

# Irreducible Markov Chains on spaces of graphs with fixed degree-color sequences

Félix Almendra-Hernández\*, Jesús A. De Loera\*, Sonja Petrović†

**Abstract:** We study a colored generalization of the famous simple-switch Markov chain for sampling the set of graphs with a fixed degree sequence. Here we consider the space of graphs with colored vertices, in which we fix the degree sequence and another statistic arising from the vertex coloring, and prove that the set can be connected with simple color-preserving switches or moves. These moves form a basis for defining an irreducible Markov chain necessary for testing statistical model fit to block-partitioned network data. Our methods further generalize well-known algebraic results from the 1990s: namely, that the corresponding moves can be used to construct a regular triangulation for a generalization of the second hypersimplex. On the other hand, in contrast to the monochromatic case, we show that for *simple* graphs, the 1-norm of the moves necessary to connect the space increases with the number of colors.

## 1. Introduction

The *degree sequence* of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with vertices  $V = [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$  is represented by the vector  $d(G) := (\deg_G(1), \dots, \deg_G(n))$ , where  $\deg_G(u) = |\{e \in E : u \in e\}|$  denotes the degree of vertex  $u$  for every  $u \in V$ . When  $G$  allows more than one edge between vertices it is called a *multigraph* and in that case  $E$  is a multiset, otherwise  $G$  is called a *simple graph*. If not explicitly specified, we could be referring to either of these two cases. A sequence  $d \in \mathbb{N}^n$  is called *graphical* if there exists a multigraph or a simple graph  $G$ , depending from the context, such that  $d = d(G)$ . Graphical degree sequences have been extensively investigated by numerous authors across various fields and from diverse perspectives; see, for example, Erdős and Gallai [1960], Peled and Srinivasan [1989], Stanley [1991], Palmer and Steffan [2000], Cooper and Frieze [2003], Deza et al. [2018], Bérczi et al. [2019], Deeds et al. [2023], Onn [2023] and references therein.

A particularly intriguing area of research centers around the random generation of graphs with a fixed degree sequence (see Kannan et al. [1997], Abdullah et al. [2010], Chatterjee et al. [2011], Cloteaux [2016], Erdős et al. [2022]). Kannan et al. [1997] proposed the use of the *switch Markov chain* to uniformly generate simple graphs with a fixed degree sequence. Informally, a *switch* is an exchange of edge pairs between two graphs, that preserves the degree sequence. As mentioned in Erdős et al. [2022] “*the switch Markov chain can be thought of as the Markov chain of smallest possible modifications*”.

It has long been established that the set of all graphs with fixed degree sequences can be connected through switches. Notably, Havel [1955] and Hakimi [1962] leveraged this insight to provide a constructive solution to the *graph realization problem*. This solution is commonly

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis

†Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology

referred to as the *Havel-Hakimi algorithm*. Alternatively, to test whether a sequence is realizable by a simple graph, one can employ the well-known *Erdős-Gallai theorem* (Erdős and Gallai [1960]).

When examining the random generation problem from an algebraic statistics perspective, it is useful to conceptualize the space of simple graphs with a fixed degree sequence  $d \in \mathbb{N}^n$  as a set of 0/1 vectors  $\gamma \in \{0, 1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  satisfying the system of linear equations  $D_n \gamma = d$  where  $D_n$  is the incidence matrix of the complete graph  $K_n$ . In this sense, the degree sequence is a linear graph statistic. If we relax the 0/1 constraints to allow multiple edges, the task of constructing an irreducible Markov chain on the space of multigraphs with a fixed degree sequence translates into the task of sampling integer points inside the convex polytope  $\{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}} : D_n \gamma = d\}$ . This problem can be approached using algebraic techniques, as we explain later. Here,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  represents the *vectorization* of the multigraph  $G$  with  $\gamma_{uv}$  edges between  $u$  and  $v$ . Throughout this manuscript, we delve into a colored generalization of the connectivity problem on spaces of graphs with a fixed degree sequence and a fixed graph statistic arising from a vertex coloring. We explore its connections with the theory of Markov bases, which are the moves that guarantee irreducibility of the Markov chain on these spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** For a positive integer  $k$  and a  $k$ -coloring  $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$ , we define the *color sequence* of a graph  $G$  to be the vector  $c(G, z) := (c(z, i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k)$  with  $c(z, i, j)$  being equal to the number of edges in  $G$  joining colors  $i$  and  $j$ . The entries of  $c(G, z)$  are ordered lexicographically with respect to the pairs  $(i, j)$  and when  $z$  is clear from the context we simply write  $c(i, j)$  and  $c(G)$ . The *degree-color sequence*  $(d(G); c(G))$  of  $G$  with a given  $k$ -coloring  $z$ , is the concatenation of its degree and color sequences. For simplicity, we call  $(d(G); c(G))$  the *c-degree sequence* from now on. We say that a sequence  $(d; c) \in \mathbb{N}^{n + \binom{k+1}{2}}$  is *color-graphical* if there is a multigraph or a simple graph  $G$ , depending from the context, and a  $k$ -coloring of  $V(G)$  such that  $(d; c) = (d(G); c(G))$ .





FIG 1. Graph  $G$  with vertices 1 and 2 colored blue, 3 and 4 red, and 5 green.

**Example 1.2.** For  $n = 5$  and  $k = 3$  let  $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5\}\}$  be the partition of  $[5]$  induced by a 3-coloring  $z$  of  $[5]$ .  $\circ$ ,  $\circ$  and  $\circ$  represent colors 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The  $c$ -degree sequence of the graph  $G$  illustrated in Figure 1 is the vector in  $\mathbb{N}^{11}$  given by  $(d(G); c(G)) = (4, 4, 3, 4, 7; 1, 3, 3, 0, 4, 0)$ .

The  $c$ -degree sequence is also a linear graph statistic, as we explain. For a  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$ , we define  $C_z$  as the matrix with rows labeled by the  $\binom{k+1}{2}$  pairs of colors (allowing repetition),

columns labeled by the  $\binom{n}{2}$  distinct pairs of vertices. Each column contains exactly one 1 in the row corresponding to that vertex pair's color pair, with the remaining entries of the column set to zero. For the vectorization  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  of a graph  $G$ , the color sequence of  $G$  can be expressed as  $c(G) = C_z \gamma$ . Consequently,  $(d(G); c(G)) = (D_n \gamma; C_z \gamma) = DC_{n,z} \gamma$ , where  $DC_{n,z} := \begin{pmatrix} D_n \\ C_z \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Example 1.3.** Let  $n = 5, k = 3$  and let  $z$  be the 3-coloring used in Example 1.2. The matrix  $DC_{n,z}$  is explicitly written below with a picture of  $K_5$  on the left that helps visualize the encoding of the matrix.



The  $c$ -degree sequence arises as the sufficient statistic of a random network model in which each edge in the graph appears with a probability that depends on its endpoint vertices as well as their color. In statistics, colors represent blocks or communities, and the model is called the  $\beta$ -SBM, where SBM stands for the stochastic blockmodel for random graphs, initially introduced in the work of Holland et al. [1983]. Variants of the SBM, inspired by the Erdős-Rényi model, were outlined by Fienberg, Meyer, and Wasserman in Fienberg et al. [1985] and it has been the blueprint model for social, economic, protein, and neural network data with a given block structure. Goodness of fit of the  $\beta$ -SBM was studied in Karwa et al. [2023], which gave a dynamic algorithm for the test and discussed how to use Markov bases to extend the exact test to latent blocks version of the model. However, they left the determination of a Markov basis for its *design matrix*  $DC_{n,z}$  an open problem, stated as [Karwa et al., 2023, Conjecture 1]. Here we address this question.

Informally, a Markov basis is a collection of moves that guarantees connectivity for non-negative integer solutions across many different families of systems of linear equations. Formally, for an integer matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{r \times c}$  and a vector  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , we call the set of integer points  $\mathcal{F}_A(b) := \{x \in \mathbb{N}^c : Ax = b\}$  the  $b$ -fiber of the matrix  $A$ . For any finite set of moves  $\mathcal{B} \subset \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_A(b)_{\mathcal{B}}$  as the graph whose vertices are the elements of  $\mathcal{F}_A(b)$  and any two vertices  $m, m' \in \mathcal{F}_A(b)$  form an edge if  $m - m' \in \pm \mathcal{B}$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a *Markov basis* for  $A$  if  $\mathcal{F}_A(b)_{\mathcal{B}}$  is connected for every  $b$ .

