

# The Mixed Integer Trust Region Problem

Alberto Del Pia \*

February 13, 2024

## Abstract

In this paper we consider the problem of minimizing a general quadratic function over the mixed integer points in an ellipsoid. This problem is strongly NP-hard, NP-hard to approximate within a constant factor, and optimal solutions can be irrational. In our main result we show that an arbitrarily good solution can be found in polynomial time, if we fix the number of integer variables. This algorithm provides a natural extension to the mixed integer setting, of the polynomial solvability of the trust region problem proven by Ye, Karmarkar, Vavasis, and Zippel. Our result removes a key bottleneck in the design and analysis of model trust region methods for mixed integer nonlinear optimization problems. The techniques introduced to prove this result are of independent interest and can be used in other mixed integer programming problems involving quadratic functions. As an example we consider the problem of minimizing a general quadratic function over the mixed integer points in a polyhedron. For this problem, we show that a solution satisfying weak bounds with respect to optimality can be computed in polynomial time, provided that the number of integer variables is fixed. It is well-known that finding a solution satisfying stronger bounds cannot be done in polynomial time, unless P=NP.

## 1 Introduction

*Model trust region methods* form an important class of algorithms for nonlinear optimization problems. The appeal of these methods lies in their general applicability, the elegant theory, and their practical success. We refer the reader to the book [4] for a thorough introduction. In model trust region methods, a quadratic function is used to “model” the nonlinear objective function, and this model is expected to be accurate (the model is “trusted”) only in a neighborhood of the current iterate  $c$ . To obtain the next iterate, first an affine change of variables is performed to map  $c$  to the origin, and then the model quadratic function is minimized over the neighborhood. If the 2-norm is used to define the neighborhood, the problem takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & x^\top x \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{TR}$$

Here  $H$  is a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . Problem TR is often referred to, in the literature, as the *trust region* (sub)problem.

One key reason behind the success of model trust region methods is the fact that Problem TR can be solved efficiently. In fact, while optimal solutions of Problem TR can be irrational (see Observation 1), an arbitrarily good solution can be found in polynomial time. This celebrated

---

\*Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering & Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA. E-mail: [delpia@wisc.edu](mailto:delpia@wisc.edu).

result is due to Ye [24], Karmarkar [11], and Vavasis and Zippel [23], and a formal statement is given in Lemma 3. For extensions of Problem TR that can be solved in polynomial time, we direct the reader to [2] and references therein.

Model trust region methods have been introduced also for mixed integer nonlinear optimization problems (see, e.g., [8,9,16,17]). For this more general type of optimization problems, if the 2-norm is used to define the neighborhood, the next iterate can be obtained by solving the *mixed integer trust region* (sub)problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & x^\top x \leq 1 \\ & x \in \Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\}. \end{aligned} \tag{MITR}$$

Here  $H$  is a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ ,  $h, c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ ,  $b^1, \dots, b^n \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  are linearly independent,  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n)$  denotes the *mixed integer lattice*

$$\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i b^i : \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall i = 1, \dots, p, \ \mu_i \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall i = p+1, \dots, n \right\},$$

which is the image of the set  $\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$  under an affine change of variables. As opposed to Problem TR, Problem MITR is strongly NP-hard and NP-hard to approximate within a constant factor, even in the pure integer case  $p = n$  (see Theorem 3). Even deciding whether the feasible region of Problem MITR is nonempty, is NP-hard (see Theorem 4).

The relationship between the computational complexity of Problems TR and MITR resembles the situation in linear optimization, where linear programs can be solved in polynomial time, while mixed integer linear programs are strongly NP-hard and NP-hard to approximate within a constant factor. The key link between these two results was proven by Lenstra [13]: mixed integer linear programs can be solved in polynomial time, if the number of integer variables is fixed (but the number of continuous variables does not need to be fixed). In our main result we provide the same type of link between Problems TR and MITR: we prove that an arbitrarily good solution for Problem MITR can be found in polynomial time, if the number  $p$  of integer variables is fixed. The key difference between Lenstra's result and ours, besides the different types of optimization problems considered, is that here we deal with approximate solutions rather than global optimal solutions, but this is unavoidable due to the fact that optimal solutions to Problems TR and MITR are generally irrational. In particular, our result removes a key bottleneck in the design and analysis of model trust region methods for mixed integer nonlinear optimization problems.

Our main result actually holds for a problem that is slightly more general than Problem MITR. We call it the *ellipsoid-constrained mixed integer quadratic programming* problem, and we define it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & (x - c)^\top Q(x - c) \leq 1 \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{E-MIQP}$$

Here  $H$  is a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ ,  $Q$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ ,  $h, c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , and  $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . There are two key differences with Problem MITR: the ball constraint has been replaced with an ellipsoid constraint, and the mixed integer lattice  $\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\}$  has been replaced with the “cleaner” mixed integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ .

Problem MITR is essentially the special case of Problem E-MIQP where the matrix  $Q$  is of the form  $Q = B^\top B$  for some given invertible matrix  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ . In fact, under this assumption, Problem E-MIQP can be mapped to Problem MITR by performing the change of variables  $y := B(x - c)$ . Viceversa, Problem MITR can always be mapped to Problem E-MIQP with the change of variables  $y := B^{-1}(x - c)$ , where  $B$  is the invertible matrix with columns  $b^1, \dots, b^n$ . On the other hand, Problem MITR is indeed a special case of Problem E-MIQP, in the sense that not every Problem E-MIQP can be mapped to Problem MITR via a rational affine transformation, as shown by the 1-dimensional ellipsoid  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : 2x_1^2 \leq 1\}$ . This is because not all symmetric matrices  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  can be written in the form  $B^\top B$  for some invertible matrix  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ .

To state our main results, we first define the concepts of  $\epsilon$ -approximate solutions and of size. Consider a general optimization problem  $\inf \{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Let  $f_{\inf}$  and  $f_{\sup}$  denote the infimum and the supremum of  $f(x)$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . For  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ , we say that  $x^\diamond \in \mathcal{S}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution if

$$f(x^\diamond) - f_{\inf} \leq \epsilon \cdot (f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}).$$

Note that only optimal solutions are 0-approximate solutions, while any point in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a 1-approximate solution. Our definition of approximation has some well-known invariance properties which make it a natural choice for the problems considered in this paper. For instance, it is preserved under dilation and translation of the objective function, and it is insensitive to affine transformations of the objective function and of the feasible region, like for example changes of basis. This definition has been used in our earlier work, and in numerous other places such as [1, 12, 15, 21]. The *size* (also known as *bit size*, or *length*) of rational numbers, vectors, matrices, constraints, and optimization problems, denoted by  $\text{size}(\cdot)$ , is the standard one in mathematical programming (see, e.g., [3, 19]), and is essentially the number of bits required to encode such objects. We are now ready to state the first main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1** (Approximation algorithm for Problem E-MIQP). *There is an algorithm which, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem E-MIQP. The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem E-MIQP and in  $1/\epsilon$ , provided that the number  $p$  of integer variables is fixed.*

Theorem 1 implies that it is significantly simpler to optimize a quadratic function over mixed integer points in an ellipsoid rather than over mixed integer points in a polyhedron, a behavior previously only known in the pure continuous setting [18, 22]. In turn, this suggests that it might be advantageous to design model trust region methods for mixed integer non-linear optimization problems that are based on the 2-norm, or ellipsoidal norms, rather than polyhedral norms.

Even though Problem MITR is a special case of Problem E-MIQP, it still plays a central role in our path to prove Theorem 1. In Sections 3 and 4, we study Problems TR and MITR, and prove a number of algorithmic and structural results of independent interest. The end goal of these two sections is to prove Proposition 1, which introduces an algorithm for Problem MITR that either finds two feasible solutions whose distance in objective value is close to  $\max \{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}$ , or finds a nonzero vector  $d$  along which the feasible region is flat. In Section 5, we get back to the more general Problem E-MIQP. Mapping the ellipsoid defining its feasible region to a new ellipsoid that is arbitrarily close to the unit ball, allows us to employ Proposition 1 to obtain a theorem of the alternative for Problem E-MIQP. This result, presented in Proposition 2, gives an algorithm that either finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution, or finds a nonzero vector  $d$  along which the feasible region is flat. In the second case, we are able to map the intersection of the feasible region with a hyperplane, to the feasible region of a new Problem E-MIQP with one fewer integer variable, and as a result we complete our proof of Theorem 1. As we will see, this last step is where the additional level of

generality of Problem E-MIQP over Problem MITR plays a key role. For more details on the ideas behind our proofs and techniques used, we refer the reader to the subsequent sections, where some proof overviews are provided to complement the complete and detailed proofs of the main results.

The techniques that we introduce to prove Theorem 1 are of independent interest and can be used in other mixed integer optimization problems involving a quadratic objective or quadratic constraints. To demonstrate this claim, we consider the *mixed integer quadratic programming* problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Wx \leq w \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{MIQP}$$

Here  $H$  is a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ , and  $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . Problem MIQP is NP-hard even in the pure continuous setting [18]. In the general mixed integer case, it is NP-hard to decide whether the feasible region of Problem MIQP is nonempty. However, this feasibility problem can be solved in polynomial time if the number  $p$  of integer variables is fixed [13], thus we now focus on this case and assume  $p$  is fixed in the subsequent discussion. In [5], the author gives an algorithm that finds an arbitrarily good solution to Problem MIQP in polynomial time, provided that the rank of  $H$  is fixed. If the rank of  $H$  is not fixed, it is not possible to find an arbitrarily good solution to Problem MIQP in polynomial time, unless P=NP [21]. In particular, we cannot hope to approximate Problem MIQP in polynomial time unless we are willing to accept an approximation factor that tends to one asymptotically as the problem gets larger [22]. In Section 7, we show how the algorithmic and structural results on Problem MITR, and in particular Proposition 1, can be used to design a polynomial time approximation algorithm for Problem MIQP that satisfies a weak approximation bound of this sort:

**Theorem 2** (Weak approximation algorithm for Problem MIQP). *Consider Problem MIQP and assume there exists  $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that every feasible solution has objective value at least  $\bar{f}$ . There is an algorithm which finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to the problem, where the approximation factor is  $\epsilon = 1 - \Theta(n^{-2})$ . The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem MIQP, provided that the number  $p$  of integer variables is fixed.*

Theorem 2 provides an extension to the mixed integer setting of a result by Vavasis in the pure continuous setting [22]. Note that the assumption in Theorem 2 that the objective function is lower bounded on the feasible region cannot be relaxed, unless P=NP [5].

We remark that the main emphasis of this paper lies on the theoretical computational complexity of the algorithms presented. We do not refer to practically efficient implementations of the algorithms, which we believe should be studied in the future. Before proceeding with the proofs of our main results, in Section 2 we discuss the hardness of the Problems TR, MITR, and E-MIQP.

## 2 Hardness of mixed integer trust region problems

In this short section we present some hardness results for Problems TR, MITR, and E-MIQP that we mentioned in the introduction. We recall that these three problems are listed in increasing order of generality. We start with a well-known fact about Problem TR.

**Observation 1.** *There are instances of Problem TR where the optimal value is irrational and no optimal solution is rational, even if  $n = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the instance of Problem TR with  $H := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $h := 0$ , i.e.,  $\min \{2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 : x^\top x \leq 1\}$ .

