Continuum limit of the Green function in scaled affine φ_4^4 4 quantum Euclidean covariant relativistic field theory

Riccardo Fantoni[∗](#page-0-0)

Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica, strada Costiera 11, 34151 Grignano (Trieste), Italy (Dated: April 12, 2024)

Abstract

We prove through path integral Monte Carlo computer experiments that the affine quantization of the φ_4^4 scaled Euclidean covariant relativistic scalar field theory is a valid quantum field theory with a well defined continuum limit of the one- and two-point-function. Affine quantization leads to a completely satisfactory quantization of field theories using situations that involve scaled behavior leading to an unexpected, \hbar^2/φ^2 which arises only in the quantum aspects.

Keywords: Field theory, affine quantization, Continuum limit, Green function

[∗] riccardo.fantoni@scuola.istruzione.it

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that φ_4^4 quantum Euclidean covariant relativistic field theory when quantized through canonical (Dirac [\[1](#page-10-0)]) quantization (CQ) is trivial since its corresponding renormalized theory tends to a free theory in the continuum limit [\[2](#page-10-1)[–6\]](#page-10-2).

Recently J. R. Klauder [\[7](#page-11-0)[–11](#page-11-1)] noticed that this difficulty can be overcome by using a different kind of quantization method, namely affine quantization (AQ).

In a sequel of recent papers [\[12](#page-11-2)[–22\]](#page-12-0) we proved, through path integral Monte Carlo (PIMC), that indeed affine quantization is able to make the φ_4^4 theory non-trivial. A crucial point left unanswered in these papers was the validity of the continuum limit at the level of the one- and two-point-functions.

The aim of the present work is to show that as we approach the continuum on the computer, the one- and two-point-function converge to well defined results. In other words we prove the validity of the continuum limit for the field theory quantized through affine quantization.

Our result could become important in the physics of the standard model where the longstanding problem of the triviality of canonical quantum φ^4 theory is crucial for particle physics since it undermines the Higgs mechanism. It is also very important for progresses in quantum gravity where the role of the field is played by the metric tensor which must be positive definite [\[20\]](#page-11-3).

II. FIELD THEORY FORMULATION

For a scalar field, φ , with spacial degrees of freedom $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s)$ and canonical momentum $\pi(x)$, the classical affine variables are $\kappa(x) \equiv \pi(x) \varphi(x)$ and $\varphi(x) \neq 0$. The reason we insist that $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ is because if $\varphi(x) = 0$ then $\kappa(x) = 0$ whatever is $\pi(x)$.

We then introduce the classical Hamiltonian expressed in affine variables. This leads us to

$$
\mathcal{H}(\kappa,\varphi) = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\kappa(x)^2 \,\varphi(x)^{-2} + (\nabla \varphi(x))^2 + m^2 \,\varphi(x)^2] + g \,\varphi(x)^r \right\} \, d^s x,\tag{2.1}
$$

where r is a positive, even, integer and $g \geq 0$ is the bare coupling constant such that for $g \to 0$ we fall into the free field theory. With these variables we do not let $\varphi(x) = \infty$ otherwise $\varphi(x)^{-2} = 0$ which is not fair to $\kappa(x)$ and, as we already observed, we must forbid

also $\varphi(x) = 0$ which would admit $\varphi(x)^{-2} = \infty$ giving again an undetermined kinetic term. Therefore the AQ bounds $0 < \varphi(x) < \infty$ forbid any triviality [\[12](#page-11-2)[–22\]](#page-12-0) which is otherwise possible for CQ [\[2](#page-10-1)[–5](#page-10-3)].