Returning to our specific problem, if we let  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z} := \{\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z} : \|\gamma\|_1 = 4\}$ , we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *The set of quadratic moves  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z}$  is a Markov basis for  $\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$ . These are the moves in  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$  of minimal 1-norm.*

Similar to the monochromatic case, this Markov basis is equivalent to the set of smallest possible modifications. In essence, any two multigraphs with a fixed  $c$ -degree sequence can be connected by applying a sequence of  $c$ -degree-preserving switches of 4 edges at a time. A natural follow-up question is whether the connectivity in the space of multigraphs with a fixed  $c$ -degree sequence, induced by the moves in Theorem 1.4, is maintained when restricting to the space of simple graphs.

For any color-graphical  $c$ -degree sequence  $(d; c) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+{k+1 \choose 2}}$  we define the *simple  $(d; c)$ -fiber* of  $\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$  as the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}(d; c) := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}(d; c) \cap \{0, 1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  of all simple graphs with fixed  $c$ -degree sequence  $(d; c)$ . We say that a set of moves  $\mathcal{B} \subset \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$  is a *simple-graph Markov basis* for  $\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$  if for every color-graphical  $c$ -degree sequence  $(d; c) \in \{0, 1\}^{n+{k+1 \choose 2}}$ , the induced graph  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}(d; c)_{\mathcal{B}}$  is connected. Using this notation, we provide a negative answer to the posed question.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For any constant  $C$ , there exists  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and a  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$  such that any simple-graph Markov basis for  $\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$  has an element with 1-norm greater than  $C$ .*

In contrast to the behavior observed in the monochromatic case, the 1-norm size of the moves necessary to connect spaces of simple graphs with a fixed  $c$ -degree sequence increases as the number of colors  $k$  used in the  $k$ -coloring  $z$  varies. Motivated by this result, we present an open problem and a conjecture at the end of Section 3.




FIG 2. Simple graphs  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  being connected with switches by leaving the simple-graph fiber. The switches used in each step are highlighted in orange.

**Example 1.6.** The simple graphs  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  in Figure 2 represent the only two elements of the simple-graph fiber  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{D}_{8,z}}(d; c)$  where  $z$  is a 2-coloring that induces the partition  $\{\{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{3, 4, 7, 8\}\}$ ,  $d = (1, 6, 1, 6, 4, 3, 4, 3)$  and  $c = (3, 8, 3)$ . The only move (up to sign) that connects this simple-graph fiber is  $\gamma = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ , whose 1-norm is 8. We illustrate one way of connecting  $\gamma_1$  to  $\gamma_2$  using elements from  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z}$  by stepping into  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}_{n,z}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{D}_{n,z}}$ . The orange highlighted edges in each graph explain what switch is performed to reach the next graph in the orange path.

To explore more of the advantageous properties of switches preserving  $c$ -degree sequences, we delve into their algebraic analogues that were introduced by Diaconis and Sturmfels [1998]. By these analogues, we refer to the fact that every integer vector  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  corresponds to a *binomial* in the ring of multivariate polynomials  $K[x_{uv} : 1 \leq u < v \leq n]$ , given by  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-}$ , where  $\gamma^+ = \max(\gamma, 0)$  and  $\gamma^- = \max(-\gamma, 0)$  entrywise. We interpret the binomial  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-}$  as an encoding of the operation that exchanges the edges in  $\gamma^+$  with edges in  $\gamma^-$ . Before explicitly stating our result involving these algebraic analogues, we provide a quick tool overview.

In general, for a polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$  and an ideal  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ , there is always a finite set of generators for  $I$  with powerful algorithmic properties. These special sets of generators are known as *Gröbner bases*, and they have played a major role in the development of computational commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Given the prevalence of polynomial models in various scientific and engineering disciplines, Gröbner bases have found countless applications across numerous domains. One particularly interesting application for our purposes is presented in Diaconis and Sturmfels [1998], where they describe Markov chain algorithms for sampling from discrete exponential families conditional on a sufficient statistic.

To formally define a Gröbner basis we first introduce the following notions. A *monomial order*  $\succ$  on  $K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$  is any relation  $\succ$  on the set of *monomials*  $x^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^s$  satisfying the following conditions:  $\succ$  is a total order, if  $x^\alpha \succ x^\theta$  then  $x^{\alpha+\gamma} \succ x^{\theta+\gamma}$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , and every nonempty set of monomials has a smallest element under  $\succ$ . The *leading monomial* of a polynomial  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$  with respect to the monomial order  $\succ$  will be denoted by  $\text{in}_\succ(f)$  and for any ideal  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ ,  $\text{in}_\succ(I) := \langle \{\text{in}_\succ(f) : f \in I\} \rangle$  is the ideal generated by all the leading monomials of  $I$ . We say that a finite subset of polynomials  $\mathcal{G}$  is a *Gröbner basis* for  $I$  with respect to the monomial order  $\succ$  if  $\text{in}_\succ(I) = \langle \text{in}_\succ(g) : g \in \mathcal{G} \rangle$ . This means that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I$  with respect to  $\succ$  if for any polynomial  $f \in I$ ,  $\text{in}_\succ(f)$  is divisible by  $\text{in}_\succ(g)$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . One particular property is that any Gröbner basis of  $I$ , generates the ideal  $I$ . For a more detailed introduction to the subject see Sturmfels [2005] and Cox et al. [2015].

Using an integer matrix  $A = (a_{v,\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r \times c}$ , one can define a particularly special polynomial ideal known as *toric ideal*, denoted by  $I_A$  (see [Sturmfels, 1996, Chapter 4]). This is the kernel of the semigroup algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : K[x_1, \dots, x_c] &\rightarrow K[t_1^\pm, \dots, t_r^\pm]; \\ x_\nu &\mapsto \prod_{v=1}^r t_v^{a_{v,\nu}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In the algebraic statistics literature, the matrix  $A$  defines the statistical log-linear model  $\mathcal{M}_A := \{p = (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r : \sum_{v=1}^r p_i = 1 \text{ and } \log p \in \text{rowspan}(A)\}$  which is strongly

related to  $I_A$  as Theorem 1.7 below demonstrates. Consequently, we say that  $A$  serves as the *design matrix* of the model  $\mathcal{M}_A$  or equivalently the design matrix of the toric ideal  $I_A$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** (*Fundamental Theorem of Markov Bases* [[Diaconis and Sturmfels, 1998](#), Theorem 3.1]) *Let  $A$  be a integer matrix. A finite set of moves  $\mathcal{B} \subset \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A$  is a Markov basis for  $A$  if the corresponding set of binomials  $\{x^{m^+} - x^{m^-} : m \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a generating set for the toric ideal  $I_A$ .*

Furthermore, [[Diaconis and Sturmfels, 1998](#), Theorem 3.2] provides an algorithm to compute a generating set for  $I_A$  using the elimination theorem and Gröbner bases, thereby offering a theoretical solution for the computation of Markov bases. However, even the state-of-the-art algorithms for computing Markov bases, such as [Hemmecke and Malkin \[2009\]](#), face practical limitations: the computations do not scale well. Hence, a combinatorial description of Markov bases with variable parameters—such as the number of vertices and colors in our case, as presented in Theorem 1.4—is highly desirable. Further details and references on Markov bases can be found in [Sullivant \[2021\]](#), [Aoki et al. \[2012\]](#), and [Petrović \[2019\]](#), while [Almendra-Hernández et al. \[2023\]](#) provides a recent overview of the state of the art.

To provide context for the final contribution of this paper, we consider the perspective of discrete geometry. Namely, the leading terms of a Gröbner basis of  $I_A$  correspond to the minimal non-faces determining a triangulation for the columns of the matrix  $A$  [[De Loera et al., 2010](#), Chapter 9.4]. The fact that a Gröbner bases requires the specification of a monomial order further results in a unique-sink orientation of the graph with vertices in the fiber  $\mathcal{F}_A(b)$ . This property has important computational consequences, as shown for example in the early works of [Sturmfels \[1991\]](#), [Thomas \[1995\]](#), and [De Loera et al. \[1995\]](#). In the latter, Gröbner bases were used to study triangulations and optimization of the  $b$ -matching problem—the graph with the smallest cost having a given degree sequence  $b$ . The final contribution of this paper generalizes the quadratic Gröbner basis result from the monochromatic case proved in [De Loera et al. \[1995\]](#) to the following general case.

**Theorem 1.8.** *There exists a monomial order  $\succ$  on  $K[x_{uv} : 1 \leq u < v \leq n]$  such that for any  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$ , the set  $\{x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}\}$  is in fact a Gröbner basis for  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$  with respect to  $\succ$ .*

The monomial order referred to in Theorem 1.8 is introduced at the beginning of Section 4. Its origin lies in geometric considerations, which will be essential for the proof of this result.

