

Natural Language Counterfactuals through Representation Surgery

Matan Avitan¹ Ryan Cotterell² Yoav Goldberg^{1,3} Shauli Ravfogel¹


¹Bar-Ilan University ²ETH Zurich ³Allen Institute for AI

matan13av@gmail.com ryan.cotterell@inf.ethz.ch

yoav.goldberg@gmail.com shauli.ravfogel@gmail.com

Abstract

Interventions targeting the representation space of language models (LMs) have emerged as an effective means to influence model behavior. Such methods are employed, for example, to eliminate or alter the encoding of demographic information such as gender within the model’s representations and, in so doing, create a counterfactual representation. However, because the intervention operates within the representation space, understanding precisely what aspects of the text it modifies poses a challenge. In this paper, we give a method to convert representation counterfactuals into string counterfactuals. We demonstrate that this approach enables us to analyze the linguistic alterations corresponding to a given representation space intervention and to interpret the features utilized to encode a specific concept. Moreover, the resulting counterfactuals can be used to mitigate bias in classification through data augmentation.

 <https://github.com/MatanAvitan/rep-to-string-counterfactuals>

1 Introduction

Interventions performed in the representation space of LMs (generally \mathbb{R}^D) have proven to be effective in understanding and exerting control over neural language models (Ravfogel et al., 2020, 2021; Geva et al., 2021; Elazar et al., 2021; Ravfogel et al., 2022, 2023; Belrose et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). One popular set of techniques **erases** the linear subspace associated with human-interpretable concepts, e.g., GENDER or SENTIMENT. Such techniques, for example, could be used to prevent language models from generating gender-biased text. Another popular set of other approaches **steer** the representations from one class to another, that is, embed the steered representation in a region of representation space associated with another class and push the representation towards that region (Subramani et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Ravfogel et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2024). For instance, they could steer a representation from a region in the representation space that is associated with positive sentiment to the region associated with negative sentiment. Collectively, we refer to these

Original Biography

She has completed her two hundred hour Yoga Teacher Training through Corepower Yoga plus over one hundred additional training hours . **She** has also struggled personally with chronic illness which gives **her** understanding perspective .

MiMiC FEM \rightarrow MSC

He has completed two hundred hour Yoga Teacher Training through Corepower Yoga plus additional hours of training. **He** has also struggled with chronic illness in **his** life.

LEACE {FEM, MSC} \rightarrow \emptyset

He has completed two hundred hour Yoga Teacher Training through Corepower Yoga plus additional training. **He** has also struggled with chronic illness which has given **her** perspective.

MiMiC+ FEM \rightarrow MSC

He has done two hundred hours of Yoga Training through **his** CorePower Yoga Guru training. **He** has also struggled with chronic illness which has taken **his** toll

Figure 1: String counterfactuals induced by different representation-space interventions.

techniques as **representation surgery** because they (surgically) intervene on the encoding of a concept in the representation while keeping the representation otherwise the same to the maximum extent possible. In this sense, representation surgery resembles a causal intervention (Vig et al., 2020; Geiger et al., 2021; Feder et al., 2021; Geiger et al., 2022; Guerner et al., 2023; Lemberger and Saillenfest, 2024) and we will (informally) use causal language throughout the paper. For instance, we will refer to such modifications in the representation space as interventions. In notation, we write $f_{c \rightarrow c'}: \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ for a function that performs such an intervention.

In this work, we tackle the problem of generating the counterfactual *string* that corresponds to a specific representation intervention. Despite the plethora of research on representation surgery, translating such interventions into string counterfactuals is a less well-studied problem. Construct-

ing string counterfactuals serves various practical purposes. First, it serves as an approach for **meta-interpretability**, aiding in the interpretation of commonly employed representational intervention techniques, which are often used for interoperability. By pulling representational interventions back to the string, we can observe the lexical and higher-level semantic shifts triggered by the intervention. Second, we believe that string counterfactuals are a natural choice for data augmentation. Indeed, we showcase their potential to address fairness concerns in a real-world classification problem.

We follow Morris et al. (2023) in developing an approach for generating string counterfactuals from representation interventions. Let Σ be an alphabet. Consider a neural network that performs a mapping from a string $s \in \Sigma^*$ to a representation $\mathbf{h} = \text{enc}(s) \in \mathbb{R}^D$. Morris et al. (2023) propose an iterative algorithm to approximate the inverse function $\text{enc}^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \Sigma^*$. We exploit the Morris et al.’s (2023) algorithm to construct a *string* counterfactual that constructs a string that corresponds to a surgical intervention in the representation space. Using the notation introduced so far, we are interested in computing $s' = \text{enc}^{-1}(f(\text{enc}(s)))$. To the extent that enc^{-1} constitutes a suitable inverse, we expect s' to be a minimally different version of s that reflects the difference between \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{h}' reflected in the representation space.

