PRICING OF GEOMETRIC ASIAN OPTIONS IN THE VOLTERRA-HESTON MODEL

FLORIAN AICHINGER* AND SASCHA DESMETTRE[†]

ABSTRACT. Geometric Asian options are a type of option where the payoff depends on the geometric mean of the underlying asset over a certain period of time. This paper is concerned with the pricing of such options for the class of Volterra-Heston models, covering the rough Heston model. We are able to derive semi-closed formulas for the prices of geometric Asian options with fixed and floating strikes for this class of stochastic volatility models. These formulas require the explicit calculation of the conditional joint Fourier transform of the logarithm of the stock price and the logarithm of the geometric mean of the stock price over time. Linking our problem to the theory of affine Volterra processes, we find a representation of this Fourier transform as a suitably constructed stochastic exponential, which depends on the solution of a Riccati-Volterra equation. Finally, we provide a numerical study for our results in the rough Heston model.

Key words: Volterra-Heston model, Rough volatility; Asian options; Options pric-

ing; Fourier inversion method; affine Volterra processes MSC CLASSIFICATION (2020): 45D05, 60B15, 60L20, 91G20

1. Introduction

The stochastic volatility model of [23] is nowadays a standard model for pricing financial derivatives. In contrast to the Black-Scholes model with constant volatility, in the Heston model, the volatility follows itself a stochastic process; in particular, one obtains the following dynamics for the stock price and its volatility under a risk-neutral measure \mathbb{Q} :

$$dS_t = S_t \left(rdt + \sqrt{\nu_t} dB_t^S \right) , \qquad (1.1)$$

$$d\nu_t = \kappa(\theta - \nu_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{\nu_t}dB_t^{\nu}, \qquad (1.2)$$

where the process ν with $\nu_0 \geq 0$ is a Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process. The constants κ, θ, σ are assumed to be positive and satisfy the Feller condition $2\kappa\theta \geq \sigma^2$, (B_t^{ν}) and (B_t^S) are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted Brownian motions, where $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(B_u^S, B_u^{\nu}: u \leq t)$ such that $\langle B^S, B^{\nu} \rangle_t = \rho t$, and r denotes the risk-free interest rate. This model fixes, on the one hand, the problem of a nonconstant volatility smile, which the Black-Scholes model cannot produce, and, on the other hand, is more suitable to reproduce stylized facts of financial data such as the leverage effect and the mean reversion of volatility. Most importantly, the Heston model still gives a (semi-)explicit option pricing formula in terms of the solution of a Riccati ordinary differential equation which is crucial for a (fast) calibration to market data.

In recent times, since the observation was made that the paths of realized volatilities are rougher than established volatility models suggest, cf. [16], there is a growing research interest in developing new models that better fit empirical data. Therefore, the popular Heston model [23] was adapted to the rough volatility framework in [12] by using a fractional process with

^{*} Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM), Austrian Academy of Sciences, AT-4040 Linz, Austria.

[†] Institute for Financial Mathematics and Applied Number Theory, University of Linz, AT-4040 Linz, Austria.

Hurst index $H < \frac{1}{2}$ as driver of the volatility process. Thus, the dynamics of the volatility process (1.2) become

$$\nu_t = \nu_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \kappa(\theta - \nu_s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \sigma \sqrt{\nu_s} dB_s^{\nu}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where Γ denotes the Gamma function. The parameter $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ governs the roughness of the paths and is related to the Hurst parameter of the fractional Brownian motion. [12] compute the characteristic function of the log-price in the rough Heston models using a link between fractional volatility models and its microstructural foundation, the so-called Hawkes processes. Similar to the classical case, the characteristic function can be calculated (semi-)explicitly in terms of the solution of a fractional Riccati equation.

A more general class of volatility models covering this rough Heston model is obtained by modeling the volatility process as a stochastic Volterra equation of convolution type, [1, 25, 2]. The Volterra-Heston model under a risk-neutral measure $\mathbb Q$ is then given as

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dB_t^S \,, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\nu_t = \nu_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t-s)(\kappa(\theta - \nu_s))ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t-s)\sigma\sqrt{\nu_s}dB_s^{\nu}, \qquad (1.5)$$

with integral kernel $K \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{R})$. The constants κ, θ, σ are again assumed to be positive, (B_t^{ν}) and (B_t^S) are Brownian motions such that $\langle B^S, B^{\nu} \rangle_t = \rho t$, and r denotes the risk-free interest rate. We consider a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ where $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(B_u^S, B_u^{\nu} : u \leq t)$. Note that if the kernel K fulfills

$$\mathcal{K} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and there is } \gamma \in (0, 2] \text{ such that } \int_0^h \mathcal{K}(t)^2(t)dt = O(h^{\gamma})$$
and
$$\int_0^T (\mathcal{K}(t+h) - \mathcal{K}(t))^2 dt = O(h^{\gamma}) \text{ for every } T < \infty,$$

$$(1.6)$$

and the shifted kernels $\Delta_h \mathcal{K}$, where $\Delta_h \mathcal{K}(t) := \mathcal{K}(t+h)$, satisfy the condition

$$\Delta_h \mathcal{K}$$
 is nonnegative, not identically zero, non-increasing and continuous on $(0, \infty)$, and its resolvent of the first kind L is nonnegative and non-increasing in that $s \mapsto L([s, s+t])$ is non-increasing for all $t \ge 0$,

then the existence of a unique in law $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ -valued continuous weak solution (S, ν) of (1.4), (1.5) is guaranteed by [1][Theorem 7.1] for any initial condition $(S, \nu) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular this holds for the fractional integral kernels $\mathcal{K}(t-s) = (t-s)^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ in the rough Heston model (1.3).

Concerning option pricing in general Volterra models only a few explicit results exist. The seminal paper [6] shows how the rough fractional stochastic volatility (RFSV) model by [16] can be used to price claims on both the underlying and integrated variance, however, no explicit call price formula is given yet. This is done in [22] for the rough Heston model in the sense of [12], but not for general Volterra kernels. In the context of the rough Heston model, moment explosion was studied in [19]. The next step of complexity is then exotic options, cf. the well-known monograph of [31]. Using the above-explained forward variance methods along the lines of [25] (cf. [7]), [24] develop efficient Monte Carlo methods and asymptotic approximations for computing option prices and hedge ratios in models where the log-volatility follows a (modulated) Gaussian Volterra processes. Using kernel-based approximation methods, [9] price American options in the general Volterra Heston model. [15] deal with the pricing and hedging of index options in a rough volatility model, using large deviation methods. To conclude, [28] and [27] deal with the numerical and analytical pricing of Basket and Barrier options based on Fourier methods in rough (versions of) Heston models, however once again, not in general Volterra

models.

The pricing of Asian options, i.e., options where the payoff depends on the mean of the underlying asset over a certain period of time, has yet not been studied for the rough Heston model or the more general Volterra-Heston model.

In the classical Heston model, [26] derive semi-analytical pricing formulas for Asian options with fixed and floating strikes. First, they establish formulas for the option prices in terms of the conditional joint Fourier transform of the logarithm of the stock price at maturity and the logarithm of the geometric mean of the stock price over a certain time period. This approach is based on methods such as numeraire changes and the Fourier inversion formula, which go back to, e.g., [20] and [18]. It is important to note that the proofs do not depend on the underlying volatility model. The joint Fourier transform is then represented explicitly as an exponential affine function of the volatility. Finding this representation in the classical model involves Itô calculus and heavily relies on the Markovianity of the volatility process (1.2).

This paper presents pricing formulas for fixed- and floating-strike Asian options in the Volterra-Heston model (1.1), (1.5). The pricing formulas in terms of the joint Fourier transform, as derived by [26] for the classical Heston model, are still valid in the Volterra-Heston model. The challenging part is thus to explicitly calculate this Fourier transform when the volatility of the stock is modeled as a Volterra square-root process given via (1.5). In contrast to the classical case, the process (1.5) is not an Itô process anymore; thus, different techniques must be applied in our case. Linking our problem to the theory of affine Volterra processes developed in [1], we show that in the Volterra-Heston model, the joint Fourier transform can be represented as a suitably constructed stochastic exponential in terms of the so-called forward variance process and the solution of a Volterra-Riccati equation. As a main result, we then obtain semi-analytic pricing formulas for both fixed- and floating-strike geometric Asian put and call options in the Volterra- Heston model. These formulas are a generalization of the results derived in [26] for the classical Heston model, and we also show that their results can be recovered as a special case of the general Volterra approach, even if the respective representations of the Fourier transform appear to be quite different at first sight. We also provide an extensive numerical study of Asian option prices in the rough Heston model, which is of particular practical interest. Implementing the pricing formulas, we numerically calculate prices for the different types of geometric Asian options for varying strikes, maturities, and roughness levels and compare our results to those of [26] in the classical case. We observe that the effect of the roughness on the price is highly dependent on the maturity of the option.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 1 serves as an introduction to the class of Volterra-Heston models. In Section 2, we present a different parametrization of the Volterra-Heston model via a family of Markovian processes and demonstrate how this can be used for pricing European options. In Section 3, we introduce geometric Asian options and a general pricing approach linked to the conditional joint Fourier transform of the logarithm of the stock price and the logarithm of the geometric mean of the stock price over time. In Section 4, we derive an explicit representation of this conditional joint Fourier transform for the Volterra-Heston model. Section 5 provides semi-closed pricing formulas for fixed and floating strike Asian call and put options in terms of the Fourier transform calculated in the previous section. In Section 6, we compare our results to those obtained in the classical Heston model. Finally, Section 7 features a numerical study of our results for the rough Heston model.

