QUIVER HEISENBERG ALGEBRAS : A CUBIC ANALOGUE OF PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRAS

MARTIN HERSCHEND AND HIROYUKI MINAMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a certain class of central extensions of preprojective algebras of quivers under the name quiver Heisenberg algebras (QHA). There are several classes of algebras introduced before by different researchers from different view points, which have the QHA as a special case. While these have mainly been studied in characteristic zero, we also study the case of positive characteristic. Our results show that the QHA is closely related to the representation theory of the corresponding path algebra in a similar way to the preprojective algebra.

Among other things, one of our main results is that the QHA provides an exact sequence of bimodules over the path algebra of a quiver, which can be called the universal Auslander-Reiten sequence. Moreover, we show that the QHA provides minimal left and right approximations with respect to the powers of the radical functor. Consequently, we obtain a description of the QHA as a module over the path algebra, which in the Dynkin case, gives a categorification (as well as a generalization to the positive characteristic case) of the dimension formula by Etingof-Rains.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgment	21
2. rad ^{<i>n</i>} -approximations in $R \mod$ and in $D^{b}(R \mod)$	22
3. rad ^{<i>n</i>} -approximation theory of $D^{b}(\mathbf{k}Q \mod)$	29
4. dg-modules over a dg-algebra	33
5. Universal Auslander-Reiten triangle for $\mathbf{k}Q$	39
6. The derived quiver Heisenberg algebras	52
7. QHA of non-Dynkin type for non-sincere weight	77
8. Minimal right and left rad ⁿ -approximations	80
9. QHA of Dynkin type	85
10. The middle terms of AR-sequences starting from middle terms	91
11. A sufficient criterion concerning rad^n -approximations	100
12. A deformation argument	107
13. Minimal left rad ^{<i>n</i>} -approximations in the case char $\mathbf{k} = 0$	110
14. QHA of Dynkin type A_N	114
15. Bimodule versions and the universal ladder	115
Appendix A. Homotopy Cartesian square	119
Appendix B. Inverse of Serre functors and Happel's criterion	121
Appendix C. Natural isomorphisms	125
References	126

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16G20, Secondary: 16G70, 18G80.

Key words and phrases. Quiver Heisenberg algebras, Central extension of preprojective algebras, approximations with respect to the powers of the radical functor.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction. The notion of a quiver, an alias for an oriented graph was introduced to representation theory by Gabriel [19]. A representation of a quiver attaches to each vertex a vector space and to each arrow a linear map.

From a quiver Q and a field \mathbf{k} , an algebra $\mathbf{k}Q$ called the *path algebra* of Q, is constructed. It is a kind of free algebra construction and hence a lot of algebras are obtained as residue algebras of the path algebras. Another important feature is that a representation of Q is the same as a module over $\mathbf{k}Q$ and that consequently, the category of representations of Q is equivalent to the module category of $\mathbf{k}Q$.

The study of these equivalent categories, which is at the heart of quiver representation theory, has uncovered rich structures in them and lead to deep connections to many other important subjects.

The path algebra $\mathbf{k}Q$ of Q is finite dimensional precisely when Q is finite and acyclic. Finite dimensional path algebras are one of the central objects of study in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras and the structure of their modules categories have been extensively investigated. Auslander-Reiten theory which provides the module category of a finite dimensional algebra with an orderly structure, serves as a principal tool to investigate the category of representations of a finite acyclic quiver. One of the reasons path algebras are so important is that they are prototypical among hereditary algebras.

From a quiver Q, another important algebra $\Pi(Q)$ called the *preprojective algebra* of Q, is constructed. It was first introduced by Gelfand-Ponomarev [20]. Soon after that Dlab-Rignel [12] gave a description by generators and relations that is currently accepted as the definition of it (as well as a generalization in the context of modulated graphs). A way to introduce the preprojective algebra from Auslander-Reiten theory of $\mathbf{k}Q$, was found by Baer-Geigle-Lenzing [4] and was confirmed by Crawley-Boevey [7] and Ringel [45].

The preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ of Q is also one of the central objects of interest in representation theory of algebras and has been extensively studied. Moreover the path algebras $\mathbf{k}Q$ and the preprojective algebras $\Pi(Q)$ have been shown to have wide range of applications: cluster algebras and related combinatorics, McKay correspondence, canonical basis, Nakajima quiver varieties, Kashiwara-Saito's realization of the crystal basis.

In this paper, we construct an algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ from a quiver, which we call the *quiver Heisenberg algebra*. As is explained below, this algebra turns out to be isomorphic to a special case of algebras previously introduced by several other researchers. However, making use of our definition, we prove that quiver Heisenberg algebra is closely related to representation theory of the path algebra, as is the case with the preprojective algebra.

To explain our results in detail, we first need to recall the preprojective algebras $\Pi(Q)$ and their relationship to Auslander-Reiten theory of $\mathbf{k}Q$.

1.2. Preprojective algebras and Auslander-Reiten theory of path algebras. We recall definitions and basic facts about the preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ of a quiver Q.

Let Q be a finite acyclic quiver and $A = \mathbf{k}Q$ its path algebra. We denote by \overline{Q} the double of Q. Namely, \overline{Q} is obtained from Q by formally adding an opposite

arrow $\alpha^* : j \to i$ for each arrow $\alpha : i \to j$ of the original quiver Q.



Recall that for a vertex $i \in Q_0$, the mesh relation ρ_i at i is the element of $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}$ that is given by

(1-1)
$$\rho_i := \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1: t(\alpha) = i} \alpha \alpha^* - \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1: h(\alpha) = i} \alpha^* \alpha.$$

The total sum $\rho := \sum_{i \in Q_0} \rho_i$ is also called the *mesh relation*.

The preprojective algebra is defined to be the path of \overline{Q} with mesh relations:

$$\Pi = \Pi(Q) = \frac{\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}}{(\rho)} = \frac{\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}}{(\rho_i \mid i \in Q_0)}.$$

We equip \overline{Q} with a grading which we call the *-grading

(1-2)
$$\deg^* \alpha := 0, \ \deg^* \alpha^* := 1 \text{ for } \alpha \in Q_1.$$

The mesh relations ρ_i are homogeneous of degree 1 and consequently $\Pi(Q)$ is a *-graded algebra. We denote the *-degree *n* part of $\Pi(Q)$ by $\Pi(Q)_n$.

The *-degree 0 part $\Pi(Q)$ coincides with the path algebra A and we may regard $\Pi(Q)_n$ as a bimodule over A.

An important fact due to Baer-Geigle-Lenzing [4] (see also [7, 45]) is that there is an isomorphism $\Pi(Q)_1 \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(\operatorname{D}(A), A)$ of bimodules over A and that the endofunctor $\Pi_1 \otimes_A -$ of the module category A mod is the inverse of the Auslander-Reiten translation τ_1^{-1}

(1-3)
$$\tau_1^{-1} = \Pi(Q)_1 \otimes_A -.$$

Moreover, the above isomorphism of bimodules extends to an isomorphism below of graded algebras

(1-4)
$$\Pi(Q) \cong \mathsf{T}_A \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(\mathsf{D}(A), A)$$

where the right hand side is the tensor algebra over A and the grading is given by the tensor degree. Thus it can be said that the preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ originates from Auslander-Reiten theory of the module category $A \mod$.

One nice consequence is the following description of $\Pi(Q)$ as a module over A. Let $\mathcal{P}(Q) = \operatorname{add}\{\tau_1^{-i}A \mid i \geq 0\} \subset A \mod$ be the category of the preprojective modules.

Theorem 1.1 (Gelfand-Ponomarev [20], Dlab-Ringel [12]). *The following assertions hold.*

(1) Let $i \in Q_0$ be a vertex. We set $P_i := Ae_i$. We have the following isomorphism of A-modules:

$$\Pi(Q)e_i \cong \bigoplus_{n>0} \tau_1^{-n} P_i.$$

(2) We have the following isomorphism of A-modules:

$$\Pi(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{P}(Q)} N.$$

In case Q is Dynkin, it is well-known that $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ coincides with the whole module category A mod. Therefore we obtain the following corollary, in which ind Q denotes the set of isomorphism class of indecomposable A-modules.

Corollary 1.2. If Q is a Dynkin quiver, then we have the following isomorphism of A-modules.

$$\Pi(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} Q} N.$$

1.2.1. Depending on whether Q is Dynkin or non-Dynkin, properties of $\Pi(Q)$ change. But in both cases, $\Pi(Q)$ has salient properties.

Theorem 1.3. For a Dynkin quiver Q, the following assertions hold.

(1) The preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ is a finite dimensional Frobenius algebra of dimension

$$\dim \Pi(Q) = \sum_{N \in \operatorname{ind} Q} \dim N = \frac{rh(h+1)}{6}$$

where h is the Coxeter number of Q and $r := \#Q_0$. (The second equality is given by Etingof-Rains [15].)

(2) $\Pi(Q)$ is stably 2-Calabi-Yau (i.e., the stable category $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}\Pi(Q)$ is a 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated category).

Theorem 1.4. Let Q be a non-Dynkin quiver. Then the preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ is an infinite dimensional 2-Calabi-Yau algebra.

1.3. The quiver Heisenberg algebras. Now we introduce the quiver Heisenberg algebra (QHA) ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ of a quiver Q which is the main object of this paper. This algebra is defined from a quiver Q with explicit relations and has a parameter $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_{0}$ (a collection of elements of \mathbf{k}^{\times} indexed by the vertices $i \in Q_{0}$). We remark that using the isomorphism given in Lemma 1.6 below, we can define the algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ for any element $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_{0}$.

We call an element v of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ (resp. $\mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$) weight (resp. sincere weight).

Definition 1.5. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ be a sincere weight.

- (1) For $i \in Q_0$, we set ${}^{v}\varrho_i := v_i^{-1}\rho_i$ and ${}^{v}\varrho := \sum_{i \in Q_0} {}^{v}\varrho_i$. They are collectively called the weighted mesh relations.
- (2) For $a \in \overline{Q}_1$, the quiver Heisenberg relation ${}^v\eta_a$ is defined to be the commutator of a with ${}^v\varrho$. Namely, for an arrow $a \in Q_1$ with i = h(a), j = t(a), we set

$${}^v\eta_a := [a, {}^v\varrho] = a^v\varrho_i - {}^v\varrho_j a = v_i^{-1}a\rho_i - v_j^{-1}\rho_j a.$$

Explicitly, for an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $i = h(\alpha), j = t(\alpha)$, we have

$${}^{v}\!\eta_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta:t(\beta)=i} v_{i}^{-1} \alpha \beta \beta^{*} - \sum_{\beta:h(\beta)=i} v_{i}^{-1} \alpha \beta^{*} \beta - \sum_{\beta:t(\beta)=j} v_{j}^{-1} \beta \beta^{*} \alpha + \sum_{\beta:h(\beta)=j} v_{j}^{-1} \beta^{*} \beta \alpha,$$

$${}^{v}\!\eta_{\alpha^{*}} = \sum_{\beta:t(\beta)=j} v_{j}^{-1} \alpha^{*} \beta \beta^{*} - \sum_{\beta:h(\beta)=j} v_{j}^{-1} \alpha^{*} \beta^{*} \beta - \sum_{\beta:t(\beta)=i} v_{i}^{-1} \beta \beta^{*} \alpha^{*} + \sum_{\beta:h(\beta)=i} v_{i}^{-1} \beta^{*} \beta \alpha^{*}$$

(3) We define the quiver Heisenberg algebra (QHA) ${}^{v}\Lambda = {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ to be the path algebra of the double quiver \overline{Q} with the quiver Heisenberg relations:

$${}^{v}\Lambda := {}^{v}\Lambda(Q) := rac{\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}}{({}^{v}\eta_{a}|a\in\overline{Q}_{1})}.$$

1.3.1. Remark about the naming. The authors originally studied the case that $v_i = 1$ for all $i \in Q_0$. In this case, if $Q = \circlearrowleft$ is a loop, then $\Pi(\circlearrowright)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $S = \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ in two variables and ${}^{v}\Lambda(\circlearrowright)$ is isomorphic to the usual Heisenberg algebra H in variables x, y:

$${}^{v}\Lambda(\circlearrowleft) \cong H := \frac{\mathbf{k}\langle x, y \rangle}{([x, [x, y]], [y, [x, y]])}$$

We remark that in the sequel throughout the paper Q denotes a finite *acyclic* quiver.

Let Q be an extended Dynkin quiver. A fundamental fact in algebraic McKay correspondence is that $\Pi(Q)$ is Morita equivalent to the skew group algebra S * Gwhere G is a finite subgroup of $SL(2; \mathbf{k})$ corresponding to Q. If we assume that $v_i = 1$ for all $i \in Q_0$, then ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ can be shown to be Morita equivalent to the skew group algebra H * G. Hence we gave the algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ the name "quiver Heisenberg algebra". In a sense, we consider $\Pi(Q)$ as a quiver version of the polynomial algebra $S = \mathbf{k}[x, y]$ in two variables and ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ as a quiver version of the usual Heisenberg algebra H. In this comparison, we are looking at arrows α of the original quiver Q as the variable x and the opposite arrows α^* as the variable y. Since S and H are basic and important examples of Artin-Schelter (AS) algebras [1] in two variables, it might be worth pursing quiver versions of other AS-regular algebras in two variables.

1.4. Related algebras and preceding results. In this section we explain that the algebras ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ form a very special case of other classes of algebras which were introduced before by several researchers from different view points.

For this purpose, we describe the quiver Heisenberg algebra as a quotient of the path algebra $\mathbf{k}[z]\overline{Q}$ with polynomial coefficients.

1.4.1. The quiver Heisenberg algebra as a path algebra with polynomial coefficients.

Lemma 1.6. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ be a sincere weight. We have the following isomorphism of algebras:

$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \cong \frac{\mathbf{k}[z]Q}{(\rho_i - v_i z e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we set the algebra in the right hand side to be ${}^{v}\Lambda'(Q)$. Let f'': $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q} \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ be the canonical surjection and $f': \mathbf{k}[z] \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ the homomorphism of algebras which sends z to ${}^{v}\varrho$. Since ${}^{v}\varrho$ is central in ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$, the linear map $\mathbf{k}[z]\overline{Q} =$ $\mathbf{k}[z] \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}\overline{Q} \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$, which sends $p \otimes a$ to f'(p)f''(a) is a homomorphism of algebras, which induces a homomorphism $f: {}^{v}\Lambda'(Q) \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$.

On the other hand, the canonical homomorphism $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q} \to \mathbf{k}[z]\overline{Q} \to {}^{v}\Lambda'(Q)$ of algebras induces a homomorphism $g: {}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \to {}^{v}\Lambda'(Q)$ of algebras. It is easy to see that the maps f and g are inverse to each other.

Remark 1.7. For a weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is not sincere, we interpret the symbol ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ as the algebra in the right hand side of the above lemma.

1.4.2. Related algebras. In view of Lemma 1.6, it is clear that the algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is a special case of the *central extension of the preprojective algebras* introduced by Etingof-Rains [15], which is defined to be

$$\Pi(Q)_{\lambda,\mu} := \frac{\mathbf{k}[z]Q}{(\rho_i - (\lambda_i z + \mu_i)e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}$$

where $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbf{k}$ for each $i \in Q_0$.

Replacing the non-homogeneous linear polynomials $\lambda_i z + \mu_i$ with general polynomials $P_i(z)$, we obtain the N = 1-quiver algebra by Cachazo-Katz-Vafa [6], which is given as

$$\Pi(Q)_P := \frac{\mathbf{k}[z]\overline{Q}}{(\rho_i - P_i(z)e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}$$

where $P_i(z) \in \mathbf{k}[z]$ for each $i \in Q_0$.

Finally, in their influential work Crawley-Boevey-Holland [8] introduced the *de*formation family of the preprojective algebra which is defined to be

$$\Pi(Q)_{\bullet} := \frac{\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_r]\overline{Q}}{(\rho_i - x_i e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}$$

where $r = \#Q_0$. We may regard $\Pi(Q)_{\bullet}$ as a family of algebras over the *r*dimensional affine space \mathbf{k}^r and the algebra $\Pi(Q)_P$ is obtained from $\Pi(Q)_{\bullet}$ as the pull-back by the polynomial map $\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}^r$, $z \mapsto (P_1(z), \ldots, P_r(z))$. Thus in particular, the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is obtained as the restriction of $\Pi(Q)_{\bullet}$ to the line $\langle v \rangle \subset \mathbf{k}^r$ connecting $v \in \mathbf{k}^r$ and the origin.

We note that in the previous studies of these algebras, the case char $\mathbf{k}=0$ was mainly considered.

1.4.3. Preceding results. By specializing the results obtained for the algebras $\Pi(Q)_{\lambda,\mu}$ of Dynkin type, we can deduce some results about ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$. To state them, we need to introduce one condition on weights.

A weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is called *regular* if $\sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \dim(e_i M) \neq 0$ for any indecomposable A-module M (see Definition 5.9 where the dimension vector is denoted by χ). We note that a regular weight is sincere.

In the case Q is Dynkin and char $\mathbf{k} = 0$, the vector space $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ may be identified with the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of the semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} corresponding to Q. By Gabriel's theorem the dimension vectors of indecomposable A-modules are precisely the roots of \mathfrak{g} , so the regularity given here coincides with that are used by Etingof-Rains.

Theorem 1.8 ((1) Etingof-Rains [15], (2) Etingof-Latour-Rains [16], (3) Eu-Schedler [17]). Assume that char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ be a regular weight. Then the following assertions hold.

(1) The algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is a finite dimensional Frobenius algebra of dimension

$$\dim {^v}\Lambda(Q) = \sum_{N \in \operatorname{ind} Q} (\dim N)^2 = \frac{rh^2(h+1)}{12}$$

where h is the Coxeter number of Q and $r := \#Q_0$. (2) If $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is generic, then the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is symmetric.

(3) The algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is stably 3-Calabi-Yau.

Comparing these results with the results of the preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ of Dynkin type given in Theorem 1.3, it is maybe too optimistic but, we expect that the algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ may have nice analogous properties with that of the preprojective algebras. Our results prove that this is indeed the case.

1.5. Our results 1/2: the quiver Heisenberg algebras and Auslander-Reiten theory of the path algebras. We start explaining our result. 1.5.1. Recall that the quiver Heisenberg relations ${}^{v}\eta_{a} = [a, {}^{v}\varrho]$ are commutators of ${}^{v}\varrho$ with the generators $a \in \overline{Q}_{1}$ of the algebra $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}$. It follows that ${}^{v}\varrho$ becomes a central element of ${}^{v}\Lambda$. It is easy to see that ${}^{v}\Lambda/({}^{v}\varrho) = \Pi$. Putting these observations differently, we have a canonical surjective homomorphism ${}^{v}\pi : {}^{v}\Lambda \to \Pi$ of algebras and an exact sequence

(1-5)
$${}^{v}\Lambda \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\varrho} {}^{v}\Lambda \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\pi} \Pi \to 0$$

of ${}^{v}\Lambda$ -bimodules where the first arrow is the multiplication by ${}^{v}\varrho$.

The quiver Heisenberg relations are homogeneous with respect to the *-grading (1-2): deg^{*} $v\eta_{\alpha} = 1$, deg^{*} $v\eta_{\alpha^*} = 2$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$. Therefore, the QHA $v\Lambda$ is a *-graded algebra and the map $v\pi$ preserves the *-grading. Since deg^{*} $v\rho = 1$, we get that by taking the *-grading into account, the exact sequence (1-5) becomes

(1-6)
$${}^{v}\Lambda(-1) \xrightarrow{v_{\varrho}}{}^{v}\Lambda \xrightarrow{v_{\pi}} \Pi \to 0$$

where (-1) denotes the *-degree shift by -1, i.e., $({}^{v}\Lambda(-1))_{n} = {}^{v}\Lambda_{n-1}$. Looking at the *-degree 1 part of this exact sequence we obtain an exact sequence of Abimodules

(1-7)
$$A \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\varrho} {}^{v}\Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\pi_1} \Pi_1 \to 0.$$

Let M be an indecomposable A-module. By (1-3), taking the tensor product $-\otimes_A M$ with the above exact sequence, we obtain an exact sequence of A-modules of the following form

(1-8)
$$M \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{Q}M}} {}^{v_{\Lambda_1}} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{v_{\pi_{1,M}}} {}^{\tau_1}M \to 0$$

where we set ${}^{v}\varrho_{M} := {}^{v}\varrho \otimes_{A} M$ and ${}^{v}\pi_{1,M} := {}^{v}\pi_{1} \otimes_{A} M$. This exact sequence looks like an Auslander-Reiten sequence starting from M. The next theorem says that this is the case under certain conditions.

Theorem 1.9 (Universal Auslander-Reiten sequence). Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Let M be an indecomposable non-injective A-module. Then the morphism ${^v\varrho}_M$ is injective and the exact sequence (1-8) is an AR-sequence starting from M.

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\varrho_M} {}^{v}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\pi_{1,M}} {}^{\tau_{1-1}}M \to 0.$$

In view of this theorem, we may call the exact sequence (1-7) the *universal* Auslander-Reiten sequence.

1.5.2. The QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda$ is generated by ${}^{v}\Lambda_{0} = A$ and ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1}$. Hence the multiplication map ${}^{v}\zeta_{2} : {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \rightarrow {}^{v}\Lambda_{2}$ is surjective. We will show that there is a morphism ${}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*} : \Pi_{1} \rightarrow {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{1}$ of bimodules over A whose image coincides with the kernel of ${}^{v}\zeta_{2}$. In other words, we have an exact sequence

$$\Pi_1 \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\!\eta_2^*} {}^{v}\!\Lambda_1 \otimes_A {}^{v}\!\Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{}^{v}\!\zeta_2 \to {}^{v}\!\Lambda_2 \to 0$$

of bimodules over A. A rough explanation of the first map ${}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*}$ is that the Abimodule Π_{1} is generated by the arrows $\alpha^{*}(\alpha \in Q_{1})$ and the map ${}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*}$ sends α^{*} to ${}^{v}\eta_{\alpha^{*}}$ regarded as elements of ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{1}$.

The map ${^v\!\eta_2^*}$ also has an AR-theoretic meaning. Let M be an indecomposable non-injective A-module and ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} N_{i}$ an indecomposable decomposition. By Theorem 1.9, an AR-sequence starting from M is of the following form.



Observe that there is an irreducible morphism $N_i \to \tau_1^{-1}M$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. If we assume that N_1, \ldots, N_r are not injective, then by Theorem 1.9, the module ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} M$ is the middle term of the direct sum of AR-sequences starting from N_i .

$$0 \to {^{v}}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{{^{v}}_{\mathcal{Q}{^{v}}\Lambda_1 \otimes M}} {^{v}}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A {^{v}}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{{^{v}}_{\pi_1, {^{v}}\Lambda_1 \otimes M}} \tau_1^{-1}({^{v}}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A M) \to 0$$

The point is that the module ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} M$ contains $\tau_{1}^{-1}(M)$ as a direct summand. The next theorem says that under a certain assumption, the morphism

$${}^{v}\eta_{2,M}^{*} = {}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*} \otimes_{A} M : \tau_{1}^{-1}(M) \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} M$$

provides this direct summand.



The precise statement is the following.

Theorem 1.10. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ be a regular weight. Let $M \in A \mod be$ a noninjective indecomposable module such that ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} M$ does not contain an injective module as a direct summand. Assume that $\sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \dim(e_i^{v} \Lambda_1 \otimes_A M) \neq 0$. Then there exists a morphism $\xi_{2,M} : {^v} \Lambda_1 \otimes_A {^v} \Lambda_1 \otimes_A M \to \tau_1^{-1}(M)$ which satisfies

the following equation.

(1) $\xi_{2,M} \eta_{2,M}^* = x \operatorname{id}_{\tau_1^{-1}(M)}$ where we set

$$x := -\frac{\sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \dim(e_i^v \Lambda_1 \otimes_A M)}{\sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \dim(e_i M)}$$

(2) $\xi_{2,M} {}^{v} \rho_{\Lambda_1 \otimes M} = {}^{v} \pi_{1,M}.$

Namely we have the following commutative diagram.



We note that this theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 10.1.

1.5.3. The following theorem shows that the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ originates from ARtheory, in a similar way to the preprojective algebra Π .

Theorem 1.11. We have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \cong \frac{\mathsf{T}_{A}{}^{v}\Lambda_{1}}{({}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*}(\Pi_{1}))}.$$

We observe that, as an algebra over A, the algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda$ is generated by the bimodule ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1}$ that provides the middle terms of AR-sequences. Moreover, the relations come only from the embedding ${}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*}$ of $\tau_{1}^{-1}M$ in the middle terms of the AR-sequences starting from the middle terms of the AR-sequence starting from M.

1.5.4. Next we establish an analogous result with Theorem 1.1, which describes the structure of ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ as a module of the path algebra of Q.

Theorem 1.12. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then the following assertions hold.

(1) Let $i \in Q_0$. We have the following isomorphism of A-modules:

$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)e_{i}\cong \bigoplus_{N\in \operatorname{ind}\mathcal{P}(Q)}N^{\oplus \dim e_{i}N}.$$

(2) We have the following isomorphism of A-modules:

$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{P}(Q)} N^{\oplus \dim N}.$$

As a consequence we obtain the following result for Dynkin quivers.

Corollary 1.13. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ a regular weight. We have the following isomorphism of $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules:

$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} Q} N^{\oplus \dim N}.$$

Comparing dimensions, we obtain the dimension formula by Etingof-Rains for ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ of Dynkin type even in the case char $\mathbf{k} > 0$.

Corollary 1.14. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ a regular weight. Then the following equality holds:

$$\dim {^v}\Lambda(Q) = \sum_{N \in \operatorname{ind} Q} (\dim N)^2 = \frac{rh^2(h+1)}{12}.$$

We note that Theorem 9.1 proves that ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ of Dynkin type is finite dimensional if and only if v is regular.

We also prove the following result for non-Dynkin quivers, which corresponds to Theorem 1.4 about $\Pi(Q)$.

Theorem 1.15 (Theorem 7.2). Let Q be a non-Dynkin quiver and $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ a sincere weight. Then the QHA $^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is 3-Calabi-Yau.

In subsequent work, we give a generalization of the Calabi-Yau completion by Keller [35] (see also Section 6.4.5).

1.6. Our results 2/2: the derived quiver Heisenberg algebras and rad^{*n*}-approximation theory of the path algebras. Until now, we have dealt with ordinary algebras and worked in the categories of ordinary modules. But to prove our results, we need to introduce the dg-algebras ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ which we call the *derived quiver Heisenberg algebras (derived QHA)* and work with derived categories. The derived QHA ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda} = {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ is a dg-algebra explicitly constructed from a quiver Q (see Definition 6.1). In addition to the cohomological grading, it also acquires a *-grading and the 0-th cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}^{0}({}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q))$ is canonically isomorphic to QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ as *-graded algebras.

A crucial role is played by approximations with respect to the n-th power radⁿ of the radical functor rad which we call radⁿ-approximations for short. Recall that an ideal \mathcal{I} of a **k**-linear category **C** is a **k**-linear sub bifunctor of the bifunctor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{C}}(-,+)$. A left or right approximation of an object $M \in \mathsf{C}$ with respect to an ideal \mathcal{I} is, roughly speaking, a morphism belonging to \mathcal{I} starting from or ending at M that is as close as possible. It is well-known for experts that radapproximation theory in the module categories is nothing but Auslander-Reiten theory. Theory of radⁿ-approximations in the module categories was initiated by Igusa-Todorov [29]. They investigated constructions of radⁿ-approximations by using the notion of ladder which was introduced by them in the same paper. Later Iyama [30] established a criterion for existence of ladders in τ -categories which is an abstraction of modules category with AR-translations $\tau_1^{\pm 1}$ introduced by him.

The universal Auslander-Reiten sequence (Theorem 1.9) tells us that the *-degree 1 part ${}^{v}\Lambda_{1}$ of the QHA provides left and right minimal rad-approximations in the category $A \mod of A$ -modules. Our main results can be roughly summarized that the *-degree n part ${}^{v}\Lambda_{n}$ of the derived QHA provides minimal left and right radⁿ-approximations in the derived category D^b($A \mod$) and moreover ${}^{n}\Lambda$ provides a special type of a left ladder.

1.6.1. We set $\Pi_1 := \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(\mathbb{D}(A), A)[1]$ considered as a complex of bimodules over A. Recall that the endofunctor $\Pi_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} - \text{ of } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ coincides the inverse of the Auslander-Reiten translation ν_1^{-1} of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$.

$$\nu_1^{-1} \cong \Pi_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -.$$

The derived preprojective algebra $\widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{\Pi}(Q)$ of Q is, by definition, the tensor algebra of the complex $\widetilde{\Pi}_1$ over A and its 0-th cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\Pi})$ is isomorphic to the preprojective algebra Π as *-graded algebras where we equip $\widetilde{\Pi} = \mathsf{T}_A \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ with *-grading given by the tensor degree.

There exists a *-graded dg-algebra morphism ${}^{v}\tilde{\pi} : {}^{v}\tilde{\Lambda} \to \tilde{\Pi}$ whose 0-th cohomology morphism coincides with the canonical morphism ${}^{v}\pi : {}^{v}\Lambda \to \Pi$. Moreover, this morphism is part of an exact triangle

$${}^{v}\mathsf{U}: {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\widetilde{\varrho}} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\widetilde{\pi}} \widetilde{\Pi} \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1]$$

where ${}^{v}\tilde{\varrho}$ denotes the right multiplication by ${}^{v}\varrho \in {}^{v}\Lambda$. Taking the *-degree 1 part of this exact triangle we obtain an exact triangle below which we call ${}^{v}AR$

$${}^{v}\mathsf{AR}: A \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\varrho} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\tilde{\pi}_{1}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \to A[1].$$

As in the case of the universal AR-sequence, taking tensor product ${}^{v}\mathsf{AR}_{M} := {}^{v}\mathsf{AR} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}$ M with an indecomposable object $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})$, gives an exact triangle looking like an AR-triangle starting from M.

Theorem 1.16 (Universal Auslander-Reiten triangle (Theorem 5.12)).

Let $M \in \text{ind } D^{b}(A \mod)$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_{0}$ is regular. Then the exact triangle ${}^{v}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_{M}$ is an Auslander-Reiten triangle starting from M.

 ${^{v}}\mathsf{AR}_{M}: M \xrightarrow{{^{v}}\check{\varrho}_{M}} {^{v}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{{^{v}}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M}} \nu_{1}^{-1}M \to M[1].$

Note that we first prove this theorem and then Theorem 1.9 is obtained as an immediate consequence. Also note that this theorem is proved in Section 5 before the introduction of the derived QHA and later in Section 6 the exact triangle ${}^{v}AR$ is obtained from ${}^{v}\Lambda$ and Π .

The above theorem says that the *-degree 1 parts of ${}^{v}\Lambda$ and Π provide minimal left and right rad-approximations in $D^{b}(A \mod)$.

1.6.2. We collect cohomological features of derived quiver Heisenberg algebras.

Recall that the derived preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ is 2-Calabi-Yau algebra (of Gorenstein parameter 1). Compared to this we have the following result for the derived quiver Heisenberg algebras.

Theorem 1.17 (Theorem 6.24). The derived quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a 3-Calabi-Yau algebra (of Gorenstein parameter 2).

There is a canonical DG-algebra homomorphism $\Pi(Q) \to \Pi(Q)$ which induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\Pi(Q)) \cong \Pi(Q)$. It is well known that if Q is non-Dynkin, then $\Pi(Q)$ is concentrated in 0-th cohomological degree and the above map is quasiisomorphism

Similarly, there is a canonical DG-algebra homomorphism ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ which induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}({}^{v}\Lambda(Q)) \cong {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$. We have the following result for non-Dynkin quivers.

Theorem 1.18 (Proposition 7.1, Theorem 7.2). Assume that Q be a non-Dynkin quiver. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ be a sincere weight. Then the derived QHA ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ is concentrated in the 0-th cohomological grading and the canonical morphism ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q) \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Consequently, the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is 3-Calabi-Yau.

In the case where Q is Dynkin, it is also well-known that $\Pi(Q)$ is a finite dimensional Frobenius algebra.

As was mentioned in Corollary 1.13, if $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular, then $\dim {^v}\Lambda(Q) < \infty$. We prove the converse holds true. Namely we have

Theorem 1.19 (Theorem 9.1). Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Then ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is of finite dimension if and only if v is regular.

As was mentioned in Theorem 1.8(2), it was proved by Etingof-Latour-Rains [16] that if char $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is generic, then the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is symmetric. In our subsequent work, we prove the algebra is symmetric for a regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ and an arbitrary base field \mathbf{k} .

Theorem 1.20 ([26]). Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is a symmetric algebra.

A key of the proof of this theorem is the following description of the cohomology algebra $H(v\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q))$ of the derived QHA $v\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$.

Theorem 1.21 (Theorem 9.5). Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular.

We identify $\mathrm{H}^{0}(^{v}\Lambda(Q))$ with $^{v}\Lambda(Q)$. Then the cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}(^{v}\Lambda(Q))$ has a central element $u \in \mathrm{H}^{-2}(^{v}\Lambda(Q)_{h})$ of cohomological degree -2 and of *-degree hwhich induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}(^{v}\Lambda(Q)) \cong {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)[u]$$

of algebras with cohomological degrees and *-gradings where the right hand side denotes the polynomial algebra in a single variable u.

Another key ingredient for the proof is the algebra ${}^{v}B(Q)$, which we give an explanation of in Section 1.7.1.

1.6.3. Assume that char $\mathbf{k} \neq 2$. In section 6.2.2 we explain that the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ is isomorphic to the Ginzburg dg-algebra $\mathcal{G}(\overline{Q}, W)$, of the double quiver \overline{Q} with potential

$$W := -\frac{1}{2}^{v} \varrho \rho.$$

Moreover, the ordinary quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is isomorphic to the Jacobi algebra $\mathcal{P}(\overline{Q}, W)$.

If Q is Dynkin quiver and the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular, then Theorem 1.20 says that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{Q}, W)$ is symmetric. In particular, (\overline{Q}, W) is a selfinjective quiver with potential, in the sense of [27]. As explained in [31, Section 6.3], this means that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{Q}, W)$ is the endomorphism algebra of a 2Z-cluster tilting object in the associated Amiot cluster category $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}, W)$. Moreover, by [31, Theorem 6.3.1], any algebraic Hom-finite **k**-linear triangulated category, which is Krull-Schmidt and has a 2Z-cluster tilting object with endomorphism algebra isomorphic to the quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ must be equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}, W)$.

1.6.4. Next we explain that for $n \geq 2$ the *-degree n part of ${}^{v}\Lambda$ and Π provides minimal left and right radⁿ-approximations in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. To state the results, we need to introduce a subset $N_Q \subset \mathbb{N}$ depending on Q. We define subsets $N_Q \subset \mathbb{N}$ to be

 $N_Q := \begin{cases} \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \le n \le h-2\} & (Q \text{ is a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number } h) \\ \mathbb{N} & (Q \text{ is a non-Dynkin quiver}). \end{cases}$

Theorem 1.22 (rad^{*n*}-approximation theorem (Theorem 8.2, Theorem 8.7)).

Let $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then the following statements hold.

(1) The morphism

$${}^{v}\!\tilde{\pi}_{n,M} := {}^{v}\!\tilde{\pi}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M : {}^{v}\!\tilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

is a minimal right radⁿ-approximation of $\Pi_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

(2) The object ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ provides a minimal left radⁿ-approximation of M. More precisely, there exists a minimal left radⁿ-approximation $\beta^{(n)}: M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ of M.

On the other hand, as a straightforward generalization of the well-known description of the middle term of an AR-triangle, we have a description of the object which provides a minimal left rad^{n} -approximation (Theorem 2.5). Combining this and the above rad^{n} -approximation theorem, we obtain **Theorem 1.23** (Theorem 8.9). Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\mathcal{C}_M \subset \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be the full subcategory that consists of objects belonging to the same component as Min the AR-quiver. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular and that the following condition does not hold: Q is wild and M is a shift of a regular module. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \in N_Q} {^v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_M} N^{\oplus \dim \operatorname{Hom}(M,N)}$$

in D(A).

1.6.5. In Theorem 1.22(1) it is proved that the morphism ${}^{v}\tilde{\pi}_{n,M} : {}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal right rad^{*n*}-approximation of $\widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$. Compared to this, (2) of the same theorem only establishes the existence of a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation morphism $\beta^{(n)} : M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$. Since the multiplication ${}^{v}\varrho_{M} : M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ by the weighted mesh relation is a minimal left rad-approximation of M by Theorem 1.16, it is natural to consider the following problem.

Problem 1.24. Let $n \in N_Q$ and $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then, is the multiplication ${}^v\varrho_M^n : M \to {}^v\widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation?

It turns out the answer is no in general. As is shown below in Proposition 1.27, even in the case n = 2, we need to put more conditions on the weight v than regularity. Theorem 10.12 provides a linear combination $f = \sum_{i \in Q_0} f_i v_i$ of the coordinates of v depending on M such that the condition $f \neq 0$ is sufficient for the answer to be yes in case n = 2. We are not able to show that it is a necessary condition. Also we have not succeeded to obtain explicit equations of the coordinates of v that guarantees the answer is yes for n > 3.

We are able to give a partial solution to the case char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Namely, we prove that the answer is yes for a generic weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Theorem 1.25 (Theorem 13.1). Assume char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Let Q be a quiver, $M \in$ ind $D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$.

Then for a generic weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ the morphism

$$\mathcal{Q}^n_M: M \to {^v\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$$

is a minimal left rad^n -approximation.

In the case Q is Dynkin, since A is of finite representation type, we immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 1.26 (Theorem 13.2). Assume char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h. Then for a generic weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ the following assertion holds:

For $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, h - 2$, the morphism

$${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}: M \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M$$

is a minimal left rad^n -approximation.

In Section 14 we study the A_N -quiver case and prove that for a generic weight Problem 1.24 has the answer yes provided that the base field **k** has a primitive (N + 1)-th root of unity.

The strategy to prove these results is the following. First we establish a criterion on the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ for which Problem 1.24 has the answer yes. Next we show this criterion is a kind of open condition on $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$. Finally we check there is a weight v that satisfies the criterion in each case.

Let Φ be the Coxeter matrix of Q and $\Psi := \Phi^{-t}$. Roughly speaking, the criterion is that a weight v behaves like an eigenvector of Ψ with a good eigenvalue λ . We verify that this condition guarantees that Problem 1.24 has the answer yes, by showing that the derived QHA provides a special type of a left ladder. In the final Section 15, we prove that if a weight v is an eigenvector of Ψ with a good eigenvalue λ , then ${}^{v}\tilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ provides a diagram of complexes of A-bimodules that can be called a universal left ladder.

1.7. Subsequent work.

1.7.1. The algebra ${}^{v}B(Q)$. In subsequent work, we introduce a finite dimensional algebra ${}^{v}B(Q)$ for a quiver Q, which behaves like a 2-dimensional version of the path algebra $A = \mathbf{k}Q$ of Q. The algebra ${}^{v}B(Q)$ is to the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ what $\mathbf{k}Q$ is to the preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$.

The definition of ${}^{v}B(Q)$ is the following upper triangular matrix algebra:

$${}^{v}\!B(Q) := \begin{pmatrix} {}^{v}\!\Lambda(Q)_0 & {}^{v}\!\Lambda(Q)_1 \\ 0 & {}^{v}\!\Lambda(Q)_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k}Q & {}^{v}\!\Lambda(Q)_1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{k}Q \end{pmatrix}$$

We prove that ${}^{v}B(Q)$ is 2-hereditary in the sense of [28]. Moreover ${}^{v}B(Q)$ is 2representation finite (resp. infinite) if and only if Q is Dynkin (resp. non-Dynkin). This result is an analogue of Gabriel's theorem that asserts that the path algebra $A = \mathbf{k}Q$ is representation finite (resp. infinite) if and only if Q is Dynkin (resp. non-Dynkin). Moreover we prove that in many other aspects, the algebra ${}^{v}B(Q)$ behaves like the path algebra $A = \mathbf{k}Q$.

A relationship between the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ and the algebra ${}^{v}B(Q)$ is the following: the 3-preprojective algebra $\Pi_3({}^{v}B(Q))$ is isomorphic to 2-nd quasi-Veronese algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)^{[2]}$ with respect to *-grading. Thus it can be said that QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ originates from (1-dimensional) AR-theory of A mod and lives in 2-dimensional AR-theory of ${}^{v}B(Q)$ mod.

1.7.2. Relationships to compound Du Val singularities. Assume that char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Let Q be an extended Dynkin quiver. As we mentioned before, $\Pi(Q)$ is Morita equivalent to the skew group algebra S * G where $G < \operatorname{SL}(2; \mathbf{k})$ is the corresponding finite group and $S = \mathbf{k}[x, y]$. Moreover the algebra $e_0 \Pi(Q) e_0$ is isomorphic to the fixed subalgebra S^G , which is the coordinate algebra of the Kleinian singularity (= Du Val singularity) of corresponding Dynkin type. Thus the deformation family $\Pi(Q)_{\bullet}$ by Crawley-Boevey-Holland gives non-commutative deformation of the Kleinian singularity.

Recall that a compound Du Val (cDV) singularity is, by definition, a three dimensional singularity whose hyperplane section is Du Val singularity. Since the algebra $\Pi(Q)_P$ is obtained as the pull-back of $\Pi(Q)_{\bullet}$ to a one-dimensional space **k** along a polynomial map $P : \mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}^r$, it is related to a cDV-singularity.

In their study of (commutative and non-commutative) algebraic geometry of cDV-singularity, Donovan-Wemyss introduced the notion of *contraction algebras* [13]. Now cDV-singularity and contraction algebras have been studied extensively by many researchers and have grown as a fascinating subject. In subsequent work, we discuss a relationship between the QHA and cDV-singularity. We prove that QHA of Dynkin type are contraction algebras and as a consequence we see that the dimension formula by Etingof-Rains (Theorem 1.8(1)) is an analogue of Toda's dimension formula of contraction algebras [48]. Moreover, we see that one of our main result Corollary 1.13 gives a categorification of these formulas.

1.8. **Example:** A_3 -quiver. As a guide to understand the QHA for Dynkin quivers, consider the following example. Let Q be a directed A_3 -quiver.

$$Q: 1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3, \ \overline{Q}: 1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta} 3.$$

The mesh relations are

$$\rho_1 = \alpha \alpha^*, \ \rho_2 = -\alpha^* \alpha + \beta \beta^*, \ \rho_3 = -\beta^* \beta$$

Let $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)^t \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} Q_0$ be a sincere weight. The quiver Heisenberg relations are

$$\begin{split} {}^{v}\!\eta_{\alpha} &= [\alpha, {}^{v}\!\varrho] = v_{2}^{-1} \alpha \rho_{2} - v_{1}^{-1} \rho_{1} \alpha = -(v_{2}^{-1} + v_{1}^{-1}) \alpha \alpha^{*} \alpha + v_{2}^{-1} \alpha \beta \beta^{*}, \\ {}^{v}\!\eta_{\beta} &= -(v_{2}^{-1} + v_{3}^{-1}) \beta \beta^{*} \beta + v_{2}^{-1} \alpha^{*} \alpha \beta, \\ {}^{v}\!\eta_{\alpha^{*}} &= (v_{1}^{-1} + v_{2}^{-1}) \alpha^{*} \alpha \alpha^{*} - v_{2}^{-1} \beta \beta^{*} \alpha^{*}, \\ {}^{v}\!\eta_{\beta^{*}} &= (v_{2}^{-1} + v_{3}^{-1}) \beta^{*} \beta \beta^{*} - v_{2}^{-1} \beta^{*} \alpha^{*} \alpha. \end{split}$$

The weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular if and only if it satisfies the following

$$v_1 \neq 0, v_2 \neq 0, v_3 \neq 0, v_1 + v_2 \neq 0, v_2 + v_3 \neq 0, v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \neq 0.$$

If $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular, the composition series of indecomposable projective ${}^{v}\Lambda$ modules are given by,

$${}^{v}\Lambda e_{1} = {1 \atop 1 \atop 2}^{2} {}^{3}, \; {}^{v}\Lambda e_{2} = {1 \atop 1 \atop 2}^{2} {}^{3}_{3}, \; {}^{v}\Lambda e_{3} = {1 \atop 2}^{3}_{2} {}^{3}_{3}.$$

Theorem 1.12(1) says that these composition series of the indecomposable projective modules ${}^{v}\Lambda e_{1}$, ${}^{v}\Lambda e_{2}$, ${}^{v}\Lambda e_{3}$ can be read off from the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A mod.

We explain this by taking ${}^{v}\Lambda e_{2}$ as an example. The slant lines in the composition series express the layers induced by the *-grading. We can see these layers correspond to the columns in the Auslander-Reiten quiver.



The *-degree 1 part $\Lambda_1 e_2 = \frac{1}{1} 2^{\oplus 2^3}$ is isomorphic as a **k***Q*-module, to the direct sum *M* of $P_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ and $S_2 = 2$, which constitute the middle column. Observe that $P_3 \oplus S_2$ is the middle term of the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting from P_2 .

$$0 \to P_2 \xrightarrow{f} P_3 \oplus S_2 \to \tau_1^{-1} P_2 \to 0.$$

Theorem 1.9 says that the minimal left almost split morphism $f: P_2 \to P_3 \oplus S_2$ is given by the multiplication by the mesh relation v_{ϱ} . Namely, we have the following

commutative diagram



Next we look at the *-degree 2 part. It follows from Theorem 1.22 that $\Lambda_2 e_2$ provides a minimal left rad²-approximation of $P_2 = {}^{v}\Lambda_0 e_2$. On the other hand, a morphism $g: P_2 \to I_2$ which sends the top of P_2 isomorphically to the socle of I_2 is a minimal left rad²-approximation of P_2 (see Theorem 3.3(2)). Therefore we conclude that ${}^{v}\Lambda_2 e_2$ is isomorphic to I_2 as A-modules.

However even if the weight v is regular, the multiplication by the square ${}^{v}\varrho^{2}$ does not always give a minimal left rad²-approximation. Indeed, in this case we can determine the locus of v where the morphism ${}^{v}\varrho^{2} : P_{2} \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{2}e_{2}$ is a minimal left rad²-approximation.

Proposition 1.27. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then, the morphism ${}^{v}\varrho^2 : {}^{v}\Lambda_0 e_2 \rightarrow {}^{v}\Lambda_2 e_2$ is a minimal left rad²-approximation if and only if $v_1 + 2v_2 + v_3 \neq 0$.



Proof. The "if" part follows Theorem 10.12. Conversely, by direct calculation below, we show that if $v_1 + 2v_2 + v_3 = 0$, then $({}^v\varrho_2)^2 = 0$ (see also Example 10.13). Since ${}^v\varrho$ is central in ${}^v\Lambda$, it follows that the multiplication map ${}^v\varrho^2 : {}^v\Lambda_0 e_0 \to {}^v\Lambda_2 e_2$ is zero.

The quiver Heisenberg relations turn to

$$v_1\alpha\beta\beta^* = (v_1 + v_2)\alpha\alpha^*\alpha, \ v_3\alpha^*\alpha\beta = (v_2 + v_3)\beta\beta^*\beta,$$
$$v_1\beta\beta^*\alpha^* = (v_1 + v_2)\alpha^*\alpha\alpha^*, \ v_3\beta^*\alpha^*\alpha = (v_2 + v_3)\beta^*\beta\beta^*$$

Using these equations, we have

$$\beta\beta^*\alpha^*\alpha = \frac{v_2 + v_3}{v_3}\beta\beta^*\beta\beta^*, \ \alpha^*\alpha\beta\beta^* = \frac{v_2 + v_3}{v_3}\beta\beta^*\beta\beta^*,$$
$$\alpha^*\alpha\alpha^*\alpha = \frac{v_1}{v_1 + v_2}\alpha^*\alpha\beta\beta^* = \frac{v_1(v_2 + v_3)}{v_3(v_1 + v_2)}\beta\beta^*\beta\beta^*.$$

Consequently,

$${}^{v}\varrho_{2}^{2} = v_{2}^{-2}(\alpha^{*}\alpha\alpha^{*}\alpha - \alpha^{*}\alpha\beta\beta^{*} - \beta\beta^{*}\alpha^{*}\alpha + \beta\beta^{*}\beta\beta^{*})$$
$$= v_{2}^{-2}\left(\frac{v_{1}(v_{2}+v_{3})}{v_{3}(v_{1}+v_{2})} - 2\frac{v_{2}+v_{3}}{v_{3}} + 1\right)\beta\beta^{*}\beta\beta^{*}$$
$$= -\frac{v_{1}+2v_{2}+v_{3}}{v_{2}v_{3}(v_{1}+v_{2})}\beta\beta^{*}\beta\beta^{*} = 0.$$

1.9. 2-Kronecker quiver. As a guide to understand the QHA for non-Dynkin quivers, more specifically tame quivers, consider the following example. Let Q:

 $1 \rightrightarrows 2$ be the 2-Kronecker quiver with arrows α, β .

$$Q:1 \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\beta} 2, \qquad \overline{Q}:1 \xrightarrow{\beta}_{\alpha^*}^{\alpha} 2.$$

Then the mesh relations are

$$\rho_1 = \alpha \alpha^* + \beta \beta^*, \ \rho_2 = -\alpha^* \alpha - \beta^* \beta.$$

The weight $v = (v_1, v_2)^t \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular if and only if

$$v_1 + (n-1)v_2 \neq 0 \ (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}), \ v_1 + v_2 \neq 0.$$

The quiver Heisenberg relations are

$${}^{v}\eta_{\alpha} = v_{2}^{-1}\alpha\rho_{2} - v_{1}^{-1}\rho_{1}\alpha = -(v_{2}^{-1}\alpha\beta^{*}\beta + (v_{1}^{-1} + v_{2}^{-1})\alpha\alpha^{*}\alpha + v_{1}^{-1}\beta\beta^{*}\alpha),$$

$${}^{v}\eta_{\beta} = v_{2}^{-1}\beta\rho_{2} - v_{1}^{-1}\rho_{1}\beta = -(v_{2}^{-1}\beta\alpha^{*}\alpha + (v_{1}^{-1} + v_{2}^{-1})\beta\beta^{*}\beta + v_{1}^{-1}\alpha\alpha^{*}\beta),$$

$${}^{v}\eta_{\alpha^{*}} = v_{1}^{-1}\alpha^{*}\rho_{1} - v_{2}^{-1}\rho_{2}\alpha^{*} = v_{1}^{-1}\alpha^{*}\beta\beta^{*} + (v_{1}^{-1} + v_{2}^{-1})\alpha^{*}\alpha\alpha^{*} + v_{2}^{-1}\beta^{*}\beta\alpha^{*},$$

$${}^{v}\eta_{\beta^{*}} = v_{1}^{-1}\beta^{*}\rho_{1} - v_{2}^{-1}\rho_{2}\beta^{*} = v_{1}^{-1}\beta^{*}\alpha\alpha^{*} + (v_{1}^{-1} + v_{2}^{-1})\beta^{*}\beta\beta^{*} + v_{2}^{-1}\alpha^{*}\alpha\beta^{*}.$$

Assume that the weight v is regular. Then, by Theorem 1.12, for $m \geq 0$ we have isomorphisms of A-modules

(1-9)
$$\Lambda_{2m}e_1 \cong (\tau_1^{-m}P_1)^{\oplus 2m+1}, \quad \Lambda_{2m+1}e_1 \cong (\tau_1^{-m}P_2)^{\oplus 2m+2}, \\ \Lambda_{2m}e_2 \cong (\tau_1^{-m}P_2)^{\oplus 2m+1}, \quad \Lambda_{2m+1}e_2 \cong (\tau_1^{-m-1}P_1)^{\oplus 2m+2}.$$

1.9.1. Regular modules. Assume for simplicity **k** is algebraically closed. Then the regular components $\{\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\mathbb{P}^{1}}$ are classified by points of $\mathbb{P}^{1} = \mathbf{k} \sqcup \{\infty\}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{k} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$, the indecomposable modules of \mathcal{T}_{λ} are of the form $R_{\lambda}^{(m)} : k[x]/(x^{m+1}) \Rightarrow k[x]/(x^{m+1})$ where the actions of α and β are the multiplications by $x + \lambda$ and 1 for some $m \geq 0$. In the case $\lambda = \infty$, then the indecomposable modules of \mathcal{T}_{λ} are of the form $R_{\lambda}^{(m)} : k[x]/(x^{m+1}) \Rightarrow k[x]/(x^{m+1}) \Rightarrow k[x]/(x^{m+1})$ where the actions of α and β are the multiplications by 1 and x for some $m \geq 0$.

