex of R_1 . We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $u'u'' \in E(G)$.

In this case, by Lemma 2.7, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths. Let $G' := G - \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} wv_i + \sum_{i=1}^a (v_i u' + v_i u'')$. Clearly, G' is a connected subgraph of $K_2 + P_{n-2}$, and so is planar. Recall that $x_{u'} = 1$ and $x_{u''} \geq \frac{722}{734} > 0.983$. According to (15), we obtain

$$\rho(G') - \rho \geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \sum_{i=1}^a x_{v_i} \left(x_{u'} + x_{u''} - \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} x_w \right) > 0,$$

and hence $\rho(G') > \rho$. Now we shall show that G' is F -free. Suppose to the contrary that G' contains F as a subgraph. Clearly, $V(F) \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$. As $|R| > |V(F)|$ by (13), we have

$$|R \setminus V(F)| = |R| - |R \cap V(F)| > |V(F)| - |V(F) \cap R| \geq |V(F) \cap R_1|. \quad (16)$$

Suppose that $V(F) \cap R_1 = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_b}\}$. Take $w_1, \dots, w_b \in R \setminus V(F)$. Then we see that $N_{G'}(v_{i_j}) = D \subseteq N_{G'}(w_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, b\}$, and G has already contained a copy of F , which is impossible. Thus we may conclude that G' is an n -vertex connected F -free planar graph with $\rho(G') > \rho$. However, this is impossible by the maximality of ρ .

Case 2. $u'u'' \notin E(G)$.

In this case, by Lemma 2.7, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths and cycles. If $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths, let $G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} wv_i + \sum_{i=1}^a (v_iu' + v_iu'')$. As in Case 1, we see that G' is a connected F -free planar graph with $\rho(G') > \rho$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G[R]$ contains a cycle, and so must be a cycle according to Lemma 2.7. We have the following claim.

Claim 3.1. *Let v be a vertex of R_1 with $d_R(v) = 2$. Then the two neighbors of v in R are adjacent.*

Proof. Let $N_R(v) = \{u_1, u_2\}$. Suppose to the contrary that u_1 and u_2 are not adjacent. Then u_1 and u_2 are two non-consecutive vertices on the cycle $G[R]$. Let P and P' be the two internally vertex-disjoint paths of length at least 2 between u_1 and u_2 along this cycle. After contracting u_1vu_2 into an edge u_1u_2 , contracting the resulting cycle $P \cup u_1u_2$ into a triangle, picking an internal vertex \bar{u} from P' , and contracting $u'\bar{u}u''$ into an edge $u'u''$, we will obtain a copy of K_5 . This implies that G has a K_5 -minor, contrary to our assumption. \square

Subcase 2.1. There exists some vertex $v_r \in R_1$ adjacent to u' or u'' .

Since v_r is adjacent to u' or u'' , we have $\rho x_{v_r} \geq \min\{x_{u'}, x_{u''}\} \geq \frac{722}{734} > 0.983$, and it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$x_{v_r} > \frac{0.983}{\rho} \geq \frac{0.983}{2 + \sqrt{2n-6}}. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, (14) and Claim 3.1, we can easily find two adjacent vertices $u_1, u_2 \in R$ such that $N_G(u_1) \cap R_1 = N_G(u_2) \cap R_1 = \emptyset$. Then, again by Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\rho x_{u_i} = x_{u'} + x_{u''} + \sum_{w \in N_R(u_i)} x_w \leq 1 + 1 + 2 \times 0.124 = 2.248 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Combining this with $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$ yields that

$$x_{u_i} \leq \frac{2.248}{\rho} \leq \frac{2.248}{\sqrt{2n-4}} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (18)$$

Let $G' = G - u_1u_2 - \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} wv_i + \sum_{i=1}^a (v_iu' + v_iu'')$. Clearly, G' is a connected subgraph of $2K_1 + P_{n-2}$, and so is planar. Recall that $x_{u'} = 1$ and $x_{u''} \geq \frac{722}{734} > 0.983$. Combining (15), (17) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a x_{v_i} \left(x_{u'} + x_{u''} - \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} x_w \right) - x_{u_1} x_{u_2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(\sum_{i=1}^a x_{v_i} (1 + 0.983 - 1.868) - \frac{2.248^2}{2n-4} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(x_{v_r} \times 0.115 - \frac{2.248^2}{2n-4} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(\frac{0.983 \times 0.115}{2 + \sqrt{2n-6}} - \frac{2.248^2}{2n-4} \right) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$ and $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$. Therefore, $\rho(G') > \rho$. Furthermore, as in Case 1, we see that G' is F -free, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$.

Subcase 2.2. $N_{R_1}(u') = N_{R_1}(u'') = \emptyset$.

In this situation, by Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} x_w = \sum_{w \in N_R(v_i)} x_w + \sum_{w \in N_{R_i}(v_i)} x_w < 2 \times 0.124 + 5 \times 0.124 = 0.868. \quad (19)$$

Let $G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} wv_i + \sum_{i=1}^a v_i u'$. Clearly, G' is a connected planar graph. Recall that $x_{u'} = 1$. According to (19), we have

$$\rho(G') - \rho \geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \sum_{i=1}^a x_{v_i} \left(x_{u'} - \sum_{w \in N_{D \cup R \cup R_i}(v_i)} x_w \right) > 0,$$

and hence $\rho(G') > \rho$. As in Case 1, we see that G' is F -free, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$.

