

Characterizing Role Models in Software Practitioners' Career: An Interview Study

Mary Sánchez-Gordón
Department of Computer Science and
Communication
Østfold University College
Halden Norway
mary.sanchez-gordon@hiof.no

Ricardo Colomo-Palacios
Escuela Técnica Superior de
Ingenieros Informáticos Universidad
Politécnica de Madrid
Madrid Spain
ricardo.colomo@upm.es

Alex Sanchez Gordon
Department of Innovation
LogicStudio
Panama Panama City
alex.sanchez@logicstudio.net

ABSTRACT

A role model is a person who serves as an example for others to follow, especially in terms of values, behavior, achievements, and personal characteristics. In this paper, authors study how role models influence software practitioners' careers, an aspect not studied in the literature before. By means of this study, authors aim to understand if there are any salient role model archetypes and what characteristics are valued by participants in their role models. To do so, authors use a thematic coding approach to analyze the data collected from interviewing ten Latin American software practitioners. Findings reveal that role models were perceived as sources of knowledge, yet the majority of participants, regardless of their career stage, displayed a stronger interest in the human side and the moral values that their role models embodied. This study also shows that any practitioner can be viewed as a role model.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Social and professional topics ~Professional topics ~Computing profession

KEYWORDS

Role model, Software Developers, Software Engineering

ACM Reference format:

Mary Sánchez-Gordón, Ricardo Colomo-Palacios and Alex Sanchez Gordon. 2024. Characterizing Role Models in Software Practitioners' Career: An Interview Study. In *17th International Conference on Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering (CHASE '24)*, April 14–15, 2024, Lisbon, Portugal. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages.

1 Introduction

Software's far-reaching influence has transformed the world around us. It has raised discussions about the need for a diverse and inclusive software engineering (SE) community [1,16]. Moreover, such a community has been called to prevent the replication of biases and the perpetuation of prevailing societal inequities [23]. A number of voices are underrepresented

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.

CHASE '24, April 14–15, 2024, Lisbon, Portugal

© 2024 Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0533-5/24/04.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3641822.3641883>

among software practitioners [21] and in response to this lack of diversity, many initiatives have emerged in the context of the software industry and academia.

"Big Tech" corporations [28–31] have diversity and inclusion (D&I) policies that serve as a reference for the world market. These initiatives involve actions such as giving voice to practitioners who are part of underrepresented groups and promoting role models. However, the term "role model" is loosely defined and thus subject to differing interpretations in both academic literature and everyday discourse [9,18]. Despite that fact, role modelling involves (1) one individual who perceives another individual as a role model and (2) the role model [12]. Unlike mentors, a relevant aspect of role modelling lies in the individual's cognitive acceptance of role models, outweighing the necessity of both an interactive relationship and the actions demonstrated by the role model [9]. This raises the question of how software practitioners define role models and, in turn, how role models influence software professionals' careers, an aspect not studied in SE literature previously (see section related works).

To fill this gap, this exploratory study aims to understand if there are any salient role model archetypes and what characteristics participants value in their role models. To do so, a qualitative research approach was conducted by using a thematic coding analysis of the interview data collected from ten Latin American software practitioners.

2 Related Works

Role models have received a considerable amount of attention in the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) field, particularly in the context of education. For instance, Shin and London [24] conducted a study on STEM recruitment and retention issues in the USA that demonstrated the positive impact of role model biographies to foster increased STEM interest and identity among undergraduate students across various fields of study. A mobile app was proposed by the W-STEM project [8] to assist reference models in sharing their STEM-related stories with young girls. Fuesting et al. [7] examined that interactions with role models provide alternative pathways for communally oriented individuals to navigate STEM. A systematic literature review [11] on role models in STEM proposed four recommendations to implement

role-model interventions based on the features of role models and students. Despite the efforts made, a recent scoping review [5] of interventions with role models found that evidence remains controversial regarding the efficacy of these interventions.

Grande [13] proposed a framework for role modelling in computing and engineering education. Moreover, de Souza et al. [6] explored how computer scientists can be used as role models to motivate students to continue pursuing a career in SE while Ouhbi et al. [19] explored the impact of incorporating a story about a role model in a SE course with the same purpose.

