# BORDER SUBRANK VIA A GENERALISED HILBERT-MUMFORD CRITERION

BENJAMIN BIAGGI, CHIA-YU CHANG, JAN DRAISMA, AND FILIP RUPNIEWSKI

ABSTRACT. We show that the border subrank of a sufficiently general tensor in  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/(d-1)})$  for  $n \to \infty$ . Since this matches the growth rate  $\Theta(n^{1/(d-1)})$  for the generic (non-border) subrank recently established by Derksen-Makam-Zuiddam, we find that the generic border subrank has the same growth rate. In our proof, we use a generalisation of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion that we believe will be of independent interest.

## 1. Introduction and main theorem

Introduction. The subrank, border subrank, and asymptotic subrank play central roles in several areas including algebraic complexity theory (value of a tensor [\[Str87\]](#page-12-0)) and quantum information theory (asymptotic structure of the capacity of a quantum channel [\[Wat18\]](#page-12-1)).

A central problem in computer science is to study the complexity of matrix multiplication, which is governed by a constant called the exponent of matrix multiplication. This is denoted by  $\omega$  and defined as the infimum over  $\tau$  such that the  $n \times n$ matrices may be multiplied using  $\mathcal{O}(n^{\tau})$  arithmetic operations. It is known that  $2 \leq \omega \leq 3$  and Strassen's original algorithm [\[Str69\]](#page-12-2) shows that  $\omega \leq \log_2(7) < 2.81$ . Since then, several approaches have been developed to find an upper bound on  $\omega$  or even show that  $\omega$  is 2. The most effective upper bound method found so far is the laser method, which was first introduced by Strassen [\[Str87\]](#page-12-0). A key idea is to find an intermediate tensor  $T$  which has low asymptotic rank (low cost) and is "close to" being a matrix multiplication tensor (high value). The tensor rank measures the "cost" of a tensor and the subrank measures the "value" of a tensor.

It is natural to study how well any sufficiently general tensor  $T \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ performs on these criteria. For rank and border rank, this is well known: rank and border rank of such a T are the same and equal to the maximal border rank, namely,  $\lceil \frac{n^3}{3n} \rceil$  $\frac{n^{\circ}}{3n-2}$  [\[Lic85\]](#page-12-3) (except for  $n=3$ , where the border-rank-4 tensors form a hypersurface of degree 9 defined by Strassen's equation [\[LO13,](#page-12-4) [Str83\]](#page-12-5)).

Only much more recently has the subrank of any sufficiently general tensor been determined: in [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6), this is shown to be in the (small) interval

<span id="page-0-0"></span>(1) 
$$
\left[3\left[\sqrt{n/3+1/4}-1/2\right], \left[\sqrt{3n-2}\right]\right].
$$

BB and FR are supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) project grant 200021 191981, and JD is partially supported by that grant and partially supported by Vici grant 639.033.514 from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). CC is supported by NSF grant AF-2203618.

The upper bound in this interval is conjectured to be the correct value for the generic subrank. In particular, the generic subrank is  $\Theta(n^{1/2})$ , which improves the previous upper bound  $\mathcal{O}(n^{2/3})$  by Bürgisser [Bü97] and Strassen [\[STR91\]](#page-12-8).

For the border subrank, so far very little was known, other than that the border subrank of a sufficiently general tensor in  $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  is bounded above by  $n-1$ ; see the invariant-theoretic argument due to Fulvio Gesmundo in [\[Cha22\]](#page-12-9). The goal of this paper is to dramatically improve the upper bound on the generic border subrank to  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2})$ , matching the growth rate  $\Theta(n^{1/2})$  for the generic subrank from [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6). Furthermore, combining our result with the results from [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6), we will establish that the generic subrank and the generic border subrank do not coincide for  $n \gg 0$ .

(Border) subrank. Throughout, we fix an integer  $d \geq 2$  and work over an arbitrary algebraically closed field K. Let  $V_1, \ldots, V_d$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces. The *subrank* of a tensor  $T \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  is the maximal r for which there exist linear maps  $\varphi_i: V_i \to K^r, i = 1, ..., d$  such that

$$
(\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_d)T = I_r := \sum_{i=1}^r e_i^{\otimes d} \in (K^r)^{\otimes d}.
$$

Here  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  is the standard basis of  $K^r$ , and  $I_r$  is called the r-th unit tensor. For  $d = 3$ , the subrank of T was introduced in theoretical computer science by Strassen [\[Str87\]](#page-12-0) as a measure of the value of T—it measures how many independent scalar multiplications can be linearly embedded in the bilinear map  $V_1^* \times V_2^* \to V_3$  encoded by T.

The border subrank of T is the maximal r for which  $I_r$  lies in the (Zariski) closure of the set

$$
\{(\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_d)T \mid \varphi_i \in \text{Hom}(V_i, K^r), i = 1, \ldots, d\}.
$$

Clearly, the border subrank of T is at least the subrank of T. When  $d = 2$ , equality holds, and both notions agree with the matrix rank of  $T$ . Another immediate observation is that the border subrank of  $T$  is at most the rank of  $T$  when regarded as a linear map  $\bigotimes_{j\neq i} V_i^* \to V_i$ , for any  $i \in [d] := \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , and hence at most  $\min_i \dim(V_i)$ .

