arXiv:2402.08054v2 [physics.atom-ph] 2 Jul 2024 arXiv:2402.08054v2 [physics.atom-ph] 2 Jul 2024

Probing the interaction energy of two $85Rb$ atoms in an optical tweezer via spin-motion coupling

Jun Zhuang, $^{1,\,2}$ Kun-Peng Wang, 1 Peng-Xiang Wang, $^{1,\,2}$ Ming-Rui Wei, $^{1,\,2}$ Bahtiyar Mamat, $^{1,\,2}$

Cheng Sheng,¹ Peng Xu,^{1, 3} Min Liu,¹ Jin Wang,^{1,3} Xiao-Dong He,^{1,3,*} and Ming-Sheng Zhan^{1,3,[†](#page-5-1)}

 1 State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics,

Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology,

 2 School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

 $3\text{ Wuhan Institute of Quantum Technology, Wuhan } 430206, China$

(Dated: July 3, 2024)

The inherent polarization gradients in tight optical tweezers can be used to couple the atomic spins to the two-body motion under the action of a microwave spin-flip transition, so that such a spinmotion coupling offers an important control knob on the motional states of optically trapped two colliding atoms. Here, after preparing two elastically scattering ⁸⁵Rb atoms in the three-dimensional ground-state in the optical tweezer, we employed this control in order to probe the colliding energies of elastic and inelastic channels. The combination of microwave spectra and corresponding s-wave pseudopotential model allows us to infer the effect of the state-dependent trapping potentials on the elastics colliding energies, as well as to reveal how the presence of inelastic interactions affects elastic part of the relative potential. Our work shows that the spin-motion coupling in a tight optical tweezer expand the experimental toolbox for fundamental studies of ultracold collisions in the two body systems with reactive collisions, and potentially for that of more complex interactions, such as optically trapped atom-molecule and molecule-molecule interactions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the successful loading of single atoms from a cold atomic ensemble into microscopic optical dipole trap–namely optical tweezer [\[1\]](#page-5-2), this approach has progressed to having a profound impact on many research areas through bottom-up scaling with an unprecedented level of programmability and scalability [\[2–](#page-5-3)[8\]](#page-5-4), ranging from quantum simulations of many-body physics [\[9,](#page-6-0) [10\]](#page-6-1), quantum computing [\[11–](#page-6-2)[16\]](#page-6-3), metrology [\[17–](#page-6-4)[20\]](#page-6-5), ultracold collisional physics [\[21\]](#page-6-6) and association of single molecules [\[22,](#page-6-7) [23\]](#page-6-8) and array of single molecules [\[24,](#page-6-9) [25\]](#page-6-10).

Particularly, the high-level internal states control and single-particle level detection allow ones to build an extremely clean platform for the study of ultracold collisions [\[26–](#page-6-11)[32\]](#page-6-12). Beyond these advances, the individual pairs of atoms in optical tweezers has been transferred to weakly bound molecules via Raman transitions [\[33,](#page-6-13) [35\]](#page-6-14) and magneto association [\[34\]](#page-6-15). Interestingly, the optical tweezer itself has been proved to offer new manners to molecular association without using Fano-Feshbach resonances so as to allow a wider range of molecular species. For example, we can use methods of coupling two atoms' relative motion and spins [\[23\]](#page-6-8) and of merging optical tweezers [\[36\]](#page-6-16).

To provide a sufficiently strong trapping potential for atomic trapping, the beam waist of the optical tweezers used in current experiments is typically comparable to the laser wavelength. This leads to the presence of a longitudinal electric field near the focus point, inducing a polarization gradient [\[37\]](#page-6-17). This polarization gradient creates an equivalent gradient magnetic field for the trapped

atoms[\[38,](#page-7-0) [39\]](#page-7-1), allowing for the realization of single-atom spin-motional coupling [\[40\]](#page-7-2) and high-precision manipulation of motional states [\[41\]](#page-7-3). In the case of two-atom systems, the coupling between the spins of the two atoms and their relative motion or center-of-mass motion (spinmotion coupling, SMC) can be straightforward achieved. Under this coupling regime, it has been experimentally demonstrated that an internal state transition of one atom in the two-atom system, driven by a microwave (MW) pulse, can induce transitions between different eigenenergies of the two-atom system. This enables precise measurement and control of two-atom interactions. This effect has been utilized in the study of ${}^{85}\text{Rb}$ - ${}^{87}\text{Rb}$ interaction and single molecule synthesis [\[23\]](#page-6-8).The scattering length of ${}^{85}Rb-{}^{87}Rb$ is positive, resulting in a repulsive potential for their interaction. It would be interesting to apply SMC method to study the ultracold collisions of atoms with negative scattering length. The corresponding interaction in an optical tweezer becomes attractive, giving rise to a bound state with a ground state energy lower than the zero point energy of a harmonic os-cillator [\[42\]](#page-7-4). Specifically, the ${}^{85}Rb$ atoms have large negative background scattering lengths and large difference in scattering lengths between singlet and triplet electronic states, which leads to large hyperfine-exchange collision rates [\[43\]](#page-7-5). Therefore, two ultracold ⁸⁵Rb atoms in an optical tweezer lends itself well to test contact pseudopotential model with complex scattering lengths [\[44\]](#page-7-6).