In the industrial context, a case study [14] on practice-based learning during the onboarding process at Google found that the concept of role models is explicitly presented as a good practice. Moreover, recent research [15,26] has found that a lack of role models is a key factor that discourages women from entering the tech or impedes their career progress. Trinkenreich et al. [26] found that some women practitioners have pointed out that this factor impacts the development of their self-confidence while van Breukelen et al. [2] identified that experienced women would like to be good role models. Beyond prior SE empirical research on women, studies on other underrepresented groups like LGBTQIA+ [6,27] and BIPOCs [20,22] (Black, Indigenous, and people of color) have highlighted the importance of role models.

Despite the valuable insights these previous studies provide, the first ones are focused on educational settings while the others do not primarily focus on role models. To the best of our knowledge, the potential impact of role models on the career construction of software practitioners has not been explored in the SE literature.

3 Research Approach

Our area of interest is behavioral software engineering. Particularly, this research focuses on understanding the software practitioners' perception of role models, and its possible impacts on their career development. Therefore, the following research questions were formulated:

RQ1: How do software practitioners define role models? Given that the concept of role models remains an ambiguous and imprecise term in the literature [18], we intend to understand it from the software practitioners' perspective.

RQ2: What characteristics do software practitioners value in their role models? We want to identify the characteristics that practitioners value. This is important to identify if archetypes of role models emerge through their career development.

3.1 Study Design

A qualitative interview study was conducted to answer these research questions. To get practitioners' perceptions, semi-structured interviews were used to collect data. This exploratory study has a sample size of ten participants. We used a purposive sampling approach to achieve gender balance between women and men practitioners while considering their

career stages. The participants are part of our near network to make sure that their background is closely related to software development in an industrial setting. In addition, we chose to conduct this study in a software company since organizational culture and the interpretation of role-modelling behavior within that context can impact career aspirations [3]. It is also worth noting that this company is located in Panama.

The first author completed all the interviews to preserve consistency in the application of the method. The interview was conducted in Spanish, and the script was refined in a pilot study, with two interviewees that are not included in the analysis. Through this pilot study, we were able to identify questions that were ambiguous, repetitive, or difficult to understand. The interviews lasted from 30 to 45 minutes and each one was first voice-recorded and then transcribed to avoid the loss of data. In this way, it was easier to recall the content clearly and to gain a thorough insight into all the data. Each interview consisted of two parts.

At the beginning of the interview, we considered demographic information including job function. This allowed us to build rapport through small talk and a relaxed atmosphere between the interviewer and the interviewee. In the second part, we asked 8 questions about the participants' perception of role models and their experiences with them. For example: "What is a role model?" Do you think it is important to have role models? Why? The full interview script is available in [17].

Ethics. Before the interview, each participant was informed that participation is voluntary so that if they did not want to participate in the research, they could withdraw at any time, that the results would not be presented on their own, and that they were in a free environment to express their opinion. In addition, all participants were also informed that all data will be anonymized and kept confidential in this study. Verbal consent was obtained at enrollment, followed by obtaining written consent. This study was conducted in accordance with research ethics guidelines and regulations within the institutions and countries involved.

3.2 Data Collection

All interviews took place in October 2023 and were conducted through phone calls. With the participants' consent, all interviews were recorded and later transcribed into TXT files using Kahubi [32] before undergoing analysis. We invited 50 practitioners and all of them expressed their willingness to participate but nine were selected as participants based on their availability. In this exploratory study, we extended an invitation to an additional Peruvian woman employed in a bank located in the USA to check the findings related to gender identity and organizational culture.

3.3 Data Analysis

For data analysis, thematic analysis was selected since this study aims to identify, analyze, and report patterns within the data. Thematic analysis is particularly useful for providing a comprehensive description and organization of the interview

data while facilitating the interpretation of various aspects relevant to the research topic [4]. Participants were assigned codenames ([P01] through [P10]) to anonymize the data.

First, we did an initial reading of the data (TXT files of audio transcription), and then we identified specific text segments and labeled them. Emerging codes were compared with earlier codes using constant comparison. In this way, the related codes were organized into themes to reduce overlap between codes. Finally, these themes were grouped to establish higher-order themes. During the analysis process, free-form notes were written to record thoughts and ideas about the codes. To facilitate this process, a qualitative data analysis platform called NVivo was used. All analyses were conducted in Spanish, and two authors discussed until achieving consensus about how the codes, themes and sub-themes were created. When conflicts were not resolved, the third author supported achieving consensus. Finally, we translated the codes and quotes into English.

