

Unified Speech-Text Pretraining for Spoken Dialog Modeling

Heeseung Kim¹ Soonshin Seo^{2,3} Kyeongseok Jeong² Ohsung Kwon² Jungwhan Kim² Jaehong Lee²
Eunwoo Song^{2,4} Myungwoo Oh² Sungroh Yoon^{1,4,5} Kang Min Yoo^{2,4,6}

Abstract

While recent work shows promising results in expanding the capabilities of large language models (LLM) to directly understand and synthesize speech, an LLM-based strategy for modeling spoken dialogs remains elusive and calls for further investigation. This work proposes an extensive speech-text LLM framework, named the Unified Spoken Dialog Model (USDM), to generate coherent spoken responses with organic prosodic features relevant to the given input speech without relying on automatic speech recognition (ASR) or text-to-speech (TTS) solutions. Our approach employs a multi-step speech-text inference scheme that leverages chain-of-reasoning capabilities exhibited by the underlying LLM. We also propose a generalized speech-text pretraining scheme that helps with capturing cross-modal semantics. Automatic and human evaluations show that the proposed approach is effective in generating natural-sounding spoken responses, outperforming both prior and cascaded baselines. Detailed comparative studies reveal that, despite the cascaded approach being stronger in individual components, the joint speech-text modeling improves robustness against recognition errors and speech quality. Demo is available at <https://unifiedsdm.github.io>.

1. Introduction

Large language models (LLM) gained significant traction due to emergent capabilities (OpenAI, 2023; Wei et al., 2022a; Brown et al., 2020; Kaplan et al., 2020), such as few-shot in-context learning, complex reasoning (Wei et al., 2022b; Yao et al., 2022), and instruction-following (Ouyang et al., 2022). These remarkable discoveries led to chat-enabled LLMs and generative personal assistants. How-

¹Data Science and AI Lab., Seoul National University
²NAVER Cloud ³NAVER Corporation ⁴Artificial Intelligence Institute, Seoul National University ⁵Department of ECE and Interdisciplinary Program in AI, Seoul National University
⁶NAVER AI Lab. Correspondence to: Kang Min Yoo <kang-min.yoo@navercorp.com>, Sungroh Yoon <sryoon@snu.ac.kr>.




Figure 1. Overview of our spoken dialog modeling approach. A base speech-text model is pretrained using the newly proposed unified speech-text pretraining scheme (§3.2). Then fine-tuning on the downstream spoken dialog dataset produces the unified spoken dialog model, which processes the input speech tokens discretized from the prosody-infusing encoder (§3.1) and generates intermediary text tokens along with the output speech tokens that can be synthesized into a natural-sounding coherent speech. More details are available in §3.3.

ever, text-based agents are limited in their usability due to their medium of interaction. Ideally, speech-enabled LLMs would recognize the user’s emotional state or subtle nuance and generate spoken responses and prosody most appropriate to the user’s context. Although automatic speech recognition (ASR) and text-to-speech (TTS) systems can be employed trivially, the linguistic discrepancy between speech and text causes dialog inefficiencies and provides sub-optimal user experience (Horowitz & Samuels, 1987; Clark et al., 2019). Moreover, optimizing user interaction under the cascaded approach may be impractical for real-world applications (Moore, 2007). As such, systematically integrating the speech modality into LLMs can unlock speech interactivity while retaining the powerful capabilities of LLMs.

Recent advances have also spurred the idea of large foundational models (LFM) for other modalities (e.g., vision, speech, audio, etc.) (Bommasani et al., 2021), unifying LLMs with the sensory spaces. In the vision domain, numerous works have explored interfacing pretrained language models with the visual modality (Tsimploukelli et al., 2021; Alayrac et al., 2022; Cho et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2023). More recently, Yu et al. (2023) proposed a generative VLM based on a pretrained LLM that directly generates discrete vision tokens, which can be decoded into high-fidelity images.




Figure 2. All possible self-supervised learning objectives from our pretraining scheme. Given the speech-text paired data along with the token-alignment groupings, the relationship a particular token group (either text or speech) can form with another modality group is either *correspondence* or *continuation* (where the latter can be both modalities). Different types of cross-modal co-occurrences can be considered during pretraining by combining these relationships. Note that the correspondence and the continuation objectives are marked with special tokens to avoid ambiguity.

Such work shows that autoregressive language models can model even distant modalities such as vision.

In the speech domain, while earlier work focused on text-less speech modeling (Lakhotia et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022; Nguyen et al., 2023), recent work has either taken inspiration from the LLM architecture to achieve speech synthesis (Wang et al., 2023a) or incorporate pretrained language models into speech-understanding tasks (Arjmand et al., 2021; Ao et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022; Chu et al., 2023), where the model is limited to text outputs. A more recent line of work explores the possibility of empowering pretrained LLMs to autoregressively generate discrete speech tokens for speech translation (Rubenstein et al., 2023) and speech-instruction following tasks (Zhang et al., 2023a; Yang et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023). Despite the successes, more work is needed to understand whether LLMs are capable of generating speech text and understanding and incorporating paralinguistics most appropriate and natural for the social context, especially in spoken dialog settings.

This work proposes the Unified Spoken Dialog Model (USDM), a novel LLM-based approach to modeling spoken dialogs in an end-to-end fashion. Inspired by step-by-step reasoning employed by large LMs (Nye et al., 2022), our approach breaks the speech-to-speech modeling problem into intermediary steps that are easier to be handled by the underlying pretrained LLM (Figure 1). Along with the model, we adopt a tokenization scheme designed to ensure that the discrete tokens support high-quality speech synthesis and are sufficiently expressive for modeling prosody in spoken dialogs.

We also propose an original pretraining scheme that promotes the learning of cross-modal distributional semantics

between speech and text, which is vital for imbuing LLMs with the ability to recognize prosodic cues and generate coherent speeches. Distinct from the existing body of work on speech pretraining (Zhang et al., 2023a; Nachmani et al., 2023), our approach formulates diverse training objectives from paired speech-text data, where each speech sample is paired with the corresponding transcript. Specifically, based on the observation that any subsample of either speech or text in speech-text pairs forms two types of relationships with the other modality (Figure 2), we can formulate a large number of combinations of training objectives that theoretically benefit all speech-text tasks. However, in this work, we confine the application of our approach to spoken dialog modeling and demonstrate that the proposed pretraining scheme benefits the multi-step approach to spoken dialog modeling. In summary, our contributions are as follows.

- We propose a unified pretraining strategy for modeling the comprehensive relationship between the speech and text modalities that is especially effective for downstream speech-to-speech spoken dialog generation.
- We present an extensive spoken dialog modeling framework detailing the discrete speech tokenization scheme utilizing a pair of a prosody-infusing encoder and a decoder. Additionally, we propose an LLM-based modeling strategy for generating natural-sounding and semantically coherent dialog responses in an end-to-end fashion.
- Our work establishes the foundation for speech-enabled chat-based LLMs, showcasing a prototype that not only maintains the LLM’s ability to generate dialog responses but also enhances it with speech-interaction capabilities.

2. Related Work

2.1. Discrete Speech Representations

To construct spoken language models (SLM), various discrete speech representations have been utilized in previous works (Kharitonov et al., 2023; Borsos et al., 2023; Lakhotia et al., 2021; Hassid et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023a). These representations are primarily categorized into two types: tokens based on speech self-supervised representations (Hassid et al., 2023; Lakhotia et al., 2021) and neural audio codec representations (Wang et al., 2023a).

