

HOMOMORPHISMS INTO SPECHT MODULES LABELLED BY HOOKS IN QUANTUM CHARACTERISTIC TWO

BERTA HUDAK

ABSTRACT. Let R_n denote the KLR algebra of type $A_{e-1}^{(1)}$. Using the presentation of Specht modules given by Kleschev–Mathas–Ram, Loubert completely determined $\text{Hom}_{R_n}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ where μ is an arbitrary partition, λ is a hook and $e \neq 2$. In this paper, we investigate the same problem when $e = 2$. First we give a complete description of the action of the generators on the basis elements of S^λ . We use this result to identify a large family of partitions μ such that there exists at least one non-zero homomorphism from S^μ to S^λ , explicitly describe these maps and give their grading. Finally, we generalise James’s result for the trivial module.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background	2
3. Specht modules indexed by hook partitions	6
4. Homomorphisms into S^λ	44
References	68

1. INTRODUCTION

The *Iwahori–Hecke algebra* \mathcal{H}_n of type A arises in various mathematical settings, and its representation theory is very similar to the modular representation theory of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . Let q denote the deformation parameter of \mathcal{H}_n and set e to be the smallest integer such that $1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{e-1} = 0$ (if no such integer exists, we set $e = 0$). For every partition λ of n we can associate a corresponding \mathcal{H}_n -module, called a *Specht module* S^λ .

Brundan and Kleshchev showed in [BK09] that over an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} , the algebra \mathcal{H}_n is isomorphic to a certain algebra R_n^Λ , known as the *cyclotomic Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier* (KLR) algebra of type A . Moreover, R_n^Λ is \mathbb{Z} -graded and this grading can be transferred to \mathcal{H}_n via the mentioned isomorphism. In [BKW11], Specht modules were described as graded modules over R_n^Λ and this result was extended in [KMR12] where the authors gave a construction of Specht modules in terms of generators and relations.

In this paper, we use this presentation to consider $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ where μ is an arbitrary partition, λ is a hook and $e = 2$. The significantly simpler situation when the quantum characteristic is at least 3 was solved earlier by Loubert [Lou17]. The defining relations of R_n^Λ are different when $e = 2$, so it requires a completely separate treatment and our work turns out to be a lot more complicated than the $e \geq 3$ case. In particular, when $e \geq 3$ $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at most one-dimensional with any nonzero homomorphism sending the cyclic generator of S^μ to a single standard basis vector in S^λ . As we will later see, here this is different: dimensions of the homomorphism space quickly grow very large and each map involves an integer linear combination of standard basis vectors. Nevertheless, in the case when μ is a *two-column hook partition*, a partition of the form

2020 Mathematics subject classification: 20C08, 05E10

$(c, 2^s, 1^d)$, we completely determine when $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is nontrivial if c is even or $s = 0$ and construct at least one homomorphism explicitly.

Main Theorem *Let $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ and $\mu = (c, 2^s, 1^d)$ where if $s > 0$ then c is even. Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if for c even, we have that $a \geq s + 2$; and*

- *n is odd, $n + a \equiv_2 s + 1$ and $s + 1 \leq a \leq n - (s + 1)$, or*
- *n is even and $s + 2 \leq a \leq c + s$ or $a = c + s + 1 + 2y$ for $0 \leq y \leq d/2 - 2$,*

and zero otherwise.

(The above theorem appears as Theorem 4.36 later.)

In the special cases when λ is a single row or column, our result allows us to determine whether S^μ has the trivial module as a quotient. Furthermore, by the following isomorphism, it also enables us to immediately see whether there exists a non-trivial homomorphism in the other direction (see [Lou17] for more details). Let λ' denote the *conjugate* of λ , which is the partition obtained from λ by swapping its rows and columns. Then we have that

$$\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{\lambda'}, S^{\mu'}).$$

By the above isomorphism, it is easy to apply Theorem 4.36 to determine whether S^μ has a one-dimensional submodule.

Structure of the paper In Section 2, we set up notation and collect all necessary background material. In particular, we introduce cyclotomic KLR algebras of type A and the universal Specht modules, following [KMR12]. In Section 3, we completely determine the action of the generator elements on the basis elements v_\top of S^λ . Our findings (see Proposition 3.30) generalise the results in [Spe14] and [Spe15, Chapter 3.] for all standard tableaux of hook shapes and all parities of n . In Section 4, we first discover a novel way to label hook tableaux by partitions and a rule to assign a coefficient to each one of them. This leads us to completely determine the homomorphisms from S^μ to S^λ where μ is a two-column hook partition, that is a partition of the form $(c, 2^s, 1^d)$ if c is even or $s = 0$ (see Theorem 4.36). Finally, we generalise James's well-known result for the trivial module. Using a different technique inspired by [DG15], in the special case when μ is a two-part partition and n is odd, we are able to prove when the homomorphism space $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is non-trivial without explicitly describing the maps.

ArXiv version We decided to omit some of the more lengthy but less informative calculations from this pdf as they are similar to others included. However for those interested, we suggest downloading the L^AT_EX source and find the toggle near the beginning of the file which allows one to compile the paper with these details included.

Acknowledgements The author thanks Dr Liron Speyer for his helpful comments and inspiring correspondence. She would also like to thank Dr Haralampos Geranios for pointing out the argument given in Lemma 4.40 after most of the work in this paper has been completed.

2. BACKGROUND

backgr

For $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $m \equiv_2 m'$ if $m - m'$ is even and $m \not\equiv_2 m'$ otherwise.

2.1. Lie theoretical notation. Take $e = 2$ and $I := \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$. Let Γ be the quiver of type $A_{e-1}^{(1)} = A_1^{(1)}$ with vertex set I as depicted below.

$$0 \xrightarrow{\quad} 1$$

The corresponding *Cartan matrix* $\mathbf{A} = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(\mathbf{A}, P, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ be a realization of the Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$, so we have the *simple roots* $\Pi = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}$, and the *fundamental dominant weights* $\{\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1\}$ in the *weight lattice* P . Let $Q^+ := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ be the *positive cone of the root lattice*.

For $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i \in Q^+$, let $\text{ht}(\alpha)$ denote the *height of* α , that is $\text{ht}(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i$.

Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the *symmetric group* on n letters and let $s_r = (r, r+1)$, for $1 \leq r < n$, be the simple transpositions of \mathfrak{S}_n . Then \mathfrak{S}_n acts from the left on the set I^n by place permutations. If $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ then its *weight* is $|\mathbf{i}| := \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_n} \in Q^+$. Then the \mathfrak{S}_n -orbits on I^n are the sets

$$I^\alpha := \{\mathbf{i} \in I^n \mid \alpha = |\mathbf{i}|\}$$

parameterised by all $\alpha \in Q^+$ of height n .

Par

2.2. Partitions and tableaux. Let \mathcal{P}_n be the set of all partitions of n and fix $\mathcal{P} := \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_n$. The *Young diagram* of the partition $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined as

$$[\mu] := \{(r, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid 1 \leq c \leq \mu_r\}.$$

We call the elements of this set the *nodes of* μ . To each node $A = (r, c) \in [\mu]$ we associate its *residue* given by:

$$\text{res } A = (c - r) \pmod{2}.$$

An *i-node* is a node of residue i . Define the *residue content of* μ to be

$$\text{cont}(\mu) := \sum_{A \in \mu} \alpha_{\text{res } A} \in Q^+.$$

A node $A \in [\mu]$ is a *removable node* (of μ), if $[\mu] \setminus \{A\}$ is (the diagram of) a partition. A node $B \notin [\mu]$ is an *addable node* (of μ), if $[\mu] \cup \{B\}$ is a partition. We use the notation

$$\mu_A := [\mu] \setminus \{A\}.$$

If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we say that μ *dominates* ν , and write $\mu \trianglerighteq \nu$, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^i \nu_j$$

for all $i \geq 1$. In other words, μ dominates ν if $[\mu]$ can be obtained from $[\nu]$ by moving nodes up in the diagram.

If $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then the *conjugate* of μ is the partition $\mu' \in \mathcal{P}_n$ where μ' is obtained by swapping the rows and columns of μ .

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. A μ -*tableau* \mathbf{T} is obtained from $[\mu]$ by inserting the integers $1, \dots, n$ into the nodes without any repeats. If the node $A = (r, c) \in [\mu]$ is occupied by the integer m in \mathbf{T} , we write $m = \mathbf{T}(r, c)$ and set $\text{res}_{\mathbf{T}}(m) = \text{res } A$. The *residue sequence* of \mathbf{T} is $\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$, where $i_m = \text{res}_{\mathbf{T}}(m)$ is the residue of the node occupied by m in \mathbf{T} ($1 \leq m \leq n$).

A μ -tableau \mathbf{T} is *row-standard* (resp. *column-standard*) if its entries increase from left to right (resp. from top to bottom) along the rows (resp. columns) of each component of \mathbf{T} . A μ -tableau \mathbf{T} is *standard* if it is both row- and column-standard. Let $\text{Std}(\mu)$ be the set of standard μ -tableaux.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $i \in I$, and a removable i -node $A \in [\mu]$, we define

$$d_A(\mu) = \#\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{addable } i\text{-nodes of} \\ \mu \text{ strictly below } A \end{array} \right\} - \#\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{removable } i\text{-nodes of} \\ \mu \text{ strictly below } A \end{array} \right\}.$$

Given $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\mu)$, the *degree* of \mathbf{T} is defined inductively. If $n = 0$, then $\mathbf{T} = \emptyset$, and set $\deg(\mathbf{T}) := 0$. Otherwise, let A be the node occupied by n in \mathbf{T} . Let $\mathbf{T}_{<n} \in \text{Std}(\mu_A)$ be the tableau obtained from \mathbf{T} by removing this node and set

$$\deg(\mathbf{T}) := d_A(\mu) + \deg(\mathbf{T}_{<n}).$$

The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on the set of μ -tableaux from the left by acting on the entries of the tableaux. Let \mathbf{T}^μ be the unique μ -tableau in which the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ appear in order from left to right along the successive rows, working from top to bottom. We call \mathbf{T}^μ the *(row) initial tableau*.

Set

$$\mathbf{i}^\mu := \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}^\mu}.$$

For each μ -tableau \mathbf{T} define permutations $w^{\mathbf{T}} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ by the equation

$$w^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{T}^\mu = \mathbf{T}.$$

2.3. Bruhat order. Let ℓ be the length function on \mathfrak{S}_n with respect to the Coxeter generators s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} . Let \leq be the *Bruhat order* on \mathfrak{S}_n (so that $1 \leq w$ for all $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$). Define a related partial order on $\text{Std}(\mu)$ as follows: if $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\mu)$ then

$$\mathbf{T} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{S} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad w^{\mathbf{S}} \leq w^{\mathbf{T}}.$$

If $\mathbf{S} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{S} \neq \mathbf{T}$, we write $\mathbf{S} \triangleleft \mathbf{T}$. Observe that if $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\mu)$ then $\mathbf{T} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{T}^\mu$.

2.4. KLR algebras. We mostly follow the notations and conventions of [KMR12]. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and fix $\alpha \in Q^+$ such that $\text{ht}(\alpha) = n$. We define the algebra R_α to be the unital associative \mathbb{F} -algebra generated by elements

$$\{e(\mathbf{i}) \mid \mathbf{i} \in I^\alpha\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\},$$

subject only to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathbf{i})e(\mathbf{j}) &= \delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}e(\mathbf{i}); \\ \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I^\alpha} e(\mathbf{i}) &= 1; \\ y_r e(\mathbf{i}) &= e(\mathbf{i})y_r; \\ \psi_r e(\mathbf{i}) &= e(s_r \mathbf{i})\psi_r; \\ y_r y_s &= y_s y_r; \\ \psi_r y_s &= y_s \psi_r && \text{if } s \neq r, r+1; \\ \psi_r \psi_s &= \psi_s \psi_r && \text{if } r \neq s \pm 1; \\ \psi_r y_{r+1} e(\mathbf{i}) &= (y_r \psi_r + \delta_{i_r, i_{r+1}})e(\mathbf{i}) \\ y_{r+1} \psi_r e(\mathbf{i}) &= (\psi_r y_r + \delta_{i_r, i_{r+1}})e(\mathbf{i}) \\ \psi_r^2 e(\mathbf{i}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_r = i_{r+1}, \\ (y_{r+1} - y_r)(y_r - y_{r+1})e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_r \neq i_{r+1}; \end{cases} \\ (\psi_r \psi_{r+1} \psi_r - \psi_{r+1} \psi_r \psi_{r+1})e(\mathbf{i}) &= \begin{cases} (y_r - 2y_{r+1} + y_{r+2})e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{if } i_{r+2} = i_r \neq i_{r+1}, \\ e(\mathbf{i}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all admissible $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, r, s$.

relations

We define the *affine Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier (KLR) algebra* R_n of type $A_1^{(1)}$ to be the direct sum

$$R_n := \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q^+ \\ \text{ht}(\alpha) = n}} R_\alpha$$

Fix $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \in P^+$. The corresponding *cyclotomic KLR algebra (of type A)* R_n^Λ is defined to be the quotient of R_n subject to the additional *cyclotomic relations* (of level one)

$$y_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad e(\mathbf{i}) = 0 \text{ if } i_1 \neq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The algebras R_n and R_n^Λ are non-trivially \mathbb{Z} -graded, by setting $e(\mathbf{i})$ to be of degree 0, y_r of degree 2, and $\psi_r e(\mathbf{i})$ of degree $-a_{i_r, i_{r+1}}$ for all r and $\mathbf{i} \in I^\alpha$.


For the rest of this paper, we fix a *preferred reduced expression* $w = s_{r_1} \dots s_{r_m}$ for each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ ($1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_m < n$) and we define the elements $\psi_w := \psi_{r_1} \dots \psi_{r_m} \in R_n$.

Furthermore, for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and some tableau T of shape μ , we set $\psi^T := \psi_{w^T}$.

2.5. Universal Specht modules. Fix a partition $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. A node $A = (r, c) \in \mu$ is called a *Garnir node* of μ if we also have $(r + 1, c) \in \mu$. We define the *Garnir belt* of A to be the set \mathbf{B}^A of nodes of μ containing A and all nodes directly to the right of A , along with the node directly below A and all nodes directly to the left of this node:

$$\mathbf{B}^A = \{(r, s) \in \mu \mid c \leq s \leq \mu_r\} \cup \{(r + 1, s) \in \mu \mid 1 \leq s \leq c\}.$$

For example, if $A = (2, 3)$ and $\mu = (6, 5, 3, 2)$ then \mathbf{B}^A consists of the highlighted nodes:



We define an *A-brick* to be a set of e successive nodes in the same row


$$\{(t, s), (t, s + 1), \dots, (t, s + e - 1)\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}^A$$

such that $\text{res}(t, s) = \text{res}(A)$. Let $k \geq 0$ be the number of bricks in \mathbf{B}^A . We label the bricks

$$B_1^A, B_2^A, \dots, B_k^A$$

going from left to right along row r , and then from left to right along row $r + 1$. Define C^A to be the set of nodes in row r of \mathbf{B}^A not contained in any A -brick, and D^A to be the set of nodes in row $r + 1$ of \mathbf{B}^A not contained in any A -brick. We see that as $e = 2$, each brick consists of two nodes and C^A and D^A each contain at most one node.


Continuing with the previous example, taking $\mu = (6, 5, 3, 2)$ and $A = (2, 3)$:



Let $u, u + 1, \dots, v$ be the values in \mathbf{B}^A in the initial tableau T^μ . We obtain a new tableau T^A by placing the numbers $u, u + 1, \dots, v$ from left to right in the following order: $D^A, B_1^A, B_2^A, \dots, B_k^A, C^A$. The rest of the numbers are placed in the same positions as in T^μ . We also define $\mathbf{i}^A := \mathbf{i}^{T^A}$.

SecSpecht

If μ and A are the same as before, then we have that



Assume that $k > 0$, and let $l = \mathbf{T}^A(A)$ and for $1 \leq r < k$ define

$$w_r^A := \prod_{s=l+re-e}^{l+re-1} (s, s+e) \in \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

Informally, w_r^A swaps the bricks B_r^A and B_{r+1}^A . The elements $w_1^A, w_2^A, \dots, w_{k-1}^A$ are Coxeter generators of the group

$$\mathfrak{S}^A := \langle w_1^A, w_2^A, \dots, w_{k-1}^A \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_k.$$

By convention, if $k = 0$ we set \mathfrak{S}^A to be the trivial group. Let f be the number of A -bricks in row r of \mathbf{B}^A .

We denote by \mathcal{D}^A the set of minimal length left coset representatives of $\mathfrak{S}_f \times \mathfrak{S}_{k-f}$ in $\mathfrak{S}^A \cong \mathfrak{S}_k$. The corresponding elements of R_n^Λ are given by

$$\sigma_r^A := \psi_{w_r^A} e(\mathbf{i}^A) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_r^A := (\sigma_r^A + 1)e(\mathbf{i}^A).$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^A$ with reduced expression $u = w_{r_1}^A \dots w_{r_a}^A$. Since every element of \mathcal{D}^A is fully commutative, the element $\tau_u^A := \tau_{r_1}^A \dots \tau_{r_a}^A$ does not depend upon this reduced expression. Finally, we define the *Garnir element* to be

$$g^A := \sum_{u \in \mathcal{D}^A} \tau_u^A \psi^{\mathbf{T}^A} \in R_n^\Lambda.$$

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$, $n = \text{ht}(\alpha)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. The *universal graded (row) Specht module* S^μ is defined to be the graded R_n^Λ -module generated by the vector z^μ of degree $\deg(\mathbf{T}^\mu)$ subject only to the following relations:

- (i) $e(\mathbf{j})z^\mu = \delta_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{i}^\mu} z^\mu$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in I^n$;
- (ii) $y_r z^\mu = 0$ for all $1 \leq r \leq n$;
- (iii) $\psi_r z^\mu = 0$ for all $1 \leq r < n$ such that r and $r+1$ appear in the same row of \mathbf{T}^μ ;
- (iv) (*homogeneous Garnir relations*) $g^A z^\mu = 0$ for all Garnir nodes $A \in [\mu]$.

For each $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\mu)$, we define $v_{\mathbf{T}} := \psi_{w^{\mathbf{T}}} z^\mu \in S^\mu$ with residue sequence $\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}} := w^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{i}^\mu$.

Theorem 2.2 [KMR12, Corollary 6.24]. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then the universal Specht module S^μ for R_n^Λ has homogeneous \mathbb{F} -basis*

$$\{v_{\mathbf{T}} \mid \mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\mu)\}.$$

3. SPECHT MODULES INDEXED BY HOOK PARTITIONS

In this section, we give a complete description of the action of the generators on the basis elements of the Specht module S^λ .

For the rest of this paper, we fix $\lambda := (a, 1^b)$ such that $a > 0, b \geq 0$ and $n = a + b$.

4-1 **Definition 3.1.** Given $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$, we call the nodes in positions $(1, y)$ of λ for $y = 2, 3, \dots, a$ *arm nodes* and we define $\text{Arm}(T) = \{w^T(2), \dots, w^T(a)\}$. Similarly, we call the nodes in positions $(x, 1)$ of λ for $x = 2, 3, \dots, b$ *leg nodes* and $\text{Leg}(T) = \{w^T(a+1), \dots, w^T(b)\}$.

pairs **Definition 3.2.** For any odd $3 \leq u \leq n$, we call $u-1$ and u a *pair* of T , denoted p_u , whenever $u-1$ appears directly in front of u in T , i.e. if $u-1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$ (resp., $u-1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$) we also have that $u \in \text{Leg}(T)$ (resp., $u \in \text{Arm}(T)$). We denote by $i_{p_u} := (i_{u-1}, i_u)$ the residue of the pair.

Remark. The previous definition and many of the following lemmas are very similar to those in [Spe14, §5] and [Spe15, Chapter 3]. In particular, if both n and a are odd, our results agree. However, in our case the residues of the pairs might be different.

Lemma 3.3 [BKW11, Lemma 4.4]. *Then for any $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$, we have that $e(\mathbf{i})v_T = \delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}^T} v_T$.*

standard-y **Lemma 3.4.** *Let $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ and write $\mathbf{i}^T = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$. For $1 \leq r \leq n$, we have that*

$$y_r v_T = \begin{cases} -v_{s_r T} & \text{if } i_r = i_{r+1}, r \in \text{Leg}(T), \text{ and } r+1 \in \text{Arm}(T), \\ v_{s_{r-1} T} & \text{if } i_{r-1} = i_r, r-1 \in \text{Leg}(T), \text{ and } r \in \text{Arm}(T), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We set $\mathcal{D}^\lambda(\mathbf{i}) = \{w^T \mid T \in \text{Std}(\lambda) \text{ and } \mathbf{i}^T = \mathbf{i}\}$ define the subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n $H(\mathbf{i}) := \langle s_m \mid s_m \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i} \rangle$ for $(m \geq 2)$. Fix $x \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and let \mathbf{S} be the most dominant standard λ -tableau with residue sequence \mathbf{i}^T such that $\mathbf{S} = xT^\lambda$. By [Lou17, Proposition 2.13], there exists a unique minimal length permutation $a \in \mathcal{D}^\lambda(\mathbf{i}^T)$ such that $w^T = ua$ for some $u \in H(\mathbf{i}^T)$. Given a reduced expression $u = s_{r_1} \dots s_{r_a}$, we see that $v_T = \psi_{r_1} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S$. Furthermore, $\deg(v_S) - \deg(v_T) = 2a$, and so v_S is the unique largest degree vector with residue sequence \mathbf{i}^T . In particular, $y_s v_S = 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$. As $H(\mathbf{i}^T)$ is commutative, at most one of $r-1$ and r is an element of $\{r_1, \dots, r_a\}$.

First, assume that $r \in \{r_1, \dots, r_a\}$, that is we have $r \in \text{Leg}(T)$ and $r+1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$ with $i_r = i_{r+1}$. As each s_{r_i} involved in w commutes with each other, we can assume that $r = r_1$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} y_r v_T &= y_r \psi_r \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S \\ &= (\psi_r y_{r+1} - 1) \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S \\ &= \psi_r \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} y_{r+1} v_S - \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S \\ &= -\psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S = -v_{s_r T}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking $r-1 \in \{r_1, \dots, r_a\}$ is the same as saying that $r-1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$, and $r \in \text{Arm}(T)$ with $i_{r-1} = i_r$. Suppose $r-1 = r_1$, then we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned} y_r v_T &= y_r \psi_{r-1} \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S \\ &= (\psi_{r-1} y_{r-1} + 1) \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S \\ &= \psi_{r-1} \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} y_{r-1} v_S + \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S \\ &= \psi_{r_2} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S = v_{s_{r-1} T}. \end{aligned}$$

If $r, r-1 \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_a\}$, then y_r commutes with each ψ_{r_i} , and hence $y_r \psi_{r_1} \dots \psi_{r_a} v_S = \psi_{r_1} \dots \psi_{r_a} y_r v_S = 0$. \square

Remark. Observe that due to the imposed residue conditions, for r even $y_r v_T \neq 0$ if and only if $r \in \text{Leg}(T)$ and $r+1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$. If $r \in \text{Arm}(T)$ and $r-1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$, we would have $r-3$ entries to place in front of them in T , where the number of entries in front of r and

$r - 1$ needs to have the same parity in order to satisfy the condition $i_{r-1} = i_r$. But as $r - 3$ is odd, we see that this is impossible.

psi-1 **Lemma 3.5.** *Let $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$. We have that $\psi_1 v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ and if $r \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $r + 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, then $\psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$ for $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. We see that $\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}}$ begins with $(0, 1, \dots)$. By the cyclotomic relations (see Subsection 2.4), $\psi_1 v_{\mathbf{T}} = e(s_1 \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}}) \psi_1 v_{\mathbf{T}}$. Hence, by looking at the residues we must have $\psi_1 v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$.

The second assertion is obvious. \square

psi-2 **Lemma 3.6.** *Let $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$. If $r - 1, r \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, and $r + 1, r + 2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, then*

$$\psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{cases} -2v_{s_{r-1}s_{r+1}s_r \mathbf{T}} & \text{if } i_{r-1} = i_{r+1} \text{ and } i_r = i_{r+2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that $r - 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, and $r + 1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, so we have that $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$. Thus $\psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_r^2 v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$. If $i_r = i_{r+1}$, then $\psi_r^2 v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = 0$.

If $i_r \neq i_{r+1}$, then $\psi_r^2 v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = (y_{r+1} - y_r)(y_r - y_{r+1})v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = (2y_{r+1}y_r - y_r^2 - y_{r+1}^2)v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$. We consider each of the three terms separately.

