Introducing q-deformed binomial coefficients of words

Antoine Renard, Michel Rigo, and Markus A. Whiteland⁺

Department of Mathematics, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

{antoine.renard,m.rigo,mwhiteland}@uliege.be

Abstract

Gaussian binomial coefficients are q-analogues of the binomial coefficients of integers. On the other hand, binomial coefficients have been extended to finite words, i.e., elements of a finitely generated free monoid. In this paper we bring these two notions together by introducing q-analogues of binomial coefficients of words. We study their basic properties, e.g., by extending classical formulas such as the q-Vandermonde and Manvel–Meyerowitz–Schwenk– Smith–Stockmeyer identities to our setting. These q-deformations contain much richer information than the original coefficients. From an algebraic perspective, we introduce a q-shuffle and a family of q-infiltration products for non-commutative formal power series. Finally, we apply our results to generalize a theorem of Eilenberg characterizing so-called p-group languages. We show that a language is of this type if and only if it is a Boolean combination of specific languages defined through q-binomial coefficients seen as polynomials over \mathbb{F}_p .

Keywords: binomial coefficients of words, q-deformations, q-binomials, p-group languages, formal series.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A30, 68R15, 68Q70.

1 Introduction

When defining what is called a q-*analogue* of a counting function, one gets a polynomial in the variable q with non-negative integer coefficients which reduces to the original function when q tends to 1. To be a useful deformation, one seeks to satisfy variations or adaptations of some, if not all, of the algebraic properties of the original function. For instance, q-deformations are useful in constructing generating functions or in obtaining new combinatorial identities. They find applications in physics and mathematics, in particular in number theory (e.g., Hurwitz polyzeta functions [3]). To get a glimpse of the q-mathematics, let us also mention quantum algebras that are q-deformations of Lie algebras with important applications in mathematical physics. See, for instance, [1]. In particular, we were inspired by the work of Morier-Genoud and Ovsienko on q-deformed rationals, continued fractions, and reals [15, 16]. Their recursive formula is analogous to the q-deformed Pascal identity for the Gaussian binomial coefficients, but they replace the Pascal triangle with the Farey graph. Building on this work q-rational and q-real binomial coefficients were considered in [13].

Binomial coefficients of finite words have a central position in combinatorics on words [4, 11, 14, 21] and formal language theory. A celebrated theorem of Eilenberg, also credited to Schützenberger, [7, Thm. VIII.10.1] provides a characterization of p-group languages using these binomial coefficients. One of the main applications of our work is to obtain a generalization of this result working with the q-analogues of these coefficients. This opens the way to new

^{*}Supported by the FNRS Research grant T.196.23 (PDR), ORCID 0000-0001-7463-8507

⁺Supported by the FNRS Research grant 1.B.466.21F, ORCID 0000-0002-6006-9902.

perspectives in the study of these languages and of the groups themselves, with numerous applications [17, 18, 20]. For instance, relying on a systematic use of the binomial coefficients, the authors of [19] describe the two classes of languages recognized by the dihedral group D_4 and the quaternion group Q_8 .

1.1 Gaussian binomial coefficients

The Gaussian binomial coefficients are q-analogues of the binomial coefficients. They are polynomials in the variable q defined as

$$\binom{m}{r}_{q} = \frac{(1-q^{m})(1-q^{m-1})\cdots(1-q^{m-r+1})}{(1-q)(1-q^{2})\cdots(1-q^{r})},$$

where $m \ge r \ge 0$ are integers. There are several combinatorial properties associated to Gaussian binomial coefficients. For example, the coefficient of the monomial qⁱ is the number of partitions of i whose Ferrers diagram¹ (or, Young tableau) fits in a $r \times (m-r)$ box. From the above definition, one gets for instance MacMahon's q-Catalan numbers that give the major index generating function on Dyck paths [9].

1.2 Binomial coefficients of words

We refer the reader to [12] for a classical textbook on combinatorics on words. An alphabet A is a finite set and a word is a finite sequence of elements in A. The free monoid A^* is the set of words over A equipped with the concatenation product and the empty word ε is the identity element. We let |x| denote the length of the word x. The (classical) binomial coefficient of two words u and v counts how many times v occurs as a subword of $u = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, $a_i \in A$:

$$\binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}} = \# \big\{ \mathfrak{i}_1 < \cdots < \mathfrak{i}_{|\mathfrak{v}|} \mid \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{i}_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{i}_2} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{i}_{|\mathfrak{v}|}} = \mathfrak{v} \big\}.$$

Its q-analogue is the main object of study of this paper. As we will see, this polynomial provides extra information about where those subwords occur. As a trivial example when v is reduced to a single letter, the binomial coefficient simply counts the number of occurrences of this letter while its q-analogue is a polynomial where the monomials give the positions of these occurrences (starting from 0 and counted from the right). For example,

$$\binom{01001201021}{0} = 5$$
 and $\binom{01001201021}{0}_q = q^{10} + q^8 + q^7 + q^4 + q^2$.

As the reader may notice, evaluating the latter polynomial at q = 1 gives back the value of the classical binomial coefficient of words.

The fact that q-binomial coefficients contain more information than merely counting subwords is exemplified when considering the famous problem of reconstructing a word from some of its binomial coefficients (see, for instance, [14]). As an example, the two words u = 0110 and v = 1001 are said to be 2-binomially equivalent [21], i.e., $\binom{u}{x} = \binom{v}{x}$ for all words x of length at most 2. Hence, the sole knowledge of these coefficients is not enough to uniquely determine the word. With their q-deformation counterparts, the knowledge of $\binom{u}{0}_q = q^3 + 1$ and $\binom{u}{1}_q = q^2 + q$ completely determines the word u. In general, a word $u \in A^*$ is uniquely determined by the polynomials $\binom{u}{a}_q$ are corollary 4.2.

In this paper, we define q-analogues of binomial coefficients of words (2) based on an analogue of a Pascal's identity satisfied by Gaussian binomial coefficients. We will see that most of the properties and identities of binomial coefficients of words can be adapted. This also holds for a q-analogue of the shuffle of two words which is a formal polynomial.

¹A finite collection of unit squares called cells, arranged in an array of left-justified rows, with the row lengths in non-increasing order from top to bottom.

When binomial coefficients of words are encountered, it is quite usual in the classical theory to study Parikh matrices because they contain such coefficients. In a series of papers first initiated by Eğecioğlu [5, 6], q-analogues of Parikh matrices (whose upper diagonal entries are binomial coefficients of words) have been considered. Indeed, the q-counting found in [5] is the same as ours (up to a convenient power of q). However, ours is more natural due to the many links with Gaussian binomial coefficients established here. The polynomials that appear in [6] as coefficients of these matrices are related to a given subword $v = a_1 \cdots a_k$ where $a_i \in A$. Each factorization of $u = u_1 a_1 u_2 \cdots u_k a_k u_{k+1}$ where $u_i \in A^*$ provides the polynomial related to v with a monomial $\prod_{i=1}^k q^{|u_i|_{a_i}}$ where $|u_i|_{a_i}$ is the number of letters a_i occurring in u_i . This clearly cannot be compared with our contribution.

1.3 Our contributions

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define q-binomial coefficients of words. In Section 3, we provide a combinatorial interpretation of these q-deformations. With Theorem 3.1 we determine how each specific occurrence of v as a subword of u contributes to $\binom{u}{v}_q$. This result has several important corollaries. In particular, we obtain a q-Vandermonde identity expressing $\binom{xy}{u}_q$ as a sum of terms involving products of the form $\binom{x}{u_1}_q \binom{y}{u_2}_q$ where u_1u_2 is a factorization of u. In Section 4 we reconsider several classical identities in the context of q-binomial coefficients of words.

We then study algebraic properties of q-deformations in the next two sections. In Section 5, we focus on studying q-deformations of the shuffle and infiltration products. Both these notions speak about formal polynomials, i.e., applications from $A^* \times A^*$ to $\mathbb{N}[q]\langle A^* \rangle$. In the literature, q-shuffle algebras were independently studied by Rosso [22, 23] and by Green [8] in a quite general setting (we refer the reader to the cited articles for details). For our shuffle operation, the proposed generalization has good properties: this product is associative and verifies in particular a relation of the form $\langle A^* \sqcup_q \mathfrak{u}, w \rangle = {w \choose u}_q$. For the infiltration product, we consider a family of definitions; we show that an analogue to the Chen–Fox–Lyndon relation (13), see [12, Chap. 6], cannot be attained.

We conclude this paper with Section 6. Let p be a prime. Since q-binomials are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, their coefficients may be reduced modulo p and then, these polynomials may be reduced modulo some non zero polynomial \mathfrak{M} in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$. The languages of the form

$$L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} := \left\{ \mathfrak{u} \in A^* \mid \binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\nu}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}$$

where $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ is a non-constant polynomial of degree less than deg (\mathfrak{M}) play a central role in our paper. Linked to the notion of k-*binomial equivalence* [7, 21] (see [11] for relationship with nilpotent groups), we say that two finite words $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$ are $(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{M})$ -*binomially equivalent* whenever, for all factors ν of \mathfrak{u} ,

$$\binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

We study this equivalence relation and determine when it is a congruence and when the corresponding quotient of A^{*} is a group. With Theorem 6.15 we get information about its order. From this, we generalize Eilenberg's theorem: a language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form $L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{a}(q-1)^d}$. Indeed, our construction shows that the languages occurring in the classical formulation of Eilenberg's result are a disjoint union of some languages $L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{a}(q-1)^d}$.

2 Introducing q-deformations

We now define the main object of the paper. We do this by generalizing the following Pascal–like formula which holds for the usual binomial coefficients of words: for all words $u, v \in A^*$ and

letters $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} ua\\ vb \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u\\ vb \end{pmatrix} + \delta_{a,b} \begin{pmatrix} u\\ v \end{pmatrix},$$
 (1)

where $\delta_{a,b}$ is the Kronecker delta on the letters of A. For a reference on binomial coefficients of words, see [12]. It is therefore natural to propose the following definition.