4 Results and Discussion

In this section, we discuss the insights obtained by analyzing the data obtained from the interviews that contribute to addressing our research questions.

4.1 Demographic Overview

Table 1 summarizes the participants' demographic information about age, gender, years of experience, career stage and job. The average age is 31.4 with the following distribution 21-25 (2), 26-30 (3), 31-35 (2), 36-40 (1) 41-45 (2). Participants had a wide variety of software development career experience, ranging from 1.5 to 20 years, with an average of 8.75 years. Their combined expertise amounted to around 87 years.

Table 1: Participant Demographics (P#: Participant #; Age; Gender; XT: Total experience (years); Career Stage; Job)

P#	Age	Gender	XT	Career Stage	Job
01	36-40	Male	20	Mid-career	Project Manager
02	21-25	Male	1.5	Exploration	Developer
03	26-30	Female	4	Establishment	Developer
04	26-30	Female	1.5	Establishment	UX Designer /Architect
05	31-35	Female	10	Establishment	Tester
06	41-45	Male	20	Mid-career	Developer
07	31-35	Female	9	Establishment	Tester
08	41-45	Female	15	Mid-career	Developer
09	21-25	Female	1.5	Exploration	Developer
10	26-30	Male	5	Establishment	Developer

From a career stage perspective, participants were distributed across three phases, including the exploration stage (up to 25 years old, 2 individuals), the establishment stage (from 26 to 35 years, 5), and the mid-career stage (from 36 to 50 years, 3). [P04] has a relatively short amount of experience (1,5), primarily because of her career transition into software development. All participants were working on software projects and had different roles —Project Manager (1),

Developers (6), Tester (2), UX Designer/Architect (1). Finally, participants were currently involved in projects across diverse domains, which included Transport, Finance and Banking, Retail, and Media and Communication. All participants are from Latin America, nine Panamanians and one Peruvian [P04].

4.1 Role Model Definition (RQ1)

A role model is a cognitive construct shaped by the context of the role aspirants. All participants —role aspirants— mentioned that they have self-selected their role models. For instance [P10] pointed out that *“a role model is someone in whom you see qualities [skills/competences] that you decide are either crucial or relevant to acquire ... or want to focus on acquiring in work or personal areas”*. We noticed that every participant provided a different definition, but all agreed that role models are important and have an impact on their personal and professional lives. One participant [P2] mentioned that *“a role model allows you to know where you want to go, where you want to get, and what you want to surpass”*. [P5] also said that *“a role model can be an example or a guide to follow and perform my job in a specific area”*. In simple words, *“a role model can be a life compass for different aspects”* [P01].

In addition, it is worth noticing that almost all participants needed some time to reflect on what a role model is and who their personal role models are. They appeared hesitant at some moments during the interview. This suggests that having a role model is not self-evident. Eventually, they mentioned more than one person as their role model since *“there is no one, there is no person who has 100% of everything. In fact, one can learn a little bit from each person”* [P01]. Thus, none of the participants had a single or global role model instead a pattern of multiple partial role models emerged. For instance, [P10] stated *“When you establish this reference point where you envision yourself, you amalgamate the various roles you observe”*. In other words, participants approach role models as a mosaic of characteristics from different people in their lives as mentioned in previous literature about medical education [25]. Such an approach is also in line with role model and career development literature [10].

Recurring elements that support role models' functions were found in this study. These findings are in line with the motivational theory of role modeling proposed by Morgenroth et al. [18]. Therefore, we grouped our findings using the schema proposed by Morgenroth et al., i.e., role models as behavioral models, representation of possible selves and inspirations.