As $r \in \text{Arm}(s_r \mathbf{T})$, Lemma 3.4 shows that if $y_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ then $r - 1 \in \text{Leg}(s_r \mathbf{T})$ and $i_{r-1} = i_{r+1}$. In this case, $y_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = v_{s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T}}$. Applying y_r once again, we see that $y_r v_{s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ if and only if $r + 1 \in \text{Arm}(s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T})$. But if $r + 1$ is in $\text{Arm}(s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T})$, we must have that $r + 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, a contradiction. Hence $y_r^2 v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = 0$.

We deal with the term $y_{r+1}^2 v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$ in a similar manner. As $r + 1 \in \text{Leg}(s_r \mathbf{T})$, if $y_{r+1} v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ then $r + 2 \in \text{Arm}(s_r \mathbf{T})$ and $i_{r+1} \neq i_{r+2}$. Then $y_{r+1} v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = -v_{s_{r+1}s_r \mathbf{T}}$. Applying y_{r+1} once again, we see that $y_{r+1} v_{s_{r+1}s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ if and only if $r \in \text{Leg}(s_{r+1}s_r \mathbf{T})$. But if $r \in \text{Leg}(s_{r+1}s_r \mathbf{T})$, then we must have that $r \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, which contradicts our original assumption. Thus $y_{r+1}^2 v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = 0$.

Finally, we look at the cross term. If $y_{r+1}y_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$, then we have that $y_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ if and only if $r - 1 \in \text{Leg}(s_r \mathbf{T})$ and $i_{r-1} = i_{r+1}$. In this case, $y_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = v_{s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T}}$. We also see that $y_{r+1} v_{s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ if and only if $r + 2 \in \text{Arm}(s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T})$ and $i_r = i_{r+2}$. But $r + 2 \in \text{Arm}(s_{r-1}s_r \mathbf{T})$ if and only if $r + 2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and we conclude that $y_{r+1}y_r v_{s_r \mathbf{T}} = -v_{s_{r-1}s_{r+1}s_r \mathbf{T}}$. \square

Remark. Note that if an even r is as in the previous statement, then $\psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$. To see this, observe that due to the residue conditions $i_{r-1} = i_{r+1}, i_r = i_{r+2}$, the number of entries in front of $r - 1$ and $r + 1$ in \mathbf{T} must have the same parity. In total, we have $r - 3$ entries to divide between the arm and the leg of \mathbf{T} . If r is even, then $r - 3$ is odd, hence it would be impossible to have both odd or even number of entries in front of $r - 1$ and $r + 1$.

doms **Definition 3.7** ([Spe14], Definition 5.1). We define $\Psi_r := \psi_r \psi_{r+1} \psi_{r-1} \psi_r$. For two odd interegeres $3 \leq x \leq y \leq n - 2$, we set:

$$\Psi_{\downarrow}^y := \Psi_y \Psi_{y-2} \dots \Psi_x \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{\uparrow}^y := \Psi_x \Psi_{x+2} \dots \Psi_y.$$

If $y < x$, we consider both of the above terms to be the identity.

We will now describe how to write $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ as a product of Ψ_{\downarrow}^y 's. Let $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ be a tableau in which all entries appear in pairs as in Definition 3.2. Let d be the number of pairs in the arm of \mathbf{T} which differ from the corresponding pairs in \mathbf{T}^λ , we will label these by

y'_1, \dots, y'_d where $i_{j'_i} = 1$ and define

$$j_i := \begin{cases} j'_i - 1 & \text{if } j'_i \text{ is even} \\ j'_i - 2 & \text{if } j'_i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This way we will always put in the highest possible pair into a given position. Since $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$, we see that these are precisely the final d pairs in $\text{Arm}(T)$.

Example 3.8. For example, if $\lambda = (7, 1^6)$ and $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ is as below

$$T^\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 8 & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & & \\ \hline 11 & & & & & & \\ \hline 12 & & & & & & \\ \hline 13 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & & \\ \hline 11 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

then $d = 2$ and we see that $j_1 = 7$ and $j_2 = 11$.

Observe that v_T has the reduced expression

$$v_T = \Psi \begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ \downarrow \\ a-2d+2 \end{array} \Psi \begin{array}{c} j_2 \\ \downarrow \\ a-2d+4 \end{array} \dots \Psi \begin{array}{c} j_{d-1} \\ \downarrow \\ a-2 \end{array} \Psi \begin{array}{c} j_d \\ \downarrow \\ a \end{array} z^\lambda.$$

We will refer to this as the **standard form** for v_T . Notice that $j_{i+1} > j_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Moreover, if $v_T \in S^\lambda$ is in standard form, then any expression obtained from it by deleting Ψ terms from the left is also in S^λ .

Lemma 3.9 [Spe14, Lemma 5.3]. *Let λ and T be as above. Then*

- (1) $e(\mathbf{i}^T) \Psi_r = \Psi_r e(\mathbf{i}^T)$ for all r ;
- (2) $y_k \Psi_r = \Psi_r y_k$ for all $k \geq r+3$ and for all $k \leq r-2$;
- (3) $\psi_k \Psi_r = \Psi_r \psi_k$ for all $k \geq r+3$ and for all $k \leq r-3$.


If both n and a are odd and all entries appear in pairs in $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$, then [Spe15, Proposition 3.27] gives a complete description of the action of ψ_r for all v_T and $2 \leq r \leq n-1$. Now, we will extend these results for all $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ on a case by case approach. Set $\ell := T(1, a)$.

First, we will illustrate how to obtain v_T for all $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$.


- (1) Move ℓ into position $T(1, a)$ using $\psi_{\ell-1} \psi_{\ell-2} \dots \psi_{a+1} \psi_a$ where $a < \ell \leq n-1$ (this part only appears if a is even).
- (2) Move the pairs into position using some $\Psi \begin{array}{c} x \\ \downarrow \\ y \end{array}$ terms: $\mathbf{p}_y \mapsto \mathbf{p}_{x+2}$ where x is maximal such that $x+1 \leq T(1, y-1)$ and $x+2 \leq T(1, y)$.
- (3) Using some individual ψ 's, we either
 - “break up” the pairs (i.e. an even entry $j-1$ will not have j next to it), or
 - shift the residues of a pair (i.e. for some \mathbf{p}_j we will have that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_j} = (0, 1)$ instead of $(1, 0)$).

commuting


Example 3.10. Suppose that $\lambda = (10, 1^7)$ and let T be as below.




As a is even, we first need to move 17 to the end of $\text{Arm}(T)$:



Next, we identify j'_i as explained after Definition 3.7:



so $j_1 = 5, j_2 = 11, j_3 = 13$, hence



Lastly, we see that we need to increase the entries 12, 13, 14, 15 by one and place 12 in the position of 7:

$$T_3 = T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 11 & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$v_T = \underbrace{\psi_{11}\psi_{10}\psi_9\psi_8\psi_7}_{\text{"breaks" up } p_7} \underbrace{\psi_{12}\psi_{13}\psi_{14}\psi_{15}}_{\text{puts 13, 14, 15, 16 in front of 17}} \Psi_5 \Psi_7^{\downarrow 11} \Psi_9^{\downarrow 13} \psi_{16}\psi_{15} \dots \psi_{10}z^\lambda.$$

We have $p_3, p_{13}, p_{15}, p_{17} \in \text{Arm}(T)$ and $p_5, p_9, p_{11} \in \text{Leg}(T)$, but p_{13}, p_{15} and p_{17} have shifted residues, thus these numbers appear as indices in the front ψ -chain. We will use two different expressions of v_T throughout our proofs: in one the Ψ terms are enclosed by two individual ψ -chains (eq. (3.1)) and in the other one we push the ψ terms appearing on the left as much to the right as possible (eq. (3.2)):

$$v_T = \psi_{11}\psi_{10}\psi_9\psi_8\psi_7\psi_{12}\psi_{13}\psi_{14}\psi_{15} \Psi_5 \Psi_7^{\downarrow 11} \Psi_9^{\downarrow 13} \psi_{16}\psi_{15} \dots \psi_{10}z^\lambda \quad (3.1) \quad \boxed{\text{form1}}$$

$$= \psi_{11}\psi_{10}\psi_9\psi_8\psi_7 \Psi_5 \psi_{12}\psi_{13} \Psi_7^{\downarrow 11} \psi_{14}\psi_{15} \Psi_9^{\downarrow 13} \psi_{16}\psi_{15} \dots \psi_{10}z^\lambda. \quad (3.2) \quad \boxed{\text{form2}}$$

In general, we can write eq. (3.1) as:

$$v_T = \psi_w \Psi_{m_1}^{\downarrow y_1} \Psi_{m_2}^{\downarrow y_2} \dots \Psi_{a(-1)}^{\downarrow y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda, \quad (3.3) \quad \boxed{\text{short1}}$$

for some minimal length $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, where the bracketed terms only appear if a is even. We will be using this bracket notation throughout our proofs. (We will introduce a similar expression for eq. (3.2) before Proposition 3.17.) When acting on v_T by a generator ψ_k , we will consider v_T in normal form as in eq. (3.1). It will be useful to have compact notation for the front terms of v_T that do not play a role in our computations.

Let ψ^* denote the leading terms (in the normal form for ψ_T) that commute with ψ_k (ψ^* may be empty), so that $v_T = \psi^* v_{T'}$ for some $T' \geq T$, and $\psi_k v_T = \psi^* \psi_k v_{T'}$. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{12} v_T &= \psi_{12}\psi_{10}\psi_9\psi_8\psi_7 \Psi_5 \Psi_7^{\downarrow 11} \psi_{14}\psi_{15} \Psi_9^{\downarrow 13} \psi_{16}\psi_{15} \dots \psi_{10}z^\lambda \\ &= \underbrace{\psi_{10}\psi_9\psi_8\psi_7 \Psi_5}_{=\psi^*} \psi_{12} \underbrace{\Psi_7^{\downarrow 11} \psi_{14}\psi_{15} \Psi_9^{\downarrow 13} \psi_{16}\psi_{15} \dots \psi_{10}z^\lambda}_{=v_{T'}} \\ &= \psi^* \psi_{12} \Psi_{11} \underbrace{\Psi_7^{\downarrow 9} \psi_{14}\psi_{15} \Psi_9^{\downarrow 13} \psi_{16}\psi_{15} \dots \psi_{10}z^\lambda}_{=v_S \text{ for some } S > T'} \\ &= \psi^* \psi_{12} \Psi_{11} v_S. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Action of ψ_k with k even. The following statement describes the action of y_r for any r and ψ_k for k even (cf. [Spe14, Proposition 5.4]).

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with corresponding v_T . Then*

- (1) $y_r v_T = -y_{r-1} v_T = v_{s_{r-1}T}$ if $r-1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$, $r \in \text{Arm}(T)$ and r is odd;

pre-even

- (2) $y_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ otherwise;
- (3) $\psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$ if $r + 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, $r \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and r is even;
- (4) $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ for all other even k , unless $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_{k+1}} = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$.

Proof. Cases 1 and 2 follow immediately from Lemma 3.4.

For the third statement, if $r \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and $r - 1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, then $\psi_{r-1} v_{\mathbf{T}}$ is already in reduced form as $w^{\mathbf{T}} < w^{s_{r-1} \mathbf{T}}$.

For case 4, if k is in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and $k + 1$ is in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, we have that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}'} = \psi^* \psi_k^2 v_{s_k \mathbf{T}'} = 0$ as $i_k = i_{k+1}$ in the tableau $s_k \mathbf{T}'$.

Finally, assume that $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ or $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, that is k and $k + 1$ are adjacent in \mathbf{T} . To prove case 4 ψ_k on $v_{\mathbf{T}}$, we have five cases to consider:

- (A) ψ_k commutes with $\psi_{\mathbf{T}}$;
- (B_r) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_{k+1}} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ (i.e. the first term that ψ_k does not commute with is Ψ_{k-1} and $\psi_{\mathbf{T}}$ involves r Ψ terms unless $r = 0$);
- (C_r) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_{k+1}} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ (i.e. the first term that ψ_k does not commute with is Ψ_{k+1} and $\psi_{\mathbf{T}}$ involves r Ψ terms unless $r = 0$);
- (D_s) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_{k+1}} = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ (i.e. the first term that ψ_k does not commute with is ψ_{k-1} and $\ell(w^{\mathbf{T}}) = s$);
- (E) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_{k+1}} = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ (i.e. the first term that ψ_k does not commute with is ψ_{k+1}). Note: this is a special case which will be proved later in Proposition 3.13.

Case A Follows immediately from Definition 3.1.

Cases B and C

The proof is essentially the same as [Spe14, Proposition 5.4]. We use induction on r , the number of Ψ terms appearing in $v_{\mathbf{T}'}$. Note that B_0 can only occur if $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^\lambda$ and C_0 can only occur if $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^\lambda$ where a is odd or $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda$ where a is even and $a \leq \ell \leq n$ (recall that $\ell = \mathbf{T}(1, a)$). In the latter case if $k < \ell$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+2} (\psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_k) \psi_{k-1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+2} (\psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1}) \psi_{k-1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $k > \ell$, then we are in case A.

For B_r we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \psi^* \Psi_{k-1} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* (\psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_k) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k (\psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1}) v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}} = 0 \text{ by } B_{r-1} \text{ or } A \\
&\quad \left. \begin{aligned} &- \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k y_{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\ &+ 2\psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k y_{k-1} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\ &- \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k y_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for C_r we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \psi^* \Psi_{k+1} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* (\psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_k) \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k+1} \psi_k (\psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1}) v_{\mathbf{S}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi^* \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}} = 0 \text{ by } C_{r-1} \text{ or } A \\
&\left. \begin{aligned}
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k y_{k+1} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&- 2\psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k y_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k y_{k+3} v_{\mathbf{S}}
\end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case D We prove the statement by induction on s , the length of $\psi_{\mathbf{T}'}$. Details can be included by switching the toggle in the arXiv version of this paper. \square

The next lemma is very similar to [Spe14, Lemma 5.5].

handy

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose k is odd, $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ is as in eq. (3.2) and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be some permutation with corresponding reduced expression ψ^* with which ψ_k commutes. (Note that in all cases below we have that $\mathbf{T}' \trianglelefteq \mathbf{S}$ and that $\ell(w^{\mathbf{T}}) = \ell(w^{\mathbf{U}}) + 4$ or $\ell(w^{\mathbf{T}}) = \ell(w^{\mathbf{S}}) + 8$.)*

- (1) *If $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ where $v_{\mathbf{T}'} = \Psi_k v_{\mathbf{U}}$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = -2\psi_k \psi^* v_{\mathbf{U}}$ (compare with Lemma 3.4);*
- (2) *If $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ where $v_{\mathbf{T}'} = \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \psi^* v_{\mathbf{S}}$,*
- (3) *If $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ where $v_{\mathbf{T}'} = \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \psi^* v_{\mathbf{S}}$,*

Proof. 1) If $v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ has Ψ_k on the left, we see that this means that $k-1, k \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}')$ and $k+1, k+2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}')$, so the result follows by Lemma 3.6.
2) If $v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ has $\Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k$ on the left, we see that this means that $k-1, k, k+1, k+2 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}')$ and $k+3, k+4 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}')$, so we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \psi^* \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} (\psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_k) \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} (\psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1}) \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} (y_k) \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&\left. \begin{aligned}
&- 2\psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} (y_{k+1}) \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} (y_{k+2}) \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}
\end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k (\psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1}) \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k (\psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2}) \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* (\psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+2}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* (\psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} + y_{k+2} - 2y_{k+3} + y_{k+4}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&= \psi^* \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+2} \underbrace{\psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}}_{=0 \text{ by Proposition 3.13 case 4}} \\
&+ \psi^* (y_{k+2}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&\left. \begin{aligned}
&- 2\psi^* (y_{k+3}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}} \\
&+ \psi^* (y_{k+4}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}
\end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
&= \psi_k \psi^* v_{\mathbf{S}} + \psi^* \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}}
\end{aligned}$$

3) Similarly, if v_T has $\Psi_{k-2}\Psi_k$ on the left, we see that this means that $k-3, k-2 \in \text{Leg}(T')$ and $k-1, k, k+1, k+2 \in \text{Arm}(T')$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_T &= \psi_k \psi^* \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \psi_k \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} (\psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_k) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} (\psi_{k-1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1}) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&\quad \left. \begin{aligned}
&- \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} (y_{k-1}) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&+ 2\psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} (y_k) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&- \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} (y_{k+1}) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S
\end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} \psi_{k-1} \psi_k (\psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&+ \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} \psi_{k-1} \psi_k (\psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2}) \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
&+ \psi^* (\psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} \psi_{k-2}) \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_S \\
&+ \psi^* (\psi_{k-3} \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} - y_{k-3} + 2y_{k-2} - y_{k-1}) \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_S \\
&+ \psi^* \underbrace{(0 - 0 + 0 - y_{k-1})}_{\text{by Proposition 3.13 and Lemma 3.4}} \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_S \\
&= \psi^* \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_S + \psi^* \psi_k v_S
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the results in the second and third assertions, we will show that

$$\Psi_k \psi_{k+2} v_S = 0. \quad (B)$$

$$\Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_S = 0, \quad (C)$$

where $v_T = \psi^* \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k v_S$ (case 2) and $v_T = \psi^* \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k v_S$ (case 3) for $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$. We will do this by simultaneous induction on r , the number of Ψ terms appearing in v_S .

Suppose we can write v_S above as $v_S = \Psi \downarrow_{m}^{y_1} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \psi_{\ell-2} \dots \psi_{a+1} \psi_a) z^\lambda$. We also

denote $v_{S(2)} = \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_2} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \psi_{\ell-2} \dots \psi_{a+1} \psi_a) z^\lambda$. (Recall the bracket notation from eq. (3.3).)

Let B_r and C_r denote that statements B and C hold if v_S contains r Ψ terms.

First, we prove that (B_r) follows if both (B_s) and (C_s) hold for all $s < r$. For B_0 ,

i) if a is odd, then

$$\Psi_k \psi_{k+2} z^\lambda = \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+2} \psi_k z^\lambda = 0$$

as at least one of ψ_k and ψ_{k+2} kills z^λ ; and

ii) if a is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda &= \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+4} (\psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+2}) \psi_{k+1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+4} (\psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&+ \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+4} y_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&- 2\Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+4} y_{k+3} \psi_{k+1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&+ \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_{k+4} y_{k+4} \psi_{k+1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 0.$$

Next assume $r > 0$ and that B_s holds for all $s < r$.

a) Let $y_1 \geq k + 6$. As \mathbf{T} is standard, this is only possible if $\Psi_{k+2}\Psi_k$ formed the chain on the right of Ψ_{y_1} , hence we must have that $m_1 = k + 2$, then

$$\Psi_k \psi_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}} = \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(2)}} = \Psi_{y_1} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{y_1-2} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(2)}} = 0 \text{ by } B_{r-1}.$$

b) Let $y_1 = k + 4$. Once again $m_1 = k + 2$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_k(\psi_{k+2}\Psi_{k+4}\Psi_{k+2})\Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+4} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(2)}} &= \Psi_k(\psi_{k+2} + \Psi_{k+4}\Psi_{k+2}\psi_{k+4})v_{\mathbf{S}_{(2)}} \text{ by our previous result} \\ &= 0 \text{ by } B_{r-2}. \end{aligned}$$

c) Finally, let $y_1 = k - 2$. We immediately see that in this case $y_2 \geq k + 4$ (as

$$v_{\mathbf{T}'} = \Psi_{k+2}\Psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}, \text{ that is } \Psi \downarrow_k^{k+2} \text{ sat on top of the first } \Psi \text{ chain). Let } v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} = \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3}$$

$$\dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} v_{\mathbf{S}} &= \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &= \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\psi_{k+2} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+2}) \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &= \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\psi_{k+2} + \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+4}) \underbrace{\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}}}_{=0 \text{ by } B_s \text{ for some } s < r} \\ &= \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &= \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} (\psi_k \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k) \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &= \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \underbrace{(\psi_k + \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k \psi_{k-2})}_{\text{by our previous result}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &= \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &+ \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \Psi_{k-2} \underbrace{\Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}}}_{=0 \text{ by } C_s \text{ for some } s < r} \\ &= \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-2} v_{\mathbf{S}_{(3)}} \\ &= 0 \text{ by } B_s \text{ for some } s < r. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that (C_r) holds if (B_s) and (C_s) hold for all $s < r$. For C_0 ,

i) if a is odd, then

$$\Psi_k \psi_{k-2} z^\lambda = \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \psi_k z^\lambda = 0$$

since at least one of ψ_k and ψ_{k-2} kills z^λ ; and

ii) if a is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda &= \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots (\psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2}) \psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots (\psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1}) \psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&\quad - \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots y_{k-2} \psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&\quad + 2 \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots y_{k-1} \psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&\quad - \Psi_k \psi_{\ell-1} \dots y_k \psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Next assume $r > 0$ and that C_s holds for all $s < r$. Let $v_{\mathbb{S}}, v_{\mathbb{S}(2)}, v_{\mathbb{S}(3)}$ be as above and write $v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} = \Psi \downarrow_{m_4}^{y_4} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda$. As $\mathbb{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ and $v_{\mathbb{T}} = \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k v_{\mathbb{S}}$, we immediately see that $v_{\mathbb{S}}$ starts with Ψ_{k-4}, Ψ_{k-2} or some Ψ_y such that $y \geq k+2$.

a) If $y_1 \geq k+2$, then $m_1 = k+2$ by a similar reasoning as above, hence

$$\Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}} = 0,$$

as in this case ψ_{k-2} commutes with everything to its left because the lowest indexed Ψ -term in $v_{\mathbb{S}(2)}$ is Ψ_{k+4} .

b) Let $y_1 = k-4$. We immediately see that in this case $y_2 = k-2$, as $v_{\mathbb{T}'} = \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_k v_{\mathbb{S}}$. Here we have two subcases to consider.

i) $y_3 \geq k+2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}} &= \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \Psi_{k-4} \Psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&= \Psi_k \underbrace{(\psi_{k-2} + \Psi_{k-4} \Psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-4})}_{\text{by our previous result}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&= \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&\quad + \Psi_k \Psi_{k-4} \Psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} = 0 \text{ by } C_{r-2} \\
&= \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_3} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \psi_k \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&= \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_3} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \underbrace{(\psi_k + \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2})}_{\text{by our previous result}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&= \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_3} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&\quad + \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_3} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \underbrace{\Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{k-2}}_{=0 \text{ } B_s \text{ for some } s < r} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&= \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_3} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}(4)} \\
&= 0 \text{ by } C_s \text{ for some } s < r.
\end{aligned}$$

ii) $y_3 = k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}} &= \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \Psi_{k-4} \Psi_{k-2} \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi_{m_3}^k v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} \\
 &= \Psi_k \underbrace{(\psi_{k-2} + \Psi_{k-4} \Psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-4})}_{\text{by our previous result}} \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi_{m_3}^k v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} \\
 &= \Psi_k \psi_{k-2} \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi_{m_3}^k v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} \\
 &+ \Psi_k \Psi_{k-4} \Psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-4} \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi_{m_3}^k v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} = 0 \text{ by } C_{r-2} \\
 &= \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \psi_k \Psi_{m_3}^k v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} \\
 &= -2 \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \psi_k \Psi_{m_3}^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} \text{ by case A} \\
 &= -2 \Psi_{m_1}^{k-6} \Psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-2} \Psi_{m_2}^{k-4} \Psi_{m_3}^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{S}_{(4)}} \\
 &= 0 \text{ by } C_s \text{ for some } s < r. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to prove the remaining case from Proposition 3.11:

even **Proposition 3.13.** *If k is even and k and $k+1$ are adjacent in $\mathbb{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.11, we only need to consider the case when $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_{k+1}} = (0, 1)$.

Let m be minimal such that $\mathbb{T}(1, m-1) \geq m+1$ and $\mathbb{T}(1, m) \geq m+2$ for m odd and let E_m denote that the statement holds if $\Psi_{m_1}^x$ is the first Ψ chain that ψ_k does not commute with. We will proceed by reverse induction on m . Details can be included by switching the toggle in the arXiv version of this paper. \square

3.2. Three consecutive elements in $\text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$ or $\text{Arm}(\mathbb{T})$. From now on, we will be working with eq. (3.2). In order to fully describe the action of the ψ -generators on the basis elements $v_{\mathbb{T}}$, we still need to consider the cases when the first element in $v_{\mathbb{T}}$ that ψ_k does not commute with is ψ_{k-1} or ψ_{k+1} . We will do this in the next two statements where we also give examples of the types of tableaux corresponding to such expressions.

alldom-arm

Lemma 3.14. *Let k be odd and $\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}' \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with corresponding $v_{\mathbb{T}}, v_{\mathbb{T}'}$.*

- If $v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} (\psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_{x+2}) \Psi_{m-2}^x \Psi_m^{k-2} \Psi_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbb{T}'}$ where $x \leq k-4$ and $y_1 \geq k+2$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_k \Psi_{m-2}^x \Psi_m^{k-2} v_{\mathbb{T}'}$.
- If $v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \Psi_{m-2}^{k-2} \Psi_m^k \Psi_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbb{T}'}$ where $y_1 \geq k+4$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \Psi_{m-2}^{k-2} \Psi_m^k \Psi_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbb{T}'}$.

alldom-leg

Lemma 3.15. *Let k be odd $\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}' \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with corresponding $v_{\mathbb{T}}, v_{\mathbb{T}'}$.*

- If $v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} (\psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_{x+2}) \Psi_m^x \Psi_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbb{T}'}$ where $x \leq k-4$ and $y_1 \geq k+2$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-2} (\psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_{x+2}) \Psi_m^x \Psi_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbb{T}'}$.

