

WEIGHTED SUMS OF ROOTED SPANNING FORESTS ON CYCLES WITH PENDANT EDGES

HAJIME FUJITA, KIMIKO HASEGAWA, YUKIE INABA, TAKEFUMI KONDO

ABSTRACT. We derive two formulas for the weighted sums of rooted spanning forests of particular sequence of graphs by using the matrix tree theorem. We consider cycle graphs with edges so called the pendant edges. One of our formula can be described as a variable transformation of the Chebyshev polynomial. They have particular algebraic properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Counting problem of subgraphs with specific conditions is a fundamental problem in combinatorial graph theory. A typical example is the counting of *spanning forests*, and the solution is given by the famous *matrix tree theorem*. For a weighted graph the solution of the counting problem gives a polynomial as a generating function. In this paper we consider the counting problem of *rooted spanning forests* of a given graph with a fixed node set of the vertex set. In this setting the polynomial is called the *weighted sum* of rooted spanning forests.

For a finite graph with boundary, a spanning forest in which every component tree contains some boundary points is called a *grove*, and furthermore, a grove whose component tree contains exactly one boundary point is called an *uncrossing* [5]. In this paper we treat a finite graph with boundary as a finite graph with a decomposition of the vertex set into nodes and internal vertices. In our terminology the uncrossing is nothing other than the rooted spanning forest. Enumeration of such objects appear in a combinatorial description of the response matrix, or Dirichlet-to-Neumann matrix of networks, and played important roles in the study of the discrete EIT (Electrical Impedance Tomography) problem.

For any positive integer n we compute the weighted sum of a cycle graph attaching specific n edges so called n *pendant edges* (e.g., see [1]). We consider the weight with rotational invariance. As main theorems we derive formulas for the non-oriented version and the oriented version. The non-oriented version gives a polynomial obtained as a variable transformation of the Chebyshev polynomial. The oriented version gives a polynomial described by the binomial coefficients. It turns out that both of them have factorization formulas described by minimal polynomials of $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}$ and ζ_n for $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}$, and they produce families of polynomials characterized by specific properties related with compositions and divisibilities. Several algebraic properties including the factorization formula and the characterization will be discussed in the forthcoming paper [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we fix several notations and terminologies of graph theory. We also refer our main tool, the matrix tree theorem (Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.8). In Section 3 we give main theorems (Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.9), computations of the weighted sum of the cycle graph with n pendant edges. In Section 4 we exhibit the explicit computations, which possess particular algebraic properties. We also give corollaries on the concavity and unimodality of the coefficients of our weighted sums (Corollaries 4.1, Corollaries 4.2). We give

statements of algebraic properties of our weighted sums following [4] (Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.5).

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Rin Sugiyama for fruitful discussions on geometric and algebraic properties of our weighted sums.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations and terminologies. In this article we consider finite simple graphs, i.e., graphs having finite vertex set and edge set without self loops or multiple edges. For a graph \mathcal{G} let $V(\mathcal{G})$ be the vertex set and $E(\mathcal{G})$ the edge set of \mathcal{G} . We denote the edge connecting vertices v and v' by $vv' \in E(\mathcal{G})$. We may fix an arbitrarily ordering of $V(\mathcal{G})$ if necessarily. A *weight* of a given graph \mathcal{G} is a function

$$w : E(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The value $w(e)$ for an edge $e \in E(\mathcal{G})$ is called the *weight of e* . We often regard $w(e)$ as an indeterminate attached on $e \in E(\mathcal{G})$. A weighted graph is a pair (\mathcal{G}, w) consisting of a graph \mathcal{G} and its weight w . For any graph \mathcal{G} we fix a decomposition of $V(\mathcal{G})$ into two disjoint subsets $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$, which are called the *set of nodes* and the *set of internal vertices* respectively.

Definition 2.1. A *rooted spanning forest* of a graph \mathcal{G} is a subgraph \mathcal{G}' of \mathcal{G} which satisfies the following conditions.