**Generic subrank.** The locus of tensors of subrank precisely r in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$ is a constructible set, and hence there exists a unique  $r$  for which this locus is dense. This r is called the *generic subrank* of tensors in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  and is denoted  $Q(n_1, \ldots, n_d)$  where  $n_i = \dim(V_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . It was proved in [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6) that  $Q(n, n, \ldots, n) = \Theta(n^{1/(d-1)})$  for  $n \to \infty$ . First, and for general  $n_1, \ldots, n_d$ , they upper bound the dimension of the subrank  $\geq r$  locus by estimating the rank of the derivative of a natural morphism parameterising the locus of tensors of subrank  $\geq r$  (this locus is irreducible). This yields  $Q(n, n, \ldots, n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{1/(d-1)})$ . The lower bound involves a clever construction showing that the rank estimate is essentially tight. For  $d = 3$ , the results are even sharper and imply that  $Q(n, n, n)/\sqrt{3n} \to 1$ for  $n \to \infty$ ; compare [\(1\)](#page-0-0).

Generic border subrank. The goal of this paper is to establish an upper bound on the generic border subrank. We first show that this notion is well-defined. To this end, let  $X_r, X_{\geq r}, X_{\leq r} \subseteq V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  be the loci of tensors of border subrank precisely  $r$ , at least  $r$ , and strictly less than  $r$ , respectively.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Proposition 1.** For any  $d, r, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ , the set  $X_r \subseteq V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  of tensors of border subrank precisely r is a constructible set. Therefore, the same holds for the sets  $X_{\leq r}$  and  $X_{\geq r}$ .

By the proposition, there is a unique r for which  $X_r$  is dense, and this  $X_r$ contains a Zariski open, dense subset of  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$ . This r is called the *generic* border subrank of tensors in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 2** (Main Theorem). The generic border subrank of tensors in  $(K^n)^{\otimes d}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/(d-1)})$  for  $n \to \infty$ .

The Main Theorem follows from the following theorem for general dimensions.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Theorem 3.** Let  $V_1, \ldots, V_d$  be vector spaces of dimensions  $n_1, \ldots, n_d$ , respectively, and let r be a nonnegative integer. Set  $s := \lfloor r/d \rfloor$ . Then the locus  $X_{\geq r}$  of tensors of border subrank  $\geq r$  has dimension at most

$$
n_1 \cdots n_d - s^d + \sum_{i=1}^d 2s(n_i - s) + r \left(1 + d(r - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^d (n_i - r)\right).
$$

Together with the results from [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6), the Main Theorem implies that the growth rates of the generic border subrank and of the generic subrank are both equal to  $\Theta(n^{1/(d-1)})$ . However, their precise values are not equal:

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Theorem 4.** The generic border subrank of tensors in  $(K^n)^{\otimes 3}$  is at least  $\lfloor$ √ 4n⌋−3, and hence, for n sufficiently large, strictly greater than the generic subrank.

Proof sketch. First, we establish Proposition [1](#page-2-0) in §[2.](#page-3-0) Next, there exist tensors in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  of border subrank  $\geq r$  if and only if  $\dim(V_i) \geq r$  for all i. Then, choosing linear embeddings  $K^r \to V_i$  for all i, we may regard  $I_r$  as a tensor in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$ . The subrank of a tensor T is  $\geq r$  if and only if  $I_r$  lies in the orbit closure of T under the group  $G := \prod_{i=1}^d GL(V_i)$ .

Now it is well known that if a reductive algebraic group  $H$  acts on an affine variety Z,  $p, q \in Z$  satisfy  $q \in H \cdot p$ , and the H-orbit of q is closed, then there exists an algebraic group homomorphism (*one-parameter subgroup*)  $\lambda$  from the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{G}_{m}$  over K to H such that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p \in H \cdot q$ —this is the celebrated Hilbert-Mumford criterion; see, e.g., [\[Kem78,](#page-12-10) Theorem 1.4]. Unfortunately, the criterion does not directly apply in our setting, since the orbit of  $I_r$  under the group G is not closed. Indeed,  $\overline{G \cdot I_r}$  is the set of tensors of ordinary border rank  $\leq r$ , and this contains many tensors not in  $G \cdot I_r$  and even tensors of ordinary rank  $> r$ . So if T has border subrank r, it is not clear whether there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda$  where  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot T$  is contained in  $G \cdot I_r$ .

However, not all is lost: in §[3,](#page-3-1) using the Cartan-Iwahori-Matsumoto decomposition in loop groups, we prove a generalisation of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion (Proposition [6\)](#page-4-0) that does apply when  $H \cdot q$  is not closed. In §[5](#page-6-0) we specialise this generalised criterion to the setting where  $Z = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  and  $H = G$  and  $q = I_r$ . We show that we can cover the locus of tensors of border subrank  $\geq r$  with countably many constructible subsets, each corresponding to a tuple of integer exponents of t in a suitable one-parameter subgroup, and for each of these subsets, we show that its dimension is at most the formula from Theorem [3.](#page-2-1)

## 2. CONSTRUCTIBILITY

<span id="page-3-0"></span>We start by showing that the loci  $X_{\leq r}, X_r, X_{\geq r}$  of tensors of border subrank  $\langle r, r, \text{ and } \rangle \geq r$ , respectively, are constructible.