In this work, we utilize the SMC to study the scattering properties of individual pairs of ⁸⁵Rb atoms prepared in the 3D ground state of an optical tweezer. From the resulting MW spectra, that are resonant transitions in

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

the motional state manifold under the action of a MW spin-flip transition, the collision energies of elastic channel $\{|F, m_F\rangle = |3, -3\rangle + |2, -2\rangle\}$ (abbreviated as {3,-3;2,- 2) and inelastic channel $\{3,-3,3,-1\}$ are deduced respectively. Given the known values of scattering length, the pseudopotential model calculations enable us to confirm that the attractive interaction energies of the $\{3,-3,2,-2\}$ channel is lifted up due to the state-dependent trapping potentials. However, for the inelastic {3,-3;3,-1} channel, the deduced interaction energy is found to be obviously smaller than the calculated ones according to the pseudopotential model calculations with given complex scattering length for the reactive collision. This discrepancy reveal that the pseudopotential model may be inapplicable to the prediction of atom-atom interaction energies in the presence of inelastic decay, and so that a more realistic atom-atom interaction calculation is needed. This study highlights the importance of SMC approach for the fundamental study of ultracold collisions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the associated experimental setup. In Sec. III, we detail the preparation of two ⁸⁵Rb atoms in the threedimensional ground-state in an optical tweezer. In Sec. IV, we present the two-atom quantum motion resolved the MW spectra and the extraction of collision energies, and the analysis in the framework of s-wave pseudopotential model. In Sec. V, we present conclusions and outlook the promising applications of SMC scheme.

II. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS FOR ULTRACOLD ATOM

Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$ is the schematic diagram of experiment setup. The experimental arrangement employed in this study is similar to our prior works [\[23,](#page-6-8) [45\]](#page-7-7). To attain independent control over two ultracold ${}^{85}Rb$ atoms, we engineer two distinct collimated 852-nm trapping beams, each with an approximate diameter of 3 mm. These beams are denoted as static trap (S-trap) and movable trap (Mtrap) respectively. The two laser beams are combined via a polarization-independent beam splitter (BS), then expanded 8 times by a beam expander group (lens with $f = -50$ mm and $f = 400$ mm), and lastly focused via a 0.6 numerical-aperture (NA) objective. The resulting two focused waists are about $0.75 \mu m$ with a spacing of $4 \mu m$. To ensure precise control over the polarization of the optical tweezers on demand, a pair of liquid crystal retarders (LCRs, LCB1111-B from Thorlabs) are separately employed to dynamically adjust the polarizations of the S-trap and M-trap. A piezoelectric (PZT) transducer is used to adjust the pointing of M-trap to precisely merge and split two atoms.

Fig. [1\(](#page-2-0)b) presents a schematic representation of the energy level transitions of the ⁸⁵Rb atom. The hyperfine state of $|F, m_F \rangle = |3, -3 \rangle$ and $|2, -2 \rangle$ are relevant

to Raman sideband cooling (RSC) and state-dependent transfer. The MW pulses emitted by a horn is used to drive the transition of $|2, -2\rangle \rightarrow |3, -1\rangle$ for MW spectra. Fig. $1(c)$ $1(c)$ shows the schematic diagram of the experimental timing sequences. The optical tweezers are horizontally polarized in RSC and SMC, and the magnetic field is correspondingly set along the x direction (B_x) to suppress the polarization gradient effect during RSC period, whereas the magnetic field is needed to be oriented along the y direction (B_u) to turn on the polarization gradient effect during SMC period. In the state-dependent merging and detection process, the magnetic field is set in the z direction (B_z) in order to construct state-dependent potential traps.