## 2. A quadratic Markov basis for the $\beta$ -SBM matrix

Let us begin by noting that any vector  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  can be interpreted as a graph by defining  $V(\gamma) = [n]$  as the set of vertices and  $E(\gamma) = \{\{u, v\} : \gamma_{uv} \neq 0\}$  as the set of edges. Furthermore, we say that an edge  $uv \in E(\gamma)$  is *positive* if  $\gamma_{uv} > 0$  and *negative* if  $\gamma_{uv} < 0$ , with  $|\gamma_{uv}|$  representing the multiplicity of the edge  $uv$ . Here  $\gamma_{uv}$  denotes the entry in  $\gamma$  associated to the 2-subset  $\{u, v\}$  of  $[n]$ , and as such, we use  $\gamma_{uv}$  or  $\gamma_{vu}$  interchangeably. For simplicity we may occasionally abuse notation and treat  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  as a graph, given the appropriate context.



For  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  and  $v \in [n]$  we define the *positive degree* and *negative degree* of  $v$  as

$$\deg_{\gamma}^{+}(v) := \sum_{u \in [n]: \gamma_{uv} > 0} \gamma_{uv} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_{\gamma}^{-}(v) := \sum_{u \in [n]: \gamma_{uv} < 0} -\gamma_{uv}, \quad (2)$$

respectively. In other words,  $\deg_{\gamma}^{+}(u)$  and  $\deg_{\gamma}^{-}(u)$  are the numbers of positive and negative edges incident with  $u$ , respectively. We define the *positive degree sequence* and the *negative degree sequence* as the vectors  $d^{+}(\gamma) = (\deg_{\gamma}^{+}(1), \dots, \deg_{\gamma}^{+}(n))$  and  $d^{-}(\gamma) = (\deg_{\gamma}^{-}(1), \dots, \deg_{\gamma}^{-}(n))$ , respectively. Also, for any  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$ , let

$$c_{\gamma}^{+}(z, i, j) := \sum_{\substack{u \in z^{-1}(i), v \in z^{-1}(j): \\ \gamma_{uv} > 0}} \gamma_{uv} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\gamma}^{-}(z, i, j) := \sum_{\substack{u \in z^{-1}(i), v \in z^{-1}(j): \\ \gamma_{uv} < 0}} -\gamma_{uv}. \quad (3)$$

This means that  $c_{\gamma}^{+}(z, i, j)$  and  $c_{\gamma}^{-}(z, i, j)$  are the number of positive and negative edges, respectively, that are connecting an  $i$ -th colored vertex with an  $j$ -th colored vertex. We define the *positive color sequence* and the *negative color sequence* as the vectors  $c^{+}(z, \gamma) = (c_{\gamma}^{+}(z, i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k)$  and  $c^{-}(z, \gamma) = (c_{\gamma}^{-}(z, i, j) : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k)$ , respectively. Notice that when  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ ,  $(d^{+}(\gamma); c^{+}(z, \gamma))$  coincides with the  $c$ -degree sequence defined in the introduction and  $(d^{-}(\gamma); c^{-}(z, \gamma))$  is a vector of zeros. When  $z$  is clear from the context we will write  $c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(i, j)$  instead of  $c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, i, j)$ . We say that  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  satisfies the *degree-balance condition* if  $d^{+}(\gamma) = d^{-}(\gamma)$  and the *color-balance condition with respect to  $z$*  if  $c^{+}(z, \gamma) = c^{-}(z, \gamma)$ . When  $z$  is clear from the context, we simply say that  $\gamma$  satisfies the color-balance condition.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$  be a positive integer,  $z$  a  $k$ -coloring of  $[n]$ , and  $DC_{n,z}$  the design matrix of the  $\beta$ -SBM. Notice that for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ ,

$$DC_{n,z} \gamma = (d^{+}(\gamma) - d^{-}(\gamma); c^{+}(\gamma) - c^{-}(\gamma)). \quad (4)$$

This means that  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  if and only if  $\gamma$  satisfies both the degree and the color-balance conditions. It is not hard to see that any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  satisfying the degree-balance condition must be a union of closed even walks whose edges in the walk alternate between positive and negative edges. In fact, when  $DC_{n,z}$  is regarded as the incidence graph of a 3-uniform hypergraph the elements of  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A$  can be understood using the notion of balanced walk on a hypergraph. These are known as *monomial walks* in the literature (Petrović and Stasi [2014], Villarreal [2018]). Hence we say that  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  is a *monomial walk with respect to  $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$*  if  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$ . For convenience, when considering a monomial walk  $\gamma$ , sometimes we will describe it using a vertex sequence enclosed by square brackets:  $[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2l-1}, v_{2l}]$ . This notation means that  $\gamma$  is the element in  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  entrywise defined by

$$\gamma_{uv} = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{1}_{\{u,v\}=\{v_{2i-1}, v_{2i}\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{u,v\}=\{v_{2i}, v_{2i+1}\}},$$

with  $2l + 1 = 1$  and  $\mathbb{1}$  being the indicator function. The use of the different notations (either vector or brackets) will depend on the context.




FIG 3. Monomial walk  $m = [1, 5, 2, 4, 5, 3, 2, 4]$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $DC_{5,z}$  the matrix of Example 1.3 and  $\gamma = (0, 0, -1, 1, -1, 2, -1, 0, 1, -1) \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,5}$  be the monomial walk illustrated below. One way to write  $\gamma$  using bracket notation is  $\gamma = [1, 5, 2, 4, 5, 3, 2, 4]$ . In this case  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} = x_{15}x_{24}x_{35} - x_{14}x_{23}x_{25}x_{45}$ .

As noticed previously, for any color-graphical  $c$ -degree sequence  $(d; c) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \binom{k+1}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{DC_{n,z}}(d; c)$  is the set of multigraphs with fixed  $c$ -degree sequence  $(d; c)$ . Meaning that a Markov basis for  $DC_{n,z}$  is a set of moves  $\mathcal{B}$  that allow us to connect any two multigraphs with a fixed degree sequence by using moves in  $\mathcal{B}$ . To prove Theorem 1.4 we use the algebraic analogue provided by Theorem 1.7. In other words, we show that  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z} = \{\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z} : \|\gamma\|_1 = 4\}$  is a Markov basis for  $DC_{n,z}$  by proving that  $I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}} := \langle \{x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}\} \rangle$  is equal to  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$ .

To do so, we will use the combinatorial conditions of monomial walks in order to reduce binomials of degree greater than two by splitting the monomial walks of length longer than four into shorter walks. First, let us see that the toric monomial map (1) whose vanishing ideal is  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$  can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\beta} : K[x_{uv} : 1 \leq u < v \leq n] &\rightarrow K[\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \cup \{t_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k\}]; \\ x_{uv} &\mapsto s_u s_v t_{z(u)z(v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then, for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \binom{n}{2}$ ,  $\varphi_{\beta}(x^{\gamma^+}) = \varphi_{\beta}(x^{\gamma^-})$  if and only if  $DC_{n,z}\gamma^+ = DC_{n,z}\gamma^-$ , which means that  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in \ker \varphi_{\beta} = I_{DC_{n,z}}$  if and only if  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$ . In fact, as a consequence of [Sturmfels, 1996, Corollary 4.3] it follows that  $I_{DC_{n,z}} = \langle x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z} \rangle$ . This immediately implies that  $I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}} \subseteq I_{DC_{n,z}}$ . Therefore, to prove Theorem 1.4 it suffices to show that  $I_{DC_{n,z}} \subseteq I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$ . To do so, we start by providing a combinatorial description of  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z} = \{[uvu'v'] : z(u) = z(u') \text{ or } z(v) = z(v')\}$ . In other words, the elements of  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z}$  are 4-cycles with at least two opposite vertices of the same color.

*Proof.* First suppose without losing generality that  $\gamma = [uvu'v']$  with  $z(u) = z(u')$ . By convention this means that  $uv, u'v'$  are positive edges,  $vu', v'u$  are negative edges in  $E(\gamma)$ . Since  $z(u) = z(u')$ , we have that  $t_{z(u)z(v)} = t_{z(u')z(v)}$  and  $t_{z(u')z(v')} = t_{z(u)z(v')}$ , which means

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\beta}(x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-}) &= s_u s_v t_{z(u)z(v)} \cdot s_{u'} s_{v'} t_{z(u')z(v')} - s_u s_{v'} t_{z(u)z(v')} \cdot s_{u'} s_v t_{z(u')z(v)} \\ &= s_u s_v s_{u'} s_{v'} (t_{z(u)z(v)} t_{z(u')z(v')} - t_{z(u)z(v')} t_{z(u')z(v)}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ , or equivalently,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}$ .



