

Quick-Sort Style Approximation Algorithms for Generalizations of Feedback Vertex Set in Tournaments

Sushmita Gupta¹, Sounak Modak¹(✉), Saket Saurabh^{1,2}, and Sanjay Seetharaman¹

¹ The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India
 {sushmitagupta,sounakm,saket,sanjays}@imsc.res.in

² University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

Abstract. A feedback vertex set (FVS) in a digraph is a subset of vertices whose removal makes the digraph acyclic. In other words, it hits all cycles in the digraph. Lokshtanov et al. [TALG '21] gave a factor 2 randomized approximation algorithm for finding a minimum weight FVS in tournaments. We generalize the result by presenting a factor 2α randomized approximation algorithm for finding a minimum weight FVS in digraphs of independence number α ; a generalization of tournaments which are digraphs with independence number 1. Using the same framework, we present a factor 2 randomized approximation algorithm for finding a minimum weight Subset FVS in tournaments: given a vertex subset S in addition to the graph, find a subset of vertices that hits all cycles containing at least one vertex in S . Note that FVS in tournaments is a special case of Subset FVS in tournaments in which $S = V(T)$.

1 Introduction

Quicksort is a randomized divide-and-conquer algorithm for sorting a list of numbers. In this we randomly pick a pivot, partition the rest of the list into two parts, and recursively solve the two parts. The choice of pivot determines the size of the subproblems and consequently the overall running time. This forms a central idea in the polynomial time factor 2 randomized approximation algorithm for finding a minimum weight feedback vertex set in tournaments, given by Lokshtanov et al. [7]. In this paper one of our goals is to find further problems for which this approach can be applied in designing approximation algorithms. Without further ado we formally define the problem studied by Lokshtanov et al. [7], which we generalize in this article.

A tournament $T = (V, E)$ is a digraph in which there is exactly one arc between each pair of vertices (an orientation of a clique). A feedback vertex set is a subset of vertices whose removal makes the digraph acyclic. In the FEEDBACK VERTEX SET IN TOURNAMENTS (FVST) problem, we are given a tournament T and a weight function $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. The goal is to find a minimum weight subset of vertices whose removal makes the digraph acyclic. It is a folklore that

a tournament is acyclic if and only if it has no triangles (directed cycles of length 3). This together with the local ratio technique [1] gives a simple factor 3 approximation algorithm for FVST. Cai et al. [2] gave the first improvement over this algorithm and designed a 2.5-approximation algorithm based on the total dual integral system combined with the local ratio technique. Years later, Mnich et al. [8] gave a $7/3$ approximation algorithm using the iterative rounding technique. Finally in 2020, Lokshtanov et al. [7] gave a “quicksort style” randomized 2-approximation algorithm. It is optimal (i.e. there is no polynomial time approximation algorithm with a better factor) assuming the Unique Games Conjecture [5].

In this paper we apply this methodology to two generalizations of FVST.

1. Beyond Tournaments. The *independence number* of a digraph is the size of a largest independent set in it. In [4], Fradkin and Seymour introduced the class of digraphs of bounded independence number as a generalization of tournaments (which are digraphs with independence number 1). Problems studied in such digraphs include k -EDGE DISJOINT PATHS [4], EDGE ODD CYCLE TRANSVERSAL, and FEEDBACK ARC SET [6]. In the DIRECTED FVS IN GRAPHS WITH BOUNDED INDEPENDENCE NUMBER (DFVS-bIN) problem, we are given a digraph G with independence number α , called α -bounded digraph, and a weight function $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. We are interested in finding a minimum weight feedback vertex set in G .

2. Subset Version of FVST. A feedback vertex set is equivalently defined as a subset of vertices that hits all cycles in a digraph. In the SUBSET FEEDBACK VERTEX SET IN TOURNAMENTS (S-FVST) problem, we are given as subset of vertices S (often called as *terminal set*) as input, in addition to a tournament T and a weight function $w : V(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. We are interested in finding a minimum weight subset of vertices that hits all cycles that contain a vertex in S . Note that FVST is a special case of S-FVST in which $S = V(T)$.

We design non-trivial randomized approximation algorithms for both S-FVST and DFVS-bIN, with the techniques used in [7] as a starting point. Note that neither problem is a special case of the other. In DFVS-bIN, we are generalizing the tournament graph by allowing the independence number to be α and in S-FVST, we are generalizing the obstruction set to be cycles that contain a vertex from S . Thus, the algorithm for one does not seem to apply for the other in a straightforward manner.

1.1 Our Results

Our first result is a randomized 2α -approximation algorithm for DFVS-bIN. Observe that G has a cycle if and only if G has a cycle of length at most $2\alpha + 1$. Due to this fact, we can apply the local ratio technique and obtain a $(2\alpha + 1)$ -approximate feedback vertex set in polynomial time. Improving upon this, we present the following.

Theorem 1. *DFVS-bIN admits a randomized 2α -approximation algorithm that runs in time $n^{\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)}$.*

Observe that for $\alpha = 1$, we get a 2-approximation, which is the case of tournaments. Note that the running time is a polynomial for fixed α . A natural question that follows from our result is whether there exists an approximation algorithm that runs in time $f(\alpha)n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ for some computable function f (i.e., for a fixed α , the running time is a polynomial in n whose degree does not depend on α).

Next, we present a randomized 2-approximation algorithm for the S-FVST problem. We observe that for any vertex $s \in S$, T has a cycle containing s if and only if T has a triangle containing s . Due to this fact, we can apply the local ratio technique and obtain a 3-approximate subset feedback vertex in polynomial time. Improving upon this, we present the following.

Theorem 2. *S-FVST admits a randomized 2-approximation algorithm that runs in time $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$.*

The proof of this theorem uses ideas similar to that of Theorem 1, with a twist. In both the results, we carefully exploit the fact that the size of the “obstructions” (to a vertex not being part of a cycle) is bounded.

1.2 Our Methodology

In this section we describe our main ideas in proving Theorems 1 and 2. For simplicity of presentation we present our ideas for the unweighted case. To describe our methods, we first give a very brief outline of the algorithm of Lokshtanov et al. [7]. This algorithm starts with the assumption that the tournament T has a feedback vertex set F of size at most $n/2$ (else returning all the vertices of the tournament is a 2-approximation). Observe that if F is a minimum feedback vertex set of T , then $T - F$ is acyclic and has a unique topological ordering. Next it selects a vertex v uniformly at random. The probability that v belongs to the middle $n/6$ vertices of the topological ordering of $T - F$ is at least $1/6$. This v will act as a pivot. The algorithm first deletes all the vertices that participate in directed triangles with v (of course not v !). Since, it is known that v does not belong to F , this step can be carried out at the cost of factor 2 in approximation. After this step it is guaranteed that there is no directed triangle containing v and hence the problem decomposes into two disjoint subproblems of size βn ($\beta < 1$ a constant): one on the tournament induced by the in-neighbors of v (i.e., $T[N^-(v)]$) and the other on the tournament induced by the out-neighbors of v (i.e., $T[N^+(v)]$). The algorithm recursively solves these problems and combines their solutions to obtain a 2-approximation for T .

To generalize the techniques from tournaments to α -bounded digraphs, our first challenge is to come up with a notion equivalent to the *unique topological ordering of an acyclic tournament*, that was exploited in the algorithm of Lokshtanov et al. [7].

Towards this we first observe that every α -bounded digraph G on n vertices has a vertex with *both in-degree and out-degree (at least) $(n-2\alpha)/4\alpha$* (Lemma 4). Thereafter we define an **HL-degree ordering** (HL stands for high to low) of an

α -bounded digraph G as $\sigma(G) = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ over the vertices of G by the recursive application of Lemma 4. Thus, for v_1 both $d_G^+(v_1)$ and $d_G^-(v_1)$ are at least $(n-2\alpha)/4\alpha$; for v_2 both $d_{G-\{v_1\}}^+(v_2)$ and $d_{G-\{v_1\}}^-(v_2)$ at least $(n-1-2\alpha)/4\alpha$. Therefore for any $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, both $d_{G-\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}}^+(v_i)$ and $d_{G-\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}}^-(v_i)$ are at least $(n-(i-1)-2\alpha)/4\alpha$.

For our algorithm, given an α -bounded digraph G , we will work with a fixed feedback vertex set F and a fixed HL-degree ordering $\sigma(G - F)$. Analogous to the tournament's analysis, we can assume that $|F| \leq \frac{n}{2\alpha}$ (else the whole vertex set of G is a 2α -approximation). Thereafter, we select a vertex v uniformly at random. We say that v is *good* if v belongs to the first $(1/3)^{r^d}$ part of $\sigma(G - F)$. The probability of v being good is $\frac{(n-\frac{n}{2\alpha})/3}{n} = (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6\alpha})$. This vertex v acts as our pivot. Now, we either delete all the vertices that participate in directed cycles of length at most $2\alpha + 1$ with v or delete all the vertices of a directed cycle that is part of the large cycle (i.e., length more than $2\alpha + 1$) containing v , of length at most 2α (follows from Lemma 5). Suppose that v is good. Then this step can be carried out at the cost of factor 2α in approximation. After this step we are guaranteed that there is no directed cycle containing v , and hence the problem decomposes into two disjoint subproblems of size βn , where $\beta < 1$ is a constant depending on α alone: one on the digraph induced by the vertices reachable from v (denoted by $G[R_{G-P}(v)]$) and the other on the digraph induced by the vertices not reachable from v (denoted by $G[\overline{R}_{G-P}(v)]$), where P is a subset of vertices in G such that the graph $G - P$ does not contain a cycle that contains vertex v . We recursively solve these problems, and combine their solutions to obtain a 2α -approximation for G .