III. PREPARATION OF A PAIR OF ULTRACOLD⁸⁵RB ATOMS

To achieve the preparation of a pair of ⁸⁵Rb ultracold ground state atoms, the first step is to achieve RSC cooling in the 3D ground state. In the RSC process, the Raman transition carrier frequencies of the two atoms need to be calibrated. To reduce the vector light shift (VLS) of the two atoms, we finely adjust the polarization of both traps to horizontal linear polarization using a polarization analyzer (SK010PA-NIR). However, there is still a frequency difference of about 8 kHz between the carrier transitions of the two atoms. In the RSC process, the axial sideband cooling is the most challenging step due to the relatively small trapping frequency $(2\pi \times 25 \text{ kHz})$ in the axial direction. The axial Rabi frequency is sensitive to separate the carrier peak and sideband peaks of the Raman transition because of the small trapping frequency. A large Rabi frequency can cause heating due to off-resonant transitions, while a too small Rabi frequency can lead to diminished transition efficiency and decelerated cooling rates [\[39,](#page-7-1) [45\]](#page-7-7). Therefore, in order to balance this, the axial Rabi frequency is typically set to around 6 kHz, and the Rabi frequency of the $\Delta n = -1$ sideband is only about 2 kHz. Consequently, in the RSC process, the carrier transition frequencies of the two atoms in S-trap and M-trap need to be as consistent as possible. Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$ shows the influence of the transition carrier frequency shift (CFS) on the axial sideband cooling for single ${}^{85}Rb$ atom. When the carrier frequency shift is greater than 4.4 kHz, the average axial quantum number increases to above 0.7(3), and the preparation probability of the axial ground state decreases to below 0.6(2).

To precisely adjust the consistency of the transition frequencies of the atoms, the relationship between the transition carrier frequency of the atom in M-trap and the control voltage of the LCR is measured as shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-3-0)b). We can accurately set the control voltage of the LCR to make the carrier transition frequencies of the two atoms consistent. After calibrating the Raman

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of experimental setup and the energy level transition of 85 Rb. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Two 852-nm beams are combined by beam splitter (BS), then expanded 8 times by a beam-expander group (lens with $f = -50$ mm and $f = 400$ mm), then enter into a microscope with $NA = 0.6$ to obtain two strongly focused optical tweezers with the waists of 0.75 μ m; The liquid crystal retarders are employed to adjust the polarization of dipole optical light; The PZT is used to precisely adjust the pointing of M trap; The MW horn is used to emit the MW pulse for MW spectra. (b) Schematic diagram of the energy level transition of ⁸⁵Rb. (c) Schematic diagram of the experimental timing sequence.

transition carrier frequencies, we successfully achieve Raman sideband cooling of the two atoms and obtain a pair of $|3, -3\rangle$ atoms in the 3D ground state. After cooling, the average quantum numbers $\{\overline{n}_x, \overline{n}_y, \overline{n}_z\}$ for atoms in S-trap and M-trap are $\{0.03(5), 0.02(3), 0.04(4)\}\$ and $\{0.02(4), 0.04(4), 0.03(3)\}\;$ respectively. The final probability of the 3D ground state is determined to be 0.91(6) and 0.90(6) for the atom in the S-trap and M-trap, respectively.

The second step is to implement non-heating merging of the two atoms, which relies on using statedependent potentials via utilizing the the vector light shifts (VLSs) [\[45\]](#page-7-7). To do so, we first switch the magnetic field to B_z and adjust the linearly polarized S-trap (Mtrap) to a σ^+ (σ^-) one by dynamically control LCRs. As a result, influenced by the VLS, the difference in the frequency of $|3, -3\rangle \rightarrow |2, -2\rangle$ transition between the atom in the S-trap and the one in the M-trap is high up to level of MHz. Such a frequency gap allows us to use MW pulse to selectively drive the $|3, -3\rangle \rightarrow |2, -2\rangle$ transition for the atom in M-trap with extremely low cross talk. The atom in M-trap is then moved into the S-trap by PZT, achieving non-heating merging without changing the quantum numbers of the two atoms motion. Finally, the dipole light of M-trap is turned off adiabatically, and a pair of

⁸⁵Rb ultracold atoms in 3D ground state, each in a different hyperfine magnetic sublevel $(|3, -3\rangle)$ and $|2, -2\rangle)$ and with completely controllable internal and external states, is prepared in an optical tweezer, such a spin combination is stable against hyperfine changing spin collisions.

IV. TWO-ATOM MOTION RESOLVED-MICROWAVE SPECTRA AND ANALYSIS

Having prepared a pair of ultracold ⁸⁵Rb atoms in an optical tweezer, we now describe the study of two-atom MW spectra in the presence of SMC. Fig. [3\(](#page-4-0)a) depicts the vibrational transition diagram of $|2, -2\rangle \rightarrow |3, -1\rangle$ with and without the atom in $|3, -3\rangle$. For the harmonic trapping potential, the center-of-mass (c.m.) and relative motion of two colliding homonuclear atoms are decoupled, thereby rendering the two-atom transition equivalent to $|\psi_s\rangle|\varphi_{N_x=0}\rangle \rightarrow |\psi'_s\rangle|\varphi'_{N_x}\rangle$ ($|\psi_s\rangle$ and $|\varphi_r\rangle$ represent the c.m. and relative motional states respectively; ${N_x = 0, 1, ...}$ denotes the quantum number of the c.m. motion in the x direction); and the subscript (s) denotes the ground state of relation motion. In the ultracold twoatom regime, the scattering is purely of s-wave character and so that the exact interatomic potential is convention-

