

Quasi Regular Functions in Quaternionic Analysis

Igor Frenkel and Matvei Libine

February 13, 2024

Abstract

We study a new class of functions that arise naturally in quaternionic analysis, we call them “quasi regular functions”. Like the well-known quaternionic regular functions, these functions provide representations of the quaternionic conformal group. However, unlike the regular functions, the quasi regular ones do not admit an invariant unitary structure but rather a pseudounitary equivalent. The reproducing kernels of these functions have an especially simple form: $(Z - W)^{-1}$. We describe the K -type bases of quasi regular functions and derive the reproducing kernel expansions. We also show that the restrictions of the irreducible representations formed from the quasi regular functions to the Poincaré group have three irreducible components.

Our interest in the quasi regular functions arises from an application to the study of conformal-invariant algebras of quaternionic functions. We also introduce a factorization of certain intertwining operators between tensor products of spaces of quaternionic functions. This factorization is obtained using fermionic Fock spaces constructed from the quasi regular functions.

1 Introduction

Quaternionic analysis begins with the concepts of left and right regular functions, that is, functions on the space of quaternions \mathbb{H} satisfying respectively

$$\nabla^+ f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^0} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^1} + j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^2} + k \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^3} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$g \nabla^+ = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^0} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^1} i + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^2} j + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^3} k = 0, \quad (2)$$

and the quaternionic counterpart of the Cauchy’s integral formula for such functions:

$$f(Z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} \frac{(Z - Z_0)^{-1}}{\det(Z - Z_0)} \cdot Dz \cdot f(Z),$$

$$g(Z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} g(Z) \cdot Dz \cdot \frac{(Z - Z_0)^{-1}}{\det(Z - Z_0)}, \quad \forall Z_0 \in U,$$

where $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a bounded set and the determinant is taken in the standard realization of \mathbb{H} as 2×2 complex matrices. (These are called the Cauchy-Fueter formulas.) In the original setup, the functions f and g were quaternionic valued, but we can consider regular functions with values in the two-dimensional left and right \mathbb{H} -modules that we denote by \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{S}' respectively. We denote by \mathcal{V} the space of left regular Laurent polynomials on \mathbb{H}^\times with values in \mathbb{S} . Similarly, we denote by \mathcal{V}' the space of right regular Laurent polynomials on \mathbb{H}^\times with values in \mathbb{S}' .

In our first paper [FL1] we suggested a quaternionic counterpart of the Cauchy’s formula for the second order pole. We found out that the role of the derivative in the classical complex

analysis formula

$$\frac{df}{dz}(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z) dz}{(z - z_0)^2}$$

in the quaternionic setting is played by a certain second order differential operator (“Maxwell operator”)

$$\text{Mx} : (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\rho_2, \mathcal{W}), \quad \text{Mx} f = \nabla f \nabla - \square f^+,$$

where (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) and (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') are two modules over the conformal Lie algebra consisting of the space of quaternionic valued¹ Laurent polynomials on \mathbb{H}^\times

$$\mathbb{H}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, (\det Z)^{-1}]$$

with the Lie algebra actions obtained by differentiating the natural actions of the conformal group:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(h) : F(Z) &\mapsto (\rho_2(h)F)(Z) = \frac{(cZ + d)^{-1}}{\det(cZ + d)} \cdot F((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{(a' - Zc')^{-1}}{\det(a' - Zc')}, \\ \rho'_2(h) : G(Z) &\mapsto (\rho'_2(h)G)(Z) = \frac{a' - Zc'}{\det(a' - Zc')} \cdot G((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{cZ + d}{\det(cZ + d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F, G \in \mathbb{H}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, (\det Z)^{-1}]$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H})$ and $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. This operator Mx has a remarkable property that its kernel consists of the solutions of the Maxwell equations in the Euclidean signature. Moreover, the Maxwell operator intertwines the actions ρ'_2 and ρ_2 . Additionally, (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) and (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') are dual to each other, with the invariant bilinear product expressible as an integral over a four-dimensional contour (Subsection 4.2 in [FL1]).

It has been known for a long time that the spaces of left and right regular functions \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' are conformally invariant. The conformal group acts on \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_l(h) : f(Z) &\mapsto (\pi_l(h)f)(Z) = \frac{(cZ + d)^{-1}}{\det(cZ + d)} \cdot f((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}), \\ \pi_r(h) : g(Z) &\mapsto (\pi_r(h)g)(Z) = g((a' - Zc')^{-1}(-b' + Zd')) \cdot \frac{(a' - Zc')^{-1}}{\det(a' - Zc')}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{V}$, $g \in \mathcal{V}'$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H})$ and $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. The representation (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) can be regarded as the product of the representations (π_l, \mathcal{V}) and (π_r, \mathcal{V}') . More precisely, the multiplication map

$$(\pi_l, \mathcal{V}) \otimes (\pi_r, \mathcal{V}') \rightarrow (\rho_2, \mathcal{W}), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg,$$

is an intertwining operator. The duality between (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) and (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') suggests that there should be an analogous factorization for (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') , namely that there should be some natural representations π'_l and π'_r of the conformal group in the spaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' of \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{S}' valued functions such that the multiplication map

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}) \otimes (\pi'_r, \mathcal{U}') \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg, \quad (3)$$

is an intertwining operator. It turns out that such spaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' indeed exist and their study is the main subject of this paper. First of all, the intertwining operator (3) suggests the following actions of the conformal group:

$$(\pi'_l(h)f)(Z) = \frac{a' - Zc'}{\det(a' - Zc')} \cdot f((a' - Zc')^{-1}(-b' + Zd')), \quad (4)$$

$$(\pi'_r(h)g)(Z) = g((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{cZ + d}{\det(cZ + d)}, \quad (5)$$

¹Strictly speaking, we need to complexify this space: $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, (\det Z)^{-1}]$, as stated in Subsection 8.1.

where $h = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H})$ and $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. These actions preserve the spaces of what we call the left and right quasi anti regular functions with values in \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{S}' , that is, functions satisfying respectively

$$\nabla \square f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0,$$

where

$$\square = \nabla \nabla^+ = \nabla^+ \nabla = \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial z^0)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial z^1)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial z^2)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial z^3)^2}.$$

Note that the requirement that (3) is an intertwining operator and the actions (4)-(5) dictate that we use quasi anti regular functions (functions annihilated by $\nabla \square$). If one wants to consider quasi regular functions (functions annihilated by $\nabla^+ \square$), these can be obtained by applying quaternionic conjugation.

It turns out that the quasi regular functions have already been studied, and an analogue of the Cauchy-Fueter formulas for these functions is known [LR]. Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be an open bounded set with (piecewise) smooth boundary ∂U , and let \vec{n} denote the outward pointing normal unit vector to ∂U , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} \frac{Z - Z_0}{\det(Z - Z_0)^2} \cdot (Dz)^+ \cdot f(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \frac{Z - Z_0}{\det(Z - Z_0)} \cdot \nabla f(Z) dS \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} \frac{Z - Z_0}{\det(Z - Z_0)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \nabla f(Z) dS, \\ g(Z_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} g(Z) \cdot (Dz)^+ \cdot \frac{Z - Z_0}{\det(Z - Z_0)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} (g \overleftarrow{\nabla})(Z) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \frac{Z - Z_0}{\det(Z - Z_0)} dS \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{Z \in \partial U} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} (g \overleftarrow{\nabla})(Z) \cdot \frac{Z - Z_0}{\det(Z - Z_0)} dS, \end{aligned}$$

where f (respectively g) is a quasi left (respectively right) anti regular function and $Z_0 \in U$.

We prove that the spaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' of left and right (anti) regular Laurent polynomial functions on \mathbb{H}^\times are invariant under the action of the conformal Lie algebra. As in the case of the regular functions, we obtain a decomposition into irreducible representations

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U}'^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}'^-.$$

We show that, unlike \mathcal{V}^\pm and \mathcal{V}'^\pm , these representations do not have $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant unitary structures, but they still admit invariant pseudounitary forms. It is a well-known fact that the restrictions of the representations \mathcal{V}^\pm , \mathcal{V}'^\pm to the Poincaré group remain irreducible [MT]. In the case of the representations \mathcal{U}^\pm , \mathcal{U}'^\pm it is no longer true, but we still obtain a sufficiently simple decomposition of these representations into three irreducible components with respect to the Poincaré group.

We describe the K -type bases of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' , then decompose the reproducing kernel with respect to these bases. These kernel expansions are quasi regular analogues of the matrix coefficient expansions of the Cauchy-Fueter kernel

$$\frac{(Z - W)^{-1}}{\det(Z - W)}, \quad Z, W \in \mathbb{H},$$

given in [FL1]. But now the reproducing kernel has an especially simple form – it is the quaternionic conjugate of

$$(Z - W)^{-1}, \quad Z, W \in \mathbb{H},$$

and looks exactly like its complex counterpart! As in some of our previous papers, we use extensively the K -type decompositions of the spaces of regular and quasi regular functions as well as the spaces \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' of quaternionic valued functions. They all should be regarded as quaternionic analogues of the spaces of Laurent polynomials, though the algebra structure of \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' is much less straightforward. In any case, the matrix coefficients $t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z)$ of $SU(2)$ extended to the quaternions are a very convenient substitute for the Laurent monomials.

In our first paper [FL1], studying regular quaternionic functions also led us to analysis of harmonic functions on the space of quaternions; such harmonic functions can be regarded as a scalar analogue of regular functions. Similarly, the quasi regular functions have a natural scalar analogue. This analogue could be called quasi harmonic functions, but already has a name of biharmonic functions and has been studied by Fueter [Fu] and others. The biharmonic functions also appeared in our study of the composition series of the spaces \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' [FL3]. In this paper we study the spaces of biharmonic functions as scalar versions of quasi regular functions. In particular, we study their K -types and the invariant bilinear pairing. Like the spaces of quasi regular functions, the spaces of biharmonic functions do not have $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant unitary structures, but instead they admit invariant pseudounitary structures.

One of the main reasons for our interest in quasi regular functions is their relation to conformal-invariant quaternionic algebra structures. The reproducing kernel for the quasi regular functions allows us to define intertwining operators for the tensor powers of \mathcal{W} , such as

$$\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by

$$F \otimes G \otimes H \mapsto \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3}\right)^3 \iiint_{\substack{Z_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ Z_2 \in U(2)_{R_2} \\ Z_3 \in U(2)_{R_3}}} \text{Tr} \left[F(Z_1) \cdot \frac{1}{(Z_1 - Z_2)^+} \cdot G(Z_2) \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{1}{(Z_2 - Z_3)^+} \cdot H(Z_3) \cdot \frac{1}{(Z_3 - Z_1)^+} \right] dV_{Z_1} dV_{Z_2} dV_{Z_3}, \quad (6)$$

where the contours of integration $U(2)_{R_i} = \{Z \in \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}; ZZ^* = R_i^2\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, can be arranged in any of the six possible ways. Similar intertwiners exist for \mathcal{W}' as well as $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, (\det Z)^{-1}]$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the kernel for } \mathcal{W}' \text{ is } & \frac{(Z - W)^{-1}}{\det(Z - W)} \text{ of order } -3, \text{ and} \\ \text{the kernel for } \mathcal{K} \text{ is } & \frac{1}{\det(Z - W)} \text{ of order } -2. \end{aligned}$$

These intertwiners are closely related to the definition of multiplication operations on \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' that we constructed in [FL3]. However, in order to construct nontrivial multiplications on \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' that intertwine the conformal group actions, one needs more involved cycles of integration than those in (6). Furthermore, we believe that a certain multiplication on \mathcal{W} based on the simplest kernel $(Z - W)^{-1}$ of order -1 is the best candidate for a quaternionic algebra of functions.

The integrals such as (6) also appear as correlation functions in Clifford algebra (or fermionic) representations of central extensions of loop algebras, where the reproducing kernel is $(z - w)^{-1}$,

$z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. One can repeat some steps of this construction for the Clifford algebras based on \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' and also \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' . This will yield factorizations of the intertwiners for \mathcal{W}' and \mathcal{W} respectively. The first one – based on \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' – is a version of the second quantization of the massless Dirac equation. The second one – based on \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' – uses a non-unitary structure and appears to be new. We hope that it can be used for a construction of a representation of a quaternionic algebra based on \mathcal{W} . In this paper we study the intertwining operators from $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$ to \mathcal{W}' and the dual intertwining operators from \mathcal{W} to a certain holomorphic completion of $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$ in detail.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the space of biharmonic functions, which can be viewed as a scalar counterpart of quasi (anti) regular functions. We derive the reproducing formulas (Theorem 11), invariant forms (Proposition 7 and Theorem 12) and the K -types (Proposition 4). In Section 3 we begin to study the spaces of quasi anti regular functions in detail. We first consider the conformal transformations (Theorem 16) and then produce various examples of quasi anti regular functions (Corollary 22). We conclude this section with the reproducing formula (Theorem 23) originally derived in a more general context in [LR]. In Section 4 we study the K -type basis of the spaces of quasi anti regular functions (Propositions 28, 29, 31) and the action of conformal algebra in this basis. We also show that the spaces quasi (anti) regular functions \mathcal{U} and its dual \mathcal{U}' decompose into two irreducible components each: $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}^-$ and $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U}'^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}'^-$ (Proposition 33). Then in Section 5 we consider how these irreducible components \mathcal{U}^\pm and \mathcal{U}'^\pm decompose further after restricting to various subgroups of the conformal group. In particular, we analyze the case of the Poincaré group and establish that each of the $\mathcal{U}^\pm, \mathcal{U}'^\pm$ decomposes into three simple components that can be nicely described using basic differential operators (Theorem 35). In Section 6 we construct an invariant bilinear pairing between \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' (Proposition 47), then derive invariant pseudounitary structures on these spaces (Theorem 49). Section 7 is dedicated to the expansion of the reproducing kernel for the quasi (anti) regular functions (Theorem 51). In Section 8 we study the fundamental intertwining operators (46) from the space of quaternionic functions \mathcal{W} to the tensor product of the spaces of quasi anti regular functions $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$ (Theorems 57 and 61). In particular, we evaluate the intertwining map (46) on an element $N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+$ (Proposition 59). This element $N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+$ spans the trivial one-dimensional component of \mathcal{W} and generates a larger indecomposable subrepresentation of \mathcal{W} that we explicitly describe. Section 9 is dedicated to the study of the conformal-invariant algebra structures on the spaces of quaternionic functions \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' using the theory of regular and quasi regular functions developed before. We start with a more tractable example of scalar quaternionic functions \mathcal{K} (Theorem 62), then proceed to the main examples, namely the spaces \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' . Finally, in Section 10 we introduce a factorization of intertwining operators between the tensor products of the spaces of quaternionic functions \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' . We use a fermionic Fock space representation of an infinite dimensional Clifford algebra associated with the spaces of regular functions $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}'$ for \mathcal{W}' and quasi regular functions $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}'$ for \mathcal{W} .

I. Frenkel thanks Toshiyuki Kobayashi for discussion and correspondence on constructions presented in Subsection 9.1.

2 Biharmonic Functions

2.1 Definitions and Conformal Properties

Biharmonic functions in the context of quaternionic analysis were studied by Fueter [Fu]. In this subsection, we briefly summarize the results on biharmonic functions given in [FL3].

We continue to use notations established in [FL1, FL2, FL3] and direct the reader to Section 2 of [FL2] for a summary. In particular, e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 denote the units of the classical quaternions

\mathbb{H} corresponding to the more familiar $1, i, j, k$ (we reserve the symbol i for $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$). Thus \mathbb{H} is an algebra over \mathbb{R} generated by e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 , and the multiplicative structure is determined by the rules

$$e_0 e_i = e_i e_0 = e_i, \quad (e_i)^2 = e_1 e_2 e_3 = -e_0, \quad e_i e_j = -e_i e_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3,$$

and the fact that \mathbb{H} is a division ring. Next we consider the algebra of complexified quaternions (also known as biquaternions) $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}$ and write elements of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$Z = z^0 e_0 + z^1 e_1 + z^2 e_2 + z^3 e_3, \quad z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3 \in \mathbb{C},$$

so that $Z \in \mathbb{H}$ if and only if $z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3 \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathbb{H} = \{X = x^0 e_0 + x^1 e_1 + x^2 e_2 + x^3 e_3; x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

As an algebra, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ can naturally be identified with 2×2 matrices with complex entries, and we frequently use this identification. We denote by \mathbb{S} (respectively \mathbb{S}') the irreducible 2-dimensional left (respectively right) $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module, as described in Subsection 2.3 of [FL1]. The spaces \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{S}' can be realized as respectively columns and rows of complex numbers. Then

$$\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}' \simeq \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Recall the differential operators

$$\nabla^+ = e_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla = e_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \quad (8)$$

$$\square = \nabla \nabla^+ = \nabla^+ \nabla = \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x^0)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x^1)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x^2)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x^3)^2}. \quad (9)$$

We frequently use the matrix coefficients $t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z)$'s of $SU(2)$ described by equation (27) of [FL1] (cf. [V]):

$$t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (sz_{11} + z_{21})^{l-m} (sz_{12} + z_{22})^{l+m} s^{-l+n} \frac{ds}{s}, \quad \begin{array}{l} l=0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z} + l, \\ -l \leq m, n \leq l, \end{array} \quad (10)$$

where $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the integral is taken over a loop in \mathbb{C} going once around the origin in the counterclockwise direction. We regard these functions as polynomials on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and we frequently use them to construct K -type bases of various spaces as in, for example, [FL1], [FL2].

We consider a space

$$\mathbb{III}' = \{\mathbb{C}\text{-valued polynomial functions on } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}\} = \mathbb{C}[z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22}, N(Z)^{-1}].$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ acts on this space by differentiating the following group action:

$$\rho'(h) : f(Z) \mapsto (\rho'(h)f)(Z) = f((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}), \quad f \in \mathbb{III}',$$

$h \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. The space of biharmonic functions is a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant subspace of (\mathbb{III}', ρ') . We have a description of biharmonic functions in terms of harmonic ones.

Proposition 1 (Proposition 34 in [FL3]). *We have:*

$$\{f \in \mathcal{H}' ; (\square \circ \square)f = 0\} = \mathcal{BH}^+ + \mathcal{BH}^-,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BH}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z); 0 \leq k \leq 1\}, \\ \mathcal{BH}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z); -1 \leq 2l + k \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, a function $f \in \mathcal{H}'$ is biharmonic if and only if it can be written as

$$f(Z) = h_0(Z) + h_1(Z) \cdot N(Z)$$

with h_0 and h_1 harmonic.

We introduce a notation for the space of biharmonic functions:

$$\mathcal{BH} = \mathcal{BH}^+ + \mathcal{BH}^- = \{f \in \mathcal{H}' ; (\square \circ \square)f = 0\}.$$

Theorem 32 in [FL3] describes all the $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant subspaces of (ρ', \mathcal{H}') . As an immediate consequence, we obtain the invariant subspaces of the space of biharmonic functions into irreducible components:

Theorem 2. *The only proper $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant subspaces of the space of biharmonic functions (ρ', \mathcal{BH}) are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}'_0 &= \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^0 \cdot t_{0\underline{0}}^0(Z)\}, \\ \mathcal{BH}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z); 0 \leq k \leq 1\}, \\ \mathcal{BH}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z); -1 \leq 2l + k \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The irreducible components of (ρ', \mathcal{BH}) are the trivial subrepresentation (ρ', \mathcal{I}'_0) and the quotients

$$(\rho', \mathcal{BH}^+ / \mathcal{I}'_0), \quad (\rho', \mathcal{BH}^- / \mathcal{I}'_0).$$

2.2 K -Type Basis of Biharmonic Functions

Using notations

$$\partial = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{11} & \partial_{21} \\ \partial_{12} & \partial_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla, \quad \partial^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{22} & -\partial_{21} \\ -\partial_{12} & \partial_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^+, \quad \partial_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}},$$

we can describe the Lie algebra action on \mathcal{BH} (and \mathcal{H}'):

Lemma 3 (Lemma 24 in [FL3]). *The Lie algebra action ρ' of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ on \mathcal{BH} is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &: f(Z) \mapsto -\text{Tr}(AZ\partial)f, \\ \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &: f(Z) \mapsto -\text{Tr}(B\partial)f, \\ \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} &: f(Z) \mapsto \text{Tr}(CZ\partial)f, \\ \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} &: f(Z) \mapsto \text{Tr}(ZD\partial)f. \end{aligned}$$

We realize $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ as diagonal elements of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$:

$$SU(2) \times SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}); a, d \in \mathbb{H}, N(a) = N(d) = 1 \right\}.$$

Similarly, we realize $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as diagonal elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$:

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}); A, D \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(A) = \operatorname{Re}(D) = 0 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

From Proposition 1 we obtain a description of the K -types of (ρ', \mathcal{BH}) . Let

$$\mathcal{BH}(d) = \{f \in \mathcal{BH}; f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\}, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that we denote by $(\tau_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{S})$ the tautological 2-dimensional representation of $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}^\times$. Then, for $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$, we denote by (τ_l, V_l) the $2l$ -th symmetric power product of $(\tau_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{S})$. (In particular, (τ_0, V_0) is the trivial one-dimensional representation.) Thus, each (τ_l, V_l) is an irreducible representation of $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}^\times$ of dimension $2l + 1$. A concrete realization of (τ_l, V_l) as well as an isomorphism $V_l \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$ suitable for our purposes are described in Subsection 2.5 of [FL1].

