

PRISMATIC KUNZ'S THEOREM

RYO ISHIZUKA AND KEI NAKAZATO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove “prismatic Kunz’s theorem” which states that a complete Noetherian local ring R of residue characteristic p is a regular local ring if and only if the Frobenius lift on a prismatic complex of (a derived enhancement of) R over a specific prism (A, I) is faithfully flat. This generalizes classical Kunz’s theorem from the perspective of extending the “Frobenius map” to mixed characteristic rings. Our approach involves studying the deformation problem of the “regularity” of prisms and demonstrating the faithful flatness of the structure map of the prismatic complex.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Transversal Prisms	4
3. Regular Prisms	9
4. Faithful Flatness of Prismatic Complexes	13
5. Prismatic Kunz’s Theorem	18
Appendix A. Preliminaries about animated rings	26
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number. In this paper, we assume that any ring is a (commutative) $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra.

What is the “Frobenius map” on local rings of residue characteristic p ? In positive characteristic p , the “Frobenius map” is exactly the Frobenius map $F: x \mapsto x^p$. The existence of this map is essential in the theory of positive characteristic rings, for example, F -singularities and tight closure theory. In particular, the following Kunz’s theorem is a monumental result that marks the beginning of the idea.

Theorem 1.1 (Kunz’s theorem; [Kun69]). *Let R be a Noetherian ring of characteristic p . Then R is regular if and only if the Frobenius map $F: R \rightarrow F_*R$ is faithfully flat if and only if the canonical map $R \rightarrow R_{\text{perf}}$ is faithfully flat, where R_{perf} is the perfect closure $R_{\text{perf}} := \text{colim}_F R$ of R .*

By considering the case of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, the theory of positive characteristic rings has been extended to the “ p -adic commutative algebra theory”, that is the theory of local rings of residue characteristic p . However, in mixed characteristic, we cannot define the “Frobenius map” in the same way, and this lack of the mysterious map F is a big problem.

Key words and phrases. prisms, prismatic cohomology, Kunz’s theorem, animated rings.
 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 14G45, 14F30, 13A35.

Instead of searching for the “*Frobenius map*”, the notion of *perfectoid rings* - a generalization of perfect rings to mixed characteristic introduced by Scholze [Sch12] - has been applied in commutative algebra after André [And18b, And18a] and Bhatt [Bha14, Bha18b]. Recently, as perfectoid theory progressed, Bhatt-Scholze [BS22] developed the theory of *prisms* and *prismatic complexes*, enabling the exploration of the generalization of the Frobenius map.

We first briefly recall these notions. A (p -torsion-free) δ -ring A is a (p -torsion-free) $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra A equipped with an endomorphism $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow A$ called a Frobenius lift such that φ_A induces the Frobenius map F on A/pA . A pair (A, I) of a δ -ring A and its ideal I is a *prism* if A is derived (p, I) -complete, I defines a locally free A -module of rank 1, and $p \in I + \varphi_A(I)A$ (see Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.4). If R is an A/I -algebra, we can define the *prismatic complex* $\Delta_{R/A}$ of R relative to A equipped with the φ_A -semilinear endomorphism φ on $\Delta_{R/A}$ (see Definition 4.5).

Under this notation, the philosophy of the generalization of the Frobenius map can be captured by the following slogan:

Slogan 1.2 (cf. [Bha22, Remark 5.6] and [Bha21, §1.4]). Let R be a “good” Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . The “*Frobenius map*” on R is the φ_A -semilinear endomorphism φ on a *prismatic complex* $\Delta_{R/A}$ for some prism (A, I) such that R is an A/I -algebra.

Under this philosophy, Bhatt showed the Cohen-Macaulayness of absolute integral closures [Bha21]. Our purpose in this paper is to give one of the formations of “*prismatic Kunz’s theorem*” by using this “*Frobenius map*” (Theorem 1.6). To prove this, we study the deformation problem of the “regularity” of prisms.

Theorem 1.3 (Proposition 3.4). *Let (A, I) be a bounded prism (here we do not yet assume that A is Noetherian). We say that (A, I) is a regular local prism if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:*

- (1) A is a regular local ring.
- (1') A is an unramified regular local ring, namely, (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) is a regular local ring and $p \in \mathfrak{m}_A \setminus \mathfrak{m}_A^2$.
- (2) A/pA is a regular local ring.
- (3) A/I is a regular local ring.

This is a useful criterion to check whether a prism is regular or not. By only using this, we can show a one-to-one correspondence (Corollary 3.8) between the set of d -dimensional complete regular local rings with residue field k of characteristic p and the set of prismatic structures of the formal power series ring $C(k)[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$ whose coefficient ring $C(k)$ is the Cohen ring of k defined in Lemma 2.6.

We frequently encounter not only a prism (A, I) itself but also an A/I -algebra R . Two common cases are as follows (for additional context, refer to Remark 2.5 and Remark 4.4).

One is the case of when R is a semiperfectoid ring (i.e., R is derived p -complete and is a quotient of a perfectoid ring). In this case, R admits a surjective map $A \twoheadrightarrow R$ with a perfect prism (A, I) such that I is contained in $\ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R)$. This situation often arises in arithmetic geometry and is one of the comprehensible cases within the theory of prismatic complexes and perfectoidization (refer to [BS22, §7] and [Ish23] for further details).

Another case occurs in commutative ring theory: any complete Noetherian local ring R admits a surjective $A \twoheadrightarrow R$ with a complete regular local prism (A, I) (i.e., (A, I) is a prism,

and A is a complete regular local ring; refer to the equivalence in Theorem 1.3 above) such that I is contained in $\ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R)$. This result stems from Cohen's structure theorem (see Definition 3.6 and Corollary 5.3 for more details).

The second main theorem (Theorem 1.5) covers these cases.

1.1. Faithful flatness of prismatic complexes. One of our main theorems is the following general theory of prismatic complexes. This is a generalization of [Bha18a, Example VII.4.4] which requires the assumption that R becomes a regular semiperfectoid ring with a perfect prism (A, I) . To formulate this theorem, we use the notion of *animated rings* (see Appendix A for the basic knowledge of animated rings). In particular, the following “derived enhancement” of a quotient ring is a key tool in our proof.

Construction 1.4 (Construction 4.3). Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let $R := A/J$, where $J = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with a (not necessarily regular) sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A . We can define an animated A/I -algebra by taking a derived quotient

$$R^{an} := R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r) := (A/I)^L(f_1, \dots, f_r) = A/I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_r]}^L \mathbb{Z},$$

where $A/I \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $f_i \leftarrow X_i \mapsto 0$.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.9). *Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let $R := A/J$, where $J = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with a (not necessarily regular) sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A . Set an animated ring $R^{an} = R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ as above. Then the canonical maps of rings*

$$R/p^n R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})/(p^n)$$

are faithfully flat for all $n \geq 1$, where $\pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ is the connected component of the Hodge-Tate complex $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} = \Delta_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_A^L A/I$, that is, the 0-th cohomology of $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ when we regard it as a commutative algebra object in the derived category $D(R^{an})$ of R^{an} -modules. Moreover, if R is Noetherian, the map $R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ itself is faithfully flat.

This shows that some properties of $\pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ can descend to R . So the deep theory of prismatic complexes can be applied to the study of R involving most semiperfectoid rings and complete Noetherian local rings.

1.2. Prismatic Kunz's theorem. Applying Theorem 1.5 for commutative algebraic situation, we show the following formation of “prismatic Kunz's theorem”.

Theorem 1.6 (Prismatic Kunz's theorem (Theorem 5.7, Theorem 5.9, and Corollary 5.10)). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . For a fixed minimal generator x_1, \dots, x_n of R , Cohen's structure theorem makes a surjective map*

$$A := C(k)[[T_1, \dots, T_n]] \twoheadrightarrow R,$$

where $C(k)$ is the Cohen ring of k . Then there exists an ideal I of A contained in $\ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R)$ such that (A, I) becomes a prism (Corollary 5.3). Fix a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A such that $\ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R) = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Set an animated ring $R^{an} := R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Under this construction, this theorem states that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a regular local ring.
- (2) The Frobenius lift $\varphi: \Delta_{R^{an}/A} \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*} \Delta_{R^{an}/A}$ of the (animated) δ - A -algebra $\Delta_{R^{an}/A}$ is faithfully flat.

This theorem is proved by our second main theorem (Theorem 1.5) and p -adic Kunz’s theorem developed by Bhatt-Iyengar-Ma [BIM19] (see Theorem 5.8). Using this insight, we propose the Frobenius lift on $\Delta_{R^{an}/A}$ as the “Frobenius map” for complete Noetherian local rings with residue characteristic p as stated in Slogan 1.2.

Although this theorem is itself an application of the second theorem (Theorem 1.5), this gives us two applications. The first application is a characterization of the regularity of prisms by using the faithful flatness of the Frobenius lift (Proposition 5.14). The second application is a new proof of the stability of regularity of complete Noetherian local rings under the localization of prime ideals that contains p (Proposition 5.17).

Furthermore, as an alternative result, it is possible to prove the first application (Proposition 5.14) without using Theorem 1.5, solely relying on classical Kunz’s theorem. In this case, this Proposition 5.17 concerning the stability of regularity can be proved without Serre’s regularity criterion and even without p -adic Kunz’s theorem.

Outline. We begin in Section 2 by recalling the notion of (transversal) prisms and giving some basic properties. In Section 3, we show the deformation property of the regularity of prisms (Theorem 1.3). Theorem 1.5 is proven in Section 4 in which we recall the notion of prismatic complexes. Our main purpose of this paper, prismatic Kunz’s theorem (Theorem 1.6), is proven in Section 5 by using Theorem 1.5 and p -adic Kunz’s theorem recalled in Theorem 5.8. We freely use the language of higher algebra such as ∞ -categories and animated rings after Section 4 which we briefly summarize in Appendix A.

Acknowledgement. The first-named author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Dimitri Dine, Tetsushi Ito, and Teruhisa Koshikawa for their valuable conversations. The authors are deeply thankful to Kazuki Hayashi, Shinnosuke Ishiro, and Kazuma Shimomoto for their continuous support. Special thanks to Bhargav Bhatt for reviewing the early drafts, especially a counterexample Remark 5.13, and pointing out a mistake in the proof of Theorem 4.8. The second-named author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists 23K12952.

2. TRANSVERSAL PRISMS

In this section, we introduce the notion of transversal prisms, which is defined in [AL20]. First, we recall the definition of δ -rings and prisms.

Definition 2.1 ([BS22, Definition 2.1]). Let A be a ring. A δ -structure on A is a map of sets $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\delta(0) = \delta(1) = 0$ and

$$\delta(a + b) = \delta(a) + \delta(b) + \frac{a^p + b^p - (a + b)^p}{p}; \delta(ab) = a^p \delta(b) + b^p \delta(a) + p\delta(a)\delta(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. A δ -ring is a pair (A, δ) of a ring A and a δ -structure on A . We often omit the δ -structure δ and simply say that A is a δ -ring. An element $a \in A$ is called a *distinguished element* (resp., *of rank-1*) if $\delta(a)$ is invertible in A (resp., $\delta(a) = 0$).

On a δ -ring A , a map of sets $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ is defined as

$$\varphi(a) := a^p + p\delta(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. By the definition of δ , φ gives a ring endomorphism and we call it the *Frobenius lift* on the δ -ring A . The induced map on A/pA becomes the usual Frobenius map $F: A/pA \rightarrow A/pA$.

We often use the symbol $\varphi_*(-)$ and $F_*(-)$ as the restriction of scalars along φ and F , respectively.

We recall the definition of the derived completeness. The derived \mathfrak{a} -completeness of modules over animated rings is also introduced in [Lur18, §7.3] and we recall it in Definition A.7.

Definition 2.2 (cf. [Sta, 091N] and [BS22, §1.2]). Let A be a ring and let $\mathfrak{a} = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be a finitely generated ideal of A . A complex M of A -modules is *derived \mathfrak{a} -complete* if the canonical map

$$M \rightarrow \widehat{M} := R\lim_n (M \otimes_A^L \text{Kos}(A; f_1^n, \dots, f_r^n))$$

is an isomorphism in $D(A)$, where $\text{Kos}(A; f_1^n, \dots, f_r^n)$ is the Koszul complex of A with respect to f_1^n, \dots, f_r^n . This derived limit \widehat{M} is called the *derived \mathfrak{a} -completion* of M .

An A -module M is *derived \mathfrak{a} -complete* if the complex $M[0]$ is derived \mathfrak{a} -complete as a complex of A -modules. We say that A is *derived \mathfrak{a} -complete* if A is derived \mathfrak{a} -complete as an A -module. By [Bha18a, Definition III.2.1], a complex M is derived \mathfrak{a} -complete if and only if each $H^i(M)$ is derived \mathfrak{a} -complete for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Some properties of derived \mathfrak{a} -completeness are summarized in, for example, [Sta, 019N], [BS22, §1.2], [Bha18a, Lecture III], and [Ked, §6]. For convenience, we recall the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 ([Ked, Corollary 6.3.2]). *Let A be a ring and let \mathfrak{a} be a finitely generated ideal of A . If A is derived \mathfrak{a} -complete, then A is \mathfrak{a} -Zariskian, that is, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{J}(A)$ where $\text{J}(A)$ is the Jacobson radical of A .*

Next, we recall the definition of prisms.

Definition 2.4 ([BS22, Definition 3.2]). Let (A, I) be a pair of a δ -ring A and its ideal I . Following [BS22], we call such a pair (A, I) a *δ -pair*.¹ The pair (A, I) is a *prism* if the following conditions hold:

- (1) I defines a locally free A -module of rank 1.
- (2) A is derived (p, I) -complete.
- (3) $p \in I + \varphi(I)A$.

A prism (A, I) is called

- (1) *perfect* if A is a perfect δ -ring, i.e., φ is an automorphism of A .
- (2) *bounded* if A/I has bounded p^∞ -torsion.
- (3) *orientable* if I is a principal ideal of A and its generator is called an *orientation* of (A, I) .
- (4) *crystalline* if $I = (p)$.

Note that any orientation ξ of an orientable prism (A, I) becomes a non-zero-divisor and a distinguished element of A because of [BS22, Lemma 2.25].