2. European option pricing in the Volterra Heston model

To start, we give a short overview of how pricing formulas for European options can be obtained in the Volterra-Heston model. Based on Fourier inversion methods, it is possible to obtain semi-closed formulas for European options in terms of the characteristic function of the stock price S_T . In the classical Heston model [23], determining this characteristic function

involves Itô calculus and hence heavily relies on the Markovianity of the processes S and ν given via (1.1) and (1.2). The process ν defined via (1.5) does not have to be Markovian, in particular, this is clearly the case for fractional integral kernels in the rough Heston model (1.3). Thus, in order to apply Itô calculus, one considers an equivalent parametrization of the Volterra Heston model in terms of the family of forward variance processes

$$\xi_t(T) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\nu_T | \mathcal{F}_t), \qquad (2.1)$$

indexed by T (cf. [17], [25]). It turns out that for fixed T, the process $\xi_t(T)$ is again an Itô process. As a result of [25], one can find the dynamics of the forward variance process as follows.

Lemma 2.1 (Prop. 3.2 [25]). Let $\xi_t(T) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\nu_T | \mathcal{F}_t)$ and R_{κ} be the resolvent of $\kappa \mathcal{K}$, i.e. $R_{\kappa} * (\kappa \mathcal{K}) = (\kappa \mathcal{K}) * R_{\kappa} = \kappa \mathcal{K} - R_{\kappa}$.

Then the dynamics of $\xi_t(T)$ are given by

$$d\xi_t(T) = \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa}(T - t) \sigma \sqrt{\nu_t} dB_t^{\nu}$$

with

$$\xi_0(T) = \nu_0(1 - \int_0^T R_{\kappa}(s)ds) + \theta \int_0^T R_{\kappa}(s)ds.$$

The following table gives some examples of kernels and their corresponding resolvents. Here $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}$ denotes the Mittag-Leffler function.

Type	$\mathcal{K}(t)$	R(t)
Constant	c	ce^{-ct}
Fractional	$c\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$	$ct^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-ct^{\alpha})$
Exponential	$ce^{-\lambda t}$	$ce^{-\lambda t}e^{-ct}$
Gamma	$ce^{-\lambda t} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$	$ce^{-\lambda t}t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-ct^{\alpha})$

Table 1. Different integral kernels with their corresponding resolvents.

The forward variance form of the Volterra Heston model in log-price notation under a risk-neutral measure \mathbb{Q} is then given by

$$d\log S_{t} = (r - \frac{1}{2}\nu_{t})dt + \sqrt{\nu_{t}}dB_{t}^{S}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$d\xi_{t}(T) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\kappa}R_{\kappa}(T - t)\sigma\sqrt{\nu_{t}}dB_{t}^{\nu} & , t < T \\ 0 & , t \ge T \end{cases}; \xi_{0}(T) = \nu_{0}(1 - \int_{0}^{T}R_{\kappa}(s)ds) + \theta \int_{0}^{T}R_{\kappa}(s)ds. \qquad (2.3)$$

Here R_{κ} is the resolvent of κK , i.e. $R_{\kappa} * (\kappa \mathcal{K}) = (\kappa \mathcal{K}) * R_{\kappa} = \kappa \mathcal{K} - R_{\kappa}$. Note that the variance ν_t can be recovered from the forward variance process via $\nu_t = \xi_t(t)$. The next theorem then ensures that the characteristic function of the log-spot price in the Volterra Heston model can be determined as a suitably constructed exponential in terms of the forward variance and the solution of a Riccati-Volterra equation:

Theorem 2.2 (Thm. 3.4 [25]). Let ϕ be the solution of the Riccati-Volterra equation

$$\phi = \mathcal{K} * (Q(iu, \phi) - \kappa \phi), \text{ with}$$

$$Q(iu,\phi) = -\frac{1}{2}(u^2 + iu) + \sigma\rho iu\phi + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\phi^2.$$

Then the auxiliary process $(M_{\tau})_{0 \le \tau \le T}$ defined as

$$M_{\tau} := \exp\left(iu(\log S_{\tau} + r(T - \tau)) + \int_{\tau}^{T} \xi_{\tau}(s)Q(iu, \phi(T - s))ds\right)$$

$$(2.4)$$

is a true martingale and the characteristic function $\psi_{\log S_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t}$ is given by

$$\psi_{\log S_T|\mathcal{F}_t}(u) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\exp(iu\log S_T)|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(M_T|\mathcal{F}_t) = M_t.$$

Proof: The proof follows as a special case of Lemma 4.1 for s=0 and w=iu.

Applying the general model-independent call price formula that connects the distribution of the stock price with its Fourier transform (cf., e.g., [5]) and using the above explicit representation of the characteristic function yields the following semi-analytic formula for the European call price in the Volterra Heston model.

Theorem 2.3. The price C(0) of a European call option with strike price K and maturity T is given by

$$C(0) = S_0 \Pi_1 - e^{-rT} K \Pi_2, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\Pi_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{-iu \ln(K)} \psi_{\log S_T}(u - i)}{iu \psi_{\log S_T}(-i)} \right] du,$$

$$\Pi_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{-iu \ln(K)} \psi_{\log S_T}(u)}{iu} \right] du,$$

and $\psi_{\log S_T}$ is the characteristic function of the logarithmic stock price at time T. In the general Volterra Heston model (1.5), the characteristic function $\psi_{\log S_T}$ is given by

$$\psi_{\log S_T}(u) = \exp\left(iu(\log S_0 + rT) + \int_0^T Q(iu, \phi(T - s))\xi_0(s)ds\right),$$
(2.6)

with

$$\xi_0(s) = \nu_0(1 - \int_0^s R_{\kappa}(y)dy) + \theta \int_0^s R_{\kappa}(y)dy.$$

Proof: The proof for the model-independent pricing formula (2.5) is standard and relies on a combination of changes of measure and an application of the Fourier inversion formula. For the sake of completeness, a detailed derivation is given in the Appendix. The explicit representation (2.6) of the characteristic function follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 for the case t=0.

The result of Theorem 2.3 is a generalization of the result presented in [22] for the rough Heston model to general kernels \mathcal{K} , in case of a constant interest rate. In [22], the interest rate can also be a stochastic process.

3. Geometric Asian Options

Asian options are a type of option where the payoff depends on the mean of the underlying asset over a certain period of time. We distinguish between two different types of such options, namely arithmetic and geometric Asian options. Arithmetic Asian options are options where the payoff depends on the arithmetic mean

$$A_{[0,T]} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{S}_u \, du,$$

of the underlying over time. For such options, no explicit closed-form solution is known in the Heston model (cf. [26]), which is already the case for the Black-Scholes model. There is a vast literature on the numerical valuation of such arithmetic options in the Heston model (e.g., [29], [14], [8]), and therefore we focus on finding explicit formulas for Asian options on the geometric mean.

Denote by $G_{[0,T]}$ the geometric mean of the stock price S_t over the time period [0,T], i.e.

$$G_{[0,T]} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \log S_u du\right). \tag{3.1}$$

There are four types of geometric Asian option contracts: fixed-strike Asian call options, fixed-strike Asian put options, floating-strike Asian call options, and floating-strike Asian put options. The payoffs of fixed strike geometric Asian call and put options with strike K and maturity T are given respectively by

$$\max\{G_{[0,T]} - K, 0\}, \quad \max\{K - G_{[0,T]}, 0\}. \tag{3.2}$$

The payoffs of floating strike geometric Asian call and put options with maturity T are given respectively by

$$\max\{G_{[0,T]} - S_T, 0\}, \quad \max\{S_T - G_{[0,T]}, 0\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Starting from a risk-neutral pricing approach, it can be shown (cf. [26]) that prices of Asian options can be expressed in terms of the conditional joint Fourier transform ψ of the logarithm of the stock price at maturity and the logarithm of the geometric mean of the stock price over a certain time period

$$\psi_t(s, w) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp\left(s \log G_{t,T} + w \log S_T\right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right], \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$G_{t,T} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{t}^{T} \log S_{u} du\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly to the derivation of the formula (2.5) for European options, this pricing approach is again based on changes of measure and the Fourier inversion formula, which go back to [20] and [18] and can be applied independently of the underlying volatility model. The main difficulty is thus to find an explicit representation of the Fourier transform (3.4) in the respective market model. In the classical Heston model, [26] derive such an explicit representation as an exponential in terms of the solution of a Riccati equation. However, their proof heavily relies on the Markovianity of the classical Heston volatility process.

We want to derive pricing formulas for geometric Asian options in the Volterra-Heston model (1.4), (1.5). Thus, we must find an explicit representation for (3.4) when the volatility is modeled as a (non-Markovian) Volterra square-root process. This will be done in the next Section. In Section 5, we then present semi-closed pricing formulas for fixed- and floating-strike geometric Asian call and put options.

4. The joint conditional Fourier transform

In this Section we show that in the Volterra-Heston model (1.4), (1.5), the conditional joint Fourier transform (3.4) can be represented as a suitably constructed exponential in terms of the forward variance and the solution of a Riccati-Volterra equation. We start with the following Lemma, which links our problem to the theory of affine Volterra processes developed in [1].