It can be checked that the smallest eigenvalue of  $H$  is  $\lambda_{\min} := 1 - \sqrt{2}$ . It is well known that  $\lambda_{\min} = \min \{x^\top Hx : x^\top x = 1\}$  and, since  $\lambda_{\min} \leq 0$ , we also have  $\lambda_{\min} = \min \{x^\top Hx : x^\top x \leq 1\}$ . Hence the optimal value of this instance is  $\lambda_{\min}$ . This instance has a unique optimal solution, which is the unit length eigenvector  $(1 - \sqrt{2}, 1)/\|(1 - \sqrt{2}, 1)\|_2$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\min}$ .  $\square$

Observation 1 also holds for the more general Problems MITR, and E-MIQP, even if  $p = 0$  and  $n = 2$ . These results imply that no polynomial time algorithm, which stores numbers in binary encoding, can find an optimal solution to these problems. Next, we discuss the hardness of Problem MITR. To do so, we need a lemma on the approximation of square roots. While the square root of a rational number can be irrational, it is well-known that it can be approximated efficiently via binary search. We formalize this simple result in the next lemma. The proof is given for completeness.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  be positive. There is an algorithm which, for any positive integer  $k$ , finds nonnegative  $l, u \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $l \leq \sqrt{a} \leq u$  and  $u - l = \max\{1, a\}/2^k$ . The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in  $\text{size}(a), k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $l_0 := 0, u_0 := \max\{1, a\}$ . Our algorithm consists of  $k$  iterations  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . At iteration  $i$ , set  $m_i := (l_{i-1} + u_{i-1})/2$ . Compare  $m_i^2$  with  $a$ . If  $m_i^2 \leq a$ , set  $l_i := m_i$  and  $u_i := u_{i-1}$ . If  $m_i^2 > a$ , set  $l_i := l_{i-1}$  and  $u_i := m_i$ . When iteration  $k$  is completed, return  $l := l_k$  and  $u := u_k$ .

To show correctness of the algorithm, note that  $\sqrt{a} \in [0, \max\{1, a\}]$ . The algorithm performs binary search on this interval, comparing, at each iteration, the midpoint  $m_i$  of the previous interval with  $\sqrt{a}$ , and updating the interval accordingly. In fact, we have  $m_i \leq \sqrt{a}$  if and only if  $m_i^2 \leq a$ . Hence, for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , we have  $\sqrt{a} \in [l_i, u_i]$ . Furthermore, for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , we have  $u_i - l_i = \max\{1, a\}/2^i$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Problem MITR is strongly NP-hard and it is NP-hard to find an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution with  $\epsilon < 1/17$ , even if  $p = n$  and the problem is feasible.*

*Proof.* The Max-Cut problem is strongly NP-hard [10] and it is NP-hard to find an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution, with  $\epsilon > 16/17$  [20]. It is well-known that the Max-Cut problem on a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and nonnegative weights  $w_{uv} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $uv \in E$ , can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{uv \in E} \frac{w_{uv}}{2} (1 - x_u x_v) \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & x \in \{-1, 1\}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\{-1, 1\}^n = \{x \in 2\mathbb{Z}^n + \{1\} : x^\top x \leq r\}$ , for any  $r \in [n, n+8)$ . We use Lemma 1 with  $a := n$  and  $k := n$ . We obtain  $l, u \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $l \leq \sqrt{n} \leq u$  and  $u - l = n/2^n$ . Therefore,  $u - \sqrt{n} \leq n/2^n < \sqrt{n+8} - \sqrt{n}$ , where the last inequality can be checked with little effort. Hence we have  $u \in [\sqrt{n}, \sqrt{n+8})$ , thus  $u^2 \in [n, n+8)$ . Applying the change of variables  $y := x/u$  to the above formulation of the Max-Cut problem, we obtain the following special case of Problem MITR:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{uv \in E} \frac{w_{uv}}{2} (1 - u^2 y_u y_v) \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y^\top y \leq 1 \\ & y \in \frac{2}{u} \mathbb{Z}^n + \left\{ \frac{1}{u} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

In the last result of this section, we show that it is NP-hard to decide whether the feasible region of Problem MITR is nonempty. To do so, we first define the concept of lattice. Given linearly independent vectors  $b^1, \dots, b^p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the *lattice*

$$\Lambda(b^1, \dots, b^p) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i b^i : \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z} \forall i = 1, \dots, p \right\}.$$

Then, we consider the *decisional version of the closest vector problem (CVP)*: Given linearly independent vectors  $b^1, \dots, b^p \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , a target vector  $t \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , determine whether there exists  $y \in \Lambda(b^1, \dots, b^p)$  with  $\|y - t\|_2 \leq r$ . This problem is well-known to be NP-hard (see theorem 3.1 in [14]). We first observe that the problem is NP-hard, even if  $p = n$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The decisional version of the CVP is NP-hard, even if  $p = n$ .*

*Proof.* We give a polynomial reduction from the decisional version of the CVP. For  $j = p+1, \dots, n$ , we can find in polynomial time a vector  $b^j \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  orthogonal to  $b^1, \dots, b^{j-1}$  and with  $\|b^j\|_2 > \|t\|_2 + r$ . This can be done by appropriately rescaling a nonzero solution to the system of linear equations  $b^{i\top} x = 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, j-1$ . Clearly  $b^1, \dots, b^n$  are linearly independent. It is simple to check that the decisional version of the CVP with data  $b^1, \dots, b^p, t, r$  is equivalent to the decisional version of the CVP with data  $b^1, \dots, b^n, t, r$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *It is NP-hard to decide whether the feasible region of Problem MITR is nonempty, even if  $p = n$ .*

*Proof.* We give a polynomial reduction from the decisional version of the CVP with  $p = n$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} := \{y \in \Lambda(b^1, \dots, b^n) : \|y - t\|_2 \leq r\}$ . Define  $x := (y - t)/r$ . We obtain that  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonempty if and only if the following set is nonempty:

$$\left\{ x \in \Lambda\left(\frac{b^1}{r}, \dots, \frac{b^n}{r}\right) : \|x\|_2 \leq 1 \right\} - \left\{ \frac{t}{r} \right\}.$$

The latter set is the feasible region of Problem MITR with  $b^i := b^i/r$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $c := -t/r$ , and  $p := n$ .  $\square$

Since Problem MITR is a special case of Problem E-MIQP, the statements of Theorems 3 and 4 hold also for Problem E-MIQP.

### 3 Algorithmic and structural results for Problem TR

In this section we obtain a number of algorithmic and structural results for Problem TR which we need to prove our main theorems. In order to streamline the presentation, we introduce some notation. A *ball* with center  $c$  and radius  $r$  is a set of the form

$$\mathcal{B}(c, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - c\|_2 \leq r\},$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is positive. Notice that the feasible region of Problem TR is the ball  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ . For ease of notation, from now on, we denote the objective function of Problem TR by

$$f(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x.$$

In this paper, we denote by  $\log(x)$  the logarithm to the base 2 of a positive  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### 3.1 Algorithmic results

Vavasis and Zippel [23] showed that we can find an arbitrarily good solution to Problem TR in polynomial time. In this section, we discuss some algorithmic consequences of this result.

**Lemma 3** ([23]). *Let  $x^\circ$  be an optimal solution of Problem TR. There is an algorithm which, for any positive integer  $k$ , finds a feasible solution  $x^\dagger$  to Problem TR such that*

$$f(x^\dagger) - f(x^\circ) \leq 2^{-k}.$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem TR and in  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x^\dagger$  be the feasible solution to Problem TR obtained after  $k'$  iterations with the algorithm in [23, 24]. From [23] (see in particular the first three lines of section 5), we know  $f(x^\dagger) - f(x^\circ) \leq 2^{q-k'}$ , where  $q$  is a polynomial in  $\text{size}(H)$ ,  $\text{size}(h)$  whose explicit form can be derived from section 4 in [23]. The result follows by setting  $k' := \lceil k + q \rceil$ , since each iteration of the algorithm performs a number of operations that is polynomial in  $\text{size}(H)$ ,  $\text{size}(h)$ .  $\square$

Next, we restate Lemma 3 in such a way that the upper bound on the gap  $f(x^\dagger) - f(x^\circ)$  is of the form  $\epsilon \max \{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}$ . Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we denote by  $\|A\|_2$  its *spectral norm*, which is defined by  $\|A\|_2 := \sup_{x \neq 0} \|Ax\|_2 / \|x\|_2$ . If  $A$  is symmetric,  $\|A\|_2$  is equal to the maximum absolute value among the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $x^\circ$  be an optimal solution of Problem TR. There is an algorithm which, for any positive  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ , finds a feasible solution  $x^\dagger$  to Problem TR such that*

$$f(x^\dagger) - f(x^\circ) \leq \epsilon \max \{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}.$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem TR and in  $\log(1/\epsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $H$  is the zero matrix and  $h$  is the zero vector, we can simply set  $x^\dagger := 0$ . Thus, in the remainder of the proof we assume that at least one among  $H$  and  $h$  is nonzero. We set  $k := \lceil \log(1/\epsilon) + \text{size}(H) + \text{size}(h) \rceil$ . From Lemma 3, it suffices to show

$$2^{-k} \leq \epsilon \max \{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}.$$

We consider separately two cases. In the first case, we assume  $\|h\|_2 \geq \|H\|_2$ . Then  $h$  is nonzero, thus at least one component is nonzero, say  $h_i$ . We obtain

$$\|h\|_2 = \sqrt{h_1^2 + \cdots + h_n^2} \geq |h_i| \geq 2^{-\text{size}(h)}.$$

Hence,

$$2^{-k} \leq 2^{-\log(1/\epsilon) - \text{size}(h)} = 2^{-\log(1/\epsilon)} 2^{-\text{size}(h)} \leq \epsilon \|h\|_2 = \epsilon \max \{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}.$$

In the second case, we assume  $\|H\|_2 \geq \|h\|_2$ . Then  $H$  is nonzero, thus at least one element is nonzero, say  $h_{ij}$ . Let  $e^j$  be the  $j$ th vector of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We obtain

$$\|H\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Hx\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \geq \frac{\|He^j\|_2}{\|e^j\|_2} = \sqrt{h_{1j}^2 + \cdots + h_{nj}^2} \geq |h_{ij}| \geq 2^{-\text{size}(H)}.$$

Hence,

$$2^{-k} \leq 2^{-\log(1/\epsilon) - \text{size}(H)} = 2^{-\log(1/\epsilon)} 2^{-\text{size}(H)} \leq \epsilon \|H\|_2 = \epsilon \max \{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}.$$

$\square$

We now show how Lemma 4 can be used to compute a vector  $v \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  with  $|v^\top H v|$  arbitrarily close to the spectral norm of  $H$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $H$  be a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ . There is an algorithm which, for any positive  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ , finds a vector  $v \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  such that*

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2 \leq |v^\top H v| \leq \|H\|_2.$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in  $\text{size}(H)$ ,  $\log(1/\epsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $H$  is the zero matrix, we can simply set  $v := 0$ , thus in the remainder of the proof we assume  $H$  nonzero. Consider the following two optimization problems, which are special cases of Problem TR:

$$\begin{aligned} (S) &:= \min x^\top H x & (T) &:= \max x^\top H x & &= -\min x^\top (-H)x \\ \text{s. t. } &x^\top x \leq 1, & \text{s. t. } &x^\top x \leq 1 & & \text{s. t. } &x^\top x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The algorithm is defined as follows. We apply Lemma 4 to both Problems (S) and (T), and find a feasible solution  $s$  for (S) and a feasible solution  $t$  for (T). Return  $v := t$  if  $|t^\top H t| \geq |s^\top H s|$ , and return  $v := s$  otherwise. In the remainder of the proof, we show the correctness of the algorithm.