*Proof of Proposition [1.](#page-2-0)* We show that  $X_{\leq r}$  is constructible for all r. This implies the other statements, since  $X_{\geq r}$  is the complement of  $X_{\leq r}$  and  $X_r$  is the difference  $X_{\leq r+1} \setminus X_{\leq r}$ . If  $r > \dim(V_i)$  for some i, then  $X_{\leq r}$  is all of  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  and we are done. So assume that all  $V_i$  have dimension  $\geq r$  and regard  $I_r$  as a tensor in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$ .

By standard results in elimination theory (see, e.g., [\[Dub90\]](#page-12-11)), there exists an integer D such that for all  $T \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$  the ideal  $J_T \subseteq K[V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d]$  of polynomials that vanish identically on  $\{gT \mid g \in G\}$  is generated by polynomials of degree  $\leq D$ . The border subrank of T is at least r if and only if all polynomials in the degree- $\leq D$  part  $(J_T)_{\leq D}$  of  $J_T$  vanish on  $I_T$ . Conversely, the border subrank is  $\langle r \rangle$  if and only if

$$
\exists f \in K[V^{\otimes d}]_{\leq D} : (f(I_r) \neq 0) \land (\forall g \in G : f(gT) = 0).
$$

By quantifier elimination (Chevalley's theorem), the locus  $X_{\leq r}$  of T satisfying the formula above is constructible; here we use that  $f$  runs over a finite-dimensional space.  $\Box$ 

Note that, unlike the parameterisation used in [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6) that implies that the locus of tensors of subrank  $\geq r$  is constructible, the proof above gives no information about the dimension of that locus. Such a bound for border subrank is established in the subsequent sections.

### 3. The Cartan-Iwahori-Matsumoto decomposition

<span id="page-3-1"></span>We recall a classical result from [\[IM65\]](#page-12-12). For more along these lines, we refer to [\[AHLH19\]](#page-12-13) and the references there. Let  $K((t))$  denote the field of Laurent series in the variable t with coefficients in K, and K $||t||$  its subring of formal power series. For any (commutative, unital)  $K$ -algebra  $R$  and any affine scheme  $X$ over K, the set  $X(R)$  denotes the set of R-valued points of X, i.e., the set of Kalgebra homomorphisms  $K[X] \to R$ . In particular, if G is an affine algebraic group over K, then  $G(K[[t]])$  is a subgroup of the (formal) loop group  $G(K((t)))$ . For instance,  $GL_n(K[[t]])$  is the group of all  $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in  $K[[t]]$  whose determinant is a unit in  $K[[t]]$ , i.e., a formal power series with nonzero constant term. The following theorem follows from [\[IM65,](#page-12-12) Corollary 2.17].

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Theorem 5.** Let G be a connected, reductive group over K and let D be a maximal torus in G. Then for any  $g = g(t) \in G(K((t)))$  there exist  $h_1(t), h_2(t) \in G(K[[t]])$ and a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to D$  such that

$$
g(t) = h_1(t)\lambda(t)h_2(t)^{-1}.
$$

Here  $\lambda(t)$  is regarded as a point in  $D(K((t))) \subseteq G(K((t)))$  as follows: the pullback of  $\lambda$  is an algebra homomorphism  $K[D] \to K[\mathbb{G}_{m}] = K[t, t^{-1}] \subseteq K((t)).$  For our application to border subrank we only need the special case of the propositions for (products of)  $GL_n$ . In that case, there is the following well-known easy proof of this decomposition (see [\[Muk03,](#page-12-14) Lemma 7.7]):

*Proof.* First find  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  so that the matrix entries of  $t^a g(t)$  are in K[[t]]. Then apply the Smith normal form algorithm to  $t^a g(t)$  to decompose it as above—here we use that  $K[[t]]$  is a principal ideal domain all of whose ideals are of the form  $(t^b)$ for  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Finally, multiply the middle factor by  $t^{-a}$  to get the corresponding decomposition for  $g(t)$ .

# 4. A generalised Hilbert-Mumford criterion

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Proposition 6. Let a connected, reductive algebraic group G act on an affine variety Z and let  $p, q \in Z$  such that  $q \in \overline{G \cdot p}$ . Then there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$  and a point  $\tilde{q} \in G \cdot q$ , such that

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{q}.
$$

In particular, we require that both limits exist! The proof that follows is inspired by the proof of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion in [\[MFK93\]](#page-12-15), but we are not aware of a previous occurrence of Proposition [6](#page-4-0) in the literature.

*Proof.* The variety  $Z$  can be embedded as a closed,  $G$ -stable subvariety of a finitedimensional vector space V on which G acts linearly. So we may assume that  $Z = V$ is a representation of  $G$ .

As  $q \in \overline{G \cdot p}$ , by standard facts in algebraic geometry, there exists  $g(t) \in$  $G(K((t)))$  such that  $g(t) \cdot p$  lies in  $K[[t]] \otimes V$  and reduces to q when we set t to zero. We write this as  $\lim_{t\to 0} g(t) \cdot p = q$ . Using Theorem [5,](#page-3-2) we can decompose

$$
g(t) = h_1(t)\mu(t)h_2(t)^{-1}
$$

with  $h_1(t)$ ,  $h_2(t) \in G(K[[t]])$  and one-parameter subgroup  $\mu$ . We then have

$$
h_2(t)\mu(t)h_2(t)^{-1} \cdot p = h_2(t)h_1(t)^{-1}g(t) \cdot p \to h_2(0)h_1(0)^{-1} \cdot q =: \tilde{q} \text{ for } t \to 0,
$$

where  $\tilde{q}$  is an element in the G-orbit of q.

