

THE SIGN OF LINEAR PERIODS

U.K. ANANDAVARDHANAN, H. LU, N. MATRINGE, V. SÉCHERRE, AND C. YANG

With an appendix by Miyu Suzuki and Hiroyoshi Tamori

ABSTRACT. Let G be a group with subgroup H , and let (π, V) be a complex representation of G . The natural action of the normalizer N of H in G on the space $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ of H -invariant linear forms on V , provides a representation χ_π of N trivial on H , which is a character when $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ is one dimensional. If moreover G is a reductive group over a local field, and π is smooth irreducible, it is an interesting problem to express χ_π in terms of the possibly conjectural Langlands parameter ϕ_π of π . In this paper we consider the following situation: $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ for D a central division algebra of dimension d^2 over a local field F of characteristic zero, H is the centralizer of a non central element $\delta \in G$ such that δ^2 is in the center of G , and π has generic Jacquet-Langlands transfer to the split form $\mathrm{GL}_{md}(F)$ of G . In this setting the space $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ is at most one dimensional. When $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $H \neq N$, we prove that the value of χ_π on the non trivial class of $\frac{N}{H}$ is $(-1)^m \epsilon(\phi_\pi)$ where $\epsilon(\phi_\pi)$ is the root number of ϕ_π . Along the way we extend many useful multiplicity one results for linear and Shalika models to the case of non split G . When F is p -adic we also classify standard modules with linear periods and Shalika models, which are new results even when D is equal to F .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G , abbreviated as $H \leq G$. Denote by N the normalizer of H in G and let π be a complex representation of G . The group N acts naturally on $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ by $n.L = L \circ \pi(n)^{-1}$. When moreover $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ is one dimensional, this action is given by a character χ_π of N which factors through the quotient $\frac{N}{H}$. Furthermore, if G is a reductive group over a p -adic field, and π is smooth irreducible, it is an interesting problem to determine χ_π in terms of the possibly conjectural Langlands parameter ϕ_π of π . This paper considers this question in the following situation: $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ for D a central division algebra of dimension d^2 over a local field F of characteristic zero, the group H is the centralizer of a non central element $\delta \in G$ such that δ^2 is in the center of G , and π has generic transfer to $\mathrm{GL}_{md}(F)$. Such a situation is indeed a multiplicity one situation, i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ has dimension at most one, see Theorem 2.5

of this paper for a more general statement. Our main result is the following (see Theorem 6.1, and Section 2.3 for the unexplained terminology used in its statement):

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $N \neq H$ so the group $\frac{N}{H} = \{\overline{I}_m, \overline{u}\}$ has order two. Let π be a smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ with generic transfer to $\mathrm{GL}_{md}(F)$, and such that $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \neq \{0\}$. Then:*

- (a) *The Langlands parameter ϕ_π of π is symplectic.*
- (b) $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.
- (c) *The character χ_π of $\frac{N}{H}$ given by its natural action on $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ is expressed by the formula*

$$\chi_\pi(\overline{u}) = (-1)^m \epsilon(\phi_\pi),$$

where the root number $\epsilon(\phi_\pi)$ is independent of the choice of any non trivial additive character of F used to define it.

When F is p -adic, this in particular extends [Pra, Theorem 4] of Prasad which is the special case where G is an inner form of GL_2 , and [LM, Theorem 3.2] which is the special case of split $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and $H \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(F)$. Actually [Pra, Theorem 4] was used in [CCL] to study Heegner points on a general class of modular curves, and it was mentioned to us by Li Cai that our main result could have possible number theoretic applications to more general problems of this type. Note also that we only consider the case of distinction by the trivial character of H , but considering a quadratic twisting character should make essentially no difference in our method, as was observed and mentioned to us by Binyong Sun.

The sign of all irreducible representations seems more difficult to compute. Indeed, we don't know if multiplicity at most one holds for standard modules. For this reason it seems that without proving such a result, determining the sign of irreducible representations using the techniques of the present paper would require a detailed study of intertwining periods as in [Mat7]. We hope to come back to it later.

When we restrict to representations with generic transfer, then there is an explicit classification of distinguished representations in terms of their Langlands parameters when δ^2 is not a square in the center Z of G and the residual characteristic of F is not 2: the Prasad and Takloo-Bighash conjecture for trivial twisting character is indeed proved in the papers [Xue], [Suz1], [Sé1], and the final step [SX] which relies on the result of [Cho] as a separate case. Its Archimedean analogue is proved in [ST]. Actually in this paper, we only need to know that the Langlands parameter of such a representation is symplectic, and this follows from [ST], [Xue], [Suz1], [SX] and [Cho] without any restriction on p . For p -adic F we also establish such a classification (and actually more) when δ^2 is a square

in Z , extending results from [Mat3] and [Mat2] for the split case, thanks in particular to results of [BPW] on discrete series representations. On the other hand for Archimedean F , the classification of standard modules with a linear period is provided by Appendix D by Suzuki and Tamori. In the p -adic case the computation of the sign relies on a local/global argument for distinguished cuspidal representations, relying on some global results from [XZ]. It is then extended to distinguished discrete series representations, and then to distinguished generic representations using some explicit linear forms on induced representations called intertwining periods. The use of such linear forms to analyze the sign of induced representations already appears in [LM, Lemma 3.5]. In fact these linear forms also appear in the local/global argument in the computation the sign of generic unramified representations when δ^2 is not a square in Z , whereas the results of [FJ] are used when it is. In the Archimedean case the sign of distinguished discrete series representations is computed by a direct computation, following the argument of [Pra, Theorem 4]. The reduction from distinguished generic series representations to distinguished distinguished series representations is the same as in the p -adic case.

We now briefly describe the different parts of the paper. In Section 3, for p -adic F and H such that $N \neq H$, we recall the classification of H -distinguished standard modules in terms of distinguished discrete series representations of G due to Suzuki in the setting of twisted linear periods, i.e. when δ^2 is not a square in Z (see [Suz1]), and prove it in the setting of linear periods, i.e. when δ^2 is a square in Z , in Theorem 3.12 (see Section 2.4 for more on the terminology). We also obtain analogues of Theorem 3.12 in 3.21, where linear periods are allowed to be twisted by a character, and extend the classification of generic distinguished representations obtained in [Mat3] in Theorem 3.22. Still for p -adic F , using the Friedberg-Jacquet integrals theory, which is valid in our setting, we are also able to classify standard modules with a Shalika model in Theorem 3.20, and prove a multiplicity at most one for Shalika models of irreducible representation in Corollary 3.3. Parts of the results described above require extending some results from [Mat2] to inner forms, which require in particular Appendix B. In Section 4, we reduce for p -adic F the computation of the sign of a representation of G with generic transfer to that of cuspidal representations, using closed and open local intertwining periods. The sign of cuspidal representations is then computed in Section 5 by a global argument, using local results from [FJ], global results from [XZ], and an explicit unramified computation. It came to our attention that one part of our argument is very reminiscent of the proof of [PR2, Theorem A]. The main result of the paper is Theorem 6.1 stated in Section 6. Section 7 deals with Archimedean local fields. There are four appendices. The first one, Appendix A, extends a multiplicity at most one result of Chong Zhang ([Zha]) for linear periods of local inner forms of GL_n

from irreducible unitary to all irreducible representations, and proves more results of this type. The second one, Appendix B, extends parts of the theory of Bernstein-Zelevinsky derivatives to representations of non-Archimedean inner forms of GL_n . It is in particular used to compute the sign of Steinberg representations. It is also used in the third appendix, namely Appendix C, to prove that generic representations (and in fact any representation which can be written as a commutative Bernstein-Zelevinsky product of discrete series representations) cannot have a linear model with respect to $\mathrm{GL}_l(D) \times \mathrm{GL}_{l'}(D)$ when $|l-l'| \geq 2$, thus extending [Mat2, Theorem 3.2]. The fourth appendix, Appendix D by Miyu Suzuki and Hiroyoshi Tamori, provides a necessary condition for a standard module of G_m to support a linear period when F is Archimedean.

Acknowledgement. This paper was written under the impulse of a question asked to the fourth named author by Erez Lapid, and we thank him for this as well as for useful comments, especially for drawing our attention to the paper [LM]. We also thank Binyong Sun, Dipendra Prasad, Li Cai and Miyu Suzuki for useful remarks. Finally the third name author would like to thank the IAMS of Zhejiang University, especially Rui Chen and Yifeng Liu, for inviting him to give a talk about this paper as well as for its warm hospitality. The addition of the Archimedean section of the paper was essentially done there. Hengfei Lu and Chang Yang are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No 12301031 and 12001191 respectively).

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let F be a field of characteristic different from 2, and let D be a division algebra with center F and of dimension d^2 over F . For m a non-negative integer, we set $G_m = \mathrm{GL}_m$. The group $G_0(D)$ is trivial by definition. For $m \geq 1$, we denote by δ a non central element in $G := G_m(D)$ such that $\kappa := \delta^2$ is in the center of G , and set H to be the centralizer of δ in G . The group G is equal to the group consisting of invertible elements of the central simple F -algebra $A := \mathcal{M}_m(D) =: \mathcal{M}_m$. Then H is a symmetric subgroup obtained as the fixed points of the inner automorphism

$$\theta := \mathrm{Ad}(\delta) : g \mapsto \delta g \delta^{-1}$$

of G . We identify the center Z of G with F^\times .

2.1. Symmetric pairs. Here we identify three different situations for the pair (G, H) . The assertions below follow from basic results in linear algebra, extended to vector spaces over division algebras as in [Lip].

- 1) If $\delta^2 \in (F^\times)^2$, then there are non negative integers $p \geq q$ such that $p + q = m$, and such that δ is up to scaling in F^\times conjugate of

$$\delta_{L_{p,q}} := \text{diag}(I_p, -I_q).$$

With such a choice of δ we identify H to the maximal block diagonal Levi subgroup

$$L_{p,q} := \text{diag}(G_p(D), G_q(D))$$

of G . When convenient, we will prefer to take

$$\delta = \delta_{H_{p,q}} := \text{diag}(I_{p-q}, 1, -1, \dots, (-1)^{q-1})$$

in the conjugacy class of δ , the centralizer of which we denote by $H_{p,q}$ and can be described as in [Mat1]. Finally when convenient, for $p = q$, we will take

$$\delta = \delta_{M_{p,p}} := \begin{pmatrix} & I_p \\ I_p & \end{pmatrix},$$

with $M_{p,p} = H$ the centralizer of $\delta_{M_{p,p}}$.

- 2) If $\delta^2 \in F^\times - (F^\times)^2$, then $E = F[\delta] \subseteq A$ is a quadratic extension of F , and $n := md$ is even.

(a) There exists an F -algebra homomorphism from E to D (it is unique up to D^\times -conjugacy by the Skolem-Noether theorem). When F is a local field, this simply means that d is even. We may assume $E \subseteq D$. Then H identifies with $G_m(C_E)$, where C_E is the central E -division algebra centralizing E in D , and δ identifies with the matrix $\delta \cdot I_m \in G_m(E)$.

(b) There is no F -algebra homomorphism from E to D . When F is a local field this means d odd. Then we put $D_E := D \otimes_F E$, and it is a central E -division algebra.

We realize D_E inside A as the matrices set of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} x & \kappa y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$ with x and y in

D . In other words

$$\delta = \text{diag} \left(\begin{pmatrix} & \kappa \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} & \kappa \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} \right) \in G,$$

and

$$H_m := G_{m/2}(D_E).$$

However we will also take

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} & \kappa I_{m/2} \\ I_{m/2} & \end{pmatrix}$$

when convenient, in which case

$$H = H'_m := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & \kappa B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \in G, A, B \in \mathcal{M}_m(D) \right\}.$$

2.2. Normalizers. In this paragraph we compute the normalizer of H in G in the different cases singled out in the previous paragraph.

- 1) Take H under the form $H = L_{p,q}$. If $p \neq q$, the normalizer $N := N_G(H)$ is equal to H . Indeed for n in N , the matrix $n\delta_{L_{p,q}}n^{-1}$ must still belong to the center of H , so it must be of the form $\text{diag}(\lambda I_p, \mu I_q)$. Reasoning on the possible choices of eigenvalues and their multiplicity, we deduce that $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = -1$. Hence n centralizes $\delta_{L_{p,q}}$ and so it belongs to H . If $p = q$ a similar reasoning implies that

$$N = H \sqcup uH,$$

where

$$u = u_m := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{m/2} \\ -I_{m/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we choose $H = H_{m/2,m/2}$ then we take

$$u = u_m := \delta_{M_{m/2,m/2}},$$

whereas if we choose $H = M_{m/2,m/2}$ then we take

$$u = u_m := \text{diag}(I_{m/2}, -I_{m/2}).$$

- 2) (a) If d is even, then by [Cho], one can write $D = C_E + \iota C_E$ where ι is such that

$$\theta(\iota) = -\iota.$$

In this situation conjugation by ι on the central E -division algebra C_E induces the Galois involution on E because otherwise ι would centralize E , which it does not. Now take $n \in N$. The inner automorphism $\text{Ad}(n)$ of $\mathcal{M}_m(D)$ is an F -linear automorphism of $\mathcal{M}_m(C_E)$, which stabilizes its center E . If it induces the identity on E , then $n \in H$ by the definition of H , and if not then $\text{Ad}(\iota^{-1}n)$ induces the identity of E , hence $\iota n \in H$. The conclusion here is that

$$N = H \sqcup uH,$$

where $u = u_m := \iota I_m$.

- (b) If d is odd, a similar reasoning, using the Skolem-Noether theorem proves that

$$N = H \sqcup uH,$$

where this time

$$u = u_m := \text{diag}(1, -1, \dots, (-1)^{m-1})$$

if $H = H_m$ and

$$u = u_m := \text{diag}(I_m, -I_m)$$

if $H = H'_m$.

2.3. Local Langlands correspondence for inner forms of GL_n . Here F is a local field of characteristic zero. We denote by $|\cdot|_F$ the normalized absolute value of F . When F is non Archimedean, we denote its residual cardinality by q_F , and by \mathfrak{w}_F its uniformizer. We denote by Nrd the reduced norm on G , and for $g \in G$ we set

$$\nu(g) = |\text{Nrd}(g)|_F.$$

We only consider smooth admissible complex representations, which we call representations. When F is Archimedean we moreover require them to be Casselman-Wallach representations as in [FJ] for example. When F is p -adic, we recall that thanks to [HT] and [Hen], one can associate to any irreducible representation π of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ a semi-simple representation ϕ_π of dimension n of the Weil-Deligne group WD_F of F , which we call its Langlands parameter. When F is Archimedean, taking the Weil-Deligne group of F to be equal to its Weil group, one can do the same association thanks to Langlands' work [Lan], and we refer to [Kna] for more details on GL_n .

We denote by JL the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, which is a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of discrete series representations, also called essentially square integrable representations of G , to that of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ (see [DKV] and [BR] when F is Archimedean). In [Bad1] when F is non Archimedean and [BR] when F is Archimedean, the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence was extended to an injective map from the Grothendieck group of finite length representations of G to that of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. These references actually define a surjective morphism LJ_n in the other direction, which has the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence as a section.

An irreducible representation of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ is called generic if it has a non degenerate Whittaker model, see [JS]. Using the standard product notation for normalized parabolic induction, it follows from [Zel] and [Jac2] that an irreducible representation of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ is generic if and only if it is a product of discrete series representations.

Definition 2.1. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G . We say that it is generic if it has generic transfer to $\text{GL}_n(F)$, i.e., if it is of the form $\text{LJ}_n(\pi_0)$ for π_0 a generic representation of $\text{GL}_n(F)$, in which case we set*

$$\text{JL}(\pi) := \pi_0.$$

We moreover define the Langlands parameter ϕ_π by

$$\phi_\pi := \phi_{\pi_0}.$$

Fact 2.2. *By [Bad1, Proposition 3.4], π is generic if and only if it can be written as a product of discrete series representations*

$$\pi = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_r$$

such that $\text{JL}(\delta_1) \times \cdots \times \text{JL}(\delta_r)$ is generic. If so

$$\text{JL}(\pi) = \text{JL}(\delta_1) \times \cdots \times \text{JL}(\delta_r).$$

Definition 2.3. *We say that a generic representation π of G is symplectic if its Langlands parameter ϕ_π is a symplectic representation of WD_F , i.e. if it preserves a non degenerate alternate form.*

For p -adic F , we refer to [Zel] and [Tad] for the parametrization of discrete series representations of G in terms of cuspidal segments, as well as for the vocabulary related to these objects. In [Tad], a positive integer $s(\rho)|d$ is attached to any cuspidal representation ρ of G . It can be described as the smallest non negative real number s such that $\rho \times \nu^{s(\rho)}\rho$ is reducible. For ρ a cuspidal representation of G , we set

$$\pi_t(\rho) := \nu^{(1-t)s(\rho)/2}\rho \times \cdots \times \nu_\rho^{(t-1)s(\rho)/2}\rho.$$

Then $\pi_t(\rho)$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted by $\text{St}_t(\rho)$.

If ρ is a cuspidal representation of $G_a(D)$ with transfer

$$\text{JL}(\rho) = \text{St}_r(\rho')$$

to $\text{GL}_{ad}(F)$ for some r dividing ad and ρ' a cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_{\frac{ad}{r}}(F)$, then

$$\text{JL}(\text{St}_t(\rho)) = \text{St}_{tr}(\rho').$$

In particular, when $\rho = \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$ we have $r = d$ and $\rho' = \mathbf{1}_{F^\times}$, i.e.

$$\text{JL}(\text{St}_t(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})) = \text{St}_{td}(\mathbf{1}_{F^\times}),$$

Let F any local field of characteristic zero, and π be a generic representation of G . For ψ a non trivial character of F , we denote by $\epsilon(1/2, \phi_\pi, \psi)$ the Langlands root number attached to ϕ_π as in [Tat], and we set

$$\epsilon(\pi, \psi) := \epsilon(1/2, \phi_\pi, \psi).$$

It is known that this root number is the same as the one defined in [GJ] via the Godement-Jacquet functional equation. If moreover π is symplectic, then $\epsilon(1/2, \phi_\pi, \psi)$ is independent of the choice of ψ and we write:

$$\epsilon(\pi) := \epsilon(\pi, \psi).$$

When F is p -adic, we will use the following well-known formulae concerning root numbers which can be found in [GJ] and [GR]. We observe that the formulae (b) and (c) below are also valid when F is Archimedean.