According to the above discussions, we obtain $R_1 = \emptyset$, and so $R = N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'') = V(G) \setminus \{u', u''\}$. Moreover, by the symmetry of u' and u'' , we can deduce that $x_{u'} = x_{u''} = 1$. In particular, G contains a copy of $K_{2,n-2}$. This proves (i). \square

4 Proof of Theorems 1.2–1.4

In this section, by using the structure theorem for the spectral extremal graph of F -free planar graphs obtained in Section 3, we shall give the proof of Theorems 1.2–1.4. More specifically, we characterize the unique extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, W_k)$, $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k)$ and $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$, respectively, provided that n is sufficiently large relative to k . To achieve this goal, we first need to discuss the change of the spectral radius of a special kind of planar graphs under specified graph transformation.

Suppose that H is a disjoint union of at least two paths. Let P_{s_1} and P_{s_2} be any two components of H with $s_1 \geq s_2$. Then the (s_1, s_2) -transformation H^* of H is defined by

$$H^* := \begin{cases} P_{s_1+1} \cup P_{s_2-1} \cup H_0, & \text{if } s_2 \geq 2, \\ P_{s_1+s_2} \cup H_0, & \text{if } s_2 = 1, \end{cases}$$

where H_0 is the union of the components of H other than P_{s_1} and P_{s_2} . Clearly, both $K_2 + H$ and $K_2 + H^*$ are connected planar graphs. The following lemma indicates that $\rho(K_2 + H) < \rho(K_2 + H^*)$ under certain conditions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a graph on $n - 2$ vertices of which all components are paths. Suppose that P_{s_1} and P_{s_2} are two components of H with $s_1 \geq s_2$. If $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{s_2} + 2\}$, then $\rho(K_2 + H) < \rho(K_2 + H^*)$, where H^* is the (s_1, s_2) -transformation of H .*

Proof. Note that both $K_2 + H$ and $K_2 + H^*$ are connected planar graphs of order n containing $K_{2,n-2}$ as a subgraph. Let $V(K_2) = \{u_1, u_2\}$, $\rho = \rho(K_2 + H)$ and $\rho^* = \rho(K_2 + H^*)$. Clearly, $\rho \geq \rho(K_{2,n-2}) = \sqrt{2n-4}$. Let $X = (x_v : v \in V(K_2 + H))^T$ be

the positive eigenvector of ρ with $\max_{v \in V(K_2+H)} x_v = 1$. By Lemma 2.8 (i), we have $x_{u_1} = x_{u_2} = 1$. Suppose that $P_{s_1} = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{s_1}$ and $P_{s_2} = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{s_2}$. If $s_2 = 1$, then H is a proper subgraph of H^* . This implies that $K_2 + H$ is a proper subgraph of $K_2 + H^*$, and hence $\rho < \rho^*$, as required. Now suppose $s_2 = 2$. If $x_{v_1} \leq x_{w_1}$, then after deleting the edge $v_1 v_2$ and adding the edge $v_2 w_1$ in H we will obtain the graph H^* . Thus we have

$$\rho^* - \rho \geq \frac{X^T(A(K_2 + H^*) - A(K_2 + H))X}{X^T X} = \frac{2}{X^T X}(x_{w_1} - x_{v_1})x_{v_2} \geq 0.$$

Since X is an eigenvector of ρ , we obtain $\rho x_{v_1} = 2 + x_{v_2}$. If $\rho = \rho^*$, then X is also an eigenvector of ρ^* , and hence $\rho x_{v_1} = \rho^* x_{v_1} = 2$. However, this is impossible because $\rho x_{v_1} = 2 + x_{v_2}$ and $x_{v_2} > 0$. Therefore, $\rho < \rho^*$. If $x_{v_1} > x_{w_1}$, then after deleting the edge $w_1 w_2$ and adding the edge $v_1 w_2$ in H we will obtain the graph H^* again. By using a similar analysis, we also can prove that $\rho < \rho^*$. Thus it suffices to consider the case that $s_2 \geq 3$. Before going further, we need the following result.

Claim 4.1. *Let i be a positive integer, and let $A_i = \left[\frac{2}{\rho} - \frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^2}, \frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^2} \right]$ and $B_i = \left[-\frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^2}, \frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^2} \right]$. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) $\rho^i(x_{v_{i+1}} - x_{v_i}) \in A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{2} \rfloor$;

(b) $\rho^i(x_{w_{i+1}} - x_{w_i}) \in A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s_2-1}{2} \rfloor$;

(c) $\rho^i(x_{v_i} - x_{w_i}) \in B_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s_2}{2} \rfloor$.

