

# Tight Approximation and Kernelization Bounds for Vertex-Disjoint Shortest Paths

Matthias Bentert

Fedor V. Fomin

Petr A. Golovach

## Abstract

We examine the possibility of approximating MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS. In this problem, the input is an edge-weighted (directed or undirected)  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  along with  $k$  terminal pairs  $(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$ . The task is to connect as many terminal pairs as possible by pairwise vertex-disjoint paths such that each path is a shortest path between the respective terminals. Our work is anchored in the recent breakthrough by Lochet [SODA '21], which demonstrates the polynomial-time solvability of the problem for a fixed value of  $k$ .

Lochet's result implies the existence of a polynomial-time  $ck$ -approximation for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, where  $c \leq 1$  is a constant. (One can guess  $1/c$  terminal pairs to connect in  $k^{O(1/c)}$  time and then utilize Lochet's algorithm to compute the solution in  $n^{f(1/c)}$  time.) Our first result suggests that this approximation algorithm is, in a sense, the best we can hope for. More precisely, assuming the gap-ETH, we exclude the existence of an  $o(k)$ -approximations within  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time for any function  $f$  that only depends on  $k$ .

Our second result demonstrates the infeasibility of achieving an approximation ratio of  $n^{1/2-\epsilon}$  in polynomial time, unless  $P = NP$ . It is not difficult to show that a greedy algorithm selecting a path with the minimum number of arcs results in a  $\lceil \sqrt{\ell} \rceil$ -approximation, where  $\ell$  is the number of edges in all the paths of an optimal solution. Since  $\ell \leq n$ , this underscores the tightness of the  $n^{1/2-\epsilon}$ -inapproximability bound.

Additionally, we establish that MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized by  $\ell$  but does not admit a polynomial kernel.

Our hardness results hold for undirected graphs with unit weights, while our positive results extend to scenarios where the input graph is directed and features arbitrary (non-negative) edge weights.

## 1 Introduction

We study a variant of the well-known VERTEX-DISJOINT PATHS problem, where the input comprises a (directed or undirected) graph  $G$  and  $k$  terminal pairs as input. The task is to identify whether pairwise vertex-disjoint paths can connect all terminals. VERTEX-DISJOINT PATHS has long been established as NP-complete [16] and has played a pivotal role in the graph-minor project by Robertson and Seymour [23].

Eilam-Tzoref [12] introduced a variant of VERTEX-DISJOINT PATHS where all paths in the solution must be *shortest* paths between the respective terminals. The parameterized complexity of this variant, known as VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, was recently resolved [2, 19]: The problem, parameterized by  $k$ , is W[1]-hard and in XP for undirected graphs. On directed graphs, the problem is NP-hard already for  $k = 2$  if zero-weight edges are allowed. The problem is solvable in polynomial time for  $k = 2$  for strictly positive edge weights [3]. It is NP-hard when  $k$  is part of the input, and the complexity for constant  $k > 2$  remains open.

An optimization variant of VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, where not necessarily all terminal pairs need to be connected, but at least  $p$  of them, is referred to as MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS.

MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS

**Input:** A graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an edge-length function  $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ , terminal pairs  $(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$  where  $s_i \neq t_i$  for  $i \in [k]$ , and integers  $p$  and  $\ell$ .  
**Question:** Is there a set  $S \subseteq [k]$  with  $|S| \geq p$  such that there is a collection  $\mathcal{C} = \{P_i\}_{i \in S}$  of pairwise vertex-disjoint paths satisfying the following conditions: for each  $i \in S$ , path  $P_i$  is a shortest path from  $s_i$  to  $t_i$  and the total amount of edges in all paths of  $\mathcal{C}$  is at most  $\ell$ ?

A few remarks are in order. In the literature concerning VERTEX-DISJOINT PATHS and its variants, it is common for paths in a solution to share a terminal. However, in our context, terminal pairs may indeed share a terminal, but the paths comprising a feasible solution must be vertex-disjoint, and this constraint also applies to their endpoints.

Note that VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is a special case of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS with  $p = k$  and  $\ell = n$ . For the maximization version, we are not given  $p$  as input but are instead asked to find a set  $S$  that is as large as possible. Slightly abusing notation, we do not distinguish between these two variants and refer to both as MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS.

In the definition of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, we also incorporate the upper bound  $\ell$  on the number of edges in a solution. This parameter  $\ell$  proves to be very useful for approximation and parameterized algorithms. While parameterization by  $k$  yields strong hardness bounds (both in parameterized complexity and approximation), another natural parameterization would be the sum of path lengths. If we confine all edge weights to be positive integers, then  $\ell$  serves as a lower bound for the sum of path lengths. Since our hardness results apply to unweighted graphs, studying  $\ell$  instead of the sum of path lengths does not weaken the negative results.

For the parameterized complexity of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, we note that the results for VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS [2, 19] for the parameterization by  $k$  directly translate for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS parameterized by  $p$ . The problem is W[1]-hard as a generalization of VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, and to obtain an XP algorithm, it is sufficient to observe that in  $n^{O(p)}$  time we can guess a set  $S \subseteq [k]$  of size  $p$  and apply the XP algorithm for VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS for the selected set of terminal pairs.

In terms of approximations, we are not aware of any studies of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS. For MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT PATHS, where the task is to connect the maximum number of terminal pair by disjoint but not necessarily shortest paths, there is a known  $O(\sqrt{n})$ -approximation [18] and the best known lower bounds are  $2^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log(n)})}$  and  $n^{\Omega(1/(\log \log n)^2)}$ , where the former lower bound holds even if the input graph is an unweighted planar graph and the latter lower bound holds even if the input graph is an unweighted grid graph [8, 9]. The best known approximation algorithms for these two special cases are  $\tilde{O}(n^{9/19})$  and  $\tilde{O}(n^{1/4})$ , respectively.

When requiring the solution paths to be edge-disjoint rather than vertex-disjoint, there have been some studies on relaxing the notion so that each edge appears in at most  $c > 1$  of the solution paths. The integer  $c$  is called the congestion and the currently best known approximation algorithm achieves a  $\text{poly}(\log n)$ -approximation with  $c = 2$  [7].

**Our results.** We show that computing a  $n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ -approximations is NP-hard for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  (Theorem 2). For FPT-approximation, we show in Theorem 1 that any  $k^{o(1)}$ -approximation in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time implies  $\text{FPT} = \text{W}[1]$  and that one cannot  $o(k)$ -approximate MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time unless the gap-ETH fails. We complement the first lower bound by developing a  $\lceil \sqrt{\ell} \rceil$ -approximation in Theorem 3. In Theorems 4 and 5, we show that MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized by  $\ell$ , but it does not admit a polynomial kernel. We mention that all of our hardness results hold for undirected graphs with unit weights and all of our positive results hold even for directed and edge-weighted input graphs. Our results are summarized in Table 1.

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. We start in Section 2 by introducing concepts and notation used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we present our results on approximation algorithms and lower bounds for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS. Section 4 is devoted to the parameterized complexity of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS with respect to  $\ell$ , that is, we show fixed-parameter tractability and exclude polynomial kernels. We conclude with an

Table 1: Overview over results. New results are bold. All hardness results hold for unweighted and undirected graphs, while all new algorithmic results hold even for directed graphs with arbitrary non-negative edge weights.