Remark 2.5. The assumption of being a bounded prism is foundational for several reasons: In their work [BS22], prisms are introduced as a form of “deperfection” in comparison to perfectoid rings. This assertion is grounded in their theorem ([BS22, Theorem 3.10]) establishing an equivalence between the category of perfect prisms and the category of perfectoid rings.

¹In the recent study [AKN23] of a generalization of prismatic cohomology, a pair (A, R) consisting a δ -ring A and an A -algebra R is also called a *δ -pair* (Definition 4.1). Both terms are used in this paper because there is seldom any confusion (see Remark 4.2).

Moreover, it is established in [BS22, Lemma 3.8] that any perfect prism is, in fact, bounded. Consequently, when considering a perfectoid ring, a natural consideration arises for it to be a bounded prism.

Another case is when (A, I) is a prism with a Noetherian ring A . It is also bounded because of the Noetherian assumption. Thus, the assumption of a prism being bounded encompasses both the former “arithmetic” case and the latter “ring-theoretic” case. Refer also to the accompanying remark (Remark 4.4) for further clarification.

In commutative algebra in mixed characteristic, we need the following crystalline prism $(C(k), pC(k))$ which is well-known to the experts.

Lemma 2.6. *Let k be a (not necessarily perfect) field of characteristic p . Then there exists the Cohen ring $C(k)$ of k , that is, $C(k)$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) absolutely unramified complete discrete valuation ring $C(k)$ such that $C(k)/pC(k)$ is isomorphic to k . Furthermore, $C(k)$ has a (not necessarily unique) δ -structure consisting of a crystalline prism $(C(k), pC(k))$.*

Proof. The existence of the Cohen ring $C(k)$ is well-known (see [Mat86, §29]). The existence of a δ -structure is a general theory of Cohen rings: by [Mat86, Theorem 29.2], there exists a (non-unique) local homomorphism $\varphi: C(k) \rightarrow C(k)$ which induces the Frobenius map $F: k \rightarrow k$ on the residue fields (another reference is [FO, §1.2.4 and §3.3.1]). \square

Another important class of prisms is *transversal prisms* (Definition 2.10) introduced by Anschütz-Le Bras in [AL20]. To define this, we need the following lemmas which guarantee some flexibility of regular sequences.

Lemma 2.7 (cf. [GR22, Corollary 7.8.8 (i)]). *Let A be a ring and x, y be a regular sequence on A . If A is derived x -complete, then y, x is also a regular sequence on A .*

Proof. Since A is x -torsion-free, A is x -adically complete by [Bha18a, Lemma III.2.4] and, in particular, A is x -adically separated. By [GR22, Corollary 7.8.8 (i)], the sequence (y, x) is a regular sequence on A . \square

Lemma 2.8 ([GR22, Corollary 7.8.8 (ii)] and [Sta, 07DV]). *Let A be a ring and let f_1, \dots, f_r be a sequence of elements of A . Let e_1, \dots, e_r be positive integers. Then f_1, \dots, f_r is a regular sequence (resp., Koszul-regular sequence) on A if and only if $f_1^{e_1}, \dots, f_r^{e_r}$ is a regular sequence (resp., Koszul-regular sequence) on A .*

The following lemma is similar to [AL20, Lemma 3.3] and [AB23, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 2.9 (cf. [AL20, AB23]). *Let (A, I) be a δ -pair. Suppose that A is derived (p, I) -complete and I is generated by a distinguished element d . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) p, d is a regular sequence on A .
- (2) d, p is a regular sequence on A .
- (3) $\varphi(d), d$ is a regular sequence on A .
- (4) $d, \varphi(d)$ is a regular sequence on A .
- (5) $p, \varphi(d)$ is a regular sequence on A .
- (6) $\varphi(d), p$ is a regular sequence on A .
- (7) $p, \varphi^{i+1}(d)$ is a regular sequence for every $i \geq 0$ on A .
- (8) $\varphi^{i+1}(d), p$ is a regular sequence for every $i \geq 0$ on A .

(9) $\varphi^{i+1}(d), \varphi^{j+1}(d)$ is a regular sequence for every $i, j \geq 0, i \neq j$ on A .

If one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied, A is (p, I) -adically complete.

Proof. We show the following implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (6) & \iff & (5) & & (9) \\
 & & \updownarrow & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 (7) & \iff & (1) & \iff & (2) & \iff & (4) \\
 & & \updownarrow & & & & \updownarrow \\
 (8) & \iff & & \iff & & \iff & (3).
 \end{array}$$

Since A is derived (p, I) -complete and $\varphi^i(d) \in (p, I)A$ for every $i \geq 0$, A is derived p -complete and derived $\varphi^i(d)$ -complete by definition (see [Bha18a, Definition III.2.1]). Hence each one of the above regular sequences is permutable by Lemma 2.7 and thus the equivalences (1) \iff (2), (3) \iff (4), (5) \iff (6), and (7) \iff (8) hold. Moreover, because of $\varphi(d) = d^p + p\delta(d)$ with an invertible element $\delta(d)$, we have the equivalences (2) \iff (4) and (1) \iff (5) by Lemma 2.8. Consequently, the conditions (1)-(6) are equivalent.

Since the derived (p, I) -complete ring A is (p, I) -Zariskian by Lemma 2.3, $\varphi^{i+1}(d)$ is a distinguished element for any $i \geq 0$ by [BS22, Lemma 2.25]. So we can apply the implication (1) \implies (5) for a sequence $p, \varphi^i(d)$ for each $i \geq 0$ and then we have (1) \implies (7). By [AB23, Lemma 2.7], we have (1) \implies (9). The immediate implications (8) \implies (3) and (9) \implies (4) conclude the proof.

If p, d is a regular sequence on A , by the paragraph above [AL20, Lemma 3.3], this A is (p, I) -adically complete. \square

Definition 2.10 (cf. [AL20, Definition 3.2]). We say that an orientable² prism (A, I) is *transversal* if some (or, equivalently, any) orientation $\xi \in I$ forms a regular sequence p, ξ of A or satisfies one of the equivalent conditions (Lemma 2.9).

Transversal prisms are well-behaved in the following sense (Lemma 2.14). We first recall the notion of a *completely faithfully flat* map.

Definition 2.11 (cf. [BS22, Yek18]). Let A be a ring and M be an A -module. Fix an ideal \mathfrak{a} of A . Then M is an \mathfrak{a} -completely flat³ A -module if the derived tensor product $M \otimes_A^L A/\mathfrak{a}$ is concentrated in degree 0 and $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ is a flat A/\mathfrak{a} -module. Moreover if $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ is a faithfully flat A/\mathfrak{a} -module, we say that M is \mathfrak{a} -completely faithfully flat.

A map of rings $A \rightarrow B$ is \mathfrak{a} -completely (faithfully) flat if B is an \mathfrak{a} -completely (faithfully) flat A -module via this map $A \rightarrow B$.

If the base ring is Noetherian, the situation is simpler. To use this fact later (Corollary 4.9 and Theorem 5.9), we formulate the following lemma in a form suitable for our setup:

Lemma 2.12 ([Bha18b, Proposition 5.1] and [Yek18, Theorem 1.6]). *Let R be a π -Zariskian ring with bounded π^∞ -torsion for some element π in R and let S be an π -adically complete*

²In [BL22a, Definition 2.1.3], we can define the notion of a *transversal prism* for any prism (not necessarily orientable). However, we assume that transversal prisms are orientable in this paper.

³The original definition of the \mathfrak{a} -completely flatness is that $M \otimes_A^L N$ is concentrated in degree 0 for any A/\mathfrak{a} -module N . These equivalences are shown in [Yek18, Theorem 4.3].

R-algebra. If $R/\pi^n R \rightarrow S/\pi^n S$ is (faithfully) flat for all $n \geq 1$, S becomes a π -completely (faithfully) flat R -algebra. If R is furthermore Noetherian, S is (faithfully) flat over R .

Proof. Since R has bounded π^∞ -torsion, π is a weakly proregular element of R by [Yek20, Proposition 5.6]. So $S = \lim_n S/\pi^n S$ is π -completely flat over R by [Yek18, Theorem 1.6 (1)]. If $R/\pi^n R \rightarrow S/\pi^n S$ is faithfully flat (in particular, $n = 1$), S is moreover π -completely faithfully flat over R by the definition of π -completely faithful flatness.

By using this, if R is Noetherian and $R/\pi^n R \rightarrow S/\pi^n S$ is flat, then S is flat over R by [Yek18, Theorem 1.5]. Moreover, if R is Noetherian and $R/\pi^n R \rightarrow S/\pi^n S$ is faithfully flat, S must be faithfully flat over R by the argument in the second paragraph of the proof of [Bha18b, Proposition 5.1], which applies verbatim under the weaker assumption that R is only π -Zariskian instead of π -adically complete. \square

The following lemma was intended to be used in showing the above lemma but is not used in this paper. We include this for future convenience. This is a “relative” (and slightly general) version of [BMS19, Lemma 4.7 and Corollary 4.8].

Lemma 2.13 ([BMS19, Lemma 4.7 and Corollary 4.8]). *Let k be a ring (not necessarily a field) admitting a non-zero-divisor π . Let R and S be k -algebras and $R \rightarrow S$ be a map of k -algebras.*

(1) *If an R -module M is π -completely flat over R , then the map*

$$M \otimes_R R[\pi^n] \rightarrow M[\pi^n]$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, if R has bounded π^∞ -torsion, M also has bounded π^∞ -torsion.

(2) *Conversely, if N is a π -adically complete R -module with bounded π^∞ -torsion such that $N/\pi^n N$ is flat over $R/\pi^n R$ for all $n \geq 1$, then N is π -completely flat over R .*

(3) *Assume that S has bounded π^∞ -torsion and π -adically complete. Then the map $R \rightarrow S$ is π -completely (faithfully) flat if and only if $R/\pi^n R \rightarrow S/\pi^n S$ is (faithfully) flat for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since π is a non-zero-divisor of k , the proof of the first two statements can be carried out similarly to the proof of [BMS19, Lemma 4.7] by taking A as R , \mathbb{Z} as k , and p as π . The main point is that $M_n := M \otimes_k^L k/\pi^n k$ satisfies $H^{-1}(M_n) = M[\pi^n]$ and the truncation functor makes a distinguished triangle $A[\pi^n][1] \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A/\pi^n A$ in Mod_{A_n} for $A_n := A \otimes_k^L k/\pi^n k$ since π is a non-zero-divisor of k . The third statement is a direct consequence of the second statement as in [BMS19, Corollary 4.8 (3)]. \square

We end this section with the following lemma, which gives the equivalence condition for the completely faithful flatness of the Frobenius lift.

Lemma 2.14. *Let (A, I) be a transversal or crystalline prism, and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow \varphi_* A$ be the Frobenius lift of the δ -ring A . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) φ is p -completely faithfully flat.
- (2) φ is I -completely faithfully flat.
- (3) φ is (p, I) -completely faithfully flat.
- (4) The Frobenius map $F: A/(p) \rightarrow F_*(A/(p))$ is faithfully flat.
- (5) The map $\overline{\varphi}_I: A/I \rightarrow \varphi_*(A/\varphi(I))$ induced from φ is faithfully flat.

(6) The p -th power map $\overline{\varphi}_{(p,I)}: A/(p,I) \rightarrow \varphi_*(A/(p,I^{[p]}))$ induced from φ is faithfully flat, where $I^{[p]}$ is the ideal generated by $\{f^p \in A \mid f \in I\}$ in A , which is called the Frobenius power of I .

Proof. The implications (1) \implies (4), (2) \implies (5), (3) \implies (6), and ((4) or (5)) \implies (6) are clear. Because of $(p) \subseteq (p,I)$ and $I \subseteq (p,I)$, (3) \implies (1) and (3) \implies (2) are also clear. It suffices to show that (6) \implies (3). Note that the transversal or crystalline assumption is only used in the proof of (6) \implies (3).

By the definition of (p,I) -completely faithful flatness (Definition 2.11), it is sufficient to show that the derived tensor product $A/(p,I) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A$ is concentrated in degree 0.

If (A,I) is transversal, a fixed orientation ξ of (A,I) gives a regular sequence p, ξ on A . We have a projective resolution of $A/(p)$ (resp., $A/(p, \xi)$):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\times p} A \rightarrow A/(p) \rightarrow 0 \\ (\text{resp.}, 0 \rightarrow A/(p) \xrightarrow{\times \xi} A/(p) \rightarrow A/(p, \xi) \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned}$$

as an A -module (resp., an $A/(p)$ -module). Note that there is a canonical isomorphism of derived tensor products

$$A/(p,I) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A \cong A/(p,I) \otimes_{A/(p)}^L (A/(p) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A)$$

in $D(A/(p))$ by [Sta, 06Y6]. First, we have a (quasi-)isomorphism in $D(A)$;

$$\begin{aligned} A/(p) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A &\cong (0 \rightarrow A \otimes_A \varphi_* A \xrightarrow{\times p \otimes \text{id}_{\varphi_* A}} A \otimes_A \varphi_* A \rightarrow 0) \\ &\cong (0 \rightarrow \varphi_* A \xrightarrow{\times \varphi(p)} \varphi_* A \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned}$$

Since A is p -torsion-free, this complex $A/(p) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A$ is concentrated in degree 0 and isomorphic to $\varphi_*(A/(p))$ in $D(A)$. Second, we have a (quasi-)isomorphism in $D(A/(p))$;

$$\begin{aligned} &A/(p, \xi) \otimes_{A/(p)}^L (A/(p) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A) \\ &\cong (0 \rightarrow A/(p) \otimes_{A/(p)} (\varphi_*(A/(p))) \xrightarrow{\times \xi \otimes \text{id}_{\varphi_*(A/(p))}} A/(p) \otimes_{A/(p)} (\varphi_*(A/(p))) \rightarrow 0) \\ &\cong (0 \rightarrow \varphi_*(A/(p)) \xrightarrow{\times \varphi(\xi)} \varphi_*(A/(p)) \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, this complex is concentrated in degree 0 and isomorphic to $\varphi_*(A/(p, \xi^p))$ in $D(A/(p))$ and we are done.

If (A,I) is crystalline, $I = (p)$ and thus A is p -torsion-free. So we can show that $A/(p) \otimes_A^L \varphi_* A$ is concentrated in degree 0 as above. \square

3. REGULAR PRISMS

In this section, we define the notion of *regular (local) prisms* and solve a deformation problem of the regularity of δ -rings (Proposition 3.4). This is a fundamental object when applying the theory of prismatic complexes to commutative ring theory.