Proof. (a) It suffices to prove that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{2} \rfloor\}$,

$$\rho^i(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{v_j}) \in \begin{cases} A_i, & \text{if } j = i, \\ B_i, & \text{if } i+1 \leq j \leq s_1 - i - 1. \end{cases}$$

We shall proceed the proof by induction on i . Clearly,

$$\rho x_{v_j} = x_{u_1} + x_{u_2} + \sum_{v \in N_R(v_j)} x_v = \begin{cases} 2 + x_{v_2}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 2 + x_{v_{j-1}} + x_{v_{j+1}}, & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq s_1 - 1. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

By (20) and Lemma 2.8 (ii), we have

$$\rho(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{v_j}) = \begin{cases} x_{v_1} + x_{v_3} - x_{v_2} \in A_1, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ (x_{v_j} - x_{v_{j-1}}) + (x_{v_{j+2}} - x_{v_{j+1}}) \in B_1, & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq s_1 - 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus the result holds for $i = 1$. Now suppose $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{2} \rfloor$, and assume that the result holds for $i - 1$, that is,

$$\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{l+1}} - x_{v_l}) \in \begin{cases} A_{i-1}, & \text{if } l = i - 1, \\ B_{i-1}, & \text{if } i \leq l \leq s_1 - i. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Note that, for each $j \in \{i, \dots, s_1 - i - 1\}$, $\rho(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{v_j}) = (x_{v_j} - x_{v_{j-1}}) + (x_{v_{j+2}} - x_{v_{j+1}})$, and it follows that

$$\rho^i(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{v_j}) = \rho^{i-1}(x_{v_j} - x_{v_{j-1}}) + \rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j+2}} - x_{v_{j+1}}). \quad (22)$$

If $j = i$, then (21) implies that $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_j} - x_{v_{j-1}}) \in A_{i-1}$ and $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j+2}} - x_{v_{j+1}}) \in B_{i-1}$, and hence $\rho^i(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{v_j}) \in A_i$ by (22), as desired. If $i + 1 \leq j \leq s_1 - i - 1$, then (21) implies that $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_j} - x_{v_{j-1}}) \in B_{i-1}$ and $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j+2}} - x_{v_{j+1}}) \in B_{i-1}$, and hence $\rho^i(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{v_j}) \in B_i$ by (22). Thus the result follows.

(b) The proof is similar to (a), and we omit it here.

(c) It suffices to prove that $\rho^i(x_{v_j} - x_{w_j}) \in B_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{s_2}{2} \rfloor\}$ and $j \in \{i, \dots, s_2 - i\}$. We shall proceed the proof by induction on i . Clearly,

$$\rho x_{w_j} = x_{u_1} + x_{u_2} + \sum_{w \in N_R(w_j)} x_w = \begin{cases} 2 + x_{w_2}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 2 + x_{w_{j-1}} + x_{w_{j+1}}, & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq s_2 - 1. \end{cases}$$

Combining this with (20) and Lemma 2.8 (ii), we obtain

$$\rho(x_{v_j} - x_{w_j}) = \begin{cases} x_{v_2} - x_{w_2} \in B_1, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ (x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{w_{j+1}}) + (x_{v_{j-1}} - x_{w_{j-1}}) \in B_1, & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq s_2 - 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus the result holds for $i = 1$. Now suppose $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s_2}{2} \rfloor$, and assume that the result holds for $i - 1$, that is, $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_l} - x_{w_l}) \in B_{i-1}$ whenever $l \in \{i - 1, \dots, s_2 - i + 1\}$. For each $j \in \{i, \dots, s_2 - i\}$, we see that $\rho(x_{v_j} - x_{w_j}) = (x_{v_{j-1}} - x_{w_{j-1}}) + (x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{w_{j+1}})$, and hence

$$\rho^i(x_{v_j} - x_{w_j}) = \rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j-1}} - x_{w_{j-1}}) + \rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{w_{j+1}}). \quad (23)$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j-1}} - x_{w_{j-1}}) \in B_{i-1}$ and $\rho^{i-1}(x_{v_{j+1}} - x_{w_{j+1}}) \in B_{i-1}$. Then it follows from (23) that $\rho^i(x_{v_j} - x_{w_j}) \in B_i$, as desired. \square

Since $n \geq 10.2 \times 2^{s_2} + 2$, we have $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n - 4} > 4.496 \times 2^{s_2/2}$. Combining this with Claim 4.1, we obtain

$$x_{v_{i+1}} - x_{v_i} \geq \frac{2}{\rho^{i+1}} - \frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^{i+2}} > 0 \quad (24)$$

whenever $i \leq \min\{\frac{s_2}{2}, \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ and

$$x_{v_{i+1}} - x_{w_i} = (x_{v_{i+1}} - x_{v_i}) + (x_{v_i} - x_{w_i}) \geq \left(\frac{2}{\rho^{i+1}} - \frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^{i+2}} \right) - \frac{4.496 \times 2^i}{\rho^{i+2}} > 0 \quad (25)$$

whenever $i \leq \min\{\lfloor \frac{s_2}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{s_1-1}{2} \rfloor\}$. Similarly, we also can deduce that

$$x_{w_{i+1}} > x_{w_i} \text{ and } x_{w_{i+1}} > x_{v_i} \quad (26)$$

whenever $i \leq \lfloor \frac{s_2-1}{2} \rfloor$. Recall that $s_2 \geq 3$. Let t_1 and t_2 be two positive integers such that $t_1 + t_2 = s_2 - 1$. Then after deleting the edges $v_{t_1}v_{t_1+1}, w_{t_2}w_{t_2+1}$ and adding the edges $v_{t_1}w_{t_2}, v_{t_1+1}w_{t_2+1}$ in H , we will obtain the graph H^* . Thus we have

$$\rho^* - \rho \geq \frac{X^T(A(K_2 + H^*) - A(K_2 + H))X}{X^T X} \geq \frac{2}{X^T X}(x_{v_{t_1+1}} - x_{w_{t_2}})(x_{w_{t_2+1}} - x_{v_{t_1}}). \quad (27)$$