Proposition 4. *Each $\mathcal{BH}(d)$ is invariant under the ρ' action restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and we have the following decomposition into irreducible components:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{BH}(2l) &= (V_l \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-1}), \\ \mathcal{BH}(-2l) &= (V_l \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{BH}(0) = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{BH}(d) = 2d^2 + 2$ for $d \neq 0$.

The functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z), & m, n &= -l, -l+1, \dots, l, \\ \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= N(Z) \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z), & m, n &= -l+1, -l+2, \dots, l-1, \end{aligned}$$

span respectively the $V_l \boxtimes V_l$ and $V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-1}$ components of $\mathcal{BH}(2l)$, $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

The functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), & m, n &= -l, -l+1, \dots, l, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^{l-1}(Z^{-1}), & m, n &= -l+1, -l+2, \dots, l-1, \end{aligned}$$

span respectively the $V_l \boxtimes V_l$ and $V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-1}$ components of $\mathcal{BH}(-2l)$, $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

(Note that $\tilde{\varphi}_{0,0,0}^{(1)}(Z) = \varphi_{0,0,0}^{(1)}(Z) = 1$.)

The element $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ acts on biharmonic functions by an inversion

$$\rho' \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) : \varphi(Z) \mapsto \varphi(Z^{-1}).$$

Lemma 5. *The inversion $\rho' \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ acts on the basis of biharmonic functions by sending*

$$\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_{l,n,m}^{(1)}(Z), \quad \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_{l,n,m}^{(2)}(Z).$$

Next, we want to find the actions of $\rho' \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$, $B, C \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$, on the basis vectors given in Proposition 4. (Note that the actions of $\rho' \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \right)$, $A, D \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$, were effectively described in Proposition 4.)

By direct computation using Lemma 22 in [FL1]:

$$\partial t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ (l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

equation (37) in [FL3]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial(N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z^{-1})) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N(Z)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) & (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) & (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

equation (34) in [FL3]:

$$\begin{aligned} Z \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) &= \frac{1}{2l+1} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{N(Z)}{2l+1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & -(l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ -(l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

equations (27)-(28) in [FL2] and identities

$$Z(\partial t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z))Z + Zt_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(\partial(N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z^{-1})))Z + \frac{Z}{N(Z)} \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{N(Z)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) & (l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) \\ (l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) & (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= -\text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+m)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ \rho' \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= -\frac{1}{2l-1} \text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l+n)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & -(l-n)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ -(l+n)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-n)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ & - \frac{2l}{2l-1} \text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m-1)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-m-1)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+m-1)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l+m-1)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ \rho' \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \frac{2l}{2l+1} \text{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-n+1)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+n+1)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+n+1)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2l+1} \text{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} -(l+m)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-m)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+m)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & -(l-m)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = \text{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-n)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+n)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l+n)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right);$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \frac{2l}{2l+1} \text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m+1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m+1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m+1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+m+1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2l+1} \text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} -(l+n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & -(l-n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = \text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l+m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = -\text{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+n)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= \frac{1}{2l-1} \text{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} -(l+m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & -(l-m)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{2l}{2l-1} \text{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n-1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-n-1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+n-1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l+n-1)\tilde{\varphi}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2.3 Invariant Bilinear Pairing

In this subsection we construct a symmetric $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant bilinear pairing on \mathcal{BH} . Let $S_R^3 = \{X \in \mathbb{H}; N(X) = R^2\}$ be the sphere of radius $R > 0$ centered at the origin, and let dS be the usual Euclidean volume element on S_R^3 .

Definition 6. We define a symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}$ on \mathcal{BH} as follows. The pairing between \mathcal{BH}^+ and \mathcal{BH}^- is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \frac{2l(2l+1)}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(X) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(X) \frac{dS}{R^3}, \\ \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= -\frac{2l(2l-1)}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(X) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(X) \frac{dS}{R^3}, \\ \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} (Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha'. \end{aligned}$$

We extend the pairing to $\mathcal{BH}^- \times \mathcal{BH}^+$ by symmetry, and declare it to be zero on $\mathcal{BH}^+ \times \mathcal{BH}^+$ and $\mathcal{BH}^- \times \mathcal{BH}^-$.

Proposition 7. The symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}$ on $\mathcal{BH} \times \mathcal{BH}$ is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant, independent of the choice of $R > 0$ and satisfies the following orthogonality

relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 2l\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\delta_{nn'}, \\
\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = -2l\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\delta_{nn'}, \\
\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 0, \\
\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = \langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 0, \\
\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 0, \\
\langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The pairing is also invariant under the inversion:

$$\left\langle \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_1, \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}, \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{BH}. \quad (17)$$

Remark 8. The pairing is obviously degenerate: the function $\varphi_{0,0,0}^{(1)}(Z) = \tilde{\varphi}_{0,0,0}^{(1)}(Z) = 1$ spans the kernel of the pairing on \mathcal{BH} . Hence the pairing descends to a non-degenerate pairing on $\mathcal{BH}/\mathcal{I}'_0 \times \mathcal{BH}/\mathcal{I}'_0$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the pairing $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}$ is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ invariant, independent of the choice of $R > 0$ and satisfies the above orthogonality relations. The invariance under the scalar matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, and under the dilation matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, is trivial. The invariance under the inversion (17) follows from Lemma 5 and the orthogonality relations.

Next, we verify the invariance of the pairing under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. Since we have already established the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ invariance, it is sufficient to check for $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ only. We do so by verifying that

$$\left\langle \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} + \left\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}, \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 0 \quad (18)$$

for the basis functions, where $\alpha, \alpha' = 1, 2$. Note that the actions of $\rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ were spelled out in Subsection 2.2. By the orthogonality relations, both summands in (18) are zero unless $l' = l - \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m + \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n + \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= -(2l-1)(l-m) = -\left\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}, \\
\left\langle \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \left\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} = 0, \\
\left\langle \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= -(l+n) = -\left\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}, \\
\left\langle \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= 2l(l-m-1) = -\left\langle \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \rho' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{BH}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the invariance of the pairing under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$.

Finally, it follows from (17) that the pairing is also invariant under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$, $C \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. \square

2.4 Reproducing Kernel for $\mathcal{BH}/\mathcal{I}'_0$

In this subsection we provide two expansions of the reproducing kernel for $\mathcal{BH}/\mathcal{I}'_0$ in terms of the basis functions given in Proposition 4. These expansions should be considered as biharmonic analogues of the matrix coefficient expansions of $N(Z - W)^{-1}$ from Proposition 25 in [FL1] (see also Proposition 112 in [FL3]).

Recall that \mathbb{D}^+ is certain open domain in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ having $U(2)$ as Shilov boundary:

$$\mathbb{D}^+ = \{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; ZZ^* < 1\},$$

where the inequality $ZZ^* < 1$ means that the matrix $ZZ^* - 1$ is negative definite. We use the principal branch of the complex logarithm function with a branch cut along the negative real axis.

Theorem 9. *We have the reproducing kernel expansions:*

$$\log[N(1 - ZW^{-1})] = \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W), \quad (19)$$

which converges uniformly on compact subsets in the region $\{(Z, W) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}; ZW^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^+\}$. The sum is taken first over all applicable m and n , then over $l = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \dots$.

Similarly,

$$\log[N(1 - Z^{-1}W)] = \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W), \quad (20)$$

which converges uniformly on compact subsets in the region $\{(Z, W) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; Z^{-1}W \in \mathbb{D}^+\}$. The sum is taken first over all applicable m and n , then over $l = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \dots$.

Proof. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} t_{n \underline{m}}^l(Z) \cdot t_{m \underline{n}}^l(W^{-1}) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} N(Z) \cdot t_{n \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z) \cdot N(W)^{-1} \cdot t_{m \underline{n}}^{l-1}(W^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 1/2, n} \frac{1}{2l} t_{n \underline{n}}^l(ZW^{-1}) - N(ZW^{-1}) \sum_{l \geq 1, n} \frac{1}{2l} t_{n \underline{n}}^{l-1}(ZW^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume further that ZW^{-1} can be diagonalized as $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. This is allowed since the set of matrices with distinct eigenvalues is dense in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the sum $\sum_n t_{n \underline{n}}^l(ZW^{-1})$ is just the character $\chi_l(ZW^{-1})$ of the irreducible representation of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of dimension $2l + 1$ and equals $\frac{\lambda_1^{2l+1} - \lambda_2^{2l+1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$. We continue our computations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \varphi_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{l \geq 1/2} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l}}{2l} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{l \geq 1/2} \frac{\lambda_2^{2l}}{2l} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l}}{2l} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_2^{2l}}{2l} \\ &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l}}{2l} + \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_2^{2l}}{2l} = \sum_{l \geq 1/2} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l}}{2l} + \sum_{l \geq 1/2} \frac{\lambda_2^{2l}}{2l} \\ &= \log(1 - \lambda_1) + \log(1 - \lambda_2) = \log[(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)] = \log[N(1 - ZW^{-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (19).

The proof of (20) is similar. Alternatively, (20) follows from (19) by applying the inversion and Lemma 5. \square

2.5 Reproducing Formula for Biharmonic Functions

In this subsection we give a reproducing formula for the biharmonic functions, it could be considered as an analogue of the Poisson formula (cf. Theorem 34 in [FL1]).

Recall the degree operator \deg acting on functions on \mathbb{H} :

$$\deg f = x^0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^0} + x^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} + x^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2} + x^3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^3},$$

and let $\widetilde{\deg}$ denote the degree operator plus the identity:

$$\widetilde{\deg} f = \deg f + f.$$

Similarly, we can define operators \deg and $\widetilde{\deg}$ acting on functions on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Also recall the $(\deg + 2)^{-1}$ operator discussed in detail in Subsection 2.4 of [FL3]. This operator has the property that if φ is a function on \mathbb{H}^{\times} that is homogeneous of degree d , then

$$(\deg + 2)^{-1} \varphi = \frac{1}{d+2} \varphi.$$

Observe that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{BH}$ and $\square\varphi \neq 0$, then $\square\varphi$ *cannot* be homogeneous of degree -2 , thus $(\deg + 2)^{-1}\square\varphi$ is well-defined.

When restricted to $\mathcal{BH}^+ \times \mathcal{BH}^-$, the invariant bilinear pairing on \mathcal{BH} given in Definition 6 can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} f(X) \cdot (\widetilde{\deg} \square g(X)) \frac{dS}{R} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\widetilde{\deg} \square f(X)) \cdot g(X) \frac{dS}{R} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\widetilde{\deg} (\deg + 2)^{-1} \square f(X)) \cdot ((\deg + 2)^{-1} \square g(X)) \frac{dS}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This can be verified by checking that the orthogonality relations from Proposition 7 are satisfied.

Remark 10. *On $\mathcal{BH}^- \times \mathcal{BH}^+$, the formula (21) produces the negative of the pairing; and on $\mathcal{BH}^+ \times \mathcal{BH}^+$, $\mathcal{BH}^- \times \mathcal{BH}^-$ the formula (21) can produce non-zero values, while the bilinear pairing is zero.*

Let B_R denote the open ball in \mathbb{H} of radius R centered at the origin. Substituting the reproducing kernel $\log[N(1 - Z^{-1}W)]$ into (21), we obtain the following reproducing formula for biharmonic functions.

Theorem 11. *Suppose that $f(X)$ is biharmonic on a neighborhood of the closure $\overline{B_R}$ and $X_0 \in B_R$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_0) &= f(0) + \langle f(X), \log[N(1 - X^{-1}X_0)] \rangle_{\mathcal{BH}} \\ &= f(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} f(X) \cdot \widetilde{\deg} \left(\frac{1}{N(X - X_0)} - \frac{1}{N(X)} \right) \frac{dS}{R} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\widetilde{\deg} \square f(X)) \cdot \log[N(1 - X^{-1}X_0)] \frac{dS}{R} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\widetilde{\deg} (\deg + 2)^{-1} \square f(X)) \cdot (\deg + 2)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{N(X - X_0)} - \frac{1}{N(X)} \right) \frac{dS}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

2.6 Invariant Pseudounitary Structures

In this subsection we construct pseudounitary (non-degenerate indefinite) $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant structures on $\mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0$ and $\mathcal{BH}^-/\mathcal{I}'_0$.

The group $U(2, 2)$ can be realized as the subgroup of elements of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ preserving the Hermitian form on \mathbb{C}^4 given by the 4×4 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} U(2, 2) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, \begin{array}{l} a^*a = 1 + c^*c \\ d^*d = 1 + b^*b \\ a^*b = c^*d \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, \begin{array}{l} a^*a = 1 + b^*b \\ d^*d = 1 + c^*c \\ ac^* = bd^* \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The Lie algebra of $U(2, 2)$ is

$$\mathfrak{u}(2, 2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix}; A, B, D \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, A = -A^*, D = -D^* \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Theorem 12. *The spaces (ρ', \mathcal{BH}^+) and (ρ', \mathcal{BH}^-) have pseudounitary structures that are preserved by the real form $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The invariant pseudounitary structure on \mathcal{BH}^+ can be described as*

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= \frac{2l(2l+1)}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S^3} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(X) \cdot \overline{\varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(X)} dS, \\ (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= -\frac{2l(2l+1)}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S^3} \varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(X) \cdot \overline{\varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(X)} dS, \\ (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} (Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha'. \end{aligned}$$

This structure descends to a non-degenerate product on $\mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0$.

And the invariant pseudounitary structures on \mathcal{BH}^- and $\mathcal{BH}^-/\mathcal{I}'_0$ are similar.

Proof. Clearly, the product $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{\mathcal{BH}^+}$ is $U(2) \times U(2)$ invariant. From [V] we have:

$$\overline{t_{n\underline{m}}^l(X)} = \frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n)!(l+n)!} t_{m\underline{n}}^l(X^+), \quad X \in \mathbb{H},$$

and orthogonality relations:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S^3} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(X) \cdot \overline{t_{n'\underline{m}'}^{l'}(X)} dS = \frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n)!(l+n)!} \frac{\delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}}{2l+1}. \quad (24)$$

Then we have orthogonality relations for the $\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z)$'s:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= 2l \frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n)!(l+n)!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}, \\ (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= -\frac{2l(2l+1)}{2l-1} \frac{(l-m-1)!(l+m-1)!}{(l-n-1)!(l+n-1)!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}, \\ (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), \varphi_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} (Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha'. \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(2, 2). \quad (25)$$

To prove that $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{\mathcal{BH}^+}$ is $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant, it is sufficient to show that $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{\mathcal{U}^+}$ is invariant under $\rho'(X)$ and $\rho'(Y)$. From Subsection 2.2 we find:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'(X)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= -(l-m)\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{2l(l-n+1)}{2l+1}\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) - \frac{l+m}{2l+1}\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z), \\ \rho'(X)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= -\frac{l+n}{2l-1}\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ &\quad - \frac{2l(l-m-1)}{2l-1}\varphi_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) + (l-n)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z).\end{aligned}$$

And we can find similar expressions for

$$\rho'(Y)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \quad \rho'(Y)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z).$$

Then, by direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \rho'(X)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= -2l\frac{(l-m+1)!(l+m)!}{(l-n)!(l+n)!}, \\ &= -(\rho'(X)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+}, \\ (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \rho'(X)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= (2l+1)\frac{(l-m)!(l+m-1)!}{(l-n-1)!(l+n-1)!}, \\ &= -(\rho'(X)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+}, \\ (\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \rho'(X)\varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+} &= -\frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n)!(l+n-1)!}, \\ &= -(\rho'(X)\varphi_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \varphi_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{BH}^+}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the $\rho'(X)$ -invariance of the pseudounitary structure.

The calculations for $\rho'(Y)$ are nearly identical. \square

Recall that, by Theorem 2, $(\rho', \mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0)$ and $(\rho', \mathcal{BH}^-/\mathcal{I}'_0)$ are irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and hence $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$, and the (pseudo)unitary structure on an irreducible representation is unique up to rescaling. Thus we obtain:

Corollary 13. *The spaces $(\rho', \mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0)$ and $(\rho', \mathcal{BH}^-/\mathcal{I}'_0)$ do not have $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant unitary structures.*

3 Quasi Anti Regular Functions

3.1 Definitions and Conformal Invariance

We introduce left and right quasi anti regular functions defined on open subsets of \mathbb{H} and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 14. *Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{H} . A \mathcal{C}^3 -function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is quasi left anti regular (QLAR for short) if it satisfies*

$$\nabla \square f = 0 \quad \text{at all points in } U.$$

Similarly, a \mathcal{C}^3 -function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ is quasi right anti regular (QRAR for short) if

$$(\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0 \quad \text{at all points in } U.$$

We also can talk about quasi regular functions defined on open subsets of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In this case we require such functions to be holomorphic.

Definition 15. Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. A holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is quasi left anti regular (QLAR for short) if it satisfies $\nabla \square f = 0$ at all points in U .

Similarly, a holomorphic function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ is quasi right anti regular (QRAR for short) if $(\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0$ at all points in U .

It is clear from (9) that QLAR and QRAR functions are biharmonic, i.e. annihilated by \square^2 . One way to construct QLAR functions is to start with a biharmonic function $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, then $\nabla^+ \varphi$ is QLAR. Similarly, if $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ is biharmonic, then $\varphi \overleftarrow{\nabla}^+$ is QRAR.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$ denote respectively the spaces of (holomorphic) quasi left and right anti regular functions on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, possibly with singularities.

Theorem 16. 1. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of quasi left anti regular functions $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ (possibly with singularities) is invariant under the following action of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_l(h) : f(Z) \mapsto (\pi'_l(h)f)(Z) &= \frac{a' - Zc'}{N(a' - Zc')} \cdot f((a' - Zc')^{-1}(-b' + Zd')), \\ h &= \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

2. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$ of quasi right anti regular functions $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ (possibly with singularities) is invariant under the following action of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(h) : g(Z) \mapsto (\pi'_r(h)g)(Z) &= g((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{cZ + d}{N(cZ + d)}, \\ h^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the formulas describing the actions π'_l and π'_r also produce well-defined actions on the spaces of all functions on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (possibly with singularities) with values in \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{S}' respectively. Differentiating π'_l and π'_r , we obtain actions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, which we still denote by π'_l and π'_r respectively. We spell out these Lie algebra actions:

Lemma 17. The Lie algebra action π'_l of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) &\mapsto -\text{Tr}(AZ\partial + A)f + Af, \\ \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) &\mapsto -\text{Tr}(B\partial)f, \\ \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) &\mapsto \text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + ZC)f - ZCf, \\ \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) &\mapsto \text{Tr}(ZD\partial)f. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the Lie algebra action π'_r of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : g(Z) &\mapsto -\text{Tr}(AZ\partial)g, \\ \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : g(Z) &\mapsto -\text{Tr}(B\partial)g, \\ \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} : g(Z) &\mapsto \text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + CZ)g - gCZ, \\ \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : g(Z) &\mapsto \text{Tr}(ZD\partial + D)g - gD. \end{aligned}$$

We continue our proof of Theorem 16. Since the Lie group $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ is connected, it is sufficient to show that, if $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, then $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} f \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ and

$\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} g \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$. Consider, for example, the case of $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} f$, the other cases are similar and easier. We have:

$$\partial \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} f = \partial (\text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + ZC)f - ZCf) = \text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + ZC)\partial f + CZ\partial f - Cf,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8} \nabla \square \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} f &= \partial^+ \partial \partial \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} f = \partial^+ \partial (\text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + ZC)\partial f + CZ\partial f - Cf) \\ &= \partial^+ (\text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + ZC)\partial \partial f + CZ\partial \partial f + \partial(ZC\partial f) + \partial(CZ\partial f) - C\partial f - \partial Cf) \\ &= \text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + ZC)\partial^+ \partial \partial f + \partial^+ (Z^+ C^+ \partial \partial f) + C^+ Z^+ \partial^+ \partial \partial f - C^+ \partial \partial f \\ &\quad + \partial^+ (CZ\partial \partial f) + \partial^+ \partial(ZC\partial f) + \partial^+ \partial(CZ\partial f) - \partial^+ C\partial f - \partial^+ \partial Cf \\ &= \partial^+ (Z^+ C^+ \partial \partial f) + \partial^+ (CZ\partial \partial f) - C^+ \partial \partial f + \partial^+ \partial(ZC\partial f) \\ &\quad + C\partial^+ \partial(Z\partial f) - \partial^+ C\partial f - C\partial^+ \partial f = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} f \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. □

3.2 Constructing Quasi Anti Regular Functions

As was mentioned at the beginning of Subsection 3.1, one can construct left and right anti regular functions from biharmonic functions. In this subsection, we describe another way to construct anti regular functions following Laville-Ramadanoff [LR].

