

MULTIPLICITY OF POWERS OF PATH IDEALS OF A LINE GRAPH

JIAWEN SHAN, ZEXIN WANG, DANCHENG LU

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Jürgen Herzog

ABSTRACT. Let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let I be the t -path ideal of the line graph L_n with n -vertices. It is shown that the set of associated prime ideals of I^s is equal to the set of minimal prime ideals of I for all $s \geq 1$, and we provide an explicit description of these prime ideals. Additionally, as the main contribution of this paper, we derive an explicit formula for the multiplicity of S/I^s for all $s \geq 1$, revealing that it is a polynomial in s from the beginning.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite simple graph with vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and edge set E . The ideal generated by all quadratic monomials $x_i x_j$ such that $\{x_i, x_j\} \in E$ is called the *edge ideal* of G , denoted by $I(G)$. The edge ideal was introduced and studied by Villarreal in [21]. Since then, the study of edge ideals of simple graphs, particularly the properties of their powers, has become a vibrant topic in combinatorial commutative algebra. For more detailed information in this direction, we refer to the exposition paper [3] and the references therein.

In 1999, Conca and De Negri introduced in their work [9] the concept of a t -path ideal, which serves as a generalization of an edge ideal. For an integer $2 \leq t \leq n$, a t -path of the graph G is a sequence of $(t-1)$ distinct edges $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}\}, \{x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}\}, \dots, \{x_{i_{t-1}}, x_{i_t}\}$ of G such that the vertices $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_t}$ are pairwise distinct. Such a path is also denoted by $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}\}$ for short. The t -path ideal $I_t(G)$ associated to G is defined as the square-free monomial ideal

$$I_t(G) = (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t} : \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}\} \text{ is a } t\text{-path of } G)$$

in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. In the recent years, some algebraic properties of path ideals have been investigated extensively, see for instance [1, 5, 6, 15, 17, 18].

However, very little is known about the powers of t -path ideals for $t \geq 3$. In this paper, we will specifically concentrate on the powers of path ideals of *line graphs*. A *line graph* (or *path graph*) of length $(n-1)$, denote by L_n , is a graph with vertex set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and edge set $\{\{x_j, x_{j+1}\} : j = 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Recently, Bălănescu-Cimpoeaş [4] derived an explicit formula for the depth of powers of path ideals of line graphs. In [20], the regularity function of powers of $I_t(L_n)$ is presented, revealing it is linear from the beginning.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C70, 13H10 Secondary 05E40.

Key words and phrases. path ideals, line graph, multiplicity, normally torsion-free.

In this paper, we will study algebraic properties of powers of $I_t(L_n)$ from two different angles: *associated prime ideals* of $I_t(L_n)^s$ and the *multiplicities* of $I_t(L_n)^s$ for all $s \geq 1$.

1.1. Associated prime ideals. Let S be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely generated non-zero S -module. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of S is called an *associated prime ideal* of M if there exists $x \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = (0 :_S x)$. The set of all associated prime ideal of M is denoted by $\text{Ass}_S(M)$. It is known that $\text{Ass}_S(M)$ is a non-empty finite set. If I is an ideal of S , we denote $\text{Ass}_S(S/I)$ by $\text{ass}(I)$. In 1979, Brodmann [7] proved the remarkable result that *there exists an integer s_0 such that $\text{ass}(I^s) = \text{ass}(I^{s_0})$ for all $s \geq s_0$* . This result serves as a good example of the concept in commutative algebra that ideals exhibit favorable behavior asymptotically. Naturally, Brodmann's theorem also inspires several questions, such as determining the value of s_0 and determine the prime ideals in $\text{ass}^\infty(I) := \text{ass}(I^{s_0})$. We refer to [10] and the references therein for a comprehensive introduction to this topic. If $s_0 = 1$, then I is said to be normally torsion-free. Formally, we give the following definition following [12].

Definition 1.1. An ideal I of a Noetherian ring S is said to be *normally torsion-free* if $\text{ass}(I^s) = \text{ass}(I)$ for all $s \geq 1$.

We will show that both $I_t(L_n)$ and $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ are normally torsion-free and determine the prime ideals in $\text{ass}(I_t(L_n))$.

1.2. Multiplicity. Let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{K} that is standardly graded and let M be a finitely generated graded S -module. The Hilbert function H_M of M is defined as the function

$$H_M(k) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} M_k,$$

where M_k is the degree k component of M . The Hilbert series $\text{HS}(M, z)$ is defined to be

$$\text{HS}(M, z) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_M(k) z^k.$$

If we assume $\dim M = d + 1$, it is a celebrated result of David Hilbert that $\text{HS}(M, z)$ is a rational function of the following form

$$\text{HS}(M, z) = \frac{Q(M, z)}{(1 - z)^{d+1}}.$$

Here, $Q(M, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z^{-1}, z]$ is a Laurent polynomial such that $Q(M, 1) \neq 0$. As a consequence of this result, the Hilbert function H_M is of polynomial type of degree d , meaning that there exists a polynomial $p_M(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ of degree d such that $H_M(k) = p_M(k)$ for all $k \gg 0$. The polynomial $p_M(z)$ is referred to as the *Hilbert polynomial* of M .

Definition 1.2. Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module of dimension $d + 1$. The Hilbert polynomial $p_M(z)$ of M can be written as

$$p_M(z) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(M) \binom{z + d - i}{d - i}.$$

The integer coefficients $e_i(M)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$ are called the *Hilbert coefficients* of M . Among these, the first Hilbert coefficient $e_0(M)$ holds particular significance. It is also known as the *multiplicity* of M and is frequently denoted by $\text{mult}(M)$.

