

FDO Manager: Minimum Viable FAIR Digital Object Implementation

Oussama Zoubia², Zeyd Boukhers^{1,2}, Nagaraj Bahubali Asundi¹, Sezin Dogan², Adamantios Koumpis², Christoph Lange^{1,3}, and Oya Beyan^{1,2}

¹Fraunhofer Institute for Applied Information Technology FIT, Sankt Augustin, Germany

²University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

³RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Abstract

The concept of FAIR Digital Objects (FDOs) aims to revolutionise the field of digital preservation and accessibility in the next few years. Central to this revolution is the alignment of FDOs with the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) Principles, particularly emphasizing machine-actionability and interoperability across diverse data ecosystems. This abstract introduces the “FDO Manager”, a Minimum Viable Implementation, designed to optimize the management of FDOs following these principles and the FDO specifications¹. The FDO Manager is tailored to manage research artefacts such as datasets, codes, and publications, to foster increased transparency and reproducibility in research. The abstract presents the implementation details of the FDO Manager, its underlying architecture, and the metadata schemas it employs, thereby offering a clear and comprehensive understanding of its functionalities and impact on the research domain.

Keywords: FAIR Digital Objects, FDO Manager, Data Preservation, Interoperability, Metadata Schemas, Research Artifact Management

1 Introduction

Since its introduction in 2018 [CGH⁺18], the concept of FAIR Digital Objects (FDOs) has seen a remarkable rise in interest within the research and data management communities. FDOs are key in enhancing data preservation and accessibility, contributing to a robust ecosystem for managing digital research resources [DSKW20]. This surge in popularity underscores the increasing relevance of the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) Principles in the realm of scientific data management [WDA⁺16, MNV⁺17].

The FDO Framework [BdSSSFG23] is built upon the FAIR Guiding Principles [WDA⁺16], which are designed to enhance the FAIRness of digital objects, focusing on concepts such as unique identification, rich metadata, etc. However, implementing these specifications is challenging due to their purely theoretical nature. The recurring requirement of metadata as FDOs, for instance, can lead to complex scenarios where the implementation becomes a task of balancing the depth of detail with practical usability.

To address these challenges, this abstract proposes the FDO Manager, which aims to simplify these complexities, providing a pragmatic approach to implementing FDOs that remains true to the FDO specifications while being feasible and user-friendly for researchers and data curators. This involves optimizing the management of research digital objects [BC23], making them more accessible and usable in various research and data management contexts.

Our approach to FDO implementation is minimalistic yet viable. It offers an easy-to-use method for employing FDOs, aligning well with the concepts presented in Reproducible Research Publication Workflow (RRPW) [PBBC22], which emphasizes the importance of FAIR principles in the research publication process.

¹<https://fairdo.org/specifications/>

2 FDO Manager

The core concept driving the FDO Manager is to present a straightforward and minimalist solution that not only conforms to the FAIR Principles but also addresses the practical challenges of managing complex digital objects and their metadata in a systematic and user-centric manner.

Figure 1 presents a refined view of the FDO Manager’s architecture, which intricately outlines the interaction between key components within the FDO ecosystem. The FDO Record, a central node in this structure, is uniquely identified by a Persistent Identifier (PID), which directly points to the associated Digital Object (DO), ensuring unambiguous reference and retrieval.

In parallel, the FDO Record is also connected and refers to its metadata through a distinct PID, establishing a dual-PID system that differentiates between the DO and its descriptive metadata. The metadata, which describes the DO, is stored independently in a dedicated Metadata Registry, allowing for streamlined management and retrieval.

These metadata entries, while connected to the FDO Records, are managed as separate entities, reinforcing the metadata’s role as standalone FDOs. This approach aligns with the FAIR principle of interoperability, allowing for the metadata to be utilized across various systems and applications without direct dependency on the DOs they describe.

Furthermore, the architecture includes an FDO Registry, a Catalog which serves as a comprehensive index, registering each FDO Record. This ensures efficient organization and accessibility of digital objects within the system. The Operation Registry complements this by detailing the range of operations that can be executed on a specific FDO Record, encapsulating the dynamic functionalities the FDO Manager supports.

The FDO Manager was designed as a REST API, combining user-friendliness with flexibility. The FDO Manager facilitates various operations (POST, GET, PUT, ...) for the efficient management of stored digital object records, including retrieval, addition, modification and deletion of FDO records. In the pursuit of interoperability, our approach leverages the widely recognized Schema.org² standards to define the metadata schema for the proposed FDO records. which ensures a standardized and universally understood structure for metadata, enhancing the compatibility and exchange of information within the broader digital ecosystem.