Definition 2.1. We recursively define the q-*deformation* $(:)_q$ — an element of $\mathbb{N}[q]$ — of the binomial coefficients on $A^* \times A^*$ as follows. For all words $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \in A^*$ and letters $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in A$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}_{q} = 1, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ v \end{pmatrix}_{q} = 0 \text{ if } v \neq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} ua \\ vb \end{pmatrix}_{q} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ vb \end{pmatrix}_{q} \cdot q^{|vb|} + \delta_{a,b} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_{q}.$$
(2)

We note that the polynomial $\binom{u}{\nu}_q$ evaluated at 1 gives back the usual binomial coefficient $\binom{u}{\nu}_r$; this follows immediately from (1) and the definition above. Let k, ℓ be integers. In the case of a unary alphabet, we have

$$\binom{\mathfrak{a}^k}{\mathfrak{a}^\ell}_q = \binom{k}{\ell}_q$$

where on the right-hand side we have the Gaussian binomial coefficient of integers. This easily follows from the fact that an analogue of Pascal's identity exists:

$$\binom{k+1}{\ell+1}_{q} = \binom{k}{\ell+1}_{q} \cdot q^{\ell+1} + \binom{k}{\ell}_{q}.$$
(3)

For arbitrary alphabets we observe that if |u| < |v|, then $\binom{u}{v}_q = 0$; indeed this follows from the given definition straightforwardly. Moreover, if |u| = |v| then $\binom{u}{v}_q = 0$ if and only if $u \neq v$. Furthermore, we have $\binom{u}{u}_q = 1$ for all words u. In fact, using these observations as the base cases for a double induction on |u| and |v|, we have

Lemma 2.2. For $u, v \in A^*$, we have that $\binom{u}{v}_q$ is the zero polynomial if and only if v does not appear as a subword in u.

Remark 2.3. Instead of processing letters starting from the right, we could replace (2) with

$$\begin{pmatrix} au \\ b\nu \end{pmatrix}_{q} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ b\nu \end{pmatrix}_{q} \cdot q^{|b\nu|} + \delta_{a,b} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{q}$$

and this leads to another polynomial with similar properties. As an example, take u = 01101 and v = 01. With (2), the q-binomial is $q^6 + q^5 + q^3 + 1$ and if we process letters from the left, we would get $q^6 + q^3 + q + 1$. We refer the reader to Corollary 3.6 where we deal with reversals.

Example 2.4. Let us apply the above definition to get

$$\binom{0100110}{011}_{q} = q^{10} + q^{9} + q^{6} + q^{4} + q^{3}.$$

The computation is depicted in Fig. 1. If a node has two children, they correspond to the two terms in (2). In that case, the multiplication by the convenient power of the variable q is the label of the left edge. If a node has a single child, this means that the last letter of the two arguments are distinct. The curly bracket on a leaf is obtained by multiplying the labels of the edges going back to the root.

Evaluating the above expression at q = 1, we get the value of the classical binomial coefficient of words

$$\binom{0100110}{011} = 5.$$

The non-zero coefficients of the q-deformation provide extra information about the occurrences of subwords as we will see in the next section.



Figure 1: Applying recursively the definition (2) to compute $\binom{0100110}{011}_{a}$.

3 Combinatorial interpretation

The exponents occurring in a q-deformed binomial provide extra data compared with the classical binomial coefficients of words. Each occurrence of v as a subword of u provides a term of the form q^{α} in $\binom{u}{v}_q$ where α is the sum over all letters of v of the number of letters at the right of them and not being part of that specific occurrence of the subword v. The following theorem makes this observation precise.

Theorem 3.1. Let u be a word over A, $k \ge 0$, and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$. Then

$$\binom{u}{a_1 \cdots a_k}_q = \sum_{\substack{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k \in A^* \\ u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k i |u_i|}$$

As an example, we get

$$\binom{0110011}{01}_{q} = q^{10} + q^{9} + q^{6} + q^{5} + q^{3} + 2q^{2} + q,$$

and we have to consider all factorizations of 0110011 of the form $u_0 0 u_1 1 u_2$:

Proof. The theorem is true for k = 0, because the summation has only one term, namely q^0 . The theorem is also true for $u = \varepsilon$ and any $k \ge 1$ as the sum is empty. Assume the theorem holds true

for some $u \in A^*$ and all $k \ge 0$. Let $b \in A$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$ with $k \ge 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{u_{0},u_{1},\ldots,u_{k}\in A^{*}\\ub=u_{0}a_{1}\cdots u_{k-1}a_{k}u_{k}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k}i|u_{i}|} &= \sum_{\substack{u_{0},u_{1},\ldots,u_{k}\in A^{*},u_{k}\neq\epsilon\\ub=u_{0}a_{1}\cdots u_{k-1}a_{k}u_{k}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k}i|u_{i}|} + \sum_{\substack{u_{0},u_{1},\ldots,u_{k-1}\in A^{*}\\ub=u_{0}a_{1}\cdots u_{k-1}a_{k}u_{k}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k}i|u_{i}|} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{0},u_{1},\ldots,u_{k-1},u_{k}'\in A^{*}\\u=u_{0}a_{1}\cdots u_{k-1}a_{k}u_{k}'}} q^{k+\sum_{i=1}^{k}i|u_{i}|} + \delta_{a_{k},b} \sum_{\substack{u_{0},u_{1},\ldots,u_{k-1}\in A^{*}\\u=u_{0}a_{1}\cdots u_{k-1}a_{k}u_{k}'}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}i|u_{i}|} \\ &= \left(\begin{matrix} u\\a_{1}\cdots a_{k} \end{matrix} \right)_{q} q^{k} + \delta_{a_{k},b} \left(\begin{matrix} u\\a_{1}\cdots a_{k-1} \end{matrix} \right)_{q} = \left(\begin{matrix} ub\\a_{1}\cdots a_{k} \end{matrix} \right)_{q}. \end{split}$$

To proceed from the first to the second line, in the considered factorizations of ub, note that u_k ending with b is of the form $u'_k b$ and this allows the first sum to be rewritten accordingly. Hence the theorem holds true for ub.

We get the following straightforward corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let u, v be words. If $\binom{u}{v} \neq 0$, consider the leftmost occurrence of $v = a_1 \cdots a_k$, $a_i \in A$ in $u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k$, $u_i \in A^*$, i.e., $u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{j-1} a_j$ is the shortest prefix of u containing $a_1 \cdots a_j$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Then the polynomial $\binom{u}{v}_q$ has degree $\sum_{i=1}^k i|u_i|$. Furthermore, it is monic and the non-zero coefficient of the monomial of least degree is 1.

non-zero coefficient of the monomial of least degree is 1. In particular, the independent (constant) term $\binom{u}{v}_{q}(0)$ equals 1 if and only if v is a suffix of u; otherwise it equals 0. Similarly the degree of $\binom{u}{v}_{q}$ is less than or equal to |v|(|u| - |v|) and the coefficient of the monomial $q^{|v|(|u|-|v|)}$ is 1 if and only if v is a prefix of u; otherwise it equals 0.

Proof. Let $u = u'_0 a_1 \cdots u'_{k-1} a_k u'_k$ be a factorization such that the expression $\sum_{i=1}^k i|u'_i|$ is maximal. We show that $u_i = u'_i$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. Hence there is a unique occurrence of v providing a monomial of highest degree.

Clearly, $|u_0| = |u'_0|$ because if $|u'_0| > |u_0|$ then $u'_0 a_1 u'_1 = u_0 a_1 u''_1$ for some u''_1 longer than u'_1 and $|u''_1| + \sum_{i=2}^k i|u'_i| > \sum_{i=1}^k i|u'_i|$ contradicting maximality. Proceed by induction. Assume $u_i = u'_i$ for all $i < \ell$ and prove similarly that $|u_\ell| = |u'_\ell|$.

For the monomial of least degree, consider the rightmost occurrence of v. The second part of the statement is now immediate.

In the next statement, in the q-analogue formula for binomial coefficients of words, we have here a correcting power of q that does not appear in the classical setting of coefficients of words [12, Cor. 6.3.7]. Such a correcting power already appears in the q-*Vandermonde identity* which is a q-analogue of the Vandermonde identity:

$$\binom{m+n}{k}_{q} = \sum_{j} q^{j(m-k+j)} \binom{m}{k-j}_{q} \binom{n}{j}_{q},$$

where the nonzero contributions to this sum come from values of j such that $\max(0, k - m) \le j \le \min(n, k)$, see for instance [24]. The reader may also see [13] for the Chu–Vandermonde identity in the context of q-real binomial coefficients.

Corollary 3.3. For all words $x, y \in A^*$ and letters $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$, we have

$$\binom{xy}{a_1\cdots a_k}_q = \sum_{j=0}^k q^{j(|y|-k+j)} \binom{x}{a_1\cdots a_j}_q \binom{y}{a_{j+1}\cdots a_k}_q.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} xy \\ a_1 \cdots a_k \end{pmatrix}_q = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\substack{y=y_0 a_{j+1} \cdots x_{j-1} a_j x_j, \\ y=y_0 a_{j+1} \cdots y_{k-j-1} a_k y_{k-j}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^j i|x_i| + \sum_{i=0}^{k-j} (i+j)|y_i|}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k q^{j(|y|-k+j)} \sum_{x=x_0 a_1 \cdots x_{j-1} a_j x_j} q^{\sum_{i=1}^j i|x_i|} \sum_{y=y_0 a_{j+1} \cdots y_{k-j-1} a_k y_{k-j}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-j} i|y_i|}$$

and the result follows.

Remark 3.4. Corollary 3.3 can also be written as follows. For all words $x, y, u \in A^*$, we have

$$\binom{xy}{u}_q = \sum_{\substack{u=u_1u_2\\u_1,u_2\in A^*}} q^{|u_1|(|y|-|u_2|)} \binom{x}{u_1}_q \binom{y}{u_2}_q.$$

Proceeding by induction, we find

Corollary 3.5. Let $k \ge 2$ and x_1, \ldots, x_k , u be words. We have

$$\binom{x_1\cdots x_k}{u}_q = \sum_{\substack{u=u_1\cdots u_k\\u_i\in A^*}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}|u_i|(|x_{i+1}\cdots x_k|-|u_{i+1}\cdots u_k|)} \binom{x_1}{u_1}_q \cdots \binom{x_k}{u_k}_q.$$

We let $\tilde{\nu}$ denote the reversal of the word ν . In the next statement, $\binom{u}{\nu}_{1/q}$ means that the q-binomial polynomial is evaluated at 1/q.

Corollary 3.6. Let u, v be words. We have

$$\binom{u}{\nu}_{q} = q^{|\nu|(|u|-|\nu|)} \binom{\widetilde{u}}{\widetilde{\nu}}_{1/q}$$

In particular, if u and v are palindromes, then the list of coefficients of $\binom{u}{v}_q$ for degrees ranging in $\{0, \ldots, |v|(|u| - |v|)\}$ is a palindrome.

Proof. There is a bijection between the set of occurrences of the subword $v = a_1 \cdots a_k$ in u and the set of occurrences of $a_k \cdots a_1$ in \tilde{u} . The factorization $u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k$ is associated with the factorization $\tilde{u} = \widetilde{u_k} a_k \cdots \widetilde{u_1} a_1 \widetilde{u_0}$. The latter factorization provides $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \end{pmatrix}$ with a term q with exponent

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} (k-i)|\widetilde{u}_{i}| = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} i|u_{i}| + k \sum_{i=0}^{k} |u_{i}| = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} i|u_{i}| + |v|(|u| - |v|).$$

4 Some classical formulas revisited

Dudik and Schulman [4] explicitly gave the following identity which is, for instance, useful to show k-binomial equivalence by only considering subwords of length exactly k instead of length at most k. Notice that it implicitly appears first in the work of Manvel et al. [14, Lemma 1]. If $|u| \ge k \ge |x|$, then

$$\binom{|\mathfrak{u}|-|\mathfrak{x}|}{k-|\mathfrak{x}|}\binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{x}} = \sum_{t\in A^k} \binom{\mathfrak{u}}{t}\binom{t}{\mathfrak{x}}.$$

This relation nicely extends to q-binomial coefficients of words.