Recall that a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is of *polynomial type* of degree d if there exists a polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ of degree d such that $f(k) = p(k)$ for all $k \gg 0$. Let I be a graded ideal of S such that $\dim M/IM = d$. It was shown in [14, Theorem 1.1] that $e_i(M/I^s M)$ is of polynomial type in s of degree $\leq n - d + i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. To the best of our knowledge, there are currently no known non-trivial examples of graded ideals for which the multiplicity of their powers has been explicitly computed. In this paper, we will provide an explicit formula for the multiplicity of $S/I_t(L_n)^s$.

1.3. Structure of this paper. In the paper, we always assume that n, t are integers with $n \geq t \geq 1$. The polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ will be denoted by S . We use L_n to denote the line graph with n vertices and let $I_t(L_n)$ be the t -path ideal of the line graph L_n . This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we demonstrate that both $I_t(L_n)$ and its Alexander dual $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ are normally torsion-free and provide a complete characterization of all the associated prime ideals of $I_t(L_n)$. The final section contains the main contribution of this paper, in which we present an explicit formula for the multiplicity of $S/I_t(L_n)^s$ for all $s \geq 1$, revealing that it is a polynomial in s from the beginning.

We refer to the book [12] for the notation that is not explained in this paper.

2. ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF $I_t(L_n)^s$

According to the definition of a t -path ideal, the ideal $I_t(L_n)$ has a unique minimal generating set u_1, \dots, u_{n-t+1} , where $u_i = x_i \cdots x_{i+t-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - t + 1$. In this section, we demonstrate that both $I_t(L_n)$ and its Alexander dual $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ are normally torsion-free and offer an explicit description of minimal prime ideals of $I_t(L_n)$. Furthermore, utilizing a novel method, we compute the projective dimension of $S/I_t(L_n)$.

Recall that a simplicial complex on $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a collection Δ of subsets of $[n]$ such that if $F \in \Delta$ and $G \subseteq F$, then $G \in \Delta$. Any $F \in \Delta$ is a *face* of Δ . A maximal face (with respect to inclusion) is a *facet* of Δ . The set of all facets of Δ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. A simplicial complex Δ is denoted by $\langle F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r \rangle$ if F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r are all facets of Δ .

For a subset F of $[n]$, let x_F denote the monomial $\prod_{i \in F} x_i$. Then the facet ideal of Δ is defined to be the monomial ideal

$$I(\Delta) = (x_F : F \text{ is a facet of } \Delta),$$

and the Stanley-Reisner I_Δ ideal of Δ is defined as

$$I_\Delta = (x_F : F \subseteq [n] \text{ and } F \notin \Delta).$$

Put $F_i := \{i, \dots, i + t - 1\} \subseteq [n]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - t + 1$ and define $\Delta_{n,t}$ to be the simplicial complex generated by F_1, \dots, F_{n-t+1} . It is evident that the path ideal $I_t(L_n)$ is equal to the facet ideal $I(\Delta_{n,t})$ of $\Delta_{n,t}$. A simplicial complex Δ is called a *flag complex* if its Stanley-Reisner ideal is generated by monomials of degree 2,

or equivalently, all minimal non-faces of Δ have exactly two elements. Here, a *non-face* of Δ refers to a subset of $[n]$ which does not belong to Δ . The lemma below uncovers an intriguing characteristic of $\Delta_{n,t}$, although we won't explore it further in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $2 \leq t \leq n$, the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta_{n,t}}$ is generated by all quadratic monomials $x_i x_j$ with $j - i \geq t$. In particular, $\Delta_{n,t}$ is a flag complex.*

Proof. Let I denote the ideal $(x_i x_j : j - i \geq t)$. It is clear that if $j - i \geq t$, then $\{i, j\}$ is a non-face of $\Delta_{n,t}$. Thus, $I \subseteq I_{\Delta_{n,t}}$.

Conversely, let $F = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be a non-face of $\Delta_{n,t}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Then if $i_k - i_1 < t$, then $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq F_{i_1}$, a contradiction. This implies $i_k - i_1 \geq t$ and so $x_F \in I$. This proves $I \supseteq I_{\Delta_{n,t}}$. \square

A facet F of a simplicial complex Δ is called a *leaf* if either F is the only facet of Δ , or there exists a facet $G \neq F$ such that $H \cap F \subseteq G \cap F$ for any facet $H \neq F$. A leaf F of Δ is said to be *good* if the sets $F \cap H$, where $H \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is linearly ordered. A simplicial complex Δ is a *simplicial forest* if every nonempty subcollection of Δ has a leaf. A connected simplicial forest is called a *simplicial tree*. Here, a *subcollection* of Δ refers to a simplicial complex whose set of facets is a subset of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. The following observation forms the foundation of all the results in this section.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $0 < t \leq n$, the simplicial complex $\Delta_{n,t}$ is a simplicial tree.*

Proof. It is clear that $\Delta_{n,t}$ is connected. Let $\Delta' = \langle F_{k_1}, \dots, F_{k_r} \rangle$ be a subcollection of Δ . Note that $F_{k_i} = \{k_i, k_i + 1, \dots, k_i + t - 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We may assume that $r \geq 2$ and that $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq n - t + 1$. It can be easily verified that

$$F_{k_1} \cap F_{k_i} = \begin{cases} \{k_i, k_i + 1, \dots, k_1 + t - 1\}, & \text{if } k_i \leq k_1 + t - 1; \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, r$. From this it follows that

$$F_{k_1} \cap F_{k_2} \supset F_{k_1} \cap F_{k_3} \supset \dots \supset F_{k_1} \cap F_{k_r}.$$

Therefore F_{k_1} is a (good) leaf of Δ' . Hence, $\Delta_{n,t}$ is a simplicial tree. \square

We now present the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.3. *For all $1 \leq t \leq n$, both $I_t(L_n)$ and $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ are normally torsion-free.*

Proof. It was shown in [16, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5] that if a simplicial complex Δ has no special odd cycles, then both the facet ideal $I(\Delta)$ and its Alexander dual $I(\Delta^*) = I(\Delta)^\vee$ are normally torsion-free. On the other hand, by [16, Theorem 3.2], if Δ is a simplicial forest, then Δ has no special cycles. In view of these facts, the result follows immediately from Lemma 2.2. \square

We note that the result that $I_t(L_n)$ is normally torsion-free has been established in [19, Proposition 3.2] utilizing a completely different method.