	Exact	Approximation
no parameter	NP-complete	<b>no <math>n^{1/2-\varepsilon}</math>-approximation in <math>\text{poly}(n)</math> time</b>
$k$	XP and W[1]-hard	<b>no <math>o(k)</math>-approximation in <math>f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)</math> time</b>
$\ell$	<b>FPT and no poly kernel</b>	$\lceil \sqrt{\ell} \rceil$ -approximation

open problem in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

For a positive integer  $x$ , we denote by  $[x] = \{1, 2, \dots, x\}$  the set of all positive integers at most  $x$ . We denote by  $G = (V, E)$  a graph and by  $n$  and  $m$  the number of vertices and edges in  $G$ , respectively. The graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -partite if  $V$  can be partitioned into  $k$  disjoint sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k$  such that each set  $V_i$  induces an independent set, that is, there is no edge  $\{u, v\} \in E$  with  $\{u, v\} \subseteq V_i$  for some  $i \in [k]$ . The *degree* of a vertex  $v$  is the number of edges in  $E$  that contain  $v$  as an endpoint and the *maximum degree* of a graph is highest degree of any vertex in the graph.

A *path* in a graph  $G$  is a sequence  $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$  of distinct vertices such that each pair  $(v_{i-1}, v_i)$  is connected by an edge in  $G$ . The length of a path is the sum of its edge lengths or simply the number  $\ell$  of edges if the graph is unweighted. For two vertices  $v, w$ , we denote the length of a shortest  $v$ - $w$ -path in  $G$  by  $\text{dist}_G(v, w)$  or  $\text{dist}(v, w)$  if the graph  $G$  is clear from the context. The first and last vertex  $v_0$  and  $v_\ell$  are called the *ends* of  $P$ . We also say that  $P$  is a path *from*  $v_0$  *to*  $v_\ell$  or a  $v_0$ - $v_\ell$ -path.

We assume the reader to be familiar with the big-O notation and basic concepts in computational complexity like NP-completeness and reductions. We refer to the textbook by Garey and Johnson [14] for an introduction. Throughout this paper, we reduce from 3-SAT, CLIQUE, and MULTICOLORED CLIQUE, three of the most fundamental problems in theoretical computer science. We state their definitions for the sake of completeness.

3-SAT

**Input:** A Boolean formula  $\phi$  in conjunctive normal form where each clause contains at most three literals.

**Question:** Is  $\phi$  satisfiable?

CLIQUE

**Input:** An undirected graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ .

**Question:** Is there a clique of size  $k$  in  $G$ , that is, a set of  $k$  vertices that are pairwise neighbors?

MULTICOLORED CLIQUE

**Input:** An undirected  $x$ -partite graph  $G$  and an integer  $k \leq x$ .

**Question:** Is there a clique of size  $k$  in  $G$ ?

It is more common to state MULTICOLORED CLIQUE for  $x = k$  and, in this case, the partitions of the input graph are often modelled as colors and a clique is called multicolored as it contains exactly one vertex from each color class. However, it is convenient for us to allow  $k \leq x$  and we call a clique in this context *multicolored* if it contains at most one vertex from each color class.

For a detailed introduction to parameterized complexity and kernelization, we refer the reader to the text books by Cygan et al. [10] and Fomin et al. [13]. A *parameterized problem*  $P$  is a language containing pairs  $(I, \rho)$  where  $I$  is an instance of an (unparameterized) problem and  $\rho$  is an integer called the *parameter*. In this paper, the parameter will usually be either the number  $k$  of terminal pairs or the upper bound  $\ell$  on the number of edges in a solution. A parameterized problem  $P$  is *fixed-parameter tractable* if there exists an algorithm solving any instance  $(I, \rho)$  of  $P$  in  $f(\rho) \cdot \text{poly}(|I|)$  time, where  $f$

is some computable function only depending on  $\rho$ . The class  $XP$  contains all parameterized problems which can be solved in  $|I|^{f(\rho)}$  time, that is, in polynomial time if  $\rho$  is constant. A parameterized problem is said to admit a *polynomial kernel*, if there is a polynomial-time algorithm that given an instance  $(I, \rho)$  computes an equivalent instance  $(I', \rho')$  (called the *kernel*) such that  $|I'| + \rho'$  are upper-bounded by a polynomial in  $\rho$ . It is known that any parameterized problem admitting a polynomial kernel is fixed-parameter tractable and each fixed-parameter tractable problem is contained in  $XP$ .

An optimization problem does not ask whether a given instance belongs to a language or not. Instead, it asks for an optimal feasible solution (usually some kind of maximum or minimum). In the case of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, the task is to compute a maximum size subset  $S$  of  $[k]$  such that there exist vertex-disjoint shortest paths  $P_i$  for all  $i \in S$ . An  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm for a maximization problem is a polynomial-time algorithm that for any input returns a solution of size at least  $\text{OPT}/\alpha$  where  $\text{OPT}$  is the size of an optimal solution  $S^*$ . A parameterized  $\alpha$ -approximation algorithm also returns a solution of size at least  $\text{OPT}/\alpha$ , but its running time is allowed to be  $f(\rho) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ , where  $\rho$  is the parameter and  $f$  is some computable function only depending on  $\rho$ . In this work, we always consider (unparameterized) approximation algorithms unless we specifically state a parameterized running time.

To exclude an  $\alpha$ -approximation for an optimization problem, one can use the framework of *approximation-preserving reductions*. A strict approximation-preserving reduction is a pair of algorithms—called the *reduction algorithm* and the *solution-lifting algorithm*—that both run in polynomial time and satisfy the following. The reduction algorithm takes as input an instance  $I$  of a problem  $L$  and produces an instance  $I'$  of a problem  $L'$ . The solution-lifting algorithm takes any solution  $S$  of  $I'$  and transforms it into a solution  $S^*$  of  $I$  such that if  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -approximation for  $I'$  for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ , then  $S^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -approximation for  $I$ . If a strict approximation-preserving reduction from  $L$  to  $L'$  exists and  $L$  is hard to approximate within some value  $\beta$ , then  $L'$  is also hard to approximate within  $\beta$ .

The *exponential time hypothesis (ETH)* introduced by Impagliazzo and Paturi [15] states that there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that each (unparameterized) algorithm solving 3-SAT takes at least  $2^{\varepsilon n + o(n)}$  time, where  $n$  is the number of variables in the input instance. A stronger conjecture called the *gap-ETH* was independently introduced by Dinur [11] and Manurangsi and Raghavendra [21]. It states that there exist  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$  such that any  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for MAX 3-SAT<sup>1</sup> takes at least  $2^{\delta n + o(n)}$  time.

### 3 Approximation

In this section, we show that MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS cannot be  $o(k)$ -approximated in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time and not  $n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ -approximated in polynomial time. We complement the latter result by developing a  $\lceil \sqrt{\ell} \rceil$ -approximation algorithm that runs in polynomial time. We start with a reduction based on a previous reduction by Bentert et al. [2]. Note however, that the known reduction is not approximation-preserving. Moreover, our result is tight in the sense that a  $k$ -approximation can be computed in polynomial time by simply connecting any terminal pair by a shortest path. A  $ck$ -approximation for any constant  $c \leq 1$  can also be computed in polynomial time by guessing  $\frac{1}{c}$  terminal pairs to connect and then using the XP-time algorithm by Bentert et al. [2] to find a solution. Note that since  $c$  is a constant, the XP-time algorithm for  $\frac{1}{c}$  terminal pairs runs in polynomial time.

