

# Enhancing SCADA Security: Developing a Host-Based Intrusion Detection System to Safeguard Against Cyberattacks

Ömer Sen<sup>\*†</sup>, Tarek Hassan<sup>\*</sup>, Andreas Ulbig<sup>\*†</sup>, Martin Henze<sup>‡§</sup>,

<sup>\*</sup>IAEW, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany — <sup>†</sup>Digital Energy, Fraunhofer FIT, Aachen, Germany

Email: {o.sen, a.ulbig}@iaew.rwth-aachen.de, tarek.hassan1@rwth-aachen.de, {oemer.sen, andreas.ulbig}@fit.fraunhofer.de

<sup>‡</sup>SPICE, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany — <sup>§</sup>CA&D, Fraunhofer FKIE, Wachtberg, Germany

Email: henze@cs.rwth-aachen.de, martin.henze@fkie.fraunhofer.de

**Abstract**—With the increasing reliance of smart grids on correctly functioning SCADA systems and their vulnerability to cyberattacks, there is a pressing need for effective security measures. SCADA systems are prone to cyberattacks, posing risks to critical infrastructure. As there is a lack of host-based intrusion detection systems specifically designed for the stable nature of SCADA systems, the objective of this work is to propose a host-based intrusion detection system tailored for SCADA systems in smart grids. The proposed system utilizes USB device identification, flagging, and process memory scanning to monitor and detect anomalies in SCADA systems, providing enhanced security measures. Evaluation in three different scenarios demonstrates the tool's effectiveness in detecting and disabling malware. The proposed approach effectively identifies potential threats and enhances the security of SCADA systems in smart grids, providing a promising solution to protect against cyberattacks.

**Index Terms**—SCADA System, Cyber Security, Host-based Intrusion Detection System, Smart Grid, USB Device Malware

## I. INTRODUCTION

The integration of Internet-of-Things (IoT) with cyber-physical system (CPS) has advanced operational capabilities in smart grid (SG), but it also introduces vulnerabilities as operational technology (OT) and IT boundaries blur [1]. However, the transition towards Industry 4.0 has encouraged the integration of cloud services and increased connectivity [2]. While this offers numerous advantages, it also exposes supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems to heightened cyber security risks.

The new threat landscape necessitate robust protective measures to safeguard against potential cyberattacks [3]. The incorporation of Demilitarized Zones (DMZs) in modern SCADA architecture serves as a critical intermediary layer, mitigating risks from external networks as part of the defense-in-depth concept [4]. Despite existing security measures, these systems remain susceptible to indirect malware propagation. Challenges persist, especially concerning the insecurity of peripheral communication standards like USB and the potential risk of process memory hijacking [5]. The historical instance of the Stuxnet attack, which utilized process hijacking and USB drive insertion as an attack vector [6], exemplifies this vulnerability and underscores the need for heightened

security in SCADA systems [7]. However, the focus of existing research has predominantly been on network-based intrusion detection systems (IDSs), often overlooking the critical need for SCADA-specific host-based intrusion detection systems (HIDSs) that are essential for addressing sophisticated, zero-day threats [8]. However, these studies have not adequately addressed the use of USB device identification or process memory scanning and hashing in HIDS for SCADA systems.

Addressing the gaps in current methodologies, a comprehensive approach to enhance the security of SCADA systems is needed. Such measures are critical in addressing the vulnerabilities posed by the process memory hijacking via insecure design of peripheral communication standards, thereby enhancing the overall security and resilience of SCADA systems against a myriad of cyber threats [9]. This oversight in the existing literature and the demonstrated vulnerabilities, such as those exploited by Stuxnet, highlight the critical need for a more comprehensive approach in HIDS design for SCADA systems.

In this paper we present our HIDS approach, designed for the stable environments of SCADA systems, that leverages their predictable behavior to enhance anomaly detection by targeting specific vulnerabilities like unauthorized hardware insertions. By integrating USB device identification, process memory scanning, and hashing, it ensures real-time, comprehensive protection against diverse cyber threats. Additionally, our specification-based approach for process monitoring, involving the definition of normal operational profiles and real-time monitoring, has been validated through case studies, demonstrating its effectiveness in practical SCADA scenarios.