Now, suppose that  $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}$ . The degree-balance condition implies that  $\gamma$  is a 4-cycle  $[uvu'v']$  with  $uv, u'v'$  positive and  $vu', v'u$  negative edges. Let us assume without losing generality that  $z(u) \neq z(u')$ . Because  $\gamma$  has a positive edge between colors  $z(u)$  and  $z(v)$ , the color-balance condition implies that at least one of the negative edges  $uv'$  or  $u'v$  connects colors  $z(u)$  and  $z(v)$ . This is equivalent to having  $z(v') = z(v)$  or  $z(u') = z(u)$ . Hence,  $z(v') = z(v)$  by our earlier assumptions.  $\square$

Showing that  $I_{DC_{n,z}} \subseteq I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  relies on the fact that for any  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ , we can either peel off 4-cycles from  $\gamma$  (Lemma 2.5) or, alternatively, use 4-cycles to reconnect  $\gamma$  (Lemma 2.4). This allows us to obtain a new monomial walk from which we can peel off 4-cycles belonging to  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$ . This process enables us to express  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-}$  as the sum of an element in  $I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  and a binomial in  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$  with a degree smaller than  $\deg(x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-})$ . Before presenting the proofs, we illustrate the idea with the following example.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $n = 8, k = 2$  and let  $\{\{1, 4, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 7, 8\}\}$  be the partition induced by  $z$ , which assigns colors  $\circ$  and  $\bullet$ , respectively. Consider  $f = x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} = x_{12}x_{34}x_{56}x_{78} - x_{14}x_{23}x_{58}x_{67} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$  and observe that  $f = x_{34}x_{56}(x_{12}x_{78} - x_{17}x_{28}) + f'$  where  $f' = x^{\gamma'^+} - x^{\gamma'^-} = x_{34}x_{56}x_{17}x_{28} - x_{14}x_{23}x_{58}x_{67}$ . As we illustrate in the picture below, this way of rewriting  $f$  corresponds to rewriting  $\gamma$  as a sum of a 4-cycle and a monomial walk  $\gamma'$  with the same number of edges as  $\gamma$ . Notice that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  differ by a switch.




FIG 4. Reconnecting with a 4-cycle. On the left of the equality is the graph  $\gamma$ , while on the right are the 4-cycle corresponding to  $x_{12}x_{78} - x_{17}x_{28}$  and  $\gamma'$ .

Now, we can peel off a 4-cycle from  $\gamma'$  to obtain a monomial walk  $\gamma''$  with less edges than  $\gamma'$  (see Figure 5). Algebraically, this means that  $f' = x_{23}x_{58}(x_{17}x_{46} - x_{14}x_{67}) + x_{46}(x_{28}x_{34}x_{56} - x_{23}x_{58}x_{17})$ , where  $f'' = x^{\gamma''^+} - x^{\gamma''^-} = x_{28}x_{34}x_{56} - x_{23}x_{58}x_{17}$ . In fact, we can continue peeling off 4-cycles from  $\gamma''$  in order to prove that  $f \in \langle x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z} \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** For any  $f = x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ , there exists  $f' \in I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  and  $f'' \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$  with  $\deg(f) = \deg(f'')$  such that  $f = f' + f''$ , where  $f'' = x^{\gamma''^+} - x^{\gamma''^-}$  and  $\gamma''$  contains a subwalk  $uvw$  such that  $z(u) = z(w)$  and  $uv, vw$  have different signs.

*Proof.* Let  $f = x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$  and let  $uv$  be a positive edge in  $\gamma$ . By the color-balance condition, there must exist a negative edge  $u'v' \in E(\gamma)$  such that  $z(u') = z(u)$  and  $z(v') =$




FIG 5. Peeling off a 4-cycle. On the left of the equality is the graph  $\gamma$ , while on the right are the 4-cycle corresponding to  $x_{17}x_{46} - x_{14}x_{67}$  and  $\gamma'$ .

$z(v)$ . Since no edge in  $\gamma$  can be positive and negative at the same time, it follows that  $uv \neq u'v'$ . Moreover, if  $u = u'$  then  $vu'v'$  is a subwalk such that  $z(v) = z(v')$  with  $vu$  positive and  $uv'$  negative so the statement would follow. Hence, we assume that  $u \neq u'$  and similarly  $v \neq v'$ .

Now, given that each of  $u'$  and  $v'$  are adjacent to the negative edge  $u'v'$ , the degree-balance condition guarantees the existence of positive edges  $u'\hat{w}, v'w$  in  $E(\gamma)$ . Let us consider the following 2 cases:

- (i)  $\{w, \hat{w}\} = \{u, v\}$ .

In case  $w = u, \hat{w} = v$ , then  $uv'u'$  is a subwalk such that  $z(u) = z(u')$ ,  $uv' = wv'$  is positive and  $v'u'$  is negative, so it would be enough to take  $f' = 0, f'' = f$ . Then, assume that  $w = v, \hat{w} = u$ . In such case, since  $u$  is adjacent to two positive edges, there must exist (by the degree-balance condition) a negative edge  $u\hat{u} \in E(\gamma)$ . Notice that since  $z(u) = z(u')$ ,  $x_{u'\hat{u}}x_{uv'} - x_{u\hat{u}}x_{u'v'} \in I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} = x^{\gamma^+} - x^\alpha x_{u\hat{u}}x_{u'v'} \\ &= x^\alpha (x_{u'\hat{u}}x_{uv'} - x_{u\hat{u}}x_{u'v'}) + (x^{\gamma^+} - x^\alpha x_{u'\hat{u}}x_{uv'}), \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  is such that  $x^\alpha x_{u\hat{u}}x_{u'v'} = x^{\gamma^-}$ . Then we take  $f' = x^\alpha (x_{u'\hat{u}}x_{uv'} - x_{u\hat{u}}x_{u'v'})$ ,  $f'' = x^{\gamma^+} - x^\alpha x_{u'\hat{u}}x_{uv'}$  and the subwalk  $vu'v'$  satisfies that  $z(v) = z(v')$ ,  $uv$  is positive and  $uv'$  is negative.

- (ii)  $\{w, \hat{w}\} \neq \{u, v\}$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $w \notin \{u, v\}$ . Given that  $z(v) = z(v')$ , it follows that  $x_{uv}x_{wv'} - x_{uv'}x_{wv} \in I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$ . Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} = x^\alpha x_{uv}x_{wv'} - x^{\gamma^-} \\ &= x^\alpha (x_{uv}x_{wv'} - x_{uv'}x_{wv}) + (x^\alpha x_{uv'}x_{wv} - x^{\gamma^-}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  is such that  $x^\alpha x_{uv}x_{wv'} = x^{\gamma^+}$ . In this case we set  $f' = x^\alpha (x_{uv}x_{wv'} - x_{uv'}x_{wv})$ ,  $f'' = x^\alpha x_{uv'}x_{wv} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$ . Notice that  $\deg(f'') = \deg(f)$  and that the subwalk  $uv'u'$  satisfies that  $z(u) = z(u')$ ,  $uv'$  is positive and  $v'u'$  is negative.

□

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f = x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ . Suppose  $\gamma$  contains a subwalk  $uvw$  such that  $z(u) = z(w)$  and  $uv, vw$  have different signs. Then,  $f = f' + x_{uv}f''$  for some  $f' \in I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  and  $f'' \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$  with  $\deg(f'') = \deg(f) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose without loss of generality that  $uv$  is negative and  $vw$  is positive. Then the degree-balance condition guarantees the existence of a positive edge  $uu'$ . Consider the following two cases:

(i)  $u' \neq w$ .

Since  $z(u) = z(w)$ , it follows that  $x_{uu'}x_{wv} - x_{uv}x_{wu'} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ . Let  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  be such that  $x^{\gamma^+} = x^\alpha x_{uu'}x_{wv}$  and  $x^{\gamma^-} = x_{uv}x^{\alpha'}$ . Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} = x^\alpha x_{uu'}x_{wv} - x_{uv}x^{\alpha'} \\ &= x^\alpha(x_{uu'}x_{wv} - x_{uv}x_{wu'}) + x_{uv}(x^\alpha x_{wu'} - x^{\alpha'}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f' = x^\alpha(x_{uu'}x_{wv} - x_{uv}x_{wu'})$  and  $f'' = x_{uv}(x^\alpha x_{wu'} - x^{\alpha'})$ . Given that  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$  is prime and  $f, x_{uu'}x_{wv} - x_{uv}x_{wu'}$  both belong to  $I_{DC_{n,z}}$  we have that  $f'' \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ . Furthermore,  $\deg(f'') = \deg(f) - 1$ .

(ii)  $u' = w$ .

