

Affine vector space partitions and spreads of quadrics

Somi Gupta

Francesco Pavese

Abstract

An *affine spread* is a set of subspaces of $\text{AG}(n, q)$ of the same dimension that partitions the points of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. Equivalently, an *affine spread* is a set of projective subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ of the same dimension which partitions the points of $\text{PG}(n, q) \setminus H_\infty$; here H_∞ denotes the hyperplane at infinity of the projective closure of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a non degenerate quadric of H_∞ and let Π be a generator of \mathcal{Q} , where Π is a t -dimensional projective subspace. An affine spread \mathcal{P} consisting of $(t + 1)$ -dimensional projective subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is called *hyperbolic*, *parabolic* or *elliptic* (according as \mathcal{Q} is hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic) if the following hold:

- each member of \mathcal{P} meets H_∞ in a distinct generator of \mathcal{Q} disjoint from Π ;
- elements of \mathcal{P} have at most one point in common;
- if $S, T \in \mathcal{P}$, $|S \cap T| = 1$, then $\langle S, T \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a hyperbolic quadric of \mathcal{Q} .

In this note it is shown that a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic affine spread of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is equivalent to a spread of $\mathcal{Q}^+(n + 1, q)$, $\mathcal{Q}(n + 1, q)$ or $\mathcal{Q}^-(n + 1, q)$, respectively.

Keywords: Finite geometry; vector space partition; spread; quadric.

1 Introduction

Establishing the existence of a partition of a geometric structure into subspaces of the same dimension is a classical theme in finite geometry. A *vector space partition* of the projective space $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is a set of projective subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ which partitions the points of $\text{PG}(n, q)$. A vector space partition whose subspaces are of the same dimension is called *spread*. It was shown by J. André [1] and B. Segre [13] that $\text{PG}(n, q)$ admits a spread consisting of t -dimensional projective subspaces if and only if $t + 1$ divides $n + 1$. A finite classical polar space arises from a vector space of finite dimension over a finite field equipped with a non-degenerate reflexive sesquilinear or quadratic form. A non-degenerate polar space of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is a member of one of the following classes: a symplectic space $\mathcal{W}(n, q)$, n odd, a parabolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}(n, q)$, n even, a hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(n, q)$, n odd, an elliptic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^-(n, q)$, n odd, or a Hermitian variety $\mathcal{H}(n, q)$, q a square. A *spread* of a polar space \mathcal{A} is a set of generators (i.e., maximal totally

S. Gupta: Department of Mathematics and Applications “R. Caccioppoli”, University of Naples “Federico II”, Via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Naples, Italy; *e-mail*: somi.gupta@unina.it.

F. Pavese: Department of Mechanics, Mathematics and Management, Polytechnic University of Bari, Via Orabona 4, I-70125 Bari, Italy; *e-mail*: francesco.pavese@poliba.it.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): Primary: 51E23 Secondary: 05B40.

isotropic subspaces or maximal totally singular subspaces) of \mathcal{A} , which partitions the points of \mathcal{A} . A spread of $\mathcal{W}(n, q)$ is also a spread of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ into $\binom{n-1}{2}$ -dimensional projective subspaces. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{Q}^+(n, q)$ has no spread if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Many authors investigated spreads of polar spaces, see [3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15]. For q even, $\mathcal{Q}^+(n, q)$, $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, $\mathcal{Q}(n, q)$, $\mathcal{Q}^-(n, q)$ always have a spread. For q odd, with q a prime or $q \equiv 0$ or $2 \pmod{3}$, $\mathcal{Q}^+(7, q)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(6, q)$ have a spread. The parabolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}(n, q)$, with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and q odd, has no spread. For q odd, $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, q)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^-(5, q)$ have a spread. The Hermitian varieties $\mathcal{H}(n, q)$, n odd and $\mathcal{H}(4, 4)$ do not have a spread. For open problems related to spreads of polar spaces, the reader is referred to [8, Section 7.5]