Behavioral model. The behavioral value of role models focuses on observing and learning from software practitioners to help role aspirants become better professionals. Only two participants [P03, P05] did not focus on software professionals but they mentioned the importance of learning from others. In particular, [P01] said *“I have learnt a lot from him [his manager]”* and added, *“There was another project manager that I met on a project who I also learned from him because his personality was very similar”*. While [P05] claimed, *“I take delight in learning*

Real people	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Characters				•				•	•

Distant role models were well-known figures in the technology area like Elon Musk, Mark Zuckerberg and Steve Jobs. The first two serve as inspiration to [P03] as she believes they promote communal opportunities. [P04] liked the ideas of Steve Jobs but she found issues with his personal life. Being aware of how to become like these figures or what it entails matters for most of our participants. For instance, [P10] claimed “... *at the end of the day ... [I think] we all want to be like Steve Jobs, we all want to be like Bill Gates, but I don't think it's a realistic thing*”. Participants in this study prefer to adopt personally known role models rather than distant ones.

Influence. While all participants mentioned positive role models, only four identified negative role models. [P10] notes there are “*role models that, in fact, are the opposite [of a positive role model]*”, he also reflected “*more than knowing what to do*” one can observe “*what not to do*”. In support of that, [P08] said “*I'm using them [negative role models] as an example of what I don't want to be*” while [P09] claimed, “*I'll try to avoid that [negative role model's] path*”. In addition, [P03] noted that “*If a role model's morality is questionable, one [role aspirant] can think in a very different way*” and reflected on values stating, “*It defines a lot of who you admire*”. It suggests that role aspirants can set approaching or avoidance goals. One unexpected finding was the relevance that participants placed on embodying moral values. In particular, participants mentioned their parents. One plausible explanation is their cultural background, but further research is needed. Participants in this study prefer positive role models rather than negative ones.

Time. There were permanent and transitory role models from the time perspective. [P10] declared “*some [role models] are replaced while others simply remain*”. All participants acknowledged that their parents are good examples of role models, so we named them as permanent role models. [P01] also provided another example by pointing out a manager with whom he has had a professional relationship for over a decade. The role modeling approach of three participants [P03, P05, P09] in this study unveils the opportunistic and transitory relevance of some people in their surroundings. Although [P03] did not mention relatives as role models and she did not remember the names of other near role models, she acknowledged the value of real people. On the contrary, [P05, P09] refrained from specifying names because they said that their approach was goal-oriented and focused more on professional journeys. However, they did not mention career development plans as [P04] explicitly did during her interview. Most participants in this study mentioned permanent role models but we identified that participants could be adopting transitory role models.

Nature. Role models could be real people or characters. [P09] claimed, “*I don't necessarily consider a person as a role model, rather my approach has always been based on the*

experiences, situations, and life journey I have observed”. In addition, [P10] claimed “*a person can see a role model not so much in the author's book but in the book itself*” to refer to the character depicted within the narrative. [P05] was agreed with [P10]. In fact, [P10] did not remember the author's name but he was certain of the title's book. It suggests that, besides real people, a role model can be a character who may be entirely fictional or based on a real-life person. Those participants also mentioned social media platforms as ways to connect to people. Most participants in this study mentioned real people rather than characters.

4.3 Limitations

This study includes direct quotations from interviews to illustrate the interpretation of our participants' experiences and support the quality and credibility of our findings. As it was an exploratory study, the number of participants was limited to ten, threatening the findings in several ways. Although a purposive sampling method aimed to ensure diversity, we cannot claim the generalizability of our findings. In fact, we acknowledge that ten practitioners could not fully represent the experiences of Latin American software practitioners. Diversity in terms of career stage is another limitation related to lack of representativeness.

To improve the quality of the findings, further participants should be recruited. All the participants in this study were from Panamá, except one who was from Peru. Therefore, future research endeavors should broaden the scope of this study, for instance, by including Latin American practitioners working in the USA. or Europe. Researchers also acknowledge the cultural differences among Latin American countries. In addition, it would be interesting to conduct a worldwide survey to recruit more practitioners from diverse geographical locations, which is also relevant for role modelling due to the impact of cultural context. Finally, we also acknowledge that researchers may face challenges transferring our results to other cultural regions.

5 Conclusions

This exploratory study provides empirical evidence on the role modeling process perceived by Latin American software practitioners. By interviewing ten practitioners, we found that role modeling is a complex cognitive construct. Although having a role model is not self-evident, all participants acknowledge their relevance in their career development. Thematic analysis also shows that positive and near role models were the most common among the participants. However, they see role models as a mosaic of different characteristics from different real people or characters. An unexpected finding is that role models were seen as sources of knowledge, but most participants, regardless of their career stage, were more interested in the human side and moral values that they embodied.

