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Coloring a graph is a well known problem and used in many different contexts. Here we want to assign $k \geq 1$ colors to each vertex of a graph $G$ such that each edge has two different colors at each endpoint. Such a vertex-coloring, if exists, is called a feasible coloring of $G$. Distance Coloring is an extension to the standard Coloring problem. Here we want to enforce that every pair of distinct vertices of distance less than or equal to $d$ have different colors, for integers $d \geq 1$ and $k \geq d+1$.
Reconfiguration problems ask if two given configurations can be transformed into each other with certain rules. For example, the well-known Coloring Reconfiguration asks if there is a way to change one vertex's color at a time, starting from a feasible given coloring $\alpha$ of a graph $G$ to reach another feasible given coloring $\beta$ of $G$, such that all intermediate colorings are also feasible. In this paper, we study the reconfiguration of distance colorings on certain graph classes.
We show that even for planar, bipartite, and 2-degenerate graphs, reconfiguring distance colorings is PSPACE-complete for $d \geq 2$ and $k=\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$ via a reduction from the well-known Sliding Tokens problem. Additionally, we show that the problem on split graphs remains PSPACE-complete when $d=2$ and $k$ is large but can be solved in polynomial time when $d \geq 3$ and $k \geq d+1$, and design a quadratic-time algorithm to solve the problem on paths for any $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d+1$.
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## 1 Introduction

For the last few decades, reconfiguration problems have emerged in different areas of computer science, including computational geometry, recreational mathematics, constraint satisfaction, and so on [MN19; Nis18; van13]. Given a source problem $\mathcal{P}$ (e.g., Satisfiability, VertexColoring, Independent Set, etc.), one can define its reconfiguration variants. In such a variant, two feasible solutions (e.g., satisfying truth assignments, proper vertex-colorings, independent sets, etc.) $S$ and $T$ of $\mathcal{P}$ are given along with a prescribed reconfiguration rule that usually describes a "small" change in a solution without affecting its feasibility. The question is to decide if there is a sequence of feasible solutions that transforms $S$ into $T$, where each intermediate member is obtained from its predecessor by applying the reconfiguration rule exactly once. Such a sequence, if exists, is called a reconfiguration sequence.

### 1.1 Distance Coloring

The ( $d, k$ )-coloring (or $d$-distance $k$-coloring) concept was introduced in 1969 by Kramer and Kramer [KK69a; KK69b]. For a graph $G=(V, E)$ and integers $d \geq 1$ and $k \geq d+1$, a ( $d, k$ )-coloring of $G$ is an assignment of $k$ colors to members of $V(G)$ such that no two vertices within distance $d$ share the same color. In particular, the classic proper $k$-coloring concept is the case when $d=1$. The $(d, k)$-Coloring problem, which asks if a given graph $G$ has a ( $d, k$ )-coloring, is known to be NP-complete for any fixed $d \geq 2$ and large $k$ [LS95; McC83]. In 2007, Sharp [Sha07] proved the following complexity dichotomy: $(d, k)$-Coloring can be solved in polynomial time for $k \leq\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$ but NP-hard for $k>\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$. We refer readers to the survey [KK08] for more details on related ( $d, k$ )-Coloring problems.

### 1.2 Coloring Reconfiguration

$k$-Coloring Reconfiguration has been extensively studied in the literature [Mah24; MN19; Nis18]. In $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration, we are given two proper $k$-colorings $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of a graph $G$ and want to decide if there exists a way to recolor vertices one by one, starting from $\alpha$ and ending at $\beta$, such that every intermediate coloring is still a proper $k$-coloring. It is well-known that $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete for any fixed $k \geq 4$ on bipartite graphs, for any fixed $4 \leq k \leq 6$ on planar graphs, and for $k=4$ on bipartite planar graphs (and thus 3-degenerate graphs) [BC09]. A further note from Bonsma and Paulusma [BP19] shows that $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete even on $(k-2)$-connected bipartite graphs for $k \geq 4$. $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration ( $k \geq 4$ ) is also known to be PSPACE-complete on bounded bandwidth graphs [Wro18] (and therefore bounded treewidth graphs) and chordal graphs [HIZ19]. More precisely, it is known that there exists a fixed constant $c$ such that the problem is PSPACE-complete for every $k \geq c$ on bounded bandwidth graphs and chordal graphs. However, to the best of our knowledge, it is unclear how large $c$ is. Indeed, the problem remains PSPACE-complete even on planar graphs of bounded bandwidth and low maximum degree [van15]. On the other hand, for $1 \leq k \leq 3, k$-Coloring Reconfiguration can be solved in linear time [CvJ11; Joh+16]. Moreover, for $1 \leq k \leq 3$, given any yes-instance of $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration, one can construct in polynomial time a reconfiguration sequence whose length is shortest [Joh+16]. Additionally, $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is solvable in polynomial time on planar graphs for $k \geq 7$ and on bipartite planar graphs for $k \geq 5$ [BC09; van13]. With respect to graph classes, $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is solvable in polynomial time on 2-degenerate graphs (which contains graphs of treewidth at most two such as trees, cacti, outerplanar graphs, and series-parallel graphs) and several subclasses of chordal graphs, namely split graphs, trivially perfect graphs, $\ell$-trees (for some integer $\ell \geq 1$ ), and $(k-2)$-connected chordal graphs [BP19; HIZ19].

### 1.3 List Coloring Reconfiguration

A generalized variant of $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration, the List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration problem, has also been well-studied. Here, like in $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration, given a graph $G$ and two proper $k$-colorings $\alpha, \beta$, we want to transform $\alpha$ into $\beta$ and vice versa. However, we also require that each vertex has a list of at most $k$ colors from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ attached, which are the only colors each vertex is allowed to have. In particular, $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is nothing but List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration when every color list is $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Indeed, along the way of proving the PSPACE-completeness of $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration, Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09] showed that List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete for any fixed $k \geq 4$. Cereceda, Van den Heuvel, and Johnson [CvJ11] showed that $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is solvable in polynomial time for $1 \leq k \leq 3$ and their algorithms can be extended for List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration. ${ }^{1}$ Hatanaka, Ito, and Zhou [HIZ15] initiated a systematic study of List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration and showed the following complexity dichotomy: The problem is PSPACE-complete on graphs of pathwidth two but polynomial-time solvable on graphs of pathwidth one (whose components are caterpillars - the trees obtained by attaching leaves to a central path). They also noted that their hardness result can be extended for threshold graphs. Wrochna [Wro18] showed that List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete on bounded bandwidth graphs and the constructed graph in his reduction also has pathwidth two, which independently confirmed the result of Hatanaka, Ito, and Zhou [HIZ15].

### 1.4 Our Problem and Results

In this paper, for $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d+1$, we study ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration problem - a generalized variant of $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration where any considered vertex-coloring is a ( $d, k$ )-coloring. In Section 3 , for $d \geq 2$ and $k=\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$, we show the PSPACE-completeness of the ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration problem even for graphs that are bipartite, planar, and 2-degenerate. (Interestingly, when $d=1$, the problem on 2 -degenerate graphs can be solved in polynomial time [HIZ19].) To this end, we first introduce a variant of the well-known Sliding Tokens problem which remains PSPACE-complete even on planar and 2-degenerate graphs (Section 3.3). We then show that even when the input graph is bipartite, planar, and 2-degenerate, List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete for $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3(d+1) / 2+3$ if $d$ is odd or $k \geq 3(d+2) / 2+3$ if $d$ is even, by a reduction from our SLIDING Tokens variant (Section 3.4). Finally, we use List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration as the basis to prove our main result (Section 3.5). We emphasize that though our reductions follow a similar approach for the classic reduction for $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration described in [BC09], the technical details of our constructions are different and non-trivial. (See Section 3.2 for a detailed explanation.)
On a different note, for the specialized case of split graphs, we show NP-completeness of the original $(2, k)$-Coloring problem in Section 4. We then extend the same idea to prove that $(2, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete for some large $k$. Though our PSPACE-complete reduction is not hard to construct, we claim in Section 4 that showing its correctness is non-trivial.
On the algorithmic side (Section 5), we show simple polynomial-time algorithms for graphs of diameter at most $d$ (Section 5.1) and paths (Section 5.2). The former result implies that ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration is in P on split graphs (whose components having diameter at most 3 ) for any $d \geq 3$.
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## 2 Preliminaries

We refer readers to [Die17] for the concepts and notations not defined here. Unless otherwise mentioned, we always consider simple, undirected, connected graphs. For two vertices $u, v$ of a graph $G$, we denote by $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v)$ the distance (i.e., the length of the shortest path) between $u$ and $v$ in $G$. We define $N_{d}(v)$ for a given graph $G$ to be the set of all vertices of distance at most $d$, i.e., $N_{d}(v)=\left\{u \in V \mid \operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v) \leq d\right\}$. An $s$-degenerate graph is an undirected graph in which every induced subgraph has a vertex of degree at most $s$.

Coloring For two positive integers $d \geq 1$ and $k \geq d+1$, a $(d, k)$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a function $\alpha: V(G) \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that for any pair of distinct vertices $u$ and $v, \alpha(u) \neq \alpha(v)$ if $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v) \leq d$. In particular, a $(1, k)$-coloring of $G$ is also known as a proper $k$-coloring. If a graph $G$ has a $(d, k)$-coloring, we say that it is $(d, k)$-colorable. In this paper, we focus on the case $d \geq 2$.

One can generalize the concept of $(d, k)$-coloring to list $(d, k)$-coloring as follows: Assign to each vertex $v \in V(G)$ a list of possible colors $L(v) \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$. A $(d, k)$-coloring $\alpha$ of $G$ is called a list $(d, k)$-coloring if for every $v$, we have $\alpha(v) \in L(v)$. In particular, if $L(v)=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then any list $(d, k)$-coloring of $G$ is also a $(d, k)$-coloring of $G$ and vice versa.

Reconfiguration Two (list) ( $d, k$ )-colorings $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of a graph $G$ are adjacent if there exists exactly one $v \in V(G)$ such that $\alpha(v) \neq \beta(v)$ and $\alpha(w)=\beta(w)$ for every $w \in V(G)-v$. If $\beta$ is obtained from $\alpha$ (and vice versa) by recoloring only one $v$, we say that such a recoloring step is valid. Given two different (list) $(d, k)$-colorings $\alpha, \beta$ of a graph $G$, the (List) $(d, k)$-Coloring RECONFIGURATION problem asks if there is a sequence of (list) ( $d, k$ )-colorings $\left\langle\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}\right\rangle$ where $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta=\alpha_{\ell}$ such that $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i+1}$ are adjacent for every $0 \leq i \leq \ell-1$. Such a sequence, if exists, is called a reconfiguration sequence (i.e., a sequence of valid recoloring steps) between $\alpha$ and $\beta$. An instance of List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration is usually denoted by the 4 -tuple $(G, \alpha, \beta, L)$ and an instance of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration by the triple $(G, \alpha, \beta)$.

## 3 PSPACE-Completeness

In this section, we prove that it is PSPACE-complete to decide for two given $(d, k)$-colorings $\alpha, \beta$ of a graph $G$ if there is a reconfiguration sequence that transforms $\alpha$ into $\beta$ and vice versa, even if $G$ is bipartite, planar, and 2-degenerate. We begin this section with the following simple remark. It is well-known that for any problem in NP, its reconfiguration variants are in PSPACE [Ito+11]. Since $(d, k)$-Coloring is in NP [LS95; McC83], $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration is in PSPACE.

### 3.1 Graphs and its Powers

The $d$-th power of a graph $G$, denoted by $G^{d}$, is the graph with $V\left(G^{d}\right)=V(G)$ and $E\left(G^{d}\right)=$ $\left\{u v \mid u, v \in V(G)\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v) \leq d\right\}$. It is well-known that $\alpha$ is a $(d, k)$-coloring of a graph $G$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a $(1, k)$-coloring of $G^{d}$. To show the PSPACE-hardness of $(d, k)$-Coloring RECONFIGURATION (on general graphs), one may think of the following "trivial" reduction from $(1, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration (which is known to be PSPACE-complete [BC09] for $k \geq 4$ ): For any instance $(G, \alpha, \beta)$ of $(1, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration, construct the corresponding instance $(H, \alpha, \beta)$ of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration where $H$ is a $d$-th root of $G$, that is, $H$ is a graph satisfying $H^{d} \simeq G$. We remark that this reduction does not work. The reason is that, unless $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$, the reduction cannot be done in polynomial time: Deciding if there is any graph $H$ such that $H^{d} \simeq G$ is NP-complete for all fixed $d \geq 2$ [LN10].

### 3.2 Outline of Our Reduction

We follow the approach used by Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09] in their proof for PSPACEcompleteness of $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration $(k \geq 4)$. We note that Ito et al. [Ito +14 ] also use this approach to prove the PSPACE-completeness of a different reconfiguration problem called List $L(2,1)$-Labelling Reconfiguration. However, their reductions and ours are technically different.
We first define a restricted variant of Sliding Tokens called Restricted Sliding Tokens, which we will prove to be PSPACE-complete even when the input graph is planar and 2-degenerate in Section 3.3. We remark that by applying our Restricted Sliding Tokens in the original reduction by Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09], one can indeed show that List $k$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete even on planar, bipartite, and 2-degenerate graphs for $k \geq 4$.