For the S-FVST problem, given a tournament T and terminal set S , we again work with a fixed subset feedback vertex set F and an HL-degree ordering $\sigma(T[S \setminus F])$. But here observe that we only focus on the vertices of S , that is, we want to see how F interacts with S . First we have observed that hitting the triangles containing vertices in S is equivalent to hitting the cycles that contain the vertices of S (Lemma 11). As before, our algorithm starts with the assumption that $|F| \leq |S|/2$ (else returning all the vertices of S gives a 2-approximation). Next it selects a vertex v uniformly at random from S . The probability that v belongs to the first $(1/3)^{r^d}$ of $\sigma(T[S - F])$ is at least $1/6$. This vertex v acts as a pivot. We first delete all the vertices that participate in directed triangles with v . Let the set of vertices which participate in directed triangles with v be denoted by P . Since we know that v does not belong to F , this step can be carried out at the cost of factor 2 in approximation. After this step we are guaranteed that there is no directed triangle containing v , and hence there is no directed cycle containing v . Thereafter the problem decomposes into two disjoint subproblems with strictly smaller set of terminals: one on the tournament induced by $R_{T-P}(v)$ with terminal set $S \cap R_{T-P}(v)$ and the other on the tournament induced by $\overline{R}_{T-P}(v)$ with terminal set $S \cap \overline{R}_{T-P}(v)$. We recursively solve these problems, and combine their solutions to obtain a 2-approximation for T .

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we deal with simple directed graphs (*digraphs*, in short) containing no parallel edges/arcs. We work in the setting of vertex weighted digraphs: by (G, w) we denote a vertex weighted digraph G with weight function $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. The weight of a subset of vertices is the sum of weights of the vertices in the subset. Note that the setting of unweighted graphs is a special case of weighted graphs. For any induced subgraph H of a vertex weighted graph (G, w) , we will assume that w defines a weight function when restricted to $V(H)$. If there is an arc $(u, v) \in E(G)$, then u is an *in-neighbor* of v , and v is an *out-neighbor* of u . The *in-neighborhood* of a vertex x , denoted by $N^-(x) = \{v \mid (v, x) \in E(G)\}$, is the set of in-neighbors of x . The *in-degree* of a vertex x , denoted by $d_G^-(x) = |N^-(x)|$, is the number of in-neighbors of x . The *out-neighborhood* and *out-degree* of a vertex x , denoted by $N^+(x)$ and $d_G^+(x)$ resp., are defined analogously.

We say a vertex u is *reachable* from a vertex v , if there is a directed path which contains both the vertices u and v and vertex v appears before vertex u in the sequence of the vertices which defines the directed path. By $R_G(x)$, we denote the set of all vertices other than x reachable from x in G . By $\overline{R_G(x)}$, we denote the set of all vertices not reachable from x in G . Observe that $(R_G(x), \overline{R_G(x)}, \{x\})$ form a partition of $V(G)$.

A *feedback vertex set* (FVS) in G is a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G - S$ is acyclic. Given a family $X = \{S_1, \dots, S_l\}$ where $S_i \subseteq V(G)$ for each $i \in [l]$, we call $S_a \in X$ *lightest* if for all $i \in [l]$ we have $w(S_a) \leq w(S_i)$. Similarly, we call $S_b \in X$ *heaviest* if for all $i \in [l]$ we have $w(S_i) \leq w(S_b)$. An FVS F_{opt} in G is an *optimal* (also *minimum*) solution of the instance (G, w) if for every other FVS S in G we have $w(S) \geq w(F_{opt})$ i.e. F_{opt} is the lightest among all FVSs in G . An FVS F in G is called a 2α -*approximate solution* of the instance (G, w) if $w(F) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt})$.

An algorithm is a *randomized factor f approximation algorithm* for a problem \mathcal{P} if, for each instance \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{P} , with probability at least $1/2$, it returns a solution for \mathcal{I} of weight at most $f \times OPT_{\mathcal{I}}$ where $OPT_{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the weight of an optimal solution for \mathcal{I} .

We will use the following structural results on digraphs with bounded independence number throughout our paper³.

Lemma 3 ([4]). *Let G be a simple digraph with n vertices and independence number $\alpha \geq 1$. Then there exists a vertex in $V(G)$ with out-degree at least $\frac{n-\alpha}{2\alpha}$. Similarly, there exists a vertex in $V(G)$ with in-degree at least $\frac{n-\alpha}{2\alpha}$.*

Lemma 4 (†). *Let G be a simple digraph with n vertices and independence number $\alpha \geq 1$. Then there exists a vertex in $V(G)$ which has both in-degree and out-degree at least $(n-2\alpha)/4\alpha$.*

Lemma 5. *Let G be a simple digraph with n vertices and independence number $\alpha \geq 1$. For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, let $C \subseteq V(G)$ denote the shortest cycle containing*

³ Missing proofs (marked with †) are in the full version of the paper

x . Then either $|C| \leq 2\alpha + 1$ or there exists an induced cycle $C' \subset C$ of length at most 2α that does not contain x (i.e., $C' \subseteq C \setminus \{x\}$).

Proof. Consider the case $|C| > 2\alpha + 1$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that $C' = \langle v_1, \dots, v_\ell \rangle$ is the shortest induced cycle in C that does not contain x with $\ell \geq 2\alpha + 1$. Additionally, assume without loss of generality that C' is enumerated in such a way that it appears in that order in C and x appears before v_1 and after v_ℓ in C .

There is no arc $xv_j \in E(G)$ such that $v_j \in C' \setminus \{v_1\}$ since such an arc would imply the existence of the cycle $\langle v_j, \dots, v_\ell, \dots, x \rangle$ which contradicts the fact that C is the shortest cycle in G containing x . Since C' is an induced cycle, the set $I = \{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{2\alpha}\}$ forms an independent set of size α . By the previous argument $I \cup \{x\}$ is an independent set of size $\alpha + 1$ in G , a contradiction. \square

3 DFVS in Graphs of Bounded Independence Number

Throughout this section we assume that G is a digraph on n vertices with independence number α . The following two observations about FVSs in (G, w) which we will use throughout our results in this section, follow from the hereditary property of acyclicity of digraphs.

Observation 1 *Let F be an FVS in (G, w) ; and let $S \subseteq V(G)$. Then, $F \setminus S$ is an FVS in $(G - S, w)$.*

Observation 2 *Suppose that F is an optimal FVS in (G, w) and that S is a subset of F . Then, $F \setminus S$ is an optimal FVS in $(G - S, w)$, of weight $w(F) - w(S)$.*

The following lemma shows the interaction of an FVS F in G with the subgraphs $G[R_G(x)]$ and $G[\overline{R}_G(x)]$.

Lemma 6 (\dagger). *Suppose that $x \in V(G)$ is a vertex that is not part of any cycle in G , then the following holds: F is an FVS in G if and only if $F \cap R_G(x)$ is an FVS in $G[R_G(x)]$ and $F \cap \overline{R}_G(x)$ is an FVS in $G[\overline{R}_G(x)]$.*

3.1 Technical Overview

In this section we will briefly describe the work flow of our recursive algorithm FindFVS (Algorithm 1) which is formally presented in the following section and its correctness analyzed in Section 3.2. For the base case $n \leq 30\alpha$, we compute an optimal FVS in $n^{\mathcal{O}(\alpha)}$ time by checking all subsets of vertices. Let F_{opt} be an optimal solution for (G, w) which is an instance for the DFVS-bin problem. We consider the following two cases:

– **Case 1** ($|F_{opt}| \geq 2n/3\alpha$): Let $L \subseteq V(G)$ be a set of $n/6\alpha$ lightest vertices in G and r be the heaviest vertex in L . We define the new weight function $w' : V(G) \setminus L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ which assigns the weight $w(v) - w(r)$ to each vertex v in $G - L$. Our algorithm recursively finds an FVS F in $(G - L, w')$. Combining F with L (i.e., $F \cup L$), we have a 2α -approximate FVS in (G, w) with probability at least $1/2$ (by Claim 8).

– **Case 2** ($|F_{opt}| < 2n/3\alpha$): In this case, we randomly pick/sample a vertex $x \in V(G)$ and find a subset of vertices P such that in $G - P$ there is no cycle that contains x . Then, since any cycle in $G - P$ is contained completely inside exactly one of $G[R_{G-P}(x)]$ and $G[\overline{R}_{G-P}(x)]$, we obtain an FVS in G by combining P with FVSs in those two subgraphs.