The quantum affine operators are the scalar field $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ and the *dilation* operator $\hat{\kappa}(x) = [\hat{\varphi}(x)\hat{\pi}(x) + \hat{\pi}(x)\hat{\varphi}(x)]/2$ where the momentum operator is $\hat{\pi}(x) = -i\hbar\delta/\delta\varphi(x)$. Accordingly for the self adjoint kinetic term $\hat{\kappa}(x)\hat{\varphi}(x)^{-2}\hat{\kappa}(x) = \hat{\pi}(x)^2 + (3/4)\hbar\delta(0)^{2s}\varphi(x)^{-2}$ (note that the factor 3/4 that holds for $\varphi > 0$ should be replaced by a factor 2 if $|\varphi| > 0$ [\[17\]](#page-11-4)) and one finds for the quantum Hamiltonian operator

$$
\hat{H}(\hat{\kappa}, \hat{\varphi}) = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\pi}(x)^2 + (\nabla \varphi(x))^2 + m^2 \varphi(x)^2] + g \varphi(x)^r + \frac{3}{8} \hbar^2 \frac{\delta(0)^{2s}}{\varphi(x)^2} \right\} d^s x. \tag{2.2}
$$

The affine action is found adding time, $x_0 = ct$, where c is the speed of light constant and t is the Euclidean imaginary time, so that $S = \int_0^\beta H dx_0$, with H the semi-classical Hamiltonian corresponding to the one of Eq. [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0), will then read (see the appendix)

$$
\mathcal{S}[\varphi] = \int_0^\beta dx_0 \int_{L^s} d^sx \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^s \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_\mu} \right)^2 + m^2 \varphi(x)^2 \right] + g \varphi(x)^r + \frac{3}{8} \hbar \frac{\delta(0)^{2s}}{\varphi(x)^2} \right\}, \tag{2.3}
$$

where with an abuse of notation we here use x for $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s)$ and $\beta = 1/k_BT$, with k_B the Boltzmann's constant and T the absolute temperature. In this work we will set $\beta = L$.

The vacuum expectation value of an observable $\mathcal{O}[\varphi]$ will then be given by the following expression

$$
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{O}[\varphi] \exp(-\mathcal{S}[\varphi]) \mathcal{D}\varphi(x)}{\int \exp(-\mathcal{S}[\varphi]) \mathcal{D}\varphi(x)},
$$
\n(2.4)

where the functional integrals will be calculated on a lattice using the PIMC method as explained later on.

The theory considers a real scalar field φ taking the value $\varphi(x)$ on each site x of a periodic *n*-dimensional lattice, with $n = s + 1$ space-time dimensions, of lattice spacing a, the ultraviolet cutoff, spacial periodicity $L = Na$ and temporal periodicity $\beta = Na$. The field path is a closed loop on an *n*-dimensional closed surface of an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional β-periodic cylinder of radius L: an $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus. We used a lattice formulation of the AQ field theory of Eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-2-1) (also studied in Eq. (8) of [\[12\]](#page-11-2)) using additionally the scaling $\varphi \to a^{-s/2} \varphi$ and $g \to a^{s(r-2)/2} g$ which is necessary to eliminate the Dirac delta factor

 $\delta(0) = a^{-1}$ divergent in the continuum limit $a \to 0$. The affine action for the field (in the primitive approximation [\[23\]](#page-12-1)) is then approximated by

$$
\frac{S[\varphi]}{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{x,\mu} a^{-2} [\varphi(x) - \varphi(x + e_{\mu})]^2 + m^2 \sum_x \varphi(x)^2 \right\} + \sum_x \left[g \varphi(x)^r + \frac{3}{8} \frac{\hbar^2}{\varphi(x)^2} \right], (2.5)
$$

where e_{μ} is a vector of length a in the $+\mu$ direction with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, s$. We will have $S \approx S$.

In this work we are interested in reaching the continuum limit by taking Na fixed and letting $N \to \infty$ at fixed volume L^s .

We performed a PIMC [\[23](#page-12-1)[–26\]](#page-12-2) calculation for the AQ field theory described by the action of Eq. [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) in natural Planck units $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$. Specifically we studied the $s = 3$ and $r = 4$ case. We calculated the renormalized coupling constant g_R and mass m_R defined in Eqs. (11) and (13) of [\[12\]](#page-11-2) respectively, measuring them in the PIMC through vacuum expectation values like in Eq. [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2).