Denote by  $\lambda_{\min}$  the smallest eigenvalue of  $H$  and by  $\lambda_{\max}$  the largest eigenvalue of  $H$ . Since  $H$  is symmetric, we have  $\lambda_{\min} = \min \{x^\top H x : x^\top x = 1\}$  and  $\lambda_{\max} = \max \{x^\top H x : x^\top x = 1\}$ . Since  $H$  is nonzero, we have  $\|H\|_2 = \max\{|\lambda_{\min}|, |\lambda_{\max}|\} > 0$ . Every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x^\top x \leq 1$  satisfies  $|x^\top H x| \leq \|H\|_2$ , thus in the remainder of the proof it suffices to show  $|v^\top H v| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ . From the definition of our algorithm, it suffices to show either  $|s^\top H s| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$  or  $|t^\top H t| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ . In the rest of the proof, we consider separately three cases.

Note that, one of the two following cases must apply: (a)  $\|H\|_2 = |\lambda_{\min}|$  and  $\lambda_{\min} < 0$ , or (b)  $\|H\|_2 = |\lambda_{\max}|$  and  $\lambda_{\max} > 0$ . In fact, assume first  $\lambda_{\min} < \lambda_{\max}$ . If  $\|H\|_2 = |\lambda_{\min}|$ , then we are in case (a). Otherwise,  $\|H\|_2 = |\lambda_{\max}|$  and we are in case (b). Assume now  $\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_{\max}$ . If  $\lambda_{\min} < 0$ , then we are in case (a). Otherwise,  $\lambda_{\max} > 0$ , and we are in case (b). Next, we consider separately cases (a) and (b).

Case (a). We have  $\lambda_{\min} = \min \{x^\top H x : x^\top x \leq 1\}$ . From Lemma 4, we know  $s^\top H s - \lambda_{\min} \leq \epsilon\|H\|_2$ . As  $\lambda_{\min} = -\|H\|_2$ , this gives  $|s^\top H s| \geq -s^\top H s \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ , as desired.

Case (b). We have  $\lambda_{\max} = \max \{x^\top H x : x^\top x \leq 1\}$ . From Lemma 4, we know  $-t^\top H t + \lambda_{\max} \leq \epsilon\|H\|_2$ . As  $\lambda_{\max} = \|H\|_2$ , this gives  $|t^\top H t| \geq t^\top H t \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3.2 Far points

In this section, we provide two lower bounds on the distance, in objective value, between some specific feasible points to Problem TR. First, we show that two vectors  $s, t$ , arbitrarily close to  $h/\|h\|_2$  and to  $-h/\|h\|_2$ , respectively, yield  $f(s) - f(t)$  arbitrarily close to  $\|h\|_2$ .

**Lemma 6** (Linearly far points for Problem TR). *Let  $H$  be a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , let  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Assume  $\|H\|_2 \leq \|h\|_2$ . Let  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  be positive such that  $1 - \epsilon \leq l\|h\|_2 \leq 1$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{B}(lh, \epsilon)$  and  $t \in \mathcal{B}(-lh, \epsilon)$ . Then*

$$f(s) - f(t) \geq 2(1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2)\|h\|_2.$$



*Proof.* To show the result, we derive a lower bound for  $f(s)$  and an upper bound for  $f(t)$ . First, we give a lower bound for  $f(s)$ . Let  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $s = lh + g$ . Since  $s \in \mathcal{B}(lh, \epsilon)$ , we have  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(s) &= s^\top Hs + h^\top s \\
&= (lh + g)^\top H(lh + g) + h^\top (lh + g) \\
&= l^2 h^\top Hh + 2lh^\top Hg + g^\top Hg + lh^\top h + h^\top g \\
&\geq l^2 h^\top Hh + 2lh^\top Hg + g^\top Hg + (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 + h^\top g \\
&\geq l^2 h^\top Hh - |2lh^\top Hg| - |g^\top Hg| + (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 - |h^\top g| \\
&\geq l^2 h^\top Hh - 2l\|h\|_2 \|H\|_2 \|g\|_2 - \|g\|_2^2 \|H\|_2 + (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 - \|h\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\
&\geq l^2 h^\top Hh - 2\epsilon\|h\|_2 - \epsilon^2\|h\|_2 + (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 - \epsilon\|h\|_2 \\
&= l^2 h^\top Hh + (1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \|h\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality we used  $l\|h\|_2 \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , and in the fourth inequality  $l\|h\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ ,  $\|H\|_2 \leq \|h\|_2$ .

Similarly, we give an upper bound for  $f(t)$ . Redefine  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $t = -lh + g$ . Since  $t \in \mathcal{B}(-lh, \epsilon)$ , we have  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t) &= t^\top Ht + h^\top t \\
&= (-lh + g)^\top H(-lh + g) + h^\top (-lh + g) \\
&= l^2 h^\top Hh - 2lh^\top Hg + g^\top Hg - lh^\top h + h^\top g \\
&\leq l^2 h^\top Hh - 2lh^\top Hg + g^\top Hg - (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 + h^\top g \\
&\leq l^2 h^\top Hh + |2lh^\top Hg| + |g^\top Hg| - (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 + |h^\top g| \\
&\leq l^2 h^\top Hh + 2l\|h\|_2 \|H\|_2 \|g\|_2 + \|g\|_2^2 \|H\|_2 - (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 + \|h\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\
&\leq l^2 h^\top Hh + 2\epsilon\|h\|_2 + \epsilon^2\|h\|_2 - (1 - \epsilon)\|h\|_2 + \epsilon\|h\|_2 \\
&= l^2 h^\top Hh - (1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \|h\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality we used  $l\|h\|_2 \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , and in the fourth inequality  $l\|h\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ ,  $\|H\|_2 \leq \|h\|_2$ .

We combine the two bounds above and obtain

$$f(s) - f(t) \geq 2(1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \|h\|_2.$$

□

Next, we show that two vectors  $u, w$ , arbitrarily close to the origin, and to a vector  $v$  as in Lemma 5, yield  $|f(w) - f(u)|$  arbitrarily close to  $\|H\|_2$ .

**Lemma 7** (Quadratically far points for Problem TR). *Let  $H$  be a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , let  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Assume  $\|h\|_2 \leq \|H\|_2$ . Let  $v \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  such that  $|v^\top H v| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ . Assume  $h^\top v \geq 0$  if  $v^\top H v \geq 0$ , and  $h^\top v \leq 0$  if  $v^\top H v < 0$ . Let  $w \in \mathcal{B}(v, \epsilon)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{B}(0, \epsilon/2)$ . Then*

$$|f(w) - f(u)| \geq (1 - 9\epsilon/2 - 5\epsilon^2/4) \|H\|_2.$$

*Proof.* First, we consider the case  $v^\top H v \geq 0$ . To show the result, we derive an upper bound for  $f(u)$  and a lower bound for  $f(w)$ . We start with an upper bound for  $f(u)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(u) &= u^\top H u + h^\top u \\
&\leq |u^\top H u| + |h^\top u| \\
&\leq \|u\|_2^2 \|H\|_2 + \|h\|_2 \|u\|_2 \\
&\leq \epsilon^2 \|H\|_2 / 4 + \epsilon \|H\|_2 / 2 \\
&= (\epsilon/2 + \epsilon^2/4) \|H\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the third inequality holds because  $\|u\|_2 \leq \epsilon/2$  and  $\|h\|_2 \leq \|H\|_2$ . Next, we give a lower bound for  $f(w)$ . Let  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $w = v + g$ . Since  $w \in \mathcal{B}(v, \epsilon)$ , we have  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(w) &= w^\top H w + h^\top w \\
&= (v + g)^\top H (v + g) + h^\top (v + g) \\
&= v^\top H v + 2v^\top H g + g^\top H g + h^\top v + h^\top g \\
&\geq v^\top H v + 2v^\top H g + g^\top H g + h^\top g \\
&\geq v^\top H v - |2v^\top H g| - |g^\top H g| - |h^\top g| \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon) \|H\|_2 - 2\|v\|_2 \|H\|_2 \|g\|_2 - \|g\|_2^2 \|H\|_2 - \|h\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon) \|H\|_2 - 2\epsilon \|H\|_2 - \epsilon^2 \|H\|_2 - \epsilon \|H\|_2 \\
&= (1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \|H\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality we used the assumption  $h^\top v \geq 0$ , in the third inequality  $v^\top H v \geq (1 - \epsilon) \|H\|_2$ , and in the fourth inequality  $\|v\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ ,  $\|h\|_2 \leq \|H\|_2$ . We combine the two bounds above and obtain

$$f(w) - f(u) \geq (1 - 9\epsilon/2 - 5\epsilon^2/4) \|H\|_2.$$

Next, we consider the case  $v^\top H v < 0$ . This case is very similar to the previous one, and we provide a proof for completeness. To show the result, we derive a lower bound for  $f(u)$  and an upper bound for  $f(w)$ . We start with a lower bound for  $f(u)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(u) &= u^\top H u + h^\top u \\
&\geq -|u^\top H u| - |h^\top u| \\
&\geq -\|u\|_2^2 \|H\|_2 - \|h\|_2 \|u\|_2 \\
&\geq -\epsilon^2 \|H\|_2 / 4 - \epsilon \|H\|_2 / 2 \\
&= -(\epsilon/2 + \epsilon^2/4) \|H\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the third inequality holds because  $\|u\|_2 \leq \epsilon/2$  and  $\|h\|_2 \leq \|H\|_2$ . Next, we give an upper

bound for  $f(w)$ . Let  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $w = v + g$ . Since  $w \in \mathcal{B}(v, \epsilon)$ , we have  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
f(w) &= w^\top H w + h^\top w \\
&= (v + g)^\top H (v + g) + h^\top (v + g) \\
&= v^\top H v + 2v^\top H g + g^\top H g + h^\top v + h^\top g \\
&\leq v^\top H v + 2v^\top H g + g^\top H g + h^\top g \\
&\leq v^\top H v + |2v^\top H g| + |g^\top H g| + |h^\top g| \\
&\leq -(1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2 + 2\|v\|_2\|H\|_2\|g\|_2 + \|g\|_2^2\|H\|_2 + \|h\|_2\|g\|_2 \\
&\leq -(1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2 + 2\epsilon\|H\|_2 + \epsilon^2\|H\|_2 + \epsilon\|H\|_2 \\
&= -(1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2)\|H\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality we used the assumption  $h^\top v \leq 0$ , in the third inequality  $v^\top H v \leq -(1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ , and in the fourth inequality  $\|v\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ ,  $\|h\|_2 \leq \|H\|_2$ . We combine the two bounds above and obtain

$$f(u) - f(w) \geq (1 - 9\epsilon/2 - 5\epsilon^2/4)\|H\|_2.$$

□

## 4 Far points for Problem MITR

In this section we study Problem MITR. The main goal is to introduce an algorithm that either finds two feasible solutions whose distance in objective value is close to  $\max\{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}$ , or finds a nonzero vector  $d$  along which the feasible region is flat. This algorithm will be a key component in the design of the approximation algorithms for Problems E-MIQP and MIQP stated in Theorems 1 and 2. To state this result, we need to introduce the concept of width. Let  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded closed set and let  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the *width of  $\mathcal{S}$  along  $d$*  to be

$$\text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) := \max\{d^\top x : x \in \mathcal{S}\} - \min\{d^\top x : x \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

**Proposition 1** (Far points for Problem MITR). *There is an algorithm which, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/4]$ , either finds two feasible solutions  $x^1, x^2$  to Problem MITR such that*

$$f(x^1) - f(x^2) \geq (1 - 9\epsilon/2 - 5\epsilon^2/4) \max\{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\},$$

*or finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \leq 2p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon$ . The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem MITR and in  $\log(1/\epsilon)$ .*

### 4.1 Ingredients

In order to prove Proposition 1, we need two more ingredients. Our first ingredient concerns the approximation of square roots, and is a consequence of Lemma 1. The key difference is that the approximation gap is multiplicative, rather than additive.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  be positive. There is an algorithm which, for any positive  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ , finds a positive  $l \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $l \leq \sqrt{a} \leq (1 + \epsilon)l$ . The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in  $\text{size}(a), \log(1/\epsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* We use Lemma 1 with  $k := \lceil \log(1/\epsilon) + 3/2 \text{size}(a) + 1 \rceil$ . We only need to show that the obtained  $l, u$  satisfy  $l > 0$  and  $u \leq (1 + \epsilon)l$ .

