

# $\imath$ HALL ALGEBRAS OF WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE LINES AND QUANTUM SYMMETRIC PAIRS II: INJECTIVITY

MING LU AND SHIQUAN RUAN

ABSTRACT. We show that the morphism  $\Omega$  from the  $\imath$ quantum loop algebra  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath(L\mathfrak{g})$  of split type to the  $\imath$ Hall algebra of the weighted projective line is injective if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of finite or affine type. As a byproduct, we use the whole  $\imath$ Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver  $C_n$  to realise the  $\imath$ quantum loop algebra of affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
3. $\imath$ Hall algebras and $\imath$ quantum loop algebras	11
4. $\imath$ Hall algebras of cyclic quivers and $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\imath_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$	13
5. Injectivity for finite and affine type cases	21
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1990s, Ringel [Rin90] used the Hall algebra of Dynkin quiver to realize a half part of a quantum group, which is generalized to Kac-Moody setting by Green [Gr95]. Two decades later, Bridgeland [Br13] introduced a Hall algebra of the category of 2-periodic complexes of an acyclic quiver to realise the entire quantum group. Inspired by Bridgeland's construction, the first author and Peng [LP21] defined a so-called semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra for arbitrary hereditary abelian categories via 2-periodic complexes. The construction of semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras was further extended to  $m$ -periodic complexes over hereditary abelian categories, and then to 1-Gorenstein algebras; see [LinP19, LW22a].

There has been a current realization of the affine quantum groups formulated by Drinfeld [Dr88, Be94, Da15]. Hall algebra of the projective line was studied in a visionary paper by Kapranov [Ka97] and then extended by Baumann-Kassel [BKa01] to realize the current half of quantum affine  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . The Hall algebra of a weighted projective line was developed in [Sch04] to realize half an affine quantum group of ADE type, which were then upgraded to the whole quantum group via Drinfeld double techniques [DJX12, BS13].

The universal  $\imath$ quantum group is introduced in [LW22a], whose central reduction reproduces the  $\imath$ quantum group by G. Letzter [Let99]. Recently, a Drinfeld type presentation of  $\imath$ quantum groups of split affine ADE type is established in [LW21b].

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B37, 16E60, 18E35.

*Key words and phrases*. Quantum symmetric pairs, Affine  $\imath$ quantum groups, Drinfeld type presentations, Hall algebras, Weighted projective lines.

In recent years, Wang and the first author [LW22a, LW23] have developed  $\imath$ Hall algebras of  $\imath$ quivers to realize the (universal) quasi-split  $\imath$ quantum groups of Kac-Moody type. The  $\imath$ Hall algebras are constructed in the framework of semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras of 1-Gorenstein algebras. Together with Wang, we [LRW23] used the  $\imath$ Hall algebra of the projective line to give a geometric realization of the  $q$ -Onsager algebra (i.e.,  $\imath$ quantum group of split affine  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ ) in its Drinfeld type presentation.

$\imath$ Quantum loop algebras are a further generalization of  $\imath$ quantum groups of split affine ADE type considered in [LW21b]. In [LR21], we established a homomorphism  $\Omega$  from the  $\imath$ quantum loop algebra  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^\imath(L\mathfrak{g})$  of split type to the  $\imath$ Hall algebra of the weighted projective line  ${}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .

This is a sequel of [LR21] which is devoted to proving the morphism  $\Omega : {}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^\imath(L\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow {}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  given in [LR21] is injective if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of finite or affine type. The idea of the proof is to construct the inverse of  $\Omega$  by giving a presentation of the composition subalgebra  ${}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  of  ${}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ . This presentation of  ${}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is established by using the presentation of the ‘‘positive half’’ of the quantum loop algebra  $\mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}})$  and the isomorphism between  $\mathbf{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  given in [Sch04], since  ${}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is naturally a filtered algebra with its associated grading algebra isomorphic to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ . In this procedure, we need to use the entire  $\imath$ Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver  $C_n$  to realize the  $\imath$ quantum loop algebra of affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ ; see [Sch02, Hu05] for the corresponding results for quantum loop algebra of affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the necessary materials on  $\imath$ quantum groups, Hall algebras and  $\imath$ Hall algebras, weighted projective lines, etc. In Section 3, we recall the construction of the morphism  $\Omega$  given in [LR21], and formulate the main result. Section 4 is devoted to realizing the  $\imath$ quantum loop algebra of affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  via the  $\imath$ Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver  $C_n$ . In Section 5, we give a presentation of the composition subalgebra of  ${}^\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ , and then prove the main result.

**1.1. Acknowledgments.** ML deeply thanks Weiqiang Wang for guiding him to study the  $\imath$ quantum groups, and also his continuing encouragement. ML thanks University of Virginia for hospitality and support. We thank Liangang Peng and Jie Xiao for helpful discussions on Ringel-Hall algebras of weighted projective lines. ML is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171333). SR is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11801473) and the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities of China (No. 20720210006).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The preliminaries are the same as in [LR21], we recall them briefly to make this paper self-contained.

**2.1.  $\imath$ Quantum groups.** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by

$$[n] = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n][n-1] \cdots [n-r+1]}{[r]}.$$

For  $A, B$  in a  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra, we shall denote  $[A, B]_{v^a} = AB - v^a BA$ , and  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ . We also use the convenient notation throughout the paper

$$[A, B, C]_{v^a} = [[A, B]_{v^a}, C]_{v^a}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$  be the generalized Cartan matrix (GCM) of a Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The notion of (quasi-split) universal  $v$ quantum groups  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$  was formulated in [LW22a].

Let  $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$  be the GCM of an affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , for  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_0 \cup \{0\}$  with the affine node 0. The *universal affine  $v$ quantum group of split type ADE* is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^v = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^v(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  with generators  $B_i, \mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ), subject to the following relations, for  $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ :

$$\mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} = \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \mathbb{K}_i = 1, \quad \mathbb{K}_i \text{ is central}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$B_i B_j - B_j B_i = 0, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$B_i^2 B_j - [2] B_i B_j B_i + B_j B_i^2 = -v^{-1} B_j \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^3 (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix} B_i^{3-r} B_j B_i^r = -v^{-1} [2]^2 (B_i B_j - B_j B_i) \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \text{if } c_{ij} = -2. \quad (2.5)$$

Let  $\alpha_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ) be the simple roots of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and  $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$ , where  $\delta$  denotes the basic imaginary root, and  $\theta$  is the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $\mu = \mu' + \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ , define  $\mathbb{K}_\mu$  such that

$$\mathbb{K}_{\alpha_i} = \mathbb{K}_i, \quad \mathbb{K}_{-\alpha_i} = \mathbb{K}_i^{-1}, \quad \mathbb{K}_\mu = \mathbb{K}_{\mu'} \mathbb{K}_{\mu''}, \quad \mathbb{K}_\delta = \mathbb{K}_0 \mathbb{K}_\theta. \quad (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([LW22b]; also cf. [KP11, BK20]). *For  $i \in \mathbb{I}$ , there exists an automorphism  $\mathbf{T}_i$  of the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$  such that  $\mathbf{T}_i(\mathbb{K}_\mu) = \mathbb{K}_{s_i \mu}$  for  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}$ , and*

$$\mathbf{T}_i(B_j) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} B_i, & \text{if } j = i, \\ B_j, & \text{if } c_{ij} = 0, \\ B_j B_i - v B_i B_j, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -1, \\ [2]^{-1} (B_j B_i^2 - v [2] B_i B_j B_i + v^2 B_i^2 B_j) + B_j \mathbb{K}_i, & \text{if } c_{ij} = -2, \end{cases}$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{I}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{T}_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ) satisfy the braid group relations, i.e.,  $\mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{T}_j = \mathbf{T}_j \mathbf{T}_i$  if  $c_{ij} = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{T}_j \mathbf{T}_i = \mathbf{T}_j \mathbf{T}_i \mathbf{T}_j$  if  $c_{ij} = -1$ .