In particular:

$$
m_R^2 = \frac{p_0^2 \langle |\tilde{\varphi}(p_0)|^2 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\varphi}(0)^2 \rangle - \langle |\tilde{\varphi}(p_0)|^2 \rangle},\tag{2.6}
$$

and at zero momentum

$$
g_R = \frac{3\langle \tilde{\varphi}(0)^2 \rangle^2 - \langle \tilde{\varphi}(0)^4 \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\varphi}(0)^2 \rangle^2},\tag{2.7}
$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \int d^n x \; e^{ip \cdot x} \varphi(x)$ is the Fourier transform of the field and we choose the 4momentum p_0 with one spacial component equal to $2\pi/Na$ and all other components equal to zero.

We also calulated the one-, two-point-, and two-point-connected-function, respectively given by

$$
V = \sum_{x} \langle \varphi(x) \rangle / N^n, \tag{2.8}
$$

$$
D(z) = \sum_{x} \langle \varphi(x)\varphi(x+z) \rangle / N^n, \tag{2.9}
$$

$$
D_c(z) = \sum_x (\langle \varphi(x)\varphi(x+z) \rangle - \langle \varphi(x) \rangle^2) / N^n = D(z) - V^2.
$$
 (2.10)

By construction, these are periodic functions, $D(z) = D(z + L)$, of period L. Moreover, since the action S contains only even powers of the field these functions must be symmetric respect to $z = L/2$, namely $D(z) = D(L - z)$.

III. THE SCALING

As we have seen we decided to work with a scaled field $\varphi'(x)$, related to the variable $\varphi(x)$, used for example in [\[12](#page-11-2)], by

$$
\varphi(x) = a^{-3/2} \varphi'(x). \tag{3.1}
$$

In other words, we are renormalizing the bare field. This can be compared with the standard renormalization formula

$$
\varphi(x) = Z^{1/2} \varphi^{\text{ren}}(x). \tag{3.2}
$$

 $\varphi^{\text{ren}}(x)$ is referred to as the renormalized field and Z is called the renormalization constant. In this language, we are setting $Z = a^{-3}$.

At the same time, we are rescaling the coupling constant with

$$
g = a^3 g'.\tag{3.3}
$$

In the Standard Model, the various coupling constants also need to be renormalized for the continuum limit to exist, but the renormalization is not simply given by a power of the lattice spacing. Instead, it needs to carefully be tuned to the cutoff and to the couplings. In perturbation theory of canonical φ^4 , the bare coupling constant can be expressed in terms of the renormalized one, order by order. The result consists of a series that starts with g^{ren} :

$$
g = g^{\text{ren}} + c_2(g^{\text{ren}})^2 + c_3(g^{\text{ren}})^3 + \dots
$$
\n(3.4)

The standard renormalization procedure is based on the fact that the Fourier transform of the renormalized two-point-function contains a pole at $p^2 = M^2$, where M is the physical mass of the particle. The renormalization constant Z is chosen such that the residue of this pole is equal to 1. This ensures, in particular, that $\varphi^{\text{ren}}(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ as well as g^{ren} and g have the same dimension. Note that our rescaling [\(3.1\)](#page-4-0) and [\(3.3\)](#page-4-1) instead changes the dimension of these objects.

We will soon see, in our first case study below, that the expectation value $\langle \varphi'(x) \rangle$ tends to a constant when N becomes large. This means that the expectation value of the unscaled field, $\langle \varphi(x) \rangle$, tends to infinity in proportion to $N^{3/2}$ [\[12](#page-11-2), [14](#page-11-5)].