- If $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_m^k \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ where $y_1 \geq k+4$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \Psi \downarrow_m^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} v_{\mathbf{T}'}$.

Remarks. Observe that Lemma 3.14 can be visualised the following way: if $k-1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, $k, k+1, k+2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $i_{k-1} = i_k$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{\mathbf{S}}$ where \mathbf{S} is the tableau with $k-1, k, k+1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{S})$, $k+2 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{S})$ and outside of these entries it agrees with \mathbf{T} .

Similarly, Lemma 3.15 corresponds to the case when $k-1, k, k+1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, $k+2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $i_{k+1} = i_{k+2}$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{\mathbf{S}}$ where \mathbf{S} is the tableau with $k, k+1, k+2 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{S})$, $k-1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{S})$ and outside of these entries it agrees with \mathbf{T} .

Example 3.16. Let $\lambda = (8, 1^6)$ and take

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 & & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 & & & 1 & 2 & 3 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 14 \\
 1 & & & 4 & & & & & & & \\
 0 & & & 5 & & & & & & & \\
 1 & & & 6 & & & & & & & \\
 0 & & & 7 & & & & & & & \\
 1 & & & 8 & & & & & & & \\
 0 & & & 13 & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \\
 \end{array}
 \quad
 v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_8 \psi_9 \psi_{10} \psi_{11} \Psi \downarrow_5^7 \Psi \downarrow_7^9 \psi_{13} \psi_{12} \dots \psi_8 z^\lambda.$$

(Above, we added the corresponding residue next to each node for the reader's convenience.) Here we have that $i_8 = i_9 = 1$. Then

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbf{S} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 12 & 14 \\
 4 & & & & & & & \\
 5 & & & & & & & \\
 6 & & & & & & & \\
 7 & & & & & & & \\
 11 & & & & & & & \\
 13 & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \\
 \end{array}
 \quad
 v_{\mathbf{S}} = \psi_9 v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_{11} \Psi \downarrow_5^7 \Psi \downarrow_7^9 \psi_{13} \psi_{12} \dots \psi_8 z^\lambda.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathbf{P} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 14 \\
 4 & & & & & & & \\
 5 & & & & & & & \\
 7 & & & & & & & \\
 8 & & & & & & & \\
 9 & & & & & & & \\
 13 & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \\
 \end{array}
 \quad
 v_{\mathbf{P}} = \psi_7 v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_9 \psi_8 \psi_7 \psi_{10} \psi_{11} \Psi \downarrow_5^9 \Psi \downarrow_7^9 \psi_{13} \psi_{12} \dots \psi_8 z^\lambda.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.14. We have two options: $i_{k-1} = 0 = i_k$ or $i_{k-1} = 1 = i_k$. Fix some odd $m < a$.

Case 1: $i_{k-1} = 0 = i_k$ Assume that $\mathbf{T}(1, m) = k$. In this case $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} (\psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_{x+2}) \Psi \downarrow_{m-2}^x \Psi \downarrow_m^k \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_\ell \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda$ (here $x \leq k-4$ and $y_1 \geq k+2$).

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} (\psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_{x+2}) \Psi \downarrow_{m-2}^x \Psi \downarrow_m^k \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_\ell \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \psi^* \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi_k (\psi_{k-3} \dots \psi_{x+2}) \underbrace{\Psi \downarrow_{m-2}^x \Psi \downarrow_m^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d}}_{v_S} (\psi_\ell \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi^* \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
 &= \psi^* (\psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_k) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
 &= \psi^* (\psi_{k-1} \psi_k \underbrace{\psi_{k-1}}_{=0 \text{ by Proposition 3.13}}) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\
 &\quad \left. \begin{aligned} &- \psi^* (y_{k-1}) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\ &+ 2\psi^* (y_k) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \\ &- \psi^* (y_{k+1}) \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k v_S \end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
 &= \psi^* \psi_{k-2} \psi_{k-1} \psi_k v_S
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $i_{k-1} = 1 = i_k$ Assume that $\mathsf{T}(1, m-3) = k$, then $v_{\mathsf{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m-2}^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_m^k \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda$ (here $y_1 \geq k+4$ and $x < y_1$).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_k v_{\mathsf{T}} &= \psi_k \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1} (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} \underbrace{\Psi \downarrow_{m-2}^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_m^k \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{y_1} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d}}_{v_S} (\psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi^* (\psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_k) \psi_{k+1} (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} v_S \\
 &= \psi^* (\psi_{k-1} \psi_k \underbrace{\psi_{k-1}}_{=0 \text{ by Proposition 3.13}}) \psi_{k+1} (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} v_S \\
 &\quad \left. \begin{aligned} &- \psi^* (y_{k-1}) \psi_{k+1} (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} v_S \\ &+ 2\psi^* (y_k) \psi_{k+1} (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} v_S \\ &- \psi^* (y_{k+1}) \psi_{k+1} (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} v_S \end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
 &= \psi^* (\psi_x \dots \psi_{k+3}) \psi_{k+2} v_S
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $y_1 = k+2$, we have that $v_{\mathsf{T}} = \psi^* \psi_{k-1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m-2}^{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_m^k \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m+2}^{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m+4}^{y_2} \dots \Psi \downarrow_{a(-1)}^{y_d} (\psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a) z^\lambda$, but the proof is identical. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.15. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.14. Details can be included by switching the toggle in the [arXiv](#) version of this paper. \square

3.3. Two consecutive pairs in $\text{Leg}(\mathsf{T})$ or $\text{Arm}(\mathsf{T})$. Now, we have all the tools necessary to prove the analogous statements of Corollaries 3.21 and 3.25 in [Spe15] for all $\mathsf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$.

For the rest of proofs in this section, we will be working with eq. (3.2). To further simplify notation, we let χ_i denote the terms sandwiched between the $\Psi \downarrow$ -terms, that is χ_i corresponds to the individual ψ terms between ψ_{m_i} and ψ_{y_i} . If $i = 1$, χ_1 denotes the first ψ -chain in v_{T} on the left. For example (compare with eq. (3.2)):

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{\mathsf{T}} &= \psi_{y_1+1} \psi_{y_1+3} \psi_{y_1+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \psi_{y_2+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \psi_{y_4+1} \psi_{y_4+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_4}^{y_4} v_{\mathsf{T}'} \\
 &= \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \chi_4 \Psi \downarrow_{m_4}^{y_4} v_{\mathsf{T}'}, \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

{short2}

where $v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ corresponds to the rest of $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ on the right (it is in reduced form and shorter than $v_{\mathbf{T}}$). Here we have

- $\chi_1 = \psi_{y_1+1}\psi_{y_1+3}\psi_{y_1+2}$;
- $\chi_2 = \psi_{y_2+2}$;
- $\chi_3 = \text{id}$; and
- $\chi_4 = \psi_{y_4+1}\psi_{y_4+2}$.

Unless $\chi_i = \text{id}$, we define $\chi_i(\mathbf{l})$ to be the leftmost ψ -term in χ_i . Note that $\chi_i(\mathbf{l}) = \psi_{y_i+2}$. We also set $\chi_i(\mathbf{f}) = \psi_{y_i+1}$ ($\chi_i(\mathbf{f})$ might not appear). Also, consistently with this new notation, if a is even, we write $\chi_\ell = \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a$.

The next proposition is the analogous version of [Spe15, Corollary 3.17].

3pairs

Proposition 3.17. *Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ is odd.*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ is minimal such that $c > k+2$ and the entries $k+3, \dots, c-1$ are all unpaired, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}$ where $\mathbf{S} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ agrees with \mathbf{T} outside of these three pairs, but has $\mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathbf{p}_{k+2}, \mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{S})$.*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ is maximal such that $c < k$ and the entries $c+1, \dots, k-2$ are all unpaired, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k v_{\mathbf{S}}$ where $\mathbf{S} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ agrees with \mathbf{T} outside of these three pairs, but has $\mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathbf{p}_c, \mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{S})$.*

Remark. In fact, the converse of Proposition 3.17 is also true: if there exists no \mathbf{p}_c satisfying cases 1 or 2, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$. We will explain the proof in the remarks after Lemmas 3.18 and 3.25.

Proof. Throughout the proof we omit ψ^* on the left (as usual ψ^* denotes everything that ψ_k commutes through on the left). We also write

$$v_{\mathbf{T}'} = \chi_{h+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h+1}}^{y_{h+1}} \chi_{h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h+2}}^{y_{h+2}} \dots \chi_d \Psi \downarrow_{m_d}^{y_d} \chi_\ell z^\lambda.$$

Case 1

- a) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (0, 1)$ and \mathbf{p}_c appears at the end of the arm:

We see that if \mathbf{T} satisfies the conditions of the statement, then it has corresponding reduced expression

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \dots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{y_{h-1}}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_\ell z^\lambda,$$

where the indices $k+2, k+6, \dots, y_{h-1}, y_h$ must be four apart by the minimality of c . Hence we have that $y_{h-1} = k+2+4(h-2)$ and $y_h = k+2+4(h-1) = c-4$. It is also clear that the first entry that ψ_k does not commute with is Ψ_{k+2} as $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2}$ are in the leg and $\mathbf{i}_k = 0$. We also know that χ_i and χ_{i+1} commute if the corresponding Ψ_{y_i} commute, that is $y_i \leq y_{i+1} - 4$. Further, χ_1 is always nonempty. Then by Lemma 3.12:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \dots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi_\ell z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \dots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi_\ell z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \dots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi_\ell z^\lambda \\ &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\chi_2 \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \dots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi_\ell z^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \left(\underbrace{\chi_2 \chi_1 \Psi_{k+6} \Psi_{k+4}}_{\text{as } \chi_1 \text{ and } \chi_2 \text{ commute and } \chi_1(\mathfrak{l}) = \psi_{k+4}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)} \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda \right) \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\chi_2 \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda \\
 &\vdots \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} (\chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{k+6} \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \chi_{h-1} \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h+1}}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-8} \chi_h \chi \ell z^\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

We must have $\chi_h(\mathfrak{l}) = \psi_{c-2}$, otherwise we cannot have $p_c \in \text{Arm}(\mathfrak{T})$. We will write $\chi_h = \widehat{\chi}_h \chi_h(\mathfrak{l})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_h(\mathfrak{l}) \chi \ell &= \psi_{c-2} \psi_{c-1} \psi_{c-2} \psi_{c-3} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi_{c-2} \psi_{c-1} \psi_{c-2} \psi_{c-3} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
 &= (\psi_{c-1} \psi_{c-2} \psi_{c-1}) \psi_{c-3} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda = 0 \\
 &\quad + (y_{c-2}) \psi_{c-3} \psi_{c-4} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
 &\quad - 2(y_{c-1}) \psi_{c-3} \psi_{c-4} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda = 0 \\
 &\quad + (y_c) \psi_{c-3} \psi_{c-4} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda = 0 \\
 &= \psi_{c-4} \psi_{c-5} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\psi_k v_{\mathfrak{T}} = \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-8} \widehat{\chi}_h \psi_{c-4} \psi_{c-5} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda$$

as required.

b) $\mathbf{i}_{p_k} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{i}_{p_c} = (0, 1)$ and p_c is not at the end of the arm:

We have that

$$v_{\mathfrak{T}} = \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-2}}^{y_{h-3}} \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{y_{h-1}}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} v_{\mathfrak{T}'},$$

where $k+2, k+6, \dots, y_{h-1}$ are all four apart. We also have that $y_{h-1} = k+2+4(h-2) = c-4$ and $y_h = c-2$, thus χ_{h-1} and χ_h do not commute. Then as before, we have that

$$\psi_k v_{\mathfrak{T}} = \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-2}}^{k+2+4(h-3)} \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{c-4}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathfrak{T}'}$$

\vdots

$$= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-3} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-2}}^{k+2+4(h-4)} \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \chi_{h-1} \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathfrak{T}'}.$$

Due to the conditions on \mathfrak{T} we must have that $\chi_h = \psi_{c-1}(\psi_x \cdots \psi_{c+1})\psi_c$ where $x \geq c$, otherwise p_c cannot be in the arm. Hence

$$\chi_{h-1}(\mathfrak{l}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} = \psi_{c-2} \psi_{c-1} (\psi_x \cdots \psi_{c+1}) \psi_c \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathfrak{T}'}$$

$$= (\psi_x \cdots \psi_{c+1}) \psi_c \psi_{c-1} \psi_{c-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} v_{T'}$$

by Lemma 3.15, so

$$\psi_k v_T = \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \widehat{\chi}_{h-1} (\psi_x \cdots \psi_{c+1}) \psi_c \psi_{c-1} \psi_{c-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} v_{T'}$$

c) $\mathbf{i}_{p_k} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{i}_{p_c} = (1, 0)$:

Here we have that

$$v_T = \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{T'}$$

Once again, the indices $k+2, k+6, \dots, c-6 = k+2+4(h-2), c-2$ are all four apart and we also see that $\chi_h = 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_T &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-1)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{T'} \\ &\vdots \\ &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-6} v_{T'} \end{aligned}$$

The second part of the proof considers the three cases when $\mathbf{i}_{p_k} = (0, 1)$, in which case ψ_k does not commute with χ_1 .

d) $\mathbf{i}_{p_k} = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{i}_{p_c} = (0, 1)$ and p_c appears at the end of the arm:

Here

$$v_T = \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda,$$

where the chain on the left might contain the additional terms ψ_{k-3} and ψ_{k+3} depending on whether $k-2$ and $k+4$ are in the arm. In the proof, we will omit writing these as they do not play an active part in the rotation. It is clear that $y_1 = k-4$ and $y_2 = k+4$ as both p_k and p_{k+2} are in the leg. After these two Ψ -chains, just as before, $k+8, \dots, k+2+4(i-1), \dots, y_{h-1}, y_h$ are all 4 apart. Observe that $y_h \neq c-4$ can only happen if $c = k+4$, in which case $h = 1$ and $v_T = \psi^* \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi \ell z^\lambda$. We will deal with this special case at the end of the proof, and for now we will assume that $y_h = c-4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_T &= \psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda \\ &= \psi_{k+2} (\psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_k) \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda \\ &= \underbrace{\psi_{k+2} (\psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1}) \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda}_{=0 \text{ by Proposition 3.13}} \\ &\quad + \psi_{k+2} (y_k) \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi \ell z^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \begin{aligned}
& -2\psi_{k+2}(y_{k+1})\psi_{k-1}\psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \\
& \quad \cdot (\chi_2\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& + \psi_{k+2}(y_{k+2})\psi_{k-1}\psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} \\
& \quad \cdot (\chi_2\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda
\end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
& = \psi_{k+2}\psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2\psi_{k+2}\Psi_{k+4}\Psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\chi_2\psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_3\chi_2\Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_3(\chi_2\Psi_{y_3}\Psi_{y_3-2})\Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_3\chi_2\Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)})\chi_h\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& \vdots \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)})\chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-8} \chi_h\chi\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-4} (\psi_{k+2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2}\Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)})\chi_{h-1}\Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-8} \widehat{\chi}_h\psi_{c-4} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

where the product $\chi_h\chi\ell$ gives the same result as in case a).

Finally, suppose that $y_h < c - 4$ and $c = k + 4$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_T &= \psi_k \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_{k+2} (\psi_k \psi_{k+1} \psi_k) \psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_{k+2} (\psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k+1}) \psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi\ell z^\lambda \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{=0 \text{ by Proposition 3.13}} \\
&+ \psi_{k+2}(y_k)\psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi\ell z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \begin{aligned}
& -2\psi_{k+2}(y_{k+1})\psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_\ell z^\lambda \\
& + \psi_{k+2}(y_{k+2})\psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_\ell z^\lambda
\end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.4} \\
& = \psi_{k+2}\psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_\ell z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} (\psi_{k+2}\psi_{k+3}\psi_{k+2})\psi_{k+1} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
& \quad \vdots \quad \text{see the computation for } \chi_h(\mathfrak{l})\chi_\ell \text{ above} \\
& = \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \psi_k \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
& = \psi_k \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_h+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \psi_{k-1} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

e) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (0, 1)$ and \mathbf{p}_c is not at the end of the arm:

Similar to case b). Here, the chain ends with $\chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)} \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'}$ where $k+2+4(h-2) \leq c-4$. Further, because of the shifted residue of \mathbf{p}_k , we have that $y_1 \leq k-4$. If $k+2+4(h-2) = c-4$, χ_{h-1} and χ_h do not commute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{c-4}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_1+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{c-4}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'}
\end{aligned}$$

\vdots see the computation in case d)

$$= \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_1+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \chi_{h-1} \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'}$$

\vdots

$$= \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_1+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)})$$

$$\cdot \widehat{\chi}_{h-1}(\psi_x \cdots \psi_{c+1}) \psi_c \psi_{c-1} \psi_{c-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-4} v_{\mathbf{T}'}.$$

If $k+2+4(h-2) < c-4$, we have that $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \cdots \psi_{x+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^x \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'}$

where $x < k-4$, but the proof is essentially the same.

f) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (1, 0)$:

As in case c), $\chi_h = \text{id}$ and $\psi_k \chi_1$ behaves the same way as in the previous case.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \psi_k \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \cdots \psi_{y_1+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \cdots \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-2)}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'}
\end{aligned}$$

\vdots

$$= \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_1+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} (\psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k+2+4(h-3)}) \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{c-6} v_{\mathbb{T}}$$

Case 2

We have the same six subcases as in Case 1 and with some slight modifications these work the same way as before, however ψ_k will start acting on the end of the chain (that is the highest indexed Ψ -term) and we will be using Lemma 3.12 part 3 and Lemma 3.14 (instead of Lemma 3.12 part 2 and Lemma 3.15). Details can be included by switching the toggle in the arXiv version of this paper. \square

3.4. At least three consecutive pairs in Leg(\mathbb{T}) or Arm(\mathbb{T}). Now that we have shown what happens when we act with ψ_k when $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2}$ are in Leg(\mathbb{T}) and \mathbf{p}_c is in Arm(\mathbb{T}) (or vice versa, $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$), we will extend with these results for at least three pairs in the leg (or in the arm) of \mathbb{T} .

4killing-1

Lemma 3.18 (cf. [Spe15], Lemma 3.19). *Let $\mathbb{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$.*

(1) *If a is odd, and*

a) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, *let $v_{\mathbb{T}}$ have normal form*

$$v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n-1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $m_1 \leq k \leq y_1$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ either $y_i \geq 4i + k$ or $y_i = 4i + k - 2$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$;

b) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, *let $v_{\mathbb{T}}$ have normal form*

$$v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \chi_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n-1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $m_1 \leq k+2 \leq y_1$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ either $y_i \geq 4i + k + 2$ or $y_i = 4i + k$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$.

(2) *If a is even, and*

c) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, *let $v_{\mathbb{T}}$ have normal form*

$$v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a-1$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n-1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $m_1 \leq k \leq y_1$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ either $y_i \geq 4i + k$ or $y_i = 4i + k - 2$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$ and $y_h \geq 4h + k$ or $y_h = 4h + k - 2$, $\chi_h \neq \text{id}$ and $\ell > 4h + k + 2$;

d) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, *let $v_{\mathbb{T}}$ have normal form*

$$v_{\mathbb{T}} = \psi^* \chi_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a-1$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n-1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $m_1 \leq k+2 \leq y_1$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ either $y_i \geq 4i + k + 2$ or $y_i = 4i + k$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$ and $y_h \geq 4h + k + 2$ or $y_h = 4h + k$, $\chi_h \neq \text{id}$ and $\ell > 4h + k + 4$.

Proof. Just like in the proof of Proposition 3.17, we omit writing ψ^* on the left. We will also write $\chi_i = \widehat{\chi}_i \chi_i(\mathfrak{l})$ (recall that $\chi_i(\mathfrak{l}) = \psi_{y_i+2}$). We prove the statement by induction on the length of $v_{\mathbb{T}}$. The proof itself is very similar to the proof of Proposition 3.17.

“If” part:

(1) **Odd a**

As \mathbf{p}_k and \mathbf{p}_{k+2} are both in the leg, we immediately see that $y_1 \geq k + 2$. In addition, we only care about χ_1 if $y_1 = k + 2$ because in all other cases the chain is long enough to propagate the leftmost ψ_{k+4} in Ψ_{k+4} from $\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1}$, instead of ψ_{k+4} from χ_1 . Now we can break down the proof into several subcases:

a) Assume that $\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$ and $y_1 \geq k + 4$.

If $h = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} z^\lambda \\ &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} z^\lambda \\ &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \Psi_{k+4} z^\lambda \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda$ where $h > 1$ as stated in the lemma. Then from the base case we have that

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \chi_k \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \Psi_{k+4} \underbrace{\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h}}_{=v_{\mathbf{T}'}} z^\lambda.$$

As $m_1 \leq k$, it implies that $m_2 \leq k + 2$ and by assumption, we also have that $k + 6 \leq y_2$.

◦ If $y_2 \geq k + 8$ we have that

$$\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} = \Psi \downarrow_{k+10}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+8} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k,$$

where the first and last chains might be empty, but our conditions guarantee that $\Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+8}$ appears. This forces $\mathbf{p}_{k+4}, \mathbf{p}_{k+6}$ to be in the leg of \mathbf{T}' . Thus

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \Psi_{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{k+10}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+8} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_3 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda = 0$$

by induction, since for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, h - 1$, we have that either $y_{i+1} \geq 4i + (k + 4)$ or $y_{i+1} \geq 4i + (k + 2)$ (these follow immediately from the conditions on $v_{\mathbf{T}}$).

◦ If $y_2 = k + 6$ we have that

$$\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} = \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k$$

and we also see that $\chi_2 \neq \text{id}$. Once again we see that \mathbf{p}_{k+4} and \mathbf{p}_{k+6} are in the leg of \mathbf{T}' , hence

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \Psi_{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k \chi_3 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda = 0$$

by induction for the same reasoning as in the previous case.

Similarly, we also need to consider the case when $y_1 = k + 2$. By assumption, we must have that $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$.

If $h = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} z^\lambda \\ &= \psi_k \widehat{\chi}_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \psi_{k+4} z^\lambda = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_1 = \widehat{\chi}_1 \psi_{k+4}$.

Now suppose that $h > 1$ and $v_{\mathbf{T}} = \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda$ is as in the statement. From the base case we have that

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \widehat{\chi}_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \underbrace{\psi_{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h}}_{=v_{\mathbf{T}'}} z^\lambda.$$

By assumption $y_2 \geq k + 6$, and this forces \mathbf{p}_{k+4} and \mathbf{p}_{k+6} into $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}')$. We also know that ψ_{k+4} and χ_2 commute. Then we have two options:

◦ if $y_2 \geq k + 8$, we have that

$$\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} = \Psi \downarrow_{k+10}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+8} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k$$

and then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \widehat{\chi}_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \psi_{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} v_{\mathbf{T}'} = 0$$

by induction (see the proof of $y_1 \geq k + 4$); or

◦ if $y_2 = k + 6$, we have that

$$\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} = \Psi \downarrow_{k+2}^{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^k$$

and then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \widehat{\chi}_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \psi_{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} v_{\mathbf{T}'} = 0$$

by induction (works entirely the same as the previous cases).

b) Assume that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$.

In this case, we will have an additional chain on the left of Ψ_{y_1} such that ψ_k appears in χ_0 : as $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2}$ are in the leg in $(0, 1)$ -positions, we have some $r \leq k - 2$ in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ in the position $\mathbf{T}(1, m_0 - 1)$ and some $s \geq k + 2$ in the position $\mathbf{T}(1, m_0)$. Hence the chain χ_0 which moves s into the right position must involve the terms $\psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2}$ in this order. Notice that ψ_k acts on these additional terms exactly the same way as described in cases d)-f) in Proposition 3.17:

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \chi_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi_{s-1} \cdots \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k+1} \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_0+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda \\
&\vdots \\
&= \psi_{s-1} \cdots \psi_{k+2} \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_0+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_{s-1} \cdots \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k-2} \cdots \psi_{y_0+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \underbrace{\psi_{k+2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h}}_{=v_{\mathbb{T}'}} z^\lambda \\
&= 0 \text{ by case a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(The chain $\Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0}$ might be empty though.)