By applying [12, Theorem 1.4.6] and [16, Corollary 1.6] respectively, we immediately obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then $I_t(L_n)$ is normal, this is, $\overline{I_t(L_n)}^s = I_t(L_n)^s$ for all $s \geq 1$.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I_t(L_n))$ is a normal Cohen-Macaulay domain.*

In the following, we aim to determine all the minimal prime ideals of $I_t(L_n)$, a task that will also prove useful in the subsequent section. To do this, we introduce some additional notation. Specifically, if $F \subseteq [n]$, we denote by \mathfrak{p}_F the prime ideal generated by x_i with $i \in F$. For convenience, we also adopt the following notation.

Notation 2.6. *Let $\mathbf{C}_{n,t}$ be the set of subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ of $[n]$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ and such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $1 \leq i_1 \leq t$;
- (2) $i_2 > t$;
- (3) $1 \leq i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r-1$;
- (4) $i_{j+2} - i_j > t$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r-2$;
- (5) $i_{r-1} < n - t + 1$;
- (6) $n - t + 1 \leq i_r \leq n$.

Recall that a *vertex cover* of the simplicial complex Δ is a subset $C \subset [n]$ such that $C \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. A vertex cover C is called a *minimal vertex cover*, if no proper subset of C is a vertex cover. It is well-known that \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of $I(\Delta)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_C$ for some minimal vertex cover of Δ .

Proposition 2.7. *For $1 \leq t \leq n$, the set of minimal prime ideals of $I_t(L_n)$ is given by*

$$\{\mathfrak{p}_F : F \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}\}.$$

Proof. It is equivalent to showing that $\mathbf{C}_{n,t}$ consists of all minimal vertex covers of $\Delta_{n,t}$. Let $F \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}$. We first show that F is a vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$. Given a facet F_i of $\Delta_{n,t}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n - t + 1$. If $i \in F$, then $i \in F \cap F_i$ and so $F \cap F_i \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $i \notin F$. If $i < i_1$ then $i_1 \in F_i \cap F$ and so $F_i \cap F \neq \emptyset$. If $i > i_1$, then, since $i_r \geq n - t + 1$, there exists $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ such that $i_j < i < i_{j+1}$. Since $i_{j+1} - i < i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t$, it follows that $i_{j+1} \in F_i$ and thus $F \cap F_i \neq \emptyset$. This proves F is a vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$. Next, we show that F is minimal, that is, $F \setminus \{i_j\}$ is not a vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. But, this is clear by noticing that $F_1 \cap (F \setminus \{i_1\}) = \emptyset$, $F_{i_{j-1}+1} \cap (F \setminus \{i_j\}) = \emptyset$ for $j = 2, \dots, r-1$ and $F_{n-t+1} \cap (F \setminus \{i_r\}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, F is a minimal vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$ indeed.

Conversely, let F be a minimal vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$. We may write $F = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_r$. Since $F_1 \cap F \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $i_1 \leq t$ and so (1) holds. Similarly, since $F_{n-t+1} \cap F \neq \emptyset$, we have $i_r \geq n - t + 1$ and this proves (6). If $i_2 \leq t$, then, note that $i_1 \in F_i$ implies $i_2 \in F_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n - t + 1$, it follows that $F \setminus \{i_1\}$ is also a vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$, a contradiction. This establishes (2). Similarly, (5) has to hold. If $i_{j+1} - i_j > t$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$, then $F_{i_{j+1}} \cap F = \emptyset$. This is impossible and so (3) should hold. It remains to prove (4). Suppose on the contrary that $i_{j+2} - i_j \leq t$ for some $1 \leq j \leq r-2$. Then, if $i_{j+1} \in F_i$ for some i , we have $i_{j+1} \in \{i, i+1, \dots, i+t-1\}$. It follows that either $i_{j+2} \leq i+t-1$ (i.e., $i_{j+2} \in F_i$)

or $i_j \geq i$ (i.e., $i_j \in F_i$), for otherwise $i_{i+2} - i_j > t$. Thus, $F \setminus \{i_{j+1}\}$ is also a vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

This result can be checked using [2, Theorem 3.1]. Combining Theorem 2.3 with Proposition 2.7, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $2 \leq t \leq n$. Then $\text{ass}(I_t(L_n)^s) = \{\mathfrak{p}_F : F \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}\}$ for all $s \geq 1$.*

Given a monomial ideal I , we use $\text{Deg}(I)$ to denote the maximal degree of minimal generators of I . We now determine $\text{Deg}(I_t(L_n)^\vee)$ for all $1 \leq t \leq n$. Recall for a square-free monomial ideal $I = I(\Delta)$, the Alexander dual of I is defined to be the monomial ideal

$$I^\vee = (x_C : C \text{ is a vertex cover of } \Delta).$$

Corollary 2.9. *The Alexander dual $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ of $I_t(L_n)$ has the minimal generating set $G = \{x_F : F \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}\}$. Assume $n = (t+1)p + q$ with $0 \leq q \leq t$. Then the maximal degree of minimal generators of $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ is given by*

$$\text{Deg}(I_t(L_n)^\vee) = \begin{cases} 2p, & q < t; \\ 2p+1, & q = t. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first statement is immediate from the definition of the Alexander dual. To prove the second statement, we denote $\text{Deg}(I_t(L_n)^\vee)$ as D for simplicity. Consider the following infinite sequence