**Theorem 1.** *Unless  $\text{FPT} = \text{W}[1]$ , MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS cannot be  $k^{o(1)}$ -approximated in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time, and assuming the gap-ETH, it cannot be  $o(k)$ -approximated in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time. All of these results hold even for subcubic graphs with terminals of degree at most two.*

*Proof.* We present a strict approximation-preserving reduction from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE to MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS such that the maximum degree is three and each terminal vertex has degree two. Moreover, the maximum number  $\text{OPT}$  of vertex-disjoint shortest paths between terminal pairs will be equal to the largest clique in the original instance. The theorem then follows from the fact that a  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ -time  $k^{o(1)}$ -approximation for CLIQUE would imply that  $\text{FPT} = \text{W}[1]$  [6, 17], and a  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ -time  $o(k)$ -approximation for CLIQUE refutes the gap-ETH [5], and the fact that

<sup>1</sup>MAX 3-SAT is a generalization of 3-SAT where the question is not whether the input formula is satisfiable but rather how many clauses can be satisfied simultaneously.




Figure 1: An illustration of the reduction from MULTICOLORED CLIQUE to MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS.

*Left side:* Example instance for MULTICOLORED CLIQUE with  $k = 4$  colors and  $n = 4$  vertices per color. A multicolored clique is highlighted (by thick edges).

*Right side:* The constructed instance with the four shortest paths corresponding to the vertices of the clique highlighted. Note that these paths are pairwise disjoint. The dotted edges (incident to  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  vertices) indicate binary trees (where all leaves have distance  $\lceil \log(\nu) \rceil$  from the root). Red edges indicate paths of length  $2\nu$  and blue edges indicate paths of length 2.

the textbook reduction from CLIQUE to MULTICOLORED CLIQUE only increases the number of vertices by a quadratic factor and does not change the size of a largest clique in the graph.

The reduction is depicted in Figure 1 and works as follows. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $k$ -partite graph (or equivalently a graph colored with  $k$  colors where all vertices of any color form an independent set) with  $\nu$  vertices of each color. Let  $V_i = \{v_1^i, v_2^i, \dots, v_\nu^i\}$  be the set of vertices of color  $i \in [k]$  in  $G$ . We start with a terminal pair  $(s_i, t_i)$  for each color  $i$  and a pair of vertices  $(s_i^j, t_i^j)$  for each vertex  $v_j^i \in V_i$ . Next for each color  $i$ , we add a binary tree of height  $\lceil \log(\nu) \rceil$  with root  $s_i$  and leaves  $s_i^j$  for  $v_j^i \in V_i$ . Analogously, we add a binary tree of the same height with root  $t_i$  and leaves  $t_i^j$ . Next, we add a crossing gadget for each pair of vertices  $(v_j^i, v_b^a)$  with  $i < a$ . If  $\{v_j^i, v_b^a\} \notin E$ , then the gadget consists of four vertices  $u_{j,b}^{i,a}, v_{j,b}^{i,a}, x_{j,b}^{i,a}$ , and  $y_{j,b}^{i,a}$  and edges  $\{u_{j,b}^{i,a}, v_{j,b}^{i,a}\}$  and  $\{x_{j,b}^{i,a}, y_{j,b}^{i,a}\}$ . If  $\{v_j^i, v_b^a\} \in E$ , then the gadget consists of only two vertices  $u_{j,b}^{i,a}$  and  $v_{j,b}^{i,a}$  and the edge  $\{u_{j,b}^{i,a}, v_{j,b}^{i,a}\}$ . For the sake of notational convenience, we will in the latter case also denote  $u_{j,b}^{i,a}$  by  $x_{j,b}^{i,a}$  and  $v_{j,b}^{i,a}$  by  $y_{j,b}^{i,a}$ . To complete the construction, we connect the different gadgets as follows. First, we connect via paths of length two  $v_{j,b}^{i,a}$  and  $u_{j,b+1}^{i,a}$  for all  $b < \nu$  and  $y_{j,b}^{i,a}$  and  $x_{j-1,b}^{i,a}$  for all  $j > 1$ . Second, we connect via paths of length two the vertices  $v_{j,\nu}^{i,a}$  to  $u_{j,1}^{i,a+1}$  for all  $j \in [\nu]$  and all  $a < k$  and  $y_{1,b}^{i,a}$  to  $x_{\nu,b}^{i+1,a}$  for all  $b \in [\nu]$  and all  $i < a - 1$ . Third, we connect also via paths of length two  $y_{1,b}^{i,i+1}$  to  $u_{b,1}^{i+1,i+2}$  for all  $i < k - 1$  and all  $b \in [\nu]$ . Next, we connect via paths of length  $2\nu$  each vertex  $s_i^j$  to  $x_{\nu,j}^{1,i}$  for each  $i > 1$  and  $j \in [\nu]$ . Similarly,  $v_{j,\nu}^{i,k}$  is connected to  $t_i^j$  via paths of length  $2\nu$ . Finally, we connect  $s_1^j$  with  $u_{j,1}^{1,2}$  for all  $j \in [\nu]$  and  $y_{1,j}^{k-2,k-1}$  with  $t_j^k$  for all  $j \in [\nu]$ . This concludes the construction.

We next prove that all shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -paths are of the form

$$s_i - s_i^j - x_{\nu,j}^{1,i} - y_{1,j}^{i-1,i} - u_{j,1}^{i,i+1} - v_{j,\nu}^{i,k} - t_i^j - t_i \quad (1)$$

for some  $j \in [\nu]$  and where the  $s_1$ - $t_1$ -paths go directly from  $s_1^j$  to  $u_{j,1}^{1,2}$  and the  $s_k$ - $t_k$ -paths go directly from  $y_{1,j}^{k-1,k}$  to  $t_j^k$ . We say that the respective path is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for color  $i$ .

To show the above claim, first note that the distance from  $s_i$  to any vertex  $s_i^j$  is the same value  $x = \lceil \log(\nu) \rceil$  for all pairs of indices  $i$  and  $j$ . Moreover, the same holds for  $t_i$  and  $t_{i,j}$ , each  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path contains at least one vertex  $s_i^j$  and one vertex  $s_i^{j'}$  for some  $j, j' \in [\nu]$ , and all paths of the form



in Equation (1) are of length  $y = 2x + 4\nu + 3(k - 1)\nu - 2$ . We first show that each path  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path of length at most  $y$  contains an edge of the form  $y_{1,b}^{i,i+1}$  to  $u_{b,1}^{i+1,i+2}$ . Consider the graph where all of these edges are removed. Note that due to the grid-like structure, the distance between  $s_i$  and  $x_{j,b}^{i',a}$  for any values  $i' \leq i \leq a, j$ , and  $b$  is at least  $x + 2\nu + 3(i' - 1)\nu + 3(\nu - j)$  if  $i = a$  and at least  $x + 2\nu + 3(i' - 1)\nu + 3(a - i)\nu + 3(\nu - j) + 3b$  if  $i < a$ .<sup>2</sup> Hence, all shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -paths use an edge of the form  $y_{1,b}^{i,i+1}$  to  $u_{b,1}^{i+1,i+2}$  and the shortest path from  $s_i^j$  to some vertex  $y_{1,b}^{i,i+1}$  is to the vertex  $y_{1,j}^{i,i+1}$ . Note that the other endpoint of the specified edge is  $u_{j,1}^{i,i+1}$  and the shortest path to  $t_i$  now goes via  $t_i^j$  for analogous reasons. Thus, all shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -paths have the form (1).

We next prove that any set of  $p$  disjoint shortest paths between terminal pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$  in the constructed graph has a one-to-one correspondence to a multicolored clique of size  $p$  for any  $p$ . For the first direction, assume that there is a set  $P$  of disjoint shortest paths between  $p$  terminal pairs. Let  $S \subseteq [k]$  be the set of indices such that the paths in  $P$  connect  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  for each  $i \in S$ . Moreover, let  $j_i$  be the index such that the shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path in  $P$  is the  $j_i^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i$  for each  $i \in S$ . Now consider the set  $K = \{v_{j_i}^i \mid i \in S\}$  of vertices in  $G$ . Clearly  $K$  contains at most one vertex of each color and is of size  $p$  as  $S$  is of size  $p$ . It remains to show that  $K$  induces a clique in  $G$ . To this end, consider any two vertices  $v_{j_i}^i, v_{j_{i'}}^{i'} \in K$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $i < i'$ . By assumption, the  $j_i^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i$  and the  $j_{i'}^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i'$  are disjoint. This implies that  $u_{j_i, j_{i'}}^{i, i'} \neq x_{j_i, j_{i'}}^{i, i'}$  as the  $j_i^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i$  contains the former and the  $j_{i'}^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i'$  contains the latter. By construction, this means that  $\{v_{j_i}^i, v_{j_{i'}}^{i'}\} \in E$ . Since the two vertices were chosen arbitrarily, it follows that all vertices in  $K$  are pairwise adjacent, that is,  $K$  induces a multicolored clique of size  $p$ .

For the other direction assume that there is a multicolored clique  $C = \{v_{j_1}^{i_1}, v_{j_2}^{i_2}, \dots, v_{j_p}^{i_p}\}$  of size  $p$  in  $G$ . We will show that the  $j_q^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i_q$  is vertex disjoint from the  $j_r^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i_r$  for all  $q \neq r \in [p]$ . Let  $q, r$  be two arbitrary distinct indices in  $[p]$  and let without loss of generality be  $q < r$ . Note that the two mentioned paths can only overlap in vertices  $u_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}, v_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}, c_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$ , or  $y_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$  and that the  $j_q^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i_q$  only contains vertices  $u_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$  and  $v_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$  and the  $j_r^{\text{th}}$  canonical path for  $i_r$  only contains  $x_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$  and  $y_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$ . Moreover, since by assumption  $v_{j_q}^{i_q}$  and  $v_{j_r}^{i_r}$  are adjacent, it holds by construction that  $u_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}, v_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}, x_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$ , and  $y_{j_q, j_r}^{i_q, i_r}$  are four distinct vertices. Thus, we found vertex disjoint paths between  $p$  distinct terminal pairs. This concludes the proof of correctness.

To finish the proof, observe that the constructed instance has maximum degree three, all terminal vertices are roots of binary trees and therefore have degree two, and that the construction can be computed in polynomial time.  $\square$

We mention in passing that in graphs of maximum degree three with terminal vertices of degree at most two, two paths are vertex disjoint if and only if they are edge disjoint. Hence, Theorem 1 also holds for the edge-disjoint version of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS.

We continue with an unparameterized lower bound by establishing that computing a  $n^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ -approximation is NP-hard. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first unparameterized approximation lower bound for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS and no similar bound is known for DISJOINT PATHS. We mention that the reduction is quite similar to the reduction in the proof for Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Computing a  $n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ -approximation for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is NP-hard.*

*Proof.* It is known that computing a  $n^{1-\varepsilon}$ -approximation for CLIQUE is NP-hard [24]. We present an approximation-preserving reduction from CLIQUE to MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS based on Theorem 1. We use basically the same reduction as in Theorem 1 but we start from an instance of CLIQUE and have a separate terminal pair for each vertex in the graph. Moreover, we do not require the binary trees pending from the terminal vertices (the terminal vertices now have degree

<sup>2</sup>We mention that there are some pairs of vertices  $x_{j_1, b_1}^{i_1, a_1}$  and  $x_{j_2, b_2}^{i_2, a_2}$ , where the distance between the two is less than  $3(|i_1 - i_2| + |a_1 - a_2|)\nu + 3(|j_1 - j_2| + |b_1 - b_2|)$ . An example is the pair  $(x_{1,1}^{1,2} = u_{1,1}^{1,2}, x_{2,2}^{1,2})$  in Figure 1 with a distance of 4. However, in all examples it holds that  $i_1\nu - j_1 \neq i_2\nu - j_2$  and  $a_1\nu + b_1 \neq a_2\nu + b_2$  such that the left side is either smaller in both inequalities or larger in both inequalities. Hence, these pairs cannot be used as shortcuts as they move “down and left” instead of towards “down and right” in Figure 1.




Figure 2: An illustration of the reduction from CLIQUE to MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS.

*Left side:* Example instance for CLIQUE with a highlighted solution (by thick edges).

*Right side:* The constructed instance with the four shortest paths corresponding to the solution on the left side highlighted. Note that each shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path uses exactly two of the diagonal edges.

one instead) and neither do we require long induced paths (red edges in Figure 1). These are instead paths with one internal vertex. An illustration of the modified reduction is given in Figure 2. Note that the number of vertices and edges in the graph is at most  $3N^2$ , where  $N$  is the number of vertices in the instance of CLIQUE. Moreover, for each terminal pair  $(s_i, t_i)$ , there is exactly one shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path (the path that moves horizontally in Figure 2 until it reaches the main diagonal, then uses exactly two edges on the diagonal, and finally moves vertically to  $t_i$ ).

We next prove that for any  $p$ , there is a one-to-one correspondence between a set of  $p$  disjoint shortest paths between terminal pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$  in the constructed graph and a clique of size  $p$  in the input graph. For the first direction, assume that there is a set  $P$  of disjoint shortest paths between  $p$  terminal pairs. Let  $S \subseteq [k]$  be the set of indices such that the paths in  $P$  connect  $s_i$  and  $t_i$  for each  $i \in S$ . Now consider the set  $K = \{v_i \mid i \in S\}$  of vertices in  $G$ . Clearly  $K$  contains  $p$  vertices. It remains to show that  $K$  induces a clique in  $G$ . To this end, consider any two vertices  $v_i, v_j \in K$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $i < j$ . By assumption, the unique shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path and the unique shortest  $s_j$ - $t_j$ -path are vertex-disjoint. By the description of the shortest paths between terminal pairs and the fact that  $s_i$  is higher than  $s_j$  and  $t_i$  is to the left of  $t_j$ , it holds that the two considered paths both visit the region that is to the right of  $s_j$  and above  $t_i$ . This implies that two edges must be crossing at this position, that is, there are four vertices in the described region and not only two. By construction, this means that  $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$ . Since the two vertices were chosen arbitrarily, it follows that all vertices in  $K$  are pairwise adjacent, that is,  $K$  induces a clique of size  $p$  in the input graph.