Now we see why the statement requires different lower bounds for y_i if $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$. Even though we would like to determine the action of ψ_k on $v_{\mathbb{T}}$, in fact we are looking at $\psi_{k+2}v_{\mathbb{T}'}$ (as $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$ with shifted residues it follows that $y_1 \geq k+2$). Applying case a) to this expression and shifting the indices appropriately completes the proof.

(2) **Even a**

c) Suppose that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$ and $y_1 \geq k+2$. If $h = 1$ and $y_1 \geq k+4$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbb{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \underbrace{\Psi_{k+4} (\psi_{\ell-1} \cdots \psi_{k+8}) \psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+6} \psi_{k+5} \psi_{k+4} \cdots \psi_a}_{=\chi_d} z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

\vdots for the computation see Proposition 3.17 Case 1a)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \psi_{k+4} \psi_{k+3} \psi_{k+5} (\psi_{\ell-1} \cdots \psi_{k+8}) \psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+2} \cdots \psi_a \psi_{k+6} z^\lambda \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi_{\ell-1} \cdots \psi_{k+8}$ might be empty, but our conditions guarantee that ψ_{k+6} is there to eliminate z^λ .

A similar reasoning as in the previous cases shows that if $h > 1$, then for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-2$ we either have that $y_{i+1} \geq 4i + (k+4)$ or $y_{i+1} \geq 4i + (k+2)$ if $\chi_{i+1} \neq 1$. Then, unless $y_h = 4h + k - 2$, it immediately follows that $\ell > 4h + k$ and if $y_h = 4h + k - 2$, we know that $\ell > y_h + 4$ by assumption. We see that on the right end of the chain we will have an additional braid relation (for shorthand notation, let $s := 4h + k$), where ψ_s comes from either χ_h (if $y_h \neq s$) or from Ψ_s (if $y_h = s$). Hence, in order to complete the proof, it suffices to consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_s \chi_d z^\lambda &= \psi_s \psi_{\ell-1} \cdots \psi_{s+2} \psi_{s+1} \psi_s \psi_{s-1} \psi_{s-2} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_x \cdots \psi_{s+2} (\psi_s \psi_{s+1} \psi_s) \psi_{s-1} \psi_{s-2} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \psi_{\ell-1} \cdots \psi_{s+3} \psi_{s+2} \psi_{s-2} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi_{\ell-1} \cdots \psi_{s+3} \psi_{s-2} \cdots \psi_a \psi_{s+2} z^\lambda \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

d) Take $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$.

The proof is a combination of the proofs of cases b) and c).

Converse: If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, let t be minimal such that $y_t \leq 4t+k-4$ or $y_t = 4t+k-2$ and $\chi_t = \text{id}$ (or if a is even and $t = h$, then let $y_t = 4t+k-2$, $\chi_t = \text{id}$ or $\ell = 4t+k+2$). We see that if such a t exists, it means that there is at least one more pair $\mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ such that $k+2 < c$. Indeed, if $y_t = 4t+k-2$ and $\chi_t = \text{id}$, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{p}_{4t+k} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. If $y_t \leq 4t+k-4$, by minimality of t we know that either $y_{t-1} \geq 4t+k-4$ or $y_{t-1} = 4t+k-6$ with $\chi_{t-1} \neq \text{id}$. If $y_{t-1} \geq 4t+k-4$ then $y_{t-1} \not\prec y_t$. Hence, $y_{t-1} = 4t+k-6$ and $\chi_{t-1} \neq \text{id}$. But this immediately implies that $\chi_t \neq \text{id}$ too, thus $\mathbf{p}_{4t+k-2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. For $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, we can repeat the previous argument with increasing all indices by 2.

If $\mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and there are no other pairs between \mathbf{p}_{k+2} and \mathbf{p}_c , we have already shown in Proposition 3.17 that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$. Hence, from now on we will assume that there exists some minimal c such that $k+2 < c < d$ where $\mathbf{p}_c \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_d \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$.

By assumption, $y_1 \geq k$, but as $\mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, we have that $y_1 \geq k+2$. If $y_1 = k+2$ and $\chi_1 = \text{id}$, then we would have that $\mathbf{p}_{k+4} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. Thus we can assume that $t \geq 2$.

If $t = 2$ and $\mathbf{p}_k = (1, 0)$, by minimality of t we have the following:

- $y_1 = k+2$ with $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$ or $y_1 \geq k+4$, and
- $y_2 \leq k+4$ or $y_2 = k+6$ and $\chi_2 = \text{id}$ or $y_2 = k+6$, $\chi_2 \neq \text{id}$ and $\ell = k+10$.

As $y_1 < y_2$, we see that $k+2 \leq y_1 \leq k+4$.

If $t = 2$ and $\mathbf{p}_k = (0, 1)$, we must have that:

- $y_1 = k+4$ with $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$ or $y_1 \geq k+6$,
- $y_2 \leq k+6$ or $y_2 = k+8$ and $\chi_2 = \text{id}$ or $y_2 = k+8$, $\chi_2 \neq \text{id}$ and $\ell = k+12$.

As $y_1 < y_2$, we see that $k+4 \leq y_1 \leq k+6$.

Hence, for $t = 2$ we need to consider only the following cases:

(1) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0})$

a) $y_1 = k+4, y_2 = k+6$ and $\chi_2 = \text{id}$, which forces $\chi_1 = \text{id}$ (a can be even or odd):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} (\chi_d) z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \underbrace{\cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} (\chi_d)}_{=v_{\mathbf{T}'}} z^\lambda \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
 &= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} v_{\mathbf{T}'}
 \end{aligned}$$

The next two sets of conditions deal with the special case when a is even, $t = 2 = h$ and \mathbf{p}_{k+10} appears at the end of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. These immediately force $y_2 = k+6$, $\chi_2 \neq \text{id}$ and $\ell = k+10$.

b) $y_1 = k+2$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$:

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \chi_1 \Psi_{k+6} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \underbrace{\psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+8}}_{\chi_2} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&\vdots \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+6} \psi_{k+5} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

c) $y_1 = k + 4$. Here $\chi_1 = \text{id}$ or $\chi_1 = (\psi_{k+5})\psi_{k+6}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_1 \Psi_{k+4} \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \underbrace{(\psi_{k+7})\psi_{k+8}}_{\chi_2} \psi_{k+9} \psi_{k+8} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda \\
&\vdots \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} (\psi_{k+7})\psi_{k+6} \psi_{k+5} \dots \psi_a z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$

Recall from the previous part that χ_0 contains the subchain $\psi_{k+2}\psi_{k+1}\dots\psi_{k-2}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the leftmost entry in χ_0 is precisely ψ_{k+2} as ψ terms with larger indices can be absorbed into ψ^* on the left. For shorthand notation we also write $\chi'_0 = \psi_{k-2}\psi_{k-3}\dots\psi_{y_0+2}$. For the computation of $\psi_k \chi_0$, details can be found in part 1b) of this proof.

d) $y_1 = k + 6, y_2 = k + 8$ and $\chi_2 = \text{id}$, which forces $\chi_1 = \text{id}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+8} \underbrace{\chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h}}_{=v_{\mathbf{T}'}} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&\vdots \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+8} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \Psi_{k+6} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+8} v_{\mathbf{T}'}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \Psi_{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+8} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} v_{\mathbf{T}'}.
\end{aligned}$$

e) $y_1 = k + 4, \chi_1 \neq \text{id}, y_2 = k + 8$ and $\chi_2 = \text{id}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+8} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \underbrace{\psi_{k+2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+8}}_{\text{apply Proposition 3.17}} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} v_{\mathbf{T}'}.
\end{aligned}$$

f) $y_1 = k + 4, y_2 = k + 6$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id} \neq \chi_2$ (note that in this case $\chi_1 = \psi_{k+5} \psi_{k+6}, \chi_2 = (\psi_{k+9}) \psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+8}$, so they do not commute with each other):

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \psi_{k+2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \psi_{k+2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \underbrace{\psi_{k+5} \psi_{k+6} \psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+8} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6}}_{\text{apply Lemma 3.15}} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi'_0 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^k \psi_{k+5} \psi_{k+8} \psi_{k+7} \psi_{k+6} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} v_{\mathbf{T}'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as for $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, we consider the case when a is even $t = 2 = h$ and \mathbf{p}_{k+12} is at the end of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ separately. Here we immediately see that $y_2 = k + 8, \chi_2 \neq \text{id}$ and $\ell = k + 12$.

g) $y_1 = k + 4$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$; and

h) $y_1 = k + 6$ and $\chi_1 = \text{id}$ or $\chi_1 = \psi_{k+8}$.

We omit these proofs as they are essentially the same as in the $(1, 0)$ -case.

Now suppose that $t > 2$.

First, we look at the different combinations of the first two Ψ -chains on the left. (As $t > 2$, it ensures that the propagation cannot stop here.) We have several cases to consider:

$$(1) \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$$

a) If $y_1 = k + 2, y_2 = k + 6$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id} \neq \chi_2$, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \chi_1 \Psi_{k+6} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

b) If $y_1 = k + 2, y_2 \geq k + 8$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$ (note that χ_2 might be empty), then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_1 \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \widehat{\chi}_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{k+8}^{y_2} \psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+6} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{k+8}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

c) If $y_1 = k + 4, y_2 = k + 6$ and $\chi_2 \neq \text{id}$ (note that χ_1 might be empty), we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k+4} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_1 \Psi_{k+4} \psi_k \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \chi_2 \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+6} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \chi_2 \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+6} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \chi_2 \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

d) If $y_1 \geq k + 4, y_2 \geq k + 8$ (note that both χ_1 and χ_2 might be empty), then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_1} \psi_k \Psi_{k+2} \Psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_2 \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{k+8}^{y_2} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi_{k+6} \Psi_{k+4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+6}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{k+8}^{y_2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{k+4} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \dots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \chi_3 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_3} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.$$

(2) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$

- a) $y_1 = k + 4, y_2 = k + 8$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id} \neq \chi_2$;
- b) $y_1 = k + 4, y_2 \geq k + 10$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$ (χ_2 might be empty);
- c) $y_1 \geq k + 6, y_2 = k + 8$ and $\chi_2 \neq \text{id}$ (χ_1 might be empty);
- b) $y_1 \geq k + 6$ and $y_2 \geq k + 10$ (both χ_1 and χ_2 might be empty).

We observe that these are the same conditions as for $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, except that the indices are increased by 2. The computations are identical.

For $2 \leq i \leq t - 1$, we claim that whenever $4i + k \leq y_i$, then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_{i-1}}) \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \quad (3.5) \quad \boxed{\text{\{claim1\}}}$$

and whenever $4i + k - 2 = y_i$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$, then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_{i-1}}) \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda. \quad (3.6) \quad \boxed{\text{\{claim2\}}}$$

We will prove eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) by induction on i . If $i = 2$ and $k + 4 \leq y_1$, then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{k+4}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda,$$

and if $y_1 = k + 2$ and $\chi_1 \neq \text{id}$, then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.$$

For the induction step, we break down the proof into several subcases. We have four cases to consider:

- (1) We show that if for all $2 \leq i - 1 \leq t - 2$ either eq. (3.5) or eq. (3.6) holds and $y_{i-1} \geq 4i + k - 4$ and $y_i \geq 4i + k$, then eq. (3.5) follows for i .

As $y_{i-1} \geq 4i + k - 4$ we can assume by induction that

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{i-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i-1}}^{y_{i-2}}) \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-4}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_i} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda. \quad (3.7) \quad \boxed{\text{\{ass1\}}}$$

As $i - 1 \leq t - 2$ and $y_i \geq 4i + k$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-4}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_i} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-2}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-4}^{4i+k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-2} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-2}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-4} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\ &= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) We show that if for all $2 \leq i - 1 \leq t - 2$ either eq. (3.5) or eq. (3.6) holds and $y_{i-1} \geq 4i + k - 4, y_i = 4i + k - 2, \chi_i \neq \text{id}$, then eq. (3.6) follows for i .

As $y_{i-1} \geq 4i + k - 4$ we can assume that eq. (3.7) holds. Then:

$$\chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-4}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-2} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-2}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-2} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-2}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-4} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

- (3) We show that if for all $2 \leq i-1 \leq t-2$ either eq. (3.5) or eq. (3.6) holds and $y_{i-1} = 4i+k-6$, $\chi_{i-1} \neq \text{id}$ and $y_i \geq 4i+k$ then eq. (3.5) follows for i .

As $y_{i-1} = 4i+k-6$ and $\chi_{i-1} \neq \text{id}$ we can assume by induction that

$$\psi_k v_T = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{i-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i-1}}^{y_{i-2}}) \chi_{i-1} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_i} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda. \quad (3.8) \quad \boxed{\text{fuss2}}$$

As $y_i \geq 4i+k$, χ_{i-1} and χ_i commute, so:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{i-1} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_i} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_i \widehat{\chi}_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \psi_{4i+k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-2} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_i \widehat{\chi}_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \psi_{4i+k-4} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-6} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k}^{y_i} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

as $y_{i-1} = 4i+k-6$.

- (4) We show that if for all $2 \leq i-1 \leq t-2$ either eq. (3.5) or eq. (3.6) holds and $y_{i-1} = 4i+k-6$, $\chi_{i-1} \neq \text{id}$, $y_i = 4i+k-2$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$, then eq. (3.6) follows for i .

As $y_{i-1} = 4i+k-6$ and $\chi_{i-1} \neq \text{id}$ we can assume that eq. (3.8) holds. As $y_i = 4i+k-2$, χ_{i-1} and χ_i commute, so:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{i-1} \chi_i \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-2} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_i \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{4i+k-4}^{4i+k-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-6} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_i \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{4i+k-6} \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \chi_{i-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_i}^{y_{i-1}} \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{i+1}}^{y_{i+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

as $y_{i-1} = 4i+k-6$.

Finally, we will use eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) to see what happens at the t -th chain. We write $s = 4t+k$.

- a) Suppose that $\chi_{t-1} = \text{id} = \chi_t$. By minimality of t , we have that $y_{t-1} \geq s-4$. On the other hand, $s-2 \geq y_t > y_{t-1} \geq s-4$, so in fact $y_{t-1} = s-4$ and $y_t = s-2$.

$$\psi_k v_T = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}}) \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_t} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda$$

by eq. (3.5)

$$= \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \chi_{t+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t+1}}^{y_{t+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

We see that the last line is in our standard form and is non-zero.

- b) Suppose $\chi_{t-1} \neq \text{id} \neq \chi_t$. As $\chi_{t-1} \neq \text{id}$, we have that $y_{t-1} \geq s - 6$. By minimality of t and using the fact that $\chi_t \neq \text{id}$, we also see that $y_t \leq s - 4$ or if $t = h$ then $y_t = s - 2$. On the other hand, $s - 4 \geq y_t > y_{t-1} \geq s - 6$. Thus, $y_t = s - 4$ and $y_{t-1} = s - 6$. We also see that $\chi_{t-1} = (\psi_{s-5} \psi_{s-4}) \psi_{s-3} \psi_{s-2}$ and $\chi_t = (\psi_x \cdots \psi_{s-1}) \psi_{s-3} \psi_{s-2}$ and they do not commute (compare with case 2f) above). Here $x + 1 = \mathbf{T}(1, m_t)$.

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi_{t-1} \chi_t \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{s-2} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

by eq. (3.6)

$$= \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi'_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \chi_{t+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t+1}}^{y_{t+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

using Lemma 3.15. Here $\chi'_{t-1} = (\psi_{s-5} \psi_x \cdots \psi_{s-1}) \psi_{s-2} \psi_{s-3} \psi_{s-4}$. If $t \neq h$ or $\chi_d = \text{id}$, then the second line is in our standard form and is non-zero. Otherwise, we need to consider the special case where $t = h$, a is even and $\ell = s + 2$. In this case, $\chi_t = \psi_{s-3} \psi_s \psi_{s-1} \psi_{s-2}$. Then:

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi_{t-1} \chi_t \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{s-4} \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

by eq. (3.6)

$$= \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{s-6} \chi_t \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

:

$$= \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \psi_{s-1} \psi_{s-2} \psi_{s-3} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda)$$

- c) Suppose $\chi_{t-1} \neq \text{id}$ and $\chi_t = \text{id}$. As $\chi_{t-1} \neq \text{id}$, we have that $s - 4 \geq y_{t-1} \geq s - 6$. By minimality of t , we also see that $y_t \leq s - 2$. On the other hand, $s - 6 \leq y_{t-1} < y_t \leq s - 2$. If $y_t = y_{t-1} + 2$, this would force $\chi_t \neq \text{id}$ (see case b)). Hence, $y_t = s - 2$ and $y_{t-1} = s - 6$.

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_t} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

by eq. (3.6)

$$= \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \chi_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \chi_{t+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t+1}}^{y_{t+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

We see that the final line is in our standard form and is non-zero.

- d) Suppose that $\chi_{t-1} = \text{id}$ and $\chi_t \neq \text{id}$. Observe that this case can only happen if a is even with $\ell = s + 2, t = h$ and $y_t = s - 2$. By minimality of t , we have that $y_{t-1} \geq s - 4$. On the other hand, $s - 2 = y_t > y_{t-1} \geq s - 4$, thus $y_{t-1} = s - 4$. We also see that $\chi_t = (\psi_{s-1}) \psi_s$. Using eq. (3.5), we have that:

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{s-2} \chi_t \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

$$= \psi_k(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{s-4} \chi_t \chi_d z^\lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vdots \\ & = \psi_k \left(\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_3}^{y_2} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \right) (\psi_{s-1}) \psi_{s-2} \psi_{s-3} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the last line is in our standard form and is non-zero.

For $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, we need to consider cases a)–d) as above, but with the appropriate indices increased by 2. \square

Remark. Observe that Proposition 3.17 part 1 corresponds to the case where $t = c$ and for all $1 \leq i < t$ we have that $y_i = 4i + k - 2$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$ (or $y_i = 4i + k$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$ for $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$). Thus, the first part of the proof of Lemma 3.18 also shows the converse of Proposition 3.17 part 1, that is if there exists no \mathbf{p}_c as given in the statement then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$.

Definition 3.19. Suppose that k is odd such that

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cccccccc} \boxed{1} & \cdots & \boxed{v} & \cdots & \boxed{p_1-1} & \boxed{p_1} & \cdots & \boxed{p_m-1} & \boxed{p_m} & \cdots & \boxed{\ell} \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{k-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{k} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{k+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{k+2} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{x-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{x} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where v is minimal such that $k < v$, the section of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ $v, \dots, p_1 - 1, p_1, \dots, p_m = 2r + k$ contains r entries and the section of $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ $k - 1, k, \dots, x$ contains $r + 2$ entries such that there are m (resp., $m + 1$) pairs amongst these r (resp., $r + 2$) entries. Note that if $v + 1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ then $v = p_1 - 1$.

In this case, we define $(\mathbf{T})^{2r+k,k}$ to be the standard λ -tableau where \mathbf{p}_k is moved into $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, $\mathbf{p}_{p_m} = \mathbf{p}_{2r+k}$ is moved into $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and all other elements of $\text{Arm}((\mathbf{T})^{2r+k,k})$ and $\text{Leg}((\mathbf{T})^{2r+k,k})$ agree with those of \mathbf{T} . This can be easily visualised as a ‘clockwise’ rotation of the entries $k - 1, k, \dots, 2r + k$. Thus,

$$(\mathbf{T})^{p_m, k} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 \boxed{1} & \cdots & \boxed{k-1} & \boxed{k} & \cdots & \boxed{v} & \cdots & \boxed{p_1-1} & \boxed{p_1} & \cdots & \boxed{\ell} \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{k+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{k+2} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{x-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{x} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{p_m-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{p_m} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where the omitted parts are identical to those in \mathbf{T} .

Example 3.20. Consider $\lambda = (8, 1^9)$ and take $k = 3$. If $r = 7$ and \mathbf{T} is as below, we have that:

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 \boxed{1} & \boxed{7} & \boxed{11} & \boxed{12} & \boxed{13} & \boxed{14} & \boxed{16} & \boxed{17} \\
 \boxed{2} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{3} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{4} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{5} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{6} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{8} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{9} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{10} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{15} & & & & & & &
 \end{array}
 \quad
 (\mathbf{T})^{17,3} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{3} & \boxed{7} & \boxed{11} & \boxed{12} & \boxed{13} & \boxed{14} \\
 \boxed{4} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{5} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{6} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{8} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{9} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{10} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{15} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{16} & & & & & & & \\
 \boxed{17} & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Observe that in this case

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_6 \psi_{10} \psi_9 \psi_8 \psi_7 \Psi_{3,5}^5 \Psi_{5,7}^{11} \psi_{15} \Psi_{7,7}^{13} \psi_{16} \psi_{15} \dots \psi_8 z^\lambda$$

and

$$v_{(\mathbf{T})^{17,3}} = \psi_6 \psi_{10} \psi_9 \psi_8 \psi_7 \Psi_{5,7}^{11} \psi_{13} \psi_{12} \dots \psi_8 z^\lambda.$$

or:psi-leg

Corollary 3.21. Assume that $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$.

(1) If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, let

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \chi_1 \Psi_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \dots \chi_h \Psi_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.$$

Suppose $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ and that t is minimal such that $y_t \leq 4t + k - 4$ or $y_t = 4t + k - 2$ and $\chi_t = \text{id}$ or if a is even and $t = h$, assume that $y_t = 4t + k - 2$ and either $\chi_t = \text{id}$ or $\ell = 4t + k + 2$.

(2) If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, let

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \chi_0 \Psi_{m_0}^{y_0} \chi_1 \Psi_{m_1}^{y_1} \chi_2 \dots \chi_h \Psi_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.$$

Suppose $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ and that t is minimal such that $y_t \leq 4t + k - 2$ or $y_t = 4t + k$ and $\chi_t = \text{id}$ or if a is even and $t = h$, assume that $y_t = 4t + k$ and either $\chi_t = \text{id}$ or $\ell = 4t + k + 4$.

Then there exists some minimal r such that \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.19 and $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k v_{(\mathbf{T})^{2r+k,k}}$ which is in reduced form.

Proof. We will continue to use the notation $s = 4t + k$ from the proof of Lemma 3.18. Observe that the final part of the proof of Lemma 3.18 has all the necessary results to prove the statement. First, we assume that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$. The case $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$ can be proved analogously.

- a) If $\chi_t = \text{id}$, then this corresponds to cases a) and c) in the proof of Lemma 3.18. In this case,

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}}) (\chi_{t-1}) \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \chi_{t+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t+1}}^{y_{t+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.$$

We are considering the entries from $k - 1$ up to $y_t + 2 = s$, out of which $2t$ are in the arm and $2t + 2$ are in the leg.

Setting $r = 2t$, it is easy to see that \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.19. Assume that the set $k + 3, k + 4, \dots, s - 3, s - 2$ contains $2d$ entries in total. We have just shown that these entries are evenly distributed between the arm and the leg, that is there are d many of them in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$. If we start counting the entries from $k + 3$ up to $s - 2$ in the natural order, whenever an even (resp. odd) entry g is unpaired in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, then $g + 1$ (resp. $g - 1$) is in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. We can assume that there are $f - 1$ pairs between $k + 3$ and $s - 2$ in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. Hence, there must be $f - 1 + 2 = f + 1$ pairs in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ between $k - 1$ and $s - 2$ (the plus two accounts for the pairs \mathbf{p}_k and \mathbf{p}_{k+2}). Thus, we see that \mathbf{T} is indeed as in Definition 3.19 where $\mathbf{p}_s = \mathbf{p}_{p_m}$, $f = m$ and $r = 2t$. Looking at the reduced expression, this is the rotation that moves \mathbf{p}_k into the arm and \mathbf{p}_s into the leg.

- b) If $\chi_t \neq \text{id}$ and either $t \neq h$ or $\chi_d = \text{id}$, then this is case b) in the proof of Lemma 3.18 and

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}}) \chi'_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} \chi_{t+1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t+1}}^{y_{t+1}} \cdots \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{y_h} \chi_d z^\lambda.$$

We are considering the entries from $k - 1$ up to $y_t + 2 = s - 2$. But $\mathbf{i}_{s-2} = 1$, so in total we move $2t - 1$ entries in the arm and $2t + 1$ in the leg. Setting $r = 2t - 1$ and applying the arguments from the previous paragraph, we see that \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.19.

- c) Finally, if $\chi_t \neq \text{id}$, $t = h$ and $\chi_d \neq \text{id}$, then this is case d) and the special case of case b) in the proof of Lemma 3.18. We have that

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k (\Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{k-2} \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{y_1} \cdots \chi_{t-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{t-1}}^{y_{t-2}}) \chi_{t-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_t}^{y_{t-1}} (\psi_{s-1}) \psi_{s-2} \psi_{s-3} \cdots \psi_a z^\lambda.$$

We are considering the entries $k - 1, k, \dots, s + 2$. In total, we have $4t + 4$ of them, $2t + 1$ are in the arm and $2t + 3$ are in the leg. Setting $r = 2t + 1$ and applying the arguments from case a), we see that \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.19. \square

Recall from Definition 3.7 that $\Psi \uparrow_x^y := \Psi_x \Psi_{x+2} \cdots \Psi_y$.