$$1, t+1, t+2, 2t+2, 2t+3, \dots, kt+k, kt+k+1, \dots$$

Let a_m denote the m -th number of this sequence. Namely, $a_1 = 1, a_2 = t+1, a_3 = t+2$ and so on. Thus, $a_{2k} = kt+k$ and $a_{2k+1} = kt+k+1$ for $k \geq 1$. Given $F = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$, we claim that

$$a_m \leq i_m \text{ for all } m = 1, \dots, r.$$

In fact, $a_1 = 1 \leq i_1$ and $a_2 = t+1 \leq i_2$. Assume that $2 \leq m \leq r-1$ and $a_j \leq i_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then, if $m = 2k$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $a_{m+1} = a_m + 1$ and so $i_{m+1} \geq i_m + 1 \geq a_m + 1 = a_{m+1}$; if $m = 2k+1$, then $a_{m+1} - a_{m-1} = t+1$. Since $i_{m+1} - i_{m-1} \geq t+1$, it follows that $a_{m+1} \leq i_{m+1}$. This proves the claim.

We next consider the following cases:

Case 1: If $n = (t+1)p + q$ with $0 \leq q \leq t-1$, then

$$(p-1)t + p < n - t + 1 \leq (t+1)p.$$

Let $G = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2p}\}$. Then $n \geq a_{2p} = (t+1)p \geq n + t - 1$ and $a_{2p-1} = t(p-1) + p < n - t + 1$, and so it is routine to verify that $G \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}$. This proves $D \geq 2p$. To prove $D \leq 2p$, we assume on the contrary that there exists $F = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ such that $r > 2p$. Then $i_{r-1} \geq i_{2p} \geq a_{2p} \geq n - t + 1$. This is contradicted to condition (5). Hence, $D = 2p$.

Case 2: If $n = (t+1)p + t$, then $n - t + 1 = (t+1)p + 1 = a_{2p+1}$, and one easily checks that $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2p+1}\}$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}_{n,t}$. It follows that $D = 2p+1$, by using the same argument as in case 1. \square

The formula for the projective dimension of $S/I_t(L_n)$ was first established in [15, Theorem 4.1], and subsequently proved through an alternative method in [5, Corollary 5.1]. In what follows, utilizing the theory of Alexander duality, we present a novel and concise demonstration. Recall a well-known result stating that S/I is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the Alexander dual I^\vee of I has a linear resolution, (see for example [12, Theorem 8.1.9]). Furthermore, according to [12, Theorem 8.2.20], S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay precisely when I^\vee is componentwise linear. Finally, for any square-free monomial ideal I of S , we have $\text{pd}(S/I) = \text{reg}(I^\vee)$, as stated in [12, Proposition 8.1.10]. Here, pd refers to the projective dimension and reg refers to the (Castelnuovo-Mumford) regularity. For more about Alexander duality, we refer to Chapter 8 of the book [12].

Corollary 2.10. *Let $2 \leq t \leq n$ and write $n = (t+1)p + q$ with $0 \leq q \leq t$. Then $S/I_t(L_n)$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and*

$$\text{pd}(S/I_t(L_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p, & q < t; \\ 2p+1, & q = t. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In [11, Corollary 5.4], it was shown that if Δ is a simplicial forest then $S/I(\Delta)$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Applying this result and in view of Lemma 2.2, we can conclude that $S/I_t(L_n)$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

By [12, Theorem 8.2.20], we know $I_t(L_n)^\vee$ is componentwise linear. Therefore, using [12, Corollary 8.2.14], we have $\text{reg}(I_t(L_n)^\vee) = \text{Deg}(I_t(L_n)^\vee)$. Furthermore, according to [12, Proposition 8.1.10], we have $\text{pd}(S/I_t(L_n)) = \text{Deg}(I_t(L_n)^\vee)$. The result now follows from Corollary 2.9. \square

3. MULTIPLICITY

In this section, we present the main result of this paper, which provides an explicit formula for determining the multiplicity of powers of $I_t(L_n)$. We will maintain all notation introduced in the previous sections. To start, we introduce a general result regarding the multiplicity of square-free monomial ideals.

Lemma 3.1. *Let I be a square-free monomial ideal of height h . Then $\text{mult}(S/I)$ is equal to the number of minimal prime ideals of I of height h .*

Proof. This follows directly from [12, Corollary 6.2.3]. \square

For a monomial ideal I , following [13], we denote by $\text{m-grade}(I)$ the maximal length of a regular sequence of monomials contained in I and call this number the monomial grade of I . One has $\text{m-grade}(I) \leq \text{grade}(I) \leq \text{height}(I)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $n = \xi t + \theta$ with $\xi \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta < t$. Then*

$$\text{height}(I_t(L_n)) = \xi.$$

Proof. Since $u_1, u_{t+1}, \dots, u_{(\xi-1)t+1}$ forms a regular sequence that is contained in $I_t(L_n)$, it follows that $\text{m-grade}(I_t(L_n)) \geq \xi$, and thus $\text{height}(I_t(L_n)) \geq \xi$. Additionally, a simple verification shows that the set $\{t, 2t, \dots, \xi t\}$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}_{n,t}$, (refer to Notation 2.6), and so $(x_t, \dots, x_{\xi t})$ is a minimal prime ideal of $I_t(L_n)$. This implies $\text{height}(I_t(L_n)) \leq \xi$, completing the proof. \square

In [13], a monomial ideal I is called of *König type* if $I \neq 0$ and $\text{m-grade}(I) = \text{height}(I)$. Thus, $I_t(L_n)$ is of König type in view of the proof of Lemma 3.2.

We next describe the minimal prime ideals of $I_t(L_n)$ of height ξ .