In this case  $uw$  is a positive edge in  $\gamma$  so by the degree-balance condition there must be a negative edge  $ww'$  with  $w' \notin \{u, v\}$ . This situation is analogous to the previous case since  $uvw$  is a subwalk with  $z(u) = z(w)$ ,  $uv$  negative,  $vw$  positive and  $ww'$  a negative edge in  $\gamma$  such that  $w' \neq u$ .

□

**Theorem 1.4.** *The set of quadratic moves  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z}$  is a Markov basis for  $DC_{n,z}$ . These are the moves in  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  of minimal 1-norm.*

*Proof.* As previously mentioned, it suffices to show that  $I_{DC_{n,z}} \subseteq I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$ . Let us remember that  $I_{DC_{n,z}} = \langle x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z} \rangle$  and let  $f = x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{DC_{n,z}}$ . If  $\deg(f) = 2$ , then  $f \in I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  by definition. Suppose that  $\deg(f) = k + 1$ . By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we can write  $f = f' + x_{uv}f''$  for some  $1 \leq u < v \leq n$  where  $f' \in I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  and  $\deg(f'') = k$ . By induction on the degree we have that  $f'' \in I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$ , hence  $f \in I_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$ . □

### 3. Restriction to simple graphs

Given the result of Theorem 1.4 we know that  $\mathcal{M}_{n,z} = \{[uvw'v'] : z(u) = z(u') \text{ or } z(v) = z(v')\}$  can be used to find  $c$ -degree preserving paths between any two multigraphs with the same  $c$ -degree sequence. Hence, a natural question arises as to whether an analogous result holds when strictly considering simple graphs. In other words, is the graph  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{DC_{n,z}}(d; c)_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  connected for every color-graphic degree sequence  $(d; c) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+\binom{k+1}{2}}$ ? In this section, we provide a negative answer to this question and discuss some open problems derived from our results.

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$  there exists a  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$  with  $n = 2k$ , and a  $c$ -degree sequence  $(d_k; c_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+\binom{k+1}{2}}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}(d_k; c_k) = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ . Furthermore,  $\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_1 = 2k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \geq 3$ , be an integer and  $z$  be the  $k$ -coloring of  $[2k]$  such that  $z(u) \equiv u \pmod{k}$  for every  $u \in [2k]$ . Let  $3_k$  and  $1_k$  be the vectors of size  $k$  with all 3's and all 1's, respectively. Consider  $d_k = (3_k; 1_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2k}$  and  $c_k \in \mathbb{N}^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$  be such that for every  $1 \leq u \leq v \leq k$

$$c_k(u, v) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } |u - v| \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In order to prove that the simple-graph fiber  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{2k,z}}(d_k; c_k)$  contains only two elements we start by making the following two claims for every  $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{2k,z}}(d_k; c_k)$ .

*Claim 1.* If  $\{u + k, v\} \in E(\gamma)$  for  $u \in [k]$ ,  $v \in [2k]$  with  $v \equiv u + \epsilon \pmod{k}$  and  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ ; then  $\{u, w\} \in E(\gamma)$  for any  $w \in [2k]$  with  $w \equiv u - \epsilon \pmod{k}$ .

*Claim 2.* The set  $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k\}$  is independent in  $\gamma$ .

Assuming both claims, let  $\gamma$  be a simple graph in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{2k,z}}(d_k; c_k)$ . Since  $c_k(1, v) \neq 0$  if and only if  $v \in \{2, k\}$ , it follows from Claim 2 that either  $\{1 + k, 2\}$  or  $\{1 + k, k\}$  is an edge in  $E(\gamma)$  but not both. We will prove that choosing one of the previous two edges determines  $\gamma$  completely. Assume that  $\{1 + k, 2\}$  is an edge in  $E(\gamma)$ . By Claim 1 we have that  $\{1, k\}$  and  $\{1, 2k\}$  are both edges in  $E(\gamma)$ . Now, since  $\{2k, 1\} \in E(\gamma)$  it follows from Claim 1 that  $\{k, k - 1\}$  and  $\{k, 2k - 1\}$  are both edges in  $E(\gamma)$ . Continuing with this process and repeatedly applying Claim 1 shows that for any  $u \in [k]$  and  $v \in [2k]$  such that  $v \equiv u - 1 \pmod{k}$ ,  $\{u, v\} \in E(\gamma)$ . Let  $E \subset E(\gamma)$  be the set with all the edges of this form and let  $\gamma_E$  be the subgraph of  $\gamma$  generated by  $E$ . Then,  $(\deg_{\gamma_E}(u))_{u \in [2k]} = (3_k; 1_k)$ . This implies that  $E = E(\gamma)$ , which means  $\gamma = \gamma_E$ .

An analogous argument shows that if  $\{1 + k, k\}$  is an edge in  $\gamma$  (instead of  $\{1 + k, 2\}$ ) then the graph  $\gamma$  is generated by the set of edges  $E' = \{\{u, v\} : u \in [k], v \in [2k] \text{ and } v \equiv u + 1 \pmod{k}\}$ . This shows that the only two graphs in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{2k,z}}(d_k; c_k)$  are  $\gamma_E$  and  $\gamma_{E'}$ . Since  $E \cap E' = \{\{u, v\} : u, v \in [k] \text{ and } v - u \equiv 1 \pmod{k}\}$ , we conclude that  $\|g_E - g_{E'}\|_1 = |E| + |E'| - 2|E \cap E'| = 2k$ .

Now we prove claims 1 and 2. Suppose  $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{DC}_{2k,z}}(d_k; c_k)$  and let  $\{u + k, v\} \in E(\gamma)$  where  $u \in [k]$ ,  $v \in [2k]$  are such that  $v \equiv u + \epsilon \pmod{k}$  with  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ . Let  $w, w' \in [k]$  such that  $w \equiv u + \epsilon \pmod{k}$  and  $w' \equiv u - \epsilon \pmod{k}$ . Since  $c_k(u, w') = 2$ ,  $z^{-1}(w') = \{w', w' + k\}$  and  $\deg_\gamma(u + k) = 1$ , it follows that  $\{u, w' + k\}$  and  $\{u, w'\}$  are both edges in  $E(\gamma)$ . This proves Claim 1. To prove Claim 2 let  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}(d_k; c_k)$  and suppose that  $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k\}$  is not independent. Without losing generality assume that  $\{k + 1, k + 2\}$  is an edge in  $E(\gamma)$ . Claim 1 then implies that  $\{1, k\}$  and  $\{1, 2k\}$  are both edges in  $E(\gamma)$ . Following an argument analogous to the proof of Claim 1 we can see that for every  $u \in [k]$ ,  $\{u, v\} \in E(\gamma)$  for any  $v \in [2k]$  such that  $v \equiv u - 1 \pmod{k}$ . In particular, this means that  $\{3, 2 + k\} \in E(\gamma)$  which would imply that  $\deg_\gamma(2 + k) \geq 2$ . By the definition of  $d_k$ , this is a contradiction. Therefore, the set  $\{k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k\}$  must be independent.  $\square$






FIG 7. Simple graphs  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  on the left and center. Markov basis move  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$  on the right.

statement follows directly from [De Loera et al., 1995, Theorem 2.1]. As a matter of fact we will use this result, stated in Proposition 4.1, as the motivation to prove Theorem 1.8.

To prove the main result of this section we start by introducing the monomial order  $\succ$  as follows. Let us identify the set  $[n]$  with the vertices of a complete graph  $K_n$  embedded in the plane in a way that the vertices form a regular  $n$ -gon, labeled clockwise from 1 to  $n$ . We define the *weight* of the variable  $x_{uv}$  as the number of edges of  $K_n$  which do not meet the edge  $uv$ . For instance, if  $n = 5$ , then the variables  $x_{12}, x_{23}, x_{34}, x_{45}, x_{15}$  have weight 3, and the variables  $x_{13}, x_{24}, x_{35}, x_{14}, x_{25}$  have weight 1. In general, the weight of a monomial  $x^\alpha := \prod_{uv} x_{uv}^{\alpha_{uv}}$  is the sum of the weights of the variables  $x_{uv}$  appearing in  $x^\alpha$ , with multiplicity. Let  $\succ$  denote any monomial order that refines the partial order on monomials specified by these weights. Given any pair of non-intersecting edges  $uv, u'v'$  of  $K_n$  such that  $uv', vu'$  intersect, we have from the definition of weights that  $\text{in}_\succ(x_{uv}x_{u'v'} - x_{uv'}x_{u'v}) = x_{uv}x_{u'v'}$ .

