# The generalizations of Hamiltonian in oriented graphs<sup>\*</sup>

Jia Zhou, Zhilan Wang, Jin Yan<sup>†</sup>

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

#### Abstract

An oriented graph is an orientation of a simple graph. In 2009, Keevash, Kühn and Osthus proved that every sufficiently large oriented graph D of order n with (3n-4)/8is Hamiltonian. Later, Kelly, Kühn and Osthus showed that it is also pancyclic. Inspired by this, we show that for any given constant t and positive integer partition  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$ , if D is an oriented graph on n vertices with minimum semidegree at least (3n - 4)/8, then it contains t disjoint cycles of lengths  $n_1, \ldots, n_t$ . Also, we determine the bounds on the semidegree of sufficiently large oriented graphs that are strongly Hamiltonian-connected, k-ordered Hamiltonian and spanning k-linked.

Keywords: Oriented graphs; semidegree; cycle-factor; Hamiltonian

AMS subject classifications. 05C20, 05C38, 05C70

## 1 Introduction

A digraph D is Hamiltonian if D contains a cycle which encounters every vertex only once. Hamiltonian is one of the most central notions in graph theory, and has been intensively studied by numerous researchers in recent decades. One of the sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian in digraphs was established by Ghouila-Houri [6]. He confirmed that any digraph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/2$  is Hamiltonian, where the minimum semidegree  $\delta^0(D) = \min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$ . However, the situation is complicated when one considers Hamiltonian of oriented graphs, which is a digraph that can be obtained from a (simple) undirected graph by orienting its edges. The question concerning the analogue of the existence of the Hamiltonian cycle in oriented graphs was raised by Thomassen [16]. In 2009, Keevash, Kühn and Osthus [8] gave an exact answer to the question as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** [8] There exists a number  $n_0$  so that any oriented graph D on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$  is Hamiltonian.

<sup>\*</sup>The author's work is supported by NNSF of China (No.12071260,11671232)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail adress: yanj@sdu.edu.cn

Note that the semidegree condition of Theorem 1.1 is tight, some relevant counterexamples are indicated in [8]. Furthermore, Kelly, Kühn and Osthus [11] showed that the same condition as Theorem 1.1 guarantees that D is *pancyclic*, which contains a cycle of length l for every  $l \in \{3, 4, ..., n\}$ .

In this paper, we will discuss several natural problems related to the Hamiltonicity. Note that a *cycle-factor* of a digraph is a set of disjoint cycles that covers all vertices of it. Hence, the Hamiltonian cycle can be regarded as a cycle-factor with only one cycle. We are particularly interested in problems concerning cycle-factors in oriented graphs. In 2009, Keevash and Sudakov [9] stated that there exist constants c, C > 0 such that for sufficiently large oriented graph D on n vertices with minimum semidegree at least (1/2 - c)n, if  $n_1, \ldots, n_t$  are numbers satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^t n_i \leq n-C$ , then D contains disjoint cycles of lengths  $n_1, \ldots, n_t$ . In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** Given an integer  $t \ge 1$ , there exists number  $n_0$ , so that any oriented graph D on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$  contains t disjoint cycles of lengths  $n_1, \ldots, n_t$ , where  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$  is any positive integer partition of n.

Theorem 1.2 states that there exists any cycle-factor with a limited cycle number instead of "almost" cycle-factor in oriented graphs with the semidegree of Theorem 1.1. Note that the semidegree of Theorem 1.2 is sharp for cycle-factor problem in oriented graphs, since it is tight for the existence of Hamiltonian cycle in oriented graphs.

Also, Wang, Wang and Yan [19] made a new progress for digraphs, they showed the following result. For every digraph D with  $W \subseteq V(D)$ , if  $|W| \ge 2k$  and  $d_D(x) + d_D(y) \ge 3n-3$  for all  $\{x, y\} \subseteq W$ , then for any integer partition  $|W| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i$  with  $n_i \ge 2$  for each i, there are k disjoint cycles containing exactly  $n_1, \ldots, n_k$  vertices of W, respectively. For the cycle-factor problems in graphs, El-Zahar [5] conjectured that one may get any cycle-factor with lengths  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$  in graphs of order n with the minimum degree at least  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lceil n_i/2 \rceil$ . This fascinating conjecture has been verified for t = 2 in [5]. In particular, it has also been confirmed for sufficiently large graphs in [1]. And more relevant results can be found in references [4, 17, 18].

Another natural generalization of Hamiltonianity is to require the existence of a Hamiltonian path between any pair of vertices. We call D is strongly Hamiltonian-connected if for any two vertices x and y, there is a Hamiltonian path from x to y. In addition, Berge [3] demonstrated that every digraph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge (n+1)/2$  is strongly Hamiltonian-connected. Theorem 1.3 yields a result about strongly Hamiltonian-connected for sufficiently large oriented graphs.

**Theorem 1.3** There is an integer  $n_0$  such that every oriented graph D on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge 3n/8 + 2$  is strongly Hamiltonian-connected.

In addition, it also makes sense to seek a Hamiltonion cycle visiting several vertices in a specific order. A digraph D is *k*-ordered Hamiltonian if for every sequence  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  of distinct vertices of D there is a directed Hamiltonian cycle which encounters  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  in this order. Also, we call a digraph D is k-linked if it contains a system of disjoint paths  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$  such that  $P_i$  is a path from  $x_i$  to  $y_i$ , for every choice of distinct vertices  $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k$ . If the union of these k disjoint paths span D, we call D is spanning k-linked. Indeed, every k-linked digraph is k-ordered and every 2k-ordered digraph is klinked. In 2008, Kühn, Osthus, and Young [13] proved that every digraph D on  $n \ge n_0(k)$ vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge \lceil (n+k)/2 \rceil - 1$  is k-ordered Hamiltonian. Here, we give a result about k-ordered Hamiltonian and spanning k-linked in oriented graphs.

**Theorem 1.4** For any positive integer k, there is an integer  $n_0$  such that for every oriented graph D on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices, the following holds.

- (i) If  $\delta^0(D) \ge 3n/8 + 5k/2 2$ , then D is k-ordered Hamiltonian.
- (ii) If  $\delta^0(D) \ge 3n/8 + 7k/2 1$ , then D is spanning k-linked.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The aim of Section 2 is to prepare some notation and basic tools used in the paper. In Section 3, we show some lemmas which are useful in the proof of Theorem 1.2. And then we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are shown in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively. Section 6 mentions some related problems about the generalizations of Hamiltonianity in oriented graphs.

## 2 Preliminaries and tools

### 2.1 Notation and definitions

Let D = (V, A) be a digraph with vertex set V and arc set A. We write |D| for the number of vertices in D and denote a(D) to be the number of arcs in D. Given two vertices  $x, y \in V$ , we write xy for the arc directed from x to y. For a vertex x of D, we write  $N_D^+(x) = \{y : xy \in A\}$  (resp.  $N_D^-(x) = \{y : yx \in A\}$ ) to be the out-neighbourhood (resp. in-neighbourhood) and  $d_D^+(x) = |N_D^+(x)|$  (resp.  $d_D^-(x) = |N_D^-(x)|$ ) to be the out-degree (resp. in-degree) of x. Further, let  $\delta^+(D)$  and  $\delta^-(D)$  denote the minimum out-degree and the minimum in-degree of D. Let minimum degree  $\delta(D) = \min\{d^+(v) + d^-(v) : v \in V(D)\}$ . Given a set  $X \subseteq V$ , the subdigraph of D induced by X is denoted by D[X] and let D - X denote the digraph obtained from D by deleting X and all arcs incident with X. Denote  $N_D^+(X)$  to be the union of the out-neighbourhood of every vertex  $x \in X$ . For two subsets X, Y in V, denote A(X, Y) to be the set of arcs from X to Y, and define a(X, Y) = |A(X, Y)|. A matching in a digraph is a set of disjoint arcs with no common endvertices. And in this paper, the length of a path is its vertices. We abbreviate the term "vertex disjoint" as "disjoint".