FIG. 2. The effect of Raman transition carrier frequency shift on axial cooling, and the relationship between the carrier frequency shift and control voltage of LCR. (a) The relationship between RSC carrier frequency shift and the average quantum number and the ground state preparation probability of axial direction. When the carrier frequency shift is greater than 4.4 kHz, the average axial quantum number increases to above 0.7(3), and the ground state preparation probability decreases to below 0.6(2). (b) The relationship between the carrier frequency shift and and control voltage of LCR.

ally represented by the well-known δ -function pseudopotential model. When the atoms in the relative motion states with odd quantum numbers do not feel the interaction because the relative wave function is zero at the δ function [\[42,](#page-7-4) [46\]](#page-7-8), meaning that the corresponding wavefunctions are just harmonic oscillator ones. For example, when the atoms occupy the first excited state of the relative motion $|\psi'_1\rangle$ in the x direction, the relative motion energy is equal to the first excited motional state of single atoms. Due to the attractive interactions predetermined by negative scattering lengths, the energy level $|\psi_{s}\rangle|\varphi_{N_{x}=0}\rangle$ are consequently shifted lower. Here, we use ϵ_0 and ϵ_1 to denote the interaction energy of channels of $\{3,-3,2,-2\}$ and $\{3,-3,3,-1\}$ in the ground states of relative motion, respectively. For the single atom, there ex-

0.4 $\frac{6}{6}$ teraction energy of $\{3, -3, 3, -1\}$ (i.e. $\epsilon_1 = sf_2 - sf_1$). $\overline{5}$ tion energy of the channel $\{3,-3,2,-2\}$ (i.e. $\epsilon_0 = s f_2 - s f_0$), $\delta_{0.8} \triangleq$ tion (sf_1) and relative motional transition (sf_2) . Thus, 1.0 those interaction potential will induce a splitting of the ists only one $\Delta n_x = 1$ sideband transition s f_0 . However, two-atom sideband transition into c.m. motional transithe difference between $s f_0$ and $s f_2$ is equal to the interacand the spacing between sf_1 and sf_2 is equal to the in-

0.0 two atom SMC. We subsequently record two-atom MW ^{0.2} **o** of optical tweezer laser and the magnetic field are respec-To record the two-atom MW spectra, the polarization tively changed to x and y direction so as to turn on the spectra by applying rectangular shape pulses to drive the hyperfine transition $|2, -2\rangle \rightarrow |3, -1\rangle$. The outcome two atoms, $|3, -1\rangle$ and $|3, -3\rangle$, have vector light shifts of the same sign and move together during the speciesdependent transport, leading to the disappearance of the atomic fluorescent signals. The resulting spectra with three interaction-shifted peaks are shown in Fig. [3\(](#page-4-0)b), in which the carrier and the sideband transitions for the single atoms are also plot for comparison. From left to right, the two atom peaks are label by $\{cf_1, sf_1, sf_2\}$, respectively. For this measurements, the depth of the dipole trap in the experiment is approximately 1.6 mK, and the oscillation frequency of trapped atoms are about 164 kHz and 25 kHz in the radial and axial directions respectively. And the magnetic field intensity is approximately 5.52 G.

> The peak cf_1 is of the resonant transitions between the motional ground states together with the spin-flip transition $|2, -2\rangle \rightarrow |3, -1\rangle$. The shift with respective to the carrier of single atoms gives the difference of interaction energies between the $\{3,-3,2,-2\}$ channel and $\{3,-3,3,-1\}$ channel. The peak cf_1 is similar to the one presented in the previous work of Raman spectroscopy of two atoms $(Na-Cs)$ in an optical tweezer [\[31\]](#page-6-18). The spacing between the peaks cf_1 and sf_1 is equal to the radial trapping frequency $(sf_1 - cf_1 = sf_0 - cf_0)$, so that it is identified as the spin-flip transition together with the motional transition $N_x = 0 \rightarrow N_x = 1$ in the c.m. motion. The peak $s f_2$ corresponds to the transition $|\psi_s\rangle |\varphi_{N_x=0}\rangle \rightarrow |\psi'_1\rangle |\varphi'_0\rangle$, where $|\psi_1'\rangle$ denotes the the first excited state of the relative motion.