A discrete token based on speech self-supervised representation (Lakhotia et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022), is obtained by k -means clustering of the intermediate representation from a speech self-supervised model. These tokens, often called acoustic units, are typically encoded with a frequency range of 25Hz to 50Hz. The amount of speech information within the compressed discrete speech token is determined

by the number of clusters, denoted as k . Using a relatively small value for k , many works have preserved the semantic information and speed-related prosody information in the tokens, and utilized these to construct SLMs (Polyak et al., 2021; Communication et al., 2023a).

Neural audio codec, another type of discrete token, captures both semantic and paralinguistic information of speech within the token (Zeghidour et al., 2021; Défossez et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2023). To achieve this, a speech encoder and decoder are trained using an autoencoder architecture with residual vector quantizer for the encoder output (Vasuki & Vanathi, 2006). This representation includes most of the perceptual information of audio, making it suitable for sound synthesis tasks (Kreuk et al., 2023; Copet et al., 2023; Agostinelli et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023a).

2.2. Spoken Language Model

Many studies have recently explored spoken language modeling to address a variety of tasks involving speech and text (Chu et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023b; Team et al., 2023). Various works tackle tasks such as automatic speech recognition (Hono et al., 2023; Fathullah et al., 2023a; Wang et al., 2023c), spoken question answering (Pan et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2023), and speech-to-text translation (Chen et al., 2023; Fathullah et al., 2023b; Wang et al., 2023c), which process speech as input and output text. Conversely, there are also emerging works focused on tasks like speech synthesis (Kharitonov et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., 2023b; Yang et al., 2023), where text is used as input to generate speech output.

Early SLMs that process speech as both input and output are trained solely based on speech data, independent of language models or text (Lakhotia et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2023). With the advancement of LLM, several studies aim to construct spoken language models that extend language models for both speech input and output. These models are introduced in tasks such as speech-to-speech translation (Wang et al., 2023d; Rubenstein et al., 2023; Communication et al., 2023b) and spoken conversation modeling (Zhang et al., 2023a). Recently, several works have been proposed for spoken dialog modeling, which supports speech input and output (Nguyen et al., 2023) without separate automatic speech recognition and text-to-speech modules.

dGSLM (Nguyen et al., 2023) is a model for natural spoken dialog generation between two speakers. To capture not only the content but also non-verbal vocalizations like laughter, dGSLM leverages acoustic unit tokens by clustering spoken dialog with HuBERT (Hsu et al., 2021). Additionally, to model simultaneously spoken dialog, Nguyen et al. (2023) introduce a dual-channel decoder-only transformer.

SpeechGPT (Zhang et al., 2023a) leverages LLMs to con-

struct an SLM capable of handling both speech input and output, removing the need for separate ASR and TTS models. Zhang et al. (2023a) proposes three stages to enhance the pretrained LLM with cross-modal conversational capabilities between speech and text. Initially, LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023) is further trained on acoustic units extracted from speech-only corpora to model the speech modality. Next, the pretrained speech-text LLaMA is fine-tuned with a mixture of text and speech in the form of cross-modal instruction sets. Finally, the model is further fine-tuned with interleaved multi-modal instruction datasets using LoRA (Hu et al., 2022). Our work differs from SpeechGPT in that the interleaved speech-text training is carried out early in the pretraining phase, allowing our model to strongly outperform SpeechGPT without the need for the massive multi-task instruction-tuning step (§5.1).

Spectron (Nachmani et al., 2023) is another work that leverages pretrained LLM, employing mel-spectrograms instead of discrete tokens for speech representation and adopts a simpler pretraining objective that does not support interleaved cross-modal pretraining. Specifically, by inserting a transcript at a certain point within the speech and modeling it autoregressively, Spectron is trained with the combination of three objectives: automatic speech recognition, text continuation, and zero-shot text-to-speech, shown to perform well in zero-shot text-to-speech tasks and some potential in spoken QA.

3. Our Approach

In this section, we first describe the discrete speech representation used for spoken dialog modeling and the model that restores this representation to speech, demonstrating its suitability for conversation. We then propose a unified speech-text pretraining scheme that extends the capabilities of the pretrained large language model (LLM) into the domain of spoken language modeling. By utilizing speech recognition data to generate diverse speech-text combinations, our method enables LLM to model the various relationships between speech and language, making it suitable for modeling spoken dialogs. Finally, we describe a supervised fine-tuning method for modeling spoken conversations using a pretrained speech-text model.

3.1. Prosody-Infused Acoustic Unit

To model natural speech conversations, the speech representation must contain not only the content of the speech but also paralinguistic features such as emotions, which are crucial for conversation. We adopt acoustic units as speech tokens that are derived from k -means clustering of a self-supervised model’s intermediate speech representation, which is known to capture content and pronunciation (Lee et al., 2022; Communication et al., 2023b). The information

Table 1. Emotion classification accuracies for two types of tokens.

| Method | Accuracy |
|------------------------|----------|
| Random | 16.6% |
| HuBERT with $k = 1000$ | 52.3% |
| XLS-R with $k = 10000$ | 60.8% |

captured by an acoustic unit token varies depending on the self-supervised model used, the number of clusters, and the dataset used for clustering. Hence, we consider two types of acoustic unit tokenization schemes (Zhang et al., 2023a; Communication et al., 2023b), where a fairly large vocabulary size k is adopted, and conduct experiments to determine the better scheme for modeling spoken conversations.

The two acoustic unit extractors, each used in SpeechGPT (Zhang et al., 2023a) and SeamlessM4T (Communication et al., 2023b), first resample the speech to a sampling rate of 16kHz and then feed it to the multilingual HuBERT (Hsu et al., 2021) and XLS-R (Babu et al., 2022), respectively, obtaining 50Hz intermediate continuous representations. Unit sequences are extracted by clustering these representations into either 1,000 or 10,000 clusters, which determine the vocabulary size. To shed light on the range of features captured in each unit sequence besides the semantic content, we train 3-layer transformer-based emotion classifiers based on the acoustic units. The model is trained with the CREMA-D dataset (Cao et al., 2014), consisting of 7,442 audios from 91 actors with 6 emotions. We split the data in the ratio of 70%, 15%, and 15% for training, validation, and testing, respectively, and ensure that an equal number of samples for each emotion are included in both the validation and test sets. The classification results are shown in Table 1.

We observe that both types of tokens contain rich semantic information as well as strong traces of paralinguistic features that can be used to classify speech emotions. However, we find that the approach proposed by Communication et al. (2023b) has a slight edge, which will help capture non-textual cues in spoken conversations. On a side note, we do not employ unit deduplication, which has been utilized by previous studies for compressing consecutive identical units (Lakhotia et al., 2021). Instead, we directly feed raw 50Hz acoustic units into our spoken language model.

For reconstructing speech from the output units, we build a unit-to-speech model similar to the text-to-speech model, FastSpeech 2 (Ren et al., 2021), except that the model takes unit sequences instead of text tokens. Additionally, since the unit tokens are not deduplicated, our units maintain a consistent length ratio with the speech, removing the need to prepare a separate duration predictor.




Figure 3. The overall speech-text pretraining scheme.

3.2. Unified Speech-Text Pretraining

In this section, we introduce a unified speech-text pretraining scheme that extends pretrained LLM to speech-text cross-modality. Our overall speech-text pretraining scheme is in Figure 3.