Definition 3.22 (cf. [Spe15], Def. 3.22). Let $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$. The corresponding $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ can be written the following way, which we call reverse normal form RNF (compare with

eq. (3.4)).

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \rho_0 \rho_1 \overset{m_1}{\Psi \uparrow}_{y_1} \rho_2 \overset{m_2}{\Psi \uparrow}_{y_2} \dots \rho_h \overset{m_h}{\Psi \uparrow}_{y_h} \rho_d z^\lambda$$

Here $y_{i+1} \leq y_i - 2$ and $m_{i+1} = m_i - 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$. If a is odd, then $m_h = a$ and $\rho_d = \text{id}$. Otherwise (when a is even), $m_h = a - 1$ and $\rho_d = \psi_{\ell-1} \dots \psi_a = \chi_d$.

Similarly to χ_i , unless $\rho_i = \text{id}$, we denote the rightmost entry of ρ_i by $\rho_i(\ell) = y_{i+1} - 2$. Observe that the leftmost entry in ρ_{i+1} is strictly less than $y_i - 2$. It is easy to see that $\rho_i = \text{id}$ whenever $y_i + 4 \geq y_{i-1}$. Further, ρ_0 corresponds to a chain of single ψ 's with increasing indices from left to right where $\rho_0(\ell) = \psi_{m_1+2}$. This chain appears if the pair at the end of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ has shifted residues.

Remark. The action of RNF can be visualised as placing entries into $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, as opposed to the normal form where we were placing entries into $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. To be more specific, RNF puts the pairs $\mathbf{p}_{y_h}, \mathbf{p}_{y_{h-1}}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{y_1}$ at the beginning of $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ (with some possible shifts coming from the ρ 's).

Example 3.23. Let $n = 18, \lambda = (8, 1^{10})$ and assume that \mathbf{T} is as below.

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 11 & 14 & 16 & 18 \\ \hline 2 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 12 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 13 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 15 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 17 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_7 \overset{5}{\Psi \downarrow}_3 \overset{9}{\Psi \downarrow}_5 \psi_{15} \overset{13}{\Psi \downarrow}_7 \psi_{17} \psi_{16} \dots \psi_8 \\ &= \psi_{15} \Psi_{13} \psi_7 \overset{11}{\Psi \uparrow}_9 \overset{9}{\Psi \uparrow}_5 \overset{7}{\Psi \uparrow}_3 \psi_{17} \psi_{16} \dots \psi_8. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.24. We will illustrate using RNF by going through the different cases outlined in Proposition 3.17 part 2.

a) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (1, 0), \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$:

As $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (1, 0) = \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k}$, we have that $\rho_0 = \text{id} = \rho_h$. Also, note that for each $1 \leq i \leq h$ the chain $\overset{y_{i+1}}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_{i+1}}$ contains one more Ψ than $\overset{y_i}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_i}$ except for $i = h - 1$.

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \overset{c}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_1} (\chi_2 \overset{c+4}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_2} \dots \chi_{h-2} \overset{k-6}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_{h-2}} \chi_{h-1} \overset{k-2}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_{h-1}}) \chi_h \overset{k}{\Psi \downarrow}_{m_h} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\ &= \psi_k \rho_1 \overset{y_1}{\Psi \uparrow}_{k-2} \rho_2 \overset{y_2}{\Psi \uparrow}_{k-6} \dots \rho_{h-1} \overset{m_{h-1}}{\Psi \uparrow}_{c+4} \overset{m_h}{\Psi \uparrow}_c v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\ &= \rho_1 \psi_k \overset{y_1}{\Psi \uparrow}_{k-2} \rho_2 \overset{y_2}{\Psi \uparrow}_{k-6} \dots \rho_{h-1} \overset{m_{h-1}}{\Psi \uparrow}_{c+4} \overset{m_h}{\Psi \uparrow}_c v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\ &= \rho_1 \psi_k \overset{y_1}{\Psi \uparrow}_{k+2} \rho_2 \overset{y_2}{\Psi \uparrow}_{k-6} \dots \rho_{h-1} \overset{m_{h-1}}{\Psi \uparrow}_{c+4} \overset{m_h}{\Psi \uparrow}_c v_{\mathbf{T}'} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \psi_k \Psi \uparrow_{k+2}^{y_1} \rho_2 \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{k-6}^{y_2} \dots \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_{h-1}} \Psi \uparrow_c^{m_h} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \uparrow_{k+2}^{y_1} \rho_2 \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{k-2}^{y_2} \dots \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_{h-1}} \Psi \uparrow_c^{m_h} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \uparrow_{k+2}^{y_1} \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{k-2}^{y_2} \dots \rho_{h-2} \Psi \uparrow_{c+8}^{m_{h-1}} \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_h} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \psi_k \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{c-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^c \chi_2 \dots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k-6}) \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{k-2} v_{\mathbf{T}'}.
\end{aligned}$$

b) $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (1, 0)$, $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$ and \mathbf{p}_{k+2} is at the end of the arm:

As $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_c} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, we have that $\rho_0 = (\psi_{k-3})\psi_{k-2}\psi_{k-1}\psi_k$, $\rho_h = \text{id}$, $\rho_d = \psi_{k+1}\psi_k \dots \psi_a$ and $m_1 = k - 2$. Observe that ρ_0 is the concatenation of χ_{h-1} and χ_h .

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} &= \psi_k \chi_1 \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^c (\chi_2 \Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^{c+4} \dots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-2}}^{k-8} \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k-4}) \chi_h \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{k-2} \chi_d z^\lambda \\
&= \psi_k \rho_0 \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{k-4}^{k-2} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{k-8}^{k-4} \dots \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_{h-1}} \rho_h \Psi \uparrow_c^{m_h} \dots \rho_d z^\lambda \\
&= (\psi_{k-3})\psi_{k-2}\psi_k\psi_{k-1}\psi_k \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{k-4}^{k-2} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{k-8}^{k-4} \dots \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_{h-1}} \rho_h \Psi \uparrow_c^{m_h} \dots \rho_d z^\lambda \\
&\vdots \\
&= (\psi_{k-3})\psi_{k-2} \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{k-4}^{k-2} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{k-8}^{k-4} \dots \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_{h-1}} \rho_h \Psi \uparrow_c^{m_h} \underbrace{\dots \widehat{\rho}_d z^\lambda}_{=v_{\mathbf{T}'}} \\
&\vdots \\
&= (\psi_{k-3})\psi_{k-2} \rho_1 \Psi_{k-4}^{k-6} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{k-8}^{m_{h-1}} \dots \rho_{h-2} \Psi \uparrow_{c+8}^{m_{h-1}} \rho_{h-1} \Psi \uparrow_{c+4}^{m_h} v_{\mathbf{T}'} \\
&= \Psi \downarrow_{m_1}^{c-2} \chi_1 (\Psi \downarrow_{m_2}^c \chi_2 \dots \chi_{h-2} \Psi \downarrow_{m_{h-1}}^{k-8}) \chi_{h-1} \Psi \downarrow_{m_h}^{k-4} \widehat{\rho}_d z^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\rho}_d = \psi_k \psi_{k-1} \dots \psi_a$.

Details for the rest of the cases can be included by switching the toggle in the arXiv version of this paper.

4killing-2

Lemma 3.25 (cf. [Spe15], Lemma 3.23). *Let $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$.*

a) *If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, let $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ have reverse normal form*

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{y_1}^{m_1} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{y_2}^{m_2} \dots \rho_h \Psi \uparrow_{y_h}^{m_h} (\rho_d) z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a(-1)$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $y_1 \leq k - 2$ and $m_1 \geq k$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ either $y_i \leq k - 4i$ or $y_i = k - 4i + 2$ and $\rho_i \neq \text{id}$.

b) *If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$ and \mathbf{p}_{k+2} is not at the end of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, let $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ have reverse normal form*

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \rho_0 \Psi \uparrow_{y_0}^{m_0} \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{y_1}^{m_1} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{y_2}^{m_2} \dots \rho_h \Psi \uparrow_{y_h}^{m_h} (\rho_d) z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a(-1)$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $y_1 \leq k - 4$ and $m_1 \geq k$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ either $y_i \leq k - 4i - 2$ or $y_i = k - 4i$ and $\rho_i \neq \text{id}$.

c) If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$ and \mathbf{p}_{k+2} is at the end of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, let $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ have reverse normal form

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \rho_0 \Psi \uparrow_{y_0}^{m_0} \rho_1 \Psi \uparrow_{y_1}^{m_1} \rho_2 \Psi \uparrow_{y_2}^{m_2} \dots \rho_h \Psi \uparrow_{y_h}^{m_h} \rho_d z^\lambda,$$

where $m_h = a - 1$. Suppose $3 \leq k \leq n - 1$ is odd and that y_1 is minimal such that $y_1 \leq k - 4$ and $m_1 = k - 2$. Then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ if and only if for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ either $y_i \leq k - 4i - 2$ or $y_i = k - 4i$ and $\rho_i \neq \text{id}$.

Remark. In Lemma 3.18, when a is even, we had to consider some additional restrictions on ℓ . However, the above lemma already states that $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, hence if \mathbf{p}_{k+2} is at the end of the arm, this already forces $\ell = k + 2$.

Proof. After modifying the appropriate indices and notations, the proof is almost identical to the proof of Lemma 3.18. \square

Remark. Note that Proposition 3.17 part 2 corresponds to the case where $t = c$ and for all $1 \leq i < t$ we have that $y_i = k - 4i + 2$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$ (or $y_i = k - 4i$ and $\chi_i \neq \text{id}$ if $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$). Thus, Lemma 3.25 also shows the converse of Proposition 3.17 part 2, that is if there exists no \mathbf{p}_c as given in the statement then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$.

Definition 3.26. Suppose that

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cccccccc} \boxed{1} & \cdots & \boxed{x-1} & \boxed{x} & \cdots & \boxed{k-1} & \boxed{k} & \boxed{k+1} & \boxed{k+2} & \cdots & \boxed{\ell} \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{p_m-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{p_m} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{p_1-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{p_1} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\ \boxed{v} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where v is maximal such that $v < k - 1$, the section of $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ $p_m - 1, p_m, \dots, p_1 - 1, \dots, v$ contains m pairs and the section of the arm $x - 1, x, \dots, k + 2$ contains $m + 1$ pairs (observe that $p_m = k + 2 - 2r$). Note that if $v - 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ then we have that $v = p_1$.

In this case, we define $(\mathbf{T})_{k+2-2r, k+2}$ to be the standard λ -tableau where \mathbf{p}_{k+2} is moved into $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, \mathbf{p}_{p_m} is moved into $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and all other entries of $\text{Leg}((\mathbf{T})_{k+2-2r, k+2})$ and $\text{Arm}((\mathbf{T})_{k+2-2r, k+2})$ agree with those of \mathbf{T} . This can be easily visualised as a ‘clockwise’ rotation of the entries $p_m - 1, p_m, \dots, k + 2$. Thus,

$$\begin{array}{c}
(\mathbf{T})_{m,k} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\boxed{1} & \cdots & \boxed{p_{m-1}} \boxed{p_m} & \cdots & \boxed{x-1} \boxed{x} & \cdots & \boxed{k-1} \boxed{k} & \cdots & \boxed{\ell} \\
\vdots & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{p_1-1} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{p_1} & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{v} & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{k+1} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{k+2} & & & & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & & & &
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

where the omitted parts are identical to those in \mathbf{T} .

Example 3.27. Consider $\lambda = (9, 1^9)$ and take $k = 15$. If $r = 6$ and \mathbf{T} is as below, we have that:

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\boxed{1} & \boxed{8} & \boxed{10} & \boxed{11} & \boxed{12} & \boxed{14} & \boxed{15} & \boxed{16} & \boxed{17} \\
\boxed{2} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{3} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{4} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{5} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{6} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{7} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{9} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{13} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{18} & & & & & & & &
\end{array}
\quad
(\mathbf{T})_{5,17} = \begin{array}{cccccccc}
\boxed{1} & \boxed{4} & \boxed{5} & \boxed{8} & \boxed{10} & \boxed{11} & \boxed{12} & \boxed{14} & \boxed{15} \\
\boxed{2} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{3} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{6} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{7} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{9} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{13} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{16} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{17} & & & & & & & & \\
\boxed{18} & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

Observe that in this case

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_9 \Psi_{\downarrow}^7 \psi_{10} \psi_{11} \Psi_{\downarrow}^9 \Psi_{\downarrow}^{13} \Psi_{\downarrow}^{15} = \psi_{10} \psi_{11} \Psi_{\uparrow}^{15} \psi_9 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{13} \Psi_{\uparrow}^{11} \Psi_{\uparrow}^9$$

and

$$v_{(\mathbf{T})_{5,17}} = \Psi_3 \psi_9 \Psi_{\downarrow}^7 \psi_{10} \psi_{11} \Psi_{\downarrow}^9 \Psi_{\downarrow}^{13} = \psi_{10} \psi_{11} \Psi_{13} \psi_9 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{11} \Psi_{\uparrow}^9.$$

or:psi-arm

Corollary 3.28. Assume $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$.

a) If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (1, 0)$, let $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ have reverse normal form

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \rho_1 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_1} \rho_2 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_2} \dots \rho_h \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_h} (\rho_d) z^\lambda.$$

Suppose that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ and that t is minimal such that $y_t > k - 4t$ or $y_t = k - 4t + 2$ and $\rho_t = \text{id}$.

b) If $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}_k} = (0, 1)$, let $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ have reverse normal form

$$v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi^* \rho_0 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_0} \rho_1 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_1} \rho_2 \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_2} \dots \rho_h \Psi_{\uparrow}^{m_h} (\rho_d) z^\lambda.$$

Suppose that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ and that t is minimal such that $y_t > k - 4t - 2$ or $y_t = k - 4t$ and $\rho_t = \text{id}$.

Then there exists some minimal r such that \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.26 and $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_k v_{(\mathbf{T})_{k+2-2r, k+2}}$ which is in reduced form.

Proof. Just as Corollary 3.21, the result follows immediately from Lemma 3.25. \square

If we have a tableau \mathbf{T} with $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2}$ in the arm or in the leg, we can easily calculate whether ψ_k rotates the dominoes as given in Definitions 3.19 and 3.26 or if $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$. Assume that $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and that we wish to determine the action of ψ_k on $v_{\mathbf{T}}$. We start counting the pairs in the natural order (starting with \mathbf{p}_k). If we have counted $m + 1$ pairs in the leg and m in the arm, we stop and perform the rotation as given in Corollary 3.21. If we reach the highest indexed pair without ever satisfying this condition, $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$.

Similarly, assume that $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and consider the expression $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}}$. We start counting the pairs backwards from \mathbf{p}_{k+2} . If at any point we have counted $m + 1$ pairs in the arm and m in the leg, we stop and perform the rotation as given in Corollary 3.28. If we arrive at the lowest indexed pair without ever satisfying this condition, once again we see that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$. Note that the highest (resp. lowest) indexed pair is not necessarily \mathbf{p}_n (resp. \mathbf{p}_3).

Proposition 3.29. *The algorithms above give the correct reduced form for $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}}$.*

Proof. We start with the first algorithm. The proof itself is just a translation between the algorithm and Corollary 3.21. Assume that y_1 is minimal such that $m_1 \leq k \leq y_1$, that is the first pair the algorithm counts in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ is \mathbf{p}_{y_1} .

When we get to the r th pair in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, we must have counted at least $r + 2$ in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, otherwise the algorithm would terminate. Thus we see that we counted at least $2r + 2$ pairs in total, starting with \mathbf{p}_k and so $y_r \geq 4r + k$ or $y_r = 4r + k - 2$ and $\chi_r \neq \text{id}$.

If the algorithm gets to the final pair without ever satisfying the condition that we have counted one more pair in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ than in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, it is easy to see that the above inequality (resp. equality) holds for all r , so we know by Lemma 3.18 that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$. Otherwise, if we counted f many pairs in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $f + 1$ in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, then by Corollary 3.21, we have the desired result.

The case when y_1 is minimal such that $m_1 \leq k + 2 \leq y_1$ and the second algorithm can be proved analogously. \square

At last, we have completely determined the action of the different generators on the basis elements $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ of S^λ . We summarise our results in the next statement.

action

Proposition 3.30. *Let $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$, $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with corresponding $v_{\mathbf{T}}$.*

$$(1) e(\mathbf{j})v_{\mathbf{T}} = \delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} v_{\mathbf{T}}$$

$$(2)$$

$$y_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{cases} -v_{s_k \mathbf{T}} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd, } i_k = i_{k+1}, k \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), \text{ and } k + 1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), \\ v_{s_{k-1} \mathbf{T}} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd, } i_{k-1} = i_k, k - 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), \text{ and } k \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(3) \text{ For } k \text{ even:}$$

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{cases} v_{s_k \mathbf{T}} & \text{if } k \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), \text{ and } k + 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(4) For k odd:

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{cases} v_{s_k \mathbf{T}} & \text{if } 1 < k \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), \text{ and } k+1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), \\ -2v_{s_{k-1} s_{k+1} s_k \mathbf{T}} & \text{if } p_k \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), p_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}) \text{ and } \mathbf{i}_{p_k} = \mathbf{i}_{p_{k+2}}, \\ \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{\mathbf{S}} & \text{if } k-1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), k, k+1, k+2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), \\ & i_{k-1} = i_k \text{ and } \mathbf{S} \text{ is as in Lemma 3.14,} \\ \psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{\mathbf{P}} & \text{if } k-1, k, k+1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}), k+2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), \\ & i_{k+1} = i_{k+2} \text{ and } \mathbf{P} \text{ is as in Lemma 3.15,} \\ \psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_r v_{(\mathbf{T})^{2r,k}} & \text{if } p_k, p_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}) \text{ and } \mathbf{T} \text{ satisfies} \\ & \text{the conditions of Definition 3.19,} \\ \psi_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = \psi_r v_{(\mathbf{T})_{2r,k}} & \text{if } p_k, p_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}) \text{ and } \mathbf{T} \text{ satisfies} \\ & \text{the conditions of Definition 3.26,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4. HOMOMORPHISMS INTO S^λ

As before, let $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ and fix $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_Z)$ a partition of n . If there exists a nonzero homomorphism $\varphi : S^\mu \rightarrow S^\lambda$ and $\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum c_{\mathbf{T}} v_{\mathbf{T}}$, then $c_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^\lambda$. We call a node $(a, b) \in \mu$ an *arm node* (resp. *leg node*) with respect to \mathbf{T} if $c_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{T}^\mu(i, j)$ is in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ (resp. in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$).

4.1. A novel way to label hook partitions. In this section, we prove some results that will be used in the proof of our main theorem. In particular, we consider the action of the y and ψ generators on the basis elements $v_{\mathbf{T}} \in S^\lambda$. In order to do this, we introduce an usual, albeit extremely important set of partitions and a rule to assign a coefficient to each. Then, we show that the sum of the coefficients of the partitions in this set always equals to a certain constant (Proposition 4.11). Next, we introduce a convenient way to label tableaux of hook shapes whose arms contain only pairs and unpaired even numbers. The rest of the section is dedicated to showing that if we label tableaux in this way, then the resulting labels and the set of partitions from earlier coincide and all $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ involved in v is labelled by a tableaux of this form.

Lemma 4.1. *If $v = \sum c_{\mathbf{T}} v_{\mathbf{T}}$, then $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$ if and only if for any $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with $\mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^\lambda$, $c_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ and any $1 \leq a < k$ for which μ_a is even, (a, μ_a) is an arm node and $(a+1, 1)$ is a leg node.*

Proof. Let $v = \sum c_{\mathbf{T}} v_{\mathbf{T}}$ and assume that $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$, then we have $y_r v = 0$ for all $1 \leq r \leq n$. By Lemma 3.4, $y_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ unless r and $r+1$ or r and $r-1$ have the same residue. We only show the case where $i_r = i_{r+1}$, the other has a very similar proof. We can only have $i_r = i_{r+1}$ if r and $r+1$ are in different rows in \mathbf{T}^μ , with r at the end of a row and $r+1$ in the first node of the next row. Now, this is only possible if the row containing r has even length, otherwise r and $r+1$ have different residues and $y_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$ for all such r . If $i_r = i_{r+1}$ with $r \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ and $r+1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ for some \mathbf{T} , then $y_r v_{\mathbf{T}} = -v_{s_r \mathbf{T}}$. Then $y_r v \neq 0$, unless there is some \mathbf{T}' involved in v that gives $y_r v_{\mathbf{T}'} = v_{s_r \mathbf{T}'}$ with $i_r = i_{r+1}$. But this is impossible, hence if $J_2^\mu v = 0$, we must have that whenever $i_r = i_{r+1}$ then r is in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ or $r+1$ is in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$. Furthermore, as $i_r = i_{r+1}$ whenever r is in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, we must have that $r+1$ is in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$, because they cannot be next to each other with the same residue.

On the other hand, suppose that $r = T^\mu(a, \mu_a)$ is an arm node and $r+1 = T^\mu(a+1, 1)$ is a leg node for every even length row μ_a in T^μ with $\mathbf{i}^T = \mathbf{i}^\mu = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ for all $T \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ with $c_T \neq 0$. Fix T such that $c_T \neq 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. If $r-1, r, r+1$ are in different rows in T^μ , then $i_r \neq i_{r-1}, i_{r+1}$, so by Lemma 3.4, $y_r v_T = 0$. We immediately see that $y_1 v_T = 0$ as $i_1 \neq i_2$. If r is at the end of an even length row in T^μ , then by our assumption r is in $\text{Arm}(T)$, hence $y_r v_T = 0 = y_{r+1} v_T$. Finally, if $n-1$ and n are in the same row in T^μ , then $i_{n-1} \neq i_n$, so $y_n v_T = 0$. If $n-1 = T^\mu(a-1, \mu_{a-1})$ and μ_{a-1} is odd, then $i_{n-1} \neq i_n$ and if μ_{a-1} , by our assumption $n-1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$ and $n \in \text{Leg}(T)$. Hence $y_r v_T = 0 = y_{r+1} v_T$ for all T , thus it follows that $y_r v = 0$ for all $1 \leq r \leq n$. \square

arm-odd

Lemma 4.2. *If $v = \sum c_T v_T$ such that $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$, $c_T \neq 0$ and $r, r+1, r+2 \in \text{Arm}(T)$ for r odd, then we must also have that $r-1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$.*

Proof. Assume otherwise, i.e. $r-1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$ and $r, r+1, r+2 \in \text{Arm}(T)$. Due to the parity conditions, we must have that $i_{r-1} = i_r$. Then by the previous lemma, we see that in this case we must have $r-1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$ and $r \in \text{Leg}(T)$, a contradiction. Hence, if $c_T \neq 0$ and $r, r+1, r+2 \in \text{Arm}(T)$, we have that $r-1$ is also in $\text{Arm}(T)$. \square

leg-odd

Lemma 4.3. *If $v = \sum c_T v_T$ such that $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$, $c_T \neq 0$ and $r-1, r, r+1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$ for r odd, then $r+2 \in \text{Leg}(T)$.*

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Recall the notion of a pair from Definition 3.2.

Definition 4.4. For $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$, we define the set of *pair-tableaux* of shape λ , denoted by $P(\lambda)$, to be the set of tableaux in which if $k \in \text{Leg}(T)$ for some even $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, then we must have that $k+1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$ and if $k \in \text{Arm}(T)$ for some odd $3 \leq k \leq n$, then we must have that $k-1 \in \text{Arm}(T)$.

The following is an immediate consequence of the previous three lemmas.

cor:pair

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ and $\varphi(z^\mu) = \sum c_T v_T$. If $c_T \neq 0$, then $T \in P(\lambda)$.*

y-pair

Lemma 4.6. *If $T \in P(\lambda)$, then*

- i) $y_k v_T = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$,
- ii) $\psi_k v_T = 0$ for all even $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that k and $k+1$ are adjacent in T , and
- iii) $\psi_k v_T = v_{s_k T}$ for all even $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that $k \in \text{Arm}(T)$ and $k+1 \in \text{Leg}(T)$.