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $n = \xi t + \theta$ with $\xi \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta < t$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_\xi})$ be a prime ideal of height ξ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\xi \leq n$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{ass}(I_t(L_n))$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) for $1 \leq j \leq \xi$, one has $(j-1)t + \theta + 1 \leq i_j \leq jt$;
- (2) for $1 \leq j \leq \xi - 1$, one has $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t$.

Proof. Assume that the elements of $F = \{i_1, \dots, i_\xi\}$ meet conditions (1) and (2). We proceed to prove $F \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}$, as defined in Notation 2.6. First, it is easy to see that $i_1 \leq t$ and $i_2 \geq (2-1)t + \theta + 1 \geq t + 1$. Next, we observe that $i_{\xi-1} \leq (\xi-1)t = n - t - \theta < n - t + 1$ and $i_\xi \geq (\xi-1)t + \theta + 1 = n - t + 1$. Finally, for $j = 1, \dots, \xi - 2$, we see that

$$i_{j+2} - i_j \geq (j+1)t + \theta + 1 - jt = t + \theta + 1 \geq t + 1.$$

Thus, $F \in \mathbf{C}_{n,t}$ and so $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{ass}(I_t(L_n))$ by Proposition 2.7.

Conversely, since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{ass}(I_t(L_n))$, we have $\{i_1, \dots, i_\xi\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of $\Delta_{n,t}$. Given that the facets $F_1, F_{t+1}, \dots, F_{(\xi-1)t+1}$ and $F_{\theta+1}, F_{t+\theta+1}, \dots, F_{(\xi-1)t+\theta+1}$ of $\Delta_{n,t}$ are pairwise disjoint within each respective set, it follows that for $j = 1, \dots, \xi$, one has i_j belongs to both $F_{(j-1)t+1}$ and $F_{(j-1)t+\theta+1}$, and thus $(j-1)t + \theta + 1 \leq i_j \leq jt$, satisfying (1). As to condition (2), it follows directly from Proposition 2.7. \square

To determine the multiplicity of $S/I_t(L_n)$, in light of Lemma 3.1, we must count the number of the sequences (i_1, \dots, i_ξ) that meet conditions (1) and (2), as described in Lemma 3.3. This counting problem is purely combinatorial and will be solved in the next two results. Note that for a finite set A , $|A|$ denotes the cardinal number of A , and for a positive integer m , $[m]$ denotes the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Given $\xi \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$, let $T_{\xi,t}$ denote the set of integral sequences $i_1 < \dots < i_\xi$ such that*

- (1) for $1 \leq j \leq \xi$, one has $(j-1)t + 1 \leq i_j \leq jt$;
- (2) for $1 \leq j \leq \xi - 1$, one has $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t$.

Then

$$|T_{\xi,t}| = \binom{\xi + t - 1}{\xi}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on t . If $t = 1$, the result follows easily. Assume now that $t \geq 2$. For each sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\xi) \in T_{\xi,t}$, we define

$$\tau(i_1, \dots, i_\xi) := \min\{j \in [\xi] : i_j = (j-1)t + 1\}.$$

By convention, we set $\tau(i_1, \dots, i_\xi) = \xi + 1$ if $i_j \neq (j-1)t + 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, \xi$. Thus, $\tau(i_1, \dots, i_\xi) \in \{1, \dots, \xi, \xi + 1\}$. We make the following easy observation:

if $\tau(i_1, \dots, i_\xi) = k < \xi$, then $i_j = (j-1)t + 1$ for $j = k, \dots, \xi$.

For $k \in [\xi + 1]$, we define

$$T_{\xi,t}^k = \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\xi) \in T_{\xi,t} : \tau(i_1, \dots, i_\xi) = k\}.$$

One easily sees that $|T_{\xi,t}^1| = 1$ and $|T_{\xi,t}^2| = |\{i_1 : 2 \leq i_1 \leq t\}| = t - 1$.

In general, for all $k \in [\xi + 1]$, we use T_k to denote the set of all sequences $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-1}$ such that $(j-1)t + 2 \leq i_j \leq jt$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-2$. It follows from the above observation that $|T_{\xi,t}^k| = |T_k|$ for $k = 1, \dots, \xi + 1$.

Set $i'_j = i_j - j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. Then, we have for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$(j-1)t + 2 \leq i_j \leq jt \iff (j-1)(t-1) + 1 \leq i'_j \leq j(t-1);$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, k-2$,

$$i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t \iff i'_{j+1} - i'_j \leq t - 1.$$

Thus,

$$(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}) \in T_k \iff (i'_1, \dots, i'_{k-1}) \in T_{t-1, k-1}.$$

This implies the following map:

$$(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}) \mapsto (i'_1, \dots, i'_{k-1})$$

establishes a bijection between T_k and $T_{k-1, t-1}$. Hence, $|T_k|$ is equal to the number of $T_{k-1, t-1}$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have $|T_{k-1, t-1}| = \binom{k+t-3}{k-1}$. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\xi,t}| &= \sum_{k=1}^{\xi+1} |T_{\xi,t}^k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\xi+1} \binom{k+t-3}{k-1} \\ &= \binom{t-2}{0} + \binom{t-1}{1} + \binom{t}{2} + \dots + \binom{\xi+t-3}{\xi-1} + \binom{\xi+t-2}{\xi} \\ &= \binom{t-1}{0} + \binom{t-1}{1} + \binom{t}{2} + \dots + \binom{\xi+t-3}{\xi-1} + \binom{\xi+t-2}{\xi} \\ &= \binom{t}{1} + \binom{t}{2} + \dots + \binom{\xi+t-3}{\xi-1} + \binom{\xi+t-2}{\xi} \\ &= \binom{\xi+t-2}{\xi-1} + \binom{\xi+t-2}{\xi} \\ &= \binom{\xi+t-1}{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the formula $\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k+1} = \binom{n+1}{k+1}$ many times. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Given $n \geq t \geq 1$ and assume $n = \xi t + \theta$ with $0 \leq \theta \leq t-1$. Let $X_{n,t}$ denote the set of integral sequences $i_1 < \dots < i_a$ such that*