For the other direction assume that there is a clique  $C = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_p}\}$  of size  $p$  in the input graph. We will show that the unique shortest  $s_{i_q}$ - $t_{i_q}$ -path is vertex-disjoint from the unique shortest  $s_{i_r}$ - $t_{i_r}$ -path for all  $q \neq r \in [p]$ . Let  $q, r$  be two arbitrary distinct indices in  $[p]$  and let without loss of generality be  $q < r$ . Note that the two mentioned paths can only overlap in the region that is to the right of  $s_{i_r}$  and above  $t_{i_q}$ . Moreover, since by assumption  $v_{i_q}$  and  $v_{i_r}$  are adjacent, it holds by construction that there are four distinct vertices in the described region and the two described paths are indeed vertex-disjoint. Thus, we found vertex disjoint paths between  $p$  distinct terminal pairs.

We conclude by analyzing the approximation ratio. Note that we technically did not prove a strict reduction for the factor  $n^{1-\varepsilon}$  as the number of vertices in the two instances are not the same. Still, any  $n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ -approximation for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS corresponds to a  $(3N^2)^{1/2-\varepsilon} = N^{1-\varepsilon'}$ -approximation for CLIQUE for some  $0 < \varepsilon' < 2\varepsilon$  and therefore computing a  $n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ -approximation for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is NP-hard.  $\square$

Note that the maximum degree of the constructed instance is again three and all terminal vertices are of degree one. Thus, Theorem 2 also holds for the edge disjoint version of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS.

We next show that this result is tight, that is, we show how to compute a  $\sqrt{n}$ -approximation for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS in polynomial time. We also show that the same algorithm achieves a  $\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ -approximation. Note that we can always assume that  $\ell < n$  as a set of vertex-disjoint paths is a forest and the number of edges in a forest is less than its number of vertices. We mention that this algorithm is basically identical to the best known (unparameterized) approximation algorithm for MAXIMUM DISJOINT PATHS [18].

**Theorem 3.** *There is a polynomial-time algorithm for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS on directed and weighted graphs that achieves an approximation factor of  $\min\{\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let OPT be a maximum subset of terminal pairs that can be connected by shortest pairwise vertex-disjoint paths and let  $j$  be the index of a terminal pair  $(s_j, t_j)$  such that a shortest  $(s_j, t_j)$ -path contains a minimum number of arcs. We can compute the index  $j$  as well as a shortest  $s_j$ - $t_j$ -path with a minimum number of arcs by running a folklore modification of Dijkstra's algorithm from each terminal vertex  $s_i$ .<sup>3</sup> Let  $\ell_j$  be the number of arcs in the found path. Our algorithm iteratively picks the shortest  $s_j$ - $t_j$ -path using  $\ell_j$  arcs, removes all involved vertices from the graph, recomputes the distance between all terminal pairs, removes all terminal pairs whose distance increased, updates the index  $j$ , and recomputes  $\ell_j$ . For the analysis of our algorithm, we assume that we know an optimal solution and pretend to also remove a terminal pair  $(s_i, t_i)$  if the minimum number  $\ell_i$  of arcs in a shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path increases. Moreover, we distinguish whether  $\ell_j + 1 \leq \min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$  or not.

While  $\ell_j + 1 \leq \min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$ , note that we removed at most  $\ell_j + 1$  terminal pairs in OPT. Hence, if  $\ell_j + 1 \leq \min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$  holds at every stage, then we connected at least  $|\text{OPT}| / \min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$  terminal pairs, that is, we found a  $\min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$ -approximation.

So assume that at some point  $\ell_j > \min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$  and let  $x$  be the number of terminal pairs that we already connected by disjoint shortest paths. By the argument above, we have removed at most  $x \min(\sqrt{n}, \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil)$  terminal pairs from OPT thus far. We now make a case distinction whether or not  $\sqrt{n} \leq \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ . If  $\ell_j + 1 > \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil \geq \sqrt{n}$ , then we note that all remaining paths in OPT contain at least  $\sqrt{n}$  vertices each and since the paths are vertex-disjoint, there can be at most  $\sqrt{n}$  paths left in OPT. Hence, we can infer that  $|\text{OPT}| \leq (x + 1) \cdot \sqrt{n}$ . Consequently, even though we might remove all remaining terminal pairs in OPT by connecting  $s_j$  and  $t_j$ , this is still a  $\sqrt{n}$ -approximation (and a  $\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ -approximation as we assumed  $\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil \geq \sqrt{n}$ ).

If  $\ell_j + 1 > \sqrt{n} \geq \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ , then we note that all remaining paths in OPT contain at least  $\ell_j > \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil - 1$  edges each. Moreover, since  $\ell_j$  and  $\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$  are integers, each path contains at least  $\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$  edges each. Since all paths in OPT contain by definition at most  $\ell$  edges combined, the number of paths in OPT is at most  $\ell / \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil \leq \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ . Hence, we can infer in that case that  $|\text{OPT}| \leq (x + 1) \cdot \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ . Again, even if we remove all remaining terminal pairs in OPT by connecting  $s_j$  and  $t_j$ , this is still a  $\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ -approximation (and a  $\sqrt{n}$ -approximation as we assumed  $\sqrt{n} \geq \lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ ). This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Exact Algorithms

In this section, we show that MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized by  $\ell$ , but it does not admit a polynomial kernel. The proof of our first theorem uses the *color-coding* technique of Alon, Yuster, and Zwick [1]. Imagine we are searching for some structure of size  $k$  in a graph. The idea of color coding is to color the vertices (or edges) of the input graph with a set of  $k$  colors and then only search for colorful solutions, that is, structures in which all vertices have distinct colors. Of course, this might not yield an optimal solution, but by trying enough different random colorings, one can often get a constant error probability in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time. Using the following result by Naor, Schulman, and Srinivasan [22], this can also be turned into a deterministic algorithm showing that the problem is fixed-parameter tractable. The result states that for any  $n, k \geq 1$ , one can construct an  $(n, k)$ -perfect hash family of size  $e^k k^{O(\log k)} \log(n)$  in  $e^k k^{O(\log k)} n \log(n)$  time. An  $(n, k)$ -perfect hash family  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of functions from  $[n]$  to  $[k]$  such that for every set  $S \subseteq [n]$  with  $|S| \leq k$ , there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f$  colors all vertices in  $S$  with distinct colors.

<sup>3</sup>The standard Dijkstra's algorithm is modified by assigning to each vertex a pair of labels: the distance from the terminal and the number of arcs in the corresponding path; then the pairs of labels are compared lexicographically.



**Theorem 4.** MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS *on weighted and directed graphs can be solved in  $2^{O(\ell)}$  poly( $n$ ) time.*

*Proof.* Let  $(G, w, (s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k), p, \ell)$  be an instance of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS. We assume that  $p \leq \ell$  as we have a trivial no-instance otherwise. Notice that the total number of vertices in a (potential) solution with  $p$  paths is at most  $\ell + p$ . We use the color-coding technique of Alon, Yuster, and Zwick [1]. We color the vertices of  $G$  uniformly at random using  $p + \ell$  colors (the set of colors is  $[\ell + p]$ ) and observe that the probability that all the vertices in the paths in a solution have distinct colors is at least  $\frac{(p+\ell)!}{(p+\ell)^{(p+\ell)}} \geq e^{-(p+\ell)}$ . We say that a solution to the considered instance is *colorful* if distinct paths in the solution have no vertices of the same color. Note that we do not require that the vertices within a path in the solution are colored by distinct colors. The crucial observations are that any colorful solution is a solution and the probability of the existence of a colorful solution for a yes-instance of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is at least  $e^{-(p+\ell)}$  as any solution in which all vertices receive distinct colors is a colorful solution.