Proof. Follows immediately from the definition of $P(T)$ and Proposition 3.30. \square

def:coeff

Definition 4.7. Let $(\delta_1^{h_1}, \delta_2^{h_2}, \dots, \delta_d^{h_d})$ be a weakly decreasing sequence of non-negative integers such that each δ_i appears with multiplicity h_i . We define the *delta (coefficient) function*

$$\Delta(\delta_1^{h_1}, \dots, \delta_d^{h_d}) := \prod_1^d \mathfrak{d}_x(\delta_1^{h_1}, \dots, \delta_d^{h_d}).$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}_x(\delta_1^{h_1}, \dots, \delta_d^{h_d}) = \binom{\delta_x - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}}{\mathcal{X}},$$

and \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{X} are determined by the following two rules:

- (1) If $\delta_{x-1} - \delta_x \geq h_x$, we set $\mathcal{X} := h_x$ (if $x = 1$, we define $\mathcal{X} := h_1$). Otherwise, we find the maximal $1 \leq y < x-1$ such that $\delta_y - \delta_x \geq \sum_{y+1}^x h_\rho$ and set $\mathcal{X} := \sum_{y+1}^x h_\rho$. If no such y exists, we set $\mathcal{X} := \sum_1^x h_\rho$.

- (2) If $\delta_y - \delta_z \geq \sum_{y+1}^z h_\rho$ for all $1 \leq y \leq x$ and $x+1 \leq z \leq d$ or $x = d$, we set $\mathcal{Y} := 0$. Otherwise, we have to two cases to consider.
- If there exists some minimal $x+1 \leq z \leq d$ such that $\delta_x - \delta_z < \sum_{x+1}^z h_\rho$, we set $\mathcal{Y} := \delta_z$.
 - Otherwise, we find the maximal $1 \leq y \leq x-1$ and the minimal $x+1 \leq z \leq d$ such that $\delta_y - \delta_z < \sum_{y+1}^z h_\rho$ and set $\mathcal{Y} := \delta_z$.

Remark. It is easy to show that if 2a) fails, then the minimal z and maximal y satisfying 2b) are unique.

Example 4.8. For example, if we have the sequence $(13, 10^3, 8^2, 6^3, 3^2, 2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(13, 10^3, 8^2, 6^3, 3^2, 2) &= \prod_{x=1}^6 \mathfrak{d}_x(13, 10^3, 8^2, 6^3, 3^2, 2) \\ &= \underbrace{\binom{13-6+1}{1}}_{\mathfrak{d}_1} \underbrace{\binom{10-6+3}{3}}_{\mathfrak{d}_2} \underbrace{\binom{8-6+2}{2}}_{\mathfrak{d}_3} \\ &\quad \cdot \underbrace{\binom{6+9}{9}}_{\mathfrak{d}_4} \underbrace{\binom{3+2}{2}}_{\mathfrak{d}_6} \underbrace{\binom{2+1}{1}}_{\mathfrak{d}_6}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to state the next definition, we need some additional notation. For some $i \geq 0$ and $v, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $g_v, G_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, h_v, H_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_s, H_s}} &= \underbrace{(i + G_s, i + G_s, \dots, i + G_s)}_{H_s}, \\ \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_v, h_v}} &= \underbrace{(i - g_v, i - g_v, \dots, i - g_v)}_{h_v}. \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned at the beginning of the section, we now introduce a set of partitions which will play a crucial rule when giving an explicit description of $\text{Hom}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$.

def:tau

Definition 4.9. Fix $g_v, G_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $g_v < g_{v+1}$ and $G_s < G_{s+1}$ for all $1 \leq v \leq \omega$ and $1 \leq s \leq \alpha$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_2, H_2}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i}_{j\text{th position}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_2, h_2}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 &= \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_2, H_2}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, i, \underbrace{i}_{j\text{th position}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_2, h_2}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

be a partition with $R = 1 + \sum_{\rho=1}^\alpha H_\rho + \sum_{\rho=1}^\omega h_\rho$ or $R = 2 + \sum_{\rho=1}^\alpha H_\rho + \sum_{\rho=1}^\omega h_\rho$ parts and fix an integer $1 \leq j \leq R$. For $\tau_{(j,0)} := \tau_1$ or τ_2 , we define the set of partitions $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ as follows. If $\tau_j = \tau_{j+1}$, we set $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} := \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $\tau_{(j,0)} = \tau_1$, define $\mathcal{T}'_{i,j} :=$

$\{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \tau_{(j,2)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,\omega+1)}\}$, where

$$\tau_{(j,-1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i-1}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right),$$

$$\tau_{(j,1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i-2}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right),$$

$$\tau_{(j,v+1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1+1, h_1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_2+1, h_2}}, \dots, \right. \\ \left. \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_{v-1}+1, h_{v-1}}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_v+1, h_v+1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_{v+1}, h_{v+1}}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right).$$

(in $\tau_{(j,v+1)}$ the leftmost $i - (g_1 + 1)$ is in position j) and if $\tau_{(j,0)} = \tau_1$, define $\mathcal{T}'_{i,j} := \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \tau_{(j,2)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,\omega+1)}, \tau^{(j,1)}, \tau^{(j,2)}, \dots, \tau^{(j,\alpha+1)}\}$, where

$$\tau_{(j,-1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i}_{j-1\text{th position}}, i-1, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right),$$

$$\tau_{(j,1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i}_{j-1\text{th position}}, i-2, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right),$$

$$\tau_{(j,v+1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i}_{j-1\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1+1, h_1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_2+1, h_2}}, \dots, \right. \\ \left. \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_{v-1}+1, h_{v-1}}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_v+1, h_v+1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_{v+1}, h_{v+1}}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right),$$

$$\tau^{(j,1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i-1}_{j-1\text{th position}}, i-1, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right),$$

$$\tau^{(j,s+1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_s, H_s}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_{s-1}, H_{s-1}-1}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_{s-2}-1, H_{s-2}}}, \right. \\ \left. \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1-1, H_1}}, i-1, \underbrace{i-1}_{j-1\text{th position}}, i-1, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right).$$

Then we define $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ to be the set of all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}'_{i,j}$ satisfying one of the following:

- if $\tau = \tau_{(j,v+1)}$, then $g_v = \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho + 1$ and for each $1 \leq r \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$, we have that $\tau_{j+r} \leq \tau_j - 1 - r$, or
- if $\tau = \tau^{(j,s+1)}$ then $G_{s+1} = \sum_{\rho=1}^s H_\rho + 1$ and for each $1 \leq p \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^s H_\rho$, we have that $\tau_{j-1-p} \leq \tau_{j-1} + p$.

Remarks. We can visualise the set $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ as follows. The Young diagrams of $\tau_{(j,1)}$ and $\tau^{(j,1)}$ are obtained from $\tau_{(j,0)}$ by removing a horizontal (resp. vertical) 2-strip, starting in the last node of row τ_j . (This implies that for $1 \leq k$, $\tau_{(j,k)} \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$, i.e. $\tau_{(j,k)}$ is a valid partition, if and only if $\tau_j \geq \tau_{j+1} + 2$. Similarly, for $1 \leq s$, $\tau^{(j,s)} \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ if and only if $\tau_{j-1} = \tau_j$.)

The Young diagram of each $\tau_{(j,v+1)}$ is obtained from $\tau_{(j,1)}$ by removing a rim consisting of $2 \cdot \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$ nodes, starting in row j and ending in the last node of row $j + \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$. Finally, the Young diagram of each $\tau^{(j,s+1)}$ is obtained from $\tau_{(j,1)}$ by removing a rim consisting of $2 \cdot \sum_{\rho=1}^s h_\rho$ nodes, starting at the end of row $j-2$ and ending in the last node of row $j-1 - \sum_{\rho=1}^{s-1} h_\rho + 1$.


In particular, every $\tau_{(j,v)}$ and $\tau_{(j,s)}$ can be obtained from $\tau_{(j,0)}$ by removing a rim starting in the node (j, τ_j) and ending in a node which is in the set $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2$ where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 := \{(j - m - 1, \tau_j + m) \mid 0 \leq m < m_1 \leq j - 2, (j - m_1 - 1, \tau_j + m_1 + 1) \notin \tau_{(j,-1)}\},$$


$$\mathcal{R}_2 := \{(j + m, \tau_j - m - 1) \mid 0 \leq m < m_2 \leq R - j, (j + m_2, \tau_j - m_2) \notin \tau_{(j,-1)}\}.$$

eg:taus

Example 4.10. Let $\tau_{(4,0)} = (10, 8, 7^2, 5, 3^2, 2^3)$, so we have that




Next, $\tau_{(4,-1)} = (10, 8, 7, 6, 5, 3^2, 2^3)$, hence




where the node highlighted in red is node removed from $[\tau_{(j,0)}]$.


We can visualise the set \mathcal{R} as below. In fact, we see that every blue node sits at the bottom of its column in $[\tau_{(j,-1)}]$ and the set $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ contains seven partitions in total. (Observe that if $\tau_{(j,0)} = \tau_1$, then row $j - 1$ already crosses the blue line, which is why we did not define any $\tau_{(j,s)}$ in this case.)




In the following, we highlight removed nodes in blue. First, we have $\tau_{(4,1)} = (10, 8, 7, 5, 5, 3^2, 2^3)$ and $\tau_{(4,1)} = (10, 8, 6, 6, 5, 3^2, 2^3)$.



Then we have $\tau_{(4,2)} = (10, 8, 7, 4^2, 3^2, 2^3)$ and $\tau_{(4,2)} = (10, 8, 7, 4, 2^6)$.



Finally, $\tau^{(4,2)} = (10, 6^3, 5, 3^2, 2^3)$.



Remark. If $g_v = g_{v+1} - h_{v+1}$ for all $1 \leq v \leq z$ and $G_{s+1} = G_s + H_s$ for all $1 \leq s \leq x$, then $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \tau_{(j,2)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,z+1)}, \tau^{(j,1)}, \tau^{(j,2)}, \dots, \tau^{(j,x+1)}\}$ and

$$|\mathcal{T}_{i,j}| = 2 + z + 1 + x + 1 = x + z + 4.$$

In particular, if $x = \alpha$ and $z = \omega$ and $H_s = 1 = h_v$ for all $1 \leq v \leq z$ and $1 \leq s \leq x$, then $x + z + 4$ is maximal.

The following statement shows that there is a special relation between the Δ -coefficients of the partitions appearing in $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ where $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ is as in Definition 4.9.

prop:2c

Proposition 4.11. *For any $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq R$ and $i > 1$, we have that*

$$\sum_{v=0}^{z+1} \Delta(\tau_{(j,v)}) + \sum_{s=1}^{x+1} \Delta(\tau^{(j,s)}) = 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)})$$

where $\tau_{(j,v)}$ and $\tau^{(j,s)}$ ($-1 \leq v \leq z + 1, 1 \leq s \leq x + 1$) are as in Definition 4.9 and $\Delta(\tau)$ is computed according to Definition 4.7.

Proof. We will prove the statement by considering the different sizes of $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$. Abusing notation, if τ is a partition of the form

$$\left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

or

$$\left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, i, \underbrace{i}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

we write $\Delta(\tau) = \mathfrak{d}_{G_\alpha} \dots \mathfrak{d}_{G_1} \mathfrak{d}(i) \mathfrak{d}_{g_1} \dots \mathfrak{d}_{g_\omega}$. We also set

$$\mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) := \mathfrak{d}_{G_\alpha} \dots \mathfrak{d}_{G_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega) := \mathfrak{d}_{g_1} \dots \mathfrak{d}_{g_\omega}.$$

To simplify notation, we also write $i' = i - \mathcal{Y}$.

The case when $|\mathcal{T}_{i,j}| = 2$

In this case $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}\}$. Recall that if $\tau_{(j,0)} = \tau_2$, then $\tau^{(j,1)} \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$, so here we must have $\tau_{(j,0)} = \tau_1$. Then

$$\tau_{(j,0)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

$$\tau_{(j,-1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{i-1}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

where $G_1 \geq 1$ and $g_1 = 1$ (since if $g_1 \geq 2$, then $\tau_{(j,1)} \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$). If $g_1 = 1 = h_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i' + 1)((i - 1) + 1 - \mathcal{Y})\mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= (i' + 1) \cdot i' \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)\mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \binom{(i-1) - \mathcal{Y} + 2}{2} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= \binom{i' + 1}{2} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)\mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

so $\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) = 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)})$ as required.

Otherwise, if $h_1 > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i - (i - 1) + 1) \binom{(i-1) - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}}{\mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= 2 \cdot \binom{i' - 1 + \mathcal{X}}{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)\mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) = \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \binom{(i-1) - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}}{\mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) = \binom{i' - 1 + \mathcal{X}}{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)\mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega).$$

The case when $|\mathcal{T}_{i,j}| = 3$

We have two cases to consider:

- i) $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}\}$, and
- ii) $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau^{(j,1)}\}$.

Case i)

Here $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}\}$. Once again, we must have that $\tau_{(j,0)} = \tau_1$, so

$$\tau_{(j,0)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{\quad}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

$$\tau_{(j,-1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{\quad}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

$$\tau_{(j,1)} = \left(\boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_\alpha, H_\alpha}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}^{G_1, H_1}}, \underbrace{\quad}_{j\text{th position}}, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_1, h_1}}, \dots, \boxed{\mathcal{I}_{g_\omega, h_\omega}} \right)$$

where $G_1 \geq 1$ and $g_1 \geq 2$. Since $\tau_{(j,v+1)} \notin \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq v \leq \omega$, there are three possibilities.

- 1) If $g_v \geq \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho + 1$ for all $1 \leq v \leq \omega$, then $\mathcal{R}_2 = \{j, \tau_j - 1\}$, that is there are more blue nodes below row j (see Example 4.10) and $\mathcal{Y} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{d}(i)$ in each $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$. This case also includes $j = R$.
- 2) If $h_1 \geq g_1$, then row $j + h_1$ already crosses the blue line and $\mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega)$ is the same in all three partitions in $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$.
- 3) If for some $1 \leq v' < v \leq \omega$ we have that $g_{v'} > \sum_{\rho=1}^{v'} h_\rho + 1$ and $g_v \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$, we see that $\mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v'})$ is the same in all partitions in $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ and we compute $\mathfrak{d}(g_v)$ for each. Here, if $g_v < \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$, then $\mathcal{Y} = i - g_v$ in $\mathfrak{d}(i)$ for all three partitions above, however if $g_v = \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$, then \mathcal{Y} is different in $\mathfrak{d}(i) \in \Delta(\tau_{(j,0)})$ from \mathcal{Y} in $\mathfrak{d}(i)$ in the other two.

Thus, we have three computations: case 2) breaks into $g_1 = h_1$ and $h_1 > g_1$ (if $g_1 \geq h_1 + 1$, then the computation is identical to the $h_1 > g_1$ case by setting $\mathcal{Y} = 0$ in $\mathfrak{d}(i)$) and the special case from case 3).

Case 2a): If $h_1 = g_1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i' + 1) \binom{(i - g_1) + h_1 - \mathcal{Y}}{h_1} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= (i' + 1) \binom{i'}{h_1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)((i - 1) - (i - g_1) + 1) \binom{(i - g_1) + h_1 + 1 - \mathcal{Y}}{h_1 + 1} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= g_1 \cdot \binom{i' + 1}{h_1 + 1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)((i - 2) - (i - g_1) + 1) \binom{(i - g_1) + h_1 + 1 - \mathcal{Y}}{h_1 + 1} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= (g_1 - 1) \cdot \binom{i' + 1}{h_1 + 1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(i' + 1) \binom{i'}{h_1} = \frac{(i' + 1)!}{h_1!(i' - h_1)!},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) + \Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) &= \left(\frac{(i' + 1)!}{h_1!(i' - h_1)!} + (h_1 - 1) \frac{(i' + 1)!}{(h_1 + 1)!(i' - h_1)!} \right) \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= 2h_1 \cdot \frac{(i' + 1)!}{(h_1 + 1)!(i' - h_1)!} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) = 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)})\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Case 2b): Otherwise, if $h_1 > g_1$,

$$\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) = \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i - (i - g_1) + 1) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega) = (g_1 + 1) \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega)$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) = \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i - 1 - (i - g_1) + 1) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega) = g_1 \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega)$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) = \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i - 2 - (i - g_1) + 1) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega) = (g_1 - 1) \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega).$$

Hence $\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) + \Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) = 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)})$ as required. We see that the final computation also applies to the case when $j = R$, as then $i - g_1 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{d}(g_1^\omega)$ is empty.

Case 3): If $g_{v'} > \sum_{\rho=1}^{v'} h_\rho + 1$ for all $1 \leq v' < v \leq \omega$ and $g_v = \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)(i - \mathcal{Y} + 1) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v-1}) \binom{i - g_v - \mathcal{Y} + \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho}{\sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho} \mathfrak{d}(g_{v+1}^\omega) \\ &= (i' + 1) \cdot \binom{i'}{g_v} \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v-1}) \mathfrak{d}(g_{v+1}^\omega)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)((i - 1) - (i - g_v) + 1) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v-1}) \binom{i - g_v - \mathcal{Y} + \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho + 1}{\sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho + 1} \mathfrak{d}(g_{v+1}^\omega) \\ &= g_v \cdot \binom{i' + 1}{g_v + 1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v-1}) \mathfrak{d}(g_{v+1}^\omega)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) &= \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)((i - 2) - (i - g_v) + 1) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v-1}) \binom{i - g_v - \mathcal{Y} + \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho + 1}{\sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho + 1} \mathfrak{d}(g_{v+1}^\omega) \\ &= (g_v - 1) \cdot \binom{i' + 1}{g_v + 1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_1^{v-1}) \mathfrak{d}(g_{v+1}^\omega)\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients with case 2a), we see that $\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) + \Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) = 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)})$.

Case ii)

Here $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau^{(j,1)}\}$. Similar to the previous case, however we have that $g_1 = 1$ and $G_2 \neq 1$. As $G_{s+1} \neq \sum_{\rho=1}^s H_\rho + 1$ for all $1 \leq s \leq \alpha - 1$, we only have two cases to consider: $h_1 = 1$ or $h_1 > 1$. (We do not have case 3 as $g_1 \geq 1$.)

We consider the case when $G_1 = 0$ below. However it is easy to see that if $G_1 \geq 2$, then setting $\mathcal{X}_i = 2$ and replacing $\mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha)$ with $\mathfrak{d}(G_1^\alpha)$ yields the same result. In general, $\mathcal{X}_i = \sum_{\rho=1}^y H_\rho$ where y is minimal such that $G_{y+1} > \sum_{\rho=1}^y H_\rho + 1$.

Case 1: If $h_1 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{(j,0)} &= \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \binom{i' + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i} \cdot (i - 1 - \mathcal{Y} + 1) \cdot \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\ &= \binom{i' + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i} \cdot i' \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{(j,-1)} &= \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \binom{i - (i-1) + \mathcal{X}_i - 1}{\mathcal{X}_i - 1} \binom{i-1 - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}_i + 1}{\mathcal{X}_i + 1} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
&= \mathcal{X}_i \cdot \binom{i' + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i + 1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
\tau_{(j,1)} &= \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \binom{i - (i-1) + \mathcal{X}_i - 2}{\mathcal{X}_i - 2} \binom{i-1 - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}_i + 1}{\mathcal{X}_i + 1} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
&= (\mathcal{X}_i - 1) \cdot \binom{i' + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i + 1} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

We also see that

$$\binom{i' + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i} = \frac{(i' + \mathcal{X}_i)!}{\mathcal{X}_i! \cdot i'} = \frac{\mathcal{X}_i + 1}{i'} \cdot \binom{i' + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i + 1}.$$

Thus

$$\Delta(\tau_{(j,0)}) + \Delta(\tau_{(j,1)}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}_i} \cdot \left((\mathcal{X}_i + 1) + (\mathcal{X}_i - 1) \right) \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) = 2 \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}).$$

Case 2: If $h_1 > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{(j,0)} &= \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \binom{i - (i-1) + \mathcal{X}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i} \binom{i-1 - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}_{i-1}}{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
&= (\mathcal{X}_i + 1) \cdot \binom{i' - 1 + \mathcal{X}_{i-1}}{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
\tau_{(j,-1)} &= \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \binom{i - (i-1) + \mathcal{X}_i - 1}{\mathcal{X}_i - 1} \binom{i-1 - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}_{i-1}}{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
&= \mathcal{X}_i \cdot \binom{i' - 1 + \mathcal{X}_{i-1}}{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
\tau_{(j,1)} &= \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \binom{i - (i-1) + \mathcal{X}_i - 2}{\mathcal{X}_i - 2} \binom{i-1 - \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X}_{i-1}}{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega) \\
&= (\mathcal{X}_i - 1) \cdot \binom{i' - 1 + \mathcal{X}_{i-1}}{\mathcal{X}_{i-1}} \cdot \mathfrak{d}(G_2^\alpha) \mathfrak{d}(g_2^\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

The case when $|\mathcal{T}_{i,j}| \geq 4$

We have four cases to consider:

- i) $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}\}$,
- ii) $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,z+1)}\}$,
- iii) $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,x+1)}\}$, and
- iv) $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,z+1)}, \tau_{(j,1)}, \dots, \tau_{(j,x+1)}\}$.

This case can be considered similarly. Details can be included by switching the toggle in the arXiv version of this paper. \square

Example 4.12. Continuing with Example 4.10, we have that

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,-1)}) = \Delta(10, 8, 7, 6, 5, 3^2, 2^3) = \frac{12 \cdot 11 \cdot 9 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3}{2} = \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}).$$

Then

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,0)}) = \Delta(10, 8, 7^2, 5, 3^2, 2^3) = \frac{8}{3 \cdot 5} \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}),$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,1)}) = \Delta(10, 8, 7, 5^2, 3^2, 2^3) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}),$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,2)}) = \Delta(10, 8, 7, 4^2, 3^2, 2^3) = \frac{2}{2 \cdot 4} \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}),$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,3)}) = \Delta(10, 8, 7, 4, 2^6) = \frac{1}{4 \cdot 5} \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}),$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,1)}) = \Delta(10, 8, 6^2, 5, 3^2, 2^3) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}),$$

$$\Delta(\tau_{(4,2)}) = \Delta(10, 6^3, 5, 3^2, 2^3) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} \cdot \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}).$$

So we have

$$\sum_{\rho=0}^3 \Delta(\tau_{(4,\rho)}) + \sum_{\rho=1}^2 \Delta(\tau_{(4,\rho)}) = 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)})$$

as required.

At this point, we turn our attention back to tableaux of hook shapes and give a very useful way to label (some of) them.

ef:tableau

Definition 4.13. Let $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{P}(\lambda)$. Fix a set of positive even integers $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_\rho\}$ such that $e_i + 2 = e_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \rho - 1$ and $1 \leq \rho \leq a - 1$. We define $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbf{P}(\lambda)$ to be the set of all $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{P}(\lambda)$, such that for some $v_i < v_{i+1}$, $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ contains entries $\mathbf{p}_{r_1}, \mathbf{p}_{r_2}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_s}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}_{r_{s+1}}, \mathbf{p}_{r_{s+2}}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{r_d}, (n)$ in this order where $2d + \rho + 1(+1) = a$ (we see that $n \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ can only happen if n is even). If \mathbf{T}_0 is the ‘least dominant’ pair-tableau in $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E}$, that is $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_0)$ contains entries $\mathbf{p}_{e_1-2x-1}, \mathbf{p}_{e_1-2x+1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{e_1-3}, \mathbf{p}_{e_1-1}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{p}_{e_\rho+3}, \mathbf{p}_{e_\rho+5}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{n-2}, \mathbf{p}_n$ (or shift each entry by one if n is even) for some $1 < x$ such that $e_1 - 2x - 1 \geq 3$, we define $\mathbf{T}_{(1)} := \mathbf{T}_0$ with corresponding $Z_1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ of length d .

Otherwise, we label each $\mathbf{T}_{(i)} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E}$ by $Z_i = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_d)$ where

$$\delta_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1(j)-i(j)}{2} - \rho & \text{if } 1(j) > e_\rho \text{ and } i(j) < e_1 \\ \frac{1(j)-i(j)}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbf{p}_{1(j)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{p}_{i(j)}$) is the j -th pair in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_{(1)})$ (resp. $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_{(i)})$) and $1 \leq j \leq d$. We see that $0 \leq \delta_j \leq (b - \rho)/2$.

In particular, for $\mathbf{T}_{(i)}, \mathbf{T}_{(j)} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E}$, we have that $i < j$ if $Z_i < Z_j$ in the lexicographic order on partitions.

Example 4.14. Let $\lambda = (5, 1^4)$ and $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$. Then there are six tableaux in $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{T}_{(1)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} & \mathbf{T}_{(2)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} & \mathbf{T}_{(3)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{T}_{(4)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} & \mathbf{T}_{(5)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} & \mathbf{T}_{(6)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Indeed, we have $Z_1 = (0, 0) < Z_2 = (1, 0) < Z_3 = (1, 1) < Z_4 = (2, 0) < Z_5 = (2, 1) < Z_6 = (2, 2)$.