- $V(\mathcal{G}') = V(\mathcal{G})$.
- \mathcal{G}' has no cycles.
- Each connected component of \mathcal{G}' has exactly one vertex in $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})$.

We denote the set of all rooted spanning forests of \mathcal{G} by $\text{RSF}(\mathcal{G})$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}, w)$ be a weighted graph. The *weighted sum of rooted spanning forests of \mathcal{G}* is a partition function defined by

$$Z(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{\mathcal{G}' \in \text{RSF}(\mathcal{G})} \prod_{e \in E(\mathcal{G}')} w(e).$$

For a finite set A let the symbol $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of A .

2.2. Laplacian matrix and matrix tree theorem. In this subsection let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}, w)$ be a weighted graph with a decomposition $V(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G}) \sqcup \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$.

2.2.1. Non-oriented version.

Definition 2.3 (The Laplacian matrix). Let $L(\mathcal{G}) = (L(\mathcal{G})_{vv'})$ be the matrix whose entries are indexed by $V \times V$ and defined as

$$L(\mathcal{G})_{vv'} = \begin{cases} -w(vv') & (v \neq v' \text{ and } vv' \in E(\mathcal{G})) \\ 0 & (v \neq v' \text{ and } vv' \notin E(\mathcal{G})) \\ \sum_{vv'' \in E(\mathcal{G})} w(vv'') & (v = v'). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4 (The matrix tree theorem,[10]). *If the node set consists of a single vertex, then we have*

$$Z(\mathcal{G}) = (-1)^{i+j} \det(L_{(v_i, v_j)}(\mathcal{G})),$$

where $L_{(v_i, v_j)}(\mathcal{G})$ is the $(|V(\mathcal{G})| - 1) \times (|V(\mathcal{G})| - 1)$ matrix obtained by deleting a row vector corresponding to i -th vertex v_i and a column vector corresponding to j -th vertex v_j from the Laplacian matrix $L(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} .

2.2.2. *Oriented version.* We fix an orientation \mathfrak{o} of the edge set $E(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathcal{G}^\circ = (V(\mathcal{G}), E^\circ(\mathcal{G}))$ be the associated oriented graph. We denote the oriented edge from v to v' by $\overrightarrow{vv'} \in E^\circ(\mathcal{G})$.

Definition 2.5 (The oriented Laplacian matrix). Let $L^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ) = (L^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)_{vv'})$ be the matrix whose entries are indexed by $V \times V$ and defined as

$$L^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)_{vv'} = \begin{cases} -w(\overrightarrow{vv'}) & (v \neq v' \text{ and } \overrightarrow{vv'} \in E^\circ(\mathcal{G})) \\ 0 & (v \neq v' \text{ and } \overrightarrow{vv'} \notin E^\circ(\mathcal{G})) \\ \sum_{\overrightarrow{v''v} \in E^\circ(\mathcal{G}^\circ)} w(\overrightarrow{v''v}) & (v = v'). \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6 (The oriented rooted spanning forest). An *oriented rooted spanning forest* of the oriented graph \mathcal{G}° is a rooted spanning forest of \mathcal{G} whose connected component has the outward orientation starting from the node. Let $\text{RSF}^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)$ be the set of all oriented rooted spanning forests of \mathcal{G}° .

Definition 2.7 (The weighted sum of oriented rooted spanning forest). The *weighted sum of oriented rooted spanning forests of \mathcal{G}°* is a partition function defined by

$$Z^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ) = \sum_{\mathcal{G}' \in \text{RSF}^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)} \prod_{\vec{e} \in E(\mathcal{G}')} w(\vec{e}).$$

Theorem 2.8 (The oriented matrix tree theorem,[7,8]). *If the node set consists of a single vertex $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G}) = \{v_j\}$, then we have*

$$Z^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ) = (-1)^{i+j} \det(L_{(v_i v_j)}^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)),$$

where $L_{(v_i v_j)}^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)$ is the $(|V(\mathcal{G})| - 1) \times (|V(\mathcal{G})| - 1)$ matrix obtained by deleting a row vector corresponding to i -th vertex v_i and the column vector corresponding to the node v_j from the Laplacian matrix $L^{\text{ori}}(\mathcal{G}^\circ)$ of \mathcal{G}° .