The key contributions of this work are:

- 1) Providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of HIDS in SCADA systems, pinpointing the research gaps.
- 2) Proposing a novel HIDS framework tailored for SCADA systems, focusing on protecting against unknown threats via hardware and memory-based attacks.
- 3) Validating the proposed HIDS approach through various scenarios, demonstrating its effectiveness and reliability in real-world applications.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 1 introduces SCADA systems’ vulnerabilities; Section 2 discusses the proposed HIDS design; Section 3 details the case studies and discussion; followed by concluding remarks in Section 5.

## II. MULTI-STAGED ATTACK DETECTION SYSTEM

In this section, we present our proposed HIDS approach and the method we use in malware detection.

### A. Overview of HIDS Approach

The proposed method outlines the development of a HIDS specifically designed for SCADA systems (cf. Figure 1). The HIDS combines USB device identification, process memory scanning, and hashing techniques to detect and disable malware attacks on SCADA systems. It follows a multi-stage approach, involving multiple components working together to provide comprehensive security coverage. By integrating these components, the HIDS aims to detect anomalies and potential security threats in real-time, ensuring the robust protection of SCADA systems. By compiling the Python scripts with the runtime interpreter for the dedicated operating system, a portable executable HIDS for Linux-based SCADA systems can be created.

### B. Setup Phase for Data Collection

In this section, we describe the setup phase for the data collection process of the proposed HIDS approach for later use in malware detection.

In the setup phase of our HIDS, tailored for SCADA systems, we create a secure baseline encompassing both a comprehensive whitelist of USB devices and a catalog of legitimate processes and modules. This involves meticulously vetting USB devices and running processes with unique identifiers for legitimate devices and process memory hashes, while cryptographic methods and strict access controls safeguard this baseline, ensuring protection against unauthorized modifications during operation.

1) *USB Device Identification*: The HIDS incorporates USB device identification as a critical component of its approach to enhance security. USB devices have the potential to serve as entry points for malicious actors, making their detection and monitoring crucial for system protection. The approach utilizes Python-based libraries, specifically *usb.core* and *usb.util*, to retrieve essential information about connected USB devices. These libraries facilitate the generation of unique device IDs, which are then compared against a trusted ID list to identify potentially harmful devices. Unauthorized devices are flagged and disabled by detaching them from the kernel driver. By leveraging the capabilities of the *usb.core* and *usb.util* libraries, the approach offers an effective and comprehensive solution for USB device detection and security monitoring (cf. Algorithm 1). The USB Device Identification algorithm is designed to identify and manage connected USB devices by generating unique device IDs and verifying them against an allow list. The algorithm begins by searching for all connected USB devices and extracting essential information such as the

---

### Algorithm 1: USB Device Identification

---

```

Function check_usb()
    devices ← find_all_usb_devices();
    for device in devices do
        vendor_id ← get_vendor_id(device);
        product_id ← get_product_id(device);
        product_name ← get_product_name(device);
        serial_number ← get_serial_number(device);
        device_id ← generate_id(vendor_id, product_id,
            serial_number, product_name);
        if device_not_in_allow_list(device_id) then
            | detach_kernel_driver(device);
        end
    end

```

---

vendor ID, product ID, product name, and serial number. This information is used to create a unique device identifier.

Next, the algorithm checks if the generated device ID is present in the allow list. If the device is not found in the allow list, it is considered potentially unauthorized or malicious. In such cases, the algorithm takes proactive measures by detaching the device from the kernel driver, effectively disabling it.

By applying these steps to each connected USB device, the algorithm ensures that only authorized devices remain active. It provides a mechanism to identify and take action against potentially harmful or unauthorized USB devices within a system. This approach extends the operational capabilities of a conventional HIDS, which typically operates passively and only raises alerts.

2) *Process Memory Scanning and Hashing*: The HIDS employs process memory scanning and hashing techniques to detect malicious activity within running processes. The approach involves reading and loading all processes on the system, using the *psutil* library in Python. Malware can infect processes through various techniques, posing a significant threat to SCADA systems. The process memory scanning and hashing feature focuses on the executable code section of processes, which contains critical instructions for program behavior. By comparing generated hashes of process memory, the HIDS can flag abnormal memory regions for further analysis. The implementation includes reading process memory using specific files in Linux systems and utilizing the SHA-256 hashing algorithm to generate unique hashes (cf. Algorithm 2). The process detection and hashing algorithm checks the memory of running processes to gather information and calculate hashes. It utilizes the *read\_module\_memory* function, which reads the memory regions of a process specified by its process ID (pid) and module path. The function extracts relevant information, such as start and end addresses and permissions, from the process’s memory map file. It then checks if the region is readable and executable before reading the actual memory from the process’s memory file. The collected memory is stored in a bytearray called *module\_dump*. The *get\_module\_hash* function uses the *hashlib.sha256* function to calculate the SHA-256 hash of the module’s memory dump. It returns the hexadecimal representation of the hash.