Theorem 4.1. Let k be an integer, u, x words such that $|u| \ge k \ge |x|$. We have

$$\binom{|u|-|x|}{k-|x|}_q \binom{u}{x}_q = \sum_{t \in A^k} \binom{u}{t}_q \binom{t}{x}_q.$$

Proof. Assume that x occurs in u, otherwise both sides equal 0. Fix a specific occurrence of $x = a_1 \cdots a_\ell$ in u and a subword $t = b_1 \cdots b_k$ of length k of u containing this particular occurrence of x. Otherwise stated, we consider $u = u_0b_1 \cdots u_{k-1}b_ku_k$ and indices $j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell$ such that $b_{j_1} \cdots b_{j_\ell} = x$. We set $j_0 = 0$ and $j_{\ell+1} = k + 1$. We focus on the contribution of these fixed elements as a power of q to both sides of the relation. By Theorem 3.1, these occurrences respectively provide $\binom{u}{t_0}$ and $\binom{t}{x_0}$ on the right-hand side with

$$q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} i|u_i|}$$
 and $q^{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i(j_{i+1}-j_i-1)}$. (4)

Similarly, this occurrence of x provides $\binom{u}{x}_{q}$ on the left-hand side with

$$q^{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} i(|u_{j_i}\cdots u_{j_{i+1}-1}|+j_{i+1}-j_i-1)}.$$
(5)

So the difference of the exponents in (4) and (5) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \sum_{n=j_{i}}^{j_{i+1}-1} (n-i)|u_{n}|.$$
(6)

Consider the indices $m_1 < \cdots < m_{k-\ell}$ such that $\{j_1, \ldots, j_\ell, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-\ell}\} = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. We set $m_0 = 0$ and $m_{k-\ell+1} = k + 1$. This partition of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ into two subsets of indices permits us to highlight either the letters of x (when referring to the indices j_i) or the letters of t and not in x (using the indices m_i).

We have reached a delicate point, and an example will give the reader a better understanding of the situation. Take k = 9, l = 3 and $m_1 < m_2 < j_1 < m_3 < j_2 < m_4 < m_5 < j_3 < m_6$ is the partition of $\{1, \ldots, 9\}$. Using the indices in the previous sum, we have the following table

		\mathfrak{m}_1	\mathfrak{m}_2	j1	\mathfrak{m}_3	j2	\mathfrak{m}_4	\mathfrak{m}_5	j3	\mathfrak{m}_6
i	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3
n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
(n - i)	0	1	2	2	3	3	4	5	5	6

In (6) we understand that i is incremented each time a new j_i index is reached and the value of (n - i) is incremented each time a new m_i index is reached. Hence (6) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-\ell}i|u_{m_i}\cdots u_{m_{i+1}-1}|$$

which is the exponent of the term in the Gaussian coefficient (for the reader not used to these coefficients, we can interpret them as q-binomial coefficients of words over a unary alphabet $\{\diamond\}$ and use Theorem 3.1)

$$\binom{|\mathbf{u}|-\ell}{\mathbf{k}-\ell}_{q} = \binom{\diamond|\mathbf{u}|-\ell}{\diamond^{\mathbf{k}-\ell}}_{q} = \sum_{\substack{w_{0},w_{1},\dots,w_{\mathbf{k}-\ell}\in\{\diamond\}^{*}\\ \diamond^{|\mathbf{u}|-\ell}=w_{0}\diamond\cdots w_{\mathbf{k}-\ell}-1\diamond w_{\mathbf{k}-\ell}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{k}}i|w_{i}|}$$
(7)

provided by the factorization $|w_i| = |u_{m_i} \cdots u_{m_{i+1}-1}|$

 $w_0 \underline{\diamond} \cdots w_{k-\ell-1} \underline{\diamond} w_{k-\ell} = \underline{\diamond}^{|u_0 \cdots u_{m_1-1}|} \underline{\diamond} \cdots \underline{\diamond}^{|u_{m_{k-\ell-1}} \cdots u_{m_{k-\ell}-1}|} \underline{\diamond} \underline{\diamond}^{|u_{m_{k-\ell}} \cdots u_{k}|}$

where the underlined positions correspond exactly to the letters added to x to get t.

The following corollary generalizes an observation made in the introduction.

Corollary 4.2. Let $u \in A^*$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, |u|\}$. The sequence $\binom{u}{x}_q_{x \in A^k}$ uniquely determines the word u.

Proof. First note that |u| can be determined from the largest degree in the sequence, see Corollary 3.2. The claim is true for k = 1; indeed the polynomial $\binom{u}{a}_q$ encodes the positions of a in u. For larger k, we use the above theorem to find the polynomials $\binom{|u|-1}{k-1}_q \binom{u}{a}_q$, $a \in A$, and thus the polynomials $\binom{u}{a}_q$, which in turn uniquely determine u.

The classical formula

$$\sum_{v \in A^n} \binom{u}{v} = \binom{|u|}{n}$$

can naturally be extended to q-binomial coefficients of words. Observe that this result is independent of the size of the alphabet. If $P(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i q^i$ is a polynomial in the variable q, we let $[q^m]P$ denote the coefficient c_m of the monomial of degree m.

Proposition 4.3. Let u and v be words. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer. We have

$$\sum_{\nu \in A^n} \binom{u}{\nu}_q = \binom{|u|}{n}_q; \quad and \quad \sum_{u \in A^n} \binom{u}{\nu}_q = (\#A)^{n-|\nu|} \binom{n}{|\nu|}_q \tag{8}$$

where on the right-hand sides we have Gaussian binomial coefficients of integers. Consequently, for all i

$$[q^{i}] \binom{u}{v}_{q} \leq [q^{i}] \binom{|u|}{|v|}_{q}.$$

Examples for both identities are

$$\sum_{\nu \in \{0,1\}^3} \binom{011010}{\nu}_q = \binom{6}{3}_q = q^9 + q^8 + 2q^7 + 3q^6 + 3q^5 + 3q^4 + 3q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1; \text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{u \in \{0,1\}^5} \binom{u}{01}_q = 2^3 \binom{5}{2}_q = 8q^6 + 8q^5 + 16q^4 + 16q^3 + 16q^2 + 8q + 8.$$

Proof. We first prove the identity on the left of (8). We apply Theorem 3.1 and since the sum ranges over all words of length n, this corresponds to selecting n positions amongst the |u| available ones

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}\in A^{n}} \binom{u}{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}}_{q} &= \sum_{a_{1}\cdots a_{n}\in A^{n}} \sum_{\substack{u_{0},u_{1},\dots,u_{n}\in A^{*}\\ u=u_{0}a_{1}\cdots u_{n-1}a_{n}u_{n}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}i|u_{i}|} \\ &= \sum_{1\leq j_{1}<\dots< j_{n}<|u|+1=j_{n+1}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}i(j_{i+1}-j_{i}-1)} = \binom{|u|}{n}_{q}. \end{split}$$

The last equality is the interpretation of Gaussian binomial coefficients as the one used in (7).

The second identity of (8) is proved in a similar manner: by Theorem 3.1

$$\begin{split} \sum_{u \in A^{n}} \binom{u}{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}_{q} &= \sum_{u \in A^{n}} \sum_{\substack{u_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k} \in A^{*} \\ u = u_{0} a_{1} \cdots u_{k-1} a_{k} u_{k}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} i |u_{i}|} \\ &= \sum_{j_{0} = 0 < j_{1} < \dots < j_{k} < |u| + 1 = j_{k+1}} \sum_{\substack{u_{0}, u_{1}, \dots, u_{k} \in A^{*} \\ u = u_{0} a_{1} \cdots u_{k-1} a_{k} u_{k}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} i |u_{i}|} \\ &= (\#A)^{n-k} \sum_{j_{0} = 0 < j_{1} < \dots < j_{k} < |u| + 1 = j_{k+1}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} i (j_{i+1} - j_{i} - 1)} . \end{split}$$

The third formula is a direct consequence of the first one and the fact that all coefficients of q-binomial coefficients of words are non-negative.

Remark 4.4. The second identity of (8) also holds for classical coefficients of words (by letting q tend to 1) but seems to have been unnoticed in the literature. The combinatorial explanation is the following one. Let v be a word. If we list all words of length n and fix exactly |v| positions (amongst the n available), there are exactly $(\#A)^{n-|v|}$ words of the list where v occurs as a subword in these particular positions. Of course, notice that there are $\binom{n}{|v|}$ ways to choose |v| positions amongst n.

5 Deformations in formal series

Classical binomial coefficients of words appear naturally in certain operations on formal series. Let $(\mathbb{K}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a semiring such as \mathbb{N} or $\mathbb{N}[q]$. We let $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$ denote the set of *formal series* over A^* with coefficients in \mathbb{K} , i.e., the set of maps from A^* to \mathbb{K} .

In this section we consider q-deformations of two operations, namely the *shuffle* and *infiltration* products. These two operations are thoroughly studied in [12, Chap. 6], and our aim is to define the corresponding q-deformations in such a way that most of the nice properties, like associativity, extend to q-deformed versions. For the q-shuffle product all the sought properties are established. For the q-infiltration, we consider a family (12) of definitions; each of them is shown to fail to give an associative operation. However, focusing on one particular version, we establish q-deformed versions of some classical properties.

We set some terminology and notation used throughout this section. The coefficient of $w \in A^*$ in $s \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$ is denoted by $\langle s, w \rangle$. The *support* of the series *s* is the set of words *w* such that $\langle s, w \rangle \neq 0$. The set of polynomials, i.e., series with finite support, is denoted by $\mathbb{K}\langle A^* \rangle$. The *degree* of $s \in \mathbb{K}\langle A^* \rangle$ is the maximal length of the words with a non-zero coefficient.

5.1 Deformed shuffle product

The usual shuffle of two words belonging to A^* is a polynomial in $\mathbb{N}\langle A^* \rangle$ encoding the multiset of the words of the form $u_1v_1 \cdots u_kv_k$, where $u = u_1 \cdots u_k$, $v = v_1 \cdots v_k$, and $u_i, v_i \in A^*$ for all i. For instance, shuffling 010 and 0, we get

$$010 \sqcup 0 = 0100 + 0100 + 0010 + 0010 = 2 \cdot 0100 + 2 \cdot 0010.$$

We define a q-deformed shuffle product of two words u and v by extending in a natural way the recursive definition found in [12, Chap. 6]. We further inspect the corresponding properties of the q-shuffle, where the coefficients are not integers but polynomials in q.