We perform experiments on a dataset of short biographies, annotated with gender and profession (De-Arteaga et al., 2019). We find that swapping the gender in the representation space and then generating the inverse is an effective method of producing string counterfactuals. The counterfactuals we exhibit some degree of gender bias, for example, the preference to include words with a professional association in male biographies, providing evidence that LMs encode subtle cues that correlate with gender, beyond pronouns (§4.1). We further show that counterfactuals can be used for data augmentation to increase fairness in a multiclass classification (§4.2), i.e., we show that profession classifiers trained in a dataset comprising both original and counterfactual biographies (with respect to gender) exhibit reduced gender bias compared to classifiers trained solely on original biographies.

2 Representation Surgery

We give a more in-depth overview of representation surgery. Many neural networks for

natural language processing construct a function $\text{enc}: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ that maps a string of symbols over Σ , e.g., a natural language text, to a real-valued representation in \mathbb{R}^D . We call such functions **language encoders**. In §1, we introduce a function $f: \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ that performs the intervention in the representation space. We consider three types of representation interventions, each discussed in a labeled paragraph below. First, however, we will introduce some generation notation.

Notation. Let p be a language model,¹ i.e., a distribution over Σ^* , let $\text{enc}: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ be a language encoder. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}$ be a binary set that stands for the different values for a concept. Binary concepts denote whether a given property is present or not, e.g., whether or not a string $s \in \Sigma^*$ is a biography of a man or of a woman. Furthermore, let $\phi: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a concept encoding function.² We define the distribution

$$p_c(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(s \mid C = c) \propto p(s) \mathbb{1}\{\phi(s) = c\}. \quad (1)$$

Then, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, define the following \mathbb{R}^D -valued random variable

$$\mathbf{X}_c(s) = \text{enc}(s): \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D, \quad (2)$$

which is distributed according to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_c = \mathbf{h}) &= \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_c^{-1}(\mathbf{h})) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \Sigma^*} p_c(s) \mathbb{1}\{\mathbf{h} = \text{enc}(s)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

LEACE (Belrose et al., 2023). LEACE is a spectral algorithm that induces log-linear guardedness (Ravfogel et al., 2023), i.e., it minimally (in the L_2 sense) changes the \mathbb{R}^D -valued random variables \mathbf{X}_c for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that there does not exist a log-linear classifier that operates at better than the accuracy of the majority class. To achieve guardedness, LEACE finds an oblique projection matrix \mathbf{P} of rank $|\mathcal{C}| - 1$ and a translation vector \mathbf{b} , which are then used to define the following intervention function

$$f_{\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \emptyset}^L(\mathbf{X}_c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}_c + \mathbf{b}. \quad (4)$$

¹In this text, p is fully decoupled from the language encoder enc . For instance, our notation allows for p to be some approximation to or the actual human language model (to the extent one believes in the human language model as a construct). However, we also allow p to be deeply related to enc . For instance, in an autoregressive language model, enc could be produced by the representation of EOS.

²We (simplistically) assume each string s contains exactly one concept. Future work will relax this assumption.

MiMiC (Singh et al., 2024). MiMiC, in contrast to LEACE, does not merely erase the target concept from the representations, but rather takes the representations of one class (e.g., SC), and minimally changes it such that it resembles the representations of the other class (e.g., FEM). More precisely, it equates the first two moments of the *source* class-conditional distribution to the *destination* class-conditional distribution, i.e., MiMiC finds a function $f_{c \rightarrow c'}^M$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[f_{c \rightarrow c'}^M(\mathbf{X}_c)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{c'}] \quad (5a)$$

$$\mathbb{V}[f_{c \rightarrow c'}^M(\mathbf{X}_c)] = \mathbb{V}[\mathbf{X}_{c'}]. \quad (5b)$$

In the case where the random variables \mathbf{X}_c and $\mathbf{X}_{c'}$ are Gaussian distributed, MiMiC guarantees that the Wasserstein distance (Kantorovich, 1960) between \mathbf{X}_c and $\mathbf{X}_{c'}$ is minimized. In this case, the distance will be zero.

MiMiC+. With MiMiC+, we further push the representations in the direction that connects the class-conditional means of the representations belonging to the two classes. Let $\mathbf{v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_c] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{c'}]$. Given a representation $\mathbf{X}_c(s)$, we linearly transform the output of MiMiC+ as follows

$$f_{c \rightarrow c'}^{M+}(\mathbf{X}_c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{c \rightarrow c'}^M(\mathbf{X}_c) + \alpha \mathbf{v}, \quad (6)$$

where α is a non-negative scalar. Intuitively, we move the representations towards the mean of \mathbf{X}_c .