3. MAIN THEOREMS : THE WEIGHTED SUM OF CYCLES WITH n -PENDANT EDGES

Let n be a positive integer and C_n the cycle graph with n vertices

$$V(C_n) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

and n edges

$$E(C_n) = \{e_1 = v_1 v_2, e_2 = v_2 v_3, \dots, e_{n-1} = v_{n-1} v_n, e_n = v_n v_1\}.$$

Definition 3.1. Let $V'_n = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$ be a copy of $V(C_n)$. We define the *cycle with n pendant edges \mathcal{G}_n* by the following vertex set and the edge set.

$$V(\mathcal{G}_n) = V(C_n) \cup V'_n,$$



$$E(\mathcal{G}_n) = E(C_n) \cup \{e'_i = v_i v'_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

We define the nodes of \mathcal{G}_n by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G}_n) = V'_n$. In Figure 1 and Figure 2 a node is depicted as a black circle and an internal vertex is depicted as white circle.

Unless otherwise stated we use a weight w of the cycle with n pendant edges of the form

$$w(e'_i) = a, \quad w(e_i) = b \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

for two indeterminate a and b .

FIGURE 1. \mathcal{G}_5 FIGURE 2. \mathcal{G}_6

Remark 3.2. A vertex of degree 1 is often called a *pendant vertex*, and the cycle with n -pendant edges is also called the *sun let graph with n pendant vertices*. See [1] or [9] for example.

3.1. The non-oriented case. In our setting the weighted sum of \mathcal{G}_n is a two variable polynomial in a and b :

$$F_n(a, b) := Z(\mathcal{G}_n).$$

It can be seen that $F_n(a, b)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n with $F_n(0, b) = 0$. Our main result is the explicit description of $F_n(a, b)$ using the *Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind*.

Definition 3.3. For each positive integer n the *Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind* is a polynomial $T_n(x)$ satisfying the condition

$$T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos(n\theta)$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.4. *The Chebyshev polynomial $T_n(x)$ exists uniquely and can be computed by the recursive formula*

$$\begin{cases} T_1(x) = x \\ T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1 \\ T_{n+2}(x) = 2xT_{n+1}(x) - T_n(x) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots,). \end{cases}$$

The following is the main theorem.

Theorem 3.5. $F_n(a, b) = 2b^n \left(T_n \left(\frac{a}{2b} + 1 \right) - 1 \right)$

To show Theorem 3.5 we introduce two lemmas below.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}, w)$ be a weighted graph with a decomposition $V(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G}) \sqcup \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G})$ be the $(|\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G})| - |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})|) \times (|\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G})| - |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})|)$ submatrix of the Laplacian matrix $L(\mathcal{G})$ corresponding to the internal vertices $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$. We have*

$$\det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{G}).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}' be the graph obtained from \mathcal{G} by identifying all nodes. Note that \mathcal{G}' has only one node v_0 . One can see that there is a natural bijective between $\text{RSF}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\text{RSF}(\mathcal{G}')$. In particular we have $Z(\mathcal{G}) = Z(\mathcal{G}')$. The Laplacian $L(\mathcal{G}')$ is a $(|\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})| + 1) \times (|\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})| + 1)$ matrix of the form

$$L(\mathcal{G}') = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By taking $L_{(v_0 v_0)}(\mathcal{G}') = L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G})$ we have

$$Z(\mathcal{G}) = Z(\mathcal{G}') = \det(L_{(v_0 v_0)}(\mathcal{G}')) = \det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}))$$

by Theorem 2.4. □

Remark 3.7. Lemma 3.6 is referred in [10, Theorem(Excesice) 6.1]. This technique “identifying all nodes” in the proof of Lemma 3.6 is also used in [6, Lemma 4.3.1].

