

# SPACES OF METRICS ARE BAIRE

YOSHITO ISHIKI

**ABSTRACT.** For a metrizable space, we consider the space of all metrics generating the same topology of the metrizable space, and this space of metrics is equipped with the supremum metric. In this paper, for every metrizable space, we establish that the space of metrics on the metrizable space is Baire. We also show that the set of all complete metrics is comeager in the space of metrics. Moreover, we investigate non-Archimedean analogues of these results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Backgrounds.** A subset  $S$  of a topological space  $M$  is said to be *comeager* or *residual* if there exists a sequence  $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  of open dense subsets of  $M$  such that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} G_n \subseteq S$ . A non-empty topological space  $X$  is *Baire* if every comeager subset of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ . The concept of Baire spaces goes back to Baire's paper [1], and provides a powerful framework to verify the denseness of subsets of a space. For instance, Banach and Mazurkiewicz's method [2, 21], which demonstrates the existence and denseness of nowhere differentiable continuous functions using Baire spaces, is a notable and succinct illustration of the efficacy of Baire spaces.

In this paper, for a metrizable topological space  $X$ , we consider the space  $\text{CPM}(X)$  of all continuous pseudometrics on  $X$ , and the space  $\text{Met}(X)$  of all metrics generating the same topology of  $X$ . These spaces are equipped with the supremum metric  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . For a metrizable space  $X$ , and for an open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , we first show the openness and denseness of the set of all  $d \in \text{CPM}(X)$  such that there exists a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $d$  (Theorem 1.1). As a consequence, for a fixed metric  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$ , we prove the denseness and  $G_\delta$ -ness of the set of all  $d \in \text{CPM}(X)$  such that  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is uniformly continuous (Theorem 1.2). As applications of this theorem, for every metrizable space  $X$ , we establish that the space  $(\text{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$  of metrics on the metrizable space is Baire (Theorem 1.3). We also show that the set of all complete metrics is comeager in  $\text{Met}(X)$  (Theorem 1.4). Moreover, we investigate non-Archimedean analogues of these results.

---

*Date:* June 4, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54E35, 54E50, 54E52, 54D20.

*Key words and phrases.* Space of metrics, Baire category, Complete metrics, Paracompactness.

Since  $(\text{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$  is a moduli space of metrics on  $X$ , our main results provide a method for demonstrating the existence and abundance of special metrics on  $X$  through the theory of Baire spaces. Specifically, we can ascertain the variety of “geometries” that can be developed on  $X$ . From the point of view of analogues between measures and Baire’s categories as mentioned in Oxtoby’s book [24], by the help of our main result (Theorem 1.3) asserting that  $\text{Met}(X)$  is Baire, the author’s works ([11], [12], [13], [14], [15], and [16]) on spaces of metrics, including the present paper, can be considered as a counterpart of Vershik’s works ([33] and [34]) on measures on the set of metrics.

Next we briefly review research on spaces of metrics. In 1944, Shanks [27] considered spaces of metrics on compact metrizable spaces, and established a Banach–Stone–Eilenberg type theorem stating that for every pair  $X$  and  $Y$  of compact metrizable spaces,  $\text{Met}(X)$  is congruent to  $\text{Met}(Y)$  if and only if  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $Y$  ([27, Theorem 3.2]).

In the 1990s, some authors investigated spaces of all possible metrics on given sets ([36], [37], [35], [3], and [32]). Remark that this space of metrics depends only on the cardinality of an underlying set.

Starting in 2020, in contrast, the author of the present paper considered the set of topological metrics; namely, for a metrizable space  $X$ , the space  $\text{Met}(X)$  of metrics generating the same topology of  $X$  equipped with the supremum distance  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . Although it was not known whether  $\text{Met}(X)$  is Baire or not, the author clarified the denseness and Borel hierarchy of a subset  $\{d \in \text{Met}(X) \mid (X, d) \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P}\}$  for a certain property  $\mathcal{P}$  on metric spaces, and proved that some subsets are comeager in  $\text{Met}(X)$  ([11], [12], [13], [14], [15], and [16]). For example, the author [11] showed that the set of all metrics in  $\text{Met}(X)$  having Assouad dimension  $\infty$  is dense and  $G_\delta$ , in particular, it is Baire.

In the context of Lipschitz-free metric spaces, there are several works on spaces of metrics (see [28], [30], and [7, Problem 6.6]).

As applications of infinite-dimensional topology, Koshino researched topological shapes of spaces of metrics equipped with not only the uniform topologies but also the compact-open topologies ([18], [19], and [20]).

**1.2. Main results.** Before stating our main results, we introduce some notions and notations. For a set  $X$ , a map  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *pseudometric* if the following conditions are true:

- (1) for every  $x \in X$ , we have  $d(x, x) = 0$ ;
- (2) for every pair  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (3) for every triple  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ .

A pair  $(X, d)$  is called a *pseudometric space*. If the equality  $d(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ , then  $d$  is called a *metric*.

For a topological space  $X$ , we denote by  $\text{CPM}(X)$  the set of all continuous maps  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $d$  is a pseudometric

on  $X$ . As mentioned in the previous subsection, we also denote by  $\text{Met}(X)$  the set of all metrics  $d$  on  $X$  generating the same topology of  $X$ . Notice that  $\text{Met}(X) \subseteq \text{CPM}(X)$ . Of course,  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $\text{Met}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . We define  $\mathcal{D}_X: \text{CPM}(X)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by  $\mathcal{D}_X(d, e) = \sup_{x, y \in X} |d(x, y) - e(x, y)|$ . Note that although  $\mathcal{D}_X$  can take the value  $\infty$ , we can define the topology induced by  $\mathcal{D}_X$  using open balls, as in the cases of ordinary metrics. In this paper, we represent the restricted metric  $\mathcal{D}_X|_{\text{Met}(X)^2}$  as the original symbol  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . In what follows, we consider that  $\text{CPM}(X)$  and  $\text{Met}(X)$  are equipped with the topologies induced by  $\mathcal{D}_X$ , which represent the uniform convergence of metrics.

For a pseudometric space  $(X, d)$ , for a point  $x \in X$ , and for  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , we denote by  $U(x, r; d)$  the open ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$  of  $(X, d)$ , i.e.,  $U(x, r; d) = \{p \in X \mid d(x, p) < r\}$ .

For a pseudometric space  $(X, d)$ , and a covering  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$ , we say that a positive real number  $r \in (0, \infty)$  is a *Lebesgue number* of  $\mathcal{C}$  if for every  $x \in X$  there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $U(x, r; d) \subseteq C_i$ .

For a topological space  $X$ , and for a covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $L(\mathcal{C})$  the set of all  $d \in \text{CPM}(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  has a (positive) Lebesgue number with respect  $d$ .

Our first result states that  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is open and dense in the space of pseudometrics.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact Hausdorff space, and  $\mathcal{C}$  an open covering of  $X$ . Then  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is open and dense in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ .*

Let  $X$  be a metrizable space, and  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$ . We define  $I(w)$  the set of all  $d \in \text{CPM}(X)$  such that  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is uniformly continuous, where  $1_X$  stands for the identity map. Namely,  $d \in I(w)$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $\delta \in (0, \infty)$  such that for every pair  $x, y \in X$ , the inequality  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $w(x, y) < \epsilon$ . As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we prove that  $I(w)$  is comeager in  $\text{CPM}(X)$  (compare with the proof of [18, Proposition 3]).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space, and  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$ . Then the set  $I(w)$  is comeager in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ .*

In the author's preprint [11, Lemma 5.1], it was shown that the space  $\text{Met}(X)$  is completely metrizable, (especially, Baire) under the assumption that  $X$  is second-countable and locally compact Hausdorff. Moreover, in [18, Proposition 3], Koshino proved that the set of all bounded metric  $d \in \text{Met}(X)$  is completely metrizable under only the condition that  $X$  is metrizable and  $\sigma$ -compact. This proof is still effective for  $\text{Met}(X)$ . Thus, Koshino's result is a generalization of the author's lemma [11, Lemma 5.1]. As a further generalization of these works, using Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following theorem (Theorem 1.3), which states that the space  $\text{Met}(X)$  of metrics is Baire.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. Then  $\text{Met}(X)$  is comeager in  $(\text{CPM}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ . In particular, the space  $(\text{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$  is Baire.*

For a metrizable space  $X$ , we denote by  $\text{Comp}(X)$  the set of all complete metrics in  $\text{Met}(X)$ . In the next result, by Theorem 1.2, we show that if  $X$  is completely metrizable, then  $\text{Comp}(X)$  is comeager in  $\text{Met}(X)$ . In other words, generic metrics on  $X$  are complete.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a completely metrizable space. Then  $\text{Comp}(X)$  is comeager in  $(\text{CPM}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ . Moreover, the set  $\text{Comp}(X)$  is also comeager in  $(\text{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ .*

*Remark 1.1.* As shown in [23] (see also [8]), for every metrizable space  $X$ , we have  $\text{Met}(X) = \text{Comp}(X)$  if and only if  $X$  is compact.

We also obtain non-Archimedean analogues of aforementioned theorems. Let us review ultrametrics (non-Archimedean metrics).

A pseudometric  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a *pseudo-ultrametric* or *non-Archimedean pseudometric* if  $d$  satisfies the so-called the strong triangle inequality  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) \vee d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where the symbol “ $\vee$ ” means the maximum operator on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$ . A pair  $(X, d)$  is called a *pseudo-ultrametric space*. A pseudo-ultrametric  $d$  on  $X$  is called an *ultrametric* or *non-Archimedean metric* if the equality  $d(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ . Of course, every ultrametric is a metric.