- (1) *for $1 \leq j \leq \xi$, one has $(j-1)t + \theta + 1 \leq i_j \leq jt$;*
- (2) *for $1 \leq j \leq \xi-1$, one has $i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t$.*

Then

$$|X_{n,t}| = \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi}.$$

Proof. Set $i'_j = i_j - \theta j$. Then, we have for $1 \leq j \leq \xi$,

$$(j-1)t + \theta + 1 \leq i_j \leq jt \iff (j-1)(t-\theta) + 1 \leq i'_j \leq j(t-\theta),$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq \xi - 1$,

$$i_{j+1} - i_j \leq t \iff i'_{j+1} - i'_j \leq t - \theta.$$

Thus, the map: $(i_1, \dots, i_\xi) \mapsto (i'_1, \dots, i'_\xi)$ establishes a bijection between $X_{n,t}$ and $T_{\xi,t-\theta}$. This implies

$$|X_{n,t}| = |T_{\xi,t-\theta}| = \binom{\xi + t - \theta - 1}{\xi},$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Assume $n = \xi t + \theta$ with $\xi \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta < t$. Then*

$$\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)) = \binom{\xi + t - \theta - 1}{\xi}.$$

Proof. This is a combination of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3, and Corollary 3.5. \square

Based on Proposition 3.6, we can obtain our main result through an induction process. In this process, constructing suitable short exact sequences is the crucial step. In order to achieve this, we require the following lemmas.

Recall the *support* of monomial u is the set $\{i \in [n] : x_i \text{ divides } u\}$. For a monomial ideal I , we define the *support* of I to be the union of supports of minimal generators of I . If monomial ideals J and K have disjoint supports, then $J : K = J$. Thus, if I is another monomial ideal, then we have $(I + J) : K = (I : K) + (J : K) = (I : K) + J$. This fact is utilized in the following proofs without explicit reference.

Lemma 3.7. *For all $s \geq 2$, we have*

- (1) $I_t(L_n)^s : u_{n-t+1} = I_t(L_n)^{s-1}$;
- (2) Let $(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t})$ denote the ideal $(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}) + (x_{n-t})$. Then

$$(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t}) = (I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s, x_{n-t}).$$

In particular, if $n \leq 2t$, then $I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s = 0$ and so $(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t}) = (x_{n-t})$.

Proof. (1) is just [4, Lemma 2.1].

(2) Since x_{n-t} does not divide u_{n-t+1} , it follows that

$$(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}) + (x_{n-t}) = (I_t(L_{n-1})^s, x_{n-t}) : u_{n-t+1}.$$

It is easy to see that $(I_t(L_{n-1})^s, x_{n-t}) = (I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s, x_{n-t})$. Note that $(I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s, x_{n-t})$ and u_{n-t+1} have disjoint supports, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $A_i := (I_t(L_{n-i})^s, x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i+1})$ and let $B_i := (A_i, x_{n-i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Then $B_i = (I_t(L_{n-i})^s, x_{n-i+1})$ and $A_i : x_{n-i+1} = A_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$.*

Proof. The equality that $B_i = (I_t(L_{n-i})^s, x_{n-i+1})$ is easy. Let us show that $A_i : x_{n-i+1} = A_{i+1}$. Since

$$I_t(L_{n-i})^s = (I_t(L_{n-i-1})^s, u_{n-i-t+1}I_t(L_{n-i})^{s-1}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_i : x_{n-i+1} &= (I_t(L_{n-i})^s, x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i+1}) : x_{n-i+1} \\ &= (I_t(L_{n-i-1})^s, u_{n-i-t+1}I_t(L_{n-i})^{s-1} : x_{n-i+1}) + (x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i}) \\ &= (I_t(L_{n-i-1})^s, u_{n-i-t+1}I_t(L_{n-i})^{s-1}, x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i}) : x_{n-i+1} \\ &= (I_t(L_{n-i-1})^s, x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i}) : x_{n-i+1} = A_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the third equality follows because $x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i}$ divides $u_{n-i-t+1}$. \square

Let T be a finitely generated graded S -modules of dimension d . According to [8, Corollary 4.1.8], we can express the Hilbert series of T as

$$\text{HS}(T, z) = \frac{Q(T, z)}{(1-z)^d}$$

for some $Q(T, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z, z^{-1}]$ with $Q(T, 1) \neq 0$. Furthermore, [8, Proposition 4.1.9] asserts

$$\text{mult}(T) = Q(T, 1).$$

Based on these results, we can promptly derive the subsequent lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of finitely generated graded S -modules. Then $\dim M = \max\{\dim K, \dim N\}$. Moreover,*

- (1) *If $\dim M = \dim K = \dim N$, then $\text{mult}(M) = \text{mult}(N) + \text{mult}(K)$;*
- (2) *If $\dim M = \dim K > \dim N$, then $\text{mult}(M) = \text{mult}(K)$;*
- (3) *If $\dim M = \dim N > \dim K$, then $\text{mult}(M) = \text{mult}(N)$.*

We also need the following fact: given that I is a monomial ideal of S and y is a new variable, then

$$\text{mult}(S/I) = \text{mult}(S[y]/IS[y]).$$

We are now ready to present the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.10. *Assume $n = \xi t + \theta$, with $\xi \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta < t$. Then, for all $s \geq 1$, we have*

$$\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) = \binom{s + \xi - 1}{s - 1} \binom{\xi + t - \theta - 1}{\xi}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on both s and n . The case that $s = 1$ follows from Proposition 3.6. If $n = t$, we have

$$\text{HS}(S/I_t(L_t)^s, z) = \frac{1 - z^{st}}{(1 - z)^t} = \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{t-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{st-1} z^i.$$