> After the spectral identification, the extraction of interaction energy of specific channel is straightforward. The measured interaction energies of elastic channel {3,- $3;2,-2$ are are plotted in the Fig. [4](#page-4-1) as a function of axial trapping frequencies. To understand the experimental results, we adopt the analytical results for the pseudopotential model in a cylindrically symmetric harmonic trap [\[46\]](#page-7-8). Briefly, the eigenenergies are calculated by the roots of the following equation with given parameters :

$$
\frac{dz}{a_s} = \frac{2\Gamma(\epsilon)}{\Gamma(\epsilon - \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon) \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} F_1(1, \epsilon, \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}, e^{\frac{i2\pi m}{\eta}})}{\Gamma(\epsilon + \frac{1}{2})} (1)
$$

FIG. 3. Schematic diagram of the transition and the MW spectra via SMC. (a) Schematic diagram of the vibrational transition of ⁸⁵Rb atom. (b) Single atomic MW spectra of $|2, -2\rangle \rightarrow |3, -1\rangle$ (black) and diatomic transition spectra of $|3, -3\rangle|2, -2\rangle \rightarrow |3, -3\rangle|3, -1\rangle$ (red). The spectra set the single atom transition carrier frequency (3028.0050(8) MHz) as the reference, and the solid curves are Gaussian fits of the data. The detuning frequency of the single-atom carrier peak is $cf_0 = 0.0(8)$ kHz, while the detuning frequency of the diatomic carrier peak is $cf_1 = -10.0(9)$ kHz. Furthermore, the detuning frequency of the single-atom sideband peak is measured to be $sf_0 = 163.2(5)$ kHz. Additionally, the diatomic system exhibited two distinct peaks: the center of mass motion peak at $sf_1 = 153.0(5)$ kHz and the relative motion peak at $s f_2 = 182.8(3)$ kHz.

Here, a_s is the s-wave scattering length, m is the angular quantum number, ϵ is the interaction energy, and $\eta = \omega_1/\omega_z$ is the ratio of the radial harmonic frequency to the axial harmonic frequency, $\Gamma(j)$ is the gamma function, $F1(a, b, c, j)$ is the hypergeometric function, $dz = \sqrt{\hbar/(\mu \omega_z)} \ (\omega_z \text{ is the axial harmonic frequency}),$ and $\mu = m_{85}/2$ is the reduced mass of two ⁸⁵Rb atoms. By employing the coupled channel theory [\[48\]](#page-7-9), we can calculate that the scattering length of the $\{3,-3,2,-2\}$ chan-

nel as $a_i = -391$ a_0 (a_0 is the Bohr radius). In our system, we need to take the effect of the polarization gradient on the $|3, -3\rangle$ and $|2, -2\rangle$ states into account. We note that the $|3, -3\rangle$ and $|2, -2\rangle$ state have a relative the wavefunction displacement $dx \approx 18.0$ nm along the x direction in this experiment. According to Ref. $[47]$, this displacement induces a variation in the interaction energy perturbation, denoted as ϵ' . Its magnitude is approximately $\epsilon' \approx \mu(dx)^2 (\eta \omega_z)^2 / (2\hbar)$. The results of calculation are plotted in the Fig. [4,](#page-4-1) in which the black solid line and blue dashed one represent the theoretical calculation values with and without correction for the perturbation ϵ' , respectively. Notably, the experimental values match well with the theoretical calculation results after incorporating the perturbation of ϵ' .

FIG. 4. The relationship between the interaction energy of the initial state $(|2,-2\rangle|3,-3\rangle|\psi_s\rangle|\varphi_0\rangle)$ and the axial resonant frequency of the optical tweezer. The black squares represent the measured values. The accompanying error bars are statistic standard deviation for the average. And the solid black line and the dashed blue line represent the theoretical calculation of Eq.(1) values with and without the correction for the perturbation of dx respectively.

In the end, we'll discuss the behavior of interaction energies of the inelastic channel {3,-3;3,-1} in an optical tweezer. For this channel, inelastic hyperfine changing collision occurs and the atomic interaction can be modeled with complex scattering length $a_{inelastic} = \alpha - i\beta$, where the imaginary part β is responsible for inelastic spin relaxation from the entrance channel [\[49\]](#page-7-11). Recently, by applying the contact pseudopotential with complex scattering length to a system of two ultracold particles confined in a spherically symmetric harmonic trap, the properties of eigenenergies and eigenfunctions as a function of the real and imaginary parts of the scattering length has been theoretically investigated [\[44\]](#page-7-6). Here, we follow this work to calculate the interaction energies with replacing the scattering length with the complex scatter-

FIG. 5. The relationship between the interaction energy of the inelastic channel $\{3,-3;3,-1\}$ and the axial trapping frequencies. The red squares represent the measured values. The accompanying error bars are statistic standard deviation for the average. The dashed blue line represents the predictions of the energy by applying the contact pseudopotential with complex scattering length.