As we are holding g' constant, the unscaled coupling constant g tends to zero in proportion to $1/N^3$. This suggests that, for the parameter values we consider, the connected Green's functions of the unscaled model tend to those of a free scalar field.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Our PIMC simulations use the Metropolis algorithm [\[24,](#page-12-3) [25](#page-12-4)] to calculate the ensemble average of Eq. (2.4) which is a N^n multidimensional integral. The simulation is started from the initial condition $\varphi(x) = \epsilon > 0$ for all lattice points x, with ϵ a small positive number. One PIMC step consisted in a random displacement of each one of the N^n field values, $\varphi(x)$, as follows

$$
\varphi \to \varphi + (2\eta - 1)\delta, \tag{4.1}
$$

where η is a uniform pseudo random number in [0, 1] and δ is the amplitude of the displacement. Each one of these N^n moves is accepted if $\exp(-\Delta S) > \eta$ where ΔS is the change in the action due to the move (it can be efficiently calculated considering how the kinetic part and the potential part change by the displacement of a single $\varphi(x)$) and rejected otherwise. The amplitude δ is chosen in such a way to have acceptance ratios as close as possible to 1/2 and is kept constant during the evolution of the simulation. One simulation consisted of M PIMC steps. The statistical error on the average $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ will then depend on the correlation time necessary to decorrelate the property $\mathcal{O}, \tau_{\mathcal{O}}$, and will be determined as $\sqrt{\tau_{\mathcal{O}}\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^2/(MN^n)}$, where $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}}^2$ is the intrinsic variance for \mathcal{O} .

We used up to a lattice of $N^n = 25^4 = 390625$ points $(N = 25)$ and up to $M = 2 \times 10^6$ corresponding to $MNⁿ$ PIMC displacement moves.

A. First case study

In our simulation we first chose the following study case $m = g = L = \beta = 1$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-10}$.

Notice that the minima of the two symmetric potential wells in the semi-classical Hamiltonian density described by the function $f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 + \varphi^4 + \frac{3}{8}$ $\frac{3}{8}\varphi^{-2}$, are at $\varphi_{\pm} = \pm 2^{-1/2} \approx$ ± 0.707107 . From our Monte Carlo simulations (see Teble [I\)](#page-6-0), it seems that the vacuum expectation value of the field (one-point-value), $V = \sum_{x} \langle \varphi(x) \rangle / N^n$, tends to these values in the continuum limit, $a = 1/N \rightarrow 0$. Note that in some of our previous works [\[16,](#page-11-6) [18,](#page-11-7) [19](#page-11-8)] where, instead of keeping the bare mass m constant, we tuned it so to have a constant renormalized mass m_R we found $V = 0$ in all cases. This is due to the fact that as N increases so does the necessary bare mass which keeps constant m_R . So that the two symmetric potential wells in the semi-classical Hamiltonian density has minima that tends to zero and one experiences tunneling of the potential barrier at $\varphi = 0$.

In Table [II](#page-8-0) we show the values for the renormalized mass, m_R , and coupling constant g_R at increasing values of $N = 1/a$. We see that in the continuum limit $\lim_{a\to 0} m_R = 0$ and $\lim_{a\to 0} g_R = 2$, meaning that $\lim_{a\to 0} \langle \tilde{\varphi}(0)^4 \rangle / \langle \tilde{\varphi}(0)^2 \rangle^2 = 1$

TABLE I. Renormalized mass m_R , renormalized coupling constant g_R , and one-point-value (vacuum expectation value of the field) $V = \sum_{x} \langle \varphi(x) \rangle / N^n$ for $n = 3 + 1$, $m = g = L = \beta = 1$ and $N = L/a = 4, 7, 13, 25$. In our PIMC simulations we used Eqs. [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2) and [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0).

In Figure [1](#page-6-1) we show $D(z)$ at increasing values of $N = 1/a$. From the plot of the simulation data we see that the function is symmetric respect to $z = 1/2$ as expected, since the action only contains even powers of the field.

FIG. 1. Two-point-function $D(z) = \sum_x \langle \varphi(x) \varphi(x+z) \rangle/N^n$ for $n = 3 + 1$, $m = g = L = \beta = 1$ and $N = L/a = 4, 7, 13, 25$. In our PIMC simulations we used Eqs. [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2) and [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0).

In Figure [2](#page-7-0) we show $D_c(z)$ at increasing values of $N = 1/a$. From the plot of the simulation data we see that $\lim_{a\to 0} D(1/2) = 0$. The width of the spike of $D_c(z)$ at $z = 0$ seems to be related to the value of the renormalized mass m_R .