With probability at least $(n - \frac{2n}{3\alpha})/n = (1 - \frac{2}{3\alpha})$, x is not part of F_{opt} , i.e., $x \in V(G) \setminus F_{opt}$. Moreover, with probability at least $(1 - \frac{2}{3\alpha})/3 = (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{9\alpha})$, x is in the first $1/3^{rd}$ part of $\sigma(G - F_{opt})$. Such a vertex x has in-degree and out-degree at least $\frac{n}{18\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$ (by Claim 9). Consequently, both $|R_{G-P}(x)|$ and $|\overline{R}_{G-P}(x)|$ are at most $n(1 - 1/30\alpha)$ (by Equation (4)).

We perform the following iterative procedure to compute P , which is initialized as \emptyset . Let C be a shortest cycle in $G - P$ that contains x and let $C' \subseteq C$ be the shortest induced cycle in C . As $x \notin F_{opt}$, therefore $F_{opt} \cap (C' \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$. The crucial point to note here is that $|C' \setminus \{x\}| \leq 2\alpha$ regardless of $|C|$ (by Lemma 5). Since F_{opt} is an FVS and $x \notin F_{opt}$, we have $|F_{opt} \cap (C' \setminus \{x\})| \geq 1$. Even though C' may not contain x , *hitting* (i.e., picking vertices from) the cycle C' implies hitting the cycle C that contains x . Now observe that if we are in the unweighted setup of the DFVS-bIN problem, then to hit the cycle C' we can pick all vertices in $C' \setminus \{x\}$ in P . Therefore, we are getting a 2α -approximate solution conditioning on the event that $x \notin F_{opt}$.

Observe that this strategy fails if we are in the weighted setup of the DFVS-bIN problem. We cannot simply pick all the vertices of $C' \setminus \{x\}$. We resolve this issue by using the “local ratio” technique as follows. We find the lightest vertex (say u) in $C' \setminus \{x\}$, add it to P and update the weights of each vertex $v \in C' \setminus \{x\}$ to $w(v) - w(u)$. We repeat the procedure until there is no cycle in $G - P$ which contains vertex x .

Let w' be the weight function at the end of the procedure. Next we recursively get 2α -approximate FVSs in $(G[R_{G-P}(x)], w')$ (say F_1) and in $(G[\overline{R}_{G-P}(x)], w')$ (say F_2) resp., each with probability at least $1/2$. Thereafter we construct $P \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ which is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G, w) (by Claim 10) with probability at least $1/12 - 1/18\alpha$ (by Equation (3)).

To boost the success probability of the algorithm to the required lower bound $1/2$, we repeat the random experiment 28α times, i.e. we repeat the procedure of sampling the vertex x , computing the set P , and solving the two recursive subproblems $(G[R_{G-P}(x)], w')$ and $(G[\overline{R}_{G-P}(x)], w')$, 28α times.

But we don't know F_{opt} during the execution of the algorithm (and hence which of the cases we fall into). Thus, we compute $28\alpha + 1$ solutions for the instance (G, w) : one solution for the Case 1 and 28α solutions for the Case 2. Thereafter we take the lightest among all the $28\alpha + 1$ solutions.

Now to analyse the time complexity of the algorithm, observe that in the case that $|F_{opt}| < 2n/3\alpha$, we are making two recursive calls on subproblems of size at most $n \cdot (1 - 1/30\alpha)$ and repeating the procedure for 28α times; for the case when $|F_{opt}| \geq 2n/3\alpha$, we are making one recursive call to the instance $(G - L, w')$ of size $n \cdot (1 - 1/6\alpha)$. Thus, we get the recurrence $T(n) \leq 2 \cdot 28\alpha T(n(1 - \frac{1}{30\alpha})) +$

$\mathcal{O}(n^5) + T\left(n\left(1 - \frac{1}{6\alpha}\right)\right)$ for the time complexity of the algorithm FindFVS, which solves to $n^{\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)}$.

3.2 The Algorithm

We compute $(28\alpha + 1)$ FVSs $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^{28\alpha}$ and return the lightest set among them. The algorithm is recursive. Each recursive call is made on a graph with strictly fewer vertices. When $|V(G)| \leq 30\alpha$ we solve the problem by brute force searching over all subsets of vertices.

Definition 7. We use two “weight update” functions both of which take as input a weight function w and a subset of vertices Q and return a new weight function defined as follows.

1. *update1*(w, Q): Let h be the heaviest vertex in Q . It returns $w' : V(G) \setminus Q \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where

$$w'(v) = w(v) - w(h) \text{ for each } v \in V(G) \setminus Q.$$

2. *update2*(w, Q): Let ℓ be the lightest vertex in Q . It returns $w' : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where

$$w'(v) = \begin{cases} w(v) - w(\ell) & \text{if } v \in Q; \\ w(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Algorithm 1 FindFVS

Input: a digraph $G = (V, E)$, vertex weights $w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$

Output: a subset of vertices X

```

1: if  $n \leq 30\alpha$  then
2:   Iterate over all subsets of  $V(G)$ , and return an optimal FVS of  $G$ , say  $X$ 
3: end if
4: Let  $L$  be a set of  $n/6\alpha$  lightest vertices in  $V(G)$  acc. to  $w$ 
5:  $F_0 := L \cup \text{FindFVS}(G - L, \text{update1}(w, L))$ 
6: for each  $i \in [28\alpha]$  do
7:   Pick  $x_i$  uniformly at random from  $V(G)$ 
8:   if  $\min\{d_G^+(x_i), d_G^-(x_i)\} < \frac{n}{18\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$  then
9:      $F_i := V(G)$ 
10:    continue
11:   end if
12:    $C_i := \{\}$ 
13:    $w_i = w$ 
14:   while there is a cycle in  $G - C_i$  containing  $x_i$  do ▷ Eliminating cycles with  $x_i$ 
15:     Let  $C$  be a shortest cycle in  $G - C_i$  containing  $x_i$ 
16:     Let  $C' \subseteq C$  be a shortest induced cycle inside  $C$ 
17:     Let  $v$  be a lightest vertex in  $C' \setminus \{x_i\}$  acc. to  $w_i$ 
18:      $C_i = C_i \cup \{v\}$ 
19:      $w_i = \text{update2}(w_i, C' \setminus \{x_i\})$ 
20:   end while
21:    $F_i := C_i \cup \text{FindFVS}(G[R_{G-C_i}(x_i)], w_i) \cup \text{FindFVS}(G[\overline{R}_{G-C_i}(x_i)], w_i)$ 
22: end for
23: Return a lightest set among  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{28\alpha}$  acc. to  $w$ , say  $X$ 

```

Analysis

Proof of Theorem 1. We will prove this by induction on n . For the base case we consider $n \leq 30\alpha$; where by iterating over all subsets of vertices, we can, in $\mathcal{O}(2^{30\alpha}n^2)$ time, find a minimum weight FVS in G . Hence, from now on, we will analyze when $n > 30\alpha$.

Let F_{opt} be an optimal FVS in G . If $|F_{opt}| \geq 2n/3\alpha$, then we claim that F_0 satisfies the theorem statement. Note that the algorithm returns X such that $w(X) \leq w(F_i)$ for each $i \in [0, 28\alpha]$, i.e. X is the lightest set among $\{F_0, \dots, F_{28\alpha}\}$.

Claim 8 (†) *Suppose that $|F_{opt}| \geq 2n/3\alpha$. Then, with probability at least $1/2$, F_0 is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G, w) .*

Proof. Let w' denote the weight function returned by `update1`(w, L), Definition 7. Let F' denote the set returned by the recursive call `FindFVS`($G - L, w'$). Let v denote the heaviest vertex in L . By applying the induction hypothesis on $G - L$, we have that with probability at least $1/2$, F' is a 2α -approximate FVS in $(G - L, w')$. Therefore, we have $w(F_0) = w(F' \cup L) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt})$. \square

Thus, from now on we assume that $|F_{opt}| < 2n/3\alpha$. Next, we will analyze the probabilistic events in our algorithm, by which we will obtain a lower bound on the algorithm accuracy.

Consider the ordering $\sigma(G - F_{opt}) = \langle v_1, \dots, v_{n-|F_{opt}|} \rangle$ of vertices in $G - F_{opt}$. For each $i \in [28\alpha]$, we say that the randomly chosen vertex x_i is *good* if $x_i \notin F_{opt}$ and the position of x_i in $\sigma(G - F_{opt})$ is in the first $(n-|F_{opt}|)/3$ vertices. Let E_i^1 denote the event that x_i is good. Thus, for each i , E_i^1 occurs with probability at least $\left(\frac{(n-|F_{opt}|)}{3}\right)/n \geq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{9\alpha}$. The underlying goal of this definition is to bound the size of the recursive subproblems. That is, if x_i is good for some $i \in [28\alpha]$, then the size of the subproblem in the i^{th} iteration of the for loop is bounded, established via eq. (4). Towards this, we first show the following.