**2.2. A Drinfeld type presentation.** Let  $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_0}$  be a GCM of a *simply-laced* Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The  $v$ quantum loop algebra  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$  of split type is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by  $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm 1}, H_{i,m}, \Theta_{i,l}$  and  $B_{i,l}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{I}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to some relations. In order to give the explicit definition of  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^v$ , we introduce some shorthand notations below.

Let  $k_1, k_2, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} S(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j) &= B_{i,k_1} B_{i,k_2} B_{j,l} - [2] B_{i,k_1} B_{j,l} B_{i,k_2} + B_{j,l} B_{i,k_1} B_{i,k_2}, \\ \mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j) &= S(k_1, k_2 | l; i, j) + \{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Here and below,  $\{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}$  stands for repeating the previous summand with  $k_1, k_2$  switched if  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , so the sums over  $k_1, k_2$  are symmetric. We also denote

$$R(k_1, k_2|l; i, j) = \mathbb{K}_i C^{k_1} \left( - \sum_{p \geq 0} v^{2p} [2][\Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p - 1}, B_{j, l - 1}]_{v^{-2}} C^{p+1} - \sum_{p \geq 1} v^{2p-1} [2][B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p}]_{v^{-2}} C^p - [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1}]_{v^{-2}} \right), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mathbb{R}(k_1, k_2|l; i, j) = R(k_1, k_2|l; i, j) + \{k_1 \leftrightarrow k_2\}.$$

Sometimes, it is convenient to rewrite part of the summands in (2.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{p \geq 1} v^{2p-1} [2][B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p}]_{v^{-2}} C^p - [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1}]_{v^{-2}} \\ & = - \sum_{p \geq 0} v^{2p-1} [2][B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1 - 2p}]_{v^{-2}} C^p + v^{-2} [B_{j, l}, \Theta_{i, k_2 - k_1}]_{v^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.2** (i-quantum loop algebras, [LW21b]). *Let  $C = (c_{ij})_{i, j \in \mathbb{I}_0}$  be a GCM of a simply-laced Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The i-quantum loop algebra  ${}^{Dr} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$  of split type is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by  $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $C^{\pm 1}$ ,  $H_{i, m}$  and  $B_{i, l}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to the following relations (for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ):*

$$\mathbb{K}_i, C \text{ are central, } \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} = 1, \quad C C^{-1} = 1, \quad (2.9)$$

$$[H_{i, m}, H_{j, n}] = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$[H_{i, m}, B_{j, l}] = \frac{[m c_{ij}]}{m} B_{j, l+m} - \frac{[m c_{ij}]}{m} B_{j, l-m} C^m, \quad (2.11)$$

$$[B_{i, k}, B_{j, l}] = 0, \text{ if } c_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$[B_{i, k}, B_{j, l+1}]_{v^{-c_{ij}}} - v^{-c_{ij}} [B_{i, k+1}, B_{j, l}]_{v^{c_{ij}}} = 0, \text{ if } i \neq j, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [B_{i, k}, B_{i, l+1}]_{v^{-2}} - v^{-2} [B_{i, k+1}, B_{i, l}]_{v^2} &= v^{-2} \Theta_{i, l-k+1} C^k \mathbb{K}_i - v^{-4} \Theta_{i, l-k-1} C^{k+1} \mathbb{K}_i \\ &\quad + v^{-2} \Theta_{i, k-l+1} C^l \mathbb{K}_i - v^{-4} \Theta_{i, k-l-1} C^{l+1} \mathbb{K}_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\mathbb{S}(k_1, k_2|l; i, j) = \mathbb{R}(k_1, k_2|l; i, j), \text{ if } c_{ij} = -1. \quad (2.15)$$

Here we set

$$\Theta_{i, 0} = (v - v^{-1})^{-1}, \quad \Theta_{i, m} = 0, \text{ for } m < 0; \quad (2.16)$$

and  $\Theta_{i, m}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) are related to  $H_{i, m}$  by the following equation:

$$1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (v - v^{-1}) \Theta_{i, m} u^m = \exp \left( (v - v^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} H_{i, m} u^m \right). \quad (2.17)$$

Let us mention that in spite of its appearance, the RHS of (2.14) typically has two nonzero terms, thanks to the convention (2.16).

Let  $C$  be a GCM of an affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type ADE. Define a sign function

$$o(\cdot) : \mathbb{I}_0 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \quad (2.18)$$

such that  $o(i)o(j) = -1$  whenever  $c_{ij} < 0$  (there are clearly exactly 2 such functions). We define the following elements in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\nu$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \geq 1$ :

$$B_{i,k} = o(i)^k \mathbf{T}_{\omega_i}^{-k}(B_i), \quad (2.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \acute{\Theta}_{i,m} = o(i)^m \left( -B_{i,m-1} \mathbf{T}_{\omega_i'}(B_i) + v^2 \mathbf{T}_{\omega_i'}(B_i) B_{i,m-1} \right. \\ \left. + (v^2 - 1) \sum_{p=0}^{m-2} B_{i,p} B_{i,m-p-2} \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \mathbb{K}_\delta \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\Theta_{i,m} = \acute{\Theta}_{i,m} - \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (v^2 - 1) v^{-2a} \acute{\Theta}_{i,m-2a} \mathbb{K}_{a\delta} - \delta_{m,ev} v^{1-m} \mathbb{K}_{\frac{m}{2}\delta}. \quad (2.21)$$


and  $H_{i,m}$  is defined via (2.17). In particular,  $B_{i,0} = B_i$ .

**Theorem 2.3** ([LW21b]). *If  $C$  is a GCM of an affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type ADE, then there is a  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra isomorphism  $\Phi : {}^{Dr} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\nu \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\nu$ , which sends*

$$B_{i,k} \mapsto B_{i,k}, \quad H_{i,m} \mapsto H_{i,m}, \quad \Theta_{i,m} \mapsto \Theta_{i,m}, \quad \mathbb{K}_i \mapsto \mathbb{K}_i, \quad C \mapsto \mathbb{K}_\delta, \quad (2.22)$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{I}_0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $m \geq 1$ .

**2.3. Star-shaped graph.** For  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_t) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^t$ , let us consider the following star-shaped graph  $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}_{p_1, \dots, p_t}$ :



The set of vertices is denoted by  $\mathbb{I}_0$ . As marked in the graph, the central vertex is denoted by  $\star$ . Let  $J_1, \dots, J_t$  be the branches, which are subdiagrams of type  $A_{p_1-1}, \dots, A_{p_t-1}$  respectively. Denote by  $[i, j]$  the  $j$ -th vertex in the  $i$ -th branch. These examples includes all finite-type Dynkin diagrams as well as the affine Dynkin diagrams of types  $D_4^{(1)}, E_6^{(1)}, E_7^{(1)}$ , and  $E_8^{(1)}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to  $\Gamma$ , and  $L\mathfrak{g}$  be its loop algebra. Then we have the  $\nu$ quantum loop algebra  ${}^{Dr} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\nu$ . In view of the graph  $\Gamma$ , for the root system  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $L\mathfrak{g}$ , its simple roots are denoted by  $\alpha_\star$  and  $\alpha_{ij}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([LR21, Lemma 2.6]). *For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  ${}^{Dr} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^\nu$  is generated by  $B_{\star,a}$ ,  $B_{\star,a+1}$ ,  $B_{i,0}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}_i^{\pm 1}$  and  $C^{\pm 1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{I}_0 - \{\star\}$ .*

**2.4. Hall algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an essentially small exact category in the sense of Quillen, linear over  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  has finite morphism and extension spaces, i.e.,

$$|\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)| < \infty, \quad |\mathrm{Ext}^1(M, N)| < \infty, \quad \forall M, N \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Given objects  $M, N, L \in \mathcal{E}$ , define  $\mathrm{Ext}^1(M, N)_L \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^1(M, N)$  as the subset parameterizing extensions whose middle term is isomorphic to  $L$ . We define the *Ringel-Hall algebra*  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E})$  (or *Hall algebra* for short) to be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space whose basis is formed by the isoclasses  $[M]$  of objects  $M$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , with the multiplication defined by (see [Br13])

$$[M] \diamond [N] = \sum_{[L] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{E})} \frac{|\mathrm{Ext}^1(M, N)_L|}{|\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)|} [L]. \quad (2.24)$$

For any three objects  $L, M, N$ , let

$$F_{MN}^L := |\{X \subseteq L \mid X \cong N, L/X \cong M\}|. \quad (2.25)$$

The Riedtmann-Peng formula states that

$$F_{MN}^L = \frac{|\mathrm{Ext}^1(M, N)_L|}{|\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathrm{Aut}(L)|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(M)||\mathrm{Aut}(N)|}.$$

**2.5. Semi-derived Hall algebras and  $\iota$ Hall algebras.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a hereditary abelian category which is essentially small with finite-dimensional homomorphism and extension spaces.

