

EXTENSION OF BRESSOUD'S GENERALIZATION OF BORWEIN'S CONJECTURE AND SOME EXACT RESULTS

ALEXANDER BERKOVICH AND ARITRAM DHAR


Dedicated to George E. Andrews and Bruce C. Berndt in celebration of their 85th birthdays

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we conjecture an extension to Bressoud's 1996 generalization of Borwein's famous 1990 conjecture. We then state a few infinite hierarchies of non-negative q -series identities which are interesting examples of our proposed conjecture and Bressoud's generalized conjecture. Finally, using certain positivity-preserving transformations for q -binomial coefficients, we prove the non-negativity of the infinite families.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *partition* π is a non-increasing finite sequence $\pi = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of non-negative integers. The elements λ_i that appear in the sequence π are called *parts* of π . The *number of parts* of π is denoted by $\#(\pi)$. The sum of all the parts of a partition π is called the *size* of this partition and is denoted by $|\pi|$. We say π is a partition of n if its size is n . The empty sequence \emptyset is considered as the unique partition of zero.

The Young diagram of π is a convenient way of representing π graphically wherein the parts of π are depicted as rows of unit squares which are called cells. Let π be a partition whose Young diagram has a node in the i -th row and j -th column. We call this node the (i, j) -*node*. We define the *hook difference* at the (i, j) -th node to be the number of nodes in the i -th row of π minus the number of nodes in the j -th column of π . For example, if π is $5 + 3 + 1$, then the Young diagram of π is



and the hook differences at each node are

Date: August 8, 2024.


2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A15, 05A17, 05A30, 11P81, 11P84.

Key words and phrases. hook differences, Bressoud's conjecture, positivity-preserving transformations, q -series with non-negative coefficients.

2	3	3	4	4
0	1	1		
-2				

FIGURE 1. Hook differences of the partition $\pi = (5, 3, 1)$

We say that the (i, j) -th node lies on diagonal c if $i - j = c$. For example, if π is $5 + 3 + 1$, then the diagonals are

FIGURE 2. Diagonals of the partition $\pi = (5, 3, 1)$

Note that the successive ranks given by Atkin [4] are the hook differences on the diagonal 0.

Let L, m, n be non-negative integers. We now recall some notations from the theory of q -series that can be found in [2]. To begin with, the conventional q -Pochhammer symbol is defined as

$$(a)_L = (a; q)_L := \prod_{k=0}^{L-1} (1 - aq^k),$$

$$(a)_\infty = (a; q)_\infty := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} (a)_L \text{ where } |q| < 1.$$

We define the q -binomial (Gaussian) coefficient as

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q := \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_m}{(q)_n (q)_{m-n}} & \text{for } m \geq n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $m, n \geq 0$, $\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ is the generating function for partitions into at most n parts each of size at most m (see [2, Chapter 3]).

Throughout the remainder of the paper, $P(q) \geq 0$ means that a power series in q , $P(q)$, has non-negative coefficients.

In [3, Theorem 1] Andrews *et al.* proved the following theorem using recurrences.

Theorem 1.1. *The generating function $D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta)$ of partitions with at most M parts, largest part not exceeding N , and hook differences on the $(1-\beta)$ th diagonal at least $\beta-i+1$ and on the $(\alpha-1)$ th diagonal at most $K-\alpha-i-1$ is given by*

$$(1.1) \quad D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta; q) = D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta) \\ = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ q^{j((\alpha+\beta)Kj + K\beta - (\alpha+\beta)i)} \begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ M-Kj \end{bmatrix}_q - q^{((\alpha+\beta)j+\beta)(Kj+i)} \begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ M-Kj-i \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}.$$

Here the following conditions apply: $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $0 < i < K$, and $\beta - i \leq N - M \leq K - \alpha - i$ with the added restrictions that the largest part exceeds $M - i$ if $\beta = 0$ and the number of parts exceeds $N + i$ if $\alpha = 0$.