Lemma 18. *An \mathbb{S} -valued function f is QLAR if and only if $\square^2 f = 0$ and $\square^2(Z^+ f) = 0$. Similarly, an \mathbb{S}' -valued function g is QRAR if and only if $\square^2 g = 0$ and $\square^2(gZ^+) = 0$.*

Proof. By direct computation,

$$\square(Z^+ f) = 2\nabla f + Z^+ \square f,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla \square f &= \square(Z^+ \square f) - Z^+ \square^2 f = \square^2(Z^+ f) - 2\square \nabla f - Z^+ \square^2 f, \\ 4\nabla \square f &= \square^2(Z^+ f) - Z^+ \square^2 f. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation implies the statement about the QLAR functions. The QRAR case is similar. □

Lemma 19. *If $h(w_1, w_2)$ is a holomorphic function of two variables $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial w_2^2} = 0,$$

then the function

$$h(z^0, \sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2 + (z^3)^2}) : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad Z = e_0 z^0 + e_1 z^1 + e_2 z^2 + e_3 z^3 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

is biharmonic.

Proof. The proof is by direct computation of $\square^2 h(z^0, \sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2 + (z^3)^2})$. □

Lemma 20. *Functions $\exp(\lambda Z^+) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda Z^+)^n / n!$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $(Z^+)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy*

$$\nabla \square \exp(\lambda Z^+) = 0, \quad \nabla \square (Z^+)^n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \square \exp(\lambda Z^+) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0, \quad \square (Z^+)^n \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0.$$

Proof. Write $Z = z_0 + z$, where $z_0 = \operatorname{Re} Z$ and $z = \operatorname{Im} Z$, and let $|z| = \sqrt{(z^1)^2 + (z^2)^2 + (z^3)^2}$. By Lemma 19, the function $e^{\lambda z_0} \cos(\lambda|z|)$ is biharmonic. On the other hand,

$$\exp(\lambda Z^+) = e^{\lambda z_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda z)^n / n! = e^{\lambda z_0} \left(\cos(\lambda|z|) - \frac{z}{|z|} \sin(\lambda|z|) \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \nabla^+ e^{\lambda z_0} \cos(\lambda|z|),$$

which proves $\nabla \square \exp(\lambda Z^+) = \square \exp(\lambda Z^+) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0$.

Differentiating $\exp(\lambda Z^+)$ with respect to λ and setting $\lambda = 0$ proves

$$\nabla \square (Z^+)^n = \square (Z^+)^n \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0$$

for non-negative integer powers. Applying the inversion $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ to $(Z^+)^n$ proves $\nabla \square (Z^+)^n = \square (Z^+)^n \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0$ for negative integer powers. \square

Corollary 21. *The columns of $(Z^+)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are QLAR; the rows of $(Z^+)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are QRAR.*

Corollary 22. *Convergent “power” series of the form*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{first column of } (Z^+)^n a_n + \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{second column of } (Z^+)^n b_n, \quad a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

are QLAR. Convergent “power” series of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \cdot \text{first row of } (Z^+)^n + \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n \cdot \text{second row of } (Z^+)^n, \quad c_n, d_n \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

are QRAR.

3.3 Reproducing Formulas

In this subsection we prove reproducing formulas for the quasi left and right anti regular functions similar to the Cauchy-Fueter formulas. We follow the argument presented in [LR].

Recall the quaternionic-valued 3-form on \mathbb{H}

$$Dx = e_0 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - e_1 dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + e_2 dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 - e_3 dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2$$

that appears in the Cauchy-Fueter formulas. Its quaternionic conjugate

$$(Dx)^+ = e_0 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + e_1 dx^0 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - e_2 dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + e_3 dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2$$

has the property that, if f and g are differentiable functions,

$$d(g \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot f) = ((g \overleftarrow{\nabla})f + g(\nabla f))dV, \quad dV = dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3.$$

Theorem 23. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be an open bounded subset with piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 boundary ∂U , and let \vec{n} denote the outward pointing normal unit vector to ∂U . Suppose that $f(X)$ is quasi left anti regular on a neighborhood of the closure \bar{U} , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot f(X) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \nabla f(X) dS \\ & - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \nabla f(X) dS = \begin{cases} f(X_0) & \text{if } X_0 \in U; \\ 0 & \text{if } X_0 \notin \bar{U}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

If $g(X)$ is quasi right anti regular on a neighborhood of the closure \overline{U} , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in \partial U} g(X) \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in \partial U} (g \overleftarrow{\nabla})(X) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} dS \\ & - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} (g \overleftarrow{\nabla})(X) \cdot \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} dS = \begin{cases} g(X_0) & \text{if } X_0 \in U; \\ 0 & \text{if } X_0 \notin \overline{U}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Proof. We give a proof for the case f is QLAR and $X_0 \in U$ only; the other cases are similar and easier. We have:

$$\square_X \frac{X - Y}{N(X - Y)} = \square_Y \frac{X - Y}{N(X - Y)} = -4 \frac{X - Y}{N(X - Y)^2}.$$

Let $S_\varepsilon^3(X_0)$ be the 3-sphere in \mathbb{H} of radius ε centered at X_0 . Then, for any \mathbb{S} -valued function f , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi^2 \cdot f(X_0) &= 4 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{X \in S_\varepsilon^3(X_0)} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot f(X) \\ &= 4 \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot f(X) - 4 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{X \in U \setminus B_\varepsilon(X_0)} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} \cdot \nabla f(X) dV \\ &= - \int_{X \in \partial U} \square_X \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot f(X) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{X \in U \setminus B_\varepsilon(X_0)} \square_X \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \nabla f(X) dV \\ &= - \int_{X \in \partial U} \square_X \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot (Dx)^+ \cdot f(X) + \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \nabla f(X) dS \\ &\quad - \int_{X \in \partial U} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} \nabla f(X) dS + \int_{X \in U} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \nabla \square f(X) dV. \end{aligned}$$

At the last step we used Green's identity

$$\int_U u \square v = \int_U v \square u + \int_{\partial U} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} - \int_{\partial U} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}$$

with $u = \nabla f(X)$ and $v = \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)}$. If f is QLAR, the integral over U is zero, and we obtain (28).

The proof of (29) is similar. \square

In the special case when the open set U is B_R – the open ball in \mathbb{H} of radius R centered at the origin, $\partial U = S_R^3$ – the 3-sphere of radius R centered at the origin – and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{n}} = \frac{1}{R} \text{deg}, \quad (Dx)^+ \Big|_{S_R^3} = RX^{-1} dS$$

(Lemma 6 in [FL1]). Thus we obtain:

Corollary 24. *Suppose that $f(X)$ is quasi left anti regular on a neighborhood of the closure $\overline{B_R}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} \cdot X^{-1} \cdot f(X) dS + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \left(\text{deg}_X \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \right) \cdot \nabla f(X) \frac{dS}{R} \\ & - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \cdot \text{deg} \nabla f(X) \frac{dS}{R} = \begin{cases} f(X_0) & \text{if } X_0 \in B_R; \\ 0 & \text{if } X_0 \notin \overline{B_R}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $g(X)$ is quasi right anti regular on a neighborhood of the closure $\overline{B_R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} g(X) \cdot X^{-1} \cdot \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)^2} dS + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (g \overleftarrow{\nabla})(X) \cdot \deg_X \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \frac{dS}{R} \\ & - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \left(\deg(g \overleftarrow{\nabla})(X) \right) \cdot \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \frac{dS}{R} = \begin{cases} g(X_0) & \text{if } X_0 \in B_R; \\ 0 & \text{if } X_0 \notin \overline{B_R}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

4 K -Types of Quasi Anti Regular Functions

In this section we study the polynomial quasi left and right regular functions. We identify the K -types of these functions with respect to the action of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ realized as the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $GL(2, \mathbb{H})$. We spell out the bases of these K -types as well as actions of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ in these bases. As an immediate consequence, we see that certain spaces of polynomial quasi left and right anti regular functions form irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (Proposition 33). In subsequent sections we use these results to construct an invariant bilinear pairing between left and right quasi regular functions, pseudounitary structures and two expansions of the reproducing kernel.

4.1 Polynomial Quasi Anti Regular Functions

We introduce the following spaces of quasi left and right anti regular functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &= \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla \square f = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{U}(d) &= \{f \in \mathcal{U}; f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\}, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &= \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}(d) = \mathcal{U}^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}^-, \quad \text{where} \\ \mathcal{U}^+ &= \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d \geq 0} \mathcal{U}(d), \quad \mathcal{U}^- = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d < 0} \mathcal{U}(d). \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathcal{U} and a subspace

$$\{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla \square f = 0\} \subset \mathcal{U}^+$$

are preserved by the π'_l action of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Similarly, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}' &= \{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}; (\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{U}'(d) &= \{g \in \mathcal{U}'; g \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\}, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}' &= \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}'(d) = \mathcal{U}'^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}'^-, \quad \text{where} \\ \mathcal{U}'^+ &= \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d \geq 0} \mathcal{U}'(d), \quad \mathcal{U}'^- = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}, d < 0} \mathcal{U}'(d). \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathcal{U}' and a subspace

$$\{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; (\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0\} \subset \mathcal{U}'^+$$

are preserved by the π'_r action of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Lemma 25. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, and consider an element $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in U(2) \times U(2)$.

Then, for $f \in \mathcal{U}(d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}'(d)$, we have:

$$\pi'_l(\Lambda)f = \lambda^{-2d-1}f \quad \text{and} \quad \pi'_r(\Lambda)g = \lambda^{-2d-1}g.$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from equations (26)-(27). \square

Lemma 26. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}(d)$, then f can be written uniquely as $f = \varphi + N(Z) \cdot f'$, where φ is harmonic of degree d , while f' has degree $d - 2$ and satisfies $\nabla f' = 0$:

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(d) \otimes \mathbb{S} = \{h \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \square h = 0, h \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\},$$

$$f' = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla f = 0, f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d - 2\}.$$

Conversely, each sum of this form $\varphi + N(Z) \cdot f'$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}(d)$.

Similarly, let $g \in \mathcal{U}'(d)$, then g can be written uniquely as $g = \psi + N(Z) \cdot g'$, where ψ is harmonic of degree d , while g' has degree $d - 2$ and satisfies $g' \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0$:

$$\psi \in \mathcal{H}(d) \otimes \mathbb{S}' = \{h \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; \square h = 0, h \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\},$$

$$g' = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; g' \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0, g \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d - 2\}.$$

Conversely, each sum of this form $\psi + N(Z) \cdot g'$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}'(d)$.

Proof. Write $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}]$. Since $\square^2 f_1 = \square^2 f_2 = 0$, by Proposition 1, we have $f_1 = \varphi_1 + N(Z) \cdot f'_1$ and $f_2 = \varphi_2 + N(Z) \cdot f'_2$ for some harmonic functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, f'_1, f'_2 \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}]$ of appropriate degrees. The harmonic functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, f_1, f_2$ are unique, except when $d = 0$, in which case we may assume that $f'_1 = f'_2 = 0$. Let $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $f' = \begin{pmatrix} f'_1 \\ f'_2 \end{pmatrix}$. It remains to show that f' satisfies $\nabla f' = 0$. By direct calculation,

$$\square(N(Z)^k \cdot h(Z)) = 4kN(Z)^{k-1} \cdot (\deg + k + 1)h(Z) + N^k(Z) \cdot \square h(Z). \quad (30)$$

Hence,

$$\square f = \square(N(Z) \cdot f') = 4df',$$

and $\nabla \square f = 0$ if and only if $\nabla f' = 0$.

The case of \mathbb{S}' -valued functions is similar. \square

Corollary 27. For $d \geq 0$, we have:

$$\mathcal{U}^+(d) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla \square f = 0, f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}'^+(d) = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; (\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0, g \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{U}^+ = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla \square f = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}'^+ = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; (\square g) \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0\}.$$

Proof. The result follows from the corresponding statements for harmonic and anti regular functions: for $d \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(d) &= \{h \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}]; \square h = 0, h \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\} \\ &= \{h \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3]; \square h = 0, h \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla f = 0, f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\} \\ = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}; \nabla f = 0, f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3, N(Z)^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; g \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0, g \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\} \\ = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3] \otimes \mathbb{S}'; g \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0, g \text{ is homogeneous of degree } d\},\end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 26. □

As usual, we realize $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as diagonal elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (recall equation (11)).

Proposition 28. *Each $\mathcal{U}(d)$ is invariant under the π'_l action restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and we have the following decomposition into irreducible components:*

$$\mathcal{U}(2l) = (V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}), \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{U}(-2l-1) = (V_l \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (V_l \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (32)$$

$l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$. Similarly, each $\mathcal{U}'(d)$ is invariant under the π'_r action restricted to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and we have the following decomposition into irreducible components:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}'(2l) &= (V_l \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (V_l \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}), \\ \mathcal{U}'(-2l-1) &= (V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}),\end{aligned}$$

$l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{U}(d) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{U}'(d) = 3d^2 + 3d + 2$.

Proof. From Lemma 26, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{U}(d) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{U}'(d) = 3d^2 + 3d + 2$. Then, for example, $\mathcal{U}(2l)$ is an $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ -invariant subspace of

$$\begin{aligned}\left((V_l \boxtimes V_l) \otimes (V_{\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_0) \right) \oplus \left((V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-1}) \otimes (V_{\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_0) \right) \\ = (V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{3}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}),\end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathcal{U}(2l)$ must contain some of these four irreducible components. The harmonic functions produce

$$(V_l \boxtimes V_l) \otimes (V_{\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_0) = (V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l).$$

And the functions of the type $N(Z) \cdot f'$, where f' has degree $2l-2$ and satisfies $\nabla f = 0$, form an invariant subspace of

$$(V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-1}) \otimes (V_{\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_0) = (V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}) \oplus (V_{l-\frac{3}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}).$$

Comparing the dimensions, we see that (31) is the only possibility. □

4.2 K -Type Basis of Quasi Anti Regular Functions

In this subsection we find a basis for each $\mathcal{U}(d)$ and $\mathcal{U}'(d)$. These bases consist of weight vectors of the irreducible components of $\mathcal{U}(d)$, $\mathcal{U}'(d)$ described in Proposition 28 relative to the maximal torus of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$

$$\left\{ \Delta(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2) \times SU(2); a, b \in \mathbb{C}, |a| = |b| = 1 \right\}.$$

First, we find three families of QLAR functions and three families of QRAR functions for $d \geq 0$.

Proposition 29. *The functions*

$$f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} t^l_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z) \\ -t^l_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} m=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \\ n=-l-\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{1}{2}, \dots, l+\frac{1}{2}, \end{array}$$

$$f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+\frac{1}{2})t^l_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z) \\ (l+n+\frac{1}{2})t^l_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} m=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \\ n=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \end{array}$$

$$f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} N(Z) \cdot t^{l-1}_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z) \\ -N(Z) \cdot t^{l-1}_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} m=-l+1, -l+2, \dots, l-1, \\ n=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \end{array}$$

span respectively the $V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l$, $V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l$ and $V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}$ components of $\mathcal{U}(2l)$, $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

The functions

$$g_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = \left((l+m+\frac{1}{2})t^l_{n\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}(Z), -(l-m+\frac{1}{2})t^l_{n\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}(Z) \right), \quad \begin{array}{l} m=-l-\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{1}{2}, \dots, l+\frac{1}{2}, \\ n=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \end{array}$$

$$g_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = (t^l_{n\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}(Z), t^l_{n\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}(Z)), \quad \begin{array}{l} m=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \\ n=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \end{array}$$

$$g_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = N(Z) \cdot \left((l+m-\frac{1}{2})t^{l-1}_{n\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}(Z), -(l-m-\frac{1}{2})t^{l-1}_{n\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}(Z) \right), \quad \begin{array}{l} m=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \\ n=-l+1, -l+2, \dots, l-1, \end{array}$$

span respectively the $V_l \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{1}{2}}$, $V_l \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ components of $\mathcal{U}'(2l)$, $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

Remark 30. *The functions $f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z)$'s form a K -type basis of the space of left anti regular functions, $f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = N(Z) \cdot f_{l-1,m,n}^{(1)}(Z)$, and, by Lemma 23 in [FL1],*

$$f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = Z \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{n\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}(Z) \\ (l+m)t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{n\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z)$ s are Z -multiples of the left regular basis functions from Proposition 24 in [FL1].

Similarly, the functions $g_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z)$'s form a K -type basis of the space of right anti regular functions, $g_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = N(Z) \cdot g_{l-1,m,n}^{(1)}(Z)$, and, by Lemma 23 in [FL1],

$$g_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = (t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z), t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}(Z)) \cdot Z.$$

Thus, $g_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z)$ s are Z -multiples of the right regular basis functions from Proposition 24 in [FL1].

Proof. By direct computation,

$$\pi'_l(\Delta(a, b)) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n' \underline{m}'}^{l'}(Z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha a^{2n+1} b^{2m} \cdot t_{n \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ \beta a^{2n'-1} b^{2m'} \cdot t_{n' \underline{m}'}^{l'}(Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi'_r(\Delta(a, b))(\alpha t_{n \underline{m}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n' \underline{m}'}^{l'}(Z)) = (\alpha a^{2n} b^{2m+1} \cdot t_{n \underline{m}}^l(Z), \beta a^{2n'} b^{2m'-1} \cdot t_{n' \underline{m}'}^{l'}(Z)).$$

Introduce the following elements of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$:

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

We can find their actions on $\mathcal{U}(d)$ and $\mathcal{U}'(d)$ using Lemma 23 from [FL1] together with Lemma 17 and equation (12):

$$\pi'_l(E_1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\beta - \alpha(l + n + \frac{1}{2})) t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ -\beta(l + n + \frac{3}{2}) t_{n+\frac{3}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi'_l(F_1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha(l - n + \frac{3}{2}) t_{n-\frac{3}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ (\alpha - \beta(l - n + \frac{1}{2})) t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi'_l(E_2) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix} = (l - m) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}+1}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}+1}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi'_l(F_2) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix} = (l + m) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t_{n-\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}-1}^l(Z) \\ \beta t_{n+\frac{1}{2} \underline{m}-1}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi'_r(E_1)(\alpha t_{n \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)) = -(l + n + 1)(\alpha t_{n+1 \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n+1 \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)),$$

$$\pi'_r(F_1)(\alpha t_{n \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)) = -(l - n + 1)(\alpha t_{n-1 \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n-1 \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(E_2)(\alpha t_{n \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)) \\ = ((\alpha(l - m + \frac{1}{2}) - \beta) t_{n \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta(l - m - \frac{1}{2}) t_{n \underline{m}+\frac{3}{2}}^l(Z)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(F_2)(\alpha t_{n \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z), \beta t_{n \underline{m}+\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)) \\ = ((l + m - \frac{1}{2}) t_{n \underline{m}-\frac{3}{2}}^l(Z), (\beta(l + m + \frac{1}{2}) - \alpha) t_{n \underline{m}-\frac{1}{2}}^l(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

We see that each family of functions is invariant under the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -action and spans an irreducible component of $\mathcal{U}(2l)$ or $\mathcal{U}'(2l)$ as stated. \square

We find three more families of QLAR functions and three more families of QRAR functions of negative degrees:

Proposition 31. *The functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \begin{pmatrix} t^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \\ m \underline{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ -t^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \\ m \underline{n-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} (Z^{-1}), & \begin{matrix} m=-l-\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{1}{2}, \dots, l+\frac{1}{2}, \\ n=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \end{matrix} \\ \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \\ m \underline{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ (l+n)N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \\ m \underline{n-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} (Z^{-1}), & \begin{matrix} m=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \\ n=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \end{matrix} \\ \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) &= \begin{pmatrix} N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \\ m \underline{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ -N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \\ m \underline{n-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} (Z^{-1}), & \begin{matrix} m=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+1, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \\ n=-l+1, -l+2, \dots, l-1, \end{matrix} \end{aligned}$$

span respectively the $V_l \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{1}{2}}$, $V_l \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ components of $\mathcal{U}(-2l-1)$, $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

The function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= ((l+m+1)t^{l+\frac{1}{2}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1}), -(l-m+1)t^{l+\frac{1}{2}}_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1})), & \begin{matrix} m=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \\ n=-l-\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{1}{2}, \dots, l+\frac{1}{2}, \end{matrix} \\ \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= N(Z)^{-1} \cdot (t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1}), t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1})), & \begin{matrix} m=-l, -l+1, \dots, l, \\ n=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \end{matrix} \\ \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) &= N(Z)^{-1} \cdot ((l+m)t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1}), -(l-m)t^{l-\frac{1}{2}}_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1})), & \begin{matrix} m=-l+1, -l+2, \dots, l-1, \\ n=-l+\frac{1}{2}, -l+\frac{3}{2}, \dots, l-\frac{1}{2}, \end{matrix} \end{aligned}$$

span respectively the $V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l$, $V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l$ and $V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1}$ components of $\mathcal{U}'(-2l-1)$, $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

Remark 32. *The functions $\tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z)$'s form a K -type basis of the space of left anti regular functions, $\tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = N(Z) \cdot f_{l+1,m,n}^{(3)}(Z)$, and, by Lemma 23 in [FL1],*

$$\tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = Z \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (l-m+\frac{1}{2})N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^l_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1}) \\ (l+m+\frac{1}{2})N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^l_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $\tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z)$ s are Z -multiples of the left regular basis functions from Proposition 24 in [FL1].