Remark. Hence, now we have a way to label certain hook tableaux by partitions. In fact, we will see later that $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_Z)$ uniquely determines the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{T}^\mu(m, \mu_m) \text{ is even} \mid 1 \leq m \leq Z\},$$

and by Proposition 3.30 and Lemma 4.1 if $\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum c_{\mathbf{T}} v_{\mathbf{T}} \in S^\lambda$, then $c_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$ only if $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)_{\mathcal{E}}$.

If $Z_p = \tau_{(j,y)}$ or $\tau^{(j,y)}$ labels $\mathbf{T}_{(p)}$ for some fixed i , we write $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ and label the corresponding basis vector by $v_{\tau_{(j,y)}}$. We will always make sure that it is clear from the context whether we are referring to $\tau_{(j,y)}$ or $\tau^{(j,y)}$. To simplify notation, we also write $v_{s_k \tau_{(j,y)}}$ for the basis vector corresponding to the tableau $s_k \mathbf{T}_{(p)}$.

For k odd such that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} \neq 0$, we will rename the different actions appearing in Proposition 3.30 depending on the number of pairs involved in the resulting rotation (see Corollaries 3.21 and 3.28).

- 0 – 0 move: $\mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$.
- 1 – 1 move: $\mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$.
- $(L) - (L-1)$ move: $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$. Here \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.19 and $L = m+1$. In general, we will refer to these as *leg moves*.
- $(A-1) - (A)$ move: $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. Here \mathbf{T} is as in Definition 3.26 and $A = m+1$. In general, we will refer to these as *arm moves*.

Abusing notation, if $\tau_{(j,y)}$ or $\tau^{(j,y)}$ labels $\mathbf{T}_{(p)} \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)_{\mathcal{E}}$, we write $\text{Arm}(\tau_{(j,y)})$ and $\text{Leg}(\tau_{(j,y)})$ for $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_{(p)})$ and $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T}_{(p)})$, respectively. For the rest of this section, we also assume that \mathbf{p}_k is the j th pair in $\text{Arm}(\tau_{(j,y)})$ and simply write $\tau(y)$ instead of $\tau_{(j,y)}$ and $\tau^{(j,y)}$.

By Proposition 3.30, we see that if $\psi_k \neq 0$, then the pair \mathbf{p}_k is rotated into $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. Assume that $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum c_{\mathbf{T}} v_{\mathbf{T}}$. When considering the action of ψ_k on v , we would like to break v into smaller sums such that

$$\psi_k v = \psi_k \sum_x c_{\mathbf{T}_{(x)}} v_{\mathbf{T}_{(x)}} = \sum_x \sum_y c_{\mathbf{T}_{(y)}} \underbrace{\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(y)}}}_{= a_y \cdot v_{s_k \mathbf{T}_{(x)}}}. \quad (4.1) \quad \boxed{\text{req:double}}$$

(By Proposition 3.30, we already know that $a = 1$ or -2 .) The rest of this section is dedicated to finding the right set of tableaux and coefficients and proving that

$$\psi_k \sum_y c_{\mathbf{T}_{(y)}} v_{\mathbf{T}_{(y)}} = 0.$$

Lemma 4.15. *If $\mathsf{T}_{(p)} \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E}$ with $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ and \mathbf{p}_k is the j th pair in $\text{Arm}(\tau(0))$ and $e_1 \neq k + 1$, then*

- i) $\psi_k v_{\mathsf{T}_{(p)}} = a_\tau \cdot v_{s_k \tau(0)}$ for all odd $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$ where $a_\tau = -2$ if $Z_p = \tau_{(-1)}$ and $a_\tau = 1$ otherwise,
- ii) if $k = n - 1$ is odd, then

$$\psi_k v_{\mathsf{T}_{(p)}} = \begin{cases} v_{s_k \mathsf{T}_{(p)}} & \text{if } n \in \text{Leg}(\tau(0)) \\ 0 & \text{if } n \in \text{Arm}(\tau(0)), \end{cases}$$

- iii) $\psi_r v_{\mathsf{T}_{(p)}} = v_{s_r \mathsf{T}_{(p)}}$ if $r \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Case iii) follows from Lemma 4.6. If $k = n - 1$ and $n \in \text{Arm}(\tau(0))$, then $\psi_{n-1} v_{\mathsf{T}_{(p)}} = 0$ by Proposition 3.30 as $n \in \text{Arm}(\tau(v))$ for all $\mathsf{T}_{(p)} \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E}$. If $k = n - 1$ and $n \in \text{Leg}(\tau(0))$, the statement holds by Proposition 3.30.

For case i), we first consider leg moves, that is for $v_{\tau(y)}$ the corresponding $\mathsf{T}_{(p)}$ is labelled by $Z_p = \tau_{(y)}$. Since $e_1 \neq k + 1$, it is easy to see that for all $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k v_{\tau(0)} &= v_{s_k \tau(0)} && \text{as } \mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Arm}(\tau(0)), \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\tau(0)) \\ \psi_k v_{\tau(-1)} &= -2v_{s_k \tau(0)} && \text{as } \mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Leg}(\tau(-1)), \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\tau(-1)) \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Proposition 3.30

$$\psi_k v_{\tau(1)} = v_{s_k \tau(0)}$$

as $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\tau(1))$ and $\mathbf{p}_x \in \text{Arm}(\tau(1))$ where $x \geq k + 4$ (\mathbf{p}_x must exist by definition). The condition $e_1 \geq k + 3$ or $e_1 \leq k - 3$ ensures that for all $1 \leq v \leq z$ we have that $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2}, \mathbf{p}_x \in \text{Leg}(\tau(v + 1))$ (as $g_1 \geq 2$). Further, the position of \mathcal{E} does not actually matter, since by Proposition 3.30 if $\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Leg}(\tau(v + 1))$, to compute $\psi_k v_{\tau(v+1)}$ we only need to count the pairs in $\text{Leg}(\tau(v + 1))$ and $\text{Arm}(\tau(v + 1))$, starting with \mathbf{p}_k and even entries are ignored. After \mathbf{p}_k , we see that there are g_1 pairs in $\text{Leg}(\tau(v + 1))$ which are smaller than the one in position j in $\text{Arm}(\tau(v + 1))$. The next h_1 pairs are in $\text{Arm}(\tau(v + 1))$, followed by $g_2 - g_1$ pairs in the leg. In general, each g_m ($1 \leq m \leq v$) many pairs in $\text{Leg}(\tau(v + 1))$ are followed by $g_{m+1} - g_m$ pairs in $\text{Arm}(\tau(v + 1))$. In total there are $\sum_1^v h_m + 1 = g_v$ pairs in $\text{Arm}(\tau(v + 1))$ between positions j and $j + g_{v+1} - 1$. Then, we count the number of pairs in $\text{Leg}(\tau(v + 1))$ as

$$g_1 + g_2 - g_1 + g_3 - g_2 + \cdots + g_v - g_{v-1} + 1 = g_v + 1$$

where the plus one accounts for the pair \mathbf{p}_k .

Assume that $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$. Using the facts that for all $1 \leq r \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^v h_\rho$, $\tau_{j+r} \leq \tau_j - 1 - r$, and that for all $\sum_{\rho=1}^{w-1} h_\rho < r \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^w h_\rho$ with $1 \leq w \leq v$, we have that $\tau_{j+r} = i - g_w$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} i - g_w = \tau_{j+r} &\leq i - 1 - \sum_{\rho=1}^w h_\rho \\ &\Rightarrow g_w \geq \sum_{\rho=1}^w h_\rho + 1 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $g_w = \sum_{\rho=1}^w h_\rho + 1$, then $\tau_{(j,w+1)} \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$. Hence g_v is the minimal number of pairs in $\text{Arm}(\tau(v + 1))$ in the sense of Definition 3.19. Thus all $\mathsf{T}_{(p)}$ labelled by $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ satisfy the conditions of case 3 in Proposition 3.30, so $\psi_k v_{\mathsf{T}_{(p)}} = v_{s_k \tau(0)}$ as claimed.

Arm moves can be proved in a similar way. \square

Using the previous lemma, we will show that setting $c_{\mathbf{T}} = \Delta(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{T}_{(y)} \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ in eq. (4.1), we obtain a homomorphism given by

$$\psi_k v = \psi_k \sum_{\mathbf{T}_{(x)} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_{\mathcal{E}}} c_{\mathbf{T}_{(x)}} v_{\mathbf{T}_{(x)}} = \psi_k \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq R \\ 0 \leq i \leq \frac{b-\rho}{2}}} \underbrace{\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}} \Delta(\tau) v_{\tau}}_{=: \mathcal{S}_{i,j}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq R \\ 0 \leq i \leq \frac{b-\rho}{2}}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}} \Delta(\tau) \underbrace{\psi_k v_{\tau}}_{=: a_{\tau} v_{s_k \tau(0)}}$$

where $\Delta(\tau)$ is computed according to Definition 4.7.

Remark. If $\mathcal{S}_{i,j}$ is as above and $|\mathcal{T}_{i,j}| = m$, then it is easy to see that $2 \leq m \leq R+2$. The lower bound is clear, as by definition we have that $\{\tau_{(j,0)}, \tau_{(j,-1)}\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$. For the upper bound, we just need to count the number of possible leg and arm moves, which gives us $R-j+1+j-1+2 = R+2$ where the plus two accounts for the moves $0-0$ and $1-1$.

Proposition 4.16. *Suppose that $\mathbf{T}_{(p)} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_{\mathcal{E}}$ with corresponding Z_p and that $3 \leq k \leq n-2$ is odd such that \mathbf{p}_k is the j th (where $1 \leq j \leq R$) pair in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_{(p)})$ and $\delta_j = i$ (where $0 \leq i \leq \frac{b-\rho}{2}$) in Z_p . Then for each pair of integers (i, j) , we have that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(p)}} = v_{s_k \tau(0)}$ if and only if $e_1 \neq k+1$ and $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$.*

Proof. Fix $3 \leq k \leq n-2$. We have already shown in Lemma 4.15 that if $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ and $e_1 \neq k+1$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(p)}} = c \cdot v_{\tau(0)}$ where $c = -2$ if $Z_p = \tau(-1)$ and $c = 1$ otherwise.

To prove the converse, suppose $e_1 = k+1$. In this case,

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0$$

for all $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_{\mathcal{E}}$ by Proposition 3.30 since we either have $k-1, k, k+1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), k+2 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ or $k+1 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}), k-1, k, k+2 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$. For the rest of the proof, we assume that $e_1 \neq k+1$.

By Proposition 3.30, we see that

$$\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(p)}} = -2 v_{s_{k-1} s_{k+1} s_k \mathbf{T}_{(p)}}$$

if and only if $\mathbf{p}_{k+2} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{p}_k \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ which is precisely the case when $Z_p = \tau(-1)$ (then we also see that $s_{k-1} s_{k+1} s_k \mathbf{T}_{(p)} = s_k \tau(0)$). Since $e_1 \neq k+1$, \mathbf{p}_{k+2} exists for all $3 \leq k \leq n-2$. We also see that if $k = n-1$, then $j = R$ and $i = 0$, so $\tau(-1)$ is not a valid partition and $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \emptyset$.

Assume that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(p)}} \neq 0$ such that the resulting rotation changes at most one pair in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_{(p)})$. It is clear that there are at most three possible moves $(0-0, 1-1, 2-1)$ and we must have that $\delta_{j-1} \geq i+1$ (as otherwise the $1-2$ move can occur) and $\delta_{j+1} = i-1$ or $\delta_{j+1} \leq i-2$ such that if $\delta_{j+1} = i-x$, then it is repeated either less than or more than x times. We see that any such $\mathbf{T}_{(p)}$ is labelled by some $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$.

Next, assume that $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(p)}} \neq 0$ such that the resulting rotation changes at most two pairs in $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T}_{(p)})$ (these moves are three listed in the previous paragraph plus $3-2$ and $1-2$).

- If $\delta_{j-1} = \delta_j = \delta_{j+1} = i$, then $\psi_k v_{\mathbf{T}_{(p)}}$ is either zero or the resulting rotation moves \mathbf{p}_k from the j th position.
- If $\delta_{j+1} = i-1$, then the $0-0$ move is allowed by. But by Proposition 3.30, we see that leg moves are only possible if \mathbf{p}_k and \mathbf{p}_{k+2} are both in the leg and pair in position j is larger than $k+2$, which requires $\delta_{j+1} \leq i-2$. If $\delta_{j-1} \geq i+1$, then we already saw that the rotation can involve at most one pair in the arm. If $\delta_{j-1} = i$, we must have that $\delta_{j-2} \geq i+1$, otherwise the $2-3$ move would be allowed.
- If $\delta_{j-1} = i = \delta_j$, and $\delta_{j+1} \leq i-x$ ($x \geq 2$), then x must be as above and $\delta_{j-2} \geq i+2$. If $\delta_{j-1} = i+1$, we already know that the rotation can change at most one pair in the arm.

We see that each $\mathsf{T}_{(p)}$ described above is labelled by $Z_p \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$.

Next, assume that $\psi_k v_{\mathsf{T}_{(p)}} \neq 0$ such that the resulting rotation changes at least three pairs in $\text{Arm}(\mathsf{T}_{(p)})$ and that $\tau_{(j,0)}$ is of the form as in Definition 4.9.

Suppose that for some $1 \leq v' \leq \omega$ such that $\tau_{(j,v')} \notin \mathcal{T}_{i,j}$. It is clear that if $g_{v'} \neq \sum_{\rho=1}^{v'} h_\rho + 1$, then by Proposition 3.30 and a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.15, we have that $\psi_k v_{\tau_{(j,v')}} = 0$.

Finally, suppose that $g_{v'} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{v'} h_\rho + 1$ and for some $1 \leq r \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^{v'} h_\rho$, we have that $\tau_{j+r} > \tau_j - 2 - r$. This implies that $g_w < \sum_{\rho=1}^w h_\rho + 1$ for some $w \leq v'$. In particular, looking at the proof of Lemma 4.15, the minimal number of pairs is not $g_{v'}$ in this case, hence $\psi_k v_{\tau_{(j,v')}} \neq v_{s_k \tau_{(j,0)}}$.

Arm moves can be considered using a similar reasoning. \square

Corollary 4.17. *We have that $\psi_k \mathcal{S}_{i,j} = 0$ for all odd $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$, $1 \leq j \leq R$ and $0 \leq i \leq \frac{b-\rho}{2}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.11 and Lemma 4.15, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k \mathcal{S}_{i,j} &= \psi_k \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{i,j}} \Delta(\tau) v_\tau \\ &= \psi_k \left(\sum_{v=0}^{z+1} \Delta(\tau_{(j,v)}) v_{\tau(v)} + \sum_{s=1}^{x+1} \Delta(\tau_{(j,s)}) v_{\tau(s)} \right) + \psi_k \Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) v_{\tau(-1)} \\ &= 2\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) v_{s_k \tau_{(j,0)}} + (-2)\Delta(\tau_{(j,-1)}) v_{s_k \tau_{(j,0)}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.18. *If $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ with $a \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$, then every $\mathsf{T} \in \mathsf{Z}(\lambda)_\varepsilon$ appears in at least one $\mathcal{S}_{i,j}$ for some odd $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$.*

Proof. We see that $\mathsf{T} \in \mathsf{Z}(\lambda)_\varepsilon$ does not appear in $\mathcal{S}_{i,j}$ if and only if $\psi_k v_{\mathsf{T}} = 0$ for all odd $3 \leq k \leq n - 2$, but this is impossible. \square

4.2. Homomorphisms from hook partitions. In this section, we study homomorphisms from S^μ to S^λ where μ is also a hook shape. First, we look at $\mu = (1^n)$ or (n) and completely determine $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ in these cases. Next, we generalise these results for all $\mu = (c, 1^d)$ by finding at least one nonzero homomorphism if it exists. The results are summarised in Theorem 4.32.

Remark. As we will see, all the homomorphisms constructed in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3 have integer coefficients and leading coefficient one, so our results hold for any field \mathbb{F} with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = p$, we only need to reduce the coefficients modulo p .

4.2.1. $\mu = (1^n)$ or $\mu = (n)$. It is clear that if $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ then $\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum c_{\mathsf{T}} v_{\mathsf{T}}$ such that $\mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathsf{T}}$. This together with Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 imply that

$$\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum_{\substack{\mathsf{T} \in \mathsf{P}(\lambda) \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathsf{T}}}} c_{\mathsf{T}} v_{\mathsf{T}}.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we see that $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$ for all such v . If $\mu = (n)$, there are no Garnir nodes and if $\mu = (1^n)$, the Garnir relations are given by $\psi_k z^\mu = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Hence in order to prove the existence of a homomorphism, we only need to show that $\psi_k v = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and this will ensure that $(J_3^\mu + J_4^\mu)v = 0$. The residue conditions also imply that all entries in T must appear in pairs (except if n is even, in which case n is not in a pair) and so $\psi_r v_{\mathsf{T}} = 0$ for all even $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$.

Remark. Considering Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, it might seem that we could still have $r - 1, r + 2 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $r, r + 1 \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$ for some odd r such that $\mathbf{i}_{r-1} = \mathbf{i}_{r+1}$, however by a similar argument as in the remark after Lemma 3.4, we see that this is impossible.

First, we consider n odd.

Proposition 4.19. *Let n be odd, $\mu = (1^n)$ or (n) and $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$. We have that $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is one dimensional, spanned by*

$$\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{P}(\lambda) \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}}}} \Delta(\mathbf{T})v_{\mathbf{T}},$$

if a is odd and zero otherwise.

Proof. If n is odd and a is even, then the modules S^μ and S^λ lie in different blocks and so $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) = 0$. So we can assume that a is odd. As $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\nu, S^{(1^n)})$ is at most one dimensional, with the only possible homomorphism given by $z^\nu \mapsto v_{\mathbf{T}(1^n)}$, we can use the isomorphism given in the introduction to show that $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is either zero or one. Thus, once we find a nonzero v , then v is unique up to scalar multiplication. By Proposition 4.18, we see that all elements of the set $\{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\emptyset \mid \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}}\}$ appear in v and by Corollary 4.17, $\psi_k v = 0$ for odd $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, hence $(J_3^\mu + J_4^\mu)v = 0$ and we proved the statement. \square

Example 4.20. Let $\mu = (1^9)$ and $\lambda = (5, 1^4)$. Then $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(1^9)}, S^{(5, 1^4)}) = 1$ and

$$v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 3 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ + 3 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 6 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 6 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Next we consider n even. It is easy to see that by the same reasoning as for n odd, we only have to check $(J_3^\mu + J_4^\mu)v = 0$.

Proposition 4.21. *Let n be even, $\mu = (1^n)$ or (n) and $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$. We have that $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) = 1$ is one dimensional, spanned by*

$$\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{P}(\lambda) \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}}}} \Delta(\mathbf{T})v_{\mathbf{T}},$$

if a is even and zero otherwise.

Proof. If a is even, the proof is essentially the same as in Proposition 4.19. Assume that there exists some $\varphi' \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ with n even and a odd such that $\varphi'(z^\mu) = v' = \sum_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{P}(\lambda)} c_{\mathbf{T}} v_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{n-1} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$. By Proposition 3.30, $\psi_{n-1} v_{\mathbf{T}} = v_{s_{n-1}\mathbf{T}}$. But it is easy to see that there exists no other $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{P}(\lambda)$ such that $\psi_{n-1} v_{\mathbf{S}} = v_{s_{n-1}\mathbf{T}}$, hence we must have that $\mathbf{p}_{n-1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$.

Next, we look at the possible position of \mathbf{p}_{n-3} in \mathbf{T} :

- 1) $\mathfrak{p}_{n-3} \in \text{Arm}(\mathbb{T}), \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$: in this case $\psi_{n-3}v_{\mathbb{T}} = v_{s_{n-3}\mathbb{T}}$;
- 2) $\mathfrak{p}_{n-3}, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$: in this case $\psi_{n-3}v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ by Proposition 3.30.

We see that if $n-3 \in \text{Arm}(\mathbb{T})$, then $\psi_{n-3}v_{\mathbb{T}} \neq 0$ by case 1) above. As $\mathfrak{p}_{n-1} \in \text{Leg}(\mathbb{T})$ for all \mathbb{T} involved in v , it is clear that $\psi_{n-3}v \neq 0$. Thus only case 2) is possible. We can use the same reasoning for all $3 \leq k < n-3$ and conclude that if n is even and a is odd then $\lambda = (1^n)$ or (n) , that is $S^\mu \cong S^\lambda$ and we only have the trivial homomorphism. \square

Example 4.22. Let $\mu = (1^8)$ and $\lambda = (4, 1^4)$. Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(1^8)}, S^{(4, 1^4)}) = 1$ where $\varphi(z^\mu) = v$ and

$$v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 \\ \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 3 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} .$$

4.2.2. $\mu = (c, 1^d)$ and $c > a$. The strategy is very similar to that of Subsection 4.2.1. Using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 and the residue conditions, we see that if $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$ then

$$v = \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{T} \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda) \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathbb{T}}}} c_{\mathbb{T}} v_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Observe that in this case there is one extra Garnir relation $\psi_G z^\mu = \psi_1 \psi_2 \dots \psi_a z^\mu = 0$ coming from the Garnir node $\mathbb{T}(1, 1)$, but since ψ_1 appears on the left, $\psi_G v_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ for all $\mathbb{T} \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)_\varepsilon$ by Proposition 3.30. Hence to prove that $\varphi(z^\mu) = v$ for $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \neq 0$, we only need to show that $\psi_k v = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ as this will ensure that $(J_3^\mu + J_4^\mu)v = 0$. Using Proposition 3.30, we see that the only possible way that some even r is unpaired in $\text{Arm}(\mathbb{T})$ is if $\psi_r z^\mu \neq 0$.

We need to consider the parities of n, c and a separately.

Proposition 4.23. *Let $\mu = (c, 1^d)$ and $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ be two partitions of n with $c > a$. Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if*

- i) n is odd and $c \equiv_2 a$, or
- ii) n is even,

and zero otherwise.

Proof. Define $\bar{\lambda} := (a, 1^{c-a})$. First, we are going to construct a homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}(z^{(c)}) = \bar{v}$ with \bar{v} summing over elements of the set $\{\bar{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\bar{\lambda})_\varepsilon \mid \mathbf{i}^{(c)} = \mathbf{i}^{\bar{\mathbb{T}}}\}$ and then extend this to a homomorphism from S^μ to S^λ by placing the entries $c+1, c+2, \dots, n$ in $\text{Leg}(\bar{\mathbb{T}})$.

(1) Odd n

Just as in Proposition 4.19, we see that if n is odd and $c \not\equiv_2 a$, then S^μ and S^λ are in different blocks. Thus we assume that $c \equiv_2 a$.

a) a odd

In this case c is also odd and we take

$$\bar{v} = \sum_{\substack{\bar{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\bar{\lambda})_\emptyset \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\bar{\mathbb{T}}}}} \Delta(\bar{\mathbb{T}}) v_{\bar{\mathbb{T}}}.$$

b) a even

Here c is even and we take

$$\bar{v} = \sum_{\substack{\bar{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\bar{\lambda})_{\{c\}} \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\bar{\mathbb{T}}}}} \Delta(\bar{\mathbb{T}}) v_{\bar{\mathbb{T}}}.$$

(2) Even n

In this case, the residue conditions allow c to be either odd or even, depending on where n is placed.

a) a odd

If c is odd, we construct \bar{v} as described in case 1. If c is even, \bar{v} is the same as in 1b) (note that $n \in \text{Arm}(\bar{\mathbf{T}})$ for all $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ appearing in the sum).

b) a even

If c is odd, then \bar{v} agrees with the one in 1a) (observe that $n \in \text{Arm}(\bar{\mathbf{T}})$ for all $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ appearing in the sum). Finally, if c is even, \bar{v} is the same as in 1b).

We extend each $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ above to $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ by adjoining $c+1, c+2, \dots, n$ to $\text{Leg}(\bar{\mathbf{T}})$, giving terms v . By Proposition 3.30, it is clear then that $\psi_r v = 0 = y_s v$ for all $c < r < n$ and $c < s \leq n$ and by Proposition 4.19, we have that $J^\mu v = 0$. \square

Remark. The homomorphisms φ described in Proposition 4.23 might not be unique, indeed if n is odd and $c \equiv_2 a$ or n is even and $c \not\equiv_2 a$, then by Propositions 4.19 and 4.21 we see that if $\varphi' \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(n)}, S^\lambda)$ and $\varphi'(z^{(n)}) = v'$, then there exists some $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ such that $\varphi(z^\mu) = v'$. As $c > a$, it is clear that $v \neq v'$ and we have that $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \geq 2$.

However, if n is even and $c \equiv_2 a$, then $n \notin \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and by a similar reasoning as in Proposition 4.21, we see that the entries $c+1, \dots, n$ must all be placed in $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$. As $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(c)}, S^\lambda) = 1$, this implies that $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is also one dimensional in this case.

