

A higher Mackey functor description of algebras over an N_∞ -operad

Grégoire Marc

Abstract

Suppose G is a finite group. In this paper, we construct an equivalence between the ∞ -category of algebras over an N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} associated with a G -indexing system \mathcal{I} and the corresponding ∞ -category of higher incomplete \mathcal{I} -Mackey functors with value in spaces. We use the universal property of the incomplete $(2, 1)$ -category of spans of finite G -sets $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ to construct a functor from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ to the 2-category of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories of [Rub20]. We then show that the left Kan extension of the composition of this functor with the core functor is an equivalence.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	2
1.1	General motivations and related work	3
1.2	Structure of the paper	4
1.3	Notation and conventions	4
1.4	Acknowledgements	4
2	Preliminaries on N_∞-operads	4
2.1	N_∞ -operads and G -indexing systems	4
2.2	The ∞ -categories of N_∞ -algebras	6
3	Normed symmetric monoidal categories	7
3.1	Preliminaries on \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories	7
3.2	Rubin's combinatorial models of N_∞ -operads	10
4	Mackey functors vs N_∞-algebras	14
4.1	Orbital subcategories and the \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category	14
4.2	Unfurling for 2-categories and orbital categories	16
4.3	From $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ to $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}$	18
4.4	The proof of the main Theorem	22
A	Operads in G-categories	25
B	Model structure on G-operads	28

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and consider a set X endowed with an action of G . For every subgroup H of G , we can consider the set of H -fixed elements of X

$$X^H = \{x \in X \mid h \cdot x = x \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

For every pair of subgroups $K \leq H$ of G and every element g of G , there is a restriction map $\text{Res}_K^H : X^H \hookrightarrow X^K$ (the inclusion of H -fixed elements in K -fixed elements) and a conjugation map $c_g : X^H \rightarrow X^{gHg^{-1}}$ that sends x to $g \cdot x$. These data assemble into a presheaf $F_X : \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ over the category of transitive G -equivariant sets \mathcal{O}_G that sends the coset G/H to the set X^H . This construction forms a fully faithful functor $F_{(-)} : \text{Set}^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ that is, in general, not an equivalence of categories. However, if X is a topological space, then, up to weak homotopy equivalence, every topological presheaf $P : \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ has the form F_X for some G -equivariant topological space X . Moreover, for the right homotopy theory on G -equivariant spaces, *Elmendorf's Theorem* [Elm83] states that this functor is an equivalence of homotopy theories. Elmendorf's Theorem can be considered to be one of the cornerstones of equivariant homotopy theory as it brings upon it categorical features, either with the theory of *parametrized ∞ -categories* developed by Barwick, Dotto, Glasman, Nardin, and Shah [Bar+16; Sha17], or with the more general theory of *categories internal in an ∞ -topos* of Martini and Wolf [Mar21; MW21; Mar22].

Suppose now that X is a commutative monoid with a G -action compatible with its monoid structure. The presheaf provided by the previous construction comes with an extra structure given by the monoid law. For every pair of subgroups $K \leq H$ of G , there is a transfer map $\text{Tr}_K^H : X^K \rightarrow X^H$ defined by

$$\text{Tr}_K^H(x) = h_1 \cdot x + \cdots + h_{[H:K]} \cdot x$$

where $h_1, \dots, h_{[H:K]}$ are representatives of cosets in H/K . These transfers, along with the previous structure of restriction and conjugation maps, assemble into a *Mackey functor* $\mathcal{M}_X : \mathbf{A}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ (with value in the category of sets) as defined by Dress in [Dre]. A Mackey functor is a presheaf over the *Burnside category* \mathbf{A}_G that preserves finite products. The Burnside category \mathbf{A}_G is the category whose objects are finite G -equivariant sets and whose morphisms are isomorphism classes of spans between these G -equivariant finite sets. Mackey functors play an important role in representation theory, equivariant homotopy theory and they have many applications, for example in group cohomology and in the decomposition of classifying spaces with the work of Webb [Web93]. The construction of a Mackey functor from a commutative monoid with a compatible G -action provides a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{M}_{(-)} : \mathbf{CMon}^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathbf{A}_G^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ that is again not an equivalence of categories in general. One might be now interested in promoting this construction to an equivalence of homotopy theories, in analogy with Elmendorf's Theorem mentioned above.

However, unlike classical algebra, in homotopy theory, commutativity is not a property, but a structure. Given a topological space X with an associative and unitary operation $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$, X is considered as being commutative if there exists a homotopy H as depicted in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times X & & \\ \downarrow \text{twist} & \searrow \mu & \\ & H & \nearrow \mu \\ X \times X & & X \end{array}$$

and if higher coherences are satisfied (requiring the data of higher homotopies). The data of these homotopies are part of the commutative structure of X and can be encoded with May's theory of *E_∞ -operads* [May72]. An E_∞ -operad is a topological operad \mathcal{O} such that \mathcal{O}_n is contractible and has a free Σ_n -action. This theory of "commutativity up to homotopy" is crucial in topology, as most spaces with multiplication such as infinite loop spaces are not strictly commutative.

If X is now a topological space with a G -action and a compatible structure of E_∞ -algebra (meaning that X is an algebra over an E_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} and that all the corresponding operations and homotopies between them

are G -equivariant), then one can still consider the topological presheaf $F_X : \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ associated to X but the transfers do not exist anymore, as the operation μ of X is only commutative up to homotopy. To solve this issue, E_∞ -operads can be upgraded into an equivariant analogue, the N_∞ -operads introduced by Blumberg and Hill in [BH15]. In addition to the classical operations of an E_∞ -algebra, an N_∞ -operad encodes norms that can be thought of as equivariantly twisted versions of these operations. Unlike the non-equivariant setting, not all N_∞ -operads are equivalent, and the homotopy category of N_∞ -operads is equivalent to the poset of the so-called G -indexing systems, by the work of Blumberg and Hill [BH15], Gutiérrez and White [GW18], Rubín [Rub21], and Bonventre and Pereira [BP21]. A G -indexing system \mathcal{I} is a collection, for every subgroup H of G , of finite H -equivariant sets satisfying relations of compatibility, as described in [BH15]. If \mathcal{I} is a G -indexing system, then every finite H -equivariant set T that belongs to \mathcal{I} encodes an operation that is, roughly speaking, “ T -equivariant”.

Suppose now that X is an algebra over an N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} associated to a G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . If $K \leq H$ are subgroups of G such that H/K belongs to \mathcal{I} , then we may construct a transfer map $\text{Tr}_K^H : X^K \rightarrow X^H$. However, the construction of Tr_K^H requires the choice of an H/K -equivariant operation on X , that is only unique up to homotopy. The homotopy groups of X thus give rise to \mathcal{I} -incomplete Mackey functors, defined in terms of an incomplete version of the Burnside category $A_{\mathcal{I}}$, as studied by Blumberg and Hill in [BH18]. This structure can’t be naïvely promoted to the space X itself, as the transfers depend on the choice of homotopies. To take these homotopies into account, the \mathcal{I} -Burnside category $A_{\mathcal{I}}$ can be upgraded into a $(2, 1)$ -category, the \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$, remembering isomorphisms between spans. The $(2, 1)$ -category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ leads also to a notion of higher \mathcal{I} -incomplete Mackey functors (with value in the ∞ -category of spaces) defined as presheaves (of spaces) over $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ which preserve finite products. In this paper, we propose the construction of an equivalence between the ∞ -category of (higher) \mathcal{I} -incomplete Mackey functors and the ∞ -category of algebras in G -topological spaces over an N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} associated to \mathcal{I} . More precisely, the main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem A. (Theorem 4.4.8) *Suppose G is a finite group. For every G -indexing system \mathcal{I} and every N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} associated to \mathcal{I} , the ∞ -category obtained from $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Top}^G)$ by inverting genuine weak equivalences (i.e. continuous G -equivariant maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that, for every subgroup H of G , $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence) is equivalent to the ∞ -category of \mathcal{I} -incomplete Mackey functors $\text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ where \mathcal{S} is the ∞ -category of spaces and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the incomplete $(2, 1)$ -category of spans of finite G -equivariant sets associated to \mathcal{I} .*

1.1. General motivations and related work Our main motivation for Theorem A is to compare the theory of N_∞ -algebras with the theory of \mathcal{T} -parametrized commutative monoids as developed by Cnossen, Lenz, and Linskens in [CLL23], which generalizes the work of Nardin [Nar16]. As we already mentioned, one important feature of Elmendorf’s Theorem is that the theory of parametrized ∞ -categories gives a categorical framework for equivariant homotopy theory through the notion of G - ∞ -categories (here we mean \mathcal{O}_G -parametrized ∞ -categories). This has been motivated by Guillou and May’s Theorem [GM22], which describes genuine equivariant spectra in terms of spectral Mackey functors. The main theorem of the present paper can be thought of as an unstable version of Guillou and May’s Theorem. The poset of G -indexing systems or, equivalently, the poset of N_∞ -operads is equivalent to the poset of orbital subcategories of \mathcal{O}_G in the sense of [CLL23] and it follows that every G -indexing system \mathcal{I} corresponds to an associated orbital subcategory \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{O}_G . Every orbital subcategory \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{O}_G comes with an associated notion of \mathcal{T} -semi-additivity, for which the universal \mathcal{T} -semi-additive G - ∞ -category over equivariant spaces is the G - ∞ -category of parametrized \mathcal{T} -commutative monoids. In the genuine case (that is for the maximal G -indexing system), it has been shown by Nardin [Nar16] that parametrized commutative monoids admit a description in terms of Mackey functors. It is expected for this result to extend in the \mathcal{T} -semi-additive case. With Theorem A, assuming that the description of \mathcal{T} -parametrized commutative monoids as Mackey functors is known, we prove that the G - ∞ -category of N_∞ -algebras for an N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} associated to a G -indexing system \mathcal{I} is the universal \mathcal{T} -semi-additive G - ∞ -category over G -equivariant spaces where \mathcal{T} is the orbital subcategory of \mathcal{O}_G that corresponds to \mathcal{I} .

In Appendix B, we prove with Proposition B.0.9 that, given two N_∞ -operads associated to the same G -

indexing system \mathcal{I} , there is a zig-zag of Quillen equivalences between the corresponding model categories of algebras. We believe this result to be known to the experts, however, the author was unable to find a proof in the literature. The current paper fills in that gap.

The strategy of proof that we adopt to show Theorem A is similar to the techniques used in [Len22]. Note that it should be possible to establish a formal comparison between N_∞ -algebras and parametrized commutative monoids using the theory of parametrized operads [NS22] by showing, for every genuinely Σ -cofibrant simplicial G -operad \mathcal{O} , that the nerve functor $N(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{N^\otimes(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{S}^G)$ of [Bon19, Theorem IV] is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. However, this would require a comparison, for every genuinely Σ -cofibrant operad \mathcal{O} , between free \mathcal{O} -algebras over cofibrant G -equivariant spaces and the corresponding free algebras over the nerve of \mathcal{O} .

1.2. Structure of the paper In Section 2, we first recall Blumberg and Hill’s notions of N_∞ -operads and G -indexing systems and the relation between them. We then define the ∞ -category $N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of N_∞ -algebras over an N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} and we prove that it only depends on the G -indexing system \mathcal{I} associated to \mathcal{O} . In Section 3, we recall Rubin’s notion of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories for a given G -indexing system \mathcal{I} and we give an explicit construction of the N_∞ -operad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ associated to \mathcal{I} that encodes them. The operad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is then used in Section 4 to give an explicit model of the ∞ -category $N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ in terms of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebras. In Section 4, we begin by defining the \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and the associated ∞ -category of \mathcal{I} -Mackey functors $\text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}}$. We then construct explicitly the free N_∞ -algebra over a finite G -set A as the nerve of an \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal groupoid $\mathcal{F}(A)$ and we use a 2-categorical unfurling to construct a functor $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ which sends A to the free N_∞ -algebra over A . Finally, we prove Theorem A (Theorem 4.4.8) by showing that the left Kan extension of $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ along the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

In Appendix A, we show a way to see the $(2, 1)$ -category of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal groupoids as a full subcategory of $N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$. In Appendix B, we prove results about different model structures on G -operads that we use in Section 2 and Section 4.

1.3. Notation and conventions Throughout this paper, G is a finite group and for every category \mathcal{C} , we denote by \mathcal{C}^G the category of G -objects in \mathcal{C} . A G -category is an internal category to Set^G or, equivalently, a G -object in the category of categories. If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are G -categories, then we denote by $\text{Fun}_G(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the category of G -functors and G -natural transformations between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} and by $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the G -category of nonequivariant functors and nonequivariant natural transformations. We denote by \mathbf{Cat}^G the 2-category of small G -categories. For every closed symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\text{Op}(\mathcal{C})$ the category of operads in \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{O} is an operad in \mathcal{C} , then we denote by \mathcal{O}_n its n -th level, that is the associated object of \mathcal{C}^{Σ_n} . In this paper, by ∞ -category we mean quasi-category and we denote by \mathcal{S} the ∞ -category of spaces.

1.4. Acknowledgements The work presented in this paper is a part of my PhD project. I would like to thank my PhD supervisor Magdalena Kędziołek for her guidance and various enlightening conversations. I would also like to thank Miguel Barrero and Niall Taggart for their very helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. During the writing of this paper, the author was supported by an NWO grant Vidi.203.004.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON N_∞ -OPERADS

2.1. N_∞ -operads and G -indexing systems In this subsection, we recall Blumberg and Hill’s notions of N_∞ -operads in the setting of G -simplicial sets, of G -indexing systems, and the relation between them. We refer the reader to [BH15] or [Rub21] for more details on the subject.

Definition 2.1.1. Let $n \geq 0$. A *graph subgroup* of $G \times \Sigma_n$ is a subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq G \times \Sigma_n$ that intersects $\{1_G\} \times \Sigma_n$ trivially.

We recall the definition of an N_∞ -operad in G -simplicial sets.

Definition 2.1.2 ([Rub21, Definition 2.6]). An N_∞ -operad is an operad in the category SSet^G such that:

1. for every $n \geq 0$, the action of Σ_n on \mathcal{O}_n is free;
2. for every graph subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq G \times \Sigma_n$, the simplicial set $(\mathcal{O}_n)^\Gamma$ is either contractible or empty;
3. the simplicial sets $(\mathcal{O}_2)^G$ and $(\mathcal{O}_0)^G$ are nonempty.

Recall now that graph subgroups can be characterized in terms of finite equivariant sets over subgroups of G .