Throughout this paper, the notation  $0 < \beta \ll \alpha$  is used to make clear that  $\beta$  can be selected to be sufficiently small corresponding to  $\alpha$  so that all calculations required in our

proof are valid. For a positive integer t, simply write  $\{1, \ldots, t\}$  as [t]. For convenience, write  $a \pm b$  to be an unspecified real number in the interval [a - b, a + b]. Next, we mention the important definition as follows.

**Definition 2.1** (robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander) Given  $0 < \mu \leq \tau < 1$ , we say a digraph R is a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander if  $|N_R^+(S)| \geq |S| + \mu |R|$  for every  $S \subseteq V(R)$  satisfying  $\tau |R| \leq |S| \leq (1 - \tau)|R|$ .

In fact, our proof use the technique of [8], which finds a Hamiltonian cycle in an oriented graph. Therefore, we also continue use the symbol of [8]. Set c to be some constant, let  $\mu$  be a sufficiently small real number, and write  $\alpha = (1/100 - c\sqrt{\mu})n/4$ . We partition an oriented graph D on n vertices into four parts  $D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4$ . For simplicity of notation, for a vertex x in  $D_i$ , if the cardinality of  $N^+(x) \cap D_j$  is at least  $\alpha$ , then briefly write  $D_i : (D_j)^{>\alpha}$ . Next, we give the more definitions.

**Definition 2.2** (A vertex is acceptable) If a vertex satisfies one of the following 16 properties, then it is acceptable.

- $D_1: (D_2)^{>\alpha}(D_4)_{>\alpha}, D_1: (D_1)^{>\alpha}(D_4)_{>\alpha}, D_1: (D_1)_{>\alpha}(D_2)^{>\alpha}, D_1: (D_1)^{>\alpha}_{>\alpha}$
- $D_2: (D_1)_{>\alpha}(D_3)^{>\alpha}, D_2: (D_1)_{>\alpha}(D_4)^{>\alpha}, D_2: (D_3)^{>\alpha}(D_4)_{>\alpha}, D_2: (D_4)^{>\alpha}_{>\alpha}, D_3: (D_4)^{>\alpha}_{>\alpha}, D_5: (D_4)^{>\alpha}_{>\alpha}, D_5$
- $D_3: (D_2)_{>\alpha}(D_4)^{>\alpha}, D_3: (D_2)_{>\alpha}(D_3)^{>\alpha}, D_3: (D_3)_{>\alpha}(D_4)^{>\alpha}, D_3: (D_3)_{>\alpha}^{>\alpha}, D_3$
- $D_4: (D_1)^{>\alpha}(D_3)_{>\alpha}, D_4: (D_1)^{>\alpha}(D_2)_{>\alpha}, D_4: (D_2)^{>\alpha}(D_3)_{>\alpha}, D_4: (D_2)^{>\alpha}_{>\alpha}$

**Definition 2.3** (A vertex x is circular) If  $x \in D_i$  such that all but at most  $c\sqrt{\mu}|D_{i+1}|$  vertices in  $D_{i+1}$  are out-neighbourhood of x and all but at most  $c\sqrt{\mu}|D_{i-1}|$  vertices in  $D_{i-1}$  are in-neighbourhood of x, then the vertex x is circular.

**Definition 2.4** (A path P is circular) A path P is a circular path if every vertex of it is circular.

Visibly, the definition of circular is stronger than the definition of acceptable. Now we give the characterization of the extremal family  $\mathcal{F}$ . Here, the partition  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4)$  of D is ordered.

**Definition 2.5** (*Extremal family*  $\mathcal{F}$ ) A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of oriented graphs is extremal (Fig. 1) if every oriented graph  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  on n vertices and for a sufficiently small real  $\mu$ , there exists a partition  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4)$  of D satisfying the following properties:

•  $|D_i| = (1/4 \pm 16\mu)n$ , for  $i \in [4]$ .

•  $a(D_i, D_{i+1}) > (1 - 800\mu)n^2/16$ , for  $i \in [4]$  (modulo 4);  $a(D_i) > (1/2 - 250\mu)n^2/16$ , for  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ ; and  $a(D_i, D_j) > (1/2 - 300\mu)n^2/16$ , for  $i, j \in \{2, 4\}$  satisfying  $i \neq j$ .

• Every vertex is acceptable and the number of non-circular vertices is at most  $100\sqrt{\mu}n$ .



Fig. 1: A member D of extremal family  $\mathcal{F}$  of order n, where the number of arcs from  $D_i$  to  $D_{i+1}$  are close to  $n^2/16$ , for  $i \in [4]$  (shown in bold); the number of arcs from  $D_2$  to  $D_4$  and the number of arcs from  $D_4$  to  $D_2$  are close to  $n^2/32$  (shown in bold).

### 2.2 Diregularity lemma and Blow-up lemma

In this subsection, we collect the information we need about Diregularity Lemma and Blowup Lemma. The density of a bipartite graph G = (A, B) with vertex classes A and B is defined to be  $d_G(A, B) := \frac{e_G(A, B)}{|A||B|}$ . We often write d(A, B) if this is unambiguous. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we say that G is  $\varepsilon$ -regular if for all subsets  $X \subseteq A$  and  $Y \subseteq B$  with  $|X| > \varepsilon |A|$ and  $|Y| > \varepsilon |B|$  we have that  $|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| < \varepsilon$ . Given  $d \in [0, 1]$  we say that G is  $(\varepsilon, d)$ -super-regular if it is  $\varepsilon$ -regular and furthermore  $d_G(a) \ge (d - \varepsilon)|B|$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $d_G(b) \ge (d - \varepsilon)|A|$  for all  $b \in B$ .

In 1978, Szemerédi proposed Regular Lemma on graphs, and later Alon and Shapira [2] extended it to the digraph version.

**Lemma 2.1** [2] (Diregularity Lemma) For every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  and the number M' there are numbers  $M(\varepsilon, M')$  and  $n_0$  such that if D is a digraph on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices, and  $d \in [0,1]$ is any real number, then there is a partition of the vertices of D into  $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_k$  and a spanning subdigraph D' of D such that the following holds:

- $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon n, |V_1| = \cdots = |V_k|, \text{ where } M' \leq k \leq M,$
- for each  $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$ ,  $d_{D'}^{\sigma}(x) > d_D^{\sigma}(x) (d + \varepsilon)n$  for all vertices  $x \in D$ ,
- for all i = 1, ..., k the digraph  $D'[V_i]$  is empty,

• for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  with  $i \neq j$  the bipartite digraph whose vertex classes are  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  and whose arcs are all of  $A(V_i, V_j)$  arcs in D' is  $\varepsilon$ -regular and has density either 0 or density at least d.

Given  $V_1, \ldots, V_k$  and a digraph D', the reduced digraph R' with parameters  $(\varepsilon, d)$  is the digraph whose vertex set is [k] and in which ij is an arc if and only if the bipartite digraph whose vertex classes are  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  and whose arcs are all the arcs from  $V_i$  to  $V_j$  in D' is  $\varepsilon$ -regular and has density at least d. Note that R' is not necessarily an oriented graph even if D is. The next lemma shows that there is a reduced oriented graph  $R \subseteq R'$  which still almost inherits the minimum semidegree and density of D.