Lemma 3.8. *For a cycle with n pendant edges \mathcal{G}_n we have*

$$\det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}_n)) = 2b^n \left(T_n \left(\frac{a}{2b} + 1 \right) - 1 \right).$$

Proof. We first see that

$$L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a+2b & -b & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -b \\ -b & a+2b & -b & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b & a+2b & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a+2b & -b \\ -b & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -b & a+2b \end{pmatrix} = bL(C_n) + aE,$$

where C_n is the cycle graph with $\mathcal{N}(C_n) = \emptyset$ and equipped with the constant weight $w \equiv 1$. Then we have

$$\det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}_n)) = \det(bL(C_n) + aE) = b^n \det \left(L(C_n) + \frac{a}{b}E \right).$$

As it is stated in [3, Section 1.4.3], one can see that eigenvalues of $L(C_n)$ are

$$2 - 2 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

On the other hand the definition $T_n(x) = T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos(n\theta)$ shows that the roots of $T_n(x) - 1 = 0$ are

$$\cos \left(\frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1),$$

and hence, two polynomials $\det(L(C_n) + xE)$ and $T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1 \right) - 1$ have the same roots. Since the coefficient of x^n in $T_n(x)$ is 2^{n-1} one has

$$T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1 \right) - 1 = 2^{n-1} \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1 \right)^n + \cdots = \frac{1}{2} x^n + \cdots.$$

It implies that $\det(L(C_n) + xE) = 2 \left(T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1 \right) - 1 \right)$, and hence, we have

$$\det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}_n)) = b^n \det \left(\Delta(C_n) + \frac{a}{b}E \right) = 2b^n \left(T_n \left(\frac{a}{2b} + 1 \right) - 1 \right).$$



□

Proof of Theorem 3.5. By using Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8 we have

$$F_n(a, b) = Z(\mathcal{G}_n) = \det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}_n)) = 2b^n \left(T_n \left(\frac{a}{2b} + 1 \right) - 1 \right).$$

□

3.2. The oriented case. For each n we fix an orientation \mathfrak{o} of \mathcal{G}_n as follows. We fix the counter clockwise orientation of edges in C_n and the orientation of pendant edges in such a way that $\overrightarrow{v'_i v_i} \in E^{\mathfrak{o}}$. See Figure 3 and Figure 4

FIGURE 3. $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_5$ FIGURE 4. $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_6$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ be the weighted oriented graph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n = (\mathcal{G}_n^{\mathfrak{o}}, w)$. We put

$$\tilde{F}_n(a, b) := Z^{\text{ori}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n).$$

Theorem 3.9. $\tilde{F}_n(a, b) = (a + b)^n - b^n$.

Proof. Consider the oriented weighted graph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}'_n$ by identifying all nodes in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$. We see that

$$L^{\text{ori}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}'_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a & -a & -a & \cdots & -a \\ 0 & a+b & -b & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a+b & -b & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & -b & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a+b \end{pmatrix},$$

and we use the oriented version of Lemma 3.6 so that we have

$$\tilde{F}_n(a, b) = Z^{\text{ori}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n) = Z^{\text{ori}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}'_n) = \det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n)),$$

where

$$L_{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a+b & -b & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a+b & -b & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -b & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a+b \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the direct computation we have

$$\tilde{F}_n(a, b) = \det(L_{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n)) = (a+b)^n - b^n.$$

□

Remark 3.10. Figure 5 is an example of the oriented rooted spanning forests, and Figure 6 is not. Theorem 3.9 can be obtained by a purely combinatorial argument without using the matrix tree theorem. In fact one can count that the number of oriented rooted spanning forests with $k(=0, \dots, n-1)$ internal edges is equal to the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$, and hence, the formula

$$\tilde{F}_n(a, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k = (a+b)^n - b^n$$

holds.