Similarly, the functions $\tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z)$'s form a K -type basis of the space of right anti regular functions, $\tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = N(Z) \cdot g_{l+1,m,n}^{(3)}(Z)$, and, by Lemma 23 in [FL1],

$$\tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = N(Z)^{-1} \cdot (t^l_{m \underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}(Z^{-1}), t^l_{m \underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}(Z^{-1})) \cdot Z.$$

Thus, $\tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z)$ s are Z -multiples of the right regular basis functions from Proposition 24 in [FL1].

Proof. We have:

$$\pi'_l(\Delta(a, b)) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^l_{m \underline{n}}(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^{l'}_{m' \underline{n'}}(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha a^{-2n+1} b^{-2m} N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^l_{m \underline{n}}(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta a^{-2n'-1} b^{-2m'} N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t^{l'}_{m' \underline{n'}}(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(\Delta(a, b))(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m'\underline{n}'}^{l'}(Z^{-1})) \\ = (\alpha a^{-2n} b^{-2m+1} N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta a^{-2n'} b^{-2m'-1} N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m'\underline{n}'}^{l'}(Z^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Let E_1, E_2, F_1 and F_2 be as in (33). Using Lemma 23 from [FL1] together with Lemma 17 and equation (13), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_l(E_1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha(l+n+\frac{1}{2}) + \beta) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta(l+n-\frac{1}{2}) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n-\frac{3}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \pi'_l(F_1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(l-n-\frac{1}{2}) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n+\frac{3}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ (\alpha + \beta(l-n+\frac{1}{2})) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \pi'_l(E_2) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} &= -(l-m+1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-1\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-1\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \pi'_l(F_2) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} &= -(l+m+1) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+1\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+1\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(E_1)(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1})) \\ = (l+n)(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-1}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-1}}^l(Z^{-1})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(F_1)(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1})) \\ = (l-n)(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+1}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+1}}^l(Z^{-1})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(E_2)(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1})) \\ = -\left((\alpha(l-m+\frac{1}{2}) + \beta) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta(l-m+\frac{3}{2}) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{3}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r(E_2)(\alpha N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), \beta N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1})) \\ = -\left(\alpha(l+m+\frac{3}{2}) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{3}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}), (\alpha + \beta(l+m+\frac{1}{2})) N(Z)^{-1} \cdot t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We see that each family of functions is invariant under the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -action and spans an irreducible component of $\mathcal{U}(-2l-1)$ or $\mathcal{U}'(-2l-1)$ as stated. \square

4.3 Action of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ on the K -Type Basis

In this subsection we find the actions of $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B, C \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, on the basis vectors given in Propositions 29, 31. (Note that the actions of $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$ and $\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$, $A, D \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, were effectively described in Propositions 28, 29, 31.)

By direct computation using equations (27)-(28) in [FL2], Lemma 17 and equations (12)-(16), we find:

$$\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = -\text{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m)f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m)f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+m)f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_l \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) &= \frac{1}{2l} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} -(l+m+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & -(l-m+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2l(2l-1)} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & \tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ -\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & -\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{2l}{2l-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n-1)\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l-n-1)\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \\ (l+n-1)\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l+n-1)\tilde{f}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \frac{2l+1}{2l+2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \operatorname{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(l-m+1)(l+n+\frac{1}{2})}{(2l+1)(2l+2)}\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & \frac{(l-m+1)(l-n+\frac{1}{2})}{(2l+1)(2l+2)}\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ -\frac{(l+m+1)(l+n+\frac{1}{2})}{(2l+1)(2l+2)}\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & \frac{(l+m+1)(l-n+\frac{1}{2})}{(2l+1)(2l+2)}\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2l+1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} -(l+n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l-n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \\ (l+n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & -(l-n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= \frac{2l}{2l+1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l+m+1)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2l+1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & -\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \\ \tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & \tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi'_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l-m)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \\ (l+m)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l+m)\tilde{g}_{l+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right);$$

$$\pi'_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) = -\operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l+n+\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= \frac{1}{2l} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & -\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ \tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & \tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{2l+1}{2l} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l-n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & (l+n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) &= \frac{1}{2l} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} -(l+m)\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & (l-m)\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ (l+m)\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) & -(l-m)\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2l(2l-1)} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l+m)(l-n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & -(l-m)(l-n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ (l+m)(l+n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) & -(l-m)(l+n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &- \frac{2l}{2l-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} (l-n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l-n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \\ (l+n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) & (l+n-\frac{1}{2})\tilde{g}_{l-\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of these calculations, we obtain that the spaces \mathcal{U}^+ , \mathcal{U}^- of QLAR functions as well as the spaces \mathcal{U}'^+ , \mathcal{U}'^- of QRAR functions are irreducible.

Proposition 33. *The spaces (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) , (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^-) are irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (as well as $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$).*

Proof. The following diagram illustrates the action of $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, on $\mathcal{U}(2l)$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}(2l) : \\ \begin{array}{ccc} V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l & V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l & V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1} \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ V_l \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} & V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} & V_{l-1} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{3}{2}} \end{array} \end{array}$$

And this diagram illustrates the action of $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $C \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, on $\mathcal{U}(2l)$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{U}(2l+1) : \\ \begin{array}{ccc} V_{l+1} \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} & V_l \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} & V_l \boxtimes V_{l+\frac{3}{2}} \\ \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow \\ V_{l+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l & V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_l & V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-1} \end{array} \end{array}$$

(note that the arrows get reversed). The diagrams expressing the actions of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{U}(-2l-1)$, $\mathcal{U}'(2l)$ and $\mathcal{U}'(-2l-1)$ are similar.

It follows from Lemma 25 and this description of the actions of $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B, C \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, on the spaces \mathcal{U}^+ , \mathcal{U}^- , \mathcal{U}'^+ and \mathcal{U}'^- that any non-zero vector generates the whole space. Hence the result. \square

We can find the effect of the inversion on these families of QLAR and QRAR functions. The element $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ acts on QLAR functions by

$$\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) \mapsto -\frac{Z}{N(Z)} f(Z^{-1})$$

and on QRAR functions by

$$\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : G(Z) \mapsto g(Z^{-1}) \frac{Z}{N(Z)}.$$

By direct calculation using the identity (14), we obtain:

Lemma 34. *The inversion $\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ acts on the basis of QLAR functions by sending*

$$f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow -\tilde{f}_{l,n,m}^{(1)}(Z), \quad f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow -\tilde{f}_{l,n,m}^{(2)}(Z), \quad f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow -\tilde{f}_{l,n,m}^{(3)}(Z).$$

The inversion $\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ acts on the basis of QRAR functions by sending

$$g_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow \tilde{g}_{l,n,m}^{(1)}(Z), \quad g_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow \tilde{g}_{l,n,m}^{(2)}(Z), \quad g_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) \longleftrightarrow \tilde{g}_{l,n,m}^{(3)}(Z).$$

5 Restricting Quasi Regular Functions to Subgroups of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$

In Proposition 33 we saw that (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) , (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^-) are irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$. In this section we investigate how these spaces decompose into irreducible components after restricting to certain natural subgroups of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, namely the group of upper triangular matrices in $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, the group of rigid motions of \mathbb{H} and the Poincaré group.

5.1 The Upper Triangular Subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$

Consider the group of upper triangular matrices in $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$:

$$R_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, d \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, N(a) \neq 0, N(d) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Its Lie algebra is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}; A, B, D \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Let us consider the space (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) . From Subsection 4.3 we see that (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) has $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant finite-dimensional subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(L) &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{f_{L,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z); 0 \leq l \leq L - \frac{1}{2}\}, \\ &\quad L = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \\ \mathcal{U}_{(2)}^+(L) &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{f_{L,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z); 0 \leq l \leq L - \frac{1}{2}\}, \\ &\quad L = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \dots, \\ \mathcal{U}_{(3)}^+(L) &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z); 0 \leq l \leq L\}, \\ &\quad L = 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, these invariant subspaces form a filtration of \mathcal{U}^+

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} \subset \mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(0) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(\tfrac{1}{2}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(2)}^+(\tfrac{1}{2}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(1) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(2)}^+(1) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(3)}^+(1) \\ \subset \mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(\tfrac{3}{2}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(2)}^+(\tfrac{3}{2}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(3)}^+(\tfrac{3}{2}) \subset \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and the quotient of two subsequent subspaces is irreducible. Indeed, when restricted to $SU(2) \times SU(2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(L)/\mathcal{U}_{(3)}^+(L - \tfrac{1}{2}) &= V_{L+\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_L, \\ \mathcal{U}_{(2)}^+(L)/\mathcal{U}_{(1)}^+(L) &= V_{L-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_L, \\ \mathcal{U}_{(3)}^+(L)/\mathcal{U}_{(2)}^+(L) &= V_{L-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{L-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (34) is a maximal filtration of (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) by $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant subspaces, and we obtain a decomposition of (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) into $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ -irreducible components.

The story for the other representations (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^-) is very similar.

5.2 The Group of Rigid Motions of \mathbb{H}

We consider the group of rigid motions on \mathbb{H} :

$$SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}^4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, d \in \mathbb{H}, N(a) = N(d) = 1 \right\} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{H}).$$

Its Lie algebra is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}; A, B, D \in \mathbb{H}, \operatorname{Re} A = \operatorname{Re} D = 0 \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}).$$

Since $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}^4$ is a subgroup of the group of upper triangular matrices $R_{\mathbb{C}}$, the filtration (34) of (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) is invariant under $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}^4$, and it is easy to see that the quotient of two subsequent subspaces remains irreducible under the action of $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}^4$. Thus, we obtain a decomposition of (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) into irreducible components for the action of $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}^4$.

The story for the other representations (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^-) is very similar.

5.3 The Poincaré Group $O(3, 1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

First, consider the group of “motions” of the Minkowski space \mathbb{M} :

$$O(3, 1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{3,1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, d \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, ad^* = 1, ab^* + ba^* = 0 \right\} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

This is one of many possible realizations of the Poincaré group, and we denote it by $P'_{\mathbb{R}}$. Its Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & -A^* \end{pmatrix}; A, B \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, \operatorname{Re} A = 0, B^* = -B \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Since $P'_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a subgroup of the group of upper triangular matrices $R_{\mathbb{C}}$, the filtration (34) of (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) is $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathbb{R}}$ -invariant, and everything that was said about $SO(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$ applies here as well.

Note that this group of motions of \mathbb{M} is a subgroup of the full conformal group of \mathbb{M}

$$U(2, 2)' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, \begin{matrix} ab^* + ba^* = 0 \\ cd^* + dc^* = 0 \\ ad^* + bc^* = 1 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^* \end{pmatrix}; A, B, C \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, B^* = -B, C^* = -C \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)'$.

Things become more interesting when we consider a different copy of $U(2, 2)$ and the corresponding realization of Poincaré group. Consider $U(2, 2) \subset GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as realized by (22); its conformal action preserves $U(2) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. The Lie algebra of $U(2, 2)$ is given by (23). This copy of $U(2, 2)$ is conjugate to $U(2, 2)'$ (that preserves \mathbb{M}) via the Cayley transform. The corresponding realization of the Poincaré group $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A - A^* + B & -i(A + A^* - B) \\ i(A + A^* + B) & A - A^* - B \end{pmatrix}; A, B \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, \operatorname{Re} A = 0, B^* = -B \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

We consider the following spaces of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D}^+ with values in \mathbb{S} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{D}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla \square f = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{D}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla [N(Z + i)^{-1} f(Z)] = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{D}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \square [(Z + i)^{-1} f(Z)] = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{D}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla^+ f = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{D}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{D}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla f = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these spaces has the standard topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. We discuss the space of left anti regular functions \mathcal{V}_a^+ and the conformal action π_{la} in the next subsection.

Theorem 35. *The spaces $\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ and $\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ that are invariant under the π'_l action of the Poincaré group. The representations of the Poincaré group $P_{\mathbb{R}}$*

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)/\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$$

are both isomorphic to $(\pi_{la}, \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$, while the representation

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+)/\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$$

is isomorphic to $(\pi_l, \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$. In particular, the three representations of the Poincaré group $P_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+)/\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)/\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$$

are irreducible.

This result follows from Lemma 42 and Proposition 44.

5.4 Anti Regular Functions

Since the spaces of left and right anti regular functions appear in the decompositions of $(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^\pm)$ and $(\pi'_r, \mathcal{U}'^\pm)$ restricted to the Poincaré group, we briefly review their definitions and conformal properties. We start with the notions of left and right anti regular functions defined on open subsets of \mathbb{H} and $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 36. *Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{H} . A C^1 -function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is left anti regular if it satisfies*

$$\nabla f = 0 \quad \text{at all points in } U.$$

Similarly, a C^1 -function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ is right anti regular if

$$g \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0 \quad \text{at all points in } U.$$

We also can talk about regular functions defined on open subsets of $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. In this case we require such functions to be holomorphic.

Definition 37. *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$. A holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is left anti regular if it satisfies $\nabla f = 0$ at all points in U .*

Similarly, a holomorphic function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ is right anti regular if $g \overleftarrow{\nabla} = 0$ at all points in U .

It is clear from (9) that regular functions are harmonic, i.e. annihilated by \square . One way to construct left anti regular functions is to start with a harmonic function $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, then $\nabla^+ \varphi$ is left anti regular. Similarly, if $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ is harmonic, then $\varphi \overleftarrow{\nabla}^+$ is right anti regular.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_a$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_a$ denote respectively the spaces of (holomorphic) left and right anti regular functions on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$, possibly with singularities.

Theorem 38. *1. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_a$ of left anti regular functions $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ (possibly with singularities) is invariant under the following action of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{la}(h) : f(Z) \mapsto (\pi_{la}(h)f)(Z) &= \frac{a' - Zc'}{N(a' - Zc')^2} \cdot f((a' - Zc')^{-1}(-b' + Zd')), \\ h &= \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

2. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_a$ of right anti regular functions $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ (possibly with singularities) is invariant under the following action of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{ra}(h) : g(Z) \mapsto (\pi_{ra}(h)g)(Z) &= g((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{cZ + d}{N(cZ + d)^2}, \\ h^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Differentiating π_{la} and π_{ra} , we obtain actions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$, which we still denote by π_{la} and π_{ra} respectively. We spell out these Lie algebra actions:

Lemma 39. *The Lie algebra action π_{la} of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_a$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{la} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) \mapsto -\text{Tr}(AZ\partial + 2A)f + Af, \\ \pi_{la} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) \mapsto -\text{Tr}(B\partial)f, \\ \pi_{la} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) \mapsto \text{Tr}(ZCZ\partial + 2ZC)f - ZCf, \\ \pi_{la} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : f(Z) \mapsto \text{Tr}(ZD\partial)f. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the Lie algebra action π_{ra} of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_a$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{ra}\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &: g(Z) \mapsto -\text{Tr}(AZ\partial)g, \\ \pi_{ra}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &: g(Z) \mapsto -\text{Tr}(B\partial)g, \\ \pi_{ra}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} &: g(Z) \mapsto \text{Tr}(CZ\partial + 2CZ)g - gCZ, \\ \pi_{ra}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} &: g(Z) \mapsto \text{Tr}(ZD\partial + 2D)g - gD.\end{aligned}$$

5.5 Cayley Transform

Another ingredient in identifying the irreducible components of (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) restricted to the Poincaré group is the Cayley transform from [FL1]:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} : Z \mapsto (Z - i)(Z + i)^{-1} \text{ maps } \mathbb{U}(2) \rightarrow M, \mathbb{D}^{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{\pm} \text{ (with singularities),} \\ \gamma^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i & -i \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} : Z \mapsto -i(Z + 1)(Z - 1)^{-1} \text{ maps } \mathbb{M} \rightarrow U(2), \mathbb{T}^{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\pm} \text{ (with singularities).}\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mathbb{T}^+ = \mathbb{M} + i\mathbb{C}^+$ and $\mathbb{T}^- = \mathbb{M} - i\mathbb{C}^+$ are tube domains in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where \mathbb{C}^+ is the open cone

$$\mathbb{C}^+ = \{Y \in \mathbb{M}; N(Y) < 0, i \text{Tr} Y < 0\}.$$

We are mostly interested in the bijection between functions on \mathbb{D}^+ and \mathbb{T}^+ .

Lemma 40. *Each $Z \in \mathbb{T}^+$ is invertible.*

Proof. Write $Z = Y + C \in \mathbb{T}^+$ with $Y \in \mathbb{M}$ and $C \in i\mathbb{C}^+$. Since every element of \mathbb{C}^+ is self-adjoint, there exists a $u \in U(2)$ such that uCu^* is diagonal:

$$uCu^* = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta < 0.$$

Then $uYu^* \in \mathbb{M}$, and it is easy to see that $N(Z) = N(uYu^* + uCu^*)$ cannot be zero. \square

Corollary 41. *The map $Z \mapsto (Z - i)(Z + i)^{-1}$ has no singularities on \mathbb{D}^+ ; its inverse map $Z \mapsto -i(Z + 1)(Z - 1)^{-1}$ has no singularities on \mathbb{T}^+ .*

Proof. The first map has no singularities on \mathbb{D}^+ because each $Z \in \mathbb{D}^+$ has eigenvalues of norm less than one, hence $Z + i$ is always invertible. The second map has no singularities on \mathbb{T}^+ because, for each $Z \in \mathbb{T}^+$, $Z - 1 \in \mathbb{T}^+$ too, and, by Lemma 40, $Z - 1$ is invertible. \square

Associated to the matrices γ and γ^{-1} are maps on quasi left anti regular functions

$$\pi'_l(\gamma) : f(Z) \rightarrow i \frac{Z - 1}{N(Z - 1)} f(-i(Z + 1)(Z - 1)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{D}^+ to functions on \mathbb{T}^+ and its inverse

$$\pi'_l(\gamma^{-1}) : f(Z) \rightarrow -2 \frac{Z + i}{N(Z + i)} f((Z - i)(Z + i)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{T}^+ to functions on \mathbb{D}^+ . We also have maps on left anti regular functions

$$\pi_{la}(\gamma) : f(Z) \rightarrow -i \frac{Z - 1}{N(Z - 1)^2} f(-i(Z + 1)(Z - 1)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{D}^+ to functions on \mathbb{T}^+ and its inverse

$$\pi_{la}(\gamma^{-1}) : f(Z) \rightarrow -8 \frac{Z + i}{N(Z + i)^2} f((Z - i)(Z + i)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{T}^+ to functions on \mathbb{D}^+ . Next, we have maps on left regular functions

$$\pi_l(\gamma) : f(Z) \rightarrow 8 \frac{(Z-1)^{-1}}{N(Z-1)} f(-i(Z+1)(Z-1)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{D}^+ to functions on \mathbb{T}^+ and its inverse

$$\pi_l(\gamma^{-1}) : f(Z) \rightarrow i \frac{(Z+i)^{-1}}{N(Z+i)} f((Z-i)(Z+i)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{T}^+ to functions on \mathbb{D}^+ . Finally, we have maps on harmonic functions

$$\pi_l^0(\gamma) : f(Z) \rightarrow \frac{4}{N(Z-1)} f(-i(Z+1)(Z-1)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{D}^+ to functions on \mathbb{T}^+ and its inverse

$$\pi_l^0(\gamma^{-1}) : f(Z) \rightarrow \frac{-1}{N(Z+i)} f((Z-i)(Z+i)^{-1})$$

transforming functions on \mathbb{T}^+ to functions on \mathbb{D}^+ .

We consider the following spaces of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{T}^+ with values in \mathbb{S} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla \square f = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+) &= \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+) = \{f : \mathbb{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla f(Z) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \square f(Z) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+) &= \{f : \mathbb{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla^+ f = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these spaces has the standard topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets.

Lemma 42. *The maps*

$$\pi_l'(\gamma) : \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_l'(\gamma^{-1}) : \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$$

produce mutually inverse bijections of spaces of holomorphic functions

$$\mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+), \quad \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+), \quad \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+).$$

Proof. The fact that $\pi_l'(\gamma)$ and $\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})$ produce mutually inverse bijections between $\mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ and $\mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ follows immediately from Theorem 16 and the fact that the Cayley transform γ produces a bijection between \mathbb{D}^+ and \mathbb{T}^+ (Lemma 63 in [FL1]).

Next, we prove that $\pi_l'(\gamma)$ and $\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})$ produce mutually inverse bijections between $\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ and $\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ and observe that

$$(\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})f)(Z) = \frac{1}{4}N(Z+i) \cdot (\pi_{la}(\gamma^{-1})f)(Z) \in \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+),$$

since $\frac{1}{4}\pi_{la}(\gamma^{-1})f$ is left anti regular on \mathbb{D}^+ . Conversely, since $N(Z+i)$ never vanishes on \mathbb{D}^+ , this formula also shows that every function in $\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ can be realized as $\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$.