Lemma 2.1.3 ([Rub21, Lemma 2.4]). *For every graph subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq G \times \Sigma_n$, there is a unique subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a homomorphism $\sigma : H \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ such that $\Gamma = \{(h, \sigma(h)) \mid h \in H\}$.*

For every finite H -set T , we choose once and for all an ordering $T \simeq \{1, \dots, |T|\}$. The previous lemma gives a correspondence between finite H -sets and graph subgroups. If $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup of G and T a finite H -set, we denote by $\Gamma_T \subseteq G \times \Sigma_{|T|}$ the graph subgroup associated to the homomorphism $\sigma : H \rightarrow \Sigma_{|T|}$ which corresponds to T through the chosen ordering on T . If \mathcal{O} is an N_∞ -operad, then we consider, for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the following set

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}(H) = \{T \in \text{Fin}^H \mid (\mathcal{O}_{|T|})^{\Gamma_T} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

As proven in [BH15, Theorem 1.2], these collections of equivariant finite sets form a G -indexing system in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 2.1.4 ([Rub21, Definition 2.12]). A G -indexing system \mathcal{I} consists, for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, of a class $\mathcal{I}(H)$ of finite H -sets satisfying the following conditions:

1. for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the class $\mathcal{I}(H)$ contains all trivial H -sets;
2. for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and finite H -sets A and B such that $B \simeq A$ in Fin^H , if $B \in \mathcal{I}(H)$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}(H)$;
3. for every sequence of subgroups $K \subseteq H \subseteq G$ and finite H -set A , if $A \in \mathcal{I}(H)$, then $\text{Res}_K^H A \in \mathcal{I}(K)$;
4. for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, $g \in G$ and finite H -set A , if $A \in \mathcal{I}(H)$, then $c_g A \in \mathcal{I}(H^g)$;
5. for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and finite H -sets A and B , if $B \subseteq A$ and $A \in \mathcal{I}(H)$, then $B \in \mathcal{I}(H)$;
6. for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and finite H -sets A and B , if $A \in \mathcal{I}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}(H)$, then $A \sqcup B \in \mathcal{I}(H)$;
7. for every sequence of subgroups $K \subseteq H \subseteq G$ and finite K -set A , if $A \in \mathcal{I}(K)$ and $H/K \in \mathcal{I}(H)$, then $\text{Ind}_K^H A \in \mathcal{I}(H)$.

Given a G -indexing system \mathcal{I} , we say that a finite H -set T is *admissible* if it belongs to $\mathcal{I}(H)$. The G -indexing systems form a poset under the inclusion that we denote by I_G .

The N_∞ -operads for G form an ∞ -category which can be defined as follows.

Definition 2.1.5. A morphism $f : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of operads in SSet^G is a *genuine weak equivalence of G -operads* if for every graph subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq G \times \Sigma_n$, the morphism $f^\Gamma : \mathcal{O}_n^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^\Gamma$ is a weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets. We denote by \mathbf{Op}^G the ∞ -category obtained from $\text{Op}(\text{SSet}^G)$ by inverting genuine weak equivalences and respectively by $N_\infty\text{-Op}$ and $N_\infty\text{-Op}$ the full subcategories of $\text{Op}(\text{SSet}^G)$ and \mathbf{Op}^G spanned by N_∞ -operads.

The ∞ -category of N_∞ -operads is equivalent to the poset of G -indexing systems.

Theorem 2.1.6. *The functor $N_\infty\text{-Op} \rightarrow \text{I}_G$ which sends an N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} to its associated G -indexing system $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}$ sends genuinely weakly equivalent N_∞ -operads to the same G -indexing system. Moreover, the induced functor $N_\infty\text{-Op} \rightarrow \text{I}_G$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.*

Proof. Combining [BH15, Theorem 1.2] and [BH15, Proposition 5.5], one deduces that the functor $N_\infty\text{-Op} \rightarrow \text{I}_G$ sends genuinely weakly equivalent N_∞ -operads to the same G -indexing system and that the induced functor $N_\infty\text{-Op} \rightarrow \text{I}_G$ is fully faithful. The fact that this functor is essentially surjective follows independently from [GW18, Theorem 4.7], [Rub21, Theorem 7.2], and [BP21, corollary IV]. \square

2.2. The ∞ -categories of N_∞ -algebras Throughout the rest of this paper, \mathcal{I} denotes a G -indexing system. In this subsection, we define the ∞ -category $N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of N_∞ -algebras for the G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . We recall first the definition of genuine weak equivalences and of the ∞ -category of G -equivariant spaces.

Definition 2.2.1. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in SSet^G is a *genuine weak equivalence* if, for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the morphism $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak homotopy equivalence in SSet . The ∞ -category of G -equivariant spaces \mathcal{S}^G is the ∞ -category obtained from SSet^G by inverting genuine weak equivalences.

If \mathcal{O} is an operad in SSet^G , then we can define the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -algebras.

Definition 2.2.2. Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in SSet^G . A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ is a *genuine weak equivalence of \mathcal{O} -algebras* if $U(f) : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ is a genuine weak equivalence with $U : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G) \rightarrow \text{SSet}^G$ the forgetful functor. The ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} -algebras $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the ∞ -category obtained from $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ by inverting genuine weak equivalences of \mathcal{O} -algebras.

The ∞ -category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ admits the following useful description.

Proposition 2.2.3. *The category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ admits a combinatorial and simplicial model structure right induced from the genuine model structure on SSet^G through the free-forgetful adjunction*

$$F : \text{SSet}^G \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G) : U.$$

Moreover, the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is equivalent to the homotopy coherent nerve of the simplicial subcategory of $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ spanned by objects which are fibrant and cofibrant.

Proof. The category SSet^G is a monoidal model category with a cofibrant unit and with a symmetric monoidal fibrant replacement functor given by Ex^∞ . Moreover, the monoidal structure is given by the cartesian product and it follows that the classical interval object Δ^1 is endowed with a unique structure of cocommutative coalgebra. It follows from [BM07, Theorem 2.1] that the right induced model structure on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ exists. If X is an \mathcal{O} -algebra and if K is a simplicial set, then the power X^K in SSet^G is naturally endowed with the structure of an \mathcal{O} -algebra and this construction induces a structure of simplicial model category on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{SSet}^G)$. Finally, the second part of the proposition follows from [Lur17, Theorem 1.3.4.20]. \square

Remark 2.2.4. In this paper, we chose to work with G -simplicial sets instead of G -topological spaces. There is a monoidal Quillen equivalence

$$|-| : \text{SSet}^G \rightleftarrows \text{Top}^G : \text{Sing}$$

between SSet^G and Top^G respectively endowed with the genuine model structures. The functor $|-| : \text{SSet}^G \rightarrow \text{Top}^G$ preserves weak equivalences and it follows from [BM03, Theorem 4.7] that, given an operad \mathcal{O} in SSet^G , the ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathbf{Alg}_{|-|\mathcal{O}|}$ are equivalent. Moreover, the functor $|-|$ preserves finite limits and it follows that the operad $|-|\mathcal{O}|$ is an N_∞ -operad in Top^G if and only if \mathcal{O} is an N_∞ -operad in SSet^G and the G -indexing systems associated to \mathcal{O} and $|-|\mathcal{O}|$ are the same.

The ∞ -category of N_∞ -algebras for the G -indexing system \mathcal{I} is well defined and does not depend on the choice of an N_∞ -operad.

Proposition 2.2.5. *Let \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} be two N_∞ -operads associated to \mathcal{I} . The ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. By [BH15, Corollary 5.3] and Proposition B.0.9, it is enough to show that every N_∞ -operad with associated G -indexing system \mathcal{I} is \mathcal{I} -cofibrant in the sense of Definition B.0.3. This follows directly from the characterization of \mathcal{I} -cofibrations given by [Ste16, Proposition 2.16]. \square

We denote by $N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the ∞ -category of N_∞ -algebras for the G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . This ∞ -category can be realized as $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for any N_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} with associated G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . In this paper, we chose to use Rubin's combinatorial model of such an operad [Rub21] that we describe explicitly in Subsection 3.2.

3. NORMED SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

In this section, we recall Rubin's notion of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories and we give an explicit description of the operad $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ in Cat^G whose algebras in Cat^G are \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories. The operad $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ lives in the category of G -groupoids and its nerve $N(\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})$ is an N_{∞} -operad with associated G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . The description that we give of $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is equivalent, but slightly different to the one given by Rubin in [Rub21] and [Rub20]. Our description will be useful to give an explicit construction of the free N_{∞} -algebras over finite G -sets in terms of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal groupoids, which is a part of the construction of the equivalence of Theorem A.

3.1. Preliminaries on \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories In this subsection, we recall Rubin's notion of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories. We refer the reader to [Rub20] for more details on the topic.

Definition 3.1.1. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup, T a finite H -set and \mathcal{C} a G -category. Denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\times T}$ the H -category given by the $|T|$ -fold cartesian power $\mathcal{C}^{|T|}$ endowed with the H -action given on objects by

$$h \cdot (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) = (hX_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}, \dots, hX_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|})$$

and by the same formula on morphisms where $\sigma : H \rightarrow \Sigma_{|T|}$ is the homomorphism which corresponds to the action of T through the bijection $T \simeq \{1, \dots, |T|\}$ given by the chosen ordering on T . A T -external norm is an H -functor $\mathcal{C}^{\times T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.1.2 ([Rub20, Definition 2.3]). An \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a G -symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, e, \alpha, \lambda, \rho, \beta)$ together with:

1. a T -external norm $\otimes_T : \mathcal{C}^{\times T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and admissible H -set T ;
2. (*untwistors*) a nonequivariant natural isomorphism

$$v_T : \otimes_T (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \rightarrow \otimes_{|T|} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|})$$

for every admissible H -set T such that for every $h \in H$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h \otimes_T (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \otimes_T (hX_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}, \dots, hX_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|}) \\ \downarrow hv_T & & \downarrow v_T \\ & & \otimes_{|T|} (hX_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}, \dots, hX_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|}) \\ & & \downarrow \sigma(h)^{-1} \\ h \otimes_{|T|} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \otimes_{|T|} (hX_1, \dots, hX_{|T|}) \end{array}$$

commutes where $\otimes_{|T|} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|})$ denotes the $|T|$ -fold tensor product and the morphism $\sigma(h)^{-1}$ denotes the canonical isomorphism given by the symmetric monoidal structure of \mathcal{C} which permutes the factors of $\otimes_{|T|}$ by $\sigma(h)^{-1}$.

Definition 3.1.3 ([Rub20, Definition 2.5]). Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories. A lax \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consists of the following data:

1. a G -functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$;
2. a G -fixed morphism $\phi_e : e^{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \phi e^{\mathcal{C}}$;
3. a G -natural transformation $\phi_{\otimes} : \phi X \otimes^{\mathcal{D}} \phi Y \rightarrow \phi (X \otimes^{\mathcal{C}} Y)$;

4. for every admissible H -set T , an H -natural transformation

$$\phi_{\otimes_T} : \otimes_T^{\mathcal{D}} (\phi X_1, \dots, \phi X_{|T|}) \rightarrow \phi \left(\otimes_T^{\mathcal{C}} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \right)$$

such that the usual lax symmetric monoidal diagrams relating $\alpha, \lambda, \rho, \beta$ to the maps ϕ_e and ϕ_{\otimes} commute and such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \otimes_T^{\mathcal{D}} (\phi X_1, \dots, \phi X_{|T|}) & \xrightarrow{v_T^{\mathcal{D}}} & \otimes_{|T|}^{\mathcal{D}} (\phi X_1, \dots, \phi X_{|T|}) \\ \phi_{\otimes_T} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{\otimes_{|T|}} \\ \phi \left(\otimes_T^{\mathcal{C}} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \right) & \xrightarrow{v_T^{\mathcal{C}}} & \phi \left(\otimes_{|T|}^{\mathcal{C}} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \right) \end{array}$$

commutes for every admissible H -set T where $\phi_{\otimes_{|T|}}$ is the map constructed with iterations of ϕ_{\otimes} and ϕ_e . A lax \mathcal{I} -normed functor is a *strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor* (resp. *strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor*) if the natural transformations ϕ_e, ϕ_{\otimes} and ϕ_{\otimes_T} are isomorphisms (resp. identities).

If $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are lax \mathcal{I} -normed functors, then their composition $\psi \circ \phi$ is also canonically a lax \mathcal{I} -normed functor. The morphism $(\psi \circ \phi)_e$ is given by the composition

$$e_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\psi_e} \psi e_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\psi(\phi_e)} (\psi \circ \phi)_e e_{\mathcal{C}},$$

the morphism $(\psi \circ \phi)_{\otimes}$ by the composition

$$((\psi \circ \phi)X) \otimes^{\mathcal{E}} ((\psi \circ \phi)Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_{\otimes}} \psi(\phi X \otimes^{\mathcal{D}} \phi Y) \xrightarrow{\psi(\phi_{\otimes})} (\psi \circ \phi)(X \otimes^{\mathcal{C}} Y)$$

and, for every admissible finite H -set T , the morphism $(\psi \circ \phi)_{\otimes_T}$ is given by the composition

$$\otimes_T ((\psi \circ \phi)X_1, \dots, (\psi \circ \phi)X_{|T|}) \xrightarrow{\psi_{\otimes_T}} \psi \left(\otimes_T (\phi X_1, \dots, \phi X_{|T|}) \right) \xrightarrow{\psi(\phi_{\otimes_T})} (\psi \circ \phi) \left(\otimes_T (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \right).$$

If ϕ and ψ are strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors (resp. strict), then $\psi \circ \phi$ is also a strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor (resp. strict).

Definition 3.1.4 ([Rub20, Definition 2.6]). Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories and $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ a pair of lax \mathcal{I} -normed functors. An *\mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation* $\omega : \phi \rightrightarrows \psi$ is a G -natural transformation $\omega : \phi \rightrightarrows \psi$ such that the usual monoidal transformation squares relating $\phi_e, \psi_e, \phi_{\otimes}$ and ψ_{\otimes} to ω commute and such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \otimes_T^{\mathcal{D}} (\phi X_1, \dots, \phi X_{|T|}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_T(\omega, \dots, \omega)} & \otimes_T^{\mathcal{D}} (\psi X_1, \dots, \psi X_{|T|}) \\ \phi_{\otimes_T} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{\otimes_T} \\ \phi \left(\otimes_T^{\mathcal{C}} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \right) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \psi \left(\otimes_T^{\mathcal{C}} (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \right) \end{array}$$

commutes for every admissible H -set T .

Notation 3.1.5. The structure of 2-category of \mathbf{Cat}^G lifts to \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories and we denote by \mathcal{ISMLax} (resp. \mathcal{ISMStg} and \mathcal{ISMSt}) the 2-category of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories, lax \mathcal{I} -normed functors (resp. strong and strict) and \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformations.