For the sake of generality, we collect some lemmas of δ -rings.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [Ked, §2.5 Exercises 4] and [BS22, Lemma 2.28]). *Let A be a δ -ring. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *If an element a of A is $p^n a = 0$ for some integer $n > 0$, then we have $p^{n+1} \delta(a) = 0$ in A .*
- (2) *The submodule $A[p^\infty]$ of p^∞ -torsion elements of A is contained in the nilradical $\text{Nil}(A)$.*

Proof. (1): As in the proof of [BS22, Lemma 2.28], we have

$$p^{n+1}\delta(a) = p^n(\varphi(a) - a^p) = \varphi(p^n a) - p^n a^p = 0.$$

(2): If $a \in A$ is in the submodule $A[p]$ of p -torsion elements of A , we have $p^2\delta(a) = 0$ by (1) and $a^p + p\delta(a) = \varphi(a) = 0$ by [BS22, Lemma 2.28]. Therefore, $a^{p^2} = (-1)^p p^p \delta(a)^p = 0$ and thus a is in $\text{Nil}(A)$. By induction, we can assume that the submodule $A[p^{n-1}] \subset \text{Nil}(A)$ of p^{n-1} -torsion elements of A for an integer $n \geq 2$. For any $a \in A[p^n]$, we have

$$0 = \delta(0) = \delta(p^n a) = \varphi(p^n)\delta(a) + a^p\delta(p^n) = p^n\delta(a) + a^p p^{n-1}(1 - p^{np-n}).$$

Since we only consider $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebras, $1 - p^{np-n}$ is an invertible element of A . So we have

$$p^{n-1} \left(a^p + \frac{p\delta(a)}{1 - p^{np-n}} \right) = 0$$

and thus $a^p + p(\delta(a)/(1 - p^{np-n}))$ is in $\text{Nil}(A)$ by induction hypotheses. By our assumption of a , (1) implies that $p^{n+1}\delta(a) = 0$ and thus $p\delta(a)$ is also in $\text{Nil}(A)$. Therefore, a^p is in $\text{Nil}(A)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a p -adically separated δ -ring. If pA is a prime ideal of A , then A is p -torsion-free.*

Proof. Take an element $a \in A$ such that $pa = 0$. Since any p^∞ -torsion $A[p^\infty]$ of δ -ring A is contained in the nilradical $\text{Nil}(A)$ by Lemma 3.1, there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that $a^N = 0 \in pA$. Since pA is a prime ideal of A , there exists an element $a_1 \in A$ such that $a = pa_1 \in pA$. Because of $0 = pa = p^2 a_1$, there exists an element $a_2 \in A$ such that $a_1 = pa_2$ by the same reason. Repeating this process, we have

$$A[p] \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} p^n A = 0$$

by the p -adically separatedness of A and we finish the proof. \square

The following lemma shows that the existence of a δ -structure of a local ring A gives a restriction of its ring structure. This is a refinement of [BL22b, Notation 9.3]. Further properties of δ -structures are also shown in [HJ21, Remark 2.4].

Lemma 3.3 (cf. [HJ21, Remark 2.4 (4)]). *Let A be a p -Zariskian ring (not necessarily Noetherian) with the Jacobson radical $J(A)$. If A has a δ -structure, then*

$$\delta(J(A)^2) \subseteq J(A).$$

In particular, any distinguished element d does not belong to $J(A)^2$. If the δ -ring A is local with the unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , then A is unramified, namely, $p \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$.

Proof. Let x be an element of $J(A)^2$. Let δ be a δ -structure of A and let f_i and g_i be elements of $J(A)$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i$ in A for some $n \geq 1$. We show that $\delta(x)$ is in $J(A)$ by induction of $n \geq 1$. In the case of $n = 1$, we have

$$\delta(x) = \delta(f_1 g_1) = f_1^p \delta(g_1) + g_1^p \delta(f_1) + p\delta(f_1)\delta(g_1) \in (p, f_1, g_1)A \subseteq J(A)$$

since A is p -Zariskian and thus $p \in J(A)$. Suppose the claim holds for $n \geq 1$. We can write $x = f_1 g_1 + y$ where $y = \sum_{i=2}^n f_i g_i$ and then we have

$$\delta(x) = \delta(f_1 g_1) + \delta(y) + \frac{((f_1 g_1)^p + y^p) - (f_1 g_1 + y)^p}{p} \in J(A).$$

Since d is a distinguished element of A , $\delta(d)$ is a unit element of A . If d is contained in $J(A)^2$, the above claim shows $A^\times \ni \delta(d) \in J(A)$. This is a contradiction. By a direct calculation or [BS22, Example 2.6], p is a distinguished element in the p -Zariskian δ -ring A . So if A is local, it is unramified. \square

Our first main result is to prove the deformation problem of the regularity of δ -rings as follows.

Proposition 3.4. *Let (A, I) be a bounded prism (here we do not yet assume that A is Noetherian). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a regular ring⁴ (resp., regular local ring).
- (1') A is an unramified regular ring (resp., unramified regular local ring).
- (2) A/pA is a regular ring (resp., regular local ring).
- (3) A/I is a regular ring (resp., regular local ring).

If one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied, (A, I) is a transversal prism or a crystalline prism.

Proof. Since A is derived (p, I) -complete, A is (p, I) -Zariskian by Lemma 2.3. So any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R contains p and I . Thus we show the case of local rings.

In any case (1), (1'), (2), and (3), A is a local ring, and thus the Picard group of A is trivial. So I is free of rank 1 and we can fix an orientation ξ of I which is a non-zero-divisor and a distinguished element of A by [BS22, Lemma 2.25].

(1) \Leftrightarrow (1'): By Lemma 3.3, this is clear.

(1') \Rightarrow (2): In any unramified regular local ring, p can be extended to a regular system of parameters. Then A/pA is a regular local ring by [BH98, Proposition 2.2.4].

(2) \Rightarrow (1'): Since A is p -adically separated and the regular local ring A/pA is a Noetherian integral domain, A is also a Noetherian local p -torsion-free ring by [Sta, 05GH] and Lemma 3.2. By [Sta, 00NU], A is a regular local ring and furthermore A is an unramified regular local ring by Lemma 3.3.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Since A is I -adically complete and A/I is a Noetherian local ring, A is also a Noetherian local ring by [Sta, 05GH]. Since I is generated by a (length 1) regular sequence on A and A/I is a regular local ring, A is also a regular local ring by [Sta, 00NU].

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Since A is a regular local ring, it suffices to show that ξ can be extended to a regular system of parameters of A by [BH98, Proposition 2.2.4]. Since ξ is a distinguished element of the δ -ring A , ξ belongs to $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ by Lemma 3.3 and this shows the claim.

If $\xi = p$, (A, I) is a crystalline prism. Assume that $\xi \neq p$. Since ξ is already a non-zero-divisor of A and A/I is a regular local ring, the sequence ξ, p is a regular sequence on A . Then (A, I) is a transversal prism. \square

Remark 3.5. The assumption that (A, I) is a bounded prism in Proposition 3.4 is somewhat redundant. Let (A, I) be a pair of a ring A (not necessarily admitting a δ -structure) and its ideal I . To prove the equivalence in Proposition 3.4, we only use the existence of a bounded prism structure of the pair $(A_{\mathfrak{m}}, I_{\mathfrak{m}})$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} even if (A, I) is not a prism.

Furthermore, we only use the following assumptions respectively:

- (1) \Leftrightarrow (1'): $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a δ -structure.

⁴Here, a *regular ring* R is a Noetherian ring R whose localization $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a regular local ring for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . In this paper, if any $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is unramified, we say that R is an *unramified regular ring*.

- (1') \implies (2): This is true for any unramified regular local ring $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of residue characteristic p .
- (2) \implies (1'): $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a δ -structure and is p -adically complete.
- (3) \implies (1): $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is I -adically complete and $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is generated by a regular sequence on $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
- (1) \implies (3): $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a δ -structure and $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a principal ideal generated by a distinguished element of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Definition 3.6. Let (A, I) be a transversal or crystalline prism.⁵ We say that (A, I) is a *regular (local) prism* if it satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.4. Furthermore, if A is a complete local ring, we will say that (A, I) is a *complete regular local prism*. Note that a regular local prism (A, I) does not necessarily make a complete local ring A although A is (p, I) -adically complete because of boundedness of (A, I) .

Example 3.7. There exists an orientable prism (A, I) such that A is a Noetherian ring but not a regular local ring (see [BS22, Remark 2.29]). Even if A is a Noetherian *domain*, such an example exists (see Example 5.2).

The above Proposition 3.4 shows the following prismatic interpretation of Cohen's structure theorem. We conclude that the prismatic structures on an unramified complete regular local ring serve as a "moduli space" of regular local rings of residue characteristic p . This situation presents a commutative algebraic analogue, akin to the case where the prismatic structures on a Witt ring define the *Fargue-Fontaine curve*, which is a "moduli space" of untilts of perfectoid field of characteristic p (see [Bha+19, §2.3 p.15]).

Corollary 3.8. Fix a (not necessarily perfect) field k of positive characteristic p and take the Cohen ring $C(k)$ of k equipped with a δ -structure (see Lemma 2.6). Fix an integer $d \geq 0$. Set a formal power series ring $A := A(k, d) := C(k)[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$ equipped with a δ -structure given by $\varphi(T_i) = T_i^p$. Then we have a one-to-one correspondence between the following two sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &:= \{\text{complete regular local ring } R \text{ of dimension } d \text{ with residue field } k\} / \cong \\ &\quad \updownarrow \\ \mathcal{I} &:= \{I \subseteq A \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } A \text{ forming a prism } (A, I)\} / \sim, \end{aligned}$$

where the upper equivalence relation \cong is the isomorphism classes of rings and the lower equivalence relation \sim is defined by $I \sim I'$ if and only if there exists an automorphism f of a ring A (not necessarily an automorphism of a δ -ring) such that $f(I') = I$.

Proof. Since A is an (unramified) complete regular local ring, an ideal I of A forming a prism (A, I) makes a complete regular local prism (A, I) by Proposition 3.4. So the operation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}, \\ I &\longmapsto A/I \end{aligned}$$

defines a map of sets.

(Surjectivity): Let R be a complete regular local ring of dimension d with residue field k . Fix a regular system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of R . By Cohen's structure theorem, there exists a surjective map of rings $A \twoheadrightarrow R$ such that $T_i \mapsto x_i$. As in Corollary 5.3 below, the kernel

⁵By Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.5, if (A, I) is only assumed to be a (p, I) -adically complete prism, we can define a *regular prism* (A, I) in the same way. However, any such a regular prism is automatically transversal or crystalline. So the transversal or crystalline assumption does not lose its generality.

$I := \ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R)$ forms a prism (A, I) because of $\dim(A/I) = \dim(A) - 1 = d = \dim(R)$. This shows the surjectivity.

(Injectivity): Let I and I' be ideals of A forming prisms (A, I) and (A, I') respectively. Assume that $R := A/I \cong A/I'$. It suffices to show that there exists $f \in \text{Aut}(A)$ such that $f(I') = I$. We define two surjective maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: A \twoheadrightarrow A/I = R, \quad T_i &\mapsto x_i, \\ \pi': A \twoheadrightarrow A/I' \cong R, \quad T_i &\mapsto x'_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}_R = (x_1, \dots, x_d)R = (x'_1, \dots, x'_d)R$, there exists a $d \times d$ -matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ over A such that

$$x'_i = \sum_{k=1}^d \pi(m_{ik})x_k \in R$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Considering the cotangent space $\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2 = kx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus kx_d = kx'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus kx'_d$ of R , the image of M in the set $M_d(k)$ of $d \times d$ -matrices over k via $A \xrightarrow{\pi} R = A/I \xrightarrow{x_i \mapsto 0} C(k) \rightarrow C(k)/pC(k) \cong k$ is a change-of-basis matrix on $\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2$ and thus invertible in $M_d(k)$. In particular, M is also invertible in $M_d(A)$ since A is local. This gives an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(A)$ such that

$$f(T_i) := \sum_{k=1}^d m_{ik}T_k \in A$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$. To prove $f(I') = I$, it suffices to show that f induces a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & R \\ \cong \downarrow f & & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R. \end{array}$$

This is deduced from a direct calculation:

$$\pi'(T_i) = x'_i = \sum_{k=1}^d \pi(m_{ik})x_k = \pi\left(\sum_{k=1}^d m_{ik}T_k\right) = \pi(f(T_i)).$$

□

4. FAITHFUL FLATNESS OF PRISMATIC COMPLEXES

In this section, we first recall the definition of prismatic complexes and their properties based on [BS22, BL22a]. We also prove a main theorem (Theorem 4.8) which is used in the proof of prismatic Kunz's theorem (Corollary 5.10)

To clarify our setting, we introduce the notion of a δ -pair, which was recently defined in [AKN23].

Definition 4.1 (cf. [AKN23, §2]). A pair (A, R) of a δ -ring A and an A -algebra R is called a δ -pair. If the structure map $A \rightarrow R$ is surjective, (A, R) is called a *surjective δ -pair*.

- A δ -pair (A, R) is *pre-prismatic* if the kernel of the structure map $A \rightarrow R$ contains a locally free ideal I of rank 1 and, Zariski locally on $\text{Spec}(A)$, I is generated by an element d such that $\delta(d)$ is a unit in the p -adic completion \widehat{R} of R .
- A pre-prismatic δ -pair (A, R) is a *prismatic δ -pair with a prism (A, I)* if I is a locally free ideal of rank 1 contained in the kernel of $A \rightarrow R$ and the δ -pair⁶ (A, I) becomes a prism.

⁶Here we use the notion of a δ -pair in the sense of Definition 2.1. See Remark 4.2.

A prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a prism (A, I) satisfying a property \mathcal{P} is called a *prismatic δ -pair with a \mathcal{P} prism* (A, I) , for example, we have the notion of a *prismatic δ -pair with a perfect/bounded/orientable/crystalline/(complete) regular (local) prism* (A, I) .