3 Representation Inversion

The generative process through which natural language text is created is complex and difficult to model. However, in some respects, it is well-approximated by language models. Concepts like gender are often conveyed subtly, and merely modifying overt indicators such as pronouns and names may not suffice (Maudslay et al., 2019). Instead, we leverage the fact that neural encoders capture nuanced manner by which these concepts manifest in texts. Intervening in such representations is feasible, even *without* the ability to enumerate or fully understand all linguistic features relevant to a concept. Using representational surgery, we intervene on a concept encoded in the representation generated by an encoder after the intervention, we apply an inverter model $\text{enc}^{-1}(\cdot)$ that maps the representation back to a string, yielding an approximate string counterfactual $s' = \text{enc}^{-1}(f(\text{enc}(s)))$.

Morris et al. (2023). Let $s \in \Sigma^*$ be a sentence and let $\text{enc}(s)$ be its representation. Our goal is to convert $\text{enc}(s)$ back into a string. Morris et al.’s (2023) method starts by fine-tuning a language model that can be used to reconstruct an initial hypothesis \hat{s}_0 of the inverse $\text{enc}^{-1}(s)$ given the representation $\text{enc}(s)$. Then, a second language model is fine-tuned to reconstruct another hypothesis \hat{s}_1 conditioned on the initial \hat{s}_0 , $\text{enc}(\hat{s}_0)$, $\text{enc}(s)$ and the difference vector $\text{enc}(s) - \text{enc}(\hat{s}_0)$. This process is repeated K times—each time $k \in [K]$, the step consists of fine-tuning the second language model conditioned on \hat{s}_{k-1} , $\text{enc}(\hat{s}_{k-1})$, $\text{enc}(s)$ and the difference vector $\text{enc}(s) - \text{enc}(\hat{s}_{k-1})$. The procedure ends when $\text{enc}(\hat{s}_k)$ is sufficiently close to $\text{enc}(s)$ or the computational budget is exceeded. Then, \hat{s}_k is returned by the method as the inverse $\text{enc}^{-1}(s)$. Empirically, Morris et al. (2023) find $K > 1$ iterations produces a more faithful inverse.

Putting it all together. Now, for a concept $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and an intervention function f_c that intervenes on that concept, define the following Σ^* -valued random variable

$$\mathbf{R}_{c \rightarrow c'}(s) = \text{enc}^{-1}(f_{c \rightarrow c'}(\text{enc}(s))), \quad (7)$$

which is distributed according to

$$p_{c \rightarrow c'}(s') = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{R}_{c \rightarrow c'}^{-1}(s')) \quad (8)$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \Sigma^*} p_c(s) \mathbb{1}\{s' = \text{enc}^{-1}(f_{c \rightarrow c'}(\text{enc}(s)))\}.$$

4 Experiments

We now present our experimental results.

Dataset. We conduct experiments on the Bias-InBios dataset (De-Arteaga et al., 2019), a large collection of short biographies sourced from the Internet. Each biography in this dataset is annotated with the subject’s gender and their profession.³ We create natural language counterfactuals after intervention in the encoding of the gender property. Our string counterfactuals are then being used to study the way gender is encoded in the LM in which we intervene (§4.1), and as a data augmentation tool to mitigate bias (§4.2).

Inversion model. We train a variant of the inversion model of Morris et al. (2023) over sequences of 64 tokens and further fine-tune it on the BiasBios dataset. See Appendix A for more details.

³The dataset contains 28 distinct professions.

4.1 What Changed?

We begin by analyzing the changes incurred in the inversion process. This analysis is performed over sentences from the BiasBios dataset whose lengths are 64 tokens or less: 41,563 biographies in the MSC \rightarrow FEM direction and 36,148 biographies in the FEM \rightarrow MSC direction.

Qualitative analysis. See Fig. 1 and Appendix D for a sample of the original and counterfactual sentences. The most salient change is in the usage of pronouns, where, as expected, when using MiMiC masculine pronouns, e.g., “his”, “him” and “he”, become much more frequent in the FEM \rightarrow MSC direction, and vice versa. When using LEACE, sentences often exhibit the mixing of pronouns, where “he”, “she”, “his” and “her” are used interchangeably, also as expected. Less frequently, the phrase “he/she” is used. Beyond pronouns, we find that some more subtle changes sometimes occur, reflecting biases in the dataset. For example, in the direction MSC \rightarrow FEM the counterfactuals of the biographies of doctors often omit the “Dr.” prefix and replace it with “Ms”. The counterfactuals generated by MiMiC+exhibit an over-use of stereotypical markers of the target gender, adding pronouns when they are not necessary or introducing new stereotypical information. This intervention tends to further modify the overall structure of the sentence. The inversion process is not perfect, and at times inflicts some changes to the original text, such as paraphrasing.