The category of strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors $\mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ between two \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is naturally endowed with the structure of a symmetric monoidal category.

Proposition 3.1.6. *Let $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be two strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors. The composition*

$$\phi \otimes \psi : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\phi \times \psi} \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{D}$$

is naturally endowed with a structure of strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor. Moreover, if $\tau_1 : \phi_1 \Rightarrow \phi_2$ and $\tau_2 : \psi_1 \Rightarrow \psi_2$ are two \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformations between strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors, the natural transformation $\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$ defined as $\otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \circ (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \circ \Delta$ is a \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation between $\phi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\phi_2 \otimes \psi_2$. Given two \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , these constructions endow $\mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ with the structure of a symmetric monoidal category.

Proof. The G -fixed isomorphism $(\phi \otimes \psi)_e$ is the composition

$$e_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq e_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\phi_e \otimes \psi_e} \phi(e_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes \psi(e_{\mathcal{C}}),$$

the G -natural transformation $(\phi \otimes \psi)_{\otimes}$ is given by the composition

$$(\phi(X) \otimes \psi(X)) \otimes (\phi(Y) \otimes \psi(Y)) \simeq (\phi(X) \otimes \phi(Y)) \otimes (\psi(Y) \otimes \psi(X)) \xrightarrow{(\phi_{\otimes}) \otimes (\psi_{\otimes})} \phi(X \otimes Y) \otimes \psi(X \otimes Y)$$

and, for every admissible H -set T , the H -natural transformation $(\phi \otimes \psi)_{\otimes T}$ is given by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & \otimes_T (\phi(X_1) \otimes \psi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_{|T|}) \otimes \psi(X_{|T|})) \\ & \simeq (\otimes_T (\phi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_{|T|}))) \otimes (\otimes_T (\psi(X_1), \dots, \psi(X_{|T|}))) \\ & \xrightarrow{(\phi_{\otimes T}) \otimes (\psi_{\otimes T})} \phi(\otimes_T (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|})) \otimes \psi(\otimes_T (X_1, \dots, X_{|T|})) \end{aligned}$$

where α is the H -natural transformation given by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & \otimes_T (\phi(X_1) \otimes \psi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_{|T|}) \otimes \psi(X_{|T|})) \\ & \xrightarrow{v_T} \otimes_{|T|} (\phi(X_1) \otimes \psi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_{|T|}) \otimes \psi(X_{|T|})) \\ & \simeq \left(\otimes_{|T|} (\phi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_{|T|})) \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{|T|} (\psi(X_1), \dots, \psi(X_{|T|})) \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{v_T^{-1} \otimes v_T^{-1}} \left(\otimes_T (\phi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_{|T|})) \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_T (\psi(X_1), \dots, \psi(X_{|T|})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

A direct inspection shows that $\phi \otimes \psi$ is a strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor and that the result also stands on \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformations. The structural morphisms of the symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are given componentwise by the ones of \mathcal{D} . \square

Remark 3.1.7. Note that if $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ is a pair of strict \mathcal{I} -normed functors, then the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\phi \otimes \psi$ is not strict in general. In particular, the previous proposition fails for strict \mathcal{I} -normed functors.

It follows from Proposition 3.1.6 that the 2-category \mathcal{ISMStg} is a **SMC**-category in the sense of [Gui10, Definition 2.1]. Moreover, \mathcal{ISMStg} admits finite products and is, in particular, a semi-additive 2-category.

Proposition 3.1.8. *The 2-category \mathcal{ISMStg} is semi-additive.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories. The product $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ is canonically endowed with a structure of \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category which is the cartesian product of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} in the 2-category \mathcal{ISMStg} . The final G -category $*$ is also canonically a \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category and is a zero object in the 2-category \mathcal{ISMStg} . If we denote by $0_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor constant on $e_{\mathcal{D}}$, then we have to show that $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ endowed with the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors $i_{\mathcal{C}} = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, 0_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ and $i_{\mathcal{D}} = (0_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ is the coproduct of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{ISMStg} . More precisely, if we denote by $p_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $p_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ the projections, then we prove that the functor

$$((-) \circ p_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes ((-) \circ p_{\mathcal{D}}) : \mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$$

which sends a pair of strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ to $(\phi \circ p_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes (\psi \circ p_{\mathcal{D}})$ is an inverse of the functor

$$((-) \circ i_{\mathcal{C}}, (-) \circ i_{\mathcal{D}}) : \mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{ISMStg}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}).$$

Given a pair of strong \mathcal{I} -normed functors $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, we have $((\phi \circ p_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes (\psi \circ p_{\mathcal{D}})) \circ i_{\mathcal{C}} = \phi \otimes 0_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}} \simeq \phi$ and $((\phi \circ p_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes (\psi \circ p_{\mathcal{D}})) \circ i_{\mathcal{D}} = 0_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}} \otimes \psi \simeq \psi$ and if $\zeta : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor, then $(\zeta \circ i_{\mathcal{C}} \circ p_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes (\zeta \circ i_{\mathcal{D}} \circ p_{\mathcal{D}})$ is the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor which sends an object (X, Y) of $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ to $\zeta(X, e_{\mathcal{D}}) \otimes \zeta(e_{\mathcal{C}}, Y) \xrightarrow{\zeta \otimes} \zeta(X \otimes e_{\mathcal{C}}, Y \otimes e_{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq \zeta(X, Y)$ and the result follows. \square

3.2. Rubin's combinatorial models of N_{∞} -operads In this subsection, we give an explicit description of the operad $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ in Cat^G defined in [Rub21] and [Rub20] whose algebras in Cat^G are \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal categories. We then use this description to give a short proof of the fact that the operad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}} = N(\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})$ is an N_{∞} -operad associated with our chosen G -indexing system \mathcal{I} .

Construction 3.2.1. If X is a G -set, then the *translation G -groupoid* \widetilde{X} of X is the G -category defined by the following diagram

$$(X \times X) \times_X (X \times X) \simeq X \times X \times X \xrightarrow{(p_1, p_3)} X \times X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\Delta} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} X.$$

The associated functor $\widetilde{(-)} : \text{Set}^G \rightarrow \text{Cat}^G$ is a right adjoint of the object functor $\text{Ob} : \text{Cat}^G \rightarrow \text{Set}^G$ and in particular preserves limits. It follows that if \mathcal{O} is an operad in Set^G , then $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is canonically an operad in Cat^G .

Definition 3.2.2. The operad $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the operad $\widetilde{F(S_{\mathcal{I}})}$ with $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ the free operad in the category Set^G over the symmetric sequence $S_{\mathcal{I}}$ defined by

$$(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n = \bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{I}, |T|=n} \frac{G \times \Sigma_n}{\Gamma_T}.$$

We will now give an explicit description of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$. The construction of the free operad over a symmetric sequence in Set^G can be made explicit in general, as in section 5 of [Rub21] for instance, though its general description can be complicated to handle. However, if we denote by $V_{\mathcal{I}}$ the nonsymmetric sequence of G -sets defined by

$$(V_{\mathcal{I}})_n = \bigsqcup_{\substack{H \subseteq G, |T|=n, \\ T \in \mathcal{I}(H)}} G/H,$$

the choice for every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ of a set of coset representatives of G/H induces a nonequivariant isomorphism of symmetric sequences $S_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq V_{\mathcal{I}} \times \Sigma_*$ where Σ_* denotes the symmetric sequence of sets given by the symmetric groups. If we forget the action of G , then it follows that the operad $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ can be described as the product $F(V_{\mathcal{I}}) \times \Sigma_*$ with $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ the free nonsymmetric operad over the sequence $V_{\mathcal{I}}$. We recall now the construction of the nonsymmetric operad $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ in Set in terms of planar rooted trees and we then give an explicit description of the required G -action on $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$.

Construction 3.2.3. For every subgroup $H \subseteq G$, choose a set of coset representatives $\{1_G = g_1, \dots, g_{[G:H]}\}$ of G/H . Consider the formal symbols $r \otimes_T$ and id with r a G/H coset representative and T an admissible H -set. A *formal external norm* is a formal composite of the previous symbols defined by recursion as follows:

1. the symbol id is a formal external norm;
2. if $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|}$ are formal external norms, then $r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$ is a formal external norm.

If θ is a formal external norm, then its *length* $|\theta|$ is defined by the following recursion:

1. if $\theta = \text{id}$, then $|\theta| = 1$;

2. if $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$, then $|\theta| = |\theta_1| + \dots + |\theta_{|T|}|$.

The nonsymmetric operad $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ in Set can now be described as the operad whose set of n -ary operations $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})_n$ is the one of formal external norms of length n . The identity of $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ is id and if θ and τ_1, \dots, τ_n are formal external norms with $|\theta| = n$, their composition $\gamma(\theta, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ is defined by recursion as follows:

1. if $\theta = \text{id}$, then $\gamma(\theta, \tau_1) = \tau_1$;
2. if $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$, then

$$\gamma(\theta, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = r \otimes_T (\gamma(\theta_1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{|\theta_1|}), \dots, \gamma(\theta_k, \tau_{|\theta_1|+|\theta_2|+\dots+|\theta_{k-1}|}, \dots, \tau_n)).$$

There is an action of G on formal external norms defined by recursion as follows:


1. if $\theta = \text{id}$, then $g \cdot \theta = \theta$;
2. if $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$, then $g \cdot \theta = r' \otimes_T (h \cdot \theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}, \dots, h \cdot \theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|})$ with r' the chosen coset representative of gr and h the unique element of H such that $gr = r'h$ and where $\sigma : H \rightarrow \Sigma_{|T|}$ is the homomorphism which corresponds to T through the chosen ordering $T \simeq \{1, \dots, |T|\}$.

The G -action on formal external norms is compatible with the operadic structure of $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ and it follows that $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ is canonically an operad in Set^G .

Remark 3.2.4. As we already mentioned, $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ is the free nonsymmetric operad in Set over the sequence

$$(V_{\mathcal{I}})_n = \bigsqcup_{\substack{H \subseteq G, |T|=n, \\ T \in \mathcal{I}(H)}} G/H,$$

and it follows that a formal external norm can be seen as a planar rooted tree with n -ary nodes decorated with the symbols $r \otimes_T$ with T an admissible H -set of cardinality n and r a chosen coset representative of G/H and where leaves represent occurrences of the identity id . The figure below shows an example



Note that for the construction of $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$, the choices for every subgroup H of G , of orderings on admissible H -sets, and of coset representatives of G/H , play only a role in the definition of the G -action. The choices of coset representatives of G/H correspond to the necessary choices to construct the nonequivariant isomorphism $S_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq V_{\mathcal{I}} \times \Sigma_*$ with Σ_* the symmetric sequence associated to the symmetric groups. Moreover, note that $F(V_{\mathcal{I}})$ is the free operad over $V_{\mathcal{I}}$ only in the category Set and not as an operad in Set^G .

Notation 3.2.5. If $\delta_1 \in \Sigma_{k_1}, \dots, \delta_n \in \Sigma_{k_n}$ and $\delta \in \Sigma_n$ are permutations, then we denote by $\delta \langle \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \rangle$ the permutation $\delta(k_1, \dots, k_n) \circ \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \delta_i \in \Sigma_{k_1+\dots+k_n}$ with $\delta(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ the block permutation.

We can now give the promised explicit description of the G -action on the operad $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$.

Construction 3.2.6. Let θ be a formal external norm and g an element of G . We define a permutation $\omega_{\theta}(g)$ in $\Sigma_{|\theta|}$ by recursion as follows:

1. if $\theta = \text{id}$, then $\omega_{\theta}(g) = \text{id}_{\Sigma_1}$;
2. if $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$, then $\omega_{\theta}(g) = \sigma(h) \langle \omega_{\theta_1}(h), \dots, \omega_{\theta_{|T|}}(h) \rangle$ with h and $\sigma : H \rightarrow \Sigma_{|T|}$ given as in the definition of the G -action on formal external norms.

The G -action on the operad $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ is now defined on an element (θ, δ) of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n = F(V_{\mathcal{I}})_n \times \Sigma_n$ by

$$g \cdot (\theta, \delta) = (g \cdot \theta, \omega_{\theta}(g) \circ \delta).$$

Remark 3.2.7. We can verify by induction that the action on formal external norms is well-defined. If θ is a formal external norm and g and g' elements of G , we can check by induction that $g'(g\theta) = g'g\theta$. First note that the result is trivial for $\theta = \text{id}$. Assume now that $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$ and that the result is true for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|}$. If we write $gr = r'h$ and $g'r' = r''h'$, we have


$$\begin{aligned} g'(g\theta) &= g'r' \otimes_T (h\theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}, \dots, h\theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|}) = r'' \otimes_T (h'h\theta_{\sigma(h'h)^{-1}1}, \dots, h'h\theta_{\sigma(h'h)^{-1}|T|}) \\ &= g'g\theta. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that $\omega_{g\theta}(g') \circ \omega_{\theta}(g) = \omega_{\theta}(g'g)$ and thus the action of G on $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ is also well defined. As a consequence, if θ is a H -fixed formal external norm, the restriction of $\omega_{\theta} : G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|\theta|}$ to H is a homomorphism.

Remark 3.2.8. If θ is a H -fixed formal external norm, the homomorphism $\omega_{\theta} : H \rightarrow \Sigma_{|\theta|}$ depends on the chosen orderings on the admissible H -sets appearing in θ and on the choices of coset representatives of G/H . However, up to isomorphism, the corresponding H -set does not depend on these choices.

Notation 3.2.9. If θ is a H -fixed formal external norm, we denote by T_{θ} the finite H -set that corresponds to the homomorphism $\omega_{\theta} : H \rightarrow \Sigma_{|\theta|}$.

Remark 3.2.10. An operation (θ, δ) of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ can be seen as the planar rooted tree which corresponds to θ with an ordering on the leaves given by the permutation δ .



If θ is a formal external norm and g an element of G , the permutation $\omega_{\theta}(g)$ corresponds to the permutation of the leaves obtained by acting on θ with g .

Notation 3.2.11. In what follows, if T is an admissible finite H -set, then we denote by \otimes_T the formal external norm $1_G \otimes_T (\text{id}, \dots, \text{id})$. If θ is any formal external norm, then we denote also by θ the operation $(\theta, \text{id}_{\Sigma_{|\theta|}})$ of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$.