In this context it is natural to consider an “affine version” of a vector space partition as a set of proper affine subspaces that partitions the points of $\text{AG}(n, q)$, see [2]. Denote by H_∞ the hyperplane at infinity of the projective closure of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. Then an *affine vector space partition* of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is a set of projective subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ which partitions the points of $\text{PG}(n, q) \setminus H_\infty$. An *affine spread* is an affine vector space partition whose subspaces are of the same dimension. Let \mathcal{Q} be a non degenerate quadric of H_∞ and let Π be a generator of \mathcal{Q} , where Π is a t -dimensional projective subspace. Here, we are concerned with affine spreads \mathcal{P} consisting of $(t + 1)$ -dimensional projective subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ such that

- each member of \mathcal{P} meets H_∞ in a distinct generator of \mathcal{Q} disjoint from Π ;
- elements of \mathcal{P} have at most one point in common;
- if $S, T \in \mathcal{P}$, $|S \cap T| = 1$, then $\langle S, T \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a hyperbolic quadric of \mathcal{Q} .

An affine spread \mathcal{P} of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ satisfying the above properties is called *hyperbolic*, *parabolic* or *elliptic*, according as \mathcal{Q} is hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic, respectively. In [2, Section 5.2] the authors exhibited a particular hyperbolic affine spread of $\text{PG}(6, q)$, q even or $q \in \{3, 5\}$, and they conjecture that a hyperbolic affine spread of $\text{PG}(6, q)$ exists for all prime powers [2, Conjecture 2]. In this note it is shown that a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic affine spread of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is equivalent to a spread of $\mathcal{Q}^+(n + 1, q)$, $\mathcal{Q}(n + 1, q)$ or $\mathcal{Q}^-(n + 1, q)$, respectively.

2 Affine vector space partitions and quadrics

Throughout the paper we will use the term *s-space* to denote an s -dimensional projective subspace of the ambient projective space. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$ denote a non-degenerate quadric of $\text{PG}(r, q)$ as indicated below:

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}}{e} \parallel \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+(r, q)}{0} \mid \frac{\mathcal{Q}(r, q)}{1} \mid \frac{\mathcal{Q}^-(r, q)}{2},$$

where r is odd if the quadric is hyperbolic or elliptic, whereas r is even if the quadric is parabolic. Associated with $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$, there is a polarity \perp of $\text{PG}(r, q)$, which is non-degenerate except when $e = 1$ and q is even. In particular, the polarity \perp is symplectic if $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} \in \{\mathcal{Q}^+(r, q), \mathcal{Q}^-(r, q)\}$, q even, and orthogonal if $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} \in \{\mathcal{Q}(r, q), \mathcal{Q}^+(r, q), \mathcal{Q}^-(r, q)\}$, q odd. For $\mathcal{Q}_{r,1} = \mathcal{Q}(r, q)$, q even, the

polarity \perp is degenerate, indeed $N^\perp = \text{PG}(r, q)$, if N is the nucleus of $\mathcal{Q}(r, q)$, whereas P^\perp is a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(r, q)$ for any other point P of $\text{PG}(r, q)$. A *generator* of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$ is a projective space of maximal dimension contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$ and generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$ are $\left(\frac{r-e-1}{2}\right)$ -spaces. A *spread* of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$ is a set of $q^{\frac{r+e-1}{2}} + 1$ pairwise disjoint generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$. More background information on the properties of the finite classical polar spaces can be found in [6, 7, 8].

Definition 2.1. *Let H be a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$. An affine vector space partition (or abbreviated as avsp) of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ is a set \mathcal{P} of subspaces of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ whose members are not contained in H and such that every point of $\text{PG}(r+1, q) \setminus H$ is contained in exactly one element of \mathcal{P} .*

The *type* of an avsp \mathcal{P} of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ is given by $(r+1)^{m_{r+1}} \dots 2^{m_2} 1^{m_1}$, where m_i denotes the number of $(i-1)$ -spaces of \mathcal{P} for $1 \leq i \leq r+1$.

Definition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be an avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$. Then \mathcal{P} is said to be reducible if there exists a proper subspace U of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ such that the members of \mathcal{P} contained in U form an avsp of U . If \mathcal{P} is not reducible, then it is said to be irreducible.*

Definition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be an avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$. Then \mathcal{P} is said to be tight if no point of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ belongs to each of the members of \mathcal{P} .*

In [2, Section 5.2] the authors studied a particular avsp $\{S_1, \dots, S_{q^3}\}$ of $\text{PG}(6, q)$, q even, of type $4q^3$, such that the set $\{S_i \cap H \mid i = 1, \dots, q^3\}$ consists of the q^3 planes of a Klein quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(5, q)$ that are disjoint from a fixed plane of $\mathcal{Q}^+(5, q)$. Moreover, they conjecture that a similar construction can be realized for all prime powers [2, Conjecture 2]. Motivated by their example, we introduce the definition of *hyperbolic, parabolic, or elliptic avsp*.