Claim 9 (†) *If x_i is good, then both $d_G^+(x_i)$ and $d_G^-(x_i)$ are at least $\frac{n}{18\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$.*

Thus, if either $d_G^+(x_i)$ or $d_G^-(x_i)$ is strictly less than $\frac{n}{18\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$, we conclude that x_i is not good. Then we set $F_i = V(G)$ and continue to find the next FVS (lines 8-11).

Approximation factor analysis. For a fixed $i \in [28\alpha]$, suppose that x_i is good (i.e., we condition on the event E_i^1). Let G_1 and G_2 denote $G[R_{G-C_i}(x_i)]$ and $G[\overline{R}_{G-C_i}(x_i)]$, resp. Let F_i^+ and F_i^- denote the FVSs returned by the recursive calls `FindFVS`($G[R_{G-C_i}(x_i)], w_i$) and `FindFVS`($G[\overline{R}_{G-C_i}(x_i)], w_i$), resp.

Observe that all cycles in $G - C_i$ are contained completely inside either G_1 or G_2 . Thus, $F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is an FVS in $G - C_i$ and $F_i = C_i \cup F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is an FVS in G . Consequently, each set in $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^{28\alpha}$ is an FVS in G and the algorithm always returns an FVS in G . Moreover, $F_{opt} \cap V(G_1)$ and $F_{opt} \cap V(G_2)$ are FVSs in G_1 and G_2 resp., by Lemma 6. Thus, $F_{opt} \setminus C_i = F_{opt} \cap (V(G_1) \cup V(G_2))$ is an FVS in $G_1 \cup G_2 = G - C_i$, by Observation 1.

Let E_i^2 and E_i^3 denote the events that F_i^+ and F_i^- are 2α -approximate FVS in (G_1, w_i) and (G_2, w_i) , resp. By applying the induction hypothesis on G_1 and G_2 , we have that each of E_i^2 and E_i^3 happens individually with probability at least $1/2$. Since E_i^2 and E_i^3 are independent, both E_i^2 and E_i^3 happen with probability at least $1/2 \cdot 1/2 = 1/4$.

Suppose that F_i^+ and F_i^- are 2α -approximate FVSs in (G_1, w_i) and (G_2, w_i) , resp. Therefore, $F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is a 2α -approximate FVS in $(G_1 \cup G_2, w_i)$. Consequently, we have that $w_i(F_i^+ \cup F_i^-) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w_i(F_{opt} \setminus C_i)$, since $F_{opt} \setminus C_i$ is an FVS in $G - C_i$, by Observation 1. From now on we condition on the events E_i^1 , E_i^2 , and E_i^3 and then prove the following.

Claim 10 (\dagger) *The set $F_i = C_i \cup F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G, w) .*

Proof. Since F_i is an FVS in G , it suffices to show that $w(C_i \cup F_i^+ \cup F_i^-) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt})$.

Suppose that C_i contains ℓ vertices at the end of the while loop (lines 14-20). Then, w_i , which was initially w , was updated ℓ times using the method `update2`. Let $w_i^0 = w$. For each $j \in [\ell]$, let w_i^j be the function w_i after j updates and let v_j denote the j^{th} vertex added to C_i .

We will prove a more general condition, which implies the claim, that for each $j \in [\ell]$

$$w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j, \dots, v_\ell\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt}). \quad (1)$$

Note that for a fixed i and $j = 1$, we have $w_i^0 = w$ and $C_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ and so the above condition yields $w(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup C_i) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt})$. Observe that by the definition of `update2`,

$$w_i^{j-1}(v_k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in [j-1]. \quad (2)$$

Then, Equation (1) is equivalent to the following:

$$w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j, \dots, v_\ell\}) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}). \text{ (by eq. (2))}$$

Our proof will use induction on the value of j , in decreasing order. For the base case $j = \ell + 1$ (in which case $w_i^{j-1} = w_i^\ell = w_i$), we have

$$w_i^\ell(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}) = w_i(F_i^+ \cup F_i^-) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w_i^\ell(F_{opt} \setminus C_i). \text{ (by eq. (2))}$$

We provide a proof of the inductive case in the full version of the paper. This concludes the proof of the claim. \square

We will conclude the proof of the theorem by showing that our algorithm succeeds with bounded probability within time $\mathcal{O}(n^{122\alpha^2})$.

Probability analysis. We have conditioned upon three events: (E_i^1) x_i is good, (E_i^2) F_i^+ is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G_1, w_i) , and (E_i^3) F_i^- is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G_2, w_i) . For a fixed i , these three events happen with probability at least

$$(1/3 - 2/9\alpha) \cdot 1/2 \cdot 1/2 = 1/12 - 1/18\alpha. \quad (3)$$

The probability that for each $i \in [28\alpha]$ at least one of $\{E_i^1, E_i^2, E_i^3\}$ does not happen is at most $(11/12 + 1/18\alpha)^{28\alpha} \leq 1/2$ because $\alpha \geq 1$. Thus, with probability at least $1/2$ there exists $i \in [28\alpha]$ such that all the three events occur and consequently $F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup C_i$ is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G, w) .

Running time analysis. If $n \leq 30\alpha$, then the algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(2^{30\alpha}n^2)$ time. From now on, consider the case $n > 30\alpha$. Each iteration of the while loop (lines 14-20) can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ time since finding a shortest cycle C (line 15), a shortest induced cycle $C' \subseteq C$ (line 16), and a lightest vertex in C' (line 17) can all be done in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ time.

Since in each iteration, a vertex $v \in G \setminus C_i$ is added to C_i (which was initially empty), the repeat loop is carried out for at most n steps. Therefore, the repeat loop can be done in time $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$. Before we consider recursive calls, we would like to note that finding the $n/6\alpha$ lightest vertices in G (line 4) can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time and finding a lightest set (line 23) can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n\alpha)$ time.

If x_i is not good, then F_i is set to $V(G)$ and no further recursive calls are made. Recall that by Claim 9, if x_i is good, both $d_G^+(x_i)$ and $d_G^-(x_i)$ are at least $n/18\alpha + 1/4\alpha - 1/2$. Since $G - C_i$ does not contain any cycle that x_i is part of, the number of vertices in $G[R_{G-C_i}(x_i)]$ and $G[\overline{R}_{G-C_i}(x_i)]$ is at most $n - (n/18\alpha + 1/4\alpha - 1/2)$. Upon simplification, we note that

$$n - (n/18\alpha + 1/4\alpha - 1/2) \leq n(1 - 1/18\alpha) + 1/2 \leq n(1 - 1/30\alpha), \quad (4)$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $n > 30\alpha$.

Thus, the overall running time is given by an application of the Master theorem [3] to the recurrence relation

$$T(n) \leq 2 \cdot 28\alpha T(n(1 - 1/30\alpha)) + 28\alpha \mathcal{O}(n^4) + T(n(1 - 1/6\alpha)) = \mathcal{O}(n^{122\alpha^2}). \quad (5)$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

4 Subset FVS in Tournaments

In addition to a tournament T on n vertices and a weight function $w : V(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, we are given as input a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(T)$ of size s . We say that $F \subseteq V(T)$ is a *subset feedback vertex set* (SFVS, in short) in T if there is no cycle containing vertices of S in $T - F$. The goal is to find a minimum weight SFVS in T . Observe that if $S = V(T)$, then the problem is a case of DFVS-bIN with $\alpha = 1$.

By (T, S, w) , we denote an instance of S-FVST. The following observations follow from the hereditary property of subset-acyclicity.

Observation 3 *Let F be an SFVS in (T, S, w) and let $X \subseteq V(G)$. Then $F \setminus X$ is an SFVS in $(T - X, S \setminus X, w)$.*

Observation 4 *Suppose that F is an optimal SFVS in (T, S, w) and X is a subset of F . Then, $F \setminus X$ is an optimal SFVS in $(T - X, S \setminus X, w)$, of weight $w(F) - w(X)$.*

In our discussions, a *triangle* (\triangle , in short) is a directed cycle of length three. The following structural lemma gives us the fact that, hitting all triangles passing through the vertices of S is equivalent to hitting all cycles passing through S . As a consequence, we have that $F \subseteq V(T)$ is an SFVS if and only if in $T - F$ there is no triangle that contains a vertex of S .

Lemma 11 (\dagger). *For a vertex $x \in S$, any shortest cycle containing x is a \triangle .*

The following lemma, analogous to Lemma 6, shows the interaction of an SFVS F in (T, S, w) with $T[R_T(x)]$ and $T[\overline{R}_T(x)]$.

Lemma 12 (\dagger). *Suppose that $x \in S$ is a vertex that is not part of any cycle in T , then the following holds: F is an SFVS in (T, S, w) if and only if $F \cap R_T(x)$ is an SFVS in $(T[R_T(x)], S \cap R_T(x), w)$ and $F \cap \overline{R}_T(x)$ is an SFVS in $(T[\overline{R}_T(x)], S \cap \overline{R}_T(x), w)$.*

Next, we present a randomized 2-approximation algorithm for S-FVST that runs in time $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ extending the ideas that we used to solve DFVS-bIN.