Pointwise Mutual Information. To quantitatively evaluate the word-level changes induced by the counterfactual generation process, we analyze the word whose probabilities change the most between the original and counterfactual sentences. Let $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ be two concepts. In the case of concept *erasure*, we may have $c' = \emptyset \notin \mathcal{C}$. We now considered two random multisets of M strings

$$S_{c \rightarrow c} = \{s^{(m)} \mid s \sim p_{c \rightarrow c}\}_{m=1}^M \quad (9a)$$

$$S_{c \rightarrow c'} = \{s^{(m)} \mid s \sim p_{c \rightarrow c'}\}_{m=1}^M. \quad (9b)$$

Then, we define a unigram distribution over Σ induced from $S_{c \rightarrow c}$ and $S_{c \rightarrow c'}$ as follows

$$p(w \mid c \rightarrow c) \propto \#(w \in S_{c \rightarrow c}) \quad (10a)$$

$$p(w \mid c \rightarrow c') \propto \#(w \in S_{c \rightarrow c'}). \quad (10b)$$

Then, taking $p(c \rightarrow c) = p(c \rightarrow c') = 1/2$, we define the pointwise mutual information as follows

$$\text{PMI}(w, c \rightarrow c') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log \frac{2p(w, c \rightarrow c')}{p(w)}. \quad (11)$$

Manipulation then reveals that the difference of two PMIs is the log odds ratio:

$$\text{PMI}(w, c \rightarrow c') - \text{PMI}(w, c \rightarrow c) \quad (12a)$$

$$= \log \frac{p(w, c \rightarrow c')}{p(w, c \rightarrow c)}. \quad (12b)$$

Intuitively, the difference of two PMIs identifies the words whose frequency increased or decreased the most after the intervention, normalized by the amount of change incurred by the inversion process alone, i.e., inversion without an intervention. We additionally add a smoothing term of $1e^{-6}$ when calculating the logarithmic odds ratio. Finally, we sort the vocabulary according to the log-odds ratio, omitting words whose frequency in either group is less than 20. This analysis is conducted on the MiMiC counterfactuals.

Results. See Appendix B for the most changed words. As anticipated, in the FEM \rightarrow MSC direction, the log odds ration of “mr.” and “he” experience the most significant increase, whereas in the MSC \rightarrow FEM direction, the largest changes are observed with the words “she” and “her”. Concerning content words, specific terms associated with a “professional” context, such as “finalist”, “associates”, “perform”, “awards”, and “developer”, exhibit an increased frequency in the FEM \rightarrow MSC direction, while words like “license”, “computing”, “ph.d”, and “engineer” decrease in frequency in the MSC \rightarrow FEM direction.⁴

Automatic evaluation. To evaluate the quality of the counterfactuals generated, we calculated the average perplexity of the resulting strings for each intervention technique, as well as for the original biographies and the reconstructed biographies using Morris et al. (2023) without any intervention to establish baselines. The results are presented at Tab. 2. From the results, we can conclude that the majority of the degradation in the quality of the generated text is due to the inversion process rather than to the intervention itself. Although improving the inversion process is useful, it is largely orthogonal to the proposed method.

⁴This trend is not entirely consistent, with some “professional” words such as “practices” and “practitioners” become more frequent in the MSC \rightarrow FEM direction.

Setting	Accuracy \uparrow	F1 \uparrow	True Positive Rate Gender Gap \downarrow
Original biographies	82.50 \pm 0.07	75.56 \pm 0.05	15.40 \pm 0.27
Reconstructed biographies (no intervention)	81.42 \pm 0.48	74.43 \pm 0.18	18.84 \pm 1.13
Biographies without gender indication	82.06 \pm 0.30	75.18 \pm 0.22	01.19 \pm 0.86
Original biographies + LEACE counterfactuals	82.80 \pm 0.07	76.21 \pm 0.03	13.68 \pm 0.18
Original biographies + MiMiC counterfactuals	81.91 \pm 0.04	75.32 \pm 0.11	10.98 \pm 0.44
Original biographies + MiMiC+ counterfactuals	81.86 \pm 0.13	74.95 \pm 0.03	10.04 \pm 0.21

Table 1: Multi-class classification results from a log-linear model trained on top of roberta-base (Liu et al., 2019).

Scenario	Mistral7b	GPT-2
Original biographies	20.64	82.61
Reconstructed biographies (no intervention)	46.71	102.07
LEACE counterfactuals	48.49	104.38
MiMiC counterfactuals	52.22	100.61
MiMiC+ counterfactuals	62.91	104.57

Table 2: Average Perplexity for Original, Reconstructed, and Counterfactual Biographies using the different intervention techniques generated by Mistral7b and GPT-2 (Jiang et al., 2023; Radford et al., 2019).

Human evaluation. We perform human annotation, described in depth in Appendix C, on a sample of sentences to answer two questions. (1) Does the counterfactual intervention damage the text quality of the biography beyond the damage created by the inversion process itself? (2) Does the counterfactual intervention successfully reverse the concept that was altered? Superficially, to answer question (1), we ask annotators to compare the text quality of pairs of sentences, where one of the three interventions was applied followed by decoding to the natural language space, alongside the corresponding decoded sentence without intervention. See Appendix C for the complete annotation process and results. We find that the intervention does not significantly affect the overall writing quality of the sentences, indicating that the transformations induced by our counterfactual interventions are subtle and do not introduce noticeable grammatical or syntactical errors. Regarding question (2), which assesses the effectiveness of counterfactual interventions in altering gender representation within the text, the annotations provided clear evidence. Annotators evaluated whether the gender implied in the text matched the intended change dictated by the counterfactual intervention. The results showed a high success rate for the MiMiC and MiMiC+ methods, where the intended gender was correctly

altered in over 96% of the cases. Where LEACE, an erasure method, resulted in mixed outcomes with approximately equal representations of both genders and some instances remaining unclear, reflecting its nature as an erasure technique rather than steering (Fig. 1).