Applying Theorem 1.22 to $M = R_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ where $n \ge 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain the following isomorphism of A-modules for $n \ge 0$

$$\Lambda_n \otimes_A R_{\lambda}^{(m)} \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{k=0}^n R_{\lambda}^{(m-n+2k)} & (n \le m), \\ \bigoplus_{k=0}^m R_{\lambda}^{(n-m+2k)} & (n > m). \end{cases}$$

1.9.2. The case $v = (1, 1)^t$. Recall that for a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n$, its second quasi-Veronese algebra $R^{[2]}$ is defined to be

$$R^{[2]} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} R_{2n} & R_{2n+1} \\ R_{2n-1} & R_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the matrix multiplication.

Let $T := \mathbf{k} \langle x, y \rangle$ be the non-commutative polynomial algebra in x, y with the grading deg x := 1, deg y := 1. Then we have an isomorphism $T^{[2]} \to \mathbf{k}\overline{Q}$ of graded algebras given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_T & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1_T \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \alpha, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \beta, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\beta^*, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \alpha^*$$

Let $S := \mathbf{k}[x, y] = T/([x, y])$ be the commutative polynomial algebra in x, y. Then the above isomorphism descents to isomorphisms $S^{[2]} \to \Pi(Q)$ of graded algebras. Using this isomorphism, we obtain the following description of the preprojective modules.

$$\tau_1^{-n} P_1 \cong \Pi(Q)_n e_1 \cong \begin{pmatrix} S_{2n} \\ S_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tau_1^{-n} P_2 \cong \Pi(Q)_n e_2 \cong \begin{pmatrix} S_{2n+1} \\ S_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that $v = (1,1)^t$. Let H := T/([x, [x, y]], [y, [x, y]]) be the Heisenberg algebra in x, y. Then the above isomorphism descents to isomorphisms $H^{[2]} \to {}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ of graded algebras. Using this isomorphism, we obtain the following isomorphisms of A-modules.

$$\Lambda_n e_1 \cong \begin{pmatrix} H_{2n} \\ H_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_n e_2 \cong \begin{pmatrix} H_{2n+1} \\ H_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Using (1-9) we obtain the following isomorphism of A-modules.

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{2n} \\ H_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} \cong \Lambda_n e_1 \cong \begin{pmatrix} S_n \\ S_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus n+1}, \begin{pmatrix} H_{2n+1} \\ H_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \cong \Lambda_n e_2 \cong \begin{pmatrix} S_{n+1} \\ S_n \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus n+1}$$

1.10. \hat{A}_N -quiver. Let $N \ge 2$ and Q be an \hat{A}_N -quiver of the following orientation:



Using this labeling, we identify the set Q_0 of vertices with a subset of \mathbb{Z} .

Using Theorem 1.22(2) we can obtain an indecomposable decomposition of ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)_{n}e_{i}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $i \in Q_{0}$ in the following way. Applying the knitting algorithm to $\mathbb{Z}Q$ (or the universal covering of it) and Theorem 2.5, we can compute an indecomposable decomposition of radⁿ-approximation object of Ae_{i} which is isomorphic to ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)_{n}e_{i}$. To write down the results, we define an A-module $M_{(a,b)}$ for $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, to be

$$M_{(a,b)} := \tau_1^{-q-b} P_r$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ are determined from the equation

$$a - 2b = q(N+1) + r.$$

Then, the isomorphism of A-modules given in Theorem 1.12 is the following

$$\Lambda(Q)_n e_i \cong \bigoplus_{b=0}^n M_{(i+n,b)}.$$

1.11. Question: a representation theoretic understanding of the quiver **Heisenberg relation.** To end the introduction we propose one question.

Until now we have seen that the quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ can be looked as a three dimensional version of the preprojetive algebra $\Pi(Q)$. The reason why the defining relation of the preprojective algebra $\Pi(Q)$ is the mesh relation ρ may be understood from the fact that it comes from Auslander-Reiten quiver of the preprojective component $\mathcal{P}(Q)$.



It is reasonable to ask if there is a categorical understanding of the quiver Heisenberg relations ${}^{v}\eta_{\alpha}$, ${}^{v}\eta_{\alpha^{*}}$?



1.12. **Organization of the paper.** Dependence of sections (except appendixes) is given in the diagram below:



Section 2 collects basics of approximations by the functors rad^n . Section 3 studies rad^n -approximation theory of the path algebra A of a quiver Q.

Section 4 prepares notations of dg-algebras and dg-modules, recalls the octahedral axiom and provides a technical lemma. Section 5 establishes universal Auslander-Reiten triangles for A. A key point in the proof is the trace formula (Theorem 5.5) which says that the pairing of Serre duality computes the weighted trace of an endomorphism of an object M of the derived category. Section 6 introduces derived quiver Heisenberg algebras ${}^{v} \tilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ and verify their basic properties. It turns out that the morphisms ${}^{v} \tilde{\theta}, {}^{v} \tilde{\varrho}$ and ${}^{v} \tilde{\pi}$ which appear in the universal AR triangles are obtained from morphisms involving derived preprojective algebras and derived quiver Heisenberg algebras. Section 7 deals with QHA of non-Dynkin type for arbitrary weights $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Section 8 proves relationships between the derived quiver Heisenberg algebras and radⁿ-approximations in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Theorem 8.7 proves that if the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular, then ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ provides a minimal left radⁿ-approximation of $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. As a consequence we obtain a description of ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ for $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ as an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$.

Section 9 studies the (derived) quiver Heisenberg algebra of Dynkin type and the cohomology algebra of the derived quiver Heisenberg algebras. The main result of Section 10 is Theorem 10.1 (Theorem 1.10). As a corollary, we obtain a condition on v and M for which the multiplication by the square ${}^{v}\varrho^{2}$ of the weighted mesh relation gives a minimal left rad²-approximation of M.

In Section 11 to 14 we investigate when multiplication by the *n*-th power ${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}$ of the mesh relation gives a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation of an object $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. In Section 11 we establish a sufficient condition on the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_{0}$ for this to be true. In Section 12 we prove that the locus of $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_{0}$ such that the multiplication by ${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}$ is a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation contains a (possibly empty) Zariski open set. In Section 13 we check the condition of Section 11 in the case char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Consequently, in this case we conclude that for a generic weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_{0}$, the multiplication by ${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}$ is a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation. In Section 14 we prove that the condition of Section 11 is satisfied if $Q = A_{N}$, provided that the base field has a primitive (N + 1)-th root of unity.

Section 15 studies the bimodule structure of the derived quiver Heisenberg algebras and shows that if the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of the transpose $\Psi := \Phi^t$ of the Coxeter matrix with some extra conditions, then the derived quiver Heisenberg algebras provides a diagram of bimodule complexes that can be called universal left ladder.

In Section A we recall the notion of homotopy Cartesian squares. In Section B we fix notation about Serre functors and reviews Happel's criterion for a coconnecting morphism of an Auslander-Reiten triangle. In Section C we establish several natural isomorphisms of complexes.

1.13. Notations and Conventions. Throughout this paper, the symbol \mathbf{k} denotes a field.

"(dg-)algebra" means (dg-)**k**-algebra. The symbol D denotes the **k**-dual functor $D := Hom_{\mathbf{k}}(-, \mathbf{k})$.

1.13.1. Let R be an algebra. Unless otherwise stated, the word "R-modules" means left R-modules. We denote the opposite algebra by R^{op} . We identify right R-modules with (left) R^{op} -modules.

For an *R*-module *M*, we set $\operatorname{ResEnd}_R(M) := \operatorname{End}_R(M)/\operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_R(M)$.

An *R*-*R*-bimodule *D* is always assumed to be **k**-central, i.e., ad = da for $d \in D$, $a \in \mathbf{k}$. Therefore we may identify *R*-*R*-bimodules with modules over the enveloping algebra $R^{e} := R \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R^{op}$.

Using the isomorphism $c : \mathbb{R}^e \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{R}^e)^{\operatorname{op}}$, $c(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, we identify right \mathbb{R}^e -modules with left \mathbb{R}^e -modules. In other words, we identify the category \mathbb{R}^e Mod of left \mathbb{R}^e -modules with the category Mod \mathbb{R}^e of right \mathbb{R}^e -modules via the restriction functor c_* along c

$$c_* : \operatorname{Mod} R^{\mathrm{e}} \cong (R^{\mathrm{e}})^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{Mod} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{Mod}$$
.

We denote R^{e} -duality by $(-)^{\vee} := \mathsf{c}_{*} \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{e}}(-, R^{e})$. Since we are identifying Mod R^{e} with R^{e} Mod, we may denote $(-)^{\vee} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{e}}(-, R^{e})$

$$(-)^{\vee} := \mathsf{c}_* \operatorname{Hom}_{R^e}(-, R^e) : R^e \operatorname{Mod} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{R^e}(-, R^e)} \operatorname{Mod} R^e \xrightarrow{\mathsf{c}_*} R^e \operatorname{Mod} .$$

We denote by C(R), $C_{DG}(R)$ the category of complexes of *R*-modules (i.e., dg-*R*-modules) and cochain morphisms and the dg-category of dg-*R*-modules. The symbols K(R) and D(R) denote the homotopy category and the derived category respectively. The shift functor of the homotopy category K(R) and that of the derived category D(R) triangulated category are denoted by [1]. The shift functor of an abstract triangulated category D is denoted by Σ .

We denote the *R*-duality $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$ of $M \in \mathsf{D}(R)$ by M^{\triangleleft} . We denote the *R*-duality $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\operatorname{op}}}(N, R)$ of $N \in \mathsf{D}(R^{\operatorname{op}})$ by N^{\triangleright} . Abusing notations, we set

 $X^{\triangleleft} := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X, R), X^{\triangleright} := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\operatorname{op}}}(X, R)$ for $X \in \mathsf{D}(R^{\operatorname{e}})$. We denote the derived functor of $(-)^{\vee}$ by $(-)^{\mathbb{V}} := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\operatorname{e}}}(-, R^{\operatorname{e}})$.

We use the same terminology and notation for a dg-algebra R and dg-modules over R as for R an algebra and for R-modules.

1.13.2. Let $\tilde{\phi} : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathsf{D}(R^e)$. For $M \in \mathsf{D}(R)$, we use the following abbreviation

$$\tilde{\phi}_M := \tilde{\phi} \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} M : X \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} M \to Y \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} M.$$

Similarly if $M \in \mathsf{D}(R)$ and $N \in \mathsf{D}(R^{\mathrm{op}})$ are given, we set ${}_N \tilde{\phi} := N \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\phi}$ and ${}_N \tilde{\phi}_M := N \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\phi} \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

We point out that if $\phi: X \to Y$ is a morphism in $\mathsf{D}(R^e)$ and $f: M \to M'$ is a morphism in $\mathsf{D}(R)$, then we have the equality $({}_Yf)(\tilde{\phi}_M) = (\tilde{\phi}_{M'})({}_Xf)$.

1.13.3. The path algebras of a quiver Q. Unless otherwise stated, a quiver Q is finite acyclic and connected.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, h, t)$ be a quiver. For an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, we denote by $t(\alpha), h(\alpha)$ its tail and head respectively: $t(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha} h(\alpha)$. The composition $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j \xrightarrow{\beta} k$ of two arrows is denoted as $\alpha\beta$.

We set $A := \mathbf{k}Q$. For a vertex $i \in Q_0$, we denote by $P_i := Ae_i$, $I_i := D(e_iA)$ and S_i , the indecomposable projective module the indecomposable injective module and the simple module corresponding to i. The multiplication by an arrow $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$ from right induces a homomorphism $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} : P_i \rightarrow P_j$ of left A-modules. Thus the full subquiver [A proj] of the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma(A \mod)$ formed by indecomposable projective modules is isomorphic to Q.

$$Q \cong [A \operatorname{proj}] \subset \Gamma(A \operatorname{mod})$$

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Osamu Iyama for his numerous comments and suggestions on the subjects of the paper. The authors thank Takahide Adachi for pointing out a short proof of Lemma 13.5. The authors thank Yuya Mizuno and Kota Yamaura for discussions on path algebras and preprojective algebras.

The authors thank Aaron Chan and Rene Marczinzik for informing to them their observation about dimension of the quiver Heisenberg algebra of Dynkin type, which leads to Theorem 9.1.

The authors thank Osaka Prefecture University (OPU), since the research began when the first author visited the second author belonging to OPU by using Special Guest Professor Program run by OPU.

At the beginning stage of this research, the authors used QPA to calculate the dimensions of quiver Heisenberg algebras of Dynkin quivers and got convinced of their results. They are grateful to the QPA-team, QPA - Quivers, path algebras and representations, Version 1.31; 2018.

The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K03210.

MARTIN HERSCHEND AND HIROYUKI MINAMOTO

2. rad^{*n*}-APPROXIMATIONS IN $R \mod$ AND IN $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(R \mod)$

It is well-known to experts that rad-approximation theory in the module categories is nothing but Auslander-Reiten theory. radⁿ-approximation theory in the module categories was initiated by Igusa-Todorov [29]. They investigated constructions of radⁿ-approximations by using the notion of *ladder* which was introduced by them in the same paper. Later Iyama [30] established a criterion for existence of ladders in τ -categories which is an abstraction of modules category with ARtranslations $\tau_1^{\pm 1}$ introduced by him.

In this section we collect basic properties of rad^n -approximations in the module category $R \mod$ and in the derived category $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$ of a finite dimensional algebra R. Although many results in this section are given in [29, 30], we reproduce them for the convenience of the readers.

2.1. rad^{n} -approximations in a k-linear category D. Let D be a Hom-finite k-linear category that is Krull-Schmidt. In particular, indecomposable objects have local endomorphism algebras.

2.1.1. The radical rad. Recall that for $X, Y \in \mathsf{D}$, the radical $\operatorname{rad}(X, Y)$ is defined to be a subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(X, Y)$ consisting of all elements f that satisfy the following property: for any $Z \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}$ and any morphisms $s : Z \to X, t : Y \to Z$, the composition $tfs : Z \to Z$ is not an isomorphism. The radicals $\operatorname{rad}(X, Y)$ form an **k**-linear additive subfunctor of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}$ (see e.g. [2, Proposition V 7.1]).

For $n \ge 2$, we define $\operatorname{rad}^n(X, Y)$ to be the subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(X, Y)$ consisting of the elements f which are obtained as n-times compositions of morphisms in rad.

2.1.2. rad^n -approximations.

Definition 2.1. Let $n \ge 1$.

(1) A morphism $f : X \to Y$ is called a left radⁿ-approximation if f belongs to radⁿ(X,Y) and any morphism $g : X \to Z$ belonging to radⁿ(X,Z) factors through f, i.e., there exists $h : X \to Z$ such that g = hf.



(2) A morphism $f : X \to Y$ is called a minimal left radⁿ-approximation if it is both left minimal and a left radⁿ-approximation.

We define (minimal) right radⁿ-approximations $Y \to X$ of X in the dual way. For simplicity we only discuss minimal left radⁿ-approximations. We note that right versions of all the results of this section hold true.

Remark 2.2. Fu et al [18] studied approximation theory with respect to an ideal $\mathcal{I}(-,+) \subset \operatorname{Hom}(-,+)$ in general context. In their terminology, left and right radⁿ-approximations are called radⁿ-preenvelopes and radⁿ-precovers respectively.

We leave it to the readers to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that X is indecomposable. Then $f : X \to Y$ is a minimal left almost split morphism if and only if it is a minimal left rad-approximation.

2.2. rad^n -approximations in $R \mod A$ Let R be a finite dimensional algebra. By Auslander-Reiten theory, an indecomposable module $M \in \operatorname{ind} R$ has minimal left rad-approximation and minimal right rad-approximation. Our starting point is the following observation.

Lemma 2.4. For $M \in R \mod and n \ge 1$, a minimal left radⁿ-approximation $M \rightarrow N$ exists, and is unique up to composition by an isomorphism from N.

Proof. We use an induction on $n \ge 1$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} M_i$ be an indecomposable decomposition. Let $f_i : M_i \to N_i$ be a minimal left rad-approximation. Then it is clear that the direct sum $f := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} f_i : M \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} N_i$ is a minimal rad-approximation.

We assume that the statement holds for n-1. Let $f: M \to N$ be a minimal left radⁿ⁻¹-approximation. We take a minimal left rad-approximation $g: N \to K$. Then the composition $fg: M \to K$ is a left radⁿ-approximation. Taking a minimal part of it, we obtain a minimal left radⁿ-approximation.

Uniqueness follows from minimality.

2.2.1. A description of rad^n -approximations. We give rad^n -versions of well-know description of approximations.

For $n \ge 1$, we set

$$\operatorname{irr}^{n}(M,N) := \frac{\operatorname{rad}^{n}(M,N)}{\operatorname{rad}^{n+1}(M,N)}$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $n \ge 1$.

For a morphism $f: M \to N$ the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) f is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation of M.
- (2) Any indecomposable object K that has a morphism $g: M \to K$ belonging to $\operatorname{rad}^{n}(M, K) \setminus \operatorname{rad}^{n+1}(M, K)$ is a direct summand of N.

Let $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} K_i^{d_i}$ be an indecomposable decomposition where the K_i 's are pairwise non-isomorphic. We exhibit $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)^t$ according to the decomposition where $f_i : M \to K_i^{d_i}$. We exhibit $f_i = (f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{id_i})^t : M \to K_i^{d_i}$ where $f_{ij} : M \to K_i$.

Then for each i = 1, 2, ..., r, the set $\{f_{i1}, ..., f_{id_i}\}$ becomes a basis of $\operatorname{irr}^n(M, K_i)$ over $\operatorname{ResEnd}(K_i)$.

We leave it to the reader to prove Theorem 2.5, since it is done in the same of way of the classical n = 1 case, which can be found in, for example, [2, Chapter V].

2.2.2. We use the following lemma in the proof of Proposition 10.8.

Lemma 2.6. Let $n \ge 1$. Given a minimal left rad^n -approximation $f: M \to N$ and a morphism $g: N \to L$ belonging to $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, L) \setminus \operatorname{rad}(N, L)$, then the composition gf belongs to $\operatorname{rad}^n(M, L) \setminus \operatorname{rad}^{n+1}(M, L)$.

Proof. We use the notation of Theorem 2.5(2). Since $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(N,L) \setminus \operatorname{rad}(N,L)$, there exists $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ such that there exists a decomposition $L = K_i \oplus L'$ and the composition $h: K_i^{\oplus d_i} \hookrightarrow N \xrightarrow{g} L \to K_i$, where the first arrow is the canonical embedding and the third is the canonical projection, is a split epimorphism. If we write $h = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{d_i}) : K_i^{\oplus d_i} \to K_i$ with $h_p \in \operatorname{End}_R(K_i)$, then not all the components h_1, \ldots, h_{d_i} become zero in $\operatorname{ResEnd}_R(K_i)$. It follows from Theorem 2.5(2) that the sum $\sum_{p=1}^{d_i} h_p f_{i,p}$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}^n(M, K_i) \setminus \operatorname{rad}^{n+1}(M, K_i)$. Thus we conclude $gf \in \operatorname{rad}^n(M, L) \setminus \operatorname{rad}^{n+1}(M, L)$. 2.3. radⁿ-approximations in $R \mod$ and ladders. Let $M \in R \mod$. If M is indecomposable and injective, then there is a minimal left almost split morphism $M \to L$, which is surjective. If M is indecomposable and not injective, then there is an AR-sequence

$$0 \to M \to L \to \tau_1^{-1}M \to 0.$$

Note that in both these situations we obtain a right exact sequence

$$M \to L \to \tau_1^{-1} M \to 0,$$

where the first morphism is minimal left almost split. By taking direct sums the same holds for any $M \in R \mod$. We refer to this as a direct sum of AR-sequences starting from M.

We note that by Lemma 2.3, the first morphism $M \to L$ is a minimal left radapproximation of M in R mod. By convention, in the case where M = 0, we refer the sequence $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ as a direct sum of AR-sequences.

We note that the morphism $L \to \tau_1^{-1}M$ belongs to rad. We also note that the morphism $M \to L$ is injective if and only if M does not have an indecomposable injective module as its direct summand.

To discuss more properties of radⁿ-approximations, we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 2.7. A morphism $f: M \to N$ said to satisfy the left rad-fitting condition, if it is a direct summand of a minimal left rad-approximation $q: M \to L$ of M. This means that there is an isomorphism $L \cong N \oplus N'$ under which q corresponds to $(f, f')^t$ for some $f': M \to N'$. In other words, there exists a split epimorphism $s: L \to N$ such that sg = f.

We note that in the case where M = 0, a minimal left rad-approximation is the morphism $0 \to 0$. Thus, a morphism $0 \to N$ satisfies the left rad-fitting condition if and only if N = 0.

In a dual way we define the *right* rad-*fitting* condition.

The main result of this section is the following theorem that gives a way to construct a minimal left rad^n -approximation.

Theorem 2.8. Let $M \in R \mod$. For $n \ge 1$, we denote a minimal left radⁿapproximation by $\lambda_n : M \to L_n$. By convention we set $L_0 := M$.

Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) The cokernel $\operatorname{Cok} \lambda_n$ is isomorphic to $\tau_1^{-1}L_{n-1}$. (2) The cokernel morphism $\lambda'_n : L_n \to \tau_1^{-1}L_{n-1}$ of λ_n satisfies the left and the right rad-fitting conditions.
- (3) Let $\check{\lambda} : L_n \to L$ be a morphism. Then the composition $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n : M \to L$ is a minimal left radⁿ⁺¹-approximation if and only if the morphism $(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t$: $L_n \to \tau_1^{-1} L_{n-1} \oplus L$ is a minimal left rad-approximation.

Note that the latter condition means that we have a direct sum of ARsequences

$$L_n \xrightarrow{(-\lambda'_n, \bar{\lambda})^t} \tau_1^{-1} L_{n-1} \oplus L \to \tau_1^{-1} L_n \to 0.$$

First we provide the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. Let $n \ge 1$, $M \in R \mod and \lambda_n : M \to L_n$ be a minimal left radⁿapproximation. We take $L_n \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} \tau_1^{-1} L_n \to 0$ to be a direct sum of AR-sequences starting from L_n . We consider a morphism $\lambda : M \to L$. Assume that there exists a split monomorphism $s: L \rightarrow N$ that fits the commutative diagram

(2-11)
$$\begin{array}{c} M \xrightarrow{\lambda} L \xrightarrow{\rho} \tau_1^{-1} L_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ \lambda_n \\ \downarrow \\ L_n \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} \tau_1^{-1} L_n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the upper row is exact. Then λ is a minimal left radⁿ⁺¹-approximation.

Proof. There exists an isomorphism $N \cong L \oplus L'$ induced from the split monomorphism $s: L \to N$. Modifying the diagram (2-11) by this isomorphism, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{c|c} M & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & L & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \tau_1^{-1}L_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \\ L_n & \longrightarrow L \oplus L' & \xrightarrow{(\rho,*)} & \tau_1^{-1}L_n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It follows that λ is a left rad^{*n*}-approximation of *M*. It also follows that ρ belongs to rad and hence λ is a left minimal morphism.

Lemma 2.10. Let $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer, $M \in R \mod and \lambda_n : M \to L_n$ a minimal left radⁿ-approximation, which fits an exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} L_n \xrightarrow{\lambda'_n} C_n \to 0$.

Assume that $\lambda'_n : L_n \to C_n$ satisfies the left rad-fitting condition. Let $\check{\lambda} : L_n \to L$ be a morphism such that the morphism $f := (-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t : L_n \to C_n \oplus L$ is a minimal left rad-approximation. We write a direct sum of AR-sequence obtained from f as below:

$$L_n \xrightarrow{(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t} C_n \oplus L \xrightarrow{(\alpha, \beta)} \tau_1^{-1} L_n \to 0.$$

Then the following holds.

- (1) The composition $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n : M \to L$ is a minimal left radⁿ⁺¹-approximation and the morphism $\beta : L \to \tau_1^{-1}L_n$ is a cokernel morphism of $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n$.
- (2) If $\check{\lambda}': L_n \to L'$ is a morphism such that the composition $\check{\lambda}'\lambda_n: M \to L'$ is a minimal radⁿ⁺¹-approximation, then the morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda'_n \\ \breve{\lambda}' \end{pmatrix} : L_n \to C_n \oplus L'$$

is a minimal left rad-approximation of L_n .

Proof. (1) We have the following commutative diagram both rows of which are exact.

$$(2-12) \qquad M \xrightarrow{\lambda\lambda_n} L \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Cok} \check{\lambda}\lambda_n \xrightarrow{} 0$$
$$\downarrow^{(0,\mathrm{id})^t} \qquad \downarrow^{(0,\mathrm{id})^t} \underset{\forall}{\stackrel{|}{}_{\mathrm{ind}}} L_n \xrightarrow{} 0.$$

It is easy to check that the induced morphism ind is an isomorphism. Thus by Lemma 2.9 the composition $\lambda \lambda_n$ is a minimal left radⁿ⁺¹-approximation. Moreover, it also follows that the morphism β is a cokernel morphism of $\lambda \lambda_n$.

(2) Let $\lambda' : L_n \to L'$ be a morphism such that the composition $\lambda' \lambda_n : M \to L'$ is a minimal left radⁿ⁺¹-approximation. Then there exists an isomorphism $\gamma : L \to L'$ such that $\lambda' \lambda_n = \gamma \lambda \lambda_n$. Since λ'_n is a cokernel morphism of λ_n , there exists a

morphism $\delta: C_n \to L'$ such that $\check{\lambda}' = -\delta \lambda'_n + \gamma \check{\lambda}$. It follows that the morphism $\epsilon := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ \delta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} : C_n \oplus L \to C_n \oplus L'$ is an isomorphism such that $(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda}')^t = \epsilon(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t$. Thus we conclude that the morphism $(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda}')^t$ is a minimal left rad-approximation of L_n .

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $\lambda_1 : M \to L_1$ be a minimal left rad-approximation of M. Since it is a direct sum of left almost split morphisms, its cokernel Cok λ_1 is isomorphic to $\tau_1^{-1}M$. It is clear that the cokernel morphism $\lambda'_1 : L_1 \to \tau_1^{-1}M$ satisfies the right rad-fitting condition.

We use the theory of ladders which was introduced by Igusa-Todorov [29] in the case where the base field **k** is algebraically closed or R is of finite representation type. We also refer a generalization due to Iyama [30]. By [29, Theorem 2.15], [30, Theorem 3.3], the morphism λ'_1 extends to a left ladder

$$\begin{array}{c|c} L_1 & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_1} & L_2 & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_1} & \cdots & L_n & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_n} & L_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_{n+1}} & \cdots \\ \lambda'_1 & & \lambda'_2 & & & \lambda'_n & & \lambda'_{n+1} \\ \tau_1^{-1} L_0 & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_1} & \tau_1^{-1} L_1 & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_2} & \cdots & & \tau_1^{-1} L_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_n} & \tau_1^{-1} L_n & \xrightarrow{\check{\lambda}_{n+1}} & \cdots \end{array}$$

More precisely, we have commutative squares that yield direct sums of AR-sequences

$$L_n \xrightarrow{(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda}_n)^t} \tau_1^{-1} L_{n-1} \oplus L_{n+1} \xrightarrow{(\check{\lambda}_n, \lambda'_{n+1})} \tau_1^{-1} L_n \to 0.$$

This in particular tells us that λ'_1 satisfies the left rad-fitting condition.

It follows from Lemma 2.10 that the composition $\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_{n-2}\cdots\lambda_1\lambda_1: M \to L_n$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation. It is straightforward to verify the statements by induction on $n \ge 1$ using Lemma 2.10.

2.4. rad^{*n*}-approximation in D^b($R \mod$). To discuss rad^{*n*}-approximations in the derived category D^b($R \mod$), we need to assume that R has finite global dimension. We set $\nu_1 := \nu \circ [-1]$, where $\nu := D(R) \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} -$ is the Nakayama functor of D^b($R \mod$). By Happel [24], D^b($R \mod$) has AR-triangles. For $M \in D^b(R \mod)$, by taking the direct sum of AR-triangles starting from indecomposable direct summands of M, we obtain

$$M \to L \to \nu_1^{-1} M \to M[1]$$

which we refer to as a direct sum of AR-triangles starting from M. We note that by Lemma 2.3, the first morphism $M \to L$ is a minimal left rad-approximation of M in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(R \mod)$.

It is clear that an analogue of Lemma 2.4 holds. Namely, for $n \ge 1$, an object $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$ has a minimal left radⁿ-approximation. We can also establish an analogue statement with Theorem 2.5. However we remark that the complete analogue of Theorem 2.8 does not hold. The cone morphism $\lambda'_n : L_n \to C_n$ of a minimal left radⁿ-approximation does not necessarily satisfy the left rad-fitting condition.

Example 2.11. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h. We set $R := \mathbf{k}Q$. We show in Theorem 3.3 that if $n \ge h - 1$, then a minimal left radⁿ-approximation is given by the zero morphism $\lambda_n : M \to 0$. Thus if $M \ne 0$, the cone morphism $\lambda'_n : 0 \to M[1]$ does not satisfy the left rad-fitting condition.

In terms of Iyama's theory of τ -categories, the difference comes from the fact that $R \mod$ is a strict τ -category but $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$ is a τ -category which is not strict.

2.4.1. We use the following construction of minimal left rad^{n+1} -approximations from minimal left rad^n -approximations, which is an analogue of Lemma 2.9. Since the proof is similar, we omit it.

Lemma 2.12. Let $n \geq 2$, $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$ and $\lambda_n : M \to L_n$ be a minimal left rad^n -approximation. Assume that $L_n \neq 0$. We take $L_n \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} \nu_1^{-1}(L_n) \to L_n[1]$ to be a direct sum of AR-triangles starting from L_n . Then a morphism $\lambda : M \to L$ is a minimal left rad^{n+1} -approximation if there exists a split monomorphism $s : L \to N$ that fits the following commutative diagram

$$(2-13) \qquad M \xrightarrow{\lambda} L \xrightarrow{\rho} \nu_1^{-1}(L_n) \longrightarrow M[1]$$
$$\downarrow^s \qquad \downarrow^s \qquad \downarrow^{\lambda_n[1]}$$
$$L_n \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} \nu_1^{-1}(L_n) \longrightarrow L_n[1]$$

whose upper row is an exact triangle.

An analogue of Lemma 2.10 also holds.

Lemma 2.13. Let $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer, $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$ and $\lambda_n : M \to L_n$ a minimal left rad^n -approximation, which fits an exact triangle $M \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} L_n \xrightarrow{\lambda'_n} C \xrightarrow{\lambda''_n} M[1].$

Assume that $\lambda'_n : L_n \to C_n$ satisfies the left rad-fitting condition. Let $\check{\lambda} : L_n \to L$ be a morphism such that the morphism $f := (-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t : L_n \to C_n \oplus L$ is a minimal left rad-approximation. We write the direct sum of AR-triangles obtained from fas below:

$$L_n \xrightarrow{(-\lambda'_n,\check{\lambda})^t} C_n \oplus L \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} C \to L_n[1].$$

Then the following holds.

- (1) The composition $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n : M \to L$ is a minimal left radⁿ⁺¹-approximation and the morphism $\beta : L \to C$ is a cone morphism of $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n$.
- (2) If $\lambda' : L_n \to L'$ is a morphism such that the composition $\lambda'\lambda_n : M \to L'$ is a minimal radⁿ⁺¹-approximation, then the morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda'_n \\ \breve{\lambda}' \end{pmatrix} : L_n \to C_n \oplus L'$$

is a minimal left rad-approximation of L_n .

Proof. (1) Observe that there is the following commutative diagram whose vertical arrows are isomorphisms

Since the bottom row is an exact triangle, so is the upper row. It follows that the square below is a homotopy Cartesian square (see Section A)



By [41, Lemma 1.4.4], the morphism $\beta : N \to K$ is a cone morphism of the composition $\check{\lambda}\lambda$. Therefore we have the following diagram

$$(2-14) \qquad C[-1] \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}\lambda_n} L \xrightarrow{\beta} C$$

$$\| \lambda_n | \downarrow \downarrow (0, \mathrm{id})^t \|$$

$$C[-1] \longrightarrow L_n \xrightarrow{(-\lambda'_n, \tilde{\lambda})^t} C \oplus L \xrightarrow{(\alpha, \beta)} C,$$

both rows of which are exact. It follows from Lemma 2.12 that the composition $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n$ is a minimal left rad^{*n*+1}-approximation of *M*.

(2) is proved in the same way as Lemma 2.10(2). $\hfill \Box$

As a corollary we deduce the following statement.

Corollary 2.14. Let $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer, $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$ and $\lambda_n : M \to L_n$ be a minimal left radⁿ-approximation, which fits into an exact triangle

$$M \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} L_n \xrightarrow{\lambda'_n} C_n \xrightarrow{\lambda''_n} M[1]$$

Assume that the morphism λ'_n satisfies the left rad-fitting condition. Then for a morphism $\check{\lambda}; L_n \to L$ the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) The composition $\check{\lambda}\lambda_n: M \to L$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation
- (2) The morphism $(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t : L_n \to C_n \oplus L$ is a minimal left rad-approximation.
- (3) There exists the following commutative diagram



where the middle columns and the middle rows are exact and the middle square is homotopy Cartesian that is folded to a direct sum of AR-triangles sating from L_n

$$L_n \xrightarrow{(-\lambda'_n, \check{\lambda})^t} C_n \oplus L \xrightarrow{(\alpha, \beta)} C \to L_n[1].$$

Consequently, we obtain an analogue of Theorem 2.8 for $D^{b}(R \mod)$. We remark that compared to the previous theorem, we need to assume the left rad-fitting conditions in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.15. Let $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(R \mod)$. For $n \ge 1$, we denote a minimal left rad^{n} -approximation by $\lambda_{n} : M \to L_{n}$. By convention we set $L_{0} := M$ and $\lambda_{0} := \operatorname{id}_{M}$.

Assume there exists n such that the cone morphism $\lambda'_m : L_m \to C_m$ satisfies the left rad-fitting conditions for $m = 0, 1 \cdots, n-1$. Then the cone C_m of λ_m is isomorphic to $\nu_1^{-1}L_{m-1}$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Moreover, the cone morphism $\lambda'_n :$ $L_n \to C_n$ satisfies the right rad-fitting condition.

2.4.2. We use the following easy observation later.

Lemma 2.16. Let $f: M \to N$ be a morphism that satisfies left rad-fitting condition and $g: M \to L$ a minimal left rad-approximation. If a morphism $t: L \to N$ satisfies the equality tg = f, then it is a split epimorphism.

Proof. Let $s: L \to N$ be a split epimorphism such that sg = f. Then we have (t-s)g = 0.

Let $h: L \to \nu_1^{-1}M$ a cone morphism of g. It follows that there exists a morphism $r: \nu_1^{-1}M \to N$ such that t = s + rh. Since rh belongs to rad, we conclude that t is a split epimorphism.

3. rad^{n} -APPROXIMATION THEORY OF $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{k}Q \mod)$

In this section, we study rad^n -approximations of $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbf{k}Q \mod)$, the derived category of the path algebra $\mathbf{k}Q$ of a quiver Q.

First we introduce certain subsets $N_Q, N_Q^{\geq i}$ of \mathbb{N} .

Definition 3.1. We define the subset $N_Q \subset \mathbb{N}$ to be

 $N_Q := \begin{cases} \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 \le n \le h-2\} & (Q \text{ is a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number } h) \\ \mathbb{N} & (Q \text{ is a non-Dynkin quiver}). \end{cases}$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $N_{\overline{Q}}^{\geq i} := N_Q \cap \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq i\}.$

We give the table of the Coxeter numbers:

The main goal of this section is to prove the following two theorems. We set $A := \mathbf{k}Q$.

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a non-zero object of $D^{b}(A \mod)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\lambda_n : M \to L_n$ be a minimal left radⁿ-approximation in $D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\lambda'_n : L_n \to C_n$ a cone morphism of λ_n . Thus, for $n \geq 1$, we have the following exact triangles

$$M \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} L_n \xrightarrow{\lambda'_n} C_n \to M[1]$$

Then for all $n \in N_Q$, we have $L_n \neq 0$. Moreover, for all $n \in N_Q^{\geq 1}$, the morphisms λ'_n satisfy the left and the right rad-fitting condition.

Theorem 3.3. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h. Then the following assertions hold.

(1) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $L_n \neq 0$ if and only if $n \in N_Q$.

(2) $L_{h-2} \cong \nu(M)$.

We note that since $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)^{\mathsf{op}}$ is equivalent to $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbf{k}Q^{\mathsf{op}} \mod)$ and the Coxeter number $h_{Q^{\mathsf{op}}}$ of the opposite quiver Q^{op} coincides with that of Q, right versions of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 also hold true. **Question 3.4.** Theorem 3.2 says that for the path algebra A of a quiver Q there exists ℓ a natural number or ∞ such that for each $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$, an rad^{n} -approximation object L_{n} of M is non-zero if and only if $n < \ell$.

We do not know that the same statement holds true for any finite dimensional algebra R of finite global dimension.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.** We note that $L_0 \cong M$ and λ_0 is an isomorphism. Thus in the proof we may assume that $n \ge 1$.

3.1.1. An observation. We identify $A \mod$ with the essential image of the canonical embedding functor $A \mod \hookrightarrow \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Let M be an indecomposable A-module which is not injective (resp. projective). Then by [24, I.4.7], a morphism $M \to L$ (resp. $R \to M$) is a minimal left (resp. right) rad-approximation in $A \mod$ if and only if it has the same property in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. In the case where M is indecomposable injective (resp. projective), a minimal left (resp. right) rad-approximation $M \to L$ (resp. $R \to M$) in $A \mod$ is completed to a minimal left (resp. right) rad-approximation $M \to L \oplus L'$ (resp. $R \oplus R' \to M$) in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Thus we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. A morphism $f : M \to N$ in A mod satisfies the left and the right rad-fitting conditions in A mod if and only if it satisfies the same conditions in $D^{b}(A \mod)$.

3.1.2. The non-Dynkin case. We assume that Q is non-Dynkin. Since an indecomposable object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ is a shift of an indecomposable A-module, we may assume that M is an indecomposable A-module. In the case where M is a preinjective module, applying ν_1 and [-1] (if it is necessary), we may reduce this case to the case where M is a prepeojective module. Thus, we may assume that M is either a regular module or a preprojective module. We note that for those classes of modules, the functors ν_1^{-1} and τ_1^{-1} coincide.

We denote by \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{R} the classes of preprojective and regular modules respectively. To deal with the cases $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{P}$ and $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{R}$ at the same time, we denote the class to which M belongs by $\mathcal{C} \in \{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}\}$.

We prove the statement by induction on $n \ge 1$. First we deal with the case n = 1. Let $0 \to M \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} L_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda'_1} \tau_1^{-1}M \to 0$ be the AR-sequence starting from M. Then by Theorem 2.8 the morphism λ'_1 satisfies both the right and the left rad-fitting condition $A \mod$.

By [24, I.4.7], the above AR-sequence become the AR-triangle $M \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} L_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda'_1} \nu_1^{-1} M \to M[1]$. Thus $\lambda_1 : M \to L_1$ is a minimal left rad-approximation. It is clear L_1 is a non-zero A-module belonging to \mathcal{C} . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that λ'_1 satisfies the left and the right rad-fitting conditions.

Next we deal with the case $n \geq 2$. Assume that the case n-1 is proved. Since it is established in the induction procedure as shown below, we may also assume that L_{n-1} belongs to \mathcal{C} . Let $f: L_{n-1} \to L$ be a minimal rad-approximation. We note that f is a monomorphism in A mod. Then since a minimal left radⁿ-approximation $\lambda_n: M \to L_n$ is a minimal part of the composition $f\lambda_{n-1}$, L_n belongs to \mathcal{C} and $\lambda_n: M \to L_n$ is a monomorphism in A mod. It follows that $L_n \neq 0$ and that the cokernel Cok λ_n in A mod coincides with a cone C_n of λ_n in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Finally combining Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 3.5 we conclude that λ'_n satisfies the left and the right rad-fitting conditions.

3.1.3. The Dynkin case. We assume that Q is Dynkin. Since an indecomposable object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ is a shift of an indecomposable A-module, we may assume that M is an indecomposable A-modules. Applying $\nu_1^{\pm 1}$ (if it is necessary), we may

assume that M is an indecomposable projective module $M=P_{i_0}$ corresponds to a vertex $i_0 \in Q_0$.

Since the underlying graph |Q| of Q is a tree, Q is catenary, which means that for any pair $i, j \in Q_0$ of vertices, any paths from i to j have the same length. Thus there exists a unique map $p: Q_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) if there exists an arrow $i \to j$ in Q then p(j) = p(i) + 1,

(2) $p(i_0) = 0$

We extend the map p to $p : \mathbb{Z}(Q)_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ by p(i,m) := p(i) + 2m.

Recall that $\operatorname{add}\{\nu_1^{-m}P_i \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in Q_0\} = \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})$. We may regard p as a map ind $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod) \to \mathbb{Z}$ by using a canonical bijection ind $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod) \cong \mathbb{Z}(Q)_0$. Explicitly, for an indecomposable object $M = \nu_1^{-m} P_i \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_A$, we set

$$p(M) := p(i,m) = p(i) + 2m$$

We set

$$\mathcal{U}_n := \operatorname{add} \{ M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod}) \mid p(M) = n \}.$$

We note that $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}_n$. From the shape of the AR-quiver, we deduce the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. The following assertions hold.

- (1) An object $N \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_n if and only if $\nu_1^{-1}(N)$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_{n+2} .
- (2) Let $N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_n$ and $N \to N' \to \nu_1^{-1}(N) \to N[1]$ be an AR-triangle. Then the middle term N' belongs to \mathcal{U}_{n+1} .

Lemma 3.7. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $N \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}$. Then any morphism $f: M \to N$ belongs to rad^m.

Lemma 3.8. Let $M, N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_A$ such that $M \ncong N$. If $\operatorname{Hom}_A(N, M) \neq 0$, then p(N) < p(M).

Proof. Assume $\operatorname{Hom}_A(N, M) \neq 0$. Then there exists a direct path from N to M in the AR-quiver of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. From the assumption $M \not\cong N$, we conclude that p(N) < p(M).

Corollary 3.9. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $N \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N) =$ $\operatorname{rad}(M, N)$ and $\operatorname{rad}^2(M, N) = 0$.

The above lemmas and corollary hold for all catenary quivers. For the following lemma, we need to use the assumption that Q is a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h.

Lemma 3.10. Let $M, N \in \text{ind } D^{\mathbf{b}}(A \mod)$. Then the following assertions hold. (1) For a triangulated autoequivalence F of $D^{b}(A \mod)$, we have

$$p(FM) - p(FN) = p(M) - p(N).$$

(2)

$$p(M[1]) = p(M) + h.$$

(3)

$$p(\nu(M)) = p(M) + h - 2.$$

In particular, for $i \in Q_0$ we have

$$p(I_i) = p(P_i) + h - 2.$$

To prove this lemma, we need to use the following fundamental result.

Theorem 3.11 ([39, p359, Table 1]). We have the following natural isomorphism of autoequivalences of $D^{b}(A \mod)$

$$\nu_1^{-h} \cong [2].$$

Proof of Lemma 3.10. (1) Since Q is Dynkin, there is a path in the AR-quiver of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ from M to $\nu_1^{-m}(N)$ for some $m \ge 0$. Let l be the length of such a path. Then p(M) - p(N) = l - 2m. Since there is a path of the same length l from FM to $F(\nu_1^{-m}N) \cong \nu_1^{-m}(FN)$ we get p(FM) - p(FN) = l - 2m = p(M) - p(N).

(2) By (1), there exists an integer h' such that p(M[1]) = p(M) + h' for all $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathbf{b}}(A \mod)$. Consequently, p(M[2]) = p(M[1]) + h' = p(M) + 2h'. On the other hand, p(M[2]) = p(M) + 2h by Theorem 3.11. Thus, we conclude h' = h.

(3) By (2), $p(\nu(M)) = p(\nu_1(M)[1]) = p(\nu_1(M)) + h = p(M) + h - 2.$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $M = P_{i_0}$. By Lemma 3.10, $I_{i_0} \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_{h-2}$. There is a non-zero morphism $M \to I_{i_0}$, which by Lemma 3.7 belongs to rad^{h-2} . It follows that $L_n \neq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq h-2$.

By induction on $n \in N_Q^{\geq 1}$, we prove the statement that λ'_n satisfies the left and the right rad-fitting condition and additionally the statement that $L_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $C_n \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$.

We deal with the case n = 1. The exact triangle $M \to L_1 \to C_1 \to M[1]$ is nothing but the AR-triangle $M \to L_1 \to \nu_1^{-1}M \to M[1]$ starting from M. Thus it is clear that $\lambda'_1 : L_1 \to C_1$ satisfies the right rad-fitting condition. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $L_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $C_1 \in \mathcal{U}_2$. We can prove that λ'_1 satisfies the left rad-fitting condition as in the general case shown below.

We deal with the case $n \geq 2$. Assume that the statements are proved in the case n-1. Then by Theorem 2.15, λ'_n satisfies the right rad-fitting condition and $C_n \cong \nu_1^{-1}L_{n-1}$. Thus it only remains to show that λ'_n satisfies the left rad-fitting condition.

We claim that $L_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 3.6 and induction hypothesis that $L_{n-1} \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$. Let $f : L_{n-1} \to L$ be a minimal left rad-approximation. By Lemma 3.6 that $L \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Since L_n is a direct summand of L, it also belongs to \mathcal{U}_n .

Assume towards contradiction, that λ'_n does not satisfies the left rad-fitting condition. Let $f: L_n \to L'$ be a minimal left rad-approximation of L_n .



Since λ'_n belongs to rad, there exists a morphism $g: L' \to C_n$ such that $\lambda'_n = gf$. By assumption, g is not a split-epimorphism. We take an indecomposable decomposition $C_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^a K_i$ and decompose g as $g = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_a)^t : L' \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^a K_i$. Then there exists $j = 1, 2, \ldots, a$ such that g_j is not a split-epimorphism. Since K_j is indecomposable, we have $g_j \in \operatorname{rad}(L, K_i)$. It follows that $g_j f' \in \operatorname{rad}^2(L_n, C_n)$. However, by Corollary 3.9, $\operatorname{rad}^2(L_n, C_n) = 0$. Therefore $g_j f' = 0$.

It follows from the description $\lambda'_n = gf' = (g_1f', g_2f', \dots, g_af')^t : L_n \to C_n$ that K_j is embedded in M[1] as a direct summand via the connecting morphism $C_n \to M[1]$. However $K_i \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ and $M[1] \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_h$. Therefore, we have n+1=h a contradiction. This shows that λ'_n satisfies the left rad-fitting condition. \Box

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We may assume $M = P_{i_0}$. Let $N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be such that $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N) \neq 0$. Then N belongs to A mod and has the simple module S_{i_0}

as a composition factor. Therefore, $\operatorname{Hom}_A(N, I_{i_0}) \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.10 that $p(N) \leq p(I_{i_0}) = h - 2$. Thus $L_n = 0$ for $n \geq h - 1$.

It also follows from Lemma 3.8 that L_{h-2} is a direct sum of I_{i_0} . Since $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, I_{i_0}) \cong \mathbf{k}$, L_{h-2} contains a single copy of I_{i_0} as a direct summand. Thus $L_{h-2} = I_{i_0} = \nu(M)$. This finishes proof of Theorem 3.3.