- (a) If ρ is a cuspidal representation of G_a with transfer $\text{JL}(\rho) = \text{St}_r(\rho')$ to $\text{GL}_{ad}(F)$, then

$$\epsilon(\text{St}_t(\rho), \psi) = \epsilon(\rho', \psi)^{rt}$$

except when ρ is an unramified character $\mu \circ \text{Nrd}$ of $G_1 = D^\times$, in which case the formula is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \epsilon(\text{St}_t(\mu \circ \text{Nrd}), \psi) = (-\mu(\mathfrak{v}_F))^{dt-1} \epsilon(\mu, \psi)^{dt}.$$

In particular when $\text{St}_t(\mu)$ is self-dual, we observe that

$$\epsilon(\text{St}_t(\eta), \psi) = \epsilon(\eta, \psi)^{dt}$$

when $\mu = \eta$ is the unramified quadratic character of F^\times , which is the same formula as that in Equation (2.1), whereas when $\mu = \mathbf{1}_{F^\times}$ we obtain

$$\epsilon(\text{St}_t(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}), \psi) = (-1)^{dt-1} \epsilon(\mathbf{1}_{F^\times}, \psi)^{dt}.$$

- (b) If π is an irreducible representation of G with central character ω_π ,

$$\epsilon(\pi, \psi) \epsilon(\pi^\vee, \psi) = \omega_\pi(-1).$$

- (c) If π_1 and π_2 are irreducible representations of G_{m_1} and G_{m_2} respectively, such that $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is irreducible, then

$$\epsilon(\pi_1 \times \pi_2, \psi) = \epsilon(\pi_1, \psi) \epsilon(\pi_2, \psi).$$

- (d) If π is a generic unramified representation of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ and ψ is unramified as well, then

$$\epsilon(\pi, \psi) = 1.$$

2.4. Local linear periods and their sign. Here F is a local field of characteristic zero.

Definition 2.4. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G and χ be a character of H . We say that π is χ -distinguished if $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \chi) \neq \{0\}$. Moreover if π is distinguished:*

- 1) *if $\delta^2 \in (F^\times)^2$, we call an element in $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \chi) - \{0\}$ a χ -linear period.*
- 2) *if $\delta^2 \notin (F^\times)^2$, we call an element in $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \chi) - \{0\}$ a twisted χ -linear period. Furthermore for such an invariant linear form L :*

(a) *if d is odd we say that L is a twisted χ -linear period of odd type.*

(b) *if d is even we say that L is a twisted χ -linear period of even type.*

We will mostly consider the case of the trivial character $\chi = \mathbf{1}_H$ of H , in which case we will omit χ in the terminology above.

If π is distinguished, then by [JR], [Guo], [BM], Appendix A and Appendix C, we have much information on the dimension of the space $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let π be an irreducible representation and suppose that $H \not\cong H_{p,q}$ such that $|p - q| \geq 2$. Then*

$$\dim(\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1.$$

If $|p - q| \geq 2$ this conclusion holds for irreducible unitary representations as well as for generic representations. In fact for generic representations we have

$$\dim(\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})) = 0$$

when $|p - q| \geq 2$.

Remark 2.6. *When G is split, it is proved in [JR] that $\dim(\text{Hom}_{H_{p,q}}(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1$ for any irreducible π , without any restriction on p and q .*

In particular for any distinguished generic representation π of G , we define the character χ_π of $\frac{N}{H}$ by the equality for all $n \in N$:

$$L \circ \pi(n)^{-1} = \chi_\pi(\bar{n})L.$$

The problem is that of computing χ_π in terms of its Langlands parameter ϕ_π .

We recall that $\frac{N}{H}$ is trivial in the case of linear periods when $p \neq q$, so we forget about this case unless explicitly stated. Hence our three cases are now:

- 1) Linear periods with respect to H conjugate to $L_{m/2, m/2}$.
- 2) (a) Twisted linear periods of odd type.
(b) Twisted linear periods of even type.

Distinguished generic representations of G always have a symplectic Langlands parameter as explained in Corollary 3.24. As we shall see that the solution to our problem involves the quantity $\epsilon(\pi)$, it will be convenient to define the *sign* of the (possibly twisted linear period on the) distinguished representation π by:

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = \frac{\chi_\pi(\bar{u})}{\epsilon(\pi)} = \chi_\pi(\bar{u})\epsilon(\pi).$$

3. RESULTS ON DISTINGUISHED STANDARD MODULES

3.1. Friedberg-Jacquet integrals for inner forms and applications to linear periods and Shalika models. Here $G = G_m(D) =: G_m$ with m even. In Section 5.2, allowing

F to be Archimedean, we will use the Friedberg-Jacquet integrals in the case where G is F -split. Indeed the full theory of such integrals is developed in [FJ] over all local fields when G is F -split. In the present paragraph we consider general G , but restrict to F non Archimedean. We explain why the Friedberg-Jacquet integrals are well defined, and why their gcd is the Godement-Jacquet L-function for G not necessarily F -split. The very clever computations in [FJ] easily extend to our G , but some proofs of [FJ] are only given for Archimedean F as this case is more difficult. The details of their proofs were written in [Mat2] and [Mat5] in the non Archimedean case for split G , but the situation in [Mat2] is complicated by the fact that the Shalika functionals are with respect to the Shalika model of the mirabolic subgroup. Hence some integrals of Shalika functions in [Mat2] are not obviously absolutely convergent anymore, as in the case of Shalika functionals with respect to the Shalika subgroup of G . Here we briefly explain why [FJ] applies without modifications to our setting, giving a simplified version of the arguments in [Mat2]. The reason for studying Friedberg-Jacquet integrals is that following [Mat5] for split G , one proves using such integrals that if δ is a discrete series representation of G , and if χ is a character of $H = L_{m/2, m/2}$ trivial on $G_{m/2}$ diagonally embedded, then δ has a χ -linear period if and only if it has a Shalika model. This result is then used in Section 3.4 for the classification of standard modules of G_m with a linear period when m is odd, though this classification is not really needed in this paper. However it is used in Appendix A to prove multiplicity one of linear periods for the pair $(G_{2q+1}, G_{q+1} \times G_q)$.

First we recall the definition and properties of Godement-Jacquet integrals for induced representations of G . We recall that $n = md$. Let

$$\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_r$$

be a representation of G with each π_i irreducible. For Φ a Schwartz function on $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_m$, and c a matrix coefficient of π , we set

$$Z(s, \Phi, c) := \int_G \Phi(g)c(g)\nu(g)^{s+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dg.$$

We moreover say that π is a standard module of G if each $\delta_i := \pi_i$ is a discrete series representation such that $e(\delta_i) \geq e(\delta_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, where $e(\delta)$ is the unique real number such that $\nu^{-e(\delta)}\delta$ is unitary. We recall that the Langlands classification (see [Tad]) says that standard modules of G have a unique irreducible quotient, and conversely that each irreducible representation of G is the quotient of a standard module.

The first part of the following statement is proved in [GJ]. The second part for Langlands quotients of standard modules is proved in [Jac1, (2.3) Proposition, (3.4) Theorem] for split G with a proof valid for any G , following [GJ].

Theorem 3.1 (Jacquet). (a) For $\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_r$ a representation of G with each π_i irreducible, the integral $Z(s, \Phi, c)$ converges for s of real part large enough, and extends to a rational function of q_F^{-s} . Moreover the vector space spanned by the integrals $Z(s, \Phi, c)$ is a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q_F^{\pm s}]$ and the normalized gcd $L(s, \pi)$ of this fractional ideal is equal to the following the product of Godement-Jacquet L -factors

$$L(s, \pi) = \prod_{i=1}^r L(s, \pi_i).$$

(b) If moreover π is a standard module with Langlands quotient $\text{LQ}(\pi)$, then

$$L(s, \pi) = L(s, \text{LQ}(\pi)).$$

We now denote by S_m the Shalika subgroup of G_m . By definition it is the set of matrices

$$S_m := \{s(g, x) := \text{diag}(g, g) \begin{pmatrix} I_{m/2} & x \\ & I_{m/2} \end{pmatrix}, g \in G_{m/2}, x \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}\}.$$

We fix ψ a non trivial character of F , and denote by Θ the character of S_m defined by the formula

$$\Theta(s(g, x)) = \psi(\text{Trd}(x)),$$

where Trd is the reduced trace of $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}$. If π is a smooth representation of G , and $L \in \text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta) - \{0\}$, we call the space

$$S_L(\pi, \Theta) := \{S_v : g \mapsto L(\pi(g)v), v \in \pi\}$$

the Shalika model of π attached to L (and ψ). When G is split it is known thanks to [JR] (and [AGJ] when F is Archimedean) that the space $\text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta)$ is at most one dimensional for any irreducible π . For G as in this paragraph and π a discrete series representation, the multiplicity of Shalika functionals is again known to be at most one by [BPW]. We will extend their result to all irreducible representations in Corollary 3.3 and thus remove the dependence to L in the notation for the Shalika model of irreducible representations. The following generalizes the p -adic part of [FJ, Proposition 3.1], and follows from its proof.

Proposition 3.2. *Let π be a representation of G as in Theorem 3.1, (a), and suppose that*

$$\text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta) \neq \{0\}.$$

Take $L \in \text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta) - \{0\}$ and $S \in S_L(\pi, \Theta)$, and α a character of F^\times . Then the integral

$$\Psi_\alpha(s, S) = \int_{G_{m/2}} S(\text{diag}(g, I_n)) \alpha(\text{Nrd}(g)) \nu(g)^{s-1/2} dg$$

is absolutely convergent for s of real part large enough and extends to a rational function of q_F^{-s} . Moreover the vector space spanned by the integrals $\Psi_\alpha(s, S)$ is a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q_F^{\pm s}]$ and the normalized gcd of this fractional ideal is the Godement-Jacquet L -factor $L(s, \alpha\pi)$.

Proof. We can suppose α trivial as in the proof of [FJ, Proposition 3.1] and set $\Psi(s, S) := \Psi_\alpha(s, S)$. We denote by K_m the maximal compact subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_m(O_D)$ of G_m where O_D is the ring of integers of D . For $S \in S_L(\pi, \Theta)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{M}_{m/2} \times G_{m/2} \times K_m)$ a smooth function with compact support in $\mathcal{M}_{m/2} \times G_{m/2} \times K_m$, we define

$$S_\phi(g) = \int_{u,b,k} S \left(g \begin{pmatrix} b^{-1} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & b \end{pmatrix} k \right) \phi(u, b, k) \nu(b)^{n/2} db dudk,$$

with variable

$$(u, b, k) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2} \times G_{m/2} \times K_m.$$

Clearly $S_\phi \in S_L(\pi, \Theta)$ and one can write $S = S_\phi$ for some well chosen ϕ by [Mat2, Lemma 4.2]. For such a ϕ , we denote by K_ϕ its support in the variable $b \in G_{m/2}$, and by ϕ' the characteristic function of K_ϕ^{-1} . We then attach to ϕ a map

$$\Phi_\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ & b \end{pmatrix}, k \right) = \int_{u,v} \phi(u, b, k) \phi'(v) \Theta(ua - vx) dudv$$

with compact support in the variable $(a, x, b, k) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2} \times \mathcal{M}_{m/2} \times G_{m/2} \times K_m$ by properties of the Fourier transform.

Let Ω be the open set of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of \mathcal{M}_m with $(c \ d)$ of rank $m/2$ in $\mathcal{M}_{m/2, m}$,

and Ω_0 its subset of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ with d invertible. The map

$$r : (p, k) \mapsto pk$$

from $\Omega_0 \times K_m$ to Ω is proper and surjective. We then define Φ_ϕ^* on Ω , by

$$\Phi_\phi^*(pk) = \int_{k' \in K_m \cap P_{(m/2, m/2)}} \Phi_\phi(pk'^{-1}, k'k) dk',$$

for $(p, k) \in \Omega_0 \times K_m$. The map Φ_ϕ^* is well defined and has compact support in Ω as r is proper, it is moreover fixed by some open compact subgroup of K_m which stabilizes Ω by right translation, hence it is smooth. We thus extend Φ_ϕ^* by zero outside Ω to obtain a smooth function on \mathcal{M}_m . We now define the integrals

$$I(S, \Phi_\phi, a, b) = \int_{x \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}, k \in K_m} S \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ & b \end{pmatrix} k \right) \Phi_\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ & b \end{pmatrix}, k \right) dx dk$$

and

$$J(S, \phi, a, b) = \int_{u \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}, k \in K_m} S \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & I_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{m/2} & u \\ & I_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{m/2} & \\ & b \end{pmatrix} k \right) \phi(u, b, k) dudk.$$

It is proved in [Mat2, Lemma 4.3] that they both converge absolutely and are equal, and have compact support with respect to the variables $a \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}$, and $b \in G_{m/2}$, and $k \in K_m$. Now we claim that the integral

$$\int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} I(S, \Phi_\phi, a, b) \nu(a)^{s+n} \nu(b)^{s+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dadb$$

converges absolutely for s of real part large enough, and is of the form $P(q^{-s})L(s, \pi)$ for $P \in \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ in its realm of convergence. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} |I(S, \Phi_\phi, a, b) \nu(a)^{s+n} \nu(b)^{s+\frac{(n-1)}{2}}| dadb \\ & \leq \int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} I(|S|, |\Phi_\phi|, a, b) \nu(a)^{\Re(s)+n} \nu(b)^{\Re(s)+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dadb \\ & = \int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} I(|S|, |\Phi_\phi|, a, b) |\nu(a)^{\Re(s)+n} \nu(b)^{\Re(s)+\frac{(n-1)}{2}}| dadb \\ & = \int_{g \in G} |S(g)| |\Phi_{\phi^*}(g)| \nu(g)^{\Re(s)+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dg \\ & = \int_{g \in G} |S^U(g) \Phi_{\phi^*}(g)| \nu(g)^{\Re(s)+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dg \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate equality follows from Iwasawa decomposition, and in the last one $U \leq K_m$ is a compact open subgroup of G fixing Φ_{ϕ^*} under right translation and S^U is the right average of S by U . Because S^U is a genuine matrix coefficient of π , we recognize the absolute value of an integrand of a Godement-Jacquet integral for π in the last equation hence the absolute convergence. This also implies the equality

$$\int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} I(S, \Phi_\phi, a, b) \nu(a)^{s+n} \nu(b)^{s+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dadb = Z(s, \Phi_{\phi^*}, S^U)$$

hence the existence of the Laurent polynomial P . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} I(S, \Phi_\phi, a, b) \nu(a)^{s+n} \nu(b)^{s+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dadb \\ & = \int_{(a,b) \in G_{m/2}^2} J(S, \phi, a, b) \nu(a)^{s+n} \nu(b)^{s+\frac{(n-1)}{2}} dadb \\ & = \Psi(s, S_\phi). \end{aligned}$$

So far we have proved every part of the statement we want to obtain, except the assertion on the normalized gcd of the integrals $\Psi(s, S)$. This assertion is easier and follows word for word from [FJ, beginning of p.111 and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3]. Q.E.D.

For a and b two non negative integers, we define the character χ_α of $L_{a,b}$ by

$$\chi_\alpha(\text{diag}(g_1, g_2)) = \alpha(\text{Nrd}(g_1)\text{Nrd}(g_2)^{-1}).$$

Let V_π be the space of π as in Theorem 3.1, (a). For $L \in \text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta)$, and $v \in \pi$, we define $S_{v,L} \in S_L(\pi, \Theta)$ by the formula

$$S_{v,L}(g) = L(\pi(g)v).$$

We then define the linear map

$$\Lambda_{L,\alpha} : v \mapsto \lim_{s \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Psi_\alpha(s, S_{v,L})}{L(s, \alpha\pi)}$$

which makes sense thanks to Proposition 3.2. As explained in [JR, p.117], we deduce the following from Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Let π be a representation of G as in Theorem 3.1, (a), and suppose moreover that it has a Shalika model, then it is χ_α -distinguished. More precisely we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{L_{m/2,m/2}}(\pi, \chi_\alpha).$$

In particular

$$\dim(\text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta)) \leq 1$$

whenever π is irreducible.

Proof. The linear map

$$L \in \text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi, \Theta) \mapsto \Lambda_{L,\alpha^{-1}} \in \text{Hom}_{L_{m/2,m/2}}(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$$

is injective as Λ_L is nonzero as soon as L is thanks to Proposition 3.2. The second statement follows from Appendix A which uses the classification of standard modules with a linear period (i.e. $\alpha = \mathbf{1}_{F^\times}$) given in Theorem 3.12. Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.4. *Let δ a discrete series representation of G . Then δ has a χ_α -linear period if and only if it has a Shalika model, and if so it is symplectic, hence selfdual, and $\text{Hom}(\delta, \chi_\alpha)$ has dimension one.*

Proof. All arguments in [Mat5, Section 2], in particular Proposition 2.2 there, are valid for G . We mention in particular that thanks to Proposition 3.2, the statement of [Mat5, Proposition 2.3] is valid for the standard module π of G , hence the proof of [Mat5, Theorem 2.1] works without any modification. We highlight that the most delicate part there is the

extension of linear form argument at the end of the proof of [Mat5, Theorem 2.1], which works equally well here thanks to Theorem 3.1, (b). Q.E.D.