Next, we reduce from Restricted Sliding Tokens to List $(d, 3 d / 2+c)$-Coloring Reconfiguration (Section 3.4), where $c$ is some fixed constant. To readers familiar with the reduction of Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09], we want to specifically mention that we use a modification of their Sliding Tokens variant. If we use the same variant as used in their reduction, we would only prove the hardness of List $(d, 2 d+c)$-Coloring Reconfiguration. To further decrease the number of colors, namely to show the hardness of List $(d, 3 d / 2+c)$ Coloring Reconfiguration, where $c=4.5$ if $d$ is odd, or $c=6$ if $d$ is even (Theorem 2), we need to modify the way in which link edges are connected to the token gadgets. Additionally, these link edges will be transformed to specific paths of length more than $d$. We will show that our modification from Sliding Tokens to Restricted Sliding Tokens reduces the number of colors used to color vertices in these paths.
Finally, we reduce from List $(d, 3 d / 2+c)$-Coloring Reconfiguration to $\left(d, \Omega\left(d^{2}\right)\right.$ )Coloring Reconfiguration (Section 3.5). We note that in our reduction, our constructed gadgets, which we call frozen graphs, are indeed planar, bipartite, and 1-degenerate (they are essentially trees) for any $d \geq 2$, which is completely different from [BC09], where similar "frozen gadgets" are constructed only for certain number of colors $k$ even on planar graphs.

### 3.3 Sliding Tokens

In this section, we first revisit the variant of Sliding Tokens used by Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09] and then describe and prove the PSPACE-completeness of our restricted variant, which we call Restricted Sliding Tokens.

### 3.3.1 Bonsma and Cereceda's Sliding Tokens Variant

In a graph $G$, a (valid) token configuration is a set of vertices on which tokens are placed such that no two tokens are either on the same vertex or adjacent, i.e., each token configuration forms an independent set of $G$. A move (or TS-move) between two token configurations of $G$ involves sliding a token from one vertex to one of its (unoccupied) adjacent neighbors. (Note that a move must always results in a valid token configuration.) Given a graph $G$ and two token configurations $T_{A}, T_{B}$, the Sliding Tokens problem, first introduced by Hearn and Demaine [HD05], asks if there is a sequence of moves transforming $T_{A}$ into $T_{B}$. Such a sequence, if exists, is called a TS-sequence in $G$ between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$. Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09] claim that Sliding Tokens is PSPACE-complete even when restricted to the following set of ( $G, T_{A}, T_{B}$ ) instances. For a more detailed explanation, we refer readers to the PhD thesis of Cereceda [Cer07].

- The graph $G$ has three types of gadgets: token triangles (a copy of $K_{3}$ ), token edges (a copy of $K_{2}$ ), and link edges (a copy of $K_{2}$ ). Token triangles and token edges are all mutually disjoint. They are joined together by link edges in such a way that every vertex of $G$ belongs to exactly one token triangle or one token edge. Moreover, every vertex in a token
triangle has degree 3 , and $G$ has a planar embedding where every token triangle bounds a face. The graph $G$ has maximum degree 3 and minimum degree 2 .
- The token configurations $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ are such that every token triangle and every token edge contains exactly one token on one of their vertices.

Token configurations where every token triangle and every token edge contains exactly one token on one of their vertices are called standard token configurations. Thus, both $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ are standard. One can verify that in any TS -sequence in $G$ starting from $T_{A}$ or $T_{B}$, no token ever leaves its corresponding token triangle/edge.
We define the degree of a gadget as the number of gadgets of other types sharing exactly one common vertex with it. By definition, each token triangle in $G$ has degree exactly 3, each token edge has degree between 2 and 4 , as it can have at most 2 link edges connected by the degree bound of the original graph. Two link edges may share a common vertex. Note that when calculating the degree of a link edge, we only count the number of token triangles/token edges sharing exactly one common vertex with it and ignore any other link edge having the same property. Since all token triangles and token edges are mutually disjoint, a link edge always has degree exactly 2 .

### 3.3.2 Our Modification: Restricted Sliding Tokens Variant

In our Restricted Sliding Tokens variant, we modify each instance in the above set using the following rules.
(R1) For a single token edge of degree 4, replace that token edge by two new token edges joined together by a single link edge.
(R2) For a single link edge joining vertices of two degree-3 gadgets, replace that link edge by two new link edges joined together by a single token edge.

We perform (R1) and then (R2) sequentially: First we apply (R1) on the original graph repeatedly until no token edge of degree 4 exists in the resulting graph. We then continue by applying (R2) repeatedly until no link edge joining vertices of two degree-3 gadgets remain in the resulting graph. In each case, new tokens are appropriately added to ensure that the resulting token configuration is standard. Additionally, if a vertex in the original graph does (not) have a token on it, then in the newly constructed graph, it does (not) too.
Let's call the final new corresponding instance $\left(G^{\prime}, T_{A}^{\prime}, T_{B}^{\prime}\right)$. We note that after these modifications, each token triangle has degree exactly 3 and each token edge has degree either 2 or 3 . Moreover, each token triangle or token edge of degree 3 has a link edge to at least one token edge of degree 2. As $G$ is planar, the graph $G^{\prime}$ is planar too. Additionally, from the modification, $G^{\prime}$ has maximum degree 3 and minimum degree 2 , and both $T_{A}^{\prime}$ and $T_{B}^{\prime}$ are standard token configurations. One can readily verify that in any TS-sequence in $G^{\prime}$ starting from $T_{A}^{\prime}$ or $T_{B}^{\prime}$, no token ever leaves its corresponding token triangle/edge.
We are now ready to show that Restricted Sliding Tokens remains PSPACE-complete. Observe that our described construction can be done in polynomial time: each of the rules (R1) and (R2) "touches" a token edge/link edge at most once. Thus, it remains to show that our construction is a valid reduction from the Sliding Tokens variant used by Bonsma and Cereceda [BC09] to our variant.

Lemma 1. Let $\left(G, T_{A}, T_{B}\right)$ and $\left(G^{1}, T_{A}^{1}, T_{B}^{1}\right)$ be respectively the instances of Sliding Tokens before and after applying (R1). Then $\left(G, T_{A}, T_{B}\right)$ is a yes-instance if and only if $\left(G^{1}, T_{A}^{1}, T_{B}^{1}\right)$ is a yes-instance.
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Figure 1: Rule (R1) applied to a link edge of degree 4
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Figure 2: Rule (R2) applied to a link edge joining two degree 3 token triangles

Proof. Let $u v$ be some token edge of degree 4 that is removed when applying (R1), that is, we replace $u v$ by the path $u u^{\prime} v^{\prime} v$ where $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ are newly added vertices, $u u^{\prime}$ and $v v^{\prime}$ are token edges, and $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ is a link edge. (For example, see Fig. 1.) Observe that $T_{A} \subset T_{A}^{1}$ and $T_{B} \subset T_{B}^{1}$. Here we use a convention that $G^{1}$ is constructed from $G$ by replacing the edge $u v$ by a path of length 3 . We note that $u \in T_{A}$ implies that $u, v^{\prime}$ are in $T_{A}^{1}$ while $u^{\prime}, v$ are not and similarly $v \in T_{A}$ implies that $u^{\prime}, v$ are in $T_{A}^{1}$ while $u, v^{\prime}$ are not.
Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a TS-sequence in $G$ between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$. We construct a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ in $G^{1}$ between $T_{A}^{1}$ and $T_{B}^{1}$ from $\mathcal{S}$ as follows. We replace any move $u \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{S}$ by the ordered sequence of moves $\left\langle v^{\prime} \rightarrow v, u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $v \rightarrow u$ by $\left\langle v \rightarrow v^{\prime}, u^{\prime} \rightarrow u\right\rangle$. By the construction, since the move $u \rightarrow v$ results in a new independent set of $G$, so does each member in $\left\langle v^{\prime} \rightarrow v, u \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right\rangle$ of $G^{1}$. More precisely, since $u \rightarrow v$ can be applied in $G$, so does $v^{\prime} \rightarrow v$ in $G^{1}$. After the move $v^{\prime} \rightarrow v$, the move $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ can be performed as no token is placed on a neighbor of $u^{\prime}$ other than the one on $u$. Similar arguments hold for $v \rightarrow u$ and the sequence $\left\langle v \rightarrow v^{\prime}, u^{\prime} \rightarrow u\right\rangle$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ is indeed a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ in $G^{1}$ between $T_{A}^{1}$ and $T_{B}^{1}$.
Now, let $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ be a TS-sequence in $G^{1}$ between $T_{A}^{1}$ and $T_{B}^{1}$. We construct a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}$ in $G$ between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ from $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ as follows. Every time we see a move $v^{\prime} \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{S}^{1}$, we ignore it. If after a move $v^{\prime} \rightarrow v$ (which we ignored) we see a move $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ then we replace $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ by the move $u \rightarrow v$. Again, by the construction, since the move $u \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ results in a new independent set of $G^{1}$ and so does the move $v^{\prime} \rightarrow v$ before it, the move $u \rightarrow v$ also results in a new independent set of $G$. More precisely, after the move $v^{\prime} \rightarrow v$ is applied, no token in $G^{1}$ can move to a neighbor of $v$ in $G^{1}$, which means that by our construction of $\mathcal{S}$, no token in $G$ other than the one on $u$ can be placed on a neighbor of $v$ in $G$. Hence, the move $u \rightarrow v$ results in a new independent set of $G$. Similarly, if we see a move $u^{\prime} \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{S}^{1}$, we ignore it. If after a move $u^{\prime} \rightarrow u$ (which we ignored) we see a move $v \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ then we replace $v \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ by the move $v \rightarrow u$. Similarly, one can argue that the move $v \rightarrow u$ results in a new independent set of $G$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}$ is a TS-sequence in $G$ between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\left(G^{1}, T_{A}^{1}, T_{B}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(G^{2}, T_{A}^{2}, T_{B}^{2}\right)$ be respectively the instances of Sliding Tokens before and after applying (R2). Suppose that $G^{1}$ has no token edge of degree 4. Then ( $G^{1}, T_{A}^{1}, T_{B}^{1}$ ) is a yes-instance if and only if $\left(G^{2}, T_{A}^{2}, T_{B}^{2}\right)$ is a yes-instance.
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Figure 3: Rule (R2) applied to a link edge joining two degree 3 token edges
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Figure 4: Rule (R2) applied to a link edge joining a degree 3 token edge and a degree 3 token triangle

Proof. Observe that applying (R2) does not result any new token edge of degree 4. Let $u v$ be some link edge joining two degree 3 gadgets on which (R2) is applied, that is, we replace $u v$ by the path $u u^{\prime} v^{\prime} v$ where $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ are newly added vertices, $u u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime} v$ are link edges, and $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ is a token edge. (For example, see Figs. 2 to 4.) Observe that $T_{A}^{1} \subset T_{A}^{2}$ and $T_{B}^{1} \subset T_{B}^{2}$. Here we use a convention that $G^{2}$ is constructed from $G^{1}$ by replacing the edge $u v$ by a path of length 3 . We note that $u \in T_{A}^{1}$ implies that $u, v^{\prime}$ are in $T_{A}^{2}$ while $u^{\prime}, v$ are not and similarly $v \in T_{A}^{1}$ implies that $u^{\prime}, v$ are in $T_{A}^{2}$ while $u, v^{\prime}$ are not.
Let $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ be a TS-sequence in $G^{1}$ between $T_{A}^{1}$ and $T_{B}^{1}$. We construct a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ in $G^{2}$ between $T_{A}^{2}$ and $T_{B}^{2}$ from $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ as follows. We replace any move $u \rightarrow w$ in $\mathcal{S}^{1}$, where $u$ and $w$ are in the same token triangle/token edge, by the ordered sequence $\left\langle u \rightarrow w, v^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}\right\rangle$, and any move $w \rightarrow u$ by $\left\langle u^{\prime} \rightarrow v^{\prime}, w \rightarrow u\right\rangle$ if there is a token on $u^{\prime}$. By the construction, since the move $u \rightarrow w$ results a new independent set of $G^{1}$, so does the move $u \rightarrow w$ in $G^{2}$. Moreover, as there is no token on $u$ or any of its neighbor other than the one on $w$ after performing $u \rightarrow w$ in $G^{2}$, the move $v^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ also results a new independent set of $G^{2}$. Similarly, since the move $w \rightarrow u$ results in a new independent set of $G^{1}$, so does the move $w \rightarrow u$ in $G^{2}$. Moreover, as $w \rightarrow u$ is a valid token-slide, right before performing it, there is no token on $v$, and thus the move $u^{\prime} \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ can be inserted right before $w \rightarrow u$ in $G^{2}$ if a token on $u^{\prime}$ exists. Analogously, we also replace any move $v \rightarrow x$ in $\mathcal{S}^{1}$, were $x$ and $v$ are in the same token triangle/token edge, by the ordered sequence $\left\langle v \rightarrow x, u^{\prime} \rightarrow v^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $x \rightarrow v$ by $\left\langle v^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}, x \rightarrow v\right\rangle$ if there is a token on $v^{\prime}$. By symmetry, one can also verify that these moves are valid in $G^{2}$, i.e., they always result in new independent sets of $G^{2}$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ is indeed a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ in $G^{2}$ between $T_{A}^{2}$ and $T_{B}^{2}$.
Now, let $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ be a TS-sequence in $G^{2}$ between $T_{A}^{2}$ and $T_{B}^{2}$. We construct a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}^{1}$ in $G^{1}$ between $T_{A}^{1}$ and $T_{B}^{1}$ from $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ as follows. Every time we see a move $u^{\prime} \rightarrow v^{\prime}$ or $v^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}$, we ignore it. (Intuitively, we ignore any move between two new vertices not in $G^{1}$.) By the construction, since each move $u \rightarrow w$ in $\mathcal{S}^{2}$, where $u$ and $w$ are in the same token triangle/token edge of $G^{1}$, results in new independent set of $G^{2}$, it also results in new independent set of $G^{1}$, as every token triangle/token edge in $G^{1}$ is also in $G^{2}$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}$ is a TS-sequence in $G^{1}$ between $T_{A}^{1}$


Figure 5: An example of a Restricted Sliding Tokens's instance.
and $T_{B}^{1}$.
Combining our construction and Lemmas 1 and 2, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Restricted Sliding Tokens is PSPACE-complete on planar and 2-degenerate graphs.