Using  $k \geq 3/2 \text{size}(a) + 1$  and  $2^{-\text{size}(a)} \leq a \leq 2^{\text{size}(a)}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l &= u - \frac{\max\{1, a\}}{2^k} \geq \sqrt{a} - \frac{\max\{1, a\}}{2^{3/2 \text{size}(a)+1}} \geq 2^{-\text{size}(a)/2} - \frac{2^{\text{size}(a)}}{2^{3/2 \text{size}(a)+1}} \\ &= 2^{-\text{size}(a)/2} - 2^{-\text{size}(a)/2-1} = 2^{-\text{size}(a)/2-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

which implies  $l > 0$ .

We now show  $u \leq (1 + \epsilon)l$ . Using  $k \geq \log(1/\epsilon) + 3/2 \text{size}(a) + 1$ ,  $a \leq 2^{\text{size}(a)}$ , and (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= l + \frac{\max\{1, a\}}{2^k} \leq l + \frac{2^{\text{size}(a)}}{2^{\log(1/\epsilon)+3/2 \text{size}(a)+1}} \\ &= l + 2^{-\text{size}(a)/2-1} 2^{\log(\epsilon)} \leq l + l\epsilon = (1 + \epsilon)l. \end{aligned}$$

□

The second ingredient is a Lenstra-type result, which follows with little effort from proposition 4 in [5].

**Lemma 9** (Flatness lemma). *Let  $a, c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , let  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\delta \geq 0$ , let  $b^1, \dots, b^n$  be linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , and let  $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . There is a polynomial time algorithm which either finds a vector in  $\mathcal{B}(a, \delta) \cap (\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\})$ , or finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(a, \delta)) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ .*

*Proof.* In this proof, we denote by  $\text{span}(\mathcal{S})$  the linear span of a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of vectors and by  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$  the orthogonal complement of a linear subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , let  $c^i$  be the orthogonal projection of  $b^i$  on  $\text{span}(b^{p+1}, \dots, b^n)^\perp$ , i.e.,  $c^i := b^i - \sum_{j=p+1}^n (b^{j \top} b^i / \|b^j\|_2^2) b^j$ . We apply proposition 4 in [5] with  $\Lambda := \Lambda(c^1, \dots, c^p)$ ,  $d := n$ , and  $a := a - c$ . Then, there is a polynomial time algorithm which either finds a vector  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{B}(a - c, \delta) \cap (\Lambda + \text{span}(\Lambda)^\perp)$ , or finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \text{span}(\Lambda)$  with  $d^\top c^1, \dots, d^\top c^p$  integer such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(a - c, \delta)) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ .

In the first case, the vector  $\bar{x}' := \bar{x} + c$  is in  $\mathcal{B}(a, \delta) \cap (\Lambda + \text{span}(\Lambda)^\perp + \{c\})$ , and we have  $\Lambda + \text{span}(\Lambda)^\perp = \Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n)$ . In the second case,  $d \in \text{span}(\Lambda)$  implies  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$ . We then have  $d^\top c^i = d^\top b^i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , thus  $d^\top c^1, \dots, d^\top c^p$  integer implies  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer. Furthermore, by definition of width, we obtain  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(a, \delta)) = \text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(a - c, \delta)) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ . □

## 4.2 Proof of Proposition 1

We are now ready to show Proposition 1. Before presenting its proof, we briefly discuss the the idea of the algorithm. We consider separately the cases  $\|H\|_2 \geq \|h\|_2$  and  $\|h\|_2 > \|H\|_2$ . In the case  $\|H\|_2 \geq \|h\|_2$ , we would like to consider a vector  $v \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  with  $|v^\top H v| = \|H\|_2$ . However, this vector could be irrational, thus we find instead a vector  $v \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  with  $|v^\top H v|$  that is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $\|H\|_2$ . Next, we use our flatness lemma to seek two feasible points  $u, w$  to Problem MITR that are  $\epsilon$ -close to the origin and to  $v$ , respectively. If we find both these vectors, we show that they have

a difference in objective value close to  $\|H\|_2$ . Otherwise, we show that we have found a nonzero vector ( $d$  in the statement) along which the feasible region  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  is flat.

In the remaining case  $\|h\|_2 > \|H\|_2$ , we would like to consider the vector  $h/\|h\|_2$ . However,  $\|h\|_2$  could be irrational, thus we find instead a scalar  $l$  such that  $lh \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $h/\|h\|_2$ . Next, we use our flatness lemma to seek two feasible points  $s, t$  to Problem MITR that are  $\epsilon$ -close to  $lh$  and to  $-lh$ , respectively. If we find both these vectors, we show that they have a difference in objective value close to  $\|h\|_2$ . Otherwise, we show that we have found a nonzero vector ( $d$  in the statement) along which the feasible region  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  is flat. We now give the complete proof of Proposition 1, which uses Lemmas 5 to 9.

*Proof.* In this proof, we consider separately the cases  $\|H\|_2 \geq \|h\|_2$  and  $\|h\|_2 > \|H\|_2$ .

We start with the case  $\|H\|_2 \geq \|h\|_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_u := \mathcal{B}(0, \epsilon/2)$ . From Lemma 9, we either find a vector  $u \in \mathcal{B}_u \cap (\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\})$ , or a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}_u) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ . Using the algorithm in Lemma 5, we find a vector  $v \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  such that  $|v^\top H v| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|H\|_2$ . Note that the vector  $-v$  also lies in  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  and we have  $(-v)^\top H(-v) = v^\top H v$ . Hence, by eventually redefining  $v := -v$ , we now assume  $h^\top v \geq 0$  if  $v^\top H v \geq 0$ , and  $h^\top v \leq 0$  if  $v^\top H v < 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_w := \mathcal{B}((1 - \epsilon/2)v, \epsilon/2)$ . From Lemma 9, we either find a vector  $w \in \mathcal{B}_w \cap (\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\})$ , or a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}_w) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ .

Consider the subcase where Lemma 9 successfully found both vectors  $u$  and  $w$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}_u$  and  $\mathcal{B}_w$  are contained in  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ , thus  $u, w$  are both feasible to Problem MITR. We also have  $\mathcal{B}_w \subseteq \mathcal{B}(v, \epsilon)$ , thus we can apply Lemma 7 and obtain

$$|f(w) - f(u)| \geq (1 - 9\epsilon/2 - 5\epsilon^2/4) \|H\|_2.$$

Next, consider the subcase where Lemma 9 did not find both vectors  $u$  and  $w$ . In this case, Lemma 9 found a vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ , where  $\mathcal{B} \in \{\mathcal{B}_u, \mathcal{B}_w\}$ . We then have

$$\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} p2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

This concludes the proof in the first case.

In the remainder of the proof, we consider the case  $\|h\|_2 > \|H\|_2$ . In particular, we have  $h \neq 0$ . Using the algorithm in Lemma 8 (with  $a := 1/\|h\|_2^2$ ), we find a positive  $l \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $l \leq 1/\|h\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)l$ . We obtain  $l\|h\|_2 \leq 1$  and  $l\|h\|_2 \geq 1/(1 + \epsilon) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , thus  $1 - \epsilon \leq l\|h\|_2 \leq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_s := \mathcal{B}((1 - \epsilon/2)lh, \epsilon/2)$ . From Lemma 9, we either find a vector  $s \in \mathcal{B}_s \cap (\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\})$ , or a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}_s) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_t := \mathcal{B}(-(1 - \epsilon/2)lh, \epsilon/2)$ . From Lemma 9, we either find a vector  $t \in \mathcal{B}_t \cap (\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) + \{c\})$ , or a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}_t) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ .

Consider the subcase where Lemma 9 successfully found both vectors  $s$  and  $t$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}_s$  and  $\mathcal{B}_t$  are contained in  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ , thus  $s, t$  are both feasible to Problem MITR. We also have  $\mathcal{B}_s \subseteq \mathcal{B}(lh, \epsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_t \subseteq \mathcal{B}(-lh, \epsilon)$ , thus we can use Lemma 6 and obtain

$$f(s) - f(t) \geq 2(1 - 4\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \|h\|_2 \geq (1 - 9\epsilon/2 - 5\epsilon^2/4) \|h\|_2,$$

where the last inequality holds for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/4]$ . Next, consider the subcase where Lemma 9 did not find both vectors  $s$  and  $t$ . In this case, Lemma 9 found a vector  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d^\top b^1, \dots, d^\top b^p$  integer

and  $d^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ , where  $\mathcal{B} \in \{\mathcal{B}_s, \mathcal{B}_t\}$ . We then have

$$\text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \text{width}_d(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} p2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

This concludes the proof in the second case.  $\square$

## 5 Theorem of the alternative for Problem E-MIQP

We are now ready to get back to Problem E-MIQP. The main goal of this section is to present a theorem of the alternative for this problem: an algorithm that either finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution, or finds a nonzero vector  $d$  along which the feasible region is flat. To state this result, and to work with ellipsoids, it will be useful to introduce the following notation. An *ellipsoid* is a set of the form

$$\mathcal{E}(c, Q) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x - c)^\top Q (x - c) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Note that  $\mathcal{B}(c, r) = \mathcal{E}(c, I_n/r^2)$ , where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Notice that the inequality constraint in Problem E-MIQP can be equivalently written in the form  $x \in \mathcal{E}(c, Q)$ . We say that an ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  is *rational* when  $c \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ . We can now state the main result of this section.

**Proposition 2** (Theorem of the alternative for Problem E-MIQP). *There is an algorithm which, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , either finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem E-MIQP, or finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$  and a scalar  $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$\left\{ d^\top x : x \in \mathcal{E}(c, Q) \right\} \in \left[ \rho, \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon \right].$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem E-MIQP and in  $\log(1/\epsilon)$ .*

The key ingredient in the proof of Proposition 2 is Proposition 1. However, we need three additional results, which are presented in Section 5.1.