**Lemma 2.2** [10] For every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  there exist numbers  $M' = M'(\varepsilon)$  and  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that the following holds. Let  $d \in [0,1]$  with  $\varepsilon \leq d/2$ . Let D be an oriented graph of order  $n \geq n_0$  and R' the reduced digraph with parameters  $(\varepsilon, d)$  obtained by applying Diregularity Lemma to D. Then R' has a spanning oriented subgraph R such that  $\delta^0(R) \geq (\delta^0(D)/|D| - (3\varepsilon + d))|R|$ .

To find a subdigraph with the maximum degree to be bounded, the standard idea is to find a special structure in the reduced oriented graph R and restore it to the subdigraph in D. So Blow-up Lemma of Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [12] is necessary. Notice that, in the general case, we use the Blow-up Lemma on the underling graph of R. The following lemma states that dense regular pairs and complete bipartite graphs behave identically for the problem of finding subgraphs with bounded degree in graphs.

**Lemma 2.3** [12] (Blow-up Lemma) Given a graph F on [k] and positive numbers  $d, \Delta$ , there is a positive real  $\eta_0 = \eta_0(d, \Delta, k)$  such that the following holds for all positive numbers  $l_1, \ldots, l_k$  and all  $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$ . Let F' be the graph obtained from F by replacing each vertex  $i \in F$  with a set  $V_i$  of  $l_i$  new vertices and joining all vertices in  $V_i$  to all vertices in  $V_j$ whenever ij is an edge of F. Let G' be a spanning subgraph of F' such that for every edge  $ij \in F$  the graph  $(V_i, V_j)_{G'}$  is  $(\eta, d)$ -super-regular. Then G' contains a copy of every subgraph H of F' with  $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$ .

## 3 Cycle-factor in oriented graphs

Before staring the proof of Theorem 1.2, we outline the main idea.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that D is an oriented graph as stated in Theorem 1.2. Assume that Theorem 1.2 is false, namely, D contains no some cycle-factor C. Using the asymptotic pancyclicity (Lemma 3.1) of D, we can find all disjoint short cycles in C. Applying Diregularity lemma for the remaining digraph, there is an reduced oriented graph R. According to Lemmas 3.3-3.4, D is not an extremal oriented graph in  $\mathcal{F}$  as otherwise we can find the cycle-factor C. Further, this implies that R is a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander. Then the remaining cycles in C can be find by using the splitting operation (see Claim 3.2), a contradiction.

### 3.1 Preliminary lemmas

Suppose that D is an oriented graph on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$ , where n is large enough. Here, we show a sequence of lemmas that are crucial to our proof.

In 1998, Shen [15] showed that any oriented graph D on n vertices with  $\delta^+(D) \ge 0.355n$  contains a triangle. And we write *l*-cycle to be a cycle with length l. In [11], authors also showed that every oriented graph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/3 + 1$  contains an *l*-cycle

for every  $l \in \{4, \ldots, n/10^{10}\}$ . For the sake of presentation, we call a digraph is asymptotic pancyclic if it has an *l*-cycle for every  $l \in \{3, 4, \ldots, n/10^{10}\}$ . Therefore, the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 3.1 (Asymptotic Pancyclic)** If D is an oriented graph on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge 0.355n$ , then D is asymptotic pancyclic.

**Fact 3.1** If R with  $\delta^0(R) \ge (3/8 - 3d)|R|$  is a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander, then R is also a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander, where  $d \ll \mu \le \tau \le 1/100$ .

**Proof** Let |R| = k. By the definition of robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander, we have that  $|N_R^+(S)| \ge |S| + \mu k$  for every  $S \subseteq V(R)$  satisfying  $k/3 \le |S| \le 2k/3$ . For a subset S of V(R) satisfying  $\tau k \le |S| \le k/3$ , it is easy to check that  $|N_R^+(S)| \ge (3/8 - 3d)k \ge k/3 + k/100 \ge |S| + \mu k$ . And if  $2k/3 \le |S| \le (1 - \tau)k$ , then  $|S| + |N_R^-(v)| > k$ , that is,  $S \cap N_R^-(v) \ne \emptyset$ , for any  $v \in R$ . And then  $N_R^+(S) = V(R)$ . So

$$|N_R^+(S)| = |R| = (1 - \tau)k + \tau k \ge |S| + \tau k \ge |S| + \mu k.$$

This implies that R is a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander, as desired.

**Lemma 3.2** [8] Given  $n_0^{-1/6} \ll 1/M' \ll \varepsilon \ll d \ll \mu \ll \tau \ll \eta \leq 1$ . Let *D* be an oriented graph on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices, and *L* is a subset of V(D) with cardinality at most  $t\varepsilon^2 n$ . Let *R'* be the reduced digraph of D - L with parameters  $(\varepsilon, d)$ . Suppose that *R* is a spanning oriented subgraph of *R'* as stated in Lemma 2.2.

(i) If R is a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander and  $\delta^0(D) \ge 2\eta \eta$ , then D is Hamiltonian.

(ii) If R is not a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander and  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$ , then D is a member of family  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The following lemma is come from Claim 2.5-2.7 in [8] (see pages 20-22 for details).

**Lemma 3.3** [8] Let D be an oriented graph in  $\mathcal{F}$  with a partition  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4)$  and order n. If  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$ , then there exists an oriented graph D' which is obtained by contracting a few short paths or resetting a few vertices from D satisfies  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  in D'.

In the proof of the next lemma, we often use an operation on contracting a path, which can be summarized as an algorithm here.

#### Algorithm 1 Contracting paths

**Input:** An oriented graph  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  with a partition  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4)$  and disjoint paths  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ , where the initial and terminal vertices of each path are located in the same part.

**Output:** A new oriented graph  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  and distinct vertices  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$ .

- 1: Set  $H_0 = D$ .
- 2: for j = 1 do

3: while  $j \neq k+1$  do

4: Set  $P_j = j_1 j_2 \dots j_r$  where  $j_1, j_r \in D_l$ , for some  $l \in [4]$ .

- 5: Construct the new oriented graph  $H_j$  by contracting the path  $P_j$  from  $H_{j-1}$  into a new vertex  $p_j$  with  $N_{H_j}^-(p_j) = N_{H_{j-1}}^-(i_1) \cap D_{l-1}$  and  $N_{H_j}^+(p_j) = N_{H_{j-1}}^+(i_r) \cap D_{l+1}$ , and then put  $p_j$  into the part  $D_l$ .
- 6: Let j = j + 1.

```
7: end while
```

```
8: end for
```

```
9: Set H = H_k.
```

Lemma 3.4 states that there are some oriented graphs in extremal family  $\mathcal{F}$  contains any cycle-factor as desired.

**Lemma 3.4** Given a constant t and a positive real  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \ll 1/(10^{10} \cdot t^2)$ , there exists a number  $n_0$  so that every oriented graph  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  (Fig. 1) on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with a partition  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4)$  and  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  contains t disjoint cycles of lengths  $n_1, \ldots, n_t$ , where  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$  is any positive integer partition of n.