This algorithm allows for the identification of changes or




Fig. 1. Component diagram depicting the proposed multi-stage HIDS for SCADA systems, which combines USB device identification, process memory scanning, and hashing techniques to detect and disable malware attacks, ensuring real-time protection and comprehensive security coverage.

### Algorithm 2: HIDS Algorithm Process Hashing

```

Function get_module_hash(module_dump):
  Input   : module_dump
  Output : sha256_result
  m ← hash_sha256();
  update m to module_dump;
  sha256_result ← Hash_value_repres(m);
  return sha256_result;

Function read_module_memory(pid, module_path):
  Input   : pid, module_path
  Output : module_dump
  module_dump ← byte_array();
  maps_file ← read('/proc/pid/maps');
  mem_file ← read('/proc/pid/mem');
  foreach line in maps_file do
    if module_path not in line then
      | continue with next iteration;
    end
    m ← get_memory_region_address(line);
    s_addr ← start_address(m);
    e_addr ← end_address(m);
    perm ← permissions(m);
    if invalid_memory_region(s_addr) or not_executable(perm)
      then
        | continue with next iteration;
      end
      seek s_addr in mem_file;
      size ← e_addr - s_addr;
      chunk ← read_size(mem_file);
      extend module_dump with chunk;
  end
  return module_dump;

```

### Algorithm 3: HIDS Algorithm Setup Phase

```

Function start_setup_phase():
  info ← key_value_map();
  info['module_hashes'] ← key_value_map();
  info['process_modules'] ← key_value_map();
  foreach proc in iterate_processes() do
    proc_name ← get_name(proc);
    info['process_modules'][proc_name] ← list();
    info['module_hashes'][proc_name] ← key_value_map();
    if proc_name not in info['process_modules'] then
      | log('New process found: ' + proc_name);
      | continue with next iteration;
    end
    modules ← memory_maps(proc);
    foreach module in modules do
      module_path ← get_path(module);
      if special_process_regions(module) then
        | continue with next iteration;
      end
      append info['process_modules'][proc_name] with
        | module_path;
      module_dump ← read_module_memory(proc_name,
        | module_path);
      if module_path not in
        | info['module_hashes'][proc_name] then
        | | info['module_hashes'][proc_name][module_path]
        | | ← get_module_hash(module_dump);
      end
    end
    dump info in 'data_storage';
  end

```

anomalies in the memory of running processes by examining their memory maps and extracting the executable memory regions. The calculated hashes of the module's memory facilitate the comparison and detection of potential malicious activity. Algorithm 3 summarizes the entire setup phase of the data collection process.

#### C. Malware Detection

The HIDS approach aims to provide effective malware detection (cf. Algorithm 4) within running processes using a baseline created during the setup phase. By combining USB device identification and process memory scanning, the HIDS can detect potential malware threats and abnormal activities. The HIDS architecture includes components for tracking and monitoring running processes, checking loaded modules, and comparing hashes. We focus on identifying unauthorized changes and anomalies in the executable memory sections of

processes, providing comprehensive security coverage against various malware infection techniques. The proposed algorithm employs a process hashing technique to ensure the integrity of running processes in the system. It consists of two key functions: *get\_module\_hash* and *read\_module\_memory*. The former calculates a unique identifier for each module by computing its SHA256 hash based on its memory dump. The latter reads and filters the memory regions of a specific process, extracting the executable regions and assembling them into a byte array, representing the module dump.