A 1-periodic complex  $X^\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pair  $(X, d)$  with  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and a differential  $d : X \rightarrow X$ . A morphism  $(X, d) \rightarrow (Y, e)$  is given by a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $f \circ d = e \circ f$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$  be the category of all 1-periodic complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$  is an abelian category. A 1-periodic complex  $X^\bullet = (X, d)$  is called acyclic if  $\mathrm{Ker} d = \mathrm{Im} d$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{1,ac}(\mathcal{A})$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$  consisting of acyclic complexes. Denote by  $H(X^\bullet) \in \mathcal{A}$  the cohomology group of  $X^\bullet$ , i.e.,  $H(X^\bullet) = \mathrm{Ker} d / \mathrm{Im} d$ , where  $d$  is the differential of  $X^\bullet$ .

For any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , denote the stalk complex by

$$C_X = (X, 0)$$

(or just by  $X$  when there is no confusion), and denote by  $K_X$  the following acyclic complex:

$$K_X := (X \oplus X, d), \quad \text{where } d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{Id} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{v} := \sqrt{q}.$$

We continue to work with a hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  as in §2.5. Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))$  be the Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ .

Following [LP21, LW22a, LW23], we consider the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))$  generated by

$$\left\{ [M^\bullet] - [N^\bullet] \mid H(M^\bullet) \cong H(N^\bullet), \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Im} d_{M^\bullet}} = \widehat{\mathrm{Im} d_{N^\bullet}} \right\}. \quad (2.26)$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{S} := \{a[K^\bullet] \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})^\times, K^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_{1,ac}(\mathcal{A})\},$$

a multiplicatively closed subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I}$  with the identity  $[0]$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([LW22a, Proposition A.5]). *The multiplicatively closed subset  $\mathcal{S}$  is a right Ore, right reversible subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I}$ . Equivalently, there exists the right localization of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , denoted by  $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$ .*

The algebra  $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  is the *semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra* of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$  in the sense of [LP21, LW22a] (also cf. [Gor18]), and will be denoted by  $\mathcal{SDH}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))$ .

**Definition 2.6.** *The vHall algebra of a hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ , is defined to be the twisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , that is, the  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra on the same vector space as  $\mathcal{SDH}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}))/\mathcal{I})[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$  equipped with the following modified multiplication (twisted via the restriction functor  $\text{res} : \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ )*

$$[M^\bullet] * [N^\bullet] = \mathbf{v}^{\langle \text{res}(M^\bullet), \text{res}(N^\bullet) \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}} [M^\bullet] \diamond [N^\bullet]. \quad (2.27)$$

For any  $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ , there exist  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\alpha = \widehat{X} - \widehat{Y}$ . Define  $[K_\alpha] := [K_X] * [K_Y]^{-1}$ . This is well defined, see e.g., [LP21, §3.2]. It follows that  $[K_\alpha]$  ( $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ ) are central in the algebra  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ .

The *quantum torus*  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{A})$  is defined to be the subalgebra of  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$  generated by  $[K_\alpha]$ , for  $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proposition 2.7** ([LRW23, Proposition 2.9]). *The following hold in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ :*

- (1) *The quantum torus  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{A})$  is a central subalgebra of  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ .*
- (2) *The algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{A})$  is isomorphic to the group algebra of the abelian group  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ .*
- (3)  *${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$  has an (vHall) basis given by*

$$\{[M] * [K_\alpha] \mid [M] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

The following multiplication formula in the vHall algebra is useful and applicable.

**Proposition 2.8** ([LW23, Proposition 3.10]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a hereditary abelian category over  $\mathbf{k}$ . For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , the following formulas hold in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

$$[A] * [B] = \sum_{[M] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} \sum_{[L], [N] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} \mathbf{v}^{-\langle A, B \rangle} q^{\langle N, L \rangle} \frac{|\text{Ext}^1(N, L)_M|}{|\text{Hom}(N, L)|} \cdot |\{f \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \mid \text{Ker } f \cong N, \text{Coker } f \cong L\}| [M] * [K_{\widehat{A} - \widehat{N}}], \quad (2.28)$$

$$[A] * [B] = \sum_{[M] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} \sum_{[L], [N] \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} \mathbf{v}^{-\langle A, B \rangle} \frac{|\text{Ext}^1(N, L)_M|}{|\text{Ext}^1(N, L)|} \cdot |\{f \in \text{Hom}(A, B) \mid \text{Ker } f \cong N, \text{Coker } f \cong L\}| [M] * [K_{\widehat{A} - \widehat{N}}] \quad (2.29)$$

**2.6. Realization of vquantum groups via quivers.** Let  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$  be a quiver (not necessarily acyclic), and we sometimes write  $\mathbb{I} = Q_0$ . Let  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of  $q$  elements. We denote by  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q)$  the twisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q))$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{v=\mathbf{v}}^v$  or  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^v$  the vquantum group specialised at  $v = \mathbf{v}$ . Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.9** ([LW23]). *Let  $Q$  be an arbitrary quiver. Then there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra embedding*

$$\tilde{\psi}_Q : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{v=\mathbf{v}}^v \longrightarrow {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q),$$







Let  $Q$  be a quiver with its underlying graph the same as  $C_n$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $\mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q} : {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}Q) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  such that  $\mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q}([S_i]) = [S_i]$ ,  $\mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q}([K_{S_i}]) = [K_{S_i}]$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ; cf. (2.31). For any positive real root  $\beta$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ , we denote by  $M(\beta)$  the unique (up to isomorphism)  $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module with its class  $\beta$  in  $K_0(\text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q))$ .

For any hereditary abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  and a full subcategory  $\mathcal{S}$ , recall from [GL87] that the right (resp. left) perpendicular category  $\mathcal{S}^\perp$  (resp.  ${}^\perp\mathcal{S}$ ) is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}^\perp := \{X \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, X) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(C, X) \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{S}\}; \quad (2.38)$$

$${}^\perp\mathcal{S} := \{X \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, C) \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{S}\}. \quad (2.39)$$

Then the category  $\mathcal{S}^\perp$  (resp.  ${}^\perp\mathcal{S}$ ) is a hereditary abelian category, which is extension-closed in  $\mathcal{A}$ ; see [BS13, Proposition 3.2]. If  $\mathcal{S} = \{M\}$  for an object  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ , then we also denote  $\mathcal{S}^\perp := M^\perp$  and  ${}^\perp\mathcal{S} := {}^\perp M$ .

Fix  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . We assume  $Q(j)$  is the following quiver

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad \cdots \quad j \quad \cdots \quad n-1 \end{array} \quad (2.40)$$

Recall that

$$\omega_j = \sigma^j(s_{n-j} \cdots s_1)(s_{n-j+1} \cdots s_2) \cdots (s_{n-1} \cdots s_j), \quad (2.41)$$

where  $\sigma$  is the automorphism of the underlying graph of  $C_n$  such that  $\sigma(i) = i+1$ ; see e.g. [DJX12, §6.2]. Note that  $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega_j}(Q(j)) = Q(j)$ , and  $j, \dots, n-1, \dots, 2, \dots, n-j+1, 1, \dots, n-j$  is an  $v$ -admissible sequence.