Lemma 4.1. Define a stochastic process $(M_{\tau})_{0 < \tau < T}$ as

$$M_{\tau} := \exp(Y_{\tau}) \tag{4.1}$$

with

$$Y_{\tau} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\frac{s}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \log S_{u} du + w \log S_{T} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{T} \left(\phi_{1}^{2} (T - u) + 2\rho \sigma \phi_{1} (T - u) \phi_{2} (T - u) + \sigma^{2} \phi_{2}^{2} (T - u) \right) \xi_{\tau}(u) du,$$

$$(4.2)$$

where $\xi_t(s) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\nu_s|\mathcal{F}_t]$ is the forward variance process, $\phi_1 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is an affine linear function given by

$$\phi_1(t) = s\frac{t}{T} + w,\tag{4.3}$$

and $\phi_2 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is the unique solution of the Volterra-Riccati equation

$$\phi_2(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t-u) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_1^2(u) - \phi_1(u) \right) - \kappa \phi_2(u) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2 \phi_2^2(u) + 2\rho \sigma \phi_1(u) \phi_2(u) \right) \right] du \quad (4.4)$$

on the interval [0,T]. Then for all $s,w \in \mathcal{D} := \{(s,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}(w) \geq 0, 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) + \operatorname{Re}(w) \leq 1\}$, the process $(M_\tau)_{0 \leq \tau \leq T}$ is a true martingale.

Proof: We aim to write the process $(Y_{\tau})_{0 \leq \tau \leq T}$ in terms of a two-dimensional affine Volterra process in order to apply results for this class of affine stochastic processes studied in [1]. To this end, we observe that equations (1.4) and (1.5) of the log-spot price and its volatility can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{K}(t-u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ \kappa \theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -\kappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{u} du + \int_{0}^{t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{K}(t-u) \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{\nu_{u}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} & \rho \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d\tilde{B}_{u} \\ dB_{u}^{\nu}, \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.5}$$

where \tilde{B} is a Brownian motion independent of B^{ν} . Defining the matrix valued integral kernel \mathcal{K} as $\mathcal{K} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}$ with scalar kernel \mathcal{K} , we see that the two-dimensional process $(\log S, \nu)^{\top}$ solves the Volterra equation

$$(\log S, \nu)_t^{\top} = (\log S, \nu)_0^{\top} + \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t-u)b((\log S, \nu)_u^{\top})du + \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t-u)\sigma((\log S, \nu)_u^{\top})d(\tilde{B}, B^{\nu})_u^{\top},$$

where

$$a((\log S, \nu)^{\top}) = \sigma((\log S, \nu)^{\top})\sigma^{\top}((\log S, \nu)^{\top}) = A^{0} + \log SA^{1} + \nu A^{2}$$

with

$$A^0 = \mathbf{0}, A^1 = \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \sigma \\ \rho \sigma & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

as well as

$$b((\log S, \nu)^{\top}) = b^0 + \log Sb^1 + \nu b^2 \text{ with } b^0 = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \kappa \theta \end{pmatrix}, b^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, b^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\kappa \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $(\log S, \nu)^{\top}$ is an affine process in the sense of [1]. Equations (4.3) and (4.4) can be written as a two-dimensional Volterra-Riccati equation

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (w, 0)\mathcal{K} + \left(\left(\frac{s}{T}, 0 \right) + (\phi_1, \phi_2)B + \frac{1}{2}A((\phi_1, \phi_2)) \right) * \mathcal{K}$$
 (4.6)

where

$$B = (b^1, b^2)$$
 and $A((\phi_1, \phi_2)) = ((\phi_1, \phi_2)A^1(\phi_1, \phi_2)^\top, (\phi_1, \phi_2)A^2(\phi_1, \phi_2)^\top)$

with solution $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in L^2([0, T], (\mathbb{C}^2)^*)$. Finally, the process Y_τ can be expressed in terms of the two-dimensional affine Volterra process $(\log S, \nu)^\top$ and the solution (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) of the Volterra-Riccati equation (4.6) as

$$Y_{\tau} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\left(\frac{s}{T}, 0 \right) * \begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} (T) + (w, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{T} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{T} (\phi_{1}, \phi_{2})_{T-u} \left[\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} (\nu_{u} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \sigma \\ \rho \sigma & \sigma^{2} \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ \phi_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{T-u} du.$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\left(\frac{s}{T}, 0 \right) * \begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} (T) + (w, 0) \begin{pmatrix} \log S \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{T} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{T} (\phi_{1}, \phi_{2})_{T-u} a(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [(\log S, \nu)_{u}^{\top} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}]) (\phi_{1}, \phi_{2})_{T-u}^{\top} du,$$

$$(4.7)$$

where

$$a(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[(\log S, \nu)_u^{\top} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}]) = A^0 + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\log S_u | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}]A^1 + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\nu_u | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}]A^2.$$

Thus Theorem 4.3 of [1] for $X = (\log S, \nu)$, u = (w, 0) and f = (s/T, 0) can be applied to prove that $M_{\tau} = \exp(Y_{\tau})$ is a local martingale. It remains to show that M is indeed a true martingale. We note that for $s, w \in \mathcal{D}$ it holds that for ϕ_1 defined via (4.3), we have $\phi_1 \in [0, 1]$. Since $\operatorname{Re}(u_2) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f_2) = 0$, Theorem 7.1 of [1] yields that ϕ_2 defined via (4.4) has a unique solution on [0, T] which satisfies $\operatorname{Re}(\phi_2) \leq 0$ and M_{τ} is a true martingale.

The following Lemma ensures the martingale property for a type of processes that appears in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Lemma 4.2. Let $(P_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be a stochastic process given by

$$P_t := \int_0^t f(u) \sqrt{\nu_u} dB_u,$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}([0,T])$ and B is a Brownian motion. Then P is a true martingale on [0,T].

Proof: P is a local martingale since it is an integral with respect to a Brownian motion. In order to prove that P is a true martingale, we show that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\langle P, P \rangle_t) < \infty$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. This is the case since for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\langle P, P \rangle_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\int_0^t f^2(u)\nu_u du] \le C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\nu_u] du < \infty.$$

Given these auxiliary results, we can now proceed to prove that (3.4) has an explicit representation. It will become evident in the next Section that the condition $s, w \in \mathcal{D}$ on the arguments of ψ does not constitute a restriction for deriving the option pricing formulas.

Theorem 4.3. Let $(s, w) \in \mathcal{D}$. Then for $t \in [0, T]$, the conditional joint Fourier transform ψ_t defined as

$$\psi_t(s, w) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(s \log G_{t,T} + w \log S_T \right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

is given by

$$\psi_{t}(s, w) = \exp\left(s\left(\frac{T - t}{T}\log S_{t} + r\frac{(T - t)^{2}}{2T}\right) + w\left(\log S_{t} + r(T - t)\right) + \int_{t}^{T} \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{1}^{2}(T - u) - \phi_{1}(T - u)\right) + \sigma\rho\phi_{1}(T - u)\phi_{2}(T - u) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\phi_{2}^{2}(T - u)\right)\xi_{t}(u)du\right),$$
(4.8)

where $\xi_t(s)$ is the forward variance process, $\phi_1 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is an affine linear function given by (4.3) and $\phi_2 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is the solution of the Volterra-Riccati equation (4.4).

Proof: Starting from the definition of ψ_t and $G_{t,T}$ in (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\psi_t(s, w) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(s \log G_{t,T} + w \log S_T \right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(s \frac{1}{T} \int_t^T \log S_u du + w \log S_T \right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$= \exp(-s \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \log S_u du) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(s \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \log S_u du + w \log S_T \right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Since for the process M defined in (4.1) it holds that

$$M_T = \exp\left(s\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \log S_u du + w \log S_T\right)$$

and M is a martingale by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\exp\left(s\frac{1}{T}\int_{0}^{T}\log S_{u}du + w\log S_{T}\right)|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[M_{T}|\mathcal{F}_{t}] = M_{t},$$

and thus

$$\psi_t(w,s) = \exp(-s\frac{1}{T}\int_0^t \log S_u du) \exp(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(w\log S_T + \frac{s}{T}\int_0^T \log S_u du | \mathcal{F}_t))$$

$$\times \exp(\frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \left(\phi_1^2(T-u) + 2\rho\sigma\phi_1(T-u)\phi_2(T-u) + \sigma^2\phi^2(T-u)\right)\xi_t(u)du). \tag{4.9}$$

We simplify the term $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\frac{s}{T}\int_0^T \log S_u du + w \log S_T | \mathcal{F}_t)$. From the dynamics of $\log S$ in (1.4), we can write $\log S_T$ as

$$\log S_T = \log S_t + (T - t)r - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \nu_u du + \int_t^T \sqrt{\nu_u} dB_u^S.$$