FIG. 2. Two-point-connected-function $D_c(z) = D(z) - V^2$ for $n = 3 + 1$, $m = g = L = \beta = 1$ and $N = L/a = 4, 7, 13, 25$. In our PIMC simulations we used Eqs. [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2) and [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0).

Alternatively we could have adjusted, at each change of N , the value of the bare mass m so to have a fixed value for the renormalized mass m_R . This would have resulted in a convergence towards a unique two-point-connected-function in the continuum limit $N \to \infty$. We did not choose did strategy because it is numerically problematic to tune the bare mass so to have at each N the same value for the renormalized mass. This was what we did in some of our previous papers [\[12](#page-11-2), [13](#page-11-9), [16](#page-11-6)[–22\]](#page-12-0). As explained in those works keeping the renormalized mass constant is extremely cumbersome due to the unavoidable sistematic numerical error that one faces. It would have been then extremely difficult to obtain a reasonable comparison between the two point function at different N.

B. Second case study

For the parameter values we just used, the box plays a crucial role: the bare Compton wavelength $(1/m)$ is equal to the size L of the box. In order for the box to be a purely technical device introduced to regularize the theory, it must be large compared to the correlation length of the model. At the same time, the lattice spacing must be small compared to it:

$$
1/L \ll m \ll 1/a. \tag{4.2}
$$

Therefore, next we considered the study case with $g = L = \beta = 1$, $m = \sqrt{N/L}$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-10}$, which should be much less affected by the presence of the box than the previous choice $m = 1/L$.

TABLE II. Renormalized mass m_R , renormalized coupling constant g_R , and one-point-value (vacuum expectation value of the field) $V = \sum_{x} \langle \varphi(x) \rangle / N^n$ for $n = 3 + 1$, $g = L = \beta = 1$, $m = \sqrt{N/L}$ and $N = L/a = 4, 7, 13, 25$. In our PIMC simulations we used Eqs. [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2) and [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0).

N	m_R	g_R	
$\overline{4}$	0.1461(2)	\mid 2.01199(2) \mid 0.6672(4)	
		$0.0627(1)$ 2.001154(4) 0.5992(3)	
		$\left 13 \right 0.02463(5) \left 1.999844(2) \right 0.5169(2) \left $	
		[25 0.00867(5) 2.000069(7) 0.4359(3)]	

Notice that the minima of the two symmetric potential wells in the semi-classical Hamiltonian density described by the function $f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 + \varphi^4 + \frac{3}{8}$ $\frac{3}{8}\varphi^{-2}$, $\varphi_{\pm}(m)$, are such that

$$
\varphi_{\pm}(m) = \pm 3^{1/4} (2m)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-7/2}) \quad \text{for } m \gg 1.
$$
 (4.3)

So with our choice of $m = \sqrt{N}$ we will find, in the continuum limit, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \langle \varphi \rangle =$ $\lim_{N\to\infty}\varphi_+(\sqrt{N})=0$ in agreement with the results in Refs. [\[12](#page-11-2), [13](#page-11-9), [16,](#page-11-6) [18](#page-11-7)[–22](#page-12-0)].

From Fig. [3](#page-9-0) we see the continuum limit $N \to \infty$, of the scaled two-point-connectedfunction where, with an abuse of notation, we dropped from D_c , the prime, adopted rigorously in Section [III.](#page-4-2) Respect to the work [\[14](#page-11-5)] (see Fig. 3 there) which dealt with the unscaled free field case and $D_c(0)$ was found to increase with increasing N we see how now the scaling has the effect of letting the value of $D'_{c}(0)$ decrease with increasing N, as shown in Fig. [3,](#page-9-0) since $\varphi' = \varphi/N^{3/2}$ and $D'_c = D_c/N^3$. It is only tuning the bare mass m so to have a constant renormalized mass m_R for each N, that we would find true convergence. Unfortunately this procedure is not easily accomplished numerically since for each N we