If s_2 is odd, we take $t_1 = t_2 = \frac{s_2-1}{2}$. Then it follows from (25) and (26) that $x_{v_{t_1+1}} > x_{w_{t_2}}$ and $x_{w_{t_2+1}} > x_{v_{t_1}}$. Therefore, $\rho < \rho^*$ by (27), as desired. If s_2 is even, we only consider the case that $x_{w_{s_2/2}} \geq x_{v_{s_2/2}}$, since the proof for the case that $x_{w_{s_2/2}} < x_{v_{s_2/2}}$ is similar. Take $t_1 = \frac{s_2}{2}$ and $t_2 = \frac{s_2}{2} - 1$. Then we have $x_{w_{t_2+1}} \geq x_{v_{t_1}}$ as $x_{w_{s_2/2}} \geq x_{v_{s_2/2}}$. If $s_1 = s_2$, then

s_1 is even, and hence $x_{v_{s_1/2+1}} = x_{v_{s_1/2}}$ by symmetry, that is, $x_{v_{t_1+1}} = x_{v_{t_1}}$. If $s_1 \geq s_2 + 1$, then $x_{v_{t_1+1}} > x_{v_{t_1}}$ by (24). In both cases, we have $x_{v_{t_1+1}} \geq x_{v_{t_1}}$. Furthermore, from (25) we get $x_{v_{t_1}} > x_{w_{t_2}}$, and so $x_{v_{t_1+1}} > x_{w_{t_2}}$. Thus $\rho \leq \rho^*$ by (27). If $\rho = \rho^*$, then X is also an eigenvector of ρ^* , and so $\rho x_{v_{t_1}} = \rho^* x_{v_{t_1}} = 2 + x_{v_{t_1-1}} + x_{w_{t_2}}$. On the other hand, since X is an eigenvector of ρ , we have $\rho x_{v_{t_1}} = 2 + x_{v_{t_1-1}} + x_{v_{t_1+1}}$. Hence, $x_{v_{t_1+1}} = x_{w_{t_2}}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $\rho < \rho^*$, and the result follows.

We complete the proof. \square

4.1 The spectral extremal graph for W_k -free planar graphs

In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 1.2, which determines the unique extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, W_k)$ for every integer $k \geq 3$, provided that n is sufficiently large relative to k .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{k-4} + 2\}$. It is easy to verify that $W_{n,k}$ is planar and W_k -free for each $k \geq 3$. Suppose that G is an extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, W_k)$. First we claim that G is connected, since otherwise we can add some new edges into G such that the resulting graph is still W_k -free and planar, but has larger spectral radius than G , which is impossible. Note that W_k is a planar graph that is not contained in $K_{2,n-2}$. Since $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{k-4} + 2\} > \frac{10}{9}k = \frac{10}{9}|V(W_k)|$, by Theorem 1.1 (i), there exist two vertices $u', u'' \in V(G)$ such that $R = N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'') = V(G) \setminus \{u', u''\}$ and $x_{u'} = x_{u''} = 1$. In particular, G contains a copy of $K_{2,n-2}$.

If $k = 3$, then W_k is a triangle. Since adding an arbitrary edge into $K_{2,n-2}$ would result in a triangle, we assert that $G \cong K_{2,n-2} = W_{n,3}$, as desired. If $k = 4$, then we assert that $u'u'' \notin E(G)$. Indeed, if $u'u'' \in E(G)$, then $G[R]$ is an empty graph, since otherwise G would contain a copy of W_4 . Hence, $G \cong K_2 + (n-2)K_1$. Let $R = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}\}$ and $G' = G - u'u'' + u_1u_{n-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} u_iu_{i+1}$. Clearly, $G' \cong 2K_1 + C_{n-2}$, which is also planar and W_4 -free because $n \geq 2.67 \times 9^{17}$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.8 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(x_{u_1}x_{u_{n-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} x_{u_i}x_{u_{i+1}} - x_{u'}x_{u''} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(\left(\frac{2}{\rho} \right)^2 \cdot (n-2) - 1 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(\left(\frac{2}{2 + \sqrt{2n-6}} \right)^2 \cdot (n-2) - 1 \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\rho(G') > \rho$, contrary to the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Therefore, $u'u'' \notin E(G)$. By Theorem 1.1 (ii), $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths and cycles. If $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths, then G is a subgraph of $2K_1 + P_{n-2}$, and so must be a proper subgraph of $2K_1 + C_{n-2}$, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. If $G[R]$ contains a cycle, again by Theorem 1.1 (ii), we obtain $G[R] \cong C_{n-2}$, and hence $G \cong 2K_1 + C_{n-2} = W_{n,4}$, as required. Now suppose that $k \geq 5$. We have the following two claims.

Claim 4.2. *The vertices u' and u'' are adjacent, and $G[R]$ is P_{k-2} -free.*

Proof. First assume that $k = 5$. If $G[R]$ contains a copy of $P_3 = u_1u_2u_3$, then u' , u'' , u_1 , u_2 and u_3 would generate a copy of W_5 , a contradiction. Thus $G[R]$ is P_3 -free, and so must be a disjoint union of some independent edges and isolated vertices. If $u'u'' \notin E(G)$, let $G' = G + u'u''$. Then it is easy to see that G' is also planar and W_5 -free. However, $\rho(G') > \rho$, which contradicts the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Therefore, $u'u'' \in E(G)$.