Thus, for the conditional expectation, we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\log S_T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \log S_t + (T - t)r - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\int_t^T \nu_u du | \mathcal{F}_t) + \mathbb{E}(\int_t^T \sqrt{\nu_u} dB_u^S | \mathcal{F}_t)$$
$$= \log S_t + (T - t)r - \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T \xi_t(u) du,$$

where we have used the fact that the process $P_x^1 := \int_0^x \sqrt{\nu_u} dB_u^S$ is a martingale by Lemma 4.2. Similarly, for the integrated log-spot price, we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \log S_{z} dz = \int_{0}^{t} \log S_{z} dz + \int_{t}^{T} (\log S_{t} + (z - t)r - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{z} \nu_{u} du + \int_{t}^{z} \sqrt{\nu_{u}} dB_{u}^{S}) dz$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \log S_{z} dz + (T - t) \log S_{t} + \frac{1}{2} (T - t)^{2} r - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} (T - u) \nu_{u} du + \int_{t}^{T} (T - u) \sqrt{\nu_{u}} dB_{u}^{S},$$

where we have used the stochastic Fubini theorem from [30]. Note that the stochastic Fubini theorem is applicable since

$$\int_{t}^{T} (\int_{t}^{z} |\sqrt{\nu_{u}}|^{2} d\langle B^{S}, B^{S} \rangle_{u})^{1/2} dz \leq T (\int_{0}^{T} \nu_{u} du)^{1/2}$$

is finite almost surely. Since $P_x^2 := \int_0^x (T-u)\sqrt{\nu_u}dW_u^S$ is again a martingale by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\int_{0}^{T} \log S_{z} dz | \mathcal{F}_{t}) = \int_{0}^{t} \log S_{u} du + (T - t) \log S_{t} + \frac{1}{2} (T - t)^{2} r - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} (T - u) \xi_{t}(u) du$$

This gives us

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\frac{s}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \log S_{u} du + w \log S_{T} | \mathcal{F}_{t}) = \frac{s}{T} \int_{0}^{t} \log S_{u} du + s \left(\frac{T - t}{T} \log S_{t} + r \frac{(T - t)^{2}}{2T}\right) + w \left(\log S_{t} + r(T - t)\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} (s \frac{T - u}{T} + w) \xi_{t}(u) du.$$
(4.10)

Note that $s\frac{T-u}{T} + w = \phi_1(T-u)$. Taking the exponential and inserting (4.10) back into (4.9) thus yields

$$\psi_t(s, w) = \exp\left(s\left(\frac{T - t}{T}\log S_t + r\frac{(T - t)^2}{2T}\right) + w\left(\log S_t + r(T - t)\right) + \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_1^2(T - u) - \phi_1(T - u)\right) + \sigma\rho\phi_1(T - u)\phi_2(T - u) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\phi_2^2(T - u)\right)\xi_t(u)du\right),$$

which completes the proof

5. Pricing Geometric Asian Options in the Volterra-Heston Model

In this Section, we present semi-closed formulas for the prices of geometric Asian call and put options with fixed or floating strikes in the Volterra-Heston model. Combining the general pricing approach that links the price of geometric Asian options to the joint Fourier transform (3.4) with the explicit representation of this joint Fourier transform (4.8) derived in the previous section, we obtain the following results.

5.1. **Fixed-strike Asian options.** For a fixed-strike geometric Asian call option, i.e., an option with payoff $\max\{G_{[0,T]}-K,0\}$, the price of the option at time t is given as follows.

Theorem 5.1. The price $C_{[0,T]}(t)$ of a fixed-strike geometric Asian call option with strike K and maturity T and $t \in [0,T]$ is given by

$$C_{[0,T]}(t) = e^{-r(T-t) + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \log S_u du} \times \left[\frac{\psi_t(1,0) - K_{t,T}}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re}\left(\left(\psi_t(1+iz,0) - K_{t,T} \psi_t(iz,0) \right) \frac{e^{-iz \log K_{t,T}}}{iz} \right) dz \right],$$
(5.1)

where

$$K_{t,T} := K e^{-\frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \log S_u du}$$
 (5.2)

and the Fourier transform ψ_t is given by (4.8).

Proof: The formula (5.1), which was originally obtained in [26, Thm. 4.1] for the classical Heston model, holds for every model for which the joint Fourier transform (3.4) is known. It remains to prove that we can apply Theorem 4.3 to obtain the exponential representation (4.8) of ψ_t in the Volterra-Heston model. This is the case since all arguments (s, w) of ψ that appear in (5.1) fulfill

$$s, w \in \mathcal{D} = \{(s, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \text{Re}(s) \ge 0, \text{Re}(w) \ge 0, 0 \le \text{Re}(s) + \text{Re}(w) \le 1\}.$$

For a fixed-strike geometric Asian put option, i.e., an option with payoff $\max\{K - G_{[0,T]}, 0\}$, the price of the option at time t is given as follows.

Corollary 5.2. The price $P_{[0,T]}(t)$ of a fixed-strike geometric Asian put option with strike K and maturity T and $t \in [0,T]$ is given by

$$P_{[0,T]}(t) = e^{-r(T-t) + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t \log S_u du} \times \left[\frac{K_{t,T} - \psi_t(1,0)}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left(\left(\psi_t(1+iz,0) - K_{t,T} \psi_t(iz,0) \right) \frac{e^{-iz \log K_{t,T}}}{iz} \right) dz \right],$$
(5.3)

where $K_{t,T}$ is defined in (5.2) and the Fourier transform ψ_t is given by (4.8).

Proof: The formula (5.3) follows from (5.1) and the put-call parity (cf. [26, Cor. 4.2]). Theorem 4.3 is again applicable since all arguments (s, w) of ψ that appear in (5.3) fulfill $s, w \in \mathcal{D}$ and hence (4.8) holds.

5.2. Floating-strike Asian options. The price of a floating strike geometric Asian call option, i.e., an option with payoff $\max\{G_{[0,T]} - S_T, 0\}$, at time t, is given as follows.

Theorem 5.3. The price $\tilde{C}_{[0,T]}(t)$ of a floating-strike geometric Asian call option with maturity T and $t \in [0,T]$ is given by

$$\tilde{C}_{[0,T]}(t) = e^{-r(T-t)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(e^{r(T-t)} S_t - e^{(1/T) \int_0^t \log S_u du} \psi_t(1,0) \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left(\left(e^{(1/T) \int_0^t \log S_u du} \psi_t(1+iz,-iz) - \psi_t(iz,1-iz) \right) \frac{e^{i(z/T) \int_0^t \log S_u du}}{iz} \right) dz \right],$$
(5.4)

where $K_{t,T}$ is defined in (5.2) and the Fourier transform ψ_t is given by (4.8).

Proof: The formula (5.4) is derived in [26, Cor. 4.4]). Theorem 4.3 is applicable since all arguments (s, w) of ψ that appear in (5.4) fulfill $s, w \in \mathcal{D}$ and hence (4.8) holds.

Again, by the put-call parity, the price of a floating-strike geometric Asian put option, i.e., an option with payoff $\max\{S_T - G_{[0,T]}, 0\}$, at time t is given as follows.

Corollary 5.4. The price $\tilde{P}_{[0,T]}(t)$ of a floating-strike geometric Asian put option with maturity T and $t \in [0,T]$ is given by

$$\tilde{P}_{[0,T]}(t) = e^{-r(T-t)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(e^{(1/T) \int_0^t \log S_u du} \psi_t(1,0) - e^{r(T-t)} S_t \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left(\left(e^{(1/T) \int_0^t \log S_u du} \psi_t(1+iz,-iz) - \psi_t(iz,1-iz) \right) \frac{e^{i(z/T) \int_0^t \log S_u du}}{iz} \right) dz \right],$$
(5.5)

where $K_{t,T}$ is defined in (5.2) and the Fourier transform ψ_t is given by (4.8).

Proof: The formula (5.5) is derived in [26, Thm. 4.3]). Theorem 4.3 is applicable since all arguments (s, w) of ψ that appear in (5.5) fulfill $s, w \in \mathcal{D}$ and hence (4.8) holds.

6. Consistency with results in the classical Heston model

The representation (4.8) of the Fourier transform (3.4) in the Volterra Heston model which we derive in Section 4 is of a different structure than the representation derived in the classical Heston model in [26]. In this section, we explicitly show that in the case of K=1, both representations are identical using the calculus of convolutions and resolvents and the theory of Riccati equations. In the following, we will denote the representation (4.8) for the special case $K \equiv 1$ and t=0 as $\psi_0^{VH}(s,w)$ and the classical representation of [26] as $\psi_0^{CH}(s,w)$. We start with a detailed overview of the two representations.