A set  $R$  is said to be a *range set* if  $R \subseteq [0, \infty)$  and  $0 \in R$ . We say that a range set  $R$  is *characteristic* if for every  $z \in (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $r < z$ . This condition is equivalent to  $\inf(R \setminus \{0\}) = 0$ . A metric  $d$  on  $X$  is said to be  *$R$ -valued* if  $d(x, y) \in R$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

For a topological space  $X$ , and for a range set  $R$ , we denote by  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$  the all  $R$ -valued continuous maps  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for which  $d$  is a pseudo-ultrametric on  $X$ . We also denote by  $\text{UMet}(X; R)$  the all  $R$ -valued ultrametrics  $d$  on  $X$ . Notice that  $\text{UMet}(X; R) \subseteq \text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . When considering non-Archimedean analogues, it is often more effective to limit the range of metrics (see, for example, [6]). Namely, we investigate not only ( $[0, \infty)$ -valued) ultrametrics but also  $R$ -valued ultrametrics for an arbitrary range set  $R$ .

For a range set  $R$ , a topological space  $X$  is said to be  *$R$ -valued ultrametrizable* if  $\text{UMet}(X; R) \neq \emptyset$ . When  $R = [0, \infty)$ , the space  $X$  is simply said to be *ultrametrizable*.

*Remark 1.2.* In [12, Proposition 2.14], it was shown that  $X$  is ultrametrizable if and only if for every characteristic range set  $R$ , the space  $X$  is  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable ( $\text{UMet}(X; R) \neq \emptyset$ ).

We define  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R: \text{UCPM}(X, R)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by declaring that  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R(d, e)$  is the infimum of all  $\epsilon \in R$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq e(x, y) \vee \epsilon$  and  $e(x, y) \leq$

$d(x, y) \forall \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R$  is an ultrametric on  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$  taking values in  $[0, \infty]$ . Similarly to  $\mathcal{D}_X$ , we can define the topology induced by  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R$  using open balls. In this paper, we represent the restricted metric  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R|_{\text{UMet}(X; R)^2}$  as the original symbol  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R$ . In what follows, we consider that  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$  and  $\text{UMet}(X; R)$  are equipped with the topologies induced by  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R$ .

*Remark 1.3.* Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  be an  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. Then we have the inclusions  $\text{UMet}(X; R) \subseteq \text{Met}(X)$  and  $\text{UCPM}(X, R) \subseteq \text{CPM}(X)$ . For every pair  $d, e \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$ , we also obtain  $\mathcal{D}_X(d, e) \leq \mathcal{UD}_X^R(d, e)$ . The topology generated by  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R(d, e)$  is always strictly stronger than that generated by  $\mathcal{D}_X$ .

For a topological space  $X$ , for a range set  $R$ , and for an open covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , we define  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R) = \text{UCPM}(X, R) \cap \text{L}(\mathcal{C})$ .

The next theorem is a non-Archimedean analogue of Theorem 1.1. The definition of ultraparacompactness can be found in Section 2.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $R$  be a range set,  $X$  an ultraparacompact Hausdorff space, and  $\mathcal{C}$  an open covering of  $X$ . Then the set  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$  is open and dense in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ .*

Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  an  $R$ -valued metrizable space, and take  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$ . Notice that  $w$  is not necessarily non-Archimedean. We define  $\text{UI}(w, R)$  the set of all  $d \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$  such that  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is uniformly continuous.

We also obtain an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for ultrametrics.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  an  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space, and take  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$  ( $w$  is not necessarily non-Archimedean). Then the set  $\text{UI}(w, R)$  is comeager in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ .*

The following theorem is corresponding to Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $R$  be a range set,  $X$  an  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. Then  $\text{UMet}(X; R)$  is comeager in  $(\text{UCPM}(X, R), \mathcal{UD}_X^R)$ . In particular, the space  $(\text{UMet}(X; R), \mathcal{UD}_X^R)$  is Baire.*

For a topological space  $X$ , and for a range set  $R$ , put  $\text{UComp}(X; R) = \text{UMet}(X; R) \cap \text{Comp}(X)$ .

The next result is an analogue of Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $R$  be a range set,  $X$  a completely metrizable and  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. Then  $\text{UComp}(X; R)$  is comeager in  $(\text{UCPM}(X, R), \mathcal{UD}_X^R)$ . Moreover, the set  $\text{UComp}(X; R)$  is also comeager in  $(\text{UMet}(X; R), \mathcal{UD}_X^R)$ .*

*Remark 1.4.* In [12, Propositions 2.14 and 2.17], it was proven that  $X$  is completely metrizable and ultrametrizable if and only if for every characteristic range set  $R$ , we have  $\text{UComp}(X; R) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $X$  is  $R$ -valued completely ultrametrizable.