**Proposition 2.10.** *For any  $l > 0$ , we have*

$$\widehat{B}_{j,-l} = (-1)^{jl} \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)}([M(l\delta - \alpha_j)]) * [K_{l\delta - \alpha_j}]^{-1}, \quad (2.42)$$

$$\widehat{B}_{j,l} = (-1)^{jl} \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)}([M(l\delta + \alpha_j)]), \quad (2.43)$$

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{j,l} = \frac{(-1)^{jl}}{(q-1)^{2\mathbf{v}^l-1}} \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)} \left( \sum_{0 \neq f: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)} [\text{Coker } f] \right). \quad (2.44)$$

*Proof.* From the proof of [LR21, Proposition 5.1], in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q(j))$  we have

$$\Gamma_{\omega_j}^l([S_j]) = [M(l\delta - \alpha_j)] * [K_{l\delta - \alpha_j}]^{-1}.$$

Then  $B_{j,-l}$  satisfies that  $\Phi(B_{j,-l}) = (-1)^{jl} \mathbf{T}_{\omega_j}^l(B_j)$  and so

$$\tilde{\psi}_{Q(j)} \circ \Phi(B_{j,-l}) = (-1)^{jl} \cdot \frac{-1}{q-1} [M(l\delta - \alpha_j)] * [K_{l\delta - \alpha_j}]^{-1}$$

in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q(j))$ . Similarly, one can see that

$$\tilde{\psi}_{Q(j)} \circ \Phi(B_{j,l}) = (-1)^{jl} \cdot \frac{-1}{q-1} [M(l\delta + \alpha_j)];$$

cf. [LRW23, Lemma 5.5]. So we have (2.42)–(2.43)

For (2.44), let  $\mathcal{S} := \{S_i \in \text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q(j)) \mid i \neq 0, j\}$ . Then the subcategory  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{S}$  is equivalent to  $\text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q_{\text{Kr}})$ , where  $Q_{\text{Kr}}$  is the Kronecker quiver  $0 \rightrightarrows 1$ . So there exists an embedding functor  $\mathbb{F} : \text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q_{\text{Kr}}) \simeq {}^{\perp}\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q(j))$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{F}(S_1) = S_j$ , and  $\mathbb{F}(M(l\alpha_0 + (l+1)\alpha_1)) = M(l\delta + \alpha_j)$  for any  $l \geq 0$ . So  $\mathbb{F}$  induces an embedding of algebras  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_1(\text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q_{\text{Kr}}))) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C}_1(\text{mod}(\mathbf{k}Q(j))))$ , and then an embedding of algebras  $F : {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q_{\text{Kr}}) \rightarrow {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q(j))$ . Using [LRW23, Theorem 5.11], in order to compute  $\hat{\Theta}_{j,l}$  in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q_{\text{Kr}})$ , it is equivalent to compute them in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1)$ . By [LRW23, (4.2)], we have

$$\hat{\Theta}_{1,l} = \frac{1}{(q-1)^{2\mathbf{v}^{l-1}}} \sum_{0 \neq f: S_1 \rightarrow M(l\alpha_0 + (l+1)\alpha_1)} [\text{Coker } f]$$

in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q_{\text{Kr}})$ . By applying  $F$ , we have

$$\hat{\Theta}_{j,l} = \frac{(-1)^{jl}}{(q-1)^{2\mathbf{v}^{l-1}}} \sum_{0 \neq f: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)} [\text{Coker } f] \quad (2.45)$$

in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q(j))$ . Here the scalar  $(-1)^{jl}$  comes from (2.42)–(2.43). Then (2.44) follows.  $\square$

**2.7. Weighted projective lines.** Recall  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$ . Fix a positive integer  $\mathbf{t}$  such that  $2 \leq \mathbf{t} \leq q$  in the following. Let  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}$  be the weighted projective line attached to a weight sequence  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{\mathbf{t}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathbf{t}}$ , and a parameter sequence  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{t}}\}$  of distinguished closed points (of degree one) on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$ . Let  $L(\mathbf{p})$  be the rank one abelian group on generators  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_{\mathbf{t}}$  with relations  $p_1\vec{x}_1 = p_2\vec{x}_2 = \dots = p_{\mathbf{t}}\vec{x}_{\mathbf{t}}$ . We call  $\vec{c} := p_i\vec{x}_i$  the canonical element of  $L(\mathbf{p})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}$  be the structure sheaf, and  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})$  the category of coherent sheaves [GL87].

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})$  consisting of all locally free sheaves, and  $\mathcal{T}$  be the full subcategory consisting of all torsion sheaves.

Then the structure of  $\mathcal{T}$  is described via the representation theory of cyclic quivers.

**Lemma 2.11** ([GL87]; also see [Sch04]). (1) *The category  $\mathcal{T}$  decomposes as a coproduct  $\mathcal{T} = \coprod_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{T}_x$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_x$  is the subcategory of torsion sheaves with support at  $x$ .*

(2) *For any ordinary point  $x$  of degree  $d$ , let  $\mathbf{k}_x$  denote the residue field at  $x$ , i.e.,  $[\mathbf{k}_x : \mathbf{k}] = d$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_x$  is equivalent to the category  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}_x}^{\text{nil}}(C_1)$ .*

(3) *For any exceptional point  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ), the category  $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}$  is equivalent to  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_{p_i})$ .*

For any ordinary point  $x$  of degree  $d$ , let  $\pi_x$  be the prime homogeneous polynomial corresponding to  $x$ . Then multiplication by  $\pi_x$  gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \mathcal{O}(d\vec{c}) \longrightarrow S_x \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $S_x$  is the unique (up to isomorphism) simple sheaf in the category  $\mathcal{T}_x$ . Then  $S_x(\vec{l}) = S_x$  for any  $\vec{l} \in L(\mathbf{p})$ .

For any exceptional point  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i$ , multiplication by  $x_i$  yields the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}((j-1)\vec{x}_i) \xrightarrow{x_i} \mathcal{O}(j\vec{x}_i) \longrightarrow S_{ij} \rightarrow 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq p_i;$$

where  $\{S_{ij} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}\}$  is a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple sheaves in the category  $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ .

We denote by  $S_x^{(a)}$  the unique indecomposable object of length  $a$  in  $\mathcal{T}_x$  for any ordinary point  $x$ ; and denote by  $S_{ij}^{(a)}$  the unique indecomposable object with top  $S_{ij}$  and length  $a$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_i}$ .

The Grothendieck group  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}))$  of  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})$  satisfies

$$K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})) \cong \left( \mathbb{Z}\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\widehat{\mathcal{O}(\vec{c})} \oplus \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{Z}\widehat{S}_{ij} \right) / I, \quad (2.46)$$

where  $I$  is the subgroup generated by  $\{\sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \widehat{S}_{ij} + \widehat{\mathcal{O}} - \widehat{\mathcal{O}(\vec{c})} \mid i = 1, \dots, \mathbf{t}\}$ ; see [GL87]. Let  $\delta := \widehat{\mathcal{O}(\vec{c})} - \widehat{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \widehat{S}_{ij}$ .

Recall the root system  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $L(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})) \cong \mathcal{R}$  given as below (for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ):

$$\widehat{S}_{ij} \mapsto \alpha_{ij}, \quad \widehat{S}_{i,0} \mapsto \delta - \sum_{j=1}^{p_i-1} \alpha_{ij}, \quad \widehat{S}_{i,0}^{(p_i-1)} \mapsto \delta - \alpha_{i1}, \quad \widehat{S}_{i,0}^{(rp_i)} \mapsto r\delta, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})} \mapsto \alpha_{\star} + l\delta.$$

Under this isomorphism, the symmetric Euler form on  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}))$  coincides with the Cartan form on  $\mathcal{R}$ . So we always identify  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}))$  with  $\mathcal{R}$  via this isomorphism in the following.

### 3. iHALL ALGEBRAS AND iQUANTUM LOOP ALGEBRAS

In this section, we shall formulate the main result of this paper.