Remark 3.5. *In the split case it is proved in [Yan, Corollary 3.6] that Corollary 3.4 holds more generally for generic representations, using Gan's technique of relating periods via Theta correspondence. It might extend to the case of inner forms, but this verification is left for later. On the other hand, the unfolding principle of [SV] does not seem to extend easily to linear periods twisted by a non unitary character, as was already observed in [Mat5, Remak 2.1] in the split case. In Theorem 3.21 below we extend [Yan, Corollary 3.6] to standard modules for a wide family of characters χ_α .*

Remark 3.6. *For F -split G , the sign of generic representations is given at once by the functional equation of the Friedberg-Jacquet integrals. Again this functional equation is established using the realization of contragredient using transpose inverse, so this is one reason why the theory above does not include this functional equation. Note that our sign computation will prove the Friedberg-Jacquet functional equation of generic unitary representations of G at least at the value $s = 1/2$.*

3.2. Preliminaries for the geometric lemma applied to linear periods. Here we provide the necessary material to apply the geometric lemma of [Off2, Corollary 5.2] in the context of linear periods. Let $P = P_{(m_1, \dots, m_r)}$ be a standard upper block triangular parabolic subgroup of G attached to the composition (m_1, \dots, m_r) of m , and suppose $l \geq l'$ are two non negative integers such that $l + l' = m$. We recall that from an immediate generalization of the arguments at the beginning of [Mat3, Section 3] (see also [Yan] for a different approach), the double cosets $P \backslash G / H_{l, l'}$ are parametrized by sequences of non negative integers of the form

$$s = (m_{11}^+, m_{11}^-, m_{12}, \dots, m_{1r}, m_{21}, m_{22}^+, m_{22}^-, m_{23}, \dots, m_{2r}, \dots, m_{r1}, \dots, m_{rr-1}, m_{rr}^+, m_{rr}^-)$$

such that if one puts

$$m_{ii} := m_{ii}^+ + m_{ii}^-,$$

then the matrix $(m_{ij})_{i, j=1, \dots, r}$ is symmetric, and for all i one has

$$\sum_{j=1}^r m_{ij} = m_i,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r m_{ii}^+ - \sum_{i=1}^r m_{ii}^- = l' - l.$$

We denote by \mathcal{I}_P this set of parametrizing sequences. Given $s \in \mathcal{I}_P$, we can associate to it a standard parabolic subgroup $P_s = M_s U_s \subset P$ with the standard Levi M_s of type

$$(m_{11}, \dots, m_{1r}, m_{21} \dots, m_{rr-1}, m_{rr}),$$

where we ignore the zero's in the above partition of m , as in [Mat3, Section 3]. We also have an involution θ_s on M_s and a positive character δ_s of $M_s^{\theta_s}$, that was denoted by

$$\delta_s := \delta_{P_s^{\theta_s}} \delta_{P_s}^{-1/2}$$

and was computed explicitly in [Mat3, Proposition 3.6]. For α a character of F^\times , and a and b two non negative integers, we denote by

$$\xi_\alpha : H_{ll'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

the character of $H_{ll'}$ corresponding via the natural isomorphism between $H_{ll'}$ and $L_{ll'}$ described in [Mat2, Section 2] to the character

$$\chi_\alpha : L_{ll'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

defined in Section 3.1. We observe that the computation in [Mat3, Proposition 3.6] remains valid in our setting, under the following form:

Lemma 3.7. *Set*

$$\mu := \nu^d,$$

and

$$h = \text{diag}(h_{11}^+, h_{11}^-, h_{12}, \dots, h_{rr-1}, h_{rr}^+, h_{rr}^-) \in M_s^{\theta_s}.$$

Then:

(a)

$$\delta_s(h) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \mu(h_{ii}^+)^{\frac{m_{jj}^+ - m_{jj}^-}{2}} \mu(h_{ii}^-)^{\frac{m_{jj}^- - m_{jj}^+}{2}} \mu(h_{jj}^+)^{\frac{m_{ii}^+ - m_{ii}^-}{2}} \mu(h_{jj}^-)^{\frac{m_{ii}^- - m_{ii}^+}{2}}.$$

(b)

$$\xi_\alpha(h) = \prod_{i=1}^r \xi_\alpha(h_{ii}^+) \xi_\alpha(h_{ii}^-)^{-1}.$$

3.3. Distinguished generalized Steinberg representations. We start with a result that will be used in classifying standard modules with linear periods in terms of their essentially square-integrable support.

Theorem 3.8. *Let π be a discrete series representation of G_m for $m \geq 2$ and let l and l' be two non-negative integers such that $l + l' = m$. If π is $(H_{l,l'}, \mu)$ -distinguished for μ a character of $H_{l,l'}$, then $l = l'$.*

Proof. We write $\pi = \text{St}_t(\rho)$ for ρ a cuspidal representation of G_r . We can suppose that both t and $r \geq 2$ thanks to Propositions B.3 and B.5. Then by an explicitation of [Off2, Corollary 5.2], we deduce thanks to the case $t = 1$ and $r \geq 2$ that $m_{ii}^+ = m_{ii}^-$ whenever m_{ii} is nonzero so $l = l'$. We refer to the proof of Theorem 3.12 below for more details on such type of computation in a more difficult case. Q.E.D.

We will also use the following fact, which follows from the results in [SX] and [Xue] in the case of twisted linear periods, and is a special case of Corollary 3.4 for linear periods.

Proposition 3.9. *If π is a discrete series representation of G which is distinguished, then π is symplectic, and hence it is selfdual.*

Remark 3.10. *In the proof of [Mat2, Theorem 5.1], there is an order mistake: p.16 the third equality should read*

$$\int_{M_{2m} \backslash G_{2m}} |\phi(g)|^2 dg = \int_{\mathcal{M}_m} (|\phi|^2)^K(x) dx.$$

Observing that $\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} (|\phi|^2)^K(x) dx$ is also equal to $\int_K (\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi(xk)|^2 dx) dk$, the Parseval identity should then be applied to each $\phi_k := \phi(\cdot k)$ restricted to \mathcal{M}_m , i.e. equality number four should start as

$$\int_K \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\phi(xk)|^2 dx \right) dk = \int_K \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}_m} |\widehat{\phi}_k(x)|^2 dx \right) dk = \dots$$

The rest of the equalities should then be corrected accordingly, and we refer to the proof given in [Duh, Lemme 5.7] for the correct full proof.

The following result is enough for our purpose, and in the case of linear periods it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.8 except when $r = 1$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\rho \neq \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$ be a cuspidal representation of G_r , and suppose that $\text{St}_t(\rho)$ is H -distinguished. Then there is up to scaling at most one H -invariant linear form on $\pi_t(\rho)$, so that the H -invariant linear form on $\text{St}_t(\rho)$ descends from that on $\pi_t(\rho)$. Moreover if t is odd, then ρ is distinguished.*

Proof. In the case of twisted linear periods, this is part of the proof of [BM, Proposition 5.6]. In the case of linear periods, when $r \geq 2$, it follows again from the geometric lemma [Off2, Corollary 5.2] and [Mat3, Section 3] that necessarily $m_{i,t+1-i} = r$ for all i smaller than $\lfloor (t+1)/2 \rfloor$, and moreover that $m_{\frac{t+1}{2}, \frac{t+1}{2}}^+ = m_{\frac{t+1}{2}, \frac{t+1}{2}}^- = r/2$ when t is odd. This implies our statement in the case $r \neq 1$. When $r = 1$ the assertions for twisted linear periods are proved in [Cho], and we explain why they also hold for linear periods. By Proposition 3.9, we can suppose that $\rho \neq \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$ is quadratic character η of D^\times . If some non open

orbit contributed to the distinction of $\pi_t(\rho)$, because η takes negative value, applying the geometric lemma would lead to a sign issue as in [Mat4, Proof of Proposition 3.6]. Finally note that in this case the integer t cannot be odd for central character reasons. Q.E.D.

3.4. Classification of distinguished standard modules. Here F is a p -adic field. The Langlands parameter of an irreducible representation of G is understood in terms of its essentially square-integrable support once it is realized as the quotient of the unique standard module lying above it. Now, it is clear that if the Langlands quotient of a standard module is distinguished, then the standard module is itself distinguished so this observation could be a starting point for computing the sign of all distinguished irreducible representations of G . However in this paper we only compute the sign of generic representations. We feel that the computation of the sign for all irreducible representations would involve much finer properties of admissible intertwining periods on standard modules. Nevertheless, we state the classification of distinguished standard modules in terms of their essentially square-integrable support, from which the classification of distinguished generic representations follows.

For twisted linear periods, this classification is due to Suzuki [Suz1, Theorem 1.3], and we recall it in (a) of Theorem 3.12 below. Here we provide the proof in the case of linear periods. We also consider the case $p = q+1$ in order to obtain the full statement of Theorem 2.5 later in Appendix A. We follow the main steps of the proof of [Mat3, Theorems 3.13 and 3.14], but we in fact correct the proof in question as one part of Claim (2) in the proof of [Mat3, Theorems 3.14] is incorrect. The following theorem also holds for Archimedean F thanks to [ST], Appendix D, and [MOY, Theorem 5.4].

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\pi = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_r$ be a standard module of G where each δ_i is an essentially square integrable representation of G_{m_i} , and for any $1 \leq k \leq r$ set π_k to be the standard module*

$$\pi_k := \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_{k-1} \times \delta_{k+1} \times \cdots \times \delta_r.$$

- (a) *The representation π has a twisted linear period if and only if there exists an involution $\tau \in S_r$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ one has $\delta_{\tau(i)} = \delta_i^\vee$, and moreover each δ_i has a twisted linear period when $\tau(i) = i$.*
- (b) *Suppose that $G = G_m$ for m even, and that $H = H_{m/2, m/2}$. The representation π is distinguished if and only if there exists an involution $\tau \in S_r$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ one has $\delta_{\tau(i)} = \delta_i^\vee$, and moreover m_i is even and δ_i is $H_{m_i/2, m_i/2}$ -distinguished when $\tau(i) = i$.*

- (c) *Suppose that $G = G_m$ for m odd, and that $H = H_{(m+1)/2, (m-1)/2}$. Then π is distinguished if and only if there exists an index $1 \leq i_0 \leq r$ such that $\delta_{i_0} = \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$, and π_{i_0} is $H = H_{(m-1)/2, (m-1)/2}$ -distinguished.*

Proof. We only deal with linear periods. We refer for Section 3.2 for the definitions of μ and $\xi_\alpha : H_{l, l'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and we set

$$\mu_\alpha = \xi_\alpha^d.$$

Since the right to left implications of (b) and (c) are easier, we start with them. When m is even we can suppose that

$$\pi = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_a \times \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_b \times \delta_a^\vee \times \cdots \times \delta_1^\vee$$

where the τ_i are distinguished discrete series representations. The middle product

$$\tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_b$$

is distinguished by [Mat3, Proposition 3.8] so that the full product is distinguished by [Off2, Proposition 7.2]. When m is odd we can suppose that

$$\pi = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_a \times \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_b \times \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times \delta_a^\vee \times \cdots \times \delta_1^\vee.$$

Note that the representations τ_i are ξ_α -distinguished for any choice of α thanks to Corollary 3.4, in particular they are $\mu_{|\cdot|^{1/2}}$ -distinguished. Then the representation $\tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_b \times \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$ is $H_{k+1, k}$ -distinguished for the relevant k by [Mat3, Proposition 3.8], so that the full product is distinguished by [Off2, Proposition 7.2] again.

Now we move on to the direct implications. We set $P = MU$ to be the standard parabolic subgroup of type (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r) . Each δ_i is attached to a cuspidal segment Δ_i and we use the notation $\delta_i = \delta(\Delta_i)$. Given $s \in \mathcal{I}_P$, we associate to s the standard parabolic subgroup $P_s = M_s U_s \subset P$, θ_s and δ_s as in Section 3.2. The geometric lemma says that if π is distinguished, then there is an $s \in \mathcal{I}_P$ such that the normalized Jacquet module $r_{M_s, M}(\sigma)$ is $(M_s^{\theta_s}, \delta_s)$ -distinguished. If we write for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, with obvious intuitive notations,

$$\Delta_i = [\Delta_{ir}, \Delta_{ir-1}, \dots, \Delta_{i1}]$$

so that

$$r_{M_s, M}(\sigma) = \delta(\Delta_{11}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta(\Delta_{1r}) \otimes \delta(\Delta_{21}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta(\Delta_{rr}),$$

then putting $\delta_{ij} := \delta(\Delta_{ij})$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} \cong \delta_{ji}^\vee, & i \neq j \\ \delta_{ii} \text{ is } (H_{m_{ii}^+, m_{ii}^-}, \mu_i)\text{-distinguished,} \end{cases}$$

where the character μ_i above could be described explicitly, but we only state their needed properties. We start with the following useful observations.

- (a) All characters μ_i are of the form ξ_{α_i} for some character α_i of F^\times .
- (b) If $m_{ii} > 1$ for some i , then both m_{ii}^+ and m_{ii}^- are nonzero otherwise δ_i would be a character by (3.1), and $m_{ii}^+ = m_{ii}^-$ by Theorem 3.8. In particular, we don't care about the value of α_i thanks to Corollary 3.4, hence we can suppose that all μ_i are trivial, and moreover δ_i is selfdual by the same corollary.
- (c) Denoting by $u_1 < \dots < u_t$ the indices such that $m_{u_k u_k} = 1$, and setting

$$\epsilon_k = m_{u_k u_k}^+ - m_{u_k u_k}^- \in \{1, -1\}.$$

Then t is even and

$$\sum_{k=1}^t \epsilon_k = 0$$

when m is even whereas t is odd and

$$\sum_{k=1}^t \epsilon_k = 1$$

when m is odd. Moreover it follows from Lemma 3.7 that the characters μ_{u_i} are given by the formula

$$\mu_{u_k} = \mu^{\frac{\epsilon_k(-\sum_{i < k} \epsilon_j + \sum_{j > k} \epsilon_j)}{2}},$$

which after some rewriting gives

(a)

$$\mu_{u_k} = \mu^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k(\sum_{j > k} \epsilon_j)}$$

when m is even,

(b)

$$\mu_{u_k} = \mu^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k(-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j > k} \epsilon_j)}$$

when m is odd.

It then follows from the above formulae that the μ_i 's satisfy the following inductive relations which are the correct version of [Mat3, Theorems 3.14, (2)]:

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_{u_{k+1}} = \mu^{-1} \mu_{u_k} \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_{u_{k+1}} = \mu_{u_k}^{-1},$$

depending on $\epsilon_{k+1} = \epsilon_k$ or not. Moreover,

- when m is even: $\mu_{u_1} = \mu^{-1/2}$ and $\mu_{u_t} = \mu^{1/2}$.
- when m is odd:
 - $\mu_{u_1} = \mu^0 = \mathbf{1}$ if $m_{11} = m_{11}^+$,
 - $\mu_{u_1} = \mu^{-1}$ if $m_{11} = m_{11}^-$.

- $\mu_{u_t} = \mu^0 = \mathbf{1}$ if $m_{tt} = m_{tt}^+$,
- $\mu_{u_t} = \mu^{-1}$ if $m_{tt} = m_{tt}^-$.

In particular setting $\mu'_{u_i} := \mu_{u_{t+1-i}}^{-1}$, we see that the characters μ'_{u_i} satisfy the same relations and have the same initial values. This implies that the multiset $\{\mu_{u_1}, \dots, \mu_{u_t}\}$ is stable under the map $\chi \mapsto \chi^{-1}$. However because it contains only positive characters, hence only the trivial character as a quadratic character, it can be partitioned by pairs $\{\chi, \chi^{-1}\}$ when t is even, whereas it can be partitioned by such pairs together with one time $\mathbf{1}$ when t is odd.

We move on to the application of the geometric lemma. We can and will assume that π is right-ordered, i.e. that the right ends $r(\Delta_i)$ of its cuspidal segments satisfy $e(r(\Delta_i)) \geq e(r(\Delta_{i+1}))$. We now start to prove by induction on n the following statement: If $r_{M_s, M}(\sigma)$ is $(M_s^{\theta_s}, \delta_s)$ -distinguished, then s is a monomial matrix, which will finish our proof thanks to the above observations. We have three cases.

Case (I) If $m_{11} > 1$, then by the observation (b) above $\delta(\Delta_{11})$ is selfdual. By the right ordering of π , we have $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{11}$. We then can finish the proof by induction.

Case (II) If $m_{11} = 1$ we use observation (c) above. When t is even, we have $r(\Delta_1) = \mu^{-1/2}$. This is absurd as the central character of π will not be trivial. When t is odd the only possible case is $m_{11} = m_{11}^+$ so $r(\Delta_1) = \mathbf{1}$, which implies that $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{11}$ thanks to the right ordering, and we conclude by induction.

Case (III) If $m_{11} = 0$, then by the right ordering of π , we have $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{1l}$ for some $l > 1$. We need to show that $\Delta_l = \Delta_{l,1}$, and the rest follows from induction. If not so, then the only possibility is $m_{l,l} = 1$ and $\Delta_l = [\Delta_{1l}, \Delta_{ll}]$. We write $\Delta_1 = [\mu^a, \mu^b]$ with $b > 0$. So $\Delta_{1l} = [\mu^{-b}, \mu^{-a}]$ and $\Delta_{ll} = \mu^{-b-1}$. By the observation (3.2) we know that there is a u_k such that $\mu_{u_k} = \mu^c$ with $c > b + 1$. This means that $\Delta_{u_k u_k} = \mu^c$. This is absurd as the segments are right-ordered. Q.E.D.

3.5. Classification of standard modules with a Shalika model and χ -distinction.

In this paragraph we prove Theorem 3.20 below, which is the classification of standard modules with a Shalika model. Denoting by $\Re(\alpha)$ the unique real number such that if $\alpha : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character, then

$$|\alpha| = \left| \cdot \right|_F^{\Re(\alpha)},$$

we moreover extend the classification of distinguished standard modules to the case of χ -distinguished ones, for χ of the form χ_α with α such that $-\frac{d}{2} \leq \Re(\alpha) \leq \frac{d}{2}$.

We start by proving that certain type of induced representations admit a Shalika model with meromorphic families of Shalika functionals. Let π_i be representations of G_{m_i} for $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{C}^l$. Then if $\pi = \pi_1 \times \dots \times \pi_l$ is a representation of G , we

set

$$\pi[\underline{s}] = \nu^{ds_1} \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \nu^{ds_l} \pi_l = \mu^{s_1} \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \mu^{s_l} \pi_l.$$

Iwasawa decomposition allows one to realize all these representations on the same vector space, and we thus refer to [MO, 2.5] for the definition of a meromorphic family in the variable \underline{s} of linear forms on $\pi[\underline{s}]$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let δ be a discrete representations of G_m , then there is a nonzero meromorphic family $(\lambda_s)_{s \in \mathbb{C}}$ with*

$$\lambda_s \in \text{Hom}_{S_{2m}}(\delta[s] \times \delta^\vee[-s], \Theta).$$

In particular $\delta[s] \times \delta^\vee[-s]$ admits a Shalika model for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the one given in the proof of [Mat3, Lemma 3.11] together with its correction in [Mat5, Proposition 5.3], and relies on the Bernstein principle of meromorphic continuation of equivariant linear forms in a generic multiplicity one situation, and the multiplicity at most one of Shalika models for irreducible representations proved in Corollary 3.3. Q.E.D.