Example 4.24. Let $n > 7$. If $\mu = (7, 1^{n-7})$ and $\lambda = (3, 1^{n-3})$, then $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \geq 2$ and the homomorphism described in Proposition 4.23 case 1a) is drawn below where we omitted all entries greater than 7.

$$v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline \vdots & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline 6 & & \\ \hline 7 & & \\ \hline \vdots & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 3 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline 6 & & \\ \hline 7 & & \\ \hline \vdots & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

4.2.3. $\mu = (c, 1^d)$ and $c = a$ or $a - 1$. In [Spe14], the author obtained a generalised eigenspace decomposition for S^λ for hook partitions of shape λ in the case when n is odd. In doing so, he obtained an upper bound on $\dim \text{End}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\lambda)$ and constructed an endomorphism for odd n . Here we summarise these results and extend for the case when n is even and $\mu = (a - 1, 1^{b+1})$.

Proposition 4.25 ([Spe15] Lemma 3.28 and [Spe14], Thm. 4.3). *i) Let n and a both be odd and take $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$. Then*

- $\dim \text{End}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\lambda) \leq 1 + b/2$ if $b < n/2$, and
- $\dim \text{End}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\lambda) \leq 1 + (a - 1)/2$ if $b \geq n/2$.

ii) If n is even, then $\dim \text{End}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\lambda) = 1$.

Definition 4.26 (cf. Def. 6.1, [Spe14]). For n odd, let k and r be odd integers with $3 \leq k \leq a < r \leq n$. We will denote by $\mathbf{T}_{k,r}$ the tableau with $\{\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_5, \dots, \mathbf{p}_a, \mathbf{p}_r\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_k\}$ in the arm. If n is even, we choose odd integers k and r such that $3 \leq k \leq a < r \leq n - 1$ and $\mathbf{T}_{k,r}$ denotes the tableau with even arm length where the pairs $\{\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_5, \dots, \mathbf{p}_a, \mathbf{p}_r\} \setminus \{\mathbf{p}_k\}$ and n are in the arm.

Example 4.27. If $\lambda = (7, 1^4)$ then

$$T_{5,9} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & & \\ \hline 11 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{7,11} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 10 & 11 \\ \hline 6 & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Proposition 4.28 [Spe14, cf. Prop. 6.2]. *If n and a are both odd and $\mu = \lambda$ or if n is even and $c = a - 1$ is odd, then there exists a homomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ given by $\varphi(z^\mu) = v$ where*

$$v = \sum_{\substack{3 \leq k \leq a \\ a+2 \leq r \leq n \\ k, r \text{ odd}}} \frac{k-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n+2-r}{2} v_{T_{k,r}}.$$

Proof. If n is odd, the result follows by [Spe14, Prop. 6.2]. If n is even, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 4.21, we must have that $n \in \text{Arm}(T_{k,r})$. \square

Example 4.29. If $\mu = (7, 1^5)$ and $\lambda = (8, 1^4)$, then we have a homomorphism from S^μ to S^λ given by

$$v = v_{T_{5,9}} + 2 v_{T_{3,9}} + 2 v_{T_{5,11}} + 4 v_{T_{5,9}} + 3 v_{T_{7,11}} + 6 v_{T_{7,9}}.$$

4.2.4. $\mu = (c, 1^d)$ and $c \leq a$. The next statement follows from Proposition 4.19.

Proposition 4.30. *If n is odd and $c \leq a \leq n$, then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if $c \equiv_2 a$ and zero otherwise.*

Proof. The parity restrictions follow by the same reasoning as in Proposition 4.23.

Assume that c and a are both odd. In Proposition 4.19 we have already constructed $\varphi(z^{1^n}) = v$. Taking the same v here, we already know that $\psi_k v = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, so the condition $\psi_r v = 0$ for $2 \leq r \neq c \leq n$ is immediately satisfied.

If c and a are both even, by Lemma 4.1 we have that

$$\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum_{\substack{T \in Z(\lambda)_{\{c\}} \\ i^\mu = i^T}} c_T v_T.$$

Using Proposition 4.19 again, we can construct v in the same way by setting $c_T = \Delta(T)$. By Proposition 4.16, $\psi_{c-1} v_T = 0$ for all $T \in Z(\lambda)_{\{c\}}$ and so by Proposition 3.30 and Corollary 4.17, $\psi_k v = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \neq c \leq n-1$ and we proved the statement. \square

Proposition 4.31. *If n is even and $c < a$, then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if $c \not\equiv_2 a$ and zero otherwise.*

Proof. If n is even and $c \equiv_2 a$, then we would have

$$\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum_{\substack{T \in Z(\lambda)_\mathcal{E} \\ i^\mu = i^T}} c_T v_T.$$

By a similar argument as in Proposition 4.21, this is impossible and we must have that $n \in \text{Arm}(T)$, but then $c \not\equiv_2 a$, a contradiction.

If c is even and a is odd, we see that $\mathcal{E} = \{c\}$ and if c is odd and a is even, then $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$. Using a similar reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 4.30, we see that $J^\mu v = 0$. \square

We summarise the results from this section in the following statement.

Theorem 4.32. *Let $\mu = (c, 1^d)$ and $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$. Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if*

- i) n is odd and $c \equiv_2 a$, or
- ii) n is even, unless $c \equiv_2 a$ and $c < a$.

Moreover, if $c > a$ and $c + n \not\equiv_2 a$, then $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \geq 2$.

Remark. In particular, if $c = a$ is odd and $a \geq 5, b \geq 4$, then $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \geq 3$, as the non-trivial homomorphisms in Proposition 4.28 and Proposition 4.30 are clearly distinct.

s:nonhooks

4.3. Homomorphisms from non-hooks. In this section, we first use Lemma 4.1 to describe homomorphisms from S^μ to S^λ where μ is a two-column partition. Combining this with our findings from the previous sections, we obtain a generalised version of Theorem 4.32 which describes $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ in the case when μ is a *two-column hook partition*, a partition of the form $\mu = (c, 2^s, 1^d)$ (see Theorem 4.36).

4.3.1. *Homomorphisms from two-column partitions.*

two-column

Theorem 4.33. *Let $\mu = (2^r, 1^s)$ with $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 0$ be a partition of n . Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is one dimensional, spanned by*

$$\varphi(z^\mu) = v = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E} \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}} \\ \mathcal{E} = \{2, 4, \dots, 2r\}}} \Delta(\mathbf{T})v_{\mathbf{T}},$$

if $r + 1 \leq a \leq n - r$, and either

- $n + a \equiv_2 r$, or
- n is even and $a = r + 1$,

and zero otherwise.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, if $v_{\mathbf{T}}$ is involved in v and $x = \mathbf{T}^\mu(y, 2)$, then $x \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ for all $2 \leq y \leq r$. Thus $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu)v = 0$ if and only if

$$v = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_\mathcal{E} \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{T}}}} c_{\mathbf{T}}v_{\mathbf{T}}$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \{2, 4, \dots, 2r\}$ and so $r + 1 \leq a \leq n - r$.

Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.23, setting $\bar{\lambda} = (a - r, 1^{b-r})$, we can construct

$$\bar{\varphi}(z^{(s)}) = \bar{v} = \sum_{\substack{\bar{\mathbf{T}} \in \bar{\mathbf{Z}}(\bar{\lambda})_\emptyset \\ \mathbf{i}^\mu = \mathbf{i}^{\bar{\mathbf{T}}}}} \Delta(\bar{\mathbf{T}})v_{\bar{\mathbf{T}}}.$$

For each $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ above, we define $\mathbf{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$, by increasing every entry in $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ by $2r$ and placing the entries in \mathcal{E} at the beginning of $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\{3, 5, \dots, 2r + 1\}$ at beginning of $\text{Leg}(\mathbf{T})$. Then we obtain v such that $y_s v = 0$ for all $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $\psi_k v = 0$ for all $k \in \{3, 5, \dots, 2r - 1\}$ and $2r + 1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, hence $(J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu + J_3^\mu)v = 0$. Next, we look at the Garnir relations. There are three different non-trivial relations:

- 1) $G_1 z^\mu = \psi_k \psi_{k+1} z^\mu = 0$ if $k = \mathbf{T}^\mu(y, 1)$ for k odd and $1 \leq y \leq r$,
- 2) $G_2 z^\mu = \psi_{k+1} \psi_k z^\mu = 0$ if $k = \mathbf{T}^\mu(y, 2)$ for k even and $1 \leq y \leq r$, and
- 3) $\psi_k z^\mu = 0$ for all $2r + 1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

By Proposition 3.30, we see that $G_1 v_{\mathbf{T}} = 0 = G_2 v_{\mathbf{T}}$ and we already proved case 3) above, so $J^\mu v = 0$. It is clear that if n is odd, then so is s . If $n \equiv_2 s \not\equiv_2 (a - r)$, then $S^{(s)}$ and $S^{\bar{\lambda}}$ lie in different blocks and $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(s)}, S^{\bar{\lambda}}) = 0$. Hence if $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \neq 0$, then $n + (a - r) + r = n + a \equiv_2 r$. If n is even, using a similar argument as in Proposition 4.21, we must have that $n \in \text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$, which implies $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) \neq 0$ then $n + a \equiv_2 r$.

Moreover, if n is even, then it is easy to see that there exists $v = v_{\mathbf{T}}$ where $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ only contains \mathcal{E} , that is $a = r + 1$.

Finally, we prove that $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) = 1$. Indeed, if $s \leq 1$, then $|\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)_{\mathcal{E}}| = 1$ and $v = v_{\mathbf{T}}$ where $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ contains only entries of \mathcal{E} . If $s > 1$, observe that $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(s)}, S^{(a-r, b-r)})$, so \bar{v} is unique up to scalar multiplication. Using a similar argument as in the remark after Proposition 4.23, we see that $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) = 1$. \square

4.3.2. Homomorphisms from two-column hook partitions.

thm:2chook

Theorem 4.34. *Let $\mu = (c, 2^s, 1^d)$ with c even. Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if $a \geq s + 2$; and either*

- n is odd, $a \equiv_2 s$ and $s + 2 \leq a \leq n - (s + 1)$, or
- n is even and $s + 2 \leq a \leq c + s$ or $a = c + s + 1 + 2y$ for $0 \leq y \leq d/2 - 2$,

and zero otherwise.

Proof. Using the same method as in Theorem 4.33, it is easy to see that we extend v from Propositions 4.30 and 4.31, which is a homomorphism from $S^{(c, 1^d)}$ to $S^{(a-s, 1^{b-s})}$, to a homomorphism $\varphi(z^\mu) = v'$, where $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$, by increasing every entry greater than $c + 1$ by $2s$ and placing the entries $\mathcal{E} = \{c + 2, c + 4, \dots, c + 2s\}$ in the arm and the entries $\{c + 3, c + 5, \dots, c + 2s + 1\}$ in the leg. \square

Example 4.35. Let $\mu = (4, 2^2, 1^5)$ and $\lambda = (8, 1^5)$. By Proposition 4.30, there exists $\varphi(z^{(4, 1^5)}) = v$ as below where $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^{(4, 1^5)}, S^{(6, 1^3)})$.

$$v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 2 & & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 4 & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 3 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & & & & & \\ \hline 8 & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Then we can extend this a homomorphism v' from S^μ to S^λ .

$$v' = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 2 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 11 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + 3 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 11 \\ \hline 5 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 7 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 9 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 12 & & & & & & & \\ \hline 13 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Combining our results from Theorems 4.32 and 4.34, we have just proved our main theorem:

thm:main

Theorem 4.36 (Main Theorem). *Let $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ and $\mu = (c, 2^s, 1^d)$ where if $s > 0$ then c is even. Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least one dimensional if for c even, we have that $a \geq s + 2$ and either*

- n is odd, $a \equiv_2 s$ and $s + 1 \leq a \leq n - (s + 1)$, or
- n is even and $s + 2 \leq a \leq c + s$ or $a = c + s + 1 + 2y$ for $0 \leq y \leq d/2 - 2$,

and zero otherwise.

Remarks. We have a more precise description of the dimensions of $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ in the following cases:

- 1) $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least three dimensional if $c = a$ is odd and $a \geq 5, b \geq 4$,
- 2) $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is at least two dimensional if $c > a$ (unless we are in case 3b) below),
- 3) $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is one dimensional if
 - a) n is even, $c \equiv_2 a$ and $c \geq a$, or

b) if $c = 2$ (i.e. $\mu = (2^{s+1}, 1^d)$).

If $s = 1$ and $a = c + 1$, then we recover the known Carter-Payne homomorphism of degree 1 and can explicitly describe it by $z^\mu \mapsto v_{\mathbf{T}}$, where $\text{Arm}(\mathbf{T})$ consists of $2, 3, \dots, c, c + 2$. For more details, we refer the reader to [LM14, Thm. 3.12].

Remark. In this final remark, we give the graded the dimension of the homomorphism from Theorem 4.36. The following is easy to verify:

- 1) If $\mu = (c, 1^d)$, then
 - $\deg(\mathbf{T}^\mu) = (c - 1)/2$ if c is odd, and
 - $\deg(\mathbf{T}^\mu) = c/2$ if c is even.
- 2) If $\mu = (c, 2^s, 1^d)$ with c even, then $\deg(\mathbf{T}^\mu) = c/2 + s$.

Now we only need to compute $\deg(\mathbf{T}) - \deg(\mathbf{T}^\mu) =: \mathcal{D}$ for all cases in Theorem 4.36 where \mathbf{T} has shape $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$. Assume that $\mu = (c, 1^d)$.

- 1) If n is odd, then $\mathcal{D} = (a - c)/2$.
- 2) If n is even, then
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c)/2$ if $c \equiv_2 a$,
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c + 1)/2$ if c is odd and a is even, and
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c + 3)/2$ if c is even and a is odd.

Finally, let $\mu = (c, 2^s, 1^d)$ with c even and $s \geq 1$.

- 1) If n is odd, then
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c)/2$ if s is even, and
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c + 1)/2$ if s is odd.
- 2) If n is even, then
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c + 2)/2$ if s is odd and a is even,
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c + 1)/2$ if both s and a are odd,
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c)/2$ if s and a are both even, and
 - $\mathcal{D} = (a - c + 3)/2$ if s is even and a is odd.

Then we have that

$$\dim_q \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) = q^{\mathcal{D}},$$

where $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is as in Theorem 4.36.

4.4. The trivial module. In the following section, we obtain a graded version of James's well-known result for the trivial module $S^{(n)}$ (see [Jam78, Thm. 24.4]). First, we need to recall some elementary results about binomial coefficients which will be used in the statement of Theorem 4.38. Let p be a fixed prime.

Definition 4.37. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we define $\ell_p(n) = \min\{i \mid p^i > n\}$. We also set $\ell_p(0) = -\infty$.

There are two one dimensional S^λ modules, indexed by the partitions $\lambda = (1^n)$ and (n) . The module $S^{(n)}$ is called the *trivial module* and $S^{(1^n)}$ is called the *sign module*. As $e = 2$, we have that $S^{(n)} \cong S^{(1^n)}$ up to grading shift.

trivial

Theorem 4.38. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_Z)$ be a partition of n . Then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Lambda}(S^\mu, S^{(1^n)})$ is one dimensional if

- i) $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0, \mu_1$ is odd and $\mu = (\mu_1, 1^{n-\mu_1})$ or
- ii) $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > 0$ and
 - for all $1 \leq i \leq Z - 1$, μ_i is odd, and
 - if n is odd, then μ_Z is odd, and
 - $\mu_i \equiv -1 \pmod{2p^{\ell_p(a_i+1)}}$ for all $1 \leq i < Z$ where $a_i = \lfloor \mu_i/2 \rfloor$,


and zero otherwise. In this case

$$\dim_q \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda) = q^{-(\sum_1^Z a_i)}.$$

Proof. The first part of the statement follows by [Lyl07, Theorem 3.3]. To calculate the degree, notice that $\deg(\mathbb{T}^\mu) = \sum_1^Z a_i$. Hence

$$\deg(\mathbb{T}^{(1^n)}) - \deg(\mathbb{T}^\mu) = -\sum_1^Z a_i. \quad \square$$

Example 4.39. Let $\mu = (7, 3, 2)$. If $p = 2$, then $\dim \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^{(1^2)}) = 1$.



We can easily compute the graded dimension by calculating the degrees of \mathbb{T}^μ and \mathbb{T}^λ :

$$\deg \mathbb{T}^\lambda - \deg \mathbb{T}^\mu = 0 - 5 = -5.$$

Hence $\dim_q \text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^{(1^2)}) = q^{-5}$.

Remark. If $\lambda = (n)$ where depending on the parity $n = 2d$ or $2d + 1$, then $\deg(\mathbb{T}^\lambda) = d$. Thus

$$\deg(\mathbb{T}^{(n)}) - \deg(\mathbb{T}^\mu) = d - \sum_1^Z a_i.$$

4.5. Further questions remaining. Our strategy relied upon reducing more complex shapes (without complicated Garnir relations) into single rows or columns and then applying Proposition 4.19. In this paper, we gave a complete description of $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ where μ can be visualised as a hook partition with a rectangle of width two in the middle. The first row having even parity and no other rows having length more than two eliminated complicated Garnir relations. We would like to emphasise that shapes of μ with non-trivial Garnir relations seem to require an entirely different treatment as there are more relations to consider and the combinatorics governing the coefficients of the tableaux in the homomorphism space is different. As we see in Definition 4.7, the Δ coefficients are all products of positive integers. One of the main reasons that prevented us to further continue our research into other shapes of μ was the fact that even traditionally “well-behaving” shapes, such as two-part partitions, give rise to homomorphisms which have large prime numbers as coefficients.

Nevertheless, using results from [DG15], we can present a simple proof for the case when n is odd and μ is a two-row partition, however we note that this method does not yield an explicit description of the homomorphism or the degree.

em:tworows

Lemma 4.40. Assume that n is odd and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ be two partitions of n with $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq 2$. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, then $\text{Hom}_{R_n^\Delta}(S^\mu, S^\lambda)$ is one dimensional if and only if $\mu_2 \leq a - 1 \leq \mu_1$ if and only if $\mu_2 \leq b + 1 \leq \mu_1$.

Proof. We note that our Specht modules are dual to those defined by James, so that $(S^\lambda)^\otimes$ denotes the Specht modules indexed by λ as in [Jam78].

Let $e = 2$ and $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p = 2$, so $R_n^\Lambda \cong \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$. We write $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$ throughout the proof, but all necessary Hecke algebra analogues can be found in [DG20], except for the Littlewood–Richardson rule. Although it holds in the Grothendieck group, we cannot find a Hecke analogue of [JP79, Thm. 5.3] in the literature, however it is almost certainly true.

We want to understand

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}(S^{(c+d,c)}, S^{(a,1^b)}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(b+1,1^{a-1})})^\otimes, (S^{(2^c,1^d)})^\otimes).$$

We may assume that $(S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes$ and $(S^{(2^c,1^d)})^\otimes$ lie in the same block, as otherwise there exists no homomorphisms between them. As n is odd, we have that

$$M(a, b) = (S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes \oplus (S^{(a+1,1^{b-1})})^\otimes$$

since $(S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes$ and $(S^{(a+1,1^{b-1})})^\otimes$ are in different blocks (see [DG20, Prop. 7.1.1]).

Set $\tau = (2^c, 1^d)$. It follows that $(S^{(a+1,1^{b-1})})^\otimes$ and $(S^\tau)^\otimes$ also lie in different blocks and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}(M(a, b), (S^\tau)^\otimes) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes, (S^\tau)^\otimes) \oplus \underbrace{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}(S^{(a+1,1^{b-1})})^\otimes, (S^\tau)^\otimes)}_{=0} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes, (S^\tau)^\otimes) \end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}(M(a, b), (S^\tau)^\otimes) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b)}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}, (S^\tau)^\otimes \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}).$$

By [DG15, Lemma 1.3.9.(i)], $(S^\tau)^\otimes \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}$ has a filtration with factors isomorphic to $(S^\nu)^\otimes \otimes (S^{(\tau/\nu)})^\otimes$ such that $\nu \subseteq \tau$. Furthermore, each submodule $(S^{(\tau/\nu)})^\otimes$ has a Specht filtration and these factors $(S^\xi)^\otimes$ are given by the Littlewood–Richardson rule [DG15, Lemma 1.3.9.(ii)]. It follows that

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes, (S^\tau)^\otimes) \leq \sum_{\substack{\nu \vdash a \\ \mu \vdash b}} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_b}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}, (S^\nu)^\otimes \otimes (S^\mu)^\otimes).$$

By Kunnet’s formula, we also have that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_b}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}, (S^\nu)^\otimes \otimes (S^\mu)^\otimes) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_a}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_a}, (S^\nu)^\otimes) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_b}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_b}, (S^\mu)^\otimes)$$

Recall that $\nu_1, \mu_1 \leq 2$ and so by James’s Theorem ([Jam78, Theorem 24.4]), we have that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_a}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_a}, (S^\nu)^\otimes) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & \text{if } \nu = (2) \text{ or } \nu = (1^a), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and similarly

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_b}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_b}, (S^\mu)^\otimes) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & \text{if } \mu = (2) \text{ or } \mu = (1^b), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First, assume that $\tau = (2^c, 1^d) \neq (2, 1^b)$. It is easy to see that if $a < c$ or $a > c + d$, then either μ or ν cannot be as required by James’s Theorem and so

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_a}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_a}, (S^\nu)^\otimes) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_b}(1_{\mathfrak{S}_b}, (S^\mu)^\otimes) = 0$$

for all μ, ν , which gives

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(a,1^b)})^\otimes, (S^\tau)^\otimes) = 0.$$

On the other hand, if $c \leq a \leq c + d$, then we see that $(S^{(2^c, 1^d)})^{\otimes} \downarrow_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}$ has $(S^{(1^a)})^{\otimes} \otimes (S^{(1^b)})^{\otimes}$ as a submodule while the other factors are of the form $(S^\nu)^{\otimes} \otimes (S^\mu)^{\otimes}$ and they do not satisfy the conditions in James's Theorem and thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(a, 1^b)})^{\otimes}, (S^\tau)^{\otimes}) \cong \mathbb{F}.$$

Finally, if $\tau = (2, 1^b)$, then

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^\tau)^{\otimes}) \cong \mathbb{F},$$

since it is a 2-restricted partition.

Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n}((S^{(a, 1^b)})^{\otimes}, (S^{(2^c, 1^d)})^{\otimes})$$

is one dimensional if $c \leq a \leq c + d$ and zero otherwise. Hence by the first isomorphism in our proof, for $\lambda = (a, 1^b)$ and $\mu = (c + d, c)$ such that $a + b = n$ is odd, we have that $\mathrm{Hom}(S^\lambda, S^\mu)$ is one dimensional if $c \leq a - 1 \leq c + d$ and $c \leq b + 1 \leq c + d$. \square

Remark. We note that Lemma 4.40 considers ungraded homomorphisms. The above method can also be applied to two-column partitions, however unlike our result (Theorem 4.33), it does not give an explicit description of the homomorphism.

REFERENCES

- bkisom** [BK09] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov–Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), no. 3, 451–484. [Page 1.]
- bkw11** [BKW11] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, and W. Wang, *Graded Specht modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **655** (2011), 61–87. [Pages 1, 7.]
- dg15** [DG15] S. Donkin and H. Geranios, *Invariants of Specht modules*, J. Algebra **439** (2015), 188–224. [Pages 2, 66, 67.]
- dg20** [DG20] ———, *Decompositions of some Specht modules I*, J. Algebra **550** (2020), 1–22. [Page 67.]
- James** [Jam78] G. D. James, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 682, Springer, Berlin, 1978. [Pages 65, 67.]
- jp79** [JP79] G. D. James and M. H. Peel, *Specht series for skew representations of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra **56** (1979), no. 2, 343–364. [Page 67.]
- kmr** [KMR12] A. Kleshchev, A. Mathas, and A. Ram, *Universal graded Specht modules for cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **105** (2012), 1245–1289. [Pages 1, 2, 4, 6.]
- lm14** [LM14] S. Lyle and A. Mathas, *Cyclotomic Carter–Payne homomorphisms*, Represent. Theory **18** (2014), 117–154. [Page 65.]
- loub17** [Lou17] J. Loubert, *Homomorphisms from an arbitrary Specht module to one corresponding to a hook*, J. Algebra **485** (2017), 97–117. [Pages 1, 2, 7.]
- Lyle07** [Lyl07] S. Lyle, *Some q -analogues of the Carter–Payne theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **608** (2007), 93–121. [Page 66.]
- ls14** [Spe14] L. Speyer, *Decomposable Specht modules for the Iwahori–Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{F}, -1}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$* , J. Algebra **418** (2014), 227–264. [Pages 2, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 61, 62.]
- thesis** [Spe15] ———, *Representation theory of Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, Queen Mary University of London, 2015. [Pages 2, 7, 9, 19, 20, 25, 38, 40, 61.]

OKINAWA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OKINAWA 904-0495, JAPAN
Email address: berta.hudak@oist.jp