FIG. 3. Two-point-connected-function $D_c(z) = D(z) - V^2$ for $n = 3 + 1$, $g = L = \beta = 1$, $m = \sqrt{N}/L$ and $N = L/a = 4, 7, 13, 25$. In our PIMC simulations we used Eqs. [\(2.4\)](#page-2-2) and [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0).

would have to make several test runs with different values of m in order to find the value which keeps m_R approximately constant. This procedure was nonetheless carried out in the following works [\[12,](#page-11-2) [13,](#page-11-9) [16](#page-11-6), [18](#page-11-7)[–22\]](#page-12-0).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we represent $\pi(x)$ by $k(x)/\varphi(x)$. To insure proper values for $\pi(x)$ it is necessary to restrict $0 < \varphi(x) < \infty$ as well as $0 \leq |k(x)| < \infty$. Indeed such symbol change is able to treat Hamiltonian densities with an interaction $\varphi(x)^4$. This leads to a completely satisfactory quantization of field theories using situations that involve scaled behavior leading to an unexpected, $\hbar^2/\varphi(x)^2$ which arises only in the quantum aspects. Indeed, it is fair to claim that this symbol change leads to valid field theory quantizations.

Respect to the work [\[14](#page-11-5)] which dealt with the free field case we here repeat that analysis but now for the φ^4 interacting case.

We prove through path integral Monte Carlo computer experiments that the affine quantization of the φ_4^4 scaled Euclidean covariant relativistic field theory is a well defined quantum field theory with a well defined continuum limit of the one- and two-point-function, the Green's function.

The simple pseudo-potential $\propto \hbar^2/\varphi^2$ stemming from the affine quantization procedure

[\[9](#page-11-10)] not only does not disturb the continuum limit, as we proved here, but in addition is able to render non-trivial the φ_4^4 theory which is known [\[2](#page-10-1)] to be trivial when treated with the more commonly known [\[1\]](#page-10-0) canonical quantization .

Appendix A: The extra "3/4" potential term

In order to explain the extra " $3/4$ " potential term we use the fact that the operator corresponding to the affine field κ will be the dilation operator $\hat{\kappa} = (\hat{\pi}\hat{\varphi} + \hat{\varphi}\hat{\pi})/2$ where the regularized basic quantum Schrödinger operators are given by $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x)$ and $\hat{\pi}(x) =$ $-i\hbar\delta_{\varphi(x)} = -i\hbar\delta/\delta\varphi(x)$ so that the commutator $[\hat{\varphi}(x), \hat{\pi}(y)] = i\hbar\delta^{s}(x - y)$, where $\delta^{s}(x)$ is a s-dimensional Dirac delta function since $\delta_{\varphi(x)}\varphi(y) = \delta^s(x - y)$. Multiplying this by $\hat{\varphi}$ we find $[\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\hat{\pi}] = [\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\pi}\hat{\varphi}] = [\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\kappa}] = i\hbar\delta^s\hat{\varphi}$ which is only valid for $\varphi \neq 0$. Then $\hat{\kappa} =$ $-i\hbar \{\delta_{\varphi(x)}[\varphi(x)] + \varphi(x)\delta_{\varphi(x)}\}/2 = -i\hbar \{\delta^s(0)/2 + \varphi(x)\delta_{\varphi(x)}\}$. Now, for $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, we will have that affine quantization sends $\hat{\pi}^2(x)$ to

$$
\hat{\kappa}(x)\varphi^{-2}(x)\hat{\kappa}(x) = -\hbar^2 \{\delta^s(0)/2 + \varphi(x)\delta_{\varphi(x)}\}\varphi^{-2}(x)\{\delta^s(0)/2 + \varphi(x)\delta_{\varphi(x)}\}\
$$

$$
= \hbar^2 (3/4)\delta^{2s}(0)\varphi^{-2}(x) - \hbar^2 \delta_{\varphi(x)}^2
$$