Remark 3.14. *In general, the fact that any representation of the form $\pi \times \pi^\vee$ has a Shalika model follows immediately from the computation of twisted Jacquet modules in [PR1, Theorem 2.1].*

Remark 3.15. *The representation δ could be replaced by any irreducible unitary representation as follows from its proof.*

Here is another result of the same flavor.

Proposition 3.16. *Let π_i , resp. π'_j , be representations of G_{m_i} , resp. $G_{m'_j}$, for $i = 1, \dots, l$, resp. $j = 1, \dots, l'$, and set*

$$\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_l$$

and

$$\pi' = \pi'_1 \times \cdots \times \pi'_{l'}$$

to be the corresponding induced representations of G_m and G'_m respectively. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^l$, and $A' \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{l'}$ be two complex vector subspaces. Suppose that m and m' are even, and that there are two nonzero meromorphic families $(\lambda_{\underline{s}})_{\underline{s} \in A}$ and $(\lambda'_{\underline{s}'})_{\underline{s}' \in A'}$ with

$$\lambda_{\underline{s}} \in \text{Hom}_{S_m}(\pi[\underline{s}], \Theta)$$

and

$$\lambda'_{\underline{s}'} \in \text{Hom}_{S_{m'}}(\pi'[\underline{s}'], \Theta).$$

Then there is a nonzero meromorphic family $(\Lambda_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'})_{(\underline{s}, \underline{s}') \in A \times A'}$ in

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{m+m'}}(\pi[\underline{s}] \times \pi'[\underline{s}'], \Theta).$$

Proof. The proof follows from the explicit construction of the Shalika functional on $\pi[\underline{s}] \times \pi'[\underline{s}']$ given in [Mat6] which is valid for inner forms, with the following observations. First there is a quantifier ordering problem in [Mat6, Lemma 3.2]: the compact set C depends on the function f there. However in our situation, this compact set will be independent of $(\underline{s}, \underline{s}') \in A \times A'$ for a given holomorphic section $f_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'}$ as follows from the proof of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 there. In particular with the notations of [Mat6, Lemma 3.2] the map $\phi_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'}(f_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'})$ is meromorphic in the variable $(\underline{s}, \underline{s}')$ as follows from the first equality in the proof of the lemma. More precisely it follows from the assumption on $\lambda_{\underline{s}}$ and $\lambda_{\underline{s}'}$, there exists a nonzero rational map $R(\underline{s}, \underline{s}')$ in the variables $q_F^{-s_i}$ and $q_F^{-s'_j}$ such that $R(\underline{s}, \underline{s}')\lambda_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'}$ is holomorphic and nonzero, where we set

$$\lambda_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'} := \lambda_{\underline{s}} \otimes \lambda_{\underline{s}'}$$

as in the lemma. In particular according to the aforementioned equality, we deduce

$$R(\underline{s}, \underline{s}')\phi_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'}(f_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'})$$

is holomorphic for any choice of f . Later in the proof of the lemma, the map $R(\underline{s}, \underline{s}')\Phi_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'}(f_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}'})$ will thus be holomorphic as well, by compactness of the quotient $P' \backslash G'$ there. Finally it will be nonzero for some choice of f by the end of the proof of the same lemma. Q.E.D.

We are now in position to prove the first part of the theorem of interest to us.

Proposition 3.17. *Let τ be an irreducible representation with a Shalika model, and $\delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_l$ be discrete series representations. Then the induced representation*

$$\pi_{\underline{s}} := \delta_1[s_1] \times \cdots \times \delta_l[s_l] \times \tau \times \delta_l^\vee[-s_l] \times \cdots \times \delta_1^\vee[-s_1]$$

admits a Shalika model for all values of $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{C}^l$.

Proof. By Propositions 3.13 and 3.16, the representation

$$\pi'_{\underline{s}} := \tau \times \delta_1[s_1] \times \delta_1^\vee[-s_1] \times \cdots \times \delta_l[s_l] \times \delta_l^\vee[-s_l]$$

admits a nonzero meromorphic family of Shalika functionals on its space. It then follows from the meromorphy and generic invertibility of the standard intertwining operator from $\pi_{\underline{s}}$ to $\pi'_{\underline{s}}$ that so does the representation $\pi_{\underline{s}}$. The result now follows from a usual leading term argument (see Section 4.2 for more details on such arguments). Q.E.D.

Remark 3.18. *It also follows from the proof above that the order of the representations in the product of the statement of the proposition could be permuted as one likes, but the same conclusion would still hold.*

Remark 3.19. *One could also only suppose the representations δ_i to be unitary in the above statement according to Remark 3.15.*

As a corollary, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.20. *A standard module of $G = G_m$ has a Shalika model if and only if it is $H_{m/2, m/2}$ -distinguished (see Theorem 3.12).*

Proof. The right to left implication follows from Theorem 3.12 and Proposition 3.17. The other direction follows from Corollary 3.3. Q.E.D.

Finally we end with a word on χ -distinction for standard modules. As observed in [Suz2, Proposition 3.4], the proof of Theorem 3.12 holds with no modification in the case of twisted linear periods when one adds a twisting character χ (the reason being that the so called modulus assumption stated in [MOY] holds in this situation), and we refer to it for the statement of the classification of χ -distinguished standard modules in this case. Here we give similar statements in the case of linear models for $H_{m/2, m/2}$ and $H_{(m+1)/2, (m-1)/2}$.

Theorem 3.21. *Let π , δ_i and π_i be as in the statement of Theorem 3.12, and α be a character of F^\times .*

- (a) *If m is even and π is $H_{m/2, m/2}$ -distinguished, then it is $(H_{m/2, m/2}, \xi_\alpha)$ -distinguished, and if moreover $-\frac{d}{2} \leq \Re(\alpha) \leq \frac{d}{2}$ the converse implication holds.*
- (b) *If m is odd, $-\frac{d}{2} \leq \Re(\alpha) < \frac{d}{2}$ and π is $(H_{(m+1)/2, (m-1)/2}, \xi_\alpha)$ -distinguished, then there exists an index i_0 such that $\delta_{i_0} = \alpha \circ \nu$, and π_{i_0} is $H = H_{(m-1)/2, (m-1)/2}$ -distinguished.*

Proof. The first implication of (a) follows from Theorem 3.20. The proof of the converse implications of (a) and (b) are completely similar to the proofs for trivial α given in Theorem 3.12, and we briefly explain the modifications to be done. In equation (3.1) the character μ_i must be replaced by $\mu_i \chi_\alpha$ with the same definition of μ_i . Let us set

$$\nu_\alpha := \alpha \circ \nu_{D^\times}.$$

The proofs of Cases (I) and (III) are the same as when $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$.

In the proof of Case (II) when m is even, the character $r(\Delta_1)$ must be replaced by $\nu_\alpha^{\pm 1} \mu^{-1/2} = \nu_\alpha^{\pm 1} \nu^{-d/2}$ where the sign ± 1 is positive if $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^+$ and negative if $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^-$. According to the case the argument when $\Re(\alpha) < d/2$ or $-\Re(\alpha) < d/2$ is the same. When $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^+$ and $\Re(\alpha) = d/2$ or $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^-$ and $\Re(\alpha) = -d/2$, then the argument is different. In this situation, because the central character is trivial and the standard module is right ordered, all m_i 's are equal to 1, and all μ_{u_i} must be unitary. The m_k 's either come

in pairs $(m_{i,j}, m_{j,i})$ for $i < j$, and for the remaining m_{u_k, u_k} from $k = 1, \dots, t$, the first case of Equation (3.2) can never happen, hence the sign of ϵ_k changes at each step. We deduce that π is of the form

$$\pi = (\nu_{\alpha|_{-d/2}} \times \nu_{\alpha|_{-d/2}}^{-1})^{t/2} \times \prod_{j=1}^{(m-t)/2} (\chi_j \times \chi_j^{-1})$$

for some unitary characters χ_j of D^\times when $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^+$, whereas it is of the form

$$\pi = (\nu_{\alpha|_{d/2}} \times \nu_{\alpha|_{d/2}}^{-1})^{t/2} \times \prod_{j=1}^{(m-t)/2} (\chi_j \times \chi_j^{-1})$$

when $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^-$.

We now discuss the proof of Case (II) when m is odd. The character $r(\Delta_1)$ must be replaced by ν_α when $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^+$ and by $\nu_{\alpha^{-1}|_{-d}}$ when $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^-$, but this second case cannot happen for right-ordering and central character reasons. When $m_{1,1} = m_{1,1}^+$ and $\Re(\alpha) < d/2$ the argument is the same as in the case of trivial α .

Q.E.D.

We take the opportunity to extend [Mat3, Theorems 3.13] in the split case to the case of inner forms. When m is even we obtain the same statement as in the case (a) of standard modules in Theorem 3.21, as a special case of it. When m is odd we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 3.22. *Let π , δ_i and π_i be as in the statement of Theorem 3.12, and α be a character of F^\times with $-\frac{d}{2} \leq \Re(\alpha) < \frac{d}{2}$. Suppose moreover that π is generic and that m is odd. Then π is $(H_{(m+1)/2, (m-1)/2}, \chi_\alpha)$ -distinguished if and only if there exists a generic $H_{(m-1)/2, (m-1)/2}$ -distinguished generic representation π' of G_{m-1} such that $\pi = \pi' \times \nu_\alpha$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.21, if π' is $H_{(m-1)/2, (m-1)/2}$ -distinguished, it is also $\mu^{1/2}\chi_\alpha$ -distinguished thanks to Theorem 3.21, hence $\pi = \pi' \times \nu_\alpha$ is χ_α -distinguished by [Mat3, Proposition 3.8].

The converse implication follows from Theorem 3.21.

Q.E.D.

Remark 3.23. *When G is split, one can allow $\Re(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}$ in the statement of Theorem 3.22 above because π is χ -distinguished if and only if π^\vee is χ^{-1} -distinguished by the realization of the contragredient of an irreducible representation using transpose inverse.*

Together with Proposition 3.9 we obtain:

Corollary 3.24. *Suppose that π is an irreducible representation of G with either a twisted linear period, or a linear period with respect to $H_{l,l}$, for $|l - l'| \leq 1$. Then it is selfdual. If*

moreover π is generic, and π has a twisted linear period or a linear period with respect to $H_{m,m}$, then it is symplectic.

Proof. Only the first statement requires a proof, but it is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.12 and Proposition 3.9, together with the Langlands quotient theorem. Q.E.D.

4. SIGN AND PARABOLIC INDUCTION

By convention G_0 is the trivial group, and the sign of any representation of G_0 is equal to 1. We study the sign of parabolically induced representations, using closed and open intertwining periods. This natural strategy is not new as it was already used in [LM, Lemma 3.5] in the case of linear periods for split G , as was pointed to us by Lapid.

4.1. Sign and irreducible parabolic induction.

Proposition 4.1. *If π_1, π_2 are distinguished representations of G_{m_1} and G_{m_2} for positive integers m_i , and $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is irreducible, then*

$$\text{sgn}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2) = \text{sgn}(\pi_1)\text{sgn}(\pi_2).$$

Proof. We set $m = m_1 + m_2$, and let L_i a nonzero H_i -invariant linear form on π_i , where H_i is the relevant subgroup of G_i , i.e. either $H_{m_i/2, m_i/2}$ if we consider linear periods, or the centralizer of δ in G_{m_i} with square in the non-square elements of G_{m_i} in the case of twisted linear periods. The H -invariant linear form on $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is given by the closed intertwining period

$$f \mapsto \int_{P_{m_1, m_2} \cap H \backslash H} L_1 \otimes L_2(f(h)) dh.$$

In both the non twisted and twisted case, we set u_i as in Section 2.2 such that the normalizer N_i of H_i is equal to $H_i \sqcup u_i H_i$. In particular $u := \text{diag}(u_1, u_2)$ is such that $N = H \sqcup uH$, and we observe that $\delta_{P_{m_1, m_2}}(u) = 1$. The result now follows from the change of variable

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{P_{m_1, m_2} \cap H \backslash H} L_1 \otimes L_2(f(hu^{-1})) dh &= \int_{P_{m_1, m_2} \cap H \backslash H} L_1 \otimes L_2(f(u^{-1}h)) dh \\ &= \int_{P_{m_1, m_2} \cap H \backslash H} L_1 \otimes L_2(\pi_1(u_1^{-1}) \otimes \pi_2(u_2^{-1})f(h)) dh \\ &= \chi_{\pi_1}(\overline{u_1}) \chi_{\pi_2}(\overline{u_2}) \int_{P_{m_1, m_2} \cap H \backslash H} L_1 \otimes L_2(f(h)) dh, \end{aligned}$$

and the identity

$$\epsilon(\pi_1 \times \pi_2) = \epsilon(\pi_1)\epsilon(\pi_2).$$

Q.E.D.

4.2. Sign and open intertwining periods. Before stating the next results, we need to extend somehow the definition of the character χ_π . So far we have only considered irreducible representations for which multiplicity one of H -invariant linear form is guaranteed. In particular it made sense to talk about χ_π rather than χ_L for L the (possibly twisted) linear period on it. Here, though we are only interested in irreducible representations, we need to consider some which are not, though of finite length. On such a finite length representation π of G one might loose multiplicity one, so we prefer to talk about the character of $\frac{N}{H}$ attached to an H -invariant linear form on π when it exists. Hence if $L \in \text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) - \{0\}$ is such that there exists z in \mathbb{C}^\times satisfying $L \circ \pi(u^{-1}) = z.L$, we define χ_L to be the character of $\frac{N}{H}$ such that

$$\chi_L(\bar{u}^{-1}) = z.$$

In particular $\chi_L = \chi_\pi$ if π is irreducible.

Now we recall the Blanc-Delorme theory of open intertwining periods (see [BD1]). For π a finite length representation of G and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we recall our notation

$$\pi[s] := \mu^s \pi.$$

Let $\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_r$ be finite length representations of $G_{m_0}, G_{m_1}, \dots, G_{m_r}$ respectively and suppose that π_0 possesses an H -invariant linear form ℓ_0 . Then the representation

$$\pi_{\underline{s}} := \pi_r[s_r] \times \dots \times \pi_1[s_1] \times \pi_0 \times \pi_1^\vee[-s_1] \times \dots \times \pi_r^\vee[-s_r],$$

is distinguished for any $s_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and there is an explicit enough description of it which we now recall.

We first make specific choices of the element δ , hence of the element u and the group H , well adapted to describe situation in a simple manner, and which we will also use in the next section:

1) In the case of linear periods we take

$$\delta := \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & & I_{m_r} \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & I_{m_1} & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & I_{m_0/2} & & & \\ & & & & -I_{m_0/2} & & & \\ & & & & & & I_{m_1} & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ I_{m_r} & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$u = \text{diag}(I_{m_r}, \dots, I_{m_1}, I_{m_0/2}, -I_{m_0/2}, -I_{m_1}, \dots, -I_{m_r}).$$

2) (a) In the case of odd twisted linear periods we take

$$\delta := \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & \kappa I_{m_r} \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \kappa I_{m_1} & \\ & & & \delta_{D \times} I_{m_0} & & & \\ & & I_{m_1} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ I_{m_r} & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$u = \text{diag}(I_{m_r}, \dots, I_{m_1}, \iota I_{m_0}, -I_{m_1}, \dots, -I_{m_r}).$$

(b) In the case of even twisted linear periods we take

$$\delta := \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & \kappa I_{m_r} \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \kappa I_{m_1} & \\ & & & \kappa I_{m_0/2} & & & \\ & & I_{m_0/2} & & & & \\ & I_{m_1} & & & & & \\ \ddots & & & & & & \\ I_{m_r} & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$u = \text{diag}(I_{m_r}, \dots, I_{m_1}, I_{m_0/2}, -I_{m_0/2}, -I_{m_1}, \dots, -I_{m_r}).$$

Now put

$$\begin{aligned} G &:= G_{m_0 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r m_i}, \\ P &:= P_{(m_r, \dots, m_1, m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r)} \\ M &:= M_{(m_r, \dots, m_1, m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r)}, \end{aligned}$$

and observe that with the choices above, the parabolic subgroup P is θ -split, in particular $P^\theta = M^\theta$.

We denote by ℓ the linear form on

$$\pi_r \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_1 \otimes \pi_0 \otimes \pi_1^\vee \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_r^\vee$$

defined by

$$\ell(v_r \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_1^\vee \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r^\vee) = \ell_0(v_0) \prod_{i=1}^r v_i^\vee(v_i).$$

Then if $f_{\underline{s}}$ is a holomorphic section of $\pi_{\underline{s}}$, the integral

$$I_{\underline{s}}(f_{\underline{s}}) := \int_{P^\theta \backslash H} \ell(f_{\underline{s}}(h)) dh$$

converges for $s_{i+1} - s_i$ of real part large enough, and extends meromorphically. For a generic choice of nonzero vector $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and an integer l such that for any $f := f_0 \in \pi := \pi_0$, the limit

$$L_{\underline{a}}(f) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^l I_{s\underline{a}}(f_{s\underline{a}})$$

is well defined and nonzero for some f . In short we will say that $L_{\underline{a}}$ is a leading term of $I_{\underline{s}}$ at $s = 0$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let π_0 be a finite length representation of G_{m_0} , where m_0 is a non negative integer, which admits a nonzero H -invariant linear form ℓ_0 which admits a sign χ_{ℓ_0} . Let π_i be a finite length representation of G_{m_i} , for $m_i \geq 1$, with a central character for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then the open intertwining period L on*

$$\pi := \pi_r \times \cdots \times \pi_1 \times \pi_0 \times \pi_1^\vee \times \cdots \times \pi_r^\vee$$

associated to ℓ_0 as above has a sign character χ_L , moreover

$$\frac{\chi_L}{\prod_{i=1}^r \omega_{\pi_i}(-1)} = \chi_{\ell_0}.$$

In particular when π is irreducible:

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\pi_0).$$

Proof. By induction, up to the replacements

$$\pi_0 := \pi_{r-1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 \times \pi_0 \times \pi_1^\vee \times \cdots \times \pi_{r-1}^\vee$$

and

$$\ell_0(v_0) := \ell_0(v_0) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} v_i^\vee(v_i),$$

we are reduced to the $r = 1$ case, which we assume for the rest of this proof.