Definition 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{P} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}}\}$ be an avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ of type $\left(\frac{r-e+3}{2}\right)^q q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}$. Then \mathcal{P} is said hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic if the set*

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \Pi_i = S_i \cap H \mid i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}} \right\}$$

consists of $q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}$ generators of a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} \subset H$, where $e = 0, 1, 2$, respectively, such that

1. *elements of \mathcal{G} are disjoint from a fixed generator Π of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$;*
2. *distinct members of \mathcal{G} (or of \mathcal{P}) meet at most in one point;*
3. *if $|\Pi_i \cap \Pi_j| = 1$, then $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r,e} = \mathcal{Q}^+(r-e, q)$.*

Remark 2.5. Let r be odd, let $\mathcal{P} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{q^{\frac{r+1}{2}}}\}$ be a hyperbolic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ and let $\Pi_i = S_i \cap H$, $i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+1}{2}}$. Since members of \mathcal{P} are $\left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)$ -spaces, then $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle = \text{PG}(r+1, q)$ and $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$, if $i \neq j$. Hence $|\Pi_i \cap \Pi_j| = 1$, if $i \neq j$. Therefore for the definition of a hyperbolic avsp 2) is equivalent to require that distinct members of \mathcal{G} pairwise intersect in one point, whereas requirement 3) is redundant.

If $r \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then members of \mathcal{G} and Π belong to the same system of generators and hence $|\Pi_i \cap \Pi_j| = 0$, if $i \neq j$. Therefore hyperbolic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$, $r \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, do not exist.

Remark 2.6. If $r = e + 1$, then there are not q^{e+1} generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$ disjoint from Π . Hence there is no hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp for $(r, e) \in \{(1, 0), (2, 1), (3, 2)\}$. If $r = e + 3$, then requirement 2) is redundant.

Remark 2.7. The existence of a hyperbolic avsp of $\text{PG}(6, q)$ is equivalent to the “extension problem” formulated in [2, Conjecture 2].

In the following we will need the next result, that in the hyperbolic case has been proved in [4, Example 7.6].

Lemma 2.8. Let $\left\{ \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}} \right\}$ be a spread of a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$ of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$. Fix a point $P \in \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$ and an r -space $H \subset P^\perp$ such that $P \notin H$. Set $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} = H \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$ and

$$\Pi_i = \langle P, \Sigma_i \rangle \cap H, \quad i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}.$$

The following hold.

- i) If $e \in \{1, 2\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$ is parabolic or elliptic, then Π_i and Π_j , $i \neq j$, intersect in at most one point.
- ii) If $e = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,0}$ is hyperbolic and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then Π_i and Π_j , $i \neq j$, have exactly one point in common.
- iii) Each point of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} \setminus \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$ lies in precisely q members of $\left\{ \Pi_i \mid i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}} \right\}$.

Proof. Since $|(\Sigma_i \cap P^\perp) \cap (\Sigma_j \cap P^\perp)| = 0$, it follows that $\Pi_i \cap \Pi_j$ is at most one point. Assume that $e = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,0}$ is hyperbolic and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Consider the $\left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)$ -space $T_i = \langle P, \Sigma_i \cap P^\perp \rangle$. Then T_i is a generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,0}$ intersecting Σ_i in an $\left(\frac{r-1}{2}\right)$ -space. Therefore T_i and Σ_j , $i \neq j$, are in different systems of generators and hence they have at least one point in common. It follows that Π_i and Π_j , $i \neq j$, have at least one point in common.

Finally, it is easily observed that any line passing through P meets precisely q elements of the spread distinct from $\Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$. Hence, through a point of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} \setminus \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$ there pass precisely q members of \mathcal{G} . \square

In what follows we observe that a hyperbolic, elliptic, or parabolic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ can be obtained starting from a spread of a hyperbolic, elliptic, or parabolic quadric of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$.