In order to show that the description of the G -action of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ that we gave is the right one, we show now, under our description, that $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ is the free operad in Set^G over the symmetric sequence $S_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Proposition 3.2.12. *The G -operad $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ is the free operad in Set^G over the symmetric sequence $S_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. Note first that, given a symmetric sequence of G -sets S , a morphism $S_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow S$ is the data of, for every admissible H -set T , a Γ_T -fixed element of $S_{|T|}$. The operation \otimes_T of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ is Γ_T -fixed and we thus get a morphism $S_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ of symmetric sequences of G -sets. Consider now any morphism of symmetric sequences $\phi : S_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with \mathcal{O} any G -operad. For every admissible H -set T , denote by $\otimes_T^{\mathcal{O}}$ the Γ_T -fixed operation of \mathcal{O} which corresponds to ϕ . If θ is a formal external norm, consider the operation $\Phi(\theta)$ of \mathcal{O} defined by the following recursion:

1. if $\theta = \text{id}$, then $\Phi(\theta) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}}$;
2. if $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$, then $\Phi(\theta) = r \cdot \gamma \left(\otimes_T^{\mathcal{O}}, \Phi(\theta_1), \dots, \Phi(\theta_{|T|}) \right)$.

We can now define a morphism of G -operads $\Phi : F(S_{\mathcal{I}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ by setting $\Phi(\theta, \delta) = \Phi(\theta) \cdot \delta$. It is clear that Φ is a morphism of operads in Set and that it is the unique morphism that extends ϕ . We must now check that Φ is G -equivariant. Consider any operation (θ, δ) in $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$. We have to show by induction that, for every g in G ,

$$\Phi(g\theta, \omega_\theta(g) \cdot \delta) = g\Phi(\theta, \delta).$$

If θ is the identity id , then the result is trivially verified. Let us suppose now by induction that $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$ and that the result is known for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|}$. If we follow again the notation from the definition of the G -action on formal external norms, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g\theta, \omega_\theta(g) \circ \delta) &= r'\gamma \left(\bigotimes_T^{\mathcal{O}}, \Phi(h\theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}), \dots, \Phi(h\theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|}) \right) \cdot (\omega_\theta(g) \circ \delta) \\ &= r'\gamma \left(\bigotimes_T^{\mathcal{O}}, \Phi(h\theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}1}), \dots, \Phi(h\theta_{\sigma(h)^{-1}|T|}) \right) \cdot (\sigma(h) \langle \omega_1(h), \dots, \omega_{|T|(h)} \rangle \circ \delta) \\ &= r'\gamma \left(\left(\bigotimes_T^{\mathcal{O}}, \sigma(h) \right), \Phi(h\theta_1, \omega_{\theta_1}(h)), \dots, \Phi(h\theta_{|T|}, \omega_{\theta_{|T|}}(h)) \right) \cdot \delta \\ &= r'\gamma \left(h \bigotimes_T^{\mathcal{O}}, h\Phi(\theta_1), \dots, h\Phi(\theta_{|T|}) \right) \cdot \delta \\ &= g\Phi(\theta, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

□

We deduce from Proposition 3.2.12 that Rubin's coherence theorem applies in our situation.

Theorem 3.2.13 ([Rub20, Theorem 5.6]). *The 2-categories \mathcal{ISMLax} , \mathcal{ISMStg} and \mathcal{ISMSt} are respectively equivalent to the 2-categories $\mathbf{AlgLax}_{\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}}$, $\mathbf{AlgStg}_{\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ and $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ in the sense of Notation A.0.4.*

Notation 3.2.14. In what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the operad $N(\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})$ in SSet^G .

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition A.0.8.

Corollary 3.2.15. *There is a fully faithful simplicial functor $\mathcal{ISMSt} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ which sends an \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} to its nerve $N(\mathcal{C})$ where the strict 2-category \mathcal{ISMSt} is seen as a simplicial category.*

We will now show that the operad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an N_∞ -operad with associated G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . We start with the following proposition which is a reformulation in terms of our description of $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of [Rub21, Theorem 4.6].

Proposition 3.2.16. *Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup and θ an H -fixed formal external norm. The finite H -set T_θ is admissible (see Notation 3.2.9).*

Proof. We show the result by induction on θ . Note first that if θ is the identity id , then T_{id} is the final G -set $*$ which is admissible by axiom 1 of Definition 2.1.4. Assume now that $\theta = r \otimes_T (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{|T|})$ with T an admissible K -set and r a chosen coset representative of G/K with $K \subseteq G$ any subgroup. It follows from the fact that θ is H -fixed that the coset of G/K represented by r is H -fixed and thus $H \subseteq {}^rK$. If we denote by T' the H -set $\text{Res}_H^{{}^rK} c_{r^{-1}}T$ and by θ' the formal external norm $\bigotimes_{T'} (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{|T|})$, then there is an isomorphism $T_\theta \simeq T_{\theta'}$ of finite H -sets and the result stands for θ if it stands for θ' . We can now assume without loss of generality that $\theta = \bigotimes_T (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{|T|})$ with T an admissible H -set. Choose a decomposition $T \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n H/K_i$ and denote by $\alpha_i : \{1, \dots, [H : K_i]\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |T|\}$ the map which corresponds to the inclusion $H/K_i \hookrightarrow T$ through the ordering of T . If we denote by θ_i the formal external norm $\bigotimes_{H/K_i} (\tau_{\alpha_i(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_i([H:K_i])})$, then we have $T_\theta \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n T_{\theta_i}$ and using axioms 6 and 5 of Definition 2.1.4, the result stands for θ if and only if it stands for every θ_j . We can now assume without loss of generality that $T = H/K$. Since the formal external norm $\theta = \bigotimes_{H/K} (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{[H:K]})$ is H -fixed, we have for every h in H that $h\tau_{\sigma(h)^{-1}j} = \tau_j$ and we deduce that τ_1 is K -fixed and that $h_j\tau_1 = \tau_j$ with h_j a representative of the j -th coset of H/K through the chosen ordering of H/K . By induction, we can assume that the K -set T_{τ_1} is admissible and we obtain that $T_\theta \simeq \text{Ind}_K^H T_{\tau_1}$. The result now follows from axiom 7 of Definition 2.1.4. □

We can now show that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an N_{∞} -operad with associated G -indexing system \mathcal{I} .

Proposition 3.2.17. *The operad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ in SSet^G is an N_{∞} -operad with \mathcal{I} as its G -indexing system.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \subseteq G \times \Sigma_n$ be any subgroup. The functors $\widetilde{(-)}$ and N preserve limits and it follows that

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}})_n^{\Gamma} \simeq N \left(\widetilde{F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n^{\Gamma}} \right).$$

For every G -set X , the simplicial set $N(\widetilde{X})$ is respectively contractible or empty if and only if X is non-empty or empty and we deduce that $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}})_n^{\Gamma}$ is respectively contractible or empty if and only if $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n^{\Gamma}$ is non-empty or empty. The freeness of the symmetric actions on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ now follows from the freeness of the symmetric actions on $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ by considering subgroups of $G \times \Sigma_n$ of the form $\{1_G\} \times \Lambda$ with $\Lambda \subseteq \Sigma_n$. To conclude that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an N_{∞} -operad with \mathcal{I} as G -indexing system, it is now enough to show that, for every finite H -set T , $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n^{\Gamma_T}$ is not empty if and only if T is admissible. Assume first that T is admissible. The n -ary operation \bigotimes_T of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ is clearly fixed by Γ_T and $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n^{\Gamma_T}$ is not empty. Suppose now that (θ, δ) is a n -ary operation of $F(S_{\mathcal{I}})$ fixed by Γ_T . If we denote by $\sigma : H \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ the homomorphism corresponding to T through the chosen ordering $T \simeq \{1, \dots, |T|\}$, we deduce that θ is H -fixed and that $\omega_{\theta}(h) \circ \delta = \delta \circ \sigma(h)$. The permutation δ can now be seen as a H -equivariant isomorphism between T_{θ} and T and, by Proposition 3.2.16 and axiom 2 of Definition 2.1.4, T is admissible. The result follows. \square

Remark 3.2.18. The ∞ -category $N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ can now be described as the coherent nerve of the full simplicial subcategory of $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ spanned by fibrant and cofibrant objects. In what follows, we will only use this model for $N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

4. MACKEY FUNCTORS VS N_{∞} -ALGEBRAS

In this section, we define the \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and we use the 2-categorical unfurling of [CLL23, Definition 4.2.2] to construct a fully faithful functor $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{ZSMSt}_{(2,1)})$ with N_{Δ} the homotopy coherent nerve. We conclude the section by showing that \mathfrak{G} induces a functor $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ whose left Kan extension $\Theta : \text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ along the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, which proves Theorem A.

4.1. Orbital subcategories and the \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category In this subsection, we explain how the G -indexing system \mathcal{I} leads to the definition of the \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$. We recall first from [CLL23] the notion of orbital subcategory.

Definition 4.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a small ∞ -category. The finite cocompletion of \mathcal{C} denoted by $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Psh}(\mathcal{C})$ spanned by finite coproducts of representables. The ∞ -category $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ admits finite coproducts and satisfies the following universal property: for every ∞ -category \mathcal{D} which admits finite coproducts, the natural functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Fun}_{\sqcup}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

where $\text{Fun}_{\sqcup}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{D})$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{D})$ of those functors $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which preserve finite coproducts.

Example 4.1.2. The finite cocompletion of \mathcal{C}_G is equivalent to the category Fin^G .

Definition 4.1.3 ([CLL23, Definition 4.2.2]). Let \mathcal{C} be a small ∞ -category. A wide subcategory $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is *orbital* if the base change of a morphism in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{J}}$ along any morphism in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ exists and belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Equivalently, for every pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow w \\ C & \xrightarrow{z} & D \end{array}$$

in $\text{Psh}(\mathcal{O})$ with B, C and D in \mathcal{O} , we can decompose A as a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ of objects of \mathcal{O} and, if we write v through such decomposition as $(v_i)_{i=1}^n : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow C$, then v_i belongs to \mathcal{T} . A small ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is *orbital* if it is orbital when regarded as a subcategory of itself.

Example 4.1.4. For every G -indexing system \mathcal{J} , the associated wide subcategory $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}$ of \mathcal{O}_G spanned by the morphisms $u : G/K \rightarrow G/H$ such that H/K^g belongs in $\mathcal{J}(H)$, where g is a representative of the K -fixed coset of G/H which corresponds to u , is orbital.

Definition 4.1.5. If \mathcal{O} is an ∞ -category and \mathcal{T} an orbital subcategory of \mathcal{O} , then we denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{T})$ the effective Burnside category $A^{\text{eff}}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}})$ in the sense of [Bar17, Definition 5.10].

Proposition 4.1.6. *Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -category and \mathcal{T} an orbital subcategory of \mathcal{O} . The ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{T})$ is semi-additive.*

Proof. Note first that, by definition of orbital categories and subcategories, the triple $(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}})$ is disjunctive in the sense of [Bar17, Definition 5.4]. The result now follows from an analogous proof of [Bar17, Proposition 4.3] in the case of disjunctive triples. \square

We now prove that the poset of G -indexing systems, and equivalently the poset of N_{∞} -operads, is equivalent to the poset of orbital subcategories of the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G .

Proposition 4.1.7. *Denote by Orb_G the poset of orbital subcategories of \mathcal{O}_G under inclusion. The morphism of posets $\text{I}_G \rightarrow \text{Orb}_G$ which sends \mathcal{J} to the orbital subcategory $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}$ of Example 4.1.4 is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The category Fin^G is equivalent to the finite cocompletion of \mathcal{O}_G and there is an isomorphism of posets between Orb_G and the poset of wide, pullback stable, finite coproduct complete subcategories of Fin^G which sends an orbital subcategory $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_G$ to its finite cocompletion $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ seen as a wide subcategory of Fin^G . The result now follows from [BH18, Theorem 1.4]. \square

Definition 4.1.8. The \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}})$ in the sense of Definition 4.1.5. A G -equivariant map $u : A \rightarrow B$ between finite G -sets is *admissible* if it belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

The following proposition gives a simple way to characterize admissible maps.

Proposition 4.1.9. *Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a G -equivariant map between finite G -sets. If we choose a decomposition $B \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n G/H_i$, then the map u is admissible if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, the finite H_i -set $u^{-1}(1_G H_i)$ is admissible.*

Proof. Choose a decomposition $B \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n G/H_i$ and write u as $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n u_i$ with $u_i : A_i \rightarrow G/H_i$ where $A_i = u^{-1}(G/H_i)$. By definition, the result stands for u if and only if it stands for every u_i and we can assume without loss of generality that $B = G/H$. Choose a decomposition $A \simeq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m G/K_j$ and write u through this decomposition as $(u_j)_{j=1}^m$ with $u_j : G/K_j \rightarrow G/H$. By definition, u is admissible if and only if every u_j is admissible. Moreover, $u^{-1}(1_G H) \simeq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m u_j^{-1}(1_G H)$, and it follows from axioms 5 and 6 of Definition 2.1.4 that the result stands for u if and only if it stands for every u_i and we can assume without loss of generality that $A = G/K$. If $u : G/K \rightarrow G/H$ is now given by a K -fixed coset representative g of G/H , the result follows from the fact that $u^{-1}(1_G H)$ is exactly H/K^g which is by definition an admissible H -set if and only if u is admissible. \square

The \mathcal{I} -effective Burnside category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ induces a notion of \mathcal{I} -Mackey functors.

Definition 4.1.10. An \mathcal{I} -Mackey functor is a functor $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ which preserves finite products. We denote by $\text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the ∞ -category of \mathcal{I} -Mackey functors $\text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$.

Remark 4.1.11. By Proposition 4.1.6, the ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is semi-additive and it follows that the ∞ -category $\text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}_{\oplus}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}, \text{Mon}_{E_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S}))$ with $\text{Mon}_{E_{\infty}}(\mathcal{S})$ the ∞ -category of E_{∞} -monoids in \mathcal{S} . The ∞ -category $\text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is thus a natural ∞ -categorical generalization of the category of classical \mathcal{I} -Mackey functors with value in commutative monoids.

4.2. Unfurling for 2-categories and orbital categories In this subsection, we recall the 2-categorical unfurling of [Len22, Proposition 2.24] in the case of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{T})$ with \mathcal{O} a category and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ an orbital subcategory of \mathcal{O} .