**3.1. Root vectors.** Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a weighted projective line of weight type  $(\mathbf{p}, \underline{\lambda})$ . Recall that  $\lambda_i$  is the exceptional closed point of  $\mathbb{X}$  of weight  $p_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_i}$  is the Serre subcategory of  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})$  consisting of torsion sheaves supported at  $\lambda_i$ . There is an embedding of  $i$ Hall algebras:

$$\iota_i : {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i}) \longrightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (3.1)$$

Inspired by (2.37), we define

$$\widehat{B}_{[i,j],l} := \iota_i(\widehat{B}_{j,l}), \quad \widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],r} := \iota_i(\widehat{\Theta}_{j,r}), \quad \widehat{H}_{[i,j],r} := \iota_i(\widehat{H}_{j,r}), \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r > 0$ . By definition and (2.17), we have

$$1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}) \widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],m} u^m = \exp \left( (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} \widehat{H}_{[i,j],m} u^m \right). \quad (3.3)$$

For convenience, we set  $\widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],0} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the Serre subcategory of  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})$  generated by those simple sheaves  $S$  satisfying  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, S) = 0$ . Recall from [BS13] that the Serre quotient  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})/\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to the category  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  and the canonical functor  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \text{coh}(\mathbb{X})/\mathcal{C}$  has an exact fully faithful right adjoint functor

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1} : \text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{coh}(\mathbb{X}), \quad (3.4)$$

which sends

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l) \mapsto \mathcal{O}(l\vec{c}), \quad S_{\lambda_i}^{(r)} \mapsto S_{i,0}^{(rp_i)}, \quad S_x^{(r)} \mapsto S_x^{(r)}$$

for any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\mathbf{t}}\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}$  induces an exact fully faithful functor  $\mathcal{C}_1(\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}))$ , which is also denoted by  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}$ . This functor  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}$

induces a canonical embedding of Hall algebras  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1))) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_1(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})))$ , and then an embedding of  $\imath$ Hall algebras:

$$F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1} : \imath\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1) \longrightarrow \imath\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (3.5)$$

Recall from [LRW23, (4.2), Proposition 6.3] that for any  $m \geq 0$ , in  $\imath\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1)$

$$\hat{\Theta}_m = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2 \mathbf{v}^{m-1}} \sum_{0 \neq f: \mathcal{O}(s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m+s)} [\text{Coker } f],$$

which is independent of  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\hat{H}_m = \sum_{x, d_x | m} \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} d_x \sum_{|\lambda| = \frac{m}{d_x}} \mathbf{n}_x(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{[S_x^{(\lambda)}]}{|\text{Aut}(S_x^{(\lambda)})|} - \delta_{m, ev} \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} [K_{\frac{m}{2}} \delta],$$

where  $d_x$  denotes the degree of  $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$ , and

$$\mathbf{n}_x(l) = \prod_{i=1}^l (1 - \mathbf{v}^{2id_x}), \quad \forall l \geq 1.$$

Hence, we define

$$\hat{\Theta}_{\star, m} := F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}(\hat{\Theta}_m) = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2 \mathbf{v}^{m-1}} \sum_{0 \neq f: \mathcal{O}(s\vec{c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}((m+s)\vec{c})} [\text{Coker } f], \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{\star, m} := F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}(\hat{H}_m) = \sum_{x, d_x | m} \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} d_x \sum_{|\lambda| = \frac{m}{d_x}} \mathbf{n}_x(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}([S_x^{(\lambda)}])}{|\text{Aut}(S_x^{(\lambda)})|} - \delta_{m, ev} \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} [K_{\frac{m}{2}} \delta]. \quad (3.7)$$

Here, for any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ ,  $F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}([S_x^{(\lambda)}]) = [S_x^{(\lambda)}]$  for  $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$ , while  $F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}([S_{\lambda_i}^{(\lambda)}]) = S_{i,0}^{(\lambda)} := \bigoplus_i S_{i,0}^{(\lambda_i p_i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Note that  $\hat{\Theta}_{\star, m} = 0$  if  $m < 0$  and  $\hat{\Theta}_{\star, 0} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** ([LR21, Lemma 6.1]). *For any  $m \geq 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$  such that  $d_x | m$ , we define*

$$\hat{H}_{x, m} := \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} d_x \sum_{|\lambda| = \frac{m}{d_x}} \mathbf{n}_x(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}([S_x^{(\lambda)}])}{|\text{Aut}(S_x^{(\lambda)})|} - \delta_{\frac{m}{d_x}, ev} \cdot d_x \mathbf{v}^{-\frac{m}{2}} \frac{[\frac{m}{2}]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} [K_{\frac{m}{2}} \delta]. \quad (3.8)$$

Then

$$\hat{H}_{\star, m} = \sum_{x, d_x | m} \hat{H}_{x, m}. \quad (3.9)$$

**3.2. The homomorphism  $\Omega$ .** Recall the star-shaped graph  $\Gamma = T_{p_1, \dots, p_t}$  in (2.23). The following is one of the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 3.2.** *For a star-shaped graph  $\Gamma$  of finite or affine type, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Kac-Moody algebra and  $\mathbb{X}$  be the weighted projective line associated to  $\Gamma$ . Then there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra embedding*

$$\Omega : \text{Dr} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=\mathbf{v}}^{\imath} \longrightarrow \imath\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (3.10)$$

which sends

$$\mathbb{K}_\star \mapsto [K_{\mathcal{O}}], \quad \mathbb{K}_{[i,j]} \mapsto [K_{S_{ij}}], \quad C \mapsto [K_\delta]; \quad (3.11)$$

$$B_{\star,l} \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1}[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], \quad \Theta_{\star,r} \mapsto \widehat{\Theta}_{\star,r}, \quad H_{\star,r} \mapsto \widehat{H}_{\star,r}; \quad (3.12)$$

$$B_{[i,j],l} \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1}\widehat{B}_{[i,j],l}, \quad \Theta_{[i,j],r} \mapsto \widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],r}, \quad H_{[i,j],r} \mapsto \widehat{H}_{[i,j],r}; \quad (3.13)$$

for any  $[i, j] \in \mathbb{I}_0 - \{\star\}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r > 0$ .

By [LR21], we already know the morphism  $\Omega : \mathrm{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=\mathbf{v}}^i \rightarrow \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is well defined, and we only need to prove that  $\Omega$  is injective, which shall occupy the following two sections.

The composition subalgebra  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is the subalgebra of  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  generated by the elements  $[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\widehat{\Theta}_{\star,r}$  for  $r \geq 1$ ,  $[S_{ij}]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ , together with  $[K_{\mathcal{O}}]^{\pm 1}$ ,  $[K_\delta]^{\pm 1}$  and  $[K_{S_{ij}}]^{\pm 1}$ . Then the following corollary follows from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.4 immediately.

**Corollary 3.3.** *For a star-shaped graph  $\Gamma$  of finite or affine type, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Kac-Moody algebra and  $\mathbb{X}$  be the weighted projective line associated to  $\Gamma$ . Then there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\Omega : \mathrm{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=\mathbf{v}}^i \longrightarrow \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (3.14)$$

defined as in (3.11)–(3.13).

*Remark 3.4.* The structure of  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is rich, which will be studied in depth in a separate publication; compare with [BS13]. Moreover, a PBW basis will be given for the  $\imath$ quantum group via coherent sheaves if  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is of domestic type.

#### 4. $\imath$ HALL ALGEBRAS OF CYCLIC QUIVERS AND $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$

In this section, we shall investigate the  $\imath$ Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver  $C_n$  again, this is a preparation of proving the injectivity of  $\Omega : \mathrm{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=\mathbf{v}}^i \rightarrow \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  obtained in Theorem 3.2 for  $\mathfrak{g}$  of finite or affine type. As a byproduct, we use the whole  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  to realize the  $\imath$ quantum loop algebra of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ .

**4.1. Ringel-Hall algebras and quantum groups.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver (not necessarily acyclic) with vertex set  $Q_0 = \mathbb{I}$ . Let  $n_{ij}$  be the number of edges connecting vertex  $i$  and  $j$ . Let  $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$  be the symmetric generalized Cartan matrix of the underlying graph of  $Q$ , defined by  $c_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij} - n_{ij}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. The Drinfeld double quantum group  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v(\mathfrak{g})$  [LW22a] is defined to be the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by  $E_i, F_i, \widetilde{K}_i, \widetilde{K}'_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{I}$ , where  $\widetilde{K}_i, \widetilde{K}'_i$  are invertible, subject to the following relations:

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{\widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}'_i}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad [\widetilde{K}_i, \widetilde{K}_j] = [\widetilde{K}_i, \widetilde{K}'_j] = [\widetilde{K}'_i, \widetilde{K}'_j] = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\widetilde{K}_i E_j = v^{c_{ij}} E_j \widetilde{K}_i, \quad \widetilde{K}_i F_j = v^{-c_{ij}} F_j \widetilde{K}_i, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\widetilde{K}'_i E_j = v^{-c_{ij}} E_j \widetilde{K}'_i, \quad \widetilde{K}'_i F_j = v^{c_{ij}} F_j \widetilde{K}'_i, \quad (4.3)$$

and the quantum Serre relations, for  $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}$ ,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-c_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-c_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix} E_i^r E_j E_i^{1-c_{ij}-r} = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-c_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-c_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix} F_i^r F_j F_i^{1-c_{ij}-r} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+$  be the subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  generated by  $E_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ),  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^0$  be the subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  generated by  $\tilde{K}_i, \tilde{K}'_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ), and  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$  be the subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$  generated by  $F_i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ), respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}Q)$  be the Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ ; see (2.24). Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q)$  be the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ , that is,

$$[M] * [N] = \mathbf{v}^{\langle M, N \rangle_Q} [M] \diamond [N], \quad \forall M, N \in \text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q). \quad (4.6)$$

From [Rin90, Gr95], there exists an algebra embedding

$$\Xi_Q : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+|_{v=\mathbf{v}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q) \quad (4.7)$$

$$E_i \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1} [S_i], \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{I}. \quad (4.8)$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}Q)$  be the composition subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q)$  generated by  $[S_i]$  ( $i \in \mathbb{I}$ ). Then  $\Xi_Q$  induces an algebra isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^+|_{v=\mathbf{v}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}Q)$ .

**4.2. Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras of double framed quiver algebras.** Let  $Q =$

$(Q_0, Q_1)$  be a quiver. Let  $R_2$  be the radical square zero path algebra of  $1' \xrightleftharpoons[\varepsilon]{\varepsilon'} 1$ , i.e.,  $\varepsilon'\varepsilon = 0 = \varepsilon\varepsilon'$ . Consider  $\Lambda = \mathbf{k}Q \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$  defined as in [LW22a], which is called the double framed quiver algebra of  $Q$ . By [LW22a, Section 2], we have

$$\Lambda \cong \mathbf{k}Q^{\sharp}/I^{\sharp}, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $Q^{\sharp}$  is the quiver such that

- the vertex set of  $Q^{\sharp}$  consists of 2 copies of the vertex set  $Q_0$ ,  $\{i, i' \mid i \in Q_0\}$ ;
- the arrow set of  $Q^{\sharp}$  is

$$\{\alpha : i \rightarrow j, \alpha' : i' \rightarrow j' \mid \alpha \in Q_1\} \cup \{\varepsilon_i : i \rightarrow i', \varepsilon'_i : i' \rightarrow i \mid i \in Q_0\};$$

and  $I^{\sharp}$  is the two-sided ideal of  $\mathbf{k}Q^{\sharp}$  generated by

- (Nilpotent relations)  $\varepsilon_i \varepsilon'_i, \varepsilon'_i \varepsilon_i$  for any  $i \in Q_0$ ;
- (Commutative relations)  $\varepsilon'_j \alpha' - \alpha \varepsilon'_i, \varepsilon_j \alpha - \alpha' \varepsilon_i$  for any  $(\alpha : i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1$ .

Let  $Q'$  be an identical copy of  $Q$ . Then  $Q^{\text{dbl}} := Q \sqcup Q'$  is a subquiver of  $Q^{\sharp}$ . Note that  $Q^{\text{dbl}}$  admits a natural involution swap. As a consequence,  $\Lambda$  is the  $\imath$ quiver algebra of the  $\imath$ quiver  $(Q^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$  in the sense of [LW22a]; see [LW22a, Example 2.3].

Let  $\text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda)$  be the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent  $\Lambda$ -modules. Then  $\text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda)$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q))$  of 2-periodic complexes over  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ ; see [Br13, LP21]. Let  $\mathcal{P}^{<\infty}(\Lambda)$  be the subcategory of  $\text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda)$  formed by modules with finite projective dimension.

For any  $i \in Q_0$ , let

$$K_i := \mathbf{k} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{1} \\ \xleftarrow{0} \end{array} \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{i'} := \mathbf{k} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{0} \\ \xleftarrow{1} \end{array} \mathbf{k} \quad \text{on the quiver} \quad i' \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{i'}} \\ \xleftarrow{\varepsilon_i} \end{array} i. \quad (4.10)$$

Then  $K_i, K_{i'}$  can be viewed as  $\Lambda$ -modules. By [LW23, Lemma 2.3], we have  $K_i, K_{i'} \in \mathcal{P}^{<\infty}(\Lambda)$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$  be the twisted Hall algebra of  $\text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda)$  with the product

$$[M] * [N] = \mathbf{v}^{(\text{res}(M), \text{res}(N))_{Q \sqcup Q'}} [M] \diamond [N] \quad (4.11)$$

for any  $M, N \in \text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda)$ . Here  $\text{res} : \text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q \sqcup Q')$  is the restriction functor by viewing  $\mathbf{k}(Q \sqcup Q')$  as a subalgebra of  $\Lambda$ ; see [LW22a, §2].

Let  $I$  be the two-sided ideal of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$  generated by all the differences  $[L] - [K \oplus M]$  if there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.12)$$

in  $\text{mod}^{\text{nil}}(\Lambda)$  with  $K \in \mathcal{P}^{<\infty}(\Lambda)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  be the multiplicatively closed subset of the quotient algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)/I$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_\Lambda := \{a[K] \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)/I \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})^\times, K \in \mathcal{P}^{<\infty}(\Lambda)\}. \quad (4.13)$$

Note that  $\Lambda$  is 1-Gorenstein. Following [LP21, LW22a], we define the twisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\Lambda$  to be the right localization of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)/I$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ , and denoted by  $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$  or  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$  in the following.

Note that  $\mathbf{k}Q$  and  $\mathbf{k}Q'$  are quotient algebras of  $\Lambda$ . We view the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}Q')$  as subalgebras of  $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$ ; see [LW22a, §8].

From [LP21, Theorem 4.9], we see that  $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double of the twisted extended Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ . The following result is a generalization of Bridgeland's result (without assuming  $Q$  to be acyclic).

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. [LW22a, Theorem 8.5]). *Let  $Q$  be a quiver. Then there exists an algebra embedding*

$$\Xi_Q : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}|_{v=\mathbf{v}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda) \quad (4.14)$$

$$E_i \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1}[S_i], \quad F_i \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}^{-1}}[S_{i'}] \quad (4.15)$$

$$\tilde{K}_i \mapsto [K_i], \quad \tilde{K}'_i \mapsto [K_{i'}], \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{I}. \quad (4.16)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$  is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double of the twisted extended Hall algebra of  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(Q)$ , the result follows from (4.7) and [X97].  $\square$

The composition subalgebra of  $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Lambda)$  is defined to be the image of  $\Xi_Q$ , which is denoted by  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}Q^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$ . Then  $\Xi_Q$  induces an isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}|_{v=\mathbf{v}} \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}Q^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$ .



**4.3. Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras for  $C_n$ .** In this subsection, we shall use the twisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebra  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$  to reformulate the results on the twisted extended Ringel-Hall algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  of  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n)$  that are obtained in [Sch02, Hu05], by viewing  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  as a subalgebra of  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$ ; see also [DDF12, Chapter 2].