Now assume that $k \geq 6$. Suppose to the contrary that $u'u'' \notin E(G)$. By Theorem 1.1 (ii), $G[R]$ is a union of some paths and cycles. Combining this with $u'u'' \notin E(G)$, we see that G must be a subgraph of $2K_1 + C_{n-2}$. Also note that $2K_1 + C_{n-2}$ is planar and W_k -free because $k \geq 6$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{k-4} + 2\} > k + 1$. Therefore, we conclude that $G \cong 2K_1 + C_{n-2}$ by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Let $G[R] = u_1u_2u_3 \cdots u_{n-2}u_1$, and let

$$G' := \begin{cases} G + u'u'' - u_1u_{n-2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-2}{3}-1} u_{3i}u_{3i+1}, & \text{if } n-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ G + u'u'' - u_1u_{n-2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor} u_{3i}u_{3i+1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, G' is W_k -free because $k \geq 6$ and $G'[R]$ is P_4 -free. Moreover, G' is a planar graph because it is a subgraph of $K_2 + P_{n-2}$. By Lemma 2.8 (ii), if $n-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(x_{u'}x_{u''} - x_{u_1}x_{u_{n-2}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-2}{3}-1} x_{u_{3i}}x_{u_{3i+1}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n-2}{3} - 1 + 1 \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - \frac{n-2}{3} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2n-4}} + \frac{4.496}{2n-4} \right)^2 \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and if $n-2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(x_{u'}x_{u''} - x_{u_1}x_{u_{n-2}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor} x_{u_{3i}}x_{u_{3i+1}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - \frac{n+1}{3} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2n-4}} + \frac{4.496}{2n-4} \right)^2 \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\rho(G') > \rho$, contrary to the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Therefore, $u'u'' \in E(G)$, and it follows that $G[R]$ is P_{k-2} -free because G is W_k -free. \square

According to Theorem 1.1 (ii) and Claim 4.2, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths with length at most $k-3$. Moreover, we see that $G[R]$ contains at least two components as $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{k-4} + 2\}$. Let $H^* = \lfloor \frac{n-2}{k-3} \rfloor P_{k-3} \cup P_{n-2-(k-3) \cdot \lfloor \frac{n-2}{k-3} \rfloor}$. Clearly, $K_2 + H^* = W_{n,k}$. If $G[R] \cong H^*$, then $G \cong W_{n,k}$, and we are done. If $G[R] \not\cong H^*$, then we can obtain H^* by applying a series of (s_1, s_2) -transformations to $G[R]$, where

$s_2 \leq s_1 \leq k - 4$. As $n \geq 10.2 \times 2^{k-4} + 2 \geq 10.2 \times 2^{s_2} + 2$, by Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $\rho < \rho(K_2 + H^*) = \rho(W_{n,k})$, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$.

This completes the proof. \square

4.2 The spectral extremal graph for F_k -free planar graphs

In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 1.3, which determines the unique graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k)$ for every integer $k \geq 1$, provided that n is sufficiently large relative to k .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. It is easy to verify that $F_{n,k}$ is planar and F_k -free for all $k \geq 1$. Suppose that G is an extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k)$. First we claim that G is connected, since otherwise we can add some new edges into G such that the resulting graph is still F_k -free and planar, but has larger spectral radius than G , which is impossible. Note that F_k is a planar graph that is not contained in $K_{2,n-2}$. Since $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\} > \frac{10}{9}(2k + 1) = \frac{10}{9}|V(F_k)|$, by Theorem 1.1 (i), there exist two vertices $u', u'' \in V(G)$ such that $R = N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'') = V(G) \setminus \{u', u''\}$ and $x_{u'} = x_{u''} = 1$. In particular, G contains a copy of $K_{2,n-2}$.

If $k = 1$, we assert that $G = K_{2,n-2} = F_{n,1}$, since G is triangle-free and contains $K_{2,n-2}$ as a subgraph. If $k = 2$, we shall prove that $u'u'' \in E(G)$. Suppose to the contrary that $u'u'' \notin E(G)$. Then $G[R]$ is P_3 -free, and contains at most one edge, since otherwise G would contain a copy of F_2 . Hence, we conclude that $G \cong 2K_1 + ((n-4)K_1 \cup K_2)$ by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Let v_1, v_2 be the two vertices adjacent in $G[R]$, and let $G' = G - v_1v_2 + u'u''$. Clearly, $G' \cong K_2 + (n-2)K_1 = F_{n,2}$, which is planar and F_2 -free. As $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$, by Lemma 2.8 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} (x_{u'}x_{u''} - x_{v_1}x_{v_2}) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2n-4}} + \frac{4.496}{2n-4} \right)^2 \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\rho(G') > \rho$, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Thus $u'u'' \in E(G)$, and it follows that $G[R]$ is an empty graph, since otherwise G would contain a copy of F_2 . Therefore, $G \cong K_2 + (n-2)K_1 = F_{n,2}$, as desired. Now suppose that $k \geq 3$. We have the following claim.