Let f_s be a holomorphic section of

$$\pi_s := \pi_1[s] \times \pi_0 \times \pi_1^\vee[-s].$$

The H -invariant linear form in this case is given by the leading term at $s = 0$ of the following open intertwining period:

$$I_s(f_s) = \int_{P^\theta \backslash H} \ell(f_s(h)) dh.$$

Now we observe that

$$\ell(\pi_1(I_{m_1})v \otimes \pi_1^\vee(\theta(-I_{m_1}))v^\vee) = \omega_{\pi_1}(-1)\ell(v \otimes v^\vee).$$

Hence by a change of variable in the integral defining I_s for $\Re(s)$ large enough we obtain:

$$\chi_{I_s} = \omega_{\pi_1}(-1)\chi_{\ell_0}.$$

The first part of statement then follows by a meromorphic continuity argument. For the second part, if π is irreducible then so is π_1 . Hence

$$\epsilon(\pi_1 \times \pi_1^\vee) = \omega_{\pi_1}(-1).$$

Q.E.D.

4.3. Sign of discrete series representations. In this section it turns out that for linear periods, the Steinberg representation $\text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})$, where m has to be even, exhibits an exceptional behaviour. First its root number is not given by the same formula as the other generalized Steinberg representations with a linear period, and moreover its H -invariant linear form does not come from the open intertwining period on the induced representation lying above it. Hence we first prove our general result for discrete series representations in the special case of linear periods for the Steinberg representation.

Proposition 4.3. *Let m be an even integer. Then the Steinberg representation $\pi := \text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})$ has no twisted linear period. On the other hand it has a linear period, and moreover its sign for linear period is*

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = 1.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from [Cho, Theorem 0.1]. We prove the second in two steps. We start with $m = 2$. Then the H -invariant linear form ℓ_0 on π comes from the descent of the difference of the two closed intertwining periods on the induced representation $\pi_2(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})$. Namely

$$\ell_0(f) = f(I_2) - f(u)$$

where

$$u := \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed this linear form clearly vanishes on the spherical vector. Hence $\chi_{\text{St}_2(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})}(\bar{u}) = -1$ as $u^2 = I_2$. On the other hand $\epsilon(\text{St}_2(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})) = -1$ and the result for $m = 2$ follows.

So we suppose that $m \geq 4$. Now for k between 1 and $m - 1$, set

$$\tau_k := \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times' \cdots \times' \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times' \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_{G_2}}_{\text{position } k} \times' \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times' \cdots \times' \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$$

and

$$\sigma := \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times' \cdots \times' \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times' \underbrace{\text{St}_2(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})}_{\text{middle}} \times' \mathbf{1}_{D^\times} \times' \cdots \times' \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$$

where \times' stands for non-normalized parabolic induction. We denote by $\overline{\tau}_k$ the image of τ_k in the quotient σ , and we recall that

$$\text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}) \simeq \sigma / \sum_{k \neq m/2} \overline{\tau}_k.$$

Arguing as in [Mat7, Lemma 10.12], one checks that no τ_k for $k \neq m/2$ is distinguished. By multiplicity one on $\text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})$, this proves on the one hand that σ has a unique H -invariant linear form, and on the other hand that the H -invariant linear form on $\text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})$ is its descent. Now the H -invariant linear form L on σ_k is a leading term of the open period attached to ℓ_0 as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, and it follows from this proposition that

$$\chi L = \chi \ell_0.$$

Hence

$$\chi \text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}) = \chi \text{St}_2(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}).$$

On the other hand, by the formula for the root number of the Steinberg representation, we have

$$\epsilon(\text{St}_m(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times})) = \epsilon(\text{St}_2(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}))$$

and the result follows. Q.E.D.

The sign of cuspidal representations is computed by a global method in Theorem 5.7. Its proof uses the results above and a globalization argument. Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 allow to reduce the proof for discrete series representations to the cuspidal case, and we explain this now.

Theorem 4.4. *Let π be a distinguished discrete series representation of $\text{GL}_m(D)$. Then*

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = (-1)^m.$$

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 4.3, we can assume that $\pi = \text{St}_t(\rho)$ for $\rho \neq \mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$. In particular if we write $\text{JL}(\rho) = \text{St}_r(\rho')$, we have

$$\epsilon(\pi) = \epsilon(\rho')^{tr} = \epsilon(\rho)^t,$$

On the other hand the H -invariant linear form on $\pi_t(\rho)$ is the descent of the unique open period on $\pi_t(\rho)$ according to Proposition 3.11 (in fact this open intertwining period has

to be holomorphic at the parameter giving $\pi_t(\rho)$ by a reasoning similar to those done in [Mat7]). By Proposition 4.2, when t is odd we have

$$\pi = \text{St}_t(\rho) \Rightarrow \chi_{\text{St}_t(\rho)} = \epsilon(\rho)^{(t-1)} \chi_\rho,$$

and the result follows from Theorem 5.7. When t is even, fix any non-trivial character ψ of F . By Proposition 4.2 we obtain

$$\chi_{\text{St}_t(\rho)}(\bar{u}) = \omega_\rho(-1)^{t/2} = [\epsilon(\rho, \psi)\epsilon(\rho, \psi)]^{t/2} = \epsilon(\rho, \psi)^t = \epsilon(\text{St}_t(\rho)),$$

and the sign is 1 as expected in this case.

Q.E.D.

5. THE SIGN OF CUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS

5.1. The sign of distinguished generic unramified representations for split G .

Here we assume that $G = \text{GL}_n(F)$ is F -split (hence n is even) and we set $K := \text{GL}_n(O_F)$ where O_F is the ring of integers of F . In the case of linear models, the result below follows from [Mat6, Theorem 5.1] and [FJ, Proposition 3.2] and its proof, hence we only prove it for twisted linear periods.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that π is generic unramified and distinguished representation of G . Let v_0 be a K -spherical vector in π , and $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) - \{0\}$. Then $\Lambda(v_0) \neq 0$, hence $\text{sgn}(\pi) = 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.12, and because π is irreducible, the representation can be written under the form $\pi = \chi_1 \times \cdots \times \chi_{2k}$ with $n = 2k$ for unramified characters χ_i of F^\times such that $\chi_{2(i+1)} = \chi_{2i+1}^{-1}$. In this situation each representation $\pi_i : \chi_{2i+1} \times \chi_{2(i+1)}$ of $\text{GL}_2(F)$ is E^\times -distinguished with distinguishing linear form L_i . Then by the proof of Proposition 4.1, setting

$$L := \otimes_{i=1}^k L_i : \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

the H -invariant linear form on $\pi = \prod_{i=1}^k \pi_i$ is given by

$$\Lambda : f \mapsto \int_{B_H \backslash H} L(f(h)) dh \sim \int_{K_H} L(f(h)) dh.$$

Here the symbol “ \sim ” means equality up to a positive constant and B_H is the intersection of the upper triangular Borel of G with H , i.e. the upper triangular Borel of H , and K_H is $\text{GL}_k(O_E)$.

The (unique up to scalar in \mathbb{C}^\times) spherical vector $f_0 \in \pi$ is given by

$$f_0(pk) = \delta_{P_{(2, \dots, 2)}}(p)^{1/2} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k$$

where each v_i is the spherical vector of π_i . Hence up to appropriate normalizations:

$$\Lambda(f_0) = \prod_i L_i(v_i).$$

This reduces our problem to the $n = 2$ case, and we write $\pi = \chi \times \chi^{-1}$. Now we consider separately the case where E/F is unramified and the case where E/F is ramified.

If E/F is unramified, then one can take $\delta \in U_E$, so that with our choice of embedding $U_E \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(O_F)$ and the well defined E^\times -invariant linear form

$$\Lambda : f \mapsto \int_{F^\times \backslash E^\times} f(h) dh$$

on π is nonzero on the spherical vector f_0 as

$$\Lambda(f_0) = \int_{U_F \backslash U_E} f_0(h) dh = \mathrm{vol}(U_F \backslash U_E) > 0.$$

If E/F is ramified, then δ can be chosen as the uniformizer $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of E , and

$$E^\times = F^\times U_E \sqcup F^\times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U_E.$$

Because the Iwasawa decomposition of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain

$$\Lambda(f_0) = \int_{U_F \backslash U_E} f_0(h) dh + \int_{U_F \backslash U_E} f_0 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} h \right) dh = (1 + q^{1/2} \chi(\kappa)) \mathrm{vol}(U_F \backslash U_E).$$

This proves the expected result, except when the unramified character χ satisfies $\chi(\kappa) = -q^{-1/2}$, which implies $\chi = \nu_F \chi^{-1}$. This would imply that π is reducible, hence this case does not occur.

Finally, we conclude that $\mathrm{sgn}(\pi) = 1$ because on one hand we just proved that χ_π is trivial, but on the other hand $\epsilon(\pi) = 1$. Q.E.D.

5.2. The sign of linear periods: generic unitary representations for split G . Here G is F -split (i.e. $m = n$) and $H = L_{n/2, n/2}$. Moreover F is allowed to be Archimedean as well. Because of a later globalization process, we are at the moment only interested in local components of cuspidal automorphic representations with cuspidal Jacquet-Langlands transfer which admit a global twisted linear period. However such representations are known to admit a global Shalika model as we shall recall later. Hence all their local components admit a local Shalika model.

So in this paragraph, we suppose that our local representation π of G admits a Shalika model. By [JR] this implies that π has a linear model, and we will recall the argument in the proof below when π is generic unitary. In fact, when F is p -adic, it is known by [Mat6] or [Gan] that a generic irreducible representation π of G has a linear model if and only if it has a Shalika model. This could certainly be proved in the Archimedean setting as well due to the advanced stage of the literature in this setting, but we don't need this result here.

In any case, under this assumption, the computation of the sign of local linear periods immediately follows from the results in [FJ].

Proposition 5.2. *Let π be an irreducible generic unitary (of Casselman-Wallach type when F is Archimedean) representation of G with a Shalika model. Then it has a linear period and $\text{sgn}(\pi) = 1$.*

Proof. Our result is just a re-interpretation of a result of Friedberg and Jacquet. They prove in [FJ, Proposition 3.1] that if S is in the Shalika model of π , the integral

$$\Psi(s, S) = \int_{G_n} S(\text{diag}(g, I_n)) |\det(g)|_F^{s-1/2} dg$$

initially defined for s of real part large enough in fact extends to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} , which is a holomorphic multiple of $L(s, \pi)$, and is equal to it for some choice of S . They moreover prove in [FJ, Proposition 3.6] the functional equation

$$\Psi(1-s, \tilde{S}) = \gamma(s, \pi) \Psi(s, S).$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(g) = S(ug^{-t}).$$

Under our assumptions $L(1/2, \pi)$ is finite (and of course nonzero) so $\Psi(1/2, S)$ makes sense for any S and because π is selfdual:

$$\Psi(1/2, \tilde{S}) = \epsilon(1/2, \pi) \Psi(1/2, S).$$

Now observe that

$$L : S \mapsto \Psi(1/2, S)$$

is H -invariant, and that it is moreover nonzero as $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$. Finally up to easy changes of variable, the left hand side of the above equation exactly expresses the action of the normalizer N on the linear form L , and our claim follows. Q.E.D.

5.3. The global sign of twisted linear periods. Here we fix a number field k with its adèle ring \mathbb{A} , and denote by $\mathcal{P}(k)$ the set of places of k . We fix a central division k -algebra

D , put $D_{\mathbb{A}} = D \otimes_k \mathbb{A}$ and set

$$G = G_m(D_{\mathbb{A}}).$$

We denote by l a quadratic extension of k . We suppose that there exists a k -embedding of $l \in \mathcal{M}_m(D)$, which we fix. We denote by \mathcal{H} the l -algebra centralizing l in $\mathcal{M}_m(D)$ and set

$$H := (\mathcal{H} \otimes_k \mathbb{A})^{\times} \leq G.$$

The groups G and H are \mathbb{A} -points of algebraic groups \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} defined over k by definition. We put $H_k := \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $G_k := \mathbf{G}(k)$. By the analysis done in Section 2.2, there exists an element $u \in G_k$ such that

$$N_{G_k}(H_k) = H_k \sqcup u.H_k.$$

In this paragraph we prove that the global sign of certain distinguished cuspidal automorphic representations of G is equal to one. We recall that a smooth cuspidal automorphic representation Π of G (see [BPCZ, Section 2.7]) with central character trivial on the center Z_G of G is called distinguished if the convergent period integral

$$\phi \mapsto \int_{Z_G H_k \backslash H} \phi(h) dh$$

does not vanish on Π . The following lemma follows from a simple change of variable in the period integral.

Lemma 5.3. *If Π is a cuspidal automorphic representation of G , then the H -period on Π is fixed by u .*

In order to compute the global sign, we also need to compute the root number of a distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation in the following special case.

From now on, let JL denote the global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence defined in [Bad2] and [BR].

Lemma 5.4. *Let Π be a distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation of G with cuspidal Jacquet-Langlands transfer. We suppose that l/k splits at every Archimedean place of k , and that there are two places $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ such that Π_{v_1} is cuspidal and $\text{JL}_{v_2}(\Pi_{v_2}) = \text{St}_n(\mathbf{1}_{v_2})$. Then $\text{JL}(\Pi)$ has a Shalika period hence it is selfdual, and moreover the central value of the Godement-Jacquet L -function of $\text{JL}(\Pi)$ is nonzero:*

$$L(1/2, \text{JL}(\Pi)) \neq 0.$$

In particular its Godement-Jacquet root number is trivial:

$$\epsilon(\text{JL}(\Pi)) = 1.$$

Proof. Our local assumptions imply that the assumptions of [XZ, Theorem 1.4] are satisfied, hence $\text{JL}(\Pi)$ has a Shalika period so it is selfdual. Then noting that standard L functions

of cuspidal automorphic representations are entire by [GJ], it follows again from [XZ, Theorem 1.4] that $L(1/2, \text{JL}(\Pi)) \neq 0$. Finally the functional equation of the standard L function of $\text{JL}(\Pi)$ (see [GJ]) together with the fact that $\text{JL}(\Pi)$ is selfdual imply that $\epsilon(\text{JL}(\Pi)) = 1$. Q.E.D.

We obtain the sign of global linear periods as a corollary.

Corollary 5.5. *Let Π be a distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation of G as in Lemma 5.4. Then*

$$\prod_{v \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \text{sgn}(\Pi_v) = 1.$$

Proof. First the product is well defined thanks to Proposition 5.1. Moreover the period integral is factorizable thanks to Theorem 2.5 and Theorem A.2 for Archimedean linear periods. The result now follows from, Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4. Q.E.D.

5.4. Application to the sign of cuspidal representations. The determination of the sign in this case follows again from a globalization argument, and the obvious computation of the sign of the trivial representation. For this latter part, we recall that the local root number of $\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}$ is equal to -1 if d is even and 1 if d is odd. This immediately implies:

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that d is even. Then one has $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{1}_{D^\times}) = -1$.*

Here we compute the sign of linear periods, using Lemma 5.4 and a globalization argument of Prasad and Schulze-Pillot.

Theorem 5.7. *Let π be a distinguished cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_m(D)$. Then*

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = (-1)^m.$$

Proof. Let $\frac{x}{d} + \mathbb{Z}$ be the Hasse invariant of $\mathcal{M}_m(D)$ where we take $x \in [1, d]$ and coprime to d , and define a by the equality $\frac{a}{n} = \frac{x}{d}$.

We start with the case of twisted linear periods. By Krasner's lemma and the weak approximation lemma, one can find a number field k and a quadratic extension l/k such that $l_v/k_v = E/F$ for some finite place v of k , l/k remains unsplit at every place of a set S_0 of finite places of k of size $|S_0| = a$ which does not contain v , but l/k splits at every Archimedean place of k (see for instance [AKM⁺, Section 9.6] for the details). Then by the Brauer-Hasse-Noether theorem, one can also find a division algebra \mathcal{D} with center k such that $\mathcal{D}_v = \mathcal{M}_m(D)$, \mathcal{D}_w is a central division algebra \mathfrak{D}_w over k_w of Hasse invariant $\frac{-1}{n} + \mathbb{Z}$ for each w in S_0 , and which is split at every other place of k . In this situation we

observe that l automatically embeds as a k -subalgebra of \mathcal{D} thanks to [SYY, Theorem 1.1]. Finally fix some finite v_1 outside $\{v\} \cup S_0 \cup S_\infty$ such that l_{v_1}/k_{v_1} is split. Putting

$$S := \{v\} \cup \{v_1\} \cup S_0 \cup S_\infty$$

with S_∞ the set of Archimedean places of k , by [PSP, Theorem 4.1], there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation Π of $(\mathcal{D} \otimes_k \mathbb{A})^\times$ which is unramified outside S , such that $\Pi_v = \pi$, and $\Pi_w = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_w^\times}$ for w in S_0 , and Π_{v_1} is cuspidal (and distinguished). Note that $\mathrm{JL}_w(\pi_w) = \mathrm{St}_n(\mathbf{1}_w)$ for all $w \in S$ hence Lemma 5.4 applies. Note also that $\mathrm{JL}_{v_1}(\Pi_{v_1}) = \Pi_{v_1}$ because \mathcal{D}_{v_1} is split. Now the combination of Proposition 5.1, Proposition 5.2, Lemma 5.6 and Corollary 5.5, we obtain the formula:

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\Pi_v) = (-1)^a.$$

The result now follows from the fact that if m is even, then $a = mx$ as well, whereas if m is odd then d must be even, $a = mx$ is also odd because x and d are coprime.