From Lemma 2.2 and the definition of the order  $\succ$ , it follows that

$$\{\text{in}_\succ(x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-}) : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}\} = \{x_{uv}x_{u'v'} : uv, u'v' \text{ do not intersect in the embedding of } K_n \text{ in the plane and } \{z(u), z(v)\} \cap \{z(u'), z(v')\} \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (6)$$

**Proposition 4.1** (De Loera et al. [1995], Theorem 2.1). *The set of binomials  $\{x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} D_n, \|\gamma\|_1 = 4\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I_{D_n}$  with respect to  $\succ$ .*

**Proposition 4.2.** *For any monomial walk  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  there exists a pair of non-intersecting edges  $uv, u'v'$  in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane such that  $x_{uv}x_{u'v'}$  divides either  $x^{\gamma^+}$  or  $x^{\gamma^-}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  and let  $\mathcal{M}_n = \{\omega \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} D_n : \|\omega\|_1 = 4\}$ . Similarly to (6), from the definition of  $\succ$  it follows that

$$\{\text{in}_\succ(x^{\omega^+} - x^{\omega^-}) : \omega \in \mathcal{M}_n\} = \{x_{uv}x_{u'v'} : uv, u'v' \text{ do not intersect in the embedding of } K_n \text{ in the plane}\}.$$

As a consequence of the containment  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z} \subseteq \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} D_n$ , it follows that  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{D_n}$ . Furthermore, Proposition 4.1 implies that  $\text{in}_\succ(I_{D_n}) = \langle \{x^{\omega^+} - x^{\omega^-} : \omega \in \mathcal{M}_n\} \rangle$ . Hence, there exists  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\text{in}_\succ(\omega) = x_{uv}x_{u'v'}$  divides  $\text{in}_\succ(x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-})$ . Since  $uv$  and  $u'v'$  don't intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane, the result follows.  $\square$



Proposition 4.9 extends the result mentioned above and serves as a crucial step for proving Theorem 1.8. To establish the proof for Proposition 4.9, we will introduce the following notation and lemmas.

Let  $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$  and let  $q, q' \in [k]$ . We define the  $k$ -coloring  $z_q^{q'} : [n] \rightarrow [k]$  as

$$z_q^{q'}(i) := \begin{cases} q & \text{if } z(i) = q', \\ z(i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In other words, the  $k$ -coloring  $z_q^{q'}$  is obtained from the  $k$ -coloring  $z$  by re-coloring all the  $q'$ -th colored vertices with the  $q$ -th color. Then, we have the following.

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$  and  $q, q' \in [k]$  we have  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A_{n, z_q^{q'}} \subseteq \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n, z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n, z}$  and  $q, q' \in [k]$ .  $\gamma$  satisfies the degree-condition by assumption. Hence, all we need to prove is that  $\gamma$  satisfies the color-balance condition with respect to  $z_q^{q'}$ . To do so, notice that for every  $i, j$  different from  $q'$ ,

$$c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z_q^{q'}, i, j) = \begin{cases} c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, i, j) & \text{if } i, j \neq q, \\ c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, i, j) + c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, q', j) & \text{if } i = q, j \neq q, \\ c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, q, q) + c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, q', q') + c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z, q, q') & \text{if } i = j = q. \end{cases}$$

When either  $i = q'$  or  $j = q'$ ,  $c_{\gamma}^{\pm}(z_q^{q'}, i, j) = 0$ . □

Now let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ ,  $w \in [n]$ , and  $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$  with  $z(w) = q$ . We define the *contraction* of  $\gamma$  with respect to  $w$  and  $z$  as the vector  $\sigma_w(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  such that for every distinct  $u, v \in [n]$ ,

$$\sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} := \begin{cases} \gamma_{uv}, & \text{if } z(u) \neq q \text{ and } z(v) \neq q, \\ \sum_{u' \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{u'v}, & \text{if } u = w \text{ and } z(v) \neq q, \\ \sum_{v' \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{uv'}, & \text{if } v = w \text{ and } z(u) \neq q, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We call  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  simply a contraction when  $w$  and  $z$  are clear from the context.

**Remark 4.4.** Notice that whenever  $u$  or  $v$  belong to the set  $z^{-1}(q) \setminus \{w\}$  we have  $\sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} = 0$ . In other words,  $z^{-1}(q) \setminus \{w\}$  is an isolated set of vertices in  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  when regarded as a graph. This implies that for any  $v \in [n]$ ,  $S \subseteq [n] \setminus \{v\}$  and  $S' \subseteq z^{-1}(q) \setminus \{w\}$

$$\sum_{u \in S} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} = \sum_{u \in S \setminus S'} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} = \sum_{u \in S \cup S'} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv}.$$

**Example 4.5.** Consider the monomial walk  $\gamma$  from Example 2.1. The contraction  $\sigma_1(\gamma)$  is shown in Figure 8. Notice that the reduction  $\sigma_3(\sigma_1(\gamma))$  returns a zero-vector, or in other words, an empty graph.




FIG 8. Monomial walk  $\gamma = [1, 5, 2, 4, 5, 3, 2, 4]$  on the left and its contraction  $\sigma_1(\gamma) = [1, 4, 5, 3]$  on the right.

**Lemma 4.6.** For any  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$ , any monomial walk  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  and  $w \in [n]$ ,  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  is also a monomial walk. In other words, the map  $\sigma_w$  satisfies  $\sigma_w(\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}) \subseteq \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  and  $w \in [n]$  with  $q := z(w)$ . We will show the following:

1.  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  satisfies the degree-balance condition.

Let  $v \in [n]$ . If  $v \in z^{-1}(q) \setminus \{w\}$ , Remark 4.4 implies that  $\deg_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^{\pm}(v) = 0$ , so let us assume  $v \notin z^{-1}(q) \setminus \{w\}$  and consider the following two cases:

- (i)  $v \neq w$ . In this case, by properly arranging summation indices and using Remark 4.4, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^+(v) - \deg_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^-(v) &= \sum_{u \neq v} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} = \sum_{\substack{u \neq v \\ u \notin z^{-1}(q)}} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} + \sum_{\substack{u \neq v \\ u \in z^{-1}(q)}} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uv} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{u \neq v \\ u \notin z^{-1}(q)}} \gamma_{uv} + \sigma_w(\gamma)_{wv} = \sum_{\substack{u \neq v \\ u \notin z^{-1}(q)}} \gamma_{uv} + \sum_{u' \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{u'v} \\
&= \sum_{u \neq v} \gamma_{uv} = \deg_{\gamma}^+(v) - \deg_{\gamma}^-(v) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

- (ii)  $v = w$ . Similarly to the previous case, by strategically rearranging summation indices and using Remark 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^+(v) - \deg_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^-(v) &= \sum_{u \neq w} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} = \sum_{u \notin z^{-1}(q)} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} \\
&= \sum_{u \notin z^{-1}(q)} \sum_{v' \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{uv'} = \sum_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ i \neq q}} \left( \sum_{u \in z^{-1}(i)} \sum_{v' \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{uv'} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i \in [k] \\ i \neq q}} (c_{\gamma}^+(i, q) - c_{\gamma}^-(i, q)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality holds because  $\gamma$  satisfies the color-balance conditions.

2.  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  satisfies the color-balance condition.

Let  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$ . It follows from equations 3 and 8 that if  $i, j \neq q$ ,  $c_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^\pm(i, j) = c_\gamma^\pm(i, j)$  and if  $i = j = q$  then  $c_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^\pm(i, j) = 0$ . In either of these two cases we have  $c_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^+(i, j) = c_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^-(i, j)$ . Now, suppose that  $i \neq q$  and  $j = q$ . In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^+(i, j) - c_{\sigma_w(\gamma)}^-(i, j) &= \sum_{u \in z^{-1}(i)} \sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} = \sum_{u \in z^{-1}(i)} \sum_{v' \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{uv'} \\ &= c_\gamma^+(i, q) - c_\gamma^-(i, q) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The case  $i = q, j \neq q$  is analogous to the latter case. □

For the rest of the manuscript we will assume that the  $k$ -coloring  $z$  is non-decreasing which will be useful thanks to the following.