To be meaningful we need to define two operations. The first one is an external operation of $\mathbb{N}[q]$ on $\mathbb{N}[q]\langle\langle A^*\rangle\rangle$ acting on the left (or on the right):

$$\forall \mathsf{P} \in \mathbb{N}[\mathsf{q}], \mathsf{s} \in \mathbb{N}[\mathsf{q}]\langle\langle \mathsf{A}^* \rangle\rangle, w \in \mathsf{A}^*, \quad \langle \mathsf{P}.\mathsf{s}, w \rangle = \mathsf{P}\langle \mathsf{s}, w \rangle.$$

The second one is an external operation of A^* on $\mathbb{N}[q]\langle\langle A^*\rangle\rangle$ acting on the right:

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{N}[q] \langle \langle A^* \rangle \rangle, v, w \in A^*, \quad \langle s.v, wv \rangle = \langle s, w \rangle.$$

Considering v as the series 1.v, this is just the usual product of two series.

Definition 5.1. Let u, v be two finite words over an alphabet A and $a, b \in A$. The q-*shuffle* of u and v is a polynomial in $\mathbb{N}[q]\langle A^* \rangle$ defined recursively by

$$\mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{q} \mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{e} \sqcup_{q} \mathfrak{u} = 1.\mathfrak{u}; \quad \mathfrak{u} \mathfrak{a} \sqcup_{q} \mathfrak{v} \mathfrak{b} = q^{|\mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{b}|} (\mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{q} \mathfrak{v} \mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{a} + (\mathfrak{u} \mathfrak{a} \sqcup_{q} \mathfrak{v}) \mathfrak{b}. \tag{9}$$

As an example, we have

$$010 \, \mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{q}} \, 0 = (\mathbf{q}^3 + \mathbf{q}^2) 0010 + (\mathbf{q} + 1) 0100, \tag{10}$$

and the reader may notice that evaluation at q = 1 gives back the classical shuffle.

Lemma 5.2. Let u be a word and a_1, \ldots, a_n be letters. We have

$$u \amalg_q \mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_n = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{u}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{u}_n \in A^* \\ \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \cdots \mathfrak{u}_n}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^n i |\mathfrak{u}_i|} \mathfrak{u}_0 \mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{u}_{n-1} \mathfrak{a}_n \mathfrak{u}_n.$$

In particular, deg $\langle u \sqcup_q v, vu \rangle = |u| |v|$ is the maximal degree of the coefficients. Similarly, the constant term 1 appears in the polynomial coefficient of uv.

Proof. The support of the polynomial $u \coprod_q a_1 \cdots a_n$ is the set

$$\{u_0a_1\cdots u_{n-1}a_nu_n \mid u_0,\ldots,u_n \in A^*, u = u_0\cdots u_n\}.$$

Each factorization of $u = u_0 \cdots u_n$ provides a power of q by recursive applications of (9). We process each letter of u_n with the first term of (9). This gives a factor $q^{n|u_n|}$. Then we consider the letter a_n with the second term of (9). We continue with the letters of u_{n-1} providing this time $q^{(n-1)|u_{n-1}|}$ and so on and so forth.

Applying this result to the same example as above, we have

$$010 ext{ } ext{ }$$

Roughly speaking, one has to count for each red letter, how many blue letters are on the right of it. Summing up these numbers gives the exponent to take into account. Similarly,

$$010 \sqcup_q 00 = (1 + q + q^2)01000 + (q^2 + 2q^3 + q^4)00100 + (q^4 + q^5 + q^6)00010$$

because

$$\begin{array}{l} 010 \ \mbox{$\tt u_q$}\ 00 = 1 \cdot 01000 + q\ 01000 + q^2\ 00100 + q^3\ 00100 + q^2\ 01000 + q^3\ 00100 + q^4\ 00100 + q^4\ 00010 + q^5\ 00010 + q^6\ 00010. \end{array}$$

The following result gives an alternative statement for Lemma 5.2. As a consequence, we get that the q-shuffle is associative. Let π be a permutation in the symmetric group S_n . We let $inv(\pi)$ be the number of inversions of π , i.e., $inv(\pi) = \#\{1 \le i < j \le n : \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$. We have

$$a_1 \cdots a_k \amalg_q a_{k+1} \cdots a_n = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n: \pi(1) < \cdots < \pi(k), \\ \pi(k+1) < \cdots < \pi(n)}} q^{inv(\pi)} a_{\pi(1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)}$$

and in particular, the q-shuffle is associative.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5.2, we get

$$a_{1}\cdots a_{k} \amalg_{q} a_{k+1}\cdots a_{n} = \sum_{\substack{u_{0}, \dots, u_{n-k} \in A^{*} \\ a_{1}\cdots a_{k} = u_{0}\cdots u_{n-k}}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} i |u_{i}|} u_{0} a_{k+1} \cdots u_{n-k-1} a_{n} u_{n-k}.$$

Notice that $i|u_i|$ counts the number of inversions between the first i letters a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{k+i} and the letters of u_i .

Let us consider associativity. For $0 = k_0 \le k_1 \le \cdots \le k_h = n$, it is enough to observe that

$$a_{k_0+1}\cdots a_{k_1} \amalg_q \cdots \amalg_q a_{k_{h-1}+1}\cdots a_{k_h} = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n:\\ \pi(k_i+1) < \cdots < \pi(k_{i+1}) \\ \text{for } 0 \le i < h}} q^{inv(\pi)} a_{\pi(1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)}.$$

Remark 5.4. As pointed out by one of the reviewers, $(\mathbb{Z}[q]\langle A^*\rangle, u_q)$ is Green's quantized shuffle algebra of type (A, \cdot) defined by $a \cdot b = 1$ for all $a, b \in A$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. See [8, Sec. 4].

The next lemma is similar to Lemma 5.2, but here the focus is put on the letters of the first factor of the q-shuffle. In the above examples, it is equivalent to count for each blue letter, how many red letters are on its left.

Lemma 5.5. Let v be a word and a_1, \ldots, a_k be letters. We have

$$a_1 \cdots a_k \sqcup_q \nu = \sum_{\substack{\nu_0, \dots, \nu_k \in A^* \\ \nu = \nu_0 \cdots \nu_k}} q^{\sum_{i=0}^k (k-i)|\nu_i|} \nu_0 a_1 \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{k-1} a_k \nu_k.$$

Proof. Proceed as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Assume that $v_0a_1v_1a_2v_2\cdots v_{k-1}a_kv_k$ belongs to the support of $a_1 \cdots a_k \bigsqcup_q v$, with $v = v_0 \cdots v_k$, $v_i \in A^*$. Focus on a particular letter a_i . When applying (9), this letter a_i will contribute to the exponent of q with the number of letters of v to its left, which is exactly $|v_0 \cdots v_{i-1}|$.

We let $\mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\frac{1}{q}} \mathfrak{v}$ denote the element in $\mathbb{N}[\frac{1}{q}]\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$ such that $[q^{-i}]\langle \mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\frac{1}{q}} \mathfrak{v}, w \rangle = [q^i]\langle \mathfrak{u} \sqcup_q \mathfrak{v}, w \rangle$ for all i, w. As an example,

$$010 \sqcup_{\frac{1}{q}} 0 = \left(\frac{1}{q^3} + \frac{1}{q^2}\right) 0010 + \left(\frac{1}{q} + 1\right) 0100.$$

Proposition 5.6. *The* q*-shuffle satisfies the reciprocal relation*

$$q^{|\mathbf{u}|\cdot|\mathbf{v}|}(\mathbf{v} \sqcup_{\underline{1}} \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \sqcup_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Proof. We can proceed by induction on |u| + |v|. Or, it can be seen as a consequence of the previous two Lemmas 5.2 and 5.5. The q-shuffles $u \coprod_q v$ and $v \coprod_q u$ clearly have the same support. Let w be a word in this support and consider the specific factorization $w = v_0 a_1 v_1 a_2 v_2 \cdots v_{k-1} a_k v_k$ with $u = a_1 \cdots a_k$, $a_i \in A$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_k$, $v_i \in A^*$. For each a_i , the contribution to $u \coprod_q v$ given by Lemma 5.5 is $q^{|v_0 \cdots v_{i-1}|}$ and the one to $v \coprod_q u$ given by Lemma 5.2 is

$$q^{|\nu_i\cdots\nu_k|} = q^{|\nu|-|\nu_0\cdots\nu_{i-1}|}.$$

Finally, with k = |u|,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} q^{|\nu|-|\nu_{0}\cdots\nu_{i-1}|} = q^{|u|\,|\nu|} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{|\nu_{0}\cdots\nu_{i-1}|}.$$

To conclude this section, we can extend Definition 5.1 to the q-shuffle of a word $u \in A^*$ and a series $s \in \mathbb{N}[q]\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$ by

$$\mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} s = \sum_{\nu \in A^*} \langle s, \nu \rangle (\mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \nu) \quad \text{and} \quad s \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u} = \sum_{\nu \in A^*} \langle s, \nu \rangle (\nu \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u})$$

In particular, if A* is understood as the characteristic formal series whose coefficients are all equal to 1, then

$$\mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} A^* = \mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \sum_{\nu \in A^*} \nu = \sum_{\nu \in A^*} \mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \nu \quad \text{and} \quad A^* \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u} = \sum_{\nu \in A^*} \nu \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u}.$$

By bilinearity, the q-shuffle can be readily extended to two series in $\mathbb{N}[q]\langle \langle A^* \rangle \rangle$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.2, we are now able to prove the following result, which directly links the notions of q-shuffle and q-deformed binomial coefficients of words.