6.1. Volterra approach. For $\mathcal{K} \equiv 1$, the approach developed in Section 4 leads to

$$\psi_0^{VH}(s, w) = \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + \frac{rT}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \times \exp\left(\int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_1^2(T - \tau) - \phi_1(T - \tau)\right) + \sigma\rho\phi_1(T - \tau)\phi_2(T - \tau) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\phi_2^2(T - \tau)\right)\xi_0(\tau)d\tau\right),$$
(6.1)

where

$$\xi_0(\tau) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\nu_\tau | \mathcal{F}_0] = \nu_0(1 - \int_0^\tau R_\kappa(y) dy) + \theta \int_0^\tau R_\kappa(y) dy$$

is the forward variance for the classical Heston volatility ($\mathcal{K} \equiv 1$) at t = 0, where the resolvent is given by $R_{\kappa}(y) = \kappa e^{-\kappa y}$ (cf. Table 1), $\phi_1 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is an affine linear function given by

$$\phi_1(\tau) = s \frac{\tau}{T} + w,$$

and $\phi_2 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is the solution of the Riccati equation

$$\phi_2(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_1^2(y) - \phi_1(y) \right) - \kappa \phi_2(y) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2 \phi_2(y) + 2\rho \sigma \phi_1(y) \phi_2(y) \right) dy. \tag{6.2}$$

6.2. Classical approach. The classical approach of [26] leads to

$$\psi_0^{CH}(s, w) = \exp(z_0) \times \exp\left(\nu_0 \hat{C}(0) + \hat{D}(0)\right),$$
(6.3)

where \hat{C} is the solution of the Riccati equation

$$\hat{C}'(\tau) = -\hat{B}(\tau) + \kappa \hat{C}(\tau) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \hat{C}^2(\tau), \quad \hat{C}(T) = z_4, \tag{6.4}$$

with the function \hat{B} given as

$$\hat{B}(\tau) = z_1(T - \tau)^2 + z_2(T - \tau) + z_3, \tag{6.5}$$

and \hat{D} is given via

$$\hat{D}'(\tau) = -\kappa \theta \hat{C}(\tau), \quad \hat{D}(T) = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

The coefficients z_0 , z_1 , z_2 and z_3 are given by

$$z_{0} = s \left(\log S_{0} + r \frac{T}{2} - \frac{\kappa \theta \rho T}{2\sigma} - \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \nu_{0} \right) + w \left(\log S_{0} + r T - \frac{\kappa \theta \rho T}{\sigma} - \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \nu_{0} \right),$$

$$z_{1} = \frac{s^{2} (1 - \rho^{2})}{2T^{2}}, z_{2} = \frac{s (2\rho \kappa - \sigma)}{2\sigma T} + \frac{s w (1 - \rho^{2})}{T}, z_{3} = \frac{s\rho}{\sigma T} + \frac{w (2\rho \kappa - \sigma)}{2\sigma} + \frac{w^{2} (1 - \rho^{2})}{2}, z_{4} = \frac{\rho w}{\sigma}.$$

6.3. Equality of the two approaches. We now show that both representations are indeed equal, i.e., $\psi_0^{VH}(s,w) = \psi_0^{CH}(s,w)$. As a first step, we use time-inversion to get a different representation of (6.3). Defining $C(\tau) := \hat{C}(T-\tau)$, we see that $C(0) = \hat{C}(T) = z_4 = \frac{\rho}{\sigma}w$ and

$$C'(\tau) = -\hat{C}(T - \tau) = B(T - \tau) - \kappa \hat{C}(T - \tau) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\hat{C}^2(T - \tau)$$
$$= z_1 \tau^2 + z_2 \tau + z_3 - \kappa C(\tau) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}C^2(\tau).$$

Thus C fulfills

$$C(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\sigma}w + \int_0^{\tau} z_1 y^2 + z_2 y + z_3 - \kappa C(y) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} C^2(y) dy.$$
 (6.7)

Similarly, defining $D(\tau) = \hat{D}(T - \tau)$, we obtain $D(0) = \hat{D}(T) = 0$ and

$$D'(\tau) = -\hat{D}'(T - \tau) = \kappa \theta \hat{C}(T - \tau) = \kappa \theta C(\tau)$$

and hence D is given by

$$D(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \kappa \theta C(y) dy.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\psi_0^{CH}(s, w) = \exp(z_0) \times \exp\left(\nu_0 C(T) + \kappa \theta \int_0^T C(\tau) d\tau\right), \tag{6.8}$$

with C given via (6.7).

As a next step, we simplify the convolution appearing in (6.1) to show that

$$\psi_0^{VH}(s,w) = \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \times \exp\left(\nu_0\phi_2(T) + \kappa\theta \int_0^T \phi_2(\tau)d\tau\right).$$

To this end, we define

$$Q(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_1^2(\tau) - \phi_1(\tau) \right) + \sigma \rho \phi_1(\tau) \phi_2(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \phi_2^2(\tau)$$
 (6.9)

Thus, we can write

$$\psi_0^{VH}(s, w) = \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \times \exp\left(\int_0^T Q(T - \tau)\xi_0(\tau)d\tau\right).$$

The result now follows since

$$\int_{0}^{T} Q(T-\tau)\xi_{0}(\tau)d\tau = \int_{0}^{T} Q(T-\tau)\left(\nu_{0}-\nu_{0}\int_{0}^{\tau} R_{\kappa}(z)dz + \theta\int_{0}^{\tau} R_{\kappa}(z)dz\right)d\tau
= \nu_{0}\int_{0}^{T} Q(T-\tau)d\tau - \nu_{0}Q * \mathbf{1} * R_{\kappa}(T) + \theta Q * \mathbf{1} * R_{\kappa}(T)
= \nu_{0}\int_{0}^{T} Q(\tau)d\tau - \nu_{0}\mathbf{1} * R_{\kappa} * Q(T) + \theta \mathbf{1} * R_{\kappa} * Q(T)
= \nu_{0}\left(\phi_{2}(T) + \kappa\int_{0}^{T} \phi_{2}(\tau)d\tau\right) - \nu_{0}\kappa\int_{0}^{T} \phi_{2}(\tau)d\tau + \kappa\theta\int_{0}^{T} \phi_{2}(\tau)d\tau
= \nu_{0}\phi_{2}(T) + \kappa\theta\int_{0}^{T} \phi_{2}(\tau)d\tau.$$

Here, we have used the commutativity of convolution for the third equality. For the fourth equality, we used the identity $\phi_2 = \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa} * Q$ as well as the fact that $\phi_2(T) = \int_0^T Q(\tau) - \kappa \phi_2(\tau) d\tau$ which follows by (6.2) and definition (6.9). A short proof of this identity is provided in the Appendix. In the next step, we show that the solutions of the Riccati equations (6.7) and (6.2) are related to each other. More precisely, we show that if $C(\tau)$ is the solution of (6.7), then $\phi_2(\tau) := C(\tau) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \phi_1(\tau)$ is the solution of (6.2). This is true since

$$C(\tau) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(\tau) = \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1}^{2}(y) - \phi_{1}(y)) - \kappa(C(y) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(y))$$

$$+ \rho\sigma\phi_{1}(y)(C(y) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(y)) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}(C(y) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(y))^{2}dy$$

$$\iff C(\tau) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(\tau) = \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{1}^{2}(y) - \phi_{1}(y)) + \frac{\rho\kappa}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(y) - \frac{\rho^{2}}{2}\phi_{1}^{2}(y) - \kappa C(y) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}C^{2}(y)dy$$

$$\iff C(\tau) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\phi_{1}(\tau) = \int_{0}^{\tau} z_{1}y^{2} + z_{2}y + z_{3} - \frac{\rho s}{\sigma T} - \kappa C(y) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}C^{2}(y)dy$$

$$\iff C(\tau) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}(\frac{s\tau}{T} + w) = -\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\frac{s\tau}{T} + \int_{0}^{\tau} z_{1}y^{2} + z_{2}y + z_{3} - \kappa C(y) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}C^{2}(y)dy$$

$$\iff C(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\sigma}w + \int_{0}^{\tau} z_{1}y^{2} + z_{2}y + z_{3} - \kappa C(y) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}C^{2}(y)dy.$$

Finally, we combine the results of the two previous steps to obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi_0^{VH}(s,w) &= \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \times \exp\left(\nu_0\phi_2(T) + \kappa\theta\int_0^T\phi_2(\tau)d\tau\right) \\ &= \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\nu_0(C(T) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}(s+w)) + \kappa\theta\int_0^TC(\tau) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}(\frac{s}{T}\tau + w)d\tau\right) \\ &= \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-s\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\nu_0 - w\frac{\rho}{\sigma}\nu_0 - s\frac{\kappa\theta\rho T}{2\sigma} - w\frac{\kappa\theta\rho T}{\sigma}\right) \times \exp\left(\nu_0C(T) + \kappa\theta\int_0^TC(\tau)d\tau\right) \\ &= \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2} - \frac{\kappa\theta\rho T}{2\sigma} - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\nu_0\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT - \frac{\kappa\theta\rho T}{\sigma} - \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\nu_0\right)\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(\nu_0C(T) + \kappa\theta\int_0^TC(\tau)d\tau\right) \\ &= \exp(z_0) \times \exp\left(\nu_0C(T) + \kappa\theta\int_0^TC(\tau)d\tau\right) = \psi_0^{CH}(s,w). \end{split}$$

This is the desired equality.

7. Numerical results

In this section, we present numerical results for fixed- and floating-strike geometric Asian call and put options for the class of fractional Heston models, i.e. we consider the model (1.4), (1.5) for the fractional integral kernel $K(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$. For $\alpha = 1$, we recover the classical Heston model, and hence, in this special case, our results correspond to those derived in [26]. Note that the parameter α , which governs the roughness of the paths, is related to the Hurst parameter H

of the fractional Brownian motion via $H = \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ paths of the volatility process are rougher, and for $\alpha \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$ they are smoother than in the classical case. As already lined out, since the observation was made that volatility is rough (cf. [16]), the class of rough Heston models (i.e., $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$), has become of particular theoretical and practical interest, and thus we will put particular emphasis on the impact of the roughness parameter on the option price.

7.1. Useful representation of the option prices. To start off, we summarize the pricing formulas derived in the previous Sections for the case t = 0.