Finally, we prove that $\pi_l'(\gamma)$ and $\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})$ produce mutually inverse bijections between $\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ and $\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ and observe that

$$(\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})f)(Z) = -i(Z+i) \cdot (\pi_l^0(\gamma^{-1})f)(Z) \in \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+),$$

since $-i\pi_l^0(\gamma^{-1})f$ is harmonic on \mathbb{D}^+ . Conversely, since $Z+i$ is invertible on \mathbb{D}^+ , this formula also shows that every function in $\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ can be realized as $\pi_l'(\gamma^{-1})f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$. \square

Lemma 43. 1. The set of functions $f_1 \in \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ that can be written as $f_1 = (\deg + 2)g_1$ for some $g_1 \in \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$.

2. The set of functions $f_2 \in \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ that can be written as $f_2 = (\deg + 2)g_2$ for some $g_2 \in \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$.

Proof. We prove the first part only, the other case is similar. The π_l action of a compact group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ on $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ is admissible, hence the set of K -finite elements in $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ is dense. Since the K -finite elements are known to be polynomials on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the set of polynomial functions are dense in $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$.

The Cayley transform $\pi_l(\gamma)$ maps the polynomial functions in $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+)$ into a dense set in $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ that is a subset of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^+(\{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; N(Z-1) \neq 0\}) \\ = \{f : \{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; N(Z-1) \neq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}; f \text{ is holomorphic and } \nabla^+ f = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{V}^+(\{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; N(Z-1) \neq 0\})$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ as well. Following Subsection 2.4 of [FL3], on \mathbb{T}^+ , to each $f_1 \in \mathcal{V}^+(\{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; N(Z-1) \neq 0\})$ restricted to \mathbb{T}^+ , we can apply an operator $(\deg + 2)^{-1}$ and conclude that

$$f_1|_{\mathbb{T}^+} = (\deg + 2)g_1, \quad \text{where } g_1 = (\deg + 2)^{-1}f_1|_{\mathbb{T}^+} \in \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+).$$

□

Proposition 44. The spaces $\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ and $\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ that are invariant under the π'_l action of the Poincaré group $P'_{\mathbb{R}}$. The representations of $P'_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$$

are both isomorphic to $(\pi_{la}, \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$, while the representation

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$$

is isomorphic to $(\pi_l, \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$. In particular, the three representations of the Poincaré group $P'_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$$

are irreducible.

Proof. The isomorphism $(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)) \simeq (\pi_{la}, \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$ is obvious, since $\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+) = \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ and the actions π'_l, π_{la} coincide on $P'_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$$

are given by $f_1 \mapsto \nabla f_1$ and $f_2 \mapsto \square f_2$ respectively. Clearly, both maps commute with parallel translations by elements of \mathbb{M} . Next, we show that the maps commute with the actions of the diagonal elements $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in P'_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $f_1 \in \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$, using identities

$$\nabla[f_1(a^{-1}Zd)] = d[\nabla(a^{-1}f_1)](a^{-1}Zd) \quad \text{and} \quad \square[f_2(a^{-1}Zd)] = N(a^{-1}d)[\square f_2](a^{-1}Zd),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla[\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} f_1] &= \nabla[af_1(a^{-1}Zd)] = d[\nabla f_1](a^{-1}Zd) = \pi_l \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} [\nabla f_1], \\ \square[\pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} f_2] &= \square[af_2(a^{-1}Zd)] = a[\square f_2](a^{-1}Zd) = \pi_{la} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} [\square f_2]. \end{aligned}$$

The maps ∇ and \square have dense images because the functions that appear in Lemma 43 are in the respective ranges of these maps. Indeed, if $f_1 = (\deg + 2)g_1 \in \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$ and $f_2 = (\deg + 2)g_2 \in \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{T}^+)$, then, by (30) and identity $2(\deg + 2) = \nabla Z + Z^+ \nabla^+$ (Lemma 7 from [FL3]),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nabla(Zg_1) &= f_1, & \frac{1}{2} Zg_1 &\in \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+), \\ \frac{1}{4} \square(N(Z)g_2) &= f_2, & \frac{1}{4} N(Z)g_2 &\in \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+). \end{aligned}$$

The three representations of the Poincaré group $P'_\mathbb{R}$

$$(\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_1^+(\mathbb{T}^+)), \quad (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^+(\mathbb{T}^+)/\mathcal{U}_2^+(\mathbb{T}^+))$$

are irreducible because they are isomorphic as representations of the Poincaré group $P_\mathbb{R}$ to either $(\pi_{la}, \mathcal{V}_a^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$ or $(\pi_l, \mathcal{V}^+(\mathbb{D}^+))$, and these are known to be irreducible [MT] (and a more accessible argument can be found in [W]). \square

6 Invariant Bilinear Pairing and Pseudounitary Structures

6.1 Invariant Bilinear Pairing

In this subsection we construct a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ -invariant bilinear pairing between left and right quasi anti regular functions.

Let $S_R^3 = \{X \in \mathbb{H}; N(X) = R^2\}$ be the sphere of radius $R > 0$ centered at the origin, and let dS be the usual Euclidean volume element on S_R^3 . We define a bilinear pairing $\langle f, g \rangle_{QR}$ between $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}'$ by declaring

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{QR} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}^+ \times \mathcal{U}'^+ \text{ and } \mathcal{U}^- \times \mathcal{U}'^-$$

and by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{QR} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\deg g(X)) \cdot \nabla f(X) \frac{dS}{R} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} g(X) \cdot \deg \nabla f(X) \frac{dS}{R} \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\square g(X)) \cdot X^{-1} \cdot f(X) dS \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

when $f \in \mathcal{U}^+$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}'^-$ or $f \in \mathcal{U}^-$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}'^+$. (While the formula makes sense if, for example, $f \in \mathcal{U}^+$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}'^+$, it does not produce a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ -invariant pairing.) Note that the expression (37) is inspired by the reproducing formula for QLAR functions (28), so that

$$f(X_0) = \left\langle f(X), \frac{X - X_0}{N(X - X_0)} \right\rangle_{QR} \quad \text{if } X_0 \in \mathbb{H}, N(X_0) < R.$$

Lemma 45. *We have the following orthogonality properties among the families of QLAR and*

QRAR functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = (2l+1)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\delta_{nn'}, \\
\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = -2l(2l+1)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\delta_{nn'}, \\
\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = -2l\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\delta_{nn'}, \\
\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = 0, \\
\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = 0, \\
\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = 0, \\
\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = 0, \\
\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = 0, \\
\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} &= \langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \rangle_{QR} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the pairing (37) is non-degenerate and does not depend on the choice of $R > 0$.

Proof. The result follows from the orthogonality relations (17) in [FL2] and intermediate computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \nabla \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = 0, \\
\nabla f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= 2(2l+1) \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)t_{nm+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ (l+m)t_{nm-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} t_{nm+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ -t_{nm-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \\
\nabla \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \frac{2}{N(Z)} \begin{pmatrix} t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ -t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = -\frac{4l}{N(Z)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-m+\frac{1}{2})t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}) \\ (l+m+\frac{1}{2})t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n}}^l(Z^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \\
\Box g_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= \Box g_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = \Box \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) = \Box \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) = 0, \\
\Box g_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) \cdot Z^{-1} &= \frac{8l}{N(Z)} \left((l+n)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z), -(l-n)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \right), \\
\Box \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot Z^{-1} &= -\frac{4(2l+1)}{N(Z)^2} \left((l+n+1/2)t_{m\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}), -(l-n+1/2)t_{m\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^l(Z^{-1}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

From these orthogonality relations and Lemma 34 we immediately see the effect of the inversion on the pairing (37):

Corollary 46. For all $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}'$,

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g \right\rangle_{QR} = -\langle f, g \rangle_{QR}.$$

We are ready to prove that the bilinear pairing is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant.

Proposition 47. The equation (37) defines an $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant bilinear pairing between (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^-) and also between (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^+) . This pairing is non-degenerate and independent of the choice of $R > 0$.

Proof. We already know that this pairing is independent of the choice of $R > 0$. By direct computation, for $a, d \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$ with $N(a) = N(d) = 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(f(a^{-1}Zd)) &= (\deg f)(a^{-1}Zd), \\ \nabla(f(a^{-1}Zd)) &= d(\nabla(a^{-1}f))(a^{-1}Zd), \quad \nabla^+(f(a^{-1}Zd)) = a(\nabla^+(d^{-1}f))(a^{-1}Zd), \\ (g(a^{-1}Zd))\overset{\leftarrow}{\nabla} &= ((gd)\overset{\leftarrow}{\nabla}(a^{-1}Zd))a^{-1}, \quad (g(a^{-1}Zd))\overset{\leftarrow}{\nabla}^+ = ((ga)\overset{\leftarrow}{\nabla}^+(a^{-1}Zd))d^{-1}, \\ \square(af(a^{-1}Zd)) &= a(\square f)(a^{-1}Zd), \quad \square(g(a^{-1}Zd)d^{-1}) = ((\square g)(a^{-1}Zd))d^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that the pairing (37) is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ invariant. The invariance under the scalar matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, is trivial. The invariance under the dilation matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, follows from the independence of the pairing (37) of the choice of $R > 0$.

Next, we verify the invariance of the pairing under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since we have already established the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ invariance, it is sufficient to check for $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ only. We do so by verifying that

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} \right\rangle_{QR} + \left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} \right\rangle_{QR} = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} \right\rangle_{QR} + \left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} \right\rangle_{QR} = 0 \quad (39)$$

for the basis functions, where $\alpha, \alpha' = 1, 2, 3$. Note that the actions of $\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ were spelled out in Subsection 4.3. We start with $\alpha = 1$, then by the orthogonality relations (Lemma 45), both summands in (38) are zero unless $\alpha' = 1$, $l' = l - \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m + \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n + \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR} = -2l(l-m) = -\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR}.$$

And both summands in (39) are zero unless $l' = l + \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m - \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n - \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR} = (2l+1)(l-m+3/2) = -\left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR},$$

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR} = 1 = -\left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR},$$

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR} = l+n = -\left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR}.$$

When $\alpha = 2$, both summands in (38) are zero unless $\alpha' = 1$ or 2 , $l' = l - \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m + \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n + \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR} = -2l(l-m)(l+n+1/2) = -\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR},$$

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR} = (2l-1)(2l+1)(l-m) = -\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR}.$$

And both summands in (39) are zero unless $\alpha' = 2$ or 3 , $l' = l + \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m - \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n - \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR} = -2l(2l+2)(l-m+1/2) = -\left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR},$$

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR} = (l-m+1/2)(l+n) = -\left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR}.$$

When $\alpha = 3$, both summands in (38) are zero unless $l' = l - \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m + \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n + \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR} &= -(l+n+1/2) = -\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{QR}, \\ \left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR} &= -1 = -\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)} \right\rangle_{QR}, \\ \left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR} &= 2l(l-m-1) = -\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR}.\end{aligned}$$

And both summands in (39) are zero unless $\alpha' = 3$, $l' = l + \frac{1}{2}$, $m' = m - \frac{1}{2}$, $n' = n - \frac{1}{2}$. In this case,

$$\left\langle \pi'_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR} = -(2l+1)(l-m+1/2) = -\left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}, \pi'_r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)} \right\rangle_{QR}.$$

This proves the invariance of the pairing under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Finally, it follows from Corollary 46 that the pairing is also invariant under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $C \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. \square

We have an alternative description of the invariant bilinear pairing (37).

Lemma 48. *The $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant bilinear pairing (37) on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}'$ can be described as*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= -\frac{R}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\square \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(X)) \cdot X^{-1} \cdot f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(X) dS, \\ \left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= -\frac{2l}{4\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(X) \cdot \nabla f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(X) \frac{dS}{R}, \\ \left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= -\frac{2l}{4\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(X) \cdot \nabla f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(X) \frac{dS}{R}, \\ \left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha'; \\ \left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= \frac{2l+1}{4\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} g_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(X) \cdot \nabla \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(X) \frac{dS}{R}, \\ \left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= \frac{2l+1}{4\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} g_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(X) \cdot \nabla \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(X) \frac{dS}{R}, \\ \left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= -\frac{R}{8\pi^2} \int_{X \in S_R^3} (\square g_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(X)) \cdot X^{-1} \cdot \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(X) dS, \\ \left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha'; \\ \left\langle f_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), g_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} &= \left\langle \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), \tilde{g}_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')}(Z) \right\rangle_{QR} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We verify by direct computation that this pairing satisfies the same orthogonality relations as those described in Lemma 45. Then the result follows. \square

6.2 Invariant Pseudounitary Structures

In this subsection we construct pseudounitary (non-degenerate indefinite) $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant structures on \mathcal{U}^+ , \mathcal{U}^- , \mathcal{U}'^+ and \mathcal{U}'^- . We realize the group $U(2, 2)$ as the subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as in (22). Then the Lie algebra of $U(2, 2)$ is given by (23).

We have a complex bilinear pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{S}' \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \left\langle (s'_1, s'_2), \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = s'_1 s_1 + s'_2 s_2.$$

We also have \mathbb{C} -antilinear maps $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}'$ and $\mathbb{S}' \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ – matrix transposition followed by complex conjugation – which are similar to quaternionic conjugation and so, by abuse of notation, we use the same symbol to denote these maps:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix}^+ = (\overline{s_1}, \overline{s_2}), \quad (s'_1, s'_2)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s'_1} \\ \overline{s'_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{S}, (s'_1, s'_2) \in \mathbb{S}'.$$

Note that $(Xs)^+ = s^+ X^+$ and $(s'X)^+ = X^+ s'^+$ for all $X \in \mathbb{H}$, $s \in \mathbb{S}$, $s' \in \mathbb{S}'$.

Theorem 49. *The spaces (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) , (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}'^-) have pseudounitary structures that are preserved by the real form $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The invariant pseudounitary structure on \mathcal{U}^+ can be described as*

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= \frac{2l+1}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S^3} (f_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(X))^+ \cdot f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(X) dS, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -\frac{2l(2l+1)}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S^3} (f_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(X))^+ \cdot f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(X) dS, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -\frac{2l}{2\pi^2} \int_{X \in S^3} (f_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(X))^+ \cdot f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(X) dS, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(\alpha')} (Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha'. \end{aligned}$$

And the invariant pseudounitary structures on \mathcal{U}^- , \mathcal{U}'^+ , \mathcal{U}'^- are similar.

Proof. Clearly, the product $(f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{U}^+}$ is $U(2) \times U(2)$ invariant. From (24) we obtain orthogonality relations for the $f_{l,m,n}^{(\alpha)}(Z)$'s:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= (2l+1) \frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n+\frac{1}{2})!(l+n+\frac{1}{2})!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -2l(2l+1) \frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n-\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), f_{l',m',n'}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -2l \frac{(l-m-1)!(l+m-1)!}{(l-n-\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that $(f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{U}^+}$ is $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant, it is sufficient to show that $(f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{U}^+}$ is invariant under $\pi'_l(X)$ and $\pi'_l(Y)$, where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ are as in (25). From Subsection 4.3 we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_l(X) f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z) &= -(l-m) f_{l-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) + \frac{(2l+1)(l-n+\frac{3}{2})}{2l+2} f_{l+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(2l+1)(2l+2)} f_{l+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) - \frac{l+m}{2l+1} f_{l+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_l(X) f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z) &= -\frac{(l-m)(l+n+\frac{1}{2})}{2l} f_{l-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) - \frac{(2l+1)(l-m)}{2l} f_{l-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{2l(l-n+\frac{1}{2})}{2l+1} f_{l+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) - \frac{(l+m)(l-n+\frac{1}{2})}{2l+1} f_{l+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z) &= -\frac{l+n+\frac{1}{2}}{2l}f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z) + \frac{1}{2l(2l-1)}f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z) \\ &\quad - \frac{2l(l-m-1)}{2l-1}f_{l-\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z) + (l-n+1/2)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z).\end{aligned}$$

And we can find similar expressions for

$$\pi'_l(Y)f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \quad \pi'_l(Y)f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \quad \pi'_l(Y)f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z).$$

Then, by direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \pi'_l(X)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -(2l+1)\frac{(l-m+1)!(l+m)!}{(l-n+\frac{1}{2})!(l+n+\frac{1}{2})!} \\ &= -(\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \pi'_l(X)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -\frac{(l-m+1)!(l+m)!}{(l-n+\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \\ &= -(\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z), \pi'_l(X)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -(2l+1)\frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n+\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \\ &= -(\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \pi'_l(X)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= 2l(2l+2)\frac{(l-m+1)!(l+m)!}{(l-n-\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \\ &= -(\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), \pi'_l(X)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= -\frac{(l-m)!(l+m)!}{(l-n-\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \\ &= -(\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z), f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+}, \\ (f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), \pi'_l(X)f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+} &= (2l+1)\frac{(l-m)!(l+m-1)!}{(l-n-\frac{1}{2})!(l+n-\frac{1}{2})!} \\ &= -(\pi'_l(X)f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z), f_{l+\frac{1}{2},m-\frac{1}{2},n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(3)}(Z))_{\mathcal{U}^+}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the $\pi'_l(X)$ -invariance of the pseudounitary structure.

The calculations for $\pi'_l(Y)$ are nearly identical. \square

Recall that, by Proposition 33, (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) , (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^-) are irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and hence $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$, and the (pseudo)unitary structure on an irreducible representation is unique up to rescaling. Thus we obtain:

Corollary 50. *The spaces (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^+) , (π'_l, \mathcal{U}^-) , (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^+) and (π'_r, \mathcal{U}^-) do not have $\mathfrak{u}(2, 2)$ -invariant unitary structures.*

7 Reproducing Kernel Expansion

In this section we provide two expansions of the reproducing kernel $\frac{Z-W}{N(Z-W)}$ in terms of the basis functions given in Propositions 29, 31. These expansions should be considered as quasi regular analogues of the matrix coefficient expansions of the Cauchy-Fueter kernel $\frac{(Z-W)^{-1}}{N(Z-W)}$ from Proposition 26 in [FL1] (see also Proposition 113 in [FL3]).

Theorem 51. *We have the reproducing kernel expansions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z - W}{N(Z - W)} = & - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l + 1} f_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l(2l + 1)} f_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W) + \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} f_{l, m, n}^{(3)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(3)}(W), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which converges uniformly on compact subsets in the region $\{(Z, W) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}; ZW^{-1} \in \mathbb{D}^+\}$. The sum is taken first over all applicable m and n , then over $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z - W}{N(Z - W)} = & \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l + 1} \tilde{f}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot g_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) \\ & - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l(2l + 1)} \tilde{f}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot g_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \tilde{f}_{l, m, n}^{(3)}(Z) \cdot g_{l, m, n}^{(3)}(W), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

which converges uniformly on compact subsets in the region $\{(Z, W) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times} \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}; Z^{-1}W \in \mathbb{D}^+\}$. The sum is taken first over all applicable m and n , then over $l = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$.