Proof. Let $\left(G, T_{A}, T_{B}\right)$ be an instance of Sliding Tokens and $\left(G^{\prime}, T_{A}^{\prime}, T_{B}^{\prime}\right)$ be the corresponding instance of Restricted Sliding Tokens. The PSPACE-completeness follows from our construction and Lemmas 1 and 2 above. From our construction, since the input graph $G$ is planar, so is the constructed graph $G^{\prime}$. Additionally, we can also show that $G^{\prime}$ is 2-degenerate. Let us prove by contradiction. Let $X$ be an induced subgraph in $G^{\prime}$ such that the minimum degree of any vertex in $X$ is at least 3 . By construction of $G^{\prime}$ for any vertex $x$ of degree 3 in a token edge all its neighbors are of degree at most 2 . If $x \in X$, then at least one of its neighbors also belong to $X$ by definition. Hence, $X$ has a vertex of degree at most 2 contradicting our assumption. For any vertex $x$ of degree 3 in a token triangle at least one neighbor ( $y$ which is outside the token triangle) is of degree at most 2 and its neighbors ( $x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ ) in the token triangle may be of degree 3. $y \in X$ leads to a contradiction. If $x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ is in $X$ then including their corresponding neighbors of degree at most 2 which are outside the token triangle in $X$ also leads to a contradiction. However, $x, x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ without any neighbors outside the token triangle in $X$ have degree at most 2. This also leads to a contradiction.

### 3.4 Reduction to List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration

In this section, we describe a reduction from Restricted Sliding Tokens to List ( $d, k$ )Coloring Reconfiguration.

### 3.4.1 Forbidding Paths

We begin by defining an analogous concept of the " $(a, b)$-forbidding path" defined in [BC09]. Intuitively, in such paths, their endpoints can never at any step be respectively colored $a$ and $b$. This is useful for simulating the behavior of token movements: both endpoints of an edge cannot have tokens simultaneously. We augment the original definition with a set of colors $C$.

Definition 1. Let $u, v$ be two vertices of a graph $G$. Let $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d+5$ be fixed integers. Let $a, b \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $C$ be a set of colors such that $C \cap\{1,2,3\}=\emptyset$ and $|C|$ is either $d+1$ if $d$ is odd or $d+2$ if $d$ is even. For a $u v$-path $P$ and a $(d, k)$-coloring $\alpha$ of $P$, we call $\alpha$ an $[x, y]$-coloring if $\alpha(u)=x$ and $\alpha(v)=y$. A $(C, a, b)$-forbidding path from $u$ to $v$ is a $u v$-path $P$ in $G$ with a color list $L$ such that both $L(u)$ and $L(v)$ are subsets of $\{1,2,3\}, a \in L(u), b \in L(v)$, $\bigcup_{w \in V(P) \backslash\{u, v\}} L(w) \subseteq(C \cup\{a, b\})$, and the following two conditions are satisfied:
(1) An $[x, y]$-coloring exists if and only if $x \in L(u), y \in L(v)$, and $(x, y) \neq(a, b)$. Such a pair $(x, y)$ is called admissible for $P$.
(2) If both $(x, y)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ are admissible, then from any $[x, y]$-coloring, there exists a reconfiguration sequence that ends with a $\left[x^{\prime}, y\right]$-coloring, without ever recoloring $v$, and only recoloring $u$ in the last step. A similar statement holds for admissible pairs $(x, y)$ and $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$.

Intuitively, as in [BC09], by constructing a ( $C, a, b$ )-forbidding path $P$ between $u$ and $v$, we want to forbid $u$ having color $a$ and $v$ having color $b$ simultaneously. Any other combination of colors for $u$ and $v$ (selected from the color lists) is possible. Moreover, any recoloring of $u$ and $v$ is possible as long as it does not result in the forbidden color combination. Note that a ( $C, a, b$-forbidding path from $u$ to $v$ is different from a ( $C, a, b)$-forbidding path from $v$ to $u$.


Figure 6: An example of a ( $C, 1,1$ )-forbidding path $P$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ having $L(u)=\{1,2\}$ and $L(v)=\{1,3\}$. Here $d=2, k=7(=d+5)$, and $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}\right\}$. The numbers inside the vertices of $P$ indicate a (2,7)-coloring $\alpha$ of $P$ which is also a [2, 3]-coloring.

In the next lemma, we describe how to construct a ( $C, a, b$ )-forbidding path of length $d+3$ when $d$ is odd and $d+4$ when $d$ is even, where $d \geq 2$. The reason why we have different lengths of a ( $C, a, b$ )-forbidding path $P$ for when $d$ is odd and even is because we require $P$ to be even length which will be clearer in the next section.

Lemma 3. Let $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d+5$. Let $C$ be a set of colors such that $C \cap\{1,2,3\}=\emptyset$ and $|C|$ is either $d+1$ if $d$ is odd or $d+2$ if $d$ is even. For any $L_{u} \subseteq\{1,2,3\}, L_{v} \subseteq\{1,2,3\}, a \in L_{u}$, and $b \in L_{v}$, there exists a $(C, a, b)$-forbidding path $P$ with $L(u)=L_{u}, L(v)=L_{v}$ and for any $w \in V(P) \backslash\{u, v\}, L(w) \subseteq(C \cup\{a, b\})$. Moreover, $P$ has length $d+3$ if $d$ is odd and $d+4$ if $d$ is even.

Proof. Suppose that $C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\}$ where $p$ is either $d+1$ if $d$ is odd or $d+2$ if $d$ is even. We define the path $P=v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{p} v_{p+1} v_{p+2}$ such that $v_{0}=u$ and $v_{p+2}=v$. $P$ has length $p+2$, which is equal to $(d+1)+2=d+3$ if $d$ is odd and $(d+2)+2=d+4$ if $d$ is even. We define the color list $L$ for each vertex of $P$ as follows.

- $L(u)=L\left(v_{0}\right)=L_{u}, L(v)=L\left(v_{p+2}\right)=L_{v}, L\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{a, c_{1}\right\}$, and $L\left(v_{p+1}\right)=\left\{c_{p}, b\right\}$.
- For $2 \leq i \leq p, L\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{c_{i-1}, c_{i}\right\}$.

We claim that the path $P$ with the color list $L$ indeed form a ( $C, a, b$ )-forbidding path. It suffices to verify the conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 1.
We first verify (1). Suppose that $x \in L(u), y \in L(v)$, and $(x, y) \neq(a, b)$. We describe how to construct a $[x, y]$-coloring. If $x=a$, we color $v_{0}$ by $a, v_{p+1}$ by $b, v_{p+2}$ by $y$ and $v_{i}$ by $c_{i}$ for
$1 \leq i \leq p$. Similarly, if $y=b$, we color $v_{p+2}$ by $b, v_{1}$ by $a, v_{0}$ by $x$, and $v_{i}$ by $c_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p+1$. If both $x \neq a$ and $y \neq b$, one possible valid coloring is to color $v_{0}$ by $x, v_{1}$ by $a, v_{p+1}$ by $b, v_{p+2}$ by $y$ and $v_{i}$ by $c_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p$. One can verify that our constructed colorings are $(d, k)$-colorings of $P$.

On the other hand, suppose that a $[x, y]$-coloring of $P$ exists. We claim that $x \in L(u)$, $y \in L(v)$, and $(x, y) \neq(a, b)$. The first two conditions are followed from the definition of a $[x, y]$-coloring. We show that the last condition holds. Observe that if $u=v_{0}$ has color $x=a$ then we are forced to color $v_{1}$ by $c_{1}, v_{2}$ by $c_{2}$, and so on until $v_{p}$ by $c_{p}$, and $v_{p+1}$ by $b$, which implies that the color of $v_{p+2}=v$ cannot be $b$; otherwise, our constructed coloring is not a $(d, k)$-coloring of $P$. Similar arguments can be applied for the case $v=v_{p+2}$ has color $y=b$. Thus, $(x, y) \neq(a, b)$.

We now verify (2). Let $(x, y)$ and $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ be two admissible pairs. From (1), a $[x, y]$-coloring $\alpha$ and a $\left[x, y^{\prime}\right]$-coloring $\beta$ of $P$ exist. We describe how to construct a reconfiguration sequence $\mathcal{S}$ which starts from $\alpha$, ends at $\beta$, and satisfies (2). If $v_{0}$ has color $x=a$, then both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have the same coloring for all vertices of $P$ except at vertex $v$ and are therefore adjacent $(d, k)$-colorings. As $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ is an admissible pair, $y^{\prime} \neq b$, hence, we can recolor $v$ from $y$ to $y^{\prime}$.

If $v_{0}$ has color $x \neq a$, we show that a reconfiguration sequence from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ exists by describing a procedure that recolors both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to the same $\left[x, y^{\prime}\right]$-coloring $\gamma$ of $P$. The coloring $\gamma$ is constructed from any $[x, y]$-coloring of $P$ where $x \neq a$ as follows. First, we recolor $v_{1}$ by $a$ (if it was already colored $a$ then there is nothing to do). Notice that the only other vertex in $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p+1}$ which can potentially have the color $a$ is $v_{p+1}$, in the case that $a=b$. But as the distance between $v_{1}$ and $v_{p+1}$ is $p>d$, this recoloring step is valid.

Next, we recolor $v_{2}$ by $c_{1}$ as $c_{1}$ is not used to color any other vertex in $P$ currently. We proceed with coloring every $v_{i}$ by color $c_{i-1}, 3 \leq i \leq p+1$. Each recoloring step above is valid, as when we color $v_{i}$ by $c_{i-1}$, we always ensure that $c_{i-1}$ is not used to color any other vertex in $P$ at that time. Again, if $v_{i}$ is already colored $c_{i-1}$ then there is nothing to do. At the end of this process $v_{p+1}$ is colored with $c_{p}$. Finally, as the nearest vertex to $v=v_{p+2}$ which is colored $a$ is the vertex $v_{1}$ is at distance $p+1>d$ from $v$, this leaves us free to color $v$ with $y^{\prime} \in L(v)$. This gives the required reconfiguration sequence from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ by combining the sequences from $\alpha$ to $\gamma$ and from $\beta$ to $\gamma$. The case for admissible pairs $(x, y)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ is symmetric.

### 3.4.2 Construction of Our Reduction

We are now ready to describe our reduction. Let $\left(G, T_{A}, T_{B}\right)$ be an instance of Restricted Sliding Tokens. We describe how to construct a corresponding instance ( $G^{\prime}, \alpha, \beta, L$ ) of List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration. We use the same notations in [BC09] to label the vertices of $G$. The token triangles are labelled $1, \ldots, n_{t}$, and the vertices of the triangle $i$ are $t_{i 1}, t_{i 2}$, and $t_{i 3}$. The token edges are labelled $1, \ldots, n_{e}$, and the vertices of the token edge $i$ are $e_{i 1}$ and $e_{i 2}$. To construct $G^{\prime}$ and $L$, we proceed as follows.