Corollary 7.1. For t = 0, the price of a fixed-strike geometric Asian call option is given by

$$C_{[0,T]}(0) = e^{-rT} \left[\frac{\psi_0(1,0) - K}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re}\left(\left(\psi_0(1 + iz, 0) - K\psi_0(iz, 0) \right) \frac{e^{-iz\log K}}{iz} \right) dz \right], \tag{7.1}$$

the price of a fixed-strike geometric Asian put option is given by

$$P_{[0,T]}(0) = e^{-rT} \left[\frac{K - \psi_0(1,0)}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re}\left(\left(\psi_0(1 + iz,0) - K\psi_0(iz,0) \right) \frac{e^{-iz\log K}}{iz} \right) dz \right], \tag{7.2}$$

the price of a floating-strike geometric Asian call option is given by

$$\tilde{C}_{[0,T]}(0) = e^{-rT} \left[\frac{e^{rT} S_0 - \psi_0(1,0)}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left(\left(\psi_0(1 + iz, -iz) - \psi_0(iz, 1 - iz) \right) \frac{1}{iz} \right) dz \right], \tag{7.3}$$

the price of a floating-strike geometric Asian put option is given by

$$\tilde{P}_{[0,T]}(0) = e^{-rT} \left[\frac{\psi_0(1,0) - e^{rT} S_0}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left(\left(\psi_0(1 + iz, -iz) - \psi_0(iz, 1 - iz) \right) \frac{1}{iz} \right) dz \right], \tag{7.4}$$

and the conditional joint Fourier transform ψ_0 can be written as

$$\psi_0(s, w) = \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + \frac{rT}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right) + \int_0^T \nu_0 Q(\tau) + \kappa(\theta - \nu_0)\phi_2(\tau)d\tau\right),\tag{7.5}$$

where $\phi_2 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is the solution of the Volterra Riccati equation

$$\phi_2(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} K(\tau - y) \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_1^2(y) - \phi_1(y) \right) - \kappa \phi_2(y) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2 \phi_2^2(y) + 2\rho \sigma \phi_1(y) \phi_2(y) \right) \right) dy, \quad (7.6)$$

 $\phi_1 \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{C})$ is an affine linear function given by

$$\phi_1(\tau) = s \frac{\tau}{T} + w,$$

and Q is defined as

$$Q(\tau) = Q(\phi_1, \phi_2; \tau) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_1^2(\tau) - \phi_1(\tau) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2 \phi_2^2(\tau) + 2\rho \sigma \phi_1(\tau) \phi_2(\tau) \right).$$

Proof: The formulas (7.1), (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) are immediately obtained by setting t = 0 in equations (5.1), (5.3) (5.4), and (5.5). For the representation of the Fourier transform ψ_0 , we first observe that the formula (4.8) evaluated at t = 0 yields

$$\psi_0(s, w) = \exp\left(s\left(\log S_0 + r\frac{T}{2}\right) + w\left(\log S_0 + rT\right)\right) \times \exp\left(\int_0^T Q(T - \tau)\xi_0(\tau)d\tau\right).$$

Substituting $\xi_0(\tau) = \nu_0(1 - \int_0^{\tau} R_{\kappa}(y)dy) + \theta \int_0^{\tau} R_{\kappa}(y)dy$ and applying the calculus of convolutions and resolvents to the last term yields

$$\int_0^T Q(T-\tau)\xi_0(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^T Q(T-\tau)\left(\nu_0 - \nu_0 \int_0^\tau R_\kappa(z)dz + \theta \int_0^\tau R_\kappa(z)dz\right)d\tau$$

$$= \nu_0 \int_0^T Q(T-\tau)d\tau - \nu_0 Q * \mathbf{1} * R_\kappa(T) + \theta Q * \mathbf{1} * R_\kappa(T)$$

$$= \nu_0 \int_0^T Q(\tau)d\tau - \nu_0 \mathbf{1} * R_\kappa * Q(T) + \theta \mathbf{1} * R_\kappa * Q(T)$$

$$= \nu_0 \int_0^T Q(\tau)d\tau - \nu_0 \kappa \int_0^T \phi_2(\tau)d\tau + \kappa \theta \int_0^T \phi_2(\tau)\tau.$$

Here, we have used the commutativity of convolution for the third and the identity $\phi_2 = \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa} * Q$ (cf. Lemma 9.1 in the Appendix) for the fourth equality. This now gives us (7.5). \Box

Note that in contrast to (4.8), the resolvent does no longer appear in the representation (7.5) of ψ_0 anymore. This fact is particularly useful in the case of fractional integral kernels appearing in the rough Heston model since we do not have to approximate the Mittag-Leffler function appearing in the resolvent (cf. Table 1). Therefore, this allows us to improve the accuracy as well as the computational efficiency of the implementation of our formulas.

7.2. Concrete results. We now present concrete numerical results for fixed- and floating-strike geometric Asian calls and put option prices at 0. In order to have a benchmark parameter set, analogous to [26], we use the calibrated market parameters

$$\kappa = 1.15$$
, $\theta = 0.348$, $\sigma = 0.39$ and $\rho = -0.64$,

which correspond to the daily averages of the respective implied parameters reported in table IV of [4] as well as their choices

$$r = 0.05$$
, $S_0 = 100$ and $\nu_0 = 0.09$.

Two approximations are carried out for the numerical implementation of the analytic formulas. In order to calculate the Fourier transform (7.5), one first has to numerically solve the Volterra Riccati equation (7.6) for $K(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, which is done using the fractional Adams method, developed in [10]. For a detailed error analysis of the fractional Adams method, we refer to [11]. Secondly, the infinite integral appearing in (7.1), (7.3) must be truncated. Here, we take an upper limit of 10^2 . This is in line with the observation made in [26], that for higher limits the numerical results remain unchanged.

In Table 2, we present prices for fixed-strike geometric Asian call options for different maturities, strike prices, and roughness levels of volatility. In our study, we investigate both the case, where $\alpha \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$, leading to smoother volatility paths than in the classical case and $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, which is the rough Heston model. We observe the following characteristics: The option price decreases with increasing strike. Moreover, the smaller the maturity, the higher the impact of the strike on the option price. Larger maturities lead to higher option prices across all different strikes. All these observations align with the results of [26] for the classical Heston model. Note in particular that for $\alpha = 1$ in Table 2, we recover their results presented in [26, Table 5].

In addition to the classical Heston model, we now face a further parameter that has to be taken into account, namely the roughness parameter α . We observe that the effect of the roughness depends on the maturity of the option. For smaller maturities, the price of the option increases with the roughness level. In contrast, there is a smile effect for large maturities, i.e., option prices increase if the volatility is either smoother or rougher than in the classical case.

For the fixed-strike put option prices in Table 3, the option price rises with increasing strike and maturity. As is also the case for the corresponding call options, only for very large maturities do prices start to decline slightly. The effect of roughness again changes depending on the maturity of the option. Here, the price of the option again increases with the roughness for smaller maturities. However, we observe the reverse effect for larger maturities, i.e., option prices

decrease with increasing roughness levels. A possible explanation for this changed behavior is that rough processes are more volatile than smooth processes in the short term but less volatile in the long term. This is in line with the fact that time-dependent effects of the roughness are well-established in the context of portfolio optimization theory, where the preference between buying a rough or a smooth stock changes with the time-horizon (cf. [2], [21], [3]). However, in addition, the prices listed in the corresponding tables are related to each other via the put-call parity

$$C_{[0,T]}(0) - P_{[0,T]}(0) = e^{-rT}(\psi_0(1,0) - K).$$

The value of the Fourier transform ψ_0 also depends on the roughness parameter α , and hence, the effects of roughness on put and call option prices cannot be expected to be observed on a one-for-one basis. Please note that the put prices have, at the same time, been checked for correctness with the help of this put-call parity.

The results for floating-strike geometric Asian call and put options given in Tables 4 and 5 exhibit a similar behavior. Larger maturities again lead to higher option prices for both put and call options. As for fixed-strike options, the effect of the roughness depends on the maturity of the option. For maturities T < 1, rougher volatility paths lead to higher option prices, while the reverse effect can be observed for T > 1 for both put and call options. For very large maturities, we observe a reversed smile effect for the floating strike put option, i.e., option prices decline if the volatility is smoother or rougher than the classical Heston volatility. Note that in contrast to the fixed-strike case, the stock price at maturity S_T , which appears in the payoff, is dependent on the roughness parameter as well. Here, the prices listed in the corresponding tables are related to each other via the put-call parity

$$\tilde{C}_{[0,T]}(0) - \tilde{P}_{[0,T]}(0) = S_0 - e^{-rT} \psi_0(1,0),$$

and have once more been checked for correctness using this parity.

8. Conclusion

We provide semi-analytic pricing formulas for fixed- and floating-strike geometric Asian options in the class of Volterra-Heston models, which include the rough Heston model. These formulas are obtained by combining a general pricing approach based on the Fourier inversion theorem with an explicit representation of the conditional joint Fourier transform of the logarithm of the stock price at maturity and the logarithm of the geometric mean of the stock price over a certain time period. In contrast to the classical Heston model, the volatility process in the Volterra-Heston model is no longer Markovian, and hence, we have to apply different techniques to obtain this representation as a suitably constructed stochastic exponential in terms of the solution of a Volterra-Riccati equation. This construction requires a link to the theory of affine Volterra processes developed in [1]. Our work is an extension of the results of [26] for the classical Heston model to the class of Volterra-Heston models. We also implement our pricing formulas and provide a numerical study for the rough Heston model, in which we investigate the influence of the roughness of the volatility process on the option prices.