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l + 1} f_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l(2l + 1)} f_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W) - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} f_{l, m, n}^{(3)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(3)}(W) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l + 1} \begin{pmatrix} t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ -t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix} \left((l + m + 1)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l + \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}), -(l - m + 1)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l + \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{N(W)^{-1}}{2l(2l + 1)} \begin{pmatrix} (l - n + \frac{1}{2})t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \\ (l + n + \frac{1}{2})t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix} \left(t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}), t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{N(Z)}{2lN(W)} \begin{pmatrix} t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z) \\ -t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z) \end{pmatrix} \left((l + m)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}), -(l - m)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \right) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l + 1} \begin{pmatrix} (l + m + 1)t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l + \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) & -(l - m + 1)t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l + \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \\ -(l + m + 1)t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l + \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) & (l - m + 1)t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l + \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{N(W)^{-1}}{2l(2l + 1)} \begin{pmatrix} (l - n + \frac{1}{2})t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) & (l - n + \frac{1}{2})t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \\ (l + n + \frac{1}{2})t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) & (l + n + \frac{1}{2})t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^l(Z)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1 \\ m, n}} \frac{N(Z)}{2lN(W)} \begin{pmatrix} (l + m)t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) & -(l - m)t_{n - \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \\ -(l + m)t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z)t_{m + \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) & (l - m)t_{n + \frac{1}{2} \underline{m}}^{l-1}(Z)t_{m - \frac{1}{2} \underline{n}}^{l - \frac{1}{2}}(W^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We deal with the three sums separately. The first sum is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l+1} f_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(1)}(W) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \begin{pmatrix} (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & -(l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ -(l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix} t_{m, n}^l(W^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l} \partial_Z^+ t_{n, m}^l(Z) t_{m, n}^l(W^{-1}) = \partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, n} \frac{1}{2l} t_{n, n}^l(ZW^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume further that ZW^{-1} can be diagonalized as $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. This is allowed since the set of matrices with distinct eigenvalues is dense in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the sum $\sum_n t_{n, n}^l(ZW^{-1})$ is just the character $\chi_l(ZW^{-1})$ of the irreducible representation of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ of dimension $2l+1$ and equals $\frac{\lambda_1^{2l+1} - \lambda_2^{2l+1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$. We drop the factors $(2l)^{-1}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, n} t_{n, n}^l(ZW^{-1}) &= \partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l+1} - \lambda_2^{2l+1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \partial_Z^+ \frac{\lambda_1(1-\lambda_1)^{-1} - \lambda_2(1-\lambda_2)^{-1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\
&= \partial_Z^+ \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)} = \partial_Z^+ \frac{N(W)}{N(Z-W)} = F_1(Z, W),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, n} \frac{1}{2l} t_{n, n}^l(ZW^{-1}) = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} F_1(sZ, W) ds.$$

The second sum is

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)} f_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(Z) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l, m, n}^{(2)}(W) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{-N(W)^{-1}}{(2l+1)(2l+2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix} t_{m, n}^l(W^{-1}) \\
&= -\frac{Z}{N(W)} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l+2} t_{n, m}^l(Z) t_{m, n}^l(W^{-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{N(Z)N(W)^{-1}}{(2l+1)(2l+2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & -(l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ -(l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix} t_{m, n}^l(W^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

The second term will be combined with the third sum. We drop the factors $(2l+2)^{-1}$ from the

first term:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{Z}{N(W)} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) t_{m\underline{n}}^l(W^{-1}) = -\frac{Z}{N(W)} \sum_{l \geq 0, n} t_{n\underline{n}}^l(ZW^{-1}) \\
& = -\frac{Z}{N(W)} \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l+1} - \lambda_2^{2l+1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = -\frac{Z}{N(W)} \frac{\lambda_1(1 - \lambda_1)^{-1} - \lambda_2(1 - \lambda_2)^{-1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\
& = -\frac{ZN(W)^{-1}}{(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)} = -\frac{Z}{N(Z - W)} = F_2(Z, W),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$-\frac{Z}{N(W)} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 0 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l+2} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) t_{m\underline{n}}^l(W^{-1}) = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} F_2(sZ, W) ds.$$

The third sum (combined with a similar term from the second sum) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l+2} \begin{pmatrix} (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & -(l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ -(l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) & (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \end{pmatrix} t_{m\underline{n}}^l(W^{-1}) \\
& = -\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 1/2 \\ m, n}} \frac{1}{2l+2} \partial_Z^+ t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) t_{m\underline{n}}^l(W^{-1}) = -\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, n} \frac{1}{2l+2} t_{n\underline{n}}^l(ZW^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We drop the factors $(2l+2)^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, n} \partial_Z^+ t_{n\underline{n}}^l(ZW^{-1}) = -\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\lambda_1^{2l+1} - \lambda_2^{2l+1}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\
& = -\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \partial_Z^+ \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)} = -N(Z) \partial_Z^+ \frac{1}{N(Z - W)} = F_3(Z, W),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$-\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} \partial_Z^+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, n} \frac{1}{2l+2} t_{n\underline{n}}^l(ZW^{-1}) = \int_{s=0}^{s=1} F_3(sZ, W) ds.$$

We combine the three sums:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(Z, W) + F_2(Z, W) + F_3(Z, W) & = (N(W) - N(Z)) \partial_Z^+ \frac{1}{N(Z - W)} - \frac{Z}{N(Z - W)} \\
& = (N(Z) - N(W)) \frac{Z - W}{N(Z - W)^2} - \frac{Z}{N(Z - W)} \\
& = \left(\left(\frac{N(Z)}{N(W)} - 1 \right) \frac{ZW^{-1} - 1}{N(ZW^{-1} - 1)^2} - \frac{ZW^{-1}}{N(ZW^{-1} - 1)} \right) \frac{W}{N(W)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s=0}^{s=1} (F_1(sZ, W) + F_2(sZ, W) + F_3(sZ, W)) ds \\
&= \int_{s=0}^{s=1} \left(\left(\frac{N(sZ)}{N(W)} - 1 \right) \frac{sZW^{-1} - 1}{N(sZW^{-1} - 1)^2} - \frac{sZW^{-1}}{N(sZW^{-1} - 1)} \right) \frac{W}{N(W)} ds \\
&= \int_{s=0}^{s=1} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 s^2 - 1}{(s\lambda_1 - 1)^2 (s\lambda_2 - 1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} s\lambda_1 - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s\lambda_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{s}{(s\lambda_1 - 1)(s\lambda_2 - 1)} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \frac{W}{N(W)} ds \\
&= \int_{s=0}^{s=1} \begin{pmatrix} (s\lambda_2 - 1)^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & (s\lambda_1 - 1)^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \frac{W}{N(W)} ds = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \lambda_1)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{W}{N(W)} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{W}{N(W)(1 - \lambda_1)(1 - \lambda_2)} = -\frac{Z - W}{N(Z - W)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (40).

The proof of (41) is similar. \square

Remark 52. Observe that the reproducing kernels for biharmonic functions and quasi anti regular functions are related as

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_Z^+ \log [N(1 - ZW^{-1})] &= 2 \frac{Z - W}{N(Z - W)}, \\
\nabla_W^+ \log [N(1 - Z^{-1}W)] &= -2 \frac{Z - W}{N(Z - W)}.
\end{aligned}$$

8 Equivariant Maps Involving the Tensor Product of Quasi Anti Regular Functions $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$

The quasi regular functions can be regarded as a square root of the space of quaternionic holomorphic functions \mathcal{W}' in the same sense as regular functions provide a square root of the dual space \mathcal{W} . In particular, the pointwise multiplication between functions from \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' is an equivariant map to \mathcal{W}' in the same way as the pointwise multiplication between functions from \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' is an equivariant map to \mathcal{W} . Conversely, the dual space \mathcal{W} can be embedded into a certain holomorphic completion of the tensor product $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$ (the same is true for embedding of \mathcal{W}' into a completion of $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}'$). In this section we study the constituents of these equivariant maps in detail.

8.1 Equivariant Maps $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$ and $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$

Recall that in [FL1] we introduced a space of

$$\{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-valued polynomial functions on } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}\} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22}, N(Z)^{-1}]$$

equipped with two actions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ obtained by differentiating the following group actions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(h) : F(Z) &\mapsto (\rho_2(h)F)(Z) = \frac{(cZ + d)^{-1}}{N(cZ + d)} \cdot F((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{(a' - Zc')^{-1}}{N(a' - Zc')}, \\
\rho'_2(h) : G(Z) &\mapsto (\rho'_2(h)G)(Z) = \frac{a' - Zc'}{N(a' - Zc')} \cdot G((aZ + b)(cZ + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{cZ + d}{N(cZ + d)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $F, G \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{21}, z_{22}, N(Z)^{-1}]$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. We denote by \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}' the corresponding modules over $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

As a representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) was decomposed into thirteen irreducible components in Subsection 5.1 in [FL3]. According to Corollary 56 in [FL3], the quotient $(\rho_2, \mathcal{W}/\ker(\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+))$ has five irreducible components that are isomorphic to

$$(\rho, III^+), \quad (\rho, III^-), \quad (\rho, \mathcal{J}), \quad (\rho, \mathcal{I}^+/(III^+ \oplus \mathcal{J})), \quad (\rho, \mathcal{I}^-/(III^- \oplus \mathcal{J})). \quad (42)$$

And $\ker(\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+) \subset \mathcal{W}$ has eight more: three ‘‘large’’ components

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,m,n}(Z), N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,m,n}(Z), \mathbf{H}_{k,l,m,n}(Z); k \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,m,n}(Z), N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,m,n}(Z), \mathbf{H}_{k,l,m,n}(Z); k \leq -(2l+3)\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}^0 &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,m,n}(Z), N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,m,n}(Z) \text{ with } -(2l+1) \leq k \leq -2, \\ \mathbf{H}_{k,l,m,n}(Z) \text{ with } -(2l+2) \leq k \leq -1 \end{array} \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

four components isomorphic to doubly regular functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,m,n}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}, \\ \mathcal{F}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-(2l+2)} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,m,n}(Z); l \geq 1/2\} \\ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}'_{1,m,n}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}, \\ \mathcal{G}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,m,n}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}, \\ \mathcal{G}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-(2l+2)} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,m,n}(Z); l \geq 1/2\} \\ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}'_{1,m,n}(Z); l \geq 1/2\} \end{aligned}$$

and the trivial one-dimensional representation

$$\mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+\}.$$

The way these irreducible components fit together can be described as follows. Theorem 31 and Lemma 55 in [FL3] illustrate how the five irreducible components (42) ‘‘nest’’ inside $\mathcal{W}/\ker(\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+)$.

Proposition 53 (Section 5.1 in [FL3]). *The ρ_2 -invariant subspace $\ker(\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+) \subset \mathcal{W}$ has three irreducible subrepresentations: \mathcal{Q}^+ , \mathcal{Q}^- , \mathcal{Q}^0 . And the quotient*

$$\frac{\ker(\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+ : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow III)}{\mathcal{Q}^- \oplus \mathcal{Q}^0 \oplus \mathcal{Q}^+} \simeq \mathcal{F}^+ \oplus \mathcal{F}^- \oplus \mathcal{G}^+ \oplus \mathcal{G}^- \oplus \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+\}.$$

Since (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') is the dual representation of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , it also has thirteen irreducible components; they are described in Subsection 5.2 in [FL3]. The composition structure of (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') ‘‘mirrors’’ that of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) and can be described as follows.

Remark 54. *The ρ'_2 -invariant subspace $\ker(\text{Mx} : \mathcal{W}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W})$ decomposes into a direct sum of $(\rho, III'/\mathcal{I}'_0)$, the trivial one-dimensional subrepresentation spanned by $N(Z)^{-1} \cdot Z$ and four irreducible subrepresentations*

$$(\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^-), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^-)$$

that are isomorphic to \mathcal{F}^+ , \mathcal{F}^- , \mathcal{G}^+ , \mathcal{G}^- respectively (Proposition 37 and Theorem 49 in [FL3]). The invariant subspace $(\rho', III'/\mathcal{I}'_0)$ decomposes further into five irreducible components that are dual to (42):

$$(\rho', \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0), \quad (\rho', \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-/\mathcal{I}'_0), \quad (\rho', \mathcal{J}'/(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+ + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-)),$$

$$(\rho', \mathbb{I}^+/\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+), \quad (\rho', \mathbb{I}^-/\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-),$$

and Theorem 32 in [FL3] illustrates how these components fit together. Finally, the quotient $(\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \text{Mx})$ is the direct sum of three components $\mathcal{Q}'^- \oplus \mathcal{Q}'^0 \oplus \mathcal{Q}'^+$ that are dual to \mathcal{Q}^+ , \mathcal{Q}^0 , \mathcal{Q}^- respectively (Theorem 62 in [FL3]).

By Lemma 59 and Proposition 66 in [FL3], \mathcal{Q}'^0 (the dual of \mathcal{Q}^0) and $N(Z)^{-1} \cdot Z$ (spanning the 1-dimensional subrepresentation) generate an indecomposable subrepresentation of \mathcal{W}' that has exactly two irreducible components; we denote this indecomposable subrepresentation of \mathcal{W}' by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}'^0$.

We can form a tensor product representation $(\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r, \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}')$ and consider a larger space

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}' = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{holomorphic } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-valued functions in two variables} \\ Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ (possibly with singularities) that are} \\ \text{QLAR with respect to } Z_1 \text{ and QRAR with respect to } Z_2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

The action of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ on these functions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r)(h) : F(Z_1, Z_2) &\mapsto ((\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r)(h)F)(Z_1, Z_2) \\ &= \frac{a' - Z_1 c'}{N(a' - Z_1 c')} F((a' - Z_1 c')(-b' + Z_1 d')^{-1}, (aZ_2 + b)(cZ_2 + d)^{-1}) \cdot \frac{cZ_2 + d}{N(cZ_2 + d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}'$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Differentiating, we obtain the corresponding action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ also denoted by $\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r$.

We denote by Diag the restriction to the diagonal map on $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}'$:

$$\text{Diag} : F(Z_1, Z_2) \mapsto F(Z, Z). \quad (43)$$

Clearly, Diag intertwines the actions of $\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r$ and ρ'_2 . When restricted to $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$, the map Diag is just the multiplication map

$$\text{Mult} : \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}', \quad f(Z_1) \otimes g(Z_2) \mapsto f(Z) \cdot g(Z).$$

We have the following analogue of Lemma 8 in [FL2] and Proposition 89 in [FL3]:

Proposition 55. *Under the multiplication maps $\text{Mult} : (\pi'_l, \mathcal{U}^{\pm}) \otimes (\pi'_r, \mathcal{U}'^{\pm}) \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}')$,*

1. *The image of $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+$ in \mathcal{W}' is a vector space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+$; its irreducible components as a subrepresentation of \mathcal{W}' are*

$$(\rho', \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+/\mathcal{I}_0), \quad (\rho', \mathbb{I}^+/\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{Q}'^+).$$

2. *The image of $\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-$ in \mathcal{W}' is a vector space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (N(Z) \cdot \mathcal{K}^-)$; its irreducible components as a subrepresentation of \mathcal{W}' are*

$$(\rho', \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-/\mathcal{I}_0), \quad (\rho', \mathbb{I}^-/\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^-), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^-), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{Q}'^-).$$

3. *The image of $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-$ and $\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+$ in \mathcal{W}' is a vector space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^+)$; its irreducible components as a subrepresentation of \mathcal{W}' are*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho', \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+/\mathcal{I}_0), \quad (\rho', \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-/\mathcal{I}_0), \quad (\rho', \mathcal{J}'/(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^+ + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}^-)), \quad \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot Z\}, \\ &(\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^-), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^+), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^-), \quad (\rho'_2, \mathcal{Q}'^0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the harmonic functions are contained in the spaces of quasi regular functions:

$$\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\pm \subset \mathcal{U}^\pm, \quad \mathbb{S}' \otimes \mathcal{H}^\pm \subset \mathcal{U}'^\pm,$$

by Lemma 8 in [FL2], we have containments of vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ &\subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+), & \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^- &\subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-), \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^0 &\subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-), & \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^0 &\subset \text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The fact that

$$\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+$$

is clear. The decomposition of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+$ into irreducible components follows from Lemma 63 in [FL3]. This proves the first part.

Applying the inversion to both sides of $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+$ and using Lemma 63 in [FL3], we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-) &= \partial^+(\mathcal{BH}^-/\mathcal{I}_0) \oplus \partial^+(\mathcal{III}^-/\mathcal{BH}^-) \oplus (\mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^-) \oplus (\mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^-) \oplus \mathcal{Q}'^- \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (N(Z) \cdot \mathcal{K}^-). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the second part.

It is easy to see that $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-)$ contains the generators of the irreducible components

$$\partial^+(\mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}_0), \quad \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^+, \quad \mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^+$$

and hence the whole components. Therefore, by (44),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-) &\supset (\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^0) \oplus \partial^+(\mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}_0) \oplus (\mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_a^+) \oplus (\mathcal{W}'/\ker \tau_s^+) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^+). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, the quasi regular functions are biharmonic, so, by Proposition 1, we have a containment of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{U}^\pm \subset \mathbb{S} \otimes (\mathcal{H}^\pm \oplus N(Z) \cdot \mathcal{H}^\pm), \quad \mathcal{U}'^\pm \subset \mathbb{S}' \otimes (\mathcal{H}^\pm \oplus N(Z) \cdot \mathcal{H}^\pm)$$

and

$$\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^0 \oplus N(Z) \cdot \mathcal{K}^0 \oplus N(Z)^2 \cdot \mathcal{K}^0).$$

It follows that $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-)$ cannot contain these irreducible components of \mathcal{W}' :

$$\partial^+(\mathcal{III}^+/\mathcal{BH}^+), \quad \partial^+(\mathcal{III}^-/\mathcal{BH}^-), \quad \mathcal{Q}'^+, \quad \mathcal{Q}'^-.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^-) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^+).$$

The decomposition of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes (\mathcal{K}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^+)$ into irreducible components follows from (45) and Lemma 63 in [FL3].

The remaining case of $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+)$ is similar. \square

Let $U(2)_R = \{RZ; Z \in U(2)\}$, and consider the following analogue of equation (57) in [FL3]:

$$(J'_R F)(Z_1, Z_2) = \frac{i}{2\pi^3} \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{W - Z_1}{N(W - Z_1)} \cdot F(W) \cdot \frac{W - Z_2}{N(W - Z_2)} dV, \quad F \in \mathcal{W}. \quad (46)$$

Recall open domains \mathbb{D}_R^\pm defined by equation (22) in [FL2]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}_R^+ &= \{Z \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}; ZZ^* < R^2\} = \{RZ; Z \in \mathbb{D}^+\}, \\ \mathbb{D}_R^- &= \{Z \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}; ZZ^* > R^2\} = \{RZ; Z \in \mathbb{D}^-\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^- \sqcup \mathbb{D}_R^+$, the integrand has no singularities, and the result is a holomorphic function in two variables $\widetilde{Z}_1, \widetilde{Z}_2$ that are QLAR with respect to Z_1 and QRAR with respect to Z_2 , hence an element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$. Similarly to the case of J_R considered in [FL3], the integral (46) depends on whether Z_1 and Z_2 are both in \mathbb{D}_R^+ , both in \mathbb{D}_R^- or one is in \mathbb{D}_R^+ and the other is in \mathbb{D}_R^- . Thus the expression (46) determines four different maps.

Recall from Section 2 of [FL2] that the group $U(2, 2)_R$ is a conjugate of $U(2, 2)$, which is a real form of $GL(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ preserving $U(2)_R$, \mathbb{D}_R^+ and \mathbb{D}_R^- . We have the following analogue of Proposition 64 in [FL3]:

Proposition 56. *The maps $F \mapsto (J'_R F)(Z_1, Z_2)$ are $U(2, 2)_R$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant maps from (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) to $(\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r, \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{U}')$.*

Proof. We need to show that, for all $h \in U(2, 2)_R$, the maps (46) commute with the action of h . Writing $h = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$, $h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\tilde{Z}_1 = (aZ_1 + b)(cZ_1 + d)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{Z}_2 = (aZ_2 + b)(cZ_2 + d)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{W} = (aW + b)(cW + d)^{-1}$$

and using Lemmas 10 and 61 from [FL1] we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{W - Z_1}{N(W - Z_1)} \cdot (\rho_2(h)F)(W) \cdot \frac{W - Z_2}{N(W - Z_2)} dV \\ &= \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{W - Z_1}{N(W - Z_1)} \cdot \frac{(cW + d)^{-1}}{N(cW + d)} \cdot F(\tilde{W}) \cdot \frac{(a' - Wc')^{-1}}{N(a' - Wc')} \cdot \frac{W - Z_2}{N(W - Z_2)} dV \\ &= \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{(a' - Z_1c') \cdot (\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_1) \cdot F(\tilde{W}) \cdot (\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_2) \cdot (cZ_2 + d) dV}{N(a' - Z_1c') \cdot N(cW + d)^2 \cdot N(\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_1) \cdot N(\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_2) \cdot N(a' - Wc')^2 \cdot N(cZ_2 + d)} \\ &= \frac{a' - Z_1c'}{N(a' - Z_1c')} \cdot \int_{\tilde{W} \in U(2)_R} \frac{\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_1}{N(\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_1)} \cdot F(\tilde{W}) \cdot \frac{\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_2}{N(\tilde{W} - \tilde{Z}_2)} dV \cdot \frac{cZ_2 + d}{N(cZ_2 + d)}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the $U(2, 2)_R$ -equivariance. The $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C})$ -equivariance then follows since $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{u}(2, 2)_R$. \square

These maps J'_R are closely related to the maps I_R given by equation (34) in Chapter 6 of [FL2]

$$\mathcal{K} \ni f \quad \mapsto \quad (I_R f)(Z_1, Z_2) = \frac{i}{2\pi^3} \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{f(W) dV}{N(W - Z_1) \cdot N(W - Z_2)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{H},$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ denotes the space of holomorphic \mathbb{C} -valued functions in two variables $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C}$ (possibly with singularities) that are harmonic in each variable separately. Indeed, I_R extends to a map on $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{W}$, and

$$J'_R F(Z_1, Z_2) = I_R(WF(W)W - Z_1F(W)W - WF(W)Z_2 + Z_1F(W)Z_2)(Z_1, Z_2). \quad (47)$$

We use notations J_R^{++} and J_R^{--} to signify $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$ and $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$ respectively. We have an analogue of Theorem 65 in [FL3] for these maps:

Theorem 57. *The $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -equivariant maps $F \mapsto (J'_R F)(Z_1, Z_2)$ have the following properties:*

1. *If $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$, then $J_R'^{++}$ maps \mathcal{W} into $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+ \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}'}$, annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except for*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}, \\ \mathcal{G}^+ &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}\end{aligned}$$

and the component isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^+ / (\mathcal{III}^+ \oplus \mathcal{J})$.