The base case of the recursive algorithm is given by $s = |S| \leq 30$. Unlike Algorithm 1, we cannot handle the base case by simply iterating over all subsets of vertices of size at most 30 to find an optimal solution. An SFVS may contain vertices outside S (i.e., in $T - S$). To overcome this, we use the notion of vertex covers. A subset of vertices $B \subseteq V(T)$ is called a *vertex cover* in T if for each arc $(u, v) \in E(T)$ we have $B \cap \{u, v\} \neq \emptyset$. Towards handling the base case, we use the well known fact that a 2-approximate minimum weight vertex cover in a digraph on n vertices can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ time [1] using the subroutine `FindVertexCover`(T', w') which takes $T' = (V', E')$ as input together with a weight function $w : V(T') \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. From now on, by $\binom{S}{\leq 30}$, we denote the subsets of S of size at most 30.

4.1 Technical Overview

Definition 13. *Given a set $S \subseteq V(T)$, we use two “weight update” functions both of which take as input a weight function w and a set of vertices Q and return a new weight function:*

1. *update3*(w, Q): Let h be the heaviest vertex in Q . It returns $w' : V(T) \setminus Q \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ where

$$w'(v) = \begin{cases} w(v) - w(h) & \text{if } v \in S \setminus Q; \\ w(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. *update4*(w, Q): Let ℓ be the lightest vertex in Q . It returns $w' : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ where

$$w'(v) = \begin{cases} w(v) - w(\ell) & \text{if } v \in Q; \\ w(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The high level structure of the solution is similar to the one for DFVS-BIN. In this overview, we highlight the key differences from the previous algorithm. Let F_{opt} be an optimal SFVS in T . We consider the following three cases.

– **Case 1** ($|S \cap F_{opt}| \leq 30$): We “guess” this intersection by iterating over all $Q \in \binom{S}{\leq 30}$, i.e., we guess the part of S which is *inside* (say Q) the solution and the part which is *outside* (say O) the solution. After the guessing if we find any Δ containing only vertices from O , then we cannot extend Q and trivially set S to be the solution, denoted by F_Q . Otherwise, we initially set F_Q to be the vertices which are inside the solution and extend it in two phases. In the first phase, we deal with Δ s where two of its vertices are in O and we add the third vertex of such a Δ to F_Q . In the second phase, we deal with Δ s where one vertex is in O : we find a 2-approximate weighted vertex cover using `FindVertexCover()` on the (undirected) graph containing the edges between the end vertices that are not in O , of such Δ s. We show that the extension F_Q corresponding to $Q = S \cap F_{opt}$ is a 2-approximate solution (Claim 14). As a base case of the recursive algorithm, if $s < 30$ then we return the lightest solution in $\{F_Q\}_{Q \in \binom{S}{\leq 30}}$ (say Y).

– **Case 2** ($|S \cap F_{opt}| \geq 2s/3$): Let L be a set of $s/6$ lightest vertices in S and F be the SFVS returned by the recursive call `FindSFVS($T - L, S \setminus L, \text{update3}(w, L)$)`. We show that $F_0 = F \cup L$ is a 2-approximate SFVS in (T, S, w) with probability at least $1/2$ (Claim 15).

– **Case 3** ($30 < |S \cap F_{opt}| < 2s/3$): First, we randomly sample a vertex $x \in S$. With probability at least $1/3 - 2/9$, x is in the first $1/3^{rd}$ part of $\sigma(T[S \setminus F_{opt}])$. Such a vertex x has in-degree and out-degree at least $s/18 + 1/4 - 1/2$ in $T[S \setminus F_{opt}]$ (Claim 16). We compute P (and a weight function w'), a set of vertices such that in $T - P$ there is no cycle that contains x . Consequently, both $|S \cap R_{T-P}(x)|$ and $|S \cap \overline{R}_{T-P}|$ are at most $s(1 - 1/30)$. We recursively compute SFVSs F_1 and F_2 in $(T[R_{T-P}(x)], S \cap R_{T-P}(x), w')$ and $(T[\overline{R}_{T-P}], S \cap \overline{R}_{T-P}, w')$ resp. and obtain a 2-approximate SFVS in T : $P \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ (Claim 17). Let F_1, \dots, F_{28} be the solutions that we get by repeating the random experiment 28 times.

We show that with probability at least $1/2$, the lightest set among $\{Y, F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{28}\}$ according to w is a 2-approximate SFVS in T .

For the running time, we show that the number of subproblems is $s^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ and the time spent at each subproblem is $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. Thus, the overall running time is $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$.

4.2 The Algorithm

The full version of the paper contains the pseudocode of the algorithm for SFVS in tournaments.

Analysis

Proof of Theorem 2. Let F_{opt} denote an optimal SFVS in T . We will prove by induction on n . For the base case, we consider $|S \cap F_{opt}| \leq 30$ (which subsumes the case $n \leq 30$).

Claim 14 (†) *If $|S \cap F_{opt}| \leq 30$, then Y is a 2-approximate SFVS in (T, S, w) .*

From now on, we assume that $|S \cap F_{opt}| > 30$. We will restate the key statements, claims and definitions. Proofs of the following claims are similar to that of the claims that we have proved for the DFVS-bIN problem. The only part of problem which need to be argued is the running time analysis, as in the S-FVST problem the base case is non-trivial.

Now similar to before, we first consider the case $|S \cap F_{opt}| \geq 2s/3$.

Claim 15 (†) *Suppose that $|S \cap F_{opt}| \geq 2s/3$. Then, with probability at least $1/2$, F_0 is a 2-approximate SFVS in (T, S, w) .*

From now on, we assume that $|S \cap F_{opt}| < 2s/3$. Consider the ordering $\sigma(T[S \setminus F_{opt}]) = \langle v_1, \dots, v_{s-|S \cap F_{opt}|} \rangle$ of vertices in $S \setminus F_{opt}$. For each $i \in [28]$, we say that the randomly chosen vertex $x_i \in S$ is *good* if $x_i \notin F_{opt}$ and the position of x_i in $\sigma(T[S \setminus F_{opt}])$ is at most $(s-|S \cap F_{opt}|)/3$. Let E_i^1 denote the event that x_i is good. Thus, for each i , E_i^1 occurs with probability at least $\left(\frac{(s-|S \cap F_{opt}|)}{3}\right) / s \geq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{9}$. Analogous to Claim 9, we have the following.

Claim 16 (†) *If x_i is good, then $\min(d_{T[S]}^+(x_i), d_{T[S]}^-(x_i)) \geq \frac{s}{18} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$.*

For a fixed $i \in [28]$, suppose that x_i is good (i.e., we condition on the event E_i^1). Similar to Algorithm 1, the set C_i and function w_i are computed as follows.

```

 $C_i = \{\}, w_i = w$ 
while there is a  $\Delta$  in  $T - C_i$  that contains  $x_i$  do           ▷ Eliminating  $\Delta$ s with  $x_i$ 
  Let  $C'$  be a  $\Delta$  in  $T - C_i$  containing  $x_i$ 
  Let  $v$  be a lightest vertex in  $C' \setminus \{x_i\}$  acc. to  $w_i$ 
   $C_i = C_i \cup \{v\}$ 
   $w_i = \text{update4}(w_i, C' \setminus \{x_i\})$ 
end while

```

Let $R_i^1 = R_{T-C_i}(x_i)$, $R_i^2 = T[\overline{R}_{T-C_i}(x_i)]$, $T_1 = T[R_i^1]$, and $T_2 = T[R_i^2]$. Observe that all cycles passing through a vertex in S in $T - C_i$ are contained completely inside either T_1 or T_2 ; otherwise, x_i would form a triangle with an arc from such a cycle (by Lemma 11). Hence, we can deduce that $F_{opt} \setminus C_i = F_{opt} \cap (V(T_1) \cup V(T_2))$. Moreover, since $T_1 \cup T_2 = T - C_i$, we have that $F_{opt} \setminus C_i$ is an SFVS in $(T - C_i, S \setminus C_i, w)$ (by Observation 3).

Let F_i^+ and F_i^- denote the solutions returned by the recursive calls $\text{FindSFVS}(T[R_i^1], S \cap R_i^1, w_i)$ and $\text{FindSFVS}(T[R_i^2], S \cap R_i^2, w_i)$, resp. Given that $R_i^1 = R_{T-C_i}(x_i)$ and $R_i^2 = \overline{R}_{T-C_i}(x_i)$, we note that $F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is an SFVS in $(T - C_i, S \setminus C_i, w_i)$ (by Lemma 12). Thus, $F_i = C_i \cup F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is an SFVS in (T, S, w_i) .

Consequently, each set in $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^{28}$ is an SFVS in T . Since each set in $\{F_Q\}_{Q \in (\leq 30)}$ is also an SFVS, the algorithm always returns an SFVS in T . Next, we will analyze the quality of the solution F_i .