*Remark 1.5.* Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $R$  be a non-characteristic range set. Then  $X$  is  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable if and only if  $X$  is discrete. In this case, we also have  $\text{UMet}(X; R) = \text{UComp}(X; R)$ .

*Remark 1.6.* Similarly to Remark 1.1, in [12, Corollary 1.3], the author proved that for every characteristic range set  $R$ , and for every ultrametrizable space  $X$ , we have  $\text{UMet}(X; R) = \text{UComp}(X; R)$  if and only if  $X$  is compact.

All of our main results will be proven in Section 3 using several preliminaries in Section 2. In the final part of Section 3, we will give some additional remarks.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For the definitions of paracompactness, we refer to [38, Section 20].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a paracompact Hausdorff space, and  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open covering of  $X$ . Then  $L(\mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset$ . Equivalently, there exists a continuous pseudometric  $D \in \text{CPM}(X)$  for which there exists a Lebesgue number  $r \in (0, \infty)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 2.1 is already known (see [31, Theorem 7.4], and see also [26, Remark 4], [10, Theorem 14], and [17, Metrization Lemma 12, p.185]). For the sake of self-containedness, we provide a proof.

For a map  $h: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , we define  $\text{supp}(h) = \{x \in X \mid h(x) > 0\}$  and call it the *support* of  $h$ . Put  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ , and let  $\{g_a\}_{a \in A}$  be a locally finite partition of unity subordinated to  $\mathcal{C}$  (see [22, Proposition 2] and [26, Corollary 2.7.3]). Define  $\phi: X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  by  $\phi(x) = \sup_{a \in A} g_a(x)$ . Since  $\{g_a\}_{a \in A}$  is locally finite, the map  $\phi$  is continuous. For every  $a \in A$ , we also define  $f_a: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$f_a(x) = \frac{2}{\phi(x)} \cdot \min \left\{ g_a(x), \frac{\phi(x)}{2} \right\}.$$

Then the family  $\{f_a\}_{a \in A}$  satisfies that:

- (P1) The family  $\{\text{supp}(f_a)\}_{a \in A}$  is a locally finite covering of  $X$ , and it is a refinement of  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- (P2) The family  $\{f_a^{-1}(1)\}_{a \in A}$  is a (closed) covering of  $X$ .

Indeed, since for every  $a \in A$ , we have  $\text{supp}(f_a) = \text{supp}(g_a)$ , the condition (P1) is true. For every  $x \in X$ , since  $\{g_a\}_{a \in A}$  is locally finite, there exists  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $\phi(x) = g_{a_0}(x)$ , and hence we have  $f_{a_0}(x) = 1$ . Thus the condition (P2) is fulfilled.

We now denote by  $\ell^1(A)$  the space of all  $w: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sum_{a \in A} |w(a)| < \infty$ , and denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^1}$  the  $\ell^1$ -norm on  $\ell^1(A)$ . For every  $a \in A$ , we also denote by  $\mathbf{e}_a$  the element of  $\ell^1(A)$  such that  $\mathbf{e}_a(p) = 1$  if  $p = a$ ; otherwise  $\mathbf{e}_a(p) = 0$ . We define a map  $\psi: X \rightarrow \ell^1(A)$  by  $\psi(x) = \sum_{a \in A} f_a(x) \cdot \mathbf{e}_a$ . Since  $\{\text{supp}(f_a)\}_{a \in A}$  is locally finite (the condition (P1)), the map  $\psi$  is continuous. We also define a continuous

pseudometric  $D: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $D(x, y) = \|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\|_{\ell^1}$ . In this setting, we see that  $D \in \text{CPM}(X)$ .

Next we prove that 1 is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $D$ . Take  $x \in X$ . Then using (P2), we can find  $a_0 \in A$  with  $f_{a_0}(x) = 1$ . In this case, every  $y \in U(x, 1; D)$  satisfies that  $f_{a_0}(y) > 0$ . Thus we obtain  $U(x, 1; D) \subseteq \text{supp}(f_{a_0})$ , and the condition (P1) implies that there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $\text{supp}(f_{a_0}) \subseteq C_i$ . Therefore we conclude that  $U(x, 1; D) \subseteq C_i$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