The composition $\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{++}$ maps \mathcal{W} into \mathcal{W}' , annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except for the component isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^+ / (\mathcal{III}^+ \oplus \mathcal{J})$. The image of $\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{++}$ is the irreducible component of \mathcal{W}' isomorphic to $\mathcal{BH}^+ / \mathcal{I}'_0$.

2. *If $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$, then $J_R'^{--}$ maps \mathcal{W} into $\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}'^- \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{U}'}$, annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except for*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}'_{1, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}, \\ \mathcal{G}^- &= \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}'_{1, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z); l \geq 1/2\}\end{aligned}$$

and the component isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^- / (\mathcal{III}^- \oplus \mathcal{J})$.

The composition $\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{--}$ maps \mathcal{W} into \mathcal{W}' , annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except for the component isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^- / (\mathcal{III}^- \oplus \mathcal{J})$. The image of $\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{--}$ is the irreducible component of \mathcal{W}' isomorphic to $\mathcal{BH}^- / \mathcal{I}'_0$.

Proof. We prove part 1 only, the proof of the other part is similar. So, suppose that $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$. By Proposition 56, the map $J_R'^{++}$ is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -equivariant. It follows immediately from Theorem 12 in [FL2] and equations (14), (47) that the image of $J_R'^{++}$ lies in $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}'^+$ and that $J_R'^{++}$ annihilates the irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) that overlap with

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) \cdot N(Z)^k; k \leq -3\}.$$

On the other hand, as was observed in Subsection 4.4 in [FL1], by Theorem 70 in [FL1] and equation (47), $J_R'^{++}$ also annihilates the irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) that overlap with vector space $\mathcal{W}^+ = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+$. This proves that $J_R'^{++}$ annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except possibly

$$\mathcal{F}^+, \quad \mathcal{G}^+, \quad \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+\}, \quad \text{comp. isomorphic to } \mathcal{I}^+ / (\mathcal{III}^+ \oplus \mathcal{J}).$$

We find the effect of $J_R'^{++}$ on these components by evaluating $J_R'^{++}$ on the following generators:

$$\begin{aligned}N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z) &= \frac{2}{N(Z)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -z_{22} & z_{12} \end{pmatrix}, & N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(Z) &= \frac{1}{N(Z)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z_{22} \\ 0 & z_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \\ N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+, & & N(Z)^{-1} \cdot Z^+ & & \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}J_R'^{++}(N(W)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(W))(Z_1, Z_2) &= (Z_1 - Z_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{++}(N(W)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(W)) &= 0; \\ J_R'^{++}(N(W)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(W))(Z_1, Z_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (Z_1 - Z_2),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{++} (N(W)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(W)) &= 0; \\
J_R'^{++} (N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+) &= 0; \\
J_R'^{++} (N(W)^{-1} \cdot W^+) (Z_1, Z_2) &= -\frac{1}{2}(Z_1 + Z_2), \\
\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{++} (N(W)^{-1} \cdot W^+) (Z) &= -Z.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-Z = -\partial^+ N(Z)$ and $N(Z)$ generates $\mathcal{BH}^+ \subset \mathcal{III}'$, it follows that the image of $\text{Mult} \circ J_R'^{++}$ is the irreducible component of \mathcal{W}' isomorphic to $\mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0$. \square

Recall that in Section 4 of [FL2] we decomposed (ρ_1, \mathcal{K}) into three irreducible components:

$$(\rho_1, \mathcal{K}) \simeq (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^-) \oplus (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0) \oplus (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^+). \quad (48)$$

Corresponding to this decomposition, we have equivariant projections

$$P^+ : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad P^- : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^-.$$

Tensoring with $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain a vector space decomposition

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K} = (\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+) \oplus (\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^0) \oplus (\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^-)$$

and projections

$$P^+ : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad P^- : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^-.$$

Note that these decomposition and projection P^- are *not* $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant. We introduce operators Xm^+ and $Xm^- : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$:

$$(Xm^+ F)(Z) = P^+(ZFZ) - ZP^+(FZ) - P^+(ZF)Z + ZP^+(F)Z,$$

$$(Xm^- F)(Z) = P^-(ZFZ) - ZP^-(FZ) - P^-(ZF)Z + ZP^-(F)Z,$$

$F \in \mathcal{W}$. From Proposition 56, Theorem 57 and equation (47) we obtain an analogue of Theorem 77 in [FL1]:

Corollary 58. *1. The operator $Xm^+ : (\rho_2, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}')$ is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant and annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except for the component isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^+ / (\mathcal{III}^+ \oplus \mathcal{J})$. The image of Xm^+ is the irreducible component of \mathcal{W}' isomorphic to $\mathcal{BH}^+/\mathcal{I}'_0$. For $Z \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$, we have an integral presentation*

$$(Xm^+ F)(Z) = \frac{i}{2\pi^3} \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{(W-Z) \cdot F(W) \cdot (W-Z)}{N(W-Z)^2} dV, \quad F \in \mathcal{W}.$$

2. The operator $Xm^- : (\rho_2, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}')$ is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant and annihilates all irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , except for the component isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}^- / (\mathcal{III}^- \oplus \mathcal{J})$. The image of Xm^- is the irreducible component of \mathcal{W}' isomorphic to $\mathcal{BH}^-/\mathcal{I}'_0$. For $Z \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$, we have an integral presentation

$$(Xm^- F)(Z) = \frac{i}{2\pi^3} \int_{W \in U(2)_R} \frac{(W-Z) \cdot F(W) \cdot (W-Z)}{N(W-Z)^2} dV, \quad F \in \mathcal{W}.$$

8.2 Equivariant Maps $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$

In this subsection we continue to study equivariant maps from \mathcal{W} to the tensor product $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$, and now we need to consider the completion $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{U}'$. We use notations $J_R'^{+-}$ and $J_R'^{-+}$ to signify $Z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$, $Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$ and $Z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$, $Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$ respectively. Our first result is about these maps $J_R'^{+-}$ and $J_R'^{-+}$ applied to the generator of the trivial (one-dimensional) component.

Proposition 59. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} J_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+) &= - \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z_2) \\ &- \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l)^2(2l+1)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_2) - \sum_{l \geq 1, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z_2); \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_R'^{-+}(N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+) &= - \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z_1) \cdot g_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z_2) \\ &- \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l)^2(2l+1)^2} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_1) \cdot g_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_2) - \sum_{l \geq 1, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l)^2} \tilde{f}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z_1) \cdot g_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Proof. By (47),

$$\begin{aligned} J_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+) &= I_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-1} \cdot W) - Z_1 \cdot I_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-1}) \\ &- I_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-1}) \cdot Z_2 + Z_1 \cdot I_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+) \cdot Z_2. \end{aligned}$$

We compute each of the four terms separately starting from

$$I_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-1})(Z_1, Z_2) = \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot N(Z_2)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1})$$

(Section 6 in [FL2]).

By Lemma 3 in [FL2], for $C \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\rho_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) N(W)^{-1} = \text{Tr}(C \cdot N(W)^{-1} \cdot W).$$

Hence, by Lemma 11 in [FL2], Lemma 17 in [FL1] and identities (15)-(16), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &I_R'^{+-}(N(W)^{-1} \cdot W) \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}m+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \\ &- \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) & (l-n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) \\ (l+n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}n-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) & (l+n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}n-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) & (l-n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) \\ (l+n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}n-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) & (l+n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}n-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_2). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

By Lemma 11 in [FL2], Lemma 17 in [FL1] and (14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 \cdot I_R^{+-}(N(W)^{-1}) &= Z_1 \cdot \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot N(Z_2)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l-n+1)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l+n+1)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{N(Z_1)}{(2l+1)^2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & -(l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \\ -(l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_2) + \sum_{l \geq 1, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(3)}(Z_2); \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_R^{+-}(N(W)^{-1}) \cdot Z_2 &= \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot N(Z_2)^{-1} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \cdot Z_2 \\
&= \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (l+m+1)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & -(l-m+1)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \\ -(l+m+1)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l-m+1)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l-n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \\ (l+n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l+n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(1)}(Z_2) + \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_2). \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial N(W)^{-1} = -N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+$, using (12)-(13), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_R^{+-}(N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+)(Z_1, Z_2) &= -\partial_{Z_1} [I_R^{+-}(N(W)^{-1})(Z_1, Z_2)] - [I_R^{+-}(N(W)^{-1})(Z_1, Z_2)] \overleftarrow{\partial}_{Z_2} \\
&= - \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \\ (l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{l \geq 0, m, n} \frac{1}{2l+1} t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z_1) \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-m+1)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l-m+1)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \\ (l+m+1)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l+m+1)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\underline{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l-m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \\ (l+m)t_{n+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) & (l+m)t_{n-\frac{1}{2}\underline{m-\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \cdot t_{m\underline{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Lemma 23 from [FL1] and (14), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z_1 \cdot I_R^{+-} (N(W)^{-2} \cdot W^+) \cdot Z_2 \\
&= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) & (l-n+1)t_{n-1\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+1\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) & (l+n)t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{Z_2}{N(Z_2)} \cdot t_{m\bar{n}}^l(Z_2^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) & (l-n+1)t_{n-1\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+1\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) & (l+n)t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} (l+m+1)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & -(l-m+1)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}+\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \\ -(l+m+1)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l-m+1)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}-\frac{1}{2}}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) & (l-n+1)t_{n-1\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) \\ (l+n+1)t_{n+1\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) & (l+n)t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z_1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{N(Z_2)} \begin{pmatrix} (l-n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l-n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \\ (l+n)t_{m+\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) & (l+n)t_{m-\frac{1}{2}\bar{n}-\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z_2^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{l \geq \frac{1}{2}, m, n} \frac{1}{2l(2l+1)} f_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_1) \cdot \tilde{g}_{l,m,n}^{(2)}(Z_2). \quad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (51)-(54), we obtain (49). Applying the inversion $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and Lemma 34 to (49), we obtain (50). \square

Note that the expressions (49)-(50) are similar to the reproducing kernel expansions (40)-(41).

Recall that, by Lemma 59 in [FL3], $N(Z)^{-2} \cdot Z^+$ generates an indecomposable subrepresentation of \mathcal{W} that has exactly two irreducible components: \mathcal{Q}^0 and the trivial one-dimensional representation. Hence, by equivariance (Proposition 56), applying operators of the form $(\pi'_l \otimes \pi'_r)(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$, to the expansions (49)-(50) one can obtain – at least theoretically – $J_R'^{-+}F$ and $J_R'^{+}F$, for all $F \in \mathcal{Q}^0$. In particular, we can conclude:

Corollary 60. *The irreducible component \mathcal{Q}^0 of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) is not in the kernel of $J_R'^{+-}$ and not in the kernel of $J_R'^{-+}$.*

We have an analogue of Proposition 66 in [FL3].

Theorem 61. *Let $Z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$, then the kernel of $J_R'^{+-}$ has exactly four irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) :*

$$(\rho, III^+), \quad (\rho, III^-), \quad \mathcal{Q}^+, \quad \mathcal{Q}^-. \quad (55)$$

Similarly, if $Z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$, the kernel of $J_R'^{-+}$ has the same four irreducible components (55) of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) . In other words, $\ker J_R'^{+-} = \ker J_R'^{-+}$.

Proof. We prove the first part only, the proof of the other part is similar. So, suppose that $Z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$, $Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$. By Proposition 56, the map $J_R'^{+-}$ is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -equivariant. By the results of Section 6 in [FL2] and equations (14), (47), $J_R'^{+-}$ annihilates the irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) that overlap with vector spaces

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{t_{n\bar{m}}^l(Z) \cdot N(Z)^k; k \leq -(2l+4)\}.$$

Comparing this with the list of irreducible components of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) given at the beginning of Subsection 8.1, we see that $J_R'^{+-}$ annihilates the components (55) of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) .

By Proposition 59 and Corollary 60, the trivial 1-dimensional component and \mathcal{Q}^0 are not in the kernel of $J_R'^{+-}$. We need to check that the remaining seven irreducible components

$$\mathcal{F}^+, \quad \mathcal{F}^-, \quad \mathcal{G}^+, \quad \mathcal{G}^-, \quad (\rho, \mathcal{J}), \quad (\rho, \mathcal{I}^+ / (\mathcal{III}^+ \oplus \mathcal{J})), \quad (\rho, \mathcal{I}^- / (\mathcal{III}^- \oplus \mathcal{J})) \quad (56)$$

of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) are not annihilated by $J_R'^{+-}$. Theorem 31 in [FL3] implies that if a subrepresentation $(\rho_2, \mathcal{W}_{sub})$ of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) – such as $\ker J_R'^{+-}$ – contains either of the last two irreducible components on the list, then it contains (ρ, \mathcal{J}) . Thus, it is sufficient to show that $J_R'^{+-}$ does not annihilate the first five irreducible components. In all five cases we find a K -type generator of the respective component that also generates \mathcal{Q}^0 . By Proposition 58 and the conclusion of Subsection 5.1 in [FL3], any subrepresentation \mathcal{W}_{sub} of \mathcal{W} that contains an irreducible component isomorphic to \mathcal{F}^+ , \mathcal{F}^- , \mathcal{G}^+ or \mathcal{G}^- , must contain a respective generator

$$\begin{aligned} N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z), & \quad N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z), \\ N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z), & \quad N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

By equations (52)-(53) in [FL3],

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{11}(N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z)) &= -\frac{1}{3}N(Z)^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_{-1, 0, 0, 0}(Z) \in \mathcal{Q}^0, \\ \partial_{11}(N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z)) &= -2N(Z)^{-4} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_{-1, 0, 0, 0}(Z) \in \mathcal{Q}^- \oplus \mathcal{Q}^0, \\ \partial_{11}(N(Z)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z)) &= -\frac{2}{3}N(Z)^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_{-1, 0, 0, 0}(Z) \in \mathcal{Q}^0, \\ \partial_{11}(N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(Z)) &= -N(Z)^{-4} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_{-1, 0, 0, 0}(Z) \in \mathcal{Q}^- \oplus \mathcal{Q}^0. \end{aligned}$$

In either of the four cases, it follows that \mathcal{W}_{sub} contains $\mathbf{H}_{-1, 0, 0, 0}(Z) \in \mathcal{Q}^0$ and $\mathcal{Q}^0 \subset \mathcal{W}_{sub}$.

We turn our attention to the component (ρ, \mathcal{J}) . Introduce elements of \mathcal{W} :

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} (l+n+1)t_{n+1, \underline{m+1}}^l(Z) & -(l-n)t_{n, \underline{m+1}}^l(Z) \\ -(l+n+1)t_{n+1, \underline{m}}^l(Z) & (l-n)t_{n, \underline{m}}^l(Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} l = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \\ -l \leq m, n \leq l-1. \end{array}$$

The functions

$$N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad l = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \quad -l \leq m, n \leq l-1,$$

form a family of K -types of (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) . More precisely, as representations of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$, for k and l fixed,

$$V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes V_{l-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \{N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z); -l \leq m, n \leq l-1\}$$

(cf. Proposition 58 in [FL3] describing the K -types of the kernel of $\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+ : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{III}$). By direct calculation, using equation (27) in [FL2], we have:

$$\text{Tr} \circ \partial^+ (N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}(Z)) = (2l+1)(2l+k+1)N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n+\frac{1}{2}, \underline{m+\frac{1}{2}}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}}(Z). \quad (57)$$

The K -types of (ρ, \mathcal{J}) can be read from Theorem 31 in [FL3] – they are the functions (57) with $l = \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \dots$ and $-2l \leq k \leq -3$. Note that, unlike the K -types of \mathcal{F}^+ , \mathcal{F}^- , \mathcal{G}^+ and \mathcal{G}^- , these K -types appear in (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) with multiplicity two. This means that any subrepresentation

\mathcal{W}_{sub} of \mathcal{W} that contains an irreducible component isomorphic to (ρ, \mathcal{J}) must contain some linear combinations of

$$N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{1,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}+1,1-1,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(Z), \quad \begin{array}{l} l=\frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \dots, \\ -2l \leq k \leq -3, \\ -l \leq m, n \leq l-1. \end{array}$$

By direct calculation, using equation (27) in [FL2], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{11}(N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{I}_{1,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(Z)) &= \frac{2l+k+1}{(2l)^2} N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1-\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{(2l+k+1)(l-m-1)(l+n+1)}{(2l)^2} N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1-\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{l+n+1}{2l(2l+1)} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k},l-\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) + \frac{k(2l+1)(l+n+1)}{2l(2l+2)} N(Z)^{k-1} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{1+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the calculation given between equations (53) and (54) in [FL3],

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{11}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}+1,1-1,\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(Z)) &= -\frac{(k+1)(2l+k+1)}{l(2l-1)(2l+1)} N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1-\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ &\quad - \frac{(k+1)(2l+k+1)(l-m-1)(l+n+1)}{l(2l-1)(2l+1)} N(Z)^k \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1-\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{(2l-2)(2l+k+1)(l-m-1)}{(2l-1)^2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}+1,1-\frac{3}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z) + \frac{(k+1)(2l+2)(l+n+1)}{(2l+1)^2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k},l-\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{m}+\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{n}+\frac{1}{2}}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{11}(N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}(Z)) &= \frac{1}{9} N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,-1,-1}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{9} N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,-1,-1}(Z) + \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{H}_{-3,1,-1,-1}(Z) - \frac{4}{5} N(Z)^{-4} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{2,-1,-1}(Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{11}(\mathbf{H}_{-2,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}(Z)) &= \frac{1}{6} N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{1,-1,-1}(Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{1,-1,-1}(Z) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_{-2,0,-1,-1}(Z) - \frac{5}{8} \mathbf{H}_{-3,1,-1,-1}(Z). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that any subrepresentation \mathcal{W}_{sub} of \mathcal{W} that contains an irreducible component isomorphic to (ρ, \mathcal{J}) must contain a non-trivial linear combination of

$$N(Z)^{-3} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_{-2,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}}(Z),$$

hence also a non-trivial linear combination of

$$\mathbf{H}_{-2,0,-1,-1}(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_{-3,1,-1,-1}(Z) \quad \in \mathcal{Q}^0,$$

and $\mathcal{Q}^0 \subset \mathcal{W}_{sub}$. □

This case when $Z_1 \in \mathbb{D}_R^+$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbb{D}_R^-$ (or the other way around) is very subtle and may be analyzed further in a future paper.

9 Invariant Algebra Structures on \mathfrak{K} , \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}'

9.1 Invariant Algebra Structures on \mathfrak{K} , \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{W}'

In this subsection we study $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant maps

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathfrak{K} \otimes \mathfrak{K}) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathfrak{K}), \quad (\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2, \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\rho_2, \mathcal{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho'_2 \otimes \rho'_2, \mathcal{W}' \otimes \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}')$$

and related $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant 3-linear forms

$$\mathfrak{K} \times \mathfrak{K} \times \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}' \times \mathcal{W}' \times \mathcal{W}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

9.2 The Scalar Case \mathcal{K}

We start with the scalar case:

$$(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}).$$

Recall that (ρ_1, \mathcal{K}) decomposes into a direct sum (48). Thus, an equivariant map $\mu : (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K})$ can be decomposed into components

$$\mu : (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{K}^\beta) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^\gamma), \quad \text{where } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{-, 0, +\}.$$

For $f, g \in \mathcal{K}$, we consider maps given by the formula

$$f \otimes g \mapsto \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \right)^2 \iint_{\substack{W_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ W_2 \in U(2)_{R_2}}} \frac{f(W_1) \cdot g(W_2) dV_{W_1} dV_{W_2}}{N(Z - W_1)N(W_1 - W_2)N(W_2 - Z)} \quad (58)$$

with different choices of radii R_1, R_2 and regions containing Z . By Lemmas 8, 11 and Theorems 12, 15 in [FL2], we have the following $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -equivariant maps:

- If $0 < R_1 < R_2$, $Z \in \mathbb{D}_{R_1}^+$, the integral (58) produces a multiplication $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+$ which descends to $\mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+$;
- If $0 < R_1 < R_2$, $Z \in \mathbb{D}_{R_1}^- \cap \mathbb{D}_{R_2}^+$, the integral (58) produces a multiplication $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0$ which descends to $\mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0$;
- If $0 < R_1 < R_2$, $Z \in \mathbb{D}_{R_2}^-$, the integral (58) produces a multiplication $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^-$ which descends to $\mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^-$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_1$, $Z \in \mathbb{D}_{R_2}^+$, the integral (58) produces a multiplication $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+$ which descends to $\mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_1$, $Z \in \mathbb{D}_{R_1}^+ \cap \mathbb{D}_{R_2}^-$, the integral (58) produces a multiplication $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0$ which descends to $\mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_1$, $Z \in \mathbb{D}_{R_1}^-$, the integral (58) produces a multiplication $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^-$ which descends to $\mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^-$.

It is clear that these maps are non-trivial.