3.2. A description of the direct sum of left rad^{*n*}-approximation objects. Let M be an indecomposable object of $D^{b}(A \mod)$ and L_{n} a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation object of M. In this section we give a description of the total sum $\bigoplus_{n>0} L_{n}$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\mathcal{C}_M \subset D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ be the full subcategory that consists of objects belonging to the same component as M in the ARquiver. For $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\lambda_n : M \to L_n$ a minimal left radⁿ-approximation of M. Assume that the following condition does not hold: Q is wild and M is a chomological degree shift of a regular module.

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} L_n \cong \bigoplus_{N\in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_M} N^{\oplus \dim \operatorname{Hom}(M,N)}$$

in D(A).

Proof. We may assume that M is an indecomposable A-module.

Recall (e.g., [46, X Definition 3.1]) that a connected component C of the ARquiver of a finite dimensional algebra R is called *generalized standard* if for any pairs (X, Y) of indecomposable objects in C, we have $\operatorname{rad}^n(X, Y) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

By [46, X. Proposition 3.2] a preprojective component and a preinjective component are generalized standard. By [46, X. Theorem 4.5, XI. Theorem 2.8], if Qis extended Dynkin and M is a regular module, then the component \mathcal{C}_M is generalized standard. Therefore it follows from the assumption on Q and M that, for $N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_M$, we have dim $\operatorname{Hom}(M, N) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim \operatorname{irr}^n(M, N)$. Thus by Theorem 2.5 we deduce the desired conclusion.

4. DG-MODULES OVER A DG-ALGEBRA

In this Section 4 we prepare and fix notion and terminology of dg-modules over dg-algebras. For basics of dg-algebras and dg-modules, we refer [32, 33].

4.1. Dg-modules over a dg-algebra.

4.1.1. Let R be a dg-algebra. We denote by |x| the cohomological degree of a homogeneous element $x \in R$.

Commutators [-,+] are taken in the cohomological graded sense. Namely for homogeneous elements $x, y \in R$, we set $[x, y] := xy - (-1)^{|x||y|} yx$. Commutators satisfy

$$[x, y] = (-1)^{|x||y|+1}[y, x]$$
 (skew commutativity) and

 $[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [y, [x, z]]$ (graded Leibniz rule).

A homogeneous morphism $D: R \to R$ is said to be a *derivation* if it satisfies $D(xy) = D(x)y + (-1)^{|D||x|}xD(y)$. Note that we have $D[x, y] = [D(x), y] + (-1)^{|D||x|}[x, D(y)]$. We also note that if D, D' are derivations on R, then the commutator $[D, D']_{\text{Hom}} := DD' - (-1)^{|D||D'|}D'D$ taken in $\text{Hom}^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{k}}(R, R)$ is a derivation on R.

Let $x \in R$ be a homogeneous element. We denote by I_x the left multiplication morphism $I_x : R \to R$, $I_x(y) := xy$ and by r_x the right multiplication morphism $\mathsf{r}_x: R \to R, \ \mathsf{r}_x(y) := (-1)^{|x||y|} yx$. We set $\mathsf{b}_x := \mathsf{I}_x - \mathsf{r}_x$. In other words, the morphism b_x is defined to be $b_x : R \to R$, $b_x(y) := [x, y]$. We note that b_x is a derivation of degree |x|.

4.1.2. Morphisms and cochain maps of dq-modules. Let X, Y be dq-modules. A morphism $f: X \to Y$ means a homogeneous morphism. A cochain map $f: X \to Y$ is a homogeneous map which compatible with the differentials.

We denote by \uparrow the canonical morphisms $X \to X[-1], X[1] \to X$ induced from the identity map of underlying ungraded module, and by \downarrow the canonical morphisms $X \to X[1], X[-1] \to X$ induced from the identity map of underlying ungraded module. For example, for a homogeneous morphism $f: X \to Y$ of $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(R)$, we have $f[1] = (-1)^{|f|} \downarrow f \uparrow$ as morphisms from X[1] to Y[1]. Note in particular that if we denote by d_X the differential of X, then $d_{X[1]} = d_X[1] = - \downarrow d_X \uparrow$. We also note that for a cochain map $f: X \to Y$ of dg-*R*-modules, the cone cn(f) is a dg-module whose underlying graded module is $Y \oplus X[1]$ and the differential is given by $d_{\mathsf{cn}(f)} := \begin{pmatrix} d_Y & f \uparrow \\ 0 & d_{X[1]} \end{pmatrix}$. In other words, the cone $\mathsf{cn}(f)$ and the co-cone cn(f)[-1]) of f are given as below.

$$\mathsf{cn}(f) := \begin{pmatrix} Y \oplus X[1], \begin{pmatrix} d_Y & f \uparrow \\ 0 & d_{X[1]} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathsf{cn}(f)[-1] = \begin{pmatrix} Y[-1] \oplus X, \begin{pmatrix} d_{Y[-1]} & -\uparrow f \\ 0 & d_X \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall that the cone cn(f) fits into the exact triangle below in K(R)

$$Y \xrightarrow{i_1^f} \operatorname{cn}(f) \xrightarrow{p_2^f} X[1] \xrightarrow{-f[1]} Y[1].$$

where $i_1^f := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the canonical inclusion and $p_2^f := (0, \mathrm{id}_X)$ is the canonical projection.

Let $a \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$. Then we may identify the cone cn(af) with cn(f) via the isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_Y & 0 \\ 0 & a \operatorname{id}_{X[1]} \end{pmatrix}$: $\operatorname{cn}(af) \to \operatorname{cn}(f)$, which provides the following isomorphism of exact triangles:

4.1.3. Homotopies. Let $f, g: X \to Y$ be morphisms in C(R). A homotopy H from f to g is a morphism $H: X \to Y$ of degree -1 of $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(R)$ such that f - g = $d_Y H + H d_X$. We often exhibit the situation as below.

$$X \underbrace{\stackrel{f}{\underbrace{\qquad}}_{g}}_{Y}$$

It is straightforward to check that a homotopy H from f to g gives an isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_Y & H \uparrow \\ 0 & \operatorname{id}_{X[1]} \end{pmatrix} : \operatorname{cn}(f) \to \operatorname{cn}(g) \text{ in } \mathsf{C}(R).$ Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathsf{C}(R)$. Then the morphism $\mathsf{h}_f = (\downarrow, 0) :$

 $\operatorname{cn}(f)[-1] \to Y$ is a homotopy from $f(-p_2^f)$ to 0. The morphism $\mathbf{g}_f = (0,\downarrow)^t : X \to \mathbb{C}$

cn(f) is a homotopy from $i_1^f f$ to 0.



Let $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to Z$ be cochain maps and H be a homotpy from gfto 0. Then we have the induced cochain maps $q_{f,g,H} := (g, H\uparrow) : cn(f) \to Z$ and $j_{f,g,H} := \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow H \\ -f \end{pmatrix} : X \to \operatorname{cn}(g)[-1]$ that fit into the following commutative diagram

$$(4-17) \qquad X \xrightarrow{0} Z \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} Q \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} Q \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} Q \xrightarrow{g$$

We leave the verification of the following lemma to the readers.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to Z, h: Z \to W$ be morphisms in C(R) and $H: X \to Z$ a homotopy from gf to 0.

Then, hH is a homotopy from hgf to 0 and we have an equality $q_{f,hq,hH} =$ $hq_{f,g,H}$ of morphisms from $cn(f) \to W$.

4.2. The octahedral axiom. The results of this section is used in Section 6. We recall the construction of the diagram that appears in the proof that the homotopy category K(R) satisfies the octahedral axiom.

Lemma 4.2. Let $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to Z$ and $h: X \to Z$ be cochain maps between dg-R-modules and $H: X \to Z$ a homotopy from gf to h.

Then the following statements hold.

(1) The following diagram is commutative in K(R)(4-18)



where $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{id}_Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} g & H \uparrow \\ 0 & \operatorname{id}_{X[1]} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Upsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_Z & -H \uparrow \\ 0 & f[1] \end{pmatrix}$. (2) The third horizontal line becomes an exact triangle in $\mathsf{K}(R)$.

- (3) (i) The morphism $K := \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$: $\operatorname{cn}(g)[-1] \to \operatorname{cn}(h)$ of degree -1 is a homotopy from $\Psi\Phi$ to 0. (ii) $L := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \downarrow & 0 \end{pmatrix}$: $\operatorname{cn}(f) \to \operatorname{cn}(g)$ is a homotopy from $\Upsilon\Psi$ to 0.

- (iii) The morphism $M := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\downarrow \end{pmatrix}$: $\operatorname{cn}(h) \to \operatorname{cn}(f)[1]$ of degree -1 is a homotopy from $-(\Phi[1])\Upsilon$ to 0.
- (4) The following statements hold.
 - (a) The induced morphisms $q_{\Phi,\Psi,K} = (\Psi, K\uparrow) : \operatorname{cn}(\Phi) \to \operatorname{cn}(h)$ and $-j_{\Upsilon,-\Phi[1],M} = \begin{pmatrix} -\uparrow M \\ \Upsilon \end{pmatrix} : \operatorname{cn}(h) \to \operatorname{cn}(-\Phi[1])[-1] = \operatorname{cn}(\Phi)$ are homotopy inverse to each other.
 - (b) The induced morphisms $q_{\Psi,\Upsilon,L} = (\Upsilon, L\uparrow) : \operatorname{cn}(\Psi) \to \operatorname{cn}(g)$ and $j_{\Phi,\Psi,K}[1] = \begin{pmatrix} (\uparrow K)[1] \\ -\Phi[1] \end{pmatrix} : \operatorname{cn}(g) \to \operatorname{cn}(\Psi)$ are homotopy inverse to each other.
 - (c) The induced morphisms $q_{\Upsilon,-\Phi[1],M} = (-\Phi[1],M\uparrow) : \operatorname{cn}(\Upsilon) \to \operatorname{cn}(f)[1]$ and $j_{\Psi,\Upsilon,L}[1] = \begin{pmatrix} (\uparrow L)[1] \\ -\Psi[1] \end{pmatrix} : \operatorname{cn}(f)[1] \to \operatorname{cn}(\Upsilon)$ are homotopy inverse to each other.
- (5) We have $h_{\Upsilon} j_{\Psi,\Upsilon,L} = L$ as morphisms from $\operatorname{cn}(f) \to \operatorname{cn}(g)$ of degree -1 where $h_{\Upsilon} : \operatorname{cn}(\Upsilon)[-1] \to \operatorname{cn}(g)$ is the morphism of (4-16).

Proof. (1) can be checked by direct calculation.

(2) The case where h = fg and H = 0 is proved in [51, p.318]. Modifying this case by the cochain isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_{Z} & H^{\uparrow} \\ 0 & \operatorname{id}_{X[1]} \end{pmatrix}$: $\operatorname{cn}(fg) \to \operatorname{cn}(h)$, we verify the statement for the general case.

- (3) is checked by direct calculation.
- (4)

a homotopy from $\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(\Phi)}$ to qj.

We have

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(\Phi)} - jq = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_Y & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathrm{id}_{X[1]} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{id}_Z & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{id}_{Y[1]} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathrm{id}_{X[1]} & 0 & 0\\ g & 0 & \mathrm{id}_Z & 0\\ 0 & f[1] & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_Y & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -g & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -f[1] & 0 & \mathrm{id}_{Y[1]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_Y & f \uparrow & 0 & -\uparrow \\ 0 & d_{X[1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_Z & g \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{Y[1]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\downarrow & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\downarrow & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_Y & f \uparrow & 0 & -\uparrow \\ 0 & d_{X[1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_Z & g \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_Z & g \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_Z & g \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_Z & g \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{Y[1]} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_Y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -d_{Y[1]} \downarrow & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -d_{Y[1]} \downarrow & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\downarrow d_Y & -\downarrow f \uparrow & 0 & \operatorname{id}_{Y[1]} \end{pmatrix}$$

36
(b)

a homotopy from $\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(\Psi)}$ to jq.

We have

 It

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(\Psi)} - jq = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H \uparrow & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{id}_{X[1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -f[1] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{id}_{X[2]} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$q := q_{\Upsilon,-\Phi[1],M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{id}_Y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{id}_{X[2]} \end{pmatrix}, \ j := j_{\Psi,\Upsilon,L}[1] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{id}_{Y[1]} & 0 \\ -g[1] & -(H\uparrow)[1] \\ 0 & -\mathrm{id}_{X[2]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $qj = \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(f)[1]}$.

We can check that the morphism $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \downarrow & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ gives a homotopy from $\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(\Upsilon)}$ to jq.

We have

$$\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{cn}(\Upsilon)} - jq = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_Z & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & g[1] & \mathrm{id}_{Z[1]} & -(H\uparrow)[1]\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_Z & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \downarrow g \uparrow & \mathrm{id}_{Z[1]} & -\downarrow H \uparrow\uparrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

4.2.1. A preparation for the proof of Theorem 6.14. We provide a technical lemma that is used in the proof of Theorem 6.14.

We continue to use the notations of Lemma 4.2. We remark that the morphism $\Phi : \operatorname{cn}(g)[-1] \to \operatorname{cn}(f)$ and its cone $\operatorname{cn}(\Phi)$ only depends on f, g and does not depend on h, H. We denote Φ by $\Phi_{f,g}$ in the case where we need to refer f, g. Similarly, we denote $K : \operatorname{cn}(g)[-1] \to \operatorname{cn}(h)$ by $K_{f,g,h}$.

We denote the morphism $q_{\Phi_{f,g},\Psi,K_{f,g,h}} : \operatorname{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) \to \operatorname{cn}(h)$ by $\dot{q}_{f,g,h,H}$. According to the decompositions $\operatorname{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) = Y \oplus X[1] \oplus Z \oplus Y[1]$, $\operatorname{cn}(h) = Z \oplus X[1]$, this cochain map is exhibited as

$$\dot{q}_{f,g,h,H} = \begin{pmatrix} g & H\uparrow & \mathrm{id}_Z & 0\\ 0 & \mathrm{id}_{X[1]} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathsf{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) \to \mathsf{cn}(h).$$

Definition 4.3. Let $f : X \to Y, g : Y \to Z, k : Z \to W$ be cochain maps in C(R) and $H : X \to W$ a homotopy from kgf to 0.

We define $\acute{q}_{f,g,k,H}: \operatorname{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) \to W$ to be the composition

$$\acute{q}_{f,g,k,H} : \operatorname{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) \xrightarrow{q_{f,g,0}} \operatorname{cn}(gf) \xrightarrow{q_{gf,k,H}} W.$$

According decomposition $\mathsf{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) = Y \oplus X[1] \oplus Z \oplus Y[1]$ we have

$$q_{f,g,k,H} = (kg, H\uparrow, k, 0) : \operatorname{cn}(\Phi_{f,g}) \to W.$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $l: X \to X', f: X' \to Y, g: Y \to Z, h: X \to Z$ and $k: Z \to W$ be cochain maps between dg-R-modules, $H_1: X \to Z$ a homotopy from gfl to h, $H_2: X \to W$ a homotopy from kh to 0 and $H_3: X' \to W$ be a homotopy from kgf to 0.



Assume we have $H_3l = kH_1 + H_2$. For simplicity we set $H := H_3l$.

Then H is a homotopy from kgfl to 0 and the following diagram is commutative



where ind are the morphism induced from l.

We note that if $l:X\to X'$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then so is the induced morphisms ind.

Proof. It is straightforward to check the desired commutativity by using the descriptions of the involved morphisms given below:

$$\begin{split} \dot{q}_{f,g,k,H_3} &= (kg, H_3 \uparrow, k, 0), \ \dot{q}_{fl,g,k,H} = (kg, H \uparrow, k, 0), \ q_{h,k,H_2} = (k, H_2 \uparrow), \\ \dot{q}_{fl,g,h,H_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} g & H_1 \uparrow & \mathrm{id}_Z & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{id}_{X[1]} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathsf{ind} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_Y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & l[1] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{id}_Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{id}_{Y[1]} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

5. Universal Auslander-Reiten triangle for ${\bf k}Q$

5.1. Path algebras.

5.1.1. The path algebra of a quiver as a tensor algebra. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, h, t)$ be a quiver. We recall the construction of the path algebra $A = \mathbf{k}Q$ as the tensor algebra $\mathsf{T}_{\mathbf{k}Q_0}\mathbf{k}Q_1$.

First, we set $A_0 := \mathbf{k}Q_0 = \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{k}e_i$, i.e., the direct product of \mathbf{k} indexed by the set Q_0 of vertices.

From now on, by convention, we omit \otimes_{A_0} and write $MN = M \otimes_{A_0} N$ for a right A_0 -module M and a left A_0 -module N.

For an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, we denote by $\mathbf{k}\alpha$ an A_0 - A_0 -bimodule of \mathbf{k} -dimension 1 with a \mathbf{k} -basis α whose bimodule structure is given by the formulas

$$e_i \alpha := \begin{cases} \alpha & i = t(\alpha), \\ 0 & i \neq t(\alpha), \end{cases} \quad \alpha e_i := \begin{cases} \alpha & i = h(\alpha), \\ 0 & i \neq h(\alpha). \end{cases}$$

We set $V := \mathbf{k}Q_1 := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1} \mathbf{k}\alpha$. Then we may identify the path algebra $\mathbf{k}Q$ with the tensor algebra $\mathsf{T}_{A_0}V$ of V over A_0 .

$$\mathbf{k}Q = \mathsf{T}_{A_0}V = A_0 \oplus V \oplus VV \oplus VVV \oplus \cdots$$

5.1.2. The inverse of the dualizing complex. Recall that the A^{e} -module A has the following projective resolution

$$0 \to AVA \xrightarrow{\mu} AA \xrightarrow{\mu} A \to 0,$$

where $\hat{\mu}(p \otimes \alpha \otimes q) := p\alpha \otimes q - p \otimes \alpha q$, $\mu(p \otimes q) := pq$. We define the complex \widehat{A} of A-A-bimodules to be the cone $cn(\hat{\mu})$ of $\hat{\mu}$. In the usual way of exhibiting a complex, \widetilde{A} is shown as

$$\cdots \to 0 \to AVA \xrightarrow{\mu} AA \to 0 \to \cdots$$

where AA is placed in the 0-th cohomological degree. But in the sequel, we exhibit the complex \widetilde{A} in the following form

$$\widetilde{A} := \left(AA \oplus (AVA)[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mu} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

The morphism $\mu : AA \to A$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $\widetilde{A} \to A$ in $C(A^e)$, which we denote by the same symbol by abusing notation, i.e.,

$$\mu := (\mu, 0) : A \to A.$$

We set the A^{e} -duality to be $(-)^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(-, A^{e})$. Note that by our convention, it is an endofunctor of A^{e} Mod. Since \widetilde{A} is a projective resolution of A over A^{e} , the complex \widetilde{A}^{\vee} represents the inverse $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(A, A^{e})$ of the dualizing complex [34, 35].

To compute \widetilde{A}^{\vee} , we introduce a morphism $\hat{\rho} : AA \to AV^*A$ of A-A-bimodules. Firstly, we set $V^* := D(V)$ and let $\{\alpha^*\}_{\alpha \in Q_1}$ be the dual basis of the basis $\{\alpha \in Q_1\}$ of the arrow module V of Q. Secondly, we note that there is an isomorphism $AA = A \otimes_{A_0} A_0 \otimes_{A_0} A \cong \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} Ae_iA$ of A-A-bimodules. Therefore to define the morphism $\hat{\rho}$ it is enough to specify $\hat{\rho}(e_i) \in AV^*A$ for each $i \in Q_0$. We define of $\hat{\rho}$ by

$$\hat{\rho}(e_i) := \sum_{\alpha: t(\alpha) = i} \alpha \otimes \alpha^* \otimes e_i - \sum_{\alpha: h(\alpha) = i} e_i \otimes \alpha^* \otimes \alpha.$$

Lemma 5.1 ([22, Lemma 2.3 and the subsequent remark]). Let U be a A_0 - A_0 -bimodule. Then the map

$$F_U: AD(U)A \to (AUA)^{\vee}, F(x \otimes \phi \otimes y)(z \otimes u \otimes w) := \phi(u)zy \otimes xw$$

is an isomorphism of A-A-bimodules.

In particular we have the following identifications

$$(5-19) (AA)^{\vee} \cong AA, \ (AVA)^{\vee} \cong AV^*A$$

Moreover, as $(A^e)^{\vee} \cong A^e$, we may identify the A^e -dual m^{\vee} of the canonical map $m: A^e \to AA$ with the embedding

(5-20)
$$AA = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} Ae_i \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} e_i A \to \bigoplus_{i,j \in Q_0} Ae_i \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} e_j A = A^{\mathbf{e}}.$$

The following lemma gives a description of the complex \widetilde{A}^{\vee} .

Lemma 5.2. The identifications (5-19) provides the following isomorphism of complexes

$$\widetilde{A}^{\vee} \cong \left(AV^*A[-1] \oplus AA, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \uparrow \hat{\rho} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Proof. It is easy to show that for $f \in \text{Hom}_{A^e}(AA, A^e)$, the composition $f \circ \hat{\mu} : AVA \to A^e$ corresponds to $-\hat{\rho}(f)$ via the isomorphism F_V given in Lemma 5.1.

Since the 0-th differential d^0_{Hom} of the complex $\text{Hom}^{\bullet}_{A^e}(\widetilde{A}, A^e)$ is $d^0_{\text{Hom}}(f) = d^0_{A^e} \circ f - f \circ d^{-1}_{\widetilde{A}} = -f \circ \hat{\mu}$, the assertion. follows.

Since \widetilde{A} is a projective resolution of A over A^{e} , the complex \widetilde{A}^{\vee} over A^{e} is a representative of $A^{\mathbb{V}} = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, A^{e})$. We set $\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} := \widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1]$, since it is the *-degree 1 part of the derived preprojective algebra $\widetilde{\Pi}$ (see Section 6). Note that the functor $\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} - : \mathsf{D}^{b}(A \mod) \to \mathsf{D}^{b}(A \mod)$ is the inverse ν_{1}^{-1} of the -1-shifted Nakayama functor $\nu_{1}(-) := \mathsf{D}(A)[-1] \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} -$.

5.2. Weighted trace and weighted Euler characteristic.

5.2.1. Trace of an endomorphism of a complex over \mathbf{k} . Let $V \in \mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $f: V \to V$, we define the trace $\operatorname{Tr}(f)$ to be

$$\operatorname{Tr}(f) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \operatorname{Tr}(f^i)$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}(f^i)$ in the right hand side is the trace of the linear map $f^i: V^i \to V^i$. We note that the Euler characteristic $\chi(V) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim V^i$ of V is obtained as $\chi(V) = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{id}_V)$.

5.2.2. Weighted trace and weighted Euler characteristic. Let $A = \mathbf{k}Q$ and $v = (v_i) \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$. Let $M \in \mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{b}}(A)$ and $f : M \to M$. Observe that a vertex $i \in Q_0$ induces a morphism $e_i f : e_i M \to e_i M$ in $\mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathbf{k})$. We define the weighted trace ${}^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(f)$ of f to be the weighted sum of traces of $e_i f$.

$$^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(f) := \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \mathrm{Tr}(e_i f).$$

We define the weighted Euler characteristic ${}^{v}\chi(M)$ to be ${}^{v}\chi(M) := \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i\chi(e_iM)$ so that we have ${}^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{id}_M) = {}^{v}\chi(M)$.

We denote the dimension vector of M by $\chi(M)$.

$$\underline{\chi}(M) := (\chi(e_1 M), \chi(e_2 M), \dots, \chi(e_r M))^t \in \mathbb{Z}Q_0.$$

Then the weighted Euler characteristic ${}^{v}\chi(M)$ is obtained as

i.e., the product of the row vector v^t and the column vector $\chi(M)$.

It is straightforward to check that these notions descend to objects $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and endomorphisms $f: M \to M$. We leave the verification of the following lemma to the reader.

Lemma 5.3. (1) ${}^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i} {}^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{H}^{i}(f)).$ (2) ${}^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{id}_{M}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i} {}^{v}\chi(\mathrm{H}^{i}(M)).$ (3) If f is nilpotent in $\mathsf{D}(A)$, then ${}^{v}\mathrm{Tr}(f) = 0.$

5.2.3. Recall that we may identify the Grothendieck group $K_0(A)$ with $\mathbb{Z}Q_0$ via the map $[M] \mapsto \underline{\chi}(M)$. Let F be an autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. We denote by $\underline{F} : \mathbb{Z}Q_0 \to \mathbb{Z}\overline{Q}_0$ the automorphism induced from F. In other words, \underline{F} is the square matrix that satisfies

$$\underline{F}\chi(M) = \chi(F(M))$$

for all $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. We note the following equation that follows from (5-21).

(5-22)
$${}^{v}\chi(F(M)) = \underline{F}^{v}(v)\chi(M)$$

5.2.4. The Cartan matrix and the Coxeter matrix. We recall that the Cartan matrix C is a matrix defined by

$$C := (\chi(e_i A e_j))_{i,j}.$$

Then the Coxeter matrix Φ (for left modules) is defined by

$$\Phi := -C^t C^{-1}.$$

Note that in the notation of Section 5.2.3, we have $\Phi = \underline{\nu_1}$. In other words, we have

$$\underline{\chi}(\nu_1(M)) = \underline{\Phi}\underline{\chi}(M)$$

for $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Therefore setting $\Psi := \Phi^{-t}$, we have

(5-23)
$${}^{v}\chi(\Pi_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M) = \underline{\chi}(\nu_1^{-1}(M)) = {}^{\Psi(v)}\chi(M).$$

5.3. Trace formula. Let $i \in Q_0$. We define a morphism $\hat{e}_i : AA \to AA$ of A^{e} -modules by the formula

$$\hat{e}_i(e_j) := \delta_{i,j} e_i$$

for $j \in Q_0$. We define a morphism $\tilde{e}_i : \tilde{A}^{\vee} \to \tilde{A}$ of complexes of A-A-bimodules to be (5-24)

$$\tilde{e}_i: \widetilde{A}^{\vee} = \left(AV^*A[-1] \oplus AA, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \uparrow \hat{\rho} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{e}_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \left(AA \oplus AVA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mu} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \widetilde{A}.$$

Recall that $\widetilde{A}^{\vee} \cong A^{\mathbb{V}}$ in $\mathsf{D}(A^{e})$ and there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A) \cong \operatorname{HH}_{0}(A) \cong A/[A, A] \cong \mathbf{k}Q_{0}.$$

Note that $e_i \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ in the right hand side corresponds to \tilde{e}_i in the left hand side. Thus the set $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in Q_0}$ forms a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$.

Definition 5.4. For $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$, we denote by ${}^{v}\theta$ the corresponding element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$. In other words, for $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$, we set

$$\widetilde{\theta} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \widetilde{e}_i.$$

Since $(A^{\mathbb{V}})^{\mathbb{V}} \cong A$ in $\mathsf{D}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$, the A^{e} -duality $(-)^{\mathbb{V}}$ induces an endomorphism $(-)^{\mathbb{V}}$: Hom_{A^{e}} $(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A) \to$ Hom_{A^{e}} $(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$. By a general result due to Van den Bergh [49, Proposition 14.1], this is the identity map (which in our case can be proved by an easy computation). Thus we have

$$(5-25) \qquad \qquad ({}^{v}\tilde{\theta})^{\mathbb{V}} = {}^{v}\tilde{\theta}.$$

Recall from [34] that the functor $A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} - : \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod) \to \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ is the inverse of a Serre functor. Let $\langle -, + \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} : \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N, M) \to \mathbf{k}$ be the pairing of Serre duality.

Let $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. We recall our convention that

$${}^{v}\!\widetilde{\theta}_{M} = {}^{v}\!\widetilde{\theta} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M : \widetilde{A}^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to M.$$

The following theorem gives a formula that computes the pairing $\langle f, {}^{v} \tilde{\theta}_{M} \rangle_{S^{-1}}$ for $f \in \operatorname{End}_{A}(M)$.

Theorem 5.5. Let $M \in D^{b}(A \mod)$ and $f \in End_{A}(M)$. Then the following equality holds

$$\langle f, {}^{v} \theta_{M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = {}^{v} \mathrm{Tr}(f).$$

Remark 5.6. In subsequent work [38], we will prove Theorem 5.5 for homologically smooth proper dg-algebras.

Proof. By linearity, it is enough to show that $\langle f, \tilde{e}_{i,M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \operatorname{Tr}(e_i f)$ for each $i \in Q_0$. We recall the isomorphisms in $\mathsf{D}(\mathbf{k})$ which proves Serre duality from [34] (see

also Section C).

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A}(M,N) \xrightarrow{\cong F} \mathrm{D}(M) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N \xrightarrow{\cong G} \mathrm{D} \,\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A}(A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N, M).$$

First we explain the construction of G. Let $L, M \in C(A)$. We define a cochain map $\tilde{\Phi}_{L,M} : D(M) \otimes_A L \to D \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}_A(L, M)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{L,M}(\phi \otimes l)(f) := (-1)^{|l||f|} \phi(f(l))$$

for homogeneous elements l, ϕ, f of L, D(M) and $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{\bullet}(L, M)$. This map is natural in L, M and descents to a morphism $\Phi_{L,M} : D(M) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L \to D \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(L, M)$ in $D(\mathbf{k})$. The morphism G is set to be $G := \Phi_{A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{L} N, M}$. Next, we explain the construction of F in the case N = M. Let $P \in C^{\mathrm{b}}(A \operatorname{proj})$ be a complex of projective A-module quasi-isomorphic to M. Then F is given by the following quasi-isomorphism in $C(\mathbf{k})$ which is denoted by the same symbol

$$\begin{split} F: \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}_{A}(P, P) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}_{A^{e}}(A, \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{k}}(P, P)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{A}, \operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{k}}(P, P)) \cong \operatorname{D}(P) \otimes_{A} \widetilde{A}^{\vee} \otimes_{A} P \end{split}$$

where the second morphism is induced from $\mu : \widetilde{A} \to A$.

To provide an explicit formula of F, first we fix a way to exhibit elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{0}(P,P)$. For this we recall that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{0}(P,P)$ is a subspace $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}(P,P)$ of **k**-linear morphisms of degree 0. The underlying cohomologically graded module of P is $\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}P^{n}[-n]$ and hence $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}(P,P) = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(P^{n}[-n])\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{D}(P^{n})[n])$. For $j\in Q_{0}$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, we fix a **k**-basis $\{\phi_{s}^{(j,n)}\}_{s=1}^{d_{j,n}}$ of $e_{j}P^{n}$ and denote by $\{\phi_{s}^{*(j,n)}\}_{s=1}^{d_{j,n}}$ the dual **k**-basis. For simplicity we set $\tilde{\phi}_{s}^{(j,n)} := \phi_{s}^{(j,n)}[-n]$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{t}^{*(j,n)} := \phi_{t}^{*(j,n)}[n]$. Then regarding $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{0}(P,P)$ as a subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}(P,P)$, we may write an element f of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{0}(P,P)$ as

(5-26)
$$f = \sum_{s,t,n,j,k} f_{st}^{(j,k,n)} \tilde{\phi}_s^{(j,n)} \otimes \tilde{\phi}_t^{*(k,n)}$$

for some $f_{s,t}^{(j,k,n)} \in \mathbf{k}$.

Recall that as a cohomologically graded modules $\widetilde{A} = AA \oplus AVA[1]$ and the morphism $\mu : \widetilde{A} \to A$ factors through the morphism $AA \to A$. It follows that the image of the induced morphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}^{\bullet}(A, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}(P, P)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}^{\bullet}(\widetilde{A}, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}(P, P))$ is contained in the direct summand $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}^{\bullet}(AA, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\bullet}(P, P))$. Let $\widetilde{m} : A^e \to \widetilde{A}$ be the morphism in $C(A^e)$ induced from the canonical map $m : A^e \to AA$. Then \widetilde{m} and μ induce the following commutative diagram, the left column of which is F.

Using this diagram and (5-20), we see that if f is of the form of (5-26), then F(f) is an element of $D(P) \otimes_A (AA)^{\vee} \otimes_A P \subset D(P) \otimes_A \widetilde{A}^{\vee} \otimes_A P$ given as

(5-27)
$$F(f) = \sum_{s,t,n,j} (-1)^n f_{st}^{(j,j,n)} \tilde{\phi}_t^{*(j,n)} \otimes e_j \otimes \tilde{\phi}_s^{(j,n)}$$

where we use the identification

 $\mathcal{D}(P) \otimes_A (AA)^{\vee} \otimes_A P \cong \mathcal{D}(P) \otimes_A AA \otimes_A P \cong \mathcal{D}(P) \otimes_{A_0} A_0 \otimes_{A_0} P.$

Let $\mu_P : \widetilde{A} \otimes_A P \to P$ be the canonical quasi-isomorphism, which induces the identification $A \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} P \cong P$. Now we can check that the desired result holds as

follows

$$\begin{split} \langle f, \hat{e}_{i,M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} &= G(F(f))(\mu_{P}\tilde{e}_{i,M}) \\ &= \sum_{s,t,n,j,k} (-1)^{n} f_{s,t}^{(j,j,n)} \tilde{\phi}_{t}^{*(j,n)} \left((\mu_{P}\hat{e}_{i,M})(e_{j} \otimes \tilde{\phi}_{s}^{(j,n)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s,t,n,j} (-1)^{n} f_{s,t}^{(i,i,n)} \tilde{\phi}_{t}^{*(i,n)} \left(\tilde{\phi}_{s}^{(i,n)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s,n} (-1)^{n} f_{s,s}^{(i,i,n)} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}(e_{i}f). \end{split}$$

Let $i \in Q_0$ be a vertex. Applying Theorem 5.5 to the simple module S_i and the identity map id_{S_i} , we obtain

We point out the following consequence.

Corollary 5.7. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$. Then we have

$$\phi = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \langle \mathrm{id}_{S_i}, \phi_{S_i} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \tilde{e}_i.$$

5.4. The universal Auslander-Reiten triangle. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$. We set ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 := \mathsf{cn}({}^{v}\widetilde{\theta})$, since, as is explained in Section 6, it is the *-degree 1 part of the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}$ provided that $v_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$.

We set notation for the exact triangle "AR obtained from the morphism " θ : $A^{\mathbb{V}} \to A$ as below.

$${}^{v}\mathsf{AR}: A \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\tilde{\varrho}} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\tilde{\pi}_{1}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{{}^{-v}\theta[1]} A[1].$$

A morphism is called AR-coconnecting if it is the co-connecting morphism for an AR-triangle. Combining Theorem 5.5 and the Happel criterion for AR-coconnecting morphisms (reviewed in Section B.2), we can immediately prove that if ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$, then ${}^{v}\theta_{M}$ is AR-coconnecting and the triangle ${}^{v}AR_{M} := {}^{v}AR \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is an AR-triangle. The precise statement is the following.

Theorem 5.8. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ and $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ an indecomposable object. We denote by ${}^{v}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_M$ the following exact triangle in $\mathsf{D}(A)$.

$${}^{v}\mathsf{AR}_{M}: M \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\tilde{\varrho}_{M}} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{{}^{-v}\tilde{\theta}_{M}[1]} M[1].$$

Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ in **k**, then the morphism ${}^{v}\tilde{\theta}_{M}$ is AR-coconnecting to M and the exact triangle ${}^{v}AR_{M}$ is an Auslander-Reiten triangle starting from M.
- (2) Assume moreover that dim ResEnd_A(M) = 1. Then the exact triangle ${}^{v}AR_{M}$ is an Auslander-Reiten triangle starting from M if and only if ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ in **k**. In the case ${}^{v}\chi(M) = 0$, the exact triangle ${}^{v}AR_{M}$ splits.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be an indecomposable object. From Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.5, we have

$$\langle \mathrm{id}_M, \hat{\theta}_M \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = {}^{v}\chi(M), \ \langle f, \hat{\theta}_M \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = 0 \text{ for } f \in \mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{End}_A(M).$$

(1) Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ in **k**. It follows from Happel's criterion (Theorem B.2) that ${}^{v}\mathsf{AR}_{M}$ is an Auslander-Reiten triangle.

(2) follows from Lemma B.3.

5.4.1. *Regularity of weights.* We recall from the introduction the definitions of a sincere weight and a regular weight and we add one more notion for weights.

Definition 5.9. (1) A weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is called since if $v_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$. (2) A weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is called regular if we have ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ in \mathbf{k} for all $M \in$ ind $\mathbf{k}Q$.

(3) A weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is called semi-regular if we have ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ in \mathbf{k} for all indecomposable prepeojective modules M and indecomposable preinjective modules M.

Remark 5.10. Assume that the base field \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed and of characteristic 0. In the case Q is Dynkin, the vector space $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ may be identified with the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of the semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} corresponding to Q. By Gabriel's theorem [19] that says that the dimension vectors of indecomposable Amodules are precisely the positive roots of \mathfrak{g} , the regularity defined above coincides with usual notion used about an element of the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} .

Let $\mathcal{U}_A := \operatorname{add} \{\nu_1^{-p} P_i \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in Q_0\}$. Then a weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is semi-regular if and only if $v_{\chi}(M) \neq 0$ for all $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_A$. We note that a regular weight is sincere. We give examples of regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Example 5.11. (1) Assume that $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbf{k}$. If $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ is strictly positive, i.e., $v_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ for all $i \in Q_0$, then it is regular.

(2) Let \mathbb{P} be the prime field contained in \mathbf{k} . Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{P}} \mathbf{k} \geq r$. Taking linearly independent element $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbf{k}$ over \mathbb{P} , we obtain a regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Recall that for an indecomposable object $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ there exist $M' \in \text{ind } \mathbf{k}Q$ and a unique integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M \cong M'[p]$ and hence

$$\chi(M) = (-1)^{pv} \chi(M').$$

Thus if $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular, then ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ for all $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})$.

5.4.2. Universal Auslander-Reiten triangle. From Theorem 5.8, we conclude the following result.

Theorem 5.12 (Universal Auslander-Reiten triangle). Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular (resp. semi-regular). Let M be an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_A[\mathbb{Z}]$). Then the exact triangle ${}^{v}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_M$ is a direct sum of Auslander-Reiten triangles.

$${}^{v}\mathsf{AR}_{M}: M \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\widetilde{\varrho}_{M}} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\widetilde{\pi}_{1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{-{}^{v}\theta_{M}[1]} M[1].$$

In other words, the morphism ${}^{v}\tilde{\varrho}_{M} : M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal left radapproximation of M and the morphism ${}^{v}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M} : {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal right rad-approximation of $\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

5.4.3. We point out the following corollary.

Corollary 5.13. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is semi-regular. Then the object ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \in D(A^e)$ is concentrated in the 0-th cohomological degree.

Proof. It is enough to show that the object ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}e_{i} \cong {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} P_{i}$ of $\mathsf{D}(A)$ is concentrated in the 0-th cohomological degree for $i \in Q_{0}$.

Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Recall that M is concentrated in a single cohomological degree and $\operatorname{Hom}_A(P_i, M) = e_i M$. It follows that if $\operatorname{Hom}_A(P_i, M) \neq 0$, then M is concentrated in the 0-the cohomological degree. Since the morphism ${}^{v} \widetilde{\varrho}_{P_i} : P_i \to {}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 e_i$ is minimal by Theorem 5.12, we conclude that ${}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 e_i$ is concentrated in the 0-th cohomological degree. \Box

5.5. The action of an exact autoequivalence F on ${}^{v}\theta$. Let $\phi : A^{\mathbb{V}} \to A$ be a morphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{e}} \mod)$. We denote the induced natural transformation by the same symbol

$$\phi:\mathsf{S}^{-1}=A^{\mathbb{V}}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}-\xrightarrow{\phi\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{-}}A\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}-=\mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{D}}$$

where id_{D} is the identity functor of $D^{b}(A \mod)$.

Let $T \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be a two-sided tilting complex over A and $F := T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}$ – the associated exact autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. We note that there is a natural isomorphism $\gamma_{F} : \mathsf{S}^{-1}F \to F\mathsf{S}^{-1}$ induced from an isomorphism $\gamma_{T} : A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \to T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}}$ of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \mod)$ (see Lemma C.1).

We define a natural transformation $F\cdot\phi:\mathsf{S}^{-1}\to\mathrm{id}$ to be the following composition

$$F \cdot \phi: \mathsf{S}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} FF^{-1}\mathsf{S}^{-1} \xrightarrow{F((\gamma_{F^{-1}})^{-1})} F\mathsf{S}^{-1}F^{-1} \xrightarrow{F\phi F^{-1}} FF^{-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{D}} \,.$$

It follows from the above remark that the natural transformation $F \cdot \phi$ is induced from a morphism $F \cdot \phi : A^{\mathbb{V}} \to A$ of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{mod})$, which we denote by the same symbol.

We obtain the following lemma immediately from the definition of $F \cdot \phi$.

Lemma 5.14. Let $T \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be a two-sided tilting complex over A and $F := T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} -$ the associated exact autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Then we have

$$F((F^{-1} \cdot \phi)_M) = \phi_{F(M)} \gamma_{F,M}.$$

In other words, the following diagram is commutative



The next theorem provides a way to compute $F \cdot (v\tilde{\theta})$.

Theorem 5.15. Let $T \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be a two-sided tilting complex over A and $F := T \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ the associated exact autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Then, for $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$, we have the following equality in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{c}}}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$

$$F^{-1} \cdot ({}^{v} \tilde{\theta}) = \frac{F^{t}(v)}{\tilde{\theta}}$$

Proof. For $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $f \in \operatorname{End}_A(M)$, we have

$$\langle f, (F^{-1} \cdot {^v}\widetilde{\theta})_M \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle F(f), F(F^{-1} \cdot ({^v}\widetilde{\theta}))\gamma_F \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle F(f), ({^v}\widetilde{\theta})_{F(M)} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}.$$

Therefore, for $i \in Q_0$, we have

$$\langle \mathrm{id}_{S_i}, (F^{-1} \cdot {}^v \tilde{\theta})_{S_i} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle \mathrm{id}_{F(S_i)}, {}^v \tilde{\theta}_{F(S_i)} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = {}^v \chi(F(S_i)) = \underline{F}^{t(v)} \chi(S_i) = (\underline{F}^t(v))_i$$

where for the second equality we use Theorem 5.5 and for the third the equation (5-22). Thus we deduce the desired equality from Corollary 5.7.

Proposition 5.16. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ and $T \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ be a two-sided tilting complex over A. We denote by $F := T \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ the associated exact autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Then for $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ such that $\dim \operatorname{ResEnd}_A(M) = 1$ and ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$, the following holds.

(1) We have the following equality in $\operatorname{Hom}_A(\mathsf{S}^{-1}(M), M)$

$$(F^{-1} \cdot {}^{v} \tilde{\theta})_{M} = \frac{{}^{v} \chi(F(M))}{{}^{v} \chi(M)} {}^{v} \tilde{\theta}_{M}.$$

(2) We have the following equality in $\operatorname{Hom}_A(FS^{-1}(M), F(M))$

$$\frac{{}^{v}\chi(F(M))}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}F({}^{v}\tilde{\theta}_{M})\gamma_{F} = {}^{v}\tilde{\theta}_{F(M)}$$

In other words the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{S}^{-1}F(M) \xrightarrow{v\tilde{\theta}_{F(M)}} F(M) \\ & & \\ \gamma_{F,M} \bigvee \\ F\mathsf{S}^{-1}(M) \end{array}$$

where

$$x := \frac{{}^{v}\chi(F(M))}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}.$$

Proof. (1) We have the following equation

$$\langle \operatorname{id}_M, (F^{-1} \cdot {}^{v} \widetilde{\theta})_M \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle \operatorname{id}_M, \underline{F}^t(v) \widetilde{\theta}_M \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \underline{F}^t(v) \chi(M) = {}^{v} \chi(F(M)).$$

where for the first equality we use Theorem 5.15 and for the third the equation (5-22). We deduce the desired equation by using Lemma B.3.

(2) follows from (1) together with Lemma 5.14.

From uniqueness of AR-triangles, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.17. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ and F be an exact autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Then for $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ such that $\dim \operatorname{ResEnd}_A(M) = 1$ and ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$, ${}^{v}\chi(F(M)) \neq 0$, there exists an isomorphism ${}^{v}\mathbf{a}_{F,M} : {}^{v}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} F(M) \to F({}^{v}\Lambda_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M)$ that gives the following isomorphism of exact triangles:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{S}^{-1}F(M) \xrightarrow{\quad v \bar{\theta}_{F(M)}} F(M) \xrightarrow{\quad v \bar{\varrho}_{F(M)}} v \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} F(M) \xrightarrow{\quad v \bar{\pi}_{1,F(M)}} \mathsf{S}^{-1}F(M)[1] \\ & \gamma_{F} \bigvee \qquad & \downarrow \\ F\mathsf{S}^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\quad x F(v \bar{\theta}_{M})} F(M) \xrightarrow{\quad F(v \bar{\varrho}_{M})} F(v \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \xrightarrow{\quad x^{-1}F(v \bar{\pi}_{1,M})} F\mathsf{S}^{-1}(M)[1] \end{array}$$

where

$$x := \frac{{}^{v}\chi(F(M))}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}.$$

We remark that the morphism ${}^{v}\mathbf{a}_{F,M}$ is not uniquely determined, but it is determined modulo rad.

5.6. Right modules and dualities. Applying the same argument for A^{op} we can obtain right versions of above results. In this subsection, we discuss relationships between the previous results and their right versions through dualities. For this purpose first we fix notations and terminologies for right modules.

5.6.1. The weighted Euler characteristic for right modules. Let $N \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{mod})$. We set

$$\chi(N) := (\chi(Ne_1), \dots, \chi(Ne_r))$$

and regard it as a row vector. The weighted Euler characteristic for $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is defined by the formula

$${}^{v}\chi(N) := \underline{\chi}(N)v = \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i\chi(Ne_i).$$

5.6.2. The properties (I) and (I') for right modules. The k-duality D(-) descents to the transpose of dimension vectors i.e, $\underline{\chi}(D(M)) = \underline{\chi}(M)^t$. It follows that ${}^{v}\chi(D(M)) = {}^{v}\chi(M)$. Since the functor $D(-) : A \mod \to A^{op} \mod$ gives a contravariant equivalence of categories which sends the preprojective modules to the preinjective modules and the preinjective modules to the preprojective modules, we obtain the following lemma.

- **Lemma 5.18.** (1) An element $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular (for left modules) if and only if it satisfies regularity for right modules, i.e., we have ${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0$ in \mathbf{k} for all $N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathbf{k}Q^{\operatorname{op}}$.
- (2) An element $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is semi-regular (for left modules) if and only if it satisfies semi-regularity for right modules, i.e., if we have ${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0$ in \mathbf{k} for all prepeojective A^{op} -modules N and preinjective A^{op} modules N.

5.6.3. The Coxeter matrix Φ_{right} for right modules. We remark that the Coxeter matrix Φ_{right} for right modules is different from that for left modules which we wrote Φ . Later we deal with left modules and right modules at the same time, for this we need to know relationship between Φ and Φ_{right} .

Let C be the Cartan matrix of $A = \mathbf{k}Q$. Then the Coxeter matrix for right modules is given as $\Phi_{\text{right}} := -C^{-1}C^t$. Then, we have the equality below for all $N \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{mod})$.

$$\underline{\chi}(\nu_1(N)) = \underline{\chi}(N)\Phi_{\text{right}}$$

Observe that we have $\Psi = \Phi^{-t} = -C^{-1}C^t = \Phi_{\text{right}}$. Consequently we have

$$\underline{\chi}(N \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1) = \underline{\chi}(N) \Phi_{\text{right}}^{-1} = \underline{\chi}(N) \Psi^{-1},$$

$${}^v \underline{\chi}(N \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1) = {}^{\Psi^{-1}(v)} \underline{\chi}(N).$$

In particular we deduce the following assertion.

Lemma 5.19. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue λ . Then for $N \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{mod})$, we have

$${}^{v}\chi(N\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})=rac{1}{\lambda}{}^{v}\chi(N).$$

5.6.4. We give relationships of the weighted Euler characteristic ${}^{v}\chi(M)$ for $M \in D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and that of A-dual $M^{\triangleleft} := \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A}(M, A)$ of M, which is an object of $D^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{op}} \mod)$.

We have an isomorphism $M^{\triangleleft} \cong D(\nu_1(M))[-1]$. Consequently we have

(5-28)
$$\underline{\chi}(M^{\lhd}) = (-\Phi \underline{\chi}(M))^t, \quad {}^v \chi(M^{\lhd}) = -{}^v \chi(\nu_1(M)) = -{}^{\Psi^{-1}(v)} \chi(M).$$

5.6.5. Duality.

Proposition 5.20. Let $N \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\operatorname{op}} \operatorname{mod})$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(N^{\rhd}) \neq 0, {}^{v}\chi(\mathsf{D}(A)[-1]\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd}) \neq 0$. Then, there exists an isomorphism

$${}^{\mathsf{b}}_{N}: \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(N \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\rhd}$$

that completes the following commutative diagram (5-29)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (N[1],\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) & \longrightarrow (N \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1},\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) & \longrightarrow (N \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} {}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1},\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) & \longrightarrow (N[1],\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})[1] \\ & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \\ A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd} & \xrightarrow{} & N^{\rhd} & \longrightarrow {}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd} & \xrightarrow{} & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd} \\ & \xrightarrow{} & {}^{v} \widetilde{\varrho}_{N^{\rhd}} & {}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd} & \xrightarrow{} & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd} \end{array}$$

where we use the abbreviation $(-,+) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(-,+)$, the top row is the exact triangle $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{(N^{v}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R},\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})}$ and we set

$$y := \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\mathrm{D}(A)[-1] \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N^{\rhd})}{{}^{v}\chi(N^{\rhd})}.$$

Proof. First we claim that we have the following commutative diagram

Indeed we obtain this diagram in the following way:

$$(N[1])^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{(-N^{\flat}\tilde{\theta}[1])^{\triangleright}} (N \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\cong} (N[1])^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} D(A) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{(-^{v}\tilde{\theta}[1])^{\mathbb{V}}_{D(A)\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}N^{\triangleright}}} (A^{\mathbb{V}}[1])^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} D(A) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\cong} (A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} D(A) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{(-^{v}\tilde{\theta})^{\mathbb{V}}_{D(A)[-1]\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}N^{\triangleright}}} (A^{\mathbb{V}})^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} D(A)[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\cong} (A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} D(A)[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{(-^{v}\tilde{\theta}_{D(A)[-1]\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}N^{\triangleright}}} D(A)[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\cong} (A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} D(A)[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{(-^{v}\tilde{\theta}_{D(A)[-1]\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}N^{\triangleright}}} D(A)[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{(-^{v}\tilde{\theta}_{D(A)[-1]\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}N^{\triangleright}})} D(A)[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N^{\triangleright}$$

where for the commutativity of the first square we use a canonical isomorphism

$$(L \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X)^{\triangleright} \cong X^{\triangleright} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} L^{\triangleright} \cong X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}(A) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} L^{\triangleright}.$$

which is natural in $L \in D(A^{\text{op}})$ and $X \in D(A^{\text{e}})$ (see Section C). For the third square we use (5-25). Finally the commutativity of the fourth square follows from Proposition 5.16.