We use dynamic programming over subsets of colors to find a colorful solution. More precisely, we find the minimum number of arcs in a collection  $\mathcal{C} = \{P_i\}_{i \in S}$  of  $p$  pairwise vertex-disjoint paths for some  $S \subseteq [k]$  satisfying the conditions: (i) for each  $i \in S$ , the path  $P_i$  is a shortest path from  $s_i$  to  $t_i$  and (ii) there are no vertices of distinct paths of the same color.

For a subset  $X \subseteq [p + \ell]$  of colors and a positive integer  $r \leq p$ , we denote by  $f[X, r]$  the minimum total number of arcs in  $r$  shortest paths connecting distinct terminal pairs such that the paths contains only vertices of colors in  $X$  and there are no vertices of distinct paths of the same color. We set  $f[X, r] = \infty$  if such a collection of  $r$  paths does not exist.

To compute  $f$ , if  $r = 1$ , then let  $W \subseteq V$  be the subset of vertices colored by the colors in  $X$ . We use Dijkstra's algorithm to find the set  $I \subseteq [k]$  of all indices  $i \in [k]$  such that the lengths of the shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -paths in  $G$  and  $G[W]$  are the same. If  $I = \emptyset$ , then we set  $f[X, 1] = \infty$ . Assume that this is not the case. Then, we use the variant of Dijkstra's algorithm mentioned in Theorem 3 to find the index  $i \in I$  and a shortest  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path  $P$  in  $G[W]$  with a minimum number of arcs. Finally, we set  $f[X, 1]$  to be equal to the number of arcs in  $P$ .

For  $r \geq 2$ , we compute  $f[X, r]$  for each  $X \subseteq [p + \ell]$  using the recurrence relation

$$f[X, r] = \min_{Y \subset X} \{f[X \setminus Y, r - 1] + f[Y, 1]\}. \quad (2)$$

The correctness of computing the values of  $f[X, 1]$  follows from the description and the correctness of recurrence (2) follows from the condition that distinct paths should not have vertices of the same color.

We compute the values  $f[X, r]$  in order of increasing  $r \in [p]$ . Since computing  $f[Y, 1]$  for a given set  $Y$  of colors can be done in polynomial time, we can compute all values in overall  $3^{p+\ell}$  poly( $n$ ) time. Once all values  $f[X, r]$  are computed, we observe that a colorful solution exists if and only if  $f[S, p] \leq \ell$ .

If there is a colorful solution, then we conclude that  $(G, w, (s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k), p, \ell)$  is a yes-instance of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS. Otherwise, we discard the considered coloring and try another random coloring and iterate. If we fail to find a solution after executing  $N = \lceil e^{p+\ell} \rceil$  iterations, we obtain that the probability that  $(G, w, (s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k), p, \ell)$  is a yes-instance is at most  $(1 - \frac{1}{e^{p+\ell}})^{e^{p+\ell}} \leq e^{-1}$ . Thus, we return that  $(G, w, (s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k), p, \ell)$  is a no-instance with the error probability upper bounded by  $e^{-1} < 1$ . Since the running time in each iteration is  $3^{p+\ell}$  poly( $n$ ) and  $p \leq \ell$ , the total running time is in  $2^{O(\ell)}$  poly( $n$ ).

The above algorithm can be derandomized using the results of Naor, Schulman, and Srinivasan [22] by replacing random colorings by perfect hash families. We refer to the textbook by Cygan et al. [10] for details on this common technique.  $\square$

The FPT result of Theorem 4 immediately raises the question about the existence of a polynomial kernel. To show that a parameterized problem  $P$  does presumably not admit a polynomial kernel, one can use the framework of *cross-compositions*. Given an NP-hard problem  $L$ , a polynomial equivalence relation  $R$  on the instances of  $L$  is an equivalence relation such that (i) one can decide for any two instances in polynomial time whether they belong to the same equivalence class, and (ii) for any finite set  $S$  of instances,  $R$  partitions the set into at most  $\max_{I \in S} \text{poly}(|I|)$  equivalence classes. Given an NP-hard problem  $L$ , a parameterized problem  $P$ , and a polynomial equivalence relation  $R$  on the instances of  $L$ , an OR-cross-composition of  $L$  into  $P$  (with respect to  $R$ ) is an




Figure 3: An example of the construction in the proof of Proposition 1 for the input formula  $(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \overline{x_3}) \wedge (x_1 \vee \overline{x_2} \vee x_3)$ .

algorithm that takes  $q$  instances  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_q$  of  $L$  belonging to the same equivalence class of  $R$  and constructs in  $\text{poly}(\sum_{i=1}^q |I_i|)$  time an instance  $(I, \rho)$  of  $P$  such that (i)  $\rho$  is polynomially upper-bounded by  $\max_{i \in [q]} |I_i| + \log(q)$ , and (ii)  $(I, \rho)$  is a yes-instance of  $P$  if and only if at least one of the instances  $I_i$  is a yes-instance of  $L$ . If a parameterized problem admits an OR-cross-composition, then it does not admit a polynomial kernel unless  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{coNP}/\text{poly}$  [4].

In order to exclude a polynomial kernel, we first show that a special case of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS remains NP-hard. We call this special case LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS and it is the special case of VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS where all edges have weight 1 and the input graph is layered, that is, there is a partition of the vertices into (disjoint) sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\lambda$  such that all edges  $\{u, v\}$  are between two consecutive layers, that is  $u \in V_i$  and  $v \in V_{i+1}$  or  $u \in V_i$  and  $v \in V_{i-1}$  for some  $i \in [\lambda]$ . Moreover, each terminal pair  $(s_i, t_i)$  satisfies that  $s_i \in V_1$ ,  $t_i \in V_\lambda$ , and each shortest path between the two terminals is *monotone*, that is, it contains exactly one vertex of each layer. LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is formally defined as follows.

**LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS**

**Input:** A  $\lambda$ -layered graph  $G = (V, E)$  with a  $\lambda$ -partition  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\lambda\}$  of the vertex set, terminal pairs  $(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \dots, (s_k, t_k)$  with  $s_i \in V_1$ ,  $t_i \in V_\lambda$ , and  $\text{dist}(s_i, t_i) = \lambda - 1$  for all  $i \in [k]$ .

**Question:** Is there a collection  $\mathcal{C} = \{P_i\}_{i \in [k]}$  of pairwise vertex-disjoint paths such that  $P_i$  is an  $s_i$ - $t_i$ -path of length  $\lambda - 1$  for all  $i \in [k]$ ?

We now prove that LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is NP-complete.

**Proposition 1.** LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is NP-complete.

*Proof.* We focus on the NP-hardness as LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is a special case of VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS and therefore clearly in NP. We reduce from 3-SAT. The main part of the reduction is a selection gadget. The gadget consists of a set  $U$  of  $n + 1$  vertices  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n$  and between each pair of consecutive vertices  $u_{i-1}, u_i$ , there are two paths with  $m$  internal vertices each. Let the set of vertices be  $V_i = \{v_1^i, v_2^i, \dots, v_m^i\}$  and  $W_i = \{w_1^i, w_2^i, \dots, w_m^i\}$ . The set of edges in the selection gadget is

$$E = \{\{u_{i-1}, v_1^i\}, \{u_{i-1}, w_1^i\}, \{v_m^i, u_i\}, \{w_m^i, u_i\} \mid i \in [n]\} \\ \cup \{\{v_j^i, v_{j+1}^i\}, \{w_j^i, w_{j+1}^i\} \mid i \in [n] \wedge j \in [m-1]\}.$$

The constructed instance will have  $m + 1$  terminal pairs and is depicted in Figure 3. We set  $s_{m+1} = u_0$  and  $t_{m+1} = u_n$  and we will ensure that any shortest  $s_{m+1}$ - $t_{m+1}$ -path contains all vertices in  $U$  and for each  $i \in [n]$  either all vertices in  $V_i$  or all vertices in  $W_i$ . These choices will correspond to setting the  $i^{\text{th}}$  variable to either true or false. Additionally, we have a terminal pair  $(s_j, t_j)$  for each clause  $C_j$ .