**Proof** On the contrary, suppose that D has no cycle-factor  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$  with  $|V(C_i)| = n_i$  for each  $i \in [t]$  and  $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ . For convenience, we represent a path using a sequence of the parts corresponding to each vertex along the path. We call a path (resp. cycle) is circular, if the successive vertices of the path (resp. cycle) lie in successive classes. And let the index i be always taken modulo 4, in this lemma. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $n_1 \ge n_i$  for  $i \in [t]$ . Also, assume that each of  $n_2, n_3, \ldots, n_{l'}$  is equal to 3 and

$$n_i = \begin{cases} = 0 & i \in \{l'+1, l'+2, \dots, l_0\}; \\ = 1 & i \in \{l_0+1, l_0+2, \dots, l_1\}; \\ = 2 & i \in \{l_1+1, l_1+2, \dots, l_2\}; \\ = 3 & i \in \{l_2+1, l_2+2, \dots, l_3\}. \end{cases} \pmod{4}$$

Firstly, we will find all the triangles which are needed. Constituting l' - 1 disjoint triangles such that every triangle has a vertex in  $D_2$ , a vertex in  $D_3$  and a vertex in  $D_4$ . Recall that there is a few vertices are non-circular and  $a(D_2, D_4) \ge (1/2 - 300\mu)$ , which implies that there are l' - 1 disjoint arcs from  $D_2$  to  $D_4$  with endvertices are all circularity. Owing to the definition of circular, we can obtain l' - 1 disjoint triangles as desired. From now on, define S to be the set of l' - 1 triangles. Let D' = D - S, we conclude that  $D' \in \mathcal{F}$ and  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  in D', since l' is a constant.

In order to find cycles with given lengths, we will find  $l_3 - l_0$  suitable disjoint paths. Select  $l_1 - l_0$  disjoint arcs whose two endvertices are circular vertices in  $D_1$  as  $l_1 - l_0$  paths. And pick  $l_2 - l_1$  disjoint paths such that every path is a circular path  $D_3D_3D_3$ . And then find  $l_3 - l_2$  disjoint circular paths, where each path is shaped like  $D_2D_4D_1D_2$ . Note that we can ensure

that all of above paths are disjoint, since the numbers of arcs in  $D_1, D_3$ , from  $D_i$  to  $D_{i+1}$  and from  $D_2$  to  $D_4$  are large enough. These yield that a path system  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{l_0+1}, P_{l_0+2}, \ldots, P_{l_3}\}$ . Now, the new oriented graph  $H_1$  and contraction vertices  $p_{l_0+1}, p_{l_0+2}, \ldots, p_{l_3}$  are obtained by applying Algorithm 1 with the oriented graph D' and the path system  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is easy to check that all contraction vertices are circular and  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  in  $H_1$ . Set  $Z = \{p_{l_0+1}, p_{l_0+2}, \ldots, p_{l_3}\}$ .  $\dots, p_{l_3}\}$ . Removing the vertices in Z and arcs which adjacent with Z from  $H_1$ , we can obtain a new oriented graph  $H_2$ .

Actually, we need all vertices to be circular. Thereby, our purpose is to find some disjoint short paths containing all non-circular vertices so that all vertices are circular after contracting these paths. Assume  $v_1, \ldots, v_r$  are non-circular vertices in  $H_2$ . Next, we will explain that the following disjoint paths  $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_r$  are paths that we want. For each  $v_i$ , choose a circular out-neighbour  $v_i^+$  and a circular in-neighbour  $v_i^-$  so that they are distinct. Then there exist disjoint paths  $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_r$  such that for each  $i \in [r]$ , the following hold.

• The path  $Q_i$  starting at  $v_i^- v_i v_i^+$  and ending at a circular vertex which lies in the same class as  $v_i^-$  with length at most 7.

• The path  $Q_i$  is a circular path.

Applying Algorithm 1 with the oriented graph  $H_2$  and the paths  $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_r$ , we obtain a new oriented graph  $H_3$  and contraction vertices  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_r$ . For each  $j \in [r]$ , since the endvertices of  $Q_i$  are circular vertices, vertex  $q_j$  is circular, which is easy to check by the construction of  $q_j$ . Now every vertex of  $H_3$  is circular.

We construct a new oriented graph  $H_4$  by adding the vertex set Z to  $H_3$  and arcs between Z and  $V(H_3)$  in  $A(H_1)$ . Hence  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  in  $H_4$  and we still have that

$$||D_i| - |D_j|| \le |(1/4 + 16\mu)n - (1/4 - 16\mu)n| + t + r \le 300\sqrt{\mu}n.$$
(1)

Recall that  $a(D_2, D_4), a(D_4, D_2) > (1/2 - 300\mu)n^2/16$  in D, which gives a matching  $M_0$  of size  $2 \times 10^4 \sqrt{\mu}n$ , where  $10^4 \sqrt{\mu}n$  arcs are from  $D_2$  to  $D_4$  and  $10^4 \sqrt{\mu}n$  arcs are from  $D_4$  to  $D_2$  in  $H_4$ .

Next, we begin by finding disjoint cycles  $C_{l'+1}, C_{l'+2}, \ldots, C_t$ . Due to the definition of circularity and  $\mu \ll 1/(10^{10} \cdot t^2)$ , for any two circular vertices  $y \in D_{j-2}, x \in D_j$ , we actually get that

$$|N^{+}(y) \cap N^{-}(x) \cap D_{j-1}| \ge n/4 - 6000\sqrt{\mu}n \ge n/4 - n/4t + 100\sqrt{\mu}n + 2 \times 10^4\sqrt{\mu}n.$$
(2)

This implies that there are at least  $n/4 - n/4t + 2 \times 10^4 \sqrt{\mu}n$  circular vertices in  $N^+(y) \cap N^-(x) \cap D_{j-1}$ . However, since  $n_1 \ge n_i$  for  $1 \le i \le t$ , we get  $n_1 \ge n/t$ . Thus  $n - n_1 \le n - n/t$ . Also, it is clear from (2) that there are  $l_1 + l_2 + l_3$  disjoint cycles  $C'_{l'+1}, \ldots, C'_t$  satisfying the following statements.

• For each  $i \in [l'+1, t]$ ,  $C'_i$  with length  $\lfloor n_i/4 \rfloor \times 4$  is a circular cycle which avoids the vertices in the matching  $M_0$ .

• For each  $i \in [l_0 + 1, t]$ ,  $C'_i$  contains the corresponding contraction vertex  $p_i$ .

Consider each  $C'_i$ , if we replace the contraction vertex  $p_i$  with the path  $P_i$ , we can obtain a cycle of length  $n_i$  in D, for  $i \in [l_0+1, t]$ . Set  $U = V(C'_{l'+1} \cup C'_{l'+2} \cup \cdots \cup C'_t)$  and  $H_5 := H_4 - U$ . Clearly,  $M_0 \subseteq H_5$ . Moreover,  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  still holds in  $H_5$ .