4.2 Counterfactual Data Augmentation

The BiasBios data exhibit an imbalance in the representation of men and women in various professions, leading to observed biases in the profession classifiers trained on this data (De-Arteaga et al., 2019). In our next experiment, we show how our generated string counterfactuals can be used for data augmentation. By adding counterfactual examples with the opposite gender label, we expect to mitigate the model’s dependence on gender.

Setup. We encode each biography as the last layer representation of a GTR-base model (Ni et al., 2022). Subsequently, an intervention is applied, followed by decoding the intervened representation using the trained inversion model. A beam size width of 4 was used, along with 20 correction steps using the pre-trained Natural Questions corrector from Morris et al. (2023). This iterative process was carried out for each of the three intervention techniques: LEACE, MiMiC, and MiMiC+. The results are averaged over 3 models (see Appendix A). Following previous work (De-Arteaga et al., 2019) we quantify bias by the mean true positive rate (TPR) gap of a profession classifier between genders.

Models. We train a log-linear profession classifier on top of language model roberta-base (Liu et al., 2019) to predict the profession of the subject of the biography. The classifiers are trained on the original biographies D^{orig} , the inversion of the biographies without intervention D^{inv} , the biographies without gender indications, such as pronouns (“Biographies without gender indication” in

Tab. 1) $D^{\text{no gender}}$, and the dataset $D_{f_{c \rightarrow c'}}^{\text{inv+orig}}$ that consists of the original biographies in addition to the corresponding counterfactuals created by LEACE MiMiC and MiMiC+ ($\alpha = 2$ for all experiments)

Results. All classifier results are presented in Tab. 1. Classifiers trained on the augmented dataset achieve lower TPR values (better fairness), even more so than classifiers trained on the biographies after the omission of overt gender markers.

5 Conclusions

We show that it is possible to map representation space counterfactuals back into the input space (natural language). We confirm the high quality of the resultant counterfactual texts and illustrate their effectiveness in bias mitigation.

Ethical Considerations

In all scenarios involving the potential application of automated methods in real-world contexts, we strongly recommend exercising caution and conducting thorough evaluations of the representativeness of the data, its alignment with real-world phenomena, and possible adverse societal implications. Gender bias is a complex and multifaceted issue, and we view the experiments conducted in this paper as an initial exploration of strategies for mitigating the negative impacts of language models, rather than a definitive solution to real-world bias challenges. As highlighted in the limitations section, the utilization of binary gender labels arises from limitations in available data, and we anticipate that future research will facilitate more nuanced examinations of how gender, as a construct, manifests in text.

References

Marc Baboulin, Alfredo Buttari, Jack Dongarra, Jakub Kurzak, Julie Langou, Julien Langou, Piotr Luszczek, and Stanimire Tomov. 2009. [Accelerating scientific computations with mixed precision algorithms](#). *Computer Physics Communications*, 180(12):2526–2533.

Nora Belrose, David Schneider-Joseph, Shauli Ravfogel, Ryan Cotterell, Edward Raff, and Stella Biderman. 2023. [Leace: Perfect linear concept erasure in closed form](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, pages 66044–66063. Curran Associates, Inc.

Maria De-Arteaga, Alexey Romanov, Hanna Wallach, Jennifer Chayes, Christian Borgs, Alexandra Chouldechova, Sahin Geyik, Krishnamurthy Kenthapadi,

and Adam Tauman Kalai. 2019. [Bias in bios: A case study of semantic representation bias in a high-stakes setting](#). In *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, page 120–128, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Yanai Elazar, Shauli Ravfogel, Alon Jacovi, and Yoav Goldberg. 2021. [Amnesic probing: Behavioral explanation with amnesic counterfactuals](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:160–175.

Amir Feder, Nadav Oved, Uri Shalit, and Roi Reichart. 2021. [CausalLM: Causal model explanation through counterfactual language models](#). *Computational Linguistics*, 47(2):333–386.

Joseph L. Fleiss. 1971. [Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters](#). *Psychological Bulletin*, 76(5):378–382.

Atticus Geiger, Hanson Lu, Thomas Icard, and Christopher Potts. 2021. [Causal abstractions of neural networks](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, pages 9574–9586. Curran Associates, Inc.

Atticus Geiger, Zhengxuan Wu, Hanson Lu, Josh Rozner, Elisa Kreiss, Thomas Icard, Noah Goodman, and Christopher Potts. 2022. [Inducing causal structure for interpretable neural networks](#). In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 7324–7338.