ing length in the Eq.(1). The real roots of Eq.(1) are of interaction energies. Specifically, the values of α and β are $-596a_0$ and $-43a_0$, respectively, that are also calculated from the coupled-channel calculation program [\[48\]](#page-7-9). The predictions of interaction energies are plotted as a function of axial trapping frequencies in the Fig. [5](#page-5-5) , see the dashed curve. Compared with measured data, the theoretical energies are obviously larger than the experimentally measured ones. This discrepancy suggests that the contact pseudopotential with complex scattering length is too simplifier to capture the inelastic collision of two ultracold atoms confined in an optical trap, and also qualitatively reveal how the presence of inelastic interactions affects elastic part of the relative potential.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have successfully prepared a pair of ⁸⁵Rb atoms in the three-dimensional ground-state through sequentially implementing RSC and speciesdependent transport techniques, and then recorded the relative and center-of-mass motion of resolved MW spectra by taking advantage of SMC in an optical tweezer. Combing the resulting MW spectra and corresponding s-wave pseudopotential model, we have evaluated the effect of the external confinement on the collision energy of the elastic channel. Furthermore, we have found out that the measured collision energy of inelastic channel is smaller than the one determined by the real part of the complex scattering length, confirming the need of further

investigation of the relative theory for calculating the collision energy for the inelastic channel. The SMC method can also be applied to the atom-molecule and moleculemolecule systems in optical tweezers. The realization of ultracold two ⁸⁵Rb atoms reservoir is also an important step towards making a single molecule and studying coherent spin-mixing dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 $\frac{1}{20}$ 5 10 15 20 25 30 ence Foundation of China under grants No. 12122412, This work was supported by the National Natural Sci-No. U22A20257, No. 12121004, No. 12241410, No. 12004395, and No. 12104464, the Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research of CAS under grant No. YSBR-058, the Key Research Program of Frontier Science of CAS under grant No. ZDBSLY-SLH012, the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2021YFA1402001, the Major Program (JD) of Hubei Province under Grant No. 2023BAA020, and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province under Grant No. 2021CFA027.

[∗] hexd@wipm.ac.cn

- † mszhan@wipm.ac.cn
- [1] N. Schlosser, G. Reymond, I. Protsenko, and P. Grangier, Sub-Poissonian loading of single atoms in a microscopic dipole trap, Nature 411, 1024-1027 (2001).
- [2] H. Kim, W. Lee, H.-G. Lee, H. Jo, Y. Song, and J. Ahn, In situ single-atom array synthesis using dynamic holographic optical tweezers, Nat. Commun. 7, 13317 (2016).
- [3] M. Endres, H. Bernien, A. Keesling, H. Levine, E. R. Anschuetz, A. Krajenbrink, C. Senko, V. Vuletić, M. Greiner, and M. D. Lukin, Atom-by-atom assembly of defect-free one-dimensional cold atom arrays, Science 354, 1024 (2016).
- [4] D. Barredo, S. Léséleuc, V. Lienhard, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, An atom-by-atom assembler of defect-free arbitrary two-dimensional atomic arrays, Science 354, 1021 (2016).
- [5] D. Barredo, V. Lienhard, S. de Léséleuc, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, Synthetic three-dimensional atomic structures assembled atom by atom, Nature (London) 561, 79 (2018).
- [6] A. Kumar, T. Wu, F. Giraldo, and D. S. Weiss, Sorting ultracold atoms in a three-dimensional optical lattice in a realization of Maxwell's demon, Nature (London) 561, 83 (2018).
- [7] C. Sheng, J.-Y. Hou, X.-D. He, K.-P. Wang, R.-J. Guo, J. Zhuang, B. Mamat, P. Xu, M. Liu, J. Wang, and M.-S. Zhan, Defect-Free Arbitrary-Geometry Assembly of Mixed-Species Atom Arrays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 083202 (2022).
- [8] K. Singh, Sh. Anand, A. Pocklington, J. T. Kemp, and H. Bernien, Dual-Element, Two-Dimensional Atom Ar-

ray with Continuous-Mode Operation, Phys. Rev. X 12, 011040 (2022).