Proposition 5.7. Let u, w be words. Then

$$\langle A^* \sqcup_q \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{w} \rangle = \binom{\mathfrak{w}}{\mathfrak{u}}_q.$$
 (11)

Proof. If u is not a subword of w, then both sides of (11) are zero. We can now assume that u appears at least once as a subword of w. Let us fix one particular occurrence of $u = a_1 \cdots a_k$ in w:

$$w = w_0 a_1 w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} a_k w_k$$

where $a_i \in A$ and $w_i \in A^*$ for all i. Let $x = w_0 w_1 \cdots w_k$. The word w appears in the support of $x \bigsqcup_q u$. From Lemma 5.2, this particular occurrence of u contributes to the coefficient of w in $x \bigsqcup_q u$ with a monomial $q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} i |w_i|}$, which is enough to conclude with the proof using Theorem 3.1. \Box

To illustrate the previous proposition, given the q-shuffles $01 \ u_q \ 0 = (q^2 + q)001 + 1 \cdot 010$ and $10 \ u_q \ 0 = q^2 010 + (q + 1)100$, we get

$$\langle A^* \sqcup_q 0, 010 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 010 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_q = 1 + q^2.$$

In view of Proposition 5.6, if we permute the factors of the q-shuffle, (11) becomes

$$\langle \mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} A^*, \mathfrak{w} \rangle = \mathfrak{q}^{|\mathfrak{u}| \cdot (|\mathfrak{w}| - |\mathfrak{u}|)} {w \choose \mathfrak{u}}_{1/\mathfrak{q}}$$

5.2 Deformed infiltration

Inspired by [3], where the authors investigate various products defined by a recurrence relation, one could define several q-infiltrations using recurrences of the form $u \uparrow_q \varepsilon = \varepsilon \uparrow_q u = 1.u$ and

$$ua\uparrow_{q} vb = q^{|vb|}(u\uparrow_{q} vb)a + (ua\uparrow_{q} v)b + q^{\alpha(ua,vb)}\delta_{a,b}(u\uparrow_{q} v)a$$
(12)

for every choice of a map $\alpha : A^* \times A^* \to \mathbb{N}$. In [2], the choice is $\alpha(\mathfrak{ua}, v\mathfrak{b})$ to be the constant function 1. Roughly speaking, with such a choice, when building a term $\mathfrak{q}^n w$ of a monomial in the infiltration product, every application of the third term will increase the exponent of \mathfrak{q}^n by 1. So it will only record how many times the third term was used (see Remark 5.11).

As for the q-shuffle, we can extend the above definition to the q-infiltration of a word $u \in A^*$ and a series $s \in \mathbb{N}[q]\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$ by

$$\mathfrak{u}\uparrow_{\mathfrak{q}} s = \sum_{\nu\in A^*} \langle s, \nu \rangle \ (\mathfrak{u}\uparrow_{\mathfrak{q}} \nu) \quad \text{ and } \quad s\uparrow_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u} = \sum_{\nu\in A^*} \langle s, \nu \rangle \ (\nu\uparrow_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u}).$$

For two series s, $t \in \mathbb{N}[q]\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$, we define

$$s\uparrow_{q} t = \sum_{\mathfrak{u}, \nu \in A^{*}} \langle s, \mathfrak{u} \rangle \langle t, \nu \rangle (\mathfrak{u}\uparrow_{q} \nu).$$

As an example,

$$010\uparrow_q 0 = (q+1)0100 + (q^3 + q^2)0010 + (q^{\alpha(010,0)} + q^{\alpha(0,0)})010$$

because, we have

$$010\uparrow_{q} 0 = 1 \cdot 0100 + q \, 0100 + q^{2} \, 0010 + q^{3} \, 0010 + q^{\alpha(010,0)} \, 010 + q^{\alpha(0,0)} \, 010$$

where the cyan color is used when letters are merged when using the third term in (12). The reader may notice on this example, that the q-infiltration has terms of maximal degree equal to the q-shuffle. This directly follows from the definition (12) whose first two terms in the recurrence relation coincide with the q-shuffle. We state this observation for our record.

Recall that the degree of a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}\langle A^* \rangle$ is the maximal length of the words with a non-zero coefficient.

Lemma 5.8. Let u, v be words. There exists a polynomial $P_{u,v}$ of degree less than |u| + |v| such that

$$\mathfrak{u}\uparrow_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{u} \sqcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{v} + \mathsf{P}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{v}}.$$

Moreover, $P_{u,v} = 0$ if and only if u and v are over disjoint alphabets.

As we wish to recover the terms of u_q as defined in Definition 5.1, we do not have much freedom on the first two terms of the recurrence relation. Even though this first result seems promising, we start this section with a negative statement. Whatever the choice made for the function α , the q-infiltration product is not associative and the Chen–Fox–Lyndon relation does not hold. In a second step, we focus on a specific choice of $\alpha(u\alpha, vb) = |vb|$.

Proposition 5.9. The q-infiltration product (12) is not associative.

Proof. Take f = 01, g = 0, h = 01. The polynomial coefficients of 01 in $(f \uparrow_q g) \uparrow_q h$ and $f \uparrow_q (g \uparrow_q h)$ are respectively

$$q^{1+2\alpha(0,0)+\alpha(01,01)}$$
 and $q^{2\alpha(0,0)+\alpha(01,01)}$.

This leads to a contradiction.

For classical binomial coefficients of words, resulting of the associativity of the infiltration product, the following Chen–Fox–Lyndon relation holds true

$$\forall \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{A}^*, \quad {\binom{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{f}}} {\binom{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{g}}} = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{A}^*} \langle \mathbf{f} \uparrow \mathbf{g}, w \rangle {\binom{\mathbf{h}}{w}}. \tag{13}$$

There is no such relation for \uparrow_q defined by (12). As a counterexample, take h = 01010, f = 010, g = 1. On the one hand, we have

$$\binom{h}{f}_{q}\binom{h}{g}_{q} = q^{9} + 2q^{7} + 2q^{5} + 2q^{3} + q$$

which only contains odd powers of q. On the other hand, we get

$$f\uparrow_q g = q^{1+\alpha(01,1)}010 + q^3 1010 + (q+q^2) 0110 + 1 \cdot 0101$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in A^*} \langle f \uparrow_q g, w \rangle \binom{h}{w}_q = 2q^3 + 2q^4 + q^{1+\alpha(01,1)}(1+q^2+q^4+q^6)$$

which contains consecutive powers of q.

We now study the choice $\alpha(ua, vb) = |vb|$ in the definition to record some more information into the corresponding exponent.

Definition 5.10. Let u, v be two finite words over an alphabet A and $a, b \in A$. The q*-infiltration* of u and v is defined recursively by

$$\mathbf{u} \Uparrow_{\mathbf{q}} \varepsilon = \varepsilon \Uparrow_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{u} = 1.\mathbf{u}; \quad \mathbf{u} \triangleq \Uparrow_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{u} \triangleq \Uparrow_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{q}^{|\mathbf{v}\mathbf{b}|} [(\mathbf{u} \Uparrow_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a} + \delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} (\mathbf{u} \Uparrow_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{v})\mathbf{a}].$$

We use a specific symbol \uparrow_q to distinguish from the general situation (12).

Reconsidering the same example as above

$$010 \Uparrow_{q} 0 = (q+1)0100 + (q^{3} + q^{2})0010 + (q^{3} + q)010.$$

Remark 5.11. Had we chosen the map α to be the constant 1, we would obtain 2q as coefficient of 010 just meaning that 010 can be obtained in two ways applying once the third term of the recurrence. Here, the exponents q³ and q give the information where the merge of letters 0 occurred.

Recall that the valuation of a series $s \in \mathbb{K}\langle\langle A^* \rangle\rangle$, denoted by val(s), is the least integer n such that the support of s contains a monomial of degree n; by convention val(0) = + ∞ . If we permute the order of the two factors, the q-infiltration being non-symmetric, we may get a simpler expression. One can compare this result with Proposition 5.6.

Proposition 5.12. Let u, v, w be words such that $|u| \ge |v|$. There exists a polynomial $R_{u,v}$ such that $val(R_{u,v}) > |u|$ and

$$\mathfrak{u} \Uparrow_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{q}^{|\mathfrak{v}|(|\mathfrak{v}|+1)/2} \binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}}_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{u} + \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{v}}.$$

There exists a polynomial $S_{\nu,u}$ *such that* $val(S_{\nu,u}) > |u|$ *and*

$$\nu \Uparrow_q \mathfrak{u} = q^{|\nu|(|\mathfrak{u}| - \frac{|\nu| - 1}{2})} \binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}}_{1/q} \mathfrak{u} + S_{\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}}.$$

In particular, if $|u| \ge |v|$, |w|, then

$$\langle (\mathfrak{u} \Uparrow_{q} \mathfrak{v}) \Uparrow_{q} \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{u} \rangle = q^{|\mathfrak{w}|(|\mathfrak{w}|+1)/2 + |\mathfrak{v}|(|\mathfrak{v}|+1)/2} \binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{w}_{q}} \binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}_{q}}.$$

Proof. The word u appears in the support of $u \uparrow_q v$ only if $v = a_1 \cdots a_k$ is a subword of $u, a_i \in A$. Every other element in the support has length larger than |u|. We process one factorization of the form $u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k$ from right to left. From the definition of \uparrow_q , each letter of u_i provides a factor $q^{|a_1 \cdots a_i|}$ and this is also the case with a_i . So the coefficient of u in $u \uparrow_q v$ is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{u_0,...,u_k \in A^*\\ u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k i(|u_i|+1)}$$

The reasoning is similar for $v \uparrow_q u$. When processing one factorization of the form $u = u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k$ from right to left, only the letter a_i provides a factor with exponent $|u| - |u_i a_{i+1} \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k|$. So the coefficient of u in $v \uparrow_q u$ is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{u_0,...,u_k \in A^* \\ u=u_0 a_1 \cdots u_{k-1} a_k u_k}} q^{k|u| - \sum_{i=1}^k i|u_i| - k(k-1)/2} = q^{k|u| - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \binom{u}{\nu}_{1/q}.$$

6 Towards p-groups

In this section, we introduce what we call the (u, \mathfrak{M}) -binomial equivalence relation. In particular, we consider a congruence refining it². This way, the quotient of A^{*} by such a congruence is a monoid. We further study this structure and determine when it is a group. Moreover, we obtain information about its order. As a corollary, we generalize Eilenberg's theorem characterizing p-group languages.

Definition 6.1. The monoid M *recognizes* the language $L \subseteq A^*$ if there exist a monoid morphism $\mu : A^* \to M$ and a subset S of M such that $L = \mu^{-1}(S)$. A language is *recognizable* if it is recognized by a finite monoid.

²Recall that an equivalence relation \cong is a *refinement* of $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ if, for all words $w_1, w_2, w_1 \cong w_2$ implies $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$. We also say that $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is *coarser* than \cong .

It is a well-known result that a language is recognizable if and only if it is regular, i.e., there exists a *deterministic finite automaton* (*DFA*) that recognizes the language [10, Thm. 10.2.5].

Let p be a prime. A language recognized by a p-group is a p-group language. We follow Eilenberg's classical textbook [7] where it is shown that a language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{\nu,r,p} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid {u \choose \nu} \equiv r \pmod{p} \right\}.$$
(14)

Let $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the field of integers modulo p and let \mathfrak{M} be a non-zero polynomial of degree $d \ge 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$. Since q-deformed binomial coefficients are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, their integer coefficients can be reduced modulo p to get a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$. Similarly to (14), we will consider the languages of the form

$$L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} := \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\},\tag{15}$$

where $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ is a polynomial of degree less than deg(\mathfrak{M}).