Proposition 4.2.1 ([Len22, Proposition 2.24]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict 2-category and let $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a strict 2-functor such that for every morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$, the 1-cell $u_! := \phi(u)$ admits a right adjoint u^* satisfying the Beck-Chevalley condition: for every pullback square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ as on the left in*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow w \\ C & \xrightarrow{z} & D \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \phi(A) & \xrightarrow{u_!} & \phi(B) \\ v^* \uparrow & \Downarrow & \uparrow w^* \\ \phi(C) & \xrightarrow{z_!} & \phi(D) \end{array}$$

with vertical arrows in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$, the canonical mate depicted on the right is an isomorphism. Then there is a unique functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}_{(2,1)})$ that sends a 2-simplex of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & i \swarrow & & \searrow j & \\ & B & & C & \\ k \swarrow & & & & \searrow n \\ D & & E & & F \end{array}$$

to the 2-simplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi(E) & \\ l_! k^* \nearrow & \Uparrow & \searrow n_! m^* \\ \phi(D) & \xrightarrow{(n_j)_!(k_i)^*} & \phi(F) \end{array}$$

given by the pasting

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & i^* \swarrow & & \searrow j_! & \\ & B & & C & \\ k^* \swarrow & & & & \searrow n_! \\ D & & E & & F \end{array}$$

(A curved arrow labeled $(ki)^*$ points from D to A , and a double arrow \Downarrow is between B and C .)

where $\mathcal{C}_{(2,1)}$ is the $(2,1)$ -category obtained from \mathcal{C} by throwing away the non invertible 2-cells.

Definition 4.2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict 2-category. A strict 2-functor $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfies the \mathcal{T} -Beck-Chevalley condition if it satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.2.1. In the case where \mathcal{O} is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and where \mathcal{T} is the orbital subcategory $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ which corresponds to \mathcal{I} , we say that ϕ satisfies the \mathcal{I} -Beck-Chevalley condition.

Notation 4.2.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a category with finite coproducts. If A_1, \dots, A_n and B_1, \dots, B_m are objects of \mathcal{D} , for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B_{\alpha(i)}$ is a morphism in \mathcal{D} and $\alpha : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ is a map, then we denote by $((f_i)_{i=1}^n, \alpha) : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m B_j$ the morphism $(\iota_{\alpha(i)} \circ f_i)_{i=1}^n$ with $\iota_j : B_j \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m B_j$ the canonical morphism. Note that if \mathcal{E} is a small category, then every morphism in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ can be written canonically as $((f_i)_{i=1}^n, \alpha) : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m B_j$ with A_i and B_j in \mathcal{E} .

In the case where \mathcal{C} is a semi-additive strict 2-category, we now give a simple criterion to check whether a strict 2-functor $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which preserves finite coproducts satisfies the \mathcal{T} -Beck-Chevalley condition.

Proposition 4.2.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a semi-additive strict 2-category and $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a strict 2-functor which preserves finite coproducts. The strict 2-functor $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfies the \mathcal{T} -Beck-Chevalley condition if and only if for every morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ with B in \mathcal{O} , the 1-cell $\phi(u) := u_!$ admits a right adjoint u^* such that for every pullback square in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ as on the left in*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow w \\ C & \xrightarrow{z} & D \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \phi(A) & \xrightarrow{u_!} & \phi(B) \\ v^* \uparrow & \cong & \uparrow w^* \\ \phi(C) & \xrightarrow{z_!} & \phi(D) \end{array}$$

with w in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$, z in \mathcal{O} and C and D in \mathcal{O} , the canonical mate depicted in the right is an isomorphism. Moreover, if a strict 2-functor $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which preserves finite coproducts satisfies the \mathcal{T} -Beck-Chevalley condition, the induced functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}_{(2,1)})$ is semi-additive.

Proof. It is clear that the \mathcal{T} -Beck-Chevalley condition implies the second condition of the proposition. Assume now that ϕ satisfies the second condition. Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Choose a decomposition $B \simeq \bigsqcup_{k=1}^p B_k$ with B_k in \mathcal{O} through which u can be written as $\bigsqcup_{k=1}^p u_k$ with $u_k : A_k \rightarrow B_k$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$. By assumptions, $(u_k)_!$ admits a right adjoint $(u_k)^*$ and it follows that $u_!$ also admits a right adjoint given by $u^* = \bigoplus_{k=1}^p (u_k)^*$. Consider now any pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow w \\ C & \xrightarrow{z} & D \end{array} \tag{S}$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ with v and w in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Choose decompositions $C \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ and $D \simeq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m D_j$ with C_i and D_j in \mathcal{O} through which we have $z = ((z_i)_{i=1}^n, \alpha)$ and $w = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m w_j$ with $w_j : B_j \rightarrow D_j$. For every $i = 1, \dots, n$, consider the following pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{u_i} & B_{\alpha(i)} \\ v_i \downarrow & & \downarrow w_{\alpha(i)} \\ C_i & \xrightarrow{z_i} & D_{\alpha(i)}. \end{array} \tag{S_i}$$

By disjointness and universality of finite sums in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$, we have an isomorphism $A \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ through which we can write u and v respectively as $((u_i)_{i=1}^n, \alpha) : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m B_j$ and $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n v_i$. The morphisms v_i and w_j both belong in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $(v_i)_!$ and $(w_j)_!$ respectively admit right adjoints $(v_i)^*$ and $(w_j)^*$ by assumption. Moreover, the mate ω_i depicted in the following diagram associated to the square S_i is an isomorphism by assumption

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi(A_i) & \xrightarrow{(u_i)_!} & \phi(B_{\alpha(i)}) \\ (v_i)^* \uparrow & \cong \omega_i & \uparrow (w_{\alpha(i)})^* \\ \phi(C_i) & \xrightarrow{(z_i)_!} & \phi(D_{\alpha(i)}). \end{array}$$

The morphisms $w_! = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m (w_j)_!$ and $v_! = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (v_i)_!$ respectively admit right adjoints $w^* = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m (w_j)^*$ and $v^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (v_i)^*$ and by direct inspection, the mate ω depicted in the following diagram associated to the square S

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi(A) & \xrightarrow{u_!} & \phi(B) \\ v^* \uparrow & \cong \omega & \uparrow w^* \\ \phi(C) & \xrightarrow{z_!} & \phi(D) \end{array}$$

corresponds to $(\omega_{i,j})_{i,j}$ through the equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}(\phi(C), \phi(B)) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{C}(\phi(C_i), \phi(B_j)),$$

where we define $\omega_{i,j}$ by $\text{id}_{0_{\phi(C_j), \phi(B_i)}}$ whenever $\alpha(i) \neq j$. Every $\omega_{i,j}$ is an isomorphism and it follows that ω is an isomorphism and that ϕ satisfies the \mathcal{T} -Beck-Chevalley condition. If $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a strict 2-functor which preserves finite coproducts, then it follows directly from [Bar17, Proposition 4.3] that the induced functor $\Phi : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}_{(2,1)})$ is semi-additive. \square

4.3. From $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}$ to $\mathcal{I}SMSt$ In this subsection, we construct a strict 2-functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}SMSt$ which satisfies the \mathcal{I} -Beck Chevalley condition in the sense of Definition 4.2.2.

Notation 4.3.1. If $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\langle n \rangle$ the finite set $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 4.3.2. Let A be a finite G -set. The G -category $\mathcal{G}(A)$ has as objects pairs (θ, \mathcal{L}) with θ a formal external norm and $\mathcal{L} = (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|})$ a sequence of elements of A . A morphism $\alpha : (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|})) \rightarrow (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|}))$ in $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is a map $\alpha : \langle |\theta| \rangle \rightarrow \langle |\tau| \rangle$ such that $a_i = b_{\alpha(i)}$ for every i in $\langle |\theta| \rangle$. The action of G on $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is defined on objects by

$$g \cdot (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|})) = \left(g\theta, \left(ga_{\omega_{\theta}(g)^{-1}1}, \dots, ga_{\omega_{\theta}(g)^{-1}|\theta|} \right) \right)$$

and on a morphism $\alpha : (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|})) \rightarrow (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|}))$ by $g \cdot \alpha = \omega_{\tau}(g) \circ \alpha \circ \omega_{\theta}(g)^{-1}$. It follows from Remark 3.2.7 that these G -actions are well-defined. The G -category $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is an \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category with the following structure:

1. the unit e is the object $(\otimes_{\emptyset}, ())$ with $()$ the empty list;
2. the tensor product $\otimes : \mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ is defined on objects by

$$(\theta, \mathcal{L}_1) \otimes (\tau, \mathcal{L}_2) = (\theta \otimes \tau, \mathcal{L}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{L}_2)$$

and on morphisms by $\alpha \otimes \beta = \alpha \sqcup \beta$ where $\theta \otimes \tau$ is the formal external norm given by $\gamma(\otimes_{\langle 2 \rangle}, \theta, \tau)$ with $\langle 2 \rangle$ seen as a trivial G -set;


3. given an admissible H -set T , we define the external T -norm $\otimes_T : \mathcal{G}(A)^{\times T} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ on objects by

$$\otimes_T((\theta_1, \mathcal{L}_1), \dots, (\theta_{|T|}, \mathcal{L}_{|T|})) = \left(\otimes_T(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|}), \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{|T|} \mathcal{L}_i \right).$$

and on morphisms by $\otimes_T(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{|T|}) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{|T|} \alpha_i$.

If $X = (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))$ is an object of $\mathcal{G}(A)$, then the *length* of X is the length of θ and we denote it by $|X|$. If X, Y and Z are objects of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ with respective lengths n, m and k , the natural equivariant isomorphisms $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$, $\lambda_X : e \otimes X \rightarrow X$, $\rho_X : X \otimes e \rightarrow X$ and $\beta_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ are respectively given by the permutations $1_{\Sigma_{n+m+k}}, 1_{\Sigma_n}, 1_{\Sigma_n}$ and $\tau(n, m)$ with $\tau(n, m)$ the block permutation associated to the unique non trivial permutation τ of Σ_2 . If $X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}$ are objects of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ with respective lengths $n_1, \dots, n_{|T|}$, the natural nonequivariant isomorphism $v_T : \otimes_T(X_1, \dots, X_{|T|}) \rightarrow \otimes_{|T|}(X_1, \dots, X_{|T|})$ is given by the permutation $1_{\Sigma_{n_1 + \dots + n_{|T|}}}$.

Remark 4.3.3. If A is a finite G -set, an object $(\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ can be seen as the planar rooted tree associated to θ where the i -th leaf represents a_i .



Notation 4.3.4. In what follows, given an element a of A , we will denote by a the object $(\text{id}, (a))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A)$. This gives a canonical inclusion $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$.

The construction of Definition 4.3.2 is functorial. For every G -equivariant map $u : A \rightarrow B$, the definition of the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(u) : \mathcal{G}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ is part of the following construction.

Construction 4.3.5. Let A and B be two finite G -sets and $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ a G -functor with A seen as a discrete G -category. We define a strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\phi) : \mathcal{G}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ as follows: on an object $X = (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A)$, the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\phi)$ is defined by the following recursion:

1. if $\theta = \text{id}$, then $\mathcal{G}(\phi)(X) = \phi(a)$;
2. if $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|\tau|})$, then

$$\mathcal{G}(\phi)(X) = r \otimes_T \left(\mathcal{G}(\phi) \left(\theta_1, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta_1|}) \right), \dots, \mathcal{G}(\phi) \left(\theta_{|\tau|}, (a_{|\theta| - |\theta_{|\tau|}|}, \dots, a_{|\theta|}) \right) \right)$$

and on a morphism $\alpha : (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|})) \rightarrow (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|}))$, the map $\mathcal{G}(\phi)(\alpha)$ is defined by the block map

$$\alpha(k_1, \dots, k_{|\theta|})(l_1, \dots, l_{|\tau|}) : \langle k_1 + \dots + k_{|\theta|} \rangle \rightarrow \langle l_1 + \dots + l_{|\tau|} \rangle$$

which sends the copy of $\langle k_i \rangle$ included in $\langle k_1 \rangle \sqcup \dots \sqcup \langle k_{|\theta|} \rangle \simeq \langle k_1 + \dots + k_{|\theta|} \rangle$ to the copy of $\langle k_i \rangle = \langle l_{\alpha(i)} \rangle$ included in $\langle l_1 \rangle \sqcup \dots \sqcup \langle l_{|\tau|} \rangle \simeq \langle l_1 + \dots + l_{|\tau|} \rangle$ where k_i and l_j are respectively the length of the image of a_i and b_j by ϕ (we use here that $a_i = b_{\alpha(i)}$). This construction is functorial: if $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ are two G -functors and $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ a G -natural transformation between them, then we obtain a \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation $\mathcal{G}(\omega) : \mathcal{G}(\phi) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\psi)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(\omega)_{(\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{|\theta|} \omega_{a_i}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{G}(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{G}(A), \mathcal{G}(B))$ the functor provided by this construction.

Lemma 4.3.6. *For finite G -sets A and B , the functor*

$$\mathcal{G} : \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{G}(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{G}(A), \mathcal{G}(B))$$

is fully faithful.