For any  $m > 0$ , we define

$$\mathbf{h}_m = \mathbf{h}_{0,m} = \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} \sum_{|\lambda|=m} \mathbf{n}(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{[S_0^{(\lambda)}]}{|\text{Aut}(S_0^{(\lambda)})|} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \subset {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap}); \quad (4.17)$$

see [Sch04, (4.1)]. It is worth noting that  $\mathbf{h}_{0,m}$  is denoted by  $\pi_{1,m}$  in [Hu].

**Lemma 4.2** ([Sch04, Lemma 4.3]).  *$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is generated by  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  and  $\mathbf{h}_m$  for all  $m > 0$ .*

Following Lusztig, let  $T_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) be the braid group actions on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ ; see e.g. [DJX12, §6.2]. For  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$x_{i,l}^- = (-1)^{il} T_{\omega_i}^l(F_i), \quad x_{i,l}^+ = (-1)^{il} T_{\omega_i}^{-l}(E_i),$$

see (2.41) for the explicit form of  $\omega_i$ . For  $m > 0$ , define

$$\psi_{i,m} = (-1)^{im} (\tilde{K}'_i)^{-1} [T_{\omega_i}^{-m}(E_i), F_i],$$

and then define  $h_{i,m}$  via

$$1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (v - v^{-1}) \psi_{i,m} u^m = \exp \left( (v - v^{-1}) \sum_{m \geq 1} h_{i,m} u^m \right). \quad (4.18)$$

Using Lemma 4.1, for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}, m > 0$ , we define the following root vectors in  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$ :

$$\hat{x}_{i,l}^+ = (1 - q) \Xi_{C_n}(x_{i,l}^+), \quad \hat{x}_{i,l}^- = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}) \Xi_{C_n}(x_{i,l}^-), \quad (4.19)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{i,m} = \Xi_{C_n}(h_{i,m}), \quad \hat{\psi}_{i,m} = \Xi_{C_n}(\psi_{i,m}). \quad (4.20)$$

From (4.35)–(4.36) obtained in the proof of Proposition 4.7 below, we know that  $\hat{x}_{i,l}^+, \hat{x}_{i,-l}^- * [K_{l\delta - \alpha_j}], \hat{\psi}_{i,m} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  for any  $l, m > 0$ , (these elements are even in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  by [Sch02, Hu05]).

For  $m > 0$ , we define  $\pi_{n,m} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  as

$$\pi_{n,m} = - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [n-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{i,m}. \quad (4.21)$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *The elements  $\pi_{n,m}$  ( $m > 0$ ) are central in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[\pi_{n,m} \mid m > 0], \quad (4.22)$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[\pi_{n,m} \mid m > 0]$  is the polynomial algebra in  $\pi_{n,m}$  for  $m > 0$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is generated by  $[S_i]$  and  $\pi_{n,m}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $m > 0$ .

*Proof.* Recall from [Hu, Main Theorem] that

$$\mathbf{h}_{i,m} = \pi_{i+1,m} - [2]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \pi_{i,m} + \pi_{i-1,m} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \quad (4.23)$$

in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ . See [Hu, Page 10] for the definition of  $\pi_{i,m}$ . Note that  $\pi_{0,m} = 0$  and  $\pi_{1,m} = \mathbf{h}_{0,m}$ . We claim that for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,

$$\pi_{j,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} [j-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{i,m}. \quad (4.24)$$

If the claim holds, then  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{n,m} = \pi_{n,m}$ . So the desired result follows from [Hu, Theorem 1].

We prove the claim by induction on  $j$ . If  $j = 1$ , it is obvious. Now assume (4.24) holds for any  $1 \leq j' < j$ . Then from (4.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{j,m} &= \mathbf{h}_{j-1,m} + [2]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \pi_{j-1,m} - \pi_{j-2,m} \\ &= \mathbf{h}_{j-1,m} + [2]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} [j-1-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{i,m} - \sum_{i=0}^{j-3} [j-2-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{i,m} \\ &= \mathbf{h}_{j-1,m} + [2]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{j-2,m} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-3} ([2]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \cdot [j-1-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} - [j-2-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m}) \mathbf{h}_{i,m} \\ &= \mathbf{h}_{j-1,m} + [2]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{j-2,m} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-3} [j-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{i,m} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} [j-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \mathbf{h}_{i,m}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last second equality follows from the general fact  $[2] \cdot [i] = [i-1] + [i+1]$ . This proves the claim, and then the result follows.  $\square$

**4.4. vQuantum loop algebra  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ .** Recall the presentation of  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  in Definition 2.2. Let us give the definition of vquantum loop algebras  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  for  $n > 1$ .

**Definition 4.4.** *The vquantum loop algebra  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra generated by  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  and  $H_{0,m}$  for  $m > 0$ , subject to the following relations for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $r, m > 0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$\mathbb{K}_i \text{ and } C \text{ are central,} \quad [H_{0,m}, H_{i,r}] = 0, \quad (4.25)$$

$$[H_{0,m}, B_{j,l}] = \begin{cases} -\frac{[m]}{m} B_{1,l+m} + \frac{[m]}{m} B_{1,l-m} C^m, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

Inspired by [Hu] (see also [DDF12, Chapter 2]), for any  $m > 0$ , define

$$\theta_m := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [n-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} H_{i,m} \in {}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n). \quad (4.27)$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *For any  $m > 0$ ,  $\theta_m$  is a central element of  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $[\theta_m, B_{j,l}] = 0$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, if  $j > 1$ , by  $[H_{0,m}, B_{j,l}] = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta_m, B_{j,l}] &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [n-i]_{v^m} [H_{i,m}, B_{j,l}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [n-i]_{v^m} \frac{[m c_{ij}]}{m} \cdot (B_{j,l+m} - B_{j,l-m} C^m) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [n-i]_{v^m} [m c_{ij}] = -[n-j+1]_{v^m} [m] + [n-j]_{v^m} [2m] - [n-j-1]_{v^m} [m] = 0.$$

If  $j = 1$ , the proof is similar, hence omitted here.  $\square$

**4.5. Isomorphism between  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  and  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ .** Recall that  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is the  $i$ Hall algebra of  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n)$ . Inspired by (3.8), for  $m > 0$  we define

$$\widehat{H}_{0,m} := \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} \sum_{|\lambda|=m} \mathbf{n}(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{[S_0^{(\lambda)}]}{|\text{Aut}(S_0^{(\lambda)})|} - \delta_{m,ev} \mathbf{v}^{-\frac{m}{2}} \frac{[m/2]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} [K_{\frac{m}{2}\delta}] \in {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n). \quad (4.28)$$

Recall  $\Omega_{C_n} : {}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  in (2.35). In the following lemma, we extend  $\Omega_{C_n}$  to be defined on  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *There exists an algebra morphism  $\Omega_{C_n} : {}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ , which sends*

$$\mathbb{K}_j \mapsto [K_{S_j}], \quad B_{j,0} \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1} [S_j], \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad (4.29)$$

$$C \mapsto [K_{\delta}], \quad H_{j,m} \mapsto \widehat{H}_{j,m}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \text{ and } m > 0. \quad (4.30)$$

*Proof.* By the definition of root vectors, it is enough to check that  $\Omega_{C_n}$  preserves (4.25) and (4.26). Assume that  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is a weighted projective line with  $p_1 = n$ . Then it implies that  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is a subalgebra of  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ . By [LR21, Propositions 7.8, 8.6], we have

$$[\widehat{H}_{*,r}, \widehat{H}_{i,m}] = 0, \quad \text{for } r, m \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Observe that there are no non-zero homomorphisms and extensions between distinct tubes. Using (3.9), we have

$$[\widehat{H}_{0,r}, \widehat{H}_{i,m}] = 0, \quad \text{for } r, m \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Using the fact that  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_1)$  is commutative (see [LRW23, Proposition 4.5]), together with the natural embedding  $F_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}$ , we have

$$[\widehat{H}_{0,r}, \widehat{H}_{0,m}] = 0, \quad \text{for } r, m \geq 0.$$

Similarly, for  $m > 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$[\widehat{H}_{0,m}, \widehat{B}_{j,l}] = [\widehat{H}_{*,m}, \widehat{B}_{[1,j],l}],$$

and then the desired formula follows from [LR21, Propositions 7.7, 8.5].  $\square$