Let E_i^2 and E_i^3 denote the events that F_i^+ and F_i^- are 2-approximate SFVSs in $(T_1, S \cap R_i^1, w_i)$ and $(T_2, S \cap R_i^2, w_i)$, resp. From now on, we condition on the events E_i^1 , E_i^2 , and E_i^3 .

By applying the induction hypothesis on T_1 and T_2 , we have that each of E_i^2 and E_i^3 happens individually with probability at least $1/2$. As F_i^+ and F_i^- are 2-approximate SFVS in $(T_1, S \cap R_i^1, w_i)$ and $(T_2, S \cap R_i^2, w_i)$, resp., $F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is a 2-approximate SFVS in $(T - C_i, S \setminus C_i, w_i)$. Since, $F_{opt} \setminus C_i$ is also an SFVS in $(T - C_i, S \setminus C_i, w_i)$, we can infer that $w_i(F_i^+ \cup F_i^-) \leq 2 \cdot w_i(F_{opt} \setminus C_i)$. The following result is analogous to Claim 10.

Claim 17 (\dagger) *The set $F_i = C_i \cup F_i^+ \cup F_i^-$ is a 2-approximate SFVS in (T, S, w) .*

We will conclude the proof of the theorem by showing that our algorithm succeeds with bounded probability in time $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$.

Probability analysis. With probability at least $1/2$ there exists $i \in [28]$ such that all the three events occur and $F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup C_i$ is a 2-approximate SFVS in (T, S, w) .

Running time analysis. If $|S \cap F_{opt}| \leq 30$, then since there are $\mathcal{O}(n^{30})$ subsets of S of size at most 30 and for each subset, its extension to a solution can be computed in time $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$, the set $\{F_Q\}_{Q \in \binom{S}{\leq 30}}$ can be computed in time $n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$.

Else for each i , the set C_i and function w_i can be computed in time $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$. Finding the $s/6$ lightest vertices in S can be done in $\mathcal{O}(s \log s)$ time.

If x_i is not good, then F_i is set to S and no further recursive calls are made. By Claim 16, if x_i is good, both $d_{T[S]}^+(x_i)$ and $d_{T[S]}^-(x_i)$ are at least $s/18 + 1/4 - 1/2$. Since $T - C_i$ does not contain any cycle that x_i is part of, the number of vertices in $S \cap R_i^1$ and $S \cap R_i^2$ is at most $s - (s/18 + 1/4 - 1/2) \leq s(1 - 1/18) + 1/2 \leq s(1 - 1/30)$ (since we have assumed that $s > 30$). The total number of recursive subproblems is given by an application of the Master theorem [3] to the recurrence relation $T(s) \leq 2 \cdot 28T(s(1 - 1/30)) + T(30) + T(s(1 - 1/6)) = \mathcal{O}(s^{122})$.

Thus, the overall running time is $T(s) \cdot (\text{time spent at each subproblem}) = T(s) \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)} = n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ (since $s \in [n]$). This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement

We thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

1. Bar-Yehuda, R., Bendel, K., Freund, A., Rawitz, D.: Local ratio: A unified framework for approximation algorithms. in memoriam: Shimon even 1935-2004. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) **36**(4), 422–463 (2004)
2. Cai, M.c., Deng, X., Zang, W.: An approximation algorithm for feedback vertex sets in tournaments. SIAM Journal on Computing **30**(6), 1993–2007 (2001)
3. Cormen, T.H., Leiserson, C.E., Rivest, R.L., Stein, C.: Introduction to algorithms. MIT press (2022)
4. Fradkin, A., Seymour, P.: Edge-disjoint paths in digraphs with bounded independence number. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **110**, 19–46 (2015)
5. Khot, S., Regev, O.: Vertex cover might be hard to approximate to within $2 - \epsilon$. Journal of Computer and System Sciences **74**(3), 335–349 (2008)

6. Lochet, W., Lokshtanov, D., Misra, P., Saurabh, S., Sharma, R., Zehavi, M.: Fault tolerant subgraphs with applications in kernelization. In: 11th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference (ITCS 2020). Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik (2020)
7. Lokshtanov, D., Misra, P., Mukherjee, J., Panolan, F., Philip, G., Saurabh, S.: 2-approximating feedback vertex set in tournaments. ACM Transactions on Algorithms (TALG) **17**(2), 1–14 (2021)
8. Mnich, M., Vassilevska Williams, V., Végh, L.A.: A 7/3-approximation for feedback vertex sets in tournaments. In: 24th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA 2016). Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik (2016)

Appendix

A Extended Preliminaries

In this paper, we deal with simple directed graphs (*digraphs*, in short) containing no parallel edges/arcs. By $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, we denote the vertices and arcs of a digraph G resp. We work in the setting of vertex weighted digraphs: by (G, w) we denote a vertex weighted digraph G with weight function $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. The weight of a subset of vertices is the sum of weights of the vertices in the subset. Note that the setting of unweighted graphs is a special case of weighted graphs. If there is an arc $(u, v) \in E(G)$, then u is an *in-neighbor* of v , and v is an *out-neighbor* of u . The *in-neighborhood* of a vertex x , denoted by $N^-(x) = \{v | (v, x) \in E(G)\}$, is the set of in-neighbors of x . The *in-degree* of a vertex x , denoted by $d_G^-(x) = |N^-(x)|$, is the number of in-neighbors of x . The *out-neighborhood* and *out-degree* of a vertex x , denoted by $N^+(x)$ and $d_G^+(x)$ resp., are defined analogously. *Deleting* a vertex v from G involves removing the vertex v from $V(G)$ and all those arcs in $E(G)$ that is incident to v . For a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$, we use $G - S$ to denote the digraph obtained by deleting all vertices of S from G . For a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph of G *induced* by S , denoted by $G[S]$, is the digraph on vertex set S whose arcs are given by the arcs in G with both end-vertices in S . For any induced subgraph H of a vertex weighted graph (G, w) , we will assume that w defines a weight function when restricted to $V(H)$. An *independent set* is a subset of vertices in G that induces a digraph with no arcs. The *independence number* of G , denoted by $\alpha(G)$, is the size of a largest independent set in G ; we write $\alpha(G)$ as α when it is clear from context.

A *directed path* of length k is a sequence of distinct vertices $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ such that for every $i \in [k - 1]$ we have $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$. We say a vertex u is *reachable* from a vertex v , if there is a directed path which contains both the vertices u and v and vertex v appears before vertex u in the sequence of the vertices which defines the directed path. By $R_G(x)$, we denote the set of all vertices other than x reachable from x in G . By $\overline{R_G}(x)$, we denote the set of all vertices not reachable from x in G . Observe that $(R_G(x), \overline{R_G}(x), \{x\})$ form a partition of $V(G)$. The digraph G is *strongly connected* if for $\forall x, y \in V(G)$ where $x \neq y$ there is a directed path from x to y . A *directed cycle* of length k

is a sequence of distinct vertices $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ such that for every $i \in [k-1]$ we have $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$, and $(x_k, x_1) \in E(G)$. A digraph is *acyclic* if it does not contain a directed cycle.

A *feedback vertex set* (FVS) in G is a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G - S$ is acyclic. Given a family $X = \{S_1, \dots, S_l\}$ where $S_i \subseteq V(G)$ for each $i \in [l]$, we call $S_a \in X$ *lightest* if for all $i \in [l]$ we have $w(S_a) \leq w(S_i)$. Similarly, we call $S_b \in X$ *heaviest* if for all $i \in [l]$ we have $w(S_i) \leq w(S_b)$. An FVS F_{opt} in G is an *optimal* solution of the instance (G, w) if for every other FVS S in G we have $w(S) \geq w(F_{opt})$ i.e. F_{opt} is lightest among all FVSs in G . An optimal FVS is often referred as a *minimum* FVS. An FVS F in G is called a 2α -*approximate solution* of the instance (G, w) if $w(F) \leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt})$.

An algorithm is a *factor- f randomized approximation algorithm* for a problem \mathcal{P} if, for each instance \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{P} , with probability at least $1/2$, it returns a solution for \mathcal{I} of size at most $f \times OPT_{\mathcal{I}}$ where $OPT_{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the optimal solution for the instance \mathcal{I} .

We define an *HL-degree ordering* $\sigma(G) = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ on the vertices of G by the recursive application of Lemma 4. Thus, v_1 has both $d_G^+(v_1)$ and $d_G^-(v_1)$ are at least $(n-2\alpha)/4\alpha$; v_2 is a vertex such that both $d_{G-\{v_1\}}^+(v_2)$ and $d_{G-\{v_1\}}^-(v_2)$ are at least $(n-1-2\alpha)/4\alpha$. More generally, for any $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, there are $(n - (i-1))$ vertices in $G - \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$. Therefore for any $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ we have $d_{G-\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}}^+(v_i)$ and $d_{G-\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}}^-(v_i)$ are at least $(n-(i-1)-2\alpha)/4\alpha$.