For linear periods, we use again Krasner's lemma and the weak approximation lemma, but this time to find a quadratic extension l/k such that $l_v/k_v \simeq (F \times F)/F$ for some finite place v of k , l/k remains unsplit at every place of a set S_0 of finite places of k of size $|S_0| = a$ which does not contain v , and again l/k is split at every Archimedean place. The rest of the proof is the same (we can however observe that in this situation m is automatically even, so [SYY, Theorem 1.1] applies again). Q.E.D.

Remark 5.8. *When G is split (and also when G is split over a quadratic extension), one can give a local proof of the above result, extending that of Prasad for inner forms of GL_2 , and which applies directly to the class of H -cuspidal representations of G (see [KT]). By [KT] a cuspidal representation of G is automatically H -cuspidal. We very briefly sketch the idea, and mention that the proof uses the realization of the contragredient of an irreducible representation using transpose inverse, hence the restrictive hypothesis on G . One just needs to modify an argument from the thesis [Ok] of Youngbin Ok based on the Poisson summation formula, which itself extends to GL_n the quick proof by Deligne [Del] of a result of Fröhlich and Queyrut [FQ] for GL_1 . Ok uses the the Poisson summation formula together with an extension of the Godement-Jacquet functional equation for relative matrix coefficients to prove the triviality of local root numbers of cuspidal representations distinguished by a Galois involution. The method of Ok in fact proves the result for relatively cuspidal representations as has been observed by Offen in [Off1]. In fact [Off1, Sections 2.2 and 2.3] apply with minor modifications to our setting, using the same results from [GJ]. The only difference is that in the Poisson summation formula [Off1, Lemma 2.2], the orthogonal of the Lie algebra \mathcal{H} of H is $u\mathcal{H}$ instead of \mathcal{H} itself. Following the proof*

of [Off1, Proposition 2.1], this will claim that the gamma factor of an H -cuspidal π at $s = 1/2$ is equal to $(-1)^{m(d-1)}\chi_\pi(u) = (-1)^m\chi_\pi(u)$, but this gamma factor is equal to the root number as π is self dual and because $L(1/2, \pi) \neq 0$ because π is unitary. We refer to the proof of Theorem 7.1 for more details when π is a discrete series representation and G is a real Lie group.

Remark 5.9. Using Remark 5.8, one can remove the assumption that l_{v_1}/k_{v_1} is split in the proof of Theorem 5.7.

Remark 5.10. When F is a finite field, the sign problem makes sense as well. Multiplicity at most one is known for linear periods, at least on cuspidal representations ([Sé2]), and can certainly be checked for twisted linear periods following [Guo]. In this setting the computation alluded to in 5.8 works equally well and relates the sign to the Godement-Jacquet gamma factors defined in [Rod], with the extra complication that G has not total measure in its Lie algebra anymore. Hence one has to use cuspidality to prove vanishing of some terms, in order to use the Poisson summation formula within the Godement-Jacquet functional equation. The details will appear elsewhere, and will be consistent with our result for level zero cuspidal representations.

6. THE SIGN OF GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.12, Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.2, and Theorem 4.4, we achieve the goal of this paper when F is p -adic. For Archimedean F we refer to the next section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let F be any local field of characteristic zero, and π be a distinguished generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{sgn}(\pi) = (-1)^m.$$

7. THE CASE OF ARCHIMEDEAN FIELDS

Here D is either \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} or the Hamilton quaternions \mathbb{H} . This case is actually simpler up to the classification of distinguished generic representations, which is more difficult. First we claim that the strategy for reducing to discrete series representations is exactly the same. Indeed, the classification theorem 3.12 is proved by Suzuki and Tamori in [ST] for twisted linear periods, and in Appendix D for linear periods, together with [MOY, Theorem 5.4] for the sufficiency of the condition obtained in these references. The reduction then relies on open and closed intertwining periods and the properties (b) and (c) of epsilon factors before Section 2.4, which as we already observed are also valid in the Archimedean

setting. We refer to [MOY] for the state of the art concerning Archimedean intertwining periods, however we mention that in the case of interest to us, the theory of Archimedean open intertwining periods is fully established by the works of Delorme-Brylinski [BD2] and Carmona-Delorme [CD]. Now there is not too much to say in the case of discrete series representations when $D = \mathbb{C}^\times$ as they are characters of \mathbb{C}^\times . The sign of discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ admitting a linear model is covered by the results of Friedberg and Jacquet in Section 5.2, and is actually nothing else than the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$ Rankin-Selberg equation at $s = 1/2$.

When $D = \mathbb{H}^\times$ then discrete series representations of \mathbb{H}^\times are finite dimensional and the only possible distinction is with respect to the twisted linear model. We treat this case together with that of twisted linear models for discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. In such cases Prasad's computation of the sign of cuspidal representations using the Godement-Jacquet functional equation in [Pra, Theorem 4] when F is p -adic applies up to some extra observations.

Theorem 7.1. *Let π be a distinguished discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$, then $\mathrm{sgn}(\pi) = (-1)^m$.*

Proof. Let us focus on the case of twisted linear models for $G = \mathbb{H}^\times$ as well as $G = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, as we already discussed all other cases before the theorem. We treat both cases together though the arguments can be simplified a lot when $G = \mathbb{H}^\times$. First, π is trivial on the central subgroup $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Moreover, by density of the space π_0 of $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -finite vectors, the continuous and nonzero \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant linear ℓ form on π restricts as a non trivial linear form ℓ_0 to that space. Hence it must restricts non trivially to the subspace of $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -fixed vectors, and in particular there is $v_0 \in \pi$ which is fixed under \mathbb{C}^\times such that $\ell(v_0) \neq 0$. Of course the space of \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed vectors is a line in π , otherwise the space \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant linear forms on π_0 would have dimension 2, and thus that of continuous \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant linear forms on π as well according to the automatic continuity [BD2, Theorem 1], so the sign of π is actually detected by the action of N on $\mathbb{C} \cdot v_0$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a G -invariant scalar product on π and call π_1 the completion of π with respect to it (so that π is the space of smooth vectors in π_1). We recall that this scalar product identifies $\overline{\pi_1}$ and $\pi_1^\vee = \pi_1$, and that the same holds without the index 1 as well as with the index 0. In particular through this identifications, ℓ_0 identifies with $v \rightarrow \langle v, v_0 \rangle$, and $c_0(g) := \langle \pi(g)v_0, v_0 \rangle$ is a bi- \mathbb{C}^\times -invariant matrix coefficient of π_0 . The Godement-Jacquet functional equation [GJ, Theorem 8.7] for $s = 1/2$ now looks like

$$\int_G \widehat{\Phi}(g)c_0(g^{-1})\nu(g)dg = (-1)^{m(d-1)}\epsilon(\phi_\pi) \int_G \Phi(g)c_0(g)\nu(g)dg$$

where Φ can be any Schwartz function on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi}$ is defined with the character Ψ of \mathfrak{g} as in [GJ, p. 113], and where we canceled out the nonzero L value $L(1/2, \pi_0) = L(1/2, \pi_0^\vee)$ on both sides of the functional equation. Moreover, both sides of the functional equation are actually absolutely convergent by [GJ, (9.18) on p. 132]. Now let u be our representative in the non trivial class of $N_G(H)$. We observe that the orthogonal \mathfrak{h}^\perp of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H with respect to Ψ is equal to $u \cdot \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h} \cdot u$. Rewriting the absolutely convergent LHS of the functional equation, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \widehat{\Phi}(g)c_0(g^{-1})\nu(g)dg &= \int_{G/H} \left(\int_H \widehat{\Phi}(hg)\nu(hg)dh \right) c_0(g^{-1})dg \\ &= \int_{G/H} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{h}} \widehat{\Phi}(xg)\nu(g)dx \right) c_0(g^{-1})dg \end{aligned}$$

where dx is the normalized Haar measure on \mathfrak{h} . Because

$$\widehat{\Phi}(xg) = \nu(g)^{-2} \rho(\widehat{g^{-1}})\Phi(x)$$

where ρ denotes right translate, by the Poisson formula we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{h}} \widehat{\Phi}(xg)\nu(g)dx = \int_{\mathfrak{h}} \Phi(xg^{-1})\nu(g)^{-1}dx,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \widehat{\Phi}(g)c_0(g^{-1})\nu(g)dg &= \int_{G/H} \left(\int_{u \cdot \mathfrak{h}} \Phi(xg^{-1})\nu(g)^{-1}dx \right) c_0(g^{-1})dg \\ &= \int_{G/H} \left(\int_H \Phi(u^{-1}hg^{-1})\nu(u^{-1}hg^{-1})dh \right) c_0(g^{-1})dg \\ &= \nu(u^{-1}) \int_G \Phi(u^{-1}g^{-1})c_0(g^{-1})\nu(g^{-1})dg \\ &= \int_G \Phi(g)c_0(ug)\nu(g)dg = \chi_\pi(u) \int_G \Phi(g)\nu(g)c_0(g)dg \end{aligned}$$

Certainly the Schwartz function Φ can be chosen such that the Zeta integral $\int_G \Phi(g)\nu(g)c_0(g)dg$ is nonzero, so we deduce from the functional equation that

$$\chi_\pi(u) = (-1)^{m(d-1)}\epsilon(\phi_\pi) = (-1)^m\epsilon(\phi_\pi).$$

Q.E.D.

APPENDIX A. MULTIPLICITY ONE FOR LINEAR PERIODS OF INNER FORMS

In this appendix F is allowed to be any local field of characteristic 0. We recall that D is a central F -division. Let l, l' and m be positive integers such that $l + l' = m$. We prove here that the symmetric pair

$$(G := \mathrm{GL}_m(D), H := \mathrm{GL}_l(D) \times \mathrm{GL}_{l'}(D))$$

is a *Gelfand pair* when $|l - l'| \leq 1$, i.e., that if π is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ (of Casselman-Wallach type when F is Archimedean), then

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1.$$

When $D = F$ is non Archimedean, this result is due to Jacquet and Rallis ([JR]). Later Aizenbud and Gourevitch gave another proof, inspired by that of Jacquet and Rallis, which applies to all local fields of characteristic zero in [AG, Theorem 8.2.4]. This proof was extended when $l = l'$ to unitary representations of inner forms by Chong Zhang, who obtained the following statement as a key step in the process (see [Zha, Proposition 4.3 and Remark 4.7]), but we claim that this step is valid for any l and l' :

Proposition A.1. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G . Then*

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \dim(\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi^\vee, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1.$$

Proof. Following the proof of [Zha, Proposition 4.1] and using the terminology introduced in [BM], one sees that the descendants of the pair (G, H) can only be of diagonal type, of Galois type or of the form

$$(R_{L/F}\mathrm{GL}_{a+b}(D'), R_{L/F}\mathrm{GL}_a(D') \times R_{L/F}\mathrm{GL}_b(D'))$$

for D' an L -division algebra, where L is a finite extension of F and $R_{L/F}$ is Weil restriction of scalars. This is enough to conclude according to the discussion before [Zha, Proposition 4.3] and the references to [AG] given there. Q.E.D.

In order to prove that (G, H) is a Gelfand pair when $|l - l'| \leq 1$, it is enough to prove that H -distinguished irreducible representations of G are selfdual. This has been proved in Corollary 3.24 in the p -adic case, and follows from a verbatim adaptation of the proof of [BM, Theorem 6.7] in the Archimedean case. Hence we obtain:

Theorem A.2. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G , and suppose that $|l - l'| \leq 1$. Then*

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1.$$

When π is unitary, we can remove the assumption on p and q by the observation in the proof of [Zha, Corollary 4.4].

APPENDIX B. BERNSTEIN-ZELEVINSKY THEORY FOR THE MIRABOLIC SUBGROUP OF INNER FORMS AND LINEAR PERIODS

Here we only make observations without proofs, about some results which remain valid from the mirabolic restriction theory of Bernstein-Zelevinsky ([BZ1] and [BZ2]) for inner

forms of the generalized linear group. We define the mirabolic subgroup P_m of $G_m := \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ as the group of matrices in G_m with bottom row equal to $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. We denote by U_m its unipotent radical, so that the mirabolic subgroup is the semi-direct product $P_m = G_{m-1} \cdot U_m$, where we identify G_{m-1} with a subgroup of G_m by the embedding

$$g \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(g, 1).$$

The group G_{m-1} thus acts naturally on the group \widehat{U}_m of characters of U_m by

$$(g, \psi) \mapsto \psi(g^{-1} \cdot g),$$

with exactly two orbits: the trivial character and the others. Moreover all non degenerate characters (see [BH]) of the maximal unipotent upper triangular subgroup of G_m are conjugate under the action of the diagonal matrices in G_m . This makes some part of the machinery of Bernstein-Zelevinsky work the same and we simply observe that the following results hold with exactly the same proofs. First the functors Φ^\pm and Ψ^\pm (and $\hat{\Phi}^+$ defined as in [BZ2, Section 3], satisfy the statements of [BZ2, Propositions 3.2 and 3.4] with the same proofs (relying on [BZ1, Section 5.11]). Following the authors of [BZ2], for τ a smooth representation of P_m and k between 1 and n we put

$$\tau^{(k)} = \Psi^-(\Phi^-)^{k-1}(\tau),$$

and

$$\tau^{(0)} = \tau.$$

Then the Bernstein-Zelevinsky filtration still exists for smooth representations of P_m :

Theorem B.1. [BZ2, Corollary 3.4] *Let τ be a smooth representation of P_m with $m \geq 2$. Then τ admits a filtration*

$$\{0\} \subseteq \tau_m \subseteq \dots \subseteq \tau_1 = \tau$$

with

$$\tau_k / \tau_{k+1} \simeq (\Phi^+)^{k-1} \Psi^+(\tau^{(k)}).$$

Following again Bernstein and Zelevinsky, we define the derivatives of a representation of G_m to be that of its restriction to G_m . Now let π be a cuspidal representation of G_m . Because of the filtration above, and because $\pi^{(k)} = \{0\}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ (this is by the definition of the derivatives, which factor through some Jacquet module), we obtain the following corollary of the Bernstein-Zelevinsky filtration above:

Corollary B.2. *Let π be a cuspidal representation of G_m . Then $\pi = (\Phi^+)^{m-1}(\Psi^+)(\pi^{(n)})$ as a representation of P_m , where $\pi^{(n)}$ is a finite dimensional D -vector space, the dimension of which is that of the generalized Whittaker model of π in the sense of [BH].*

Proof. The only assertion remaining is that on the dimension of $\pi^{(n)}$. By definition its dual is the space of generalized Whittaker functionals on π , and it is finite dimensional by [MW]. Q.E.D.

In turn, this is sufficient for the following result concerning cuspidal representations of G_m to hold with the same proof as in [Mat1] (see also [CM] for the version with the twisting character).

Proposition B.3. *Let p and q be two non negative integers such that $p + q = m \geq 2$. Let μ be a character of $H_{l,\nu}$ and π be a cuspidal representation of G_m . If π is $(H_{l,\nu}, \mu)$ -distinguished, then $l = l'$.*

We extend this result to discrete series representations in Section 3.3. Here we treat separately the case of Steinberg representations, as its proof is different and uses the theory of derivatives.

More precisely, a careful analysis of [BZ2] and [Zel] shows that the full theory developed in these papers hold for representations with cuspidal support consisting of characters of $G_1 = D^\times$. Let us explain the crucial point. The result of [BZ2, Theorem 4.2] for a representation $\pi := \chi_1 \times \cdots \times \chi_r$ induced by characters of D^\times becomes in our setting that π is irreducible as soon as $\chi_i \chi_j^{-1} \neq \mu$ for any $i \neq j$. But following [BZ2], with notations and terminology identical to that of [BZ2, Section 4.8], the natural 1-pairing between π and $\tilde{\pi}$ induces a μ -pairing between π and $\tilde{\pi} := \mu\pi$, which induces by restriction to the mirabolic subgroup P_r a Δ -pairing between $\pi|_{P_r}$ and $\tilde{\pi}|_{P_r}$. This latter assertion becomes false if one replaces μ by μ^s for any other real number s , but for $s = 1$ it implies that the proof of [BZ2, Lemma 4.7] remains valid when the cuspidal representations ρ_i there are replaced here by characters χ_i of D^\times . The claim one has to check in turn is that this lemma is the key to have a complete theory of derivatives. In particular the following proposition holds, as one can check from its proof in [Zel, Proposition 9.5] and the formula for Jacquet modules of generalized Steinberg representations in [Tad, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition B.4. *Let $m \geq 1$ and k be between 0 and n , and let χ be a character of G_1 . Then*

$$\mathrm{St}_n(\chi)^{(k)} = \nu^{k/2} \mathrm{St}_{n-k}(\chi).$$

The the following follows immediately as in [Mat2, Theorem 3.1]

Proposition B.5. *Suppose that the representation $\mathrm{St}_n(\chi)$ above is $(H_{l,\nu}, \mu)$ -distinguished for some character μ of $H_{l,\nu}$. Then $p = q$.*

APPENDIX C. A MULTIPLICITY ZERO RESULT FOR GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS

Here F is p -adic. He prove a multiplicity zero result which applies to a class larger than that of generic representations.

Lemma C.1. *Let $p \geq q$ be two non negative integers, m_0 and m_1 be two positive integers such that $m_0 + m_1 = l + l' := m$. Set $G = G_m$, and set $H = H_{l,l'}$. Let π_0 be an irreducible representation of G_{m_0} with cuspidal support containing no character of G_1 , let π_1 an irreducible representation of G_{m_1} with cuspidal support consisting of characters of G_1 only, and let χ be a character of H . In such a situation, if $\pi_0 \times \pi_1$ is χ -distinguished, then m_0 is even, $q \geq m_0/2$, and there exist characters χ_0 of $H_{m_0/2, m_0/2}$ and χ_1 of $H_{l-m_0/2, l'-m_0/2}$ such that π_0 is χ_0 -distinguished and π_1 is χ_1 -distinguished.*

Proof. Once again this follows from the geometric lemma as in [Off2]. Realize π_0 and π_1 as Langlands quotients of the standard modules S_0 and S_1 respectively. Any χ -invariant on $\pi_0 \times \pi_1$ is the descent of a χ -invariant linear form on $S_0 \times S_1$. Set $P = MU$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of G of type (m_0, m_1) . Reasoning as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.12, and by our assumption, no duality relation can occur between the subsegments of the product S_0 and those of the product S_1 . This already implies that the double cosets PxH possibly contributing to distinction of $\pi_0 \times \pi_1$ are such that the representative x belongs to the standard Levi subgroup M . Moreover if one writes $x = \text{diag}(x_0, x_1)$, the element x_0 can only have even $m_{i,i} = 2m_{i,i}^+$ in its associated subpartition by Theorem 3.8. The result now follows from the second equality in the proof of [Off2, Proposition 3.1]. Q.E.D.