There are (up to) three disjoint paths between  $s_j$  and  $t_j$ , each of which is of length  $n \cdot (m + 1)$ . These paths correspond to which literal in the clause satisfies it. For each of these paths, let  $x_i$  be the variable corresponding to the path. If  $x_i$  appears positively in  $C_j$ , then we identify the  $(i - 1)(m + 1) + j + 1^{\text{st}}$  vertex in the path with  $w_j^i$  and if  $x_i$  appears negatively, then we identify the vertex with  $v_j^i$ . Note that the constructed instance is  $(m + 1)n$ -layered and that once any monotone path starting in  $s_{m+1}$  leaves the selection gadget, it cannot end in  $t_{m+1}$  as any vertex outside the selection gadget has degree at most two and at the end of these paths are only terminals  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$ .

Since the construction clearly runs in polynomial time, we focus on the proof of correctness. If the input formula is satisfiable, then we connect all terminal pairs as follows. Let  $\beta$  be a satisfying assignment. The terminal pair  $(s_{m+1}, t_{m+1})$  is connected by a path containing all vertices in  $U$  and for each  $i \in [n]$ , if  $\beta$  assigns the  $i^{\text{th}}$  variable to true, then the path contains all vertices in  $V_i$  and otherwise all vertices in  $W_i$ . For each clause  $C_j$ , let  $x_{i_j}$  be variable in  $C_j$  which  $\beta$  uses to satisfy  $C_j$  (if multiple such variables exist, we choose any one). By construction, there is a path associated with  $x_{i_j}$  that connects  $s_j$  and  $t_j$  and only uses one vertex in  $W_i$  if  $x_{i_j}$  appears positively in  $C_j$  and a vertex in  $V_i$ , otherwise. Since each vertex in  $V_i$  and  $W_i$  is only associated with at most one such path, we can connect all terminal pairs. For the other direction assume that all  $m + 1$  terminal pairs can be connected by disjoint shortest paths. As argued above, the  $s_{m+1}$ - $t_{m+1}$ -path stays in the selection gadget. We define a truth assignment by assigning the  $i^{\text{th}}$  variable to true if and only if the  $s_{m+1}$ - $t_{m+1}$ -path contains the vertices in  $V_i$ . For each clause  $C_j$ , we look at the neighbor of  $s_j$  in the solution. This vertex belongs to a path of degree-two vertices that at some point joins the selection gadget. By construction, the vertex where this happens is not used by the  $s_{m+1}$ - $t_{m+1}$ -path, which guarantees that  $C_j$  is satisfied by the corresponding variable. Since all clauses are satisfied by the same assignment, the formula is satisfiable and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

With the NP-hardness of LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS at hand, we can now show that it does not admit a polynomial kernel when parameterized by  $\ell$  by providing an OR-cross-composition from its unparameterized version to the version parameterized by  $\ell$ .

**Theorem 5.** LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS parameterized by  $\ell = k \cdot (\lambda - 1)$  does not admit a polynomial kernel unless  $NP \subseteq coNP/poly$ .

*Proof.* We present an OR-cross-composition from LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS into LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS parameterized by  $\ell$ . To this end, assume we are given  $t$  instances of LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS all of which have the same number  $\lambda$  of layers and the same number  $k$  of terminal pairs. Moreover, we assume that  $t$  is some power of two. Note that we can pad the instance with at most  $t$  trivial no-instances to reach an equivalent instance in which the number of instances is a power of two and the size of all instances combined has at most doubled.

The main ingredient for our proof is a construction to merge two instances into one. The construction is depicted in Figure 4. We first prove that the constructed instance is a yes-instance if and only if at least one of the original instances was a yes-instance. Afterwards, we will show how to use this construction to get an OR-cross-composition for all  $t$  instances.

To show that the construction works correctly, first assume that one of the two instances original instances is a yes-instance. Since both cases are completely symmetrical, assume that there are shortest disjoint paths between all terminal pairs  $(s_a^i, t_a^i)$  for all  $a \in [k]$  in  $G_i$ . Then, we can connect all terminal pairs  $(s_b, t_b)$  by using the unique shortest paths between  $s_b$  and  $s_b^i$  and between  $t_b^i$  and  $t_b$  for all  $b \in [k]$  together with the solution paths inside  $G_i$ . Now assume that there is a solution in the constructed instance, that is, there are pairwise vertex-disjoint shortest paths between all terminal pairs  $(s_b, t_b)$  for all  $b \in [k]$ . First assume that the  $s_1$ - $t_1$ -path passes through  $G_i$ . Then, this path uses the unique shortest path from  $t_1^i$  to  $t_i$ . Note that this path blocks all paths between  $t_b^i$  and vertices in  $G_j$  for all  $b \neq 1$ . Thus, all paths have to pass through the graph  $G_i$ . Note that the only possible way to route vertex-disjoint paths from all  $s$ -terminals to all  $s^i$  terminals and from all  $t^i$ -terminals to all  $t$ -terminals is to connect  $s_a$  to  $s_a^i$  and  $t_a^i$  to  $t_a$  for all  $a \in [k]$ . This implies that there is a solution that contains vertex-disjoint shortest paths between  $s_a^i$  and  $t_a^i$  in  $G_i$  for all  $a \in [k]$ , that is, at least one of the two original instances is a yes-instance. The case where the  $s_1$ - $t_1$ -path passes through  $G_j$  is analogous since the only monotone path from  $s_1$  to a vertex in  $G_j$  is the unique shortest  $s_1$ - $s_1^j$ -path and this path blocks all monotone paths from  $s_a$  to vertices in  $G_i$  for all  $a \neq 1$ .




Figure 4: The construction to merge two instances of LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS into one equivalent instance. The dotted edges can be read as regular edges for  $k = 4$  and indicate where additional vertices and edges have to be added for more terminal pairs. Note that the height of a vertex in the drawing does not indicate its layer as dotted edges distort the picture.

Note that the constructed graph is layered and that the number of layers is  $\lambda + 2k$ . Moreover, the size of the new instance is in  $O(|G_i| + |G_j| + k^2)$ . To complete the reduction, we iteratively half the number of instances by partitioning all instances into arbitrary pairs and merge the two instances in a pair into one instance. After  $\log t$  iterations, we are left with a single instance which is a yes-instance if and only if at least one of the  $t$  original instances is a yes-instance. The size of the instance is in  $O(\sum_{i \in [t]} |G_i| + t \cdot k^2)$  which is clearly polynomial in  $\sum_{i \in [t]} |G_i|$  as each instance contains at least  $k$  vertices. Moreover, the parameter  $\ell$  in the constructed instance is  $k \cdot (\lambda - 1) + 2k \log t$ , which is polynomial in  $|G_i| + \log t$  for each graph  $G_i$  as  $G_i$  contains at least one vertex in each of the  $\lambda$  layers and at least  $k$  terminal vertices. Thus, all requirements of an OR-cross-composition are met and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

Note that since LAYERED VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is a special case of MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS, Theorem 5 also excludes polynomial kernels for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS parameterized by  $\ell$ .