We apply $\Pi_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ – to (5-30) and use canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(-,\widetilde{\Pi}_1) \cong \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A (-)^{\rhd}, \ \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \mathrm{D}(A)[-1] \cong A,$$

then we obtain a commutative square that appeared in the diagram (5-29). \Box

We remark that b_N is not uniquely determined, but it is unique modulo rad. We point out that b_N has functoriality modulo rad.

5.7. Bimodules version. In this subsection, we establish isomorphisms over A^{e} that involve ${}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$. The results of this sections are used in Section 15 and the reader can postpone them until then.

5.7.1. Combining Lemma 5.14 and Theorem 5.15 we deduce the following result.

Proposition 5.21. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ and T be a two-sided tilting complex over A. Then, there exists an isomorphism

$${}^{v}\mathsf{c}_{T}:{}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}T\to T\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\underline{T}^{t}(v)\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$$

in $D(A^e)$ that gives the following isomorphism of exact triangles:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T & \xrightarrow{v \tilde{\theta}_{T}} & T & \xrightarrow{v \tilde{\varrho}_{T}} & v \tilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T & \xrightarrow{v \tilde{\pi}_{1,T}} & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} & \xrightarrow{T^{T^{t}(v)} \tilde{\theta}} & T & \xrightarrow{T(T^{t}(v) \tilde{\varrho})} T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \frac{T^{t}(v) \tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}{T^{(T^{t}(v) \tilde{\pi}_{1})}} & T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}. \end{array}$$

In the case where the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of \underline{T}^t we obtain the following corollary by using the isomorphism (4-15).

Corollary 5.22. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ and T be a two-sided tilting complex over A. Assume that v is an eigenvector of \underline{T}^t with the eigenvalue λ . Then, there exists an isomorphism

$${}^{v}\mathsf{c}_{T}': {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}T \to T\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}{}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$$

in $D(A^e)$ that gives the following isomorphism of exact triangles:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} T \xrightarrow{\quad v \tilde{\theta}_{T} \quad} T \xrightarrow{\quad v \tilde{\varrho}_{T} \quad} v \tilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} T \xrightarrow{\quad v \tilde{\pi}_{1,T} \quad} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} T \\ & & & \downarrow \\ T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} A^{\mathbb{V}} \xrightarrow{\quad v \tilde{\theta}} T \xrightarrow{\quad T \quad} T \xrightarrow{\quad v \tilde{\varrho}} T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} v \tilde{\Lambda}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \tilde{\pi}_{1}} T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \end{array}$$

5.7.2. We collect the case $T = \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ of Corollary 5.22, since it plays a key role in Section 15.

Let Φ be the Coxeter matrix of $\mathbf{k}Q$ for left modules. For simplicity we set $\Psi := \Phi^{-t} = \underline{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}^t$.

Corollary 5.23. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue λ . Then, there exists an isomorphism

$${}^{v}\mathsf{c}'_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}:{}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\rightarrow\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}{}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$$

in $D(A^e)$ that gives the following isomorphism of exact triangles: (5-31)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \theta_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \quad} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \quad} v \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \quad} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \\ \hline \gamma_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \middle| & & & & & & \\ \gamma_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \middle| & & & & & & & \\ \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \xrightarrow{\quad v \widetilde{\theta}} \quad \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \widetilde{\theta}} \quad \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \widetilde{\theta}} \quad \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} v \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \xrightarrow{\quad v \widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \quad} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Proof. It only remains to prove that the right most vertical arrow of the commutative diagram (5-31) is the identity morphism. This is proved as the case n = 2 of Lemma B.1(1).

5.7.3. The right duality. Recall that we set $\Psi := \Phi^{-t} = \underline{\nu_1}^{-t}$, and that $\nu_1 = D(A)[-1] \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$.

Lemma 5.24. There exists an isomorphism

$${}^{v}\mathsf{d}: ({}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\rhd} \to \mathrm{D}(A)[-1] \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} ({}^{\Psi^{-1}(v)}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})$$

in $D(A^e)$ that completes the following commutative diagram (5-32)

Proof. We can verify commutativity of the left most square of (5-32) in the following way

$$(A[1])^{\rhd} \xrightarrow{(-^{v\tilde{\theta}}[1])^{\bowtie}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}^{\rhd}$$

$$\cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad (A[1])^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}(A) \xrightarrow{(-^{v\tilde{\theta}}[1])_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^{\mathbb{V}}} (A^{\mathbb{V}}[1])^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}(A)$$

$$\cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}(A)[-1] \xrightarrow{(-^{v\tilde{\theta}})_{\mathcal{D}(A)[-1]}^{\mathbb{V}}} (A^{\mathbb{V}})^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}(A)[-1]$$

$$\cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad \cong \bigvee \qquad A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{D}(A)[-1] \xrightarrow{-^{v\tilde{\theta}}_{\mathcal{D}(A)[-1]}} \mathcal{D}(A)[-1] \xrightarrow{(-^{v\tilde{\theta}})_{\mathcal{D}(A)[-1]}^{\Psi^{-1}(v)\tilde{\theta}}} \mathcal{D}(A)[-1]$$

$$= \bigvee (A^{\mathbb{V}})_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \xrightarrow{-^{\mathcal{D}(A)[-1]}^{\Psi^{-1}(v)}\tilde{\theta}} \mathcal{D}(A)[-1]$$

where for the commutativity of the first square we use a canonical isomorphism

$$X^{\rhd} \cong X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \mathcal{D}(A)$$

which is natural for $X \in D^{b}(A^{e} \mod)$ (see Section C). For the third square we use (5-25). Finally the commutativity of the fourth square follows from Theorem 5.15.

Since $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, \widetilde{\Pi}_1) \cong \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A (-)^{\triangleright}$, applying $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A -$ to the diagram of Lemma 5.24 we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 5.25. There exists an isomorphism

$$\check{\mathsf{e}}: \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\check{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1, \widetilde{\Pi}_1) \to {}^{\Psi^{-1}(v)}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$$

in $D(A^e)$ that completes the following commutative diagram

$$(A[1], \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \xrightarrow{(-^{v\tilde{\theta}}[1], \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \xrightarrow{(^{v}\tilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})} (^{v}\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \xrightarrow{(^{v}\tilde{\varrho}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})} (A, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \xrightarrow{(^{v}\tilde{\varrho}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})}$$

where we use the abbreviation $(-,+) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(-,+).$

Using (4-15), we deduce the following corollary. We remark that for the later quotation, in the statement we use Ψ .

Corollary 5.26. Assume that v is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue λ . Then, there exists an isomorphism

$${}^{v}\mathsf{e}': \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}({}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$$

in $D(A^{e})$ that completes the following commutative diagram



where we use the abbreviation $(-, +) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(-, +)$.

6. The derived quiver Heisenberg Algebras

In this section 6, we introduce the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ of a quiver Q and establish its basic properties. It may be worth mentioning that all the results of this section hold for a finite quiver Q which is not necessarily acyclic.

6.1. The derived preprojective algebras. Before introducing the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra, we recall the construction of the derived preprojective algebra $\widetilde{\Pi}(Q)$ of Q.

6.1.1. The preprojective algebra of a quiver. Let Q be a finite quiver and \overline{Q} its double quiver. We may identify the arrow module $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}_1$ with $V \oplus V^*$ and the path algebra $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}$ of \overline{Q} with the tensor algebra $\mathsf{T}_{A_0}(V \oplus V^*)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathsf{T}_A(AV^*A)$ (see e.g, [22, Lemma 2.1]).

(6-33)
$$\mathbf{k}\overline{Q} = \mathsf{T}_{A_0}(V \oplus V^*) \cong \mathsf{T}_A(AV^*A) = A \oplus AV^*A \oplus AV^*AV^*A \oplus \cdots$$

Under this isomorphism, the mesh relation ρ_i (1-1) may be identified with the element below of AV^*A

(6-34)
$$\rho_i = \sum_{\alpha:t(\alpha)=i} \alpha \otimes \alpha^* \otimes e_i - \sum_{\alpha:h(\alpha)=i} e_i \otimes \alpha^* \otimes \alpha.$$

Therefore, we obtain the following isomorphism by which we identify these two algebras in the sequel.

$$\Pi(Q) \cong \mathsf{T}_A(AV^*A)/(\rho).$$

6.1.2. The derived preprojective algebras. The derived preprojective algebra $\Pi = \widetilde{\Pi}(Q)$ of Q is defined to be the tensor dg-algebra $\mathsf{T}_A(\widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1])$ of $\widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1]$ over A, that is a dg-algebra whose underlying algebra is the tensor algebra $\mathsf{T}_A(\widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1])$ of $\widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1]$ over A and the differential is that of induced from the differential of the complex $\widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1]$.

For $i \in Q_0$, we denote by s_i the element of the generator of $AA[1] = A(A_0[1])A$ corresponding to $e_i \in A_0$. We set $S := A_0[1] = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{k} s_i$ and $s := \sum_{i \in Q_0} s_i$.

We give an explicit description of $\widetilde{\Pi}$. The underlying algebra is the free algebra over A_0 generated by α, α^* and s_i . In other words, it is the tensor algebra $\mathsf{T}_{A_0}(V \oplus V^* \oplus S) \cong \mathsf{T}_A(AV^*A \oplus ASA)$. The differential is given by

$$d(\alpha) := 0, d(\alpha^*) := 0, d(s_i) := -\rho_i.$$

The values of d for general homogeneous elements are determined from the Leibniz rule $d(xy) = d(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}xd(y)$. Observe that the canonical morphism $\widetilde{\Pi} \to \Pi$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Pi}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi.$$

We equip Π with an extra grading, which we call the *-grading, in the following way:

$$\deg^* e_i := 0, \ \deg^* s_i := 1 \ (i \in Q_0), \ \deg^* \alpha := 0, \ \deg^* \alpha^* := 1 \ (\alpha \in Q_1).$$

We give the table of the cohomological degrees and the *-degrees of the generators:



It is clear that the differential d preserves the *-grading and Π is a *-graded dg-algebra. It can be checked that the *-degree on Π coincides with the tensor degree on $\Pi = \mathsf{T}_A(\widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1])$. Hence if we denote the *-degree n part of Π by Π_n , then $\Pi_n = \widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1] \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1]$ (n-times). Thus in particular

(6-35)
$$\widetilde{\Pi}_1 = \widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1] \cong \left(AV^*A \oplus AA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\rho} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where for the second isomorphism we use Lemma 5.2.

6.2. The derived quiver Heisenberg algebras.

6.2.1. The derived quiver Heisenberg algebras. We define the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra.

Definition 6.1 (The derived quiver Heisenberg algebras). Let Q be a finite quiver and $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$. We set

$${}^{v}\varrho := \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i^{-1} \rho_i, {}^{v}\eta_a := [a, {}^{v}\varrho]$$

for $a \in \overline{Q}$.

We define the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ in the following way.

We set $A_0 := \mathbf{k}Q_0, V := \mathbf{k}Q_1, V^* := \mathbf{D}(V)$ and $A := \mathbf{k}Q$. Let $V^\circ := V^*[1]$, $V^{\circledast} := V[1]$ and $T := \mathbf{k}Q_0[2]$. The underlying cohomological graded algebra of ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ is defined to be

(6-36)
$$\mathsf{T}_{A_0}(V \oplus V^* \oplus V^\circ \oplus V^\circledast \oplus T) \cong \mathsf{T}_A(AV^*A \oplus AV^\circ A \oplus AV^\circledast A \oplus ATA).$$

The differential d is defined in the following way. We denote by $\alpha^{\circ}, \alpha^{\circledast}$ the elements of $V^{\circ}, V^{\circledast}$ corresponding to $\alpha \in Q_1$. We denote by t_i the element of T corresponding to $i \in Q_0$. We set $t := \sum_{i \in Q_0} t_i$. Then the underlying cohomological graded algebra (6-36) is freely generated by $\alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^{\circ}, \alpha^{\circledast}, t_i$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $i \in Q_0$. The values of d for these generators are defined by the formulas:

$$d(\alpha) := 0, d(\alpha^*) := 0, d(\alpha^\circ) := -{^v\eta_{\alpha^*}}, d(\alpha^\circledast) := {^v\eta_{\alpha}},$$

$$d(t_i) := \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\alpha, \alpha^\circ]e_i + \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\alpha^*, \alpha^\circledast]e_i$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha:t(\alpha)=i} \alpha \alpha^\circ - \sum_{\alpha:h(\alpha)=i} \alpha^\circ \alpha + \sum_{\alpha:h(\alpha)=i} \alpha^* \alpha^\circledast - \sum_{\alpha:t(\alpha)=i} \alpha^\circledast \alpha^*$$

The values of d for general homogeneous elements are determined from the Leibniz rule $d(xy) = d(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}xd(y)$.

From now until the end of this section, we fix an element $v = (v_i) \in \mathbf{k}^{\times} Q_0$ and use abbreviation such as $\widetilde{\Lambda} = {}^{v} \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$, $\varrho = {}^{v} \varrho$ and $\eta_a = {}^{v} \eta_a$. Moreover in the sequel, similarly we omit v and use similar abbreviations.

We equip Λ with the *-grading in the following way.

$$\deg^* V := 0, \deg^* V^* = 1, \deg^* V^* := 1, \deg^* V^\circ := 2, \deg^* T := 2$$

We give the table of the cohomological degrees and the *-degrees of the generators:



We denote by $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n$ the component of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ having *-degree *n*. For example, $\widetilde{\Lambda}_0 = A = \mathbf{k}Q$. It is straightforward to check that the differential *d* preserves the *-degree and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a *-graded dg-algebra. Therefore $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n$ has a canonical structure of complex of bimodules over *A*.

The following observation plays an important role.

Observation 6.2. The *-degree 1 part $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ and the differential $d_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1}$ are of the form

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 = \left(AV^*A \oplus AV^{\circledast}A, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\eta}' \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where $\hat{\eta}'$ is the A^{e} -homomorphism of cohomological degree 1 such that $\hat{\eta}'(\alpha^{\circledast}) = \eta_{\alpha}$.

We denote by $C(\Lambda \operatorname{Gr}), K(\Lambda \operatorname{Gr})$ and $D(\Lambda \operatorname{Gr})$ the category of *-graded dg- Λ -modules, its homotopy category and its derived category. We write (n) to denote *-degree shift by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following lemma is clear from the definition.

Lemma 6.3. The canonical map $\widetilde{\Lambda} \to \Lambda$ gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)) \cong \Lambda(Q)$ of algebras.

6.2.2. The derived quiver Heisenberg algebras as Ginzburg dg-algebras. In this section 6.2.2, we assume that char $\mathbf{k} \neq 2$.

We set

$$W := -\frac{1}{2}\rho\rho = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in Q_0} v_i^{-1}\rho_i^2.$$

By a straightforward calculation (or using cyclic Leibniz rule [10, Lemma 3.8]), we can check that

$$\partial_{\alpha}(W) = -\eta_{\alpha^*}, \quad \partial_{\alpha^*}(W) = \eta_{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, the quiver Heisenberg algebra $\Lambda(Q)$ is the Jacobi algebra of the double quiver \overline{Q} with the potential W.

$$\Lambda(Q) = \mathcal{P}\left(\overline{Q}, W\right).$$

Remark 6.4. In [43, p.604], another quiver with potential (\hat{Q}, W') such that $\mathcal{P}(\hat{Q}, W') = \Lambda(Q)$ is given.

In subsequent work we prove that the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra and the Ginzburg dg-algebras $\mathcal{G}(\hat{Q}, W')$ of this quiver with potential, are quasi-isomorphic to each other. In this sense, a point of this paper is that the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra has a smaller number of generators than that of $\mathcal{G}(\hat{Q}, W')$.

It is straight forward to check that the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\Lambda(Q)$ is isomorphic to the Ginzburg dg-algebra $\mathcal{G}(\overline{Q}, W)$.

$$\Lambda(Q) = \mathcal{G}(\overline{Q}, W)$$
.

54

The point here is that although the potential $W = -\frac{1}{2}\rho\rho$ contains the fraction $\frac{1}{2}$, the differentials of $\mathcal{G}(\overline{Q}, W)$ do not. Therefore, the definition of the differentials even works for the case char $\mathbf{k} = 2$.

By Ginzburg, Keller and Van den Bergh [23, 35], the Ginzburg dg-algebras for quivers with potentials are 3-Calabi-Yau. Hence, as a special case, we have

Proposition 6.5. Assume that char $\mathbf{k} \neq 2$. Then the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is 3-Calabi-Yau.

Later in Theorem 6.24, we prove that $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is 3-Calabi-Yau even in the case char $\mathbf{k} = 2$.

6.2.3. The morphism $\tilde{\pi}$. We introduce the elements $\mathfrak{s}_i := v_i^{-1} s_i$ and $\mathfrak{s} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} \mathfrak{s}_i = \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i^{-1} s_i$ of $\tilde{\Pi}$. Note that $d(\mathfrak{s}) = -\varrho$. We also introduce the elements $\mathfrak{t}_i := v_i^{-1} t_i$ and $\mathfrak{t} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} \mathfrak{t}_i = \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i^{-1} t_i$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

We define a morphism $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{\Lambda} \to \tilde{\Pi}$ of algebras over A_0 . On the generators, $\tilde{\pi}$ is defined by the formula

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\pi}(\alpha) &:= \alpha, \tilde{\pi}(\alpha^*) := \alpha^*, \\ \tilde{\pi}(\alpha^{\circledast}) &:= -[\alpha, \mathfrak{s}] = -v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1} \alpha s_{h(\alpha)} + v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1} s_{t(\alpha)} \alpha, \\ \tilde{\pi}(\alpha^{\circ}) &:= [\alpha^*, \mathfrak{s}] = v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1} \alpha^* s_{t(\alpha)} - v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1} s_{h(\alpha)} \alpha^*, \\ \tilde{\pi}(\mathfrak{t}_i) &:= -\mathfrak{s}_i^2 = -v_i^{-2} s_i^2. \end{split}$$

Since $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is freely generated by these generators, the above formulas defines a morphism $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Pi}$ of algebras.

Observe that $\tilde{\pi}$ preserves cohomological degree and *-degree. The *-degree 0 part $\tilde{\pi}_0 : \tilde{\Lambda}_0 \to \tilde{\Pi}_0$ is just the identity map of $A = \tilde{\Lambda}_0 = \tilde{\Pi}_0$.

Lemma 6.6. The morphism $\tilde{\pi}$ is compatible with the differentials and hence is a morphism of *-graded dg-algebras.

Proof. It is enough to check that the equation $\tilde{\pi}d = d\tilde{\pi}$ on the generators.

$$\tilde{\pi}d(\alpha) = 0 = d\tilde{\pi}(\alpha), \tilde{\pi}d(\alpha^*) = 0 = d\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^*).$$

$$d\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^{\circledast}) = -d([\alpha, \mathfrak{s}]) = -[d(\alpha), \mathfrak{s}] - [\alpha, d(\mathfrak{s})] = [\alpha, \varrho],$$

$$\tilde{\pi}d(\alpha^{\circledast}) = \tilde{\pi}(\eta_{\alpha}) = \tilde{\pi}([\alpha, \varrho]) = [\alpha, \varrho].$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^{\circ}) &= d([\alpha^*, \mathfrak{s}]) = [d(\alpha^*), \mathfrak{s}] + [\alpha^*, d(\mathfrak{s})] = -[\alpha^*, \varrho], \\ \tilde{\pi}d(\alpha^{\circ}) &= -\tilde{\pi}(\eta_{\alpha^*}) = -\tilde{\pi}([\alpha^*, \varrho]) = -[\alpha^*, \varrho]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} d\tilde{\pi}(\mathfrak{t}_{i}) &= -d(\mathfrak{s}_{i}^{2}) = -d(\mathfrak{s}_{i})\mathfrak{s}_{i} + \mathfrak{s}_{i}d(\mathfrak{s}_{i}) = \varrho_{i}\mathfrak{s}_{i} - \mathfrak{s}_{i}\varrho_{i} = e_{i}[\rho,\mathfrak{s}]e_{i},\\ \tilde{\pi}d(\mathfrak{t}_{i}) &= v_{i}^{-1}\tilde{\pi}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in Q_{1}}e_{i}[\alpha,\alpha^{\circ}]e_{i} + \sum_{\alpha\in Q_{1}}e_{i}[\alpha^{*},\alpha^{\circledast}]e_{i}\right)\\ &= v_{i}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha\in Q_{1}}e_{i}[\alpha,[\alpha^{*},\mathfrak{s}]]e_{i} - \sum_{\alpha\in Q_{1}}e_{i}[\alpha^{*},[\alpha,\mathfrak{s}]]e_{i}\\ &= v_{i}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha\in Q_{1}}e_{i}[[\alpha,\alpha^{*}],\mathfrak{s}]e_{i} = e_{i}[\varrho,\mathfrak{s}]e_{i}.\end{split}$$

It is clear that under the isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \cong \Lambda, \mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Pi}) \cong \Pi$, the 0-th cohomology morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\pi}) : \mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Pi})$ corresponds to the canonical projection $\pi : \Lambda \to \Pi$ of (1-5).

6.2.4. The exact triangle U. In Theorem 6.8 below, we give an exact triangle U: $\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \xrightarrow{r_e} \widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \widetilde{\Pi} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1]$ the 0-th cohomology group of which coincides with the canonical exact sequence $\Lambda(-1) \xrightarrow{r_e} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \Pi \to 0$. To state the theorem, first we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6.7. We have $\tilde{\pi}\mathbf{r}_{\varrho} = -d\mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}d$. In other words, the morphism $\tilde{\pi}\mathbf{r}_{\varrho}$: $\tilde{\Lambda} \to \tilde{\Pi}$ is homotopic to 0 via the homotopy $-\mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}$.



Proof. Let $x \in \overline{\Lambda}$ be a homogeneous element. Then, using Lemma 6.6, we deduce the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(x)) &= (-1)^{|x|} d(\tilde{\pi}(x)\mathfrak{s}) = (-1)^{|x|} (d\tilde{\pi}(x))\mathfrak{s} + \tilde{\pi}(x)d(\mathfrak{s}) \\ &= (-1)^{|x|}\tilde{\pi}(dx)\mathfrak{s} - \tilde{\pi}(x)\varrho \\ &= -\mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(dx) - \mathbf{r}_{\varrho}\tilde{\pi}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By this lemma, we have the following cochain map of dg- $\widetilde{\Lambda}\text{-modules}$

$$q_{\mathbf{r}_{\varrho},\tilde{\pi},-\mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}} := (\tilde{\pi},-\mathbf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}\uparrow):\mathbf{cn}(\mathbf{r}_{\varrho})\to\Pi$$

where we use the notation in (4-17).

Theorem 6.8. The map $q_{r_{\varrho},\tilde{\pi},-r_{s}\tilde{\pi}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Therefore we have an exact triangle in $D(\tilde{\Lambda} \operatorname{Gr})$

$$\mathsf{U}:\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)\xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}_{\varrho}}\widetilde{\Lambda}\xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}}\widetilde{\Pi}\to\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1].$$

A proof is given in Section 6.5. In Section 6.8, we show that there exists an exact triangle $% \left({{{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{0}}}^{2}}\right) = {{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{0}}}^{2}$

$$\widehat{\mathsf{U}}: \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{Q}}} \widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \widetilde{\Pi} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1].$$

in $\mathsf{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{Gr})$ which is sent to U by the forgetful functor $\mathsf{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{Gr}) \to \mathsf{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda} \operatorname{Gr})$.

6.2.5. The homotopy H. We define a derivation H of Λ over A_0 of cohomological degree -1 to be

$$\mathsf{H}(\alpha) := \alpha^{\circledast}, \mathsf{H}(\alpha^{*}) := -\alpha^{\circ}, \mathsf{H}(\alpha^{\circ}) := -[\alpha^{*}, \mathfrak{t}], \mathsf{H}(\alpha^{\circledast}) := [\alpha, \mathfrak{t}], \mathsf{H}(\mathfrak{t}_{i}) := 0$$

for all $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $i \in Q_0$. The values of H for general homogeneous elements are determined from the Leibniz rule $\mathsf{H}(xy) = \mathsf{H}(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}x\mathsf{H}(y)$.

We note that the map $\mathsf{H}: \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ increase the *-degree by 1.

$$\mathsf{H}:\Lambda_{n-1}\to\Lambda_n$$

The weighted mesh relation ρ is not a central element of Λ , but in the next lemma we see that it may be said to be cohomologically central.

Lemma 6.9. The morphism H is a homotopy from the right multiplication map r_{ϱ} to the left multiplication map I_{ϱ} .

In particular the weighted mesh relation ρ is central in the cohomology algebra $H(\widetilde{\Lambda})$.

Proof. We have to show

$$d\mathsf{H}(x) + \mathsf{H}d(x) = -\mathsf{b}_{\rho}(x) = [x, \varrho]$$

for $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$. In other words, we have to prove the following equality of morphisms $\widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$

$$[d,\mathsf{H}]_{\mathrm{Hom}} = -\mathsf{b}_{\rho}$$

where $[-, +]_{\text{Hom}}$ is the commutator inside the Hom-complex $\text{Hom}_{A_0}^{\bullet}(\widetilde{\Lambda}, \widetilde{\Lambda})$. Since the both sides of the equation (6-38) are derivations of degree 0, it is enough to check that the equality holds on the generators $\alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^\circ, \alpha^{\circledast}, t_i$.

The equation (6-38) on α is checked as below.

$$[d, \mathsf{H}]_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\alpha) = d\mathsf{H}(\alpha) = d\alpha^{\circledast} = \eta_{\alpha} = [\alpha, \rho].$$

A similar calculation works for α^* .

We claim $H(\rho_i) + d(t_i) = 0$.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{H}(\rho_i) &= \mathsf{H}\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\alpha, \alpha^*] e_i = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\mathsf{H}(\alpha), \alpha^*] e_i + e_i[\alpha, \mathsf{H}(\alpha^*)] e_i = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\alpha^\circledast, \alpha^*] e_i + e_i[\alpha, -\alpha^\circ] e_i \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} -e_i[\alpha^*, \alpha^\circledast] e_i - e_i[\alpha, \alpha^\circ] e_i = -d(t_i). \end{split}$$

Using the equation $H(\varrho) + d(\mathfrak{t}) = 0$, which follows from the claim, we check (6-38) on α°

$$[d,\mathsf{H}]_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\alpha^{\circ}) = -d[\alpha^{*},\mathfrak{t}] - \mathsf{H}[\alpha^{*},\varrho] = -[d(\alpha^{*}),\mathfrak{t}] - [\alpha^{*},d(\mathfrak{t})] - [\mathsf{H}(\alpha^{*}),\varrho] - [\alpha^{*},\mathsf{H}(\varrho)] = [\alpha^{\circ},\varrho]$$

A similar calculation works for α^{\circledast} .

Finally, we check the equation (6-38) on t_i .

$$\begin{split} [d,\mathsf{H}]_{\mathrm{Hom}}(t_i) &= \mathsf{H}d(t_i) = \mathsf{H}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\alpha, \alpha^\circ] e_i + e_i[\alpha^*, \alpha^\circledast] e_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} e_i[\alpha^\circledast, \alpha^\circ] e_i - e_i[\alpha, [\alpha^*, \mathfrak{t}]] e_i - e_i[\alpha^\circ, \alpha^\circledast] e_i + e_i[\alpha^*, [\alpha, \mathfrak{t}]] e_i \\ &= e_i\left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} \left[[\mathfrak{t}, \alpha], \alpha^*] + [\alpha, [\mathfrak{t}, \alpha^*]]\right]\right) e_i \\ &= e_i\left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} \left[\mathfrak{t}, [\alpha, \alpha^*]\right]\right) e_i = [t_i, \varrho]. \end{split}$$

Lemma 6.10. We have $\tilde{\pi}H = b_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}$.

Proof. By direct computation we can check that the equation holds on the generators $\alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^{\circ}, \alpha^{\circledast}, \mathfrak{t}_i$.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\pi}\mathsf{H}(\alpha) &= \tilde{\pi}(\alpha^\circledast) = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\alpha) = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\alpha), \\ \tilde{\pi}\mathsf{H}(\alpha^*) &= -\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^\circ) = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\alpha^*) = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^*), \\ \tilde{\pi}\mathsf{H}(\alpha^\circledast) &= \tilde{\pi}[\alpha,\mathfrak{t}] = -[\alpha,\mathfrak{s}^2] = [\mathfrak{s}^2,\alpha] \\ &= \mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{s},\alpha] + [\mathfrak{s},\alpha]\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{s},[\mathfrak{s},\alpha]] = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^\circledast) \\ \tilde{\pi}\mathsf{H}(\alpha^\circ) &= -\tilde{\pi}[\alpha^*,\mathfrak{t}] = [\alpha^*,\mathfrak{s}^2] = -[\mathfrak{s}^2,\alpha^*] \\ &= -(\mathfrak{s}[\mathfrak{s},\alpha^*] + [\mathfrak{s},\alpha^*]\mathfrak{s}) = -[\mathfrak{s},[\mathfrak{s},\alpha^*]] = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\alpha^\circ) \\ \tilde{\pi}\mathsf{H}(\mathfrak{t}_i) = 0 = \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\mathfrak{t}_i). \end{split}$$

Observe that the both sides of the equation are derivation along $\tilde{\pi}$ of degree -1, i.e., the morphisms $F = \tilde{\pi} \mathsf{H}, \mathsf{b}_{\mathfrak{s}} \tilde{\pi}$ satisfy $F(xy) = F(x)\tilde{\pi}(y) + (-1)^{|x|}\tilde{\pi}(x)F(y)$. It follows from the above observation the equation holds for any $x \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. \Box

6.3. The exact triangle AR. We recall from Section 5.3:

$$\hat{v} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \hat{e}_i, \quad \tilde{\theta} := {}^v \tilde{\theta} = \sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i \tilde{e}_i.$$

Explicitly, (6-39)

$$\tilde{\theta}: \tilde{A}^{\vee} = \left(AV^*A[-1] \oplus AA, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \uparrow \hat{\rho} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{v} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \left(AA \oplus AVA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mu} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \tilde{A}.$$

Since now we are assuming $v_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$, the morphism $\hat{v} : AA \to AA$ is an isomorphism. We set $\hat{\eta} := \hat{\rho}\hat{v}^{-1}\hat{\mu} : AVA \to AV^*A$. Then it is straightforward check that for an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$ we have

$$\hat{\eta}(1 \otimes \alpha \otimes 1) = \sum_{\beta \in Q_1, h(\beta) = t(\alpha)} v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1} \alpha \beta \otimes \beta^* \otimes 1 - \sum_{\beta \in Q_1, t(\beta) = t(\alpha)} v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1} \alpha \otimes \beta^* \otimes \beta$$
$$- \sum_{\beta \in Q_1, h(\beta) = h(\alpha)} v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1} \beta \otimes \beta^* \otimes \alpha + \sum_{\beta \in Q_1, t(\beta) = h(\alpha)} v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1} 1 \otimes \beta^* \otimes \beta \alpha,$$

where in each term β^* in the middle is belonging to V^* . Therefore, if we regard $AV^*A \subset \mathbf{k}\overline{Q}$ via the isomorphism (6-33), then $\hat{\eta}(1 \otimes \alpha \otimes 1) = \eta_{\alpha}$. We note that here the symbol \otimes denotes tensor products over A_0 which are suppressed in the sequel.

Comparing this observation with Observation 6.2, we come to the following lemma.

Lemma 6.11. The *-degree 1 part $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ is isomorphic to the cone $\operatorname{cn}(\widehat{\eta})$. Moreover, the *-degree 1 part $\widetilde{\pi}_1 : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ is identified with

$$\tilde{\pi}_1: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \cong \left(AV^*A \oplus AVA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\eta} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & -\hat{v}^{-1}\hat{\mu} \end{pmatrix}} \left(AV^*A \oplus AA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\rho} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \widetilde{\Pi}_1$$

We define a morphism $\tilde{\varrho}: A \to \Lambda_1$ to be

$$\tilde{\varrho}: \widetilde{A} = \left(AA \oplus AVA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mu} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varrho}\hat{\upsilon}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}} \left(AV^*A \oplus AVA[1], \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\eta} \uparrow \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_1.$$
The next larger that there merriclings $\tilde{\iota}$ $\tilde{\iota}$ are next larger to the merricline of the metricline of the merricline of the metricline of the metric

The next lemma says that these morphisms $\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\pi}_1$ are representatives of the morphisms in the exact triangle ^{*v*}AR given in Section 5.4 denoted by the same symbols.

Lemma 6.12. The following diagram gives an exact triangle in the homotopy category $K(A^e)$

$$\mathsf{AR}: \widetilde{A} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varrho}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_1} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \xrightarrow{-\theta[1]} \widetilde{A}[1].$$

Moreover, if we define a morphism $L: A \to \Pi_1$ of degree -1 in $C_{DG}(A^e)$ as follows

$$\mathsf{L}(e_i) := -\mathfrak{s}_i, \ \mathsf{L}(\downarrow \alpha) := 0,$$

for $i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1$, then the following statements hold

- (1) It is a homotopy from $\tilde{\pi}_1 \tilde{\varrho}$ to 0
- (2) It induces the homotopy equivalences $q_{\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\pi}_1,\mathsf{L}} = (\tilde{\pi}_1,\mathsf{L}\uparrow) : \mathsf{cn}(\tilde{\varrho}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ and $j_{\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\pi}_1,\mathsf{L}} = (\uparrow\mathsf{L},-\tilde{\varrho})^t : \widetilde{A} \to \mathsf{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1)[-1].$
- (3) We have an equality $\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{h}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} j_{\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\pi}_1, \mathsf{L}}$ of morphisms $\widetilde{A} \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ of degree -1 where $\mathsf{h}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}$ is the canonical homotopy of (4-16).

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.2 for $f = \hat{\mu}, g = \hat{\rho}, h = \hat{\eta}$ and H = 0, we obtain an exact triangle $\operatorname{cn}(\hat{\mu}) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \operatorname{cn}(\hat{\eta}) \xrightarrow{\Upsilon} \operatorname{cn}(\hat{\rho}) \xrightarrow{-\Phi[1]}$. Modifying this sequence by the isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id} & 0 \\ 0 & -\hat{v}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$: $\operatorname{cn}(\hat{\rho}\hat{v}^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{cn}(-\hat{\rho}) = \widetilde{\Pi}_1$, we obtain the desired exact triangle

(6-40)

$$\begin{array}{c} AVA & \longrightarrow AVA \\ \hat{\mu} & 0 & \hat{\eta} \\ \hat{\rho}\hat{v}^{-1} & AV^*A & \longrightarrow \operatorname{cn}(\hat{\rho}\hat{v}^{-1}) \\ \\ & & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

In a similar way, we obtain the desired homotopy from Lemma 4.2.

6.4. Exact triangles V_n and the morphisms $\tilde{\eta}^*, \tilde{\zeta}$.

6.4.1. The morphism $\tilde{\zeta}$. We define a morphism $\tilde{\zeta} : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda} \to \tilde{\Lambda}$ to be the multiplication map

$$\hat{\zeta}: \hat{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \hat{\Lambda} \to \hat{\Lambda}, \ \hat{\zeta}(x \otimes y) := xy.$$

We denote the *-graded version by the same symbol $\tilde{\zeta} : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \tilde{\Lambda}$. We denote the *-degree *n*-component by $\tilde{\zeta}_n : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \to \tilde{\Lambda}_n$.

6.4.2. The morphism $\tilde{\eta}_2^*$. Next we look at the *-degree 2 part $\tilde{\Lambda}_2$. For this we introduce several notations.

For $i \in Q_0$, we define elements $\varrho_i^{\circ}, \varrho_i^{\circledast}$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ to be

$$\varrho_i^\circ := v_i^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in Q_0} e_i[\alpha, \alpha^\circ] e_i, \quad \varrho_i^\circledast := v_i^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in Q_0} e_i[\alpha^*, \alpha^\circledast] e_i.$$

Observe that we can regard $[\alpha^*, \alpha^{\circledast}] = \alpha^* \alpha^{\circledast} - \alpha^{\circledast} \alpha^*$ as an element of $AV^*AV^{\circledast}A \oplus AV^{\circledast}A \oplus AV^{\ast}A \oplus AV^{\ast}A$

 $AV^{\circledast}AV^*A$ which is the cohomological degree -1 part of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$. We set $\varrho^{\circ} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} \varrho_i^{\circ}$ and $\varrho^{\circledast} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} \varrho_i^{\circledast}$ so that $d(\mathfrak{t}) = \varrho^{\circ} + \varrho^{\circledast}$. We define morphisms

$$\hat{\varrho}^{\circ}: ATA \to AV^{\circ}A, \ \hat{\varrho}^{\circledast}: ATA \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1, \ \hat{\eta}^{\circ}: AV^{\circ}A \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$$

of cohomological degree 1 in $C_{DG}(A^e)$ by the formulas

$$\hat{\varrho}^{\circ}(\mathfrak{t}_{i}) := \varrho_{i}^{\circ}, \ \hat{\varrho}^{\circledast}(\mathfrak{t}_{i}) := \rho_{i}^{\circledast}, \ \hat{\eta}^{\circ}(\alpha^{\circ}) := \eta_{\alpha^{*}}.$$

Then we obtain the following description of $\tilde{\Lambda}_2$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{2} = \left((\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}) \oplus AV^{\circ}A \oplus ATA, \begin{pmatrix} d_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}} & -\hat{\eta}^{\circ} & \hat{\varrho}^{\circledast} \\ 0 & 0 & \hat{\varrho}^{\circ} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Observe that the subcomplex $\widetilde{\Pi}_1^{\circ}[1] := \left(AV^{\circ}A \oplus ATA, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\varrho}^{\circ} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\Pi_1[1]$. We fix an identification as follows

$$(6-41) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{idn}: \widetilde{\Pi}_1[1] \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Pi}_1^\circ[1], \alpha^* \mapsto -\alpha^\circ, \ \mathfrak{s}_i \mapsto -\mathfrak{t}_i.$$

We define a morphism $\tilde{\eta}_2^*: \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ in $\mathsf{C}(A^e)$ by the formulas

$$\tilde{\eta}_2^*(\alpha^*) := \eta_{\alpha^*}, \tilde{\eta}_2^*(\mathfrak{s}) := -\varrho^{\circledast}.$$

Then we may identify $\widetilde{\Lambda}_2$ with the cone of $\widetilde{\eta}_2^*$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \cong \operatorname{cn}(\widetilde{\eta}_2^*) = \left((\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1) \oplus \widetilde{\Pi}_1[1], \begin{pmatrix} d_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1} & \widetilde{\eta}_2^* \uparrow \\ 0 & d_{\widetilde{\Pi}[1]} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Observe that the *-degree 2-component $\tilde{\zeta}_2 : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \tilde{\Lambda}_2$ is the cone morphism $i_1^{\tilde{\eta}_2^*}$ of $\tilde{\eta}_2^*$. Therefore we obtain the sequence below in $C(A^e)$ that gives an exact triangle in $K(A^e)$

$$\mathsf{V}_2: \qquad \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\eta}_n^*} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\zeta}_2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_2$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\tilde{\eta}_2^*} = (0,\downarrow)^t$ as given in (4-16).

6.4.3. The exact triangle V_n . Let $n \ge 3$. We set $\tilde{\eta}_n^* := (_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \tilde{\zeta}_{n-1})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}}^*)$ in $\mathsf{C}(A^e)$.

$$\tilde{\eta}_n^*: \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}}^*} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \cdot \widetilde{\zeta}_{n-1}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_n$$

Observe that as cohomological graded modules, we have

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} = \left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\right) \oplus \left(AV^{\circ}A \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\right) \oplus \left(ATA \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\right)$$
$$= \left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\right) \oplus \left(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}^{\circ} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}[1]\right)$$

Using the identification (6-41), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_n = \left(\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \right) \oplus \left(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}[1] \right), \begin{pmatrix} d & \widetilde{\eta}_n^* \uparrow \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Thus we may identify $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ with the cone $\operatorname{cn}(\tilde{\eta}_n^*)$ and the cone morphism $i_1^{\tilde{\eta}_n^*}$ with $\tilde{\zeta}_n$. We obtain a diagram in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$ below which becomes an exact triangle in $\mathsf{K}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$

$$\mathsf{V}_{n}: \qquad \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}_{n}^{*}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\zeta}_{n}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\tilde{\eta}_n^*} = (0,\downarrow)^t$ as given in (4-16).

By convention, we set $\tilde{\zeta}_1 := \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_{n-1}^* := 0$, $\tilde{\eta}_n^* := 0$ for $n \leq 1$. Taking the direct sums of $\tilde{\zeta}_n$ and $\tilde{\eta}_n^*$, we obtain the diagram below in $C_{\mathrm{DG}}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{op} \operatorname{Gr})$ below which becomes an exact triangle in $\mathsf{K}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{Gr})$

(6-42)
$$\mathsf{V}:$$
 $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-2) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\eta}^*} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\zeta}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\geq 1}.$

60

6.4.4. Koszul resolution. Let $\iota : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\geq 1} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ be a canonical injection and $\epsilon : \widetilde{\Lambda} \to A$ be a canonical projection. Then, we have an exact sequence $0 \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\geq 1} \stackrel{\iota}{\to} \widetilde{\Lambda} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\to} A \to 0$. We define an object $P \in \mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{Gr})$ to be

$$P := \left(\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1]) \oplus (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-2)[2]), \begin{pmatrix} d & \iota \widetilde{\zeta} \uparrow & \iota g \uparrow^2 \\ 0 & d & -\downarrow \widetilde{\eta}^* \uparrow^2 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Combining the above exact sequence and the diagram (6-42), we see that the morphism $\epsilon_P := (\epsilon, 0, 0) : P \to A$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{DG}}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{op}} \operatorname{Gr})$.

6.4.5. Remark on Koszul duality. The above observations show that the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ has the following description:

$$\widetilde{\Lambda} = \left(\mathsf{T}_A(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \oplus \widetilde{\Pi}_1[1]), d_{\mathsf{T}} + \delta\right)$$

where d_{T} is the differential of the dg-tensor algebra $\mathsf{T}_A(\Lambda_1 \oplus \widetilde{\Pi}_1[1])$ and δ is a morphism of cohomological degree 1 induced from $\tilde{\eta}_2^*$. This description says that $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is the *Kosuzl dual* of the graded dg-coring $C = A \oplus \widetilde{\Lambda}_1[1] \oplus \widetilde{\Pi}_1[2]$ over A whose comultiplication is essentially $\tilde{\eta}_2^*$.

In view of Koszul duality, the quasi-isomorphism $\epsilon_P: P \to A$ may be regarded as the Koszul resolution of A



This point will be studied in a subsequent work and in particular give a construction of Calabi-Yau algebras which generalize Keller's Calabi-Yau completion [35].

6.4.6. An explicit formula of $\tilde{\eta}^*$. We give an explicit formula of $\tilde{\eta}^* : \widetilde{\Pi} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}$ which uses the following identifications of cohomological graded modules over A^{e}

(6-43)
$$\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \cong AV^* \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AS \widetilde{\Lambda}, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \cong AV^* \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AV^\circ \widetilde{\Lambda}.$$

Although the formula is obtained by a straightforward calculation, we explain it in detail, since similar explicit formulas for other morphisms play key roles in the sequel.

In the identification of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$ with $AV^* \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AS \widetilde{\Lambda}$, an element of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$ is given by a sum of elements of the forms $p\alpha^* x, p\mathfrak{s}_i x$ where $p \in A, x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}, \alpha \in Q_1, i \in Q_0$. Thus in particular, $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$ is generated over A^e by elements of the forms $\alpha^* x, \mathfrak{s}_i x$ for $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}, \alpha \in Q_1, i \in Q_0$. The values of the morphism $\widetilde{\eta}^*$ over A^e on these generators are given as below

$$\tilde{\eta}^*(\alpha^* x) = [\alpha^*, \varrho] x = \alpha^* \varrho x - \varrho \alpha^* x, \ \tilde{\eta}^*(\mathfrak{s}_i x) = -\varrho_i^{\circledast} x$$

where we use the identification $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \cong AV^*\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AV^\circ\widetilde{\Lambda}$ to write the right hand sides. More precisely, the right hand sides should be interpreted as follows. For the first term $\alpha^* \varrho x = \alpha^*(\varrho x)$ of the first equation, we regard the first factor α^* as an element of V^* and the second factor ϱx as an element $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Then using the identification $AV^*\widetilde{\Lambda} \subset \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$, we regard $\alpha^* \varrho x$ as an element of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$.

As for the second term $\rho \alpha^* x = \rho(\alpha^* x)$ of the first equation, we regard the first factor ρ as an element of $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ and the second factor $\alpha^* x$ as an element $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Then we write $\rho \otimes \alpha^* x$ as $\rho \alpha^* x$. In a similar way, we regard $\rho_i^{\circledast} px$ as an element of $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}$.

We note that under the identification (6-43), the element $\rho \alpha^* x$ corresponds to the element

$$\sum_{i \in Q_0} v_i^{-1} \left(\sum_{\beta: t(\beta)=i} \beta \beta^* \alpha^* x - \sum_{\beta: h(\beta)=i} \beta^* \beta \alpha^* x \right)$$

where the first term $\beta\beta^*\alpha^*x$ is regarded as an element of $AV^*\Lambda$ by viewing $\beta\beta^* \in AV^*$ and $\alpha^*x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ and the second term $\beta^*\beta\alpha x$ is regarded as an element of $AV^*\widetilde{\Lambda}$ by viewing $\beta^* \in AV^*$ and $\beta\alpha^*x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$. In a similar way, we can write the element of $AV^{\circledast}\widetilde{\Lambda}$ which corresponds to $\varrho_i^{\circledast}px$.

6.4.7. The homotopy K. Since $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{\Lambda} \to \Pi$ is a dg-algebra homomorphism, we see that the square in the following diagram is commutative.



Decomposing the morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{\geq 1}$ according to the decomposition $\Lambda_{\geq 1} = \Lambda_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}(-1) \oplus \tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}(-2)[1]$ of underlying cohomological graded modules, we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 6.13. We define a morphism $\mathsf{K} : \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-2) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{\geq 1}$ in $\mathsf{C}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{Gr}^*)$ of cohomological degree -1 by the formula

 $\mathsf{K}(\alpha^* px) := [\mathfrak{s}, \alpha^*] p \tilde{\pi}(x), \ \mathsf{K}(\mathfrak{s}_i px) := \mathfrak{s}_i^2 p \tilde{\pi}(x).$

for $i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1, p \in A, x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ where we use the identification $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \cong AV^*\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AS\widetilde{\Lambda}$ to exhibit elements in the domain of K.

Then it is a homotopy from $(\tilde{\pi}_1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}(-1))\tilde{\eta}^*$ to 0 and we have $\tilde{\pi}_{\geq 1} = q_{\tilde{\eta}^*, \tilde{\pi}_1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}(-1), \mathsf{K}}$ as morphisms from $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\geq 1} = \operatorname{cn}(\tilde{\eta}^*)$ to $\tilde{\Pi}_{\geq 1}$.

6.5. The exact triangle U. The aim of this section 6.5 is to prove Theorem 6.8 and Theorem 6.14 below.

6.5.1. Taking the tensor product $AR \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}$, we obtain the upper row of the following diagram. It is clear that the right square is commutative. We set $\check{\varrho} := \tilde{\zeta} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ to make the left square commutative.





We set $\mathsf{M} := (_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \pi)(\mathsf{L}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}) : \widetilde{A} \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Pi}$. It is a homotopy from $\widetilde{\pi} \check{\varrho}$ to 0 in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}})$ by Lemma 4.1.



We give explicit formulas for these morphisms. For this we use the identification $\widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \cong AA_0 \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus A(V[1]) \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Observe that $\widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$ is generated over A^{e} by elements

of the forms $e_i x \downarrow \alpha x$ where $i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1$ and $x \in \Lambda$. On these generators, the morphisms $\check{\varrho}$ and M take the following values

 $\check{\varrho}(e_i x) = \varrho_i x, \; \check{\varrho}(\downarrow \alpha x) = \alpha^{\circ} x, \; \mathsf{M}(e_i x) = -\mathfrak{s}_i \tilde{\pi}(x), \; \mathsf{M}(\downarrow \alpha x) = 0.$

We remark that the module $AA_0\tilde{\Lambda}$ that appeared in the above identification has the simpler expression $A\tilde{\Lambda}$. However if we use the latter, then the expression $px \ (p \in A, x \in \tilde{\Lambda})$ of an element of $A\tilde{\Lambda}$ could have two meanings that are $e_{t(p)} \otimes_{A_0} px$ and $p \otimes_{A_0} x$. To avoid this confusion, we insert A_0 in between A and $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

For example, if we apply the differential d to a homogeneous element of $\widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$ which has the form $\downarrow \alpha x$, then the result is written as $d(\downarrow \alpha x) = \alpha e_{h(\alpha)} x - e_{t(\alpha)} \alpha x - \downarrow \alpha d(x)$.

The main result of Section 6.5 is the following, which is a two-sided version of Theorem 6.8.

Theorem 6.14. The induced morphism $q := q_{\check{\varrho}, \check{\pi}_1, \mathsf{M}} : \mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}$ is a quasiisomorphism in $\mathsf{C}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}})$.