Define the one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda(t) = h_2(0)\mu(t)h_2(0)^{-1}$ . We will show that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p = \lim_{t\to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{q}.$ 

There exists a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V with  $\mu(t)v_i = t^{a_i}v_i$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The elements  $h_2(t) \cdot v_1, \ldots, h_2(t) \cdot v_n$  form a free K[[t]]-basis of K[[t]] ⊗ V and we can write the vector  $p$  as a linear combination in this basis:

(2) 
$$
p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i(t) h_2(t) \cdot v_i \text{ for certain } \xi_i(t) \in K[[t]].
$$

So we have

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
h_2(t)\mu(t)h_2(t)^{-1} \cdot p = h_2(t)\mu(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t) \cdot v_i = h_2(t) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(t)t^{a_i}v_i
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^n t^{a_i}\xi_i(t)h_2(t) \cdot v_i.
$$

Since this expression converges for  $t \to 0$ , we conclude that if  $a_i \leq 0$ , then  $\xi_i \in K[[t]]$ is divisible by  $t^{-a_i}$ . For these i, we define  $\eta_i(t) = t^{a_i} \xi_i(t) \in K[[t]]$ . Now we have

$$
p = \sum_{i:a_i \leq 0} t^{-a_i} \eta_i(t) h_2(t) \cdot v_i + \sum_{i:a_i > 0} \xi_i(t) h_2(t) \cdot v_i,
$$

and the computations above shows that

$$
\tilde{q} = \lim_{t \to 0} h_2(t) \mu(t) h_2(t)^{-1} \cdot p = \sum_{i:a_i \le 0} \eta_i(0) h_2(0) \cdot v_i.
$$

Recalling that  $\lambda(t) = h_2(0)\mu(t)h_2(0)^{-1}$ , we find

$$
\lambda(t)\cdot \tilde{q} = h_2(0)\cdot \sum_{i:a_i\leq 0} \eta_i(0)t^{a_i}v_i \to h_2(0)\cdot \sum_{i:a_i=0} \eta_i(0)v_i \text{ for } t\to \infty.
$$

For those i with  $a_i = 0$ , we have  $\eta_i(0) = \xi_i(0)$ . So it remains to show that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p = h_2(0) \cdot \sum_{i:a_i=0} \xi_i(0)v_i$ . For this, we observe that setting  $t = 0$ in [\(2\)](#page-4-1) yields

$$
p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i(0) h_2(0) \cdot v_i.
$$

We also saw that  $\xi_i(0) = 0$  for  $a_i < 0$ , and hence

$$
\lambda(t) \cdot p = h_2(0) \cdot \sum_{i:a_i \ge 0} \xi_i t^{a_i} v_i \to h_2(0) \cdot \sum_{i:a_i=0} \xi_i(0) v_i \text{ for } t \to 0.
$$

We conclude that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p = \lim_{t\to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{q}$ , as desired.

The following example from [\[PV94,](#page-12-16) Chapter 6.8, Example 1] shows that the limit  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p$  needs not be contained in  $G \cdot q$ ; we construct  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{q}$  explicitly.

**Example 7.** Suppose  $G = SL_2$  and  $V = K[x, y]_3$  is the space of binary cubic forms. An element  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  acts on  $f(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i x^{3-i} y^i$  by

$$
g \cdot f(x, y) = f(dx - by, -cx + ay).
$$

Let  $p := x^2y$  and  $q := x^3$ . The orbit  $G \cdot q$  is not closed, as its closure contains 0. We have  $q \in \overline{G \cdot p}$ , as

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ -t^{-2} & t \end{pmatrix} \cdot p = \lim_{t \to 0} (x^3 + tx^2y) = q.
$$

Assume that there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda$  such that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p$  is contained in  $G \cdot q$ , i.e.,  $G \cdot x^3 \cap \overline{D \cdot x^2y} \neq \emptyset$  for some one-dimensional torus  $D \subset SL_2$ . Then the stabiliser  $G_{x^3}$  contains a conjugate of D. This is a contradiction, as  $G_{x^3}$ is a one-dimensional unipotent group.

The Cartan-Iwahori-Matsumoto decomposition of  $g$  is

$$
\begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \ -t^{-2} & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-2} & 0 \ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t^3 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} =: h_1(t)\mu(t)h_2(t)^{-1}.
$$

We define the one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda(t) := h_2(0)\mu(t)h_2(0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{q} := h_2(0)h_1(0)^{-1}q = y^3$ . Now

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p = \lim_{t \to 0} t^2 x^2 y = 0
$$

and

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot \tilde{q} = \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-6} y^3 = 0.
$$

Remark 8. Note that Proposition [6](#page-4-0) implies the ordinary Hilbert-Mumford criterion, as follows. If the orbit of q is closed, then it follows that  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\lambda(t)\cdot\tilde{q}$  is a point in  $G \cdot q$  that is reached as the limit  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot p$ .  $\diamondsuit$ 

#### 5. Proof of the main theorem

<span id="page-6-0"></span>We analyse the locus  $X_{\geq r}$  of tensors of border subrank  $\geq r$ . By Proposition [1,](#page-2-0) this is constructible and hence has a well-defined dimension. We will cover this locus by countably many constructible subsets, for each of which we can upper bound the dimension by some number N. These constructible subsets are defined over K, and their L-points in fact cover  $X_{\geq r}(L)$  for any field extension  $L \supseteq K$ . For L uncountable,  $X_{\geq r}(L)$  cannot be covered by countably many constructible subsets of dimension strictly smaller than  $\dim(X_{\geq r})$ . Hence it follows that N is also an upper bound on the dimension of  $X_{\geq r}$ .

