

Non Additive Geometry

Shai Haran

shaiharan@gmail.com

Contents

Chapter 0.	Introduction	5
Chapter 1.	Props	24
Chapter 2.	Associating props with Rings	28
Chapter 3.	Bio(perads)	35
Chapter 4.	Commutativity for Bios	46
Chapter 5.	Ideals and primes	51
Chapter 6.	The Spectrum	59
Chapter 7.	Localization	63
Chapter 8.	Sheaves	67
Chapter 9.	Generalized Schemes	71
Chapter 10.	Pro-Schemes	77
Chapter 11.	Valuations and Beta integrals	82
Chapter 12.	Categorical objects and A -modules	99
Chapter 13.	Derivations and differential	112
Chapter 14.	Simplicial objects and the cotangent complex	122
Chapter 15.	Properties of generalized schemes	128
Chapter 16.	Higher K -theory	133
Chapter 17.	The Witt ring	136
Chapter 18.	Modules over the sphere spectrum	147
	Bibliography	157

Preface

The usual dictionary between geometry and commutative algebra is not appropriate for Arithmetic geometry because addition is a singular operation at the “Real prime”. We replace Rings, with addition and multiplication, by Props (=strict symmetric monoidal category generated by one object), or by Bioperad (=two closed symmetric operads acting on each other): to a ring we associate the prop of all matrices over it, with matrix multiplication and block direct sums as the basic operations, or the bioperad consisting of all row and column vectors over it. We define the “commutative” props and bioperads, and using them we develop a generalized algebraic geometry, following Grothendieck footsteps closely. This new geometry is appropriate for Arithmetic (and potentially also for Physics).

CHAPTER 0

Introduction

We, the human beings, are walking on this earth doing most of the time addition and subtraction in our brains - “How much will I profit? earn? pay? How much it will cost? What will be left?” . There’s no wonder that addition and subtraction dominate all our mathematics. It is always an Abelian group that is at the basis of any mathematical structures (or an additive functor between Abelian categories, and homological algebra that produces all the deeper theorems). However, there is a world before addition, before we apply the functor of “the free Abelian group” and start doing addition and subtraction - it is the world of homotopy. It is the long exact sequences of (co)fibrations that give us all the deeper theorems we need.

The encoding of geometry into an Abelian group begins with Gelfand theorem on the equivalence of the category of compact Hausdorff topological spaces and continuous maps, with the opposite of the category of commutative C^* - algebra and $*$ -homomorphism. To a topological space X one associate the commutative C^* - algebra $C(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$ using the addition and multiplication (and conjugation and norm) in \mathbb{C} to get the structure of a C^* -algebra on $C(X)$. To a commutative C^* -algebra A one associate the space $\text{spec}(A)$ of maximal ideals of A , which is compact Hausdorff with respect to the minimal topology making all $f \in A$ continuous.

Alexander Grothendieck (Shapiro) came to algebraic geometry from C^* -algebras, and in front of him were the Weil conjectures which are all “above \mathbb{Z} ”. He therefore chose **Commutative Rings** as the basis


for the language of algebraic geometry. If he was more interested in Arithmetic he would have had to choose a more general notion than a commutative ring. That commutative rings are not sufficient for Arithmetic geometry is clear by considering Weil's "Rosetta stone", that's the one dimensional structures we have:

(1*) Number Fields: K/\mathbb{Q} finite.

(2*) Function Fields in characteristic p : $K/\mathbb{F}_q(z)$ finite.

(3*) Function Fields in characteristic 0: $K/\mathbb{C}(z)$ finite.

The Function Fields K corresponds one to one with smooth projective curves X_K , and the points of X_K are in bijection with the valuation sub rings of K . A choice of the transcendental basis " z " corresponds to a choice of a dominant mapping $z : X_K \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. At the basis of the Rosetta stone we have the rational numbers/functions, with their real prime/point at infinity:



We see that the “Real integers” $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}} = [-1, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and similarly the “Complex integers” $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1\}$, are not closed under addition, and do not form a sub ring. As Grothendieck knew well: the global theorems exist only in projective geometry, not in affine geometry. The most basic example being that a rational function without poles anywhere, including the point at infinity, must be a constant. If our language does not “see” all of the points there will be no global theorems. We must therefore understand these real and complex “integers” as some kind of a “generalized ring”.

Another problem is the mysterious “Arithmetic surface”: the integers \mathbb{Z} are the initial object of the category of rings, so the categorical sum of \mathbb{Z} with itself reduces to the diagonal

$$(0.1) \quad \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z},$$

unlike the function field analog

$$(0.2) \quad F[z] \otimes_F F[z] = F[z_1, z_2].$$

Thus if we insist on rings, the **Arithmetical Surface**

$$(0.3) \quad \text{spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \coprod \text{spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})$$

reduces to its diagonal.