Consequently, we have an exact triangle of the following form in $D(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{Gr})$

(6-45)
$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \xrightarrow{\hat{\varrho}} \widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \widetilde{\Pi} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1].$$

Before proceeding a proof, we discuss its consequence. Let $n \ge 1$. We note that looking *-degree n part of the exact triangle (6-45) we obtain the following exact triangle in $D(A^e)$,

(6-46)
$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varrho}_n} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_n} \widetilde{\Pi}_n \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}[1]$$

Thus taking the tensor product with $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$, we obtain the following exact triangle in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$

(6-47)
$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M[1].$$

Since the weighted Euler characteristic is additive for an exact triangle, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 6.15. Let $u \in \mathbf{k}Q$, $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$, $n \ge 0$. Then we have the following equality

$${}^{u}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}{}^{u}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{i}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M).$$

In particular in the case where u is an eigenvector of $\Psi = \Phi^{-t}$ (the inverse of the transpose of the Coxeter matrix) with the eigenvalue λ , we have

$$\frac{{}^{u}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M)}{{}^{u}\chi(M)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\lambda^{i}.$$

6.5.2. The morphism $\check{\varrho}'$. To prove Theorem 6.8, first we need to introduce a morphism $\check{\varrho}': \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ related to the morphism $\check{\varrho}$.

We consider the co-cone $\operatorname{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}[-1]$ of $\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}}$. We set $p := -p_2^{\tilde{\pi}_1}$ and $\check{\varrho}' := \tilde{\zeta}p_{\widetilde{\Lambda}} : \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$.

Then setting $\mathsf{M}' = {}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \pi(\mathsf{h}_{\widetilde{\pi}_1})_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}$, we obtain the following diagram in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(A \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{op}})$ by Lemma 4.1.

$$\operatorname{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}[-1] \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}'} \widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \widetilde{\Pi}.$$

Lemma 6.16. The morphism $q := q_{\check{\varrho}, \check{\pi}_1, \mathsf{M}} : \mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}$ is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if so is the induced morphism $q' := q_{\check{\varrho}', \check{\pi}_1, \mathsf{M}} : \mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}') \to \widetilde{\Pi}$.

Proof. We set $j := j_{\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\pi}_1, \mathsf{L}}$. First we claim $\check{\varrho}' j_{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \check{\varrho}$. Indeed, we have $pj = \tilde{\varrho}$ and hence $p_{\tilde{\Lambda}} j_{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$.

Thus we have $\check{\varrho}' j_{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \tilde{\zeta} p_{\tilde{\Lambda}} j_{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \tilde{\zeta} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \tilde{\varrho}.$

From the claim, we obtain the homotopy equivalence $\mathsf{J} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}} & 0 \\ 0 & j_{\widetilde{\Lambda}} \end{pmatrix} : \mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}) \to \mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}')$. It follows from the equation $\mathsf{h}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}j = \mathsf{L}$ that $q_{\check{\varrho}',\tilde{\pi}_1,\mathsf{M}'}\mathsf{J} = q_{\check{\varrho},\tilde{\pi}_1,\mathsf{M}}$.



Therefore we conclude that $q = q_{\check{\varrho}, \check{\pi}_1, \mathsf{M}}$ is quasi-isomorphism if and only if so is $q' = q_{\check{\varrho}', \check{\pi}_1, \mathsf{M}'}$.

6.5.3. The homotopy N.

Lemma 6.17. We define a morphism $\mathsf{N} : \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}$ in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(A^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{Gr})$ of cohomological degree -1 by the formulas

$$N(\alpha^* p e_i x) := \mathfrak{s}\alpha^* p x - \alpha^* \mathsf{H}(p) x, \ \mathsf{N}(\mathfrak{s} p e_i x) := \mathfrak{s} \mathsf{H}(p) x$$
$$N(\alpha^* p \downarrow \beta x) := 0, \ \mathsf{N}(\mathfrak{s} p \downarrow \beta x) := 0$$

for $i \in Q_0, \alpha, \beta \in Q_1, p \in A$ and $x \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$ to which we use the identifications (6-51)

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{A} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \cong AV^{*}AA_{0}\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus ASAA_{0}\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AV^{*}A(V[1])\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus ASA(V[1])\widetilde{\Lambda},$$
$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \cong AV^{*}\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus AS\widetilde{\Lambda}.$$

Then N is a homotopy from $(\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}})\tilde{\eta}_n^*(_{\tilde{\Pi}_1}\mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}})$ to $_{\tilde{\Pi}_1}\check{\varrho}_{n-1}$. (6-52)



64

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram in $D(A^e)$



Proof. We check the equation $(\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}})\tilde{\eta}_n^*(_{\tilde{\Pi}_1}\mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}}) - _{\tilde{\Pi}_1}\check{\varrho}_{n-1} = d\mathsf{N} + \mathsf{N}d$ on the generators by direct calculation. For simplicity, we denote the left hand side and the right hand side of the equation by L and R. We point out a key equation $\mathsf{H}(p) - \mathsf{H}(\beta p) = -\mathsf{H}(\beta)p = -\beta^{\circledast}p$ for $p \in A, \beta \in Q_1$. Also note that in the $\stackrel{(1)}{=}, \stackrel{(2)}{=}$ below we use Lemma 6.10.

$$\begin{split} L(\alpha^* p e_i x) &= \tilde{\pi}_{1, \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}} \tilde{\eta}_n^* (\alpha^* p x) - \alpha^* p \varrho x \\ &= \tilde{\pi}_{1, \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}} ([\alpha^*, \varrho] p x) - \alpha^* p \varrho x \\ &= \alpha^* \varrho p x - \varrho \alpha^* p x - \alpha^* p \varrho x \\ R(\alpha^* p e_i x) &= d(\mathfrak{s} \alpha^* p x - \alpha^* \mathsf{H}(p) x) + \mathsf{N}(\alpha^* p e_i d(x)) \\ &= -\varrho \alpha^* p x - \mathfrak{s} \alpha^* p d(x) - \alpha^* d(\mathsf{H}(p)) x + \alpha^* \mathsf{H}(p) d(x) + \mathfrak{s} \alpha^* p d(x) - \alpha^* \mathsf{H}(p) d(x) \\ &= -\varrho \alpha^* p x - \alpha^* d(\mathsf{H}(p)) x \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} -\varrho \alpha^* p x + \alpha^* [\varrho, p] x \\ &= \alpha^* \varrho p x - \varrho \alpha^* p x - \alpha^* p \varrho x. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L(\mathfrak{s}_{j}pe_{i}x) &= (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}})\tilde{\eta}_{n}^{*}(\mathfrak{s}_{j}px) - \mathfrak{s}_{j}p\varrho x \\ &= (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}})(-\varrho_{j}^{\circledast}px) - \mathfrak{s}_{j}p\varrho x \\ &= (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}})\left(v_{j}^{-1}\sum_{\beta}\beta^{\circledast}\beta^{*}px - v_{j}^{-1}\sum_{\beta}\beta^{*}\beta^{\circledast}px\right) - \mathfrak{s}_{j}p\varrho x \\ &= v_{j}^{-1}\sum_{\beta}[\mathfrak{s},\beta]\beta^{*}px - v_{j}^{-1}\sum_{\beta}\beta^{*}\beta^{\circledast}px - \mathfrak{s}_{j}p\varrho x \end{split}$$

Now we obtain the following diagram that appeared in Lemma 4.4. (6-53)



A key step is to prove that the homotopies in the diagram satisfy the condition of Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 6.18. We have the following equality.

$$\mathsf{K}(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\mu_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}}) = _{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\mathsf{M} + (_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\tilde{\pi}_{n-1})\mathsf{N}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we denote the left hand side and the right hand side of the equation by L and R. We check L = R on generators of $\Pi_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$ by direct calculation. We use the identification (6-51) to exhibit generators of $\Pi_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$.

First let $i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1, p \in A$ and $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} L(\alpha^* p e_i x) &= [\mathfrak{s}, \alpha^*] p \pi(x), \\ R(\alpha^* p e_i x) &= -\alpha^* p \mathfrak{s} \tilde{\pi}(x) + \mathfrak{s} \alpha^* p \tilde{\pi}(x) - \alpha^* \tilde{\pi}(\mathsf{H}(p)) \tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} -\alpha^* p \mathfrak{s} \tilde{\pi}(x) + \mathfrak{s} \alpha^* p \tilde{\pi}(x) - \alpha^* [\mathfrak{s}, p] \tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &= \mathfrak{s} \alpha^* p \tilde{\pi}(x) - \alpha^* \mathfrak{s} p \tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &= [\mathfrak{s}, \alpha^*] p \tilde{\pi}(x). \\ L(\mathfrak{s} p e_i x) &= \mathfrak{s}^2 p \tilde{\pi}(x) \\ R(\mathfrak{s} p e_i x) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \mathfrak{s} p \mathfrak{s} \tilde{\pi}(x) + \mathfrak{s} \tilde{\pi} \mathsf{H}(p) \tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \mathfrak{s} p \mathfrak{s} \tilde{\pi}(x) + \mathfrak{s} [\mathfrak{s}, p] \tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &= \mathfrak{s}^2 \tilde{\pi}(x) \end{split}$$

where for $\stackrel{(1)}{=},\stackrel{(3)}{=}$ we use Lemma 6.10. For the first term of $\stackrel{(2)}{=}$ we use Koszul sign rule as below.

$$(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\mathsf{M})(\mathfrak{s}pe_{i}x) = -\mathfrak{s}p\mathsf{M}(e_{i}x) = -\mathfrak{s}p(-\mathfrak{s}\tilde{\pi}(x)) = \mathfrak{s}p\mathfrak{s}\tilde{\pi}(x)$$

We can easily check that L and R both vanish in the generators of the forms $\alpha^* p \downarrow \beta x, sp \downarrow \beta x.$

We use the notations of Section 4.2.1. By definition, we have the equality $\Phi_{\tilde{\eta}^*, \tilde{\pi}_1 \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}} = \check{\varrho}'$ of morphisms from $\operatorname{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}[-1]$ to $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

The next step is to identify the morphism $\check{q}_{\tilde{\eta}^*,\tilde{\pi}_1\otimes\tilde{\Lambda},\tilde{\Pi}_1\otimes\tilde{\pi},\mathsf{K}}$: $\mathsf{cn}(\Phi_{\tilde{\eta}^*,\tilde{\pi}_1\otimes\tilde{\Lambda}}) \to \tilde{\Pi}$ with $q':\mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}') \to \tilde{\Pi}$.

Lemma 6.19. We have

$$\acute{q}_{\tilde{\eta}^*,\tilde{\pi}_1\otimes\tilde{\Lambda},\tilde{\Pi}_1\otimes\tilde{\pi},\mathsf{K}} = q'.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$q' = (\tilde{\pi}, \mathsf{M}'\uparrow) : \mathsf{cn}(\check{\varrho}') = \tilde{\Lambda} \oplus (\mathsf{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}.$$

Since, by definition $\mathsf{M}' = ({}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \tilde{\pi})(\mathsf{h}_{\tilde{\pi}_1})_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}$ and

$$(\mathsf{h}_{\tilde{\pi}_1})_{\widetilde{\Lambda}} = (\downarrow, 0) : \mathsf{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}[-1] = (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}[-1]) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda},$$

we obtain the following description of the second component $\mathsf{M}' \uparrow: \mathsf{cn}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Pi}$.

$$\mathsf{M}' \uparrow = (_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \widetilde{\pi}, 0) : \mathsf{cn}(\widetilde{\pi}_1) \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} = (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}[1]) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{\Pi}_{\geq 1}.$$

Consequently, we come to the desired equation.

$$q' = (\tilde{\pi}, \mathsf{M}' \uparrow) = (\tilde{\pi}_1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}, \mathsf{K} \uparrow, _{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \tilde{\pi}, 0)$$
$$= ((_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \tilde{\pi}) (\tilde{\pi}_{1, \widetilde{\Lambda}}), \mathsf{K} \uparrow, _{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \tilde{\pi}, 0)$$
$$= q'_{\tilde{\eta}^*, \tilde{\pi}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}, \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes \tilde{\pi}, \mathsf{K}}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 6.18, we can apply Lemma 4.4 to the diagram (6-53). By Lemma 6.19 we obtain the following commutative diagram

where \dot{q} is a homotopy equivalence and iso denotes a canonical isomorphism. The morphism ind is induced from a quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{\Pi}_1 \mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} : \tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{A} \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \to \tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$. Therefore it is a quasi-isomorphism.

6.5.4. Proof of Theorem 6.14. We prove that q_n and q'_n are quasi-isomorphisms by induction on $n \ge 0$.

Since $\check{\varrho}_0 = 0$, $\check{\varrho}'_0 = 0$, $\tilde{\pi}_0 = \mathrm{id}_A$, $\mathsf{M}_0 = 0$, we see that $q_0 = q'_0 = \mathrm{id}_A$ and hence they are quasi-isomorphisms.

The morphism q_1 and q'_1 are quasi-isomorphism by Lemma 6.12.

We deal with the case $n \geq 2$. We assume q_{n-1} is a quasi-isomorphism. Then, all the morphisms in the diagram (6-54) are quasi-isomorphisms except q'_n . Therefore, q'_n is also a quasi-isomorphism. Thanks to Lemma 6.16 that q_n is also a quasi-isomorphism.

6.5.5. The commutative diagram given below plays a key role later.

Corollary 6.20. For $n \ge 2$, we have the following commutative diagram in $D(A^e)$.



where two middle rows and two middle columns are exact triangles.

Proof. It only remains to show that the two middle columns give a morphism of exact triangles. Recall that we are identifying $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ with $\operatorname{cn}(\tilde{\eta}_n^*)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_n$ with a canonical morphism $i_1^{\tilde{\eta}_n^*}$. For simplicity we set $\phi := (\tilde{\eta}_n^*)(_{\tilde{\Pi}_1} \mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}})$, then we have

that following commutative diagram in C(A)



where we set

$$\Psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & {}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \mu_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}} & \mathsf{N} \uparrow \\ 0 & \mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}, \quad q = ({}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \tilde{\pi}_{n-1}.\mathsf{M} \uparrow)$$

Observe that all columns extend to exact triangles and morphisms between them induce morphisms between exact triangles. Moreover the morphisms from the second column to the first column are quasi-isomorphisms. Thus it is enough to verify the equality $\tilde{\pi}_n = q \Psi_2 \Psi_1^{-1}$ in $\mathsf{D}(A)$. The last equality follows from the equality $\tilde{\pi}_n \Psi_1 = q \Psi_2$ that can be checked from Lemma 6.13 and Lemma 6.18.

6.5.6. *Proof of Theorem 6.8.* We prove Theorem 6.8 by reducing it to Theorem 6.14.

Lemma 6.21. Define a morphism $O : \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ in $C_{DG}(A \operatorname{Gr})$ of cohomological degree -1 by the formula

$$O(e_i x) := -e_i H(x), \ O(\downarrow \alpha x) := 0$$

for $i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1$ and $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ to which we use the identification $\widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda} \cong AA_0\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus A(V[1])\widetilde{\Lambda}$.

Then the following statements hold.

(1) O is a homotopy from $\check{\varrho}$ to $\mathbf{r}_{\varrho}(\mu \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1))$.

(2) We have the following equality

(6-55)

$$\mathsf{M} = \tilde{\pi}\mathsf{O} - \mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}(-1)})$$

of morphisms from $\widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)$ to $\widetilde{\Pi}$ of degree -1.

Note that we have the following diagram



Proof. (1) It is enough to show that the equation $\tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}(-1)}) - \mathsf{r}_{\varrho}(\mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}(-1)}) = d\mathsf{O} + \mathsf{O}d$. holds on the generators $e_i x$ and $\downarrow \alpha x$. We check this by direct calculation. For

simplicity, we denote by L and R the left hand side and the right had side of the equation.

$$\begin{split} L(e_i x) &= \varrho_i x - e_i x \varrho, \\ R(e_i x) &= -e_i ((d\mathsf{H} + \mathsf{H}d)(x)) = -e_i (x \varrho - \varrho x) = \varrho_i x - e_i x \varrho, \\ L(\downarrow \alpha x) &= \alpha^{\circledast} x, \\ R(\downarrow \alpha x) &= \mathsf{O}d(\downarrow \alpha x) = \mathsf{O}(\alpha e_{h(\alpha)} x - e_{t(\alpha)} \alpha x - \downarrow \alpha dx) \\ &= -\alpha \mathsf{H}(x) + \mathsf{H}(\alpha x) = \mathsf{H}(\alpha) x = \alpha^{\circledast} x. \end{split}$$

(2) We also check the equation holds on the generators $e_i x$ and $\downarrow \alpha x$ by direct calculation.

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\pi}\mathsf{O} - \mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\mu_{\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)}))(e_{i}x) &= -e_{i}\tilde{\pi}\mathsf{H}(x) - \mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &= -[\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\pi}(x)] - \mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(x) \\ &= -\mathfrak{s}\tilde{\pi}(x) = \mathsf{M}(x), \\ (\tilde{\pi}\mathsf{O} - \mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(\mu_{\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)}))(\downarrow \alpha x) = 0 = \mathsf{M}(\downarrow \alpha x) \end{split}$$

We proceed a proof of Theorem 6.8.

Proof of Theorem 6.8. By Lemma 6.21, the diagram (6-55) induces the following commutative diagram



where ind is the morphism induced from $\mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}(-1)}$. By Theorem 6.14, the upper arrow $q_{\tilde{\varrho},\tilde{\pi},\mathsf{M}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $\mu_{\tilde{\Lambda}(-1)}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, so is the induced morphism ind. Thus we conclude that $q_{r_{\varrho},\tilde{\pi},-r_{s}\tilde{\pi}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. \Box

6.6. Lemmas. We collect two lemmas for the later quotations

6.6.1. It follows from Lemma 6.9 that the composite $\tilde{\zeta}_2(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1}\tilde{\varrho}-\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1})$ becomes a zero morphism $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \tilde{\Lambda}_2$ in $\mathsf{D}(A_0^{\rm e})$. Since $\tilde{\eta}_2^*$ is a co-cone morphism of $\tilde{\zeta}_2$, there exists a morphism $f: \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \tilde{\Pi}_1$ such that $_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1}\tilde{\varrho} - \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} = \tilde{\eta}_2^*f$. The next lemma says that we can take f to be $\tilde{\pi}_1$.

Lemma 6.22. We define a morphism $\mathsf{P} : \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{A} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ of degree -1 in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$ by the formula

 $\mathsf{P}(e_i p \alpha^* q e_j) := \mathsf{H}(p) \alpha^* q + p \alpha^* \mathsf{H}(q), \ \mathsf{P}(e_i p \alpha^* q e_j) := \mathsf{H}(p) \alpha^* q - p \alpha^* \mathsf{H}(q),$

 $\mathsf{P}(\downarrow \beta p \alpha^* q e_j) := 0, \ \mathsf{P}(\downarrow \beta p \alpha^{\circledast} q e_j) := 0, \ \mathsf{P}(e_j p \alpha^* q \downarrow \gamma) := 0, \ \mathsf{P}(e_i p \alpha^{\circledast} q \downarrow \gamma) := 0,$ $\mathsf{P}(\downarrow \beta p \alpha^* q \downarrow \gamma) := 0, \ \mathsf{P}(\downarrow \beta p \alpha^{\circledast} q \downarrow \gamma) := 0$

for $i, j \in Q_0, \ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Q_1, \ p, q \in A$ to which we use the identifications

$$\begin{split} \widehat{A} \otimes_A \widehat{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widehat{A} &\cong AA_0 A V^* A A_0 A \oplus \dots \oplus A(V[1]) A V^{\circ} A(V[1]) A, \\ \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 &\cong A V^* A V^* A \oplus \dots \oplus A V^{\circ} A V^{\circ} A \end{split}$$

induced from $\widetilde{A} \cong AA \oplus A(V[1])A$, $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \cong AV^*A \oplus AV^{\circledast}A$. Then P is a homotopy from $\mu \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \tilde{\varrho} - \tilde{\varrho} \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \mu$ to $\eta_2^* \tilde{\pi}_1(\mu \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes \mu)$.



Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram in $D(A^{e})$.



Since it is verified by straightforward calculation, we leave the proof to the readers.

6.6.2. We may construct a right version $\tilde{\eta}_n^{*\mathrm{right}} : \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \to \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \tilde{\Lambda}_1$ of the morphism $\tilde{\eta}_n^*$ as below

$$\tilde{\eta}_n^{*\mathrm{right}}: \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\eta_2^-} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$$

where the second morphism is the multiplication morphism. The following is a right version of Lemma 6.17.

Lemma 6.23. We have the following commutative diagram in $D(A^e)$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} & \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \\ \\ \widetilde{\eta}_{n}^{*, \mathrm{right}} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} & \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}. \end{split}$$

We use the case n = 2 later. In this case, we have $\tilde{\eta}_2^{*, \text{right}} = \tilde{\eta}_2^*$ and hence the equality $_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \tilde{\pi}_1 \tilde{\eta}_2^* = -\breve{\varrho}_{1, \tilde{\Pi}_1}$.

Proof. We can verify this lemma in a similar way of Lemma 6.17 a left version of it. Here we take a different way. We show that we can deduce this lemma from Lemma 6.17 for the opposite quiver Q^{op} .

We may identify $(\mathbf{k}Q)^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $\mathbf{k}Q \cong (\mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}))^{\mathrm{op}}$. We introduce an isomorphism $f : A^{\mathrm{e}} = \mathbf{k}Q \otimes (\mathbf{k}Q)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \otimes (\mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}))^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{e}}$ to be $f(p \otimes q) = q \otimes p$. Let $f^* : C_{\mathrm{DG}}(\mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{e}}) \to C_{\mathrm{DG}}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$ be the induced isomorphism. Then we have $f^*(X \otimes_{\mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}})} Y) \cong Y \otimes_{\mathbf{k}Q} X$. The anti-algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \mathbf{k}Q, \phi(\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots,\alpha_n) := \alpha_n \cdots \alpha_2\alpha_1$ induces an isomorphism $f^*\mathbf{k}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \cong \mathbf{k}Q$. Similar anti-*-graded-dg-algebra isomorphisms $\phi : \widetilde{\Pi}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}(Q), \phi : \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ denoted by the same symbol induces isomorphisms $f^*\widetilde{\Pi}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \widetilde{\Pi}(Q), f^*\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$. We note that $\phi(\rho) = -\rho$ and $\phi(s_i) = -s_i$. We can check that under these isomorphisms ϕ , the morphisms $f^*(\widetilde{\eta}_{Q^{\mathrm{op}}})$ and $f^*(\widetilde{\pi}_{Q^{\mathrm{op}}})$ correspond to $\widetilde{\eta}^{*,\mathrm{right}}$ and $\widetilde{\pi}$. Thus, from Lemma 6.17 for Q^{op} , we can deduce the desired commutativity.

6.7. 3-Calabi-Yau property.

6.7.1. Calabi-Yau algebras. Let $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that a DG-algebra $R = (\bigoplus_{i,j} R_i^j, d_R)$ with an additional grading is called *n*-Calabi-Yau algebras of Gorenstein parameter l if it is homologically smooth and there exists an isomorphism $R^{\mathbb{V}}[n](-l) \cong R$ in $\mathsf{D}(R^{\mathrm{e}})$.

6.7.2. The aim of this theorem is to prove the following theorem. Note that the case char $\mathbf{k} \neq 2$ is already shown in Proposition 6.24. We give an alternative proof which works for arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 6.24. The derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\overline{\Lambda}$ is a 3-Calabi-Yau algebra of Gorenstein parameter 2.

6.7.3. A preparation. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i$ be a cohomologically graded A_0 - A_0 -bimodule. Then by a DG-version of Lemma 5.1, the map

$$F_M : \Lambda \mathcal{D}(M)\Lambda \to (\Lambda M\Lambda)^{\vee}, F_M(x \otimes f \otimes y)(z \otimes m \otimes w) := (-1)^{\epsilon} f(m) zy \otimes xw$$

$$\epsilon := |x|(|f| + |y| + |z| + |m|) + |f|(|y| + |z|) + |y||z|$$

is an isomorphism of cohomologically graded $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}$ -bimodules.

Lemma 6.25. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i, N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N^i$ be cohomologically graded A_0^e modules and $\{m_i\}, \{n_i\}$ homogeneous basis of M and N. We identify $(\widetilde{\Lambda}M\widetilde{\Lambda})^{\vee}, (\widetilde{\Lambda}N\widetilde{\Lambda})^{\vee}$ with $\widetilde{\Lambda}D(M)\widetilde{\Lambda}, \widetilde{\Lambda}D(N)\widetilde{\Lambda}$ via F_M, F_N . If a homogeneous morphism $\phi : \widetilde{\Lambda}M\widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}N\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is given by

$$\phi(m_j) = \sum_i a_{ij} n_i b_{ij}$$

for some $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$, then the $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}$ -dual $\phi^{\vee} : (\widetilde{\Lambda}N\widetilde{\Lambda})^{\vee} \to (\widetilde{\Lambda}M\widetilde{\Lambda})^{\vee}$ of ϕ is given by $\phi^{\vee}(n_{i}^{\vee}) = \sum_{j} (-1)^{|\phi||n_{i}|+|a_{ij}|(|m_{j}|+|n_{i}|+|b_{ij}|)} b_{ij}m_{j}^{\vee}a_{ij}$

where $\{m_i^{\vee}\}, \{n_i^{\vee}\}\$ denote the dual basis of D(M) and D(N).

6.7.4. Proof of Theorem 6.24. We prove the theorem by constructing a projectively cofibrant resolution $Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\Lambda}$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ in $C(\tilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr})$ such that Q is perfect and $Q^{\vee}[3](-2) \cong Q$.

Recall that $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \cong AV^*A \oplus ASA$, $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \cong AV^*A \oplus AV^{\circledast}A$, $\widetilde{A} \cong AA \oplus AV[1]A$ as cohomological graded modules over A^{e} . It is straightforward to check that the canonical isomorphisms $D(S) = A_0, D(V^*) = V, D(V^*) = V^{\circledast}$ induces isomorphisms

(6-56)
$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}^{\vee}[1] \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{A}, \ \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}^{\vee}[1] \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \ \widetilde{A}^{\vee}[1] \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}$$

(where $(-)^{\vee}$ denotes A^{e} -duality) in $C(A^{e})$.

We set $Q_{(2)} := \widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-2), Q_{(1)} := \widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1), Q_{(0)} := \widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_A \widetilde{A} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$. As cohomological graded modules over $\widetilde{\Lambda}^e$, we have $Q_{(2)} \cong \widetilde{\Lambda} V^* \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus \widetilde{\Lambda} S \widetilde{\Lambda}, Q_{(1)} \cong \widetilde{\Lambda} V^* \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus \widetilde{\Lambda} V^{\circledast} \widetilde{\Lambda}, Q_{(0)} \cong \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus \widetilde{\Lambda} V[1] \widetilde{\Lambda}$.

We define a morphism $Y: Q_{(2)} \to Q_{(1)}$ in $C(\tilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr})$ in the following way. For $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $i \in Q_0$, we set

$$\begin{split} Y(\alpha^*) &:= \alpha^* \otimes \varrho \otimes 1 - \varrho \otimes \alpha^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha^* \otimes \varrho - 1 \otimes \varrho \otimes \alpha^*, \\ Y(\mathfrak{s}_i) &:= v_i^{-1} \sum_{\beta: t(\beta) = i} \beta^{\circledast} \otimes \beta^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \beta^{\circledast} \otimes \beta^* - v_i^{-1} \sum_{\beta: h(\beta) = i} \beta^* \otimes \beta^{\circledast} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \beta^* \otimes \beta^{\circledast} \\ \end{split}$$

where \otimes denotes \otimes_A .

For $i \in Q_0$, we define an element $\varrho'_i \in Q_{(0)}$ of cohomological degree -1 to be

$$\varrho_i' := v_i^{-1} \sum_{\beta: t(\beta) = i} 1 \otimes \downarrow \beta \otimes \beta^* - v_i^{-1} \sum_{\beta: h(\beta) = i} \beta^* \otimes \downarrow \beta \otimes 1.$$
We define a morphism $Z: Q_{(1)} \to Q_{(0)}$ in $C(\Lambda^{e} \operatorname{Gr})$ in the following way. For $\alpha \in Q_{1}$ and $i \in Q_{0}$, we set

$$Z(\alpha^*) := \alpha^* \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha^*$$
$$Z(\alpha^{\circledast}) := \varrho'\alpha - \alpha \varrho' + \varrho \otimes \downarrow \alpha \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \downarrow \alpha \otimes \varrho + \alpha^{\circledast} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha^{\circledast}$$

where \otimes denotes \otimes_A .

We define a morphism $\mathsf{G}: Q_{(2)} \to Q_{(0)}$ in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{Gr})$ of cohomological degree -1 in the following way. For $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $i \in Q_0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{G}(\alpha^*) &:= -\alpha^{\circ} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha^{\circ} - \alpha^* \varrho' + \varrho' \alpha^* \\ \mathsf{G}(\mathfrak{s}_i) &:= -\mathfrak{t}_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathfrak{t}_i + v_i^{-1} \sum_{\beta: t(\beta) = i} 1 \otimes \downarrow \beta \otimes \beta^{\circ} + v_i^{-1} \sum_{\beta: h(\beta) = i} \beta^{\circ} \otimes \downarrow \beta \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

where \otimes denotes \otimes_A .

(6-58)

The isomorphisms (6-56) induces isomorphisms

(6-57)
$$Q_{(0)}^{\vee}(-2)[1] \cong Q_2, \ Q_{(1)}^{\vee}(-2)[1] \cong Q_1, \ Q_{(2)}^{\vee}(-2)[1] \cong Q_0$$

(where $(-)^{\vee}$ denotes $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}$ -duality) in $\mathsf{C}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}\operatorname{Gr})$.

We leave it to prove the following lemma to the readers.

Lemma 6.26. (1) G is a homotopy from ZY to 0.

- (2) The morphism $Y^{\vee}(-2)[1]: Q_{(1)}^{\vee}(-2)[1] \to Q_{(2)}^{\vee}(-2)[1]$ corresponds to Z under the isomorphisms (6-57).
- (3) The morphism $Z^{\vee}(-2)[1]: Q_{(0)}^{\vee}(-2)[1] \rightarrow Q_{(1)}^{\vee}(-2)[1]$ corresponds to Y under the isomorphisms (6-57).
- (4) The morphism $\mathsf{G}^{\vee}(-2)[1]: Q_{(0)}^{\vee}(-2)[1] \to Q_{(2)}^{\vee}(-2)[1]$ corresponds to G under the isomorphisms (6-57).

In other words, we have the following diagram in $C(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}Gr)$



Let Q be the totalization of the lower half part of the above diagram (6-58). Namely, we set

$$Q := \left(Q_{(0)} \oplus Q_{(1)}[1] \oplus Q_{(2)}[2], \begin{pmatrix} d & Z \uparrow & G \uparrow^2 \\ 0 & d & -\downarrow Y \uparrow^2 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

It follows from Lemma 6.26 that the isomorphism isomorphisms (6-57) induces an isomorphism $Q^{\vee}(-2)[3] \cong Q$ in $C(\tilde{\Lambda}^e)$. It is clear that Q is projectively cofibrant in $C(\tilde{\Lambda}^e)$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 6.24, it is enough to show that Q is quasi-isomorphic to $\tilde{\Lambda}$ in $C(\tilde{\Lambda}^e)$.

We set $Q'_{(0)} := \widetilde{\Lambda}\widetilde{\Lambda} = \widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_A A \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}$ and denote by $\mu' : Q_{(0)} \to Q'_{(0)}$ the morphism induced from $\mu : \widetilde{A} \to A$. We set $Z' := \mu'Z$, $\mathsf{G}' := \mu'\mathsf{G}$ and Q' to be the totalization

of the diagram below.



Since μ is a quasi-isomorphism of projectively cofibrant DG-modules over A^{e} , μ' is a quasi-isomorphism over $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}$ and hence so is the morphism $Q \to Q'$ induced from μ' .

Let $\epsilon : \widetilde{\Lambda}\widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}, x \otimes y \mapsto xy$ be the multiplication map. Observe that $\epsilon Z' = 0, \epsilon \mathsf{G} = 0$ and hence that ϵ induces a morphism $\epsilon_{Q'} := (\epsilon, 0, 0) : Q' \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ in $\mathsf{C}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{e}})$. We finish the proof by showing $\epsilon_{Q'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

We define increasing filtrations on Q' and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ as follows. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$F_i(Q_{(2)}) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\geq -i} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}, F_i(Q_{(1)}) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\geq -i} \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}, F_i(Q'_{(0)}) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_{\geq -i} \widetilde{\Lambda},$$

and $F_i(Q')$ denotes the induced filtration. We set $F_i(\tilde{\Lambda}) = \tilde{\Lambda}_{\geq -i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\epsilon_{Q'}$ preserves filtrations. For i > 0, the graded quotients $G_i(Q'), G_i(\tilde{\Lambda})$ are zero. It is clear that $G_0(\tilde{\Lambda}) \cong A$ as objects of $C(A \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr})$. On the other hand, 0-th graded quotient $G_0(Q')$ is isomorphic to the object P of $C(A \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr})$ defined in Section 6.4.4 and that the induced morphism $G_0(\epsilon_{Q'})$ corresponds to the quasiisomorphism $\epsilon_P : P \to A$. Observes that for i > 0, we have an isomorphisms $G_{-i}(Q') \cong \tilde{\Lambda}_i \otimes_A P$ and $G_{-i}(\tilde{\Lambda}) \cong \tilde{\Lambda}_i$ in $C(A \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr})$ and that the induced morphism $G_{-i}(\epsilon_{Q'})$ corresponds to $\tilde{\Lambda}_i \otimes_A \epsilon_P$. Since $\tilde{\Lambda}_i$ is projectively cofibrant, $\tilde{\Lambda}_i \otimes_A \epsilon_P$ is a quasi-isomorphism and so is $G_{-i}(\epsilon_{Q'})$. Finally, since the filtration is exhausted and bounded in each *-degree, we conclude that the morphism $\epsilon_{Q'} : Q' \to \tilde{\Lambda}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

6.8. The exact triangle \widehat{U} . The aim of this section is to show that there exists an exact triangle

$$\widehat{\mathsf{U}}:\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)\xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathsf{r}_{\varrho}}}\widetilde{\Lambda}\xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}}\widetilde{\Pi}\rightarrow$$

in $D(\widetilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr})$ which is sent to U by the forgetful functor $D(\widetilde{\Lambda}^e \operatorname{Gr}) \to D(\widetilde{\Lambda} \operatorname{Gr})$. The reader can postpone this section until Section 9.

The problem here is that the morphism $r_{\varrho} : \Lambda(-1) \to \Lambda$ dose not commute with the right action of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and hence it is not a morphism of dg-modules over $\tilde{\Lambda}^{e}$. To overcome this problem, we use theory of A_{∞} -algebras for which we refer [37].

For simplicity we set $R := \widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}$. We denote the category of *-graded A_{∞} -R-modules by $C_{A_{\infty}}(R \operatorname{Gr})$. Recall that we regard a *-graded dg-R-module M as a *-graded A_{∞} -R-modules by setting higher multiplication $\{m_{n}^{M}\}_{n\geq 1}$ as

$$m_n^M := \begin{cases} d \text{ (the differential of } M) & (n=1), \\ \text{the left action map} : R \otimes M \to M & (n=2), \\ 0 & (n \ge 3). \end{cases}$$

This assignment yields a functor can : $C(R \operatorname{Gr}) \to C_{A_{\infty}}(R \operatorname{Gr})$, which induces an equivalence $D(R \operatorname{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{A_{\infty}}(R \operatorname{Gr})$ of derived categories. Thus we may identify the derived category $D_{A_{\infty}}(R \operatorname{Gr})$ of *-graded A_{∞} -R-modules with the derived category $D(R \operatorname{Gr})$ of *-graded dg-R-modules.

A point here is that the functor can is faithful, but not full. In other words, even for *-graded dg-*R*-modules *M* and *N*, there exists a morphism $g := \{g_n\}_{n \ge 1} : M \to N$ of *-graded A_{∞} -*R*-modules which does not come from morphisms of *-graded dg-*R*-modules, i.e., $g_n \neq 0$ for some $n \ge 2$. We define a morphism $\hat{\mathsf{r}}_{\varrho} = \{f_n\}_{n\geq 1} : \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda} \text{ of }*\text{-graded } A_{\infty}\text{-}R\text{-modules}$ whose 1-st component f_1 equals to r_{ϱ} in the following way. So, first we set $f_1 := \mathsf{r}_{\varrho}$. Next, we define a morphism $f_2 : R \otimes \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ of $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(\mathbf{k}\operatorname{Gr})$ of cohomological degree -1 to be

$$f_2((r \otimes s) \otimes x) := (-1)^{|r| + (|s|+1)|x|} rx \mathsf{H}(s)$$

for $r \otimes s \in R$ and $x \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Finally, for $n \geq 3$, we set $f_n := 0$.

Lemma 6.27. The collection $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\varrho} := \{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a morphism of *-graded A_{∞} -R-modules.

$$\widehat{\mathsf{r}_{\rho}}: \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}.$$

Proof. Since almost all the relevant morphisms are zero, we only have to check the following three equations

$$\begin{split} f_1 m_1^{\Lambda} &- m_1^{\Lambda} f_1 = 0, \\ f_1 m_2^{\widetilde{\Lambda}} &- m_2^{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(_R f_1) = m_1^{\widetilde{\Lambda}} f_2 + f_2(\mathsf{d}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}) + f_2(_R m_1^{\widetilde{\Lambda}}), \\ f_2(_R m_2^{\widetilde{\Lambda}}) &- f_2 \mathsf{m}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}} = -m_2^{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(_R f_2) \end{split}$$

where $d : R \to R$ is the differential and $m : R \otimes R \to R$ is the multiplication map.

The first one is just says that $f_1 = r_{\varrho}$ is a cochain map. Thus it is already verified.

We set the left hand side and the right hand side of the second equation by L and R. Then

$$\begin{split} L((r \otimes s) \otimes x) &= (-1)^{|s||x|} rxs\varrho - (-1)^{|s||x|} rx\varrho s = (-1)^{|s||x|} rx[s,\varrho], \\ R((r \otimes s) \otimes x) &= d((-1)^{|r|+(|s|+1)|x|} rx\mathsf{H}(s)) + \\ f_2 \left((dr \otimes s) \otimes x + (-1)^{|r|} (r \otimes ds) \otimes x + (-1)^{|r|+|s|} (r \otimes s) \otimes x \right) \\ &= (-1)^{|s||x|} rx(d\mathsf{H}(s) + \mathsf{H}d(s)) \\ &= (-1)^{|s||x|} rx[s,\varrho] \end{split}$$

This shows L = R.

We set the left hand side and the right hand side of the third equation by L and R. Then

$$\begin{split} L((r\otimes s)\otimes(t\otimes u)\otimes x) &= (-1)^{|x||u|}f_2((r\otimes s)\otimes txu) - (-1)^{|s||t|+|u||s|}f_2((rt\otimes us)\otimes x) \\ &= (-1)^{|x||u|+|r|+(|t|+|x|+|u|)(|s|+1)}rtxu\mathsf{H}(s) \\ &- (-1)^{|s||t|+|u||s|+|r|+|t|+|x|(|s|+|u|+1)}rtx\mathsf{H}(us) \\ &= (-1)^{|s||t|+|u||s|+|r|+|t|+|x|(|s|+|u|+1)} \left((-1)^{|u|}rtxu\mathsf{H}(s) - rtx\mathsf{H}(us) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{|s||t|+|u||s|+|r|+|t|+|x|(|s|+|u|+1)} trx\mathsf{H}(u)s, \\ R((r\otimes s)\otimes(t\otimes u)\otimes x) &= -(-1)^{|t|+(|u|+1)|x|+|r|+|s|}m_2((r\otimes s)tx\mathsf{H}(u)) \\ &= (-1)^{|t|+(|u|+1)|x|+|r|+|s|+1+(|t|+|x|+|u|-1)|s|}rtx\mathsf{H}(u)s \end{split}$$

We check that the exponents of (-1) of both equations coincide and verify L = R.

By the definition of composition of morphisms of A_{∞} -modules, the composition $\tilde{\pi}\hat{r_{\varrho}}: \tilde{\Lambda} \to \tilde{\Pi}$ is the collection $\tilde{\pi}\hat{r_{\varrho}} = {\tilde{\pi}f_n}_{n\geq 1}$.

Next we construct a homotopy \mathcal{H} from $\tilde{\pi}\hat{r_{\rho}}$ the composition to 0. We define morphisms $\mathcal{H}_n: R^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \tilde{\Lambda} \to \tilde{\Lambda}$ in $\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{DG}}(\mathbf{k} \operatorname{Gr})$ of cohomological degree -n to be

$$\mathcal{H}_n := \begin{cases} -\mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}} \tilde{\pi} & (n=1), \\ 0 & (n \ge 2). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.28. The collection $\mathcal{H} := {\mathcal{H}_n}_{n\geq 1}$ is a homotopy from $\tilde{\pi}\hat{\mathfrak{r}_{\varrho}}$ to 0 of morphisms of A_{∞} -R-modules.

Proof. Since almost all the relevant morphisms are zero, we only have to check the following two equations

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\pi} f_1 &= m_1^{\Pi} \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_1 m_1^{\Lambda}, \\ \tilde{\pi} f_2 &= -m_2^{\Pi} (_R \mathcal{H}_1) + \mathcal{H}_1 m_2^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \end{split}$$

The first one is already verified in Lemma 6.7.

We set the left hand side and the right hand side of the second equation by L and R. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} L((r\otimes s)\otimes x) &= (-1)^{|r|+(|s|+1)|x|}\tilde{\pi}(r)\tilde{\pi}(x)\tilde{\pi}(\mathsf{H}(s)) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} (-1)^{|r|+(|s|+1)|x|}\tilde{\pi}(rx)[\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\pi}(s)] \\ R((r\otimes s)\otimes x) &= -(-1)^{|r|+|s|}m_{2}^{\widetilde{\Pi}}((r\otimes s)\otimes \mathcal{H}_{1}(x)) + (-1)^{|s||x|}\mathcal{H}_{1}(rxs) \\ &= (-1)^{|r|+|s|}m_{2}^{\widetilde{\Pi}}((r\otimes s)\otimes \mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(x)) + (-1)^{|s||x|+1}\mathsf{r}_{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\pi}(rxs) \\ &= (-1)^{|r|+|s|+|x|+(|x|+1)|s|}\tilde{\pi}(r)\tilde{\pi}(x)\mathfrak{s}\tilde{\pi}(s) + (-1)^{|s||x|+1+|r|+|x|+|s|}\tilde{\pi}(rxs)\mathfrak{s} \\ &= (-1)^{|r|+|s|+|x|+(|x|+1)|s|}\tilde{\pi}(rx)\mathfrak{s}\tilde{\pi}(s) - (-1)^{|s|}\tilde{\pi}(rx)\tilde{\pi}(s)\mathfrak{s} \\ &= (-1)^{|r|+(|s|+1)|x|}\left(\tilde{\pi}(rx)\mathfrak{s}\tilde{\pi}(s) - (-1)^{|s|}\tilde{\pi}(rx)\tilde{\pi}(s)\mathfrak{s}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{|r|+(|s|+1)|x|}\tilde{\pi}(rx)[\mathfrak{s},\tilde{\pi}(s)] \end{split}$$

where for $\stackrel{(*)}{=}$ we use Lemma 6.10. This shows L = R.

Let $C := \operatorname{cn}(\widehat{r_{\varrho}})$ be the cone of $\widehat{r_{\varrho}} = \{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} : \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Namely, it is a *-graded A_{∞} -R-module (which is actually a *-graded dg-R-module) whose underlying cohomological graded object is $\widetilde{\Lambda} \oplus \widetilde{\Lambda}[1]$ with the higher multiplications $\{m_n^C\}_{n \geq 1}$ given as below

$$m_1^C = \begin{pmatrix} d_{\widetilde{\Lambda}} & f_1 \uparrow \\ 0 & d_{\widetilde{\Lambda}[1]} \end{pmatrix}, \ m_2^C = \begin{pmatrix} m_2 & -f_2 \uparrow \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \ m_n^C = 0 \quad (n \ge 3).$$

The homotopy \mathcal{H} induces a morphism $\hat{q} = {\hat{q}_n}_{n \ge 1} : C \to \widetilde{\Pi}$ of A_{∞} -*R*-modules, which is defined by

$$\hat{q}_1 := (\tilde{\pi}, \mathcal{H}_1 \uparrow), \ \hat{q}_n = 0 \quad (n \ge 2).$$

Theorem 6.29. The morphism $\hat{q} : C \to \widetilde{\Pi}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of A_{∞} - $\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}$ -modules. Hence we have an exact triangle

$$\widehat{\mathsf{U}}:\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)\xrightarrow{\check{\mathsf{r}}_{\varrho}}\widetilde{\Lambda}\xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}}\widetilde{\Pi}\to\widetilde{\Lambda}(-1)[1].$$

in $D(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e} \operatorname{Gr})$ which is sent to the exact triangle U by the forgetful functor $D(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e} \operatorname{Gr}) \rightarrow D(\widetilde{\Lambda} \operatorname{Gr})$.

Proof. Recall that a morphism $g = \{g_n\}_{n \ge 1} : M \to N$ of A_{∞} -modules is called a *quasi-isomorphism* if the 1-st component g_1 is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. Since $\hat{q}_1 = q_{r_{\varrho}, \tilde{\pi}, -r_s \tilde{\pi}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism by Theorem 6.8, the morphism $\hat{q} : C \to \widetilde{\Pi}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

The forgetful functor $D(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e} \operatorname{Gr}) \to D(\widetilde{\Lambda} \operatorname{Gr})$ is the restriction functor along the morphism $a: \widetilde{\Lambda} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}^{e}, r \mapsto r \otimes 1$. It follows that the morphism $\widehat{r_{\varrho}}: \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ of $C_{A_{\infty}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e})$ is sent to $r_{\varrho}: \widetilde{\Lambda}(-1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Thus we conclude that the exact triangle \widehat{U} is sent to U by the forgetful functor $D(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{e} \operatorname{Gr}) \to D(\widetilde{\Lambda} \operatorname{Gr})$.

7. QHA of non-Dynkin type for non-sincere weight

In this section, we study the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda$ of a non-Dynkin quiver Q for arbitrary weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$. So throughout this section Q denotes a non-Dynkin quiver.

As we point out in Proposition 7.1 below, the derived QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda$ defined only for a sincere weight v, is quasi-isomorphic to the (non-derived) QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda$. The aim of this section is to show that almost all results about ${}^{v}\Lambda \simeq {}^{v}\Lambda$ given in the previous section, holds true for ${}^{v}\Lambda$ even in the case where the weight v is not sincere.

7.1. Preparations.

7.1.1. First we point out that the derived QHA and the non-derived QHA are quasi-isomorphic.

Proposition 7.1. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ be a sincere weight. Then the derived QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda$ is concentrated in the 0-th cohomological grading and consequently the canonical morphism ${}^{v}\Lambda \to {}^{v}\Lambda$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 6.8, there exists an exact triangle ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{O}}} {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{v_{\tilde{\pi}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n+1} \rightarrow$ for $n \geq 0$. Since Q is non-Dynkin, $\widetilde{\Pi}_{n}$ is concentrated in 0-th cohomological degree. Thus by induction on n, we can show that ${}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}$ is concentrated in 0-th cohomological degree.

Thanks to Theorem 6.24, we deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. Let Q be a non-Dynkin quiver and $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ is sincere. Then the QHA ${}^{v}\Lambda(Q)$ is 3-Calabi-Yau.

7.1.2. Flatness of the deformation family Π_{\bullet} of the preprojective algebras over $\mathbf{k}Q_0$. Recall that the deformation family of the preprojective algebras is defined to be

$$\Pi_{\bullet} := \Pi(Q)_{\bullet} := \frac{\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_r]Q}{(\rho_i - x_i e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}$$

where $r = \#Q_0$. Let $R := \mathbf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$. Then the deformation family Π_{\bullet} is an algebra over R.

We give a proof of the following well-known result.

Theorem 7.3. The algebra Π_{\bullet} is flat over R.

Proof. We may assume that **k** is algebraically closed. In this proof, we equip \overline{Q} with the path length grading and R is regraded as in 0-th degree with respect to this grading. Then the grading gives a filtration $\{\Pi_{\bullet,\leq n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ on Π_{\bullet} . Since it is exhaustive, it is enough to show that $\Pi_{\bullet,\leq n}$ is flat over R for any $n \geq 0$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_i \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$, we denote by $\Pi_{\lambda} := \mathbf{k}\overline{Q}/(\rho_i - \lambda_i \mid i \in Q_0)$ the deformed preprojective algebra. Then we have $\Pi_{\bullet} \otimes_R \kappa(\lambda) \cong \Pi_{\lambda}$ where $\kappa(\lambda) = R/(x_i - \lambda_i \mid i \in Q)$ is the residue field and we identify it with \mathbf{k} . The grading also gives a filtration $\{\Pi_{\lambda,\leq n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ on Π_{λ} and the above isomorphism compatible with filtrations. Namely we have $\Pi_{\bullet,\leq n} \otimes_R \kappa(\lambda) \cong \Pi_{\lambda,\leq n}$ for $n \geq 0$.

By [9, Lemma 2.3], the *n*-th graded quotient $\Pi_{\lambda,\leq n}/\Pi_{\lambda,\leq n-1}$ is isomorphic to the *n*-th degree part Π_n of Π with respect to the path length grading. It follows that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \Pi_{\lambda,\leq n}$ is independent on λ and hence $\Pi_{\lambda,\leq n}$ is flat over R by Lemma 12.4.

7.2.