### 5.1 Ingredients for theorem of the alternative

Given a rational ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$ , there may exist no rational affine transformation that maps  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  into the ball  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ . An example is given by the ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(0, (2))$ , as we mentioned in Section 1. The goal of the next lemma is to show that for any positive  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can find in polynomial time a rational affine transformation that maps  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  into an ellipsoid that is arbitrarily close to the ball  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rational ellipsoid. There is an algorithm which, for any positive  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ , finds a map  $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\tau(x) := B(x - c)$ , with  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  invertible, such that*

$$\mathcal{B}(0, 1) \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \delta).$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in  $\text{size}(c)$ ,  $\text{size}(Q)$ ,  $\log(1/\delta)$ .*

*Proof.* From corollary 1 in [5], there is a strongly polynomial algorithm that finds an invertible matrix  $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  and a diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  such that  $Q = M^\top D M$ . Since  $Q$  is positive definite, each diagonal element of  $D$  is positive. We can then apply Lemma 8 to each diagonal element of  $D$ , and with  $\epsilon := \delta$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we consider the element  $d_{jj}$  of  $D$  in position  $(j, j)$ ,

and we obtain a positive  $l_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $l_j \leq \sqrt{d_{jj}} \leq (1 + \delta)l_j$ . Let  $L$  be the positive definite diagonal matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  with element  $l_j$  in position  $(j, j)$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Next, we show

$$y^\top y \leq y^\top L^{-\top} D L^{-1} y \leq (1 + \delta)^2 y^\top y, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2)$$

To see this, we use  $d_{jj} \geq l_j^2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , to obtain

$$y^\top L^{-\top} D L^{-1} y = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d_{jj}}{l_j^2} y_j^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 = y^\top y, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and we use  $d_{jj} \leq (1 + \delta)^2 l_j^2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , to derive

$$y^\top L^{-\top} D L^{-1} y = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d_{jj}}{l_j^2} y_j^2 \leq (1 + \delta)^2 \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 = (1 + \delta)^2 y^\top y, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let  $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the map defined by  $\tau(x) := (1 + \delta)LM(x - c)$ , thus with  $B$  in the statement defined by  $B := (1 + \delta)LM$ . The containments in the statement then follow from (2) by writing the corresponding three sets as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(0, 1) &= \{y : y^\top y \leq 1\}, \\ \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q)) &= \{\tau(x) : (x - c)^\top Q(x - c) \leq 1\}, \\ &= \{y : y^\top L^{-\top} M^{-\top} Q M^{-1} L^{-1} y \leq (1 + \delta)^2\}, \\ &= \{y : y^\top L^{-\top} D L^{-1} y \leq (1 + \delta)^2\}, \\ \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \delta) &= \{y : y^\top y \leq (1 + \delta)^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next result is a direct extension of Lemma 4, concerning the slightly different problem obtained from Problem TR by replacing the constraint  $x \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  with  $x \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \delta)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top H x + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & x^\top x \leq (1 + \delta)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{TR}_\delta)$$

Here  $H$  is a symmetric matrix in  $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , and  $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$  is nonnegative.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $x^\circ$  be an optimal solution of Problem  $\text{TR}_\delta$ . There is an algorithm which, for any positive  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ , finds a feasible solution  $x^\dagger$  to Problem  $\text{TR}_\delta$  such that*

$$f(x^\dagger) - f(x^\circ) \leq \epsilon(1 + \delta)^2 \max\{\|H\|_2, \|h\|_2\}.$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem  $\text{TR}_\delta$  and in  $\log(1/\epsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* We apply the change of variables  $y := x/(1 + \delta)$  to Problem  $\text{TR}_\delta$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & y^\top (1 + \delta)^2 H y + (1 + \delta) h^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y^\top y \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{TR}'_\delta)$$

For ease of notation, we denote by  $f_\delta(y)$  the objective function of Problem  $\text{TR}'_\delta$ . Note that  $y^\circ := x^\circ/(1 + \delta)$  is an optimal solution of Problem  $\text{TR}'_\delta$ . Let  $y^\dagger$  be a feasible solution to Problem  $\text{TR}'_\delta$  obtained with Lemma 4. We let  $x^\dagger := (1 + \delta)y^\dagger$  and note that  $x^\dagger$  is feasible to Problem  $\text{TR}_\delta$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^\dagger) - f(x^\circ) &= f_\delta(y^\dagger) - f_\delta(y^\circ) \\ &\leq \epsilon \max \{ (1 + \delta)^2 \|H\|_2, (1 + \delta) \|h\|_2 \} \\ &\leq \epsilon (1 + \delta)^2 \max \{ \|H\|_2, \|h\|_2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The last result of the section discusses how flat directions in a mixed integer lattice are mapped to flat directions in the mixed integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ .

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $b^1, \dots, b^n$  be linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with columns  $b^1, \dots, b^n$ , let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the map defined by  $\tau(x) := B(x - c)$ . Let  $d' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $d'^T b^1, \dots, d'^T b^p$  integer and  $d'^T b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^T b^n = 0$ . Define  $d := B^T d'$ . Then,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ , and, for  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) = \text{width}_{d'}(\tau(\mathcal{S}))$ .*

*Proof.* For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $d_j = b^j{}^T d'$ . Thus,  $d'^T b^1, \dots, d'^T b^p$  integer implies  $d_1, \dots, d_p$  integer, and  $d'^T b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^T b^n = 0$  implies  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ . We now show that for  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) = \text{width}_{d'}(\tau(\mathcal{S}))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) &= \max \{ d^T x : x \in \mathcal{S} \} - \min \{ d^T x : x \in \mathcal{S} \} \\ &= \max \{ d^T (x - c) : x \in \mathcal{S} \} - \min \{ d^T (x - c) : x \in \mathcal{S} \} \\ &= \max \{ d'^T B(x - c) : x \in \mathcal{S} \} - \min \{ d'^T B(x - c) : x \in \mathcal{S} \} \\ &= \max \{ d'^T y : y \in \tau(\mathcal{S}) \} - \min \{ d'^T y : y \in \tau(\mathcal{S}) \} \\ &= \text{width}_{d'}(\tau(\mathcal{S})). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5.2 Proof of Proposition 2

We now have all ingredients that we need to prove Proposition 2. Before giving the proof, we give an overview of the algorithm. First, we construct an affine transformation  $\tau$  that maps  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  into an ellipsoid that is sandwiched between  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon)$ . We denote by Problem E-MIQP' the optimization problem obtained by applying the same affine transformation to Problem E-MIQP. Next, we consider Problem E-MIQP'-w obtained from Problem E-MIQP' by replacing the constraint  $y \in \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q))$  with the weaker requirement  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon)$  and by dropping the mixed integer lattice constraint. We then find an almost optimal solution  $y^\dagger$  to Problem E-MIQP'-w and use Lemma 9 to search for a close by vector  $y^\diamond$  that is feasible to Problem E-MIQP'. Next, we consider Problem E-MIQP'-s obtained from Problem E-MIQP' by replacing the constraint  $y \in \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q))$  with with the stronger requirement  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ . Using Proposition 1, we search for two feasible solutions  $y^1, y^2$  to Problem E-MIQP'-s that are far in objective value. If we manage to find all three vectors  $y^\diamond, y^1, y^2$ , we show that  $y^\diamond$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem E-MIQP'. Otherwise, we show that  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  is flat and we find the associated vector  $d$ . We now give the complete proof of Proposition 2, which uses Lemmas 9 to 12 and Proposition 1.



*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon' := \epsilon/13 \in (0, 1/13]$ . Using the algorithm in Lemma 10 (with  $\delta := \epsilon'$ ), we find a map  $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\tau(x) := B(x - c)$ , with  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  invertible, such that

$$\mathcal{B}(0, 1) \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon').$$

Applying the change of variables  $y := B(x - c)$  to Problem E-MIQP, we obtain the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma + \min \quad & y^\top H' y + h'^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y \in \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q)) \\ & y \in \Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) - \{Bc\}, \end{aligned} \tag{E-MIQP'}$$

where  $\gamma := c^\top H c + h^\top c$ ,  $H' := B^{-\top} H B^{-1}$ ,  $h' := 2B^{-\top} H^\top c + B^{-\top} h$ , and  $b^1, \dots, b^n$  are the columns of  $B$ . For ease of notation, in the following we denote by  $f_y(y) := y^\top H' y + h'^\top y$ .

Consider the optimization problem obtained from Problem E-MIQP' by replacing the constraint  $y \in \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q))$  with the weaker requirement  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon')$  and by dropping the mixed integer lattice constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma + \min \quad & y^\top H' y + h'^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y^\top y \leq (1 + \epsilon')^2. \end{aligned} \tag{E-MIQP'-w}$$

Let  $y^\circ$  be an optimal solution of Problem E-MIQP'-w, which we do not need to compute. Using the algorithm in Lemma 11 (with  $\epsilon := \delta := \epsilon'$ ), we find a feasible solution  $y^\dagger$  to Problem E-MIQP'-w such that

$$f_y(y^\dagger) - f_y(y^\circ) \leq \epsilon'(1 + \epsilon')^2 \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \}. \tag{3}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_\diamond := \mathcal{B}((1 - \epsilon'/2)y^\dagger/(1 + \epsilon'), \epsilon'/2)$ . From Lemma 9, we either find a vector  $y^\diamond \in \mathcal{B}_\diamond \cap (\Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) - \{Bc\})$ , or a nonzero vector  $d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d'^\top b^1, \dots, d'^\top b^p$  integer and  $d'^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}_\diamond) \leq p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ .

Consider now the mixed integer trust region problem obtained from Problem E-MIQP' by replacing the constraint  $y \in \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q))$  with the stronger requirement  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma + \min \quad & y^\top H' y + h'^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y^\top y \leq 1 \\ & y \in \Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) - \{Bc\}. \end{aligned} \tag{E-MIQP'-s}$$

Using the algorithm in Proposition 1, we either find two feasible solutions  $y^1, y^2$  to Problem E-MIQP'-s such that

$$f(y^1) - f(y^2) \geq \left(1 - 9\epsilon'/2 - 5\epsilon'^2/4\right) \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \}, \tag{4}$$

or we find a nonzero vector  $d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d'^\top b^1, \dots, d'^\top b^p$  integer and  $d'^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \leq 2p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon'$ .

In the remainder of the proof, we consider separately two cases. First, consider the case where Lemma 9 and Proposition 1 successfully found all three vectors  $y^\diamond, y^1, y^2$ . Note that, since  $y^\dagger \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon')$ , we have  $y^\dagger/(1 + \epsilon') \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ , thus  $\mathcal{B}_\diamond \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  and  $y^\diamond$  is feasible to Problem E-MIQP'-s. Hence, the three vectors  $y^\diamond, y^1, y^2$  are feasible to Problem E-MIQP'-s and to

Problem E-MIQP'. Denote by  $f_{\sup}$  and  $f_{\inf}$  the supremum and the infimum of  $f_y(y)$  on the feasible region of Problem E-MIQP'. From (4), we directly obtain the following lower bound on  $f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sup} - f_{\inf} &\geq f(y^1) - f(y^2) \\ &\geq \left(1 - 9\epsilon'/2 - 5\epsilon'^2/4\right) \max \{\|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Next, we obtain an upper bound on  $f_y(y^\diamond) - f_{\inf}$ . First, let  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $y^\diamond = y^\dagger + g$ . It is simple to check that  $\mathcal{B}_\diamond \subseteq \mathcal{B}(y^\dagger, 2\epsilon')$ , which implies  $\|g\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon'$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_y(y^\diamond) - f_y(y^\dagger)| &= |f_y(y^\diamond) - f_y(y^\diamond - g)| \\ &= |y^{\diamond\top} H' y^\diamond + h'^\top y^\diamond - (y^\diamond - g)^\top H' (y^\diamond - g) - h'^\top (y^\diamond - g)| \\ &= |2y^{\diamond\top} H' g - g^\top H' g + h'^\top g| \\ &\leq |2y^{\diamond\top} H' g| + |g^\top H' g| + |h'^\top g| \\ &\leq 2\|y^\diamond\|_2 \|H'\|_2 \|g\|_2 + \|g\|_2^2 \|H'\|_2 + \|h'\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\ &\leq 4\epsilon' \|H'\|_2 + 4\epsilon'^2 \|H'\|_2 + 2\epsilon' \|h'\|_2 \\ &\leq \left(6\epsilon' + 4\epsilon'^2\right) \max \{\|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the third inequality we used  $\|y^\diamond\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|g\|_2 \leq 2\epsilon'$ . Using the above relation and (3), we obtain the following upper bound on  $f_y(y^\diamond) - f_{\inf}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_y(y^\diamond) - f_{\inf} &\leq f_y(y^\diamond) - f_y(y^\circ) \\ &\leq |f_y(y^\diamond) - f_y(y^\dagger)| + (f_y(y^\dagger) - f_y(y^\circ)) \\ &\leq \left(6\epsilon' + 4\epsilon'^2 + \epsilon'(1 + \epsilon')^2\right) \max \{\|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2\} \\ &= \left(7\epsilon' + 6\epsilon'^2 + \epsilon'^3\right) \max \{\|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We are now ready to show that  $y^\diamond$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem E-MIQP'. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y^\diamond) - f_{\inf} &\leq \left(7\epsilon' + 6\epsilon'^2 + \epsilon'^3\right) \max \{\|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2\} \\ &\leq 13\epsilon' \left(1 - 9\epsilon'/2 - 5\epsilon'^2/4\right) \max \{\|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2\} \\ &\leq 13\epsilon' (f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}) \\ &= \epsilon (f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality we used (6), the second inequality holds for  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1/13]$ , and in the third inequality we used (5). This concludes the first case.