For every token triangle $i$, we introduce a vertex $t_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$ with color list $L\left(t_{i}\right)=\{1,2,3\}$. For every token edge $i$, we introduce a vertex $e_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$ with color list $L\left(e_{i}\right)=\{1,2\}$. From our construction of Restricted Sliding Tokens, in $G^{\prime}$, each $t_{i}$ has degree exactly three and each $e_{i}$ has degree either two or three. Whenever a link edge of $G$ joins a vertex $t_{i a}\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{t}\right)$ with a vertex $e_{j b}\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{e}\right)$ or $e_{i a}\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{e}\right)$ with $e_{j b}\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{e}\right)$, we add a $\left(C_{u v}, a, b\right)$-forbidding path $P_{u v}=w_{u v}^{0} w_{u v}^{1} \ldots w_{u v}^{p}$ of length $p$ between $u=w_{u v}^{0}$ and $v=w_{u v}^{p}$ in $G^{\prime}$, where $p=d+3$ if $d$ is odd and $p=d+4$ if $d$ is even. Here $u=t_{i}$ and $v=e_{j}$ if we consider $\left\{t_{i a}, e_{j b}\right\}$, and $u=e_{i}$ and $v=e_{j}$ if we consider $\left\{e_{i a}, e_{j b}\right\} . C_{u v}$ is the set of exactly $p-2$ colors which we will define later along with the color list $L$ for each vertex in $P_{u v}$. We remark that, unlike in [BC09], our construction of Restricted Sliding Tokens guarantees that there is no link edge joining a $t_{i a}\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{t}\right)$ with a $t_{j b}\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{t}\right)$.

Let $q=(p-2) / 2$. By definition, $p \geq d+3 \geq 4$ and $p$ is always even, which means $q \geq 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$. For each path $P_{u v}=w_{u v}^{0} \ldots w_{u v}^{p}$, we partition its vertex set into two
parts: the closer part denoted by $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)=\left\{w_{u v}^{0}, \ldots, w_{u v}^{q+1}\right\}$ and the further part denoted by $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)=\left\{w_{u v}^{q+1}, \ldots, w_{u v}^{p}\right\}$. Note that for a path $P_{u v}$, the two parts $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)$ and $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)$ intersect at exactly one vertex, namely $w_{u v}^{q+1}$. Additionally, $\operatorname{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)=\operatorname{far}\left(P_{v u}\right)$ and $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(P_{v u}\right)$. We say that a part $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)$ which contains $u=w_{u v}^{0}$ is incident to $u$ and similarly $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)$ which contains $v=w_{u v}^{p}$ is incident to $v$. From our construction of Restricted Sliding Tokens, each $t_{i}$ has exactly three parts incident to it and each $e_{j}$ has either two or three parts incident to it. (Recall that $u, v \in\left\{t_{i}, e_{j}\right\}$.)
To construct the set $C_{u v}$ and the list $L$ for each vertex of $P_{u v}$, we will use three disjoint sets $A, B, C$ of colors. Each set $A, B$ or $C$ is an ordered set of colors of size $q$ and has no common member with $\{1,2,3\}$. For part $\in\{\mathrm{cl}, \mathrm{far}\}$, let $f: \operatorname{part}\left(P_{u v}\right) \rightarrow\{A, B, C\}$ be a function which assigns exactly one set of colors in $\{A, B, C\}$ to each part of these paths $P_{u v}$ such that:
(P1) No two parts of the same path share the same assigned set, i.e., $f\left(\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)\right) \neq f\left(\mathrm{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)\right)$; and
(P2) No two parts incident to the same vertex in $G^{\prime}$ share the same assigned set, i.e., for any pair $v, v^{\prime}$ of $u$ 's neighbors, $f\left(\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)\right) \neq f\left(\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v^{\prime}}\right)\right)$.

In the rest of the proof we refer to the conditions above as conditions (P1) and (P2) respectively. We will show later in Lemma 5 that such a function can be constructed in polynomial time. After we use the function $f$ to assign the colors $\{A, B, C\}$ to parts of a forbidding path $P_{u v}$, we are ready to define $C_{u v}$. Suppose that the ordered set $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right) \in\{A, B, C\}$ is used to color $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)$ and the ordered set $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right) \in\{A, B, C\} \backslash X$ is used to color far $\left(P_{u v}\right)$, that is, $X=f\left(\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)\right)$ and $Y=f\left(\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)\right)$. We define $C_{u v}=X \cup Y$. Next, we define the color list $L$ for a path $P_{u v}=w_{u v}^{0} \ldots w_{u v}^{p}$ (where $u=w_{u v}^{0}$ and $v=w_{u v}^{p}$ ) using colors $C_{u v}$, as follows.

- If $u=t_{i}$ for some $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{t}\right\}$, define $L(u)=\{1,2,3\}$; otherwise (i.e., $u=e_{j}$ for some $\left.j \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{e}\right\}\right)$, define $L(u)=\{1,2\}$. Similar definitions hold for $L(v)$.
- $L\left(w_{u v}^{1}\right)=\left\{a, x_{1}\right\}$ and $L\left(w_{u v}^{p-1}\right)=\left\{y_{1}, b\right\}$.
- For $2 \leq i \leq q, L\left(w_{u v}^{i}\right)=\left\{x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right\}$ and $L\left(w_{u v}^{p-i}\right)=\left\{y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right\}$; and $L\left(w_{u v}^{q+1}\right)=\left\{x_{q}, y_{q}\right\}$.

Recall that given an instance $\left(G, T_{A}, T_{B}\right)$ of Restricted Sliding Tokens, we need to construct an instance ( $G^{\prime}, \alpha, \beta, L$ ) of List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration. Up to the present, given $G$, one can verify that we have constructed $G^{\prime}$ and a color list $L$ for each vertex of $G^{\prime}$ in polynomial time. We now describe how to construct a List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration $\alpha$ of $G^{\prime}$ based on $T_{A}$ where $k$ is $3(d+1) / 2+3$ if $d$ is odd and $3(d+2) / 2+3$ if $d$ is even. For each $x \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$,

- If $x=t_{i}\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{t}\right)$, we define $\alpha(x)=a$ if $t_{i a} \in T_{A}$ where $a \in\{1,2,3\}$. Similarly, if $x=e_{j}\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{e}\right)$, we define $\alpha(x)=a$ if $e_{j a} \in T_{A}$ where $a \in\{1,2\}$.
- If $x \in V\left(P_{u v} \backslash\{u, v\}\right)$ for some $\left(C_{u v}, a, b\right)$-forbidding path $P_{u v}$ of $G$, we use Lemma 3 to construct any $\left[a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right]$-coloring $\alpha_{u v}$ of $P_{u v}$ where $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \neq(a, b)$ is an admissible pair of colors, and define $\alpha(x)=\alpha_{u v}(x)$.

We can also safely assume that all pairs $(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))$, where $u, v \in\left\{t_{i}, e_{j}\right\} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to token triangles and token edges of $G$, are admissible pairs. This follows as a direct consequence of $\alpha$ being constructed from $T_{A}$. The construction of $\beta$ based on $T_{B}$ can be done similarly. The following lemma confirms that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are indeed list ( $d, k$ )-colorings of $G^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4. $\alpha$ is a list $(d, k)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$. Consequently, so is $\beta$.


Magnification of $\left(C_{t_{1} e_{1}}, 1,1\right)$-forbidding path $P_{t_{1} e_{1}}$, where $C_{t_{1} e_{1}}=A \cup B$


Figure 7: Construction of graph $G^{\prime}$ with a color list $L$ for each vertex of $G^{\prime}$ from the Restricted Sliding Tokens's instance in Fig. 5 and the color sets $\{A, B, C\}$. The construction of ( $C_{t_{1} e_{1}}, 1,1$ )-forbidding path is described in details. Other forbidding paths are constructed similarly. The arrows at the end of red lines point to $(a, b)$ in a $\left(C_{u v}, a, b\right)$ forbidding path, where $u, v \in\left\{t_{i}, e_{j}\right\}$.

Proof. In the graph $G^{\prime}$, the vertices which have in their color lists a color from $\{1,2,3\}$ are the vertices $t_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ corresponding to token triangles and token edges of $G$ and also the vertices adjacent to all such $t_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ (call this set $Y$ ) in $G^{\prime}$. For each pair of vertices $u, v \in\left\{t_{i}, e_{j}\right\}$ there is a forbidding path $P_{u v}$ of length at least $p \geq d+3$ between them in $G^{\prime}$. For vertices in $Y$, as all $(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))$ are admissible pairs, due to properties of forbidding path as defined in Lemma 3, all vertices $t_{i}, e_{j}$ and vertices adjacent to them are never colored with the same color simultaneously. Moreover, the distance between a pair of vertices from the set $Y$ also has distance $\geq d+1$ between them. So any pair of vertices which may be colored the same in $\alpha$ from the set $\{1,2,3\}$ have at least distance $d+1$ from each other.
Next, let us consider a vertex $z$ in $G^{\prime}$ which has in its color list a color from the set $\{A, B, C\}$. Let this vertex be colored $x_{i} \in X$ in $\alpha$, where $1 \leq i \leq q, X \in\{A, B, C\}$. This vertex is in some forbidding path $P_{u v}$. Without loss of generality let $z=w_{u v}^{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq p$ and belong to $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{u v}\right)$. The same proof also holds if $z$ is in $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u v}\right)$ as in that case $z$ is in the set $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{v u}\right)$ and we proceed by interchanging $u$ and $v$. To prove our claim we will show that any other vertex in $G^{\prime}$ which also has $x_{i}$ as a color in $\alpha$ is at distance greater than $d$ from $z$. Hence, no vertex at distance at most $d$ from $z$ can be colored $x_{i}$.

Firstly, $w_{u v}^{i+1}$ also has color $x_{i}$ in its list and can also be possibly colored $x_{i}$ in $\alpha$. However, as $(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))$ is an admissible pair, hence, due to properties of forbidding path $P_{u v}$ as defined in Lemma 3, $z$ and $w_{u v}^{i+1}$ are never colored $x_{i}$ simultaneously. Let $u^{\prime}$ be any neighbor of $u$ and $v^{\prime}$ be any neighbor of $v$ in $G$ where both pairs are connected by a forbidding path in $G^{\prime}$. As our function $f$ satisfies conditions (P1) and (P2), the closest set of vertices which are assigned colors from the set $X$ are either $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{u u^{\prime}}\right)$ or $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{v v^{\prime}}\right)$. Let a vertex $z^{\prime} \in \operatorname{far}\left(P_{u u^{\prime}}\right)$ be colored $x_{i}$ in $\alpha$. By construction of lists $L, z^{\prime}$ is the vertex $w_{u^{\prime} u}^{i+1}$ in the path $P_{u^{\prime} u}$. So, $z^{\prime}$ is at distance $p-(i+1)$ from $u$ and $p-(i+1)+i$ from $z$. As $p-1 \geq d+2$, we have that $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ are at least distance $d+2$ apart.
Similarly, let a vertex $z^{\prime \prime} \in \mathrm{cl}\left(P_{v v^{\prime}}\right)$ be colored with $x_{i}$ in $\alpha$. By construction of lists $L$, the closest such $z^{\prime \prime}$ from $z$ is the vertex $w_{v v^{\prime}}^{i}$ in the path $P_{v v^{\prime}}$. So, $u^{\prime}$ is at distance $i$ from $v$ and $p-i$ from $z$. Again, as $p \geq d+3$, we have that $z$ and $z^{\prime \prime}$ are at least distance $d+2$ apart. A similar proof also works if $w_{u v}^{i+1}$ has color $x_{i}$ instead of $z=w_{u v}^{i+1}$.

Next, let us show how to efficiently construct such a function $f$.
Lemma 5. Let $A, B, C$ be three disjoint sets where each set $A, B$ or $C$ is an ordered set of colors of size $(d+1) / 2$ if $d$ is odd or $(d+2) / 2$ if $d$ is even. Then we can in polynomial time construct $f: \operatorname{part}\left(P_{u v}\right) \rightarrow\{A, B, C\}$ that fulfill (P1) and (P2).

Proof. For each degree 3 vertex $t_{i}$ or $e_{j}$ in $G^{\prime}$, we first arbitrarily assign its three incident parts to three disjoint members of $\{A, B, C\}$, so that each part is assigned a unique color and thus, condition (P2) holds. As no two degree 3 vertices in $G^{\prime}$ are adjacent because we are using the Restricted Sliding Tokens, this partial assignment also does not violate the condition (P1).
Next, we assign colors to parts incident on degree 2 vertices in $G^{\prime}$ one by one. Let $e_{i}$ be such a degree 2 vertex whose incident parts will be colored at the current step. Let $x_{a}$ and $x_{b}$ be the two neighbors of $e_{i}$, where $x_{a}, x_{b} \in\left\{t_{i}, e_{j}\right\}$ in $G^{\prime}$. If both $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ are not assigned colors currently, then assign $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ arbitrarily distinct members from $\{A, B, C\}$. If
(i) either one of $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ or $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ is assigned colors currently, or
(ii) if both $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ are assigned the same colors currently,

Suppose, the color is $X \in\{A, B, C\}$. Then assign $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ the two remaining members from $\{A, B, C\} \backslash X$. If both far $\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{far}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ are assigned colors currently but with disjoint colors $X, Y \in\{A, B, C\}$ respectively, then assign $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{a}}\right)$ with colors from set $Y$ and $\mathrm{cl}\left(P_{e_{i} x_{b}}\right)$ with colors of set $X$. For each of these cases, note that both conditions (P1) and (P2) hold. Thus, the function $f$ can be constructed in polynomial time.