Findings provide insights for future research endeavors. It would be interesting to explore the breakdown by different demographic factors or dismiss such a connection as not

relevant. Furthermore, considering existing SE literature on mentoring would be worthwhile. We will expand our study to investigate how initiatives that promote role models are selecting them and how those role models learn to behave like role models. Finally, we hope that our findings help practitioners and researchers to better understand role modeling in our SE community.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors would like to thank all participants in this study and the anonymous reviewers of this article for their comments and constructive criticisms that led to important clarifications in the content of this version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Khaled Albusays, Pernille Bjorn, Laura Dabbish, Denae Ford, Emerson Murphy-Hill, Alexander Serebrenik, and Margaret-Anne Storey. 2021. The Diversity Crisis in Software Development. *IEEE Software* 38, 2 (March 2021), 19–25. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MS.2020.3045817>
- [2] Sterre van Breukelen, Ann Barcomb, Sebastian Baltes, and Alexander Serebrenik. 2023. "STILL AROUND": Experiences and Survival Strategies of Veteran Women Software Developers. Retrieved November 2, 2023 from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.03723>
- [3] Christine Cross, Margaret Linehan, and Caroline Murphy. 2017. The unintended consequences of role-modelling behaviour in female career progression. *Personnel Review* 46, 1 (January 2017), 86–99. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-06-2015-0177>
- [4] Daniela S. Cruzes and Tore Dyba. 2011. Recommended Steps for Thematic Synthesis in Software Engineering. In 2011 International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement, September 2011. 275–284. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ESEM.2011.36>
- [5] Elena De Gioannis, Gian Luca Pasin, and Flaminio Squazzoni. 2023. Empowering women in STEM: a scoping review of interventions with role models. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B* 13, 3 (July 2023), 261–275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2022.2162832>
- [6] Ronnie De Souza Santos, Brody Stuart-Verner, and Cleyton V. C. De Magalhaes. 2023. Diversity in Software Engineering: A Survey about Scientists from Underrepresented Groups. In 2023 IEEE/ACM 16th International Conference on Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering (CHASE), May 2023, Melbourne, Australia. IEEE, Melbourne, Australia, 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CHASE58964.2023.00025>
- [7] Melissa A. Fuesting and Amanda B. Diekman. 2017. Not By Success Alone: Role Models Provide Pathways to Communal Opportunities in STEM. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull* 43, 2 (February 2017), 163–176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167216678857>
- [8] Alicia García-Holgado, Sonia Verdugo-Castro, Ma Cruz Sánchez-Gómez, and Francisco J. García-Peñalvo. 2020. Facilitating Access to the Role Models of Women in STEM: W-STEM Mobile App. In *Learning and Collaboration Technologies. Designing, Developing and Deploying Learning Experiences (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, 2020, Cham. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 466–476. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50513-4_35
- [9] Donald E. Gibson. 2003. Developing the Professional Self-Concept: Role Model Construals in Early, Middle, and Late Career Stages. *Organization Science* 14, 5 (October 2003), 591–610. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.14.5.591.16767>
- [10] Donald E Gibson. 2004. Role models in career development: New directions for theory and research. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 65, 1 (August 2004), 134–156. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8791\(03\)00051-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8791(03)00051-4)
- [11] Jessica R. Gladstone and Andrei Cimpian. 2021. Which role models are effective for which students? A systematic review and four recommendations for maximizing the effectiveness of role models in STEM. *International Journal of STEM Education* 8, 1 (December 2021), 59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-021-00315-x>
- [12] Virginia Grande. 2018. Lost for Words! Defining the Language Around Role Models in Engineering Education. In 2018 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), October 2018. 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2018.8659104>
- [13] Virginia Grande. 2023. That's How We Role! A Framework for Role Modeling in Computing and Engineering Education: A Focus on the Who, What, How, and Why. (2023). Retrieved November 2, 2023 from <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-500388>