**Remark 4.7.** Consider the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane and suppose the  $k$ -coloring  $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$  is non-decreasing. If  $uv, u'v'$  are two non-intersecting edges with  $z(v') \notin \{z(u), z(u'), z(v)\}$  then for any vertex  $w$  such that  $z(w) = z(v')$ , we have that  $uv, u'w$  are non-intersecting edges in the embedding of  $K_n$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $z$  be a  $k$ -coloring of  $[n]$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  be a monomial walk. Let  $w \in [n]$  and  $uv$  edge in  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$ . Then,

- (i) there exists a vertex  $v_0 \in [n]$  such that  $uv_0$  is an edge in  $E(\gamma)$  with same sign as  $uv$  and  $z(v_0) = z(v)$ ,
- (ii) if  $u'v'$  is an edge in  $E(\sigma_w(\gamma))$  with  $u', v' \neq w$  such that  $uv, u'v'$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane, then  $uv_0$  and  $u'v'$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  either.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $q = z(w)$  and  $uv$  an edge of  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$ . By definition of  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  we have that every vertex in  $z^{-1}(q) \setminus \{w\}$  is isolated. Hence we have two options: either  $u, v \neq w$  or  $v = w$  (or  $u = w$ ). If  $u, v \neq w$ ,  $uv$  is also an edge of  $\gamma$  in which case we can set  $v_0 = v$ . Now, assume that  $v = w$  (the case  $u = w$  is completely analogous).

Given that  $uw$  is an edge in  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$ , we have that  $\sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} \neq 0$ . Assume without losing generality that  $\sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} > 0$  (i.e.,  $uw$  is positive). By definition,  $\sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} = \sum_{v_0 \in z^{-1}(q)} \gamma_{uv_0}$ , which implies that  $\gamma_{uv_0} > 0$  for some  $v_0 \in z^{-1}(q)$ . This means that  $uv_0$  is a positive edge in  $\gamma$  with  $z(v_0) = q = z(w)$ . We can apply a similar argument for when  $\sigma_w(\gamma)_{uw} < 0$ .

- (ii) Given that  $u', v' \neq w$ , it follows from the construction of  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  that  $u'v'$  is an edge of  $\gamma$ . If  $v \neq w \Rightarrow uv_0 = uv$  so the result follows trivially. If  $v = w$ , then  $z(v) \notin \{z(u), z(u'), z(v')\}$  so Remark 4.7 implies that  $uv_0$  and  $u'v'$  are non-intersecting edges in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. □

**Proposition 4.9.** For any monomial walk  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  there is a pair of non-intersecting edges  $uv, u'v'$  in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane such that  $z(u) = z(u')$  and  $x_{uv}x_{u'v'}$  divides either  $x^{\gamma^+}$  or  $x^{\gamma^-}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} DC_{n,z}$  be a monomial walk. Notice that a monomial  $x_{uv}x_{u'v'}$  divides  $x^{\gamma^+}$  or  $x^{\gamma^-}$  if and only if  $uv, u'v'$  is pair of edges in  $E(\gamma)$  with same sign. Let  $z : [n] \rightarrow [k]$  be a  $k$ -coloring assumed to be non-decreasing and for convenience suppose  $z([n]) = \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . When  $\kappa = 1$  (i.e.,  $z$  is constant) the result follows from Proposition 4.2. Let us divide the proof for  $\kappa \geq 2$  in the following two cases:

- (a) There exists a monochromatic edge  $xy \in E(\gamma)$  with  $z(x) = z(y) = \iota$ .  
For every  $i \in [\kappa] \setminus \{\iota\}$ , let  $u_i := \min\{u : z(u) = i\}$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} := \sigma_{u_\kappa}(\sigma_{u_{\kappa-1}}(\dots \sigma_{u_2}(m) \dots))$  and

$$w \in \arg \max_{v \in [n]: z(v) \neq \iota} \deg_{\tilde{\gamma}}^+(v).$$

From Proposition 4.2, there exists a pair of edges  $uv, u'v'$  in  $\sigma_w(\tilde{\gamma})$  such that  $uv, u'v'$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Since  $w$  is the only (potentially) non-isolated vertex with respect to  $\sigma_w(\tilde{\gamma})$  with  $z(w) \neq \iota$ , we can assume w.l.o.g. that  $z(u) = z(v) = z(u') = \iota$ . By Lemma 4.8(i) there exists a vertex  $v'_0 \in [n]$  such that  $u'v'_0 \in E(\tilde{\gamma})$  has same sign as  $u'v'$  and  $z(v'_0) = z(v')$ . Moreover, since  $uv, u'v' \in E(\sigma_w(\tilde{\gamma}))$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  and  $u, v \neq w$ , it follows from Lemma 4.8(ii) that  $uv, u'v'_0$  is also a pair of non-intersecting edges in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Notice that  $uv, u'v'_0$  have same sign. Then, after applying Lemma 4.8 repeatedly to  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , we will get a vertex  $v''_0 \in [n]$  such that the edges  $uv, u'v''_0 \in E(\gamma)$  have the same sign, and  $uv, u'v''_0$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Since we assumed that  $z(u) = z(u')$ , this concludes the proof of Proposition 4.9 under the assumptions made for this case.

- (b) There are no monochromatic edges in the monomial walk  $\gamma$ .

We will prove Proposition 4.9 for this case by induction on  $\kappa$ . First, let us observe that Proposition 4.2 guarantees the existence of a pair of non-intersecting edges  $uv, u'v' \in E(\gamma)$ , both with the same sign and such that do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Now consider the following cases:

- (i) Let  $\kappa \leq 3$ . By the assumption at the beginning of (b) we have that  $z(u) \neq z(v), z(u') \neq z(v')$ . From the Pigeonhole principle either  $z(u) = z(u')$  or  $z(u) = z(v')$ . This proves our base case.
- (ii) Let  $\kappa \geq 4$ . Assume that Proposition 4.9 holds for any instance of case (b) for which the size of the  $k$ -coloring's range is smaller than  $\kappa$ .

Let us first prove that there exists  $\nu \in [n]$  such that  $z(u), z(v) \notin \{\nu, \nu+1\}$  ( $\nu+1 = 1$  if  $\nu = n$ ): When  $\kappa > 4$  this follows from the Pigeonhole principle. When  $\kappa = 4$  we can use that  $z$  is non-decreasing to see that any pair of edges with vertex colors 1, 3 intersects with any pair of edges with vertex colors 2, 4. In such a case we can assume w.l.o.g that  $z(u) = 1, z(v) = 2$  and set  $i = 3$ .

The existence of  $\nu$  guarantees that for any  $w \in z^{-1}(\{q, q'\})$  the contraction  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  is non-empty. Now, let  $\nu' = \nu + 1, q = z(\nu)$  and  $q' = z(\nu')$ . Let  $z_q^{q'}$  be the  $k$ -coloring of  $[n]$  as defined in 7 and notice that by Lemma 4.3  $\gamma$  is a monomial walk with respect to  $z_q^{q'}$ , i.e.,  $\gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A_{n, z_q^{q'}}$ . Now, pick  $w \in [n]$  such that  $z_q^{q'}(w) = q$  and notice that by previous observation  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  is non-empty. Then, either by case (a) above or by




FIG 9. Illustration of Proposition 4.9, case (a): Recovering the pair of non-intersecting edges  $\{3,4\}, \{1,7\}$  in  $\gamma$  from  $\{3,4\}, \{1,w\}$  in  $E(\sigma_w(\tilde{\gamma}))$ .

the inductive step applied to  $\sigma_w(\gamma)$  with respect to  $z_q^{q'}$ , there exists a pair of edges  $xy, x'y' \in E(\sigma_w(\gamma))$  such that  $z_q^{q'}(x) = z_q^{q'}(x')$  and  $xy, x'y'$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Since  $w$  is the only (possibly) non-isolated vertex with  $z_q^{q'}(w) = q$ , it follows that  $x, x' \neq w$  and by definition of  $z_q^{q'}$  it follows that  $z(x) = z_q^{q'}(x) = z_q^{q'}(x') = z(x')$ .