Since $D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta)$ is a generating function of partitions, we have an immediate Corollary to Theorem 1.1 which is as follows.

Corollary 1.2. *Let K, i be positive integers such that $0 < i < K$ and N, M, α, β be non-negative integers such that $1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq K - 1$ and $\beta - i \leq N - M \leq K - \alpha - i$. Then, $D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta) \geq 0$.*

Remark 1. Note that, one can verify the following symmetry

$$(1.2) \quad D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta) = D_{K, K-i}(M, N; \beta, \alpha).$$

Remark 2. The symmetry in (1.2) holds for $D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta)$ too where α and β are non-integral but $\alpha K, \beta K, \alpha i$, and βi are integers.

Define $G(N, M; \alpha, \beta, K) = D_{2K, K}(N, M; \alpha, \beta)$. Then, we have [12, eq. (1.5)],

$$(1.3) \quad G(N, M; \alpha, \beta, K) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j q^{\frac{1}{2}Kj((\alpha+\beta)j + \alpha - \beta)} \begin{bmatrix} M+N \\ N-Kj \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Now, note that the famous conjecture due to Borwein [1] can be written as

$$G(N, N; 4/3, 5/3, 3) \geq 0,$$

$$G(N + 1, N - 1; 2/3, 7/3, 3) \geq 0,$$

and

$$G(N + 1, N - 1; 1/3, 8/3, 3) \geq 0.$$

This has been proven recently by Wang [11].

In [9, Conjecture 6], Bressoud made the following interesting generalization.

Conjecture 1.3. *Let K be a positive integer and $N, M, \alpha K, \beta K$ be non-negative integers such that $1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 2K - 1$ (strict inequalities when $K = 2$) and $\beta - K \leq N - M \leq K - \alpha$. Then, $G(N, M; \alpha, \beta, K)$ is a polynomial in q with non-negative coefficients.*

Many cases of Conjecture 1.3 were proven in the literature [5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the statement of the main results where we state a new generalization of Conjecture 1.3, namely, Conjecture 2.1 and propose new infinite hierarchies of families of non-negative q -series identities such as in Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. In Section 3, we state five elegant and important positivity-preserving transformations for q -binomial coefficients. In Section 4, we present the proofs of the non-negativity of the infinite families stated in Section 2.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we first state a new extension of Conjecture 1.3 which is much more general and is as follows.

Conjecture 2.1. *Let K, i be positive integers such that $0 < i < K$ and $N, M, \alpha K, \beta K, \alpha i, \beta i$ be non-negative integers such that $1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq K - 1$ (strict inequalities when $K = 4$ and $i = 2$) and $\beta - i \leq N - M \leq K - \alpha - i$. Then, $D_{K,i}(N, M; \alpha, \beta)$, as defined in Theorem 1.1, is a polynomial in q with non-negative coefficients.*

Now, we state two examples of polynomials having non-negative coefficients for which all the conditions stated above in Conjecture 2.1 are satisfied. Let $a \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, for any non-negative integer N , we have

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} D_{6,2}(N + a, N; 1, 1/2) &:= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{j+1}{3} q^{j^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2N + a \\ N - 2j \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= D_{6,4}(N, N + a; 1/2, 1) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{9,3}(N, N+a; 2/3, 1/3) &:= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{j+1}{3} \right) q^{j^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2N+a \\ N+3j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{-j+1}{3} \right) q^{j^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2N+a \\ N-3j \end{bmatrix}_q \\
&= D_{9,6}(N+a, N; 1/3, 2/3) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality in both (i) and (ii) follows from the symmetry relation (1.2) and we define the usual Legendre symbol (mod 3) as

$$\left(\frac{j}{3} \right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -1, & \text{if } j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

The exact reason why the above-mentioned examples are true is unclear and might be an exercise worth proving for the interested reader.