We are now ready to show the correctness of our reduction.
Lemma 6. $\left(G, T_{A}, T_{B}\right)$ is a yes-instance if and only if $\left(G^{\prime}, \alpha, \beta, L\right)$ is a yes-instance.
Proof. We claim that there is a TS-sequence $\mathcal{S}$ between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ in $G$ if and only if there is a sequence of valid recoloring steps $\mathcal{R}$ between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $G^{\prime}$.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a TS-sequence in $G$ between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$. We describe how to construct the desired reconfiguration sequence $\mathcal{R}$ from $\mathcal{S}$. More precisely, for each move $x \rightarrow y$ in $\mathcal{S}$, we construct a corresponding sequence of recoloring steps in $\mathcal{R}$ as follows. From our construction of Restricted Sliding Tokens, it follows that both $x$ and $y$ must be in the same token triangle or token edge. We consider the case $x=t_{i a}$ and $y=t_{i b}$ where $a, b \in\{1,2,3\}$, i.e., they are in the same token triangle $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{t}\right\}$. The other case can be handled similarly. In this case, corresponding to this move, we wish to recolor $t_{i}$ (which currently has color $a$ ) by $b$. To this end, for any ( $C_{t_{i} v}, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ )-forbidding path $P_{t_{i} v}$
incident to $t_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$, we proceed almost the same as described in Lemma 3 to reconfigure any current $\left[a, b_{1}\right]$-coloring that $P_{t_{i} v}$ has, where $\left(a, b_{1}\right) \neq\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ is an admissible pair, to a $\left[b, b_{1}\right]$-coloring, except the final step of recoloring $t_{i}$ by color $b$. There are at most three such paths. After recoloring all such paths, we simply recolor $t_{i}$ by color $b$ at the end.
We remark that since $x \rightarrow y$ is a valid TS-move, before this step, in $G$, no vertex adjacent to $y=t_{i b}$, except $x=t_{i a}$, has a token. Our construction then implies that, as $\left(b, b_{1}\right)$ is an admissible pair, the mentioned reconfiguration sequence exists. A vertex $z=w_{u v}^{i}$ in any one path $P_{t_{i} v_{j}}$ for a fixed $j$ can be recolored from $x_{i-1}$ to $x_{i}$ (by construction of list $L$, each such $z$ only has two choices). As both $\left(a, b_{1}\right)$ and $\left(b, b_{1}\right)$ are admissible pairs, we know from properties of forbidding paths (Lemma 3) that there are no other vertices colored $x_{i}$ currently in the path $P_{t_{i} v_{j}}$. Moreover, conditions (P1) and (P2) guarantee that the reconfiguration process can be done independently for each vertex in each $P_{t_{i} v_{j}}$ for $j \in\{1,2,3\}$, where $v_{j}^{\prime} s$ are the neighbors of $t_{i}$. Thus, we have shown that for any move $x \rightarrow y$ in $\mathcal{S}$, we can construct a corresponding sequence of valid recoloring steps. Combining these sequences give us our desired sequence $\mathcal{R}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is a sequence of valid recoloring steps between $\alpha$ and $\beta$. We construct our desired TS-sequence between $T_{A}$ and $T_{B}$ from $\mathcal{R}$ as follows. For each recoloring step in $\mathcal{R}$, we construct a corresponding TS-move in $\mathcal{S}$, which may sometimes be a redundant step that reconfigures a token-set to itself. Suppose that $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is currently recolored.

- If $v$ is in a forbidding path $P_{x y}$ and $v \notin\{x, y\}$, we add a redundant step to $\mathcal{S}$.
- If $v$ is either $t_{i}\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{t}\right)$ or $e_{j}\left(1 \leq j \leq n_{e}\right)$, suppose that $v$ is recolored from color $a$ to color $b$, where $a, b \in\{1,2,3\}$. We consider the case $v=t_{i}$. The other case can be done similarly. From our construction, as recoloring $v$ from $a$ to $b$ is a valid recoloring step, in $G$, a token is placed on $t_{i a} \in V(G)$ and no token is placed on any other adjacent vertex of $t_{i b}$. Thus, we can slide the token on $t_{i a}$ to $t_{i b}$ and add this step to $\mathcal{S}$.

Finally, our theorem follows.
Theorem 2. List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete even on planar, bipartite and 2-degenerate graphs, for any fixed $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3(d+1) / 2+3$ if $d$ is odd or $k \geq 3(d+2) / 2+3$ if $d$ is even.

Proof. The PSPACE-completeness and the values of $d$ and $k$ follows from our construction and proofs above. From our construction, since the input graph $G$ of a Restricted Sliding Tokens is planar, so is the constructed graph $G^{\prime}$. As any forbidding path has even length and $G^{\prime}$ no longer contains any "token triangle", it follows that any cycle in $G^{\prime}$ has even length, and therefore it is also bipartite. Additionally, we can also show that $G^{\prime}$ is 2-degenerate. Let us prove by contradiction. Let $X$ be an induced subgraph in $G^{\prime}$ such that the minimum degree of any vertex in $X$ is at least 3 . However, by construction of $G^{\prime}$ we know that for any vertex $x$ of degree 3, all its neighbors have degree 2 . If $x \in X$, then at least one of its neighbors also belong to $X$ by definition. Hence, $X$ has a vertex with degree at most 2 contradicting our assumption.

### 3.5 Reduction to ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration

In this section, we present a polynomial-time reduction from List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration to $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration, which proves the PSPACE-completeness of the latter problem for any fixed integer $d \geq 2$. More precisely, given an instance ( $G, \alpha, \beta, L$ ) of List ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration, we describe how to construct an instance ( $G^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ ) of $\left(d, k^{\prime}\right)$-Coloring Reconfiguration and prove that $(G, \alpha, \beta, L)$ is a yes-instance if and
only if $\left(G^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ is a yes-instance. Note that any $(d, k)$-coloring of a graph $G$ is indeed a list ( $d, k$ )-coloring of $G$ where $L(v)=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ for every $v \in V(G)$. With that in mind, to simulate the behavior of a list $(d, k)$-coloring, we aim to "restrict" the colors which $v$ is allowed to use (i.e., those in $L(v)$ ) using so-called frozen graphs. More precisely, a graph $F$ along with a ( $d, k$ )-coloring $\alpha$ is called a frozen graph if no vertex in $F$ can be recolored, i.e., there is no reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and any other $(d, k)$-coloring $\beta$ of $F$. Ideally, for each $v$ in $G$, we will construct a corresponding (precolored) frozen graph $F_{v}$ and use it to "restrict" the colors $v$ can use: placing vertices of $F_{v}$ at distance $d+1$ from $v$ if their colors are in $L(v)$ and at distance at most $d$ otherwise.

### 3.5.1 Frozen Graphs

We begin by describing how a (precolored) frozen graph $F_{v}$ and its ( $d, k^{\prime}$ )-coloring $\alpha_{v}$ can be constructed for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, where $k^{\prime}=n(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+2+k$ and $n=|V(G)|$. We emphasize that $v$ does not belong to its corresponding frozen graph $F_{v}$. First, for each $v \in V(G)$, we create a central vertex $c_{v}$. We then construct a path $T_{v}$ which includes $c_{v}$ as an endpoint and has length $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$. Suppose that $T_{v}=c_{v} c_{v, 1} \ldots c_{v,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$. Let $c_{v}^{\prime}=c_{v,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$ be the endpoint of $T_{v}$ other than $c_{v}$. Let $C_{0} \notin\{1, \ldots, k\}$ be a fixed color. We color the vertices of $T_{v}$ starting from $c_{v}$ by using the color $C_{0}$ for $c_{v}$ and $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$ other distinct new colors $C_{v, 1}, C_{v, 2}, \ldots, C_{v,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$ for the remaining vertices $c_{v, 1}, \ldots, c_{v,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$, respectively. In particular, $c_{v}^{\prime}$ has color $C_{v,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$. We also remark that none of $C_{v, 1}, C_{v, 2}, \ldots, C_{v,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$ is in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. At this point, so far, for each $v \in V(G)$, we have used $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$ distinct colors for vertices other than $c_{v}$ in each $T_{v}$ and one fixed color $C_{0}$ for every $c_{v}$. Thus, in total, $n(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+1$ distinct colors have been used.
Second, for each $v \in V(G)$ and each vertex $u \neq v$, we construct a new path $T_{u}^{v}$ which includes $c_{v}$ as an endpoint and has length $\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1$. Suppose that $T_{u}^{v}=c_{v} c_{u, 1}^{v} \ldots c_{u,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1}^{v}$. We denote by $c^{\prime v}{ }_{u}=c_{u,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1}^{v}$ the endpoint of $T_{u}^{v}$ other than $c_{v}$.

- When $d$ is even, we have $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1=\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1$, i.e., the number of vertices in $T_{u}-c_{u}$ is equal to the number of vertices in $T_{u}^{v}-c_{v}$. In this case, we color the vertices of $T_{u}^{v}$ starting from $c_{v}$ by using the color $C_{0}$ for $c_{v}$ and the $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1=\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1$ other distinct colors $C_{u, 1}, C_{u, 2}, \ldots, C_{u,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1}$ respectively for the remaining vertices $c_{u, 1}^{v}, \ldots, c_{u,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1}^{v}$. In particular, the endpoint $c_{u}^{v}$ has color $C_{u,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1}$. (We note that all these colors are used to color vertices in $T_{u}$ for $u \in V(G)$.)
- When $d$ is odd, we have $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1=(\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1)+1$, i.e., the number of vertices in $T_{u}-c_{u}$ is equal to the number of vertices in $T_{u}^{v}-c_{v}$ plus one. In this case, we color the vertices of $T_{u}^{v}$ starting from $c_{v}$ by using the color $C_{0}$ for $c_{v}$ and the $\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1$ other distinct colors $C_{u, 2}, C_{u, 3}, \ldots, C_{u,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}$ respectively for the remaining vertices $c_{u, 1}^{v}, \ldots, c_{u,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1}^{v}$, leaving one color $C_{u, 1}$ that has not yet been used. To handle this situation, we add a new vertex $c^{\star v}{ }_{u}$ adjacent to $c_{v}$ and color it by the color $C_{u, 1}$.

To finish our construction of $F_{v}$ and $\alpha_{v}$ for each $v \in V(G)$, we pick some vertex $u$ in $G$ other than $v$. Additionally, we add $k+1$ extra new vertices labelled $c_{v}^{\star}, w_{v, 1}, \ldots, w_{v, k}$. We then join $c_{v}^{\star}$ to any $w_{v, i}$ where $i \in L(v) \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and join the endpoint $c^{\prime v}{ }_{u}$ of $T_{u}^{v}$ to $c_{v}^{\star}$ and to any $w_{v, i}$ where $i \notin L(v)$. Let $C_{1}$ be a fixed color that is different from any colors that have been used. We finally color $c_{v}^{\star}$ by $C_{1}$, and each $w_{v, i}$ by the color $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. At this point, $k+1$ extra distinct colors are used. In total, we use $k^{\prime}=(n(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+1)+(k+1)=n(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+2+k$ colors.

Lemma 7. Our construction correctly produces a frozen graph $F_{v}$ with its ( $d, k^{\prime}$ )-coloring $\alpha_{v}$.
Proof. Note that each $F_{v}$ is a graph having diameter at most $\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c_{v}^{\prime}, c_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c_{v}, c_{u}^{\prime v}\right)+$ $\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c_{u}^{\prime v}, w_{v, i}\right) \leq(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+(\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1)+2=d$, for some $i \in L(v)$. (Recall that if
$i \in L(v)$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c^{\prime v}, w_{v, i}\right)=\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c_{u}^{\prime v}, c_{v}^{\star}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c_{v}^{\star}, w_{v, i}\right)=1+1=2$. Otherwise, $\operatorname{dist}_{F_{v}}\left(c_{u}^{\prime v}, w_{v, i}\right)=1$.) Moreover, from the construction, no two vertices of $F_{v}$ share the same color, and all $k^{\prime}$ colors are used. (On the other hand, a vertex of $F_{v}$ and a vertex of $F_{u}$ for some $u \neq v$ in $V(G)$ may share the same color. We will discuss this later when constructing an instance of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration.) Thus, for any $w \in V\left(F_{v}\right)$, there is no color that can be used to recolor $w$, as all other colors are used for vertices in $F_{v}$ of distance at most $d$ from $w$.

One can verify that our construction indeed can be done in polynomial time. We illustrate our gadget in Fig. 8.


Figure 8: An example of a vertex $v$ joining to its corresponding frozen graph $F_{v}$. Here $d$ is even, $k=5, G$ is some list $(d, k)$-colorable graph having six vertices labelled $v, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{5}$ (note that $u=u_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$ ), and $L(v)=\{2,3\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, 5\}$.