During the setup phase, the algorithm iterates over all running processes, collecting information about their modules and their respective hashes. If a new process is detected, it is added to the information dictionary. Non-executable or irrelevant regions are filtered out, and the *read\_module\_memory* function is used to obtain the module dump. The calculated module hash is then stored in the information dictionary. The

#### Algorithm 4: HIDS Algorithm Malware Detection

```

Function check_processes(info):
  Input : info
  module_hashes ← info['module_hashes'];
  foreach proc in iterate_processes() do
    proc_name ← get_name(proc);
    pid ← get_pid(proc);
    modules ← memory_maps(proc);
    foreach module in modules do
      module_path ← get_path(module);
      if special_process_regions(module) then
        continue with next iteration;
      end
      if module_path not in
        info['process_modules'][proc_name] then
        log('UNKNOWN MODULE FOUND'
          module_path 'in' proc_name);
      end
      if module_path in module_hashes[proc_name()] then
        module_dump ← read_module_memory(pid,
          module_path);
        sha256_result ←
          get_module_hash(module_dump);
        if module_hashes[proc_name][module_path] ≠
          sha256_result then
          log('FAILED' module_path 'in' proc_name);
        end
      else
        log('UNKNOWN MODULE FOUND'
          module_path 'in' proc_name);
      end
    end
  end
end

```

collected data is saved for later use.

The Process Checking phase periodically examines the running processes to detect any changes or discrepancies in their module hashes. The stored information is loaded, and the algorithm compares the stored hashes with the recalculated hashes of the modules. If a module is not found in the stored information, it is flagged as an "UNKNOWN MODULE". If the stored and recalculated hashes differ, it suggests a potential intrusion or modification, and the module is flagged as "FAILED". The results of these checks are displayed for monitoring purposes.

The main function serves as the entry point of the algorithm, initiating an infinite loop that continuously performs process checking at regular intervals. This ensures real-time monitoring and detection of any suspicious activities.

In summary, the HIDS algorithm utilizes process hashing, setup phase, and process checking to provide a mechanism for identifying unauthorized or malicious modifications in the memory regions of running processes, thereby ensuring the security and integrity of the system.

### III. CASE STUDY & DISCUSSION

To investigate the detection quality of our proposed framework, we evaluate it using a case study.

#### A. Investigation Procedure Setup

Our experimental validation of the HIDS for SCADA systems included scenarios that closely mirrored real-world conditions, focusing on the system's ability to detect and




Fig. 2. Investigation environment depicting the assessment of SCADA system vulnerability and the proposed HIDS through three scenarios: USB device insertion, downloaded malware, and USB detection bypass.

disable malware introduced through USB devices and other attack vectors. The investigation environment comprised the SCADA system, the HIDS, two processes (one legitimate and one malicious), and an remote terminal unit (RTU), running on a Linux host within a DMZ. We specifically chose unauthorized devices and programs for our testing based on their known susceptibility to USB-based malware, a critical vulnerability in SCADA systems. The experiment involved simulating attacks using USB devices equipped with autorun scripts, emulating common malware tactics, to rigorously test the HIDS's detection and response capabilities. In these scenarios, the HIDS was tasked with monitoring activities such as USB device insertion and program execution, employing USB device identification, process memory scanning, and hashing techniques to effectively identify and counteract malware threats. This approach provided a realistic and controlled setting to evaluate the HIDS's performance, ensuring a comprehensive assessment of its capability to defend against diverse cyber attacks in SCADA environments.

The evaluation process involves three specific scenarios. In our comprehensive experimental validation of the HIDS for SCADA systems, we conducted three distinct scenarios to

assess its efficacy against various malware threats. Scenario 1 focused on unauthorized USB devices, where we tested the HIDS's speed and accuracy in detecting and disabling malware, simulating this by inserting a USB device into the SCADA computer 100 times. Scenario 2 and 3 further expanded our evaluation, with the former assessing the HIDS's response to malware downloaded from the Internet and the latter examining its ability to detect malware from undetected USB devices. Each of these scenarios, repeated 100 times, provided a controlled yet realistic environment to rigorously test the HIDS's capabilities in identifying and neutralizing a wide range of malware attacks in SCADA environments, reflecting actual operational challenges.