Mor Geva, Roei Schuster, Jonathan Berant, and Omer Levy. 2021. [Transformer feed-forward layers are key-value memories](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 5484–5495, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Clément Guerner, Anej Svete, Tianyu Liu, Alexander Warstadt, and Ryan Cotterell. 2023. [A geometric notion of causal probing](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15054*.

Albert Q. Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. 2023. [Mistral 7b](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*.

Leonid V. Kantorovich. 1960. [Mathematical methods of organizing and planning production](#). *Management Science*, 6(4):366–422.

Tom Kwiatkowski, Jennimaria Palomaki, Olivia Redfield, Michael Collins, Ankur Parikh, Chris Alberti, Danielle Epstein, Illia Polosukhin, Jacob Devlin, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, Llion Jones, Matthew Kelcey, Ming-Wei Chang, Andrew M. Dai, Jakob Uszkoreit, Quoc Le, and Slav Petrov. 2019. [Natural questions: A benchmark for question answering](#)

- research. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:452–466.
- Pirmin Lemberger and Antoine Saillenfest. 2024. [Explaining text classifiers with counterfactual representations](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00711*.
- Kenneth Li, Oam Patel, Fernanda Viégas, Hanspeter Pfister, and Martin Wattenberg. 2023. [Inference-time intervention: Eliciting truthful answers from a language model](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03341*.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. [RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*.
- Rowan Hall Maudslay, Hila Gonen, Ryan Cotterell, and Simone Teufel. 2019. [It’s all in the name: Mitigating gender bias with name-based counterfactual data substitution](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pages 5267–5275, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Paulius Mikičevičius, Sharan Narang, Jonah Alben, Gregory Diamos, Erich Elsen, David Garcia, Boris Ginsburg, Michael Houston, Oleksii Kuchaiev, Ganesh Venkatesh, et al. 2017. [Mixed precision training](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.03740*.
- John Morris, Volodymyr Kuleshov, Vitaly Shmatikov, and Alexander Rush. 2023. [Text embeddings reveal \(almost\) as much as text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 12448–12460, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jianmo Ni, Chen Qu, Jing Lu, Zhuyun Dai, Gustavo Hernandez Abrego, Ji Ma, Vincent Zhao, Yi Luan, Keith Hall, Ming-Wei Chang, and Yinfei Yang. 2022. [Large dual encoders are generalizable retrievers](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 9844–9855, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alec Radford, Jeff Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. [Language models are unsupervised multitask learners](#).
- Shauli Ravfogel, Yanai Elazar, Hila Gonen, Michael Twiton, and Yoav Goldberg. 2020. [Null it out: Guarding protected attributes by iterative nullspace projection](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7237–7256, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shauli Ravfogel, Yoav Goldberg, and Ryan Cotterell. 2023. [Log-linear guardedness and its implications](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 9413–9431, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shauli Ravfogel, Grusha Prasad, Tal Linzen, and Yoav Goldberg. 2021. [Counterfactual interventions reveal the causal effect of relative clause representations on agreement prediction](#). In *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*, pages 194–209, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shauli Ravfogel, Francisco Vargas, Yoav Goldberg, and Ryan Cotterell. 2022. [Kernelized concept erasure](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6034–6055, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shashwat Singh, Shauli Ravfogel, Jonathan Herzig, Roei Aharoni, Ryan Cotterell, and Ponnurangam Kumaraguru. 2024. [MiMiC: Minimally modified counterfactuals in the representation space](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09631*.
- Nishant Subramani, Nivedita Suresh, and Matthew Peters. 2022. [Extracting latent steering vectors from pretrained language models](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 566–581, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jesse Vig, Sebastian Gehrmann, Yonatan Belinkov, Sharon Qian, Daniel Nevo, Yaron Singer, and Stuart M. Shieber. 2020. [Causal mediation analysis for interpreting neural NLP: The case of gender bias](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.12265*.

Appendix

A Experimental setup

Training an inversion model. Morris et al. (2023) introduced an approach for converting representations into strings. To effectively invert the representations derived from the BiasBios dataset, we trained a dedicated inversion model on sequences with a length of 64 tokens from the Natural Questions dataset (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019). This decision was informed by the observation that the median length of the biography within the BiasBios dataset is 72 tokens. The model architecture is GTR-base (Ni et al., 2022) as originally used in vec2text Morris et al. (2023). Our training procedure entailed 50 epochs on the Natural Questions dataset, succeeded by fine-tuning for an additional 10 epochs on the BiasBios dataset.