Recall that D does not have a cycle-factor C, then  $H_5$  cannot be Hamiltonian. However, we will claim that  $H_5$  is Hamiltonian, which contradicts the hypothesis and proves the lemma. In order to apply Blow-up Lemma, we also need  $|D_1| = |D_2| = |D_3| = |D_4|$ . we can do this by finding two suitable disjoint paths to contract. Without loss of generality, assume that  $|D_1| < |D_3|$  in  $H_5$ . Let  $s := |D_3| - |D_1|$  in  $H_5$ , (1) implies that  $s < 300\sqrt{\mu}n$ . Due to the structure of D, almost all pairs of vertices in  $D_3$  are connected by an arc. Let  $H_6 = H_5 - V(M_0)$ . Thus we greedily find a path  $R_{1,3}$  of the form

### $D_3 D_3 D_4 D_1 D_2 \cdots D_3 D_3 D_4 D_1 D_2 D_3,$

where the fragment  $D_3D_3D_4D_1D_2$  consists of circular vertices and it repeats s times. So  $R_{1,3}$  starts with an arc between two circular vertices in  $D_3$ . Let  $H_7$  be the oriented graph by applying Algorithm 1 with the oriented graph  $H_6$  and the path  $R_{1,3}$ . Then add the vertex set  $V(M_0)$  and arcs between  $V(M_0)$  and  $V(H_7)$  in  $A(H_5)$ , assuming there is no confusion, we still call it  $H_7$ . It follows that  $|D_1| = |D_3|, |D_2| = |D_4|$  in  $H_7$  and all vertices of  $H_7$  are still circular. Without loss of generality, suppose that we have  $|D_2| > |D_1|$  in  $H_7$ . Let  $s := |D_2| - |D_1|, (1)$  implies that  $s < 300\sqrt{\mu}n$ . By using the arcs in the matching  $M_0$ , we can find a path  $R_{2,1}$  of the form

$$D_2 D_4 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_1 \cdots D_2 D_4 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_1 D_2$$

where the fragment  $D_2D_4D_1D_2D_3D_4D_2D_3D_4D_1$  appears repeatedly for s times. It is easy to check that  $D_7$  has such a path. Likewise, by contracting  $R_{2,1}$ , we obtain an oriented graph  $H_8$ . In  $H_8$ , we get that

$$|D_1| = |D_2| = |D_3| = |D_4| \ge (n_1 - 6r - 5 \times 300\sqrt{\mu}n - 10 \times 300\sqrt{\mu}n)/4$$
$$\ge (n_1 - 10^4\sqrt{\mu}n)/4.$$

Note that, all vertices are still circular in  $H_8$ . Namely, for every vertex  $v \in D_i$ , there are at least  $(1 - ct\sqrt{\mu})|D_{i+1}|$  out-neighbours in  $D_{i+1}$ .

Let F' be the 4-partite graph with vertex classes  $D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4$  in  $H_8$ , where the bipartite graphs induced by  $(D_i, D_{i+1})$  are all complete. Clearly F' is Hamiltonian. On the other hand, assume that G is the underlying graph corresponding to the set of edges oriented from  $D_i$  to  $D_{i+1}$  in  $H_8$ , for  $i \in [4]$ . Pick  $\eta$  with  $ct\sqrt{\mu} \ll \eta^2$ . Since all vertices of  $H_8$  are still circular, for any subset  $X \subseteq D_i$  and  $Y \subseteq D_{i+1}$  with  $|X| \ge \eta |D_i|, |Y| \ge \eta |D_{i+1}|$ , it follows that

$$d(X,Y) \ge \frac{(|Y| - ct\sqrt{\mu}|D_{i+1}|)|X|}{|X||Y|} \ge 1 - \frac{ct\sqrt{\mu}}{\eta}$$

Therefore, each pair  $(D_i, D_{i+1})$  is  $\eta$ -regular pair in G. Further, each pair  $(D_i, D_{i+1})$  is  $(\eta, 1)$ super-regular pair as all vertices of  $H_8$  are circular. Also, G is simple. So we can apply

Lemma 2.3 with k = 4,  $\Delta = 2$  to get a Hamiltonian cycle in G. Since the construction of G,  $H_8$  is Hamiltonian. Recall that  $H_8$  is obtained by  $H_5$  contracting two paths, this implies that  $H_5$  is Hamiltonian. This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define constants  $M', \varepsilon, d, \mu, \tau, \eta, n_0$  satisfying

$$n_0^{-1/6} \ll 1/M' \ll \varepsilon^2 \ll d \ll \mu \ll \tau \ll \eta \ll 1/t.$$
(3)

Suppose that D is an oriented graph on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$ . Assume that Theorem 1.2 is false. Namely, D contains no cycle-factor  $\mathcal{C}$  with cycles  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$ whose orders are  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$ , respectively. Without loss of generality, assume that  $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \cdots n_j \ge \varepsilon^2 n > n_{j+1} \ge \cdots \ge n_t$ . Let's start by finding disjoint cycles whose lengths less than  $\varepsilon^2 n$ . This can be done by applying Lemma 3.1. These yield t - j disjoint cycles  $C_{j+1}, C_{j+2}, \ldots, C_t$  where each cycle  $C_i$  has the length  $n_i < \varepsilon^2 n$ . Define  $D^*$  to be the oriented graph  $D - \bigcup_{i=j+1}^t C_i$ . It is easy to check that the semidegree of  $D^*$  at least  $(3/8 - t\varepsilon^2)n$ . Apply Diregularity Lemma (Lemma 2.1) with parameters  $\varepsilon^2, d, M'$  for the digraph  $D^*$  to obtain a partition  $V_0, V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$  and a reduced oriented graph R by Lemma 2.2. Further, we have  $\delta^0(R) \ge (3/8 - t\varepsilon^2 - d - 3\varepsilon)|R| \ge (3/8 - 3d)|R|$ , as (3).

**Claim 3.1** *R* is a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander.

**Proof** Suppose that R is not a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that D is an extremal oriented graph. Owing to the semidegree of D and Lemma 3.3, there exists an oriented graph obtained by contracting a few short paths or resetting a few vertices from D satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.4. Namely, there is a cycle-factor with lengths  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$ , a contradiction. Thereby, R is a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander. Combining with Fact 3.1, R is a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander.

In the following, we will apply Lemma 3.2 to find disjoint cycles with lengths  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_j$ . To do this, we split  $V(D^*)$  into  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_j$  with almost inherit the semidegree condition of  $D^*$ . In this process, "Chernoff bound" is essential, which states that  $\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}X| > a) < e^{-a^2/(3 \cdot \mathbb{E}X)}$ , where X is the hypergeometric random variable and  $\mathbb{E}X$  is the expectation of X. Set  $\xi_i = \lfloor \frac{n_i}{k} \rfloor \cdot \frac{1}{|V_i|}$ . Namely,  $\xi_i > \varepsilon^2$ , for each  $i \in [j]$ .

**Claim 3.2** There exists a partition of  $V(D^*)$  into j sets  $(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_j)$  such that the following properties hold.

(i)  $|S_i| = n_i \ge \varepsilon^2 n$  and  $\delta^0(D[S_i]) \ge 2\eta |S_i|$ , for  $i \in [j]$ .

(ii) For each  $i \in [j]$ , there is an oriented reduced graph  $R_i$  with parameters ( $\varepsilon^2$ , 5d/6) corresponding to the partition  $S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,k}$  of  $S_i$ . Moreover, every  $R_i$  is isomorphic with R.