FIGURE 5. an oriented rooted spanning forest




FIGURE 6. not an oriented rooted spanning forest

4. COROLLARIES AND FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

In this section we specialize $F_n(a, b)$ as

$$F_n(x) := F_n(x, 1) = 2 \left(T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1 \right) - 1 \right).$$

One can recover $F_n(a, b)$ from $F_n(x)$ by

$$F_n(a, b) = b^n F_n \left(\frac{a}{b} \right).$$

Theorem 3.5 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *The polynomial $c_n(x)$ defined by*

$$c_n(x) := \begin{cases} x & (n : \text{odd}) \\ x(x+4) & (n : \text{even}). \end{cases}$$

is a divisor of $F_n(x)$.

Proof. We have

$$F_n(0) = F_n(0, 1) = 0$$

for all n , and if n is even, then by using Theorem 3.5 we have

$$F_n(-4) = 2^n (T_n(-1) - 1) = 2^n (\cos(n\pi) - 1) = 0.$$

□

We also introduce the polynomial

$$\tilde{F}_n(x) = \tilde{F}_n(x, 1) = (x+1)^n - 1.$$

One can see that $\tilde{F}_n(x)$ has two real roots $x = 0, -2$ when n is even and one real root $x = 0$ when n is odd. In contrast we have shown in the proof of Lemma 3.8 that all roots of $F_n(x)$ are real roots

$$\omega_k := \zeta_n^k + \zeta_n^{-k} - 2 = 2 \left(\cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} - 1 \right) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

We have the following corollary by [2, Lemma 1.1].

Corollary 4.2. *Let α_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) be the coefficient of x^j in $F_n(x)$. The sequence $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ is log-concave, i.e., the inequality*

$$\alpha_j^2 \geq \alpha_{j-1} \alpha_{j+1}$$

holds for all $j = 2, \dots, n-1$. In particular they are unimodal, i.e., there exists $j' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that


$$\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{j'-1} \leq \alpha_{j'} \geq \alpha_{j'+1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$$

holds.

Remark 4.3. The roots ω_k of $F_n(x) = 0$ are the real parts of $2(\zeta_n^k - 1)$ for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, which can be illustrated as shown in Figure 7 together with the circle and its inscribed n -gon.

We have the following explicit computations, and these results suggest more detailed factorization of $F_n(x)$.

- (1) $F_1(x) = x$
- (2) $F_2(x) = x^2 + 4x = x(x+4)$
- (3) $F_3(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 9x = x(x+3)^2$
- (4) $F_4(x) = x^4 + 8x^3 + 20x^2 + 16x = x(x+2)^2(x+4)$
- (5) $F_5(x) = x^5 + 10x^4 + 35x^3 + 50x^2 + 25x = x(x^2 + 5x + 5)^2$
- (6) $F_6(x) = x^6 + 12x^5 + 54x^4 + 112x^3 + 105x^2 + 36x = x(x+1)^2(x+3)^2(x+4)$


 FIGURE 7. Configurations of roots of $F_n(x)$

- (7) $F_7(x) = x^7 + 14x^6 + 77x^5 + 210x^4 + 294x^3 + 196x^2 + 49x$
 $= x(x^3 + 7x^2 + 14x + 7)^2$
- (8) $F_8(x) = x^8 + 16x^7 + 104x^6 + 352x^5 + 660x^4 + 672x^3 + 336x^2 + 64x$
 $= x(x+2)^2(x+4)(x^2+4x+2)^2$
- (9) $F_9(x) = x^9 + 18x^8 + 135x^7 + 546x^6 + 1287x^5 + 1782x^4 + 1386x^3 + 540x^2 + 81x$
 $= x(x+3)^2(x^3+6x^2+9x+3)^2$
- (10) $F_{10}(x) = x^{10} + 20x^9 + 170x^8 + 800x^7 + 2275x^6 + 4004x^5$
 $+ 4290x^4 + 2640x^3 + 825x^2 + 100x$
 $= x(x+4)(x^2+3x+1)^2(x^2+5x+5)^2$
- (11) $F_{11}(x) = x^{11} + 22x^{10} + 209x^9 + 1122x^8 + 3740x^7 + 8008x^6 + 11011x^5$
 $+ 9438x^4 + 4719x^3 + 1210x^2 + 121x$
 $= x(x^5 + 11x^4 + 44x^3 + 77x^2 + 55x + 11)^2$
- (12) $F_{12}(x) = x^{12} + 24x^{11} + 252x^{10} + 1520x^9 + 5814x^8 + 14688x^7 + 24752x^6$
 $+ 27456x^5 + 19305x^4 + 8008x^3 + 1716x^2 + 144x$
 $= x(x+1)^2(x+2)^2(x+3)^2(x+4)(x^2+4x+1)^2$