7.2.1. We recall from Lemma 1.6 that if a weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ is sincere, then

$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) \cong \frac{\mathbf{k}[z]\overline{Q}}{(\rho_i - v_i z e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}.$$

We identify these two algebras. In the case $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is not necessarily sincere, we set

(7-59)
$${}^{v}\Lambda(Q) := \frac{\mathbf{k}[z]Q}{(\rho_i - v_i z e_i \mid i \in Q_0)}.$$

We regard this algebra as *-graded algebra by setting deg^{*} $\alpha := 0$, deg^{*} $\alpha^* := 1$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$ and deg^{*} z := 1. Recall that in the case where v is sincere, the element $z \in {}^{v}\Lambda$ coincides with the weighted mesh relation ${}^{v}\varrho$ under the above identification. Abusing the notation, even in the case v is not sincere, we denote by ${}^{v}\varrho : {}^{v}\Lambda \to {}^{v}\Lambda$ the multiplication by $z \in {}^{v}\Lambda$.

$${}^{v}\varrho: {}^{v}\Lambda \to {}^{v}\Lambda, \ x \mapsto xz.$$

Lemma 7.4. The element $z \in {}^{v}\Lambda$ is regular, i.e., the multiplication map ${}^{v}\varrho$ by z is injective.

Proof. Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$. We define a homomorphism $\psi_v : R \to \mathbf{k}[z]$ of algebras to be $\psi_v(x_i) := v_i z$ $(i \in Q_0)$. Then ${}^v\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $\Pi_{\bullet} \otimes_R \psi_v \mathbf{k}[z]$ as algebras over $\mathbf{k}[z]$ and it is flat over $\mathbf{k}[z]$ by Theorem 7.3. Thus in particular the multiplication by z is injective.

Let ${}^{v}\!\pi : {}^{v}\!\Lambda \to \Pi$ be the canonical projection. As is the same with the sincere case, the kernel Ker ${}^{v}\!\pi$ is generated by z and we have the following exact sequence

(7-60)
$$0 \to {}^{v}\Lambda(-1) \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\varrho} {}^{v}\Lambda \xrightarrow{{}^{v}\pi} \Pi \to 0$$

of graded ${}^{v}\Lambda$ -modules. Looking at the *-degree 1 part of this exact sequence, we obtain an exact sequence of A-bimodules

(7-61)
$$0 \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n-1} \xrightarrow{v_{\varrho}} {}^{v}\Lambda_n \xrightarrow{v_{\pi_n}} \Pi_n \to 0.$$

In particular the *-degree 1-parti is of the following form:

(7-62)
$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{v_{\mathcal{Q}}} {}^{v}\Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{v_{\pi_1}} \Pi_1 \to 0.$$

Since we are assuming Q is non-Dynkin, we have $\Pi_1 \cong \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \cong A^{\mathbb{V}}[1]$. We denote the Yoneda class of this exact sequence by ${}^{v}\!\theta' \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A^{e}}^{1}(\Pi_1, A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$.

On the other hand, we introduced the element ${}^{v}\tilde{\theta} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$ in Definition 5.4. In the case v is sincere, we have the equality ${}^{v}\tilde{\theta} = {}^{v}\theta'$. In the next lemma, we prove this equality holds even for non-sincere weight.

Lemma 7.5. We have the following equality in Hom_{A^e}($A^{\mathbb{V}}, A$):

$${}^{v}\tilde{\theta} = {}^{v}\theta'.$$

Proof is given in Section 7.3. We point out the following corollary.

Corollary 7.6. For $n \ge 0$, the *-degree n-part ${}^{v}\Lambda_{n}$ is preprojective as an A-module (resp. as an A^{op} -module).

Proof. For $n \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence $0 \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n+1} \to \Pi_{n+1} \to 0$. Since the class of preprojective modules is closed under extension, inductively we can show that ${}^{v}\Lambda_{n}$ is preprojective as an A-module.

7.2.2. We define a morphism ${}^{v}\zeta: {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda \to {}^{v}\Lambda$ to be the multiplication map

$${}^{v}\zeta: {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda \to {}^{v}\Lambda, \ \zeta(x \otimes y) := xy.$$

We denote the *-graded version by the same symbol ${}^{v}\zeta : {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda(-1) \to {}^{v}\Lambda$. We denote the *-degree *n*-component by ${}^{v}\zeta_{n} : {}^{v}\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\Lambda_{n-1} \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n}$. Since ${}^{v}\Lambda$ is generated by *-degree 0, 1-part, the map ${}^{v}\zeta$ is surjective.

Observe that the homomorphism $\eta_2^* : AV^*A \to {}^{v}\Lambda \otimes_A {}^{v}\Lambda$ of A^{e} -modules given by ${}^{v}\eta_2^*(\alpha^*) := \alpha^* \otimes z - z \otimes \alpha^*$ induces a homomorphism ${}^{v}\eta_2^* : \Pi_1 \to {}^{v}\Lambda \otimes_A {}^{v}\Lambda$ of A^{e} denoted by the same symbol.

We set ${}^{v}\eta_{n}^{*} := ({}^{v}\Lambda_{1}{}^{v}\zeta_{n-1})({}^{v}\eta_{2,{}^{v}\Lambda_{n-2}}^{*})$ in A^{e} Mod.

$${}^{v}\eta_{n}^{*}:\Pi_{1}\otimes_{A}{}^{v}\Lambda_{n-2}\xrightarrow{{}^{v}\eta_{2}^{*},{}^{v}\Lambda_{n-2}}{}^{v}\Lambda_{1}\otimes_{A}{}^{v}\Lambda_{1}\otimes_{A}{}^{v}\Lambda_{n-2}\xrightarrow{{}^{v}\Lambda_{1}}{}^{v}\zeta_{n-1}}{}^{v}\Lambda_{1}\otimes_{A}{}^{v}\Lambda_{n-1}.$$

Combining ${}^{v}\!\eta_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$, we obtain a morphism ${}^{v}\!\eta : \Pi_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\!\Lambda \to {}^{v}\!\Lambda_{1} \otimes_{A} {}^{v}\!\Lambda$ in $A \otimes \Lambda^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{Mod}$.

We note that these morphisms $v\zeta$, $v\eta^*$ coincide with $v\tilde{\zeta}$, $v\tilde{\eta}^*$ in the case where the weight v is sincere.

Lemma 7.7. For $n \ge 2$, we have the following commutative diagram where rows and columns are exact sequences in $A^{e} \mod 2$



Note that by Corollary 7.6, the tensor products \otimes_A in the above diagram compute the derived tensor products $\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check the commutativity of the three squares of the diagram. The bottom row is exact by Lemma 7.4. Since $\operatorname{Tor}_{>0}^{A}(\Pi_{1}, {}^{v}\Lambda_{n-1}) = 0$ by Corollary 7.6, it follows from Lemma 7.4 that the top row is exact. Similarly, since $\operatorname{Tor}_{>0}^{A}(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{n-1}) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 7.4 that the right column is exact. Now by Snake Lemma we see that the left column is exact. \Box

7.2.3. *Equations*. By a straight forward computation we can check the following versions of Lemma 6.22 and Lemma 6.23.

Lemma 7.8. We have the following equalities

$$({^v\eta}_2^*)({^v\pi}_1)={_v\Lambda_1}{^varrho}-{^varrho}_{v\Lambda_1}, \ ({_v\Lambda_1}{^v\pi}_1)({^v\eta}_2^*)=-{^varrho}$$

In other words, the following diagram is commutative in $A^{e} \mod$.



7.3. Proof of Lemma 7.5. We may assume that k is algebraically closed.

Let $S := \mathbf{k}[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$ be (a copy of) the coordinate ring of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$. Finally we set T := S[z]. Note that there is a canonical injection $S \hookrightarrow T$ and a canonical sujection $T \to S$ that sends z to 0. Let $f : R \to T$ be the algebra homomorphism given by $f(x_i) := y_i z$ for all $i \in Q_0$.

We set

$${}^{S}\!\Lambda := \Pi_{\bullet} \otimes_{R f} T = \frac{T\overline{Q}}{(\rho_{i} - y_{i}ze_{i} \mid i \in Q_{0})}$$

We regard ${}^{S}\Lambda$ as a *-graded algebra by setting deg^{*} $y_i = 0$, deg^{*} z := 1 for $i \in Q_0$. Note that the *-degree 0-part $({}^{S}\Lambda)_0$ coincides with the path algebra SQ of Q with the coefficients in S.

Observe that the canonical homomorphism $T\overline{Q} \to S\overline{Q}$ of algebras induces a surjective homomorphism ${}^{S}\pi : {}^{S}\Lambda \to \Pi \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S$ of algebras whose kernel is generated by z. Since ${}^{S}\Lambda$ is flat over T, the multiplication by z is injective. In other words, there is an exact sequence of ${}^{S}\Lambda$ -modules

(7-63)
$$0 \to {}^{S}\!\Lambda \xrightarrow{z} {}^{S}\!\Lambda \xrightarrow{s_{\pi}} \Pi \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S \to 0.$$

We set $SQ^{e_{/S}} := SQ \otimes_S (SQ)^{\text{op}}$. Looking at the *-degree 0 part of (7-63), we obtain an exact sequence of $SQ^{e_{/S}}$ -modules:

(7-64)
$$0 \to SQ \xrightarrow{z} {}^{S}\Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{s_{\pi}} \Pi_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S \to 0.$$

We denote by ${}^{S}\!\theta' \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{SQ^{e}/S}(\Pi_{1} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S, SQ)$ the corresponding Yoneda class.

Note that we have $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{SQ^{e}/S}(\Pi_{1} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S, SQ) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A^{e}}(\Pi_{1}, A) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S$, since $SQ \cong A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S$. We define the element ${}^{S}\!\tilde{\theta}$ of $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A^{e}}(\Pi_{1}, A) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S$ to be

$${}^{S}\! ilde{ heta} := \sum_{i \in Q_0} ilde{e}_i \otimes y_i$$

where we regard the elements $\tilde{e}_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, A)$ given in Section 5.3 as elements of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A^e}^1(\Pi_1, A)$.

Let $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$. We may identify the residue field $\kappa(v) := S/(y_i - v_i \mid i \in Q_0)$ with **k**. We extends the canonical projection $S \to \kappa(v) = \mathbf{k}$ to $g_v : T = S[z] \to \mathbf{k}[z]$. We have an isomorphism ${}^{S}\!\Lambda \otimes_S \kappa(v) \cong {}^{v}\!\Lambda$ of algebras. Moreover taking $- \otimes_T {}_{g_v}\mathbf{k}[z]$ to the exact sequence (7-63) we obtain the exact sequence (7-60). It follows that ${}^{S}\!\theta' \otimes_S \kappa(v) = {}^{v}\!\theta'$ in $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{SQ}(\Pi_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S, SQ) \otimes_S \kappa(v) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(\Pi_1, A)$.

On the other hand, it is clear that ${}^{S}\!\tilde{\theta} \otimes_{S} \kappa(v) = {}^{v}\!\tilde{\theta}$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A^{e}}^{1}(\Pi_{1}, A)$.

Let $U := \mathbf{k}[y_i, y_i^{-1} | i \in Q_0]$ be the coordinate ring of the space $\mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ of sincere weights. By construction, if v is sincere, then we have ${}^{v}\!\tilde{\theta} = {}^{v}\!\theta'$. It follows that ${}^{S}\!\tilde{\theta} \otimes_{S} U = {}^{S}\!\theta' \otimes_{S} U$ in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A^{e}}(\Pi_1, A) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} U$. Since the localization $S \to U$ is injective, we conclude that ${}^{S}\!\tilde{\theta} = {}^{S}\!\theta'$ as desired. \Box

8. Minimal right and left rad^n -approximations

It is convenient to set

$$\mathcal{W}_Q := \begin{cases} \mathbf{k}^{\times} Q_0 & (Q: \text{ Dynkin}), \\ \mathbf{k} Q_0 & (Q: \text{ non-Dynkin}). \end{cases}$$

From now until the end of Section 11, we fix an element $v = (v_i) \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ and omit v from most of our notation.

8.1. Minimal right rad^{*n*}-approximations. It follows from Theorem 5.12 that for $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$, the morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{1,M} : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ is a minimal right rad-approximation. The aim of this subsection is to prove a higher version of this statement.

For this purpose, we need to introduce the following condition.

8.1.1. The property $(I)_{M,n}$.

Definition 8.1. Let M be an indecomposable object of $D^{b}(A \mod)$ and $n \geq 1$. We say that $v \in W_{Q}$ has the property $(I)_{M,n}$ if we have ${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0$ for any $N \in$ ind $\operatorname{add}\{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \mid 0 \leq m \leq n-1\}.$

We note that the locus of $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ that has the property $(I)_{M,n}$ is determined by finite number of linear equations.

8.1.2. Let $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Applying $- \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ to the exact triangle (6-46) (or (7-61)), we obtain the following exact triangle in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$.

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\check{\pi}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{-\check{\theta}_{n,M}[1]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M[1]$$

where we set $\tilde{\theta}_{n,M} : \widetilde{\Pi}_n[-1] \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ to be the connecting morphism of the above exact triangle. We remark that in this notation the AR-coconnecting morphism $\tilde{\theta}_M$ of (6-39) is denoted by $\tilde{\theta}_{1,M}$.

To state the next theorem, we use the subset $N_Q \subset \mathbb{N}$ given in Definition 3.1.

Theorem 8.2. Let Q be a finite acyclic quiver, $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q^{\geq 1}$. Assume that $v \in W_Q$ has the property $(I)_{M,n}$. Then, the following statements hold.

- (1) The morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{n,M} : \tilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal right radⁿ-approximation of M.
- (2) The morphism $\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^*$ is a split-monomorphism.
- (3) $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \neq 0.$
- (4) The morphism $\check{\varrho}_{n,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M$ satisfies the left and the right rad-fitting condition.

Remark 8.3. It is clear that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular if and only if it has property $(I)_{M,n}$ for all $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \geq 1$. Therefore, if $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular, then the conclusion of Theorem 8.2 hold for all objects $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$.

Proof. We use induction on n. The case n = 1 follows from Theorem 5.12.

We deal with the case $n \ge 2$. We assume that the case n-1 is already verified.

Applying $-\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ to the diagram of Corollary 6.20 or Lemma 7.7, we obtain the following commutative diagram. (8-65)

where two middle rows and two middle columns are exact triangles. It follows from statement (4) for n-1 that the morphism $\prod_{\tilde{\Pi}_1} \check{\varrho}_{n-1,M}$ at the top of the third column satisfies the right rad-fitting condition. By Lemma 2.16, the morphism $\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^*$ is a split monomorphism. This proves statement (2) for n. It follows that $\check{\zeta}_{n,M}$ is a split epimorphism. Since the second row is a direct sum of Auslander-Reiten triangles, by the right version of Lemma 2.12, we conclude that $\tilde{\pi}_{n,M}$ is a minimal right radⁿ-approximation. Thanks to a right version of Theorem 3.2, we conclude that statements (3) and (4) for n hold.

8.1.3. The following assertion is a consequence of Theorem 8.2.

Corollary 8.4. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q^{\geq 2}$. Assume that $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ has property $(I)_{M,n}$. Then the following statements hold.

(1) There exists an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \cong (\widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M)$$

under which the morphisms

$$\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^* : \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M, \tilde{\zeta}_{n,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M.$$

correspond to the canonical injection and the canonical projection.

(2) We have a direct sum of Auslander-Reiten triangle starting from $\Lambda_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ which is of the following form. (8-66)

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M[1].$$

where, the first morphism is of the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{n,M} \\ -\alpha_{n,M} \end{pmatrix} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M)$$

for some morphism $\alpha_{n,M}$, and the second is

$$(\beta_{n,M},_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\rho_{n-1,M}): (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

for some $\beta_{n,M}$ such that $(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}} \widetilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}) \beta_{n,M} = \widetilde{\pi}_{n}.$

(3) The morphisms $\alpha_{n,M}$ and $\beta_{n,M}$ given in (2) provide a morphism of exact triangles of the following form

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varrho}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{n}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{n}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}[1]} \\ & \alpha_{n,M} \\ \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \widetilde{\varrho}_{n-1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \widetilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}[1] \end{split}$$

Proof. (1) and (2) are left to the readers.

(3) The exact triangle (8-66) gives that the left square of the following diagram is a homotopy Cartesian square (see Section A)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M & \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{n,M}} & \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M - - - - - \ast \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M - - - - \ast \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}[1] \\ & \alpha_{n,M} \downarrow & \downarrow \beta_{n,M} & \parallel & \downarrow \alpha_{n,M}[1] \\ \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\check{\varrho}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n-2}[1] \end{split}$$

Therefore by a dual version of [41, Lemma 1.4.4], there exist dotted arrows that make the top row exact. Since $(\tilde{\Pi}_1 \tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M})\beta_{n,M} = \tilde{\pi}_n$, the first dotted arrow is $\tilde{\pi}_{n,M}$. Thus we obtain the desired diagram.

8.1.4. For later quotation, we collect the following lemma that follows from the above commutative diagram (8-65).

Lemma 8.5. There exists the following commutative diagram

In other words, we have the following equality of morphisms $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{n,M}(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}[-1]) = \tilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}.$$

8.2. Left rad^{n} -approximation. Next we discuss left rad^{n} -approximations. For this we introduce the following conditions.

8.2.1. The property $(I')_{M,n}$.

Definition 8.6. Let M be an indecomposable object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \ge 1$. We say that $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ has the property $(I')_{M,n}$ if it has the property $(I)_{M,n}$ and its right version, i.e., we have ${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0$ for any $N \in \operatorname{ind} \operatorname{add} \{M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{m} \mid 0 \le m \le n-1\}$.

We note that if $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ is regular (resp. semi-regular), then it has the property $(I')_{M,n}$ for all $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})$ (resp. $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{U}_A[\mathbb{Z}]$).

8.2.2. Left rad^n -approximation theorem.

Theorem 8.7. Let $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$. Assume that the weight $v \in W_Q$ has the property $(I')_{M,n}$.

(1) We have an isomorphism below in $D^{b}(A \mod)$

$$\Lambda_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \cong \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(M^{\triangleleft} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \Lambda_n, \Pi_n).$$

(2) There exists a minimal left radⁿ-approximation $\beta_M^{(n)}: M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$. (3) There exists the following isomorphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})} N^d$$

where

$$d_N := \dim_{\operatorname{ResEnd}(N)} \operatorname{irr}^n(M, N).$$

Remark 8.8. In the case Q is Dynkin, by Theorem 8.2(4) we have $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M = 0$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.3, the zero morphism $M \to 0$ is a minimal left rad^{h-1} -approximation. It follows that the statement (2) of above theorem also holds for n = h - 1.

Proof. (1) We prove the statement for $n \ge 0$ by induction on n. The case n = 0 is clear and the case n = 1 follows from Proposition 5.20.

We deal with the case $n \ge 2$ by assuming the cases n-1 and n-2 are proved. Applying the right versions of Theorem 8.2 and Corollary 8.4(1) to Q^{op} and the object $M^{\triangleleft} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{mod})$, we see that $M^{\triangleleft} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}$ fits the following direct sum of Auslander-Reiten triangle

$$M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \to (M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}) \oplus (M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \to M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \to M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} [1].$$

Applying $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, \widetilde{\Pi}_n)$ to the above exact triangle and using the induction hypothesis, we obtain a direct sum of Auslander-Reinten triangle (8-67)

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(M^{\triangleleft} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \Lambda_{n}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{n}) \oplus (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M[1].$$

Thus by uniqueness of the middle term of an Auslander-Reiten triangle and the Krull-Schmit property of $D^{b}(A \mod)$, we deduce the following isomorphism by comparing (8-66) with (8-67).

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(M^* \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \Lambda_n, \widetilde{\Pi}_n) \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M.$$

(2) By the right version of Theorem 8.2, the morphism $_{M\triangleleft}\tilde{\pi}_n: M\triangleleft \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \tilde{\Lambda}_n \to$ $M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_n$ is a minimal right rad^{*n*}-approximation of $M^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_n$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{op}} \operatorname{mod})$. Thanks to (1), applying $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-,\Pi_n)$ to it, we obtain a minimal left radⁿapproximation

$$\begin{split} M &\cong \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A}(M^{\triangleleft} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Pi}_{n}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{n}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(_{M} \lhd \tilde{\pi}_{n}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{n})} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A}(M^{\triangleleft} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{n}) \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M. \end{split}$$

(3) follows from (2) and a derived category version of Theorem 2.5.

Combining this result with Theorem 3.12, we come to the following conclusion.

Theorem 8.9. Let $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\mathcal{C}_M \subset D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ the full subcategory that consists of objects belonging to the same components with M in the AR-quiver. Assume that the weight $v \in W_Q$ has the property $(I')_{M,n}$ for any $n \in N_Q$ and that the following condition does not hold: Q is wild and M is a shift of a regular module.

Then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \in N_Q} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{C}_M} N^{\oplus \dim \operatorname{Hom}(M,N)}$$

in D(A).

8.2.3. *Proof of Theorem 1.12.* We give a proof of Theorem 1.12 stated in the introduction.

(1) Let $i \in Q_0$ be a vertex and $P_i := Ae_i$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_A(P_i, N) = \operatorname{H}^0(e_i N)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} P_i = \widetilde{\Lambda} e_i$, it follows from Theorem 8.9 that $\bigoplus_{n \in N_Q} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n e_i \cong \bigoplus_{N \in \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{P}(Q)} N^{\dim e_i N}$. In particular, this shows that the left hand side is concentrated in cohomological degree 0.

Since $\Lambda = \mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, it only remains to show that for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus N_{Q}$ we have $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}e_{i}) = 0$. The case where Q is non-Dynkin, it is trivial since $N_{Q} = \mathbb{N}$. The case where Q is Dynkin follows from Proposition 9.4(4) below.

(2) is an immediate consequence of (1).

8.2.4. The Dynkin case. We give descriptions of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-2}, \widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1}$ for a Dynkin quiver Q with the Coxeter number h.

Proposition 8.10. Assume that Q is Dynkin with the Coxeter number h. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ has the property $(I)_{M,h-2}$. Then, the morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{h-1,M} : \tilde{\Lambda}_{h-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \tilde{\Pi}_{h-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal right rad^{h-1}-approximation. Consequently $\tilde{\Lambda}_{h-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 8.2, the morphism $\tilde{\Pi}_1 \check{\varrho}_{h-2,M}$ satisfies the right rad-fitting condition. Thus, we can apply the above argument to the case n = h - 1 and conclude that $\tilde{\pi}_{h-1,M}$ is a minimal right rad^{h-1}-approximation. The second statement is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 8.11. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-2} \cong D(A)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1} = 0$ in D(A).

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 8.7. The second follows from Proposition 8.10. $\hfill \Box$

8.3. When is the multiplication $\check{\varrho}_M^n: M \to \Lambda_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation? Here we restate Problem 1.24 to fix notations.

The minimal right radⁿ-approximation morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{n,M} : \tilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \Pi_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is explicitly given. In contrast a minimal left radⁿ-approximation $\beta_M^{(n)} : M \to \tilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is only known to exists and we do not have an explicit description at this moment.

In an optimistic expectation, a natural candidate for a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation $\beta_M^{(n)}: M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is the *n*-th power $\check{\varrho}_M^n$ of ϱ

$$\check{\varrho}^n_M: M \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varrho}_M} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{2,M}} \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \dots \to \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{n,M}} \tilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M.$$

Indeed, this is the case when n = 1 by Theorem 5.8. However as is shown in Example 1.8 and Example 10.13, this expectation is not fulfilled even for n = 2.

9. QHA of Dynkin type

In this section we investigate basic properties of (derived) quiver Heisenberg algebras of Dynkin type. So throughout this section, Q denotes a Dynkin quiver.

9.1. Quiver Heisenberg algebras of Dynkin type.

9.1.1. Finiteness of dimension in the Dynkin case. By Corollary 1.13 if the weight v is regular, then the algebra Λ is finite dimensional. We prove the converse.

A. Chan and R. Marczinzik first observed that at a special value of v, the algebra Λ becomes infinite dimensional. The authors thank them for sharing their observation.

Theorem 9.1. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Then Λ is of finite dimension if and only if v is regular.

We need to use another description of Λ , verification of which is left to the readers.

Lemma 9.2. Let \overline{Q}^{\bigcirc} be a quiver obtained from \overline{Q} by adding a loop r_i to each vertex i. For $i \in Q_0$ and $a \in \overline{Q}_1$, we set $\eta'_i := \rho_i - v_i r_i$, $\zeta'_a = r_{t(a)}a - ar_{h(a)}$.

$$r_i \bigcap i \underbrace{\overset{\alpha}{\overbrace{}}_{\alpha^*}}_{\alpha^*} j \bigcap r_j , \quad \frac{e_i \mid \alpha \mid \alpha^* \mid r_i}{\deg' \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 1 \mid 2}$$

Then, the algebra $\Lambda' := \mathbf{k} \overline{Q}^{\circlearrowright} / (\eta'_i, \zeta'_a \mid i \in Q_0, a \in \overline{Q}_1)$ is isomorphic to Λ . If moreover we equip $\overline{Q}^{\circlearrowright}$ with the grading deg' given in the table above, then $\deg' \eta'_i = 2$, $\deg' \zeta'_a = 3$ and the graded algebra Λ' is isomorphic to Λ with the path length grading.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. We only have to prove that if there exists an indecomposable module M such that ${}^{v}\chi(M) = 0$, then dim $\Lambda = \infty$.

First assume that ${}^{v}\chi(S_i) = 0$ for some simple module S_i corresponding to a vertex $i \in Q_0$ or equivalently assume $v_i = 0$. We prove $r_i^n \neq 0$ for any $n \geq 1$ inside Λ' of Lemma 9.2. Assume that $r_i^n = 0$ for some $n \ge 1$ inside Λ' . Then inside the path algebra $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}^{\circ}$, the element r_i^n equals a sum of elements of the forms (1) $p\eta'_j q$ for some $j \in Q_0$ or (2) $r\zeta'_a s$ for some $a \in \overline{Q}_1$. Moreover we may assume that these elements are homogeneous with respect to the grading deg'. Therefore in the case of (1) we have deg' p + deg' q = 2n - 2, in the case (2) we have deg' r + deg' s =2n-3. By the assumption we have $\eta'_i = \rho_i$. It follows from degree reasons that monomials in elements of the forms (1) or (2) cannot contain $n r_i$'s as their factors. A contradiction.

Next we assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) = 0$ for some indecomposable module M, but ${}^{v}\chi(S_i) \neq 0$ for each simple module S_i . Note that the second assumption implies that v is sincere.

We claim that for any $n \ge 1$, the object $\Lambda_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ contains M as its direct summand. We use the induction on n. By the assumption ${}^{v}\chi(M) = 0$. Thus the case n = 1 follows from Theorem 5.8.

We deal with the case $n \ge 2$. Assume that the claim is verified in the case n-1. Then it follows from the case n = 1 that $\Lambda_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \Lambda_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ contains M as its direct summand. On the other hand, we have the exact triangle $V_{n,M}$ below in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \Lambda_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \Lambda_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \Lambda_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \Lambda_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \Lambda_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M[1].$$

Thus we only have to show $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \Lambda_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, M) = 0.$

Note that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, M) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)}(\nu_{1}^{-n}(M), M) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ since Q is Dynkin. By Theorem 6.14 we have the exact triangle $U_{n-1,M}$ below in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M[1].$$

Using them, we can deduce the desired vanishing condition by induction on n. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Recall that $\Lambda_n = \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{>0}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = 0$. Thus we have $\Lambda_n \otimes_A M = \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M)$ $M \neq 0$. It follows from the claim that $\Lambda_n \neq 0$ for any $n \geq 0$.

9.1.2. Support τ -tilting modules of Λ .

Theorem 9.3. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then there is a bijection between the following sets.

(1) The Weyl group W_Q of Q.

(2) The set $s\tau$ -tilt $\Lambda(Q)$ of isomorphism classes of basic support τ -tilting Λ -modules

Proof. Mizuno [40, Theorem 1.1] established the first bijection

 $W_Q \cong s\tau$ -tilt $\Pi(Q) \cong s\tau$ -tilt $\Lambda(Q)$.

Since $\Pi(Q) = \Lambda(Q)/(\varrho)$ and $\varrho \in \mathbb{Z}(\Lambda(Q)) \cap \operatorname{rad}(\Lambda(Q))$, the second bijection is obtained by a result [14, Theorem 4.1] by Eisele-Janssens-Raedschelders. \Box

In subsequent work we study τ -tilting theory of $\Lambda(Q)$ and in particular give a description of support τ -tilting modules corresponding to $w \in W_Q$.

9.2. The cohomology algebras of a derived quiver Heisenberg algebras of Dynkin type. We study the cohomology algebra $H(\tilde{\Lambda})$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ of a Dynkin quiver.

Proposition 9.4. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ is regular. Then, the following assertions hold in $D(A^e)$.

(1) For $0 \le n \le h-2$, the *-degree n part $\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)_n$ is concentrated in 0-th cohomological degree and $\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)_n$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda(Q)_n$.

(2)
$$\Lambda_{h-1} = 0.$$

(3) For a non-negative integer m, we have

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m+h} \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}_m[2].$$

(4) For $a \ge 0$ and $0 \le b \le h - 1$, we have

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{ah+b} \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}_b[2a].$$

In particular,

$$\mathbf{H}^{c}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{ah+b}) = \begin{cases} \Lambda_{b} & c = -2a\\ 0 & c \neq -2a \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) follows from Theorem 8.7.

(2) follows from Corollary 8.11.

(3) First we deal with the case m = 0. Taking the *-degree h part of the exact triangle U of Theorem 6.8, we obtain an exact triangle below in D(A)

$$\mathsf{U}_h:\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1}\xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}_\rho}\widetilde{\Lambda}_h\xrightarrow{\pi_h}\widetilde{\Pi}_h\to\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1}[1].$$

It follows from (2) that $\tilde{\pi}_h : \tilde{\Lambda}_h \to \widetilde{\Pi}_h$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is a morphism of dg-algebras over A, we conclude that $\tilde{\pi}_h : \tilde{\Lambda}_h \to \widetilde{\Pi}_h$ is a quasi-isomorphism over A^{e} .

Let m > 0 be a positive integer. Generalizing the construction of the exact triangle V_n given in Section 6.4, we can construct an exact triangle $V_{m,h}$ in $K(A^e)$ of the following form

$$\mathsf{V}_{m,h}':\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m-1}\otimes_{A}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1}\xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}_{m,h}^{*}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m}\otimes_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h}\xrightarrow{\zeta_{m,h}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m+h}\to\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m-1}\otimes_{A}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h-1}[1].$$

Using (2) and Theorem 3.11, we conclude that the second morphism $\zeta_{m,h}$ gives the desired isomorphism $\widetilde{\Lambda}_m[2] \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}_{m+h}$.

(4) immediately follows from (1) and (3).

We determine the algebra structure of the cohomology algebra $H(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Note that $H(\tilde{\Lambda})$ acquires the cohomological grading and the *-grading.

Theorem 9.5. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $\widetilde{\Lambda} := \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular.

We identify $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ with $\Lambda = \Lambda(Q)$. Then the cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ has a central element $u \in \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h})$ of cohomological degree -2 and of *-degree h which induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda[u]$$

of algebras with cohomological degrees and *-gradings where the right hand side denotes the polynomial algebra in a single variable u.

We point out an immediate consequence.

Corollary 9.6. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Then, for each $m \ge 0$, the -2m-th cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^{-2m}(\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q))$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda(Q)$ as a bimodule over $\Lambda(Q) = \mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda}(Q))$.

Question 9.7. Is there an explicit formula of a cycle \tilde{u} of $\tilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ whose cohomology class coincides with u?

To prove Theorem 9.5, we need a preparation.

Lemma 9.8. The subset $\{v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1}\alpha\alpha^* - v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1}\alpha^*\alpha \in \Lambda \mid \alpha \in Q_1\}$ of Λ is linearly independent over \mathbf{k} .

Proof. We equip \overline{Q} with a bigrading by setting bideg $\alpha := (1,0)$ bideg $\alpha^* = (0,1)$. Then bideg $\eta_{\alpha} = (2,1)$, bideg $\eta_{\alpha^*} = (1,2)$ and the bigrading descends to Λ . It follows from the bidegrees of relations that the bidegree (1,1)-component Λ_{11} is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}_{11}$. Since the subset $\{v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1}\alpha\alpha^* - v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1}\alpha^*\alpha \in \mathbf{k}\overline{Q} \mid \alpha \in Q_1\}$ is a linear independent subset of $\mathbf{k}\overline{Q}_{11}$, we deduce the desired conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 9.5. First note that by Proposition 9.4(4), the cohomology algebra $H(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ is concentrated in non-positive even cohomological degrees.

We fix an isomorphism $\Lambda_h \cong A[2]$ of Proposition 9.4(3) in $\mathsf{D}(A^e)$. Let $u \in \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_h)$ be an element that corresponds to $1 \in A$ via $\widetilde{\Lambda}_h \cong A[2]$. We claim that u and Λ generates $\mathrm{H}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ as an algebra.

Let $m \geq 0$. In the proof of Proposition 9.4(3), it was shown that the multiplication morphisms $\widetilde{\Lambda}_m \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_h \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{m+h}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that the right multiplication by u gives isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{r}_u: \mathrm{H}^i(\Lambda_m) \to \mathrm{H}^{i-2}(\Lambda_{m+h}), \ x \mapsto xu$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $0 \le a < b$, we set $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{[a,b]} := \bigoplus_{i=a}^{b} \widetilde{\Lambda}_i$. Let $m \ge 0$. By Proposition 9.4, we have $\mathrm{H}^{-2m}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) = \mathrm{H}^{-2m}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{[mh,(m+1)h-1]})$ for $m \ge 0$. It follows from the above observation that the *m*-times iteration r_u^m gives an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{r}_u^m: \Lambda = \mathrm{H}^0(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathrm{H}^{-2m}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{[mh,(m+1)h-1]}) = \mathrm{H}^{-2m}(\Lambda), \ x \mapsto x u^m.$$

We finish the proof of claim.

To prove theorem, we only have to show that u is a central element. In the same way of the above argument, we can check that the left multiplication by u gives isomorphisms

$$I_u: \mathrm{H}^i(\Lambda_m) \to \mathrm{H}^{i-2}(\Lambda_{m+h}), \ x \mapsto ux$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define an isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{H}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \to \mathrm{H}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ to be $\phi := \mathsf{l}_u^{-1}\mathsf{r}_u$. In other words, ϕ is such a map that sends an element $x \in \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\Lambda}_m)$ to the unique element $\phi(x) \in \mathrm{H}^i(\widetilde{\Lambda}_m)$ which satisfies

$$xu = u\phi(x).$$

We only have to show that ϕ is the identity map on $H(\Lambda)$.

It is easy to check that ϕ is an automorphism of the algebra $H(\Lambda)$. It is also clear that $\phi(u) = u$. Therefore, it is enough to show that ϕ acts identically on Λ .

Since the isomorphism $\Lambda_h \cong A[-2]$ is isomorphism in $D(A^e)$ we have pu = up for $p \in A$. It follows that ϕ is identity on $\Lambda_0 = A$.

Now it is easy to see that ϕ induces an isomorphism $\Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_1$ of A-bimodules. Recall that the A-bimodule Λ_1 is generated by opposite arrows α^* corresponds to $\alpha \in Q_1$. Since Q has no multiple arrows, for each $\alpha \in Q_1$ there exists a non-zero scalar $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $\phi(\alpha^*) = c_{\alpha}\alpha^*$. Since the weighted mesh relation $\varrho \in \Lambda_1$ is a central element of $\mathrm{H}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ by Lemma 6.9, we have $\varrho u = u\varrho$ and hence $\phi(\varrho) = \varrho$. On the other hand applying ϕ to the definition of ϱ_i , we obtain

$$\phi(\varrho_i) = v_i^{-1}\phi\left(\sum_{\alpha:t(\alpha)=i}\alpha\alpha^* - \sum_{\alpha:h(\alpha)=i}\alpha^*\alpha\right) = v_i^{-1}\left(\sum_{\alpha:t(\alpha)=i}c_\alpha\alpha\alpha^* - \sum_{\alpha:h(\alpha)=i}c_\alpha\alpha^*\alpha\right)$$

Therefore, we have the following equation in Λ_1

$$0 = \phi(\varrho) - \varrho = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} (c_\alpha - 1) (v_{t(\alpha)}^{-1} \alpha \alpha^* - v_{h(\alpha)}^{-1} \alpha^* \alpha).$$

Thus by Lemma 9.8 we see that $c_{\alpha} = 1$ for all $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $\phi(\alpha^*) = \alpha^*$ for all $\alpha \in Q_1$.

Since the algebra Λ is generated by $\{\alpha, \alpha^* \mid \alpha \in Q_1\}$, we conclude that ϕ is the identity map on Λ as desired.

9.2.1. The cohomology algebra with respect to the path grading. We study the cohomology algebra $H(\tilde{\Lambda})$ with respect to the path grading. We denote the grading with respect to the path length by pldeg. By the definition, pldeg $\alpha = 1$, pldeg $\alpha^* = 1$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$. Therefore pldeg $\eta_{\alpha} = 3$, pldeg $\eta_{\alpha^*} = 3$ and Λ has path length grading.

We can extend the path-length grading pldeg to the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}$ as shown in the table below. The aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9.9. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $\widetilde{\Lambda} := \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular.

We identify $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ with $\Lambda = \Lambda(Q)$. Then the cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ has a central element $u \in \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{h})$ of cohomological degree -2 and of path length degree 2h which induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda[u].$$

We use the bigrading introduced in the proof of Lemma 9.8. Recall that we set bideg $\alpha := (1,0)$ and bideg $\alpha^* := (0,1)$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$. We note that the bidegree of other generators of the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}$ are determined from the requirement that the differential $d_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ preserves the bigrading. We also note that if an element $x \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ is homogeneous with respect to the bigrading and bideg x = (a, b), then it is also homogeneous with respect to the *-grading and path length grading and deg^{*} x = b, pldeg x = a + b. We give the table of degrees of the generators of the derived quiver Heisenberg algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}$:



We denote the homogeneous component of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ of the bidegree (a, b) by $\overline{\Lambda}_{(a,b)}$. For a bigraded module M and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $M_{(\bullet,a)} := \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(b,a)}$ and $M_{(a,\bullet)} := \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{(a,b)}$. Note that since the second component of bigrading counts the *degree, we have $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{(\bullet,b)} = \widetilde{\Lambda}_b$ for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We need the following lemma that allows us to exchange the first and the second components of bidegrees of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$.

Lemma 9.10. Let Q be a quiver. There exists an isomorphism $f : \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q^{\mathrm{op}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ of dg-algebras which induces an isomorphism $f_{(a,b)} : \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q^{\mathrm{op}})_{(a,b)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)_{(b,a)}$ of **k**-vector spaces for $(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$.

Proof. We denote the opposite arrow of $\alpha \in Q_1$ by α^{op} . Then it is straightforward to check that by setting a morphism $f : \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q^{\text{op}}) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(Q)$ of dg-algebras as below, we obtain a dg-algebra isomorphism having desired property:

$$f(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}) := \alpha^*, \ f(\alpha^{\mathrm{op},*}) := \alpha, \ f(\alpha^{\mathrm{op},\circ}) := -\alpha^*, \ f(\alpha^{\mathrm{op},\circledast}) := -\alpha^\circ, \ f(t_i^{\mathrm{op}}) := -t_i$$

for $i \in Q_0$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$.

Proof of Theorem 9.9. It is enough to show that the element $u \in \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_h)$ in the proof of Theorem 9.5 can be chosen as homogeneous with respect to the bidegree with bideg u = (h, h). Recall that u is given as the image of 1 by an isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_h)$ of A^{e} -modules.

For simplicity we set $M := \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\Lambda)$. We may regard Λ^{e} as a bigraded algebra by setting $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{e}})_{(a,b)} := \bigoplus_{c+d=a,e+f=g} \Lambda_{(c,e)} \otimes \Lambda_{(d,f)}^{\mathrm{op}}$. The above isomorphism extends to an isomorphism $f : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ of (ungraded) Λ^{e} -modules. Since the algebra Λ is indecomposable as an algebra (i.e., it does not have a non-trivial central idempotent element), it is indecomposable as Λ^{e} -modules. Hence by bigraded version of [21, Theorem 3.2], Λ and M are indecomposable as bigraded Λ^{e} -modules. Applying bigraded version of [21, Theorem 4.1] to f, we obtain an isomorphism $g : \Lambda((s,t)) \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ as bigraded Λ^{e} -modules for some $(s,t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$.

We claim that (s,t) = (-h, -h). Note that by Lemma 9.10 and Proposition 9.4, we have $M_{(a,b)} = 0$ unless (a,b) belongs to the rectangle $[h, 2h-2] \times [h, 2h-2]$. On the other hand, we have $\Lambda_{(0,0)} = \mathbf{k}Q_0 \neq 0$. By Corollary 8.11, we have $\Lambda_{(\bullet,h-2)} = \Lambda_{h-2} \cong \mathbf{D}(A) \neq 0$. Thanks to Lemma 9.10, the same corollary implies $\Lambda_{(h-2,\bullet)} \neq 0$. Now it is straightforward to see (s,t) = (-h, -h).

Looking at the (\bullet, h) component of g we obtain an isomorphism $g_{(\bullet,h)} : A \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{-2}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_h)$ of A^{e} -modules. Thus, if we set u to be the image of $1 \in A$ by $g_{(\bullet,h)}$, it is homogeneous with bideg u = (h, h) as desired. \Box

9.3. Symmetric property. As was mentioned in the introduction, Etingof-Rains [15] proved that the QHA $\Lambda(Q)$ is Frobenius if the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular and char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. It was proved by Etingof-Latour-Rains [16] that if char $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is generic, then the QHA $\Lambda(Q)$ is symmetric.

In subsequent work we prove the following statement.

Theorem 9.11 ([26]). Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Then $\Lambda(Q)$ is a symmetric algebra.

Recall that the right multiplication \mathbf{r}_{ϱ} and the left multiplication \mathbf{I}_{ϱ} of ϱ on Λ coincide to each other and their cokernel is the preprojective algebra Π . In the next proposition, we identify the kernel of $\mathbf{r}_{\varrho} = \mathbf{I}_{\varrho}$ with the k-dual D(Π) of Π .

Corollary 9.12. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. We have the following exact sequence of bimodules over Λ .

$$0 \to D(\Pi) \to \Lambda \xrightarrow{!_{\varrho}} \Lambda \to \Pi \to 0$$

Proof. By Theorem 9.11 that there exists an isomorphism $\iota : D(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda$ over Λ^e . Under this isomorphism, the right multiplication map $\mathsf{r}_{\varrho} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ by ϱ corresponds to $D(\mathsf{l}_{\varrho}) : D(\Lambda) \to D(\Lambda)$. Thus, we have the following isomorphisms of bimodules over Λ .

$$\operatorname{Ker} \mathsf{r}_{\varrho} \cong \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{I}_{\varrho}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Cok} \mathsf{I}_{\varrho}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Pi).$$

We note that the kernel morphism $D(\Pi) \to \Lambda$ is the composition $\iota D(\pi)$.

Remark 9.13. As in the proof of Theorem 9.9 any isomorphism $D(\Lambda) \stackrel{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda$ can be turned into a graded morphism either with respect to the *-grading or path-length grading. The largest degree for which Λ has a non-zero homogeneous component is h-2 for the *-grading and 2h-4 for the path-length grading. Denote degree shift by n by (n) for the *-grading and by $(n)_{pl}$ for the path-length grading. Then we obtain $D(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda(h-2)$ and $D(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda(2h-4)_{pl}$ as graded Λ^{e} -modules. Since $\deg^{*} \varrho = 1$ and pldeg $\varrho = 2$ the exact sequence of Corollary 9.12 gives the following exact sequences of graded Λ^{e} -modules

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}(\Pi)(-h+1) \to \Lambda(-1) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_{\varrho}} \Lambda \to \Pi \to 0,$$
$$0 \to \mathcal{D}(\Pi)(-2h+2)_{\mathrm{pl}} \to \Lambda(-2)_{\mathrm{pl}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_{\varrho}} \Lambda \to \Pi \to 0.$$

for the *-grading and path-length grading respectively.

9.3.1. Combining above corollary with Theorem 9.5, we obtain a description of bimodule structure of the cohomology algebra of the derived preprojective algebra $\tilde{\Pi}$ of Dynkin type.

Corollary 9.14. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver. We have the following isomorphism of $\Pi = H^0(\widetilde{\Pi})$ -bimodules

$$\mathbf{H}^{n}(\widetilde{\Pi}) \cong \begin{cases} \Pi & n \text{ is non-positive even,} \\ \mathbf{D}(\Pi) & n \text{ is non-positive odd,} \\ 0 & n \text{ is positive.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use the exact triangle $\widehat{U} : \widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\hat{r}_{\varrho}} \widetilde{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} \widetilde{\Pi} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}[1]$ given in Section 6.8. A point here is that it is an exact triangle in $\mathsf{D}(\widetilde{\Lambda}^e)$. Taking the cohomology long exact sequence of \widehat{U} , we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{-2n-1}(\widetilde{\mathrm{II}}) \to \mathrm{H}^{-2n}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{-2n}(\mathsf{r}_{\varrho})} \mathrm{H}^{-2n}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{-2n}(\widetilde{\pi})} \mathrm{H}^{-2n}(\widetilde{\mathrm{II}}) \to 0$$

of Λ^{e} -modules. Under the isomorphism $H(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda[u]$ of Theorem 9.5, the map $H^{-2n}(r_{\varrho})$ corresponds to the multiplication map $r_{\varrho} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$. Thus by Proposition 9.12, we obtain isomorphisms $H^{-2n}(\widetilde{\Pi}) \cong \Pi$ and $H^{-2n-1}(\widetilde{\Pi}) \cong D(\Pi)$ over Λ^{e} . \Box

10. The middle terms of AR-sequences starting from middle terms

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 10.1, from which Theorem 1.10 follows. As a corollary, we obtain a sufficient condition for Problem 1.24 (restated in Section 8.3) in the case n = 2 (Theorem 10.12).

From now on we assume the base field **k** is algebraically closed. Thus, in particular we have dim ResEnd_A(M) = 1 for any indecomposable object M of D^b(A mod).

10.1. The middle terms of middle terms. The next result says that the morphism $\tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*: \Pi_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \Lambda_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ has AR-theoretic meaning. The precise statement is the following

Theorem 10.1. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq$ $0, {}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \neq 0 \text{ and } {}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \neq 0.$

Then there exists a morphism $\xi_{2,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ which satisfies the following equations.

- (1) $\xi_{2,M} \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* = -\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)} \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}.$ (2) $\xi_{2,M} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} = \tilde{\pi}_{1,M}.$

(3) $\xi_{2,M\tilde{\Lambda}_1}\tilde{\varrho}_M = -\frac{{}^v\chi(\tilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M)}{{}^v\chi(M)}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M}.$

Namely we have the following commutative diagrams.



The proof of Theorem 10.1 is given in Section 10.3 after some preparation. First however, we point out several corollaries.

Corollary 10.2. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq$ $0, {}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \neq 0 \text{ and } {}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \neq 0.$

If a morphism $\xi'_{2,M}$: $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ satisfies the equation $\xi'_{2,M}\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} = \tilde{\pi}_{1,M}$, then the following equality holds in ResEnd_A($\Pi_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$):

$$\xi_{2,M}' \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* = -\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)} \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}.$$

Thus in particular, the endomorphism $\xi'_{2,M} \tilde{\eta}^*_{2,M}$ is an automorphism of $\Pi_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$.

Proof. Let $\xi_{2,M}$ be the morphism obtained in Theorem 10.1. Since $(\xi'_{2,M} - \xi_{2,M})\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} =$ 0, we see that $\xi'_{2,M} - \xi_{2,M}$ belongs to rad. Hence $\xi'_{2,M}\tilde{\eta}^*_{2,M} - \xi_{2,M}\tilde{\eta}^*_{2,M}$ belongs to rad. Thus we deduce the desired conclusions.

Remark 10.3. If we fix a generic weight $v \in W_Q$, then the fraction $-\frac{{}^v\chi(\tilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M)}{{}^v\chi(M)}$ depends on the indecomposable object M. It follows that for a generic v, there exists no morphism $\tilde{\xi}_2$: $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ in $\mathsf{D}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$ such that $\tilde{\xi}_2 \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} = \tilde{\pi}_1$ and $\xi_{2,M} = \tilde{\xi}_2 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M$ for all M. Indeed, assume that such a morphism $\tilde{\xi}_2 : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ in $D(A^e)$ exists. Let $f : M \to N$ be a non-zero morphism of indecomposable objects of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. We set $g := (\xi_{2,M})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*), h = (\xi_{2,N})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,N}^*)$ and x := $-\frac{\sqrt[v_{\chi}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M)}{\sqrt[v_{\chi}(M)}, \ y := -\frac{\sqrt[v_{\chi}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}N)}{\sqrt[v_{\chi}(N)}. \ Then \ using \ (1-10), \ we \ obtain \ (h-x)(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}f) = -\frac{\sqrt[v_{\chi}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}N)}{\sqrt[v_{\chi}(N)}.$

 $(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}f)(g-x)$. By Corollary 10.2, we have $(g-x)^n = 0$ for n large enough and hence $(h-x)^n(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}f) = 0$. On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 10.2 that if $x \neq y$ then h-x is an automorphism of N. Thus we deduce x = y.

However if v is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue λ , then

$$-\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\Lambda_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)} = -(1+\lambda)$$

and it does not depend on M. In this case, we prove that there exists a morphism $\tilde{\xi}: \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ in $\mathsf{D}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$ that has the above properties in Proposition 15.1.

We use the following corollary which holds for any not necessary indecomposable object M of $D^{b}(A \mod)$.

Corollary 10.4. Let $M \in D^{\mathbf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0$, ${}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} N) \neq 0$ and ${}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} N) \neq 0$ for any indecomposable direct summand N of M.

Then, there exists a morphism $\xi_{2,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ which satisfies the following equations.

(1) $\xi_{2,M} \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*$ is an isomorphism.

(2) $\xi_{2,M} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} = \tilde{\pi}_{1,M}.$

Namely we have the following commutative diagrams.



Proof. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} N_i$ be an indecomposable decomposition. For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$, we have the morphism ξ_{2,N_i} of Theorem 10.1. Then the morphism $\xi_{2,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ induced from $\xi_{2,N_1}, \xi_{2,N_2}, \ldots, \xi_{2,N_p}$ has the desired properties.