Training profession classifiers. To quantify the counterfactuals’ causal effect on predicting an individual’s profession, we utilized roberta-base (Liu et al., 2019) classifiers augmented with the different counterfactuals alongside the original biographies as outlined in Tab. 1. We trained each classifier with three different seeds, reporting the mean score of the models with the lowest validation loss. Each classifier was trained for 10 epochs on the entire BiasBios biographies with a length within 64 tokens. This comprised 41,563 male biographies and 36,148 female biographies. The training set for the counterfactual classifiers encompassed, for each sample, its corresponding counterfactual. We used a batch size of 1024 samples for training and 4096 for evaluation. Furthermore, 6% of the samples were used for the warm-up of the learning rate, with the initial learning rate set to $2 * 10^{-5}$. Furthermore, we employed half-precision quantization (fp16) for the network’s weights (Baboulin et al., 2009; Micikevicius et al., 2017). The results reported at Tab. 1 were calculated on the entire BiasBios test set, i.e., 98,344 samples, truncated to a 64 tokens sequence length.

B Word Frequency Analysis

We provide the words most changed due to the MiMiC intervention below:

- words whose likelihood most decreased in direction MSC \rightarrow FEM : [*“he”, “his”, “him”, “mr”, “mr.”, “license”, “no.”, “quick”, “computing”, “2004”, “specialties”, “3.5”, “technologies.”, “since.”, “average”, “24”, “carriers,”, “average.”, “ph.d”, “:”, “hmo”, “/”, “dr”, “bronze”, “hmo”, “engineer”, “developer”, “.”, “2002”, “cloud”*]
- words whose likelihood most increased in direction MSC \rightarrow FEM : [*“she”, “her”, “ms.”, “ms”, “mrs.”, “herself”, “she’s”, “ranked”, “#1”, “1st”, “her.”, “husband”, “mrs”, “lifestyle.”, “highlighted”, “1”, “encourages”, “nursing.”, “1993.”, “posted”, “inclusion”, “category”, “expand”, “ensuring”, “women’s”, “practices,”, “1991.”, “practitioners”, “nurses”, “she’s”*]
- words whose likelihood most decreased in direction FEM \rightarrow MSC : [*“listed”, “place.”, “freeones.”, “gallery.”, “freeones”, “she”, “her”, “ms.”, “freeones,”, “january”, “1”, “mrs.”, “fl.”, “2014”, “female”, “ca.”, “february”, “woman”, “according”, “gallery”, “ms”, “caring”, “nurse”, “schools.”, “marie”, “1988.”, “nc”, “december”, “women”, “march”*]
- words whose likelihood most increased in direction FEM \rightarrow MSC: [*“mr.”, “he”, “his”, “him”, “freeone”, “finalist”, “1st”, “mr”, “no.1”, “3rd”, “he’s”, “himself”, “he’s”, “p”, “freeone’s”, “associates.”, “him.”, “unesco”, “categories”, “gallery,”, “youtube”, “perform”, “amongst”, “awards,”, “1996.”, “freeone”, “applications.”, “developer”, “73rd”, “fields.”*]

C Human annotation

We conducted human annotation experiments to evaluate the quality of the interventions. The annotation was conducted by three STEM students: two MSc students from Bar-Ilan University and one BSc student from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. These annotators volunteered for this task and were not compensated for it. They were selected by the authors for their high degree of fluency in English and their understanding of natural language processing concepts, though it is acknowledged that they were

not native speakers. It is recognized, however, that the ideal standard for annotations might involve crowd-sourcing. Annotators were required to complete two tasks: (1) assess the writing quality of pairs of sentences, and (2) determine the subject entity gender for a list of sentences. An agreement between the annotators was measured by Fleiss’ κ score (Fleiss, 1971). Fleiss’ κ for the first task, i.e., comparing the writing quality of the sentences pair, was 0.1955, and for the second task, determining the subject entity gender, was 0.915. To isolate the quality degradation induced by the inversion model, task (1) presents pairs of strings counterfactuals. The first counterfactual was created solely by applying the inversion model, while the second was created by applying one of the three intervention techniques followed by employing the inversion model. The samples were uniformly drawn with replacement using a random sampling generator. The exact instructions are given below.

Task 1: You are given 6 files with pairs of sentences and asked to annotate which is better in terms of writing quality.

Mark 1 if sentence 1 has better writing quality compared to sentence 2,

Mark 2 if sentence 2 has better writing quality compared to 1,

Mark 0 if both sentences have the same writing quality.

Task 2: You are given 6 files containing a list of sentences.

Your objective is to determine the gender of the subject entity in each sentence.

You have three options to choose from: female, male, or unclear.

C.1 Human Annotation Results

The complete human evaluation results are presented in Tab. 3 and Tab. 4. The great majority of counterfactuals contain pronouns that match the target class. LEACE, an erasure method, induces in 16%–28% of the cases a mixture of pronoun types within the same text. On the other cases, the pronouns from the two classes are roughly equally divided, i.e, the method randomly substitutes some of the pronouns with pronouns from the other class. When it comes to writing quality, we see some degradation in quality following the intervention (Tab. 4), although in the majority of cases the annotators did not favor either of the versions.