Claim 4.3. *The vertices u' and u'' are adjacent. Moreover, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths, and contains at most $2k - 4$ edges.*

Proof. Note that $G[R] \not\cong C_{n-2}$ as $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$ and G is F_k -free. By Theorem 1.1 (ii), $G[R]$ must be a disjoint union of some paths. Suppose to the contrary that $u'u'' \notin E(G)$. Then we assert that $G[R]$ contains at most $2k - 2$ edges. In fact, if $G[R]$ contains at least $2k - 1$ edges, then $G[R]$ must have k independent edges because all components of $G[R]$ are paths. Thus G contains k edge-disjoint triangles which

intersect in the common vertex u' , which is impossible because G is F_k -free. Denote by $R = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}\}$. Let $G' = G + u'u'' - \sum_{u_i u_j \in E(G)} u_i u_j$. Clearly, $G' \cong K_2 + (n-2)K_1$, which is planar and F_k -free. By Lemma 2.8 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(x_{u'} x_{u''} - \sum_{u_i u_j \in E(G)} x_{u_i} x_{u_j} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - (2k-2) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - (2k-2) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2n-4}} + \frac{4.496}{2n-4} \right)^2 \right) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. Hence, $\rho(G') > \rho$, contrary to the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Therefore, $u'u'' \in E(G)$. In this situation, we assert that $G[R]$ contains at most $2k-4$ edges. Indeed, if $G[R]$ contains at least $2k-3$ edges, then $G[R]$ must have $k-1$ independent edges, say $u_1 u_2, \dots, u_{2k-3} u_{2k-2}$. Take $u \in R \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_{2k-2}\}$. Then $u', u'', u, u_1, \dots, u_{2k-2}$ would generate k edge-disjoint triangles which intersect in the common vertex u' , and so G contains a copy of F_k , a contradiction. \square

Let $H^* = P_{2k-3} \cup (n-2k+1)K_1$. Clearly, $K_2 + H^* = F_{n,k}$. If $G[R] \cong H^*$, then $G \cong F_{n,k}$, and we are done. If $G[R] \not\cong H^*$, by Claim 4.3, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths and contains at most $2k-4$ edges, and so we can obtain H^* by applying a series of (s_1, s_2) -transformations to $G[R]$, where $s_2 \leq s_1 \leq 2k-4$. As $n \geq 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2 \geq 10.2 \times 2^{s_2} + 2$, by Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $\rho < \rho(K_2 + H^*) = \rho(F_{n,k})$, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$.

This completes the proof. \square

4.3 The spectral extremal graph for $(k+1)K_2$ -free planar graphs

In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 1.4, which determines the unique extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$ for every integer $k \geq 1$, provided that n is sufficiently large relative to k . To achieve this goal, we first consider connected extremal graphs in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let k and n be positive integers with $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. Then $M_{n,k}$ is the unique connected extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$. In particular, $M_{n,k}$ is the unique graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all connected planar graphs of order n with matching number k .*

Proof. Suppose $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. It is easy to verify that $M_{n,k}$ is planar and $(k+1)K_2$ -free for all $k \geq 1$. Suppose that G is a connected extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$. If $k = 1$, it is clear that $G = K_{1,n-1} = M_{n,1}$, as desired. If $k \geq 2$, then $(k+1)K_2$ is not a subgraph of $K_{2,n-2}$. As $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\} > \frac{20}{9}(k+1)$, all conclusions in Theorem 1.1 hold. By Theorem 1.1 (i), there exist two vertices $u', u'' \in V(G)$ such that $R = N_G(u') \cap N_G(u'') = V(G) \setminus \{u', u''\}$ and $x_{u'} = x_{u''} = 1$. In

particular, G contains a copy of $K_{2,n-2}$. If $k = 2$, then $G[R]$ is an empty graph because G is $3K_2$ -free. Moreover, we assert that $u'u'' \in E(G)$. Indeed, if $u'u'' \notin E(G)$, then $G \cong 2K_1 + (n-2)K_1$. Let $G' = G + u'u''$. Clearly, G' is planar and $3K_2$ -free. However, $\rho(G') > \rho$, contrary to the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Therefore, $u'u'' \in E(G)$, and hence $G \cong K_2 + (n-2)K_1 = M_{n,2}$, as desired. Now suppose that $k \geq 3$. We have the following claim.

Claim 4.4. *The vertices u' and u'' are adjacent. Moreover, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths, and contains at most $2k - 4$ edges.*