$$
= \hbar^2 (3/4)\delta^{2s}(0)\varphi^{-2}(x) + \hat{\pi}^2(x). \tag{A1}
$$

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, The Principles of Quantum Mechanics (Claredon Press, Oxford, 1958) in a footnote on page 114.
- [2] B. Freedman, P. Smolensky, and D. Weingarten, Monte Carlo Evaluation of the Continuum Limit of ϕ_4^4 and ϕ_3^4 , Physics Letters **113B**, 481 (1982).
- [3] M. Aizenman, Proof of the Triviality of ϕ_d^4 Field Theory and Some Mean-Field Features of Ising Models for $d > 4$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 886(E) (1981).
- [4] J. Fröhlich, On the Triviality of $\lambda \phi_d^4$ Theories and the Approach to the Critical Point in $d \geq 4$ Dimensions, Nuclear Physics B 200, 281 (1982).
- [5] J. Siefert and U. Wolff, Triviality of φ^4 theory in a finite volume scheme adapted to the broken phase, Physics Letters B 733, 11 (2014).
- [6] U. Wolff, Triviality of four dimensional ϕ^4 theory on the lattice, Scholarpedia 9, 7367 (2014).
- [7] J. R. Klauder, A Modern Approach to Functional Integration (Springer, 2010).
- [8] J. R. Klauder, Beyond Conventional Quantization (Cambridge University Press, 2000) chap. 5.
- [9] J. R. Klauder, The Benefits of Affine Quantization, Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology 6, 175 (2020).
- [10] J. R. Klauder, Using Affine Quantization to Analyze Non-renormalizable Scalar Fields and the Quantization of Einstein's Gravity, (2020), arXiv:2006.09156.
- [11] J. R. Klauder, An Ultralocal Classical and Quantum Gravity Theory, Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology 6, 656 (2020).
- [12] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Affine quantization of $(\varphi^4)_4$ succeeds while canonical quantization fails, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 076013 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.076013)
- [13] R. Fantoni, Monte carlo evaluation of the continuum limit of (φ $(\phi^{12})_3$ [J. Stat. Mech. , 083102 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ac0f69)
- [14] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Monte carlo evaluation of the continuum limit of the two-point function of the euclidean free real scalar field subject to affine quantization, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-021-02818-x) 184, 28 (2021).
- [15] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Monte carlo evaluation of the continuum limit of the two-point function of two euclidean higgs real scalar fields subject to affine quantization, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 054514 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.054514)
- [16] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Eliminating nonrenormalizability helps prove scaled affine quantization of φ_4^4 is nontrivial, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X22500294) **37**, 2250029 (2022).
- [17] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Kinetic factors in affine quantization and their role in field theory monte carlo, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X22500944) 37, 2250094 (2022).
- [18] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Scaled affine quantization of φ_4^4 in the low temperature limit, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10807-x) 82, 843 (2022).
- [19] R. Fantoni and J. R. Klauder, Scaled affine quantization of ultralocal φ_2^4 a comparative path integral monte carlo study with scaled canonical quantization, Phys. Rev. D 106[, 114508 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.114508)
- [20] J. R. Klauder and R. Fantoni, The magnificent realm of affine quantization: valid results for particles, fields, and gravity, Axioms 12[, 911 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12100911)
- [21] R. Fantoni, Scaled affine quantization of 12 3 is nontrivial, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732323501675) 38, 2350167 (2023).
- [22] J. R. Klauder and R. Fantoni, A modest redirection of quantum field theory solves all current problems, J. Stat. Phys. (submitted) (2023), arXiv:2308.13475.
- [23] D. M. Ceperley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 279 (1995).
- [24] N. Metropolis, A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. M. Teller, and E. Teller, Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines, J. Chem. Phys. 1087, 21 (1953).
- [25] M. H. Kalos and P. A. Whitlock, Monte Carlo Methods (Wiley-Vch Verlag GmbH & Co., Germany, 2008).
- [26] R. Fantoni, Localization of acoustic polarons at low temperatures: A path integral monte carlo approach, Phys. Rev. B 86[, 144304 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.144304)