As a consequence of the discussion in Appendix B about the theory of derivatives for representations the cuspidal support of which consist of characters of G_1 , we also obtain the following multiplicity zero result. Its proof is the same as that of the erratum to [Mat2, Theorem 3.2], which is available on the author's webpage but not published. We thus reproduce the argument here, and recall that if Δ is a cuspidal segment, then $r(\Delta)$ denotes the cuspidal representation forming its right end.

Lemma C.2. *Let $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$ be cuspidal segments with supports consisting of characters of G_1 , and suppose that they are right anti-ordered, i.e. $e(r(\Delta_{i+1})) \geq e(r(\Delta_i))$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Then the representation $\pi = \delta(\Delta_1) \times \dots \times \delta(\Delta_r)$ of G cannot be $H_{l,l'}$ distinguished if $|l - l'| \geq 2$.*

Proof. We observe that for any non negative integer n_i , the segments

$$\Delta_1^{(n_1)}, \dots, \Delta_r^{(n_1)}$$

are still right anti-ordered. Then one replaces the class of representations to which the induction is applied in the proof of [Mat2, Theorem 3.2] (defined by a non preceding condition which is not preserved by taking derivatives of each segments as claimed in the proof of [Mat2, Theorem 3.2]) by that of products as in the statement of the lemma. Then the proof of [Mat2, Theorem 3.2] applies without any modification, except the initial steps of the induction which are for $n = 2$ and 3 . For these cases we see that for G_2 and G_3 , the only product of discrete series representations having a character as a quotient are respectively of the form $\xi \times \xi\nu^{-1}$ and $\xi \times \xi\nu^{-1} \times \xi\nu^{-2}$, and the corresponding segments are visibly not right anti-ordered. Q.E.D.

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas C.1 and C.2, we obtain:

Theorem C.3. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G which can be written as a commutative product of discrete series representations, for example a generic representation of G . Let $H = H_{l,l'}$ with $|l - l'| \geq 2$, and χ be a character of H . Then π cannot be χ -distinguished.*

APPENDIX D. ARCHIMEDEAN STANDARD MODULES WITH A LINEAR PERIOD

By Miyu Suzuki and Hiroyoshi Tamori

We prove a necessary condition for a standard module of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ has non-zero linear period. Here, $D = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} . We follow the same line as [ST], in which the authors treated the case of twisted linear period.

D.1. Notation. We recall the notations that will be used here. Let $D \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$, where \mathbb{H} is the quaternion division algebra and m be a positive integer. Set

$$G = G_m = \mathrm{GL}_m(D), \quad l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}m & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2}(m-1) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Put $\delta = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, \dots, (-1)^{m-1}) \in G$ and write $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}(\delta)$ for the inner automorphism $x \mapsto \theta(x) = \delta x \delta^{-1}$ of G . The group of fixed points $H_m = H := G^\theta$ is a symmetric subgroup and $H_m = H_{l,l}$ when m is even, whereas $H_m = H_{l+1,l}$ when m is odd.

A parabolic subgroup and a Levi subgroup of G are assumed to be blockwise upper triangular and blockwise diagonal. Let (m_1, \dots, m_r) be a partition of m and P a parabolic subgroup with a Levi subgroup consisting of blockwise diagonal matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ with $x_i \in \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(D)$. When $D \neq \mathbb{R}$, we assume that $r = m$ and $m_1 = \dots = m_r = 1$, *i.e.* P is the minimal parabolic subgroup. When $D = \mathbb{R}$, we assume that $m_i \in \{1, 2\}$ for all i . These conditions are equivalent to that Levi subgroups of P

have essentially square integrable representations, and such parabolic subgroups are called *cuspidal*.

D.2. H_m -orbits in $P \backslash G$. Let P be a cuspidal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the partition (m_1, \dots, m_r) . From [Mat3, Section 3.1], we recall the study on a set of representatives of the H_m -orbits in the flag variety $P \backslash G$. Note that [Mat3] treats the p -adic case but the same holds in our setting.

Let V be a right D -vector space of dimension m and e_1, \dots, e_m a basis of V . We regard G as the group of D -linear automorphisms of V which acts on V from the left. In particular, note that $\delta \in G$ defines an involution on V . Set $V_i^\circ = \text{Span}_D\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m_1 + \dots + m_i\}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. We obtain a flag $V_0^\circ = 0 \subset V_1^\circ \subset \dots \subset V_r^\circ = V$ such that $\dim_D(V_i^\circ) = m_1 + \dots + m_i$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$ be the set of flags $V_0 = 0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = V$ of length r such that $\dim_D(V_i) = m_1 + \dots + m_i$ for each i . Then we obtain a bijection $P \backslash G \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_P(V)$ by sending $g \in P \backslash G$ to the flag $0 \subset g^{-1}V_1^\circ \subset \dots \subset g^{-1}V_r^\circ = V$. Obviously this is a G -equivariant map with respect to the right G -actions on $P \backslash G$ and $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$.

For a flag $0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = V$ in $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$, take a complement $W_{ij} \subset V_i \cap \delta(V_j)$ to $V_i \cap \delta(V_{j-1}) + V_{i-1} \cap \delta(V_j)$ satisfying $W_{ji} = \delta(W_{ij})$ for each $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Note that in particular W_{ii} is δ -stable. Such family of subspaces (W_{ij}) exists since δ maps $V_i \cap \delta(V_{j-1}) + V_{i-1} \cap \delta(V_j)$ to $V_j \cap \delta(V_{i-1}) + V_{j-1} \cap \delta(V_i)$.

For each i, j , set $m_{ij} = \dim_D(W_{ij})$. Let $W_{ii} = W_{ii}^+ \oplus W_{ii}^-$ be the decomposition of W_{ii} into the (± 1) -eigensubspaces of δ and set $m_{ii}^\pm = \dim_D(W_{ii}^\pm)$. Then we obtain an $r \times r$ -symmetric matrix (m_{ij}) of non-negative integer entries attached to a flag $0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r = V$ in $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$. It satisfies for each i, j that

- (P1): $m_i = \sum_{j=1}^r m_{ij}$, i.e. $m = \sum_{i,j=1}^r m_{ij}$ is a subpartition of $m = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$.
- (P2): $m_{ji} = m_{ij}$ and $m_{ii} = m_{ii}^+ + m_{ii}^-$.
- (P3): $\sum_{i=1}^r d_i = [m]_2$, where $d_i := m_{ii}^+ - m_{ii}^- \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $[m]_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes the remainder when m is divided by 2.

Let $I_P(m)$ be the set of pairs $((m_{ij})_{ij}, (m_{ii}^\pm)_i)$ satisfying (P1)-(P3) where (m_{ij}) is a $r \times r$ -matrix with $m_{ii} = m_{ii}^+ + m_{ii}^-$.

It is easy to see that two flags are in a same H_m -orbit in $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$ if and only if they correspond to the same elements in $I_P(m)$ (see [Mat3, Proposition 3.1], the proof of which holds in the Archimedean setting). Let $\mathcal{F}_P(V)/H_m$ denote the set of H_m -orbits in $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$.

Lemma D.1. *The map $\mathcal{F}_P(V)/H_m \rightarrow I_P(m)$ obtained above is injective, i.e. the set $I_P(m)$ is a complete set of invariants of H_m -orbits in $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$.*

On the other hand, for each element $s \in I_P(m)$, we can explicitly construct flags in $\mathcal{F}_P(V)$ which corresponds to that invariant. In particular, the map $P \backslash G / H_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_P(V) / H_m \rightarrow I_P(m)$ is a bijection. For $s = [(m_{ij}), (m_{ii} = m_{ii}^+ + m_{ii}^-)] \in I_P(m)$, we define a block monomial matrix δ_s as follows:

- (i) the block partition of δ_s is the subpartition of $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_r$ attached to (m_{ij}) , *i.e.*

$$(D.1) \quad \begin{aligned} m &= m_{11} + m_{12} + \cdots + m_{1r} \\ &+ m_{21} + m_{22} + \cdots + m_{2r} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &+ m_{r1} + m_{r2} + \cdots + m_{rr}. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) each diagonal $m_{ii} \times m_{ii}$ -block is $\text{diag}(I_{m_{ii}^+}, -I_{m_{ii}^-})$ and the other diagonal blocks are all zero.
 (iii) for each $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, both the $m_{ij} \times m_{ji}$ -block and the $m_{ji} \times m_{ij}$ -block are $I_{m_{ij}}$ (note that $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$).
 (iv) all the other blocks are zero.

Then we can take a representative $u_s \in G$ of the double coset in $P \backslash G / H_m$ corresponding to $s \in I_P(m)$ so that $\delta_s = u_s \delta u_s^{-1}$ ([Mat3, Proposition 3.2]).

Since $\delta_s^2 = I_m$, the inner automorphism $\theta_s = \text{Ad}(\delta_s)$ on G is an involution. The group of fixed points G^{θ_s} equals $u_s H_m u_s^{-1}$.

Let P_s be the standard parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the partition (D.1). Note that we have $P_s \subset P$ and that $P_s^{\theta_s} = (P \cap \theta_s P)^{\theta_s}$. Let $P = MN$ and $P_s = M_s N_s$ be the Levi decomposition of P and P_s , respectively. Then we have

- $M \cong \text{GL}_{m_1}(D) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}_{m_r}(D)$ and $M_s \cong \prod_{j=1}^r \text{GL}_{m_{1j}}(D) \times \cdots \times \prod_{j=1}^r \text{GL}_{m_{rj}}(D)$.
- $P'_{M,s} = M \cap P_s = M \cap \theta_s P$ is a parabolic subgroup of M with Levi decomposition $P'_{M,s} = M_s (M \cap N_s) = (M \cap \theta_s M) (M \cap \theta_s N)$.
- the involution θ_s acts on M_s by a permutation of blocks and an element of $M_s^{\theta_s}$ is of the form

$$(D.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \text{diag}(x_{11}^+, x_{11}^-, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1r}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{r,r-1}, x_{rr}^+, x_{rr}^-), \\ &\text{where } x_{ij} \in \text{GL}_{m_{ij}}(D), x_{ii}^\pm \in \text{GL}_{x_{ii}^\pm}(D) \text{ and } x_{ij} = x_{ji} \text{ for all } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is [Mat3, Proposition 3.6].

Proposition D.2. *For parabolic subgroups P , P_s of G and $P'_{M,s}$ of M , we write their modulus characters by δ_P , δ_{P_s} and $\delta_{P'_{M,s}}$.*

- (1) For $x \in M_s^{\theta_s}$, we have $\delta_P \delta_{P'_{M,s}}(x) = \delta_{P_s}(x)$.
- (2) We write $x \in M_s^{\theta_s}$ as in the form (D.2). Then we have

$$\delta_{P_s^{\theta_s}}^2 \delta_{P_s}^{-1}(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} |\det(x_{ii}^+)|^{d_j} |\det(x_{ii}^-)|^{-d_j} |\det(x_{jj}^+)|^{-d_i} |\det(x_{jj}^-)|^{d_i}.$$

D.3. The main result. We assume that all representations of (almost) linear Nash groups in this Appendix are smooth Fréchet representations of moderate growth. Lie algebras will be denoted by Latin capital letters, and their Lie algebras will be denoted by the corresponding lower case German letters. We put subscript \mathbb{C} to denote their complexifications. Given a linear f map between Lie algebras, we use the same letter f to denote the complexification of f . For a complex linear space $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we write $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$. Given an involution σ on a Lie group G , we use the same letter σ for its differential on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . The trivial representation of a group G is denoted by $\mathbf{1}_G$.

Take P, s, θ_s as in Section D.2. Fix a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form B on \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{s} the Cartan subalgebra of the real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} consisting of diagonal real matrices. Write $\Sigma = \{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m, i \neq j\}$ for the root system for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ and fix a positive system $\Sigma^+ = \{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$ of Σ . For $\alpha \in \Sigma$, we define X_{α} to be the unique element in \mathfrak{s} satisfying $B(X, X_{\alpha}) = \alpha(X)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. Set $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta_s}$, where $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})$ denotes the center of \mathfrak{m} . We regard $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ via the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \{X \in \mathfrak{s} \mid B(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for any } Y \in \mathfrak{a}\}$.

For a closed subgroup R of G , we define

$$\Sigma_R = \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \cap \mathfrak{r} \neq \{0\}\}.$$

Theorem D.3. *Let δ_i be an irreducible essentially square integrable representation of $\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Define $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ so that $\delta_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \delta_r(\exp(X))$ is a scalar multiple by $e^{\lambda(X)}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{a}$. Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product of nuclear Fréchet spaces. Assume that $\Re \lambda(X_{\alpha}) \geq 0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$ and that $\pi := \delta_1 \times \delta_2 \times \cdots \times \delta_r$ is H_m -distinguished. Then there exists an involutive permutation $\zeta \in S_r$ satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(D.3) \quad \begin{cases} m \text{ is odd and } \delta_i \cong \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_1(D)} & \text{if } \zeta(i) = i \text{ and } m_i = 1, \\ \delta_i \text{ is } H_2\text{-distinguished} & \text{if } \zeta(i) = i, D = \mathbb{R} \text{ and } m_i = 2, \\ m_{\zeta(i)} = m_i \text{ and } \delta_{\zeta(i)} \cong \delta_i^{\vee} & \text{if } \zeta(i) \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Here δ_i^{\vee} denotes the contragredient representation of δ_i . In particular, if $m = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$ is odd, then there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $m_i = 1$ and $\delta_i \cong \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_1(D)}$.

The proof is similar to the one in [ST, Theorem 1.2]. We only sketch the parts which are word by word the same as in [ST], and explain the other parts in detail.

Let us consider the condition on $s \in I_P(m)$ that

$$(D.4) \quad \begin{cases} d_i = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \text{ if } m \text{ is even,} \\ d_{\leq i} := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} d_j \in \{0, 1\} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and put

$$J_P(m) := \{s \in I_P(m) \mid M \text{ is } \theta_s\text{-stable, and (D.4) holds}\}.$$

Remark that M is θ_s -stable if and only if \mathfrak{m} is θ_s -stable.

As in [ST, Theorem 5.8], let us prove the following Lemma.

Lemma D.4.

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{H_m}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{H_m}) \leq \sum_{s \in J_P(m)} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{M^{\theta_s}}(\delta_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \delta_r, \mathbf{1}_{M^{\theta_s}}).$$

Proof. Fix $s \in I_P(m)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $\Xi := (\Sigma_N \cap \theta_s \Sigma_M) \cup (\theta_s \Sigma_N \cap \Sigma_M) \cup (\Sigma_N \cap \theta_s \Sigma_N) \subset \Sigma^+$. From [ST, Lemma 4.4], there exists $X \in \mathfrak{s}^{\theta_s} \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ commuting with $\mathfrak{m}_s = \mathfrak{m} \cap \theta_s \mathfrak{m}$ such that

- (a) $X \in \sum_{\alpha \in \Xi} \mathbb{R}_{>0} X_\alpha$,
- (b) the eigenvalue of the adjoint action of X on $(\mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_s} + \mathfrak{p}))_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ are all positive, and
- (c) $\alpha(X) > 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Xi$.

In particular, X is of the form

$$X = \operatorname{diag}(x_{11}I_{n_{11}}, x_{12}I_{n_{12}}, \dots, x_{r,r-1}I_{n_{r,r-1}}, x_{rr}I_{n_{rr}})$$

with $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $x_{ii} > x_{jj}$ for $i < j$.

Put K to be the maximal compact subgroup $\{g \in G \mid {}^t \bar{g}^{-1} = g\}$ of G (Remark that $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the main involution when $D = \mathbb{H}$). From Proposition D.2(1), $\delta_P^{1/2} = \delta_{P_s}^{1/2} \delta_{P'_{M,s}}^{-1/2}$. As in the proof of [ST, Theorem 5.8], it suffices to prove that the eigenvalues of the action of X on the 0-th relative Lie algebra homology

$$(D.5) \quad H_0((\mathfrak{m} \cap \theta_s \mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{C}}, (\delta_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \delta_r)_{(K \cap M^s)\text{-fin}} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_s} + \mathfrak{p}))_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \otimes (\delta_{P_s}^{-1} \delta_{P_s}^{1/2}) \delta_{P'_{M,s}}^{-1/2})$$

are nonzero if $s \in I_P(m) \setminus J_P(m)$ or $k > 0$. Here $(\delta_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \delta_r)_{(K \cap M^s)\text{-fin}}$ denotes the space of $(K \cap M^s)$ -finite vectors in $\delta_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \delta_r$. Note that we used $u_s H_m u_s^{-1} \cap P = (P \cap \theta_s P)^{\theta_s} = P_s^{\theta_s}$. See [ST, Remark 5.1].

Set $\mathfrak{m}_i := \{\operatorname{diag}(x_1 I_{n_1}, \dots, x_r I_{n_r}) \in \mathfrak{g} \mid x_1, \dots, x_r \in D, x_j = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i\}$, $A := \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid \theta_s \mathfrak{m}_i \cap \mathfrak{n} \neq 0\}$. Remark that M is θ_s -stable if and only if $A = \emptyset$. Since any noncompact simple ideal in \mathfrak{m} is $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{m} \cap \theta_s \mathfrak{n}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}_{M,s}$, there uniquely exists $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_M \cap \theta_s \Sigma_N$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_i \cap \theta_s \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ for any $i \in A$.