Remark 9. The proofs show that one can find bounds that are completely independent of K.  $\diamondsuit$ 

Assume that  $T \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d =: V$  has border subrank  $\geq r$ . Regarding  $I_r$  as an element in  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d$ ,  $I_r$  is in the G-orbit closure of T. By Proposition [6,](#page-4-0) there exists an element  $S \in G \cdot I_r$  and a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$  such that

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot T = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot S =: S_0,
$$

and in particular both limits exist.

For every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the weight space

$$
V_a(\lambda) := \{ v \in V \mid \forall t \in \mathbb{G}_m : \lambda(t) \cdot v = t^a v \}.
$$

We write  $V_{>0}(\lambda) := \bigoplus_{a>0} V_a(\lambda)$  and define  $V_{\geq 0}(\lambda), V_{\leq 0}(\lambda), V_{\leq 0}(\lambda)$  in a similar manner. We then have  $\widetilde{T} \in V_{\geq 0}(\lambda), S \in V_{\leq 0}(\overline{\lambda}),$  and the components  $T_0, S_0$  of T, S in  $V_0(\lambda)$  are equal. We will derive an upper bound on  $\dim(X_{\geq r})$  by counting parameters in K needed to determine the components  $S_0 = T_0$  and  $T_{>0}$ .

To formalise this count, fix integers

$$
a_{i1} \le a_{i2} \le \cdots \le a_{in_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d
$$

and consider the incidence variety

$$
Y := \{ (\lambda, S, T) \mid S \in G \cdot I_r \text{ and } \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot S = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot T \}
$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$  runs over the one-parameter subgroups into G such that  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(V_i)$  has weights  $a_{ij}, j = 1, \ldots, n_i$  on  $V_i$ .

(To be precise, one can take the open subvariety of  $\prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{P}(V_i)^{n_i}$  consisting of d-tuples in which the *i*-th entry is a projective basis of  $\mathbb{P}(V_i)$  as the variety parameterising such  $\lambda$ , even if this is an over-parameterisation in case  $a_{ij} = a_{il}$ holds for some i and some  $j \neq l$ .)

By the discussion above,  $X_{\geq r}$  is contained in the union, over all countably many choices of the tuple of integers  $a_{ij}$ , of the image of Y under projection onto the third component.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 10.** Set  $s := |r/d|$ . The number of parameters in K needed to determine  $T_{>0}$ , i.e., the dimension of the image of the map  $Y \to V$  defined by

 $(\lambda, S, T) \mapsto T_{>0}$ , (the component of T in  $V_{>0}(\lambda)$ )

is at most

$$
n_1 \cdots n_d - s^d + \sum_{i=1}^d 2s(n_i - s).
$$

In the proof we will use the *slice rank* of S: the minimal sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \dim(U_i)$ where the  $U_i$  are subspaces of  $V_i$  satisfying

$$
S \in \sum_{i=1}^d V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes U_i \otimes V^{\otimes d-i}.
$$

For more about the slice rank see [\[TS16\]](#page-12-17). We will use that  $I_r$ , and hence S, has slice rank r; see [\[TS16,](#page-12-17) Example 5].

*Proof.* Consider  $(\lambda, S, T) \in Y$  and let  $v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in_i}$  be an eigenbasis for the *i*-th component  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{G}_{m} \to \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}(V_i)$  of  $\lambda$ , so that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\lambda_i(t) \cdot v_{ij} = t^{a_{ij}} v_{ij}, \quad t \in \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}.
$$

Now  $V_{\leq 0} = V_{\leq 0}(\lambda)$  is the space spanned by all tensors

(3) 
$$
v_{1j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{dj_d} \text{ with } a_{1j_1} + \cdots + a_{dj_d} \leq 0.
$$

Let  $P \subseteq [n_1] \times \cdots \times [n_d]$  be the set of tuples  $(j_1, \ldots, j_d)$  with this property. Since the  $a_{ij}$  increase weakly with j, P is downward closed.

Now if for all  $(j_1, \ldots, j_d) \in P$  there exists an  $i \in [d]$  with  $j_i < s$ , then P can be covered by  $d \cdot (s-1) < r$  slices of the form  $[n_1] \times \cdots \times [n_{i-1}] \times \{j\} \times [n_{i+1}] \times \cdots \times [n_d],$ and hence any linear combination of the tensors in  $(3)$  has slice rank  $\lt r$ . This contradicts the fact that  $S \in V_{\leq 0}$  has slice rank r. Thus P contains a tuple  $(j_1, \ldots, j_d)$  with all  $j_i \geq s$ . Since P is downward closed, it then contains the hypercube  $[s]^d$ .

Let  $U_i, W_i \subseteq V_i$  be the spaces spanned by  $v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{is}$  and  $v_{i,s+1}, \ldots, v_{in_i}$ , respectively, so that  $V_i = U_i \oplus W_i$ . Then we find that  $U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_d \subseteq V_{\leq 0}$  and hence

$$
T_{>0} \in V_{>0} \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^d V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i-1} \otimes W_i \otimes V_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_d.
$$

The right-hand side is a space of dimension  $n_1 \cdots n_d - s^d$ .