Proof. Since $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$ and G is $(k+1)K_2$ -free, we have $G[R] \not\cong C_{n-2}$. By Theorem 1.1 (ii), $G[R]$ must be a disjoint union of some paths. By contradiction, suppose that $u'u'' \notin E(G)$. Then we assert that $G[R]$ contains at most $2k - 2$ edges. In fact, if $G[R]$ contains at least $2k - 1$ edges, then $G[R]$ must have k independent edges because all components of $G[R]$ are paths. This implies that $(k+1)K_2$ is a subgraph of G , and we obtain a contradiction. Denote by $R = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}\}$. Let $G' = G + u'u'' - \sum_{u_i u_j \in E(G)} u_i u_j$. Clearly, $G' \cong K_2 + (n-2)K_1$, which is planar and $(k+1)K_2$ -free. By Lemma 2.8 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(x_{u'} x_{u''} - \sum_{u_i u_j \in E(G)} x_{u_i} x_{u_j} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - (2k-2) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{4.496}{\rho^2} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{X^T X} \left(1 - (2k-2) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2n-4}} + \frac{4.496}{2n-4} \right)^2 \right) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\rho \geq \sqrt{2n-4}$ and $n \geq \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. Hence, $\rho(G') > \rho$, contrary to the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Therefore, $u'u'' \in E(G)$. In this situation, we assert that $G[R]$ contains at most $2k-4$ edges. Indeed, if $G[R]$ contains at least $2k-3$ edges, then $G[R]$ must have $k-1$ independent edges, say $u_1 u_2, \dots, u_{2k-3} u_{2k-2}$. Take $u, \bar{u} \in R \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_{2k-2}\}$. Then $u', u'', u, \bar{u}, u_1, \dots, u_{2k-2}$ would generate $k+1$ independent edges, and so G contains a copy of $(k+1)K_2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Let $H^* = P_{2k-3} \cup (n-2k+1)K_1$. Clearly, $K_2 + H^* = M_{n,k}$. If $G[R] \cong H^*$, then $G \cong M_{n,k}$, and we are done. If $G[R] \not\cong H^*$, by Claim 4.4, $G[R]$ is a disjoint union of some paths and contains at most $2k-4$ edges, and so we can obtain H^* by applying a series of (s_1, s_2) -transformations to $G[R]$, where $s_2 \leq s_1 \leq 2k-4$. As $n \geq 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2 \geq 10.2 \times 2^{s_2} + 2$, by Lemma 4.1, we conclude that $\rho < \rho(K_2 + H^*) = \rho(M_{n,k})$, which is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$.

By the above discussions, we conclude that $M_{n,k}$ is the unique connected extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Thus the first part of the lemma follows. Also note that the matching number of $M_{n,k}$ is exactly k . Therefore, $M_{n,k}$ is the unique graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all connected planar graphs of matching number k . \square

Now we are in a position to give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $n \geq N + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2N-6}$ with $N = \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. Suppose that G is an extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$. If G is connected, by Lemma 4.2, we obtain $G \cong M_{n,k}$, and the result follows. Now assume that G is disconnected. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_ℓ ($\ell \geq 2$) denote the components of G . Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\rho(G_1) = \rho(G) = \rho$. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, let $V(G_i) = \{u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}, \dots, u_{i,n_i}\}$, and let k_i be the matching number of G_i . Clearly, $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i \leq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i = n$. If $k_1 \leq k-1$, then $G' := G - \cup_{i=2}^{\ell} E(G_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} u_{i,k}u_{1,1}$ would be a connected $(k+1)K_2$ -free planar graph. As G_1 is a proper subgraph of G' , we have $\rho(G') > \rho(G_1) = \rho(G)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $k_1 = k$, and $G = G_1 \cup (n - n_1)K_1$. Moreover, we claim that G_1 must be an extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n_1, (k+1)K_2)$, since otherwise G would not be an extremal graph in $\text{SPEX}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, (k+1)K_2)$. If $n_1 \geq N = \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$, by Lemma 4.2, we have $G_1 \cong M_{n_1,k} = K_2 + (P_{2k-3} \cup (n_1 - 2k + 1)K_1)$, and hence $G \cong M_{n_1,k} \cup (n - n_1)K_1$. In this situation, G is a proper subgraph of $M_{n,k} = K_2 + (P_{2k-3} \cup (n - 2k + 1)K_1)$, and it follows that $\rho = \rho(G) < \rho(M_{n,k})$, contrary to the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. Thus it suffices to consider the case that $n_1 < N = \max\{2.67 \times 9^{17}, 10.2 \times 2^{2k-4} + 2\}$. If $k \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\rho = \rho(G_1) \leq 2 + \sqrt{2n_1 - 6} < 2 + \sqrt{2N - 6} \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{8n - 15}}{2} = \rho(K_2 + (n-2)K_1) \leq \rho(M_{n,k})$$

as $n \geq N + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2N-6}$ and $K_2 + (n-2)K_1$ is a subgraph of $M_{n,k}$. However, this is impossible by the maximality of $\rho = \rho(G)$. If $k = 1$, then G must be a proper subgraph of $K_{1,n-1} = M_{n,1}$, and we obtain a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $G \cong M_{n,k}$, and the result follows. \square

Acknowledgement

X. Huang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901540). Huiqiu Lin was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271162), Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (Nos. 22ZR1416300 and 23JC1401500) and the Program for Professor of Special Appointment (Eastern Scholar) at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning (No. TP2022031).

References

- [1] B.N. Boots, G.F. Royle, A conjecture on the maximum value of the principal eigenvalue of a planar graph, *Geogr. Anal.* 23(3) (1991) 276–282.
- [2] R.A. Brualdi, E.S. Solheid, On the spectral radius of complementary acyclic matrices of zeros and ones, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Method.* 7(2) (1986) 265–272.
- [3] D. Cao, A. Vince, The spectral radius of a planar graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 187 (1993) 251–257.
- [4] M.-Z. Chen, A.-M. Liu, X.-D. Zhang, The spectral radius of minor-free graphs, *European J. Combin.* 118 (2024) 103875.