## B. Results

The results of each scenario are presented below:

a) *Scenario 1: USB Device Insertion:* In the first scenario, the proposed HIDS reached a 100% detection rate for unauthorized USB devices. However, the disabling rate was found to be 95%, indicating that in some instances, the tool was unable to disable the devices before they could cause harm. Further analysis identified a race condition between the autorun function and the HIDS's detection mechanism as the primary cause of this limitation.

b) *Scenario 2: Downloaded Malware:* The HIDS demonstrated remarkable effectiveness in detecting malware introduced through downloaded programs from the Internet. It achieved a 100% detection rate by accurately identifying changes in process memory caused by the malware program. This highlights the tool's capability to counter sophisticated malware attacks that often employ techniques to evade traditional antivirus software.

c) *Scenario 3: USB Detection Bypass:* In the third scenario, the HIDS proved highly effective in detecting malware introduced through USB devices. It successfully identified foreign modules loaded into the processes of the SCADA system, even when the malware bypassed the prevention phase of USB device disabling. This showcases the tool's potential to defend against diverse malware attacks and enhance the security of SCADA systems. Thus, the detection rate was also 100%.

## C. Discussion

The investigation revealed the strengths and limitations of the proposed HIDS in detecting and disabling malware in SCADA systems. While the tool demonstrated a high detection rate for unauthorized USB devices and malware introduced through downloads and undetected USB devices, there were areas for improvement. Another observation is the rate with which unauthorized USB devices are immediately disabled in scenario 1. The race condition between the autorun function and the HIDS's detection mechanism resulted in a 95% disabling rate. To address this, future research should focus on refining the detection mechanism and minimizing the impact of race conditions, thereby enhancing the tool's ability to disable unauthorized devices efficiently. Another challenge is the

automatic program updates that lead to unnecessary alerts due to changes in the executable memory sections. Additionally, relocatable code within some processes can contribute to the false detection of executable memory modification. To mitigate these issues, proposed solutions include creating a whitelist of known updates, implementing a versioning system, distinguishing between relocatable code and modified code, and monitoring process behavior for suspicious activity. Overall, the investigation highlighted the effectiveness of the proposed HIDS in detecting and mitigating malware in SCADA systems. The results emphasize the need for ongoing research to address the identified limitations and further refine the tool's capabilities. It is crucial to develop alternative methods and strategies to enhance the HIDS's performance and strengthen the defense against evolving malware attacks in SCADA systems.

## IV. CONCLUSION

The increasing security concerns surrounding SCADA systems in SG, which control critical industrial processes, necessitate the development of effective security solutions. The objective of this work was to propose a novel HIDS capable of detecting and disabling malware attacks on SCADA systems in SG. The proposed HIDS utilized a combination of USB device security features and process memory scanning algorithms to monitor and analyze specific aspects of the system, providing comprehensive security coverage. The investigation revealed the strengths and limitations of the proposed HIDS in detecting and disabling malware in SCADA systems. While effective in detecting malware, challenges such as the race condition in scenario 1 and false positives due to automatic updates were identified. Future research should aim to refine the approach's detection mechanisms through advanced algorithms for real-time malware identification. Additionally, exploring the integration of machine learning and hardware-based intrusion detection systems is recommended for a more comprehensive defense against diverse malware attacks.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Babayigit *et al.*, "Industrial internet of things: A review of improvements over traditional scada systems for industrial automation," *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2023.
- [2] A. Dehlaghi-Ghadim *et al.*, "Icssim—a framework for building industrial control systems security testbeds," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 148, p. 103906, 2023.
- [3] I. A. Khan *et al.*, "Enhancing iiot networks protection: A robust security model for attack detection in internet industrial control systems," *Ad Hoc Networks*, 2022.
- [4] S. P. Wang *et al.*, "Security by design: Defense-in-depth iot architecture," in *Journal of The Colloquium for Information Systems Security Education*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2017, pp. 15–15.
- [5] A. Abou el Kalam, "Securing scada and critical industrial systems: From needs to security mechanisms," *IJoCIP*, 2021.
- [6] M. Alanazi *et al.*, "Scada vulnerabilities and attacks: A review of the state-of-the-art and open issues," *Computers & Security*, vol. 125, p. 103028, 2023.
- [7] D. van der Velde *et al.*, "Methods for actors in the electric power system to prevent, detect and react to ict attacks and failures," in *ENERGYCon*, 2020.
- [8] M. Alanazi *et al.*, "Scada vulnerabilities and attacks: A review of the state-of-the-art and open issues," *Computers & Security*, 2022.
- [9] J. L. Rrushi, "Physics-driven page fault handling for customized deception against cps malware," *TECS*, 2022.