Furthermore,  $U_i$  (respectively,  $W_i$ ) is a point in the Grassmannian of s-dimensional (respectively,  $(n_i - s)$ -dimensional) subspaces in  $V_i$ . Each of these Grassmannians has dimension  $s(n_i - s)$ . Adding these dimensions for  $i = 1, \ldots, d$  to the upper bound  $n_1 \cdots n_d - s^d$  on the dimension of  $V_{>0}$  gives the lemma.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Lemma 11.** The number of parameters in K needed to determine  $S_0 = T_0$ , i.e., the dimension of the image of the map  $Y \to V$  determined by

$$
(\lambda, S, T) \mapsto S_0,
$$

is at most

$$
r\left(1+d(r-1)+\sum_{i=1}^d(n_i-r)\right).
$$

*Proof.* Consider  $(\lambda, S, T) \in Y$ , so that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot S = S_0$  exists. Define

$$
Q = Q(\lambda) := \{ g \in G \mid \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) g \lambda(t)^{-1} \text{ exists in } G \}.
$$

This is a parabolic subgroup of G (see [\[MFK93,](#page-12-15) page 55]), and for any  $g \in Q$  we have

$$
(g\lambda(t)g^{-1}) \cdot S = g \cdot (\lambda(t)g^{-1}\lambda(t)^{-1}) \cdot (\lambda(t) \cdot S) \to (gg_0) \cdot S_0, \quad (t \to \infty)
$$

for some  $g_0 \in G$ .

Fix a basis  $e_{i1}, \ldots, e_{in_i}$  of  $V_i$  such that

$$
S = I_r = \sum_{i=1}^r e_{1i} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{di},
$$

and let  $B$  be the Borel subgroup of  $G$  consisting of  $d$ -tuples of upper triangular matrices relative to these bases. Any two parabolic subgroups intersect in at least a maximal torus (see, e.g., [\[Bor91,](#page-12-18) Corollary 14.13]), hence some maximal torus D of G is contained in  $Q \cap B$ . Any two maximal tori in Q are conjugate (see, e.g., [\[Bor91,](#page-12-18) Corollar 11.3]), and therefore there exists a  $g \in Q$  such that  $\mu := g\lambda g^{-1}$ maps  $\mathbb{G}_m$  into  $D \subseteq B$ . By the previous paragraph,  $S'_0 := \lim_{t \to \infty} \mu(t) \cdot S$  lies in the G-orbit of  $S_0$ .

Now let  $U_i$  be the subspace of  $V_i$  spanned by  $e_{i1}, \ldots, e_{ir}$ . Since the components of  $\mu$  are upper-triangular, the space  $U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_d$  is preserved by  $\mu$ . Hence  $S'_0$  also lies in this space, and it is evidently contained in the orbit closure of the unit tensor  $I_r$  under  $\prod_{i=1}^r \text{GL}(U_i)$ .

We conclude that for S, too, there exist r-dimensional subspaces  $W_i \subseteq V_i$  such that S lies in  $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_r$  and is contained in the orbit closure of a unit tensor in  $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_r$ . Each  $W_i$  is a point in a Grassmannian of dimension  $r(n_i - r)$ , and the orbit closure of the unit tensor in  $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_r$  has dimension  $r(1 + d(r - 1))$ : indeed,  $I_r$  uniquely decomposes as a sum of r tensors in the cone over the Segre product of the  $\mathbb{P}(W_i)$ . Thus  $S_0$  sweeps out a variety of dimension at most

$$
\sum_{i=1}^d r(n_i-r) + r(1+d(r-1)),
$$
 as desired.

*Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-2-1)* It suffices to show that the image of the morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow$ V,  $(\lambda, S, T) \mapsto T$  has at most the dimension in Theorem [3.](#page-2-1) This morphism factorises via the map

$$
Y \to V \times V, \quad (\lambda, S, T) \mapsto (S_0, T_{>0})
$$

and the addition map  $V \times V \rightarrow V$ . So an upper bound on the dimension of  $\text{im}(\pi)$ is given by adding the dimensions from Lemmas [11](#page-7-1) and [10.](#page-6-1) This yields the upper bound in the theorem.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem [2.](#page-2-2)* Set  $n_i = n$  and  $r = s \cdot d$  in Theorem [3](#page-2-1) (with  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). Then

$$
\dim(X_{\geq r}) \leq n^d - s^d + 2sd(n - s) + r(d(n - r) + 1 + d(r - 1))
$$
  
=  $n^d - s^d + 2sd(n - s) + r(d(n - 1) + 1)$   
=  $n^d - (r/d)^d + r(2(n - r/d) + d(n - 1) + 1).$ 

Any such multiple r of d for which  $X_{\geq r}$  is dense, i.e., has dimension  $n^d$ , must therefore satisfy

$$
(r/d)^d \le r(2(n - r/d) + 1 + d(n - 1)),
$$

so that

$$
\frac{r^{d-1}}{d^d} \le 2(n-r/d) + 1 + d(n-1) \le n(2+d).
$$

This shows that  $r = \mathcal{O}(n^{1/(d-1)})$  for  $n \to \infty$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 



<span id="page-9-0"></span>FIGURE 1. The pyramid  $P$  seen from above along the *l*-axis. On the orange corners we have  $a_{1j} + a_{2k} + a_{3l} = 0$ , and on the red positions (and below these and the orange corners) we have  $a_{1i}$  +  $a_{2k} + a_{3l} < 0.$ 