Construction 2.9. Let $\left\{ \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}} \right\}$ be a spread of a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$ of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$. Fix a point $P \in \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$ and a hyperplane $\mathcal{U} \cong \text{PG}(r+1, q)$ not containing the point P . Then $H = \mathcal{U} \cap P^\perp$ is an r -space of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{r,e} = H \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2}$. Let

$$S_i = \langle P, \Sigma_i \rangle \cap \mathcal{U}, \quad i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}},$$

and let $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ S_1, \dots, S_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}} \right\}$. Then \mathcal{P} consists of $q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}$ $\left(\frac{r-e+1}{2}\right)$ -spaces of \mathcal{U} , none of them is contained in H .

Proposition 2.10. *The set \mathcal{P} is a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ according as $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ is hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic, respectively.*

Proof. In order to prove that \mathcal{P} is an avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ it is enough to show that for every point of $\mathcal{U} \setminus H$, there exists a member of \mathcal{P} containing it. Let R be a point of $\mathcal{U} \setminus H$ and let ℓ be the line joining P and R . Since ℓ passes through P and is not contained in P^\perp , it is secant to $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$. Therefore there is a point, say R' , distinct from P , such that $R' \in \mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$. Moreover $R' \in \Sigma_j$, for some $j \in \left\{1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}\right\}$ and hence $R \in S_j$, by construction.

Set $\mathcal{G} = \left\{\Pi_i = S_i \cap H \mid i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}\right\}$. Then \mathcal{G} consists of generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r, e}$ disjoint from $\Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}} \cap H \subset \mathcal{Q}_{r, e}$. By Lemma 2.8, $|\Pi_i \cap \Pi_j|$, $i \neq j$, equals one, if $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ is hyperbolic, or is at most one, otherwise.

Let $S_i, S_j \in \mathcal{P}$, with $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$. Then $\langle S_i, S_j, P \rangle = \langle \Sigma_i, \Sigma_j \rangle$ is an $(r+2-e)$ -space of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$ containing two disjoint generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$. Hence $\langle S_i, S_j, P \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e} = \mathcal{Q}_{r+2-e, 0} \simeq \mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$. Such a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2-e, 0}$ meets P^\perp in a cone having as vertex the point P and as base a $\mathcal{Q}_{r-e, 0} \simeq \mathcal{Q}^+(r-e, q)$. It follows that $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r, e} = \mathcal{Q}^+(r-e, q)$, as required. \square

We have seen that a spread of a hyperbolic, elliptic, or parabolic quadric of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$ gives rise to a hyperbolic, elliptic, or parabolic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$, respectively. The converse also holds true, as shown below.

Theorem 2.11. *If \mathcal{P} is a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$, then there is a spread of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ is a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic quadric, respectively.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = \left\{S_1, \dots, S_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}}\right\}$ be a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$. Then there exists a hyperplane H of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$, a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r, e}$ of H , that is hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic, respectively, and a fixed generator Π of $\mathcal{Q}_{r, e}$, such that $\Pi_i = S_i \cap H$ is a generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r, e}$ disjoint from Π . Embed $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$ as a hyperplane section, say \mathcal{U} , of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$ and fix a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$ in such a way that $H \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e} = \mathcal{Q}_{r, e}$. Let P be one of the two points of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ on H^\perp , where \perp is the polarity of $\text{PG}(r+2, q)$ associated with $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$. For $i = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}$, consider the following $\left(\frac{r-e+3}{2}\right)$ -space

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \langle P, S_i \rangle.$$

Hence \mathcal{F}_i meets P^\perp in the $\left(\frac{r-e+1}{2}\right)$ -space spanned by P and Π_i , that is a generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$. We claim that \mathcal{F}_i contains a further generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ besides $\langle P, \Pi_i \rangle$. Indeed, if $\langle P, \Pi_i \rangle$ were the unique generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ contained in \mathcal{F}_i , then $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \langle P, \Pi_i \rangle^\perp = P^\perp \cap \Pi_i^\perp \subset P^\perp$, contradicting the fact that $\mathcal{F}_i \cap P^\perp$ is an $\left(\frac{r-e+1}{2}\right)$ -space. Therefore \mathcal{F}_i has to contain a further generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$, say Σ_i . Denote by $\Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$ the generator of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, e}$ spanned by P and Π . We claim that