Proof. By definition, if $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ are two G -functors, then, because $\mathcal{G}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\psi)$ are strict \mathcal{I} -normed functors, an \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation $\Omega : \mathcal{G}(\phi) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\psi)$ is determined by its values on elements of A and Ω must be equal to $\mathcal{G}(\omega)$ where ω is the natural transformation $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ obtained by restricting Ω to A . The result follows. \square

Proposition 4.3.7. *The following diagram of functors is strictly commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{G}(B)) \times \text{Fun}_G(B, \mathcal{G}(C)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{G}(B))} \times \mathcal{G}} & \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{G}(B)) \times \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{G}(B), \mathcal{G}(C)) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} & & \downarrow \circ \\ & & \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{G}(C)) \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{G}(A), \mathcal{G}(B)) \times \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{G}(B), \mathcal{G}(C)) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{G}(A), \mathcal{G}(B)) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(C)$ be two G -functors. It follows from a direct induction on formal external norms that the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functors $\mathcal{G}(\psi) \circ \mathcal{G}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}(\psi) \circ \phi)$ agree on objects. Consider now a morphism $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{G}(A)$ between two objects $X = (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))$ and $Y = (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A)$. If we denote by $X_i = \left(\lambda_i, \left(c_1^i, \dots, c_{|\lambda_i|}^i \right) \right)$ the image of a_i by ϕ , then by definition, the functor $\mathcal{G}(\psi) \circ \mathcal{G}(\phi)$ sends α on the block map $\alpha \left(|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_\theta| \right) \left(k_1^1, \dots, k_{|\lambda_1|}^1, \dots, k_{|\lambda_\theta|}^{|\theta|} \right)$ where k_j^i is the length of $\psi(c_j^i)$. It follows that the image of α under $\mathcal{G}(\psi) \circ \mathcal{G}(\phi)$ is equal to the block map $\alpha \left(k_1^1 + \dots + k_{|\lambda_1|}^1, \dots, k_1^{|\theta|} + \dots + k_{|\lambda_\theta|}^{|\theta|} \right)$ which is also the image of α under $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}(\psi) \circ \phi)$. A similar argument shows that the diagram of the proposition commutes on morphisms. \square

If $u : A \rightarrow B$ is a G -equivariant map, then we denote by $\mathcal{G}(u) : \mathcal{G}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ the image under \mathcal{G} of the composition $A \xrightarrow{u} B \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ and it follows from Proposition 4.3.7 that we get a strict 2-functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}$. The 2-category $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ is semi-additive by Proposition 3.1.8 and we can thus prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3.8. *The functor \mathcal{G} , seen as a strict 2-functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ through the inclusion $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$, preserves finite coproducts.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.8, the 2-category $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ is semi-additive and, given two finite G -sets A and B , the coproduct of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ and $\mathcal{G}(B)$ in $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ is given by their product $\mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B)$. We have to show now that the canonical strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor

$$\Phi = (\mathcal{G}(i_A) \circ p_A) \otimes (\mathcal{G}(i_B) \circ p_B) : \mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B)$$

is an equivalence, where $i_A : A \rightarrow A \sqcup B$, $i_B : B \rightarrow A \sqcup B$, $p_A : \mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ and $p_B : \mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ are respectively the canonical inclusions and projections. The functor Φ sends an object $((\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}), (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|})))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B)$ on $(\theta \otimes \tau, (a_1, \dots, a_\theta, b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|}))$ and a morphism (α, β) of $\mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B)$ on $\alpha \otimes \beta$. It admits an inverse in $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ which can be constructed as follows: consider the G -functor $\psi_A : A \sqcup B \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ given respectively by the canonical inclusion $A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ and by the G -functor $B \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ constant on the unit e . Denote by $\Psi_A : \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$ the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\psi_A)$. Symmetrically, we obtain a strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\Psi_B : \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ and we get a strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\Psi = (\Psi_A, \Psi_B) : \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B)$. Let us show that Ψ is an inverse of Φ in $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$. We first construct by induction an \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation $\eta : \Phi \circ \Psi \simeq \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B)}$. Consider any object $X = (\theta, (c_1, \dots, c_\theta))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B)$. If $\theta = \text{id}$, then $(c_1, \dots, c_\theta) = (c)$ and c belongs either to A or B and we can define η_X respectively by the isomorphisms $\rho_c : c \otimes e \simeq c$ or $\lambda_c : e \otimes c \simeq c$. Assume now that $\theta = r \otimes_T (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{|T|})$, denote by X_i the object $(\theta_i, (c_{|\theta_1|+\dots+\theta_{i-1}|}, \dots, c_{|\theta_1|+\dots+\theta_i|}))$ and assume that η_{X_i} is already constructed. By definition $\Phi \circ \Psi(X)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_A(X) \otimes \Psi_B(X) \\ &= r \otimes_T (\Psi_A(X_1), \dots, \Psi_A(X_{|\theta|})) \otimes r \otimes_T (\Psi_B(X_1), \dots, \Psi_B(X_{|\theta|})) \\ &\simeq r \otimes_T (\Psi_A(X_1) \otimes \Psi_B(X_1), \dots, \Psi_A(X_{|\theta|}) \otimes \Psi_B(X_{|\theta|})) \end{aligned}$$

and η_X can be defined by $\eta_X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{|T|} \eta_{X_i}$ through the G -equivariant isomorphism α . It follows from a direct inductive argument that η is a \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation. We can also construct a \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation $\epsilon : \Psi \circ \Phi \simeq \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B)}$. Given an object $X = ((\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}), (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|})))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A) \times \mathcal{G}(B)$, ϵ_X is the G -equivariant isomorphism

$$\epsilon_X : (((\theta \otimes \tau)_A, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}), ((\theta \otimes \tau)_B, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|}))) \simeq ((\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}), (\tau, (b_1, \dots, b_{|\tau|})))$$

given by $(\text{id}_{\langle |\theta| \rangle}, \text{id}_{\langle |\tau| \rangle})$ where $(\theta \otimes \tau)_A$ is the formal external norm obtained by replacing each occurrence of the identity which corresponds to an element of B by \otimes_\emptyset . \square

Our goal is now to use Proposition 4.2.4 to show that $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ satisfies the \mathcal{I} -Beck Chevalley condition in the sense of Definition 4.2.2. For every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and admissible map $u : A \rightarrow G/H$, we have to construct a right adjoint in $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ to the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(u)$. Note that in the category $\text{Fin}^G_{/(G/H)}$, the map $u : A \rightarrow G/H$ is isomorphic to the projection $\mathcal{P}_T : \text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H$ where T is the admissible H -set given by $u^{-1}(1_G H)$. We must then construct a right adjoint to $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)$ in $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$.

Construction 4.3.9. If T is an admissible H -set, then we denote by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^* : \mathcal{G}(G/H) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)$ the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -functor $G/H \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)$ which corresponds through the equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(G/H, \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)) \simeq \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)^H$$

to the H -fixed object $(\otimes_T, (t_1, \dots, t_{|T|}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)$ where $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_{|T|}\}$ is the chosen ordering on T .

Proposition 4.3.10. *Let T be an admissible H -set. The strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^*$ is a right adjoint of the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)$ in the 2-category $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.3.7 that the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^* \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)$ is the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -functor $\text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)$ which corresponds by adjunction to the H -functor $T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)$ which sends t to $(\otimes_T, (t_1, \dots, t_{|T|}))$. The unit $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^* \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)$ can be defined as the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -natural transformation which corresponds by adjunction to the H -natural transformation defined on t_i by the morphism $t_i \rightarrow (\otimes_T, (t_1, \dots, t_{|T|}))$ given by the map $\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle |T| \rangle$ which sends 1 to i . Using again Proposition 4.3.7, the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T) \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^*$ is the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -functor $G/H \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(G/H)$ which corresponds through the equivalence $\text{Fun}_G(G/H, \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)) \simeq \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)^H$ to the H -fixed object $(\otimes_T, (1_G, \dots, 1_G))$ of $\mathcal{G}(G/H)$ and the counit can be defined as the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -natural transformation which corresponds to the H -fixed morphism $(\otimes_T, (1_G, \dots, 1_G)) \rightarrow 1_G$ given by the unique map $\langle |T| \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$. The triangle identities can be verified using Proposition 4.3.7. \square

We can now show, using Proposition 4.2.4, the following result.

Proposition 4.3.11. *The functor $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ satisfies the \mathcal{I} -Beck-Chevalley condition in the sense of Notation 4.2.2*

Proof. Let $u : A \rightarrow G/H$ be any admissible map. The map $u : A \rightarrow G/H$ is isomorphic in the category $\text{Fin}^G_{/(G/H)}$ to the projection $\mathcal{P}_T : \text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H$ where T is the finite H -set $u^{-1}(1_G H)$, which is admissible by Proposition 4.1.9. It follows from Proposition 4.3.10 that $\mathcal{G}(u)$ admits a right adjoint $\mathcal{G}(u)^*$. Let $K \subseteq G$ be a subgroup of G and let $w : G/K \rightarrow G/H$ be a G -equivariant map. Without loss of generality, we can assume that K is included in H and that w corresponds to this inclusion. If we denote by $v : \text{Ind}_K^G \text{Res}_K^H T \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G T$ the G -equivariant map which corresponds by adjunction to the K -equivariant map $\text{Res}_K^H T \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G T$ which sends t on $(1_G H, t)$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ind}_K^G \text{Res}_K^H T & \xrightarrow{v} & \text{Ind}_H^G T \\ \mathcal{P}_{\text{Res}_K^H T} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{P}_T \\ G/K & \xrightarrow{w} & G/H \end{array}$$

is cartesian. A direct inspection shows that the mate depicted in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_K^G \text{Res}_K^H T) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(v)} & \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T) \\ \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Res}_K^H T})^* \uparrow & \rightleftarrows & \uparrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^* \\ \mathcal{G}(G/K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(w)} & \mathcal{G}(G/H) \end{array}$$

is the \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation $\mathcal{G}(v) \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Res}_K^H T})^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^* \mathcal{G}(w)$ given by the image by \mathcal{G} of the G -natural transformation which corresponds through the equivalence $\text{Fun}_G(G/H, \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)) \simeq \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G T)^H$

to the K -fixed morphism $\left(\bigotimes_{\text{Res}_K^H} T, (t_1, \dots, t_{|T|})\right) \rightarrow \left(\bigotimes_T, (t_1, \dots, t_{|T|})\right)$ given by the identity $\text{id}_{\langle |T| \rangle} : \langle |T| \rangle \rightarrow \langle |T| \rangle$, which is clearly an isomorphism. The result now follows from Propositions 4.3.8, 3.1.8 and 4.2.4. \square

It follows from Proposition 4.2.1 that $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$ induces a semi-additive functor $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}_{(2,1)})$. By the following proposition, $\mathcal{G} : \text{Fin}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}$ also satisfies the \mathcal{I} -Beck-Chevalley condition and \mathfrak{G} factors as a functor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}_{(2,1)})$.

Proposition 4.3.12. *For every admissible morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$, the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(u) : \mathcal{G}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$ admits a right adjoint in the 2-category $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}$.*

Proof. Choose a decomposition $B \simeq \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n G/H_i$ and write u through this decomposition as $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{T_i}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{T_i} : \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G T_i \rightarrow G/H_i$ the canonical projection associated to an admissible finite H_i -set T_i . In the 2-category $\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg}$, the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(u)$ admits a right adjoint $\mathcal{G}(u)^*$ given by the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{T_1})^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{T_n})^*$. Let us now show that the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(u)^*$ is isomorphic to a strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor. Every $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_{T_i})^*$ is a strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor provided as the image of some G -functor under \mathcal{G} and it is enough to show, given two G -functors $\phi, \psi : A \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}(B)$, that the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{G}(\psi)$ is isomorphic to a strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor. More precisely, a direct induction shows that the strong \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{G}(\psi)$ is isomorphic to the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -functor given by the composition $A \xrightarrow{(\phi, \psi)} \mathcal{G}(B) \times \mathcal{G}(B) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(B)$. The result follows. \square

4.4. The proof of the main Theorem In this section, we prove Theorem A. We have morphisms of simplicial sets

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{G}} N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}_{(2,1)}) \xrightarrow{N_{\Delta}(\iota)} N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}) \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G))$$

where $\iota : \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}_{(2,1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}$ denotes the strict 2-functor which sends a \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category to its core and where the third morphism is given by Corollary 3.2.15. We show now that the composition of these morphisms factors as a functor $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$. This composition sends a finite G -set A to the nerve of the core of the \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{G}(A)$ and we have to show that this object is fibrant and cofibrant in $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G)$. If we denote by $\mathcal{F}(A)$ the core of $\mathcal{G}(A)$, the fibrancy of $N(\mathcal{F}(A))$ follows from the fact that its underlying G -simplicial set is the nerve of a G -groupoid. To show that $N(\mathcal{F}(A))$ is cofibrant, we show that it is the free $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra over A . We show first that $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is the free \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category over A seen as a discrete G -category.

Proposition 4.4.1. *The \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is free over A for strict \mathcal{I} -normed functors. Moreover, for every \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the G -functor $A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)$ induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2.13, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is the $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra given by the image of A by the monad $\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}} : \text{Cat}^G \rightarrow \text{Cat}^G$. Given a G -category \mathcal{C} , if we denote respectively by $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ the associated G -sets of objects and morphisms, then we have

$$\text{Ob} \left(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})_n \times_{\Sigma_n} A^n \right) \simeq \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n \times_{\Sigma_n} A^n \simeq \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} F(V_{\mathcal{I}})_n \times A^n \simeq \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}(A))$$

and

$$\text{Mor} \left(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})_n \times_{\Sigma_n} A^n \right) \simeq \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n^2 \times_{\Sigma_n} A^n \simeq \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} F(V_{\mathcal{I}})_n^2 \times A^n \times \Sigma_n \simeq \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}(A))$$

and it is clear that these isomorphisms induce an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(A) \simeq \mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$. The equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{C})$$

is a consequence of the freeness of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ and of the description of $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{C})$ in terms of the arrow category of \mathcal{C} given by Proposition A.0.7. \square

Remark 4.4.2. Given a G -functor $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)$, the core of the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{G}(\phi)$ is the strict \mathcal{I} -normed functor $\mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B)$ induced by $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B)$ through the equivalence $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B)) \simeq \text{Fun}_G(A, \mathcal{F}(B))$ of Proposition 4.4.1.

The following lemma is the key point to transfer the freeness of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ as a \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category to the freeness of $N(\mathcal{F}(A))$ as a $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra.

Lemma 4.4.3. *Let Γ be any discrete group and let X and Y be two $G \times \Gamma$ -sets. The natural G -equivariant map*

$$N(\tilde{X} \times Y) / \Gamma \rightarrow N((\tilde{X} \times Y) / \Gamma)$$

is an isomorphism of G -simplicial sets.