Remark 12. In [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6), it is proved that the subrank is not additive on direct sums of tensors. The exact same proof, now combined with our upper bound  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/(d-1)})$ , shows that the border subrank is not additive, either.  $\diamondsuit$ 

6. A LOWER BOUND FOR  $d = 3$ 

*Proof of Theorem [4.](#page-2-3)* We fix an integer  $r \leq \lfloor \sqrt{4n} \rfloor - 3$  and show that the locus  $X_{\geq r}$ of tensors in  $K^n \otimes K^n \otimes K^n$  is dense. To this end, we choose integers  $a_{ij}, i \in$  $[3], j \in [n]$  as follows:

$$
a_{ij} := \begin{cases} 2^{j} & \text{for } i = 1, 2; \\ -2^{r-j+2} & \text{for } i = 3 \text{ and } j \leq r; \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{for } i = 3 \text{ and } j > r. \end{cases}
$$

Note that for each  $i$ ,  $a_{ij}$  is weakly increasing in j. Moreover, the set

$$
P := \{(j,k,l) \mid a_{1j} + a_{2k} + a_{3l} \le 0\}
$$

equals

$$
\{(j,k,l) | l \leq r \text{ and } j,k \leq r-l+1\}.
$$

This is a solid pyramid with its top above one of the corners; see Figures [1](#page-9-0) and [2.](#page-10-0) Let

$$
Y = \{ (\lambda, S, T) \mid S \in G \cdot I_r \text{ and } \lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda(t) \cdot S = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot T \}
$$



<span id="page-10-0"></span>FIGURE 2. The pyramid P in red and orange for  $r = 4$ , and the support of the additional full-rank matrices in  $T_0$  in green.

be the incidence variety used in the proof of Theorem [3](#page-2-1) in §[5.](#page-6-0) We claim that the projection onto the third component is dominant, so that  $X_{\geq r}$  is dense.

To see this, consider the one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda$  where each  $\lambda_i$  has weight vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  with the weights  $a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}$ , respectively; and let  $S = S_0$  be the tensor that is zero everywhere except for 1s on the orange positions. Then for any tensor  $T$  that agrees with  $S$  on the positions labelled by  $P$ —a condition we will write as  $T|_P = S|_P$ —we have  $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t) \cdot T = S$ .

Now, much like in [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6), we argue that the morphism

$$
\Psi: GL_n \times GL_n \times \{T : T|_P = S|_P\} \to K^n \otimes K^n \otimes K^n, \quad (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, g_2, id) \cdot T
$$

is dominant. To this end, we compute the derivative of  $\Psi$  at  $p := (\text{id}, \text{id}, T_0)$  for a  $T_0$  to be chosen carefully below. The tangent space at  $T_0$  to  $\{T: T|_P = S|_P\}$  is precisely the space  $V_{>0}$  spanned by the tensors  $e_i \otimes e_k \otimes e_l$  with  $a_{1i} + a_{2k} + a_{3l} > 0$ , and  $d_p\Psi$  restricted to  $V_{>0}$  is the inclusion  $V_{>0} \to (K^n)^{\otimes 3}$ . So it suffices to show that the restriction of  $d_p\Psi$  to  $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n$  projects surjectively onto  $V_{\leq 0}$ , the space spanned by the tensors  $e_j \otimes e_k \otimes e_l$  with  $(j, k, l) \in P$ . In fact, we will only use upper triangular matrices. Let  $E_{ab}$  be the  $n \times n$ -matrix with zeros everywhere except for a 1 on position  $(a, b)$ . Then  $(d_p \Psi)(E_{ab}, 0)$  is the tensor obtained by putting a copy of the bth j-slice in the position where  $j = a$  and zeroes elsewhere. We only care about the positions in the pyramid P, i.e., about  $(d_p \Psi)(E_{ab}, 0)|_P$ . Similarly in the k-direction.

In the layers with  $l = r - s$  with  $r > s$  and  $s \geq 0$  even, we put any full-rank  $(s + 1) \times (s + 1)$  matrix  $A_s$  in  $T_0$  far enough to the right of P, say in positions  $[j_s, j_s + s] \times [1, s+1] \times \{l\}$ , so that multiplying this matrix with linear combinations of the matrices  $E_{ab}$  with  $a \leq s+1$  and  $b \in [j_s, j_s + s]$  yields all possible matrices in P in layer l. We take these such that the intervals  $[j_s, j_s + s]$  are all disjoint.

### 12 BENJAMIN BIAGGI, CHIA-YU CHANG, JAN DRAISMA, AND FILIP RUPNIEWSKI

Similarly, in the layers with  $l = r - s$  with  $r > s$  and  $s \ge 1$  odd, we put a full-rank matrix far enough in the  $k$ -direction. See Figure [2.](#page-10-0) In all positions outside  $P$  and outside these matrices, we choose the entries of  $T_0$  to be 0. This ensures that  $\Psi$  is dominant, as desired.