It follows that $Q(S/I_t(L_t)^s, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{st-1} z^i$ and so $\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_t)^s) = Q(S/I_t(L_t)^s, 1) = st$. This proves the result holds when $n = t$. Now, assume $s > 1$ and $n > t$. To

begin, we write $n = \xi t + \theta$, where $\xi \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta < t$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1: $\theta > 0$. Consider the following three short exact sequences of graded S -modules:

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{I_t(L_n)^s : u_{n-t+1}}[-t] \longrightarrow \frac{S}{I_t(L_n)^s} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{(I_t(L_n)^s, u_{n-t+1})} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}}[-t] \longrightarrow \frac{S}{I_t(L_{n-1})^s} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{(I_t(L_{n-1})^s, u_{n-t+1})} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{I_t(L_{n-1})^s : x_{n-t}u_{n-t+1}}[-1] \longrightarrow \frac{S}{I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t})} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We proceed to show that all nonzero graded modules appearing in the above three short exact sequences share the same dimension, which is $(n - \xi)$. Firstly, by Lemma 3.7, we have $I_t(L_n)^s : u_{n-t+1} = I_t(L_n)^{s-1}$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\dim \frac{S}{I_t(L_n)^s : u_{n-t+1}} = \dim \frac{S}{I_t(L_n)^s} = n - \xi.$$

Note that $(I_t(L_n)^s, u_{n-t+1}) = (I_t(L_{n-1})^s, u_{n-t+1})$ and $\sqrt{(I_t(L_{n-1})^s, u_{n-t+1})} = I_t(L_n)$, we obtain

$$\dim \frac{S}{(I_t(L_n)^s, u_{n-t+1})} = \dim \frac{S}{(I_t(L_{n-1})^s, u_{n-t+1})} = n - \xi.$$

Since

$$I_t(L_{n-1})^s : x_{n-t}u_{n-t+1} = I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t}x_n = I_t(L_{n-1})^{s-1} : x_n = I_t(L_{n-1})^{s-1},$$

we have

$$\dim \frac{S}{I_t(L_{n-1})^s : x_{n-t}u_{n-t+1}} = \dim \frac{S}{I_t(L_{n-1})^{s-1}} = n - \xi.$$

If $n > 2t$, by Lemma 3.7.(2), we have $(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t}) = (I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s, x_{n-t})$. Since $n - t - 1 = (\xi - 1)t + \theta - 1$ with $\theta - 1 \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\dim \frac{S}{(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t})} = \dim \frac{S}{(I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s, x_{n-t})} = n - 1 - (\xi - 1) = n - \xi.$$

By Lemma 3.9 and using the induction hypothesis, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) &= \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_{n-1})^s) \\
&\quad - \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_{n-1})^{s-1}) - \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_{n-t-1})^s) \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-2} \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi} + \binom{s+\xi-1}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta}{\xi} \\
&\quad - \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-2} \binom{\xi+t-\theta}{\xi} - \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi-1} \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-1}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta}{\xi} - \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-2} \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi-1} \\
&\quad - \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi-1} \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-1}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta}{\xi} - \binom{s+\xi-1}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi-1} \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-1}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-\theta-1}{\xi}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $t < n \leq 2t$, then $\xi = 1$ and so

$$\dim \frac{S}{(I_t(L_{n-1})^s : u_{n-t+1}, x_{n-t})} = \dim \frac{S}{(x_{n-t})} = n - \xi.$$

Since $\text{mult}(S/(x_{n-t})) = 1$, by applying the induction hypothesis together with Lemma 3.9, we can deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) \\
&= \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_{n-1})^s) - \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_{n-1})^{s-1}) - 1 \\
&= \binom{s-1}{s-2} \binom{t-\theta}{1} + \binom{s}{s-1} \binom{t-\theta+1}{1} - \binom{s-1}{s-2} \binom{t-\theta+1}{1} - 1 \\
&= \binom{s}{s-1} \binom{t-\theta+1}{1} - \binom{s-1}{s-2} \binom{t-\theta}{0} - \binom{s-1}{s-1} \binom{t-\theta}{0} \\
&= \binom{s}{s-1} \binom{t-\theta+1}{1} - \binom{s}{s-1} \binom{t-\theta}{0} \\
&= \binom{s}{s-1} \binom{t-\theta}{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\theta = 0$, i.e., $n = \xi t$. We may assume $\xi > 1$, since the case that $\xi = 1$ has been addressed. As in Lemma 3.8, we put for $i = 1, \dots, t$,

$$A_i := (I_t(L_{n-i})^s, x_{n-t+1} \cdots x_{n-i+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_i := (A_i, x_{n-i+1}).$$

We must compute the dimensions of these graded S -modules. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. By Lemma 3.8, we see that $B_i = (I_t(L_{n-i})^s, x_{n-i+1})$. Since we $n - i = (\xi - 1)t + t - i$ with $0 \leq t - i < t$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\text{height}(I_t(L_{n-i})^s) = \xi - 1$ and so $\text{height}(B_i) = \text{height}(I_t(L_{n-i})^s) + 1 = \xi$. We next show that $\text{height}(A_i) = \xi$.