As a consequence of our treatment, we get a generalization of Eilenberg's theorem: a language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form (15) where $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{q} - 1)^d$ for some integer $d \ge 1$ and non-zero $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

In order to lighten the proofs of our main results, we gather all required preliminary definitions and results about polynomial algebra in the next subsection.

6.1 Some polynomial algebra

We let \mathbb{K} denote the finite ring $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$ of order p^d and we let \mathbb{K}^* denote the multiplicative group made of the units. Note that \mathbb{K} is a field if and only if \mathfrak{M} is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p . As (\mathbb{K}, \cdot) is a finite semigroup with identity 1, for each $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathbb{K}$, two elements of the sequence $1, \mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{P}^2, \ldots$ will be equal. Considering the first repetition, the least positive integers $i \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ such that



are respectively the *index* and the *period* of \mathfrak{P} and are denoted by $ind(\mathfrak{P})$ and $per(\mathfrak{P})$ (the semigroup \mathbb{K} being clear from the context). Note that for an arbitrary semigroup (without identity element), the usual definition of index is to consider an integer $i \ge 1$ because \mathfrak{P}^0 is not welldefined. For our record, we make the following trivial observation:

Fact 6.2. For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathfrak{P}^m = \mathfrak{P}^n$ in \mathbb{K} if and only if m = n or $m \equiv n \pmod{\operatorname{per}(\mathfrak{P})}$ with $m, n \geq \operatorname{ind}(\mathfrak{P})$.

In our setting, observe that $ind(\mathfrak{P}) = 0$ if and only if \mathfrak{P} is a unit. In particular, the index and period of the polynomial q will have a central role in our results. The index of q is directly linked to the polynomial \mathfrak{M} , as we shall see in what follows.

Definition 6.3. The *valuation* val(\mathfrak{P}) of a polynomial $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathbb{F}[q]$, where \mathbb{F} is a field, is the largest power of q dividing \mathfrak{P} , i.e., val(\mathfrak{P}) = max{ $\ell : q^{\ell} | \mathfrak{P}(q)$ }. In particular, val($\mathfrak{0}$) = + ∞ .

The following result generalizes the previous lemma. We use again the notation $[q^i]P$ to denote coefficients of polynomials, see right before Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 6.4. In $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$, we have $\operatorname{ind}(q) = \operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M})$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. If } \mathfrak{M} = aq^d \text{ with } a \neq 0, \text{ then the sequence of powers of } q \text{ modulo } \mathfrak{M} \text{ is of the form } 1, q, \ldots, q^{d-1}, 0, 0, \ldots \text{ where the first } d \text{ terms are pairwise distinct. Hence } ind(q) = d = val(\mathfrak{M}). \\ \text{Assume now that } \mathfrak{M} \text{ has degree } d \geq 1 \text{ and at least two non-zero terms. Observe that the map } \phi : \mathfrak{P} \mapsto q \mathfrak{P} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \text{ is a bijection on the set } S = \left\{ \sum_{i=val(\mathfrak{M})}^{deg(\mathfrak{M})-1} f_i q^i \mid f_{val(\mathfrak{M})}, \ldots, f_{deg(\mathfrak{M})-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}. \\ \text{Indeed, let } \mathfrak{P} \in S. \text{ The remainder of the Euclidean division of } q \mathfrak{P} \text{ by } \mathfrak{M} \text{ is } q \mathfrak{P} - \frac{[q^{deg \mathfrak{M}-1}]\mathfrak{P}}{[q^{deg \mathfrak{M}}]\mathfrak{M}} \mathfrak{M}. \text{ The inverse of } \phi \text{ is given by } \mathfrak{P} \mapsto q^{-1} \left(\mathfrak{P} - \frac{[q^{val(\mathfrak{M})}]\mathfrak{P}}{[q^{val(\mathfrak{M})}]\mathfrak{M}} \mathfrak{M} \right). \text{ For all } j \geq val(\mathfrak{M}), q^j \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \text{ belongs to } S \text{ (this follows directly from the fact that the map } \phi \text{ maps } S \text{ to } S). \\ 0 \leq i < val(\mathfrak{M}) \leq j. \text{ Finally, since } S \text{ is finite, there exist } i > j \geq 0 \text{ such that } q^{i+val(\mathfrak{M})} \equiv q^{j+val(\mathfrak{M})} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}. \end{array} \right$

The following proposition will be important when discussing p-groups in the following subsection.

Proposition 6.5. The monomial q has ind(q) = 0 and $per(q) = p^t$ for some t > 0 in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]/\langle \mathfrak{M} \rangle$ if and only if \mathfrak{M} is of the form $a(q-1)^d$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and d > 0.

Proof. First assume that $\mathfrak{M}(q) = \mathfrak{a}(q-1)^d$ for some positive integer d and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\star}$. Take $\mathfrak{n} > \mathfrak{0}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}^n \ge d$. Since the base field is \mathbb{F}_p , we have

$$q^{p^{n}} - 1 = (q-1)^{p^{n}} = a^{-1}(q-1)^{p^{n}-d}a(q-1)^{d} = a^{-1}(q-1)^{p^{n}-d}\mathfrak{M}(q),$$

so that $q^{p^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$. Therefore ind(q) = 0 and per(q) divides p^n .

Now assume that $per(q) = p^t$ for some t > 0 and ind(q) = 0. There thus exists a polynomial \mathfrak{A} such that

$$\mathfrak{A}(q)\mathfrak{M}(q) = q^{p^{\tau}} - 1 = (q-1)^{p^{\tau}}.$$

Since polynomial rings over fields are unique factorization domains, we conclude that $\mathfrak{M}(q)$ has the expected form.

6.2 On q-binomials and p-group languages

We now define an equivalence relation on A^* as follows. If the word $w \in A^*$ can be written pfs with p, f, s $\in A^*$, then f is a *factor* of w. The set of factors of w is denoted by Fac(w).

Definition 6.6 (($\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{M}$)-binomial equivalence). Let $\mathfrak{u} \in A^+$ and $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$. Two finite words $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$ are ($\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{M}$)-binomially equivalent and we write $w_1 \sim_{\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ whenever

$$\forall v \in \operatorname{Fac}(\mathfrak{u}) : \binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

We stress that one has to consider all factors of u and compute the corresponding q-binomial coefficients reduced modulo \mathfrak{M} . There is no need to consider the trivial empty factor. Incidentally, the number of equivalence classes is bounded by $\#\mathbb{K}^{(\#Fac(u)-1)}$. In particular, the equivalence relation $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ has finite index in A^* .

Remark 6.7. It is obvious that if f is a factor of u, then $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ implies $w_1 \sim_{f,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ because $Fac(f) \subset Fac(\mathfrak{u})$.

Example 6.8. Let $\mathfrak{M}(q) = q^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[q]$ and $\mathfrak{u} = 01 \in \{0,1\}^*$. Hence $\mathbb{K} = \{0,1,q,q+1\}$ and Fac(\mathfrak{u}) = { $\epsilon, 0, 1, 01$ }. By straightforward computation, we find $4^3 = 64$ equivalence classes—the

maximal possible—with representatives of length at most 8. For instance, the word w = 00011101 is the shortest one such that

$$\binom{w}{0}_{q} \equiv q+1, \quad \binom{w}{1}_{q} \equiv q+1, \quad \binom{w}{01}_{q} \equiv q \pmod{q^{2}+1}.$$

Remark 6.9. The $(\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{M})$ -binomial equivalence relation is not always a congruence on A^* . Take $p = 2, \mathfrak{M}(q) = q^2 + 1, A = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{u} = 0$. The simplest counterexample is $0 \sim_{0,\mathfrak{M}} 0, 1 \sim_{0,\mathfrak{M}} \varepsilon$ but $01 \not_{0,\mathfrak{M}} 0$ because $\binom{01}{0}_q \equiv q \not\equiv 1 \equiv \binom{0}{0}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$.

In light of Definition 6.1, we seek for a congruence \equiv on A^* (of finite index) refining the equivalence relation $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ so that A^*/\equiv is a finite monoid.

The following lemma gives some necessary conditions for a congruence to refine the $(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{M})$ -binomial equivalence.

Lemma 6.10. Let $u \in A^+$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ and \cong be any congruence refining the (u, \mathfrak{M}) -binomial equivalence $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$. Let w_1, w_2 be words in A^* such that $w_1 \cong w_2$. Then

- $q^{|w_1|} \equiv q^{|w_2|} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$, and
- $|w_1| = |w_2| \text{ or } val\left(\binom{w_i}{\nu}_q\right) + |w_i| |\nu| \ge val(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2\}, \nu \in A^* \text{ such that } a\nu \in Fac(\mathfrak{u})$ for some $\mathfrak{a} \in A$.

Proof. Let $v \in A^*$ such that $av \in Fac(u)$ for some $a \in A$. By Theorem 3.1, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} aw_i \\ av \end{pmatrix}_q = \begin{pmatrix} w_i \\ av \end{pmatrix}_q + q^{|w_i|-|v|} \begin{pmatrix} w_i \\ v \end{pmatrix}_q.$$

Since \cong is a refinement of $\sim_{\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{M}}$, $\binom{w_1}{a\nu}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{a\nu}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$. It is also congruence, so $\mathfrak{a}w_1 \cong \mathfrak{a}w_2$ and $\binom{\mathfrak{a}w_1}{\mathfrak{a}\nu}_q \equiv \binom{\mathfrak{a}w_2}{\mathfrak{a}\nu}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$. It follows that

$$q^{|w_1|-|\nu|} {w_1 \choose \nu}_q \equiv q^{|w_2|-|\nu|} {w_2 \choose \nu}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$$
(16)

In particular, $v = \varepsilon$ gives that $q^{|w_1|} \equiv q^{|w_2|} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$ since $u \neq \varepsilon$.

Note that for each $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ we have

$$\mathfrak{P} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M})-1} ([q^i]\mathfrak{P})q^i + \sum_{i=\operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M})}^{\operatorname{deg}(\mathfrak{M})-1} c_i q^i \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$$
(17)

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ because by Euclidean division $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{A}.\mathfrak{M} + (\mathfrak{P} \mod \mathfrak{M})$ and $val(\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{M}) \ge val(\mathfrak{M})$. In particular, we have

$$val(\mathfrak{P} \mod \mathfrak{M}) = val(\mathfrak{P}), \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{0} \le val(\mathfrak{P}) < val(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ and}$$
(18)
$$val(\mathfrak{P} \mod \mathfrak{M}) \ge val(\mathfrak{M}), \qquad \text{if } val(\mathfrak{P}) \ge val(\mathfrak{M}).$$

Assume that

$$\operatorname{val}\left(\binom{w_1}{v}_q\right) + |w_1| - |v| < \operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M}).$$

Plugging the polynomials from (16) into (17), we get val $\binom{w_1}{v}_q + |w_1| - |v| = val \binom{w_2}{v}_q + |w_2| - |v|$. The assumption implies that val $\binom{w_i}{v}_q$ is finite, i.e., $\binom{w_i}{v}_q \neq 0$ and thus $|w_i| \geq |v|$. Hence $val \binom{w_i}{v}_q < val(\mathfrak{M})$. It follows from (18) that $val \binom{w_i}{v}_q = val \binom{w_i}{v}_q \mod \mathfrak{M}$. Since $\binom{w_1}{v}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{v}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$, we therefore conclude that $|w_1| = |w_2|$. **Proposition 6.11.** Let $u \in A^+$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ and \cong be a congruence that refines $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$. If q is not a unit of \mathbb{K} , then the monoid A^*/\cong is a not group. In particular, no element except for the identity is invertible.