Next, consider the case where Lemma 9 did not find the vector  $y^\diamond$ , or Proposition 1 did not find the two vectors  $y^1, y^2$ . In this case, we first show that we have found a nonzero vector  $d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d'^\top b^1, \dots, d'^\top b^p$  integer and  $d'^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^\top b^n = 0$  such that

$$\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon'} p 2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

If Proposition 1 did not find the two vectors  $y^1, y^2$ , then we already know it. Otherwise, if Lemma 9 did not find the vector  $y^\diamond$ , then we have found a nonzero vector  $d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d'^\top b^1, \dots, d'^\top b^p$  integer and  $d'^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}_\diamond) \leq p 2^{p(p-1)/4}$ . We obtain

$$\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) = \frac{2}{\epsilon'} \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}_\diamond) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon'} p 2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

We can now upper bound  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon'))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon')) &= (1 + \epsilon') \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 + \epsilon')}{\epsilon'} p 2^{p(p-1)/4} \\ &= \left(2 + \frac{26}{\epsilon'}\right) p 2^{p(p-1)/4} \\ &\leq \frac{28}{\epsilon'} p 2^{p(p-1)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $d := B^\top d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . From Lemma 12,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ , and, for  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) = \text{width}_{d'}(\tau(\mathcal{S}))$ . If we let  $\mathcal{S} := \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon'))$ , where  $\tau^\leftarrow$  denotes the inverse of  $\tau$ , we obtain

$$\text{width}_d(\tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon'))) = \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon')) \leq \frac{28}{\epsilon'} p 2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

Let  $\rho := \min\{d^\top x : x \in \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon'))\}$ , and note that we can calculate it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \min\{d^\top (B^{-1}y + c) : y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon')\} \\ &= \min\{d'^\top y : y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon')\} + d^\top c \\ &= -(1 + \epsilon') \|d'\|_2 + d^\top c \\ &= -(1 + \epsilon/13) \|d'\|_2 + d^\top c. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\{d^\top x : x \in \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon'))\} \in [\rho, \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon].$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \subseteq \tau^\leftarrow(\mathcal{B}(0, 1 + \epsilon'))$ , we also have

$$\{d^\top x : x \in \mathcal{E}(c, Q)\} \in [\rho, \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon].$$

This concludes the second case. □

## 6 Approximation algorithm for Problem E-MIQP

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Before diving into the proof, we present, in section Section 6.1, few additional results that we need.

### 6.1 Ingredients for approximation algorithm

We start by presenting two lemmas that will be instrumental in reformulating a restricted Problem E-MIQP, where the feasible region is intersected with a hyperplane, as a new Problem E-MIQP with one fewer integer variable. In the first lemma we show that, given a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that satisfies some technical conditions, we can find an affine transformation that maps the hyperplane  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_1 = 0\}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  and that preserves mixed integer points. Lemma 13 is similar to lemma 6 in [5]. The main difference is that here we seek an affine function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , while in lemma 6 in [5] the affine function is from  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . The proof is given for completeness. It will be useful to introduce some notation for hyperplanes. A *hyperplane* is a set of the form

$$\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d^\top x = \beta\},$$

where  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that a hyperplane is *rational*, when  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The arguments used in the proof are direct extensions of those for pure integer linear programs (see, e.g., [3]).

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rational hyperplane with  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ , for  $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . There is a polynomial time algorithm which determines whether the set  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$  is empty or not. If  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ , the algorithm finds a map  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\eta(y) := \bar{x} + Ty$ , with  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $T \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  unimodular, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) &= \eta(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_1 = 0\}), \\ \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} &= \eta(\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By possibly multiplying the equation  $d^\top x = \beta$  by the least common multiple of the denominators of the entries of  $d$ , we may assume that  $d$  is an integral vector. By possibly dividing the equation  $d^\top x = \beta$  by the greatest common divisor of the entries of  $d$ , we may assume that  $d$  has relatively prime entries. If  $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is empty and we are done. Thus, we now assume  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $d_1, \dots, d_p$  are relatively prime, by corollary 1.9 in [3], the equation  $\sum_{j=1}^p d_j x_j = \beta$  has an integral solution, thus  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonempty. It is well known (see, e.g., proof of corollary 1.9 in [3]) that we can compute in polynomial time a vector  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$  and a unimodular matrix  $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{p \times p}$  such that

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^p : \sum_{j=1}^p d_j x_j = \beta \right\} = \{\tilde{x} + Uy : y \in \mathbb{Z}^p, y_1 = 0\}.$$

Taking the convex hull of the two sets in the above equality, we obtain

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^p : \sum_{j=1}^p d_j x_j = \beta \right\} = \{\tilde{x} + Uy : y \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_1 = 0\}.$$

Since  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$  and  $U$  is unimodular we also have

$$\mathbb{Z}^p = \{\tilde{x} + Uy : y \in \mathbb{Z}^p\}.$$

We define the vector  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  by  $\bar{x}_j := \tilde{x}_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , and  $\bar{x}_j := 0$  for  $j = p+1, \dots, n$ . We also define the unimodular matrix  $T \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  with block corresponding to the first  $p$  rows and  $p$  columns being equal to  $U$ , block corresponding to the last  $n-p$  rows and  $n-p$  columns being equal to the identity matrix  $I_{n-p}$ , and remaining entries zero, i.e.,

$$T := \left( \begin{array}{c|c} U & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_{n-p} \end{array} \right).$$

Since  $d_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \{p+1, \dots, n\}$ , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) &= \{\bar{x} + Ty : y \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_1 = 0\} \\ \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} &= \{\bar{x} + Ty : y \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}\}.\end{aligned}$$

The result then follows by defining the rational affine transformation  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , as  $\eta(y) := \bar{x} + Ty$ .  $\square$

The next lemma builds on Lemma 13 and is of key importance in our path to prove Theorem 1. Given a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}$  and an ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we show that we can find an ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}'$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and an affine transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which maps  $\mathcal{E}'$  to  $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}$  and that preserves mixed integer points.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rational ellipsoid and let  $\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a rational hyperplane with  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ , for  $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . There is a polynomial time algorithm which determines whether the sets  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$  and  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  are empty or not. If  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ , the algorithm finds a map  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\eta(y) := \bar{x} + Ty$ , with  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $T \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n-1}$  of full rank, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) &= \eta(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \\ \mathcal{S} &= \eta(\mathbb{Z}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}).\end{aligned}$$

If also  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \neq \emptyset$ , the algorithm finds the preimage of the set  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  under  $\eta$ , which is either a rational ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c', Q') \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  or a singleton  $\{c'\}$ , for some  $c' \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 13, there is a polynomial time algorithm that determines whether the set  $\mathcal{S}$  is empty or not. If  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ , the algorithm finds a map  $\eta' : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\eta'(y) := \bar{x} + T'y$ , with  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $T' \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  unimodular, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) &= \eta'(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_1 = 0\}) \\ \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} &= \eta'(\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}).\end{aligned}$$

The preimage of the ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  under  $\eta'$  is the ellipsoid

$$\begin{aligned}& \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\bar{x} + T'y - c)^\top Q(\bar{x} + T'y - c) \leq 1 \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (y - (T'^{-1}(c - \bar{x})))^\top T'^\top Q T'(y - (T'^{-1}(c - \bar{x}))) \leq 1 \right\} = \\ &= \mathcal{E}(T'^{-1}(c - \bar{x}), T'^\top Q T').\end{aligned}$$

For ease of notation, we define  $q := T'^{-1}(c - \bar{x})$  and  $M := T'^\top Q T'$ , so that the preimage of  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  under  $\eta'$  is  $\mathcal{E}(q, M)$ .

Let  $T \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n-1}$  be the matrix  $T'$  stripped of the first column, and define the map  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\eta(y) := \bar{x} + Ty$ . We obtain  $\mathcal{H}(d, \beta) = \eta(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \eta(\mathbb{Z}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  be the preimage of the set  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  under  $\eta$ . We then have

$$\mathcal{E}' := \{ \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : (0, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{E}(q, M) \}.$$

To conclude the proof, we need to show that  $\mathcal{E}'$  is either a rational ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c', Q') \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  or a singleton  $\{c'\}$ , for some  $c' \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{q}$  be obtained from  $q$  by dropping the first component. Define  $m_{11}$  as the element of  $M$  in position  $(1, 1)$ ,  $\tilde{m}$  as the first column of  $M$  without the first element, and  $\tilde{M}$  is the submatrix of  $M$  obtained by stripping  $M$  of its first row and first column:

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \tilde{q} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} m_{11} & \tilde{m}^\top \\ \hline \tilde{m} & \tilde{M} \end{array} \right).$$

We can now write  $\mathcal{E}'$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}' &= \left\{ \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : (\tilde{y} - \tilde{q})^\top \tilde{M}(\tilde{y} - \tilde{q}) - 2q_1 \tilde{m}^\top (\tilde{y} - \tilde{q}) \leq 1 - q_1^2 m_{11} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : (\tilde{y} - c')^\top \tilde{M}(\tilde{y} - c') \leq \zeta \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where  $c' := \tilde{q} + q_1 \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{m}$  and  $\zeta := 1 - q_1^2 (m_{11} - \tilde{m}^\top \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{m})$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{E}' = \emptyset$  if  $\zeta < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}' = \{c'\}$  if  $\zeta = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{E}'$  is the ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c', \tilde{M}/\zeta)$  if  $\zeta > 0$ .  $\square$

We remark that, in Lemma 14, it is fundamental that ellipsoids are encoded by means of their symmetric positive definite matrix, i.e., the matrix  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$ . A different encoding of the ellipsoid, where one encodes a matrix  $L$  such that  $L^\top L = Q$  instead of the matrix  $Q$ , would not allow us to obtain the ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c', Q')$  using only rational numbers.

We only need one more simple result, which illustrates a basic property of  $\epsilon$ -approximate solutions. The proof is given for completeness.