A topological space  $X$  is said to be *ultraparacompact* if every open covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  has a refinement covering of  $X$  consisting of disjoint open subsets. Remark that a topological space is ultraparacompact if and only if it is paracompact and has covering dimension 0 (see [5, Proposition 1.2]). In particular, all ultrametrizable spaces are ultraparacompact.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be an ultraparacompact Hausdorff space,  $R$  a range set, and  $\mathcal{C}$  an open covering of  $X$ . Then  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R) \neq \emptyset$ . Equivalently, there exists a continuous pseudo-ultrametric  $D \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$  for which there exists a Lebesgue number  $r \in (0, \infty)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is ultraparacompact, there exists a disjoint open cover  $\mathcal{E} = \{O_a\}_{a \in A}$  subordinated to  $\mathcal{C}$ . We fix  $r \in R$  and define  $D \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$  by

$$D(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if there exists } a \in A \text{ with } x, y \in O_a; \\ r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the definition, the map  $D$  is continuous on  $X \times X$ , and it is a pseudo-ultrametric on  $X$ . Notice that for every  $x \in X$ , we have  $U(x, r; D) = O_a$ , where  $O_a$  is an element of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $x \in O_a$ . Thus  $r$  is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $D$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Every comeager subset of a Baire space is itself Baire.*

*Proof.* The lemma follows from the definition of comeager sets. See also [9, Theorem 1.15 and Proposition 1.23].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For every topological space  $X$ , and for every range set  $R$ , the spaces  $(\text{CPM}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$  and  $(\text{UCPM}(X, R), \mathcal{UD}_X^R)$  are complete metric spaces. In particular, these spaces are Baire.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  be a Cauchy sequence of  $(\text{CPM}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ . Then it has a pointwise limit  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and it is also a pseudometric on  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_X$  is the supremum metric, the map  $d$  is continuous. In the same way, using  $\mathcal{D}_X(d, e) \leq \mathcal{UD}_X^R(d, e)$ , we see that  $(\text{UCPM}(X, R), \mathcal{UD}_X^R)$  is complete. Similar arguments can be found in the proofs of [11, Lemma 5.1] and [12, Lemma 7.6]. The latter part follows from the Baire category theorem (see [38, Corollary 25.4]).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. If  $w \in \text{Met}(X)$ , then we have  $I(w) \subseteq \text{Met}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $d \in I(w)$ . By the continuity of  $d$  on  $X \times X$ , the identity  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is an open map. Since  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is continuous, we conclude that  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is homeomorphism. Thus, the metric  $d$  generates the same topology of  $X$ . This means that  $I(w) \subseteq \text{Met}(X)$ .  $\square$

Similarly, we also obtain the following non-Archimedean analogue.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  be an  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. If  $w \in \text{Met}(X)$  ( $w$  is not necessarily non-Archimedean), then we have  $\text{UI}(w, R) \subseteq \text{UMet}(X; R)$ .*

### 3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

**3.1. Proofs of Archimedean main results.** Now we provide proofs of Theorems 1.1–1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $X$  be a paracompact Hausdorff space, and  $\mathcal{C}$  an open covering of  $X$ .

First let us prove that  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is open. Take an arbitrary member  $d \in L(\mathcal{C})$ , and let  $r$  be a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $d$ . Fix  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\epsilon < r$ . For every  $e \in \text{CPM}(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_X(d, e) < \epsilon$ , we put  $r' = r - \epsilon > 0$ . Then we have  $U(x, r'; e) \subseteq U(x, r; d)$  by  $d(x, y) \leq e(x, y) + \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus,  $r'$  is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $e$ , and hence  $e \in L(\mathcal{C})$ . Therefore  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is open.

Next we show that  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is dense. Using Theorem 2.1, we see that  $L(\mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $e \in L(\mathcal{C})$ , and let  $l$  be a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $e$ . Define a pseudometric  $h$  on  $X$  by  $h(x, y) = \min\{e(x, y), 1\}$ . In this setting, the number  $r = \min\{l, 2^{-1}\}$  is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect  $h$ . Namely,  $h \in L(\mathcal{C})$ . Take an arbitrary member  $d \in \text{CPM}(X)$ , and an arbitrary number  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ . Put  $p = d + \epsilon \cdot h \in \text{CPM}(X)$ . Since  $h(a, b) \leq 1$  for all  $a, b \in X$ , it is true that  $p$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_X(d, p) \leq \epsilon$ . Let us show that  $p \in L(\mathcal{C})$ . Take an arbitrary point  $x \in X$ . From the fact that  $\epsilon \cdot h(a, b) \leq p(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ , it follows that  $U(x, \epsilon r; p) \subseteq U(x, \epsilon r; \epsilon h)$ . By the definition of open balls, we have  $U(x, \epsilon r; \epsilon h) = U(x, r; h)$ . Thus we obtain  $U(x, \epsilon r; p) \subseteq U(x, r; h)$ , and hence  $\epsilon \cdot r$  is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $p (= d + \epsilon \cdot h)$ . Therefore  $p \in L(\mathcal{C})$ , and we then conclude that  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is dense in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $X$  be a metrizable space, and  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , put  $\mathcal{O}_n = \{U(x, 2^{-n}; w) \mid x \in X\}$ , and  $S = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} L(\mathcal{O}_n)$ . Since every metrizable space is paracompact (see [29] and [25]), we can apply Theorem 1.1 to  $X$  and each  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . Then we observe that  $L(\mathcal{O}_n)$  is open and dense. Thus, to prove the theorem, it