Theorem 2.2. *For any non-negative integer L and positive integers p', p such that $p' > p$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{p',s} \left(\left[\frac{L+r-s}{2} \right], \left[\frac{L-r+s}{2} \right]; p-r, r \right) \\
(2.1) \quad &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ q^{j^2 p p' + (r p' - s p) j} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \left[\frac{L-r+s}{2} \right] - j p' \end{bmatrix}_q - q^{(j p + r)(j p' + s)} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \left[\frac{L-r-s}{2} \right] - j p' \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where r and s are integers such that $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s < p'$.

Observe that Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from Corollary 1.2 and is the starting point of proofs of all positivity results below.

Theorem 2.3. *For all non-negative integers L , positive integers p, p' such that $p' > p$, and any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$(2.2) \quad D_{2^{2n}p', 2^{2n}s} \left(L + 2^{n-2} + 2^{n-1}(r - s), L - 2^{n-2} - 2^{n-1}(r - s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}(2p' - 1) - \frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}r + p}{2^n}, \frac{\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}r + \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}}{2^n} \right) \geq 0,$$

where r, s are integers such that $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s < p'$.

Note that Theorem 2.3 is consistent with Conjecture 2.1. We now have an immediate Corollary of Theorem 2.3 which is as follows.

Corollary 2.4. *Note that, for even integers p' and $s = p'/2$ in Theorem 2.3, we get special cases of Bressoud's Conjecture 1.3. For instance, for $p' = 2\tilde{p}$ and $s = \tilde{p}$ and for all integers $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad G \left(L + 2^{n-2} + 2^{n-1}(r - \tilde{p}), L - 2^{n-2} - 2^{n-1}(r - \tilde{p}); \right. \\ \left. \frac{\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}(4\tilde{p} - 1) - \frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}r + p}{2^n}, \frac{\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}r + \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}}{2^n}, 2^n \tilde{p} \right) \geq 0.$$

where $0 < p < 2\tilde{p}$ and $0 < r < p$.

Theorem 2.5. *For $L \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1$, and all integers $n \geq 2$,*

$$(2.4) \quad G \left(L - 2^{n-1}s - 2^{n-2}, L + 2^{n-1}s + 2^{n-2}; \right. \\ \left. \frac{(\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}\nu + \frac{2^{2n-1}+1}{3})(\nu + s + 1)}{2^{n-2}(2\nu + 1)}, \frac{(\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}\nu + \frac{2^{2n-1}+1}{3})(\nu - s)}{2^{n-2}(2\nu + 1)}, 2^{n-1}(2\nu + 1) \right) \geq 0.$$

Note that Theorem 2.5 is consistent with Conjecture 1.3.

Next, we state a Corollary of Theorem 2.3 which is as follows.

Theorem 2.6. For all non-negative integers L, t , positive integers p, p' such that $p' > p$, and any integer $n \geq 2$,

$$(2.5) \quad D_{3^t \cdot 2^n p', 3^t \cdot 2^n s} \left(L + 3^t \cdot 2^{n-2} + 3^t \cdot 2^{n-1}(r-s), L - 3^t \cdot 2^{n-2} - 3^t \cdot 2^{n-1}(r-s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}(2p'-1) - \frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}r + p}{2^n} - (3^t-1) \cdot 2^{n-2} + (3^t-1) \cdot 2^{n-1}(p'-r), \right. \\ \left. \frac{\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}r + \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}}{2^n} + (3^t-1) \cdot 2^{n-2} + (3^t-1) \cdot 2^{n-1}r \right) \geq 0,$$

where r, s are integers such that $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s < p'$.

Observe that, Theorem 2.6 is consistent with Conjecture 2.1 and for $t = 0$, Theorem 2.6 becomes Theorem 2.3. Finally, we state another result which is consistent with Conjecture 2.1.