The storage mechanism in the FDO Manager goes beyond capturing individual FDOs; it is designed to encapsulate the relationships between them as well. This would ensure FDO records preservation, reproducibility, and overall utility of digital content

In parallel with the FDO Manager, we have developed a user-friendly FDO Manager Playground interface. This interface serves as a testing ground to explore and assess the functionalities of the FDO Manager, allowing for a comprehensive examination of various endpoints and thorough testing of input and output processes. For a more in-depth understanding of both the FDO Manager and the FDO Manager Playground functionalities, detailed information can be accessed directly through our documentation website.³

Figure 2 illustrates the proposed schema for FDO Manager classes, presenting the structure, attributes and relationships of various classes. The schema introduces four classes: *CreativeWork*, *Service*, *Person* and *Organization*. which were derived from schema.org. Various types of relationships are shown, including simple relationships such as the one between *Person* and *Organization*. Conditional relationships are a notable feature in the model; for instance, the *CreativeWork* class can be associated with either a *Person* or an *Organization*, similar to a *Service*. Additionally, the *CreativeWork* class shows a self-referential relationship; *citation*, allowing a *CreativeWork*, whether a dataset, code, or publication, to cite another *CreativeWork*. These relationships enable a flexible representation of entities, in addition to prioritizing scalability and extensibility to accommodate the evolving digital objects.

3 Conclusion

In conclusion, the FDO Manager emerges as a practical and efficient solution for FDO management. Explored in this paper are its architecture, implementation details, and alignment with FDO specifications and FAIR Principles. With a focus on research artifacts, the FDO Manager streamlines workflows, enhances persistence, and enforces discoverability, contributing significantly to open science. Its adoption ensures that research artifacts remain easily accessible, making a valuable contribution to transparent and collaborative scientific practices.

²<https://schema.org/>

³<https://fddal.gitlab.io/fdom/>

Author contributions

O.Z: Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, **Z.B:** Writing – Review & Editing, Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Project Administration **N.A:** Conceptualization, Methodology, **S.D:** Visualization, **A.K:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Project Administration, **C.L:** Writing – Review, Funding Acquisition, **O.B:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Project Administration, Funding Acquisition.

References

- [BC23] Zeyd Boukhers and Leyla Jael Castro. Enhancing reproducibility in research through FAIR digital objects. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Research Data Infrastructure*, volume 1, 2023.
- [BdSSSFG23] Luiz Olavo Bonino da Silva Santos, Tiago Prince Sales, Claudenir M. Fonseca, and Giancarlo Guizzardi. *Towards a Conceptual Model for the FAIR Digital Object Framework*. IOS Press, December 2023.
- [CGH⁺18] Sandra Collins, Françoise Genova, Natalie Harrower, Simon Hodson, Sarah Jones, Leif Laaksonen, Daniel Mietchen, Rūta Petrauskaitė, and Peter Wittenburg. Turning FAIR into reality: Final report and action plan from the european commission expert group on FAIR data. 2018.
- [DSKW20] Koenraad De Smedt, Dimitris Koureas, and Peter Wittenburg. FAIR digital objects for science: From data pieces to actionable knowledge units. *Publications*, 8(2):21, 2020.
- [MNV⁺17] Barend Mons, Cameron Neylon, Jan Velterop, Michel Dumontier, Luiz Olavo Bonino da Silva Santos, and Mark D Wilkinson. Cloudy, increasingly FAIR; revisiting the FAIR data guiding principles for the european open science cloud. *Information services & use*, 37(1):49–56, 2017.
- [PBBC22] Limor Peer, Claudia Biniossek, Dirk Betz, and Thu-Mai Christian. Reproducible research publication workflow: A canonical workflow framework and FAIR digital object approach to quality research output. *Data Intelligence*, 4(2):306–319, 2022.
- [WDA⁺16] Mark D Wilkinson, Michel Dumontier, IJsbrand Jan Aalbersberg, Gabrielle Appleton, Myles Axton, Arie Baak, Niklas Blomberg, Jan-Willem Boiten, Luiz Bonino da Silva Santos, Philip E Bourne, et al. The FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific data*, 3(1):1–9, 2016.

A Appendix




Figure 1: The FDO Manager architecture




Figure 2: The FDO Manager Schema