### 3.5.2 Construction of An Instance $\left(G^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ of $\left(d, k^{\prime}\right)$-Coloring Reconfiguration

Given an instance $(G, \alpha, \beta, L)$ of List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration, we now describe how to construct $G^{\prime}$ and its two $\left(d, k^{\prime}\right)$-colorings $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$, where $k^{\prime}=n(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+2+k$. To construct $G^{\prime}$, we start from the original graph $G$, and we construct $F_{v}$ for each $v \in V(G)$ as described before. Then, we simply join $v$ to $c_{v}^{\prime}$ - the endpoint of $T_{v}$ other than $c_{v}$. To construct $\alpha^{\prime}$ from $\alpha$, we simply assign $\alpha^{\prime}(v)=\alpha(v)$ for any $v \in V(G)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(w)=\alpha_{v}(w)$ for any $w \in V\left(F_{v}\right)$. The construction of $\beta^{\prime}$ is similar. One can verify that for any $v \in V(G)$, no vertex in $F_{v}$ can be recolored in $G^{\prime}$. Again, to see this, note that the diameter of $F_{v}$ is at most $d$ and vertices of $F_{v}$ are colored by all $k^{\prime}$ colors. Thus, for any $w \in V\left(F_{v}\right)$, there is no color that can be used to recolor $w$, as all other colors are used for vertices in $F_{v}$ of distance at most $d$ from $w$. One can also verify that our construction can be done in polynomial time.

In the following lemma, we show that our construction correctly produces an instance of ( $d, k^{\prime}$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration.

Lemma 8. $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a $\left(d, k^{\prime}\right)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$. Consequently, so is $\beta^{\prime}$.
Proof. To show that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a $\left(d, k^{\prime}\right)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$, we claim that $(\star)$ any pair of vertices $x, y$ having $\alpha^{\prime}(x)=\alpha^{\prime}(y)$ must be of distance more than $d$ in $G^{\prime}$. If $x, y$ are either both in $G$ or both in $F_{v}$ for some $v \in V(G),(\star)$ clearly holds. The following two cases remain: Either
(i) $x \in V(G)$ and $y \in V\left(F_{v}\right)$ or
(ii) $x \in V\left(F_{v}\right)$ and $y \in V\left(F_{u}\right)$ for some distinct $u, v \in V(G)$.

In case (i), suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}(x)=i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. From our construction, the only vertex in $F_{v}$ having color $i$ is $w_{v, i}$. Thus, $y=w_{v, i}$. Now, if $v=x$, we have $i \in L(v)=L(x)$ and moreover

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, y)= & \operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v, w_{v, i}\right) & \\
= & \operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v, c_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(c_{v}, c^{\prime v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{u}^{\prime v}, w_{v, i}\right) & \text { (Construction of } \left.F_{v}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v, c_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G}\left(c_{v}, c_{u}^{v}\right)+ & \\
& \quad+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{u}^{\prime v}, c_{v}^{\star}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{v}^{\star}, w_{v, i}\right) & \\
= & \lceil d / 2\rceil+(\lfloor d / 2\rfloor-1)+1+1 & \\
= & d+1>d . &
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, if $v \neq x$, meaning $x \in V(G)$ but $x=v^{\prime}$ with $v \neq v^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(x, w_{v, i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, v)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v, w_{v, i}\right) \\
& \geq 1+d>d .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Construction of $G^{\prime}$ )
In case (ii), as $\alpha^{\prime}(x)=\alpha^{\prime}(y)$, from our construction of $G^{\prime}$ and $F_{v}$, we can assume without loss of generality that $y \in V\left(T_{u}\right)$ and either $x \in V\left(T_{u}^{v}\right)$ or $d$ is odd and $x=c^{\star v}{ }_{u}$. In the latter case, we must have $\alpha^{\prime}(x)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(c^{\star v}{ }_{u}\right)=C_{u, 1}=\alpha^{\prime}(y)$. Since $\alpha^{\prime}(y)=C_{u, 1}$, our construction of $F_{u}$ implies that $y$ is adjacent to $c_{u}$ in $T_{u}$, which means $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y)=\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(u, c_{u}\right)-\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{u}, y\right)=\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, v)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, u)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y) \\
& =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left({ }^{\star v} u, v\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, u)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y) \\
& =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left({ }^{\star *}{ }_{u}, c_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{v}, v\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, u)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y) \\
& \geq 1+\lceil d / 2\rceil+1+(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)>d .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let now $x \in V\left(T_{u}^{v}\right)$ and $y \in V\left(T_{u}\right)$. If $d$ is even, suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}(x)=C_{u, i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d / 2-1$. From our construction of $T_{u}^{v}$, it follows that $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, x)=\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v, c_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{v}, x\right)=d / 2+i$. Additionally, as $\alpha^{\prime}(y)=\alpha^{\prime}(x)=C_{u, i}$, it follows from our construction of $T_{u}$ that $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y)=$ $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(u, c_{u}\right)-\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(y, c_{u}\right)=d / 2-i$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, v)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, u)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y) \\
& \geq(d / 2+i)+1+(d / 2-i)=d+1>d .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, if $d$ is odd, suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}(x)=C_{u, i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$. From our construction of $T_{u}^{v}$, it follows that $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, x)=\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v, c_{v}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{v}, x\right)=\lceil d / 2\rceil+(i-1)$. (Remember that $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(c_{v}, v\right)$ is always $\lceil d / 2\rceil$ for any $v \in V(G)$.) Additionally, as $\alpha^{\prime}(y)=\alpha^{\prime}(x)=C_{u, i}$, it follows from our construction of $T_{u}$ that $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y)=\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(u, c_{u}\right)-\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}\left(y, c_{u}\right)=\lceil d / 2\rceil-i$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(x, v)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(v, u)+\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, y) \\
& \geq(\lceil d / 2\rceil+(i-1))+1+(\lceil d / 2\rceil-i)=d+1>d .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5.3 The Correctness of Our Reduction

We are now ready to prove the correctness of our reduction.
Lemma 9. $(G, \alpha, \beta, L)$ is a yes-instance if and only if $\left(G^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ is a yes-instance.
Proof. In $G^{\prime}$, for any $v \in V(G)$, as the distance between $v$ and any vertex $w_{v, i} \in V\left(F_{v}\right)$ where $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \backslash L(v)$ is exactly $d$, and no vertex in $F_{v}$ (including $w_{v, i}$ ) can be recolored, it follows that $v$ is never recolored by any color $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \backslash L(v)$. In other words, any valid recoloring step in $G^{\prime}$ is also a valid recoloring step in $G$ and vice versa. It follows that any reconfiguration sequence in $G^{\prime}$ is also a reconfiguration sequence in $G$ and vice versa.

### 3.5.4 Reducing The Number of Required Colors

In our reduction, we have proved that $k^{\prime}=n(\lceil d / 2\rceil-1)+2+k=O(n d+2+k)$ colors are required, where $n$ is the number of vertices of graph $G$ of an arbitrary List $(d, k)$-Coloring RECONFIGURATION's instance $(G, \alpha, \beta, L)$. However, for our reduction this number of colors $k^{\prime}$ can indeed be reduced asymptotically to $O\left(d^{2}\right)$ colors.

Lemma 10. The number of colors in our described reduction can be reduced to $O\left(d^{2}\right)$.
Proof. From Section 3.4, we know that instead of arbitrary List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration's instances, we can use the instances of List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration that we constructed via our reduction from Restricted Sliding Tokens i.e., the graph $G^{\prime}$ of Section 3.4.

For two vertices $u$ and $v$ of distance at most $d$ in $G$, in our reduction, we required that the colors used for $T_{u}$ must be all distinct from those used for $T_{v}$, that is, $\left\{C_{u, 1}, \ldots, C_{u,\lceil d / 2\rceil-1}\right\} \cap$ $\left\{C_{v, 1}, \ldots, C_{v,[d / 2\rceil-1}\right\}=\emptyset$. In an arbitrary List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration's instance $(G, \alpha, \beta, L)$ instance we have no handle on which vertices are within distance $d$ from each other, hence, we end up with the number of colors used being dependent on the number of vertices of $G$. However, we now utilize the structure of $G^{\prime}$ to reduce the number of colors to $O\left(d^{2}\right)$. Recall the disjoint sets of colors $A, B$ and $C$ we used in construction of our reduction in $G^{\prime}$ and Conditions (P1) and (P2) therein. There are either $(d+1) / 2$ or $(d+2) / 2$ colors in $A$ depending on $d$ is odd or even. For each such color of $A$ associate $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$ new colors and call this multi-set $A^{\prime}$. Similarly, we do so for sets $B$ and $C$ as well and construct multi-sets of new colors, $B^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$. Hence, we use a total of $(3(d+1) / 2)^{2}$ or $(3(d+2) / 2)^{2}$ new colors, where each $\left|A^{\prime}\right|,\left|B^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|$ is either $((d+1) / 2)^{2}$ or $((d+2) / 2)^{2}$ for $d$ odd or even. Also for the set of colors $\{1,2,3\}$ associate another $\lceil d / 2\rceil-1$ new colors and call this set of colors $D^{\prime}$.

Indeed if a vertex $u \in G^{\prime}$ is of the form $t_{i}$ or $e_{j}$, i.e., vertex corresponding to token triangles or edges then $T_{t_{i}}$ or $T_{e_{j}}$ is colored with the set $D^{\prime}$. If $u \in G^{\prime}$ has in its list colors of set $A$, then color $T_{u}$ with $A^{\prime}$. Similarly, for vertices $u \in G^{\prime}$ with lists having colors from $B$ or $C$, color their respective $T_{u}$ path with colors from the set $B^{\prime}$ or $C^{\prime}$ respectively. Given this construction, observe that for two vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G^{\prime}$, when they are at most distance $d$ from each other, then their respective $T_{u}$ and $T_{v}$ paths have different sets of colors. The same proof as Lemmas 8 and 9 follow to show that this construction is a $\left(d, O\left(d^{2}\right)\right)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$.

Combining our construction and Lemmas 8 to 10 , we finally have,
Theorem 3. $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete for any $d \geq 2$ and $k$ is $\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$, even on graphs that are planar, bipartite and 2-degenerate.

Proof. The PSPACE-completeness follows from our construction and proofs above. From Theorem 2, one can restrict that the input graph $G$ of any List $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration's instance is planar, bipartite, and 2-degenerate. As our constructed frozen graphs $\left(F_{v}, v \in V(G)\right)$ are trees, they are also planar, bipartite and 1-degenerate. Thus, our constructed graph $G^{\prime}$ is also planar, bipartite, and 2-degenerate. Lemma 10 implies that $k=\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$.

## 4 Split Graphs

A split graph $G$ is a graph where the vertices can be partitioned into a clique and independent set. In this section, we focus on the case $d=2$ and prove that $(2, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration is PSPACE-complete. The case $d \geq 3$, when $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration can be solved efficiently, will be considered in Section 5.1. We first show that the original $(2, k)$-Coloring is NP-complete, and then show that the $(2, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration problem is PSPACEcomplete via an extended reduction.

Lemma 11. $(2, k)$-Coloring on split graphs is NP-complete.
Proof. One can verify that ( $2, k$ )-Coloring is in NP: $k$-Coloring is in NP and $(2, k)$-Coloring on a graph $G$ can be converted to $k$-Coloring on its square graph $G^{2}$. To show that it is NP-complete, we describe a reduction from the well-known $\ell$-Coloring problem on general graphs for $\ell \geq 3$, which asks whether a given graph $G$ has a proper $\ell$-coloring. Let $(G, \ell)$ be an instance of $\ell$-Coloring where $G=(V, E)$ is an arbitrary graph. We construct an instance $\left(G^{\prime}, k\right)$ of $(2, k)$-Coloring where $G^{\prime}$ is a split graph as follows. To construct $G^{\prime}$, we first add all vertices of $G$ to $G^{\prime}$. For each edge $e=x y \in E(G)$ where $x, y \in V(G)$, we add a new vertex $v_{e}$ in $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Corresponding to each edge $e=x y \in E(G)$, we add the edges $x v_{e}$ and $y v_{e}$ to $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Between all vertices $\bigcup_{e \in E(G)}\left\{v_{e}\right\}$ we form a clique in $G^{\prime}$. Finally, we set $k=m+\ell$ where $m=|E(G)|$. Our construction can be done in polynomial time.
From the construction, $G^{\prime}$ is a split graph with $K=\bigcup_{e \in E(G)}\left\{v_{e}\right\}$ forming a clique and $S=V(G)$ forming an independent set. We now prove that $G$ has a proper $\ell$-coloring if and only if $G^{\prime}$ has a $(2, k)$-coloring where $k=m+\ell$.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose that $G$ has a proper $\ell$-coloring $\alpha$. We construct a $(2, k)$-coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ by setting $\alpha^{\prime}(u)=\alpha(u)$ for every $u \in V(G)=S$ and use $m$ new colors to color all $m$ vertices in $K$. From the construction, if $\operatorname{dist}_{G}(u, v)=1$ for $u, v \in V(G)=S$ then $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, v)=2$. Thus, $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a $(2, k)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $G^{\prime}$ has a $(2, k)$-coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$. We construct a coloring $\alpha$ of vertices of $G$ by setting $\alpha(u)=\alpha^{\prime}(u)$ for every $u \in S=V(G)$. Observe that any pair of vertices in $K$ have different colors. Therefore, $\alpha^{\prime}$ uses $k-|K|=k-m=\ell$ colors to color vertices in $S$. Additionally, if $u v \in E(G)$, we have $\operatorname{dist}_{G^{\prime}}(u, v)=2$ and therefore $\alpha^{\prime}(u) \neq \alpha^{\prime}(v)$, which implies $\alpha(u) \neq \alpha(v)$. Thus, $\alpha$ is a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$.