Theorem 2.7. For all non-negative integers L, t , positive integers p, p' such that $p' > p$, and any integer $n \geq 2$,

$$(2.6) \quad D_{3^t \cdot 2^n p', 3^t \cdot 2^n s} \left(L + 3^t \cdot 2^{n-2} + 3^t \cdot 2^{n-1}(r-s), L - 3^t \cdot 2^{n-2} - 3^t \cdot 2^{n-1}(r-s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{\left(\frac{2^{2n+1}-8}{3} \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2 \right) p' - \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3} \cdot 3^t - \left(\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3} \cdot 3^t + 1 \right) r + p}{2^n}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{\left(\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3} \cdot 3^t + 1 \right) r + \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3} \cdot 3^t}{2^n} \right) \geq 0,$$

where r, s are integers such that $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s < p'$.

3. SOME POSITIVITY-PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS

In this section, we state some positivity-preserving transformations for certain q -binomial coefficients.

Theorem 3.1. (Berkovich [5, 6, Theorem 2.1]) For $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} C_{L,k}(q) \left[\begin{matrix} k \\ \lfloor \frac{k-a}{2} \rfloor \end{matrix} \right]_q = q^{T(a)} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L+1 \\ L-a \end{matrix} \right]_q,$$

where $T(j) := \binom{j+1}{2}$ and

$$(3.2) \quad C_{L,k}(q) = \sum_{m=0}^L q^{T(m)+T(m+k)} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ m, k \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} L \\ m, k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} L-m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} L-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \geq 0.$$

Now, note that $C_{L,k}(q) \geq 0$. It is then easy to verify that for any identity of the form

$$(3.4) \quad F_C(L, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \begin{bmatrix} L \\ \lfloor \frac{L-j}{2} \rfloor \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

using transformation (3.1), the following identity holds

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} C_{L,k}(q) F_C(k, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \sum_{k \geq 0} C_{L,k}(q) \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \lfloor \frac{k-j}{2} \rfloor \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{T(j)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L+1 \\ L-j \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Hence, if $F_C(L, q) \geq 0$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{T(j)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L+1 \\ L-j \end{bmatrix}_q \geq 0.$$

So, we say that the transformation (3.1) is positivity-preserving.

Theorem 3.2. (Berkovich-Warnaar [7]) For $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} O_{L,k}(q) \begin{bmatrix} 2k+1 \\ k-a \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{4T(a)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-2a-1 \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad O_{L,k}(q) = \sum_{m=0}^L q^{2T(k)+2T(m+k)} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ m, 2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \geq 0.$$

It is then easy to verify that for any identity of the form

$$(3.9) \quad F_O(L, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \begin{bmatrix} 2L+1 \\ L-j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

using transformation (3.7), the following identity holds

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} O_{L,k}(q) F_O(k, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \sum_{k \geq 0} O_{L,k}(q) \begin{bmatrix} 2k+1 \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{4T(j)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-2j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Hence, if $F_O(L, q) \geq 0$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{4T(j)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-2j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \geq 0.$$

So, again transformation (3.7) is positivity-preserving.

Theorem 3.3. (Warnaar [13, Corollary 2.6]) *For $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} W_{L,k}(q) \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k-a \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{2a^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-2a \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad W_{L,k}(q) = \sum_{m=0}^L q^{k^2+(m+k)^2} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ m, 2k \end{bmatrix}_q \geq 0.$$

It is then easy to verify that for any identity of the form

$$(3.14) \quad F_W(L, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

using transformation (3.12), the following identity holds

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} W_{L,k}(q) F_W(k, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \sum_{k \geq 0} W_{L,k}(q) \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{2j^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-2j \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Hence, if $F_W(L, q) \geq 0$, then

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{2j^2} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L - 2j \end{matrix} \right]_q \geq 0.$$

So, again transformation (3.12) is positivity-preserving.