The original Rosetta Stone had three languages talking word for word about one and the same reality. Comparing the well understood ancient Greek and Demotic languages with the mysterious Hieroglyphic, the ancient Egyptian language, led to the decipherment of the Hieroglyphics, and with it the understanding of many texts from the mummies and the pyramids. While in mathematics we have one language that is talking about three “one dimensional” but different realities (1*), (2*), and (3*). Moreover, this language of commutative rings, the language of **Addition and Multiplication**, is not the right language for Arithmetic geometry, because addition is singular at the real prime, and the Arithmetic Surface disappears.

A similar situation occurs in physics: normalizing the speed of light to one, $c = 1$, the interval of speeds $[-1, 1]$ is not closed under addition. Einstein’s solution was to replace $z_1 + z_2$ by

$$(0.4) \quad z_1(+)z_2 := (z_1 + z_2)/(1 + z_1 \cdot z_2)$$

That is we use the fractional linear transformation $z \mapsto (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ that identifies the interval $[-1, 1]$ with the positive reals $[0, \infty]$, and

we carry multiplication of positive real numbers $(0, \infty)$ to the interval $(-1, 1)$ to obtain Einstein's addition $(+)$. When we do it with complex numbers the unit disc $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}} = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ get identified with the right half plane $\{Re(z) \geq 0\}$ which is not closed with respect to multiplication, so $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not closed under $(+)$. (Curiously, we do have that $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is closed with respect to the operations $z_1(+)'z_2 := (z_1 + \bar{z}_2)/(1 + z_1 z_2)$, or $(z_1 + z_2)/(1 + z_1 \bar{z}_2)$ but these operations are not commutative and are not associative). It is perhaps already here that relativity and quantum mechanics cannot coexist. Quantum mechanics started with the observation by Heisenberg that the level structure of the energy of microscopic particles are controlled by finite matrices.

Our basic idea is to replace the ring A by the collection of all matrices over $A = \{A_{n,m}\}$, $n, m \geq 0$ with the operations of **Matrix Multiplication and of Block Direct Sum of Matrices**. The algebraic structure of this collection of all matrices is that of a "Prop": a strict symmetric monoidal category generated by one object.

Observing that

$$(0.5) \quad (\mathbb{Z}_p)_{n,m} = \{a \in (\mathbb{Q}_p)_{n,m} \mid a \circ \mathbb{Z}_p^m \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n\}$$

we define

$$(0.6) \quad (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}})_{n,m} = \{a \in (\mathbb{R})_{n,m} \mid a \circ \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}^n\}$$

with

$$(0.7) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}^m = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m, |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2 \leq 1\}$$

the unit ℓ_2 ball. Similarly the complex integers are defined by

$$(0.8) \quad (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}})_{n,m} = \{a \in \mathbb{C}_{n,m} \mid a \circ \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}^m \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}^n\}$$

with

$$(0.9) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}^m = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m, |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2 \leq 1\}$$

the unit ℓ_2 complex ball. The sub props

$$(0.10) \quad Z_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad Z_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

are closed under the operations of matrix multiplication and block direct sum of matrices.

We can define the “residue field at the real prime” $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and similarly the “residue field at the complex prime” $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$, as follows. For $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}})_{n,m}$ we have $a^t \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}})_{m,n}$, and the self-adjoint operators $a^t \circ a \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}})_{m,m}$, $a \circ a^t \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}})_{n,n}$. The spectral decomposition of these operators give

$$(0.11) \quad \mathbb{R}^n = \ker(a^t) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W(\lambda_i) \quad \mathbb{R}^m = \ker(a) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V(\lambda_i)$$

with $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k \leq 1$, and a induces isomorphism

$$(0.12) \quad W(\lambda_i) = \ker(a \circ a^t - \lambda_i \circ \text{Id}_n) \stackrel{a}{\underset{\sim}{\leftarrow}} V(\lambda_i) = \ker(a^t \circ a - \lambda_i \cdot \text{Id}_m)$$

In particular, a induces the partial isometry (possibly empty!)

$$(0.13) \quad \widehat{a} := \{W(1) \stackrel{a}{\underset{\sim}{\leftarrow}} V(1)\}$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the collection of all such partial isometries

$$(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}})_{n,m} := \{\mathcal{W}(a) \stackrel{a}{\underset{\sim}{\leftarrow}} \mathcal{V}(a) \text{ linear isometry, } \mathcal{W}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{V}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