Theorem 62. *An $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -equivariant map $\mu : (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K})$ maps*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0, & \mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0, \\ \mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0, & \mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^-, \\ \mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0, & \mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+, \\ \mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^+ \rightarrow \{0\}, & \mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^- \rightarrow \{0\}. \end{array}$$

Equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^+) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0), & (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^-) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0), \\ (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^- \otimes \mathcal{K}^0) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0), & (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^-) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^-), \\ (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^+) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^0), & (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1, \mathcal{K}^+ \otimes \mathcal{K}^0) \rightarrow (\rho_1, \mathcal{K}^+) \end{array}$$

are unique (up to scaling).

Missing from this theorem is the more difficult case of $\mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^0$. We will provide the proof of this theorem as well as study the case of $\mathcal{K}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}^0$ in a future work.

It is often useful to consider $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant 3-forms $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. They are related to the invariant multiplications $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ as follows. Recall that there is a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear pairing on (ρ_1, \mathcal{K}) given by Proposition 69 in [FL1]; let us denote this pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$. Given a multiplication $\mu : \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, define a 3-form $c : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the rule

$$c(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \langle \mu(f_1 \otimes f_2), f_3 \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

If μ is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant, so is c . Conversely, a 3-form

$$c : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defines a map

$$c^* : \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*,$$

where \mathcal{K}^* is the linear dual of \mathcal{K} . If c is $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant, so is c^* , and $c^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)$ is K -finite for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{K}$:

$$c^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)(N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z)) = c(f_1, f_2, N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z)) = 0$$

for all but finitely many basis elements $N(Z)^k \cdot t_{n\underline{m}}^l(Z) \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, there exists a unique element $\mu(f_1 \otimes f_2) \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$c^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)(g) = c(f_1, f_2, g) = \langle \mu(f_1 \otimes f_2), g \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Thus, with the help of the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ on (ρ_1, \mathcal{K}) , we have a bijection between $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant 3-linear forms $c : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and multiplications $\mu : \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$.

The $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant 3-linear form corresponding to (58) is

$$\langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \mapsto \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \right)^3 \iiint_{\substack{W_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ W_2 \in U(2)_{R_2} \\ W_3 \in U(2)_{R_3}}} \frac{f_1(W_1) \cdot f_2(W_2) \cdot f_3(W_3) dV_{W_1} dV_{W_2} dV_{W_3}}{N(W_1 - W_2)N(W_2 - W_3)N(W_3 - W_1)}, \quad (59)$$

$f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathcal{K}$, with different choices of radii R_1, R_2 and R_3 . Then we have six combinations of the radii R_1, R_2 and R_3 and, by Theorems 12, 15 in [FL2],

- If $0 < R_3 < R_1 < R_2$, the integral (59) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{K} which descends to $\mathcal{K}^0 \times \mathcal{K}^+ \times \mathcal{K}^-$;
- If $0 < R_1 < R_3 < R_2$, the integral(59) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{K} which descends to $\mathcal{K}^- \times \mathcal{K}^+ \times \mathcal{K}^0$;
- If $0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3$, the integral (59) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{K} which descends to $\mathcal{K}^- \times \mathcal{K}^0 \times \mathcal{K}^+$;
- If $0 < R_3 < R_2 < R_1$, the integral (59) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{K} which descends to $\mathcal{K}^+ \times \mathcal{K}^0 \times \mathcal{K}^-$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_3 < R_1$, the integral (59) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{K} which descends to $\mathcal{K}^+ \times \mathcal{K}^- \times \mathcal{K}^0$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_1 < R_3$, the integral (59) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{K} which descends to $\mathcal{K}^0 \times \mathcal{K}^- \times \mathcal{K}^+$.

Missing from this list are the two impossible combinations of the radii

$$0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3 < R_1 \quad \text{and} \quad R_1 > R_2 > R_3 > R_1 > 0.$$

In a future work we will construct two additional invariant 3-forms on \mathcal{K} that descend to $\mathcal{K}^0 \times \mathcal{K}^0 \times \mathcal{K}^0$ and can be regarded as counterparts of these impossible combinations of the radii.

9.3 The Spinor Case \mathcal{W}'

By analogy with (59), for $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in \mathcal{W}'$, we can consider

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle_{\mathcal{W}'} &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \right)^3 \iiint_{\substack{W_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ W_2 \in U(2)_{R_2} \\ W_3 \in U(2)_{R_3}}} \text{Tr} \left[F_1(W_1) \cdot \frac{(W_1 - W_2)^{-1}}{N(W_1 - W_2)} \cdot F_2(W_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{(W_2 - W_3)^{-1}}{N(W_2 - W_3)} \cdot F_3(W_3) \cdot \frac{(W_3 - W_1)^{-1}}{N(W_3 - W_1)} \right] dV_{W_1} dV_{W_2} dV_{W_3} \quad (60) \end{aligned}$$

with different choices of radii R_1, R_2 and R_3 . By Proposition 64 in [FL3], this is a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant 3-linear form

$$\mathcal{W}' \times \mathcal{W}' \times \mathcal{W}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Recall that \bar{Q}^0 denotes the indecomposable subrepresentation of \mathcal{W}' generated by Q^0 and $N(Z)^{-1} \cdot Z$. By Theorem 65 and Proposition 66 in [FL3],

- If $0 < R_3 < R_1 < R_2$, the integral (60) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W}' which descends to $\bar{Q}^0 \times Q'^+ \times Q'^-$;
- If $0 < R_1 < R_3 < R_2$, the integral (60) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W}' which descends to $Q'^- \times Q'^+ \times \bar{Q}^0$;
- If $0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3$, the integral (60) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W}' which descends to $Q'^- \times \bar{Q}^0 \times Q'^+$;
- If $0 < R_3 < R_2 < R_1$, the integral (60) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W}' which descends to $Q'^+ \times \bar{Q}^0 \times Q'^-$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_3 < R_1$, the integral (60) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W}' which descends to $Q'^+ \times Q'^- \times \bar{Q}^0$;
- If $0 < R_2 < R_1 < R_3$, the integral (60) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W}' which descends to $\bar{Q}^0 \times Q'^- \times Q'^+$.

It is clear that these maps are non-trivial.

By Proposition 80 in [FL1], we have a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant non-degenerate bilinear pairing between (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) and (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') . Thus, the “multiplication” corresponding to (60) and an analogue of (58) is a map

$$F \otimes G \mapsto \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \right)^2 \iint_{\substack{W_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ W_2 \in U(2)_{R_2}}} \frac{(Z - W_1)^{-1}}{N(Z - W_1)} \cdot F(W_1) \cdot \frac{(W_1 - W_2)^{-1}}{N(W_1 - W_2)} \cdot G(W_2) \cdot \frac{(W_2 - Z)^{-1}}{N(W_2 - Z)} dV_{W_1} dV_{W_2}, \quad (61)$$

$F, G \in \mathcal{W}'$, with different choices of radii R_1, R_2 and regions containing Z . By Proposition 64 in [FL3], this is an equivariant map

$$(\rho'_2 \otimes \rho'_2, \mathcal{W}' \otimes \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\rho_2, \mathcal{W}).$$

If we want this map to have values in (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') , we can compose it with an equivariant map $(\rho_2, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}')$, such as Xm^+ or Xm^- .

9.4 The Spinor Case \mathcal{W}

By analogy with (59) and (60), for $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in \mathcal{W}$, we can consider

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle_{\mathcal{W}} = & \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \right)^3 \iiint_{\substack{W_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ W_2 \in U(2)_{R_2} \\ W_3 \in U(2)_{R_3}}} \text{Tr} \left[F_1(W_1) \cdot \frac{W_1 - W_2}{N(W_1 - W_2)} \cdot F_2(W_2) \right. \\ & \left. \times \frac{W_2 - W_3}{N(W_2 - W_3)} \cdot F_3(W_3) \cdot \frac{W_3 - W_1}{N(W_3 - W_1)} \right] dV_{W_1} dV_{W_2} dV_{W_3} \quad (62) \end{aligned}$$

with different choices of radii R_1, R_2 and R_3 . By Proposition 56, this is a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant 3-linear form

$$\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Recall that the kernels of $J_R^{\pm\pm}$ are described in Theorems 57 and 61. We have:

- If $0 < R_3 < R_1 < R_2$, the integral (62) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W} which descends to

$$\mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_1}^{+-} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_2}^{++} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_3}^{--};$$

- If $0 < R_1 < R_3 < R_2$, the integral (62) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W} which descends to

$$\mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_1}^{--} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_2}^{++} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_3}^{-+};$$

- If $0 < R_1 < R_2 < R_3$, the integral (62) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W} which descends to

$$\mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_1}^{--} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_2}^{+-} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_3}^{++};$$

- If $0 < R_3 < R_2 < R_1$, the integral (62) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W} which descends to

$$\mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_1}^{++} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_2}^{-+} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_3}^{--};$$

- If $0 < R_2 < R_3 < R_1$, the integral (62) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W} which descends to

$$\mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_1}^{++} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_2}^{--} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_3}^{+-};$$

- If $0 < R_2 < R_1 < R_3$, the integral (62) produces an invariant 3-form on \mathcal{W} which descends to

$$\mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_1}^{-+} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_2}^{--} \times \mathcal{W} / \ker J_{R_3}^{++}.$$

It is clear that these maps are non-trivial.

Since we have a $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant non-degenerate bilinear pairing between (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) and (ρ'_2, \mathcal{W}') , the “multiplication” corresponding to (62) and an analogue of (58), (61) is a map

$$F \otimes G \mapsto \left(\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \right)^2 \iint_{\substack{W_1 \in U(2)_{R_1} \\ W_2 \in U(2)_{R_2}}} \frac{Z - W_1}{N(Z - W_1)} \cdot F(W_1) \cdot \frac{W_1 - W_2}{N(W_1 - W_2)} \cdot G(W_2) \cdot \frac{W_2 - Z}{N(W_2 - Z)} dV_{W_1} dV_{W_2}$$

with different choices of radii R_1, R_2 and regions containing Z . By Proposition 56, this is an equivariant map

$$(\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2, \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}').$$

If we want this map to have values in (ρ_2, \mathcal{W}) , we can compose it with an equivariant map $(\rho'_2, \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\rho_2, \mathcal{W})$, such as Mx .

10 Factorization of Intertwining Operators via Clifford Algebras

The integral formulas (60), (62) for the invariant 3-linear forms

$$\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}' \times \mathcal{W}' \times \mathcal{W}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

suggest their factorizations via Clifford algebras and their modules. Such factorizations are well known in the setting of spinor representations of loop algebras and their central extensions. In this section we try to express an analogy between our new constructions in four dimensions and the classical facts of the two-dimensional theory.

Let V be a complex vector space (possibly of infinite dimension) with basis $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ and let V' be another copy of V with basis $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$. Define a symmetric bilinear form on $V \oplus V'$ by

$$\langle \beta_i, \gamma_j \rangle = \langle \gamma_j, \beta_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle \beta_i, \beta_j \rangle = \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle = 0.$$

Associated to this bilinear form is the (universal) Clifford algebra $Cl(V \oplus V')$. It is generated by 1, $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ subject to the relations

$$\{\beta_i, \gamma_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{\beta_i, \beta_j\} = \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I,$$

where $\{x, y\} = xy + yx$ is the anticommutator.

The exterior algebras ΛV and $\Lambda V'$ provide examples of modules for the Clifford algebra $Cl(V \oplus V')$. However, in the theory of representations of loop algebras we also need to consider more general Clifford modules obtained from "intermediate" polarizations of $V \oplus V'$ as follows. Consider a partition of I – the set indexing the bases of V and V' – into two disjoint subsets I_+ and I_- , and let V_+ and V_- be the spans of the basis vectors $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I_+}$ and $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I_-}$ respectively. Similarly, let V'_\pm be the spans of the basis vectors $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I_\pm}$. We set

$$H = \Lambda(V_- \oplus V'_+). \tag{63}$$

Then H has a natural Clifford module structure. Using the physics terminology, we call

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta_i\}_{i \in I_-} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I_+} & \text{ creation operators,} \\ \{\beta_i\}_{i \in I_+} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I_-} & \text{ annihilation operators.} \end{aligned}$$

The creation operators act on H by left multiplication, and the annihilation operators act by contraction (or interior product) and thus annihilate the vacuum vector $1 \in H$. This defines an action of $V \oplus V'$ on H that extends uniquely to $Cl(V \oplus V')$ via the universal property.

Let V be a certain space of functions. In our first example we set

$$V = V' = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$$

with a bilinear pairing between V and V'

$$\langle g, f \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint g(z)f(z) dz, \quad f \in V, g \in V'.$$

Let $\{f_i(z)\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of V and $\{g_i(z)\}_{i \in I}$ be a dual basis of V' , i.e.

$$\langle g_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

In our first example, we can choose

$$I = \mathbb{Z}, \quad f_n(z) = z^n, \quad g_n(z) = z^{-n-1}, \quad n \in I.$$

Next, we consider a Clifford algebra $Cl(V \oplus V')$ with the “abstract” basis β_i corresponding to $f_i(z)$ and γ_i corresponding to $g_i(Z)$, $i \in I$. Let us fix a partition of I into I_+ and I_- , so we have a decomposition

$$V = V_+ \oplus V_-, \quad V' = V'_+ \oplus V'_-.$$

In our first example, we choose $I_+ = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $I_- = \mathbb{Z}_{< 0}$. Then

$$V_+ = \mathbb{C}[z], \quad V_- = z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}], \quad V'_+ = z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}], \quad V'_- = \mathbb{C}[z],$$

$$H = \Lambda(z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}] \oplus z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]).$$

A fundamental concept in the quantum field theory is the notion of generating functions, called quantum fields:

$$\beta(z) = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i g_i(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(z) = \sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i f_i(z). \quad (64)$$

In our first example, these are just

$$\beta(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_i z^{-i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_i z^i. \quad (65)$$

In the 2-dimensional quantum field theory, these fields form the so-called $\beta\gamma$ -system. One can construct more complicated algebras by introducing the normal ordering of polynomials of the quantum fields (65) and their derivatives. The normal ordering $::$ of two elements is defined by

$$: \beta_i \gamma_i := \begin{cases} \beta_i \gamma_i, & i \in I_-, \\ -\gamma_i \beta_i, & i \in I_+, \end{cases} \quad : \beta_i \gamma_j := \beta_i \gamma_j = -\gamma_j \beta_i \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

In general, the normal ordering of a monomial amounts to moving the creation operators to the left side of the product and the annihilation operators to the right and changing the sign of the product with each transposition. Here is a key calculation of the algebra of $\beta\gamma$ -system involving the normal ordering of quantum fields.

Proposition 63. *Let $|z| > |w|$, then*

$$\beta(z)\gamma(w) =: \beta(z)\gamma(w) : + \frac{1}{z-w} Id, \quad \gamma(z)\beta(w) =: \gamma(z)\beta(w) : + \frac{1}{z-w} Id.$$

Now we consider an example of V and V' arising from the theory of quaternionic regular functions, namely V is the space of left regular functions with basis $\{f_i(Z)\}_{i \in I}$ and V' is the dual space of right regular functions with basis $\{g_i(Z)\}_{i \in I}$. Then we define the quantum fields $\beta(Z)$ and $\gamma(Z)$ as in (64), and the normal ordering in this case yields

Proposition 64. *If $Z^{-1}W \in \mathbb{D}^+$, then*

$$\beta(Z)\gamma(W) =: \beta(Z)\gamma(W) : + \frac{(Z-W)^{-1}}{N(Z-W)} Id, \quad \gamma(Z)\beta(W) =: \gamma(Z)\beta(W) : + \frac{(Z-W)^{-1}}{N(Z-W)} Id.$$

This fact is well-known in the quantization theory of massless Dirac fields in the context of the 4-dimensional conformal field theory. From the point of view of quaternionic analysis, it follows from the matrix coefficient expansion of the Cauchy-Fueter formula.

Our final example of quantum fields comes from replacing the spaces of regular functions \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' with similar spaces of quasi anti regular functions \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' that we introduced in Subsection 4.1. Then the quantum fields $\beta(Z)$ and $\gamma(Z)$ can be constructed using the basis functions from Propositions 29, 31. And the reproducing kernel expansions (40)-(41) yield a counterpart of Proposition 64:

Proposition 65. *If $Z^{-1}W \in \mathbb{D}^+$, then*

$$\beta(Z)\gamma(W) =: \beta(Z)\gamma(W) : + \frac{Z-W}{N(Z-W)} Id, \quad \gamma(Z)\beta(W) =: \gamma(Z)\beta(W) : + \frac{Z-W}{N(Z-W)} Id.$$

Note however an important difference between the regular and quasi anti regular cases. In the case of regular functions, the spaces \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' have positive definite unitary structures that are invariant under the action of the conformal group $SU(2, 2)$, which in turn yield a positive definite unitary structure on the space H defined by equation (63). On the other hand, in the quasi anti regular case, the spaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' have only pseudounitary structures that are $SU(2, 2)$ -invariant, and, therefore, H is no longer a (pre-)Hilbert space. Such spaces, however, do appear in quantum field theory and are expected to have important applications to physics.

As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, the quantum fields $\beta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ can be used to construct representations of loop algebras. For example, for $F(z) \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$, one can define [Fr]

$$\pi(F) = \oint : \beta(z)F(z)\gamma(z) : dz, \quad (66)$$

where we take \mathbb{C}^n -valued fields β and γ (β being a row vector and γ being a column vector). The commutation relations can be easily found; they also follow from the correlation functions, such as

$$(1, \pi(F)\pi(G)\pi(H)1) = \iiint_{\substack{|z_1|=R_1 \\ |z_2|=R_2 \\ |z_3|=R_3}} \text{Tr} \left[F(z_1) \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} G(z_2) \frac{1}{z_2 - z_3} H(z_3) \frac{1}{z_3 - z_1} \right] dz_1 dz_2 dz_3, \quad (67)$$

where $R_1 > R_2 > R_3$. This integral can be easily computed and yields the commutation relations of the loop algebra. Another way to treat (67) is as an intertwining operator in the triple product of the loop algebra $L\mathfrak{g} = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$

$$L\mathfrak{g} \otimes L\mathfrak{g} \otimes L\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly, one can generalize the correlation functions to correlation functions of any number of copies of the loop algebra and obtain complete information about its representation theory [Fr].

If we replace F in equation (66) with an element of \mathcal{W}' or \mathcal{W} and let $\beta(Z)$, $\gamma(Z)$ be the quantum fields for the spaces regular or quasi anti regular functions, we can factorize our intertwining operators from Section 9 using the currents, such as $\pi(F)$. In fact, the right hand side of equation (67) yields precisely the intertwining operators² (60) and (62). Unfortunately, unlike the case of $L\mathfrak{g}$, neither \mathcal{W}' nor \mathcal{W} inherits an interesting structure of a Lie algebra. Recall, however, that we have constructed only six elementary intertwining operators, which we were able to reproduce as correlation functions of currents. There are two more intertwining operators on the triple products of \mathcal{W}' and \mathcal{W} that we did not study in this paper. Thus, we are led to a natural question of whether these missing intertwining operators on \mathcal{W}' or \mathcal{W} yield an interesting Lie algebra structure with a profound representation theory. This question will be subject of our future research.

References

- [Fr] I. B. Frenkel, *Two constructions of affine Lie algebra representations and boson-fermion correspondence in quantum field theory*, J. Funct. Anal. 44 (1981), no. 3, 259-327.

²Instead of \mathcal{W}' and \mathcal{W} we can also consider $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathcal{W}')$ and $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathcal{W})$, as in the loop algebra case.

- [FL1] I. Frenkel, M. Libine, *Quaternionic analysis, representation theory and physics*, Advances in Math 218 (2008), 1806-1877; also arXiv:0711.2699.
- [FL2] I. Frenkel, M. Libine, *Anti de Sitter deformation of quaternionic analysis and the second-order pole*, IMRN, 2015 (2015), 4840-4900; also arXiv:1404.7098.
- [FL3] I. Frenkel, M. Libine, *Quaternionic analysis, representation theory and physics II*, Advances in Theor. and Math Phys., 25 (2021), issue 2; also arXiv:1907.01594.
- [Fu] R. Fueter, *Die Funktionentheorie der Differentialgleichungen $\Delta u = 0$ und $\Delta\Delta u = 0$ mit vier reellen Variablen*, Comment. Math. Helv. 7 (1934), no. 1, 307-330.
- [LR] G. Laville, I. Ramadanoff, *Holomorphic Cliffordian Functions*, Advances in Clifford Algebras, 8 (1998), 323-340.
- [MT] G. Mack, I. Todorov, *Irreducibility of the ladder representations of $U(2,2)$ when restricted to the Poincaré subgroup*, J. Math. Physics, 10 (1969), pp. 2078-2085.
- [V] N. Ja. Vilenkin, *Special functions and the theory of group representations*, translated from the Russian by V. N. Singh, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 22 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 1968.
- [W] J. A. Wolf, *Representations that remain irreducible on parabolic subgroups*. In: P.L. García, A. Pérez-Rendón, J.M. Souriau (eds), Differential Geometrical Methods in Mathematical Physics, pp. 129-144, Lecture Notes in Math, 836, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1980.

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, P.O. Box 208283, New Haven, CT 06520-8283
Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Rawles Hall, 831 East 3rd St, Bloomington, IN 47405