Proof. The class $[\varepsilon]$ for \cong is clearly the identity element of the monoid. Thanks to Lemma 6.10, if $ind(q) \ge 1$, then $[\varepsilon]$ is a singleton. For any non-empty word *w* and for all words *x*, the class $[w] \cdot [x] = [wx]$ differs from $[\varepsilon]$, thus [w] is not invertible.

Nevertheless, it is interesting to look for the coarsest congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$. The following result, along with Lemma 6.10, enables us to state the conditions defining this congruence.

Proposition 6.12. Let $u \in A^+$ and $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$. Define the relation $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ by $w_1 \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ if

- $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$, and
- $q^{|w_1|} \equiv q^{|w_2|} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$, and
- $|w_1| = |w_2| \text{ or } \operatorname{val}\left(\binom{w_i}{\nu}_q\right) + |w_i| |\nu| \ge \operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M}) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2\}, \nu \in A^* \text{ such that } a\nu \in \operatorname{Fac}(u)$ for some $a \in A$.

Then $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ *is a congruence. Moreover, it is the coarsest one refining* $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ *.*

Proof. Let w_1, w_2, y_1, y_2 be words such that $w_1 \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ and $y_1 \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}} y_2$, and let v be a factor of u. From Remark 3.4,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 w_1 \\ v \end{pmatrix}_q = \sum_{\substack{\nu = \nu_1 \nu_2 \\ \nu_1, \nu_2 \in A^*}} q^{|\nu_1|(|w_1| - |\nu_2|)} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}_q \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}_q = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ v \end{pmatrix}_q + q^{|\nu||w_1|} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ v \end{pmatrix}_q + \sum_{\substack{\nu = \nu_1 \nu_2 \\ \nu_1, \nu_2 \in A^+}} q^{|\nu_1|(|w_1| - |\nu_2|)} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}_q \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}_q.$$

Since v_1 and v_2 are factors of $v \in Fac(u)$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}_q \equiv \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}_q, \quad \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}_q \equiv \begin{pmatrix} w_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$
 (19)

Moreover, we have

 $q^{|\nu_1||w_1|} \equiv q^{|\nu_1||w_2|} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$

For the sake of notation, let us define, for all words v, w,

$$l_{w,v} = \operatorname{val}\left(\binom{w}{v}_{q} \mod \mathfrak{M}\right).$$

Let R be the remainder of the Euclidean division of $\binom{w_1}{v_2}_q$ by \mathfrak{M} , so that $R/q^{l_{w_1,v_2}}$ is a polynomial. Finally, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{\nu=\nu_1\nu_2\\\nu_1,\nu_2\in A^+}} & q^{|\nu_1|(|w_1|-|\nu_2|)} \binom{y_1}{\nu_1} \binom{w_1}{\nu_2}_q \\ & \equiv \sum_{\substack{\nu=\nu_1\nu_2\\\nu_1,\nu_2\in A^+}} q^{(|\nu_1|-1)(|w_1|-|\nu_2|)+l_{w_1,\nu_2}+|w_1|-|\nu_2|} \binom{y_1}{\nu_1}_q \frac{R}{q^{l_{w_1,\nu_2}}} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}. \end{split}$$

Using (18) note that $\operatorname{val}\left(\binom{w}{v}_{q}\right) + |w| - |v| \ge \operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M})$ implies $\operatorname{val}\left(\binom{w}{v}_{q} \mod \mathfrak{M}\right) + |w| - |v| \ge \operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M})$. Hence $l_{w_1,v_2} + |w_1| - |v_2| \ge \operatorname{val}(\mathfrak{M}) = \operatorname{ind}(q)$, by assumption $|w_2| = |w_1| + \operatorname{d}\operatorname{per}(q)$ for some d, we have

 $q^{(|\nu_1|-1)(|w_1|-|\nu_2|)+l_{w_1,\nu_2}+|w_1|-|\nu_2|} \equiv q^{(|\nu_1|-1)(|w_1|-|\nu_2|)+l_{w_2,\nu_2}+|w_1|-|\nu_2|+d|\nu_1|\operatorname{per}(q)} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$ $\equiv q^{|\nu_1|(|w_2|-|\nu_2|)+l_{w_2,\nu_2}} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}},$

with $l_{w_1,v_2} = l_{w_2,v_2}$ coming from (19). In the end, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\nu=\nu_1\nu_2\\\nu_1,\nu_2\in A^+}} q^{|\nu_1|(|w_1|-|\nu_2|)} \binom{y_1}{\nu_1}_q \binom{w_1}{\nu_2}_q \equiv \sum_{\substack{\nu=\nu_1\nu_2\\\nu_1,\nu_2\in A^+}} q^{|\nu_1|(|w_2|-|\nu_2|)} \binom{y_2}{\nu_1}_q \binom{w_2}{\nu_2}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}},$$

so that $\binom{y_1w_1}{\nu}_q \equiv \binom{y_2w_2}{\nu}_q$ for all factors ν of u, i.e., $y_1w_1 \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}} y_2w_2$.

The fact that $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is the coarsest congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ follows from Lemma 6.10.

Remark 6.13. We provide two cases where the congruence defined in Proposition 6.12 has a simpler expression:

Case 1. The first case is when q is a unit of \mathbb{K} . Here $ind(q) = 0 = val(\mathfrak{M})$. Consequently

 $w_1 \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ if and only if $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ and $|w_1| \equiv |w_2| \pmod{\operatorname{per}(q)}$.

Case 2. The second case is when u contains all letters of A. In this case, we show next, that this implies $q^{|w_1|} \equiv q^{|w_2|} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$. As a consequence, in this case, we have

$$v_{1} \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_{2} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad w_{1} \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_{2} \text{ and } |w_{1}| = |w_{2}| \text{ or}$$
$$\min_{\substack{i \in \{1,2\}, \nu \in A^{*}: \\ \exists a \in A, a\nu \in Fac(u)}} \left\{ val\left(\binom{w_{i}}{\nu}_{q}\right) + |w_{i}| - |\nu| \right\} \ge val(\mathfrak{M})$$

Since u contains all letters of A, Proposition 4.3 implies for i = 1, 2

v

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{A}} \binom{w_{\mathbf{i}}}{\mathbf{a}}_{q} = \binom{|w_{\mathbf{i}}|}{1}_{q} = \frac{q^{|w_{\mathbf{i}}|} - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Now $w_1 \sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} w_2$ implies that $\binom{w_1}{a}_q \equiv \binom{w_2}{a}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all $a \in A$. Hence

$$\binom{|w_1|}{1}_q \equiv \binom{|w_2|}{1}_q \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$$

thus $q^{|w_1|} - q^{|w_2|} = (q-1)\mathfrak{PM}$ for some polynomial \mathfrak{P} .

To conclude this remark, we combine the two above cases, namely, if both q is invertible and u contains all letters of A, we find that the congruence $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is actually the equivalence relation $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ itself (i.e., there is no proper refinement).

When q is a unit of \mathbb{K} , for a congruence \cong that refines $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$, we may ask whether or not the monoid A^*/\cong is a group. It is natural to consider the *coarsest* congruence refining $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$, which is $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ in view of Proposition 6.12. In particular, since we consider that q is a unit of \mathbb{K} , Remark 6.13 shows that this congruence is actually the intersection of relations $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}} \cap \sim_{per(q)}$ (or just $\sim_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ if u contains all letters of A) where $x \sim_{per(q)} y$ if and only if $|x| \equiv |y| \pmod{per(q)}$. Considering this particular congruence, the corresponding quotient is indeed a group as seen in Theorem 6.15.

Example 6.14. Continuing Example 6.8, if we take for each equivalence the genealogically least representative and if the 64 classes are ordered with respect to these representatives, we get the multiplication table depicted in Fig. 2. The element in the upper left corner is $[\varepsilon]$.

Theorem 6.15. Let $u \in A^+$, $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ and the relation $\equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ defined in Proposition 6.12. If q is a unit in \mathbb{K} , then $A^* / \equiv_{u,\mathfrak{M}}$ is a group whose order divides $per(q) \cdot p^{|u|}$.

Proof. We will prove that $[w]^{per(q)p^{|u|}} = [\varepsilon]$ for each $w \in A^*$, which suffices for the claim. Note that the length of $w^{per(q)p^{|u|}}$ is a multiple of per(q) and thus we trivially have $|w^{per(q)p^{|u|}}| \equiv 0 \pmod{per(q)}$. Since, for every non-empty factor v of u, $\binom{\varepsilon}{v}_q = 0$, we have to show that

$$\binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p^{|u|}}}{\nu}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$



Figure 2: The multiplication table for the group $\{0,1\}^*/\sim_{01,q^2+1}$ with 64 elements.

Let v be a non-empty factor of u. We prove by induction on |v| that, for all words w and $j \ge 0$,

$$\binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p^{|\nu|+j}}}{\nu}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

It is enough to prove it for j = 0. Indeed, replacing *w* with w^p ,

$$(w^{p})^{per(q)p^{|\nu|}} = w^{per(q)p^{|\nu|} \cdot p} = w^{per(q)p^{|\nu|+1}}$$

If $v = a \in A$, we make use of Corollary 3.5

$$\binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p}}{a}_{q} = \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{per}(q)p-1} q^{i|w|} \binom{w}{a}_{q}.$$

Since q is a unit (i.e., ind(q) = 0), we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{per(q)p-1} q^{i|w|} = \sum_{i=0}^{per(q)-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} q^{(per(q)j+i)|w|} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{per(q)-1} p q^{i|w|} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Assume that the result holds for words of length up to $k \ge 1$ and consider a word ν of length k + 1. From Corollary 3.5, we have

$$\binom{w^{per(q)p^{k+1}}}{\nu}_{q} = \sum_{\substack{\nu = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_p \\ \nu_i \in \tilde{A}^*}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |\nu_i|((p-i)per(q)p^k|w| - |\nu_{i+1} \cdots \nu_p|)} \binom{w^{per(q)p^k}}{\nu_1}_{q} \cdots \binom{w^{per(q)p^k}}{\nu_p}_{q}$$

and, by induction hypothesis, if one of the $\nu_i{'s}$ in a factorization of ν has a length such that $1\leq |\nu_i|\leq k$, then

$$\binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p^k}}{\nu_i}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

So the only non-zero terms modulo \mathfrak{M} correspond to factorizations where all the ν_i 's are ε except one which is ν . We get

$$\binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p^{k+1}}}{\nu}_{q} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} q^{j|\nu|\operatorname{per}(q)p^{k}|w|} \binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p^{k}}}{\nu}_{q} \equiv p\binom{w^{\operatorname{per}(q)p^{k}}}{\nu}_{q} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Corollary 6.16. Let v be a word and $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{a}(q-1)^d$ for some integer $d \ge 1$ and non-zero $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. The language $L_{v,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$ given in (15) is a p-group language.