Remark 4.2. As mentioned in the footnote in Definition 2.4, there is a different term of a δ -pair (A, I) consisting of a δ -ring A and an ideal I of A in [BS22]. By definition, the notion of a δ -pair in this sense (resp., a prism) is equivalent to the notion of a surjective δ -pair (A, R) (resp., a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a prism $(A, \ker(A \rightarrow R))$). Thus the notion of a δ -pair in the sense of [AKN23] is a generalization of the notion of a δ -pair in the sense of [BS22]. For this reason, we use the term δ -pair in both senses.

Construction 4.3. Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let $R := A/J$, where $J := (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A (not necessarily a Koszul-regular sequence). Then the pair (A, R) becomes a surjective prismatic δ -pair with a bounded prism (A, I) . Hereafter we use the formalism of *animated rings*, which is developed in [ČS24, Lur18]. We briefly review it in Appendix A.

We define an animated A/I -algebra by taking a derived quotient (see [ČS24, §5.1.7] or [KR19, §2.3.1])

$$R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r) := (A/I)/^L(\overline{f_1}, \dots, \overline{f_r}) \in \mathrm{ACAlg}_{A/I},$$

where each $\overline{f_i}$ is the canonical image of $f_i \in A$ in A/I and $\mathrm{ACAlg}_{R/I}$ is the ∞ -category of animated A/I -algebras (Definition A.2). We will often omit the symbol $\overline{(-)}$ and write $\overline{f_i}$ as f_i . The underlying complex of $R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is isomorphic to the Koszul complex $\mathrm{Kos}(A/I; f_1, \dots, f_r)$.⁷

In general, $R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is invariant under permutation of f_1, \dots, f_r as an animated ring (not only as a complex) by the universal property of derived quotients ([KR19, Lemma 2.3.5]). On the other hand, due to the sensitivity of Koszul complexes [BH98, Proposition 1.6.21], the animated ring structure of $R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ depends on the choice of a generator f_1, \dots, f_r of J/I in A/I even if as a complex.

In the following, we fix a choice of f_1, \dots, f_r in each claim and then we simply write $R^{an} = R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$. This pair (A, R^{an}) becomes an animated δ -pair⁸ with a bounded prism (A, I) .

Remark 4.4. Building upon the observation in the preceding remark (Remark 2.5), considering the case where (A, I) is a bounded prism and $R := A/J$ where $J = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ plays a crucial role in various contexts.

The primary instance in arithmetic geometry arises when (A, I) is a perfect prism, signifying that R becomes a semiperfectoid ring. By assuming specific conditions on J , such as f_1, \dots, f_r forming a regular sequence on A/I , we introduce the concept of a (quasi)regular semiperfectoid ring R . This concept has been extensively explored in recent studies in arithmetic geometry and algebraic K-theory (for example, [BMS19, AB23]).

The next case is when A is a Noetherian ring (more precisely, a complete regular local ring). This case aligns with the domain of commutative algebra, notably due to Cohen's structure theorem (refer to Corollary 5.3 and Definition 5.4 for comprehensive details). Furthermore,

⁷In derived algebraic geometry, the derived quotient $A/I \rightarrow R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ defines a *Koszul derived scheme* $\mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ and a *quasi-smooth closed immersion* of derived schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A/I)$ (see, for example, [KST18, KR19]).

⁸An *animated δ -pair* (A, R) is a pair of an animated δ -ring A and an animated A -algebra R . See [AKN23, Variant 2.16] for more detail.

Shaul [Sha21] uses derived quotients to study the structure of a “quotient” by a sequence of elements possibly even a non-regular sequence.

Next, we recall the notion of *prismatic complex*.

Definition 4.5 (Prismatic complex; cf. [BS22, Construction 7.6] and [BL22a, §4.1]). Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let S be an animated A/I -algebra. By [BL22a, Construction 4.1.3 and Remark 4.1.8], the animated A/I -algebra S gives a (p, I) -completed E_∞ - A -algebra $\Delta_{S/A}$, that is, $\Delta_{S/A}$ is a commutative algebra object in the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_A^{\wedge(p, I)}$ of derived (p, I) -complete A -modules (see Definition A.6). Furthermore, by [BL22b, Notation 7.10] (or [Hol23, Example 2.4.15]), this E_∞ - A -algebra $\Delta_{S/A}$ can be equipped with the structure of a (p, I) -complete derived δ - A -algebra (the notion of *derived (δ) -rings* are described in [Rak20, Hol23] following Mathew). We call the derived δ -ring $\Delta_{S/A}$ as the *prismatic complex of S relative to A* .

By using [BL22b, Corollary 7.18] and [Hol23, Observation 2.3.11], if $A \rightarrow \pi_0(S)$ is surjective, the derived δ - A -algebra $\Delta_{S/A}$ is connective, that is, it is an animated δ - A -algebra (see [BL22b, Definition A.11] for the precise definition). In this paper, we only consider this case, i.e., $A \rightarrow \pi_0(S)$ is surjective and thus $\Delta_{S/A}$ is an animated δ - A -algebra.

Because of the δ -structure, we can take a map of animated A -algebras $\varphi: \Delta_{S/A} \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\Delta_{S/A}$ which we call the *Frobenius lift* on $\Delta_{S/A}$, where $\varphi_{A,*}(-)$ is the restriction of scalars along the Frobenius lift $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}A$ on A (see also Lemma 4.7 and [Hol23, Theorem 2.4.4]). By [BL22a, Variant 4.1.6 and Remark 4.1.11], we can also take an animated S -algebra $\overline{\Delta}_{S/A} := \Delta_{S/A} \otimes_A^L A/I$ which we call the *Hodge-Tate complex of S relative to A* .

Definition 4.6 (Hodge-Tate comparison [BL22a, Remark 4.1.7]). The Hodge-Tate complex $\overline{\Delta}_{S/A}$ has the *Hodge-Tate filtration* $\{\text{Fil}_i^{\text{HT}} \overline{\Delta}_{S/A}\}_{i \geq 0}$ in the ∞ -category Mod_S^\wedge of derived p -complete S -modules by [BL22a, Remark 4.1.7]. For each $i \geq 0$ the cofiber $\text{gr}_i^{\text{HT}} \overline{\Delta}_{S/A}$ of $\text{Fil}_{i-1}^{\text{HT}} \overline{\Delta}_{S/A} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_i^{\text{HT}} \overline{\Delta}_{S/A}$ has the *Hodge-Tate comparison isomorphism*

$$\text{gr}_i^{\text{HT}} \overline{\Delta}_{S/A} \cong \left(\left(L\Omega_{S/(A/I)}^i \right) \{-i\}[-i] \right)^\wedge$$

in the ∞ -category Mod_S^\wedge of derived p -complete S -modules by [BS22, Construction 7.6] and [BL22a, Remark 4.1.7], where $L\Omega_{S/(A/I)}^i$ is the i -th cotangent complex of S over A/I defined in Definition A.13, $(-)\{-i\}$ is the twist $(-) \otimes_{A/I} (I/I^2)^{\otimes -i}$ (see [BS22, Construction 4.9]), and $(-)^\wedge$ is the derived p -completion functor on Mod_S (see Definition A.7 and Lemma A.8).

The next lemma is partially stated in Bhatt’s lecture note [Bha18a]. This relies on the formalism of “*animated prisms*” and those theories introduced in [BL22b].

Lemma 4.7 (cf. [Bha18a, Definition VII.4.1]). *Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let S be an animated A/I -algebra such that $A/I \rightarrow \pi_0(S)$ is surjective. Then the prismatic complex $\Delta_{S/A}$ gives an animated prism $(\Delta_{S/A} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{S/A})$ over $(A \rightarrow A/I)$. Together with the canonical map of animated A/I -algebras $S \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{S/A}$, the animated prism $(\Delta_{S/A} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{S/A})$ is an object of the animated prismatic site $(S/A)_{\Delta}^{\text{an}}$ defined in [BL22b, Construction 7.11].*

Proof. The prismatic complex $\Delta_{S/A}$ is already an animated δ - A -algebra equipped with the Frobenius lift φ induced from the δ -structure on the animated δ - A -algebra $\Delta_{S/A}$ in Definition 4.5 and [Hol23, Theorem 2.4.4].

By taking base change, the animated δ - A -algebra $\Delta_{S/A}$ gives an animated prism $(\Delta_{S/A} \rightarrow \Delta_{S/A}/I_{\Delta_{S/A}})$ over $(A \rightarrow A/I)$ by [BL22b, Corollary 2.10], where $I_{\Delta_{S/A}} := I \otimes_A^L \Delta_{S/A}$. By [BL22b, Construction 2.1 and Notation 2.3], the animated prism is nothing but $(\Delta_{S/A} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{S/A})$. \square

The next theorem is one of our main theorems and a key to proving prismatic Kunz's theorem. In particular, this is a generalization of [BS22, Proposition 7.10], which needs to assume that R is a quasiregular semiperfectoid.

Theorem 4.8. *Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let $R := A/J$, where $J = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A . Set an animated ring $R^{an} = R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ as in Construction 4.3. Then $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ is isomorphic to the derived p -completion of a (possibly infinite) free R^{an} -module and thus the canonical map of animated rings $R^{an} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ is p -completely faithfully flat in the sense of Definition A.11. Furthermore, the canonical map of rings $R/p^n R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})/(p^n)$ is faithfully flat for all $n \geq 1$.⁹*

Proof. If $r = 0$, $R = A/J$ is nothing but A/I . In this case, $\Delta_{R^{an}/A} = \Delta_{(A/I)/A} \cong A$ by [Bha18a, Example V.2.11] and thus $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \cong A/I = R$ is a free R -module. Assume that $r > 0$. By Construction 4.3, R^{an} is isomorphic to $A/I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{X}]}^L \mathbb{Z}$ where $A/I \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[\underline{X}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $\overline{f}_i \mapsto X_i \mapsto 0$. The (algebraic) cotangent complex $L_{R^{an}/(A/I)}$ ¹⁰ induces isomorphisms:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{R^{an}/(A/I)} &\cong L_{\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}[\underline{X}]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L R^{an} \\ &\cong (X_1, \dots, X_r)/(X_1, \dots, X_r)^2[1] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L R^{an} \cong (R^{an})^{\oplus r}[1] \end{aligned}$$

in the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{R^{an}}^{cn}$ of animated R^{an} -modules by [ČS24, (5.1.8.1)] (see also [KR19, Proposition 2.3.8] under the perspective of derived algebraic geometry).

For simplicity, we write the Hodge-Tate filtration $\{\text{Fil}_i^{HT} \overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}\}_{i \geq 0}$ and those graded pieces $\{\text{gr}_i^{HT} \overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}\}_{i \geq 0}$ as $\{\text{Fil}_i^{HT}\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{\text{gr}_i^{HT}\}_{i \geq 0}$. As mentioned in Definition 4.6, the Hodge-Tate filtration $\{\text{Fil}_i^{HT}\}_{i \geq 0}$ of $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ gives a Hodge-Tate comparison isomorphism

$$(4.2) \quad \text{gr}_i^{HT} \cong \left((L\Omega_{R^{an}/(A/I)}^i) \{-i\}[-i] \right)^\wedge \in \text{Mod}_{R^{an}}.$$

By using Definition A.13 and [Lur18, Proposition 25.2.4.2 and Corollary 25.2.3.2], the above isomorphisms (4.1) and (4.2) imply that the isomorphisms of R^{an} -modules for all $i \geq 0$:

$$(4.3) \quad \text{gr}_i^{HT} \cong \left(\left(\bigwedge_{R^{an}}^i L_{R^{an}/(A/I)} \right) \{-i\}[-i] \right)^\wedge \cong \left(\left(\bigwedge_{R^{an}}^i (R^{an})^{\oplus r}[1] \right) \{-i\}[-i] \right)^\wedge$$

$$(4.4) \quad \cong \left(\left(\Gamma_{R^{an}}^i((R^{an})^{\oplus r}) \right) \{-i\} \right)^\wedge \cong \left((R^{an})^{\oplus D_i} \{-i\} \right)^\wedge \cong (R^{an})^{\oplus D_i} \{-i\}$$

where $D_i := \binom{r+i-1}{i}$ and $\Gamma_{R^{an}}^i(-)$ is the derived i -th divided power over R^{an} defined in [Lur18, Construction 25.2.2.3]. The last isomorphism follows from that the underlying complex of R^{an} is actually a (homological) Koszul complex $\text{Kos}(A/I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ which is already derived p -complete (see Definition A.6 and, for example, [ČS24, §5.6.1]).

For each $j \geq 1$, we have a fiber sequence $\text{Fil}_{j-1}^{HT} \xrightarrow{t_j} \text{Fil}_j^{HT} \xrightarrow{q_j} \text{gr}_j^{HT}$ in $\text{Mod}_{R^{an}}$. Since gr_j^{HT} is connective by (4.3) and $\text{Fil}_0^{HT} = R^{an}$, the fiber sequence shows that Fil_j^{HT} is also connective for all $j \geq 0$ and thus that is a fiber sequence in the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{R^{an}}^{cn}$ of animated R^{an} -modules. Since $\text{gr}_j^{HT} \cong (R^{an})^{\oplus D_j}$ is a free animated R^{an} -module (and thus a projective animated R^{an} -module), there exists a right inverse $r_j: \text{gr}_j^{HT} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_j^{HT}$ of q_j (up to homotopy) in $\text{Mod}_{R^{an}}^{cn}$ by Lemma A.12. Since $\text{Mod}_{R^{an}}$ is a stable ∞ -category (see Lemma A.5), the homotopy category $\text{hMod}_{R^{an}}$ is a triangulated category by [Lur17, Theorem 1.1.2.14]. Thus we have a distinguished

⁹This property is called *adically faithfully flat* in the context of rigid geometry and satisfies effective descent condition for adically quasi-coherent sheaves (see [FK18, Definition 4.8.12 (2) and Proposition 6.1.11]).

¹⁰The notion of an algebraic cotangent complex is equivalent to the usual cotangent complex in our case (see Remark A.14).

triangle $\mathrm{Fil}_{j-1}^{HT} \xrightarrow{\iota_j} \mathrm{Fil}_j^{HT} \xrightarrow{q_j} \mathrm{gr}_j^{HT} \xrightarrow{+1}$ in $\mathrm{hMod}_{R^{an}}$ such that q_j has a right inverse r_j . By [Sta, 05QT], the distinguished triangle induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Fil}_j^{HT} \cong \mathrm{Fil}_{j-1}^{HT} \oplus \mathrm{gr}_j^{HT}$ in $\mathrm{hMod}_{R^{an}}$ for any $j \geq 1$. By $\mathrm{Fil}_0^{HT} = \mathrm{gr}_0^{HT} \cong R^{an}$, each Fil_j^{HT} is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq j} (R^{an})^{\oplus D_i} \{-i\}$ in $\mathrm{hMod}_{R^{an}}$. Since $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ is the (p -completed) colimit of Fil_j^{HT} in the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Mod}_{R^{an}}^\wedge$ of derived p -complete R^{an} -modules, $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ is isomorphic to the derived p -completion $\widehat{\bigoplus R^{an}}$ of a free animated R^{an} -module when considered as an animated R^{an} -module.