**Proof** (i). For each  $i \in [j]$ , we will show that  $S_i = \bigcup_{l \in [k]} S_{i,l} \cup V_{i,0}$ , where  $S_{i,l}$  is a subset of  $V_i$  with order  $\xi_i |V_i|$  and  $V_{i,0}$  is a subset of  $V_0$  with order  $n_i - \xi_i k |V_i|$ , so  $|S_i| = n_i$ . For each  $V_l$ , consider a random partition of  $V_l$  into j sets  $S_{1,l}, S_{2,l}, \ldots, S_{j,l}$ . By assigning every vertex  $x \in V_l$  to  $S_{i,l}$  with probability  $\xi_i$  independently. For every vertex  $v \in V(D^*)$ , let the random variable  $A_{v,i}^+$  (resp.,  $A_{v,i}^-$ ) calculate the number of out-neighbours (resp., in-neighbours) of v in  $S_{i,l}$ . It is not hard to see that

$$\mathbb{E}A_{v,i}^{+} = \frac{d_{V_{l}}^{+}(v)}{|V_{l}|} \cdot |S_{i,l}| = \xi_{i}d_{V_{l}}^{+}(v).$$

Owing to Chernoff bound, this yields that

v

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{v,i}^+ - \mathbb{E}A_{v,i}^+ < -n^{2/3}) < e^{-\frac{n^{4/3}}{3 \cdot d_{V_l}^+(v)}}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{e \in V(D^*)} \mathbb{P}(A_{v,i}^+ - \mathbb{E}A_{v,i}^+ < -n^{2/3}) < ne^{-\frac{n^{4/3}}{3 \cdot d_{V_l}^+(v)}}.$$

Analogously we can get that

$$\sum_{v \in V(D^*)} \mathbb{P}(A^-_{v,i} - \mathbb{E}A^-_{v,i} < -n^{2/3}) < ne^{-\frac{n^{4/3}}{3 \cdot d^+_{V_l}(v)}}.$$

So with non-zero probability we obtain that  $d_{S_{i,l}}^{\sigma}(v) \geq \xi_i d_{V_l}^{\sigma}(v) - n^{2/3}$  for every vertex  $v \in V(D^*)$ , every  $i \in [k]$  and  $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$ . For each  $i \in [j]$ , arbitrarily pick  $n_i - \xi_i k |V_l|$  vertices from the set  $V_0$ , and form the set  $S_i$  with the set  $\bigcup_{l=1}^k S_{i,l}$ . For  $\sigma \in \{-, +\}$ , (3) and  $|S_i| = n_i \geq \varepsilon^2 n$  yield that

$$\delta^{\sigma}(D^*[S_i]) \ge (3/8 - t \cdot \varepsilon^2)|S_i| - n^{2/3} \ge (3/8 - t \cdot \varepsilon^2 - n^{-1/3}/\varepsilon^2)|S_i| > 2\eta|S_i|, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

(*ii*). For every edge  $l_1 l_2 \in E(R)$ , it follows from the construction of R that  $A[V_{l_1}, V_{l_2}]$  is  $\varepsilon^2$ -regular pair with density at least d. From the definition of regularity and  $|S_{i,l_1}| \geq \varepsilon |V_{l_1}|$ ,  $|S_{i,l_2}| \geq \varepsilon |V_{l_2}|$ , it can be concluded that  $|d(S_{i,l_1}, S_{i,l_2}) - d(V_{l_1}, V_{l_2})| < \varepsilon^2$ , that is  $d(S_{i,l_1}, S_{i,l_2}) \geq d - \varepsilon^2 \geq 5d/6$ . On the other hand, there is no arc from  $S_{i,l_1}$  to  $S_{i,l_2}$  whenever  $l_1 l_2 \notin E(R)$ . Hence, there is an oriented reduced graph  $R_i$  with parameters ( $\varepsilon^2$ , 5d/6) corresponding to the partition  $S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,k}$  of  $S_i$ . Clearly,  $V(R_i) = V(R)$ . These analyses make it obvious that  $R_i$  is isomorphic with R.

Together with Lemma 3.2 (i), each oriented graph  $D^*(S_i)$  is Hamiltonian. Thereby, we find disjoint cycles of lengths  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.

## 4 Strongly Hamiltonian-connected in oriented graphs

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we show a key lemma to the proof of Theorem 1.3. Define  $\beta = (1/100 + c\sqrt{\mu})n/4$ . When  $|D_2| > |D_4|$ , we call a vertex good if it is acceptable, and it belongs to  $D_4$  or has one of the properties  $D_1 : (D_2)_{<\beta}(D_3)_{<\beta}, D_2 : (D_1)^{<\beta}(D_2)^{<\beta}_{<\beta}(D_3)_{<\beta}, D_3 : (D_1)^{<\beta}(D_2)^{<\beta}$ . Similarly, when  $|D_2| < |D_4|$ , we call a vertex good if it is acceptable, and the vertex in  $D_2$  or has one of the properties  $D_1 : (D_2)_{<\beta}(D_3)_{<\beta}, D_3 : (D_1)^{<\beta}(D_2)^{<\beta}$ . Similarly, if a vertex is not good, we call it a bad vertex. The idea of proving Lemma 4.1 is very similar to proving Theorem 1.2, so here we focus on the placement of the vertex x. Here, we still denote  $\alpha = (1/100 - c\sqrt{\mu})n/4$ .

**Lemma 4.1** Suppose D is an oriented graph on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge 3n/8$ , where  $n_0$  is some integer. The oriented graph D' obtained from D by adding a vertex x such that the semidegree of x in D' is at least  $4\alpha$ , then D' is Hamiltonian.

**Proof** Suppose, contrary to our lemma, that D' is not Hamiltonian. Define constants  $M', \varepsilon, d, \mu, \tau, \eta, n_0$  satisfying  $n_0^{-1/6} \ll 1/M' \ll \varepsilon \ll d \ll \mu \ll \tau \ll 1/100$ . Applying Diregularity Lemma with parameters  $\varepsilon^2, d, M'$  for the digraph D, this yields a partition  $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_k$  and there is a corresponding reduced oriented graph R with  $\delta^0(R) \ge (3/8 - 3d)|R|$  by Lemma 2.2. If R is a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander, then R is a robust  $(\mu, \tau)$ -outexpander by Fact 3.1. Put x into the exception set  $V_0$ . Lemma 4.1 holds as Lemma 3.2 (i), a contradiction. Otherwise, R is not a robust  $(\mu, 1/3)$ -outexpander, then  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  with a partition  $(D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4)$  by Lemma 3.2 (i).

**Claim 4.1** There is a part  $D_r$  such that we can put x into  $D_r$  to be an acceptable vertex,  $r \in [4]$ .

**Proof** Owing to the semidegree of x, there exist two parts  $D_i$  and  $D_j$  such that  $|N^+(x) \cap D_i| > \alpha$  and  $|N^-(x) \cap D_j| > \alpha$ ,  $i, j \in [4]$ . Therefore, the choice of  $D_r$  depends on the following circumstances:

- When  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , if  $j \in \{1, 4\}$  then r = 1, otherwise, r = 4.
- When  $i \in \{3, 4\}$ , if  $j \in \{2, 3\}$  then r = 3, otherwise, r = 2.

It is easy to check that x is an acceptable vertex in  $D_r$ .

Now, all vertices in D' are acceptable. In addition, it is not difficult to find D' belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Indeed, if  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  in D' by few arrangement, then D' satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.4. Thus, D' is Hamiltonian, a contradiction. Therefore,  $|D_2| \neq |D_4|$  always hold in the following procedures as we can't move more than  $2(|D_2| - |D_4|)$  vertices. Without lose of generality, suppose that  $|D_2| > |D_4|$  in D'. In the following, we only need to prove that there is a contradiction with the semidegree of D. Its proof is the same as Claims 2.5-2.7 in [8], but for the sake of completeness of proof, we still give the complete proof here. **Claim 4.2** [8] All vertices in D' will become good by some arrangement. And then there is a contradiction with the semidegree of D.

**Proof** Set  $s = |D_2| - |D_4|$ . Note that we put a vertex v into  $D_4$  to be an acceptable vertex, then v is a good vertex by the definition of good vertex.

**Subclaim 1** There are at most s - 1 bad vertices in  $D_1 \cup D_3$ . Moreover, we can arrange them to be good vertices by moving them to  $D_4$ .