Proof. By Proposition 6.5, we have ind(q) = 0 and $per(q) = p^t$ for some $t \ge 0$ (and clearly we must have $p^t \ge d$). Thanks to Theorem 6.15, the order of the group $G = A^* / \equiv_{\nu,\mathfrak{M}} divides p^{t+|\nu|}$, and is thus a p-group.

Let $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathbb{F}_p[q]$ be a polynomial of degree less than deg(M). Consider the projection morphism $\pi : A^* \to G$ that maps a word x to its equivalence class [x]. Take $S \subset G$ be the set of classes defined by $[y] \in S$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{q}} \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

It is clear that $\pi^{-1}(S) = L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$.

The DFA \mathcal{M} whose set of states is $G = A^* / \equiv_{\nu,\mathfrak{M}}$, with transition function $\delta \colon G \times A \to G$ defined by $\delta([y], \mathfrak{a}) = [y\mathfrak{a}]$, initial state $[\varepsilon]$ and set of final states $\pi^{-1}(S)$ as in the previous proof, accepts the language $L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$. Since G is a group, this DFA is a permutation automaton (i.e., its transition semigroup is a group). A quotient of a permutation automaton being a permutation automaton, the minimal automaton of $L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$ is a permutation automaton.

Example 6.17. Continuing Example 6.8 and applying the procedure described above, the minimization of the DFA is depicted in Fig. 3. For \Re being 0, 1, q + 1 or q, the structure of the minimal automaton does not change but one has to choose the four states on the first {1,2,3,4}, resp. second {5,6,7,8}, third {9,10,11,12} or fourth layer {13,14,15,16} to be the final states. In



Figure 3: The structure of the minimal automaton of $L_{01,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{q}^2+1}$.

Fig. 3, we see how 0 and 1 act as permutations of the set of states. These two permutations may be used as generators of the considered group.

We have a direct generalization of Eilenberg's theorem characterizing p-group languages.

Theorem 6.18. Let p be a prime and $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{a}(q-1)^d$ with $d \ge 1$ an integer and a non-zero $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. A language is a p-group language if and only if it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form

$$L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ \mathfrak{u} \in A^* \mid {\mathfrak{u} \choose \nu}_q \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since \mathfrak{M} is of the form $\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{q}-1)^d$, if $\mathfrak{P} \equiv \mathfrak{R} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$, then $\mathfrak{P}(1) = \mathfrak{R}(1)$. Since a classical binomial coefficient $\binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}}$ of words is a q-binomial $\binom{\mathfrak{u}}{\mathfrak{v}}_1$ evaluated at 1, we have $\mathfrak{u} \in L_{\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{u} \in L_{\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$ for some \mathfrak{R} such that $\mathfrak{R}(1) \equiv \mathfrak{r} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.

This means that every language $L_{\nu,r,p} := \{u \in A^* \mid {\binom{u}{\nu}} \equiv r \pmod{p}\}$ is the disjoint union of languages (15) of the form $L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$ for polynomials \mathfrak{R} such that $\mathfrak{R}(1) = r \mod p$. More precisely, we observe that amongst the p^d polynomials \mathfrak{R} of degree less than d in $\mathbb{F}_p[q]$, exactly p^{d-1} are such that $\mathfrak{R}(1)$ is a given element in \mathbb{F}_p ; the sum modulo p of the elements in a d-tuple of coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p is completely determined by one of the coefficients when the other d-1 ones are fixed.

We may now conclude using Eilenberg's theorem. If L is a p-group language, it is a Boolean combination of languages of the form $L_{\nu,r,p}$ which are themselves finite unions of languages of the form $L_{\nu,\mathfrak{R},\mathfrak{M}}$.

The converse comes from Corollary 6.16. Recall that any Boolean combination of p-group languages is a p-group language. $\hfill \Box$

As an example, for p = 2 and t = 1, since q + 1 at q = 1 evaluates to 0 modulo 2

$$L_{\nu,0,2} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{\nu}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 - 1} \right\} \cup \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{\nu}_q \equiv q + 1 \pmod{q^2 - 1} \right\}$$

and since q at q = 1 evaluates to 1 modulo 2

$$L_{\nu,1,2} = \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{\nu}_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q^2 - 1} \right\} \cup \left\{ u \in A^* \mid \binom{u}{\nu}_q \equiv q \pmod{q^2 - 1} \right\}.$$

In Fig. 4, we have depicted the minimal automaton for $L_{01,r,2}$. For r = 0 (resp. r = 1), the final states are $\{1,2\}$ (resp. $\{3,4\}$). This automaton is a quotient of the one depicted in Fig. 3 where the states 1, 3, 9, 11 are mapped to 1, resp. 2, 4, 10, 12 to 2, resp. 6, 8, 14, 16 to 3 and 5, 7, 13, 15 to 4.

Figure 4: The structure of the minimal automaton of $L_{01,r,2}$.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a q-deformation of the binomial coefficients of words. Our natural definition seems quite reasonable, since it has enabled us to obtain the analogue of many classical properties or formulas. Moreover, as shown by Corollary 4.2, these q-deformations of binomial coefficients of words contain much richer information than the coefficients obtained for q = 1. However, it should be noted that not all classical properties extend in an obvious way. For example, our proposed family of q-deformations of the infiltration product does not yield an analogue to Chen–Fox–Lyndon relation (13). Finally, it is interesting to note that as an application of our developments, we obtain a refinement of Eilenberg's theorem characterizing p-group languages. One also obtains other group languages. It could be interesting to investigate their properties further.

Acknowledgments

We thank S. Morier-Genoud and C. Reutenauer for useful references. We warmly thank the anonymous referees. They have made a significant contribution to improving the presentation of

this text and have proposed changes to simplify a number of proofs. In particular, Corollary 5.3 and Remark 5.4 were suggested by one of the referees.

Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed.

Author Contributions

Each of the three authors have equally contributed to the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- George E. Andrews. q-series: their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra, volume 66 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [2] Gérard Duchamp, Marianne Flouret, Éric Laugerotte, and Jean-Gabriel Luque. Direct and dual laws for automata with multiplicities. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 267(1-2):105–120, 2001. Implementing automata (Mont-Saint-Aignan, 1998). doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(00)00298-X.
- [3] Gérard H. E. Duchamp, Jean-Yves Enjalbert, Vincel Hoang Ngoc Minh, and Christophe Tollu. The mechanics of shuffle products and their siblings. *Discrete Math.*, 340(9):2286–2300, 2017. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2017.03.004.
- [4] Miroslav Dudík and Leonard J. Schulman. Reconstruction from subsequences. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 103(2):337–348, 2003. doi:10.1016/S0097-3165(03)00103-1.
- [5] Ömer Eğecioğlu. A q-matrix encoding extending the Parikh matrix mapping. In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Computers and Communications (Oradea, Romania)*, 2004.
- [6] Ömer Eğecioğlu and Oscar H. Ibarra. A matrix q-analogue of the Parikh map. In Exploring new frontiers of theoretical informatics. IFIP 18th world computer congress, TC1 3rd international conference on theoretical computer science (TCS2004), 22–27 August 2004, Toulouse, France., pages 125–138. Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [7] Samuel Eilenberg. *Automata, languages, and machines. Vol. B.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 59. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1976.
- [8] James A. Green. Quantum groups, Hall algebras and quantized shuffles. In *Finite reductive groups (Luminy, 1994)*, volume 141 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 273–290. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997. doi:10.1007/s10107-012-0519-x.
- [9] James Haglund. The q, t-Catalan numbers and the space of diagonal harmonics. With an appendix on the combinatorics of Macdonald polynomials, volume 41 of Univ. Lect. Ser. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2008.
- [10] Mark V. Lawson. Finite automata. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.

- [11] Marie Lejeune, Michel Rigo, and Matthieu Rosenfeld. The binomial equivalence classes of finite words. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 30(7):1375–1397, 2020. doi:10.1142/ S0218196720500459.
- [12] M. Lothaire. Combinatorics on Words. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 1997. doi:10.1017/CB09780511566097.
- [13] John Machacek and Nicholas Ovenhouse. q-rational and q-real binomial coefficients. Adv. in Appl. Math., 153:Paper No. 102618, 27, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.aam.2023.102618.
- Bennet Manvel, Aaron D. Meyerowitz, Allen J. Schwenk, Kenneth W. Smith, and Paul K. Stockmeyer. Reconstruction of sequences. *Discrete Math.*, 94(3):209–219, 1991. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(91)90026-X.
- [15] Sophie Morier-Genoud and Valentin Ovsienko. q-deformed rationals and q-continued fractions. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 8:Paper No. e13, 55, 2020. doi:10.1017/fms.2020.9.
- [16] Sophie Morier-Genoud and Valentin Ovsienko. On q-deformed real numbers. *Exp. Math.*, 31(2):652–660, 2022. doi:10.1080/10586458.2019.1671922.
- [17] Jean-Éric Pin and Christophe Reutenauer. A noncommutative extension of Mahler's interpolation theorem. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 16(3):1055–1101, 2022. doi:10.4171/jncg/480.
- [18] Jean-Éric Pin and Pedro V. Silva. On uniformly continuous functions for some profinite topologies. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 658:246–262, 2017. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2016.06.013.
- [19] Jean-Éric Pin and Xaro Soler-Escrivà. Languages and formations generated by D₄ and Q₈. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 800:155–172, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2019.10.023.
- [20] Christophe Reutenauer. Free Lie algebras. In Handbook of algebra, Vol. 3, volume 3 of Handb. Algebr., pages 887–903. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003. doi:10.1016/ S1570-7954(03)80075-X.
- [21] Michel Rigo and Pavel Salimov. Another generalization of abelian equivalence: binomial complexity of infinite words. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 601:47–57, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2015.07.025.
- [22] Marc Rosso. Groupes quantiques et algèbres de battage quantiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 320(2):145–148, 1995.
- [23] Marc Rosso. Quantum groups and quantum shuffles. *Invent. Math.*, 133(2):399–416, 1998. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050249.
- [24] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1, volume 49 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2012.