In particular, $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ is a p -completely faithfully flat animated R^{an} -algebra: by the definition of p -completely faithfully flat modules over an animated ring (Definition A.11), it suffices to show that $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_{R^{an}}^\mathbb{L} \pi_0(R^{an}) \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\pi_0(R^{an})} = \mathcal{D}(R)$ is p -completely faithfully flat over R in the sense of Definition 2.11. This follows from the following isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(R/pR)$ (see [BMS19, Lemma 4.4]):

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & (\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_{R^{an}}^\mathbb{L} R) \otimes_R^\mathbb{L} R/pR \cong \overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_{R^{an}}^\mathbb{L} R/pR \\
& \cong \overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_{R^{an}}^\mathbb{L} (R^{an} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{R^{an} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} R/pR \\
& \cong (\widehat{\bigoplus R^{an}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{R^{an} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} R/pR \\
& \cong (\bigoplus R^{an} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{R^{an} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}^\mathbb{L} R/pR \cong \bigoplus R/pR.
\end{aligned}$$

So the desired p -completely faithful flatness of $R^{an} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$ holds.

Since p -completely faithful flat maps are p^n -completely faithful flat for all $n \geq 1$, $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_{R^{an}}^\mathbb{L} R$ is a p^n -completely faithfully flat object in $\mathcal{D}(R)$ for all $n \geq 1$. So we have faithfully flat maps of rings $R/p^n R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A} \otimes_{R^{an}}^\mathbb{L} R/p^n R) \cong \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})/(p^n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ as above. Here, the last isomorphism is deduced from the connectivity of R^{an} and the Hodge-Tate complex $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$, and [Lur17, Corollary 7.2.1.23 (2)]. \square

In the above theorem, we can only show that $R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ is faithfully flat after modulo p^n . However, based on our conversation with Dine, the map itself is (p -completely) faithfully flat under a mild condition on R as follows.

Corollary 4.9. *Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let $R := A/J$, where $J = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A . Set an animated ring $R^{an} = R^{an}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ as in Construction 4.3. If R has bounded p^∞ -torsion (see Remark 4.10), then the canonical map of rings $R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ is p -completely faithfully flat. Furthermore, if R is Noetherian, then $R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ is faithfully flat.*

Proof. Since R has bounded p^∞ -torsion and $R = A/J$ is derived p -complete, R is p -adically complete by [Bha18a, Lemma III.2.4]. By the above Theorem 4.8, there exists a free R^{an} -module M such that its derived p -completion \widehat{M} is isomorphic to $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A}$. Since the free R -module $\pi_0(M) \cong \bigoplus \pi_0(R^{an}) \cong \bigoplus R$ also has bounded p^∞ -torsion, its derived p -completion $(\pi_0(M))^\wedge$ is discrete and coincides with the p -adic completion of the free R -module $\pi_0(M)$ by using again [Bha18a, Lemma III.2.4]. By Lemma A.9, $\pi_0(\widehat{M}) \cong (\pi_0(M))^\wedge$ and thus $\pi_0(\widehat{M}) \cong \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ is p -adically complete. We can apply Lemma 2.12 in this situation because of the faithful flatness of $R/(p^n) \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})/(p^n)$ as above. Then $\pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{an}/A})$ is p -completely faithfully flat over R (or faithfully flat if R is Noetherian). \square

The bounded property of R is under consideration in p -adic Hodge theory [BMS19] and rigid geometry [FGK11].

Remark 4.10. Let (A, I) be a bounded prism and let $R := A/J$, where $J = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A . If R is of characteristic 0 (this only means $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R$), we are interested in whether R has bounded p^∞ -torsion or not. The following cases are known. The former is a perfectoid flavor and the latter is a Noetherian flavor.

- (a) R is a perfectoid ring or, more generally, a quasisyntomic ring.
- (b) R is a Noetherian ring or, more generally, a rigid-Noetherian ring¹¹.

Of course, if R is Noetherian or quasisyntomic, then R has bounded p^∞ -torsion ([BMS19, Proposition 4.19 and Definition 4.20]) (and thus R is p -adically complete since R is already derived p -complete). If R is rigid-Noetherian, then R is p -adically pseudo-adhesive¹² by [FGK11, Theorem 5.1.2]. So the R -module R itself has bounded p^∞ -torsion.

5. PRISMATIC KUNZ'S THEOREM

Next, we apply the above statements to commutative algebra.

5.1. The proof of prismatic Kunz's theorem. We formulate “*prismatic Kunz's theorem*”, which characterizes the regularity of complete Noetherian local rings via the Frobenius lift of a prismatic complex (Corollary 5.10). We start providing some lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) be a complete Noetherian local domain. Assume that A has a δ -structure such that \mathfrak{m}_A is generated by elements p, x_1, \dots, x_n satisfying $\delta(x_i) \in \mathfrak{m}_A$. Then for an ideal $I \subseteq A$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) (A, I) is an orientable prism.
- (2) I is generated by a distinguished element $d \in \mathfrak{m}_A$.
- (3) I is generated by $p - f$ for some $f \in (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): This is a direct consequence of [BS22, Lemma 2.25].

(2) \implies (1): Since A is an integral domain, $I = (d)$ is already free of rank 1. So this is also a consequence of [BS22, Lemma 2.25].

(2) \implies (3): By assumption, $p - d \in \mathfrak{m}_A = (p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We can write $p - d = pg + h$ by using some $g \in A$ and $h \in (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then $d = p(1 - g) - h$ and $\delta(h) \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ because of $\delta(x_i) \in \mathfrak{m}_A$. If $u := 1 - g$ is a unit, we obtain a desired generator $u^{-1}d = p - u^{-1}h$ of I . Assume that u is not invertible. Then $pu \in p\mathfrak{m}_A \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_A^2$, which implies that $\delta(pu) \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ by Lemma 3.3. Hence $\delta(d) = \delta(pu - h) \in \mathfrak{m}_A$, but this is a contradiction.

(3) \implies (2): Since x_i and $\delta(x_i)$ belong to \mathfrak{m}_A for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, so is $\delta(f)$. Moreover, $\delta(p)$ is a unit and $(p, f) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_A$. A direct calculation of $\delta(p - f)$ shows that $p - f$ is a distinguished element of A , as desired. \square

Example 5.2 (Complete log-regular rings). Following [INS23], we can consider the next example. Let R be a complete local log-regular ring of residue characteristic p and let C be the Cohen ring of the residue field of R equipped with a δ -structure (Lemma 2.6). Then by Kato's structure theorem,

$$R \cong C[[\mathcal{Q}]]/(p - f)$$

¹¹A p -adic ring R is *rigid-Noetherian* if R is p -adically complete and $R[1/p]$ is Noetherian ([FGK11, Definition 5.1.1]).

¹²A ring R is *p -adically pseudo-adhesive* if $R[1/p]$ is Noetherian and any finitely generated R -module has bounded p^∞ -torsion ([FGK11, Definition 4.3.1]).

for some fine sharp saturated monoid \mathcal{Q} and some $f \in C[[\mathcal{Q}]]$ with no non-zero constant terms. One can extend the Frobenius lift on C to the Frobenius lift on $C[[\mathcal{Q}]]$ by the rule: $e^q \mapsto (e^q)^p$ ($q \in \mathcal{Q}$). Thus we obtain a δ -structure on $C[[\mathcal{Q}]]$ such that $\delta(e^q) = 0$. By Lemma 5.1, $(C[[\mathcal{Q}]], (p - f))$ is an orientable prism (note that $C[[\mathcal{Q}]]$ is a domain). Moreover, since R is a domain, that prism is transversal when $f \neq 0$, or crystalline otherwise.

To apply the lemmas in Section 4 for a complete Noetherian local ring, we use the following construction based on Lemma 5.1.

Corollary 5.3 (cf. [BS22, Remark 3.11]). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}_R, k) be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Then there exists a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a complete regular local prism (A, I) . Namely, there exists a map of δ -pairs:*

$$(5.1) \quad (A, I) \rightarrow (A, J)$$

where A is a complete unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, I and J are ideals of A such that $I \subseteq J$, (A, I) is a complete regular local prism, and $A/J \cong R$.

Proof. We have a surjective map of rings $\alpha : C[[T_1, \dots, T_n]] \rightarrow R$ that sends $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ to a system of generators of \mathfrak{m}_R where C is the Cohen ring of k . Since $p \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ and \mathfrak{m}_R is generated by $\alpha(T_1), \dots, \alpha(T_n) \in R$, there exists an element $f \in (T_1, \dots, T_n) \subseteq C[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$ such that $p - f \in \ker(\alpha)$. Here, $(C[[T_1, \dots, T_n]], (p - f))$ is an orientable prism equipped with $\delta(T_i) = 0$ by Lemma 5.1. Hence by putting $A := C[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$, $I := (p - f)$, and $J := \ker(\alpha)$, we can take the desired map of δ -pairs (5.1). \square

Definition 5.4. Let R be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Fix a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a bounded prism (A, I) such that $\ker(A \rightarrow R) = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$, which always exists by Corollary 5.3.

We can take such a bounded prism (A, I) satisfying that (A, I) is transversal or crystalline, A/I is a Noetherian domain, and $\dim(A) \leq \text{emdim}(R) + 1$, where $\text{emdim}(R)$ is the embedding dimension of R . We call it a *small base prism (with respect to R)*.

Furthermore, we can take a small base prism (A, I) such that (A, I) is a complete regular local prism and satisfies $\dim(A) = \text{emdim}(R) + 1$. We call such a small base prism a *minimal complete regular local prism (A, I) (with respect to R)*.

Remark 5.5. In certain cases, there are some choices of such a surjective prismatic δ -pair. Based on Example 5.2, if R is a complete local log-regular ring of residue characteristic p , we can take a surjective prismatic δ -pair $(C[[\mathcal{Q}]], R)$ with a small base prism $(C[[\mathcal{Q}]], (p - f))$ which is not necessarily minimal but a small base prism.

In the following, we use the next lemma which is a special case of [Bha21, Lemma 5.7]. We give a proof for the reader's convenience in our case. See also Lemma A.9 as a similar statement.

Lemma 5.6 (cf. [Bha21, Lemma 5.7]). *Let M be an object in $D^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{Z})$. If the derived p -completion \widehat{M} is discrete and p -torsion-free, then the isomorphism*

$$\widehat{M} \cong \widehat{H^0(M)}$$

holds, where the right hand side is the p -adic completion of $H^0(M)$.

Proof. Taking the exact triangle $\tau^{\leq -1}M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \tau^{\geq 0}M \xrightarrow{+1}$ in $D(\mathbb{Z})$. By our assumption, we have the following isomorphism for each $n \geq 1$:

$$(5.2) \quad M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \widehat{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \widehat{M}/p^n\widehat{M}[0].$$

Since $(\tau^{\leq -1}M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is concentrated in degree ≤ -1 , the cohomological long exact sequence of $(\tau^{\leq -1}M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\tau^{\geq 0}M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{+1}$ shows that the map $\widehat{M}/p^n\widehat{M} \rightarrow H^0(M)/p^nH^0(M)$ is an isomorphism. Combining this and (5.2), we have the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M} &= H^0(\widehat{M}) \cong H^0(R\lim_n(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})) \cong H^0(R\lim_n(\widehat{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})) \\ &\cong \lim_n(H^0(M)/p^nH^0(M)) = \widehat{H^0(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the desired isomorphism. \square

Now we can prove the following variant of “*prismatic Kunz’s theorem*”. We first show that any regular local ring gives a faithfully flat Frobenius lift of a prismatic complex. The main technique is the deformation property of regular prisms (Proposition 3.4) and (classical) Kunz’s theorem (Theorem 1.1).

Theorem 5.7 (Regular local ring gives the faithfully flat Frobenius lift). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Fix a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a small base prism (A, I) in the sense of Definition 5.4. If R is a regular local ring, then the Frobenius lift $\varphi: \Delta_{R/A} \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\Delta_{R/A}$ of the animated δ - A -algebra $\Delta_{R/A}$ is faithfully flat. In this case, $A/I \rightarrow R$ is isomorphism and $\Delta_{R/A}$ is A itself.*

Proof. Since R is a regular local ring, the small base prism (A, I) satisfies

$$\dim(R) \leq \dim(A/I) = \dim(A) - 1 \leq \text{emdim}(R) = \dim(R).$$

Then the surjective map $A/I \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism because A/I is an integral domain by the assumption of small base prisms (see Definition 5.4). In particular, $\Delta_{R/A} \cong A$ by [Bha18a, Example V.2.11]. So the Frobenius lift φ on $\Delta_{R/A} = \pi_0(\Delta_{R/A}) = A$ is faithfully flat by the following reason: since $R \cong A/I$ is a complete regular local ring, so is A/pA by Proposition 3.4. By Kunz’s theorem, the Frobenius map F on A/pA is faithfully flat and thus φ is p -completely faithfully flat by Lemma 2.14. This implies that φ is faithfully flat by [Bha18b, Proposition 5.1] since A is a p -torsion-free Noetherian ring. \square

Next, we show that the faithfully flat Frobenius lift of a prismatic complex gives a regular local ring. The main technique is our theorem above (Theorem 4.8) and (a special case of) p -adic Kunz’s theorem as follows (Theorem 5.8) which is a generalization of Kunz’s theorem (Theorem 1.1) in terms of perfect closure.

Theorem 5.8 (p -adic Kunz’s theorem; [BIM19, Theorem 4.7]). *Let R be a p -Zariskian Noetherian ring. Then R is regular if and only if R has a faithfully flat map $R \rightarrow A$ to a perfectoid ring A .*

The following implication can be proved without assuming that (A, I) is a small base prism or even more transversal or crystalline.