Since $\text{height}(I_t(L_{n-i})^s) = \xi - 1$, it follows that $\text{height}(A_i)$ is either $\xi - 1$ or ξ . Suppose on the contrary that $\text{height}(A_i) = \xi - 1$. Then there must exist a minimal prime ideal, say \mathfrak{p} , of $I_t(L_{n-i})^s$ with $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) = \xi - 1$ that contains A_i . However, this can not be the case. To see why, we assume without loss the generality that $\mathfrak{p} = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{\xi-1}})$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{\xi-1} \leq n - i$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $(\xi - 2)t + t - i + 1 \leq i_{\xi-1} \leq (\xi - 1)t$. This implies $A_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, leading to contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $\text{height}(A_i) = \xi$. As a result, we have

$$\dim \frac{S}{A_i} = \dim \frac{S}{B_i} = n - \xi \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, t.$$

Since $A_1 = (I_t(L_{n-1})^s, u_{n-t+1})$, according to Lemma 3.9 and considering the short exact sequence (1), it follows that

$$(2) \quad \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) = \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/A_1).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3.8 asserts the existence of the following $t - 1$ short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{A_2}[-1] &\longrightarrow \frac{S}{A_1} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{B_1} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{A_3}[-1] &\longrightarrow \frac{S}{A_2} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{B_2} \longrightarrow 0, \\ &\vdots \\ 0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{A_t}[-1] &\longrightarrow \frac{S}{A_{t-1}} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{B_{t-1}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of these short exact sequences together with (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) = \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/A_1) \\ &= \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/A_2) + \text{mult}(S/B_1) \\ &= \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/A_3) + \text{mult}(S/B_1) + \text{mult}(S/B_2) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \text{mult}(S/A_t) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \text{mult}(S/B_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_t = B_t$, it follows that

$$\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) = \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^t \text{mult}(S/B_i)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s) &= \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^{s-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^t \text{mult}(S/I_t(L_{n-i})^s) \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-2} \binom{\xi+t-1}{\xi} + \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-1} \sum_{i=1}^t \binom{\xi+i-2}{\xi-1} \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-2} \binom{\xi+t-1}{\xi} + \binom{s+\xi-2}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-1}{\xi} \\
&= \binom{s+\xi-1}{s-1} \binom{\xi+t-1}{\xi}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.11. According to [14, Theorem 1.1], $\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s)$ is of polynomial type of degree $\leq \xi$ in s . However, Theorem 3.10 reveals that $\text{mult}(S/I_t(L_n)^s)$ is a polynomial of degree ξ in s . Consequently, Theorem 3.10 serves a valuable complement to [14, Theorem 1.1].

Acknowledgment: This research is supported by NSFC (No. 11971338). We would express our sincere gratitude to the referee for his/her careful reading and numerous comments that improve the presentation of our paper greatly.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alilooee, S. Faridi, *Graded Betti numbers of path ideals of cycles and lines*, J. Algebra Appl. **17**(2018), 1850011, 1–17.
- [2] A. S. Balu, I. Sengupta, *Colon structure of associated primes of monomial ideals*, Preprint 2021, arXiv:2105.00835.
- [3] A. Banerjee, S. K. Beyarslan and H. T. Hà. *Regularity of edge ideals and their powers*, Advances in Algebra, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **277**(2019), 17–52.
- [4] S. Bălănescu, M. Cimpoeaş, *Depth and Stanley depth of powers of the path ideal of a path graph*, Preprint 2023, arXiv:2303.01132.
- [5] R. R. Bouchat, H. T. Hà and A. O’Keefe, *Path ideals of rooted trees and their graded Betti numbers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118**(2011), 2411–2425.
- [6] R. R. Bouchat, H. T. Hà and A. O’Keefe, *Corrigendum to "Path ideals of rooted trees and their graded Betti numbers" [J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118**(2011), 2411–2425]*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **119**(2012), 1610–1611.
- [7] M. Brodmann, *Asymptotic stability of $\text{Ass}(M/I^n M)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74**(1979), 16–18.
- [8] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [9] A. Conca, E. De Negri, *M-sequences, graph ideals and ladder ideals of linear types*, J. Algebra **211**(1999), 599–624.
- [10] E. Carlini, H. T. Hà, B. Harbourne and A. Van Tuyl, *Ideals of Powers and Powers of Ideals: Intersecting Algebra, Geometry, and Combinatorics*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana vol. 27. Springer, 2020.
- [11] S. Faridi, *Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **190**(2004), 121–136.

- [12] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 260. Springer, 2011.
- [13] J. Herzog, S. Moradi, *Systems of parameters and the Cohen-Macaulay property*, J. Algebraic Combin. **54**(2021), 1261–1277.
- [14] J. Herzog, T. J. Puthenpurakal and J. K. Verma, *Hilbert polynomials and powers of ideals*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **145**(2008), 623–642.
- [15] J. He, A. Van Tuyl, *Algebraic properties of the path ideal of a tree*, Comm. Algebra **38**(2010), 1725–1742.
- [16] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, N. V. Trung and X. Zheng, *Standard graded vertex cover algebras, cycles and leaves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360**(2008), 6231–6249.
- [17] M. Kubitzke, A. Olteanu, *Algebraic properties of classes of path ideals of posets*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218**(2014), 1012–1033.
- [18] D. Kiani, S. Saeedi Madani, *Betti numbers of path ideals of trees*, Comm. Algebra **44**(2016), 5376–5394.
- [19] M. Nasernejad, A. A. Qureshi, *Algebraic implications of neighborhood hypergraphs and their transversal hypergraphs*, Comm. Algebra **52**(2024), 2328–2345.
- [20] J. Shan, D. Lu, *Regularity of powers of path ideals of line graphs*, accepted by Comm. Algebra
- [21] R. H. Villarreal, *Cohen-Macaulay graphs*, Manuscripta Math. **66**(1990), 277–293.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, 215006 SUZHOU, P.R.CHINA
Email address: ShanJiawen826@outlook.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, 215006 SUZHOU, P.R.CHINA
Email address: zexinwang6@outlook.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, 215006 SUZHOU, P.R.CHINA
Email address: ludancheng@suda.edu.cn