**Proof** If v is a bad vertex in  $D_1$ , we can obtain that  $|N^-(v) \cap D_2| \ge \beta$  or  $|N^-(v) \cap D_3| \ge \beta$ . It follows from v is an acceptable vertex that  $|N^+(v) \cap D_1| \ge \alpha$  or  $|N^+(v) \cap D_2| \ge \alpha$ . It is easy to check that we move the vertex v to  $D_4$  then v is an acceptable vertex. Furthermore, it is good. Similarly for the case  $v \in D_3$ . Thus, if there are s bad vertices in  $D_1 \cup D_3$ , then we can arrange them to be good vertices by moving them to  $D_4$ . This yields that  $|D_2| = |D_4|$ , a contradiction.

**Subclaim 2** All bad vertices in  $D_2$  will become acceptable in  $D_1 \cup D_3$  by some arrangement.

**Proof** Suppose that v is not a good vertex in  $D_2$ . Then v satisfies at least one of properties  $D_2: (D_1)^{>\beta}$ ,  $D_2: (D_2)^{>\beta}$ ,  $D_2: (D_2)_{>\beta}$  and  $D_2: (D_3)_{>\beta}$ . By the acceptability of v, we get that v has a large in-neighbourhood in  $D_1$  or  $D_4$  and a large out-neighbourhood in  $D_2$  or  $D_3$ . Hence, if v has properties  $D_2: (D_1)^{>\beta}$  or  $D_2: (D_2)^{>\beta}$ , then it is also an acceptable vertex in  $D_1$ . So we move the vertex v to the part  $D_1$ . And if v has properties  $D_2: (D_2)_{>\beta}$  or  $D_2: (D_3)_{>\beta}$ , then v is also an acceptable vertex in  $D_3$ . In this case, we move the vertex v to  $D_3$ . By Subclaim 1, we can arrange v to be good in some part  $D_k$ .

Note that  $|D_2| \neq |D_4|$  during the whole process. However, it may happen that  $|D_2| - |D_4|$ goes from +1 to -1 if a vertex v is moved from  $D_2$  to  $D_4$ . In this case we can put v into  $D_1$  or  $D_3$  to be an acceptable vertex, by Claim 2. This yields that  $|D_2| = |D_4|$  in D', a contradiction. Thereby all vertices are good by our arrangement and  $|D_2| > |D_4|$  in D'.

Next, we will show that there is no arc from  $D_3$  to  $D_2$  and from  $D_2 \cup D_3$  to  $D_1$  and in  $D_2$ . Construct a spanning oriented graph H' of D' whose arc set is  $A(H') = A(D_2, D_1) \cup A(D_2) \cup A(D_3, D_1) \cup A(D_3, D_2)$ . Let M be a maximum matching in H'. For each  $i \in [3]$ , suppose  $L_i = V(M) \cap D_i$ . If  $a(M) \ge s$ , we could extend s arcs of M to s disjoint paths such that the difference between  $|D_2|$  and  $|D_4|$  decreased by s by contracting these paths. Then the oriented graph D'' obtained by contracting these paths from D' has  $|D_2| = |D_4|$ . By Lemma 3.4, D'' is Hamiltonian. Further, D' is Hamiltonian, a contradiction. Hence, a(M) < s.

Then we will claim that a(M) = 0. Assume to the contrary that  $a(M) \ge 1$ . The maximality of M and the definition of the good vertex imply

$$a(D_3, D_1) \le a(L_3, D_1) + a(D_3, L_1)$$
  
 $\le (|L_3| + |L_1|)\beta \le a(M)|D_1|/45.$ 

Similarly, we also can obtain that

$$a(D_2, D_1) \le a(L_2, D_1) + a(D_2, L_1) < a(M)|D_1|/45,$$
  
 $a(D_3, D_2) \le a(L_3, D_2) + a(D_3, L_2) < a(M)|D_3|/45,$   
 $a(D_2) \le |L_2| \cdot 2\beta < 2a(M)|D_2|/45.$ 

Hence, it follows that

$$\sum_{v \in D_1} d^-(v) \le \frac{|D_1| \cdot (|D_1 - 1|)}{2} + 2a(M)|D_1|/45 + |D_1| \cdot |D_4|,$$
$$\sum_{v \in D_2} d(v) \le (|D_1| + |D_3|) \cdot |D_2| + 4a(M)|D_2|/45 + |D_2| \cdot |D_4|,$$
$$\sum_{v \in D_3} d^+(v) \le \frac{|D_3| \cdot (|D_3 - 1|)}{2} + 2a(M)|D_3|/45 + |D_3| \cdot |D_4|.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that the vertex x is a good vertex in  $D_1$ . By pigeonhole principle, there are three vertices  $u \in D_1, v \in D_2, w \in D_3$  with  $u \neq x$  such that

$$d^{-}(u) < \frac{|D_{1}|}{2} + \frac{|D_{1}| \cdot |D_{4}|}{|D_{1}| - 1} + \frac{2a(M)|D_{1}|}{45 \cdot (|D_{1}| - 1)},$$
  
$$d(v) < |D_{1}| + |D_{3}| + |D_{4}| + 4a(M)/45,$$
  
$$d^{+}(w) < |D_{3}|/2 + |D_{4}| + 2a(M)/45.$$

Together with  $|D_1| + |D_2| + |D_3| + |D_4| \le n$ , it yields that

$$\frac{3n}{2} \leq d^{-}(u) + d^{+}(w) + d(v) 
< \frac{3}{2}(n - |D_{2}| + |D_{4}|) + 2|D_{4}| + \frac{|D_{1}| \cdot |D_{4}|}{|D_{1}| - 1} + \frac{6a(M)}{45} + \frac{2a(M)|D_{1}|}{45(|D_{1}| - 1)} 
< \frac{3}{2}(n - |D_{2}| + \frac{|D_{4}| \cdot |D_{1}|}{|D_{1}| - 1}) + \frac{6a(M)}{45} + \frac{2a(M)|D_{1}|}{45(|D_{1}| - 1)}.$$
(4)

Recall that  $|D_2| - |D_4| = s > a(M)$ , so  $|D_2| - \frac{|D_4| \cdot |D_1|}{|D_1| - 1} > a(M)$  by simple calculation. Thus (4) gives that

$$\frac{3}{2} \cdot a(M) < \frac{6a(M)}{45} + \frac{2a(M)|D_1|}{45(|D_1|-1)}.$$

This is impossible, so a(M) = 0. Further, (4) also implies that  $|D_2| - \frac{|D_4| \cdot |D_1|}{|D_1| - 1} < 0$ . Namely,  $|D_2| - |D_4| = 0$ . It contradicts the assumption, and thus the proof is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Suppose D is an oriented graph on  $n \ge n_0$  vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge 3n/8 + 2$ . And assume that we need find a Hamiltonian path from u to v. If  $N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^-(v)$  is not an empty set, divide  $N_D^+(u) \cap N_D^-(v)$  equally into two parts  $N_u, N_v$ .

Next, an auxiliary oriented graph H is obtained by removing the vertices u, v from D, then add a vertex w with  $N_H^+(w) = N_D^+(u) \setminus N_v$  and  $N_H^-(w) = N_D^-(v) \setminus N_u$ . Then semidegree of win H is at least 3n/16 and  $\delta^0(H - \{w\}) \ge 3n/8$ , which is clear from  $\delta^0(D) \ge 3n/8 + 2$ . Thus H contains a Hamiltonian cycle since Lemma 4.1. Restore the vertex w into the vertices u, v. It means that there is a Hamiltonian path from u to v in D. The theorem is established by the arbitrariness of u and v.