We now will show a proof that the $(2, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration problem on split graphs is PSPACE-complete. Our proof is based on the construction in Lemma 11.

Theorem 4. $(2, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration on split graphs is PSPACE-complete.
Proof. We extend the reduction used in the proof of Lemma 11. More precisely, we present a polynomial-time reduction from the $\ell$-Coloring Reconfiguration problem, which is known to be PSPACE-complete for $\ell \geq 4$ [BC09]. Let $(G, \alpha, \beta)$ be an instance of $\ell$-Coloring Reconfiguration where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two proper $\ell$-colorings of a graph $G$ having $n$ vertices and $m$ edges.
We describe how to construct an instance ( $G^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ ) of ( $2, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration where $k=\ell+m$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are $(2, k)$-colorings of $G^{\prime}$. We construct the same graph $G^{\prime}$ as in Lemma 11.
Next, we define $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$. Suppose, $C=C_{S} \cup C_{K}$ is the set of $k$ colors where $C_{S}=\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $C_{K}=\{\ell+1, \ldots, \ell+m\}$ and the colors in $C_{S}$ are used in both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to color vertices of $G$. We set $\alpha^{\prime}(v)=\alpha(v)$ and $\beta^{\prime}(v)=\beta(v)$ for every $v \in S$ (which is equivalent to $V(G)$ ). For each $w \in K$, we color $w$ in both $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ by the same color (i.e., $\alpha^{\prime}(w)=\beta^{\prime}(w)$ ) that is selected
from some unused colors in $C_{K}$. By Lemma 11, both $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are $(2, k)$-colorings of $G^{\prime}$. Our construction can be done in polynomial time.

It remains to show that there is a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $G$ if and only if there is a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $G$. Lemma 11 implies that the sequence $\mathcal{R}$ can be converted into a reconfiguration sequence $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ between $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ by keeping the colors of all vertices in $K$ unchanged and applying the same recoloring steps in $\mathcal{R}$ for all vertices in $S$ which is exactly the set $V(G)$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ be a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$. We describe how to construct a reconfiguration sequence $\mathcal{R}$ between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $G$ using $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$. For each recoloring step in $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$, we aim to construct a corresponding recoloring step (which may probably even be a redundant step in the sense that it recolors a vertex by the same color it currently has) in $\mathcal{R}$.
Let $s_{i}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$ be the $i$-th recoloring step $(i \geq 1)$ in $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ which recolors $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ by replacing the current color $p$ with the new color $q \neq p$, where $p, q \in C$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the $(2, k)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ obtained after we apply $s_{i}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$. Note that $\alpha_{i}$ can be seen as a function from $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ to $C$, which means $\alpha_{i}(K)$ (resp. $\alpha_{i}(S)$ ) is the set of colors used in $\alpha_{i}$ to color vertices of $K$ (resp. $S$ ). Additionally, we define $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\alpha_{i}(K) \cap C_{S}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\alpha_{i}(S) \cap C_{K}$. We start by proving the following claim.
Claim 1. For every $i \geq 1$, we have $\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|$.
Proof. As $\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|$ colors are used to color some vertices in $S$, it follows that there must be at least $\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|$ vertices in $K$ whose colors are not in $C_{K}$. (Note that no two vertices in $K$ share the same color and a vertex in $G^{\prime}$ is colored either by a color from $C_{S}$ or one from $C_{K}$.) Thus, these $\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|$ vertices must be colored by colors from $C_{S}$ in $\alpha_{i}$, and therefore they are members of $\mathcal{A}_{i}$. So, we have $\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|$.

We remark that there could be colors used in both $K$ and $S$ in $\alpha^{\prime}$ which are unused in $\alpha_{i}$ for some $i \geq 1$. These colors, if they exist, are neither in $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ nor $\mathcal{B}_{i}$.
Next, for each $i$, we will inductively describe how to define an injective function $f_{i}: \mathcal{B}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i}$ and how to define the corresponding recoloring step in $\mathcal{R}$ using $f_{i}$. At the same time, we will show that our constructed sequence $\mathcal{R}$ remains a reconfiguration sequence.
We consider the first step $s_{1}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$. As we start from the $(2, k)$-coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$ where vertices in $S$ are colored by exactly $\ell$ colors, it follows that $v \in S$ and $p, q \in C_{S}$. In this case, by definition, $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{B}_{1}=\emptyset$ (i.e., intuitively, no color has "switched side" yet), and $f_{1}$ is an empty function. Additionally, we add the same recoloring step to $\mathcal{R}$.
We now show that our corresponding constructed sequence $\mathcal{R}$ is a reconfiguration sequence. Before $v$ is recolored in $G^{\prime}$, no vertex of distance at most two from $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ is colored by $q$. From the construction, at this point, it follows that no neighbor of $v$ in $G$ has color $q$. As a result, recoloring $v$ by $q$ in $G$ results in a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$. Thus, we can add this step to $\mathcal{R}$ as we described. This completes our analysis for $i=1$.
Now, suppose that $f_{j}$ 's are defined for every $j \leq i-1$ and till the $(i-1)$-th step, $\mathcal{R}$ remains a reconfiguration sequence. We describe how to define $f_{i}$ and add a new recoloring step to $\mathcal{R}$. We remark that though the sizes of $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i-1}$ may be different, the claim allows us to define $f_{i}$ properly for every $i \geq 1$. Recall that $s_{i}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$ is the $i$-th recoloring step in $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$.
Let us first see that we only need to look at the case that $v \in S$. Otherwise, if $v \in K$, by definition, as no vertex in $S$ changes its color in the $i$-th step, $\alpha_{i}(S)=\alpha_{i-1}(S)$, and therefore $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$. Naturally we define $f_{i}=f_{i-1}$. In this case, we add a redundant step to $\mathcal{R}$ and thus $\mathcal{R}$ remains a reconfiguration sequence.

We consider $v \in S$. By definition, as no vertex in $K$ changes its color in the $i$-th step, $\alpha_{i}(K)=\alpha_{i-1}(K)$, and therefore $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i-1}$. We consider the following cases

- Case 1: $p \in C_{K}$ and $q \in C_{K}$. By definition, $p \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1} \subseteq \alpha_{i-1}(S)$ and $q \in \mathcal{B}_{i} \subseteq \alpha_{i}(S)$.
* If $p \in \alpha_{i}(S)$ and $q \in \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, and again we define $f_{i}=f_{i-1}$. In this case, $f_{i}(q)=f_{i-1}(q)$ has already been defined at some step $\leq i-1$, and we add the step of recoloring $v$ in $G$ by $f_{i}(q)$ to $\mathcal{R}$. As $s_{i}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$ is a valid recoloring step, it follows that every vertex $u$ in $S$ having color $f_{i}(q)=f_{i-1}(q)$ is of distance 3 from $v$. By construction, $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent in $G$, so they can both have the same color $f_{i}(q)$. Thus, recoloring $v$ by $f_{i}(q)$ in $G$ results in a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$, which implies $\mathcal{R}$ remains a reconfiguration sequence.
* If $p \in \alpha_{i}(S)$ and $q \notin \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}+q$, and we define $f_{i}$ by keeping the same value of $f_{i-1}$ for every color in $\mathcal{B}_{i}-q$ and define $f_{i}(q)=x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_{i}-f_{i-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{i-1}\right)$. Such a color $x$ exists because by the claim and our assumption, $\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|=\left|\mathcal{A}_{i-1}\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|=\left|\mathcal{B}_{i-1}\right|+1$. In this case, by our inductive hypothesis and the assumption $q \notin \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, no vertex in $G$ has color $f_{i}(q)$ in $\alpha_{i-1}$; otherwise, $q \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$. Thus, recoloring $v$ by $f_{i}(q)$ in $G$ results a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$, and we can add this step to $\mathcal{R}$.
* If $p \notin \alpha_{i}(S)$ and $q \in \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}-p$, and we define $f_{i}$ by keeping the same value of $f_{i-1}$ for every color in $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}-p$. Similar to the previous cases, recoloring $v$ by $f_{i}(q)$ in $G$ results a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$, and we can add this step to $\mathcal{R}$.
* If $p \notin \alpha_{i}(S)$ and $q \notin \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}-p+q$, and we define $f_{i}$ by combining the two previous cases. More precisely, we define $f_{i}$ by keeping the same value of $f_{i-1}$ for every color in $\mathcal{B}_{i} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i-1}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}-\{p, q\}$. Additionally, we remove the value for $p$ and add a new value for $q$ as described before. Similar to the previous cases, recoloring $v$ by $f_{i}(q)$ in $G$ results a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$, and we can add this step to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Case 2: $p \in C_{K}$ and $q \in C_{S}$. Note that in this case as $q \in C_{S}$, by definition, for all $i, q \notin B_{i}$ and hence in particular it is neither in $\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ nor $\mathcal{B}_{i}$. The construction of $f_{i}$ can be done similarly as in the previous case by analyzing the membership of $p$ (i.e., whether it is in $\alpha_{i}(S)$, which respectively corresponds to whether $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ or $\left.\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}-p\right)$.
Indeed, in this case, we do not need $f_{i}$ for reconfiguration. We add the step of recoloring $v$ by $q$ in $G$ to $\mathcal{R}$. We now claim that this is a valid recoloring step. Since, $s_{i}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$ is a valid recoloring step in $G^{\prime}$ and $v \in S$, we have $q \notin \alpha_{i-1}(K)$, which means $q \notin \mathcal{A}_{i-1}=\alpha_{i-1}(K) \cap C_{S}=\mathcal{A}_{i}$. It follows that there is no color $y$ in either $\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ or $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ such that either $f_{i-1}(y)=q$ or $f_{i}(y)=q$, respectively. Thus, to show that recoloring $v$ by $q$ in $G$ results a proper $\ell$-coloring in $G$, it suffices to verify that every vertex in $S$ having color $q$ is of distance 3 from $v$ in $G^{\prime}$, which is derived directly from the assumption that $s_{i}^{\prime}(v, p, q)$ is valid.
- Case 3: $p \in C_{S}$ and $q \in C_{K}$. By definition, $q \in \mathcal{B}_{i} \subseteq \alpha_{i}(S)$.

If $q \in \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, and again we define $f_{i}=f_{i-1}$. As in Case 1, $f_{i}(q)$ is defined at some step $j \leq i-1$ before, and recoloring $v$ by $f_{i}(q)$ in $G$ results in a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$. We add this step to $\mathcal{R}$.

If $q \notin \alpha_{i-1}(S)$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}+q$, and again we define $f_{i}$ by taking the same value of $f_{i-1}$ for every color in $\mathcal{B}_{i}-q$ and $f_{i}(q)=x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_{i}-f_{i-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{i-1}\right)$ which exists via Claim 1. As in Case 1, recoloring $v$ by $f_{i}(q)$ in $G$ results in a proper $\ell$-coloring of $G$. We add this step to $\mathcal{R}$.

- Case 4: $p \in C_{S}$ and $q \in C_{S}$. From the assumption, as both $p$ and $q$ are in $C_{S}$, by definition, $\mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, and naturally we define $f_{i}=f_{i-1}$. As in Case 2, we do not need $f_{i}$ for reconfiguration, and we add the step of recoloring $v$ by $q$ in $G$ to $\mathcal{R}$.


## 5 Algorithms

### 5.1 Graphs of Diameter At Most $d$

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be any ( $d, k$ )-colorable graph whose diameter is at most $d$. Then, $(d, k)$ Coloring Reconfiguration is solvable in $O(1)$ time. Moreover, given a yes-instance ( $G, \alpha, \beta$ ), one can construct in linear time a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a $(d, k)$-colorable graph on $n$ vertices whose diameter is at most $d$. Since $G$ has diameter at most $d$, for any $(d, k)$-coloring $\alpha$, we have $\alpha(u) \neq \alpha(v)$ for every $u, v \in V(G)$. Thus, $n \leq k$.
Now, if $n=k$, any instance $(G, \alpha, \beta)$ of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration on $G$ is a no-instance as no vertex can be recolored. Otherwise, any instance $(G, \alpha, \beta)$ of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration on $G$ is a yes-instance. Observe that one can recolor any vertex with some extra color that does not appear in the current ( $d, k$ )-coloring (such a color always exists because $n<k$ ). This observation allows us to construct any target ( $d, k$ )-coloring $\beta$ from some source ( $d, k$ )-coloring $\alpha$ using Algorithm 1 . Since $n<k$, each step correctly produces a new $(d, k)$-coloring of $G$. It is also clear from the description that the construction runs in $O(n)$ time as we get closer to the coloring $\beta$ one color at a time.

```
Algorithm \(1 d\)-diameter algorithm: \(n<k\)
    repeat
        Pick a vertex \(v\) where \(\beta(v)\) is an extra color that is not used in the current coloring.
        if such \(v\) cannot be found then
            \(\triangleright \beta\) is indeed obtained by permuting the colors used by the current coloring on the
                set \(V(G)\)
            Arbitrarily pick any vertex \(w\) and recolor it by any extra color.
                \(\triangleright\) Such an extra color always exists as \(n<k\). In the next iteration, there exists a
                vertex \(v\) whose \(\beta(v)\)-the previous color of \(w\) becomes an extra color
        Recolor \(v\) by the color \(\beta(v)\).
    until \(\beta\) is obtained
```

Recall that the diameter of a component of any split graph is at most 3. The following corollary is straightforward.