Proof. By definition, the G -simplicial set $N(\tilde{X} \times Y)$ is given by $N(\tilde{X} \times Y)_n = X^{n+1} \times Y$. The canonical G -equivariant map

$$(X^{n+1} \times Y) / \Gamma \rightarrow ((X \times X \times Y) / \Gamma) \times_{(X \times Y) / \Gamma} \cdots \times_{(X \times Y) / \Gamma} ((X \times X \times Y) / \Gamma)$$

is a bijection by direct verification. \square

Corollary 4.4.4. *Let A be a finite G -set. The nerve of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is the free N_{∞} -algebra over A .*

Proof. We have to show that the nerve of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is the image of A by the monad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}} : \text{SSet}^G \rightarrow \text{SSet}^G$. We proved in Proposition 4.4.1 that $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is the free \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category over A and by definition $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}})_n = N((\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})_n)$. It is then enough to show that the canonical morphism

$$\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} A^n \times_{\Sigma_n} N((\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})_n) \rightarrow N\left(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} A^n \times_{\Sigma_n} (\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})_n\right)$$

is an isomorphism. This is a consequence of the fact that the nerve preserves finite coproducts and of the previous lemma using that $(\mathcal{SM}_{\mathcal{I}})_n = \widetilde{F(S_{\mathcal{I}})_n}$. \square

If A is a finite G -set, then the $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra $N(\mathcal{F}(A))$ is fibrant and cofibrant and we get a functor $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ which sends A to $N(\mathcal{F}(A))$. We will show now that this functor is fully faithful. For every subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and finite G -set A , there are equivalences

$$\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A)) \simeq \text{Fun}_G(G/H, \mathcal{F}(A)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(A)^H$$

and the later groupoid can be described by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4.5. *For every finite G -set A , there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{I}(H) / \text{Res}_H^G A \simeq \mathcal{G}(A)^H.$$

Proof. Let $u : T \rightarrow \text{Res}_H^G A$ be a H -equivariant map with T an admissible H -set. Consider the H -fixed object $(\otimes_T, (u(t_1), \dots, u(t_{|T|})))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ where $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_{|T|}\}$ is given by the chosen ordering on T . If $w : u \rightarrow v$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{I}(H) / \text{Res}_H^G A$ between two H -equivariant maps $u : T \rightarrow \text{Res}_H^G A$ and $v : S \rightarrow \text{Res}_H^G A$, we get a H -fixed morphism $(\otimes_T, (u(t_1), \dots, u(t_{|T|}))) \rightarrow (\otimes_S, (v(s_1), \dots, v(s_{|S|})))$ given by the map $w : \langle |T| \rangle \rightarrow \langle |S| \rangle$ which corresponds to $w : T \rightarrow S$ through the chosen orderings $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_{|T|}\}$ and $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{|S|}\}$. We thus get a functor $\mathcal{I}(H) / \text{Res}_H^G A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)^H$ which is fully faithful. Given now a H -fixed object $(\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))$ of $\mathcal{G}(A)^H$, the identity $\text{id}_{\langle |\theta| \rangle} : \langle |\theta| \rangle \rightarrow \langle |\theta| \rangle$ induces a H -fixed isomorphism $(\otimes_{T_{\theta}}, (u(t_1), \dots, u(t_{|\theta|}))) \rightarrow (\theta, (a_1, \dots, a_{|\theta|}))$ where $u : T_{\theta} \rightarrow A$ is the H -equivariant map which sends t_i to a_i . We conclude that the functor $\mathcal{I}(H) / \text{Res}_H^G A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)^H$ is essentially surjective and the result follows. \square

The \mathcal{I} -normed symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is by definition the core of $\mathcal{G}(A)$ and we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4.6. *For every finite G -set A , there is an equivalence of groupoids*

$$\iota \left(\mathcal{I}(H) /_{\text{Res}_H^G A} \right) \simeq \mathcal{F}(A)^H.$$

We can now use these descriptions to show the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4.7. *The functor $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. By definition, the functor $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the composition of morphisms of simplicial sets

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{G}} N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}_{(2,1)}) \xrightarrow{N_{\Delta}(\iota)} N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}) \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G))$$

which factors through the inclusion $N_{\infty}\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}} \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G))$. By Proposition A.0.8, the simplicial functor $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G)$ is fully faithful and it is enough to show that the functor $N_{\Delta}(\iota) \circ \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt})$ is fully faithful. The ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a $(2,1)$ -category and, if A and B are finite G -sets, the groupoid $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(A, B)$ can be described as follow: the objects in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(A, B)$ are spans $A \xleftarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{g} B$ and morphisms are isomorphisms of spans

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C & \\ f \swarrow & \downarrow \simeq & \searrow g \\ A & & B \\ h \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow i \\ & D & \end{array}$$

Seen as a functor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMStg})$, the functor $N_{\Delta}(\iota) \circ \mathfrak{G}$ is semi-additive by Proposition 4.2.4 and it is enough to show, given a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a finite G -set A , that $(N_{\Delta}(\iota) \circ \mathfrak{G})_{G/H, A} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt})}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A))$ is an equivalence. Every span in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A)$ is isomorphic to a span of the form $G/H \xleftarrow{\mathcal{P}_T} \text{Ind}_H^G T \xrightarrow{u} A$ with T an admissible H -set and there is an equivalence of groupoids $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A) \simeq \iota \left(\mathcal{I}(H) /_{\text{Res}_H^G A} \right)$ which sends a H -equivariant map $u : T \rightarrow A$ to the span $G/H \xleftarrow{\mathcal{P}_T} \text{Ind}_H^G T \xrightarrow{u} A$ with $v : \text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow A$ the G -equivariant map which corresponds to u by adjunction. By definition, the functor $(N_{\Delta}(\iota) \circ \mathfrak{G})_{G/H, A} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt})}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A))$ sends the span $G/H \xleftarrow{\mathcal{P}_T} \text{Ind}_H^G T \xrightarrow{u} A$ to the composition $\mathcal{G}(u)\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^*$ which is the image under \mathcal{G} of the G -functor $G/H \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)$ which corresponds to the H -fixed object $(\otimes_T (u(t_1), \dots, u(t_{|T|})))$. Moreover, the functor $(N_{\Delta}(\iota) \circ \mathfrak{G})_{G/H, A} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{N_{\Delta}(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt})}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A))$ sends an isomorphism of spans

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Ind}_H^G T & \\ \mathcal{P}_T \swarrow & \downarrow \text{Ind}_H^G w & \searrow u \\ G/H & & A \\ \mathcal{P}_S \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow v \\ & \text{Ind}_H^G S & \end{array}$$

to the \mathcal{I} -normed natural transformation

$$\mathcal{G}(u)\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_T)^* \simeq \mathcal{G}(v)\mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G w) \mathcal{G}(\text{Ind}_H^G w^{-1}) \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_S)^* = \mathcal{G}(v)\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}_S)^*$$

which corresponds through the equivalence $\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(A)^H$ to the isomorphism

$$\otimes_T (u(t_1), \dots, u(t_{|T|})) \rightarrow \otimes_S (v(s_1), \dots, v(s_{|S|}))$$

given by $w : T \rightarrow S$ seen as a map $w : \langle |T| \rangle \rightarrow \langle |S| \rangle$. Finally, it follows that the functor $(N_\Delta(\iota) \circ \mathfrak{G})_{G/H, A} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{N_\Delta(\mathcal{I}\text{SMSt})}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A))$ corresponds to the equivalences

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}}(G/H, A) \simeq \iota \left(\mathcal{I}(H) / \text{Res}_H^G A \right) \simeq \mathcal{F}(A)^H \simeq \mathcal{I}\text{SMSt}(\mathcal{F}(G/H), \mathcal{F}(A)).$$

□

We can finally prove our main Theorem.

Theorem 4.4.8. *The left Kan extension $\Theta : \text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ along the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.*

Proof. By definition, the forgetful functor $U : N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^G$ is the right derived functor of the forgetful functor $U : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}}(\text{SSet}^G) \rightarrow \text{SSet}^G$ and, combining [Lur09, Proposition 5.3.1.16], [Lur09, Corollary 5.5.8.17] and [Lur09, Theorem 4.2.4.1] with Proposition B.0.8, the functor $U : N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^G$ preserves sifted colimits. It now follows from Elmendorf's Theorem that the free-forgetful adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{S}^G \rightleftarrows N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}} : U$$

satisfies the conditions of [Lur17, Corollary 4.7.3.18]. It follows that $N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is projectively generated and that the $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebras $N(\mathcal{F}(A))$ for all finite G -sets A form a set of compact projective generators. Finally, it follows from Proposition 4.4.7 and [Lur09, Proposition 5.5.8.22] that the left Kan extension $\Theta : \text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\theta : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. □

Remark 4.4.9. By definition, the inverse of the functor $\Theta : \text{Mack}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}$ sends a (fibrant) N_∞ -algebra X to the \mathcal{I} -Mackey functor $\mathcal{M}_X : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ which sends G/H to the space

$$\text{Hom}_{N_\infty\text{-Alg}_{\mathcal{I}}}(N(\mathcal{F}(G/H)), X) \simeq X^H.$$

If $K \subseteq H$ are subgroups of G , then the functor $\text{Res}_K^H : X^H \rightarrow X^K$ obtained as the image of the span $G/K \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{G/K}} G/K \xrightarrow{u} G/H$ by \mathcal{M}_X corresponds to the canonical inclusion $X^H \hookrightarrow X^K$. If H/K is admissible, then the functor $\text{Tr}_K^H : X^K \rightarrow X^H$ obtained as the image of the span $G/H \xleftarrow{u} G/K \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{G/K}} G/K$ by \mathcal{M}_X sends a n -simplex x of X^K to the n -simplex $\bigotimes_{H/K}^X (h_1 x, \dots, h_{[H:K]} x)$ of X^H where $h_1, \dots, h_{[H:K]}$ are representatives of H/K and with $\bigotimes_{H/K}^X : X^{\times [H:K]} \rightarrow X$ the image of $\bigotimes_{H/K}$ by the morphism of operads $|-|_X : \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \text{End}_X$ which corresponds to the $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra structure of X .

A. OPERADS IN G -CATEGORIES

In this appendix, we recall the definitions of the 2-categories of \mathcal{O} -algebras over an operad \mathcal{O} in Cat^G . The goal is to prove Proposition A.0.8. We follow [Rub20, Section 4] for the definitions and refer the reader to it for more details.

Notation A.0.1. If \mathcal{O} is an operad in Cat^G and \mathcal{C} an \mathcal{O} -algebra in Cat^G , then we will denote by $|-|_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}$ the morphism of operads in Cat^G which corresponds to the structure of \mathcal{O} -algebra of \mathcal{C} .

Definition A.0.2 ([Rub20, Definition 4.2]). Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in Cat^G and \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} two \mathcal{O} -algebras in Cat^G . A *lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism* $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consists of:

1. a G -functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$;
2. for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$, a natural transformation $\phi_x : |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times n} \Rightarrow \phi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}}$ of functors $\mathcal{C}^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$;

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. for every $n \geq 0$, the maps ϕ_x vary naturally in $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$;
2. for every $n \geq 0$, $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $(g, \sigma) \in G \times \Sigma_n$, the equation $(g, \sigma) \cdot \phi_x = \phi_{(g, \sigma) \cdot x}$ holds, i.e. ϕ_x is $(G \times \Sigma_n)$ -equivariant;
3. $\phi_{\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}}} = \text{id}_{\phi}$;
4. for every $y \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{O}_{k_i}$, the transformation $\phi_{\gamma(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)}$ is equal to the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
& |y|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ ((|x_1|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times k_1}) \times \cdots \times (|x_n|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times k_n})) \\
& \quad \Downarrow \text{id}_{|y|_{\mathcal{D}}} \circ (\phi_{x_1} \times \cdots \times \phi_{x_n}) \\
& (|y|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times n}) \circ (|x_1|_{\mathcal{C}} \times \cdots \times |x_n|_{\mathcal{C}}) \\
& \quad \Downarrow \phi_y \circ (\text{id}_{|x_1|_{\mathcal{C}}} \times \cdots \times \text{id}_{|x_n|_{\mathcal{C}}}) \\
& \phi \circ |y|_{\mathcal{C}} \circ (|x_1|_{\mathcal{C}} \times \cdots \times |x_n|_{\mathcal{C}}).
\end{aligned}$$

A *strong \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism* (resp. *strict \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism*) is a lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that ϕ_x is an isomorphism (resp. the identity) for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$.

If $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ are two lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms, the composition $\psi \circ \phi$ has a natural structure of lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism where for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$, the natural transformation $(\psi \circ \phi)_x$ is given by the composition

$$|x|_{\mathcal{E}} \circ (\psi \circ \phi)^{\times n} = |x|_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \psi^{\times n} \circ \phi^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\psi_x \circ (\text{id}_{\phi^{\times n}})} \psi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\psi} \circ \phi_x} \psi \circ \phi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

If ϕ and ψ are strong \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms (resp. strict), their composition $\psi \circ \phi$ is also a strong \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism (resp. strict).

Definition A.0.3 ([Rub20, Definition 4.3]). Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in Cat^G and $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ a pair of lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms. An *\mathcal{O} -natural transformation* $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ is a G -natural transformation $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ such that for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$, the following diagram of natural transformations commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
|x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{|x|_{\mathcal{D}}} \circ \omega^{\times n}} & |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \psi^{\times n} \\
\phi_x \Downarrow & \Downarrow \psi_x \\
\phi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\omega \circ \text{id}_{|x|_{\mathcal{C}}}} & \psi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}}.
\end{array}$$

Notation A.0.4. We denote by $\mathbf{AlgLax}_{\mathcal{O}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{AlgStg}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}}$) the 2-category of \mathcal{O} -algebras in Cat^G , lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms (resp. strong and strict) and \mathcal{O} -natural transformations.

Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in Cat^G , \mathcal{C} an \mathcal{O} -algebra in Cat^G and \mathcal{D} a G -category. The functor $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, (-)) : \text{Cat}^G \rightarrow \text{Cat}^G$ preserves products and $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ is naturally a $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O})$ -algebra. It follows that the G -category of nonequivariant functors $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$ is naturally endowed with the structure of an \mathcal{O} -algebra where the morphism of G -operads $| - |_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})}$ is given by the composition

$$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{| - |_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})}} \text{End}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})}$$

where the morphism $\mathcal{O} \simeq \text{Fun}(*, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O})$ is induced by the unique functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow *$. In particular, the arrow category $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} has a natural structure of \mathcal{O} -algebra.

Remark A.0.5. If \mathcal{O} is an operad in Cat^G , \mathcal{C} an \mathcal{O} -algebra in Cat^G and \mathcal{D} a G -category, then, for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$, the operation $|x|_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})}$ is given by the composition $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\times} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{\times n}, \mathcal{C}^{\times n}) \xrightarrow{(-) \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}}} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$.

If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are two G -categories, the data of a G -natural transformation $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ between two G -functors $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ is equivalent to the one of a G -functor $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$. This characterization of G -natural transformations lifts to the case of \mathcal{O} -natural transformations.

Proposition A.0.6. *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathbf{Cat}^G . The data of an \mathcal{O} -natural transformation $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ between two lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms (resp. strong or strict) $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ is equivalent to the one of a lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism (resp. strong or strict) $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. Let $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ be an \mathcal{O} -natural transformation between two lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms (resp. strong or strict) $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$. The G -functor $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$ associated to the G -natural transformation ω admits a structure of lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism (resp. strong or strict) where for $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$, the natural transformation $\Omega_x : |x|_{\text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})} \circ \phi^{\times n} \Rightarrow \psi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}}$ is given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{|x|_{\mathcal{D}}} \circ \omega^{\times n}} |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \psi^{\times n} & & \\ \phi_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_x \\ \phi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\omega \circ \text{id}_{|x|_{\mathcal{C}}}} \psi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}} & & \end{array}$$

seen as a morphism in the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\times n}, \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Arr}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\times n}, \mathcal{D}))$, which is commutative by assumption using that ω is an \mathcal{O} -natural transformation. It follows directly from the fact that ϕ and ψ are lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms that Ω is a lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism (resp. strong or strict). Assume now that $\Omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$ is a lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism (resp. strong or strict) and consider the G -natural transformation $\omega : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ associated to Ω seen as a G -functor. The G -functors ϕ and ψ are by definition respectively defined by $d^0 \circ \Omega$ and $d^1 \circ \Omega$ where $d^0 : \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $d^1 : \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are the strict \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms induced by the inclusions $\partial^0 : \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ and $\partial^1 : \Delta^0 \rightarrow \Delta^1$. It follows that ϕ and ψ are naturally lax \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms (resp. strong and strict). Finally, the natural transformation $\Omega_x : |x|_{\text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})} \circ \phi^{\times n} \Rightarrow \psi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}}$ seen as a morphism in $\text{Arr}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\times n}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\times n}, \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}))$ directly ensures that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \phi^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{|x|_{\mathcal{D}}} \circ \omega^{\times n}} |x|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \psi^{\times n} & & \\ \phi_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_x \\ \phi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\omega \circ \text{id}_{|x|_{\mathcal{C}}}} \psi \circ |x|_{\mathcal{C}} & & \end{array}$$

is commutative and it follows that ω is an \mathcal{O} -natural transformation. The result follows. \square

If \mathcal{O} is an operad in \mathbf{Cat}^G and \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} two \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathbf{Cat}^G , then it follows directly from the previous proposition that the categories $\mathbf{AlgLax}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, $\mathbf{AlgStg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ and $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ admit the following description.