We utilize Mistral-7B (Jiang et al., 2023) as a pretrained Large Language Model (LLM). To its existing vocabulary, we add 10,000 unit tokens and 2 special tokens, reinitializing only the embedding weights of these new tokens. We pretrain the LLM with approximately 87,000 hours of English automatic speech recognition (ASR) data. Each pair of speech and text is used to create an interleaved speech-text sample $\mathcal{I}_j = i_{1,j}, i_{2,j}, \dots, i_{|\mathcal{I}_j|,j}$ to model various cross-model relationships. Here, $i_{k,j}$ can be either an acoustic unit token, a text token, or a special token, and we construct the sequence \mathcal{I}_j with a proposed per-sample speech-text interleaving method in the following section. Given dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{|\mathcal{D}|}\}$, the objective of our unified pretraining scheme is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{I}_j|} \log p(i_{k,j} | i_{<k,j}; \theta). \quad (1)$$

where θ refers to the parameters of Mistral and the embedding weights of the newly added tokens.

When constructing a speech-text model by extending pretrained LLM, relying on an automatic speech recognition (ASR) task, despite its large amount of dataset, may limit the model’s capabilities to only those predefined relationships. BLSP (Wang et al., 2023b) observed that constructing a spoken language model by further training the LLM with ASR task hinders the model from performing other cross-modal tasks. To mitigate this issue and model a more general cross-modal relationship, BLSP trained the model on a speech-text continuation relationship by predicting subsequent text based on the given speech input.

To build a comprehensive speech-text model capable of both receiving and generating speech, we reinterpret the cross-modal relationship in terms of continuation or correspondence as in Figure 2. Our proposed methodology, which focuses on this redefined relationship, is capable of generating diverse speech-text interleaved sequences, ensuring the model to handle complex speech-text interactions.

Speech-Text Alignment Extraction We first extract alignments of the speech and the corresponding transcript using the Montreal Forced Aligner (McAuliffe et al., 2017). The extracted alignments include speech time intervals for each word, and we convert these into index intervals of 50Hz.

Pair-wise Segmentation and Segment-wise Main Modality Random Selection Utilizing the alignment, we divide each acoustic unit and text pair into N segments. Subsequently, from each of these segments, we randomly sample data of only one modality, either acoustic unit or text. A large value of N may lead to each segment containing very short acoustic units and text sequences. This can pose challenges in modeling unimodal text and unimodal unit sequences. To mitigate this issue, we dynamically set the value of N based on the length of the speech, $N = \lfloor S/10 \rfloor + 1$, where S is the speech length, measured in seconds.

Sub Modality Random Insertion and Special Token Insertion This segmentation and selection process allows us to create a unified cross-modal interleaved sequence with continuation relationships. For correspondence relationship modeling, data from the non-selected modality in each segment is inserted with a 50% probability after the pre-selected modality data. Additionally, to indicate the relationship between speech and text tokens, we introduce two special tokens: $\langle |correspond| \rangle$ and $\langle |continue| \rangle$. The former indicates that a token of the corresponding remaining modality will follow, while the latter indicates that a token of the subsequent position will follow. These tokens are added to the sequence only where the modality of the data changes.

Through the aforementioned process, we can obtain interleaved speech-text sequences $\{\mathcal{I}_j\}_{j=1, \dots, |\mathcal{D}|}$. These sequences enable our speech-text model to perform not only unimodal modeling but also comprehensive cross-modal modeling. These interleaved sequences are utilized in Eq. 1 for the pretraining of the speech-text model.

3.3. Unified Spoken Dialog Model

We construct the Unified Spoken Dialog Model (USDM) by converting spoken conversation to an appropriate template and fine-tuning our pretrained speech-text model with these data. An overview of USDM is presented in Figure 1.

To extend the capabilities of pretrained LMs for cross-modal tasks, existing works (Rubenstein et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023a; Nachmani et al., 2023) leverage text to bridge the

Below is a conversation between the user and the agent. Each turn includes the user's speech and its corresponding transcript, along with the agent's response text and the corresponding speech

```

### User
speech token <|correspond|> text token
### Agent
text token <|correspond|> speech token
                    
```

Figure 4. Fine-tuning template for spoken dialog modeling.

speech. Likewise, USDM models the spoken conversation by converting the speech input into written form and generating the text response before generating a spoken response. The supervised fine-tuning template we use is in Figure 4. During fine-tuning, the loss is calculated only for the input transcript, answer text, and answer unit part, which is highlighted in Figure 4.

4. Experiments

Datasets We utilize approximately 87,000 hours of English ASR data for unified speech-text pretraining. The training dataset comprised about 44.5k hours from the English subset of Multilingual LibriSpeech (Pratap et al., 2020), 30k hours from People’s Speech (Galvez et al., 2021), 10k hours from GigaSpeech (Chen et al., 2021), 2.5k hours from Common Voice 15.0 (Ardila et al., 2020), and 500 hours from the English subset of Voxpopuli (Wang et al., 2021).

To fine-tune the speech-text model and construct a USDM, we use DailyTalk (Lee et al., 2023). DailyTalk consists of 20 hours of spoken dialog data consisting of two speakers, 1 male, and 1 female. We create single-turn spoken dialog templates as in Section 3.3. We follow the same training/test split as in Lee et al. (2023). We also train a speaker-conditional unit-to-speech model using DailyTalk.

Evaluation We compare USDM to the 3 baselines: From scratch, Cascaded, and SpeechGPT (Zhang et al., 2023a). From scratch model is a baseline that is trained directly on pretrained Mistral-7B (Jiang et al., 2023) with DailyTalk without the unified speech-text pretraining. The cascaded model uses separate models for ASR, natural language dialog modeling, and text-to-speech (TTS). We utilize *whisper-large-v3* (Radford et al., 2023) for ASR, and FastSpeech 2 (Ren et al., 2021) for TTS. Additionally, for the natural language dialog model, we fine-tune Mistral-7B on the single-turn written dialog from DailyTalk. We use the universal HiFi-GAN’s official checkpoint for the vocoder.

For SpeechGPT, we use the official implementation and checkpoints for the unit extraction module, spoken language model, and unit-to-speech reconstruction module. Specifically, we observe that SpeechGPT-7B-com, an instructed-tuned version of SpeechGPT, fails to generate spoken responses for the DailyTalk input. Thus, we leverage

Table 2. Qualitative and quantitative results of our model and the baselines for DailyTalk.

| Method | Semantic | | | | | Acoustic | |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------------|
| | METEOR | ROUGE-L | win | tie | lose | P-MOS | MOS |
| Ground Truth | - | - | 46.2% | 9.1% | 44.7% | 4.18 ± 0.08 | 4.14 ± 0.09 |
| USDMM | 12.931 | 15.710 | - | - | - | 4.08 ± 0.08 | 3.99 ± 0.09 |
| Cascaded | 12.325 | 14.925 | 56.0% | 5.8% | 38.2% | 3.93 ± 0.08 | 3.89 ± 0.08 |
| From Scratch | 10.032 | 12.575 | 54.2% | 12.0% | 33.8% | 3.38 ± 0.12 | 3.42 ± 0.12 |
| SpeechGPT (Zhang et al., 2023a) | 9.111 | 10.687 | 58.0% | 10.9% | 31.1% | 3.79 ± 0.10 | 3.83 ± 0.10 |

SpeechGPT-7B-cm, a model before instruct-tuning, and fine-tune this model with DailyTalk.