B Missing Proofs

B.1 Proof of Lemma 4

Consider the partition of $V(G)$ into two parts based on the in-degree and out-degree of vertices: (V_1, V_2) where $V_1 = \{v \in V(G) : d_G^+(v) \geq d_G^-(v)\}$ and $V_2 = V(G) \setminus V_1$. At least one of the sets must have $n/2$ vertices. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|V_1| \geq n/2$. Therefore using lemma 3, we can conclude $\exists \hat{v} \in V_1$ such that $d_{G[V_1]}^-(\hat{v}) \geq \frac{|V_1| - \alpha}{2\alpha} = \frac{n-2\alpha}{4\alpha}$ as $G[V_1]$ has independence number α . Combining this with the definition of V_1 , we have that $d_G^+(\hat{v}) \geq d_G^-(\hat{v}) \geq d_{G[V_1]}^-(\hat{v}) \geq (n-2\alpha)/4\alpha$.

B.2 Proof of Lemma 6

Forward direction is trivial as acyclicity is a hereditary property. For the backward direction, assume for the sake of contradiction that F is not an FVS in G : there is a cycle C in $G - F$. Since C is not a cycle in either $G[R_G(x)]$ or $G[\overline{R}_G(x)]$, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in C$ such that $x_1 \in R_G(x)$ and $x_2 \in \overline{R}_G(x)$. But this is not possible because there is a path from x_1 to x_2 using arcs in C , a contradiction.

B.3 Details in proof of Claim 8

$$\begin{aligned}
w(F_0) &= w(F' \cup L) = w(F') + w(L) = w'(F') + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\
&\leq 2\alpha \cdot w'(F_{opt} \setminus L) + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\
&\quad \text{(as } F_{opt} \setminus L \text{ is also an FVS in } G - L) \\
&\leq 2\alpha \cdot (w(F_{opt}) - |F_{opt} \setminus L|w(v)) + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\
&\leq 2\alpha \cdot (w(F_{opt}) - (2n/3\alpha - n/6\alpha)w(v)) + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\
&\quad \text{(since } |F_{opt}| \geq 2n/3\alpha, |L| = n/6\alpha) \\
&= 2\alpha \cdot (w(F_{opt}) - (n/2\alpha)w(v)) + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\
&\leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt}) - n \cdot w(v) + |F'|w(v) + |L|w(v) \\
&\quad \text{(since } v \text{ is the heaviest vertex in } L) \\
&\leq 2\alpha \cdot w(F_{opt}). \\
&\quad \text{(since } F' \text{ and } L \text{ are disjoint subsets of } V(G), \text{ i.e. } |F'| + |L| \leq n)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, F_0 is a 2α -approximate FVS in (G, w) .

B.4 Proof of Claim 9

Let $T = G - F_{opt}$. Since x_i is good, we have $x_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{\lfloor T \rfloor/3}\}$. Recall the degree bounds obtained by using Lemma 3 in Section 1.2. Applying the same on the subgraph T (which also has independence number at most α), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_G^+(x_i) &\geq d_{G-(F_{opt} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{\lfloor T \rfloor/3-1}\})}^+(v_{\lfloor T \rfloor/3}) \geq \frac{(n - (|F_{opt}| + \frac{\lfloor T \rfloor}{3} - 1) - 2\alpha)}{4\alpha} \\
&\quad \text{(by Lemma 3)} \\
&= \frac{(n - (|F_{opt}| + \frac{n - |F_{opt}|}{3} - 1) - 2\alpha)}{4\alpha} \geq \frac{n}{6\alpha} - \frac{n}{9\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \\
&\quad \text{(since } |F_{opt}| < 2n/3\alpha) \\
&\geq \frac{n}{18\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad \text{(since } \alpha \geq 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $d_G^-(x_i) \geq \frac{n}{18\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$.

B.5 Details in proof of Claim 10

Inductive case: For the inductive step, note that v_j is a lightest vertex in C' where $C' \subseteq C$ is a shortest induced cycle inside C . Clearly, F_{opt} contains at least one vertex from C' , hence $|F_{opt} \cap C'| \geq 1$. Moreover, if $x_i \notin C'$, by Lemma 5 we know that $|C'| \leq 2\alpha$, and so $1 \leq |F_{opt} \cap C'| \leq 2\alpha$ follows. Else, we have $x_i \in C'$. But since $x_i \notin F_{opt}$ (it is good); hence, $1 \leq |F_{opt} \cap C'| = |F_{opt} \cap (C' \setminus \{x_i\})| \leq 2\alpha$. Recall that $w_i^j = \text{update2}(w_i^{j-1}, C' \setminus \{x\})$. Thus, we have

$$w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j, \dots, v_\ell\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) = w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{v_j\} \cup \{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_\ell\} && \text{(by eq. (2))} \\
\leq & w_i^j(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_\ell\}) + 2\alpha \cdot w_i^{j-1}(v_j) && \text{(since } |C' \setminus \{x_i\}| \leq 2\alpha) \\
\leq & 2\alpha \cdot \left(w_i^j(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_j\}) + w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \right) && \text{(by the induction hypothesis)} \\
= & 2\alpha \cdot \left(w_i^j(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) + w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \right) && \text{(by eq. (2), } w_i^j(v_j) = 0) \\
\leq & 2\alpha \cdot \left(w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) - |(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \cap (C' \setminus \{x_i\})| \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot w_i^{j-1}(v_j) + w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \right) \\
\leq & 2\alpha \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) && \text{(since } |(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \cap (C' \setminus \{x_i\})| \geq 1) \\
= & 2\alpha \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt}).
\end{aligned}$$

B.6 Solution of Equation (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq 2 \cdot 28\alpha T \left(n \left(1 - \frac{1}{30\alpha} \right) \right) + 28\alpha \mathcal{O}(n^4) + T \left(n \left(1 - \frac{1}{6\alpha} \right) \right) \\
& \leq 57\alpha T \left(n \left(1 - \frac{1}{30\alpha} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(n^5) && \text{(since } n > 30\alpha) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_t 57\alpha}) && \text{(for } t = \frac{30\alpha}{30\alpha-1}, \log_t 57 > 5 \text{ for all } \alpha \geq 1) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(n^{122\alpha}).
\end{aligned}$$

B.7 Proof of Lemma 11

Assume for contradiction that $C = \langle x, a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$ is a shortest cycle containing x , where $r \geq 3$. Clearly if $(a_2, x) \in E(T)$, then $C' = \langle x, a_1, a_2 \rangle$ is a directed cycle of length 3 that contains x , a contradiction. As T is a tournament, we must have $(x, a_2) \in E(T)$. Then, $C'' = \langle x, a_2, \dots, a_r \rangle \subset C$ is a cycle containing x which is shorter than C , a contradiction.

B.8 Proof of Lemma 12

Forward direction is trivial as acyclicity is a hereditary property. For the backward direction, assume for the sake of contradiction that F is not an SFVS in (T, S, w) . Let C be a triangle containing a vertex of $S \setminus F$ in $T - F$. Since C is not a cycle in either $T[R_T(x)]$ containing vertices of $S \cap R_T(x)$ or $T[\overline{R}_T(x)]$ containing vertices of $S \cap \overline{R}_T(x)$, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in C$ such that $x_1 \in S \cap R_G(x)$ and $x_2 \in S \cap \overline{R}_G(x)$. But this is not possible because there is an arc from x_1 to x_2 (also in C), a contradiction.

B.9 Proof of Claim 14

Consider the execution of the for loop (lines 1-15) during which $Q = F_{opt} \cap S$. We claim that $w(F_Q) \leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt})$.

There does not exist $a, b, c \in O$ such that abc form a \triangle in T (otherwise $F_{opt} \cap \{a, b, c\} \neq \emptyset$). Thus, the execution does not enter line 4. From the construction of F_Q , we have the following.

Observation 5 *For each $a, b \in O, c \in V(T) \setminus (I \cup O)$ such that abc form a \triangle in T_I , both $F_{opt} \cap \{a, b, c\} = \{c\}$ and $F_Q \cap \{a, b, c\} = \{c\}$.*

Any triangle abc with $\{a, b, c\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ that we have not considered till now contains exactly one vertex from O . We may assume without loss of generality that $a \in O$. Then, F_{opt} contains at least one of $\{b, c\}$ (otherwise $T - F_{opt}$ would contain the triangle abc). For each such triangle abc , we have the arc (b, c) in the set E' . Let \hat{C} denote the 2-approximate vertex cover returned by $\text{FindVertexCover}((V(T), E'), w)$. Let V' denote the set of endpoints of arcs in E' . Since F_{opt} is an SFVS in T , it is a vertex cover in $((V(T), E'), w)$. Therefore, $w(\hat{C}) = w(F_Q \cap V') \leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt} \cap V')$.

From the construction of F_Q , we have $w(F_Q \cap I) = w(F_{opt} \cap I)$. From Observation 5, we have $w(F_Q \setminus (I \cup V')) = w(F_{opt} \setminus (I \cup V'))$. Combining all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(F_Q) &= w((F_Q \cap I) \cup (F_Q \cap V') \cup (F_Q \setminus (I \cup V'))) \\ &= w(F_Q \cap I) + w(F_Q \cap V') + w(F_Q \setminus (I \cup V')) \\ &\leq w(F_{opt} \cap I) + 2 \cdot w(F_{opt} \cap V') + w(F_{opt} \setminus (I \cup V')) \leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt}). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the claim.