Theorem 5.9 (Faithful flatness gives the regularity of rings). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Fix a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a bounded prism (A, I) such that $\ker(A \rightarrow R) = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_r \in A$ (which need not be transversal or crystalline). Set an animated ring $R^{\text{an}} = R^{\text{an}}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ as in Construction 4.3. If the Frobenius lift $\pi_0(\varphi): \pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ of the δ -ring $\pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ induced from the animated δ -structure on $\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}$ is p -completely faithfully flat, then R is a regular local ring.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, $(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ is an animated prism over $(A \rightarrow A/I)$. Taking the colimit in the ∞ -category of derived p -complete animated δ -rings, we have a (derived p -complete) perfect animated δ -ring $(\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})^{\wedge p}$. This is actually a Witt ring $W(P)$ for some perfect ring P by [BL22b, Remark A.17], in particular, is p -torsion-free. Applying Lemma 5.6 for the colimit $M := \text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A} \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, we have an isomorphism of derived p -complete A -algebras

$$(5.3) \quad (\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})^{\wedge p} \cong (\pi_0(\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}))^{\wedge p}$$

induced from the canonical map $\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A} \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$.

Furthermore, taking the colimit $\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty} := (\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})^{\wedge(p, I)}$ in the ∞ -category of derived (p, I) -complete animated δ -rings, $\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}$ becomes a perfect animated δ -ring (see [BL22b, Remark A.17 and Proposition A.20 (1)]). As in Lemma 4.7, this gives a perfect animated prism $(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty} := \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}/I\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty})$ by the “rigidity” of prismatic structure ([BL22b, Corollary 2.10]). Since perfect animated prisms are identified with perfect prisms by [BL22b, Corollary 2.18], this perfect animated prism $(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty})$ is actually a perfect (discrete) prism $(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}, I\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty})$. In particular, $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}$ is a perfectoid ring by [BS22, Lemma 3.10].

The p -completely faithful flatness of φ on $\pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ implies that the canonical map

$$(5.4) \quad \pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \rightarrow (\text{colim}_{\varphi} \pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}))^{\wedge p} \cong (\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})^{\wedge p}$$

is a p -completely faithfully flat map of rings, where the last isomorphism follows from (5.3). Since $\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}$ is the derived I -completion of $(\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})^{\wedge p}$ (as in the proof of [BS22, Lemma 3.9]), these are isomorphic each other after taking the base change $(-) \otimes_A^L A/I$. Note that $\pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \cong \pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \otimes_A A/I$ because of the connectivity ([Lur17, Corollary 7.2.1.23 (2)]). By using these two isomorphisms, the base change $\pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \rightarrow (\text{colim}_{\varphi} \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})^{\wedge p} \otimes_A^L A/I \cong \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}$ of (5.4) is also p -completely faithfully flat.

Combining this and Corollary 4.9, we have a p -completely faithfully flat map of rings

$$(5.5) \quad R \rightarrow \pi_0(\overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}.$$

Since R is Noetherian and the perfectoid ring $\overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}$ is p -adically complete, the map $R \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A, \infty}$ is faithfully flat by Lemma 2.12. Then R is a regular local ring by p -adic Kunz’s theorem (Theorem 5.8). \square

Combining these theorems, we have the following equivalence which we call “*prismatic Kunz’s theorem*”.

Corollary 5.10 (Prismatic Kunz's theorem). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete¹³ Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Fix a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a small base prism (A, I) and fix a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r in A such that $\ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R) = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$.¹⁴ Set an animated ring $R^{\text{an}} = R^{\text{an}}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a (complete) regular local ring.
- (2) The Frobenius lift $\varphi: \Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A} \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}$ of the animated δ - A -algebra $\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}$ is faithfully flat.
- (3) The Frobenius lift $\pi_0(\varphi): \pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}) \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ of the δ -ring $\pi_0(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ induced from the animated δ -structure on $\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A}$ is (p -completely) faithfully flat.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is proved in Theorem 5.7 and (2) \implies (3) is trivial by the definition of the faithful flatness of maps of animated rings (Definition A.11 (3)). (3) \implies (1) is proved in Theorem 5.9. \square

If R is a complete intersection, we do not need to use the notion of animated rings and animated prisms as follows.

Lemma 5.11. *Let R be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Assume further that R is a complete intersection. Fix a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a complete regular local prism (A, I) . Since R is a complete intersection, we can fix a representation $\ker(A \twoheadrightarrow R) = (I, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ such that f_1, \dots, f_r is a regular sequence on A/I by [Sta, 09PZ]. Set an animated ring $R^{\text{an}} = R^{\text{an}}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Then we have the following.*

- (a) The animated ring R^{an} is actually a usual ring R .
- (b) The animated prism $(\Delta_{R^{\text{an}}/A} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta}_{R^{\text{an}}/A})$ is a bounded orientable discrete prism $(\Delta_{R/A}, I\Delta_{R/A})$.
- (c) If R is p -torsion-free, then $(\Delta_{R/A}, I\Delta_{R/A})$ is transversal.
- (d) If R is of characteristic p , then $(\Delta_{R/A}, I\Delta_{R/A})$ is crystalline.

Proof. Since f_1, \dots, f_r is a regular sequence on A/I , the animated ring R^{an} does not have higher homotopy groups and thus is isomorphic to a usual ring R (see, for example, [KR19, Example 2.3.2]).

By Theorem 4.8 (or [BS22, Example 7.9]), the Hodge-Tate complex $\overline{\Delta}_{R/A} \cong \widehat{\bigoplus R}$ is the p -adic completion of a free R -module and in particular discrete. By [BS22, Lemma 7.7 (3)], $\Delta_{R/A}$ is also concentrated in degree 0 and makes an orientable prism $(\Delta_{R/A}, \xi\Delta_{R/A})$ where ξ is an orientation of I in A . This shows (a). Since $\xi\Delta_{R/A}$ is locally free of rank 1 on $\Delta_{R/A}$, ξ is a non-zero-divisor of $\Delta_{R/A}$. If R is p -torsion-free, $\overline{\Delta}_{R/A} \cong \widehat{\bigoplus R}$ is also p -torsion-free. Thus $(\Delta_{R/A}, I\Delta_{R/A})$ is transversal by Definition 2.10 and this shows (b). If R is of characteristic p , ξ can be taken as p and this shows (c). \square

Under the complete intersection assumption as in Lemma 5.11, the above theorem deduces the next corollary.

Corollary 5.12 (lci case). *Let R be a complete Noetherian local ring of residue characteristic p . Assume further that R is a complete intersection. Fix a surjective prismatic δ -pair (A, R) with a complete regular local small base prism (A, I) . Then the following are equivalent:*

¹³Recall that a Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a regular local ring if and only if the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion $\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a regular local ring. So we can apply this theorem for any Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) after taking \mathfrak{m} -adic completion.

¹⁴Note that this corollary is independent of the choices of such a δ -pair (A, R) and a sequence of elements f_1, \dots, f_r because of the proof of the above theorems.

- (1) R is a (complete) regular local ring.
- (2) The Frobenius lift $\varphi: \Delta_{R/A} \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\Delta_{R/A}$ of the δ -ring $\Delta_{R/A}$ (see Lemma 5.11) is (p -completely) faithfully flat.

If we assume further that R is p -torsion-free or of characteristic p , then the following conditions are also equivalent:

- (1) R is a regular local ring.
- (2) φ is faithfully flat.
- (3) φ is p -completely faithfully flat.
- (4) φ is I -completely faithfully flat.
- (5) φ is (p, I) -completely faithfully flat.
- (6) The Frobenius map $F: \Delta_{R/A}/(p) \rightarrow F_*(\Delta_{R/A}/(p))$ is faithfully flat.
- (7) The map $\overline{\varphi}_I: \Delta_{R/A}/I \rightarrow \varphi_*(\Delta_{R/A}/\varphi(I))$ induced from φ is faithfully flat.
- (8) The p -th power map $\overline{\varphi}_{(p,I)}: \Delta_{R/A}/(p, I) \rightarrow \varphi_*(\Delta_{R/A}/(p, I^{[p]}))$ induced from φ is faithfully flat.

Proof. The first equivalence is a direct consequence of Corollary 5.10 and Lemma 5.11.

If R is p -torsion-free or of characteristic p , $(\Delta_{R/A}, I\Delta_{R/A})$ is a transversal or crystalline prism by Lemma 5.11. So the second equivalence is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.14 and Corollary 5.10. \square

If we do not assume the property that (A, I) is a small base (or minimal) prism, our theorem does not hold in general. The following is a counterexample suggested by Bhatt.

Remark 5.13 (Bhatt). If we do not assume the assumption that (A, I) is a small base prism, the above Corollary 5.10 and Corollary 5.12 may fail. Here is a counterexample.

For $R := \mathbb{F}_p$, the surjective map $A := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p; T \mapsto 0$ gives a surjective prismatic δ -pair $(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]], \mathbb{F}_p)$ with a complete regular local prism $(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]], (p))$, where the δ -structure (equivalently, the Frobenius lift φ) on $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ is given by $\varphi|_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $\varphi(T) = T^p$. However, $(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]], (p))$ is not a small base prism and not even minimal because of $\dim(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]) = 2 > \text{emdim}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 1 = 1$. In this case, R is a regular local ring but the Frobenius lift φ on $\Delta_{R/A}$ is not faithfully flat: since $T \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] = A$ is (p) -completely regular relative to $A_0 := \mathbb{Z}_p$, that is, $\mathbb{F}_p \cong A_0/L(p) \rightarrow A/L(p, T) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ is a flat map of (animated) rings (see [BS22, Definition 2.42]), $\Delta_{R/A}$ is the prismatic envelope (or the derived p -completed δ - A -algebra obtained by freely adjoining T/p)

$$\Delta_{R/A} = A \left\{ \frac{(p, T)}{(p)} \right\}^\wedge \cong A \left\{ \frac{T}{p} \right\}^\wedge$$

by [BS22, Proposition 3.13 and Example 7.9]. Taking p -completed φ_A -pullback $R^{(1)} \cong A/(p, T^p)$ gives isomorphisms of δ - A -algebras

$$\varphi_A^* \Delta_{R/A} \cong \Delta_{R^{(1)}/A} \cong \Delta_{(A/(p, T^p))/A} \cong A \left\{ \frac{T^p}{p} \right\}^\wedge \cong A \left\{ \frac{\varphi_A(T)}{p} \right\}^\wedge \cong D_{(T)}(A),$$

where $D_{(T)}(A)$ is the pd-envelope¹⁵ of $(T) \subseteq A$ and the last isomorphism is deduced from [BS22, Corollary 2.39]. If the Frobenius lift φ of $\Delta_{R/A}$ is faithfully flat, the Frobenius lift of $D_{(T)}(A)$

¹⁵The basic knowledge of *pd-envelopes* (or *divided power envelopes*) as sufficient for our purposes is summarized in [Bha18a, Lecture VI]. Explicitly, $D_{(T)}(A)$ is the p -adic completion of the subring $A[\gamma_n(T)]_{n \geq 1}$ of $A[1/p]$ generated by A and $\gamma_n(T) := T^n/n!$.

which is given by $\varphi(\gamma_n(T)) = \gamma_n(T^p)$ is also faithfully flat by the above isomorphisms of δ - A -algebras. However, the Frobenius lift of $D_{(T)}(A)$ is not faithfully flat because $D_{(T)}(A)/(p)$ has a non-zero nilpotent element T . So the Frobenius lift φ of $\Delta_{R/A}$ is not faithfully flat.

5.2. Applications. First, similar to Kunz's theorem, the regularity of prisms is characterized by the faithful flatness of the Frobenius lift. We give two proofs of this corollary, one is a direct consequence of Corollary 5.10, and the other is a direct consequence of (classical) Kunz's theorem.

Proposition 5.14. *Let (A, I) be a prism such that A is a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}A$ be the Frobenius lift of A . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (A, I) is a regular local prism.
- (2) φ_A is faithfully flat.
- (3) φ_A is p -completely faithfully flat.
- (4) φ_A is I -completely faithfully flat.
- (5) The Frobenius map $F: A/pA \rightarrow F_*(A/pA)$ is faithfully flat.
- (6) The prism (A, I) is transversal or crystalline, and the map $\overline{\varphi}_{A,I}: A/I \rightarrow \varphi_*(A/\varphi(I))$ induced from φ_A is faithfully flat.
- (7) The prism (A, I) is transversal or crystalline, and the p -th power map $\overline{\varphi}_{A,(p,I)}: A/(p, I) \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}(A/(p, I^{[p]}))$ induced from φ_A is faithfully flat.

Proof. The \mathfrak{m} -adic completion $\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ of A also makes a prism $(\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}}, I\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}})$ because of [BS22, Lemma 2.17] and the faithfully flat map of rings $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}}$. So (A, I) is a regular local prism if and only if $(\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}}, I\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}})$ is a complete regular local prism. Similarly, the Frobenius lift $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}A$ is faithfully flat if and only if so is $\varphi_{\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}}}: \widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \varphi_{\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}},*}\widehat{A}^{\mathfrak{m}}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that A is a complete Noetherian local ring. Note that $\Delta_{(A/I)/A} \cong A$ by [Bha18a, Example V.2.11].

We first show (1) \iff (2). If (A, I) is a regular local prism, A/I is a (complete) regular local ring by Proposition 3.4. In particular, A/I is an integral domain and thus we have a surjective prismatic δ -pair $(A, A/I)$ with a small base prism (A, I) . Applying Corollary 5.10, the Frobenius lift $\varphi = \varphi_A$ of $\Delta_{(A/I)/A} \cong A$ is faithfully flat.

Conversely, if φ_A is faithfully flat, the isomorphism $\Delta_{(A/I)/A} \cong A$ also shows that $\varphi: \Delta_{(A/I)/A} \rightarrow \varphi_{A,*}\Delta_{(A/I)/A}$ is faithfully flat. Again applying Corollary 5.10, A/I is a regular local ring, and thus (A, I) is a regular local prism.

Other equivalences are straightforward. By Lemma 2.14, it is sufficient to show (5) \implies (1), which is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.4: if (5) holds, A/pA is a regular local ring by Kunz's theorem and thus (A, I) is a regular local prism.