Remark 10.5. (1) If the number $\lambda := \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{-}^{L} N)}{{}^{v}\chi(N)}$ is independent of the indecomposable direct summand N of M, then in (1) of the above corollary, we have

$$\xi_{2,M} \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* = -(1+\lambda).$$

(2) The correspondence $M \mapsto \xi_{2,M}$ is functorial with respect to split epimorphisms and split monomorphisms. Namely, if $f : M \to N$ is a split epimorphism or a split monomorphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$, then we have $(\xi_{2,N})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1} f) = (_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} f)(\xi_{2,M}).$

Remark 10.6. Even if the base field **k** is not an algebraically closed, we can show in the same way as the proof of Theorem 10.1 that there exists a morphism $\xi_{2,M}$: $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ such that $\xi_{2,M} \widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_M^{\mathbb{L}} M} = \widetilde{\pi}_{1,M}$ and that $\xi_{2,M} \widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*$ is an automorphism of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

10.2. Preparations.

10.2.1. Recall that $\widetilde{\Pi}_n = \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \cdots \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1$. We consider the following isomorphisms that exchange the shift functor [-1].

 $(\widetilde{\Pi}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M)[-1] \cong (\widetilde{\Pi}_1[-1]) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \cong \widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A (\widetilde{\Pi}_1[-1]) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M.$

We note that thanks to Lemma B.1(2) the second isomorphism coincides with the isomorphism $(\gamma_{\tilde{\Pi}_{n-1}})_M$ associated to the inverse of the Serre functor $\mathsf{S}^{-1} = \widetilde{\Pi}_1[-1] \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$.

Using these isomorphisms, we regard $\tilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}$ and $_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}}\tilde{\theta}_{1,M}$ as morphisms from $(\widetilde{\Pi}_{n}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M)[-1]$ to $\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M$.

By Proposition 5.16 we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 10.7. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$. Then, we have the following equality of morphisms $\widetilde{\Pi}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1] \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$

$$\tilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} = \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}}\tilde{\theta}_{1,M}.$$

Although we only use the case of n = 2 of the following proposition to prove Theorem 10.1, we provide a general statement and proof.

Proposition 10.8. Let $n \in N_Q^{n\geq 2}$ and $M \in \operatorname{ind} D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Assume that v has property $(I)_{M,n-1}$ and ${^v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M) \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}\tilde{\theta}_{n,M} = \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\Lambda_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}}\tilde{\theta}_{1,M}.$$

In particular, the composition $\tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}\tilde{\theta}_{n,M}: \widetilde{\Pi}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M[-1] \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ is AR-coconnecting to $\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$.

Proof. We claim that $\tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}\tilde{\theta}_{n,M}$ is AR-coconnecting.

We use Happel's criterion (Theorem B.2). We have the following equality (10-68)

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}, \tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}\tilde{\theta}_{n,M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} &= \langle \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}, \tilde{\theta}_{n,M}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}[-1]\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}) \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= \langle \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}, \tilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= {}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M) \neq 0 \end{split}$$

where the first equality follows from functoriality of Serre functor, for the second equality we use Lemma 8.5 and for the third equality we use Theorem 5.5.

Let f be an endomorphism of $\Pi_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ belonging to rad. Then we have the following commutative diagram

By Theorem 8.2, the morphism $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}[-1]$ is a minimal right rad^{*n*-1}-approximation. Since $\mathsf{S}^{-1}(f\widetilde{\pi}_{n-1,M})$ belongs to rad^{*n*}, there exists a morphism $g:\mathsf{S}^{-1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M$ that completes the above commutative

diagram. It follows from a version of Lemma 2.6 that g belongs to rad. Therefore we have $\tilde{\theta}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{r-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}g = 0$ and consequently

$$\begin{split} \langle f, \tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M} \tilde{\theta}_{n,M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} &= \langle \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}, \tilde{\theta}_{n,M} \mathsf{S}^{-1}(f \tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}) \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= \langle \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}, \tilde{\theta}_{n,M} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}[-1] \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}) g \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= \langle \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}, \tilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} g \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

Using Lemma B.3 and the above calculation (10-68), we obtain the first equality of the equation below

$$\tilde{\pi}_{n-1,M}\tilde{\theta}_{n,M} = \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M)}{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M)}\tilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Pi}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} = \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}\tilde{\Pi}_{n-1}\tilde{\theta}_{1,M}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 10.7, we deduce the second equality and we obtain the desired conclusion. $\hfill \Box$

10.2.2. A lemma. For the proof of Theorem 10.1 we use Lemma A.2 about homotopy Cartesian squares. The next lemma checks the vanishing condition of this lemma.

Lemma 10.9. Assume that Q is not an A_2 -quiver. Let $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_A(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M, \widetilde{\Pi}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1]) = 0.$

Proof. First we deal with the case where Q is a non-Dynkin quiver. We may assume that $M \in A \mod$. Since $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ also belong to $A \mod$, the statement is clear.

Next we deal with the case where Q is a Dynkin quiver. We choose a vertex $i_0 \in Q_0$ and use the function p: ind $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod) \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined in Section 3.1.3. Let h be the Coxeter number of Q. Then we have $p(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) = p(M) + 1$ and $p(\widetilde{\Pi}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1]) = p(M) + 4 - h$. Thus if h > 3, we have $p(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) > p(\widetilde{\Pi}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1])$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_A(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M, \widetilde{\Pi}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1]) = 0$.

We have $h \leq 3$ precisely when Q is an A_1 or A_2 quiver. The A_1 case is clear. \Box

10.3. **Proof of Theorem 10.1.**

10.3.1. Proof of (1)(2) of Theorem 10.1 the case where Q is not an A_2 -quiver. First we deal with the case where Q is not an A_2 -quiver. For simplicity we set $\epsilon' := \frac{{}^{v}\chi(M)_{\Pi_1}}{{}^{v}\chi(\Lambda_1 \otimes_A^L M)}$. By the case n = 2 of Proposition 10.8, the left square of the diagram below commutative:

(10-69)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Pi}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1] & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\theta}_{2,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{2,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\check{\pi}_{2,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\Pi}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M[-1] \xrightarrow{\epsilon'\check{\pi}_{1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\check{\pi}_{1}\check{\varrho}_{M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\check{\pi}_{1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M. \end{split}$$

It follows from [41, Lemma 1.4.3] that there exists a morphism $\varpi_{2,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ that completes the commutative diagram (10-69) and makes the middle square of (10-69) homotopy cartesian that is folded to an exact triangle (10-70)

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon' \, \widetilde{\pi}_{1,M} \\ \widecheck{\varrho}_{2,M} \end{pmatrix}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \, \widecheck{\varrho}_M, \varpi_{2,M})} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to .$$

whose connecting morphism $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M[1]$ is $-\widetilde{\theta}_{2,M}[1](_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \widetilde{\pi}_{1,M}) = -\widetilde{\theta}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}[1]$. We remark that we modify the definition of homotopy Cartesian square from [41] (see Remark A.1). According to this, the sign of the connecting morphism is different from that of [41, Lemma 1.4.2].

We consider the case n = 2 of the diagram (8-65). Since $\tilde{\eta}^*_{2,M}$ is a split monomorphism, there exist morphisms

$$p: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M, \ i: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$$

that satisfy the equations

,

(10-71)
$$p\tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* = \mathrm{id}, \tilde{\zeta}_{2,M}i = \mathrm{id}, pi = 0, \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* p + i\tilde{\zeta}_{2,M} = \mathrm{id}.$$

It follows that there exists the following isomorphism of exact triangles (10-72)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ & & \parallel \\ \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\zeta}_{2,M})} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\varrho}_{M}}, \beta)} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \end{split}$$

where we set $\alpha := -p\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}$ and $\beta := (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})i$. We check $(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\tilde{\pi}_1)\beta = \tilde{\pi}_{2,M}$ as follows:

$$(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M})\beta = (_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M})\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}i = \tilde{\pi}_{2,M}\tilde{\zeta}_{2,M}i = \tilde{\pi}_{2,M}$$

where the second equality follows from the commutativity of the middle square of (8-65). Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram whose left square is homotopy cartesian (10-73)

Thanks to Lemma 10.9, we can apply Lemma A.2 to the homotopy cartesian squares (10-69) and (10-73). There exists a morphism $s : \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ that gives an isomorphism of exact triangle as below:

Compositing the isomorphism with (10-72), we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{L}_{M}}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{L}_{M}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} p + s\widetilde{\zeta}_{2,M} \\ \widetilde{\zeta}_{2,M} \end{pmatrix} =: \phi & \parallel \gamma \\ \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\left(-\epsilon'\widetilde{\pi}_{1,M} \\ \widetilde{\varrho}_{2,M} \end{pmatrix}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \xrightarrow{\left(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \widecheck{\varrho}_{1,M}, \ \varpi_{2,M} \right)} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \end{split}$$

Let $\operatorname{pr}_1 = (\operatorname{id}, 0) : (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ be the first projection. Now it is straight forward to check that the composition $\xi_{2,M} := -(\epsilon')^{-1} \operatorname{pr}_1 \phi$ satisfies the desired equations (1) and (2).



10.3.2. Proof of (1)(2) of Theorem 10.1 the case where Q is an A_2 -quiver. We assume that Q is an A_2 -quiver. In this case the Coxeter number h = 3 and hence $\tilde{\Lambda}_2 = 0$. Thus the exact triangle (10-70) is of the following form

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{-\epsilon' \widetilde{\pi}_{1,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \widetilde{\varrho}_M} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{-\theta_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_M} [1]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M [1].$$

It follows from $\Lambda_2 = 0$ that $\zeta_{2,M} = 0$. Thus the equation (10-71) implies that $\tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*$ is an isomorphism and the exact triangle in the bottom of (10-72) is of the following form

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\eta}^*_{2,M})^{-1} \widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \widetilde{\varrho}_M} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{-\widetilde{\theta}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}[1]} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M[1].$$

Since $\operatorname{End}(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \cong \mathbf{k}$ and $_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \widetilde{\varrho}_M \neq 0$, comparing two exact triangles we have $-\epsilon' \widetilde{\pi}_{1,M} = (\widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*)^{-1} \widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}$. Thus we conclude that $\xi_{2,M} := -(\epsilon')^{-1} (\widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*)^{-1}$ has the desired property. \Box

10.3.3. Proof of (3) of Theorem 10.1. We keep the condition of Theorem 10.1. We have already proved that existence of $\xi_{2,M}$ that satisfies the equation (1) and (2). We prove this morphism also satisfies the equation (3).

Lemma 10.10. We have

$$_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \widetilde{\varrho}_M = (\mathrm{id} + \widetilde{\eta}^*_{2,M} \xi_{2,M}) \widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}.$$

Proof. We deduce the desired equality in the following way.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^{*} \xi_{2,M} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} &= \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^{*} \tilde{\pi}_{1,M} \\ &= _{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}} \tilde{\varrho}_{M} - \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \end{split}$$

where for the first equality we use (1) and for the second we use Lemma 6.22 or Lemma 7.8. $\hfill \Box$

We set $\epsilon_{2,M} := -\epsilon' := -\frac{{}^{v}\chi(M)}{{}^{v}\chi(\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes {}^{L}_4 M)}$. By (1), we have $\epsilon_{2,M}\xi_{2,M}\tilde{\eta}^*_{2,M} = \mathrm{id}_{\tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes {}^{L}_M M}$. In other words the morphisms $\epsilon_{2,M}\xi_{2,M}: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a retraction of $\tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*$ We denote by $\omega_{2,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ the corresponding section of $\tilde{\zeta}_{2,M}$ so that the morphisms below are inverse to each other

(10-74)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{2,M}\xi_{2,M} \\ \tilde{\zeta}_{2,M} \end{pmatrix} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M), \\ (\widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^*, \omega_{2,M}) : (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M.$$

For latter reference we note the equality $\varpi_{2,M} = (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M})(\omega_{2,M}).$ Observe that if we identify those objects by using these isomorphisms, then the endomorphism $\tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* \xi_{2,M}$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ corresponds to the endomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{2,M}^{-1} \text{ id } & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ of } (\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M). \text{ Hence, the endomorphism id } + \widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* \xi_{2,M}$ corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} (1 + \epsilon_{2,M}^{-1}) & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}$. We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{2,M} \xi_{2,M} \\ \widetilde{\zeta}_{2,M} \end{pmatrix}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M)$$

$$\downarrow \operatorname{id} + \widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^{*} \xi_{2,M} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \epsilon_{2,M}^{-1}) \operatorname{id} & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{id} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^{*}, \omega_{2,M})} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \oplus (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M)$$

$$\downarrow (\epsilon_{2,M}^{-1} \operatorname{id}, 0)$$

$$\downarrow (\epsilon_{2,M}^{-1} \operatorname{id}, 0)$$

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

Using this diagram, we complete the proof of (3)

$$(\xi_{2,M})_{(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\varrho}_{M}) = (1 + \epsilon_{2,M}^{-1})\xi_{2,M}\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} = -\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)}\tilde{\pi}_{1,M}.$$

10.3.4. A corollary. We have proved that the morphism $\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to$ $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{A}} M$ is a minimal left rad-approximation under a certain condition. In the next corollary we show that the morphism $_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \widetilde{\varrho}_M : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ that inserts ρ in the middle of Λ_1 and M also gives a minimal left rad-approximation.

Corollary 10.11. Let $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathbf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $v \in W_Q$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$, ${}^{v}\chi(\Pi_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M) \neq 0$ and moreover that ${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0$ for any indecomposable direct summand N of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$. Then, the morphism $_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \widetilde{\varrho}_M : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ is a left minimal rad-approximation.

Proof. Since $1 + \epsilon_{2,M}^{-1} = -\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M)}{{}^{v}\chi(M)} \neq 0$, the endomorphism $\mathrm{id} + \widetilde{\eta}_{2,M}^{*}\xi_{2,M}$ is an automorphism of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$. It follows from the second assumption that $\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}$ is a minimal left rad-approximation of $\Lambda_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$. From the equality $_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \tilde{\varrho}_M =$ $(\mathrm{id} + \tilde{\eta}_{2,M}^* \xi_{2,M}) \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}$ of Lemma 10.10, we conclude that the morphism $_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \tilde{\varrho}_M$ is a left minimal rad-approximation of $\Lambda_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

10.4. Left rad²-approximation.

Theorem 10.12. Let $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$. Assume that ${}^{v}\chi(M) \neq 0$ and ${}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \neq 0$. Then the morphism $\check{\varrho}_M^2 : M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal left rad²-approximation of M whose cone is $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

$$M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_M^2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.12 the morphism $\tilde{\varrho}_M : M \to \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ is a minimal left radapproximation of M. On the other hand, we established the following commutative diagram in (10-69) where ϵ' is an automorphism of $\tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$.

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{2,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \\ & \epsilon' \check{\pi}_{1,M} \\ & \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\check{\varrho}_{1,M}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M. \end{split}$$

Since this square is homotopy Cartesian that is folded to a direct sum of ARtriangles, we conclude by Lemma 2.14 that the composition $\check{\varrho}_M^2 = (\check{\varrho}_{2,M})(\tilde{\varrho}_M)$ is a minimal left rad²-approximation of M which has ψ as a cone morphism. \Box

10.4.1. Remark on the assumptions. We provide an example that shows we need to assume that ${}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \neq 0$.

Example 10.13. Let $Q: 1 \to 2 \to 3$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is regular. Let $M := P_2 = Ae_2$ be the indecomposable projective A-module corresponding to the vertex 2. Then we have $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \cong P_3 \oplus S_2$ and

$${}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M) = v_{1} + 2v_{2} + v_{3}.$$

As is explained in Example 1.8, if $v_1 + 2v_2 + v_3 = 0$, then we can directly check that $\varrho_2^2 = 0$. It follows that the morphism $\check{\varrho}_M^2 : M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is zero morphism and in particular does not give a minimal left rad²-approximation of M. Below we explain there is another way to see this.

First we claim that $\tilde{\pi}_{1,M} \tilde{\theta}_{2,M} = 0$. Indeed, from (10-68) and the assumption, we deduce

$$\langle \tilde{\pi}_{1,M} \hat{\theta}_{2,M}, \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = {}^{v}\chi(\Lambda_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M) = 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{2}[-1] \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \cong \operatorname{D}\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, M)$ is one-dimensional over \mathbf{k} , the above equation implies $\tilde{\pi}_{1,M}\tilde{\theta}_{2,M} = 0$.

By the octahedral axiom, we obtain the following commutative diagram whose right column is exact.



Using this diagram we can show that f is a split monomorphism and hence $\check{\varrho}_M^2 = 0$.

10.5. Remark on right versions. We can obtain right versions of results obtained in this section by replacing A with A^{op} . We give few remarks on them and their relationship to left versions, to avoid potential confusions for the formulas of Proposition 15.1.

A right version of Theorem 10.1 for an indecomposable object is the following:

Let $N \in \text{ind } A^{\text{op}}$. Then there exists a morphism ${}_N\xi^{\text{right}}$: $N \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \to N \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ such that $({}_N\xi^{\text{right}})({}_{N\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\widetilde{\varrho}) = -{}_N\widetilde{\pi}_1$ and we have

$$({}_{N}\xi^{\mathrm{right}})({}_{N}\tilde{\eta}^{*}) = -\frac{{}^{v}\chi(N\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})}{{}^{v}\chi(N)} \operatorname{id}_{N\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}.$$

Comparing $(\xi_M)(\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes \mathbb{L}M}) = \tilde{\pi}_{1,M}$ with $({}_N\xi^{\text{right}})({}_{N\otimes \mathbb{L}\tilde{\Lambda}_1}\tilde{\varrho}) = -{}_N\tilde{\pi}_1$, the signs are different. The difference comes from the difference between Lemma 6.17 and Lemma 6.23.

We also point out the following difference. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of $\Psi = -C^{-1}C^t$ with the eigenvalue λ . Then it follows from Lemma 5.19 that

$$\frac{{}^{v}\!\chi(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M)}{{}^{v}\!\chi(M)} = 1 + \lambda, \ \frac{{}^{v}\!\chi(N\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})}{{}^{v}\!\chi(N)} = 1 + \lambda^{-1}.$$

11. A SUFFICIENT CRITERION CONCERNING radⁿ-APPROXIMATIONS

The aim of this Section 11 is to prove Theorem 11.4 that gives sufficient conditions that the morphism $\check{\varrho}^n_M: M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation.

11.1. Main Theorem.

11.1.1. The property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$.

Definition 11.1. Let M be an indecomposable object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{-1\}$. We denote by \mathcal{C}_M the connected component of the AR-quiver of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ to which M belongs.

We say that $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$ if for any $N \in \mathcal{C}_M$ we have

$${}^{v}\chi(N) \neq 0, \quad \frac{{}^{v}\chi(\Pi_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} N)}{{}^{v}\chi(N)} = \lambda.$$

We note that the equation above together with the assumption $\lambda \neq -1$ implies

$$\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\Lambda_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}N)}{{}^{v}\chi(N)} = 1 + \lambda \neq 0.$$

We point out an immediate consequence.

Lemma 11.2. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{-1\}$. Assume that $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$. Then it has the property $(I')_{M,n}$ for any $n \geq 1$. Moreover the assumption of Corollary 10.11 holds for any $L \in \mathcal{C}_M$.

Example 11.3. Let Φ be the Coxeter matrix of Q and set $\Psi := \Phi^{-t}$.

Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. If $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq -1$ and has the property $(I)_M$, then it has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$ by (5-23).

Thus, if a regular (resp. semi-regular) weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq -1$, then it has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$ for all $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ (resp. $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}]$).

In the proof of Proposition 13.4, we provide an example of $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is not an eigenvector of Ψ , but has the property $(II)_{M,1}$ for some M. 11.1.2. For simplicity we set $V_n(t) := 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1}$.

Theorem 11.4. Let M be an indecomposable object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{-1\}$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$. Then the following statement holds.

If a natural number $n \geq 2$ satisfies the condition:

$$V_m(\lambda) \neq 0$$
, for $1 \leq m \leq n$,

then the morphism $\check{\varrho}_M^n : M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation whose cone is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

$$M \xrightarrow{\check{\mathcal{V}}_M^n} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\varpi_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to .$$

11.2. **Main Proposition.** In this section we prove Proposition 11.5 which is a key for the proof of Theorem 11.4

11.2.1. Main proposition.

Proposition 11.5. Let M be an indecomposable object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{-1\}$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$.

If a natural number $n \ge 2$ satisfies the condition:

(11-75)
$$V_m(\lambda) \neq 0, \text{ for } 1 \le m \le n-1$$

then there exists a morphism of $\omega_{n-1,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ that has the following properties

(1) $\zeta_{n-1,M}\omega_{n-1,M} = \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}.$

(2) If we set $\xi_{n,M} := (\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\omega_{n-1,M})$, where

$$\xi_{n,M}: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \, \omega_{n-1,M}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}}^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

then the following equality holds in $\operatorname{ResEnd}_A(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M)$

$$\xi_{n,M}\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^* = -\frac{V_n(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)}.$$

Therefore if $V_n(\lambda) \neq 0$, the composition $\xi_{n,M} \tilde{\eta}^*_{n,M}$ is an automorphism of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

(3) We have

$$(\xi_{n,M})(\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes M}) = (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\omega_{n-1,M}).$$

We prove Proposition 11.5 in Section 11.2.5 after some preparation.

11.2.2. We use the following easy observation.

Lemma 11.6. Let $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to Y, h: Y \to X$ be morphisms in $D^{b}(A \mod)$. Assume we have $g = a \operatorname{id}_{Y}$ in ResEnd(Y) and $hf = b \operatorname{id}_{X}$ in ResEnd(X) for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}$. Then we have $hgf = ab \operatorname{id}_{X}$ in ResEnd(X).

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the ideal quotient of the category $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ by the ideal of radical morphisms. Then $\operatorname{ResEnd}(X)$ is the endomorphism algebra of X in \mathcal{C} and the claim follows as \mathcal{C} is a **k**-category.

11.2.3.

Lemma 11.7. Let M be an indecomposable object of $D^{b}(A \mod)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. Assume that the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$. Let $n \geq 2$. We set $L := \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M$. Then, we have the following equality in $\operatorname{ResEnd}_A(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A L)$

$$(\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,L}^*)(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\xi_{2,L})(\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}^*)=\lambda\operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_AL}$$

where $\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} L}$ and $\xi_{2,L}$ are the morphisms obtained in Corollary 10.4.

Proof. First we claim

Claim 11.8. (1) We have the following equality in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L, \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L)$

$$(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\xi_{2,L})(\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}^*)(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\widetilde{\varrho}_L)=\lambda\widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}$$

(2) We have the following equality in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L, \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L)$

$$(\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,L}^*)(\widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})=_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\widetilde{\varrho}_L.$$

Proof of Claim. We note that since we are assuming $(II)_{M,\lambda}$, it follows from the remark after Corollary 10.4, that $\xi_{2,L}\tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^* = -(1+\lambda)\operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}$ and $\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}\tilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Pi}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}^* =$ $\begin{array}{l} -(1+\lambda) \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} L}. \\ (1) \text{ We deduce the desired equality in the following way} \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\chi}_{1}\xi_{2,L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}^{*})(\tilde{\Pi}_{1}\tilde{\varrho}_{L}) &= (\tilde{\chi}_{1}\xi_{2,L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^{*}) \\ &= (\tilde{\chi}_{1}\xi_{2,L})(\tilde{\chi}_{1}\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L} - \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^{*}) \\ &= (\tilde{\chi}_{1}\xi_{2,L})(\tilde{\chi}_{1}\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^{*}) - (\tilde{\chi}_{1}\xi_{2,L})(\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^{*}) \\ &= (\tilde{\chi}_{1}\tilde{\pi}_{1,L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^{*}) - (\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(\tilde{\varrho}_{2,L}) \\ &= -\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L} + (1+\lambda)\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L} \\ &= \lambda\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L} \end{split}$$

where for the first equality we use Lemma 6.17 or Lemma 7.7, for the second we use Lemma 6.22 or Lemma 7.8, for the fourth we use Theorem 10.1(2) and the exchange law mentioned in (1-10), for the fifth we use Lemma 6.23 or Lemma 7.8 and Theorem 10.1(1).

The above computation is summarized in the following diagram:



(2) is proved in a similar way to (1) which is summarized in the diagram below.



Using the claim it is immediate to check the equality

(11-76)
$$\left((\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} L})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \tilde{\eta}_{2,L}^*)(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \xi_{2,L})(\tilde{\eta}_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} L}^*) - \lambda \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} L} \right) (_{\tilde{\Pi}_1} \tilde{\varrho}_L) = 0$$

in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} L)$. It follows that the morphism

 $(\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,L}^{*})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\xi_{2,L})(\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}^{*})-\lambda\operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}L}$

factors through the cone morphism $\tilde{\Pi}_1 \tilde{\pi}_L$ of $\tilde{\Pi}_1 \tilde{\varrho}_L$, which belongs to rad. Thus we conclude that it belongs to $\operatorname{rad}_A(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} L, \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} L) = \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}_A(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} L)$ $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A L$) as desired.

11.2.4. We prove that the functor $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ – preserves radical morphisms.

Lemma 11.9. Assume that $v \in W_Q$ has the property $(II)_{M,\lambda}$. Let L, N be objects of $\operatorname{add} \mathcal{C}_M$ i.e., direct sums of indecomposable objects which belonging to the same connected components of AR-quiver of $D^{b}(A \mod)$ with M. Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) If a morphism $f: L \to N$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}(L, N)$, then $_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} f$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}_A(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}})$ $L, \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A N).$
- (2) The algebra homomorphism $\operatorname{End}_A(L) \to \operatorname{End}_A(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A L)$ associated to the functor $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} -$ preserves the radicals and hence induces an algebra homomorphism $\operatorname{ResEnd}_A(L) \to \operatorname{ResEnd}_A(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A L).$

Proof. (1) By Theorem 5.8, $L \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varrho}_L} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} L$ is a minimal left rad-approximation. Thus, a radical morphism $f: L \to N$ is factored as $L \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varrho}_L} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} L \xrightarrow{f'} N$ for some f'. It follows from Corollary 10.11 that the morphism $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \tilde{\varrho}_L$ belongs to rad. Therefore, we conclude that $_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}f = (_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}f')(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\widetilde{\varrho}_L)$ is a radical morphism as desired. (2) follows from (1).

11.2.5. Proof of Proposition 11.5. We proceed by induction on n. First assume n = 2. Note that since $V_1(t) = 1$, the condition (11-75) is always satisfied. Since $\tilde{\zeta}_{1,M} = \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \operatorname{and} - \frac{V_2(\lambda)}{V_1(\lambda)} = -(1+\lambda)$, it follows from Theorem 10.1 that $\tilde{\omega}_{1,M} := \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}$ satisfies the desired properties. Next assume $n \geq 3$. By induction hypothesis and setting

 $\xi_{n-1,M} := (\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}} \omega_{n-2,M}) : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M.$ we obtain the following equation in ResEnd_A($\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M$).

$$\xi_{n-1,M}\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^* = -\frac{V_{n-1}(\lambda)}{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}.$$

By assumption it is a non-zero scalar. Therefore the endomorphism $\xi_{n-1,M} \tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*$ is an automorphism of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$. We set $\epsilon_{n-1,M} := (\xi_{n-1,M} \tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*)^{-1}$. Note that we have the following equation in ResEnd_A($\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$).

(11-77)
$$\epsilon_{n-1,M} = -\frac{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)}.$$

We define $\omega_{n-1,M} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ to be the section of $\tilde{\zeta}_{n-1,M}$ corresponding to the splitting

$$\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^* \epsilon_{n-1,M} : \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \rightleftharpoons \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M : \xi_{n-1,M}.$$

Thus, statement (1) in Proposition 11.5 automatically holds.

Applying Corollary 10.4 and the remark after it, we have a morphism

$$\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}:\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M\to\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M$$

such that $\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} = -(1+\lambda) \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M}$ and that the diagram below is commutative (11-78)

We consider the following diagram.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Pi^{-79}) \\ &\xi_{n,M}\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^* = (\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\omega_{n-1,M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\zeta}_{n-1,M})(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2,M}}^*) \\ &= (\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})\left(\operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} - (_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*)(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\epsilon_{n-1,M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\xi_{n-1,M})\right)(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^*) \\ &= (\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^*) - (\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*)(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\epsilon_{n-1,M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\xi_{n-1,M})(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^*) \\ &= -(1+\lambda)\operatorname{id} - (\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*)(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\epsilon_{n-1,M})(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^*) \end{aligned}$$

)

where for the first equality we unwind the definitions of $\xi_{n,M}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^*$, for the second we use the splitting identity $\omega_{n-1,M}\tilde{\zeta}_{n-1,M} = \mathrm{id}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} - \tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*\epsilon_{n-1,M}\xi_{n-1,M}$.

To proceed we need to compute the value of the final term in (11-79) when passing to ResEnd_{A^e}($\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$).

Claim 11.10. We have the following equality in ResEnd_A($\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$). $(\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \widetilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*)(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{n-1,M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \xi_{n-1,M})(\widetilde{\eta}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}) = -\lambda \frac{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)} \operatorname{id}.$

Proof. First we compute the composition of the first two morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^{*}) &= (\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\zeta}_{n-2,M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^{*}) \\ &= (_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\widetilde{\zeta}_{n-2,M})(\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^{*}) \end{aligned}$$

where for the first equality we unwind the definition of $_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \widetilde{\eta}^*_{n-1,M}$ for the second we use the commutativity (11-78).

Next we compute the composition of the last two morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\xi_{n-1,M})(\tilde{\eta}^{*}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}) &= (\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\xi_{2,\tilde{\lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\omega_{n-2,M})(\tilde{\eta}^{*}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}) \\ &= (\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\xi_{2,\tilde{\lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\tilde{\eta}^{*}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{4}}\tilde{\lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M)(\tilde{\Pi}_{1}\omega_{n-2,M}) \end{aligned}$$

where for the first equality we unwind the definition of $\xi_{n-1,M}$ for the second we use the exchange law

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\eta_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\eta_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \end{split}$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$(11-80)$$
$$(\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^{*})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\epsilon_{n-1,M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\xi_{n-1,M})(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^{*}) = (_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}}\tilde{\zeta}_{n-2,M})\Upsilon(_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}}\omega_{n-2,M})$$

where we set

$$\Upsilon := \left((\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M}) (_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}) \right) (_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{n-1,M}) \left((_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}) (\widetilde{\eta}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}) \right).$$

By Lemma 11.9 and (11-77), we have

$$_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\epsilon_{n-1} = -\frac{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)}$$
id

in ResEnd_A($\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}$). Applying Lemma 11.7 to $L = \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$, we have the equality

$$\left((\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\eta}_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^{*})\right)\left((_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\widetilde{\eta}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^{*})\right)=\lambda\,\mathrm{id}$$

in ResEnd_A($\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M$). Thus by Lemma 11.6 we obtain the equality

$$\Upsilon = -\lambda \frac{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)} \operatorname{id}$$

in ResEnd_A($\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$). Since $(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \widetilde{\zeta}_{n-2,M})(_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1} \omega_{n-2,M}) = \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-3}}$, applying Lemma 11.6 to (11-80) we come to the desired equality in $\operatorname{ResEnd}_A(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2})$

$$\begin{split} &(\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^{*})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\epsilon_{n-1,M})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\xi_{n-1,M})(\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M}^{*})\\ &=(_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}}\tilde{\zeta}_{n-2,M})\Upsilon(_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1}}\omega_{n-2,M})\\ &=-\lambda\frac{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)}\,\mathrm{id}\,. \end{split}$$

We continue the equation (11-79) by using Claim 11.10, and deduce the desired equality in $\operatorname{ResEnd}_A(\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2})$ as follows :

$$\begin{split} \xi_{n,M} \tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^* &= -(1+\lambda) \operatorname{id} - (\xi_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}) (_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \tilde{\eta}_{n-1,M}^*) (_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \epsilon_{n-1,M}) (_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1} \xi_{n-1,M}) (\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}) \\ &= -(1+\lambda) \operatorname{id} + \lambda \frac{V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)} \operatorname{id} \\ &= -\frac{(1+\lambda)V_{n-1}(\lambda) - \lambda V_{n-2}(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)} \operatorname{id} \\ &= -\frac{V_n(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)} \operatorname{id}. \end{split}$$

This proves that (2) of Proposition 11.5 holds.

Since $\xi_{n,M} = (\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\omega_{n-1,M})$ by definition, the equation of (3) is deduced from the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M & \xrightarrow{\varrho_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \\ & \downarrow_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{n-1}, M} & \downarrow_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{n-1}, M} \\ \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\Lambda_{n-2}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \\ & \downarrow_{\xi_{2, \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} & \downarrow_{\xi_{2, \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} \\ & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M \end{split}$$

where the commutativity of the square is deduced from the exchange law (1-10). \square

11.3. Proof of Theorem 11.4. We proceed a proof of Theorem 11.4.

We use the induction on $n \ge 2$. The case n = 2 follows from Theorem 10.12. As was pointed out after (10-74), if we set $\varpi_{2,M} := (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M})(\omega_{2,M})$, then it is a cone morphism of $\check{\varrho}_M^2: M \to \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$. We deal with the case $n \geq 3$. For $m = 2, \ldots, n$, we inductively construct the

morphisms

$$\xi_{m,M} = (\xi_{2,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{m-2} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M})(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1} \omega_{m-1,M}), \ \epsilon_{m,M} := (\xi_{m,M} \widetilde{\eta}_{m,M}^*)^{-1}, \omega_{m,M}$$

as in the proof of Proposition 11.5. Assume that we have proved that the morphism $\check{\varrho}_M^{n-1}: M \to \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal left rad^{*n*-1}-approximation and the morphism $\varpi_{n-1,M} := (-1)^{n-1} (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M) (\omega_{n-1,M})$ is a cone morphisms of $\check{\varrho}_M^{n-1}$. Then by Proposition 11.5 we have the following diagram whose row is a direct

sum of AR-triangles and column gives a splitting of the middle term $\Lambda_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \Lambda_{n-1} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ M.

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ & \stackrel{(\widetilde{\eta}_{n,M}^{*})(\epsilon_{n,M})}{\stackrel{\widetilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M}} \bigwedge_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ & \stackrel{\widetilde{\zeta}_{n,M}}{\stackrel{\widetilde{\zeta}_{n,M}}{\stackrel{\swarrow}{\longrightarrow}}} \bigwedge_{n} \omega_{n,M} \\ & \stackrel{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}}{\stackrel{\otimes}{\longrightarrow}} M \end{split}$$

Recall that $(\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}^*)(\epsilon_{n,M}) = \prod_{\tilde{\Pi}_1} \check{\varrho}_{n-1,M} \epsilon_{n,M}$ and $\check{\zeta}_{n,M} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M} = \check{\varrho}_{n,M}$. By Proposition 11.5(3), we have $\xi_{n,M} \tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes M} = (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\omega_{n-1,M}) = \tilde{\varrho}_{n,M}$.

 $(-1)^{n-1} \varpi_{n-1,M}$. Thus, setting $\varpi_{n,M} := (-1)^n (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}M})(\omega_{n,M})$, we obtain the following commutative diagram (11-81)

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M}_{\tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M} (\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}) (\epsilon_{n,M})} \widetilde{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} (\tilde{\eta}_{n,M}) (\epsilon_{n,M})} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_{n,1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M}_{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} (-1)^{n} \overline{\omega}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} (-1)^{n} \overline{\omega}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}} \widetilde{\tilde{\mu}_{n,M}}}$$

Hence we obtain the following homotopy Cartesian square whose totalization is a direct sum of AR-triangles

Recall that $\check{\varrho}_M^n = \check{\varrho}_{n,M}\check{\varrho}_M^{n-1}$ and $\check{\varrho}_M^{n-1}$ is a minimal left rad^{n-1} -approximation by the induction hypothesis. Thanks to Proposition 2.14 we come to the desired conclusion that the morphism $\check{\varrho}_M^n : M \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal left rad^n -approximation of M in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and we have an exact triangle

$$M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \xrightarrow{\varpi_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to .$$

We note we have a left ladder of the following form in which we omit indexes for simplicity. (11-83)

12. A DEFORMATION ARGUMENT

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 12.2, which says that for fixed $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$, the locus of $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ where the multiplication ${}^{v}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n}$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation, contains a Zariski open set. Thus from now on we include weights $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ in our notation again.

12.1. Statement of the main theorem. Let $M \in \operatorname{ind} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$. Then we define a subset $\mathcal{I}_{M,n}$ to be the subset of \mathcal{W}_Q that consists of points v that has the property $(\mathbf{I})_{M,n}$. Note that the subspace $\mathcal{I}_{M,n}$ is defined by finite number of linear equations inside $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ and is a Zariski open subset of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$.

We point out the following two properties of $\mathcal{I}_{M,n}$.

Lemma 12.1. (1) If the weight v belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{M,n}$, then the morphism ${}^{v}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n}: M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}^{n}(M, {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} M)$.

(2) The isomorphism class of ${}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is independent of $v \in \mathcal{I}_{n,M}$.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 5.8, $\check{\varrho}_{m,M} : {}^{v} \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to {}^{v} \Lambda_{m} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ belongs to rad for m = 1, 2, ..., n. Therefore, the composition $\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n} = \check{\varrho}_{n,M}\check{\varrho}_{n-1,M}\cdots\check{\varrho}_{1,M}$ belongs to radⁿ.

(2) By Theorem 8.2, if the weight v belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{M,n}$, then ${}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ gives a minimal right radⁿ-approximation of $\Pi_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$. Thus, if $u, v \in \mathcal{I}_{n,M}$, then ${}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \cong {}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$.

Theorem 12.2. Let $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$. We set

 $\mathcal{L}_{M,n} := \{ v \in \mathcal{I}_{M,n} \mid {}^{v} \check{\varrho}_{M}^{n} \text{ is a minimal left rad}^{n} \text{-approximation of } M \}.$

Then $\mathcal{L}_{M,n}$ is a (possibly empty) Zariski open set of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Remark 12.3. The above theorem says that the set $\mathcal{L}_{M,n}$ of weights $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ that satisfy the two conditions (1) the morphism ${}^{v}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n}$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation and (2) v has the property $(I)_{M,n}$, is open subset of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$. We do not know if the first condition solely provides an open subset.

12.2. A criterion for locally triviality. We recall a well-known criteria of locally freeness of modules over a commutative ring. For a commutative algebra R, we denote by MaxSpec R the set of maximal ideals of R.

Lemma 12.4 (e.g., [25, II. Exercise 5.8]). Let R be a commutative integral Noetherian algebra of finite type. Then an R-module M is locally free if and only if the function MaxSpec $R \to \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{m} \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is constant.

We establish a similar statement for a module \mathcal{M} over $RQ = \mathbf{k}Q \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R$. Namely if all the fibers $\mathcal{M} \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ at $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec} R$ are isomorphic to each other as $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q$ -modules, then \mathcal{M} is locally trivial.

Lemma 12.5. Let R be a commutative integral Noetherian algebra of finite type and \mathcal{M} a finitely generated RQ-module. Assume that there exists a finitely generated kQ-module M such that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \cong M \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ as $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q$ -modules for all $\mathfrak{m} \in MaxSpec R$. Then, for each $\mathfrak{m} \in MaxSpec R$ there exists $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_R R_f \cong M \otimes R_f$ as $R_f Q$ -modules.

Proof.

Claim 12.6. For any $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec} R$, the canonical morphism below is an isomorphism.

(12-84) $\operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(M \otimes R, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q}(M \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{M} \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m})).$

Proof of Claim 12.6. Let $\operatorname{Res} : 0 \to P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \to M \to 0$ be a projective resolution of M over $\mathbf{k}Q$. Applying $-\otimes R$, we obtain a projective resolution $\operatorname{Res} \otimes R : 0 \to P_1 \otimes R \xrightarrow{f \otimes R} P_0 \otimes R \to M \otimes R \to 0$ of the RQ-module $M \otimes R$. Taking the long cohomology sequence of $\operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(\operatorname{Res} \otimes R, \mathcal{M})$, we obtain the exact sequence (12-85)

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(M \otimes R, \mathcal{M}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(P_0 \otimes R, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{f^*} \operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(P_1 \otimes R, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Ext}^1_{RQ}(M \otimes R, \mathcal{M}) \to 0.$

where we set $f^* := \operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(f \otimes R, \mathcal{M})$ and δ is the connecting morphism.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec } R$. By right exactness of the functor $- \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$, the upper row of the following diagram is exact


where the bottom row is a part of the cohomology long exact sequence of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q}(\operatorname{Res}\otimes\kappa(\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{M}\otimes_R\kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$. Observe that the left and the middle vertical arrows are isomorphisms, and hence so is the right vertical arrow. By the assumption $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q}(M\otimes\kappa(\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{M}\otimes_R\kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q}(M\otimes\kappa(\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{M}\otimes\kappa(\mathfrak{m})) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{kQ}(M, \mathcal{M})\otimes\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec} R$. Hence by Lemma 12.4, the *R*-module $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{RQ}(M\otimes R, \mathcal{M})$ is locally free.

It also follows from Lemma 12.4 and the assumption $\mathcal{M} \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ that \mathcal{M} is locally free as an *R*-module. Since the *R*-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(P_1 \otimes R, \mathcal{M})$ is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of \mathcal{M} as an *R*-module, it is locally free as an *R*-module. It follows that ker δ is locally free as an *R*-module. Therefore applying $- \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ to the exact sequence (12-85), we see that the upper row of the following diagram is exact



where the bottom row is a part of the cohomology long exact sequence of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q}(\operatorname{Res}\otimes\kappa(\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{M}\otimes_R\kappa(\mathfrak{m}))$. Since the middle and the right vertical arrows are isomorphisms, we conclude that the left vertical arrow which is the canonical morphism (12-84) is an isomorphism. \Box

It follows from Claim 12.6 and the assumption $\mathcal{M} \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{m})Q$ that there exists $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{RQ}(\mathcal{M} \otimes R, \mathcal{M})$ such that $\phi \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ is an isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{M} \otimes R$ and \mathcal{M} are locally free as R-modules, there exists $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\phi \otimes R_f$ is an isomorphism of R_f -modules and is an isomorphism of R_fQ -modules. \Box

12.3. **Proof of Theorem 12.2.** We only deal with the case that Q is a Dynkin quiver, so that $W_Q = \mathbf{k}^{\times} Q_0$. The non-Dynkin case is proved in a similar way by using ${}^{v}\Lambda$ given in (7-59).

We may assume that M is indecomposable and belongs to $A \mod$.

Let $T := \mathbf{k}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ be the coordinate algebra of $\mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$. We denote by ${}^{T}\!\tilde{\Lambda}$ the dg-algebra obtained from the formula (6-37) by replacing \mathbf{k} with T and v_i^{-1} with x_i^{-1} for all $i \in Q_0$. We define a morphism ${}^{T}\!\check{\varrho}_M^n : M \to {}^{T}\!\check{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A M$ in C(TQ) by replacing v_i in the definition of ${}^{v}\!\check{\varrho}_N^M$ with x_i . The complex ${}^{T}\!\check{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A M$ computes the derived tensor product ${}^{T}\!\check{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^L M$. We denote the induced morphism ${}^{T}\!\check{\varrho}_M^n : M \to {}^{T}\!\check{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^L M$ in D(TQ) by the same symbol.

Let R be the coordinate ring of $\mathcal{I}_{M,n}$. Since R is a localization of T it satisfies the assumption of Lemma 12.5. We may assume that $\mathcal{L}_{M,n}$ is not empty. Let $v \in \mathcal{L}_{M,n}$ and \mathfrak{m} the corresponding maximal ideal of R. For simplicity we set $M' := {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$. Thanks to Lemma 12.1, we can apply Lemma 12.5 and deduce that there exists $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ such that if we set $S := R_{f}$ and ${}^{S}\widetilde{\Lambda} := {}^{T}\widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{T} S$, then there exists an isomorphism $\phi : {}^{S}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \to M' \otimes S$ of SQ-modules. The isomorphism ϕ induces an isomorphism

 $\phi_*: \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(M \otimes S, {}^{S} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(M \otimes S, M' \otimes S) \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M') \otimes S.$

We set ${}^{S}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n} := {}^{T}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n} \otimes_{T} S$. We claim that the image $\phi_{*}({}^{S}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n})$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}(M, M') \otimes S$.

First observe that the morphism ϕ_* is compatible with specializations. Namely for $u \in \text{MaxSpec } S$, the following diagram is commutative



where the bottom arrow is the post-composition by $\phi \otimes_S \kappa(u)$ and the vertical arrows are the specialization maps.

On the other hand, we have observed in Lemma 12.1 that ${}^{u}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n}$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}(M, {}^{u}\check{\Lambda}_{n}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}M)$. It follows that $\phi_{*}({}^{S}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n})$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}^{n}(M, M')\otimes\kappa(u)$ after the specialization to the point u. Thus we see that $\phi_{*}({}^{S}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n})$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}(M, M')\otimes S$ as desired.

Let ψ : Spec $S \to \operatorname{rad}^n(M, M')$ be the morphism of schemes induced from the element $\phi_*({}^S\check{\varrho}^n_M) \in \operatorname{rad}^n_A(M, M') \otimes S$.

$$\psi : \operatorname{Spec} S \to \operatorname{rad}^n(M, M'), \ u \mapsto {}^u \check{\varrho}_M^n.$$

By Theorem 2.5 the subspace $\operatorname{lap}^n(M, M') \subset \operatorname{rad}^n(M, M)$ of minimal left rad^n approximations is open. Thus the inverse image $\psi^{-1}(\operatorname{lap}^n(M, M'))$ is an open
neighborhood of v in $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is contained in $\mathcal{L}_{n,M}$. This shows that $\mathcal{L}_{M,n}$ is an
open subset of $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ as desired.

13. Minimal left rad^n -approximations in the case $char \mathbf{k} = 0$

The aim of this section is to establish the desired result in the case char $\mathbf{k} = 0$ that the morphism ${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}: M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ is a minimal left rad^{*n*}-approximation of $M \in \text{ind } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ for a generic sincere weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_{0}$.

13.1. Statements.

Theorem 13.1. Assume char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Let Q be a quiver, $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n \in N_Q$.

Then for a generic sincere weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ the morphism

$${}^{v}\varrho^{n}_{M}: M \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M$$

is a minimal left rad^n -approximation.

In the case Q is Dynkin, since A is of finite representation type, it is immediate to deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 13.2. Assume char $\mathbf{k} = 0$. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h. Then for a generic sincere weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ the following assertion holds:

For $M \in \text{ind } D^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots, h - 2$, the morphism

$${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}: M \to {}^{v}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

is a minimal left rad^n -approximation.

By Theorem 12.2, to prove Theorem 13.1, it is enough to establish existence of a sincere weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ that has property $(\mathbf{I})_{M,n}$ and is such that the morphism ${}^{v}\check{\varrho}_{M}^{n}$ is a minimal left radⁿ-approximations for fixed M, n. Thanks to Theorem 11.4, this problem is reduced to establish existence of a weight $v \in \mathcal{W}_Q$ that has the property $(\mathbf{II})_{M,\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{-1\}$ such that $V_m(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $m = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. We solve this problem in the following two propositions. The first one covers all the cases except when Q is extended Dynkin and M is a shift of a regular module. These cases are dealt with in the second proposition.

Proposition 13.3. Let Q be a finite acyclic quiver. Then, the following holds.

- (1) If Q is a Dynkin guiver with the Coxeter number h. Then, there exists a regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is an eigenvector of Ψ whose eigenvalue λ is a h-th root of unity.
- (2) Assume that Q is an extended Dynkin quiver. Then there exists a semi-regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is an eigenvector of Ψ whose eigenvalue is 1. Moreover there exists no regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is an eigenvector of Ψ .
- (3) If Q is wild, then exists a regular weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is an eigenvector of Ψ whose eigenvalue λ is not a root of unity different from 1.

Proposition 13.4. Let Q be an extended Dynkin quiver and $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ a shift of a regular module. Then there exists a sincere weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ that has the property $(II)_{M,1}$.

13.2. A proof of Proposition 13.3. Dynkin case. We give a proof of Proposition 13.3 for a Dynkin quiver Q. First we extend our ground field \mathbf{k} to a field \mathbf{k}' such that $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbf{k}'$.

Let Q be a Dynkin quiver with the Coxeter number h > 2. We set $\zeta := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{h}\right)$ and $\xi_n := \frac{\zeta^{n+1}-1}{\zeta-1}$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, h-2$. We note that $\xi_0 = 1, \xi_{h-2} = -\zeta^{-1}$ and $1 - \xi_{h-2}^{-1}\xi_{n-1} = \xi_n$. We fix a vertex $i \in Q_0$ and set $w_n := \Psi^n C^{-1} \underline{\chi}(P_i)$. We prove that $v := \sum_{n=0}^{h-2} \xi_n w_n$ is a regular weight which is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue ζ^{-1} .