$$\left\{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}\right\}$$

is a spread of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2, q}$. Assume by contradiction that there is a point $Q' \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}+1}}$, for some $i \in \left\{1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}\right\}$. Let $Q = \langle P, Q' \rangle \cap H$, then $Q \in \Pi_i \cap \Pi$, a contradiction. Assume by

contradiction that $|\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j| > 0$, for some $1 \leq i < j \leq q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}$. Then necessarily $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ is a point, otherwise $|S_i \cap S_j| > 1$. Moreover, we infer that such a point, say $R' = \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$, must be in P^\perp . Indeed, if $R' \notin P^\perp$, then the point $R = \langle P, R' \rangle \cap \mathcal{U}$ belongs to both $S_i \setminus H$ and $S_j \setminus H$, contradicting the fact that $S_1, \dots, S_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}}$ is an avsp. In particular, $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$.

If $e = 0$, by Remark 2.5, we may assume that $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence $r + 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and Σ_k and $\Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}+1}$ belong to the same system of generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,0}$, for $k = 1, \dots, q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}$. It follows that if $|\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j| > 0$, then they have at least a line in common, a contradiction.

If $e \in \{1, 2\}$, observe that $\langle \mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j \rangle$ is a $\text{PG}(r+2-e, q)$ containing the cone having as vertex the point P and as base the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(r-e, q) = \langle S_i, S_j \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r,e}$. Furthermore, two more generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$, namely Σ_i, Σ_j , are contained in $\langle \mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j \rangle$ and do not pass through P . Therefore necessarily we have that $\langle \mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e} = \mathcal{Q}_{r+2-e,0}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$ the hyperbolic quadric $\langle \mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$, so that generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$ are $\left(\frac{r-e+1}{2}\right)$ -spaces. Since $\Sigma_i \cap \langle P, \Pi_i \rangle$ and $\Sigma_j \cap \langle P, \Pi_j \rangle$ are $\left(\frac{r-e-1}{2}\right)$ -spaces, we have that Σ_i and $\langle P, \Pi_i \rangle$ lie in distinct systems of generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e)$. Similarly for Σ_j and $\langle P, \Pi_j \rangle$. Two possibilities arise: either $r+2-e \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ or $r+2-e \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since $\langle P, \Pi_i \rangle \cap \langle P, \Pi_j \rangle$ is a line, if the former case occurs, then $\langle P, \Pi_i \rangle, \langle P, \Pi_j \rangle$ belong to the same system of generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$. Hence Σ_i, Σ_j belong to the same system of generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$ and if $|\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j| > 0$, then they have at least a line in common, a contradiction. In the latter case, $\langle P, \Pi_i \rangle, \langle P, \Pi_j \rangle$ are in different systems of generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$. Therefore $\Sigma_i, \langle P, \Pi_j \rangle$ belong to the same system of generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$. Similarly for $\Sigma_j, \langle P, \Pi_i \rangle$. It follows that Σ_i, Σ_j belong to different systems of generators of $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$ and again, if $|\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j| > 0$, then they have at least a line in common, a contradiction. \square

As pointed out in [2, Theorem 42], tightness and irreducibility follow immediately for a hyperbolic avsp of $\text{PG}(5, q)$. We will show that the same occurs in higher dimensions and for parabolic or elliptic avsp.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $e \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}+1} \right\}$ be a spread of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$. Then at most $q+1$ members of \mathcal{S} are contained in a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r+1,e-1} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$.*

Proof. If $e = 1$, then exactly 2 members of \mathcal{S} are contained in a hyperbolic hyperplane section $\mathcal{Q}_{r+1,0} \simeq \mathcal{Q}^+(r+1, q)$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,1} \simeq \mathcal{Q}(r+2, q)$, whereas, if $e = 2$, then there are $q+1$ elements of \mathcal{S} contained in a parabolic hyperplane section $\mathcal{Q}_{r+1,1} \simeq \mathcal{Q}(r+1, q)$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,2} \simeq \mathcal{Q}^-(r+2, q)$. \square