(Another proof): While the above proof uses Corollary 5.10, we can deduce this theorem by using Kunz's theorem (Theorem 1.1). Here is such a proof. We can assume that (A, I) is a bounded orientable prism since A is a Noetherian local ring and I is an invertible ideal. We show the following implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (1) & \iff & (3) & \iff & (2) & \iff & (4) \\
 \updownarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \up \\
 (5) & & & & (6) & \implies & (7).
 \end{array}$$

The equivalence (3) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from the definition of completely flatness and [Bha18b, Proposition 5.1] since A is Noetherian and p -torsion-free: here we use the fact that, if φ_A is p -completely faithfully flat, the derived tensor product $\varphi_{A,*}A \otimes_A^L A/pA$ is concentrated in degree 0 and thus A is p -torsion-free.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (5): This equivalence is deduced from Kunz's theorem and Proposition 3.4.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Since A/pA is a regular local ring by Proposition 3.4, the Frobenius map $F: A/pA \rightarrow A/pA$ is faithfully flat by Kunz's theorem. Note that any regular local prism is transversal or crystalline. In both cases, Lemma 2.14 shows (1) \Rightarrow (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1): By the definition of p -completely flatness, the Frobenius map $F: A/pA \rightarrow A/pA$, which is induced from φ_A , is flat. Since A is derived (p, I) -complete and Noetherian local, A/pA is also a Noetherian local ring. By Kunz's theorem, A/pA is a regular local ring, and thus (A, I) is a regular local prism by Proposition 3.4.

(2) \Rightarrow (6): The induced map $\overline{\varphi}_{A,I}: A/I \rightarrow \varphi_*(A/\varphi_A(I)A)$ is the base change of the faithfully flat map $\varphi_A: A \rightarrow \varphi_*A$ via $A \rightarrow A/I$. Thus $\overline{\varphi}_{A,I}$ is faithfully flat. By the above (2) \Leftrightarrow (1), (A, I) is transversal or crystalline.

(6) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (4): This is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.14. \square

Remark 5.15. Applying this for Example 5.2, the regularity of a complete log regular ring $R \cong W[[\mathcal{Q}]]/(p-f)$ can be characterized by the faithful flatness of the Frobenius lift $\varphi: W[[\mathcal{Q}]] \rightarrow W[[\mathcal{Q}]]$ given by $\varphi(e^q) = (e^q)^p$.

Remark 5.16. In [Lur23, Theorem 6], Lurie shows that, for a Noetherian ring R admitting a regular element $\pi \in R$ such that π^p divides p , the localization $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R containing π is a regular local ring if and only if the p -th power map $R/\pi R \xrightarrow{a^{\rightarrow} a^p} R/\pi^p R$ is faithfully flat. The proof of “only if part”, which is the easy but necessary part in his paper, can be deduced from the implication (1) \implies (6) in Proposition 5.14 and a simple calculation in the last paragraph of his proof. So a natural question is whether it is possible to prove the “if part” using prismatic Kunz's theorem (Corollary 5.10).

Next, by using this, we give another proof of the fact that for any regular local ring R of residue characteristic p and any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R with $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ the localization $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also a regular local ring. This is a generalization of [Kun69, Corollary 2.2], which proves the same statement for regular local rings of characteristic p by using Kunz's theorem. Note that, if we show the above Proposition 5.14 under the second proof, we do not use Serre's regularity criterion and p -adic Kunz's theorem (Theorem 5.8) to prove this statement.

Proposition 5.17. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular local ring of residue characteristic p and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R such that $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then the localization $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also a regular local ring.*

Proof. Let \widehat{R} be the \mathfrak{m} -adically completion of R . Then \widehat{R} is a complete regular local ring of residue characteristic p by [Sta, 07NY]. Since $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is faithfully flat, there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of \widehat{R} such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. Then we have a map $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and this is flat by [Mat86, Theorem 7.1] and the induced map $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is surjective by [GW10, Lemma 14.9]. Then $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is faithfully flat and it suffices to show that $\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a regular local ring. Without loss of generality, we can assume that R is a complete regular local ring.

By Corollary 3.8, there exists a complete regular local prism (A, I) such that $R \cong A/I$. We can consider \mathfrak{p} as a prime ideal of A which contains p and I .

Take an element $a \in A$ such that $\varphi(a) \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\varphi(a) = a^p + p\delta(a)$ and p are in \mathfrak{p} and thus a is in \mathfrak{p} . This shows that $\varphi(A \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \subset A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. By [BS22, Lemma 2.15], the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ admits a δ -structure which makes the canonical map $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a map of δ -rings. In particular, the δ -pair $(A_{\mathfrak{p}}, I_{\mathfrak{p}})$ forms an orientable prism.

By using Proposition 5.14, it suffices to show that the Frobenius map $F: (A/pA)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow F_*((A/pA)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is faithfully flat. Since the canonical map $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat and the Frobenius lift $F: A/pA \rightarrow F_*(A/pA)$ is faithfully flat by regularity of (A, I) and Proposition 5.14, the base change map

$$(5.6) \quad (A/pA)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A^L A/pA \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes^L F} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A^L F_*(A/pA) \cong (F_*(A/pA))_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong F_*((A/pA)_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

is again faithfully flat and we finish the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. PRELIMINARIES ABOUT ANIMATED RINGS

For convenience, we summarize a few basic knowledge of *animated rings* which is much more than we actually need in the paper. The main references are [Lur17, Lur18, ČS24, Yay22, Kha23, BL22a]. Let k be a ring and Poly_k be the full subcategory of the category of rings whose objects are finite variable polynomial rings over k . We write \mathcal{S} as the ∞ -category of spaces (also called ∞ -groupoids Grpd_{∞} or *anima* Ani) and Spt as the ∞ -category of spectra.

Definition A.1 (Animation of categories; [ČS24, §5.1] and [Kha23, §7.2]). Let \mathcal{C} be a cocomplete (1-)category generated under colimits by the full subcategory \mathcal{C}^{sfp} of strongly of finite presentation objects (see [ČS24, §5.1.1] for the definition of this notion). For example, \mathcal{C} can be taken as the category of sets Set , abelian groups Ab , and (commutative and unital) k -algebras CAlg_k . Then the *animation* of \mathcal{C} is the pair $(\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}} \hookrightarrow \text{Ani}(\mathcal{C}))$ of an ∞ -category $\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$ which has sifted colimits and a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}} \hookrightarrow \text{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$ such that the post composition yields an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{sifted}}(\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}}, \mathcal{A})$$

for any ∞ -category \mathcal{A} which has sifted colimits. Here, $\text{Fun}_{\text{sifted}}(\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{A})$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{A})$ spanned by those functors which preserve sifted colimits (or, equivalently, preserve filtered colimits and geometric realizations by [Lur09, Corollary 5.5.8.17]). The animation is uniquely determined up to (unique) equivalence and we denote it simply by $\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$. If \mathcal{C}^{sfp} is small, $\text{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}_{\Sigma}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ of functors $(\mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ which takes finite coproducts in \mathcal{C}^{sfp} to finite products in \mathcal{S} and the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}} \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}_{\Sigma}((\mathcal{C}^{\text{sfp}})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ by [ČS24, §4.1.4] and [Kha23, Theorem 7.2.2].

Definition A.2 (Animated rings; [ČS24, §5.1.4] and [Lur18, Definition 25.1.1.1]). The ∞ -category ACAlg_k of *animated (commutative) k -algebras* is the animation $\text{Ani}(\text{CAlg}_k)$ of the category CAlg_k of (commutative and unital) k -algebras. As explained above, ACAlg_k is equivalent to the full subcategory $\text{Fun}_{\Sigma}(\text{Poly}_k^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\text{Poly}_k^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ spanned by those functors which preserve finite products. We refer to the objects as *animated (commutative) k -algebras*. If $k = \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $\text{ACAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by ACAlg and call it the ∞ -category of *animated (commutative) rings* whose objects are *animated (commutative) rings*. We omit the term commutative.

Notation A.3. First, we define the following (∞ -)categories. The first two appear in the paragraph above [Yay22, Proposition 2.34] and the third and fourth appear in [Kha23, Notation 8.1.4 and Definition/Proposition 8.1.5].

- $\text{Mod}(\text{Spt})_k$ is the ∞ -category of pairs (A, M) , where A is in the ∞ -category $\text{CAlg}_k(\text{Spt})$ of E_∞ - k -algebras and M is an A -module.
- Set the ∞ -category $\text{ACAlgMod}_k := \text{Mod}(\text{Spt})_k \times_{\text{CAlg}_k(\text{Spt})} \text{ACAlg}_k$ and let $\text{ACAlgMod}_k^{\text{cn}}$ be the full subcategory of ACAlgMod_k consisting of objects (A, M) such that M is connective.
- CAlgMod_k is the category of pairs (A, M) , where A is a usual k -algebra and M is an A -module.
- AMod_A is the fibre of $\text{Ani}(\text{CAlgMod}_k) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Ani}(\text{CAlg}_k) = \text{ACAlg}_k$ over $A \in \text{ACAlg}_k$.

Definition A.4 (Animated modules; [ČS24, §5.1.7]). Let A be an animated ring. The ∞ -category Mod_A of A -modules is the (symmetric monoidal) ∞ -category of modules over the underlying E_∞ -ring of A , which is defined in [Lur17, Definition 7.1.3.5]. The ∞ -category Mod_A^{cn} of animated A -modules is the (symmetric monoidal) full subcategory of Mod_A spanned by the connective modules over the underlying E_∞ -ring of A (see [Lur17, Lemma 7.1.3.10]). By using the above notations, these ∞ -categories are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod}_A &:= \text{fib}(\text{ACAlgMod}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \{A\} \subseteq \text{ACAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}), \\ \text{Mod}_A^{\text{cn}} &:= \text{fib}(\text{ACAlgMod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \{A\} \subseteq \text{ACAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}). \end{aligned}$$

We call the objects in Mod_A^{cn} as *animated A -modules*.

Animated modules have the following properties and another construction.

Lemma A.5. *Let A be an animated k -algebra. Let \mathcal{C} be the full subcategory of $\text{ACAlgMod}_k^{\text{cn}}$ consisting of pairs (A, M) where $A \in \text{Poly}_k$ and M is a finite free A -module.*

- (1) *The objects of \mathcal{C} form compact projective generators of $\text{ACAlgMod}_k^{\text{cn}}$ by [Lur18, Proposition 25.2.1.2] and [Yay22, Proposition 2.34]. That is, $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{\text{cn}}$ extends to an equivalence $\text{Fun}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \cong \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{\text{cn}}$ (see [Lur09, Definition 5.5.8.8]).*
- (2) *In particular, we have an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\text{ACAlgMod}_k^{\text{cn}} \simeq \text{Ani}(\text{CAlgMod}_k)$ (see the paragraph above [Yay22, Proposition 2.34]).*
- (3) *So the ∞ -categories AMod_A and Mod_A^{cn} are equivalence.*
- (4) *The ∞ -category Mod_A is a stable ∞ -category by [Lur17, Corollary 7.1.1.5].*

The notions of *derived completeness* and *derived completion* for modules over a *discrete* ring are introduced in Definition 2.2. However, we need to generalize them to modules over an animated ring for our purpose. The main reference is [BS22, §1.2] and [Lur18, §7].

Definition A.6 (Derived I -complete modules; [Lur18, §7]). Let A be an animated ring and let I be a finitely generated ideal of $\pi_0(A)$. Let M be an A -module.

- M is *I -nilpotent* if the derived tensor product $A[1/x] \otimes_A^L M$ vanishes for each $x \in I$, or equivalently, the action of $x \in I$ on $\pi_i(M)$ is locally nilpotent¹⁶ ([Lur18, Definition 7.1.1.1 and Example 7.1.1.2]).
- M is *I -local* if the mapping space $\text{Map}_A(N, M)$ is contractible for every I -nilpotent object $N \in \text{Mod}_A$ ([Lur18, Definition 7.2.4.1]).
- M is *derived I -complete* if the mapping space $\text{Map}_A(N, M)$ is contractible for every I -local object $N \in \text{Mod}_A$ ([Lur18, Definition 7.3.1.1]).

¹⁶The action of $x \in I$ on a discrete $\pi_0(A)$ -module N is *locally nilpotent* if for each $m \in N$, there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $x^k m = 0$.

- M is derived I -complete if and only if $\pi_i(M)$ is a derived I -complete $\pi_0(A)$ -module for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the sense of Definition 2.2 ([Sta, 091N] and [Lur18, Theorem 7.3.4.1]).
- The ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_A^{\wedge I}$ of derived I -complete A -modules is the full subcategory of Mod_A spanned by derived I -complete A -modules. We often write $\text{Mod}_A^{\wedge I}$ as Mod_A^{\wedge} if I is clear from the context.

Definition A.7 (Derived I -completion; [Lur18, Notation 7.3.1.5]). Let A be an animated ring and let I be a finitely generated ideal of $\pi_0(A)$. The *derived I -completion functor* $\widehat{(-)}^I$ is the left adjoint of the inclusion $\text{Mod}_A^{\wedge I} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}_A$, which exists by [Lur18, Notation 7.3.1.5]. We often write $\widehat{(-)}^I$ as $\widehat{(-)}$ if I is clear from the context. This functor has the following properties.

- This functor is right t -exact ([Lur18, Proposition 7.3.4.4]).
- If A is discrete, this coincides with the usual derived I -completion functor defined in Definition 2.2 because the functor is also the left adjoint of the inclusion $\text{Mod}_A^{\wedge I} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}_A$ by [Sta, 091V].

We freely use the following properties of the derived I -completion functor.

Lemma A.8 ([Lur18, Corollary 7.3.3.6]). *Let $A \rightarrow A'$ be a map of animated rings and let I be a finitely generated ideal of $\pi_0(A)$. Set a finitely generated ideal $I' := I\pi_0(A')$ in $\pi_0(A')$.*

- (1) *An A' -module M is derived I' -complete if and only if M is derived I -complete as an A -module.*
- (2) *The derived I' -completion functor $\widehat{(-)}^{I'}$ on $\text{Mod}_{A'}$ is equivalent to the restriction of the derived I -completion functor $\widehat{(-)}^I$ on Mod_A to $\text{Mod}_{A'}$, that is, $\widehat{M}^{I'} \cong \widehat{M}^I$ in Mod_A for any $M \in \text{Mod}_{A'}$.*

In particular, for any A -module M , the derived p -completion \widehat{M}^p of M in Mod_A is isomorphic to $R\lim_n(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L \text{Kos}(\mathbb{Z}; p^n))$ as A -module since the canonical A -module map between them induces an isomorphism in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$. So we do not distinguish them.