- [14] Maggie Johnson and Max Senges. 2010. Learning to be a programmer in a complex organization: A case study on practice-based learning during the onboarding process at Google. *Journal of Workplace Learning* 22, 3 (January 2010), 180–194. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13665621011028620>
- [15] Ulrike Klinger and Jakob Svensson. 2021. The power of code: women and the making of the digital world. *Information, Communication & Society* 24, 14 (October 2021), 2075–2090. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2021.1962947>
- [16] Karina Kohl and Rafael Prikladnicki. 2022. Benefits and Difficulties of Gender Diversity on Software Development Teams: A Qualitative Study. In *Proceedings of the XXXVI Brazilian Symposium on Software Engineering, October 05, 2022, Virtual Event Brazil*. ACM, Virtual Event Brazil, 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555228.3555253>
- [17] Mary Sánchez-Gordón, Ricardo Colomo-Palacios, and Alex Sánchez. 2024. Online appendix: Characterizing Role Models in Software Practitioners' Career. Figshare. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24545914>
- [18] Thekla Morgenroth, Michelle K. Ryan, and Kim Peters. 2015. The Motivational Theory of Role Modeling: How Role Models Influence Role Aspirants' Goals. *Review of General Psychology* 19, 4 (December 2015), 465–483. <https://doi.org/10.1037/gpr0000059>
- [19] Sofia Ouhbi and Mamoun Adel M. Awad. 2021. The Impact of Combining Storytelling with Lecture on Female Students in Software Engineering Education. In 2021 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON), April 2021. 443–447. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON46332.2021.9453992>
- [20] Yolanda Rankin, Maedeh Agharazidermani, and Jakita Thomas. 2020. The Role of Familial Influences in African American Women's Persistence in Computing. In 2020 Research on Equity and Sustained Participation in Engineering, Computing, and Technology (RESPECT), March 2020. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RESPECT49803.2020.9272503>
- [21] Gema Rodríguez-Pérez, Reza Nadri, and Meiyappan Nagappan. 2021. Perceived diversity in software engineering: a systematic literature review. *Empir Software Eng* 26, 5 (July 2021), 102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-021-09992-2>
- [22] Mary Sánchez-Gordón and Ricardo Colomo-Palacios. 2020. Factors Influencing Software Engineering Career Choice of Andean Indigenous. In *Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE 42nd International Conference on Software Engineering: Companion Proceedings (ICSE '20)*, June 27, 2020, New York, NY, USA. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 264–265. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377812.3390899>
- [23] Mary Sanchez-Gordon and Ricardo Colomo-Palacios. 2021. A Framework for Intersectional Perspectives in Software Engineering. In 2021 IEEE/ACM 13th International Workshop on Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering (CHASE), May 2021, Madrid, Spain. IEEE, Madrid, Spain, 121–122. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CHASE52884.2021.00025>
- [24] Jiyun Elizabeth L. Shin, Sheri R. Levy, and Bonita London. 2016. Effects of role model exposure on STEM and non-STEM student engagement. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 46, 7 (2016), 410–427. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12371>
- [25] Isabella Spaans, Renske de Kleijn, Conny Seeleman, and Gönül Dilaver. 2023. 'A role model is like a mosaic': reimagining URiM students' role models in medical school. *BMC Medical Education* 23, 1 (June 2023), 396. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04394-y>
- [26] Bianca Trinkenreich, Ricardo Britto, Marco A. Gerosa, and Igor Steinmacher. 2022. An empirical investigation on the challenges faced by women in the software industry: a case study. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM/IEEE 44th International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Society, May 21, 2022, Pittsburgh Pennsylvania*. ACM, Pittsburgh Pennsylvania, 24–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3510458.3513018>
- [27] Jerry A. Yang, Max K. Sherard, Christine Julien, and Maura Borrego. 2021. LGBTQ+ in ECE: Culture and (Non)Visibility. *IEEE Transactions on Education* 64, 4 (November 2021), 345–352. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2021.3057542>
- [28] Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. US About Amazon. Retrieved October 29, 2023 from <https://www.aboutamazon.com/workplace/diversity-inclusion>
- [29] Building a Sense of Belonging at Google and Beyond. Retrieved September 11, 2023 from <https://about.google/belonging/>
- [30] Inclusion & Diversity. Apple. Retrieved October 29, 2023 from <https://www.apple.com/diversity/>
- [31] Inside Microsoft. Retrieved September 11, 2023 from <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/diversity/inside-microsoft/default.aspx>
- [32] Kahubi - AI for Research. Retrieved November 10, 2023 from <https://kahubi.com/>