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}^1, \dots, \mathcal{S}^t \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $f : \cup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{S}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and assume that it has a (global) minimum. Let  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , let  $x^i$  be an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to the optimization problem  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{S}^i\}$ . Then, each optimal solution to  $\min\{f(x) : x \in \{x^1, \dots, x^t\}\}$ , is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to the optimization problem  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in \cup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{S}^i\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f_{\inf}$  and  $f_{\sup}$  denote the infimum and the supremum of  $f(x)$  on the domain  $\cup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{S}^i$ . Let  $x^*$  be an optimal solution to  $\min\{f(x) : x \in \cup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{S}^i\}$  and let  $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  such that  $x^* \in \mathcal{S}^j$ . Let  $f_{\inf}^j$  and  $f_{\sup}^j$  denote the infimum and the supremum of  $f(x)$  on the set  $\mathcal{S}^j$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^j) - f_{\inf} &= f(x^j) - f_{\inf}^j \\ &\leq \epsilon(f_{\sup}^j - f_{\inf}^j) \\ &\leq \epsilon(f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first equality we used  $f_{\inf} = f(x^*) = f_{\inf}^j$ , the first inequality holds because  $x^j$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{S}^j\}$ , and the last inequality holds because  $f_{\sup}^j \leq f_{\sup}$  and  $f_{\inf}^j = f_{\inf}$ . Hence,  $x^j$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in \cup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{S}^i\}$ . Therefore, also each optimal solution to  $\min\{f(x) : x \in \{x^1, \dots, x^t\}\}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to  $\inf\{f(x) : x \in \cup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{S}^i\}$ .  $\square$

## 6.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Before presenting the proof, we give an overview of the algorithm. First, we apply Proposition 2. If we find an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem E-MIQP, we are done. Otherwise, we find a vector  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  that allows us to partition the feasible region of Problem E-MIQP into a number of parallel hyperplanes that is polynomial in  $1/\epsilon$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  be one of these hyperplanes and let Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$  be obtained from Problem E-MIQP by adding the constraint  $d^\top x = \beta$ . If  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$  or  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  is empty, then Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$  is infeasible, so assume both sets are nonempty. If  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  is a singleton, then we can easily solve Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$ . Otherwise, we use Lemma 14 to transform Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$  into an equivalent problem of the form of Problem E-MIQP, but with  $p-1$  integer variables. We can then apply the algorithm recursively. We now give the complete proof of Theorem 1, which uses Proposition 2 and Lemmas 14 and 15.

*Proof.* We now present our approximation algorithm for Problem E-MIQP.

**Step 1: Approximation or partition.** We first apply the algorithm in Proposition 2. If the algorithm finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem E-MIQP, then we are done. Otherwise, the algorithm finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$  and a scalar  $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\{d^\top x : x \in \mathcal{E}(c, Q)\} \in \left[ \rho, \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon \right].$$

Note that  $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $d^\top x$  is an integer for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ . Therefore, every feasible point of Problem E-MIQP is contained in one of the hyperplanes

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d^\top x = \beta\}, \quad \beta = \lceil \rho \rceil, \lceil \rho \rceil + 1, \dots, \lceil \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon \rceil.$$

For each  $\beta = \lceil \rho \rceil, \dots, \lceil \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon \rceil$ , we define the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & (x - c)^\top Q(x - c) \leq 1 \\ & d^\top x = \beta \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{E-MIQP-}\beta$$

For each  $\beta$ , we apply the algorithm in Lemma 14. This algorithm determines whether the sets  $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$  and  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  are empty or not. If any of the two is empty, then Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$  is infeasible, thus we now assume that both sets are nonempty. Then, the algorithm finds a map  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\eta(y) := \bar{x} + Ty$ , with  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $T \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n-1}$  of full rank, and the preimage  $\mathcal{E}'$  of the set  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  under  $\eta$ . Assume first that  $\mathcal{E}'$  is a singleton  $\{c'\}$ , for some  $c' \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  is the singleton  $\{\bar{x} + Tc'\}$ . We check if  $\bar{x} + Tc' \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ . If it is, then it is the optimal solution to Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$ , and if it is not then Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$  is infeasible. Thus we now assume that  $\mathcal{E}'$  is an ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c', Q') \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) &= \eta(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \\ \mathcal{S} &= \eta(\mathbb{Z}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}), \\ \mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap \mathcal{H}(d, \beta) &= \eta(\mathcal{E}(c', Q')). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the change of variables  $x = \bar{x} + Ty$  to Problem E-MIQP- $\beta$ , we obtain the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma + \min \quad & y^\top H'y + h'^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y \in \mathcal{E}(c', Q') \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}^{p-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}, \end{aligned} \tag{MITR-}\beta'$$

where  $\gamma := \bar{x}^\top H\bar{x} + h^\top \bar{x}$ ,  $H' := T^\top HT$ ,  $h' := T^\top h + 2T^\top H^\top \bar{x}$ . Note that Problem MITR- $\beta'$  has the form of Problem E-MIQP, but with  $p-1$  integer variables and  $n-p$  continuous variables.

**Step 2: Recursion.** We apply recursively the algorithm to each obtained Problem MITR- $\beta'$ , for each  $\beta = \lceil \rho \rceil, \dots, \lceil \rho + 28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon \rceil$ . Since each time the problem is partitioned in Step 1, the number of integer variables decreases by one, the total number of iterations of the algorithm is upper bounded by

$$\left(28p2^{p(p-1)/4}/\epsilon\right)^p.$$

**Step 3: Termination.** Among all the feasible solutions to Problem E-MIQP found, we return one with the minimum objective value. The result then follows from Lemma 15. This is because the function  $f(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x$ , from  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q) \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p})$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , is a continuous function from a compact set to the real numbers. Hence, from the extreme value theorem, it has a (global) minimum.  $\square$

## 7 Mixed integer quadratic programming

In this section we prove Theorem 2. Our first goal is to present a theorem of the alternative for Problem MIQP, along the lines of Proposition 2 for Problem E-MIQP: an algorithm that either finds an approximate solution, or finds a nonzero vector  $d$  along which the feasible region is flat.

### 7.1 Theorem of the alternative for Problem MIQP

The goal of this section is to present the following theorem of the alternative for Problem MIQP.

**Proposition 3** (Theorem of the alternative for Problem MIQP). *Consider Problem MIQP, and assume that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$  is full-dimensional and bounded. There is an algorithm which either finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution, where the approximation factor is  $\epsilon = 1 - \Theta(n^{-2})$ , or finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$  and a scalar  $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$\left\{ d^\top x : Wx \leq w \right\} \in \left[ \rho, \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4} \right].$$

*The running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of Problem MIQP.*

Before giving the proof, we give an overview of the algorithm. The structure is similar to the algorithm in the proof of Proposition 2, with few key differences. First, we construct an affine transformation  $\tau$  that maps  $\mathcal{P}$  into a polytope that is sandwiched between  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(0, n+1)$ . We denote by Problem MIQP' the optimization problem obtained by applying the same affine transformation to Problem MIQP. Next, we consider Problem MIQP'-s obtained from Problem MIQP' by replacing the constraint  $y \in \tau(\mathcal{P})$  with the stronger requirement  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ . Using Proposition 1, we search for two feasible solutions  $y^\#, y^\diamond$  to Problem MIQP'-s such that  $f(y^\#)$  is sufficiently larger than  $y^\diamond$ . If Proposition 1 finds the vectors  $y^\#, y^\diamond$ , we show that  $y^\diamond$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem MIQP', where the approximation factor is  $\epsilon = 1 - \Theta(n^{-2})$ . Otherwise, we show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is flat and we find the associated vector  $d$ . We now give the complete proof of Proposition 3, which uses Lemmas 10 and 12 and Proposition 1.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$ . Using theorem 15.6 in [19] (with  $\gamma := 1/(n+1/2)$ ), we find a rational ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}(c, Q)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(c, (n+1/2)^2 Q) \subseteq \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(c, Q).$$

Using the algorithm in Lemma 10 (with  $Q := (n+1/2)^2 Q$  and  $\delta := 1/(2n+1)$ ), we find a map  $\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\tau(x) := B(x - c)$ , with  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  invertible, such that

$$\mathcal{B}(0, 1) \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, (n+1/2)^2 Q)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0, (2n+2)/(2n+1)).$$

In particular, the first containment implies  $\mathcal{B}(0, 1) \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{P})$ . Next, we prove  $\tau(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0, n+1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathcal{P}) &\subseteq \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, Q)) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(0, B^{-\top} Q B^{-1}) \\ &= (n+1/2) \mathcal{E}(c, (n+1/2)^2 B^{-\top} Q B^{-1}) \\ &= (n+1/2) \tau(\mathcal{E}(c, (n+1/2)^2 Q)) \\ &\subseteq (n+1/2) \mathcal{B}(0, (2n+2)/(2n+1)) \\ &= \mathcal{B}(0, n+1). \end{aligned}$$



Therefore, we have shown

$$\mathcal{B}(0, 1) \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0, n + 1).$$

Applying the change of variables  $y := B(x - c)$  to Problem MIQP, we obtain the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma + \min \quad & y^\top H' y + h'^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y \in \tau(\mathcal{P}) \\ & y \in \Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) - \{Bc\}, \end{aligned} \tag{MIQP'}$$

where  $\gamma := c^\top H c + h^\top c$ ,  $H' := B^{-\top} H B^{-1}$ ,  $h' := 2B^{-\top} H^\top c + B^{-\top} h$ , and  $b^1, \dots, b^n$  are the columns of  $B$ . For ease of notation, in the following we denote by  $f_y(y) := y^\top H' y + h'^\top y$ .

Consider now the mixed integer trust region problem obtained from Problem MIQP' by replacing the constraint  $y \in \tau(\mathcal{P})$  with the stronger requirement  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma + \min \quad & y^\top H' y + h'^\top y \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y^\top y \leq 1 \\ & y \in \Pi_p(b^1, \dots, b^n) - \{Bc\}. \end{aligned} \tag{MIQP'-s}$$

Using the algorithm in Proposition 1 (with  $\epsilon := 1/10$ ), we either find two feasible solutions  $y^\#$ ,  $y^\diamond$  to Problem MIQP'-s such that

$$f(y^\#) - f(y^\diamond) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \}, \tag{7}$$

or we find a nonzero vector  $d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d'^\top b^1, \dots, d'^\top b^p$  integer and  $d'^\top b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^\top b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \leq 20p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ .

In the remainder of the proof, we consider separately two cases. First, consider the case where Proposition 1 successfully found the two vectors  $y^\#$ ,  $y^\diamond$ . Note that  $y^\#$  and  $y^\diamond$  are feasible also to Problem MIQP'. Denote by  $f_{\text{sup}}$  and  $f_{\text{inf}}$  the supremum and the infimum of  $f_y(y)$  on the feasible region of Problem MIQP'. From (7), we directly obtain the following lower bound on  $f_{\text{sup}} - f(y^\diamond)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{sup}} - f(y^\diamond) &\geq f(y^\#) - f(y^\diamond) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Next, we obtain the following upper bound on  $f_{\text{sup}} - f_{\text{inf}}$ :

$$f_{\text{sup}} - f_{\text{inf}} \leq 4(n + 1)^2 \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \}. \tag{9}$$

To prove this bound, observe that, for any  $y \in \mathcal{B}(0, n + 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y)| &\leq |y^\top H' y| + |h'^\top y| \\ &\leq \|H'\|_2 \|y\|_2^2 + \|h'\|_2 \|y\|_2 \\ &\leq (n + 1)^2 \|H'\|_2 + (n + 1) \|h'\|_2 \\ &\leq 2(n + 1)^2 \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to show that  $y^\diamond$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem MIQP', where the approximation factor is  $\epsilon = 1 - \Theta(n^{-2})$ . We combine (8) and (9) to obtain:

$$f_{\sup} - f_{\inf} \leq 4(n+1)^2 \max \{ \|H'\|_2, \|h'\|_2 \} \leq 8(n+1)^2 (f_{\sup} - f(x^\diamond)).$$

We can then use the above inequality to obtain the bound:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^\diamond) - f_{\inf} &= (f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}) - (f_{\sup} - f(x^\diamond)) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{8(n+1)^2}\right) (f_{\sup} - f_{\inf}). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the first case.