Proposition 2.13. *Let \mathcal{P} be a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$, then \mathcal{P} is tight and irreducible.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}} \right\}$ be a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp of $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$. If $e = 0$, in order to prove that \mathcal{P} is irreducible, it is enough to observe that, if $i \neq j$, then the span of S_i and S_j is the whole $\text{PG}(r+1, q)$. Similarly, if $e = 1$ and $|S_i \cap S_j| = 0$, then $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle = \text{PG}(r+1, q)$. If either $e = 1$ and $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$ or $e = 2$, we claim that the elements of \mathcal{P} contained in $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle$, where $i \neq j$, do not cover all the points of $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle \setminus H$. By Theorem 2.11, there is a quadric $\mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$ with a spread $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_{q^{\frac{r+e+1}{2}}+1} \right\}$ such that \mathcal{P} can be obtained

via Construction 2.9. Then the number of elements of \mathcal{P} contained in $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle$ equals the number of elements of \mathcal{S} contained in $\langle P, \Sigma_i, \Sigma_j \rangle$. Since $\langle P, \Sigma_i, \Sigma_j \rangle \cap \mathcal{Q}_{r+2,e}$ is either a hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(r+2-e, q)$, if $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$, or a parabolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}(r+1, q)$, if $|S_i \cap S_j| = 0$ and $e = 2$, such a number cannot exceed $q+1$ by Lemma 2.12. It follows that \mathcal{P} is irreducible.

By Lemma 2.8 *iii*), through a point of H there pass precisely q members \mathcal{P} . Hence tightness follows. \square

3 Conclusion

We have seen that a hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic avsp of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is equivalent to a spread of $\mathcal{Q}^+(n+1, q)$, $\mathcal{Q}(n+1, q)$ or $\mathcal{Q}^-(n+1, q)$, respectively. Furthermore, such an avsp is tight and irreducible. Based on Proposition 2.10 and Theorem 2.11, the extension problem formulated in [2, Conjecture 2] is equivalent to that of the existence of a spread of the triality quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(7, q)$, which in turn is equivalent to that of the existence of an ovoid of $\mathcal{Q}^+(7, q)$. We remark that the existence of a spread of $\mathcal{Q}^+(7, q)$ has been established in the cases when q is even or when q is odd, with q a prime or $q \equiv 0$ or $2 \pmod{3}$.

Conflict of interest statement. The author declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Italian National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures and their Applications (GNSAGA–INdAM).

References

- [1] J. ANDRÉ, Über nicht-Desarguessche Ebenen mit transitiver Translationsgruppe, *Math. Z.*, **60** (1954), 156–186.
- [2] J. BAMBERG, Y. FILMUS, F. IHRINGER, S. KURZ, Affine vector space partitions, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-023-01263-z>.
- [3] J.H. CONWAY, P.B. KLEIDMAN, R.A. WILSON, New families of ovoids in O_8^+ , *Geom. Dedicata*, **26** (1988), 157–170.
- [4] U. DEMPWOLFF, W.M. KANTOR, Orthogonal dual hyperovals, symplectic spreads, and orthogonal spreads, *J. Algebr. Comb.*, **41** (2015), no.1, 83–108.
- [5] R.H. DYE, Partitions and their stabilizers for line complexes and quadrics, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **114**, (1977), 173–194.
- [6] J.W.P. HIRSCHFELD, *Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.

- [7] J.W.P. HIRSCHFELD, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [8] J.W.P. HIRSCHFELD, J.A. THAS, *General Galois Geometries*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, London, 2016.
- [9] W.M. KANTOR, Ovoids and translation planes, *Canad. J. Math.*, **34**, (1982), 1195–1207.
- [10] W.M. KANTOR, Spreads, translation planes and Kerdock sets. I, *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.*, **3**, (1982), 151–165.
- [11] W.M. KANTOR, Spreads, translation planes and Kerdock sets. II. *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.*, **3**, (1982), 308–318.
- [12] G.E. MOORHOUSE, Ovoids from the E_8 root lattice, *Geom. Dedicata*, **46**, (1993), 287–297.
- [13] B. SEGRE, Teoria di Galois, fibrazioni proiettive e geometrie non desarguesiane, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, (4) **64** (1964), 1–76.
- [14] E.E. SHULT, A sporadic ovoid in $\Omega^+(8, 7)$, *Algebras, Groups and Geometries*, **2**, (1985), 495–513.
- [15] J.A. THAS Old and new results on spreads and ovoids of finite classical polar spaces, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, **52**, (1992), 529–544.