When generating samples for evaluation, we adopt a sampling scheme with $\text{top}_k=50$, $\text{top}_p=0.95$, and $\text{temperature}=0.7$, except for SpeechGPT where we use their strategy. For the qualitative evaluation, we randomly select 50 single-turn dialogs from the DailyTalk test split. Since the sampling rate of SpeechGPT’s output is 16kHz, all the generated samples are downsampled to 16kHz for fair comparison. For the quantitative evaluation, we use the entire set of single-turn conversations from the DailyTalk test split. We generate samples 5 times and report the average values.

Training Details We use the XLS-R-based unit extractor proposed in SeamlessM4T (Communication et al., 2023b) to extract acoustic units from speech. For speech synthesis, we train FastSpeech 2-based text-to-speech and unit-to-speech models for 1,000 epochs except for SpeechGPT, where we use the official speech reconstruction module. Our proposed unified speech-text pretraining is conducted using 512 NVIDIA A100-40GB GPUs. The data used for training the speech-text model is packed to a maximum sequence length of 8,192. We pretrain the speech-text model with a global batch size of 1,024 for 8,000 iterations. For building the spoken dialog model with DailyTalk, we set a global batch size of 64 and use a linear learning rate scheduling with a peak learning rate of $2e-5$ for both our model and the baselines. We train the whole parameters of all models for 5 epochs.

5. Results

5.1. Model Comparison

We compare the performance of the spoken responses generated by our model and the baselines in terms of content and acoustics. As explained in Section 3.3, before generating the spoken responses, our model and all baselines first generate the corresponding answer text to leverage the capabilities of the pretrained model. To assess semantic coherence, we measure the METEOR and ROUGE-L scores between the generated response text and the original response text. We also conduct a human preference test to compare the content of the generated spoken response given input speech.

To evaluate the prosody and audio quality of the generated spoken response, we measure the 5-scale prosody mean opinion score (P-MOS) and the 5-scale mean opinion score (MOS) through Amazon Mechanical Turk. To focus solely on the aspects we aim to assess (prosody naturalness, audio quality), we provide the ground truth response text to the model, instead of utilizing the model-generated answer text. This ensures consistency in the content of the output speech. For the P-MOS evaluation, we ask the evaluators to consider both the input speech and the text to be generated, and then rate the prosody quality of the generated spoken response. For the MOS evaluation, we provide the text to be generated and the generated spoken response, asking them to assess the naturalness and acoustic quality of the speech.

The results are presented in Table 2. Our USDMM outperforms the baselines in both qualitative and quantitative content comparison (p -value < 0.05 from the Wilcoxon signed-rank test) and shows similar preferences to the ground truth spoken response in the human preference test. We also observe that our model outperforms the baselines in the P-MOS and MOS evaluations (p -value < 0.05), which measures the prosody quality and naturalness of the speech. Notably, USDMM shows superior prosody compared to the Cascaded model. These results demonstrate that our model effectively incorporates prosody information in the spoken language model and is capable of generating spoken responses with content well-aligned to input speech.

We also confirm that cross-modal pretraining is essential to leverage the capabilities of text-based models. We observe that the from-scratch model, which directly models spoken dialog without pretraining, tends to ignore the bridging text and generates a spoken response that does not correspond to the pre-generated written response, thus not benefiting from the intermediate text model. This leads to lower performance in P-MOS and MOS, which are evaluated based on the prosody and naturalness of the spoken response given transcript. This result indicates the difficulty of transferring the capabilities of text models to spoken dialog modeling without cross-modal pretraining.

Table 3. PPL of various pretraining schemes for diverse unit and text combinations for LibriSpeech. Lower is better.

| Method | Overall | | Unconditional | | Correspondance | | Continuation | |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|----------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | Text PPL | Unit PPL | Text PPL | Unit PPL | U2T PPL | T2U PPL | U2T PPL | T2U PPL |
| Ours | 6.886 | 4.813 | 17.175 | 5.037 | 1.133 | 4.113 | 16.781 | 5.380 |
| – continue | 31.679 | 5.619 | 17.846 | 5.107 | 1.108 | 4.098 | 1607.743 | 6.600 |
| – correspond | 14.485 | 5.261 | 17.195 | 5.047 | 11.578 | 5.345 | 15.267 | 5.398 |
| Spectron-based | 21.392 | 5.146 | 17.463 | 5.086 | 1.107 | 4.110 | 506.374 | 6.521 |

5.2. Ablation Studies

5.2.1. PRETRAINING SCHEME

In this section, we compare the effects of correspondence modeling and continuation modeling, crucial in our pretraining scheme. We consider two scenarios: one where the interleaved unit-text sequence is prepared without any correspondence relationship, having only a continuation relationship between speech and text (– correspond), and another where pretraining is performed with data that only has a correspondence relationship between speech and text, without any continuation relationship (– continue). Additionally, we compare a method based on the Spectron-based interleaved sequence, which is one of the various speech-text combinations in our interleaved pretraining scheme.

First, to assess the performance of our pretrained model, we measure the perplexity (PPL) of various speech and text combinations on the LibriSpeech `test-clean` dataset. We measure the PPL for the unconditional acoustic unit and text sequence modeling, the PPL for each modality when modeling corresponding relationships such as unit-to-text recognition and text-to-unit synthesis, and the PPL for each modality in the continuation relationship between unit and text. We also calculate an overall PPL, which involves taking the logarithm of each modality PPL, averaging these values, and then applying the exponential function to this average.

As shown in Table 3, our model demonstrates superior overall perplexity. Focusing solely on either correspondence or continuation relationships, or on specific templates, makes the model specialize in certain objectives but hinders the modeling of diverse relationships. Our proposed unified speech-text pretraining scheme performs uniformly well without being overly focused on specific relationships.

To show the effects of pretraining in spoken dialog modeling, we fine-tune the pretrained speech-text model with various schemes on DailyTalk. To examine the impacts of pretraining on each part of the response generation, we measure the Word Error Rate (WER) for unit-to-text evaluation, and measure METEOR and ROUGE-L scores to evaluate the performance of response text generation, given the input speech and its ground-truth transcript.

As shown in Table 4, our pretraining scheme improves both automatic speech recognition and response generation, as

Table 4. WER for generated transcript, and METEOR, ROUGE-L score for generated response text when given input speech and the ground truth transcript for DailyTalk test set. Lower is better for WER.

| Method | WER (%) | METEOR | ROUGE-L |
|----------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Ours | 11.4 | 13.9 | 16.4 |
| – continue | 25.4 | 13.2 | 15.7 |
| – correspond | 24.7 | 13.7 | 16.3 |
| Spectron-based | 14.9 | 13.6 | 15.9 |
| Cascaded | 5.5 | 13.0 | 15.0 |

 Table 5. Human evaluation results comparing our USDM approach to the direct speech-to-speech modeling ($S_1 \rightarrow S_2$), where the intermediate text generation steps are omitted. Our model is preferred more often than the baseline ($p < 0.05$), showing the superiority of our cross-modal chaining approach. We also compare our approach with the variation where the ASR results of Whisper replace the generated transcripts of USDM.

| Comparisons | win | tie | lose |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ours vs $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ | 54.3% | 11.3% | 34.5% |
| Ours vs Ours + Whisper ASR | 50.0% | 6.9% | 43.1% |

indicated by the WER, METEOR, and ROUGE-L scores. Furthermore, when given both speech and its corresponding ground truth transcript, METEOR and ROUGE-L scores of all speech-text models consistently outperform the Cascaded model, which only leverages text as input. This suggests that including speech input is beneficial for response generation.