Lemma A.9. *Let A be an animated ring and let I be a finitely generated ideal of $\pi_0(A)$. Let M be an animated A -module such that the derived I -completion $\widehat{\pi_0(M)}$ of $\pi_0(M)$ is discrete. Then we have*

$$\pi_0(\widehat{M}) \cong \widehat{\pi_0(M)}.$$

In particular, if $I = (p)$ and $\pi_0(M)$ has bounded p^∞ -torsion, this holds.

Proof. We show this statement by using the same argument as in [Lur18, Corollary 7.3.6.6]. Taking a fiber sequence $\tau_{\geq 1}M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \pi_0(M)$ in Mod_A , we have a fiber sequence $\widehat{\tau_{\geq 1}M} \rightarrow \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{\pi_0(M)}$ in Mod_A^{\wedge} . Since the derived I -completion functor $\widehat{(-)}$ is right t -exact, $\widehat{\tau_{\geq 1}M}$ is in $(\text{Mod}_A)_{\geq 1}$. So the long exact sequence shows that $\pi_0(\widehat{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(\widehat{\pi_0(M)})$ is an isomorphism of $\pi_0(A)$ -modules. Since $\widehat{\pi_0(M)}$ is discrete in our assumption, $\pi_0(\widehat{M}) \cong \widehat{\pi_0(M)}$ as desired.

If $\pi_0(M)$ has bounded p^∞ -torsion, $\widehat{\pi_0(M)}$ is discrete and coincides with the p -adic completion of $\pi_0(M)$ by Lemma A.8 and [Bha18a, Lemma III.2.4]. So M satisfies the assumption of the above argument. \square

Definition A.10 (Derived ∞ -categories). Let A be an animated ring and let I be a finitely generated ideal of $\pi_0(A)$. The *derived ∞ -category* $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of A is nothing but the (symmetric monoidal) ∞ -category Mod_A of A -modules (see [Kha23, Definition/Proposition 8.1.5 (iv)]). The *I -completed derived ∞ -category* $\mathcal{D}_{I\text{-comp}}(A)$ (or $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}(A)$) is nothing but the full subcategory

$\text{Mod}_A^{\wedge I}$ of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ spanned by the derived I -complete A -modules defined in Definition A.6 (see [BS22, §1.2]).

In particular, if A is discrete, Mod_A is equivalent to that the derived ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of A and Mod_A^{cn} is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(A)$ in cohomological notation (as symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories; see [Lur17, Proposition 7.1.2.13] and [Yay22, Remark 2.20]).

Definition A.11 (Some properties of animated modules). Let A be an animated k -algebra (or more simply, an E_∞ -ring) and let M be an animated A -module.

- (1) M is *free* if it is equivalent to a coproduct of copies of A as in [Lur17, Definition 7.2.2.1].
- (2) M is *projective* if it is a projective object of the ∞ -category Mod_A^{cn} (or satisfies some equivalent conditions, see [Lur17, Definition 7.2.2.4 and Proposition 7.2.2.6]).
- (3) M is (*faithfully*) *flat* if $\pi_0(M)$ is a (*faithfully*) flat $\pi_0(A)$ -module and the natural map $\pi_0(M) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_i(A) \rightarrow \pi_i(M)$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or satisfies some equivalent conditions, see [Lur17, Definition 7.2.2.10 and Theorem 7.2.2.15]).
- (4) For a finitely generated ideal $I \subseteq \pi_0(A)$, M is *I -completely (*faithfully*) flat* if the base change $M \otimes_A^L \pi_0(A) \in \text{Mod}_{\pi_0(A)} = \mathcal{D}(\pi_0(A))$ is *I -completely (*faithfully*) flat* over $\pi_0(A)$ in the sense of Definition 2.11 (see [BS22, §1.2]).

Lemma A.12. *Let A be an animated k -algebra and let M and N be animated A -modules. We use the following facts:*

- (1) *If M is free, then M is projective by [Lur17, Proposition 7.2.2.7].*
- (2) *If M is projective, then M is flat by [Lur17, Lemma 7.2.2.14 (2)].*
- (3) *If M is projective, any map of animated A -modules $N \rightarrow M$ has a right inverse (up to homotopy) as in the proof of [Lur17, Proposition 7.2.2.7].*

Definition A.13. Let \mathcal{C} be the full subcategory of ACAlgMod_k^{cn} spanned by those pairs (A, M) where $A \in \text{Poly}_k$ and $M \cong A^n$ for some $n \geq 0$. For any $A \in \text{Poly}_k$, the module of Kähler differential $\Omega_{A/k}^1$ of A over k defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{-/k}^i: \text{Poly}_k &\rightarrow \mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{cn} \\ A &\mapsto (A, \Omega_{A/k}^i := \bigwedge_A^i \Omega_{A/k}^1) \end{aligned}$$

for each $i \geq 0$ since $\Omega_{A/k}^i$ is a free A -module. By [BL22a, Construction B.1], $\Omega_{-/k}^i$ admits a unique extension $L\Omega_{-/k}^i: \text{ACAlg}_k \rightarrow \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{cn}$. We refer to $L\Omega_{A/k}^1$ as the *cotangent complex of A over k* for any animated k -algebra A and denote it by $L_{A/k}$.

By [Lur18, Construction 25.2.2.2] and [Yay22, Proposition 2.34], the endofunctor $\wedge^i: (A, M) \mapsto (A, \wedge_A^i M)$ on \mathcal{C} has a unique extension $L\wedge^i: \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{cn} \rightarrow \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{cn}$. We refer to $\wedge_A^i(M) := L\wedge^i(A, M)$ for $(A, M) \in \text{ACAlgMod}_k^{cn}$ as the *derived i -th exterior power of M over A* for each $i \geq 0$. Even if A is a discrete ring and M is a discrete A -module, the derived i -th exterior power $\wedge_A^i(M)$ is not necessarily discrete and thus is not equivalent to the usual exterior power of M over A . However, if we take the connected component $\pi_0(\wedge_A^i(M))$, then these are isomorphic (see [Lur18, Warning 25.2.3.5]).

Then we have two functors $L\Omega_{-/k}^i$ and $(L\wedge^i) \circ (L\Omega_{-/k}^1)$ from ACAlg_k to ACAlgMod_k^{cn} which preserve sifted colimits and compatible on $\text{Poly}_k (\subseteq \text{ACAlg}_k)$. Then [Lur18, Proposition 25.1.1.5

(1)] shows that these two functors are naturally equivalent, that is, $L\Omega_{A/k}^i \cong \bigwedge_A^i(L\Omega_{A/k}^1)$ as animated A -modules for any animated k -algebra A (see also [Mat22, Definition 3.2]).

Remark A.14 (cf. [Yay22, Remark 2.51]). In [Lur18, Construction 25.3.1.6 and Notation 25.3.2.1], we have an *algebraic cotangent complex* $L_{S/R}^{\text{alg}}$ of S over R for any map of animated rings $R \rightarrow S$ by using some universal property (see [Lur18, Remark 25.3.2.4] or [Yay22, Proposition and Definition 2.43]). If R is discrete, the cotangent complex $L_{S/R}$ defined in Definition A.13 is isomorphic to the algebraic cotangent complex $L_{S/R}^{\text{alg}}$ as an animated S -module by [Yay22, Remark 2.51] since $L_{S/R}$ satisfies the same universal property of $L_{S/R}^{\text{alg}}$ by [Kha23, Theorem 8.3.3] or by a direct calculation [Yay22, Proposition 2.50].

REFERENCES

- [AB23] J. Anschütz and A-C. L. Bras, *Prismatic Dieudonné Theory*, Forum of Mathematics, Pi, **11** (2023) e2.
- [AKN23] B. Antieau, A. Krause, and T. Nikolaus, *Prismatic Cohomology Relative to δ -Rings*, preprint, (2023). arXiv:2310.12770.
- [AL20] J. Anschütz and A-C. Le Bras, *The P -Completed Cyclotomic Trace in Degree 2*, Annals of K-Theory, **5**(3) (2020) 539–580.
- [And18a] Y. André, *La conjecture du facteur direct*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS, **127**(1) (2018) 71–93.
- [And18b] Y. André, *Le lemme d’Abhyankar perfectoïde*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS, **127**(1) (2018) 1–70.
- [BH98] W. Bruns and H. J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2 (1998) Cambridge University Press.
- [Bha+19] B. Bhatt, A. Caraiani, K. S. Kedlaya, and J. Weinstein, *Perfectoid Spaces: Lectures from the 2017 Arizona Winter School*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, (volume 242) (2019) American Mathematical Society.
- [Bha14] B. Bhatt, *Almost Direct Summands*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, **214** (2014) 195–204.
- [Bha18a] B. Bhatt, *Geometric Aspects of p -Adic Hodge Theory: Prismatic Cohomology*, (2018). <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~bhattb/teaching/prismatic-columbia/>.
- [Bha18b] B. Bhatt, *On the Direct Summand Conjecture and Its Derived Variant*, Inventiones mathematicae, **212**(2) (2018) 297–317.
- [Bha21] B. Bhatt, *Cohen-Macaulayness of Absolute Integral Closures*, preprint, (2021). arXiv:2008.08070.
- [Bha22] B. Bhatt, *Algebraic Geometry in Mixed Characteristic*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, **2**, (2022), 712–748.
- [BIM19] B. Bhatt, S. B. Iyengar, and L. Ma, *Regular Rings and Perfect(oid) Algebras*, Communications in Algebra, **47**(6) (2019) 2367–2383.
- [BL22a] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, *Absolute Prismatic Cohomology*, preprint, (2022). arXiv:2201.06120.
- [BL22b] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, *The Prismaticization of p -Adic Formal Schemes*, preprint, (2022). arXiv:2201.06124.
- [BMS19] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow, and P. Scholze, *Topological Hochschild Homology and Integral p -Adic Hodge Theory*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS, **129**(1) (2019) 199–310.
- [BS22] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, *Prisms and Prismatic Cohomology*, Annals of Mathematics, **196**(3) (2022) 1135–1275.
- [ČS24] K. Česnavičius and P. Scholze, *Purity for Flat Cohomology*, Annals of Mathematics, **199**(1) (2024) 51–180.
- [FO] J-M. Fontaine and Y. Ouyang, *Theory of p -Adic Galois Representations*. <http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~yiouyang/galoisrep.pdf>.
- [FGK11] K. Fujiwara, O. Gabber, and F. Kato, *On Hausdorff completions of commutative rings in rigid geometry*, Journal of Algebra **332**(1) (2011) 293–321.
- [FK18] K. Fujiwara and F. Kato, *Foundations of Rigid Geometry I*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics (2018) European Mathematical Society.

- [GR22] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Almost Rings and Perfectoid Spaces* (2022). https://pro.univ-lille.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/pages_pros/lorenzo_ramero/research.html.
- [GW10] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn, *Algebraic Geometry I: Schemes with Examples and Exercises*, Algebraic Geometry, 1st edition (2010) Vieweg + Teubner.
- [HJ21] M. Hochster and J. Jeffries, *A Jacobian Criterion for Nonsingularity in Mixed Characteristic*, preprint, (2021). arXiv:2106.01996.
- [Hol23] A. Holeman, *Derived δ -Rings and Relative Prismatic Cohomology*, preprint, (2023). arXiv:2303.17447.
- [INS23] S. Ishiro, K. Nakazato, and K. Shimomoto, *Perfectoid Towers and Their Tilts : With an Application to the étale Cohomology Groups of Local Log-Regular Rings*, preprint, (2023). arXiv:2203.16400.
- [Ish23] R. Ishizuka, *A Calculation of the Perfectoidization of Semiperfectoid Rings*, preprint, (2023). arXiv:2305.07916, to appear in Nagoya Mathematical Journal.
- [Ked] K. S. Kedlaya, *Notes on Prismatic Cohomology*. <https://kskedlaya.org/prismatic/prismatic.html>.
- [Kha23] A. A. Khan, *Lectures on Algebraic Stacks*, preprint, (2023). arXiv:2310.12456.
- [KR19] A. A. Khan and D. Rydh, *Virtual Cartier Divisors and Blow-Ups*, preprint, (2019). arXiv:1802.05702.
- [KST18] M. Kerz, F. Strunk, and G. Tamme, *Algebraic K-theory and Descent for Blow-Ups*, *Inventiones mathematicae*, **211**(2) (2018) 523–577.
- [Kun69] E. Kunz, *Characterizations of Regular Local Rings of Characteristic p* , *American Journal of Mathematics*, **91**(3) (1969) 772–784.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, (no. 170) (2009) Princeton University Press.
- [Lur17] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra* (2017). <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Lur18] J. Lurie, *Spectral Algebraic Geometry* (2018). <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>.
- [Lur23] J. Lurie, *Full Level Structures on Elliptic Curves, p -Adic Hodge Theory, Singular Varieties, and Non-Abelian Aspects*, *Simons Symposia*, Springer International Publishing, (2023), 239–252.
- [Mat86] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, (8) (1986) Cambridge University Press.
- [Mat22] A. Mathew, *Some Recent Advances in Topological Hochschild Homology*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, **54**(1) (2022) 1–44.
- [Rak20] A. Raksit, *Hochschild Homology and the Derived de Rham Complex Revisited*, preprint, (2020). arXiv:2007.02576.
- [Sch12] P. Scholze, *Perfectoid Spaces*, *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, **116**(1) (2012) 245–313.
- [Sha21] L. Shaul, *Koszul Complexes over Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, preprint, (2021). arXiv:2005.10764.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Yay22] C. Yaylali, *Notes on Derived Algebraic Geometry*, preprint, (2022). arXiv:2208.01506.
- [Yek18] A. Yekutieli, *Flatness and Completion Revisited*, *Algebras and Representation Theory*, **21**(4) (2018) 717–736.
- [Yek20] A. Yekutieli, *Weak proregularity, derived completion, adic flatness, and prisms*, *Journal of Algebra*, **583** (2021) 126–152.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO 152-8551

Email address: ishizuka.r.ac@m.titech.ac.jp

PROXIMA TECHNOLOGY INC., 8-5-7 MINAMISENJU, ARAKAWA, TOKYO 116-0003

Email address: keinakazato31@gmail.com