- [5] S.M. Cioabă, D. N. Desai, and M. Tait, A spectral Erdős-Sós theorem, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 37(3) (2023), 2228–2239.
- [6] S.M. Cioabă, D. N. Desai, and M. Tait, The spectral even cycle problem, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.00990, 2022.
- [7] S.M. Cioabă, D.N. Desai, and M. Tait, The spectral radius of graphs with no odd wheels, *European J. Combin.* 99 (2022) 103420.
- [8] S.M. Cioabă, L. Feng, M. Tait, and X.-D. Zhang, The maximum spectral radius of graphs without friendship subgraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 27(4) (2020) P4.22.
- [9] C. Dowden, Extremal C_4 -free/ C_5 -free planar graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 83 (2016) 213–230.
- [10] Z. Dvořák, B. Mohar, Spectral radius of finite and infinite planar graphs and of graphs of bounded genus, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 100(6) (2010) 729–739.
- [11] M.N. Ellingham, X. Zha, The spectral radius of graphs on surfaces, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 78(1) 2000 45–56.
- [12] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, R.J. Gould, D.S. Gunderson, Extremal graphs for intersecting triangles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 64 (1995) 89–100.
- [13] L. Fang, H. Lin, Y. Shi, Extremal spectral results of planar graphs without vertex-disjoint cycles, *J. Graph Theory*, 2024, in press, DOI:10.1002/jgt.23084.
- [14] L. Fang, B. Wang, M. Zhai, Planar Turán number of intersecting triangles, *Discrete Math.* 345(5) (2022) 112794.
- [15] L. Feng, G. Yu, X.-D. Zhang, Spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 422 (2007) 133–138.
- [16] Z. Füredi, D.S. Gunderson, Extremal numbers for odd cycles, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 24 (2015) 641–645.
- [17] Z. Füredi, M. Simonovits, The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems, *Bolyai Soc. Studies (The Erdős Centennial)* 25 (2013) 167–262.
- [18] D. Ghosh, E. Győri, R.R. Martin, A. Paulos, C. Xiao, Planar Turán Number of the 6-Cycle, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 36(3) (2022) 2028–2050.
- [19] Y.X. Lan, Y.T. Shi, Z.-X. Song, Extremal Theta-free planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 342(12) (2019) 111610.
- [20] X. Lei, S. Li, Spectral Extremal Problem on Disjoint Color-Critical Graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 31(1) (2024) P1.25.
- [21] Y. Li, Y. Peng, Refinement on spectral Turán’s theorem, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 37(4) (2023) 2462–2485.
- [22] H. Lin, B. Ning, A complete solution to the Cvetković–Rowlinson conjecture, *J. Graph Theory* 97(3) (2021) 441–450.
- [23] M. Lu, H. Liu, and F. Tian, A new upper bound for the spectral radius of graphs with girth at least 5, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 414 (2–3) (2006) 512–516.
- [24] W. Mantel, Problem 28: Solution by H. Gouwentak, W. Mantel, J. Teixeira de Mattes, F. Schuh and W. A. Wythoff. *Wiskundige Opgaven* 10 (1907) 60–61.

- [25] V. Nikiforov, A spectral condition for odd cycles in graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 428(7) (2008) 1492–1498.
- [26] V. Nikiforov, Spectral saturation: inverting the spectral Turán theorem, *Electron. J. Combin.* 16(1) (2009) R33.
- [27] V. Nikiforov, The spectral radius of graphs without paths and cycles of specified length, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432(9) (2010) 2243–2256.
- [28] M. Tait, J. Tobin, Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 126 (2017) 137–161.
- [29] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* 48 (1941) 436–452.
- [30] J. Verstraëte, On arithmetic progressions of cycle lengths in graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 9(4) (2000) 369–373.
- [31] J. Wang, L. Wang, Y. Xue, On a conjecture of spectral extremal problems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 159 (2023) 20–41.
- [32] H. Yuan, A bound on the spectral radius of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 108 (1988) 135–139.
- [33] H. Yuan, On the spectral radius and the genus of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 65(2) (1995) 262–268.
- [34] L. Yuan, Extremal graphs for odd wheels, *J. Graph Theory* 98 (2021) 691–707.
- [35] W. Yuan, B. Wang, and M. Zhai, On the spectral radii of graphs without given cycles, *Electronic J. Linear Algebra* 23 (2012) 599–606.
- [36] M. Zhai, H. Lin, Spectral extrema of graphs: forbidden hexagon, *Discrete Math.* 343(10) (2020) 112028.
- [37] M. Zhai, H. Lin, Spectral extrema of $K_{s,t}$ -minor free graphs — on a conjecture of M. Tait, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 157 (2022) 184–215.
- [38] M. Zhai, H. Lin, A strengthening of the spectral chromatic critical edge theorem: books and theta graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 102(3) (2023) 502–520.
- [39] M. Zhai, M. Liu, Extremal problems on planar graphs without k edge-disjoint cycles, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.09681*, 2022.
- [40] M. Zhai, R. Liu, J. Xue, A unique characterization of spectral extrema for friendship graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 29(3) (2022) P3.32.
- [41] M. Zhai, B. Wang, Proof of a conjecture on the spectral radius of C_4 -free graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 437(7) (2012) 1641–1647.
- [42] M. Zhai, B. Wang, and L. Fang, The spectral Turán problem about graphs with no 6-cycle, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 590 (2020) 22–31.
- [43] L.-P. Zhang, L. Wang, The maximum spectral radius of graphs without spanning linear forests, *Graphs Combin.* 39(1) (2023) 9.