Next, consider the case where Proposition 1 found a vector  $d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $d'^T b^1, \dots, d'^T b^p$  integer and  $d'^T b^{p+1} = \dots = d'^T b^n = 0$  such that  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \leq 20p2^{p(p-1)/4}$ . We can now upper bound  $\text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1)) &= (n+1) \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, 1)) \\ &\leq 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $d := B^T d' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . From Lemma 12,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ , and, for  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{width}_d(\mathcal{S}) = \text{width}_{d'}(\tau(\mathcal{S}))$ . If we let  $\mathcal{S} := \tau^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1))$ , where  $\tau^{\leftarrow}$  denotes the inverse of  $\tau$ , we obtain

$$\text{width}_d(\tau^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1))) = \text{width}_{d'}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1)) \leq 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4}.$$

Let  $\rho := \min\{d^T x : x \in \tau^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1))\}$ , and note that we can calculate it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \min\{d^T (B^{-1}y + c) : y \in \mathcal{B}(0, n+1)\} \\ &= \min\{d'^T y : y \in \mathcal{B}(0, n+1)\} + d^T c \\ &= -(n+1)\|d'\|_2 + d^T c. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\{d^T x : x \in \tau^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1))\} \in [\rho, \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4}].$$

Since  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \tau^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{B}(0, n+1))$ , we also have

$$\{d^T x : x \in \mathcal{P}\} \in [\rho, \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4}].$$

This concludes the second case. □

## 7.2 Approximation algorithm for Problem MIQP

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2. Before presenting the proof, we give an overview of the algorithm. First, we reduce ourselves to the case where the polyhedron  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$  is bounded and full-dimensional. Next, we apply Proposition 3. If we find an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem MIQP where the approximation factor is  $\epsilon = 1 - \Theta(n^{-2})$ , then we are done. Otherwise, we find a vector  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  that allows us to partition the feasible region of Problem MIQP into a number of parallel hyperplanes that is polynomial in  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(d, \beta)$  be one of these hyperplanes and let Problem MIQP- $\beta$  be obtained from Problem MIQP by adding the constraint  $d^T x = \beta$ . We transform Problem MIQP- $\beta$  into an equivalent problem of the form of Problem MIQP, but with  $p-1$  integer variables. We can then apply the algorithm recursively. We now give the complete proof of Theorem 2, which uses Proposition 3 and Lemma 15.

*Proof.* We now present our approximation algorithm for Problem MIQP.

**Step 0: Bounded feasible region.** Consider Problem MIQP and assume there exists  $\bar{f} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that every feasible solution has objective value at least  $\bar{f}$ . Theorem 4 in [7] implies that, if the feasible region is nonempty, there is an optimal solution of size bounded by an integer  $\psi$ , which is polynomial in the size of the problem.<sup>1</sup> We add to the system  $Wx \leq w$  the linear inequalities  $-2^\psi \leq x_i \leq 2^\psi$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , whose size is polynomial in the size of Problem MIQP. It is simple to check that an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to the obtained problem is also an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to the original, for every  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore, we can now assume that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$  is bounded.

**Step 1: Full dimensionality.** Consider the sets

$$\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}, \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathcal{P} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}),$$

and note that  $\mathcal{S}$  constitutes the feasible region of Problem MIQP. We apply theorem 1 in [6]. If we find out that  $\mathcal{S}$  is empty, we are done. Otherwise, we obtain  $p' \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $n' \in \{p', p' + 1, \dots, p' + n - p\}$ , a map  $\tau : \mathbb{R}^{n'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the form  $\tau(x') = \bar{x} + Mx'$ , with  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^{n-p}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n'}$  of full rank, such that the polyhedron

$$\mathcal{P}' := \left\{ x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n'} : WMx' \leq w - W\bar{x} \right\}$$

is full-dimensional, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \tau(\mathcal{P}') \\ \mathcal{S} &= \tau\left(\mathcal{P}' \cap \left(\mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We perform the change of variables  $x = \bar{x} + Mx'$  to Problem MIQP and, after dropping the constant  $\bar{x}^\top H\bar{x} + h^\top \bar{x}$  in the objective function, we obtain the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x'^\top H'x' + h'^\top x' \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & W'x' \leq w' \\ & x' \in \mathbb{Z}^{p'} \times \mathbb{R}^{n'-p'}, \end{aligned} \tag{MIQP'}$$

where  $H' := M^\top HM$ ,  $h'^\top := h^\top M + 2\bar{x}^\top HM$ ,  $W' := WM$ , and  $w' := w - W\bar{x}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is bounded, also the polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}'$  is bounded. From theorem 1 in [6], the polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}'$  is full-dimensional.

Since the definition of  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution is preserved under changes of variables and translations of the objective function, it then suffices to find an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem MIQP'. For ease of notation, from now on we consider Problem MIQP instead of Problem MIQP' and we further assume, without loss of generality, that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Wx \leq w\}$  in Problem MIQP is full-dimensional.

**Step 2: Approximation or partition.** We now apply the algorithm in Proposition 3. If the algorithm finds an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to Problem MIQP where the approximation factor is  $\epsilon = 1 - \Theta(n^{-2})$ , then we are done. Otherwise, the algorithm finds a nonzero vector  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$  and a scalar  $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\left\{ d^\top x : Wx \leq w \right\} \in \left[ \rho, \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4} \right].$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Even though Theorem 4 in [7] does not give  $\psi$  explicitly, a formula for  $\psi$ , as a function of the size of Problem MIQP, can be derived from its proof.

Note that  $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $d^\top x$  is an integer for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ . Therefore, every feasible point of Problem MIQP is contained in one of the hyperplanes

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d^\top x = \beta\}, \quad \beta = \lceil \rho \rceil, \lceil \rho \rceil + 1, \dots, \lceil \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4} \rceil.$$

For each  $\beta = \lceil \rho \rceil, \dots, \lceil \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4} \rceil$ , we define the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^\top Hx + h^\top x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Wx \leq w \\ & d^\top x = \beta \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{MIQP- $\beta$ }$$

For each  $\beta$ , we apply theorem 1 in [6] to the feasible region of Problem MIQP- $\beta$ , as in Step 2. If we find out that the feasible region is empty, we are done. Otherwise, we transform, with a change of variables, Problem MIQP- $\beta$  into a new problem, which we denote by Problem MIQP- $\beta'$ . Since  $d_{p+1} = \dots = d_n = 0$ , Problem MIQP- $\beta'$  has at most  $p-1$  integer variables and  $n-p$  continuous variables.

**Step 3: Recursion.** We apply recursively the algorithm, from Step 1, to each obtained Problem MIQP- $\beta'$ , for each  $\beta = \lceil \rho \rceil, \dots, \lceil \rho + 20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4} \rceil$ . Since each time the problem is partitioned in Step 2, the number of integer variables decreases by one, the total number of iterations of the algorithm is upper bounded by

$$\left(20(n+1)p2^{p(p-1)/4}\right)^p.$$

**Step 4: Termination.** Among all the feasible solutions to Problem MIQP found, we return one with the minimum objective value. The result then follows from Lemma 15. This is because the function  $f(x) := x^\top Hx + h^\top x$ , from the original feasible region  $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p} : Wx \leq w\}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , is lower bounded by assumption, and thus has a (global) minimum, due to theorem 4 in [7].  $\square$

**Funding:** A. Del Pia is partially funded by AFOSR grant FA9550-23-1-0433. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

## References

- [1] Bellare, M., Rogaway, P.: The complexity of approximating a nonlinear program. *Mathematical Programming* **69**, 429–441 (1995)
- [2] Bienstock, D.: A note on polynomial solvability of the CDT problem. *SIAM Journal on Optimization* **26**(1), 488–498 (2016)
- [3] Conforti, M., Cornuéjols, G., Zambelli, G.: *Integer Programming*. Springer (2014)
- [4] Conn, A.R., Gould, N.I.M., Toint, P.L.: *Trust Region Methods*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (2000)
- [5] Del Pia, A.: An approximation algorithm for indefinite mixed integer quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming, Series A* **201**, 263–293 (2023)

- [6] Del Pia, A.: Convex quadratic sets and the complexity of mixed integer convex quadratic programming. arXiv:2311.00099 (2024)
- [7] Del Pia, A., Dey, S.S., Molinaro, M.: Mixed-integer quadratic programming is in NP. *Mathematical Programming, Series A* **162**(1), 225–240 (2017)
- [8] Exler, O.: New trust region SQP methods for continuous and integer optimization. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bayreuth (2013)
- [9] Exler, O., Schittkowski, K.: A trust region sqp algorithm for mixed-integer nonlinear programming. *Optimization Letters* **1**, 269–280 (2007)
- [10] Garey, M.R., Johnson, D.S., Stockmeyer, L.: Some simplified NP-complete graph problems. *Theoretical Computer Science* **1**(3), 237–267 (1976)
- [11] Karmakar, N.: An interior-point approach to NP-complete problems - part I. In: J. Lagarias, M.J. Todd (eds.) *Mathematical Developments Arising from Linear Programming*, vol. *Contemporary Mathematics* 114, pp. 297–308. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1989)
- [12] de Klerk, E., Laurent, M., Parrilo, P.A.: A PTAS for the minimization of polynomials of fixed degree over the simplex. *Theoretical Computer Science* **361**, 210–225 (2006)
- [13] Lenstra, H.W.J.: Integer programming with a fixed number of variables. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **8**(4), 538–548 (1983)
- [14] Micciancio, D., Goldwasser, S.: *Complexity of Lattice Problems: A Cryptographic Perspective*. The Springer International Series in Engineering and Computer Science. Springer, New York, NY (2002)
- [15] Nemirovski, A.S., Yudin, D.B.: *Problem Complexity and Method Efficiency in Optimization*. Wiley, Chichester (1983). Translated by E.R. Dawson from *Slozhnost' Zadach i Effektivnost' Metodov Optimizatsii* (1979).
- [16] Newby, E.: General solution methods for mixed integer quadratic programming and derivative free mixed integer non-linear programming problems. Ph.D. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand (2013)
- [17] Newby, E., Ali, M.M.: A trust-region-based derivative free algorithm for mixed integer programming. *Computational Optimization and Applications* **60**, 199–229 (2015)
- [18] Pardalos, P.M., Vavasis, S.A.: Quadratic programming with one negative eigenvalue is NP-hard. *Journal of Global Optimization* **1**(1), 15–22 (1991)
- [19] Schrijver, A.: *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*. Wiley, Chichester (1986)
- [20] Trevisan, L., Sorkin, G.B., Sudan, M., Williamson, D.P.: Gadgets, approximation, and linear programming. *SIAM Journal on Computing* **29**(6), 2074–2097 (2000)
- [21] Vavasis, S.A.: On approximation algorithms for concave quadratic programming. In: C. Floudas, P.M. Pardalos (eds.) *Recent Advances in Global Optimization*, pp. 3–18. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1992)

- [22] Vavasis, S.A.: Polynomial time weak approximation algorithms for quadratic programming. In: P.M. Pardalos (ed.) *Complexity in Numerical Optimization*. World Scientific (1993)
- [23] Vavasis, S.A., Zippel, R.: Proving polynomial-time for sphere-constrained quadratic programming. Tech. Rep. 90-1182, Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (1990)
- [24] Ye, Y.: On affine scaling algorithms for nonconvex quadratic programming. *Mathematical Programming* **56**, 285–300 (1992)