- [9] A. Browaeys and T. Lahaye, Many-body physics with individually controlled Rydberg atoms. Nat. Phys. 16, 132-142(2020).
- [10] D. González-Cuadra, D. Bluvstein, M. Kalinowski, R. Kaubruegger, N. Maskara, P. Naldesi, T. V. Zache, A. M. Kaufman, M. D. Lukin, H. Pichler, B. Vermersch, Jun Ye and P. Zoller, Fermionic quantum processing with programmable neutral atom arrays, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120, e2304294120 (2023).
- [11] M. Saffman, Quantum computing with atomic qubits and Rydberg interactions: Progress and challenges. J. Phys. B: Atomic, Mol. Opt. Phys. 49, 202001 (2016).
- [12] C. Sheng, X.-D. He, P. Xu, R.-J. Guo, K.-P. Wang, Z.-Y. Xiong, M. Liu, J. Wang, and M.-S. Zhan, High-Fidelity Single-Qubit Gates on Neutral Atoms in a Two-Dimensional Magic-Intensity Optical Dipole Trap Array, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 240501 (2018).
- [13] D. Bluvstein, H. Levine, G.Semeghini, T. T. Wang, S. Ebadi, M. Kalinowski, A. Keesling, N. Maskara, H. Pichler, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić and M. D. Lukin, A quantum processor based on coherent transport of entangled atom arrays, Nature 604, 451-456 (2022).
- [14] T. M. Graham, Y. Song, J. Scott, C. Poole, L. Phuttitarn, K. Jooya, P. Eichler, X. Jiang, A. Marra, B. Grinkemeyer, M. Kwon, M. Ebert, J. Cherek, M. T. Lichtman, M. Gillette, J. Gilbert, D. Bowman, T. Ballance, C. Campbell, E. D. Dahl, O. Crawford, N. S. Blunt, B. Rogers, T. Noel and M. Saffman, Multi-qubit entanglement and algorithms on a neutral-atom quantum computer, Nature 604, 457-462 (2022).
- [15] S. J. Evered, D. Bluvstein, M. Kalinowski, S. Ebadi, T. Manovitz, H. Zhou, S. H. Li, A. A. Geim, T. T. Wang, N. Maskara, H. Levine, G. Semeghini, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, High-fidelity parallel entangling gates on a neutral-atom quantum computer, Nature 622, 268 (2023).
- [16] D. Bluvstein, S. J. Evered, A. A. Geim, S. H. Li, H. Y. Zhou, T. Manovitz, S. Ebadi, M. Cain, M. Kalinowski, D.Hangleiter, J. P. B. Ataides, N. Maskara, I. Cong, X. Gao, P. S. Rodriguez, T. Karolyshyn, G. Semeghini, M. J. Gullans, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and Lukin M D, Logical quantum processor based on reconfigurable atom arrays, Nature (2023).
- [17] I. S. Madjarov, A. Cooper, A. L. Shaw, J. P. Covey, V. Schkolnik, T. H. Yoon, J. R. Williams, and M. Endres, An atomic-array optical clock with single-atom readout, Phys. Rev. X 9, 041052 (2019).
- [18] J. P. Covey, I. S. Madjarov, A. Cooper, and M. Endres, 2000-times repeated imaging of strontium atoms in clock-magic tweezer arrays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 173201 (2019).
- [19] M. A. Norcia, A. W. Young, W. J. Eckner, E. Oelker, J. Ye, and A. M. Kaufman, Seconds-scale coherence on an optical clock transition in a tweezer array, Science 366, 93 (2019).
- [20] A. W. Young, W. J. Eckner, W. R. Milner, D. Kedar, M. A. Norcia, E. Oelker, N. Schine, J. Ye and A. M. Kaufman, Half-minute-scale atomic coherence and high relative stability in a tweezer clock, Nature 588, 408-413 (2020).
- [21] M. F. Andersen, Optical tweezers for a bottom-up assembly of few-atom systems, Advances in Physics: X,

7:1, 2064231, (2022) .