suffices to show that  $S \subseteq I(w)$ . Take an arbitrary member  $d \in S$  and an arbitrary number  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ . Now let us verify that there exists  $\delta \in (0, \infty)$  such that the inequality  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $w(x, y) < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Take a sufficient large number  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $2^{-m} \leq \epsilon$ . By  $d \in L(\mathcal{O}_{m+1})$ , we can find a Lebesgue number  $\delta$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{n+1}$  with respect to  $d$ . Thus, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $U(x, \delta; d) \subseteq U(z, 2^{-m-1}; w)$ . Due to  $x \in U(z, 2^{-m-1}; w)$ , the triangle inequality for  $w$  implies  $U(z, 2^{-m-1}; w) \subseteq U(x, 2^{-m}; w)$ . Hence we obtain  $U(x, \delta; d) \subseteq U(x, 2^{-m}; w)$ . Then the inequality  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies that  $w(x, y) < 2^{-m} \leq \epsilon$ . Therefore  $S \subseteq I(w)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. Lemma 2.5 states that  $I(w) \subseteq \text{Met}(X)$ . Due to Theorem 1.2, we conclude that  $\text{Met}(X)$  is comeager in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ . Therefore Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 prove that  $\text{Met}(X)$  is Baire. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $X$  be a completely metrizable space. Then, we can take a complete metric  $w \in \text{Comp}(X)$ . Let us show that  $I(w) \subseteq \text{Comp}(X)$ . Due to Lemma 2.5, we have  $I(w) \subseteq \text{Met}(X)$ . Thus it suffices to verify that every  $d \in I(w)$  is complete. Take a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  of  $(X, d)$ . Since the map  $1_X: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, w)$  is uniformly continuous, the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  is also Cauchy in  $(X, w)$ . By the completeness  $(X, d)$ , the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  has a limit point, say  $p$ . Since  $d$  generates the same topology of  $X$ , we see that  $p$  is also a limit point of  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  in  $(X, d)$ . Namely, the space  $(X, d)$  is complete. Therefore  $I(w) \subseteq \text{Comp}(X)$ . This means that  $\text{Comp}(X)$  is comeager in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

### 3.2. Proofs of Non-Archimedean main results.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $X$  be a paracompact Hausdorff space, and  $\mathcal{C}$  an open covering of  $X$ . The proof is parallel to that of Theorem 1.1.

First let us prove that  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$  is open. Take an arbitrary member  $d \in \text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$ , and let  $r$  be a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $d$ . Fix  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$  with  $\epsilon < r$ . For every  $e \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$  such that  $\mathcal{UD}_X(d, e) < \epsilon$ , we have  $d(x, y) \leq e(x, y) \vee \epsilon$ , and hence  $U(x, r; e) \subseteq U(x, r; d)$ . Thus,  $r$  is also a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $e$ , and hence  $e \in \text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$ . Therefore  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$  is open in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ .

Next we prove that  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$  is dense in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . Using Theorem 2.2, we see that  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R) \neq \emptyset$ . Take an arbitrary member  $d \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$  and an arbitrary number  $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ . Fix  $e \in \text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$ , and let  $r$  be a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $e$ . We divide the proof into two parts.

Case 1. [ $R$  is characteristic]: In this case, we can take  $\eta \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\eta < \min\{\epsilon, r\}$ . Put  $h(x, y) = \min\{e(x, y), \eta\}$ . Then  $h \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$  and  $h \in \text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$ . We put  $p = d \vee h \in \text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . Let us show  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R(d, p) \leq \epsilon$ . For every pair  $a, b \in X$ , we have  $p(a, b) =$

$d(a, b) \vee h(a, b) \leq d(a, b) \vee \eta \leq d(a, b) \vee \epsilon$ . We also have  $d(a, b) \leq h(a, b) \leq h(a, b) \vee \epsilon$ . Then  $\mathcal{UD}_X^R(d, p) \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $h(a, b) \leq p(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ , we have  $U(x, \eta; p) \subseteq U(x, \eta; h)$ . By the definition of  $h$ , we have  $h(a, b) < \eta$  if and only if  $e(a, b) < \eta$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . Thus  $U(x, \eta; h) = U(x, \eta; e)$ . Due to  $\eta < r$ , we have  $U(x, \eta; e) \subseteq U(x, r; e)$ . Finally, we obtain  $U(x, \eta; p) \subseteq U(x, r; e)$ . Hence  $\eta$  is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $p(= d \vee h)$ . Thus  $p \in \text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$ .

Case 2. [ $R$  is not characteristic]: Under this assumption, we have the inequality  $0 < \inf(R \setminus \{0\})$ . Put  $\delta = (1/2) \cdot \inf(R \setminus \{0\})$ . Then we see that  $\delta > 0$  and  $U(x; \delta; d) = \{x\}$ . Hence  $\delta$  is a Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $d$ . This means that  $d \in \text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$ . Namely, in this case, we have  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R) = \text{UCPM}(X, R)$ .

Therefore, in any case, we conclude that the set  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{C}; R)$  is dense in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  be an  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. Take  $w \in \text{CPM}(X)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , put  $\mathcal{O}_n = \{U(x, 2^{-n}; w) \mid x \in X\}$ , and  $S = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \text{UL}(\mathcal{O}_n; R)$ . Then, similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we obtain  $S \subseteq \text{UI}(w, R)$ . Since every ultrametrizable space is ultraparacompact (see [5, Proposition 1.2 and Corollary 1.4] and [4, Theorem II]), we can apply Theorem 1.5 to  $X$  and each  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . Then we see that each  $\text{UL}(\mathcal{O}_n; R)$  is open and dense in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . Hence  $\text{UI}(w, R)$  is comeager in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.3. Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  be an  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. Since  $X$  is metrizable, we obtain  $\text{Met}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $w \in \text{Met}(X)$ . Lemma 2.6 shows that  $\text{UI}(w, R) \subseteq \text{UMet}(X; R)$ . Hence, using Theorem 1.6, the set  $\text{UMet}(X; R)$  is comeager in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . Therefore Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 prove that  $\text{UMet}(X; R)$  is Baire.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* Let  $R$  be a range set, and  $X$  be a completely metrizable and  $R$ -valued ultrametrizable space. Since  $X$  is completely metrizable, we obtain  $\text{Comp}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $w \in \text{Comp}(X)$ . In the same way as the proof of Theorem 1.4, we can prove that  $\text{UI}(w, R) \subseteq \text{UComp}(X; R)$ . Using Theorem 1.6, we conclude that  $\text{UComp}(X; R)$  is comeager in  $\text{UCPM}(X, R)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.  $\square$

**3.3. Additional remarks.** As shown in [18, Proposition 3] (see also [11, Lemma 5.1]), if  $X$  is metrizable and  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $\text{Met}(X)$  is completely metrizable. In the first version of the preprint of this paper, the author conjectured that the inverse of this result is true. Recently, Koshino solved this conjecture. Namely, he proved that, for every separable metrizable  $X$ , the space  $\text{Met}(X)$  is completely metrizable if

and only if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact (see [20, Theorem and Remark]). Now we make a question on spaces of metrics.

**Question 3.1.** For a metrizable sapce  $X$ , is  $\text{Met}(X)$  Borel in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ ? If this is the case, what is the Borel hierarchy of  $\text{Met}(X)$  in  $\text{CPM}(X)$ ?

*Acknowledgements.* The author wishes to express his deepest gratitude to all members of Photonics Control Technology Team (PCTT) in RIKEN, where the majority of the paper were written, for their invaluable supports. Special thanks are extended to the Principal Investigator of PCTT, Satoshi Wada for the encouragement and support that transcended disciplinary boundaries.

The author would like to thank Katsuhisa Koshino for helpful comments.

This work is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP24KJ0182.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Baire, *Sur les fonctions de variables réelles*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1898-1922) **3** (1899), 1–123, DOI:10.1007/BF02419243.
- [2] S. Banach, *Über die baire'sche kategorie gewisser funktionenmengen*, Stud. Math. **3** (1931), no. 1, 174–179, DOI:10.4064/sm-3-1-174-179.
- [3] S. Čeretková, J. Fulier, and J. T. Tóth, *On the certain subsets of the space of metrics*, Acta Academiae Paedagogicae Agriensis, Sectio Mathematicae **24** (1997), 111–115.
- [4] J. de Groot, *Non-Archimedean metrics in topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1956), 948–953, DOI:10.2307/2033568. MR 80905
- [5] R. L. Ellis, *Extending continuous functions on zero-dimensional spaces*, Math. Ann. **186** (1970), 114–122, DOI:10.1007/BF01350686. MR 261565
- [6] S. Gao and C. Shao, *Polish ultrametric Urysohn spaces and their isometry groups*, Topology Appl. **158** (2011), no. 3, 492–508, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2010.12.003. MR 2754373
- [7] Gilles Godefroy, *A survey on Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. **55** (2015), no. 2, 89–118, DOI:10.14708/cm.v55i2.1104. MR 3518958
- [8] F. Hausdorff, *Erweiterung einer stetigen Abbildung*, Fund. Math. **43** (1938), 40–47, DOI:10.4064/fm-30-1-40-47.
- [9] R. C. Haworth and R. A. McCoy, *Baire spaces*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **141** (1977), 73, <http://eudml.org/doc/268479>. MR 431104
- [10] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Mathematical Surveys, vol. No. 12, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1964. MR 170323
- [11] Y. Ishiki, *An interpolation of metrics and spaces of metrics*, (2020), preprint, arXiv:2003.13277.
- [12] ———, *An embedding, an extension, and an interpolation of ultrametrics*,  $p$ -Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. **13** (2021), no. 2, 117–147, DOI:10.1134/S2070046621020023. MR 4265905
- [13] ———, *On dense subsets in spaces of metrics*, Colloq. Math. **170** (2022), no. 1, 27–39, DOI:10.4064/cm8580-9-2021. MR 4460212
- [14] ———, *Extending proper metrics*, Topology Appl. **325** (2023), Paper No. 108387, 11 pages, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2022.108387. MR 4527953

- [15] ———, *On comeager sets of metrics whose ranges are disconnected*, *Topology Appl.* **327** (2023), Paper No. 108442, 10 pages, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2023.108442. MR 4548505
- [16] ———, *Strongly rigid metrics in spaces of metrics*, *Topology Proc.* **63** (2024), 125–148, arXiv:2210.02170.
- [17] John L. Kelley, *General topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. No. 27, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1975, Reprint of the 1955 edition [Van Nostrand, Toronto, Ont.]. MR 370454
- [18] K. Koshino, *Recognizing the topologies of spaces of metrics with the topology of uniform convergence*, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* **70** (2022), no. 2, 165–171, DOI:10.4064/ba220523-18-4. MR 4586584
- [19] ———, *The topological type of spaces consisting of certain metrics on locally compact metrizable spaces with the compact-open topology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08615 (2022).
- [20] ———, *On the Borel complexity and the complete metrizability of spaces of metrics*, (2024), preprint, arXiv:2403.07421.
- [21] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur les fonctions non dérivables*, *Stud. Math.* **3** (1931), no. 1, 92–94, DOI:10.4064/sm-3-1-92-94.
- [22] E. Michael, *A note on paracompact spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 831–838, DOI:10.2307/2032419. MR 56905
- [23] V. Niemytzki and A. Tychonoff, *Beweis des Satzes, dass ein metrisierbarer Raum dann und nur dann kompakt ist, wenn er in jeder Metrik vollständig ist*, *Fund. Math.* **12** (1928), 118–120, DOI:10.4064/fm-12-1-118-120.
- [24] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 2.
- [25] M. E. Rudin, *A new proof that metric spaces are paracompact*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 603, DOI:10.2307/2035708. MR 236876
- [26] K. Sakai, *Geometric aspects of general topology*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Tokyo, 2013, DOI:10.1007/978-4-431-54397-8. MR 3099433
- [27] M. E. Shanks, *The space of metrics on a compact metrizable space*, *Amer. J. Math.* **66** (1944), 461–469, DOI:10.2307/2371909. MR 10962
- [28] R. J. Smith and F. Talimdjioski, *Lipschitz-free spaces over properly metrisable spaces and approximation properties*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14121 (2023).
- [29] A. H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1948), 977–982, DOI:10.1090/S0002-9904-1948-09118-2. MR 26802
- [30] Filip Talimdjioski, *Lipschitz-free spaces over Cantor sets and approximation properties*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **20** (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 302, 16, DOI:10.1007/s00009-023-02503-1. MR 4641123
- [31] J. W. Tukey, *Convergence and Uniformity in Topology*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. No. 2, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1940. MR 2515
- [32] R. W. Vallin, *More on the metric space of metrics*, *Real Anal. Exchange* **21** (1995/96), no. 2, 739–742. MR 1407287
- [33] A. M. Vershik, *The universal Uryson space, Gromov’s metric triples, and random metrics on the series of natural numbers*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **53** (1998), no. 5(323), 57–64, DOI:10.1070/rm1998v053n05ABEH000069. MR 1691182
- [34] ———, *Random metric spaces and universality*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **59** (2004), no. 2(356), 65–104, DOI:10.1070/RM2004v059n02ABEH000718. MR 2086637
- [35] T. Šalát, J. Tóth, and L. Zsilinszky, *On cardinality of sets of metrics generating metric spaces of prescribed properties*, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* **35** (1992), 15–21. MR 1198097

- [36] ———, *Metric space of metrics defined on a given set*, Real Anal. Exchange **18** (1992/93), no. 1, 225–231. MR 1205515
- [37] ———, *On the structure of the space of metrics defined on a given set*, Real Anal. Exchange **19** (1993/94), no. 1, 321–327. MR 1268864
- [38] S. Willard, *General topology*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004, Reprint of the 1970 original [Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA; MR0264581]. MR 2048350

(Yoshito Ishiki)  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY  
MINAMI-OSAWA HACHIOJI TOKYO 192-0397  
JAPAN  
*Email address:* `ishiki-yoshito@tmu.ac.jp`