Acknowledgements

F. Aichinger is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project P34808 (Grant-DOI: 10.55776/P34808). For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any author-accepted manuscript version arising from this submission.

		Option value				
T (years)	K	$\alpha = 1.40$	$\alpha = 1.25$	$\alpha = 1.00$	$\alpha = 0.75$	$\alpha = 0.60$
0.2	90	10.5515	10.5770	10.6571	10.8151	10.9546
	95	6.4252	6.4670	6.5871	6.7931	6.9556
	100	3.3060	3.3433	3.4478	3.6182	3.7484
	105	1.3902	1.4073	1.4552	1.5338	1.5976
	110	0.4672	0.4684	0.4724	0.4841	0.5016
0.4	90	11.4604	11.5317	11.7112	11.9773	12.1707
	95	7.7825	7.8730	8.0894	8.3894	8.5983
	100	4.8671	4.9551	5.1616	5.4415	5.6345
	105	2.7774	2.8442	3.0018	3.2178	3.3695
	110	1.4363	1.4759	1.5715	1.7089	1.8103
0.5	90	11.9228	12.0151	12.2329	12.5314	12.7380
	95	8.3898	8.5021	8.7553	9.0844	9.3050
	100	5.5389	5.6502	5.8971	6.2119	6.4211
	105	3.4107	3.5027	3.7072	3.9697	4.1460
	110	1.9504	2.0143	2.1589	2.3501	2.4823
1	90	14.0831	14.2511	14.5779	14.9390	15.1588
	95	11.0148	11.2017	11.5551	11.9353	12.1637
	100	8.3978	8.5893	8.9457	9.3239	9.5499
	105	6.2352	6.4179	6.7559	7.1130	7.3263
	110	4.5056	4.6693	4.9723	5.2932	5.4859
1.5	90	15.9436	16.1478	16.5030	16.8533	17.0544
	95	13.1767	13.3931	13.7625	14.1213	14.3261
	100	10.7472	10.9670	11.3374	11.6939	11.8969
	105	8.6500	8.8650	9.2245	9.5687	9.7647
	110	6.8701	7.0735	7.4122	7.7358	7.9205
2	90	17.5283	17.7451	18.0914	18.4083	18.5845
	95	14.9958	15.2160	15.5640	15.8803	16.0558
	100	12.7317	12.9505	13.2933	13.6034	13.7755
	105	10.7286	10.9414	11.2728	11.5719	11.7379
	110	8.9740	9.1772	9.4921	9.7761	9.9339
3	90	20.0259	20.2258	20.5102	20.7529	20.8857
	95	17.8492	18.0381	18.3060	18.5346	18.6598
	100	15.8616	16.0389	16.2895	16.5034	16.6208
	105	14.0550	14.2202	14.4531	14.6523	14.7617
	110	12.4200	12.5730	12.7882	12.9725	13.0740
8	90	24.8414	24.7887	24.7980	24.9009	24.9967
	95	23.5658	23.5012	23.4852	23.5573	23.6319
	100	22.3630	22.2874	22.2483	22.2920	22.3471
	105	21.2282	21.1429	21.0827	21.1003	21.1374
	110	20.1572	20.0633	19.9840	19.9778	19.9983
12	90	24.2787	24.2082	24.1840	24.3052	24.4514
	95	23.3792	23.3013	23.2584	23.3520	23.4766
	100	22.5244	22.4397	22.3795	22.4472	22.5516
	105	21.7114	21.6205	21.5442	21.5878	21.6734
	110	20.9375	20.8409	20.7498	20.7710	20.8388

Table 2. Fixed-strike geometric Asian call option prices for different maturities, strike prices, and roughness levels for model parameters $S_0=100,~\nu_0=0.09,~t=0,~r=0.05,~\kappa=1.15,~\theta=0.348,~\sigma=0.39.$

		Option value				
T (years)	K	$\alpha = 1.40$	$\alpha = 1.25$	$\alpha = 1.00$	$\alpha = 0.75$	$\alpha = 0.60$
0.2	90	0.3194	0.3524	0.4526	0.6424	0.8061
	95	1.1433	1.1927	1.3329	1.5705	1.7575
	100	2.9743	3.0192	3.1438	3.3459	3.5005
	105	6.0087	6.0334	6.1014	6.2118	6.3000
	110	10.0360	10.0448	10.0689	10.1124	10.1542
0.4	90	1.0483	1.1453	1.3799	1.7123	1.9469
	95	2.2713	2.3876	2.6591	3.0254	3.2755
	100	4.2570	4.3706	4.6323	4.9785	5.2128
	105	7.0682	7.1608	7.3735	7.6558	7.8489
	110	10.6282	10.6935	10.8442	11.0478	11.1906
0.5	90	1.4436	1.5722	1.8619	2.2389	2.4909
	95	2.7871	2.9357	3.2609	3.6685	3.9345
	100	4.8128	4.9604	5.2792	5.6726	5.9273
	105	7.5612	7.6895	7.9659	8.3069	8.5288
	110	10.9774	11.0776	11.2941	11.5638	11.7417
1	90	3.4998	3.7429	4.1801	4.6239	4.8798
	95	5.1877	5.4497	5.9135	6.3763	6.6408
	100	7.3268	7.5934	8.0602	8.5211	8.7830
	105	9.9204	10.1782	10.6266	11.0663	11.3155
	110	12.9469	13.1858	13.5991	14.0027	14.2312
1.5	90	5.5830	5.8470	6.2606	6.6283	6.8267
	95	7.4548	7.7310	8.1588	8.5350	8.7371
	100	9.6640	9.9437	10.3725	10.7464	10.9465
	105	12.2055	12.4804	12.8983	13.2599	13.4529
	110	15.0643	15.3277	15.7246	16.0658	16.2473
2	90	7.6004	7.8065	8.0965	8.3323	8.4548
	95	9.5920	9.8016	10.0933	10.3285	10.4502
	100	11.8522	12.0603	12.3467	12.5758	12.6939
	105	14.3732	14.5754	14.8504	15.0684	15.1804
	110	17.1429	17.3363	17.5939	17.7968	17.9005
3	90	11.1708	11.1558	11.1123	11.0617	11.0298
	95	13.2977	13.2717	13.2116	13.1469	13.1073
	100	15.6137	15.5760	15.4986	15.4193	15.3717
	105	18.1106	18.0609	17.9658	17.8716	17.8159
	110	20.7792	20.7172	20.6044	20.4954	20.4316
8	90	19.0304	18.7038	18.0809	17.3813	16.9256
	95	21.1066	20.7679	20.1198	19.3894	18.9125
	100	23.2552	22.9057	22.2345	21.4757	20.9792
	105	25.4720	25.1127	24.4204	23.6356	23.1211
	110	27.7528	27.3848	26.6733	25.8646	25.3336
12	90	19.7611	19.5295	18.9998	18.2823	17.7561
	95	21.6057	21.3667	20.8183	20.0731	19.5254
	100	23.4950	23.2491	22.6834	21.9124	21.3445
	105	25.4260	25.1740	24.5922	23.7971	23.2103
	110	27.3961	27.1384	26.5418	25.7243	25.1198

Table 3. Fixed-strike geometric Asian put option prices for different maturities, strike prices, and roughness levels for model parameters $S_0=100,~\nu_0=0.09,~t=0,~r=0.05,~\kappa=1,~\theta=0.15,~\sigma=0.6.$

	Option value					
T (years)	$\alpha = 1.40$	$\alpha = 1.25$	$\alpha = 1.00$	$\alpha = 0.75$	$\alpha = 0.60$	
0.2	3.6731	3.7730	3.9925	4.2467	4.3812	
0.4	5.8948	6.0960	6.4473	6.7508	6.8805	
0.5	6.9698	7.2020	7.5727	7.8563	7.9658	
1	12.2170	12.4048	12.5761	12.5965	12.5621	
1.5	17.1044	17.0459	16.8305	16.5500	16.3745	
2	21.4506	21.1133	20.5478	20.0250	19.7323	
3	28.4519	27.7957	26.8612	26.0414	25.5759	
8	48.7588	48.6256	48.0585	47.0888	46.3269	
12	59.7564	59.6788	59.2926	58.4512	57.6810	

TABLE 4. Floating-strike geometric Asian call option prices for different maturities and roughness levels for model parameters $S_0 = 100$, $\nu_0 = 0.09$, t = 0, r = 0.05, $\kappa = 1.15$, $\theta = 0.348$, $\sigma = 0.39$.

	Option value					
T (years)	$\alpha = 1.40$	$\alpha = 1.25$	$\alpha = 1.00$	$\alpha = 0.75$	$\alpha = 0.60$	
0.2	3,0098	3.1020	3.3015	3.5240	3.6341	
0.4	4.5248	4.7002	4.9965	5.2336	5.3222	
0.5	5.2269	5.4228	5.7216	5.9267	5.9908	
1	8.4109	8.5236	8.5845	8.5222	8.4516	
1.5	10.9619	10.8435	10.5698	10.2720	10.0988	
2	12.8138	12.4873	11.9781	11.5364	11.2964	
3	14.7706	14.3294	13.7228	13.1961	12.8935	
8	14.8984	15.0392	15.1044	14.9363	14.7224	
12	13.6670	13.7505	13.8699	13.8658	13.7619	

Table 5. Floating-strike geometric Asian put option prices for different maturities, and roughness levels for model parameters $S_0 = 100$, $\nu_0 = 0.09$, t = 0, r = 0.05, $\kappa = 1.15$, $\theta = 0.348$, $\sigma = 0.39$.