- [22] L. R. Liu, J. D. Hood, Y. Yu, J. T. Zhang, N. R. Hutzler, T. Rosenband, K.-K. Ni, Building one molecule from a reservoir of two atoms. Science 360, 900-903 (2018).
- [23] X.-D. He, K.-P. Wang, J. Zhuang, P. Xu, X. Gao, R.- J. Guo, C. Sheng, M. Liu, J. Wang, J.-M. Li, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M.-S. Zhan, Coherently Forming a Single Molecule in an Optical Trap, Science 370, 331-335 (2020).
- [24] L. Anderegg, L. W. Cheuk, Y. Bao, S. Burchesky, W. Ketterle, K.-K. Ni, and J. M. Doyle, An optical tweezer array of ultracold molecules, Science 365, 1156 (2019).
- [25] Jessie T. Zhang, Lewis R. B. Picard, William B. Cairncross, Kenneth Wang, Yichao Yu, Fang Fang, Kang-Kuen Ni, An Optical tweezer array of ground-state polar molecules, Quantum Sci. Technol. 7, 035006 (2022).
- [26] P. Xu, J.-H. Yang, M. Liu, X.-D. He, Y. Zeng, K.-P. Wang, J. Wang, D. J. Papoular, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M.-S. Zhan, Interaction-induced decay of a heteronuclear two-atom system, Nat. Commun. 6, 7803 (2015).
- [27] P. Sompet, S. S. Szigeti, E. Schwartz, A. S. Bradley, and M. F. Andersen, Thermally robust spin correlations between two ⁸⁵Rb atoms in an optical microtrap, Nat. Commun. 10, 1889 (2019).
- [28] L.W. Cheuk, Loïc Anderegg, Y. Bao, S. Burchesky, S. S. Yu, W. Ketterle, K.-K. Ni, and J. M. Doyle, Observation of Collisions between Two Ultracold Ground-State CaF Molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 043401 (2020).
- [29] M. Weyland, S. S. Szigeti, R. A. B. Hobbs, P. Ruksasakchai, L. Sanchez, and M. F. Andersen, Pair Correlations and Photoassociation Dynamics of Two Atoms in an Optical Tweezer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 083401 (2021)
- [30] L. A. Reynolds, E. Schwartz, U. Ebling, M. Weyland, J. Brand, and M. F. Andersen, Direct Measurements of Collisional Dynamics in Cold Atom Triads, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 073401 (2020).
- [31] J. D. Hood, Y. Yu, Y.-W. Lin, J. T. Zhang, K. Wang, L. R. Liu, B. Gao, and K.-K. Ni, Multichannel interactions of two atoms in an optical tweezer, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023108 (2020).
- [32] R. V. Brooks, A. Guttridge, M. D. Frye, D. K. Ruttley, S. Spence, J. M. Hutson, and S. L. Cornish, Feshbach spectroscopy of Cs atom pairs in optical tweezers, New J. Phys. 24, 113051 (2022).
- [33] L. R. Liu, J. D. Hood, Y. Yu, J. T. Zhang, K. Wang, Y.-W. Lin, T. Rosenband, and K.-K. Ni, Molecular Assembly of Ground-State Cooled Single Atoms, Phys. Rev. X 9, 021039 (2019).
- [34] J. T. Zhang, Y. Yu, W. B. Cairncross, K. Wang, L. R. B. Picard, J. D. Hood, Y.-W. Lin, J. M. Hutson, and K.-K. Ni, Forming a Single Molecule by Magnetoassociation in an Optical Tweezer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 253401 (2020).
- [35] Y. Yu, K. Wang, J. D. Hood, L. R. B. Picard, J. T. Zhang, W. B. Cairncross, J. M. Hutson, R. Gonzalez-Ferez, T. Rosenband, and K.-K. Ni, Coherent Optical Creation of a Single Molecule, Phys. Rev. X 11 031061 (2021).
- [36] D. K. Ruttley, A. Guttridge, S. Spence, R. C. Bird, C. Ruth Le Sueur, J. M. Hutson, and S. L. Cornish, Formation of Ultracold Molecules by Merging Optical Tweezers ,Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 223401 (2023).
- [37] B. Richards and E. Wolf, Electromagnetic diffraction in optical systems, II. Structure of the image field in an aplanatic system, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 253, 358-

379 (1959).

- [38] J. D. Thompson, T. G. Tiecke, A. S. Zibrov, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Coherence and Raman Sideband Cooling of a Single Atom in an Optical Tweezer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 133001 (2013).
- [39] A. M. Kaufman, B. J. Lester, and C. A. Regal, Cooling a Single Atom in an Optical Tweezer to Its Quantum Ground State, Phys. Rev. X 2, 041014 (2012).
- [40] A. Dareau, Y. Meng, P. Schneeweiss, and A. Rauschenbeutel, Observation of ultrastrong spin-motion coupling for cold atoms in optical microtraps, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 253603 (2018).
- [41] K.-P. Wang, J. Zhuang, X.-D. He, R.-J. Guo, C. Sheng, P. Xu, M. Liu, J. Wang, and M.-S. Zhan, High-fidelity manipulation of the quantized motion of a single atom via Stern-Gerlach splitting, Chin. Phys. Lett. 37, 044209 (2020).
- [42] T. Busch, B. Englert, K. Rzążewski, and M. Wilkens, Two Cold Atoms in a Harmonic Trap, Found. Phys. 28, 549 (1998).
- [43] N. R. Claussen, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, S. T. Thompson, E. A. Donley, E. Hodby, and C. E. Wieman, Veryhigh
precision bound-state spectroscopy near a $\rm ^{85}Rb$ Fes-

hbach resonance, Phys. Rev. A. 67, 060701 (2003).

- [44] J. Jankowska and Z. Idziaszek, Reactive collisions of two ultracold particles in a harmonic trap, Phys. Rev. A 93, 052714 (2016).
- [45] K.-P. Wang, X.-D. He, R.-J. Guo, P. Xu, C. Sheng, J. Zhuang, Z.-Y. Xiong, M. Liu, J. Wang, and M.-S. Zhan, Preparation of a heteronuclear two-atom system in the three-dimensional ground state in an optical tweezer, Phys. Rev. A. 100, 063429 (2019).
- [46] Z. Idziaszek and T. Calarco, Analytical solutions for the dynamics of two trapped interacting ultracold atoms Phys. Rev. A. 74, 022712(2006).
- [47] M. Krych and Z Idziaszek, Controlled collisions of two ultracold atoms in separate harmonic traps, Phys. Rev. A 80 , 022710(2009).
- [48] J. M. Hutson and S. C. R. Le, Molscat: A program for non-reactive quantum scattering calculations on atomic and molecular collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun. 241, 9-18(2019).
- [49] J. M. Hutson, Feshbach resonances in ultracold atomic and molecular collisions: threshold behaviour and suppression of poles in scattering lengths, New J. Phys. 9, 152 (2007).