The composition in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$(0.14) \quad \left(\mathcal{W}(a) \stackrel{a}{\underset{\sim}{\leftarrow}} \mathcal{V}(a) \right) \circ \left(\mathcal{W}(b) \stackrel{b}{\underset{\sim}{\leftarrow}} \mathcal{V}(b) \right) := \left(a(\mathcal{V}(a) \cap \mathcal{W}(b)) \stackrel{a \circ b}{\underset{\sim}{\leftarrow}} b^{-1}(\mathcal{V}(a) \cap \mathcal{W}(b)) \right)$$

The direct sum in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the usual sum

$$(0.15) \quad \left((\mathcal{W}(a_1)) \xleftarrow[\sim]{a_1} \mathcal{V}(a_1) \right) \oplus \left(\mathcal{W}(a_2) \xleftarrow[\sim]{a_2} \mathcal{V}(a_2) \right) := \\ \left(\mathcal{W}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{W}(a_2) \xleftarrow[\sim]{a_1 \oplus a_2} \mathcal{V}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{V}(a_2) \right)$$

With these operations $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ forms a prop, and we have the surjection

$$(0.16) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ a \longmapsto \widehat{a} := \left(W(1) \xleftarrow[\sim]{a} V(1) \right)$$

In exactly the same way (using \bar{a}^t instead of a^t) we have the surjection of props

$$(0.17) \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

With these definitions, we see that the “mathematical Rosetta stone” can be repaired, and in the language of props, the Arithmetic reality (1*) becomes word for word analogue to the function fields realities (2*) and (3*):

$$(0.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \cup & \cup & \cup \\ \mathbb{R} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \cup & & \\ \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} & & \\ \cap & \cap & \\ \mathbb{F}_p \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p & \end{array}$$

Notice that the prop associated to any ring A will contain the sub prop \mathbb{F} with

$$(0.19) \quad \mathbb{F}_{n,m} = \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{all the } n \text{ by } m \text{ matrices with entries } 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ such} \\ \text{that in any row and in any column there will be} \\ \text{at most one nonzero entry.} \end{array}}$$

This common sub prop of all rings is the “**Field with One element**“ [Sou08]. It will be the initial object of our props, and the “**absolute-point**” $\text{spec}(\mathbb{F})$ will be the last object of our geometry.

There is no such thing as non commutative geometry ! Although non commutative rings (and similarly non-commutative props) have a rich theory of cohomology and K -theory generalizing the theory of commutative rings [Con94], there is no spectrum associated with a non commutative algebra, there are no spaces, localization and sheaves. We will have to understand what it means for the prop \mathbb{A} when the underlying ring \mathbb{A} is commutative. There will be two notions of commutativity for props giving rise to the full embeddings beginning with the category of commutative rings \mathcal{CRing} ,

$$(0.20) \quad \mathcal{CRing} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_T\text{Prop} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\text{Prop} \subseteq \text{Prop}.$$

These categories are all complete and co-complete. In particular we have the Arithmetical Surface , the categorical sum of \mathbb{Z} with itself in the category $\mathcal{C}\text{Prop}$

$$(0.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \scriptstyle \sim \\ & (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{Z})^T & \end{array}$$

Unfortunately, the same sum in the category $\mathcal{C}_T\text{Prop}$, the total commutative quotient of $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{Z}$, reduces to \mathbb{Z} . This is why we prefer to work with the weaker notion of commutativity.

To a commutative prop \mathbb{A} we will associate functorially a compact topological space $\text{spec}(\mathbb{A})$. This space depends on much less information than what \mathbb{A} gives. To construct $\text{spec}(\mathbb{A})$ we only need the

information given by the collection of “raw and column vectors in A ”, we call this UA , so

$$(0.22) \quad (UA)^-(n) := A_{1,n} \quad , \quad (UA)^+(n) = A_{n,1}$$

The collection $UA = (P^-(n), P^+(n))$ forms the structure we call Bio , short for Bi-operad, consisting of two (closed symmetric) operads P^- and P^+ acting on each other in a consistent manner. We obtain a commutative diagram with rows full embeddings and their left adjoints

$$(0.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{C}_T \text{Prop} & \rightleftarrows & \mathcal{C} \text{Prop} & \rightleftarrows & \text{Prop} \\ & \uparrow \mathcal{F} & & \uparrow \mathcal{F} & & \uparrow \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{C} \text{Ring} & & & & & \\ & \downarrow U & & \downarrow U & & \downarrow U \\ & \mathcal{C}_T \text{Bio} & \rightleftarrows & \mathcal{C} \text{Bio} & \rightleftarrows & \text{Bio} \end{array}$$


Here $\mathcal{C} \text{Bio}$ are the bios $P = (P^-, P^+)$ where all operations $p \in P^-(n)$, and all co-operations $q \in P^+(m)$, commute in the sense of bi-algebras,

$$(0.24) \quad q \circ p = \underbrace{(p, \dots, p)}_m \circ \sigma_{m,n} \circ \underbrace{(q, \dots, q)}_n.$$

Thus we identify

$$(0.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ i & \text{---} & q & \text{---} & p & \text{---} & j \\ & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \diagup & & & \diagdown & \\ & m & & & n & \end{array}$$

with



$$(0.27) \quad (i-1) \cdot n + j = \sigma_{m,n}((j-1)m + i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

the permutation $\sigma_{m,n} \in S_{m \bullet n}$ is given pictorially in the diagram (0.26).

The totally commutative bios $\mathcal{C}_T \text{Bio}$ are the commutative bios $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^-, \mathcal{P}^+)$ where the operads \mathcal{P}^- and \mathcal{P}^+ satisfy further the original Boardman-Vogt commutativity:

$$(0.28)$$

$$\text{for } p \in \mathcal{P}^-(m), p' \in \mathcal{P}^-(n) : p \circ \underbrace{(p', \dots, p')}_m = p' \circ \underbrace{(p, \dots, p)}_n \circ \sigma_{n,m}$$

$$\text{for } q \in \mathcal{P}^+(m), q' \in \mathcal{P}^+(n) : \underbrace{(q', \dots, q')}_m \circ q = \sigma_{m,n} \circ \underbrace{(q, \dots, q)}_n \circ q'$$

For a commutative bio $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}^-, \mathcal{P}^+)$, (resp. prop A), the monoid $\mathcal{P}^-(1) \cong \mathcal{P}^+(1)$ ($= A_{1,1}$) is commutative and central, so that we can localize with respect to multiplicative subsets $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(1)$ ($= A_{1,1}$). We obtain a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (resp. \mathcal{O}_A) of commutative bios (resp. props) with local stalks over $\text{spec}(\mathcal{P})$ (resp. $\text{spec}(UA)$). To a map of bios (props) φ corresponds a map of locally bio (resp. prop) spaces in the opposite direction. We obtain the diagram of full-embedding

$$(0.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{CRing})^{\text{op}} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{Sch} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{CRing}/\text{Top} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\mathcal{CBio})^{\text{op}} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{BSch} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{CBio}/\text{Top} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\mathcal{CProp})^{\text{op}} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{PSch} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{CProp}/\text{Top} \end{array}$$

Here the column on the right consists of pairs (X, \mathcal{O}_X) of a topological space X together with a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of $\mathcal{CRing}/\mathcal{CBio}/\mathcal{CProp}$ with local stalks at each point $x \in X$

$$(0.30) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X,x} := \varinjlim_{x \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq X} \mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{U})$$

with maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ are pairs of a continuous map f and pull-back of sections $f_{\mathcal{U}}^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ compatible with restriction with respect to the open subsets $\mathcal{U} \subseteq Y$, and inducing **local** maps on stalk $f_x^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, $f_x^{\#}(\mathfrak{m}_{Y,f(x)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$.

The middle column consists of the category of ordinary schemes \mathcal{Sch} , the category of *Bio* schemes \mathcal{BSch} , and the category of *Prop* schemes \mathcal{PSch} . These are the full-subcategories of the right column consisting of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) which are locally affine: we have $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$, \mathcal{U}_{α} open, and

$$(0.31) \quad \left(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_X|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}} \right) = \text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha})) \quad \text{all } \alpha.$$

These categories of generalized scheme are finite-complete and we can always construct fiber produces

$$(0.32) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X_0 \amalg X_1 & \\ & \downarrow \quad \downarrow & \\ X_0 & & X_1 \\ & \downarrow f_0 \quad \downarrow f_1 & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

But we will be interested in more general limits of the form $\varprojlim_{j \in J} X_j$, where J is a partially ordered set, and for simplicity we can restrict to

$$(0.33) \quad J \text{ directed: } \forall j_1, j_2 \in J, \exists j \in J, j \geq j_1 \ \& \ j \geq j_2$$

and

$$(0.34) \quad \underline{\text{co-finite}} : \forall j \in J, \#\{j' \in J, j' \leq j\} < \infty,$$

and where $j \rightsquigarrow X_j$ is a functor, so that for $j \geq j'$ we have a map $X_j \rightarrow X_{j'}$. These limits always exist in the categories of the right column: the underlying space of $\varprojlim_{j \in J} X_j$ is the set of all coherent sequences of points $x = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$, with the inverse limit topology, and the sheaf is the direct limit of \mathcal{O}_{X_j} .

Similarly, such limits always exists in the categories of the left column. Indeed we have quite formally

$$(0.35) \quad \varprojlim_{j \in J} \text{spec}(A_j) = \text{spec} \left(\varinjlim_{j \in J} A_j \right)$$

But the categories of schemes, and of generalized schemes, do not have such limits: for a coherent sequence of points $x = \{x_j\}_{j \in J} \in \varprojlim_{j \in J} X_j$, while each $x_j \in X_j$ has an affine neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_j \subseteq X$, the intersection $\bigcap_{j \in J} \pi_j^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_j)$ need not be open.

We therefore pass to the pro-categories of (generalized) schemes, with

objects arbitrary such inverse systems $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$, and maps

$$(0.36) \quad \text{pre-Sch}(\{X_j\}_{j \in J}, \{Y_i\}_{i \in I}) := \lim_{\leftarrow i \in I} \lim_{\rightarrow j \in J} \text{Sch}(X_j, Y_i)$$

In the categories *pre-PSch* and *pre-BSch* we finally have the compactification of $\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, denoted $\overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})}$, and similarly for any number field K we have $\overline{\text{spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)}$. For $\overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})}$ we take J to be the collection of finite subsets of the primes, with inclusion as partial order, and

$$(0.37) \quad X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}} := \text{spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \coprod_{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_\ell}])} \text{spec}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_\ell}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}\right).$$

The generalized scheme $X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}}$ has closed points $\{(p_1), \dots, (p_\ell), \eta_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ with $\eta_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_\ell}\right] \cap (-1, 1)$, the “**Real prime**”, coming from the unique maximal ideal $(-1, 1)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Enlarging the set of primes we have maps

$$(0.38) \quad X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell, q_1, \dots, q_k\}} \longrightarrow X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}};$$

these maps are identity on points, and are identity on the sheaves, but there are more open sets in $X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell, q_1, \dots, q_k\}}$ than there are in $X_{\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}}$. The space

$$(0.39) \quad \lim_{\leftarrow} \overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \equiv \lim_{\leftarrow \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\ell\} \in J} X_{\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_\ell\}}$$

is one dimensional and has the generic point (0) , and the closed points $\eta_{\mathbb{R}}$ and (p) , where p is an arbitrary prime. The structure sheaf (as a prop) is given by

$$(0.40) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\lim_{\leftarrow} \overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})}}(\mathcal{U})_{n,m} = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{n,m}, a \circ \mathbb{Z}_p^m \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

with global sections

$$(0.41) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\lim_{\leftarrow} \overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})}}\left(\overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})}\right)_{n,m} = \mathbb{Z}_{n,m} \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}})_{n,m} = \mathbb{F}[\pm 1]_{n,m}$$

the n by m matrices where each row and each column will have 0 entries, except at most in one entry which could be ± 1 .

It is here that we obtain a truly global result: the eigenvalues of matrices in $\mathbb{F}[\pm 1]_{n,n}$ are either zero or roots of unity, the “constants” of arithmetic and conversely: the algebraic integers having “no poles over the real prime” (in any embedding into \mathbb{C} they are mapped into $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}$) are the roots of unity (Kronecker Theorem).

For any prop A , and any $n \geq 1$, we have the monoid $A_{n,n}$, and its subgroup of invertible elements $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$, the “general linear group” in dimension n .

For example,

(0.42)

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) = S_n \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[\pm 1]) = (\pm 1)^n \rtimes S_n \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}) = O(n) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{C}}) = U(n)$$

and $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ is the usual group of n by n invertible matrices for a ring A .

These groups come with associative maps

$$(0.43) \quad \oplus : \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(A) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_2}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n_1+n_2}(A)$$

and give the K -theoretic spectrum of A .

The groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ act via conjugation on $A_{n,n}$, and we have the associate orbit space

$$[A_{n,n}] := A_{n,n}/\mathrm{GL}_n(A).$$

On the direct limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get a commutative monoid

$$(0.44) \quad [A] = \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [A_{n,n}] \quad , \quad [a_1] + [a_2] := [a_1 \oplus a_2]$$

with an action of the multiplicative monoid of non-zero natural numbers by “Frobenius” operators

$$(0.45) \quad F_m[a] := \underbrace{[a \circ a \circ \cdots \circ a]}_m, \quad F_{m_1} \circ F_{m_2} = F_{m_1 \cdot m_2}.$$

Applying Grothendieck’s K -functor localizing addition, we get an Abelian group

$$(0.46) \quad \mathcal{W}(A) := K[A].$$

When A is totally commutative, $A \in \mathcal{C}_T\text{Prop}$, $\mathcal{W}(A)$ has multiplication via tensor product making it a commutative ring, and has λ -operations making it a special λ -ring.

When we take $A = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\text{spec} \mathbb{Z}}}(\overline{\text{spec} \mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{F}[\pm 1]$, the λ -ring $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\overline{\text{spec}(\mathbb{Z})})$ has an additive basis the cyclotomic polynomials ϕ_n , with ϕ_1 the unit of \mathcal{W} , and we have ring homomorphisms

$$(0.47) \quad t_m = \text{tr} \circ F_m : \mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \phi_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

with

$$(0.48) \quad t_m(\phi_n) = \text{tr}(\mathbb{F}_m \phi_n) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}_m^*} \xi^m = C_n^m = \mu\left(\frac{n}{(n, m)}\right) \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi\left(\frac{n}{(n, m)}\right)}$$

the **Ramanujan Sums**.

The λ -ring \mathcal{W} is “adic” and the operators F_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, extends to endomorphisms F_m for

$$(0.49) \quad m \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_* = \prod_p p^{N \cup \{\infty\}} \equiv \mathcal{CRing}(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

the super-natural-numbers, interpolating between the rank 1 projection

$F_0(\phi_n) \equiv \varphi(n) \cdot \phi_1$, and $F_1 = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}}$:

$$(0.50) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{tr}(\phi_n) = t_1(\phi_n) = \mu(n) & \text{the Möbuis function,} \\ \text{tr}(F_0\phi_n) = t_0(\phi_n) = \varphi(n) & \text{the Euler function.} \end{array}$$

We can complexify,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{C} \cdot \phi_n,$$

and complete $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the Hermitian form

$$(0.51) \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \text{coefficient of } \phi_1 \text{ in the product } f \cdot \bar{g}$$

obtaining a Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \widehat{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}} = \widehat{\bigoplus_n \mathbb{C} \phi_n}$$

with orthogonal basis ϕ_n ,

$$\|\phi_n\|^2 = \varphi(n).$$

The “Fourier-transform” gives an isomorphism

$$(0.52) \quad \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_2\left(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, dm\right)^{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*}, \quad \text{dm-additive Haar probability measure}$$

$$f \longmapsto \hat{f}(m) := \text{tr}(F_m f) = t_1(F_m f) = t_m(f)$$

Considering the “zeta operators”

$$(0.53) \quad \zeta(F, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{F_n}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-s} F_p)}$$

$$\zeta(F^*, t) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{F_m^*}{m^t} = \zeta(F, \bar{t})^*$$

well defined on the dense subset $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and analytic for $\Re(s), \Re(t) > 1$, we have

Ramanujan's evaluations

$$(0.54) \quad t_1(\zeta(F, s)\phi_1) = \zeta(s) \quad \text{the Riemann's zeta function}$$

$$(0.55) \quad t_1(\zeta(F^*, t)\phi_1) = \frac{1}{\zeta(t)}$$

$$(0.56) \quad t_1(\zeta(F, s)\zeta(F^*, t)\phi_1) = \sum_{n, m \geq 1} \frac{C_n^m}{n^t m^s} = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(t)} \cdot \zeta(s+t-1).$$

In chapter 1 we give the definition of *Props*, and their commutativity. In 2 we describe the (full and faithful) embeddings of *Rings* in *Props*. In 3 we give the definition of *Bios*, chapter 4 discuss their commutativity. In Chapter 5 we describe the ideals and primes of a commutative *Bio*, A , and in chapter 6 we describe the (compact, sober) Zariski topology on $\text{spec}(A)$. In chapter 7 we give the basic facts for localizations, and in chapter 8 construct the sheaf of *Bio/Props* over $\text{spec}(A)$. In chapter 9 we describe the categories of *Bio/Props* schemes, and in chapter 10 we describe the pro-categories of these, and the “compactification” $\overline{\text{spec}\mathcal{O}_K}$, K a number field. In chapter 11 we define valuation props and bios, we show that they correspond in the rank 1 case to real valued valuations; for a number field K they correspond to the finite primes $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ or to the real/complex primes $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma \sim \bar{\sigma}$. We also show how the operad structure is compatible with Beta-integrals, and give the “zeta machine”: given a compact homogeneous valuation *Prop* B , together with a map $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow K = (B(1) \setminus \{0\})^{-1}(B) \equiv \text{fraction field of } B$, we get a meromorphic function $L(B, s)$, normalized by $L(B, 1) = 1$; we have $L(\mathbb{Z}_p, s) = \frac{\zeta_p(s)}{\zeta_p(1)}$, with $\zeta_p(s) = (1-p^{-s})^{-1}$, $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = 2^{\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$, $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = \Gamma(s)$. In chapter 12 we describe for a *Bio/Prop* A , and a category \mathcal{C} , the A -objects in \mathcal{C} . In chapter 13 we sin again with addition, taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Ab}$ we have the category of A -modules, isomorphic to a category of abelian

group objects in the category of *Bio/Prop* over A giving rise to derivations, and to the Kahler differentials representing them. These all arise from our attempt to linearize geometry infinitesimally. We describe explicitly the \mathbb{Z} -prop-module $\Omega(\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$. In chapter 14 we bring in the simplicial *Bio/Prop*, and the Quillen model structure on them, giving rise to the cotangent complex of a homomorphism of *Bio/Prop*. In chapter 15 we define briefly the basic properties of maps of *Bio/Prop* schemes. In chapter 16 we give for a *Prop* A , commutative or not, the infinite loop space \mathcal{K}_A whose homotopy groups are the higher K -groups of A ; we do it by a very concrete version of Quillen's $S^{-1}S$ construction. In chapter 17 we give the Witt ring story, and the basic example of the special λ -ring $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\overline{\text{spec}\mathbb{Z}})$. In chapter 18 we give the (close) symmetric monoidal structure on (totally-commutative) A -sets for a *Bio* A , and we briefly describe the stabilization of simplicial A -sets using "symmetric spectra" or modules over the sphere spectrum - the point being is (Jeff Smith idea [HSS00]) that the spheres $S^\bullet = \{S^n\}_{n \geq 0} \in (s\text{Set})^{\text{U}_n S_n} \cong \Sigma(\mathbb{F})$ are a **commutative** monoid - this shows very clearly the interaction of homotopy and arithmetic via $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

We try to avoid most technicalities, proofs or calculations, and we concentrate on the concepts and ideas. Two exceptions are the calculation of the global sections of the sheaf associated with a prop or a bio (Theorem 8.1), and the proof of Ostrowski theorem- the calculation of the valuations on a number field (Theorem 11.2). We included these so that the reader can see that they are exactly the same as the proofs of the analogue classical statements only formulated in the language of props or bios (we suggest the reader skip these proofs on a first reading). For the same reason we avoid the globalizations of chapters (16) and (18), which are best done in the more technical language of infinite categories.

This monograph is dedicated to the memory of my teachers, mentors, and friends

- Daniel Quillen
- Yuri Manin
- John Coates

Bibliography

- [Alm74] Gert Almkvist. The Grothendieck ring of the category of endomorphisms. *Journal of Algebra*, 28(3):375–388, 1974.
- [AM69] Mike Artin and Barry Mazur. *Etale homotopy*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 100. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1969.
- [Ara74] S Ju Arakelov. Intersection theory of divisors on an arithmetic surface. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 8(6):1167, 1974.
- [Art06] Emil Artin. *Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Reprint of the 1967 original.
- [AT69] M. F. Atiyah and D. O. Tall. Group representations, λ -rings and the J -homomorphism. *Topology*, 8:253–297, 1969.
- [BG06] Kenneth S Brown and Stephen M Gersten. Algebraic K-theory as generalized sheaf cohomology. In *Higher K-Theories: Proceedings of the Conference held at the Seattle Research Center of the Battelle Memorial Institute, from August 28 to September 8, 1972*, pages 266–292. Springer, 2006.
- [BL96] Jonathan Block and Andrey Lazarev. Homotopy theory and generalized duality for spectral sheaves. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (20):983–996, 1996.
- [Bor09] James Borger. Lambda-rings and the field with one element. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0906.3146*, 2009.
- [BP72] Michael Barratt and Stewart Priddy. On the homology of non-connected monoids and their associated groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 47:1–14, 1972.
- [BS16] Ilan Barnea and Tomer M. Schlank. A projective model structure on pro-simplicial sheaves, and the relative étale homotopy type. *Adv. Math.*, 291:784–858, 2016.
- [BV73] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt. *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, volume 347 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.

- [CF67] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, editors. *Algebraic number theory: Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society (a NATO Advanced Study Institute) with the support of the International Mathematical Union*. Academic Press, London; Thompson Book Co., Inc., Washington, DC, 1967.
- [Con94] Alain Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [Con99] Alain Connes. Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. *Selecta Mathematica*, 5(1):29, 1999.
- [Den18] Christopher Deninger. Dynamical systems for arithmetic schemes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.06400*, 2018.
- [DG71] Jean Dieudonné and Alexandre Grothendieck. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, volume 166. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1971.
- [DS95] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński. Homotopy theories and model categories. In *Handbook of algebraic topology*, pages 73–126. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [Dur07] Nikolai Durov. New approach to arakelov geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0704.2030*, 2007.
- [Fal92] Gerd Faltings. *Lectures on the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem*. Princeton University Press, 1992. Catalog Number: 127.
- [Gra76] Daniel Grayson. Higher algebraic K -theory. II (after Daniel Quillen). In *Algebraic K-theory (Proc. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1976)*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 551, pages 217–240. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [Gra77] Daniel R. Grayson. The K -theory of endomorphisms. *J. Algebra*, 48(2):439–446, 1977.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Har89] Shai Haran. Index theory, potential theory, and the Riemann hypothesis. In *L-functions and arithmetic (Durham, 1989)*, volume 153 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 257–270. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [Har90] Shai Haran. Riesz potentials and explicit sums in arithmetic. *Invent. Math.*, 101(3):697–703, 1990.

- [Har01] Shai Haran. *The mysteries of the real prime*, volume 25 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.
- [Har08] Shai Haran. *Arithmetical investigations*, volume 1941 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Representation theory, orthogonal polynomials, and quantum interpolations.
- [Har09] Shai Haran. Non-additive prolegomena (to any future arithmetic that will be able to present itself as a geometry). *arXiv preprint arXiv:0911.3522*, 2009.
- [Har10] Shai Haran. Invitation to nonadditive arithmetical geometry. *Casimir force, Casimir operators and the Riemann hypothesis*, pages 249–265, 2010.
- [Har17a] Shai Haran. Geometry over F_1 . *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.05831*, 2017.
- [Har17b] Shai Haran. New foundations for geometry—two non-additive languages for arithmetical geometry. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 246(1166):x+200, 2017.
- [Har20] Shai Haran. Algebra over generalized rings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.15613*, 2020.
- [Har22] Shai Haran. Homotopy and arithmetic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.03107*, 2022.
- [Hov99] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Hov01] Mark Hovey. Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 165(1):63–127, 2001.
- [HSS00] Mark Hovey, Brooke Shipley, and Jeff Smith. Symmetric spectra. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(1):149–208, 2000.
- [Ill71] Luc Illusie. *Complexe cotangent et déformations. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 239. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [JL01] Jay Jorgenson and Serge Lang. The ubiquitous heat kernel. In *Mathematics unlimited—2001 and beyond*, pages 655–683. Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [KOW02] Nobushige Kurokawa, Hiroyuki Ochiai, and Masato Wakayama. *Absolute derivations and zeta functions*. Department of Math., Fac. of Science, Tokyo Inst. of Technology, 2002.

- [KS18] Robert A. Kucharczyk and Peter Scholze. Topological realisations of absolute galois groups. In James W. Cogdell, Günter Harder, Stephen Kudla, and Freydoon Shahidi, editors, *Cohomology of Arithmetic Groups*, pages 201–288, Cham, 2018. Springer International Publishing.
- [Lan78] Serge Lang. *Cyclotomic fields*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 59. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1978.
- [Lan98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [Lur04] Jacob Lurie. *Derived algebraic geometry*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2004.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. 2017.
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [Man] Yuri Manin. Two approaches to geometries in characteristic 1: Combinatorics vs homotopy theory, . Trinity College Dublin.
- [Man95] Yuri Manin. Lectures on zeta functions and motives (according to Deninger and Kurokawa). *Astérisque*, 228(4):121–163, 1995.
- [Omn06] Uri Onn. From p -adic to real Grassmannians via the quantum. *Adv. Math.*, 204(1):152–175, 2006.
- [PL11] J López Pena and Oliver Lorscheid. Mapping F_1 -land: An overview of geometries over the field with one element. *Noncommutative geometry, arithmetic, and related topics*, pages 241–265, 2011.
- [Qui67] Daniel G. Quillen. *Homotopical algebra*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [Qui69] Daniel Quillen. Rational homotopy theory. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 205–295, 1969.
- [Qui70] Daniel Quillen. On the (co-) homology of commutative rings. In *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, volume 17, pages 65–87, 1970.
- [Qui72] Daniel Quillen. On the cohomology and K -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:552–586, 1972.

- [Qui73] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic K -theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341, pages 85–147. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [Ram18] Srinivasa Ramanujan. On certain trigonometrical sums and their applications in the theory of numbers. *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 22(13):259–276, 1918.
- [SAB94] Christophe Soulé, Dan Abramovich, and JF Burnol. *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*. Cambridge university press, 1994. Catalog Number: 33.
- [Seg74] Graeme Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.
- [Sou08] Christophe Soulé. Les variétés sur le corps a un élément. *arXiv preprint math/0304444*, 2008.
- [Wei39] André Weil. Sur l’analogie entre les corps de nombres algébriques et les corps de fonctions algébriques. *Revue Scient*, 77:104–106, 1939.
- [Wei66] André Weil. Fonction zêta et distributions. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 9(312):523–531, 1966.
- [Wei95] André Weil. *Basic number theory*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the second (1973) edition.
- [YJ15] Donald Yau and Mark W. Johnson. *A foundation for PROPs, algebras, and modules*, volume 203 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.