5.2.2. FINE-TUNING SCHEME

As explained in Section 3.3, USDM first models the input and output text as a bridge when given a speech input before generating the spoken response. To demonstrate this approach, we train a spoken dialog model that models the speech output directly from the speech input. We evaluate the generated response speech through a human preference test that considers comprehensive aspects of the response such as content, prosody, and sound quality, with the same samples used in Section 5.1, and the results are in Table 5. We found that text modeling in spoken dialog generation helps generate appropriate spoken responses. This confirms that the process of generating text before speech leverages the capabilities of the pretrained model effectively.




Figure 5. Pitch contour of the original audio and the audio reconstructed from extracted acoustic units.

5.3. Analysis

5.3.1. IMPACT OF SPEECH RECOGNITION

As shown in Table 4, the speech recognition part of USDMM has a higher WER than the *whisper-large-v3* used in the Cascaded model (11.4% vs 5.5%). We investigate whether replacing just the speech recognition part with *whisper-large-v3* to provide more accurate transcripts can improve the performance of the generated spoken responses. The comparison is done through a human preference test on the comprehensive aspects of the speech generated by each model, with results presented in Table 5. From the table, we observed that there was no statistically significant difference in human preference (p -value of 0.14 in the Wilcoxon ranked test). This suggests that our model operates relatively robustly to the outputs of the speech recognition component.

5.3.2. PERCEPTUAL INFORMATION IN ACOUSTIC UNITS

As discussed in Section 3.1, we confirm that acoustic units contain not only semantic information but also paralinguistic elements, such as emotion. To further investigate the auditory information encoded in the XLS-R-based units, we train a unit-to-speech model using 54k hours of speech data. This model is based on the structure and training objectives of Le et al. (2023), a speech synthesis model for zero-shot text-to-speech tasks with reference audio as input. However, in our adaptation, we exclusively use units as the input.

We compare the original speech with the speech reconstructed from the unit and observe that, despite differences in tone and acoustic environment, the generated speech shows a similar pattern of pitch changes over time when compared to the original speech. In Figure 5, we plot the pitch contour of multiple speeches with their reconstructed speeches. As the figure illustrates, while the pitches are not identical, there is a similarity in the location of high and low points and the overall pitch contour trend. We have included original and reconstructed samples on our demo page.

5.4. Multi-turn Spoken Dialog Modeling

Through various experiments, we demonstrate that USDMM can generate appropriate spoken responses for single-turn dialogs. By extending the spoken dialog templates used in

training USDMM to multi-turn scenarios, USDMM is capable of modeling multi-turn dialogs. We explore this possibility by fine-tuning the unified speech-text model with Fisher (Cieri et al., 2004), a dataset of telephony conversations involving numerous speakers. We split the train and test sets with no overlapping speakers to show the possibility of unseen speakers’ spoken dialog modeling.

Although units contain some paralinguistic information, aspects like timbre are lost in the clustering process. To generate responses in the same voice as the previous turn’s speaker in multi-turn situations, we trained a unit-Voicebox with 54k hours of speech data. The unit-Voicebox is an adaptation of the personalized speech synthesis model, Voicebox (Le et al., 2023), modified to accept units as input. This model takes units that contain some paralinguistic information, as well as the reference audio to adapt from the previous turn’s speech, to produce responses that effectively reflect both content, emotion, and speaker identity.

We have included samples of USDMM’s generated responses for multi-turn dialogs with unseen speakers on our demo page. These samples demonstrate the potential of USDMM for multi-turn spoken dialog modeling.

6. Conclusion

In this work, we presented the USDMM, a model synthesizing spoken dialog responses rich with natural prosody. We can more effectively model downstream spoken conversations through a unified pretraining scheme that learns the comprehensive speech-text relationship. Our approach is complemented by leveraging an acoustic unit tokenization scheme that retains prosodic information and adopts a supporting pair of an encoder and a decoder. We showed that USDMM outperforms the baselines regarding content, prosody, and naturalness as a spoken response in DailyTalk. We also showed that our pretraining scheme is effective in teaching various speech-text relationships, which is crucial for modeling spoken dialogs and may even be for general speech-text tasks. Furthermore, we presented strong evidence for generalizing our approach to multi-turn scenarios. We believe that USDMM has laid the groundwork for extending the conversational capabilities of LLMs to the voice domain, and we wish to explore the benefits of unified speech-text pretraining and ways to incorporate existing text-based instruction datasets into large speech-text models.

Despite the advantages of our proposed pretrained scheme and USDMM, our model has several limitations and areas for improvement. First, exploring the datasets and models used for pretraining is limited. Further investigation is needed to determine which data are more crucial for our pretraining scheme and to explore whether our pretraining scheme could be effective with other LLMs beyond Mistral-7B. In

addition, building a high-quality spoken dialog model capable of directly generating spoken responses from input spoken dialog without the need for cross-modal chaining can be a promising direction.

7. Impact Statement

This paper proposes a spoken dialog model designed to generate spoken responses to the speech inputs. Similar to the field of natural language processing (NLP), the dialog model might exhibit biases in its outputs, which stem from the training dataset. Such biases could unintentionally lead to the generation of synthesized voices that are biased or ethical issues. Furthermore, there are ethical considerations regarding the possible misuse of high-quality speech synthesis models, such as in voice phishing scams.

Despite these concerns, research into spoken dialog models can yield several positive effects. Unlike text, voice interactions can convey non-verbal information, allowing us to build conversational agents that consider users' emotions, which are challenging to capture with text-based dialog models. Furthermore, by offering spoken language as an additional means of communication, we offer an alternative to NLP-based chatbots for individuals facing difficulties reading and writing. Similar to text-based chatbots, which have rapidly evolved and brought convenience and positive impacts to daily life, we expect that, with careful consideration of ethical issues, research and development in spoken dialog models will significantly benefit everyday life and a wide range of industries.