Notice that at least one of the vertices  $y, y'$  is different from  $w$ . Without losing generality assume  $y' \neq w$ . Then, by Lemma 4.8 there exists  $y_0 \in [n]$  such that  $xy_0$  has the same sign as  $xy$  and  $xy_0, x'y'$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Moreover,  $z(x) = z(x')$ . This finishes the prove of this case.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.8.** *There exists a monomial order  $\succ$  on  $K[x_{uv} : 1 \leq u < v \leq n]$  such that for any  $k$ -coloring  $z$  of  $[n]$ , the set  $\{x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}\}$  is in fact a Gröbner basis for  $I_{\mathcal{D}_{n,z}}$  with respect to  $\succ$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\succ$  be any monomial order that refines that refines the partial order specified by weights just as in the beginning of the current section. Let  $\text{Bin}_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}} := \{x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n,z}\}$ . By [Sturmfels, 1996, Corollary 4.4], the set of binomials  $\{x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} : \gamma \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_{n,z}\}$  contains every Gröbner basis (with respect to any monomial order) of  $I_{\mathcal{D}_{n,z}}$ . Hence, to show  $\text{Bin}_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  is

a Gröbner basis, it is enough to prove that the leading term of any binomial  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  is divisible by a monomial  $x_{uv}x_{u'v'}$  where  $uv, u'v' \in E(\gamma)$  do not intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane and  $\{z(u), z(v)\} \cap \{z(u'), z(v')\} \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $f = x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  with  $\text{in}_{\succ}(f) = x^{\gamma^+}$ , is a minimal counterexample in the sense that  $f$  has minimal weight. Here the weight of a binomial is the sum of the weights of its two monomials. This means that every pair of positive edges  $uv, u'v' \in E(\gamma)$  with  $z(u) = z(u')$  intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane. Furthermore, we can assume that every pair of negative edges  $uv, u'v'$  with  $z(u) = z(u')$  intersect in the embedding of  $K_n$  in the plane as well. Otherwise, we can reduce  $x^{\gamma^-}$  modulo  $\text{Bin}_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  to get a counterexample of smaller weight. On the other hand, the existence of  $\gamma$  would contradict Proposition 4.9. Hence no such binomial  $x^{\gamma^+} - x^{\gamma^-} \in I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  could exist. Therefore,  $\text{Bin}_{\mathcal{M}_{n,z}}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  with respect to  $\succ$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** The combinatorial description for the Gröbner basis in 1.8 has a direct implication for the combinatorics of the polytope  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}} := \text{conv}(a_{uv} : 1 \leq u < v \leq n)$  defined as the convex hull of the column vectors of  $a_{uv}$  of  $\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}$ . More specifically, as a consequence of [Sturmfels, 1996, Theorem 8.3], the Gröbner basis of  $I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  described in Theorem 1.8 induces an *unimodular* regular triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_{\succ}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$ . Following ideas analogous to [De Loera et al., 1995, Remarks 2.5], this triangulation enables the computation of the Ehrhart polynomial (which, in this case, equals the Hilbert polynomial) of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$ . For example, for  $k = 2$  and any  $k$ -coloring  $z : [n] \rightarrow [2]$  with  $n_i = |z^{-1}(i)|$ , we have that the Hilbert polynomial of  $I_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  is given by

$$H_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}(r) = \text{card}(r \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+3}) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{r,3}} a_{\tau},$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_{r,3} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{N}^3 : \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2} \tau_{i,j} = r\}$  is the set of *weak 3-partitions* of  $r$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\tau} = & \binom{n_1 + 2\tau_{1,1} + 2\tau_{1,2}}{n_1 - 1} \binom{n_1 + 2\tau_{1,1} + 2\tau_{1,2}}{n_1 - 1} - n_1 \binom{n_1 - 2 + \tau_{1,1} + \tau_{1,2}}{n_1 - 1} \binom{n_1 + 2\tau_{1,1} + 2\tau_{1,2}}{n_1 - 1} \\ & - n_2 \binom{n_1 + 2\tau_{1,1} + 2\tau_{1,2}}{n_1 - 1} \binom{n_2 - 2 + \tau_{2,2}}{n_2 - 1} + n_1 n_2 \binom{n_1 - 2 + \tau_{1,1} + \tau_{1,2}}{n_1 - 1} \binom{n_2 - 2 + \tau_{2,2}}{n_2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_{r,3}$ . Similar formulas can be derived for  $k > 2$ .

When the  $k$ -coloring  $z$  is constant,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  is linearly isomorphic to the *second hypersimplex*  $\Delta_n(2)$ . In this specific case, the triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_{\succ}$  has been thoroughly described in De Loera et al. [1995]. However, a general combinatorial understanding of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}_{n,z}}$  and the induced triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_{\succ}$  is not yet known.

## Acknowledgements

FAH and JDL are partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-1818969. FAH is grateful for the support received through NSF TRIPODS Award No. CCF-1934568. SP is partially supported by DOE award #1010629 and the Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians #854770. Part of this research was performed while SP and FAH were visiting the Institute for Mathematical and Statistical Innovation (IMSI), which is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMS-1929348).



## References

- M. Abdullah, C. Cooper, and A. Frieze. Cover time of a random graph with given degree sequence. In *21st International Meeting on Probabilistic, Combinatorial, and Asymptotic Methods in the Analysis of Algorithms (AofA'10)*, volume AM of *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc.*, pages 1–19. Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2010.
- F. Almendra-Hernández, J. A. De Loera, and S. Petrović. Markov bases: a 25 year update. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, to appear. Preprint *arXiv:2306.06270*, 2023.
- S. Aoki, H. Hara, and A. Takemura. *Markov Bases in Algebraic Statistics*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer New York, 2012.
- K. Bérczi, Z. Király, C. Liu, and I. Miklós. Packing tree degree sequences. *Informatika (Ljubl.)*, 43(1):11–17, 2019.
- S. Chatterjee, P. Diaconis, and A. Sly. Random graphs with a given degree sequence. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 21(4):1400–1435, 2011.
- B. Cloteaux. Fast sequential creation of random realizations of degree sequences. *Internet Math.*, 12(3):205–219, 2016.
- C. Cooper and A. Frieze. A general model of web graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 22(3):311–335, 2003.
- D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, and D. O’Shea. *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*. Springer, 4th edition, 2015.
- J. A. De Loera, B. Sturmfels, and R. R. Thomas. Gröbner bases and triangulations of the second hypersimplex. *Combinatorica*, 15(3):409–424, 1995.
- J. A. De Loera, J. Rambau, and F. Santos. *Triangulations: Structures for Algorithms and Applications*. Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- K. Deeds, D. Suciu, M. Balazinska, and W. Cai. Degree sequence bound for join cardinality estimation. In *26th International Conference on Database Theory*, volume 255 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages Art. No. 8, 18. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2023.
- A. Deza, A. Levin, S. M. Meesum, and S. Onn. Optimization over degree sequences. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 32(3):2067–2079, 2018.
- P. Diaconis and B. Sturmfels. Algebraic algorithms for sampling from conditional distributions. *Annals of Statistics*, 26(1):363–397, 1998.
- P. Erdős and T. Gallai. Gráfok előirt fokszámú pontokkal. *Matematikai Lapok*, 11:264–274, 1960.
- P. L. Erdős, C. Greenhill, T. R. Mezei, I. Miklós, D. Soltész, and L. Soukup. The mixing time of switch markov chains: A unified approach. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 99: 103421, 2022.
- S. E. Fienberg, M. M. Meyer, and S. S. Wasserman. Statistical analysis of multiple sociometric relations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 80(389):51–67, 1985.
- S. L. Hakimi. On realizability of a set of integers as degrees of the vertices of a linear graph. i. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 10:496–506, 1962.
- V. Havel. A remark on the existence of finite graphs. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, 80: 477–480, 1955.

- R. Hemmecke and P. N. Malkin. Computing generating sets of lattice ideals and markov bases of lattices. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 44(10):1463–1476, 2009.
- P. W. Holland, K. B. Laskey, and S. Leinhardt. Stochastic blockmodels: First steps. *Social networks*, 5(2):109–137, 1983.
- R. Kannan, P. Tetali, and S. S. Vempala. Simple Markov-chain algorithms for generating bipartite graphs and tournaments. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 14:293–308, 1997.
- V. Karwa, D. Pati, S. Petrović, L. Solus, N. Alexeev, M. Raič, D. Wilburne, R. Williams, and B. Yan. Monte Carlo goodness-of-fit tests for degree corrected and related stochastic blockmodels. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 2023.
- S. Onn. Degree sequence optimization in bounded treewidth. *Optimization Letters*, 17:1127–1132, 2023.
- C. Palmer and J. Steffan. Generating network topologies that obey power laws. In *Globecom '00 - IEEE. Global Telecommunications Conference. Conference Record (Cat. No.00CH37137)*, volume 1, pages 434–438 vol.1, 2000.
- U. N. Peled and M. K. Srinivasan. The polytope of degree sequences. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 114/115:349–377, 1989.
- S. Petrović. What is... a Markov Basis? *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, 66(07):1, Aug. 2019. .
- S. Petrović and D. Stasi. Toric algebra of hypergraphs. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 39(1):187–208, 2014.
- R. P. Stanley. A zonotope associated with graphical degree sequences. In *Applied geometry and discrete mathematics*, volume 4 of *DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 555–570. AMS, Providence, RI, 1991.
- B. Sturmfels. Gröbner bases of toric varieties. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, 43(2):249 – 261, 1991.
- B. Sturmfels. *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*. University Lecture Series. American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- B. Sturmfels. What is... a Gröbner basis? *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, 52:1199–1200, 2005.
- S. Sullivant. *Algebraic Statistics*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2021.
- R. R. Thomas. A geometric Buchberger algorithm for integer programming. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 20(4):864–884, 1995.
- R. H. Villarreal. *Monomial Algebras*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, 2018.