Method	Perceived gender markers		
	FEM	MSC	Unclear
MiMiC FEM \rightarrow MSC	0.04 \pm 0	0.96 \pm 0	0 \pm 0
MiMiC MSC \rightarrow FEM	0.96 \pm 0	0 \pm 0	0.04 \pm 0
MiMiC+ FEM \rightarrow MSC	0 \pm 0	0.96 \pm 0.04	0.04 \pm 0
MiMiC+ MSC \rightarrow FEM	1 \pm 0	0 \pm 0	0 \pm 0
LEACE (Originally FEM)	0.44 \pm 0.0692	0.4 \pm 0.0692	0.16 \pm 0.1385
LEACE (Originally MSC)	0.32 \pm 0	0.4 \pm 0	0.28 \pm 0

Table 3: Evaluating the success rate of the counterfactual generation

Setting	Decoded+intervention quality > Decoded quality	Decoded quality > Decoded + intervention quality	Same quality
LEACE	0.0733 \pm 0.0702	0.1538 \pm 0.0693	0.7727 \pm 0.1396
MiMiC	0.0868 \pm 0.133	0.1804 \pm 0.1106	0.7327 \pm 0.1795
MiMiC+	0.0802 \pm 0.0526	0.3013 \pm 0.0848	0.6183 \pm 0.1285

Table 4: Human annotation results, measuring the writing quality of pairs of intervened sentences and the decoded sentence without intervention.

D Intervention inversion sample

In Tab. 5 we provide a random sample of the counterfactuals generated by the different methods.

Method	Inversion without intervention	Intervention + Inversion
MiMiC FEM → MSC	she graduated from Southeastern Oklahoma University with a Bachelor's degree in Business Administration in 2005. Chandra has worked in public accounting in both the state	with a Bachelor of Business Administration. Chandra graduated from Southeastern Oklahoma University in 2007. He has worked in a number of public accounting firms including Texas
MiMiC FEM → MSC	She was born in Tokyo in October 1995. She is currently listed on FreeOnes and has been ranked 157th in 2013 for her entries.	he was born in Tokyo in October 1999. He has been ranked 3rd in the FreeOne International Collection and 5th in the Asian Studies
MiMiC MSC → FEM	His family are long time members of First Universalist Church of Pittsfield. His poetry reflects his deep appreciation for Nature and our intuition and experience.	Her family are members of First Universalist Church of Pittsfield. Her poetry reflects great appreciation for nature along with deep intuition and deep experiences. Together
MiMiC MSC → FEM	His early 70s work has continued into the 21st century where his film career has yet to flourish. He has won many Oscar, Broadway awards and	Her Broadway career has spanned many decades from the late '50s until the present. Her work has been awarded a 1977 Oscar more
LEACE (Originally female)	She has also taught previous courses on LSAT Procedure Preparedness and has led panel discussions on new contracts and activities for Oregon bar and state lawyers. This	She has taught courses on LSAT Procedure Preparedness for current and future lawyers, as well as panel discussions on contract proposals and activities for Oregon bar associations
LEACE (Originally female)	She began her career working in Bolivia where her mother lived in the United States. She has an organization called Dream Latino and now promotes Zoom on CNN	began her career working for Dream Zoom in the United States. She has a division in Bolivia called "Mom Latino" where she interviewed news
LEACE (Originally male)	when not playing guitar he spends the majority of his time with the family and is watching rugby, teaching maths etc. and writing codes.â€™™	When she is not writing or teaching maths he spends most of her time with her family - watching rugby and playing guitar / all codes
LEACE (Originally male)	He is a co-author of: Media Economics Theory & Practices. Recent research includes: Assessing the social impact of online communication and technology	theory and research. He is author of Media Economics: A New Approach to Recognising the social impact of online technologies on online communication. As of
MiMiC+ FEM → MSC	Crystal Yang received a research grant for UND as a way to participate in cutting arts in Beijing. Wang went to Hong Kong for the December 2009	Art and Engineering Grant from UND for Wang Yang. He received a lot of money for his work in Crystal when he was visiting Beijing in April
MiMiC+ FEM → MSC	She has received a multimillion dollar Queensland hospital community recognition in 2007 for writing and contributing to both public and media investigative reporting. In the mid-19	been a bit of a pioneer of his specialised reporting and investigative writing. He has been awarded \$2 million by the Queensland Media Forum in 2007,
MiMiC+ MSC → FEM	served as a partner in the Rotary Club of East Sacramento. In October 2013, Bob was elected to head up District 5180. In April and May 2014	In August 2007, she was elected to District 599. In May 2017, she helped the Sacramento Rotary Club guide women to a life of their own. She
MiMiC+ MSC → FEM	In addition to saxophone he teaches truman and woodwind classes. Dr. Smith taught instrumental music at Three Rivers	- She teaches sax and woodwind. In addition to instrumental music, Dr. Smith taught 3 Rivers community classes at Truman

Table 5: Random sample of inverted representations without intervention, alongside an intervention + inversion.