References

- Agostinelli, A., Denk, T. I., Borsos, Z., Engel, J., Verzetti, M., Caillon, A., Huang, Q., Jansen, A., Roberts, A., Tagliasacchi, M., Sharifi, M., Zeghidour, N., and Frank, C. Musiclm: Generating music from text, 2023.
- Alayrac, J.-B., Donahue, J., Luc, P., Miech, A., Barr, I., Hasson, Y., Lenc, K., Mensch, A., Millican, K., Reynolds, M., et al. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:23716–23736, 2022.
- Ao, J., Wang, R., Zhou, L., Wang, C., Ren, S., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Ko, T., Li, Q., Zhang, Y., et al. Specht5: Unified-modal encoder-decoder pre-training for spoken language processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07205*, 2021.
- Ardila, R., Branson, M., Davis, K., Kohler, M., Meyer, J., Henretty, M., Morais, R., Saunders, L., Tyers, F., and Weber, G. Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus. In Calzolari, N., Béchet, F., Blache, P., Choukri, K., Cieri, C., Declerck, T., Goggi, S., Isahara, H., Maegaard, B., Mariani, J., Mazo, H., Moreno, A., Odijk, J., and Piperidis, S. (eds.), *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pp. 4218–4222, Marseille, France, May 2020. European Language Resources Association. ISBN 979-10-95546-34-4. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2020.lrec-1.520>.
- Arjmand, M., Dousti, M. J., and Moradi, H. Teasel: a transformer-based speech-prefixed language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.05522*, 2021.
- Babu, A., Wang, C., Tjandra, A., Lakhota, K., Xu, Q., Goyal, N., Singh, K., von Platen, P., Saraf, Y., Pino, J., Baevski, A., Conneau, A., and Auli, M. XLS-R: Self-supervised Cross-lingual Speech Representation Learning at Scale. In *Proc. Interspeech 2022*, pp. 2278–2282, 2022. doi: 10.21437/Interspeech.2022-143.
- Bommasani, R., Hudson, D. A., Adeli, E., Altman, R., Arora, S., von Arx, S., Bernstein, M. S., Bohg, J., Bosselut, A., Brunskill, E., et al. On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*, 2021.
- Borsos, Z., Marinier, R., Vincent, D., Kharitonov, E., Pietquin, O., Sharifi, M., Roblek, D., Teboul, O., Grangier, D., Tagliasacchi, M., et al. Audioldm: a language modeling approach to audio generation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2023.
- Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., et al. Language models are few-shot learners. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 1877–1901, 2020.
- Cao, H., Cooper, D. G., Keutmann, M. K., Gur, R. C., Nenkova, A., and Verma, R. Crema-d: Crowd-sourced emotional multimodal actors dataset. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 5(4):377–390, 2014. doi: 10.1109/TAFFC.2014.2336244.
- Chen, G., Chai, S., Wang, G., Du, J., Zhang, W.-Q., Weng, C., Su, D., Povey, D., Trmal, J., Zhang, J., Jin, M., Khudanpur, S., Watanabe, S., Zhao, S., Zou, W., Li, X., Yao, X., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., You, Z., and Yan, Z. Gigaspeech: An evolving, multi-domain asr corpus with 10,000 hours of transcribed audio. In *Proc. Interspeech 2021*, 2021.
- Chen, S., Wang, C., Chen, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Chen, Z., Li, J., Kanda, N., Yoshioka, T., Xiao, X., et al. Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 16(6):1505–1518, 2022.

- Chen, Z., Huang, H., Andrusenko, A., Hrinchuk, O., Puvvada, K. C., Li, J., Ghosh, S., Balam, J., and Ginsburg, B. SALM: Speech-augmented Language Model with In-context Learning for Speech Recognition and Translation. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:2310.09424, October 2023. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2310.09424.
- Chen, Z., Huang, H., Andrusenko, A., Hrinchuk, O., Puvvada, K. C., Li, J., Ghosh, S., Balam, J., and Ginsburg, B. Salm: Speech-augmented language model with in-context learning for speech recognition and translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09424*, 2023.
- Cho, J., Lei, J., Tan, H., and Bansal, M. Unifying vision-and-language tasks via text generation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 1931–1942. PMLR, 2021.
- Chu, Y., Xu, J., Zhou, X., Yang, Q., Zhang, S., Yan, Z., Zhou, C., and Zhou, J. Qwen-audio: Advancing universal audio understanding via unified large-scale audio-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07919*, 2023.
- Cieri, C., Miller, D., and Walker, K. The fisher corpus: a resource for the next generations of speech-to-text. In Lino, M. T., Xavier, M. F., Ferreira, F., Costa, R., and Silva, R. (eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC’04)*, Lisbon, Portugal, May 2004. European Language Resources Association (ELRA). URL <http://www.lrec-conf.org/proceedings/lrec2004/pdf/767.pdf>.
- Clark, L., Doyle, P., Garaialde, D., Gilmartin, E., Schlögl, S., Edlund, J., Aylett, M., Cabral, J., Munteanu, C., Edwards, J., et al. The state of speech in hci: Trends, themes and challenges. *Interacting with computers*, 31(4):349–371, 2019.
- Communication, S., Barrault, L., Chung, Y.-A., Meglioli, M. C., Dale, D., Dong, N., Duppenhaler, M., Duquenne, P.-A., Ellis, B., Elsahar, H., Haaheim, J., Hoffman, J., Hwang, M.-J., Inaguma, H., Klaiber, C., Kulikov, I., Li, P., Licht, D., Maillard, J., Mavlyutov, R., Rakotoarison, A., Sadagopan, K. R., Ramakrishnan, A., Tran, T., Wenzek, G., Yang, Y., Ye, E., Evtimov, I., Fernandez, P., Gao, C., Hansanti, P., Kalbassi, E., Kallet, A., Kozhevnikov, A., Gonzalez, G. M., Roman, R. S., Touret, C., Wong, C., Wood, C., Yu, B., Andrews, P., Balioglu, C., Chen, P.-J., Costa-jussà, M. R., Elbayad, M., Gong, H., Guzmán, F., Heffernan, K., Jain, S., Kao, J., Lee, A., Ma, X., Mourachko, A., Peloquin, B., Pino, J., Popuri, S., Ropers, C., Saleem, S., Schwenk, H., Sun, A., Tomasello, P., Wang, C., Wang, J., Wang, S., and Williamson, M. Seamless: Multilingual expressive and streaming speech translation, 2023a.
- Communication, S., Barrault, L., Chung, Y.-A., Meglioli, M. C., Dale, D., Dong, N., Duquenne, P.-A., Elsahar, H., Gong, H., Heffernan, K., Hoffman, J., Klaiber, C., Li, P., Licht, D., Maillard, J., Rakotoarison, A., Sadagopan, K. R., Wenzek, G., Ye, E., Akula, B., Chen, P.-J., Hachem, N. E., Ellis, B., Gonzalez, G. M., Haaheim, J., Hansanti, P., Howes, R., Huang, B., Hwang, M.-J., Inaguma, H., Jain, S., Kalbassi, E., Kallet, A., Kulikov, I., Lam, J., Li, D., Ma, X., Mavlyutov, R., Peloquin, B., Ramadan, M., Ramakrishnan, A., Sun, A., Tran, K., Tran, T., Tufanov, I., Vogeti, V., Wood, C., Yang, Y., Yu, B., Andrews, P., Balioglu, C., Costa-jussà, M. R., Celebi, O., Elbayad, M., Gao, C., Guzmán, F., Kao, J., Lee, A., Mourachko, A., Pino, J., Popuri, S., Ropers, C., Saleem, S., Schwenk, H., Tomasello, P., Wang, C., Wang, J., and Wang, S. Seamless4t: Massively multilingual & multimodal machine translation, 2023b.
- Copet, J., Kreuk, F., Gat, I., Remez, T., Kant, D., Synnaeve, G., Adi, Y., and Défossez, A. Simple and controllable music generation. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=jtiQ26sCJi>.
- Défossez, A., Copet, J., Synnaeve, G., and Adi, Y. High fidelity neural audio compression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13438*, 2022.
- Fathullah, Y., Wu, C., Lakomkin, E., Jia, J., Shangguan, Y., Li, K., Guo, J., Xiong, W., Mahadeokar, J., Kalinli, O., et al. Prompting large language models with speech recognition abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.11795*, 2023a.
- Fathullah, Y., Wu, C., Lakomkin, E., Jia, J., Shangguan, Y., Mahadeokar, J., Kalinli, O., Fuegen, C., and Seltzer, M. Towards general-purpose speech abilities for large language models using unpaired data, 2023b.
- Galvez, D., Damos, G., Torres, J. M. C., Cerón, J. F., Achorn, K., Gopi, A., Kanter, D., Lam, M., Mazumder, M., and Reddi, V. J. The people’s speech: A large-scale diverse english speech recognition dataset for commercial usage. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 1)*, 2021. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=R8CwidgJ0yT>.
- Hassid, M., Remez, T., Nguyen, T. A., Gat, I., Conneau, A., Kreuk, F., Copet, J., Défossez, A., Synnaeve, G., Dupoux, E., Schwartz, R., and Adi, Y. Textually pretrained speech language models. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=U1HueVjAKr>.
- Hono, Y., Mitsuda, K., Zhao, T., Mitsui, K., Wakatsuki, T., and Sawada, K. An integration of pre-trained speech