Let $\operatorname{SO}(2)^{\vee}$ be the unitary dual of $\operatorname{SO}(2)$. We fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \cong \operatorname{SO}(2)^{\vee}; k \mapsto \xi_k$ of topological groups so that $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \xi_{-2} \oplus \xi_0 \oplus \xi_2$ via the adjoint action. For $1 \leq i \leq r$,

define a positive integer k_i so that $\delta_i \cong \bigoplus_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_{\epsilon(k_i+2k)}$ as representations of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$. Since the eigenvalue of the action of X on $H_0((\mathfrak{m}_i \cap \theta_s \mathfrak{n})_{\mathbb{C}}, (\delta_i)_{(K \cap M^s)\text{-fin}}) \otimes \delta_{P'_{M,s}}^{-1/2}$ equals $\sum_{i \in A} k_i \alpha_i(X)/2$ (see the proof of [ST, Proof of Theorem 5.8]), any eigenvalue of the action of X on (D.5) is written as

$$(D.6) \quad \sum_{i \in A} \frac{k_i \alpha_i(X)}{2} + \lambda(X) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \beta_j(X) + \frac{(d\delta_{P_s} - 2d\delta_{P_s^{\theta_s}})(X)}{2}$$

where β_j denotes an \mathfrak{s}^{θ_s} -weight appearing in $(\mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{g}^{\theta_s} + \mathfrak{p}))^{\vee}$ and d denotes differential.

From $k_i > 0$ and (c), we see $k_i \alpha_i(X) > 0$ for any $i \in A$. Since the real part of λ is dominant, the condition (a) shows $\mathrm{Re} \lambda(X) \geq 0$. Moreover, we see $\beta_j(X) > 0$ by (b). Furthermore, Proposition D.2(2) shows

$$(D.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\delta_{P_s} - 2d\delta_{P_s^{\theta_s}})(X) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (-m_{ii}^+ d_j + m_{ii}^- d_j) x_{ii} + (m_{jj}^+ d_i - m_{jj}^- d_i) x_{jj} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} d_i d_j (-x_{ii} + x_{jj}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < i} d_j - \sum_{i < j \leq r} d_j \right) d_i x_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{(d_{\leq i} - d_i) + (d_{\leq i} - [m]_2)\} d_i x_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (2d_{\leq i} - d_i - [m]_2) d_i x_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $d_{\leq r} = [m]_2$ at the third equality. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} (d_{\leq i} - [m]_2) d_{\leq i} (x_{ii} - x_{i+1, i+1}) \\ &= (d_1 - [m]_2) d_1 x_{11} - (d_{\leq r-1} - [m]_2) d_{\leq r-1} x_{rr} \\ &\quad + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq r-1} \{(d_{\leq i} - [m]_2) d_{\leq i} - (d_{\leq i-1} - [m]_2) d_{\leq i-1}\} x_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (2d_{\leq i} - d_i - [m]_2) d_i x_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(d\delta_{P_s} - 2d\delta_{P_s^{\theta_s}})(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} (d_{\leq i} - [m]_2) d_{\leq i} (x_{ii} - x_{i+1, i+1}).$$

From $d_{\leq i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $[m]_2 \in \{0, 1\}$, we see $(d_{\leq i} - [m]_2) d_{\leq i} \geq 0$. Hence it follows from $x_{ii} > x_{i+1, i+1}$ that $(d\delta_{P_s} - 2d\delta_{P_s^{\theta_s}})(X) \geq 0$ and the equality holds if and only if the condition (D.4) is satisfied. Therefore, if (D.6) is zero, then $A = \emptyset$, $k = 0$ and (D.4) is satisfied. This concludes the claim. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem D.3. Given $s \in J_P(m)$, we have $M_s = M$ and there uniquely exists $\zeta_s \in S_r$ satisfying $\theta_s \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_r) = \text{diag}(h_{\zeta_s(1)}, \dots, h_{\zeta_s(r)})$ for any $h_i \in \text{GL}_{m_i}(D)$. In particular, we see $m_i = m_{\zeta_s(i)}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and that ζ_s is an involution.

Lemma D.4 implies

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{H_m}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{H_m}) \leq \sum_{s \in J_P(m)} \dim \text{Hom}_{M^{\theta_s}}(\delta_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} \delta_r, \mathbf{1}_{M^{\theta_s}}).$$

and the right hand side equals

$$\sum_{s \in J_P(m)} \left(\prod_{\zeta_s(i)=i} \dim \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)^{\theta_s}}(\delta_i, \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}) \right) \left(\prod_{i < \zeta_s(i)} \dim \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}(\delta_i \hat{\otimes} \delta_{\zeta_s(i)}, \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}) \right)$$

from the same argument as the proof of [ST, Theorem 5.13].

Let us fix $1 \leq i \leq r$. Recall $m_i \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume $\zeta_s(i) = i$ and $m_i = 1$. Then $m_{ii} = 1$ and $\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)^{\theta_s} = \text{GL}_{m_i}(D)$. Hence

$$(D.8) \quad \dim \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)^{\theta_s}}(\delta_i, \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}) \leq 1$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\delta_i \cong \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}$.

Assume $\zeta_s(i) = i$ and $n_i = 2$, which implies $D = \mathbb{R}$. Since θ satisfies (D.4), we have $m_{ii}^+ = m_{ii}^- = 1$ and $\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)^{\theta_s} = H_2$. From the multiplicity one theorem, we have (D.8) also in this case and the equality holds if and only if δ_i is H_2 -distinguished.

Assume $i < \zeta_s(i)$. By [ST, Lemma 3.9], we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}(\delta_i \hat{\otimes} \delta_{\zeta_s(i)}, \mathbf{1}_{\text{GL}_{m_i}(D)}) \leq 1$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\delta_{\zeta_s(i)} \cong \delta_i^\vee$.

Put

$$(D.9) \quad \mathfrak{T} := \{\zeta \in S_r \mid \zeta^2 = 1, \text{ and (D.3) holds}\}.$$

From the above arguments and the definition (D.9) of \mathfrak{T} , we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{H_m}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{H_m}) \leq \#\{s \in J_P(m) \mid \zeta_s \in \mathfrak{T}\}.$$

Since the left hand side is positive, we have $\mathfrak{T} \neq \emptyset$ and the assertion follows. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [AG] A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch. Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs, and an Archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis's theorem. *Duke Math. J.*, 149(3), 2009.
- [AGJ] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, and Hervé Jacquet. Uniqueness of Shalika functionals: the Archimedean case. *Pacific J. Math.*, 243(2):201–212, 2009.
- [AKM⁺] U. K. Anandavardhanan, R. Kurinczuk, N. Matringe, V. Sécherre, and S. Stevens. Galois self-dual cuspidal types and Asai local factors. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(9):3129–3191, 2021.

- [Bad1] Alexandru Ioan Badulescu. Jacquet-Langlands et unitarisabilité. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 6(3):349–379, 2007.
- [Bad2] Alexandru Ioan Badulescu. Global jacquet–langlands correspondence, multiplicity one and classification of automorphic representations. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 172:383–438, 2008.
- [BD1] Philippe Blanc and Patrick Delorme. Vecteurs distributions H-invariants de représentations induites, pour un espace symétrique réductif p -adique G/H . In *Annales de l’institut Fourier*, volume 58, pages 213–261, 2008.
- [BD2] Jean-Luc Brylinski and Patrick Delorme. Vecteurs distributions h-invariants pour les séries principales généralisées d’espaces symétriques réductifs et prolongement méromorphe d’intégrales d’eisenstein. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 109(1):619–664, 1992.
- [BH] Colin J. Bushnell and Guy Henniart. Generalized Whittaker models and the Bernstein center. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125(3):513–547, 2003.
- [BM] P. Broussous and N. Matringe. Multiplicity one for pairs of Prasad–Takloo-Bighash type. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2021(21):16423–16447, 2021.
- [BPCZ] Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis, Pierre-Henri Chaudouard, and Michal Zydor. The global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups: the endoscopic case. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 135:183–336, 2022.
- [BPW] Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis and Chen Wan. A local trace formula for the generalized Shalika model. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(7):1303–1385, 2019.
- [BR] A. I. Badulescu and D. Renard. Unitary dual of $GL(n)$ at Archimedean places and global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. *Compos. Math.*, 146(5):1115–1164, 2010.
- [BZ1] J. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinskii. Representations of the group $GL(n, F)$ where F is a non-archimedean local field. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 31(3):5–70, 1976.
- [BZ2] J. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. I. In *Annales scientifiques de l’École normale supérieure*, volume 10, pages 441–472, 1977.
- [CCL] Li Cai, Yihua Chen, and Yu Liu. Heegner points on modular curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(5):3721–3743, 2018.
- [CD] Jacques Carmona and Patrick Delorme. Base méromorphe de vecteurs distributions h-invariants pour les séries principales généralisées d’espaces symétriques réductifs: equation fonctionnelle. *Journal of functional analysis*, 122(1):152–221, 1994.
- [Cho] Marion Chommaux. Distinction of the Steinberg representation and a conjecture of Prasad and Takloo-Bighash. *J. Number Theory*, 202:200–219, 2019.
- [CM] James W. Cogdell and Nadir Matringe. The functional equation of the Jacquet-Shalika integral representation of the local exterior-square L -function. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 22(3):697–717, 2015.
- [Del] Pierre Deligne. Les constantes locales de l’équation fonctionnelle de la fonction L d’Artin d’une représentation orthogonale. *Invent. Math.*, 35:299–316, 1976.
- [DKV] Pierre Deligne, David Kazhdan, and Marie-France Vignéras. Représentations des algèbres centrales simples p -adiques. *Representations of reductive groups over a local field, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris*, 33:117, 1984.
- [Duh] N. Duhamel. Formule de plancherel sur $GL_n \times GL_n \backslash GL_{2n}$. *arXiv:1912.08497*, 2019.
- [FJ] Solomon Friedberg and Hervé Jacquet. Linear periods. *J. reine angew. Math*, 443(91):139, 1993.

- [FQ] A. Fröhlich and J. Queyruet. On the functional equation of the Artin L -function for characters of real representations. *Invent. Math.*, 20:125–138, 1973.
- [Gan] Wee Teck Gan. Periods and theta correspondence. In *Representations of reductive groups*, volume 101 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 113–132. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019.
- [GJ] R. Godement and H. Jacquet. *Zeta functions of simple algebras*, volume 260. Springer, 2006.
- [GR] Benedict H. Gross and Mark Reeder. Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters. *Duke Math. J.*, 154(3):431–508, 2010.
- [Guo] Jiandong Guo. Uniqueness of generalized Waldspurger model for $GL(2n)$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 180(2):273–289, 1997.
- [Hen] Guy Henniart. Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour $GL(n)$ sur un corps p -adique. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 139(2):439–455, 2000.
- [HT] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. *The Geometry and Cohomology of Some Simple Shimura Varieties. (AM-151)*, volume 151. Princeton university press, 2001.
- [Jac1] Hervé Jacquet. Principal L -functions of the linear group. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, volume XXXIII of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 63–86. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
- [Jac2] Hervé Jacquet. Archimedean Rankin-Selberg integrals. In *Automorphic forms and L -functions II. Local aspects*, volume 489 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 57–172. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [JR] Hervé Jacquet and Stephen Rallis. Uniqueness of linear periods. *Compositio Mathematica*, 102(1):65–123, 1996.
- [JS] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations. I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(3):499–558, 1981.
- [Kna] A. W. Knap. Local Langlands correspondence: the Archimedean case. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55, Part 2 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 393–410. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [KT] Shin-ichi Kato and Keiji Takano. Subrepresentation theorem for p -adic symmetric spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (11):Art. ID rnn028, 40, 2008.
- [Lan] R. P. Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. In *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, volume 31 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 101–170. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [Lip] Huang Liping. The minimal polynomial of a matrix over a central simple algebra. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 45(2-3):99–107, 1998.
- [LM] Erez Lapid and Zhengyu Mao. Whittaker-Fourier coefficients of cusp forms on \widetilde{Sp}_n : reduction to a local statement. *Amer. J. Math.*, 139(1):1–55, 2017.
- [Mat1] Nadir Matringe. Cuspidal representations of $GL(n, F)$ distinguished by a maximal levi subgroup, with F a non-archimedean local field. *Comptes Rendus Mathematiques*, 350(17-18):797–800, 2012.
- [Mat2] Nadir Matringe. Linear and Shalika local periods for the mirabolic group, and some consequences. *Journal of Number Theory*, 138:1–19, 2014.
- [Mat3] Nadir Matringe. On the local Bump–Friedberg L -function. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2015(709):119–170, 2015.

- [Mat4] Nadir Matringe. Distinction of the Steinberg representation for inner forms of $GL(n)$. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 287:881–895, 2017.
- [Mat5] Nadir Matringe. On the local Bump-Friedberg L -function II. *Manuscripta Math.*, 152(1-2):223–240, 2017.
- [Mat6] Nadir Matringe. Shalika periods and parabolic induction for $GL(n)$ over a non-archimedean local field. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 49(3):417–427, 2017.
- [Mat7] Nadir Matringe. Gamma factors of intertwining periods and distinction for inner forms of $GL(n)$. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 281(10):109223, 2021.
- [MO] Nadir Matringe and Omer Offen. Intertwining periods and distinction for p -adic galois symmetric pairs. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 125(5):1179–1252, 2022.
- [MOY] Nadir Matringe, Omer Offen, and Chang Yang. On local intertwining periods. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 286(4):Paper No. 110293, 2024.
- [MW] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. Modèles de Whittaker dégénérés pour des groupes p -adiques. *Math. Z.*, 196(3):427–452, 1987.
- [Off1] Omer Offen. On local root numbers and distinction. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 652:165–205, 2011.
- [Off2] Omer Offen. On parabolic induction associated with a p -adic symmetric space. *J. Number Theory*, 170:211–227, 2017.
- [Ok] Youngbin Ok. *Distinction and gamma factors at 1/2: Supercuspidal case*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1997. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Columbia University.
- [PR1] Dipendra Prasad and A. Raghuram. Kirillov theory for $GL_2(D)$ where D is a division algebra over a non-Archimedean local field. *Duke Math. J.*, 104(1):19–44, 2000.
- [PR2] Dipendra Prasad and Dinakar Ramakrishnan. Self-dual representations of division algebras and Weil groups: a contrast. *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(3):749–767, 2012.
- [Pra] Dipendra Prasad. Some applications of seesaw duality to branching laws. *Math. Ann.*, 304(1):1–20, 1996.
- [PSP] Dipendra Prasad and Rainer Schulze-Pillot. Generalised form of a conjecture of Jacquet and a local consequence. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 616:219–236, 2008.
- [Rod] E.-A. Roditty. On gamma factors and bessel functions for representations of general linear groups over finite fields. *Master's thesis*, Tel Aviv University, 2010.
- [ST] Miyu Suzuki and Hiroyoshi Tamori. Epsilon Dichotomy for Linear Models: The Archimedean Case. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):17853–17891, 2023.
- [Suz1] Miyu Suzuki. Classification of standard modules with linear periods. *J. Number Theory*, 218:302–310, 2021.
- [Suz2] Miyu Suzuki. A reformulation of the conjecture of Prasad and Takloo-Bighash. *arXiv:2312.16393*, 2023.
- [SV] Yiannis Sakellaridis and Akshay Venkatesh. Periods and harmonic analysis on spherical varieties. *Astérisque*, (396):viii+360, 2017.
- [SX] Miyu Suzuki and Hang Xue. Linear intertwining periods and epsilon dichotomy for linear models. *to appear in Math. Ann.*, 2020.
- [SYY] Sheng-Chi Shih, Tse-Chung Yang, and Chia-Fu Yu. Embeddings of fields into simple algebras over global fields. *Asian J. Math.*, 18(2):365–386, 2014.

- [Sé1] V. Sécherre. Représentations cuspidales de $\mathrm{gl}(r,d)$ distinguées par une involution intérieure. *preprint, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. arxiv.org/abs/2005.05615*, 2016.
- [Sé2] Vincent Sécherre. Supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ distinguished by a Galois involution. *Algebra Number Theory*, 13(7):1677–1733, 2019.
- [Tad] Marko Tadic. Induced representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n, A)$ for p-adic division algebras A . *J. reine angew. Math.*, 405:48–77, 1990.
- [Tat] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [Xue] Hang Xue. Epsilon dichotomy for linear models. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(1):173–215, 2021.
- [XZ] H. Xue and W. Zhang. Twisted linear periods and a new relative trace formula. *preprint, to appear in Peking Math J. arXiv:2209.08366*, 2016.
- [Yan] Chang Yang. Linear periods for unitary representations. *Math. Z.*, 302(4):2253–2284, 2022.
- [Zel] A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. II. on irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 13(2):165–210, 1980.
- [Zha] Chong Zhang. On linear periods. *Math. Z.*, 279(1-2):61–84, 2015.

U.K. ANANDAVARDHANAN. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, 400076, INDIA

Email address: `anand@math.iitb.ac.in`

HENGFEI LU. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIHANG UNIVERSITY, 9 NANSHAN STREET, SHAHE HIGHER EDUCATION PARK, CHANGPING, BEIJING, 102206, CHINA

Email address: `luhengfei@buaa.edu.cn`

NADIR MATRINGE. INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NYU SHANGHAI, 3663 ZHONGSHAN ROAD NORTH SHANGHAI, 200062, CHINA AND INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU-PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ, 75205, PARIS, FRANCE

Email address: `nrm6864@nyu.edu` and `matringe@ing-prg.fr`

VINCENT SÉCHERRE. LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE VERSAILLES, UVSQ, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 78035, VERSAILLES, FRANCE

Email address: `vincent.secherre@uvsq.fr`

CHANG YANG. KEY LABORATORY OF HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING AND STOCHASTIC INFORMATION PROCESSING (HPC-SIP), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410081, CHINA

Email address: `cyang@hunnu.edu.cn`

MIYU SUZUKI. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: `suzuki.miyu.4c@kyoto-u.ac.jp`

HIROYOSHI TAMORI. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHIBAURA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 307 FUKASAKU, MINUMA-KU, SAITAMA CITY, SAITAMA, 337-8570, JAPAN

Email address: tamori@shibaura-it.ac.jp