B.10 Proof of Claim 15

Let w' denote the weight function returned by $\text{update3}(w, L)$ and F' denote the set returned by the recursive call $\text{FindSFVS}(T-L, S \setminus L, w')$. Let v be the heaviest vertex in L . By applying the induction hypothesis on $G-L$, we have that with probability at least $1/2$, F' is a 2-approximate SFVS in $(G-L, w')$. Now we have the following,

$$\begin{aligned} w(F_0) &= w(F' \cup L) = w(F') + w(L) = w'(F') + |F' \cap S|w(v) + w(L) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot w'(F_{opt} \setminus L) + |F' \cap S|w(v) + w(L) \\ &\quad \text{(as } F_{opt} \setminus L \text{ is also an SFVS in } G-L) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot (w(F_{opt}) - |(F_{opt} \setminus L) \cap S|w(v)) + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot (w(F_{opt}) - (2s/3 - s/6)w(v)) + |F'|w(v) + w(L) \\ &\quad \text{(since } |F_{opt} \cap S| \geq 2s/3, |L| = s/6) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot (w(F_{opt}) - (s/2)w(v)) + |F' \cap S|w(v) + w(L) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt}) - s \cdot w(v) + |F' \cap S|w(v) + |L|w(v) \\ &\quad \text{(since } v \text{ is the heaviest vertex in } L) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt}). \\ &\text{(since } F' \cap S \text{ and } L \text{ are disjoint subsets of } S, \text{ i.e. } |F' \cap S| + |L| \leq s) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, F_0 is a 2-approximate SFVS in (G, w) .

B.11 Proof of Claim 16

Since x_i is good, we have $x_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{|S \setminus F_{opt}|/3}\}$. Recall the degree bounds obtained by using Lemma 3 in Section 1.2. Applying the same on the tournament $T[S] - (S \cap F_{opt})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{T[S]}^+(x_i) &\geq d_{T[S] - ((S \cap F_{opt}) \cup (v_1, \dots, v_{(s - |S \cap F_{opt}|)/3 - 1}))}^+(v_{(s - |S \cap F_{opt}|)/3}) \\ &\geq \frac{(s - (|S \cap F_{opt}| + \frac{s - |S \cap F_{opt}|}{3} - 1) - 2)}{4} \quad \text{(by Lemma 3)} \\ &\geq \frac{s}{6} - \frac{s}{9} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{(since } |S \cap F_{opt}| < 2s/3) \\ &\geq \frac{s}{18} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $d_{T[S]}^-(x_i) \geq \frac{s}{18} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$.

B.12 Proof of Claim 17

Since F_i is an SFVS in T , it suffices to show that $w(C_i \cup F_i^+ \cup F_i^-) \leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt})$.

Suppose that C_i contains ℓ vertices at the end of the while loop. Then, w_i , which was initially w , was updated ℓ times using the method `update4`. Let $w_i^0 = w$. For each $j \in [\ell]$, let w_i^j denote the function w_i after j updates and let v_j denote the j^{th} vertex added to C_i .

We will prove a more general form of the claim that for each $j \in [\ell]$ we have

$$w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j, \dots, v_\ell\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \leq 2 \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt}). \quad (6)$$

Note that for a fixed i and $j = 1$, we have $w_i^0 = w$ and $C_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ and so the above condition yields $w(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup C_i) \leq 2 \cdot w(F_{opt})$. Observe that by the definition of `update4`,

$$w_i^{j-1}(v_k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in [j-1]. \quad (7)$$

Then, Equation (6) is equivalent to the following:

$$w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j, \dots, v_\ell\}) \leq 2 \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}). \quad \text{(by eq. (7))}$$

Our proof will use induction on j .

Base Case: For the base case $j = \ell + 1$ (in which case $w_i^{j-1} = w_i^\ell = w_i$), we have

$$w_i^\ell(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}) = w_i(F_i^+ \cup F_i^-) \leq 2 \cdot w_i^\ell(F_{opt} \setminus C_i). \quad \text{(by eq. (7))}$$

Inductive case: For the inductive step, note that v_j is a lightest vertex in $C' \setminus \{x_i\}$ where C' is triangle in $T - C_i$ containing x_i . Clearly, F_{opt} contains at least one vertex from C' , hence $|F_{opt} \cap C'| \geq 1$. Since x_i is good we have $x_i \notin F_{opt}$ and hence $1 \leq |F_{opt} \cap C'| = |F_{opt} \cap (C' \setminus \{x_i\})| \leq 2$. Recall that $w_i^j = \text{update4}(w_i^{j-1}, C' \setminus \{x_i\})$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j, \dots, v_\ell\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \\
&= w_i^{j-1}(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_j\} \cup \{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_\ell\}) \quad (\text{by eq. (7)}) \\
&\leq w_i^j(F_i^+ \cup F_i^- \cup \{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_\ell\}) + 2 \cdot w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \quad (\text{since } |C' \setminus \{x_i\}| = 2) \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \left(w_i^j(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_j\}) + w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \right) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}) \\
&= 2 \cdot \left(w_i^j(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) + w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \right) \quad (\text{by eq. (7)}) \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \left(w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) - |(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \cap (C' \setminus \{x_i\})| \right. \\
&\quad \left. w_i^{j-1}(v_j) + w_i^{j-1}(v_j) \right) \\
&\leq 2 \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \\
&\quad (\text{since } |(F_{opt} \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}) \cap (C' \setminus \{x_i\})| \geq 1) \\
&= 2 \cdot w_i^{j-1}(F_{opt}).
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the claim.

C Algorithm for Subset FVS in Tournaments

Algorithm 2 FindSFVS

Input: A tournament $T = (V, E)$, a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(T)$, weights $w : V(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$

Output: A subset of vertices X

```

1: for each  $Q \in \binom{S}{\leq 30}$  do
2:    $(I, O) = (Q, S \setminus Q)$  ▷ Subset of  $S$  (I)inside and (O)outside  $F_Q$ 
3:   if  $\exists a, b, c \in O$  such that  $abc$  form a  $\Delta$  in  $T$  then
4:      $F_Q = S$  ▷ A trivial solution since  $Q$  cannot be extended
5:     continue
6:   end if
7:    $F_Q := I$ 
8:    $T_I := T - I$ 
9:   while  $\exists a, b \in O, c \in V(T) \setminus (I \cup O)$  such that  $abc$  form a  $\Delta$  in  $T_I$  do
10:     $F_Q = F_Q \cup \{c\}$ 
11:     $T_I = T_I - \{c\}$ 
12:  end while
13:   $E' := \bigcup_{\substack{a \in O \\ abc \text{ form a } \Delta \text{ in } T_I}} (b, c)$ 
14:   $F_Q = F_Q \cup \text{FindVertexCover}((V(T), E'), w)$ 
15: end for

```

```

16: if  $s \leq 30$  then
17:   Return a lightest set among  $\{F_Q\}_{Q \subseteq (\leq 30)}$  acc. to  $w$ , say  $X$ 
18: end if
19: Let  $L$  be a set of  $\frac{s}{6}$  lightest vertices in  $S$  acc. to  $w$ 
20:  $F_0 := L \cup \text{FindSFVS}(T - L, S \setminus L, \text{update3}(w, L))$ 
21: for each  $i \in [28]$  do
22:   Pick  $x_i$  uniformly at random from  $S$ 
23:   if  $\min\{d_{T[S]}^+(x_i), d_{T[S]}^-(x_i)\} < \frac{s}{18} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$  then
24:      $F_i := S$ 
25:     continue
26:   end if
27:    $C_i = \{x_i\}$ 
28:    $w_i = w$ 
29:   while there is a  $\Delta$  in  $T - C_i$  contains  $x_i$  do Eliminating  $\Delta$ 's that  $x_i$  is part of
30:     Let  $C'$  be a  $\Delta$  in  $T - C_i$  containing  $x_i$ 
31:     Let  $v$  be a lightest vertex in  $C' \setminus \{x_i\}$  acc. to  $w_i$ 
32:      $C_i = C_i \cup \{v\}$ 
33:      $w_i = \text{update4}(w_i, C' \setminus \{x_i\})$ 
34:   end while
35:   Let  $R_i^1 = R_{T-C_i}(x_i)$  and  $R_i^2 = \overline{R}_{T-C_i}(x_i)$ 
36:    $F_i := C_i \cup \text{FindSFVS}(T[R_i^1], S \cap R_i^1, w_i) \cup \text{FindSFVS}(T[R_i^2], S \cap R_i^2, w_i)$ 
37: end for
38: Return a lightest set among  $\{F_Q\}_{Q \subseteq (\leq 30)}, F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{28}$  acc. to  $w$ , say  $X$ 

```