- and language models for end-to-end speech recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03668*, 2023.
- Horowitz, R. and Samuels, S. J. Comprehending oral and written language: Critical contrasts for literacy and schooling. In *Comprehending oral and written language*, pp. 1–52. Brill, 1987.
- Hsu, W.-N., Bolte, B., Tsai, Y.-H. H., Lakhotia, K., Salakhutdinov, R., and Mohamed, A. Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Audio, Speech and Lang. Proc.*, 29:3451–3460, oct 2021. ISSN 2329-9290. doi: 10.1109/TASLP.2021.3122291. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2021.3122291>.
- Hu, E. J., yelong shen, Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., and Chen, W. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations, 2022*. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=nZeVKeeFYf9>.
- Jiang, A. Q., Sablayrolles, A., Mensch, A., Bamford, C., Chaplot, D. S., de las Casas, D., Bressand, F., Lengyel, G., Lample, G., Saulnier, L., Lavaud, L. R., Lachaux, M.-A., Stock, P., Scao, T. L., Lavril, T., Wang, T., Lacroix, T., and Sayed, W. E. Mistral 7b, 2023.
- Kaplan, J., McCandlish, S., Henighan, T., Brown, T. B., Chess, B., Child, R., Gray, S., Radford, A., Wu, J., and Amodei, D. Scaling laws for neural language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08361*, 2020.
- Kharitonov, E., Vincent, D., Borsos, Z., Marinier, R., Girgin, S., Pietquin, O., Sharifi, M., Tagliasacchi, M., and Zeghidour, N. Speak, Read and Prompt: High-Fidelity Text-to-Speech with Minimal Supervision. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 11:1703–1718, 12 2023. ISSN 2307-387X. doi: 10.1162/tacl_a_00618. URL https://doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00618.
- Kreuk, F., Synnaeve, G., Polyak, A., Singer, U., Défossez, A., Copet, J., Parikh, D., Taigman, Y., and Adi, Y. AudioGen: Textually guided audio generation. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, 2023*. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=CyK7RfcOzQ4>.
- Kumar, R., Seetharaman, P., Luebs, A., Kumar, I., and Kumar, K. High-fidelity audio compression with improved RVQGAN. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2023*. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=qjn1lQUNFA>.
- Lakhotia, K., Kharitonov, E., Hsu, W.-N., Adi, Y., Polyak, A., Bolte, B., Nguyen, T.-A., Copet, J., Baevski, A., Mohamed, A., and Dupoux, E. On generative spoken language modeling from raw audio. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:1336–1354, 2021. doi: 10.1162/tacl_a_00430. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2021.tacl-1.79>.
- Le, M., Vyas, A., Shi, B., Karrer, B., Sari, L., Moritz, R., Williamson, M., Manohar, V., Adi, Y., Mahadeokar, J., and Hsu, W.-N. Voicebox: Text-guided multilingual universal speech generation at scale. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2023*. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=gzCS252hCO>.
- Lee, A., Gong, H., Duquenne, P.-A., Schwenk, H., Chen, P.-J., Wang, C., Popuri, S., Adi, Y., Pino, J., Gu, J., and Hsu, W.-N. Textless speech-to-speech translation on real data. In Carpuat, M., de Marneffe, M.-C., and Meza Ruiz, I. V. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pp. 860–872, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.63. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.63>.
- Lee, K., Park, K., and Kim, D. Dailytalk: Spoken dialogue dataset for conversational text-to-speech. In *ICASSP 2023 - 2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 1–5, 2023. doi: 10.1109/ICASSP49357.2023.10095751.
- McAuliffe, M., Socolof, M., Mihuc, S., Wagner, M., and Sonderegger, M. Montreal Forced Aligner: Trainable Text-Speech Alignment Using Kaldi. In *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, pp. 498–502, 2017. doi: 10.21437/Interspeech.2017-1386.
- Moore, R. Presence: A human-inspired architecture for speech-based human-machine interaction. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 56(9):1176–1188, 2007. doi: 10.1109/TC.2007.1080.
- Nachmani, E., Levkovitch, A., Hirsch, R., Salazar, J., Asawaroengchai, C., Mariooryad, S., Rivlin, E., Skerry-Ryan, R., and Ramanovich, M. T. Spoken question answering and speech continuation using spectrogram-powered llm, 2023.
- Nguyen, T. A., Kharitonov, E., Copet, J., Adi, Y., Hsu, W.-N., Elkahky, A., Tomasello, P., Algayres, R., Sagot, B., Mohamed, A., and Dupoux, E. Generative spoken dialogue language modeling. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 11:250–266,