Theorem 3.4. *For integers L and j , we have*

$$(3.17) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{L}{3} \rfloor} A_{L,r}(q) \left[\begin{matrix} 2r \\ r - j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^3} = q^{3j^2} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L - 3j \end{matrix} \right]_q,$$

where

$$(3.18) \quad A_{L,r}(q) = \frac{q^{3r^2} (q^3; q^3)_{L-r-1} (1 - q^{2L})}{(q^3; q^3)_{2r} (q; q)_{L-3r}}.$$

Remark 3. It is to be noted that Theorem 3.4 is the case where L , j , and r are even integers in [7, Lemma 2.6].

Berkovich and Warnaar [7] showed that

$$(3.19) \quad f_{L,r}(q) = \frac{(q^3; q^3)_{\frac{1}{2}(L-r-2)} (1 - q^L)}{(q^3; q^3)_r (q; q)_{\frac{1}{2}(L-3r)}}$$

is a polynomial with non-negative coefficients for $0 \leq 3r \leq L$ and $r \equiv L \pmod{2}$. It is then evident from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$A_{L,r}(q) = q^{3r^2} f_{2L,2r}(q)$$

has non-negative coefficients.

It is then easy to verify that for any identity of the form

$$(3.20) \quad F_A(L, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L - j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^3},$$

using transformation (3.17), the following identity holds

(3.21)

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} A_{L,r}(q) F_A(r, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \sum_{r \geq 0} A_{L,r}(q) \left[\begin{matrix} 2r \\ r - j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^3} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{3j^2} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L - 3j \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

Hence, if $F_A(L, q) \geq 0$, then

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{3j^2} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L - 3j \end{matrix} \right]_q \geq 0.$$

So, again transformation (3.17) is positivity-preserving.

Theorem 3.5. *For integers L and j , we have*

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{L}{3} \rfloor} \tilde{A}_{L,r}(q) \left[\begin{matrix} 2r+1 \\ r-j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^3} = q^{3j^2+3j} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L+1 \\ L-3j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q,$$

where

$$(3.24) \quad \tilde{A}_{L,r}(q) = \frac{q^{3r^2+3r} (q^3; q^3)_{L-r-1} (1 - q^{2L+1})}{(q^3; q^3)_{2r+1} (q; q)_{L-3r-1}}.$$

Remark 4. It is to be noted that Theorem 3.5 is the case where L , j , and r are odd integers in [7, Lemma 2.6].

It is then evident from (3.19) and (3.24) that

$$\tilde{A}_{L,r}(q) = q^{3r^2+3r} f_{2L+1, 2r+1}(q)$$

has non-negative coefficients.

It is then easy to verify that for any identity of the form

$$(3.25) \quad F_{\tilde{A}}(L, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \left[\begin{matrix} 2L+1 \\ L-j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^3},$$

using transformation (3.23), the following identity holds

(3.26)

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \tilde{A}_{L,r}(q) F_{\tilde{A}}(r, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) \sum_{r \geq 0} \tilde{A}_{L,r}(q) \left[\begin{matrix} 2r+1 \\ r-j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^3} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{3j^2+3j} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L+1 \\ L-3j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

Hence, if $F_{\bar{A}}(L, q) \geq 0$, then

$$(3.27) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(j, q) q^{3j^2+3j} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L+1 \\ L-3j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \geq 0.$$

So, again transformation (3.23) is positivity-preserving.

4. PROOFS OF SOME MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6, and Theorem 2.7.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3. Applying (3.5) to (2.1), we get

$$(4.1) \quad D_{2p', 2s} \left(L+1+r-s, L-r+s; \frac{2p'-1-3r+p}{2}, \frac{3r+1}{2} \right) \geq 0.$$

Now, applying (3.10) to (4.1), we get

$$(4.2) \quad D_{4p', 4s} \left(L+1+2r-2s, L-1-2r+2s; \frac{10p'-5-11r+p}{4}, \frac{11r+5}{4} \right) \geq 0.$$

Now, consider

$$(4.3) \quad D_{K,i}(L+a, L-a; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ q^{j(K(\alpha+\beta)j+K\beta-(\alpha+\beta)i)} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L-a-Kj \end{matrix} \right]_q \right. \\ \left. - q^{((\alpha+\beta)j+\beta)(Kj+i)} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L \\ L-a-Kj-i \end{matrix} \right]_q \right\}.$$

Applying (3.15) to (4.3), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{r \geq 0} W_{L,r}(q) D_{K,i}(r+a, r-a; \alpha, \beta) \\ = q^{2a^2} D_{2K, 2i} \left(L+2a, L-2a; \frac{\alpha-4a+2K-2i}{2}, \frac{\beta+4a+2i}{2} \right).$$

Now, recall that $W_{L,r}(q) \geq 0$ and so if

$$(4.5) \quad D_{K,i}(L+a, L-a; \alpha, \beta) \geq 0,$$

then

$$(4.6) \quad D_{2K,2i} \left(L + 2a, L - 2a; \frac{\alpha - 4a + 2K - 2i}{2}, \frac{\beta + 4a + 2i}{2} \right) \geq 0.$$

Now, iterating the same procedure $(n - 2)$ times for all $n \geq 2$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad D_{2^{n-2}K, 2^{n-2}i} \left(L + 2^{n-2}a, L - 2^{n-2}a; \frac{\alpha - \frac{4}{3}(4^{n-2} - 1)a + \frac{2}{3}(4^{n-2} - 1)(K - i)}{2^{n-2}}, \frac{\beta + \frac{4}{3}(4^{n-2} - 1)a + \frac{2}{3}(4^{n-2} - 1)i}{2^{n-2}} \right) \geq 0$$

if (4.5) holds. In particular, together with (4.2), (4.7) implies Theorem 2.3. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let

$$F(L, \nu, s, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j q^{(\nu+1)(2\nu+1)j^2 + (\nu+1)(2s+1)j} \left[\begin{matrix} 2L + 1 \\ L - s - (2\nu + 1)j \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

Clearly, observe that

$$(4.8) \quad F(L, \nu, s, q) = G \left(L - s, L + s + 1; \frac{2(\nu + 1)(\nu + s + 1)}{2\nu + 1}, \frac{2(\nu + 1)(\nu - s)}{2\nu + 1}, 2\nu + 1 \right) \geq 0.$$

Also, note that $q^{T(s)} F(L, \nu, s, q)$ is the right-hand side of [5, eq. (2.23)] and so, $F(L, \nu, s, q) \geq 0$. Now, applying (3.10) to (4.8), we get

$$(4.9) \quad G \left(L - 2s - 1, L + 2s + 1; \frac{(5\nu + 3)(\nu + s + 1)}{2\nu + 1}, \frac{(5\nu + 3)(\nu - s)}{2\nu + 1}, 4\nu + 2 \right) \geq 0.$$

Now, applying (3.15) to (4.9) and iterating using (3.15) $(n - 2)$ times for all $n \geq 2$, we get Theorem 2.5 and note that (4.9) is the $n = 2$ case of (2.4). \square

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.6.** Consider

$$(4.10) \quad D_{K,i}(L+a, L-a; \alpha, \beta, q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ q^{j(K(\alpha+\beta)j + K\beta - (\alpha+\beta)i)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-a-Kj \end{bmatrix}_q \right. \\ \left. - q^{((\alpha+\beta)j+\beta)(Kj+i)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L \\ L-a-Kj-i \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}.$$

Making the substitution $q \rightarrow q^3$ in (4.10) and then applying (3.21), we get

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{L}{3} \rfloor} A_{L,r}(q) D_{K,i}(r+a, r-a; \alpha, \beta, q^3) \\ = q^{3a^2} D_{3K,3i}(L+3a, L-3a; \alpha-2a+K-i, \beta+2a+i, q).$$

Now, recall that $A_{L,r}(q) \geq 0$ and so if

$$(4.12) \quad D_{K,i}(L+a, L-a; \alpha, \beta, q) \geq 0,$$

then

$$(4.13) \quad D_{3K,3i}(L+3a, L-3a; \alpha-2a+K-i, \beta+2a+i, q) \geq 0.$$

Now, iterating the same process t times for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$(4.14) \quad D_{3^t K, 3^t i} \left(L + 3^t a, L - 3^t a; \alpha - (3^t - 1)a + \frac{3^t - 1}{2}(K - i), \beta + (3^t - 1)a + \frac{3^t - 1}{2}i, q \right) \geq 0$$

if (4.12) holds. In particular, together with Theorem 2.3, (4.14) implies Theorem 2.6. \square

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 2.7.** Making the substitution $q \rightarrow q^3$ in (4.1) and then applying (3.26), we get

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{\tilde{r}=0}^{\lfloor \frac{L}{3} \rfloor} \tilde{A}_{L,\tilde{r}}(q) D_{2p',2s} \left(\tilde{r} + 1 + r - s, \tilde{r} - r + s; \frac{2p' - 1 - 3r + p}{2}, \frac{3r + 1}{2}, q^3 \right) \\ = q^{3(r-s)^2 + 3(r-s)} D_{6p',6s} \left(L + 2 + 3r - 3s, L - 1 - 3r + 3s; \frac{6p' - 3 - 7r + p}{2}, \frac{7r + 3}{2}, q \right).$$

Now, recall that $\tilde{A}_{L,\tilde{r}}(q) \geq 0$ and thus, by (4.1), we have

$$(4.16) \quad D_{6p',6s} \left(L + 2 + 3r - 3s, L - 1 - 3r + 3s; \frac{6p' - 3 - 7r + p}{2}, \frac{7r + 3}{2}, q \right) \geq 0.$$

Now, iterating the same process t times for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$(4.17) \quad D_{3^t \cdot 2p', 3^t \cdot 2s} \left(L + \frac{3^t + 1}{2} + 3^t(r - s), L - \frac{3^t - 1}{2} - 3^t(r - s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{(4t + 2)p' - 3^t - (2 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{2}, \frac{(2 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 3^t}{2} \right) \geq 0.$$

Next, applying (3.10) to (4.17), we get

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} O_{L,k}(q) D_{3^t \cdot 2p', 3^t \cdot 2s} \left(k + \frac{3^t + 1}{2} + 3^t(r - s), k - \frac{3^t - 1}{2} - 3^t(r - s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{(4t + 2)p' - 3^t - (2 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{2}, \frac{(2 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 3^t}{2} \right) \\ = q^{2\left(\frac{3^t-1}{2}+3^t(r-s)\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{3^t-1}{2}+3^t(r-s)\right)} D_{3^t \cdot 4p', 3^t \cdot 4s} \left(L + 3^t + 3^t \cdot 2(r - s), L - 3^t - 3^t \cdot 2(r - s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{(8 \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2)p' - 5 \cdot 3^t - (10 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{4}, \frac{(10 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 5 \cdot 3^t}{4} \right).$$

Now, recall that $O_{L,k}(q) \geq 0$ and thus, by (4.17), we have

$$(4.19) \quad D_{3^t \cdot 4p', 3^t \cdot 4s} \left(L + 3^t + 3^t \cdot 2(r - s), L - 3^t - 3^t \cdot 2(r - s); \right. \\ \left. \frac{(8 \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2)p' - 5 \cdot 3^t - (10 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{4}, \frac{(10 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 5 \cdot 3^t}{4} \right) \geq 0.$$

Next, applying (3.15) to (4.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 0} W_{L,k}(q) D_{3^t \cdot 4p', 3^t \cdot 4s} \left(k + 3^t + 3^t \cdot 2(r-s), k - 3^t - 3^t \cdot 2(r-s); \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{(8 \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2)p' - 5 \cdot 3^t - (10 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{4}, \frac{(10 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 5 \cdot 3^t}{4} \right) \\
(4.20) \quad & = q^{2(3^t + 3^t \cdot 2(r-s))^2} D_{3^t \cdot 8p', 3^t \cdot 8s} \left(L + 3^t \cdot 2 + 3^t \cdot 4(r-s), L - 3^t \cdot 2 - 3^t \cdot 4(r-s); \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{(40 \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2)p' - 21 \cdot 3^t - (42 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{8}, \frac{(42 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 21 \cdot 3^t}{8} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, recall that $W_{L,k}(q) \geq 0$ and thus, by (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.21) \quad & D_{3^t \cdot 8p', 3^t \cdot 8s} \left(L + 3^t \cdot 2 + 3^t \cdot 4(r-s), L - 3^t \cdot 2 - 3^t \cdot 4(r-s); \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{(40 \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2)p' - 21 \cdot 3^t - (42 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + p}{8}, \frac{(42 \cdot 3^t + 1)r + 21 \cdot 3^t}{8} \right) \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, iterating the same process $(n-2)$ times for all $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.22) \quad & D_{3^t \cdot 2^n p', 3^t \cdot 2^n s} \left(L + 3^t \cdot 2^{n-2} + 3^t \cdot 2^{n-1}(r-s), L - 3^t \cdot 2^{n-2} - 3^t \cdot 2^{n-1}(r-s); \right. \\
& \quad \frac{\left(\frac{2^{2n+1}-8}{3} \cdot 3^t + 4t + 2 \right) p' - \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3} \cdot 3^t - \left(\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3} \cdot 3^t + 1 \right) r + p}{2^n}, \\
& \quad \left. \frac{\left(\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3} \cdot 3^t + 1 \right) r + \frac{2^{2n}-1}{3} \cdot 3^t}{2^n} \right) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.7. \square

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the organizers of the Legacy of Ramanujan 2024 conference held at Penn State University from June 6-9, 2024 for their kind invitation where these results were discussed. The authors would also like to thank George E. Andrews and Ali K. Uncu for their kind interest.

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Andrews, *On a Conjecture of Peter Borwein*, J. Symbolic Comput. **20** (5-6) (1995) 487–501.
2. G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

3. G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, D. M. Bressoud, W. H. Burge, P. J. Forrester, G. Viennot, *Partitions with prescribed hook differences*, Eur. J. Comb. **8** (4) (1987) 341–350.
4. A. O. L. Atkin, *A note on ranks and conjugacy of partitions*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. **2** (17) (1966) 335–338.
5. A. Berkovich, *Some new positive observations*, Discrete Math. **343** (11) (2020) 112040, 8 pp.
6. A. Berkovich, *Bressoud's identities for even moduli. New companions and related positivity results*, Discrete Math. **345** (12) (2022) 113104, 13 pp.
7. A. Berkovich, S. O. Warnaar, *Positivity preserving transformations for q -binomial coefficients*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **357** (6) (2005) 2291–2351.
8. D. M. Bressoud, *Some identities for terminating q -series*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **89** (1981) 211–223.
9. D. M. Bressoud, *The Borwein conjecture and partitions with prescribed hook differences*, Electron. J. Combin. **3** (2) (1996) #4.
10. M. E. H. Ismail, D. Kim, D. Stanton, *Lattice paths and positive trigonometric sums*, Constr. Approx. **15** (1999) 69–81.
11. C. Wang, *Analytic proof of the Borwein conjecture*, Adv. Math. **394** (2022) 108028 54 pp.
12. S. O. Warnaar, *The generalized Borwein conjecture. I. The Burge transform*, in: B. C. Berndt, K. Ono (Eds.), *q -Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory and Physics*, in: Contemp. Math, vol. 291, AMS, Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 243–267.
13. S. O. Warnaar, *The generalized Borwein conjecture. II. Refined q -trinomial coefficients*, Discrete Math. **272** (2-3) (2003) 215–258.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE FL 32611, USA
Email address: alexb@ufl.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE FL 32611, USA
Email address: aritramdhar@ufl.edu