Proposition A.0.7. *Let \mathcal{O} be an operad in \mathbf{Cat}^G and \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} two \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathbf{Cat}^G . If $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ denotes either $\mathbf{AlgLax}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, $\mathbf{AlgStg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ or $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ and if $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$ denotes the corresponding class of G -functors, then the category given by the following diagram*

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \times_{\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \longrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

induced by the canonical strict \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \times_{\mathcal{D}} \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$, $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

Finally, we prove the important result of this appendix.

Proposition A.0.8. *There is a fully faithful simplicial functor $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{N(\mathcal{O})}(\text{SSet}^G)$ which sends an \mathcal{O} -algebra \mathcal{C} in \mathbf{Cat}^G to its nerve $N(\mathcal{C})$ where the strict 2-category $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is seen as a simplicial category.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathbf{Cat}^G . It follows from proposition A.0.7 that we have bijections

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))_n \\ &= \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \times_{\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} \cdots \times_{\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \times_{\mathcal{D}} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{Arr}(\mathcal{D})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{N(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{SSet}^G) \left(N(\mathcal{C}), N(\mathcal{D})^{\Delta^n} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}_{N(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{SSet}^G)}(N(\mathcal{C}), N(\mathcal{D}))_n \end{aligned}$$

which give an isomorphism of simplicial sets $N(\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg}_{N(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{SSet}^G)}(N(\mathcal{C}), N(\mathcal{D}))$. It is clear that these isomorphisms induce a fully faithful simplicial functor $\mathbf{AlgSt}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{N(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{SSet}^G)$. \square

B. MODEL STRUCTURE ON G -OPERADS

In this appendix, we prove analogous results to the ones of [BM03] in the case of the model structure on operads which corresponds to a G -indexing system \mathcal{I} . The goal is to prove Propositions 2.2.5 and B.0.8. Let \mathcal{C} be a cofibrantly generated model category that satisfies the cellularity conditions of [Ste16, Proposition 2.6]. It follows from [Ste16, Proposition 2.6] that for every sequence $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with \mathcal{F}_n a family of subgroups of $G \times \Sigma_n$, the \mathcal{F} -model structure exists on collections in \mathcal{C}^G

$$\mathrm{Coll}(\mathcal{C}^G) \simeq \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_n}^{G \times \Sigma_n}.$$

If moreover \mathcal{C} satisfies the conditions of the following proposition, the right induced model structure exists on operads in \mathcal{C}^G .

Proposition B.0.1. *If \mathcal{C} is a cartesian closed model category whose terminal object is cofibrant and which admits a fibrant replacement functor that preserves finite limits, then the right induced model structure on the category of operads in \mathcal{C}^G along the free-forgetful adjunction*

$$F : \mathrm{Coll}(\mathcal{C}^G) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Op}(\mathcal{C}^G) : U$$

exists with $\mathrm{Coll}(\mathcal{C}^G)$ endowed with the \mathcal{F} -model structure.

Proof. We must check the conditions of [GW18, Theorem 2.4]. Condition (i) is a consequence of the fact that U preserves filtered colimits. Condition (ii) follows from the fact that the fibrant replacement functor of \mathcal{C} induces a fibrant replacement functor on $\mathrm{Coll}(\mathcal{C}^G)$ and on $\mathrm{Op}(\mathcal{C}^G)$ because it preserves limits. Finally, condition (iii) follows from the fact that any interval object $* \sqcup * \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{\sim} *$ in $\mathrm{Coll}(\mathcal{C}^G)$ induces a functorial path-object $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^J \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ in $\mathrm{Op}(\mathcal{C}^G)$ for every fibrant operad \mathcal{O} . \square

Example B.0.2. For every small category I , the category $\mathrm{Fun}(I, \mathrm{SSet})$ with the projective model structure satisfies the cellularity conditions and the conditions of the Proposition B.0.1.

We assume now that \mathcal{C} satisfies the conditions of Proposition B.0.1 and that \mathcal{F} is the sequence $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}})_n = \{\Gamma_T \mid T \in \mathcal{I}(H), |T| = n\}.$$

Definition B.0.3. A morphism of operads in \mathcal{C}^G is an \mathcal{I} -*cofibration* if it forgets to a $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -cofibration of collections. An operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C}^G is \mathcal{I} -*cofibrant* if the morphism of operads from the initial operad to \mathcal{O} is an \mathcal{I} -cofibration.

As in the Appendix of [BM03], if \mathcal{O} is an operad in \mathcal{C}^G and $u : U(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow K$ a $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -cofibration, then we denote by $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[u]$ the cellular extension given by the pushout of $F(u) : FU(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow F(K)$ along the counit $FU(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma B.0.4. *Let $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a morphism of finite groups and \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 families of subgroups of G_1 and G_2 respectively such that for every H in \mathcal{F}_2 , $\phi^{-1}(H)$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_1 . The functor $\phi^* : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{G_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{G_1}$ preserves cofibrations.*

Proof. The functor $\phi^* : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{G_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{G_1}$ admits a right adjoint and in particular preserves colimits. It is thus enough to show that the image of every generating cofibration in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{G_2}$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{G_1}$. For every subgroup $H \subseteq G_2$ in the family \mathcal{F}_2 , we have

$$\phi^*(G_2/H) \simeq \bigsqcup_{\phi(G_1)gH \in \phi(G_1) \setminus G_2/H} G_1/\phi^{-1}(gHg^{-1})$$

and it follows that, for every generating cofibration f in \mathcal{C} , the image by ϕ^* of the generating cofibration $G_2/H \otimes f$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{G_2}$ is the sum

$$\bigsqcup_{\phi(G_1)gH \in \phi(G_1) \setminus G_2/H} (G_1/\phi^{-1}(gHg^{-1}) \otimes f)$$

which is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{G_1}$ because by definition $\phi^{-1}(gHg^{-1})$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_1 . \square

Proposition B.0.5. *For every \mathcal{I} -cofibrant operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C}^G and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -cofibration $u : U(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, the cellular extension $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[u]$ is a \mathcal{I} -cofibration.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [GW18, Proposition 4.10]. We just give a different argument for the fact that $K(n) \otimes (-)$ preserves $\mathcal{F}_n^{(T,c)}$ -cofibrations (here, we follow the notations of [GW18]). This follows from lemma B.0.4 applied to the homomorphism $1 \times \alpha : G \times \text{Aut}(T, c) \rightarrow G \times \Sigma_n$ and the families $\mathcal{F}_n^{(T,c)}$ and \mathcal{F}_n . \square

Definition B.0.6. An operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C}^G is *admissible* if the right induced model structure on the category of algebras over \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C}^G along the free-forgetful adjunction

$$F_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{C}^G \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^G) : U_{\mathcal{O}}$$

exists with \mathcal{C}^G endowed with the model structure associated with the family containing all the subgroups of G . If \mathcal{O} is an admissible operad in \mathcal{C}^G , then we always consider $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^G)$ with this model structure.

Proposition B.0.7. *Any cofibrant algebra A over an admissible \mathcal{I} -cofibrant operad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C}^G has a cofibrant underlying object.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [BM03, Corollary 5.5]. Any cofibrant algebra is a retract of a cellular \mathcal{O} -algebra and we can assume that A is cellular. Following the notations of [BM03, Proposition 5.4] and [BM03, Corollary 5.5], there is an operad $\mathcal{O}[A]$ in \mathcal{C}^G such that we have $A = \mathcal{O}[A](0)$ and we must show that the morphism of operads $\phi_A : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[A]$ constructed in [BM03, Proposition 5.4] is a \mathcal{I} -cofibration. Assume that A is the colimit of a sequence of cellular \mathcal{O} -algebra extensions

$$A_0 \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

By definition, the operad $\mathcal{O}[A]$ is the colimit of

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}[A_0] \xrightarrow{\phi_{A_1 A_0}} \mathcal{O}[A_1] \xrightarrow{\phi_{A_2 A_1}} \mathcal{O}[A_2] \xrightarrow{\phi_{A_3 A_2}} \dots$$

where $\phi_{A_{n+1} A_n}$ is the cellular extension $\mathcal{O}[A_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[A_n][u]$ with $u(0) : U_{\mathcal{O}[A_n]}(A_n) \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{O}[A_n]}(A_n) \cup_{A_n} A_{n+1}$ which is a \mathcal{I} -cofibration by Proposition B.0.5. The morphism $\phi_A : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[A]$ is the canonical morphism associated with this colimit and is a \mathcal{I} -cofibration because every $\mathcal{O}[A_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[A_{n+1}]$ is a \mathcal{I} -cofibration. The result follows. \square

Proposition B.0.8. *Let \mathcal{O} be an admissible \mathcal{I} -cofibrant operad in \mathcal{C}^G . The forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{O}} : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$ preserves sifted homotopy colimits.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [PS18, Proposition 7.9]. Suppose I is a homotopy sifted small category. We must show that the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C}^G)) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C}^G)$ preserves cofibrant object which is a direct consequence of Proposition B.0.7. \square

Proposition B.0.9. *If \mathcal{C} is left proper, then the base-change adjunction with respect to a $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -weak equivalence of \mathcal{I} -cofibrant operads in \mathcal{C}^G is a Quillen equivalence.*

Proof. Note first that by [Boh+15, Proposition 1.6], the model category \mathcal{C}^G is left proper. Let $\phi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a weak equivalence of \mathcal{I} -cofibrant operads. As in the proof of [BM03, Theorem 4.4], we have to show that for every cellular \mathcal{O} -algebra A , the unit $A \rightarrow \phi^* \phi_! A$ of the base change adjunction

$$\phi_! : \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}^G) \rightleftarrows \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C}^G) : \phi^*$$

is a weak equivalence. As for the proof of Proposition B.0.7, this follows from a direct modification of the proofs of [BM03, Propositions 5.6] and [BM03, Propositions 5.7]. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bar+16] Clark Barwick, Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Denis Nardin, and Jay Shah. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé I – Elements of parametrized higher category theory*. 2016. arXiv: 1608.03657.
- [Bar17] Clark Barwick. “Spectral Mackey functors and equivariant algebraic K -theory (I)”. In: *Adv. Math.* 304 (2017), pp. 646–727.
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), pp. 658–708.
- [BH18] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Incomplete Tambara functors”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18.2 (2018), pp. 723–766.
- [BM03] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk. “Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads”. In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 78.4 (2003), pp. 805–831.
- [BM07] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk. “Resolution of coloured operads and rectification of homotopy algebras”. In: *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*. Vol. 431. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 31–58.
- [Boh+15] Anna Marie Bohmann, Kristen Mazur, Angélica M. Osorno, Viktoriya Ozornova, Kate Ponto, and Carolyn Yarnall. “A model structure on $GCat$ ”. In: *Women in topology: collaborations in homotopy theory*. Vol. 641. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015, pp. 123–134.
- [Bon19] Peter Bonventre. “The genuine operadic nerve”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 34 (2019), pp. 736–780.
- [BP21] Peter Bonventre and Luís A. Pereira. “Genuine equivariant operads”. In: *Adv. Math.* 381 (2021), Paper No. 107502, 133.
- [CLL23] Bastiaan Cnossen, Tobias Lenz, and Sil Linskens. *Parametrized stability and the universal property of global spectra*. 2023. arXiv: 2301.08240.
- [Dre] Andreas Dress. *Notes on the theory of representations of finite groups*.
- [Elm83] A. D. Elmendorf. “Systems of fixed point sets”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 277.1 (1983), pp. 275–284.
- [GM22] Bertrand Guillou and J. P. May. *Models of G -spectra as presheaves of spectra*. 2022. arXiv: 1110.3571.
- [Gui10] Bertrand J. Guillou. “Strictification of categories weakly enriched in symmetric monoidal categories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 24 (2010), No. 20, 564–579.

- [GW18] Javier J. Gutiérrez and David White. “Encoding equivariant commutativity via operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18.5 (2018), pp. 2919–2962.
- [Len22] Tobias Lenz. *Global algebraic K-theory is Swan K-theory*. 2022. arXiv: 2202.07272.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Mar21] Louis Martini. “Yoneda’s lemma for internal higher categories”. In: *arXiv preprint* (2021). arXiv: 2103.17141.
- [Mar22] Louis Martini. “Cocartesian fibrations and straightening internal to an ∞ -topos”. In: *arXiv preprint* (2022). arXiv: 2204.00295.
- [May72] J. P. May. *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*. Vol. Vol. 271. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, pp. viii+175.
- [MW21] Louis Martini and Sebastian Wolf. “Limits and colimits in internal higher category theory”. In: *arXiv preprint* (2021). arXiv: 2111.14495.
- [Nar16] Denis Nardin. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé IV – Stability with respect to an orbital ∞ -category*. 2016. arXiv: 1608.07704.
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: 2203.00072.
- [PS18] Dmitri Pavlov and Jakob Scholbach. “Admissibility and rectification of colored symmetric operads”. In: *J. Topol.* 11.3 (2018), pp. 559–601.
- [Rub20] Jonathan Rubin. *Normed symmetric monoidal categories*. 2020. arXiv: 1708.04777.
- [Rub21] Jonathan Rubin. “Combinatorial N_∞ operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 21.7 (2021), pp. 3513–3568.
- [Sha17] Jay Shah. *Parametrized higher category theory*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2017.
- [Ste16] Marc Stephan. “On equivariant homotopy theory for model categories”. In: *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 18 (2016), pp. 183–208.
- [Web93] Peter Webb. “Two classifications of simple Mackey functors with applications to group cohomology and the decomposition of classifying spaces”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 88.1-3 (1993), pp. 265–304.