2023. doi: 10.1162/tacl_a.00545. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.tacl-1.15>.
- Nye, M., Andreassen, A. J., Gur-Ari, G., Michalewski, H., Austin, J., Bieber, D., Dohan, D., Lewkowycz, A., Bosma, M., Luan, D., et al. Show your work: Scratchpads for intermediate computation with language models. In *Deep Learning for Code Workshop*, 2022.
- OpenAI. GPT-4 technical report. *CoRR*, abs/2303.08774, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2303.08774. URL <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.08774>.
- Ouyang, L., Wu, J., Jiang, X., Almeida, D., Wainwright, C., Mishkin, P., Zhang, C., Agarwal, S., Slama, K., Ray, A., et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- Pan, J., Wu, J., Gaur, Y., Sivasankaran, S., Chen, Z., Liu, S., and Li, J. Cosmic: Data efficient instruction-tuning for speech in-context learning. *ArXiv*, abs/2311.02248, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:265034072>.
- Polyak, A., Adi, Y., Copet, J., Kharitonov, E., Lakhota, K., Hsu, W.-N., rahman Mohamed, A., and Dupoux, E. Speech resynthesis from discrete disentangled self-supervised representations. In *Interspeech*, 2021. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:262491522>.
- Pratap, V., Xu, Q., Sriram, A., Synnaeve, G., and Collobert, R. MLS: A Large-Scale Multilingual Dataset for Speech Research. In *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, pp. 2757–2761, 2020. doi: 10.21437/Interspeech.2020-2826.
- Radford, A., Kim, J. W., Xu, T., Brockman, G., Mcleavey, C., and Sutskever, I. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In Krause, A., Brunskill, E., Cho, K., Engelhardt, B., Sabato, S., and Scarlett, J. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 28492–28518. PMLR, 23–29 Jul 2023. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/radford23a.html>.
- Ren, Y., Hu, C., Tan, X., Qin, T., Zhao, S., Zhao, Z., and Liu, T.-Y. FastSpeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=piLPYqxtWuA>.
- Rubenstein, P. K., Asawaroengchai, C., Nguyen, D. D., Bapna, A., Borsos, Z., Quitry, F. d. C., Chen, P., Badawy, D. E., Han, W., Kharitonov, E., et al. Audiopalm: A large language model that can speak and listen. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.12925*, 2023.
- Tang, C., Yu, W., Sun, G., Chen, X., Tan, T., Li, W., Lu, L., Ma, Z., and Zhang, C. Salmonn: Towards generic hearing abilities for large language models, 2023.
- Team, G., Anil, R., Borgeaud, S., Wu, Y., Alayrac, J.-B., Yu, J., Soricut, R., Schalkwyk, J., Dai, A. M., Hauth, A., et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.-A., Lacroix, T., Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., Rodriguez, A., Joulin, A., Grave, E., and Lample, G. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models, 2023.
- Tsimpoukelli, M., Menick, J. L., Cabi, S., Eslami, S., Vinyals, O., and Hill, F. Multimodal few-shot learning with frozen language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:200–212, 2021.
- Vasuki, A. and Vanathi, P. A review of vector quantization techniques. *IEEE Potentials*, 25(4):39–47, 2006. doi: 10.1109/MP.2006.1664069.
- Wang, C., Riviere, M., Lee, A., Wu, A., Talnikar, C., Haziza, D., Williamson, M., Pino, J., and Dupoux, E. VoxPopuli: A large-scale multilingual speech corpus for representation learning, semi-supervised learning and interpretation. In Zong, C., Xia, F., Li, W., and Navigli, R. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 993–1003, Online, August 2021. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.80. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2021.acl-long.80>.
- Wang, C., Chen, S., Wu, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhou, L., Liu, S., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Li, J., He, L., Zhao, S., and Wei, F. Neural codec language models are zero-shot text to speech synthesizers, 2023a.
- Wang, C., Liao, M., Huang, Z., Lu, J., Wu, J., Liu, Y., Zong, C., and Zhang, J. B1sp: Bootstrapping language-speech pre-training via behavior alignment of continuation writing, 2023b.
- Wang, M., Han, W., Shafran, I., Wu, Z., Chiu, C.-C., Cao, Y., Wang, Y., Chen, N., Zhang, Y., Soltau, H., Rubenstein, P., Zilka, L., Yu, D., Meng, Z., Pundak, G., Siddhartha, N., Schalkwyk, J., and Wu, Y. Slm: Bridge the thin gap between speech and text foundation models, 2023c.
- Wang, T., Zhou, L., Zhang, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Gaur, Y., Chen, Z., Li, J., and Wei, F. Viola: Unified codec language models for speech recognition, synthesis, and translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16107*, 2023d.

- Wei, J., Tay, Y., Bommasani, R., Raffel, C., Zoph, B., Borgeaud, S., Yogatama, D., Bosma, M., Zhou, D., Metzler, D., Chi, E. H., Hashimoto, T., Vinyals, O., Liang, P., Dean, J., and Fedus, W. Emergent abilities of large language models. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2022a. ISSN 2835-8856. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=yzkSU5zdWd>. Survey Certification.
- Wei, J., Wang, X., Schuurmans, D., Bosma, M., Xia, F., Chi, E., Le, Q. V., Zhou, D., et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35: 24824–24837, 2022b.
- Yang, D., Tian, J., Tan, X., Huang, R., Liu, S., Chang, X., Shi, J., Zhao, S., Bian, J., Wu, X., et al. Uniaudio: An audio foundation model toward universal audio generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00704*, 2023.
- Yao, S., Zhao, J., Yu, D., Du, N., Shafran, I., Narasimhan, K. R., and Cao, Y. React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- Yu, L., Shi, B., Pasunuru, R., Muller, B., Golovneva, O., Wang, T., Babu, A., Tang, B., Karrer, B., Sheynin, S., et al. Scaling autoregressive multi-modal models: Pretraining and instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.02591*, 2023.
- Zeghidour, N., Luebs, A., Omran, A., Skoglund, J., and Tagliasacchi, M. Soundstream: An end-to-end neural audio codec. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Audio, Speech and Lang. Proc.*, 30:495–507, nov 2021. ISSN 2329-9290. doi: 10.1109/TASLP.2021.3129994. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2021.3129994>.
- Zhang, D., Li, S., Zhang, X., Zhan, J., Wang, P., Zhou, Y., and Qiu, X. Speechgpt: Empowering large language models with intrinsic cross-modal conversational abilities, 2023a.
- Zhang, Z., Zhou, L., Wang, C., Chen, S., Wu, Y., Liu, S., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Li, J., He, L., Zhao, S., and Wei, F. Speak foreign languages with your own voice: Cross-lingual neural codec language modeling. *arXiv*, March 2023b. URL <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/speak-foreign-languages-with-your-own-voice-cross-lingual-neural-codec-language-modeling/>.
- Zhao, Z., Jiang, Y., Liu, H., Wang, Y., and Wang, Y. Librisqa: Advancing free-form and open-ended spoken question answering with a novel dataset and framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10390*, 2023.

Table 6. License of each dataset we used for pretraining and fine-tuning.

| Dataset | Pretraining | Fine-tuning | License |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Multilingual LibriSpeech (Pratap et al., 2020) | ✓ | ✗ | CC-BY-4.0 |
| People’s Speech (Galvez et al., 2021) | ✓ | ✗ | CC-BY-SA |
| GigaSpeech (Chen et al., 2021) | ✓ | ✗ | Apache-2.0 |
| Common Voice 15.0 (Ardila et al., 2020) | ✓ | ✗ | CC-0 |
| Voxpopuli (Wang et al., 2021) | ✓ | ✗ | CC-0 |
| DailyTalk (Lee et al., 2023) | ✗ | ✓ | CC-BY-SA 4.0 |
| Fisher (Cieri et al., 2004) | ✗ | ✓ | LDC User Agreement |

A. Subjective Evaluation

We conducted several qualitative evaluations using Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk). In the human preference test, as detailed in the main paper, we performed two distinct types of evaluations. In the first type, we provide spoken input alongside two candidate spoken responses, asking evaluators to choose which one is more appropriate as a response in terms of content. We instructed the evaluators to focus solely on content, disregarding aspects such as sound quality or prosody. The second type of preference test required evaluators to listen to given spoken audio and its two corresponding spoken responses, then choose the one they preferred, considering not only content but also prosody, sound quality, and other comprehensive aspects. This differed from the first test in that evaluators were guided to compare two responses considering overall factors. We spent a total of around \$350 for the human preference test.

We also conducted a qualitative evaluation by measuring the 5-scale mean opinion score (MOS) and prosody mean opinion score (P-MOS), ranging from 1 to 5 points. For the P-MOS, we provided evaluators with the input voice and the corresponding ground truth text response. They were then asked to listen to the voice matching the ground truth response and evaluate the naturalness of its prosody, taking into account both the input voice and the response text. Additionally, we measured the MOS to judge audio quality and naturalness. In this scenario, evaluators were given only the response text and its corresponding spoken response, with no input voice, and were asked to rate the audio quality and naturalness. For the 5-scale evaluations, we provided examples of speech rated as 1, 3, and 5 points. We spent a total of approximately \$300 on these assessments.

B. Dataset License

We have listed the license of each dataset used for pretraining and fine-tuning in Table 6.