9. Appendix

9.1. **Proof of the general pricing approach in Theorem 2.3:** Under a risk-neutral measure \mathbb{Q} , the price of the European call option is given as

$$C_0 = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(\max(S_T - K, 0))$$

= $e^{-rT} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}) - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(K \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}})].$

For the second expectation, we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(K\mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}) = K\mathbb{Q}(S_T > K).$$

Define a probability measure \mathbb{Q}_S via $\mathbb{Q}_S(A) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{S_T}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T)}\mathbb{1}_A\right)$. Then, for the first expectation we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T) \frac{S_T}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T)} \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{S_T}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T)} \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}\right) = e^{rT} S_0 \mathbb{Q}_S(S_T > K).$$

Thus we have

$$C_0 = S_0 \mathbb{Q}_S(S_T > K) - e^{-rT} K \mathbb{Q}(S_T > K)$$

= $S_0 \mathbb{Q}_S(\log S_T > \log K) - e^{-rT} K \mathbb{Q}(\log S_T > \log K)$ (9.1)

Using the identity

$$\psi_{\log S_T}^{\mathbb{Q}_S}(u) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_S}(e^{iu\log S_T}) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left(e^{iu\log S_T}\frac{d\mathbb{Q}_S}{d\mathbb{Q}}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left(e^{iu\log S_T}\frac{S_T}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T)}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(e^{iu\log S_T}S_T)}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T)} = \frac{\psi_{\log S_T}^{\mathbb{Q}}(u-i)}{\psi_{\log S_T}^{\mathbb{Q}}(-i)},$$

an application of the Fourier inversion formula now yields

$$\mathbb{Q}_{S}(\log S_{T} > \log K) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{-iu \log K} \psi_{\log S_{T}}^{\mathbb{Q}_{S}}(u)}{iu} \right] du$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{-iu \log(K)} \psi_{\log S_{T}}^{\mathbb{Q}}(u-i)}{iu \psi_{\log S_{T}}^{\mathbb{Q}}(-i)} \right] du, \tag{9.2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}(\log S_T > \log K) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{e^{-iu \log K} \psi_{\log S_T}^{\mathbb{Q}}(u)}{iu} \right] du. \tag{9.3}$$

Inserting (9.2) and (9.3) into equation (9.1) yields the desired result

9.2. Equivalent form of the Riccati-Volterra equation. We derive an equivalent representation for the Riccati-Volterra equations appearing in Sections 6 and 7.

Lemma 9.1. The Riccati-Volterra equation

$$\phi = K * (Q(\phi, f) - \kappa \phi)$$

with

$$Q(\phi,f) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f^2 - f \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2 \phi^2 + 2 \rho \sigma f \phi \right)$$

has equivalent representation

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa} * Q(\phi, f).$$

Proof: We start with the Volterra-Riccati equation

$$\phi = K * (Q(\phi, f) - \kappa \phi)$$

and subtract $R_{\kappa} * \phi$ from both sides. This yields

$$\begin{split} \phi - R_{\kappa} * \phi &= K * (Q(\phi, f) - \kappa \phi) - R_{\kappa} * K * (Q(\phi, f) - \kappa \phi) \\ &= (K - R_{\kappa} * K) * (Q(\phi, f) - \kappa \phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa} * (Q(\phi, f) - \kappa \phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa} * Q(\phi, f) - R_{\kappa} * \phi. \end{split}$$

And consequently, we get the equivalent representation

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\kappa} R_{\kappa} * Q(\phi, f).$$

References

- [1] Abi Jaber, Eduardo and Larsson, Martin and Pulido, Sergio: Affine Volterra processes. The Annals of Applied Probability **29**, 3155–3200 (2019)
- [2] Abi Jaber, Eduardo and Miller, Enzo and Pham, Huyên: Markowitz portfolio selection for multivariate affine and quadratic Volterra models. SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics 12, 369–409 (2021)
- [3] Aichinger, Florian and Desmettre, Sascha: Utility maximization in multivariate Volterra models. SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics 14, 52–98 (2023)
- [4] Bakshi, Gurdip and Cao, Charles and Chen, Zhiwu: Empirical performance of alternative option pricing models. The Journal of Finance **52**, 2003–2049 (1997)
- [5] Bakshi, Gurdip and Madan, Dilip: Spanning and derivative-security valuation. Journal of Financial Economics **55**, 205–238 (2000)
- [6] Bayer, Christian and Friz, Peter and Gatheral, Jim: Pricing under rough volatility. Quantitative Finance **16**(6), 887–904 (2016)
- [7] Bayer, Christian and Friz, Peter K. and Fukasawa, Masaaki and Gatheral, Jim and Jacquier, Antoine and Rosenbaum, Mathieu: Rough volatility. (2023)
- [8] Broadie, Mark and Kaya, Özgür. Exact simulation of stochastic volatility and other affine jump-diffusion processes. Operations Research **54**, 217–231 (2006)
- [9] Chevalier, Etienne and Pulido, Sergio and Zúñiga, Elisabeth.: American Options in the Volterra Heston Model. SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics **13**(2), 426–458 (2022)
- [10] Diethelm, Kai and Ford, Neville J. and Freed, Alan D.: A predictor-corrector approach for the numerical solution of fractional differential equations. Nonlinear Dynamics 29, 3–22 (2002).
- [11] Diethelm, Kai and Ford, Neville J. and Freed, Alan D.: Detailed error analysis for a fractional Adams method. Numerical Algorithms **36**, 31–52 (2004).
- [12] El Euch, Omar and Rosenbaum, Mathieu: The characteristic function of rough Heston models. Mathematical Finance 29, 3–38 (2019)
- [13] El Euch, Omar and Rosenbaum, Mathieu: Perfect hedging in rough Heston models. The Annals of Applied Probability **28**(6), 3813–3856 (2018)
- [14] Fouque, Jean-Pierre and Han, Chuan-Hsiang: Pricing Asian options with stochastic volatility. Quantitative Finance 3, 105–113 (2001)
- [15] Friz, Peter and Wagenhofer, Thomas: Reconstructing volatility: Pricing of index options under rough volatility. Mathematical Finance 33, 19–40 (2023)
- [16] Gatheral, Jim and, Jaisson, Thibault and Rosenbaum, Mathieu: Volatility is rough. Quantitative Finance **18**(6), 933–949 (2018)
- [17] Gatheral, Jim and Keller-Ressel, Martin: Affine forward variance models. Finance and Stochastics 23, 501–533 (2019)
- [18] Geman, Hélyette and El Karoui, Nicole and Rochet, Jean-Charles: Changes of numeraire, changes of probability measure and option pricing. Journal of Applied probability 2, 443–458 (1995)
- [19] Gerhold, Stefan and Gerstenecker, Christoph and Pinter, Arpad: Moment explosions in the rough Heston model. Decisions in Economics and Finance 42, 575–608 (2019)
- [20] Gil-Pelaez, J.: Note on the inversion theorem. Biometrika 38, 481–482 (1951)
- [21] Glassermann, Paul and He, Pu: Buy rough, sell smooth. Quantitative Finance **20**, 363–378 (2020)
- [22] He, Xin-Jiang and Lin, Sha: A semi-analytical pricing formula for European options under the rough Heston-CIR model. Anziam Journal **61**, 431–455 (2019)
- [23] Heston, Steven L.: A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility with applications to bond and currency options. Review of Financial Studies 6(2), 327—343 (1993)
- [24] Horvath, Blanka and Jacquier, Antoine and Tankov, Peter: Volatility options in rough volatility models. SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics 11(2), 437–469 (2020)
- [25] Keller-Ressel, Martin and Larsson, Martin and Pulido, Sergio: Affine rough models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08486, (2019)

- [26] Kim, Bara and Wee, In-Suk: Pricing of geometric Asian options under Heston's stochastic volatility model. Quantitative Finance 14, 1795–1809 (2014)
- [27] Shi, Zhengguang and Lyu, Pin and Ma, Jingtang: High-order methods for the option pricing under multivariate rough volatility models. Computers and Mathematics with Applications 139, 173–183 (2023)
- [28] Tong, Kevin and Liu, Allen: The valuation of barrier options under a threshold rough Heston model. Journal of Management Science and Engineering 8, 15–31 (2023)
- [29] Vecer, Jan: A new PDE approach for pricing arithmetic average Asian options. Journal of Computational Finance 4(4), 105–113 (2001)
- [30] Veraar, Mark: The stochastic Fubini theorem revisited. Stochastics 84, 543–551 (2012)
- [31] Zhang, Peter G.: Exotic Options: A Guide to Second Generation Options. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. (1998).
- (F. Aichinger) Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM), Austrian Academy of Sciences, AT-4040 Linz, Austria

Email address: florian.aichinger@ricam.oeaw.ac.at

(S. Desmettre) Institute for Financial Mathematics and Applied Number Theory, University of Linz, AT-4040 Linz, Austria

Email address: sascha.desmettre@jku.at