In fact the factorizations of $\tilde{F}_n(x)$ and $F_n(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ into irreducible polynomials are shown in [4]. To explain divisors of $\tilde{F}_n(x)$ and $F_n(x)$ we introduce auxiliary polynomials as follows. Let $\Phi_n(x)$ be the n -th cyclotomic polynomial, i.e., the minimal polynomial of $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}$. Let $\Psi_n(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{n}$.

Theorem 4.4 ([4]). *We have the following factorizations of $F_n(x)$ and $\tilde{F}_n(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.*

$$\tilde{F}_n(x) = \prod_{k|n} \Phi_k(x+1), \quad F_n(x) = c_n(x) \prod_{k|n, k>2} (\Psi_k(x+2))^2.$$

The above explicit computations include several divisibility, such as

$$F_3(x)|F_{12}(x), \quad F_4(x)|F_8(x), \quad F_5(x)|F_{10}(x), \dots$$

By using Theorem 4.4, the following algebraic characterization of $F_n(x)$ and $\tilde{F}_n(x)$ including the divisibilities is shown.

Theorem 4.5 ([4]). *Suppose that a sequence of polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ of integer coefficients satisfies the following conditions for all $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$*

- (1) $\deg(P_n(x)) = n$
- (2) $P_n(x)$ is monic.

$$(3) P_n(P_m(x)) = P_{nm}(x) = P_m(P_n(x))$$

$$(4) P_n(x) | P_m(x) \iff n|m$$

Then we have $P_n(x) = F_n(x)$ or $\tilde{F}_n(x)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

More detailed algebraic properties will be shown in [4], and graph theoretical interpretation of the divisibility will be discussed in the subsequent papers.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Barrientos, *Graceful graphs with pendant edges*, Australas. J. Combin. **33** (2005), 99–107.
- [2] P. Brändén, *Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond*, Handbook of enumerative combinatorics, 2015, pp. 437–483.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer and W. H. Haemers, *Spectra of graphs*, New York: Springer, 2012.
- [4] K. Hasegawa and R. Sugiyama, *Division properties of commuting polynomials*, in preparation.
- [5] R. Kenyon, *The Laplacian on planar graphs and graphs on surfaces*, Current developments in mathematics, 2011, 2012, pp. 1–55.
- [6] R. W. Kenyon and D. B. Wilson, *Boundary partitions in trees and dimers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 3, 1325–1364.
- [7] K. Takasaki, *Senkei-daisu to kazoeage* (Japanese), Nippon Hyoron Sha (2012).
- [8] W. T. Tutte, *The dissection of equilateral triangles into equilateral triangles* **44** (1948), no. 4, 463–482.
- [9] J. Vernold Vivin and M. Vekatachalam, *On b-chromatic number of sun let graph and wheel graph families*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **23** (2015), no. 2, 215–218.
- [10] D. G. Wagner, *Combinatorics of electrical networks*, Lecture notes, University of Waterloo, 2009.

(H. Fujita) JAPAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
 Email address: `fujitah@fc.jwu.ac.jp`

(K. Hasegawa) JAPAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
 Email address: `m1716072hk@ug.jwu.ac.jp`

(Y. Inaba) JAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

(T. Kondo) KAGOSHIMA UNIVERSITY
 Email address: `takefumi@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp`