

# Comment on the paper 'Saturation and Multifractality of Lagrangian and Eulerian Scaling Exponents in Three-Dimensional Turbulence'

V.A. Sirota, \* K.P. Zybin

9991 P.N.Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

The paper [1] brings long-awaited clarity into the complicated picture of the latest numerical and experimental results and sets the 'state-of-the-art' in the problem of Lagrangian and Eulerian velocity scaling. In particular, in [1] it is convincingly shown that the scaling exponents of transverse Eulerian velocity structure functions saturate, and the saturated value is  $\zeta_{\perp\infty} \simeq 2.1$ . It would be interesting and useful to understand the physical issue of this saturation. What does this statistical phenomenon mean physically? The aim of this comment is to draw attention to the objects that may be responsible for this behavior of the structure functions.

It has been known long ago (see, e.g., [2]) that the high-vorticity regions make crucial contribution to the intermittent velocity statistics. The conception of vortex filaments acting as a source of intermittency explains naturally the predominance of the transverse velocity differences over the longitudinal ones.

The saturation of the scaling exponents can also be understood in the frame of this approach. From dynamical point of view, the main process in the three-dimensional turbulence is the stretching of vortex filaments. It is responsible for production of filaments and, thus, for (at least transverse) scaling; the saturation means that this process stops at some limit. What is the limit?

In [3, 4] we showed that the stretching is restricted by a logarithmic singularity of pressure: the critical, 'extreme' vortex has flat velocity profile and demonstrates singular behavior of velocity in the center,

$$\mathbf{v}(z, \rho, \phi) = c\mathbf{e}_\phi \quad (1)$$

Actually, let a vortex filament have velocity distribution  $v \propto \rho^\gamma$ . The smaller  $\gamma$ , the stronger the singularity along the axis, the more significant the contribution of the filament to the structure functions of large orders  $p$ . However, since pressure and velocity are finite,  $\gamma$  cannot be negative; the extreme filament (1) is just what corresponds to  $\gamma = 0$ . We calculated the contributions to the transverse and longitudinal structure functions produced

\* Electronic address: sirota@lpi.ru

by this critical vortex for large  $p$ ; these are

$$\langle |\Delta v \times \mathbf{l}/l|^p \rangle \propto \frac{2^p}{\sqrt{p}} l^2, \quad \langle |\Delta v \cdot \mathbf{l}/l|^p \rangle \propto p^{-5/2} l^2 \quad (2)$$

The scaling exponent is 2 in both cases. However, as  $p$  increases, the contribution of the extreme filament to the transverse structure function increases and becomes determining, while its contribution to the longitudinal structure function decreases to zero. This is natural, since longitudinal velocity increments in vortices are evidently small compared to the transverse increments. So, the straight extreme filament (1) does not contribute to the longitudinal structure functions; but the bent filaments do. The curved sections of filaments contribute to the longitudinal structure function. However, the account of the curvature results in the next order in  $l/L$ :  $\zeta_{\parallel\infty} \simeq 3$ .

Eventually, the prediction of [3, 4] was:

$$\zeta_{\perp\infty} \simeq 2, \quad p \geq 10, \quad \zeta_{\parallel\infty} \simeq 3, \quad p \geq 16$$

The prediction for transverse scaling exponents coincides with the results of the paper [1]. So, the hypothesis of existence of long-living objects with the velocity profiles close to the critical one provides a simple physical picture that, on one hand, predicts correct values for the transverse scaling exponents, including their saturation, and, on the other, naturally explains the difference between longitudinal and transverse scalings. It is interesting whether it will be able to predict the saturation of longitudinal scaling exponents as well.

- 
- [1] D. Buaria and K.R. Sreenivasan, Phys.Rev.Lett. **131**, 204001 (2023)
  - [2] M. Farge, G. Pellegrino, K. Schneider Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 054501 (2001)
  - [3] V.A. Sirota, K.P. Zybin Phys. Scr. **T155** 014005 (2013)
  - [4] K.P. Zybin, V.A. Sirota Physics-Uspekhi **58**, 556 (2015)