Assume  $r$  is even. For the first type of matrices to fit, it suffices that

$$
n \ge r + (1 + 3 + \dots + (r - 1)) = r + r^2/4;
$$

and for the second type of matrices to fit, it suffices that

$$
n \ge r + (2 + 4 + \dots + r) = r + r(r + 2)/4.
$$

Assume that  $r$  is odd. For the first type of matrices to fit, it suffices that

$$
n \ge r + (1 + 3 + \dots + r) = r + (r + 1)^2/4;
$$

and for the second type of matrices to fit, it suffices that

$$
n \ge r + (2 + 4 + \dots + (r - 1)) = r + (r - 1)(r + 1)/4.
$$

Summarising, if  $n \ge (r+3)^2/4$ , then  $X_{\ge r}$  is dense. This is equivalent to

$$
r \le \sqrt{4n} - 3,
$$

and in particular satisfied by  $r = |$ √  $|4n| - 3$ . Comparing this with the generic subrank in the interval [\(1\)](#page-0-0), we find that the generic border subrank is strictly greater.  $\Box$ 

7. Further questions

(1) In [\[Cha22\]](#page-12-9), a lower bound on the dimension of the locus  $X_n = X_{\geq n}$  of maximal border rank tensors is determined for  $d = 3$ :

$$
\dim(X_n) \ge (2n^3 + 3n^2 - 2n - 3)/3.
$$

Assuming that n is a multiple of 3, Theorem [3](#page-2-1) yields the following upper bound:

$$
\dim(X_n) \le n^3 - (n/3)^3 + 6(n/3)(n - (n/3)) + n(1 + 3(n - 1))
$$
  
=  $\frac{26}{27}n^3 + \frac{13}{3}n^2 - 2n$ .

It would be interesting to find out what is the correct coefficient of  $n^3$  for  $n \to \infty$ .

- (2) In [\[DMZ22\]](#page-12-6), the asymptotic behaviour of the generic subrank for tensors of order three is determined almost exactly. Can this be done of the generic border subrank, as well?
- (3) One can define the *Hilbert-Mumford subrank* of T as the maximal r such that there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda$  into G such that  $\lambda(t) \cdot T \to I_r$  for  $t \to 0$ . This lies between the subrank of T and the border subrank of T. It can be strictly larger than the subrank of T; this follows, for instance, from [\[Cha22\]](#page-12-9): the locus of maximal Hilbert-Mumford subrank has dimension  $\Theta(n^3)$  for  $n \to \infty$ , whereas the orbit of  $I_n$  has dimension  $\Theta(n^2)$ . But can the border subrank of T be strictly larger than the Hilbert-Mumford subrank?

### GENERIC BORDER SUBRANK 13

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[AHLH19] Jarod Alper, Daniel Halpern-Leistner, and Jochen Heinloth. Cartan-Iwahori-Matsumoto decompositions for reductive groups. 2019. Preprint, arXiv:1903.00128.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[Bor91] Armand Borel. Linear algebraic groups. 2nd enlarged ed. New York etc.: Springer-Verlag, 2nd enlarged ed. edition, 1991.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[Bü97] Peter Bürgisser. Degenerationsordnung und trägerfunktional bilinearer abbildungen. Technical Report 46, 1997.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[Cha22] Chia-Yu Chang. Maximal border subrank tensors. 2022. Preprint, arXiv:2208.04281.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[DMZ22] Harm Derksen, Visu Makam, and Jeroen Zuiddam. Subrank and optimal reduction of scalar multiplications to generic tensors. 2022. Preprint, arXiv:2205.15168.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[Dub90] Thomas W. Dubé. The structure of polynomial ideals and Gröbner bases. SIAM J. Comput., 19(4):750–773, 1990.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[IM65] N. Iwahori and H. Matsumoto. On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p-adic Chevalley groups. Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci., 25:5–48, 1965.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[Kem78] George R. Kempf. Instability in invariant theory. Ann. Math., 108:299–316, 1978.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[Lic85] Thomas Lickteig. Typical tensorial rank. Linear Algebra Appl., 69:95–120, 1985.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[LO13] J.M. Landsberg and Giorgio Ottaviani. Equations for secant varieties of Veronese and other varieties. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 192(4):569–606, 2013.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[MFK93] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. Geometric Invariant Theory, volume 34 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[Muk03] Shigeru Mukai. An introduction to invariants and moduli, volume 81 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. Transl. by W. M. Oxbury.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[PV94] V.L. Popov and E.B. Vinberg. Invariant Theory, volume 55 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, chapter II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[Str69] Volker Strassen. Gaussian elimination is not optimal. Numer. Math., 13:354–356, 1969.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[Str83] Volker Strassen. Rank and optimal computation of generic tensors. Linear Algebra Appl., 52–53:645–685, 1983.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[Str87] V. Strassen. Relative bilinear complexity and matrix multiplication. J. Reine Angew. Math., 375/376:406–443, 1987.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[STR91] V. STRASSEN. Degeneration and complexity of bilinear maps: Some asymptotic spectra. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 1991(413):127-180, 1991.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[TS16] Terence Tao and Will Sawin. Notes on the "slice rank" of tensors. 2016. terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/08/24/notes-on-the-slice-rank-of-tensors/.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[Wat18] John Watrous. The Theory of Quantum Information. Cambridge University Press, 2018.

Mathematical Institute, University of Bern, Alpeneggstrasse 22, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

Email address: benjamin.biaggi@unibe.ch

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, Mailstop 3368, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

Email address: chiayu@tamu.edu

Mathematical Institute, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland; and Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

Email address: jan.draisma@unibe.ch

Mathematical Institute, University of Bern, Alpeneggstrasse 22, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

Email address: filip.rupniewski@unibe.ch