### 5 Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Before proving Theorem 1.4, we need to introduce more concepts. Denote the *distance* of two vertices x, y to be the length of a shortest path from x to y. In 2008, Lichiardopol [14] showed that the diameter of an oriented graph D of order n with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/3$  is at most 5.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** (i) Assume the sequence  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  of distinct vertices of D is the order which we need to encounter by a Hamiltonian cycle. We proof Theorem 1.4(i) by finding a path P from  $s_1$  to  $s_k$  whose length is at most 4k - 3. For each  $j \in [k]$ , there exists a path  $P_i$  form  $s_i$  to  $s_{i+1}$  whose length is at most 5 and avoids the vertices  $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+2}, \ldots, s_k\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} P_j$  since  $\delta^0(D - \bigcup_{j=1}^k P_j) \ge 3n/8 + 5k/2 - 2 - (4k - 3) > n/3$ . Therefore, there is a path P from  $s_1$  to  $s_k$  and encounters each  $s_i$  in the order. Apparently, the length of P is at most 4k - 3. Let H be the oriented graph that remove the vertices  $P \setminus \{s_1, s_k\}$  from D. Owing to  $\delta^0(D - P \setminus \{s_1, s_k\}) \ge 3n/8 + 5k/2 - 2 - (4k - 3) > 3(n - 4k - 3)/8 + 2$  and Theorem 1.3, there is a Hamiltonian path from  $s_k$  to  $s_1$  in H. This implies that D is k-ordered Hamiltonian.

(*ii*) Assume  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}, Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k\}$  be 2k vertices to be linked. Since  $\delta^0(D - \bigcup_{j=1}^k P_j) \ge 3n/8 + 7k/2 - 1 - 5k > n/3$ , there exists a path  $P_i$  from  $x_i$  to  $y_i$  of length at most 5 and avoiding the vertices  $(X \cup Y \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} P_j) \setminus \{x_i, y_i\}$ , for each  $i \in [k-1]$ . This yields that the semidegree of  $D - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j$  is at least  $3n/8 + 7k/2 - 1 - 5(k-1) \ge 3(n-5k+5)/8 + 2$ . Together with Theorem 1.3, we get that  $D - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j$  contains a Hamiltonian path from  $x_k$  to  $y_k$ . Hence D is spanning k-linked.

### 6 Remark

In 1993, Häggkvist [7] also made the following conjecture. Here, define  $\delta^*(D) = \delta(D) + \delta^+(D) + \delta^-(D)$ .

**Conjecture 6.1** Every oriented graph D with  $\delta^*(D) > (3n-3)/2$  is Hamiltonian.

In [10], this conjecture was verified approximately; that is, if  $\delta^*(D) \ge (3/2 + o(1))n$ , then the oriented graph D is Hamiltonian. In addition, notice that in Theorem 1.2, we only get any cycle-factor with constant cycles, which implies that there must exist a long cycle whose length at least n/t in any cycle-factor. Sudakov [9] described an infinite class of tournaments T of order n with  $\delta^0(T) \ge (n-1)/2 - 1$  which are not have a perfect packing of cyclic triangles. Naturally, we put forward the following question.

**Problem 6.1** Whether there is any cycle-factor with t cycles in an oriented graph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge (3n-4)/8$  (resp.  $\delta^*(D) > (3n-3)/2$ ), for any natural number t < n/3?

Finally, we can also consider the linkage problem in oriented graphs. Indeed, it is easy to check that every oriented graph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/3 + 5k - 5$  is k-linked. On the other hand we can construct an oriented graph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/4 + 3k/2 - 5/2$ , but not k-linked.

Let D be an oriented graph on vertex set  $V = B \cup C \cup X \cup Y$ , where  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$  and |B| = |C| = (n - 2k)/2 and whose arcs are listed in the following ways.

(1) The arcs in both X and Y are oriented arbitrarily.

(2) The arcs in both B and C are oriented so that D[B] and D[C] form a regular tournament.

(3) All arcs are oriented from  $\{x_k, y_k\} \cup C$  to B, from B to  $(X \cup Y) \setminus \{x_k, y_k\}$ , from C to  $\{x_k, y_k\}$  and from  $(X \cup Y) \setminus \{x_k, y_k\}$  to C.

(4) All arcs are oriented from X to Y except for arcs  $y_i x_i$  for each  $i \in [k]$ .



Fig. 2: An oriented graph D with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/4 + 3k/2 - 5/2$  that is not k-linked.

First, we shall show that  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/4 + 3k/2 - 5/2$ . It is easy to check that every vertex in  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}\}$  has out-neighbourhood C and in-neighbourhood B. This gives that its semidegree is at least (n - 2k)/2. Similarly, the semidegree of  $x_k$  (resp.  $y_k$ ) is at least (n - 2k)/2. Therefore, it remains to show that every vertex in  $B \cup C$  has semidegree at least n/4 + 3k/2 - 5/2. By the construction of (2)-(3), for every vertex  $x \in B \cup C$ , there is

$$d^{\sigma}(x) \ge \frac{(n-2k)/2 - 1}{2} + 2(k-1) \ge n/4 + 3k/2 - 5/2, \sigma \in \{+, -\}.$$

But it is not difficult to see that D does not contain an  $(x_k, y_k)$ -path which avoids  $X \cup Y$ .

Therefore, we provide the following problem.

**Problem 6.2** Whether there is a constant c such that every oriented graph D on n vertices with  $\delta^0(D) \ge n/4 + ck$  is k-linked?

## References

- [1] S. Abbasi, Spanning cycles in dense graphs, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1999.
- [2] N. Alon and A. Shapira, Testing subgraphs in directed graphs, J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 69 (2004), 354–382.
- [3] C. Berge, *Graphs*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. Second revised edition of part 1 of the 1973 English version.
- [4] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal, On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph, Acta Math. Hung. 14 (1963), 423–439.
- [5] M.H. El-Zahar, On circuits in graphs, Disc. Math. 50 (1984), 227–230.
- [6] A. Ghouila-Houri, Une condition suffisante d'existence d'un circuit hamiltonien, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 25 (1960), 495–497.
- [7] R. Häggkvist, Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 2 (1993), 25–32.
- [8] P. Keevash, D. Kühn and D. Osthus, An exact minimum degree condition for Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 79 (2009), 144–166.
- [9] P. Keevash and B. Sudakov, Triangle packings and 1-factors in oriented graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 99 (2009), 709–727.
- [10] L. Kelly, D. Kühn and D. Osthus, A Dirac-type result on Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 17 (2008), 689–709.
- [11] L. Kelly, D. Kühn and D. Osthus, Cycles of given length in oriented graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 100 (2010), 251–264.
- [12] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, Blow-up Lemma, Comb. 17 (1997), 109– 123.
- [13] D. Kühn, D. Osthus and A. Young, k-ordered Hamilton cycles in digraphs, J. Combin. Theory 98 (2008), 1165–1180.

- [14] N. Lichiardopol, A new lower bound on the strong connectivity of an oriented graph. Application to diameters with a particular case related to Caccetta Häggkvist conjecture, Discrete Math. 303 (2008), 5274–5279.
- [15] J. Shen, Directed triangles in digraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 74 (1998), 405-407.
- [16] C. Thomassen, Long cycles in digraphs with constraints on the degrees, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 38 (1979), 211–228.
- [17] H. Wang, Proof of the Erdős-Faudree conjecture on quadrilaterals, Graphs Combin. 26 (2010), 833–877.
- [18] H. Wang, Disjoint 5-cycles in a graph, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 32 (2012), 221– 242.
- [19] H. Wang, Y. Wang, and J. Yan, Disjoint cycles in a digraph with partial degree, SIAM J. Discrete Math 37 (2023), 221–232.