Corollary 1. ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration can be solved in polynomial time on split graphs for any fixed integers $d \geq 3$ and $k \geq d+1$.

### 5.2 Paths

In this section, we assume that a path $P$ on $n$ vertices is partitioned into $\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil$ disjoint blocks of $d+1$ consecutive vertices (except possibly the last block, which can have less than $d+1$ vertices). We denote by $v_{i, j}$ the $j$-th vertex in the $i$-th block of $P$ if it exists, for $1 \leq i \leq\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil$ and $1 \leq j \leq d+1$. In particular, $v_{1,1}$ is always an endpoint of $P$. Notice that $v_{i, 1}$ and $v_{i, d+1}$ have distance $d$.

Lemma 12. Let $\alpha$ be any $(d, d+1)$-coloring of an $n$-vertex path $P$. Then, $\alpha\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\alpha\left(v_{i^{\prime}, j}\right)$, where $1 \leq i<i^{\prime} \leq\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\alpha\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\alpha\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq d+1$ such that $v_{i+1, j}$ exists. (If $i<\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil-1, v_{i+1, j}$ always exists. If $i=\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil-1, v_{i+1, j}$ may or may not exist.) Let $Q$ be the path between $v_{i, j}$ and $v_{i+1, j}$. Let $u$ be the neighbor of $v_{i, j}$ in $Q$. Similarly, let $v$ be that of $v_{i+1, j}$. By definition, the $u v$-path in $P$ has length exactly $d-1$, and therefore its vertices are colored by exactly $d$ colors. Since at most $d+1$ colors are available and $\alpha$ is a $(d, d+1)$-coloring, $\alpha\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$ cannot have any of the colors that were assigned to the $u v$-path. Hence, we have $\alpha\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\alpha\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$.

From Lemma 12, it follows that if exactly $d+1$ colors are available, one cannot recolor any vertex on a path $P$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $P$ be a path on $n$ vertices. Then any instance $(P, \alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of $(d, d+1)$-Coloring Reconfiguration is a no-instance.

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a $(d, d+1)$-coloring of $P$. It suffices to show that no vertex in $P$ can be recolored. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $v=v_{i, j}$ such that one can obtain a $(d, d+1)$-coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$ of $P$ from $\alpha$ by recoloring $v$, where $1 \leq i \leq\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil$ and $1 \leq j \leq d+1$. Since $P$ has diameter more than $d$, the first block of $P$ always has exactly $d+1$ vertices. None of them can be recolored, so $v \neq v_{1, j}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 12 we have, $\alpha^{\prime}\left(v_{1, j}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}(v) \neq \alpha(v)=\alpha\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\alpha\left(v_{1, j}\right)$. This implies that if we recolor $v_{i, j}$ we are also forced to recolor $v_{1, j}$. Thus, we have $v=v_{1, j}$, a contradiction.

Next, using the two subsequent lemmas we show that one extra color is enough to recolor the graph. First, Lemma 13 says that we can transform any ( $d, k$ )-coloring, where $k \geq d+2$ to some $(d, d+1)$-coloring. Then, Lemma 14 shows that if both source and target colorings are $(d, d+1)$-colorings and we have $k \geq d+2$ colors, we can recolor the graph, thereby completing the picture.

Lemma 13. Let $P$ be a path on $n$ vertices. Let $\alpha$ be a $(d, k)$-coloring of $P$ for $k \geq d+2$. Then, there exists a $(d, d+1)$-coloring $\beta$ of $P$ such that $(P, \alpha, \beta)$ is a yes-instance of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration. Moreover, one can construct in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Proof. Algorithm 2 describes how to construct a sequence $\mathcal{S}$ between $\alpha$ and some ( $d, d+1$ )coloring $\beta$ of $P$. Informally, the algorithm starts by using the colors of the second block to recolor vertices of the first block. Then, in each iteration of the algorithm (which corresponds to the outer for loop of Line 2), the algorithm uses the colors of the $i$ th block to recolor vertices of the blocks $i-1$ to 1 in that order. So, each iteration of the algorithm takes at most $O(n)$ time and, hence, Algorithm 2 runs in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. Each vertex is recolored at most $O(\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil)$ times.
Next, we show the correctness of our algorithm. We prove using induction on the length (i.e., the number of recoloring steps) $\ell \geq 1$ of $\mathcal{S}$ that $\mathcal{S}$ is indeed a reconfiguration sequence from $\alpha$ to $\beta$. Let $t \in\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$ be the number of vertices in the last block of $P$, which may be less than $d+1$. Once $\mathcal{S}$ is a reconfiguration sequence, it follows directly from the algorithm that the resulting coloring $\beta$ is a $(d, d+1)$-coloring of $P$ : In $\beta$, every block of $P$ will have its first $t$ vertices colored by the colors used in $\alpha$ for all $t$ vertices in the last block and its last $d+1-t$ vertices colored by the colors used in $\alpha$ for the last $d+1-t$ vertices in the second-to-last block. If the last block has $t=d+1$ vertices then $d+1-t=0$ and thus all colors used in $\beta$ are used by $\alpha$ for vertices in the last block of $P$.
For the base case $\ell=1$, the sequence $\mathcal{S}=\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle$ where $\alpha_{1}$ is obtained from $\alpha$ by recoloring $v_{1,1}$ with the color $\alpha\left(v_{2,1}\right)$ is indeed a reconfiguration sequence: Since $\alpha$ is a ( $d, k$ )-coloring

```
Algorithm 2 Construction of a reconfiguration sequence that transforms any \((d, k)\)-coloring
where \(k \geq d+2\) into a \((d, d+1)\)-coloring in a path
Require: \((P, \alpha)\) where \(\alpha\) is a \((d, k)\)-coloring of a path \(P\) for some \(k \geq d+2\)
Ensure: A reconfiguration sequence \(\mathcal{S}\) between \(\alpha\) and some \((d, d+1)\)-coloring \(\beta\) of \(P\)
    \(\mathcal{S} \leftarrow\langle\alpha\rangle\)
    for \(i\) from 2 to \(\lceil n /(d+1)\rceil\) do
        for \(j\) from 1 to \(d+1\) do
                if \(v_{i, j}\) exists then
                    for \(p\) from \(i-1\) to 1 do
                    \(\alpha\left(v_{p, j}\right) \leftarrow \alpha\left(v_{i, j}\right) \triangleright\) This can also be seen as recoloring \(v_{p, j}\) by the color \(\alpha\left(v_{p+1, j}\right)\)
                    \(\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \mathcal{S} \cup\langle\alpha\rangle\)
    return \(\mathcal{S}\)
```

$(k \geq d+2)$ of $P$, no vertex in the path between $v_{1,1}$ and $v_{2,1}$ is colored by $\alpha\left(v_{2,1}\right)$. Since, the distance between $v_{1,1}$ and $v_{2,1}$ is exactly $d+1$, they can share the same color $\alpha\left(v_{2,1}\right)$.

Next, assume that the sequence $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}\right\rangle$ obtained from Algorithm 2 is indeed a reconfiguration sequence in $P$. We claim that the sequence $\mathcal{S}=\left\langle\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}, \alpha_{\ell+1}\right\rangle$ is also a reconfiguration sequence in $P$. Suppose to the contrary that it is not. From the construction, there exist two indices $i$ and $j$ such that $\alpha_{\ell+1}$ is obtained from $\alpha_{\ell}$ by recoloring $v_{i, j}$ with the color $\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is a reconfiguration sequence but $\mathcal{S}$ is not, the above recoloring step is not valid, i.e., there is a vertex $w \in V(P)$ such that $\alpha_{\ell}(w)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j}\right), w \neq v_{i, j}$, and the distance between $w$ and $v_{i, j}$ is at most $d$. By the distance constraint and the assumption that $\alpha_{\ell}$ is a $(d, k)$-coloring, $w$ is on the path between $v_{1,1}$ and $v_{i+1, j}$. (Recall that the distance between $v_{i, j}$ and $v_{i+1, j}$ is exactly $d+1$.) Since $\alpha_{\ell}(w)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j}\right), w$ is not in the $(i+1)$-th block. Thus, $w$ is in either the $i$-th block or the $(i-1)$-th one. We complete our proof by showing that in each case, a contradiction happens.

- We consider the case that $w$ is in the $i$-th block, say $w=v_{i, j^{\prime}}$ for some $j^{\prime} \in\{1, \ldots, d+1\} \backslash\{j\}$. If $j^{\prime}>j$ then $w=v_{i, j^{\prime}}$ is on the path between $v_{i, j}$ and $v_{i+1, j}$. Recall that the path between $v_{i, j}$ and $v_{i+1, j}$ has length exactly $d+1$. So if $w$ is on that path and note that $w \neq v_{i, j}$, the distance between $w$ and $v_{i+1, j}$ is at most $d$. Since $\alpha_{\ell}$ is a $(d, k)$-coloring, we must have $\alpha_{\ell}(w) \neq \alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$, a contradiction. On the other hand, if $j^{\prime}<j$ then by the inductive hypothesis, $\alpha_{\ell}(w)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i, j^{\prime}}\right)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j^{\prime}}\right)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$ (follows from construction of Algorithm 2) which contradicts the assumption that $\alpha_{\ell}$ is a $(d, k)$-coloring of $P$.
- We now consider the case that $w$ is in the $(i-1)$-th block, say $w=v_{i-1, j^{\prime}}$ for some $j^{\prime} \in\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$. Since the distance between $w=v_{i-1, j^{\prime}}$ and $v_{i, j}$ is at most $d$, we have $j^{\prime}>j$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have $\alpha_{\ell}(w)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i-1, j^{\prime}}\right)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i, j^{\prime}}\right)$ (follows from construction of Algorithm 2). On the other hand, since $j^{\prime}>j$, the vertex $v_{i, j^{\prime}}$ is on the path between $v_{i, j}$ and $v_{i+1, j}$, and thus $\alpha_{\ell}(w)=\alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i, j^{\prime}}\right) \neq \alpha_{\ell}\left(v_{i+1, j}\right)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 14. Let $P$ be a path on $n$ vertices. Then any instance $(P, \alpha, \beta)$ of ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration where $k \geq d+2$ and both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $(d, d+1)$-colorings of $P$ is a yesinstance. In particular, there exists a linear-time algorithm that constructs a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Proof. A slight modification of Algorithm 1 allows us to construct a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $O(n)$ time. Recall that at least $d+2$ colors can be used. We apply Algorithm 1 on the first block of $d+1$ consecutive vertices $v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, j}, \ldots, v_{1, d+1}$ in $P$ with only one small change: when a vertex $v_{1, j}$ is considered for recoloring (in Lines 5 and 7 of Algorithm 1), instead of just recoloring $v_{1, j}$, we also recolor the $j$-th vertex (if it exists) in every other block, one
vertex at a time. This can be done correctly as when we are recoloring $v_{1, j}$ using an extra color, that extra color is not present in the current coloring. So we can recolor the $j$-th vertices of all other blocks as well with that extra color. From Theorem 5 and Lemma 12, it follows that this modified algorithm always correctly produces a $(d, k)$-coloring of $P$ at each step, and the algorithm runs in $O(n)$ time.

Combining Corollary 2 and Lemmas 13 and 14 we have the following theorem.
Theorem 6. ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration on $n$-vertex paths can be solved in $O(1)$ time. Moreover, in a yes-instance, one can construct a corresponding reconfiguration sequence in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.

Proof. Let $(P, \alpha, \beta)$ be an instance of $(d, k)$-Coloring Reconfiguration on paths. If $k=d+1$, return "no" (Corollary 2). Otherwise, ( $k \geq d+2$ ), return "yes".
It remains to describe how to construct a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in a yes-instance. If $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) is not a $(d, d+1)$-coloring of $P$, use Lemma 13 to reconfigure it into some $(d, d+1)$-coloring $\alpha^{\prime}$ (resp. $\beta^{\prime}$ ). Otherwise, just simply assign $\alpha^{\prime} \leftarrow \alpha$ (resp. $\beta^{\prime} \leftarrow \beta$ ). Use Lemma 14 to construct a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$. Combining these sequences gives us a reconfiguration sequence between $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

## 6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, for $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d+1$, we provided an initial picture on the computational complexity of ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration and related problems with respect to different graph classes. Most importantly, we have proved that on graphs that are planar, bipartite, and 2 -degenerate, the problem remains PSPACE-complete for any $d \geq 2$. From the viewpoint of the degeneracy of a graph, it is natural to consider the problem on 1-degenerate graphs (which are forests). Indeed, the complexity of ( $d, k$ )-Coloring Reconfiguration ( $d \geq 2$ ) remains unknown even on trees, and we have only been able to partially answer this question by designing a quadratic-time algorithm for paths (a subclass of trees).
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