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS. We show that there is no  $n^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ -approximation in polynomial time unless  $P = NP$ . Moreover, if  $FPT \neq W[1]$  or assuming the stronger gap-ETH, we show that there are no non-trivial approximations for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS in  $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(n)$  time. When parameterized by  $\ell$ , there is simple  $\lceil \sqrt{\ell} \rceil$ -approximation in polynomial time that matches the  $n^{1/2}$  lower bound as  $\ell < n$ . Finally, we showed that MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized by  $\ell$ , but it does not admit a polynomial kernel.

A way to combine approximation algorithms and the theory of (polynomial) kernels are *lossy kernels* [20]. Since the exact definition is quite technical and not relevant for this work, we only give an intuitive description. An  $\alpha$ -approximate kernel or lossy kernel for an optimization problem is a pair of algorithms that run in polynomial time which are called *pre-processing algorithm* and *solution-lifting algorithm*. The pre-processing algorithm takes as input an instance  $(I, \rho)$  of a parameterized problem  $P$  and outputs an instance  $(I', \rho')$  of  $P$  such that  $|I'| + \rho' \leq g(\rho)$  for some computable function  $g$ . The solution-lifting algorithm takes any solution  $S$  of  $(I', \rho')$  and transforms it into a solution  $S^*$  of  $(I, \rho)$  such that if  $S$  is an  $\gamma$ -approximation for  $(I', \rho')$  for some  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then  $S^*$  is an  $\gamma \cdot \alpha$ -approximation for  $(I, \rho)$ . If size of the kernel is  $g(\rho)$  and if  $g$  is constant or a polynomial, then we call it a constant-size or polynomial-size  $\alpha$ -approximate kernel, respectively. It is known that a (decidable) parameterized problem admits a constant-size approximate  $\alpha$ -kernel if and only if the unparameterized problem associated with  $P$  can be  $\alpha$ -approximated (in polynomial time) [20]. Moreover, any (decidable) parameterized problem admits an  $\alpha$ -approximate kernel (of arbitrary size) if and only if the problem can be  $\alpha$ -approximated in  $f(\rho) \cdot \text{poly}(|I|)$  time.

In terms of lossy kernelization, our results imply that there are no non-trivial lossy kernels for the parameter  $k$ . For the parameter  $\ell$ , Theorem 3 implies a constant-size lossy kernel for  $\alpha \in \Omega(\sqrt{\ell})$  and Theorem 4 implies an  $f(\ell)$ -size lossy kernels for any  $\alpha \geq 1$ . This leaves the following gap which we pose as an open problems.

**Open Problem 1.** *Are there any poly( $\ell$ )-size lossy kernels for MAXIMUM VERTEX-DISJOINT SHORTEST PATHS with  $\alpha \in o(\sqrt{\ell})$  (or even constant  $\alpha$ )?*

## References

- [1] Noga Alon, Raphael Yuster, and Uri Zwick. Color-coding. *Journal of the ACM*, 42(4):844–856, 1995.
- [2] Matthias Bentert, André Nichterlein, Malte Renken, and Philipp Zschoche. Using a geometric lens to find  $k$ -disjoint shortest paths. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 37(3):1674–1703, 2023.
- [3] Kristof Berczi and Yusuke Kobayashi. The directed disjoint shortest paths problem. In *Proceedings of the 25th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA)*, pages 13:1–13:13. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2017.
- [4] Hans L. Bodlaender, Bart M. P. Jansen, and Stefan Kratsch. Kernelization lower bounds by cross-composition. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 28(1):277–305, 2014.
- [5] Parinya Chalermsook, Marek Cygan, Guy Kortsarz, Bundit Laekhanukit, Pasin Manurangsi, Danupon Nanongkai, and Luca Trevisan. From Gap-ETH to FPT-inapproximability: Clique, dominating set, and more. In *Proceedings of the 58th IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 743–754. IEEE Computer Society, 2017.
- [6] Yijia Chen, Yi Feng, Bundit Laekhanukit, and Yanlin Liu. Simple combinatorial construction of the  $k^{o(1)}$ -lower bound for approximating the parameterized  $k$ -clique. *CoRR*, abs/2304.07516, 2023.
- [7] Julia Chuzhoy and Shi Li. A polylogarithmic approximation algorithm for edge-disjoint paths with congestion 2. *Journal of the ACM*, 63(5):45:1–45:51, 2016.
- [8] Julia Chuzhoy, David H. K. Kim, and Rachit Nimavat. Almost polynomial hardness of node-disjoint paths in grids. *Theory of Computing*, 17:1–57, 2021.
- [9] Julia Chuzhoy, David H. K. Kim, and Rachit Nimavat. New hardness results for routing on disjoint paths. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 51(2):17–189, 2022.
- [10] Marek Cygan, Fedor V. Fomin, Lukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michal Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized Algorithms*. Springer, 2015.



- [11] Irit Dinur. Mildly exponential reduction from gap 3SAT to polynomial-gap label-cover. *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity*, TR16-128, 2016.
- [12] Tali Eilam-Tzoref. The disjoint shortest paths problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 85(2): 113–138, 1998.
- [13] Fedor V. Fomin, Daniel Lokshtanov, Saket Saurabh, and Meirav Zehavi. *Kernelization*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [14] M. R. Garey and David S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
- [15] Russell Impagliazzo and Ramamohan Paturi. On the complexity of k-sat. *Journal on Computer and System Sciences*, 62(2):367–375, 2001.
- [16] Richard M. Karp. On the computational complexity of combinatorial problems. *Networks*, 5(1): 45–68, 1975.
- [17] Karthik C. S. and Subhash Khot. Almost polynomial factor inapproximability for parameterized k-clique. In *Proceedings of the 37th Computational Complexity Conference (CCC)*, pages 6:1–6:21. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022.
- [18] Jon M. Kleinberg. *Approximation algorithms for disjoint paths problems*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1996.
- [19] William Lochet. A polynomial time algorithm for the  $k$ -disjoint shortest paths problem. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 169–178. SIAM, 2021.
- [20] Daniel Lokshtanov, Fahad Panolan, M. S. Ramanujan, and Saket Saurabh. Lossy kernelization. In Hamed Hatami, Pierre McKenzie, and Valerie King, editors, *Proceedings of the 49th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 224–237. ACM, 2017.
- [21] Pasin Manurangsi and Prasad Raghavendra. A birthday repetition theorem and complexity of approximating dense csps. In *Proceedings of the 44th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP)*, pages 78:1–78:15. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2017.
- [22] Moni Naor, Leonard J. Schulman, and Aravind Srinivasan. Splitters and near-optimal derandomization. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 182–191. IEEE Computer Society, 1995.
- [23] Neil Robertson and Paul D. Seymour. Graph minors. XIII: The disjoint paths problem. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B*, 63(1):65–110, 1995.
- [24] David Zuckerman. Linear degree extractors and the inapproximability of max clique and chromatic number. *Theory of Computing*, 3(1):103–128, 2007.