First we prove $\Psi(v) = \zeta^{-1}v$. The order of the Coxeter matrix Φ is h. Moreover Φ does not have 1 as its eigenvalue by [11]. Therefore, $\sum_{n=0}^{h-1} \Psi^n = 0$. Thus, we have $\Psi(w_n) = w_{n+1}$ for n = 0, 1, ..., h-3 and $\Psi(w_{h-2}) = -\sum_{n=0}^{h-2} w_n$. It follows that

$$\Psi(v) = \sum_{n=0}^{h-3} \xi_n w_{n+1} - \xi_{h-2} \sum_{n=0}^{h-2} w_n$$
$$= -\xi_{h-2} \left(w_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{h-2} (1 - \xi_{h-2}^{-1} \xi_{n-1}) w_n \right)$$
$$= \zeta^{-1} v.$$

We claim that ${}^{v}\chi(\nu_{1}^{-1}(M)) = \zeta^{-1}{}^{v}\chi(M)$ for all $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$. Indeed, we can deduce it by a straightforward calculation as below

Next we prove that v is regular. Recall that an indecomposable module $M \in$ ind Q belongs to the ν_1^{-1} orbit of some indecomposable projective module P_j . Thus by the above claim, it is enough to show that ${}^{v}\chi(P_{j}) \neq 0$ for each vertex $j \in Q_{0}$. We take a vertex $j \in Q_{0}$. We claim ${}^{v}\chi(P_{j}) = \sum_{n=0}^{h-2} \xi_{n}\chi(e_{i}\widetilde{\Pi}_{n}e_{j})$. First we check

$$\underline{\chi}(P_j)^t w_n = \underline{\chi}(P_j)^t (\Phi^{-n})^t C^{-1} \underline{\chi}(P_i)$$

$$= (\Phi^{-n} \underline{\chi}(P_j))^t C^{-1} \underline{\chi}(P_i)$$

$$= \underline{\chi}(\nu_1^{-n} P_j)^t C^{-1} \underline{\chi}(P_i)$$

$$= \chi(\mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}Q}(P_i, \nu_1^{-n} P_j))$$

$$= \chi(e_i \widetilde{\Pi}_n e_j).$$

Thus we have

$${}^{v}\chi(P_{j}) = \underline{\chi}(P_{j})^{t}v = \sum_{n=0}^{h-2} \xi_{n}\chi(e_{i}\widetilde{\Pi}_{n}e_{j}).$$

Let $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, h-2\}$ be the integer that such that $\widetilde{\Pi}_n e_j = \nu_1^{-n}(P_j)$ concentrated in cohomological degree 0 for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, m$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}_n e_j = \nu_1^{-n}(P_j)$ concentrated in cohomological degree -1 for $n = m+1, \ldots, h$. Then $\chi(e_i \widetilde{\Pi}_n e_j) \ge 0$ for $n = 0, \ldots, m$ and $\chi(e_i \widetilde{\Pi}_n e_j) \le 0$ for $n = m+1, \ldots, h-2$.

Let $\arg: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \to (-\pi, \pi]$ be the principal branch of the argument function. It is easy to check that

$$0 = \arg(\xi_0) < \arg(\xi_1) < \dots < \arg(\xi_{h-2}) = \pi - \frac{2\pi}{h} < \pi.$$

Then we have

$$\arg(-\xi_{m+1}) < \arg(-\xi_{m+2}) < \dots < \arg(-\xi_{h-2}) < \arg(\xi_0) < \dots < \arg(\xi_m)$$

and $\arg(\xi_m) - \arg(-\xi_{m+1}) < \pi$. Therefore, ${}^{v}\chi(P_j)$ is given as a sum of complex numbers belonging to the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \mid 0 \leq \arg(z) - \arg(-\xi_{m+1}) < \pi\} \sqcup \{0\}$ as below

$${}^{v}\chi(P_{j}) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \xi_{n}\chi(e_{i}\widetilde{\Pi}_{n}e_{j}) + \sum_{n=m+1}^{h-2} (-\xi_{n}) \left(-\chi(e_{i}\widetilde{\Pi}_{n}e_{j})\right).$$

By Lemma 13.5 below, Πe_j is sincere. Therefore there exists n such that $\chi(e_i \Pi_n e_j) > 0$. Thus we conclude ${}^{v}\chi(P_j) \neq 0$ as desired. It follows that $v \neq 0$ and hence v is an eigenvector of Ψ .

Finally note that the coefficients of v are in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} and so $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Lemma 13.5. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $i \in Q_0$. Then the projective module $\Pi(Q)e_i$ is sincere.

Proof. Let $j \in Q_0$. Then the underlying graph |Q| admits an orientation Ω such that in the quiver $Q' := (|Q|, \Omega)$ has a path from j to i. Therefore, $e_j \mathbf{k} Q' e_i \neq 0$. Since $\mathbf{k}Q'$ is a (ungraded) subalgebra of $\Pi(Q)$, we conclude $e_j \Pi(Q) e_i \neq 0$ as desired.

13.3. A proof of Proposition 13.3 non-Dynkin case. Recall that $\Phi := -C^t C^{-1}$, $\Psi := \Phi^{-t} = -C^{-1}C^t$. It follows that $\Psi = C^{-1}\Phi C$. Therefore an element $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue λ if and only if w := Cv is an eigenvector of Φ with the eigenvalue λ . Recall that the Euler-Ringel form $\langle -, + \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}}$ is a bilinear form on $\mathbf{k}Q_0$ which is defined to be $\langle v, w \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}} := w^t C^{-1}v$, which satisfies the formula $\langle \underline{\chi}(M), \underline{\chi}(N) \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}} = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(M, N)$ for $M, N \in A \mod$. It follows that for $u, v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$, we have $u^t v = u^t C^{-1}(Cv) = \langle Cv, u \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}}$. Thus in particular ${}^v\chi(M) = \langle Cv, \chi(M) \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}}$ for $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A \mod)$.

Using this observation, we deduce the non-Dynkin case of Theorem 13.3 from results by Dlab-Ringel [11], de la Pena-Takane [42] and Takane [47]. Note that by [47] we can choose the eigenvalue λ to be the spectral radius of Φ and in particular not a root of unity different from 1.

13.3.1. Extended-Dynkin case. Let Q be an extended Dynkin quiver.

First we prove that Ψ has a semi-regular eigenvector with the eigenvalue 1. Let R be an indecomposable quasi-simple regular module such that $\nu_1^{-1}(R) = R$. We set $\delta := \underline{\chi}(R)$ and $v := C^{-1}\delta$. Then for $M \in A \mod$, we have ${}^{v}\chi(M) = \langle \delta, \underline{\chi}(M) \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}}$. It follows that v is semi-regular.

Next we prove that Ψ does not have a regular eigenvector. Assume that Ψ has a regular eigenvector u with the eigenvalue λ . Let R be an indecomposable quasisimple regular module such that $\nu_1^{-1}(R) = R$. From the following equation we see that $\lambda = 1$.

$$\lambda \left({}^{u}\!\chi(R) \right) = {}^{\Psi(u)}\!\chi(R) = {}^{u}\!\chi(\nu_{1}^{-1}(R)) = {}^{u}\!\chi(R).$$

By [11], the eigenspace of Φ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 is one-dimensional and generated by $\delta = \underline{\chi}(R)$. It follows from the equation $\Psi = C^{-1}\Phi C$ that there exists $c \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u = cC^{-1}\delta$. Now we reach a contradiction by

$${}^{u}\chi(R) = \underline{\chi}(R)^{t}u = c\delta^{t}C^{-1}\delta = c\langle\delta,\delta\rangle_{\mathsf{ER}} = 0.$$

13.3.2. Wild case. Let Q be a wild quiver. By Takane [47, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 2.1], Φ has an eigenvector y^- such that $\langle y^-, \underline{\chi}(M) \rangle_{\mathsf{ER}} \neq 0$ for all $M \in \operatorname{ind} Q$. Thus the element $v := C^{-1}y^-$ is a regular eigenvector of Ψ .

13.4. **Proof of Proposition 13.4.** We deal with the remaining case that Q is extended Dynkin and M is a shifted of a regular module. We may assume that M is a regular module. Let C be the connected component of the AR-quiver of A mod to which M belong. We take (an isomorphism class of) all the quasi-simple regular modules L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_p in C. In other words C looks as follows:



Lemma 13.6. The dimension vectors $\underline{\chi}(L_1), \underline{\chi}(L_2), \ldots, \underline{\chi}(L_p)$ are linearly independent in $\mathbf{k}Q_0$.

Proof. We can check the statement for extended Dynkin quivers with particular orientations from the table given in [46, XIII 2.4, 2.6, 2.12, 2.16, 2.20]. Using the APR-tilting equivalences, we can reduce the general case to this case. \Box

Question 13.7. Is there a direct proof which does not rely on any explicit description of regular modules on the mouth?

Does the same statement holds true for n-tame algebras in the sense of Herschend-Iyama-Oppermann [28]?

Lemma 13.8. Let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p \in \mathbf{k}^r$ be linear independent elements and $x \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}$. Then there exists $v \in \mathbf{k}^r$ such that ${}^tu_iv = x$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$.

Proof. Let $\xi := (x, x, \dots, x)^t \in \mathbf{k}^p$. Since the map $F : \mathbf{k}^r \to \mathbf{k}^p, F(w) := ({}^tu_1w, \dots, {}^tu_pw)^t$ is linear and surjective, the inverse image $F^{-1}(\xi)$ is non-empty.

It follows from Lemma 13.6 and Lemma 13.8 that there exists $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ such that all the weighted Euler characteristics ${}^{v}\chi(L_1), {}^{v}\chi(L_2), \ldots, {}^{v}\chi(L_p)$ have the same non-zero value. If we set $x := {}^{v}\chi(L_1) = {}^{v}\chi(L_2) = \cdots = {}^{v}\chi(L_p)$, then the numbers ${}^{v}\chi(N)$ for $N \in \mathcal{C}$ are given in the diagram below



We have ${}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} N) = {}^{v}\chi(N)$ and consequently,

$$\frac{{}^{v}\chi(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}N)}{{}^{v}\chi(N)} = 1$$

for any indecomposable modules N belonging to C.

Thus we see that for any indecomposable module K belonging to \mathcal{C} the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ has the property $(\mathrm{II})_{K,1}$.

Now we have completed the proofs of Proposition 13.3 and Proposition 13.4. Hence we have completed the proofs of Theorem 13.2 and Theorem 13.1 as well.

14. QHA of Dynkin type A_N

We study the case where Q is an A_N -quiver and the base field **k** is of arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 14.1. Let $N \ge 1$ and Q an A_N -quiver. Assume that \mathbf{k} has a primitive (N+1)-th root of unity. Then for a generic $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$, the morphism

$${}^{v}\varrho_{M}^{n}: M \to {}^{v}\Lambda_{n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} M$$

is a minimal left radⁿ-approximation for all $M \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Proof. By Theorem 12.2 and Theorem 13.1, it is enough to show that the matrix $\Psi = \Phi^{-t}$ has a regular eigenvector $v \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$, whose eigenvalue λ satisfies

$$V_m(\lambda) \neq 0$$
 for $m = 2, \ldots, N-1$.

Using APR-tilting equivalences, we may assume that Q is a directed A_N -quiver Q.

$$Q: 1 \to 2 \to 3 \to \dots \to N.$$

It is straight forward to check

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the eigenpolynomial $F_{\Psi}(t)$ is

$$F_{\Psi}(t) = t^{N} + t^{N-1} + \dots + t + 1 = V_{N+1}(t).$$

Let λ be a primitive (N + 1)-th root unity, then it is a root of $F_{\Phi}(t)$. Then the vector $v := (\lambda^{N-1}, \lambda^{N-2}, \ldots, \lambda, 1)^t \in \mathbf{k}Q_0$ is an eigenvector of Ψ belonging to the eigenvalue λ .

Recall that isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules over A is parameterized by pairs (i, j) of integers such that $1 \le i \le j \le N$. Namely, for such a pair (i, j), there exists a unique indecomposable module $M_{(i,j)}$ such that dim $e_k M = 1$ $(i \le k \le j)$, dim $e_k M = 0$ (otherwise) and these modules form a set of representatives of ind Q. Since

$${}^{v}\chi(M_{i,j}) = \lambda^{N-i} + \lambda^{N-i-1} + \dots + \lambda^{N-j},$$

we conclude that ${}^{v}\chi(M_{i,j}) \neq 0$ for all (i, j).

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 15.3 which is a bimodule version of Theorem 11.4. Throughout the section we again suppress the weight in our notation and write $\tilde{\Lambda} = {}^{v} \tilde{\Lambda}$.

15.1. First we establish a bimodule version of Theorem 10.1.

Proposition 15.1. If the weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ is a regular eigenvector of $\Psi = \Phi^{-t}$ with the eigenvalue λ . Then, there exists a morphism $\tilde{\xi}_2 : \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \tilde{\Pi}_1$ in $\mathsf{D}(A^e)$ that satisfies the following conditions:

(1) We have the following equalities in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})$

$$\tilde{\xi}_2(\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}) = \tilde{\pi}_1, \ \tilde{\xi}_2(_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\tilde{\varrho}) = -\lambda \tilde{\pi}_1.$$

(2) We have the following equality in $\operatorname{End}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \cong \mathbf{k}$

$$\xi_2 \tilde{\eta}_2^* = -(1+\lambda) \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}$$

(3) If $\lambda \neq -1$, then the composition $\tilde{\xi}_2 \tilde{\eta}_2^*$ is an automorphism of Π_1 in $\mathsf{D}(A^e)$.

We need a preparation. Let X be an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$. We provide a lemma that compares the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}(X)$ over A^{e} and the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_A(X)$ over A. Note that the forgetful functor $\mathsf{D}(A^{\mathsf{e}}) \to \mathsf{D}(A)$ is not fully faithful.

Lemma 15.2. Let $X \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \mod)$ be such an object that $\mathrm{H}^{<0}(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A}(X, X)) = 0$. Then the canonical map $i : \mathrm{End}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}(X) \to \mathrm{End}_{A}(X)$ is injective. Moreover we have $\mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{End}_{A}(X) \cap \mathrm{End}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}(X) \subset \mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{End}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}(X)$.

Proof. Recall that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(X, X) \cong \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(A, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, X))$. Applying the functor $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(-, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, X))$ to the exact sequence $0 \to AVA \to A^{e} \to A \to 0$, we obtain the exact sequence

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(AVA, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, X)[-1]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A^{e}}(X, X) \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, X).$

Since the left term vanishes by the assumption, we conclude that i is injective.

The second statement follows from the fact that inside a finite dimensional algebra R the radical rad R is characterized as the largest nilpotent left ideal (see [36, Theorem 4.12]).

Proof of Proposition 15.1. The idea of the proof is to take the Π_2 -dual $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(\tilde{\eta}^*, \tilde{\Pi}_2)$ of $\tilde{\eta}^*$. To complete this idea, we need to fix two isomorphisms iso and can.

First we fix isomorphisms

$$\mathsf{c}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}^{\prime}:\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\rightarrow\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \ \mathsf{e}^{\prime}:\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1},\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})\cong\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}^{\rhd}\rightarrow\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$$

in $\mathsf{D}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$ obtained in Corollary 5.22 and Corollary 5.26. We define an isomorphism iso : $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1, \widetilde{\Pi}_1) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ to be the composition

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1},\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1},\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\rhd} \xrightarrow{\frac{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}^{\mathsf{c}}}{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\rhd} \xrightarrow{\frac{(\mathbf{c}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}^{\mathsf{c}})_{(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}^{\mathsf{c}})^{\rhd}}{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\rhd} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{A}^{\mathsf{e}}}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A}\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}.$$

Let can : $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_1,\widetilde{\Pi}_2) \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ be the canonical isomorphism. We prove the composition $\tilde{\xi}_2 := \lambda^{-1} \operatorname{can} \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(\tilde{\eta}^*,\widetilde{\Pi}_1) \operatorname{iso}^{-1} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1$ has the desired properties.

$$\tilde{\xi}_{2}: \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{iso}^{-1}} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\tilde{\eta}^{*}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1}\mathsf{can}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}.$$

Applying $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-,\widetilde{\Pi}_2)$ to the morphism $_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}\widetilde{\pi}_1:\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\to\widetilde{\Lambda}_1\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A\widetilde{\Pi}_1$, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$(15-86) \qquad (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\chi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\chi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\chi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\chi}_{1})^{\rhd}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\rhd} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})^{\simeq}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\rhd} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1})^{\simeq}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\simeq} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \mathbb{C}, \widetilde{\chi}_{1})^{\simeq}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\simeq} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\ell}_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\simeq}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\simeq} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\ell}_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}} \otimes_{\widetilde{\ell}_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}}} \widetilde{\chi}_{1} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\ell}_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}$$

where we use the abbreviation $(-, +) := \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, +)$. We note that the right column is iso.

On the other hand, applying $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(-,\widetilde{\Pi}_2)$ to the morphism $\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}: \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Pi}_1$, we obtain the following commutative diagram.

Observe that the right column coincides with that of the diagram (15-86). Thus applying $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, \widetilde{\Pi}_2)$ to the commutative diagram



we obtain the following commutative diagram



This proves the first equality of (1).

Applying $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-,\widetilde{\Pi}_2)$ to the morphism $\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_1}: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \to \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$(15-87) \qquad (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})^{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\mathbb{D}}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{2})^{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\mathbb{D}}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\widetilde{\pi}^{\mathbb{D}}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{(c_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}}')_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\mathbb{D}}}^{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\widetilde{\pi}^{\mathbb{D}}}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\widetilde{\pi}^{\mathbb{D}}}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1})^{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\widetilde{\pi}^{\mathbb{D}}}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1} \otimes_{$$

where we use the abbreviation $(-, +) := \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, +)$. We note that the right column is iso.

On the other hand, applying $\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(-,\widetilde{\Pi}_2)$ to the morphism $_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}\tilde{\varrho}:\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \to$ $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1$, we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2})} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})} (\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}^{\mathbb{L}}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{2}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Lambda}_{1}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} (\widetilde{\ell}_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}) \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})^{-1}} (\widetilde{\ell}_{\widetilde{\pi}_{1}}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})^{-1} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \widetilde{\Pi}_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} (\widetilde{\Pi}_{1})^{\mathbb{L}} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{\pi}_{1}, \widetilde{\pi}_{1})} \xrightarrow{($$

The commutativity of third square is proved in Corollary 5.23. Therefore, the right column is can.

Thus applying $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, \widetilde{\Pi}_2)$ to the commutative diagram



we obtain the following commutative diagram



This proves the second equality of (1).

(2) Let $i \in Q_0$. By (1), we have $\tilde{\xi}_{2,Ae_i}\tilde{\varrho}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1e_i} = \tilde{\pi}_{1,Ae_i}$. It follows from Corollary 10.2 and Corollary 6.15 that the endomorphism $(\tilde{\xi}_2\tilde{\eta}_2^* + (1+\lambda)\operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Pi}_1}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} Ae_i = \tilde{\xi}_{2,Ae_i}\tilde{\eta}_{2,Ae_i}^* + (1+\lambda)\operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Pi}_1e_i}$ of $\tilde{\Pi}_1e_i$ belongs to in $\operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_A(\tilde{\Pi}_1e_i)$. Therefore, the endomorphism $\tilde{\xi}_2\tilde{\eta}_2^* + (1+\lambda)\operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Pi}_1}$ of $\tilde{\Pi}_1$ in $\mathsf{D}(A)$ belongs to in $\operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_A(\tilde{\Pi}_1)$.

Since $\tilde{\xi}_2 \tilde{\eta}_2^* + (1+\lambda) \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}$ is an endomorphism of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1$ in $\mathsf{D}(A^{\mathrm{e}})$, it follows from by Lemma 15.2 that the endomorphism $\tilde{\xi}_2 \tilde{\eta}_2^* + (1+\lambda) \operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}_{A^{\mathrm{e}}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_1)$.

Observe that $\operatorname{End}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) \cong \operatorname{End}_{A^{e}}(A) = (\text{the center of } A) = \mathbf{k} \text{ and } \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}_{A^{e}}(\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}) = 0.$ Therefore we conclude that $\tilde{\xi}_{2}\tilde{\eta}_{2}^{*} = -(1+\lambda)\operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{\Pi}_{1}}$ as desired.

(3) is a consequence of (2).

15.2.

Theorem 15.3. Assume that a sincere weight $v \in \mathbf{k}^{\times}Q_0$ is a regular (resp. semiregular) eigenvector of Ψ with the eigenvalue λ .

Assume moreover that there is a natural number $n \ge 2$ satisfies the condition:

$$V_m(\lambda) \neq 0$$
, for $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Then there exists a morphism of $\tilde{\omega}_{n-1} : \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \to \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$ that has the following properties

(1) $\tilde{\zeta}_{n-1}\tilde{\omega}_{n-1} = \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}}.$ (2) If we set $\tilde{\xi}_{n,M} := (\tilde{\xi}_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}})(_{\tilde{\Lambda}_1}\tilde{\omega}_{n-1})$

$$\tilde{\xi}_n: \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \tilde{\omega}_{n-1}} \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\xi}_{2,\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2},$$

then the following equality holds in $\operatorname{ResEnd}_{A^{e}}(\Pi_{1} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} \Lambda_{n-2})$

$$\tilde{\xi}_n \tilde{\eta}_n^* = -\frac{V_n(\lambda)}{V_{n-1}(\lambda)} \neq 0.$$

Therefore it is an automorphism of $\widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{mod})$. (3) We have $(\tilde{\xi}_n)(\tilde{\varrho}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}}) = (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-2}})(\tilde{\omega}_{n-1})$. (4) Setting $\tilde{\epsilon}_m := (\tilde{\xi}_m \tilde{\eta}_m^*)^{-1}$, $\tilde{\varpi}_m := (-1)^n (\tilde{\pi}_{1,\tilde{\Lambda}_{m-2}}) (\tilde{\omega}_{m-1})$ for m = 2, 3, ..., n, we obtain the commutative diagram below for m = 2, 3, ..., n



Hence we obtain the following homotopy Cartesian diagram that is folded to a direct sum of AR-triangles

Applying $-\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M$ where M is an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_A[\mathbb{Z}]$) to the above diagrams, we obtain the diagram (11-81) and (11-82).

(5) Consequently, we have an exact triangle

$$A \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}^n} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \xrightarrow{\check{\varpi}_n} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \to$$

in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$ such that applying $-\otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ where M is an object of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_{A}[\mathbb{Z}]$), we obtain an exact triangle

$$M \xrightarrow{\check{\varrho}_M^n} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \xrightarrow{\check{\varpi}_{n,M}} \widetilde{\Pi}_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n-1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M \to$$

in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$ the first arrow $\check{\varrho}_M^n$ of which is a minimal left rad^n -approximation of M.

We can prove Theorem 15.3 in the same way of Theorem 11.4 by using Proposition 15.1 and Lemma 15.2. Hence we leave details to the readers. We note we have a left ladder in $D^{b}(A^{e} \mod)$ of the following form such that if

We note we have a left ladder in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$ of the following form such that if we apply $-\otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M$ then we obtain the diagram (11-83).



APPENDIX A. HOMOTOPY CARTESIAN SQUARE

Let D be a triangulated category. We recall from [41, Definition 1.4.1] that a commutative diagram



is called *homotopy cartesian* if there exists a morphism $c:Y\to W[1]$ that makes the following exact triangle

$$W \xrightarrow{(-w,k)^t} X \oplus V \xrightarrow{(f,v)} Y \xrightarrow{c} \Sigma W.$$

Remark A.1. We remark that the definition is modified from that given in [41]. In op cit., the morphism $(w, -k)^t$ is used in place of $(-w, k)^t$. But it is easy to check that these two definitions are equivalent.

In other words, if we have a diagram



whose row is an exact triangle and the column gives a splitting of of U, i.e., we have $pi = id_X, qj = id_V, ip + jq = id_U$, then the following diagram is homotopy Cartesian



We use the following lemma that compare two homotopy Cartesian square.

Lemma A.2. Let $Z[-1] \xrightarrow{h} X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be an exact triangle and $k : W \to V$ a morphism in D. Assume two commutative squares are given

$$W \xrightarrow{k} V \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

$$w_i \bigvee_{i \to i} V \qquad \downarrow v_i$$

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

Assume moreover that $gv_1 = gv_2$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(W, Z[-1]) = 0$. Then there exists a morphism $s: V \to X$ such that the automorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id} & s \\ 0 & \operatorname{id} \end{pmatrix}$ of $V \oplus X$ completes the following commutative diagram.

$$W \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -w_1 \\ k \end{pmatrix}} X \oplus V \xrightarrow{(f,v_1)} Y$$
$$\parallel \qquad \cong \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & s \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \parallel$$
$$W \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -w_2 \\ k \end{pmatrix}} X \oplus V \xrightarrow{(f,v_2)} Y$$

(We note that if the squares are homotopy Cartesian then, the both rows are exact triangles.)

Proof. We have $g(v_1 - v_2) = 0$. Thus there exists a morphism $s: V \to X$ such that $v_1 - v_2 = fs$ or equivalently $v_1 = v_2 + fs$.



We have $fw_1 = v_1k = (v_2 + fs)k = v_2k + fsk$ and hence $v_2k = fw_1 - fsk = f(w_1 - sk)$. On the other hand, we have $v_2k = fw_2$. Consequently we see $f(w_1 - sk - w_2) = 0$. It follows from the assumption $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(W, Z[-1]) = 0$ that $w_1 - sk - w_2 = 0$ and hence $-w_2 = -w_1 + sk$. Now it is straightforward to check that the automorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id} & s \\ 0 & \operatorname{id} \end{pmatrix}$ of $X \oplus V$ satisfies the desired property. \Box

APPENDIX B. INVERSE OF SERRE FUNCTORS AND HAPPEL'S CRITERION

In this section, we fix notations for Serre duality and recall Happel's criterion for AR-triangles.

Let R be a finite dimensional algebra of finite global dimension. We set $D := D^{b}(R \mod)$.

B.1. Inverse of Serre functors.

B.1.1. *Exact functors.* To fix a notation, we recall that an exact functor $F : \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}'$ is a pair (F, σ_F) of a functor $F : \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{D}'$ between triangulated categories and a natural isomorphism $\sigma_F : F\Sigma_{\mathsf{D}} \to \Sigma_{\mathsf{D}'}F$ that satisfies certain conditions.

We note that the pair $(\Sigma_{\mathsf{D}}, -\operatorname{id}_{\Sigma^2})$ is an exact autoequivalence of D , i.e., $\sigma_{\Sigma_{\mathsf{D}}} = -\operatorname{id}_{\Sigma^2}$.

B.1.2. Inverse of Serre functors. Let S be the Serre functor of D. By $\langle -, + \rangle_{S^{-1}}$ we denote the pairing for Serre duality. Namely it is a non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle -, + \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(Y, X) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathsf{S}^{-1}(X), Y) \to \mathbf{k}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathsf{D}$. It follows from functoriality of the pairing that for morphisms given in the diagram below

$$\mathsf{S}^{-1}(Z) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{S}^{-1}(h)} \mathsf{S}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X,$$

we have the following equality

(B-88)
$$\langle h, gf \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle hg, f \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle g, f\mathsf{S}^{-1}(h) \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}.$$

In particular, we have

(B-89)
$$\langle f,g\rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle \mathsf{S}^{-1}(f),\mathsf{S}^{-1}(g)\rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}$$

for all $f: Y \to X$, $g: \mathsf{S}^{-1}(X) \to Y$.

Let F be an exact autoequivalence of D. Then there exists a natural isomorphism $\gamma_F: \mathsf{S}^{-1}F \to F\mathsf{S}^{-1}$ that makes the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(Y,X) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathsf{S}^{-1}(X),Y) \xrightarrow{\langle -,+\rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}} \mathbf{k} \\ F_{Y,X} \otimes F_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}(X),Y} & \\ & \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(F(Y)F(X)) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(F\mathsf{S}^{-1}(X),F(Y)) \\ \operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Hom}(F(Y),F(X))} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(\gamma_{F,X},F(Y)) & \\ & \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(F(Y),F(X)) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathsf{S}^{-1}F(X),F(Y)) \end{array}$$

In other words, we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle F(f), F(g) \gamma_{F,X} \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}$$

for all $f: Y \to X$, $g: S^{-1}(X) \to Y$. Comparing it with (B-89), we see that

(B-90)
$$\gamma_{S^{-1}} = \mathrm{id}_{S^{-1}}$$

It is also easy to check that for exact autoequivalences F, G we have

$$\gamma_{FG} = F(\gamma_G)(\gamma_F)_G : \mathsf{S}^{-1}FG \xrightarrow{(\gamma_F)_G} F\mathsf{S}^{-1}G \xrightarrow{F(\gamma_G)} FG\mathsf{S}^{-1}.$$

It is shown by van den Bergh [5, Appendix] that the pair $(S^{-1}, -\gamma_{\Sigma})$ is an exact autoequivalence of D. Therefore we set

$$\sigma_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} := -\gamma_{\Sigma} : \mathsf{S}^{-1}\Sigma \to \Sigma\mathsf{S}^{-1}.$$

B.1.3. A lemma. We provide a technical lemma.

For simplicity we set $\mathsf{P} := \nu_1^{-1} := \Sigma \mathsf{S}^{-1}$. Since there are two natural isomorphism γ_- and σ_- , it is necessary to care about the way that we exchange, for example, P^n with Σ^{-1} .

For an exact endofunctor F of D, we denote by $\sigma'_F : \Sigma^{-1}F \to F\Sigma^{-1}$ the natural isomorphism induced from $\sigma_F : F\Sigma \to \Sigma F$.

$$\sigma'_F: \Sigma^{-1}F \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-1}F(\beta)} \Sigma^{-1}F\Sigma\Sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-1}((\sigma_F)_{\Sigma^{-1}})} \Sigma^{-1}\Sigma F\Sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{F\Sigma^{-1}}} F\Sigma^{-1}$$

where we denote the canonical natural isomorphisms by $\alpha : \Sigma^{-1}\Sigma \to id_D, \ \beta : id_D \to \Sigma\Sigma^{-1}$.

We note that σ'_{Σ} may be identified with $-1: \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{D}}$.

Lemma B.1. (1) Let $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer. Then the following composition equals to id_{P^n} .

$$\operatorname{comp}^{(n)}: \mathsf{P}^{n} = \Sigma \mathsf{S}^{-1} \mathsf{P}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\gamma_{\mathsf{P}^{n-1}})} \Sigma \mathsf{P}^{n-1} \mathsf{S}^{-1} \xrightarrow{(\sigma_{\mathsf{P}^{n-1}}^{-1})_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}} \mathsf{P}^{n-1} \Sigma \mathsf{S}^{-1} = \mathsf{P}^{n}.$$

(2) Let $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer. Then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma^{-1}\mathsf{P}^{n} \xrightarrow{(\sigma'_{\mathsf{P}^{n-1}})^{\mathsf{P}}} \mathsf{P}^{n-1}\Sigma^{-1}\mathsf{P} \underbrace{\qquad} \mathsf{P}^{n-1}\Sigma^{-1}\Sigma\mathsf{S}^{-1} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{-1}\Sigma\mathsf{S}^{-1}\mathsf{P}^{n-1}_{\alpha_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}\mathsf{P}^{n-1}}} \mathsf{S}^{-1}\mathsf{P}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathsf{P}^{n-1}}} \mathsf{P}^{n-1}\mathsf{S}^{-1} \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) The case n = 1 is clear. The case n = 2 is proved in the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{comp}^{(2)} &= \Sigma(\sigma_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}^{-1}) \circ (\sigma_{\Sigma}^{-1})_{\mathsf{S}^{-2}} \circ \Sigma^2(\gamma_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}) \circ \Sigma(\gamma_{\Sigma})_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= -\Sigma(\sigma_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}^{-1}) \circ \Sigma(\gamma_{\Sigma})_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \\ &= \mathrm{id}_{P^2} \end{aligned}$$

where for the second equality we use $\sigma_{\Sigma} = -id$, $\gamma_{S^{-1}} = id$.

The case $n \geq 3$ is shown by induction on n using the equality $\operatorname{comp}^{(n)} = \mathsf{P}^{n-2}(\operatorname{comp}^{(2)}) \circ \operatorname{comp}^{(n-1)}$.



where the bottom square is commutative by (1) and the left column is the identity morphism. $\hfill \Box$

B.2. Happel's criterion.

B.2.1. For indecomposable objects. Let $X \in \text{ind } D$. A morphism $s : S^{-1}(X) \to X$ is called Auslander-Reiten (AR)-coconnecting if it is a coconnecting morphism of an AR-triangle starting from X. In other words, we have an AR-triangle of the following form:

$$X \to Y \to \mathsf{S}^{-1}(X)[1] \xrightarrow{-s[1]} X[1].$$

We note that if s, t are AR-coconnecting to X, then there exist automorphisms ϕ, ψ of X such that $t = \phi s, t = s \mathsf{S}^{-1}(\psi)$.

We recall Happel's criterion for AR-coconnecting morphisms.

Theorem B.2 ([24, p37]). Let $X \in \text{ind } D$. Then a morphism $s : S^{-1}(X) \to X$ is an AR-coconnecting morphism if the following equations hold

$$\langle \operatorname{id}_X, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \neq 0, \ \langle f, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = 0$$

where $f \in \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}_{\mathsf{D}}(X)$. The converse holds if dim $\operatorname{ResEnd}_{\mathsf{D}}(X) = 1$.

Let X be an indecomposable object of D. If dim ResEnd_D(X) = 1, then the subspace of Hom_D($S^{-1}(X), X$) formed by all AR-coconnecting morphisms is one dimensional over **k**. Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma B.3. Let X be an indecomposable object of X such that dim ResEnd_D(X) = 1. Then, the following holds.

(1) Two AR-coconnecting morphisms s, t to X are proportional over \mathbf{k} to each other. More precisely we have

$$t = \frac{\langle \mathrm{id}_X, t \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}}{\langle \mathrm{id}_X, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}}} s.$$

(2) An element $s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathsf{S}^{-1}(X), X)$ is 0 if and only if it satisfies

 $\langle \mathrm{id}_X, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = 0, \quad \langle f, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = 0 \text{ for } f \in \mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{End}_\mathsf{D}(X).$

B.3. Happel's criterion for not necessarily indecomposable objects. The results given in Section B.3 and B.4 are not used in the main body of the paper, but may be of independent interest.

We deal with the case where X is not necessarily indecomposable. From now on we assume that dim ResEnd_D(X) = 1 for all indecomposable X. Notice that this is satisfied if the base field \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed.

Definition B.4. Let $X \in D^{b}(R \mod)$ an object. A morphism $s : S^{-1}(X) \to X$ is called AR-coconnecting if there exists an indecomposable decomposition $\phi : X \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ and AR-coconnecting morphisms $s_i : S^{-1}(X_i) \to X_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ such that the composition $\phi s S^{-1}(\phi^{-1}) : S^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ is equal to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} s_i$.

In other words, for an AR-coconnecting morphism $s : S^{-1}(X) \to X$ there is a direct sum of AR-triangles

$$\mathsf{S}^{-1}(X) \xrightarrow{s} X \to Y \to \mathsf{S}^{-1}(X)[1].$$

We provide a Happel's criterion for a not necessarily indecomposable object $X \in D^{b}(R \mod)$.

Proposition B.5. Let $X \in D^{b}(R \mod)$. Then for a morphism $s : S^{-1}(X) \to X$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The morphism s AR-coconnecting.
- (2) The following conditions hold.
 - (a) There exists a complete set $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ of orthogonal primitive idempotent elements of $\operatorname{End}_R(X)$ such that $\langle e_a, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \neq 0$ for all $a = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.
 - (b) $\langle f, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = 0$ for all $f \in \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}(X)$.

Proof. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is clear. We prove $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. For a = 1, 2, ..., n we denote by $p_a : X \to X_a$ the projection induced from e_a and by $i_a : X_a \to X$ the injection induced from e_a . The morphism $\phi := (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)^t : X \to \bigoplus_{a=1}^n X_a$. is an isomorphism and $\phi^{-1} = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n)$. We set $s_a := p_a s \mathsf{S}^{-1}(i_a)$ for a = 1, 2, ..., n. Then it is immediate to check the equality $s = \phi^{-1}(\bigoplus_{a=1}^n s_i)\mathsf{S}^{-1}(\phi)$. Thus it only remains to show that s_a is AR-coconnecting to X_a .

We use Happel's criterion for indecomposable objects. For $f \in \operatorname{End}_R(X_a)$ we have

$$\langle f, s_a \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle i_a f p_a, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \neq 0.$$

It follows from the assumption (a) that $\langle \operatorname{id}_{X_a}, s_a \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle e_a, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $f \in \operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_R(X_a)$, then $i_a f p_a \in \operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_R(X)$. Thus it follows from the assumption (b) that $\langle f, s_a \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = \langle i_a f p_a, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} = 0$.

We point out the following property of AR-coconnecting morphisms.

Lemma B.6. Let $X \in \mathsf{D}$ and s, t be AR-coconnecting to X. Then there exist automorphisms ϕ, ψ of X such that $t = \phi s$, $t = s\mathsf{S}^{-1}(\psi)$.

B.4. Happel's criterion for left rad^n -approximations.

Theorem B.7. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $M \in \mathsf{D}$ and $\lambda_{n-1} : M \to L_{n-1}$ a minimal left rad^{n-1} -approximation, which fits an exact triangle $M \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n-1}} L_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\lambda'_{n-1}} C \xrightarrow{\lambda''_{n-1}} M[1]$. Assume that $\lambda'_{n-1} : L_{n-1} \to C_{n-1}$ satisfies the left rad-fitting condition. Then for a morphism $s : \mathsf{S}^{-1}(L_{n-1}) \to M$ the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A cone morphism $t: M \to C$ of s is a minimal radⁿ-approximation.
- (2) The following conditions are satisfied.
 - (a) There exists a complete set $\{e_a\}$ of orthogonal primitive idempotent elements of $\operatorname{End}(L_{n-1})$ such that $\langle e_a \lambda_{n-1}, s \rangle_{\mathsf{S}^{-1}} \neq 0$.
 - (b) We have $\langle f\lambda_{n-1}, s \rangle_{S^{-1}} = 0$ for all $f \in \operatorname{rad} \operatorname{End}_R(L_{n-1})$.

Proof. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from Lemma 2.13 and Proposition B.5. We prove the implication $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. By the octahedral axiom, we obtain the

following diagram



whose middle lows and columns are exact. It follows from Proposition B.5 that the condition (a) and (b) implies that the composition $\lambda_{n-1}s$ is AR-coconnecting to L_{n-1} . Thus the third row is a direct sum of AR-triangles. Since we assume that the morphism λ'_{n-1} satisfies the left rad-fitting condition, the morphism $N \to C_{n-1}$ is an split-epimorphism by Lemma 2.16. Thus, the morphism $cn(s) \rightarrow N$ is a splitmonomorphism. Thus by Lemma B.5 we conclude that the morphism $M \to cn(s)$ is a minimal left rad^n -approximation of M. \square

APPENDIX C. NATURAL ISOMORPHISMS

In this section, A denotes a finite dimensional algebra such that gldim $A^{\rm e} < \infty$ (or more generally, a proper and homologically smooth dg-algebra).

C.1. First note that for $M, N \in D^{b}(A \mod)$, we have a natural isomorphism

(C-91)
$$D(D(N) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M) \cong \mathbb{R}Hom_A(M, DD(N)) \cong \mathbb{R}Hom_A(M, N).$$

Recall that for $X \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$, we set $X^{\mathbb{V}} := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}(X, A^{\mathsf{e}})$. Replacing M with $X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ in (C-91) and taking D, we obtain the following natural isomorphism

(C-92)
$$D(N) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M \cong D \operatorname{\mathbb{R}Hom}_A(X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} M, N).$$

On the other hand, we have the following natural isomorphism

(C-93)
$$D(N) \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M \cong (D(N) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M) \otimes_{A^{e}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{R} \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{e}}(X, A^{e})$$
$$\cong \mathbb{R} \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{e}}(X, M \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} D(N))$$
$$\cong \mathbb{R} \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{e}}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(N, M))$$
$$\cong \mathbb{R} \mathrm{Hom}_{A}(X \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N, M).$$

Combining (C-92) and (C-93) in the case X = A, we obtain a natural isomorphism

(C-94)
$$D \mathbb{R}Hom_A(A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A M, N) \cong \mathbb{R}Hom_A(N, M).$$

which shows that the functor $A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}}$ – is the inverse of a Serre functor. Recall that $X^{\triangleleft} := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_A(X, A), \ X^{\rhd} := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}(X, A^{\operatorname{op}}).$ Setting M = N =A in (C-93), we obtain an isomorphism below in $D^{b}(A^{e} \mod)$.

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} X^{\mathbb{V}} \cong X^{\triangleleft}$$

Repeating the same argument with right modules, we obtain an isomorphism $X^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ $D(A) \cong X^{\triangleright}$ in $D^{b}(A^{e} \mod)$.

We remark that in the case where $M, N \in D^{b}(A^{e} \mod)$, the above isomorphisms are isomorphisms in $D^{b}(A^{e} \mod)$.

C.2. We write $S^{-1} := A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$, since, as is explained above, it is the inverse of a Serre functor.

Let $T \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \mod)$ be a two-sided tilting complex over A. We denote by $F := T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}$ – the associated autoequivalence of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. Then, there exists a natural isomorphism $\gamma_{F} : \mathsf{S}^{-1}F \to F\mathsf{S}^{-1}$ induced from the defining property of a Serre functor (see Section B.1). Since $\mathsf{S}^{-1}F = A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}$ – and $F\mathsf{S}^{-1} = T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}}$ –, it is natural to expect that the natural isomorphism γ_{F} is induced from an isomorphism in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \mod)$. In the following lemma, we prove that it is the case.

Lemma C.1. There exists an isomorphism $\gamma_T : A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} T \to T \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}}$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{mod})$ such that $\gamma_F = \gamma_T \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$.

Proof. By Rickerd [44], there exists an object $T' \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$ such that the endofunctor $T' \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} - \operatorname{of} \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \operatorname{mod})$ is a quasi-inverse of $T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} -$

We define an isomorphism $\delta: T' \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} T \xrightarrow{\cong} A^{\mathbb{V}}$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{mod})$ to be the following composition of isomorphisms

(C-95)

$$T' \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \cong D \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(T' \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T, D(A))$$

$$\cong D \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T, T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} D(A))$$

$$\cong \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} D(A), T)$$

$$\cong \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(D(A), A)$$

$$\cong D \mathbb{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A^{\mathbb{V}}, D(A))$$

$$\cong A^{\mathbb{V}}$$

where the third isomorphism and the fifth isomorphism are Serre dualities.

There exists an isomorphism $\epsilon : A \to T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{A} T'$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{\mathsf{e}} \operatorname{mod})$. We define an isomorphism γ_{T} to be $\gamma_{T} := (T\delta)(\epsilon_{A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} T})$.

$$\gamma_T: A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A T \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} T}} T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A T' \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A T \xrightarrow{T^{\delta}} T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_A A^{\mathbb{V}}$$

Let $M, N \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A \mod)$. By Serre duality we have $\mathsf{D}(N) \cong (A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N)^{\triangleleft}$. Applying $\mathsf{D}(N) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} - \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M = (A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N)^{\triangleleft} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} - \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M$ to (C-95), we obtain the right square of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{D}(A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N) \xrightarrow{\cong} & (T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N, T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(\epsilon_{A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} T \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} M)} & & \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{adj}) & & & \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{adj}) & & & & \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{adj}) & & & & \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{adj}) \\ \mathcal{D}(T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T' \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} N) & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{D}(T' \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} A^{\mathbb{V}} \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} T \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{L}} M, N) & & & & T \\ & & & \mathcal{D}(\tau \otimes_{A}^{\mathbb{N}}) & & & \mathcal{D}(\delta_{M}^{*}) & & & & \mathcal{D}(\delta_{M}^{*}) & & & \mathcal{D}(\delta_{M}^{*}) & & & \mathcal{D}(N, M) \end{array}$$

where we use the abbreviation $(-, +) := \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_A(-, +)$, the arrows labeled by T are induced from the functor $T \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} -$ and adj denotes the adjoint isomorphism.

Since the left column is induced from γ_T , this proves that γ_T has the desired property.

References

- Artin, Michael; Schelter, William F. Graded algebras of global dimension 3. Adv. in Math. 66 (1987), no. 2, 171-216.
- [2] Auslander, Maurice; Reiten, Idun; Smalo, Sverre O. Representation theory of Artin algebras. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 36. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

- [3] Assem, Ibrahim; Simson, Daniel; Skowroński, Andrzej, Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [4] Baer, Dagmar; Geigle, Werner; Lenzing, Helmut, The preprojective algebra of a tame hereditary Artin algebra. Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), no. 1-2, 425-457.
- [5] Bocklandt, Raf, Graded Calabi Yau algebras of dimension 3. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 1, 14-32.
- [6] Cachazo, Freddy; Katz, Sheldon; Vafa, Cumrun, Geometric Transitions and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Quiver Algebras, arXiv:hep-th/0108120,
- [7] Crawley-Boevey, William Preprojective algebras, differential operators and a Conze embedding for deformations of Kleinian singularities. Comment. Math. Helv. 74 (1999), no. 4, 548-574.
- [8] Crawley-Boevey, William; Holland, Martin P. Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities. Duke Math. J. 92 (1998), no. 3, 605-635.
- [9] Crawley-Boevey, William; Kimura, Yuta, On deformed preprojective algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 226 (2022), no. 12, Paper No. 107130.
- [10] Derksen, Harm; Weyman, Jerzy; Zelevinsky, Andrei Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 14 (2008), no. 1, 59-119.
- [11] Dlab, Vlastimil; Ringel, Claus Michael, Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1976), no. 173, v+57 pp.
- [12] Dlab, Vlastimil; Ringel, Claus Michael, The preprojective algebra of a modulated graph. Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979), pp. 216-231, Lecture Notes in Math., 832, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [13] Donovan, Will; Wemyss, Michael Noncommutative deformations and flops. Duke Math. J. 165 (2016), no. 8, 1397-1474.
- [14] Florian Eisele, Geoffrey Janssens, Theo Raedschelders, A reduction theorem for τ -rigid modules, Math. Z.290(2018), no.3-4, 1377-1413.
- [15] Etingof, Pavel; Rains, Eric Central extensions of preprojective algebras, the quantum Heisenberg algebra, and 2-dimensional complex reflection groups. J. Algebra 299 (2006), no. 2, 570-588.
- [16] Etingof, Pavel; Latour, Frederic; Rains, Eric, On central extensions of preprojective algebras. J. Algebra 313 (2007), no. 1, 165-175.
- [17] Eu, Ching-Hwa; Schedler, Travis, Calabi-Yau Frobenius algebras. J. Algebra 321 (2009), no. 3, 774-815.
- [18] Fu, X. H.; Guil Asensio, P. A.; Herzog, I.; Torrecillas, B., Ideal approximation theory. Adv. Math. 244 (2013), 750-790.
- [19] Gabriel, Peter Unzerlegbare Darstellungen. I. Manuscripta Math. 6 (1972), 71-103; correction, ibid. 6 (1972), 309.
- [20] Gel'fand, I. M.; Ponomarev, V. A. Model algebras and representations of graphs. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 13 (1979), no. 3, 1-12.
- [21] Gordon, Robert; Green, Edward L., Graded Artin algebras, J. Algebra 76 (1982), no. 1, 111-137.
- [22] Grant, J; Iyama, O., Higher preprojective algebras, Koszul algebras, and superpotentials, arXiv:190207878
- [23] Ginzburg Victor, Calabi-Yau algebras arXiv: math 0612139.
- [24] Happel, D. Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite-Dimensional Algebras. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 119. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [25] Hartshorne, Robin, Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [26] Herschend, Martin; Hiroyuki Minamoto, Tilting theory of quiver Heisenberg algebras and the algebras B(Q), in preparation.
- [27] Herschend, Martin; Iyama, Osamu, Selfinjective quivers with potential and 2-representationfinite algebras. Compos. Math. 147 (2011), no. 6, 1885-1920.
- [28] Herschend, Martin; Iyama, Osamu; Oppermann, Steffen, n-representation infinite algebras. Adv. Math. 252 (2014), 292-342.
- [29] Igusa, Kiyoshi; Todorov, Gordana Radical layers of representable functors. J. Algebra 89 (1984), no. 1, 105-147.
- [30] Iyama, Osamu, τ -categories. I. Ladders. Algebr. Represent. Theory 8 (2005), no. 3, 297-321.
- [31] Jasso, Gustavo; Keller, Bernhard; Muro, Fernando, The Triangulated Auslander–Iyama Correspondence. arXiv: 2208.14413
- [32] Keller, Bernhard, Deriving DG categories. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 1, 63-102.

- [33] Keller, Bernhard, On differential graded categories. International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 151-190, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2006.
- [34] Keller, Bernhard, Calabi-Yau triangulated categories. Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, 467-489. EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2008.
- [35] Keller, Bernhard, Deformed Calabi-Yau completions. With an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh. J. Reine Angew. Math. 654 (2011), 125-180.
- [36] Lam, T. Y. A first course in noncommutative rings. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 131. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. xx+385
- [37] Lefèvre-Hasegawa, Kenji, Sur les A_{∞} catégories, arXiv: math 0310337
- [38] H. Minamoto, A note on Mukai pairing, in preparation.
- [39] J. Miyachi, and A. Yekutieli, Derived Picard groups of finite dimensional hereditary algebras, Compositio Math. 129 (2001), no. 3, pp. 341-368.
- [40] Mizuno Yuya, Classifying τ -tilting modules over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type. Math. Z. 277 (2014), no. 3-4, 665-690.
- [41] Neeman, Amnon, Triangulated categories. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 148. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [42] de la Pena, J. A.; Takane, M., Spectral properties of Coxeter transformations and applications. Arch. Math. (Basel) 55 (1990), no. 2, 120-134.
- [43] Quintero Velez, Alexander; Boer, Alex Noncommutative resolutions of ADE fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds. Comm. Math. Phys. 297 (2010), no. 3, 597-619.
- [44] Rickard, Jeremy, Derived equivalences as derived functors. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 43 (1991), no. 1, 37-48.
- [45] Ringel, Claus Michael, The preprojective algebra of a quiver. Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996), 467-480, CMS Conf. Proc., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [46] Simson, Daniel; Skowronski, Andrzej Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 2. Tubes and concealed algebras of Euclidean type. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. xii+308 pp.
- [47] Takane, Martha, On the Coxeter transformation of a wild algebra. Arch. Math. (Basel) 63 (1994), no. 2, 128-135.
- [48] Toda, Yukinobu, Non-commutative width and Gopakumar-Vafa invariants. Manuscripta Math. 148 (2015), no. 3-4, 521-533.
- [49] Van den Bergh, Michel, Calabi-Yau algebras and superpotentials. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 21 (2015), no. 2, 555-603.
- [50] Yekutieli, Amnon, Dualizing complexes, Morita equivalence and the derived Picard group of a ring. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999), no. 3, 723-746.
- [51] Zimmermann, Alexander, Representation theory. A homological algebra point of view. Algebra and Applications, 19. Springer, Cham, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, UPPSALA, SWEDEN *Email address:* martin.herschend@math.uu.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OSAKA METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, SAKAI CITY, JAPAN *Email address*: minamoto@omu.ac.jp