Let

$$\widehat{\theta}_m := \Omega_{C_n}(\theta_m) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [n-i]_{\mathbf{v}^m} \widehat{H}_{i,m}. \quad (4.31)$$

**Proposition 4.7.** *The algebra morphism  $\Omega_{C_n} : {}^{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\imath}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  defined in Lemma 4.6 is surjective. Moreover,  $\widehat{\theta}_m$  is central for  $m > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall the  $\imath$ Hall basis obtained in Proposition 2.7 (3). For any  $\gamma \in K_0(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n))$ , denote by  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)_{\leq \gamma}$  (respectively,  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)_{\gamma}$ ) the subspace of  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  spanned by elements from this basis for which  $\widehat{M} \leq \gamma$  (respectively,  $\widehat{M} = \gamma$ ) in  $K_0(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n))$ . Then  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is a  $K_0(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n))$ -graded linear space, i.e.,

$$\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in K_0(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n))} \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)_{\gamma}. \quad (4.32)$$

By [LW22a, Lemma 5.4],  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is a filtered algebra with its associated graded algebra  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)^{\text{gr}}$  isomorphic to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid 0 \leq i < n]$ . Using Lemma 4.3, we know that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is generated by  $[S_i]$ ,  $\pi_{n,m}$  for  $0 \leq i < n$  and  $m > 0$ .

Recall  $\pi_{n,m}$  defined in (4.21). View  $\pi_{n,m}$  in  $\imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ . We claim that for any  $m > 0$ ,

$$\widehat{\theta}_m - \pi_{n,m} \in \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)_{< m\delta}. \quad (4.33)$$

If the claim holds, then the first statement follows by a standard argument of filtered algebras, and then the second statement follows from the first one and Lemma 4.5.

Let us prove the claim in the following. Compare (4.21) with (4.31), and (4.17) with (4.28). It is enough to prove that

$$\widehat{H}_{j,m} - \mathbf{h}_{j,m} \in \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)_{< m\delta}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \quad (4.34)$$

Following [LW21a] (see also [Rin96, SV99, XY01]), we can interpret  $T_i$  in the twisted semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras by using the BGP type reflection functors. Let  $Q$  be a quiver with its underlying graph the same as  $C_n$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $\text{FT}_{C_n, Q} : \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}Q^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \imath\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n^{\text{dbl}}, \text{swap})$  such that  $\text{FT}_{C_n, Q}([S_i]) = [S_i]$ ,  $\text{FT}_{C_n, Q}([S_{i'}]) = [S_{i'}]$ ,  $\text{FT}_{C_n, Q}([K_i]) = [K_i]$ ,  $\text{FT}_{C_n, Q}([K_{i'}]) = [K_{i'}]$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ; cf. Lemma 4.1. Recall the quiver  $Q(j)$  defined in (2.40). In order to avoid confusion, we denote  ${}^j\Lambda := \mathbf{k}Q(j) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R_2$ . Similarly to Proposition 2.10, for any  $l > 0$ , we have

$$\widehat{x}_{j,l}^- = (-1)^{jl} [K_{l\delta - \alpha_j}]^{-1} * \text{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)}([M(l\delta - \alpha_j)]), \quad (4.35)$$

$$\widehat{x}_{j,l}^+ = (-1)^{jl} \text{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)}([M(l\delta + \alpha_j)]). \quad (4.36)$$

By using [LW22a, Lemma 4.3], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}_{j,l} &= \Xi_{C_n}(\psi_{j,l}) \\ &= (-1)^{jl} \Xi_{C_n}((\widetilde{K}_j')^{-1} [T_{\omega_j}^{-m}(E_j), F_j]) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{jl}}{1-q} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}}{q-1} [K_j]^{-1} * \text{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)}\left([M(l\delta + \alpha_j)], [S_{j'}]\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{jl} \mathbf{v}}{(q-1)^2} [K_j]^{-1} * \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)} \left( \sum_{0 \neq g: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)} [K_j \oplus \text{Coker } g] \right).$$

Here the last equality follows by noting that the class of a non-split short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S_{j'} \rightarrow N \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Ext}_{j\Lambda}^1(M(l\delta + \alpha_j), S_{j'})$  is uniquely determined by a nonzero morphism  $g: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)$ . In this case,  $N$  admits a submodule  $K_j$ , and then  $[N] = [K_j \oplus \text{Coker } g]$  in  $\mathcal{SD}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(j\Lambda)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}_{j,l} &= \frac{(-1)^{jl} \mathbf{v}}{(q-1)^2} \mathbf{v}^{-\langle S_j, M(l\delta) \rangle_{Q(j)}} q^{\langle K_j, M(l\delta) \rangle_{j\Lambda}} [K_j]^{-1} * \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)} \left( \sum_{0 \neq g: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)} [K_j] * [\text{Coker } g] \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{jl} \mathbf{v}}{(q-1)^2} \mathbf{v}^{-\langle S_j, M(l\delta) \rangle_{Q(j)}} \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)} \left( \sum_{0 \neq g: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)} [\text{Coker } g] \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{jl}}{(q-1)^2 \mathbf{v}^{l-1}} \mathbf{FT}_{C_n, Q(j)} \left( \sum_{0 \neq g: S_j \rightarrow M(l\delta + \alpha_j)} [\text{Coker } g] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\langle K_j, M(l\delta) \rangle_{j\Lambda} = 0$  by using [LP21, Proposition 2.4]; and  $\langle S_j, M(l\delta) \rangle_{Q(j)} = l$ .

View  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  as the subalgebra of  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)^{\text{gr}}$ . For any element  $Y \in {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ , denote by  $\text{gr}(Y)$  the corresponding element in  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)^{\text{gr}}$ . By Proposition 2.10, we have  $\text{gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{j,l}) = \widehat{\psi}_{j,l}$  for any  $l > 0$ . As a consequence,  $\text{gr}(\widehat{H}_{j,l}) = \mathbf{h}_{j,l}$  by comparing the counterparts of (4.18) and (2.17) in Hall algebras. Therefore, the claim follows.  $\square$

From Proposition 4.7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.8.** *We have*

$${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \cong {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[\widehat{\theta}_m \mid m > 0]. \quad (4.37)$$

*Proof.* Note that  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)^{\text{gr}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid 0 \leq i < n]$ . Comparing Proposition 4.7 with Lemma 4.3, and using (4.33), the desired result follows by a standard argument of filtered algebras.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** *For any  $n \geq 2$ , the algebra morphism  $\Omega_{C_n} : {}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  obtained in Lemma 4.6 is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Applying (2.31) to the cyclic quiver  $C_n$ , we have  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cong \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ . Using (4.37), we define  $\Psi_{C_n} : {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \rightarrow {}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  by sending

$$\begin{aligned} [K_{S_j}] &\mapsto \mathbb{K}_j, & [K_\delta] &\mapsto C, & \frac{-1}{q-1}[S_j] &\mapsto B_{j,0}, \\ \widehat{H}_{j,m} &\mapsto H_{j,m}, & \widehat{\theta}_m &\mapsto \theta_m, \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $m > 0$ . Using Lemma 4.5 and definitions of root vectors in  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ , one can check that  $\Psi_{C_n}$  is well defined.

It is easy to see that  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  is generated by  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  and  $\theta_m$  ( $m > 0$ ). So one can check that  $\Psi_{C_n}$  is the inverse map of  $\Omega_{C_n} : {}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ , and then  $\Omega_{C_n}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Using Theorem 4.9 and the definition of  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.10.**  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra generated by  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$  and  $\widehat{H}_{0,m}$  ( $m > 0$ ) subject to the following relations for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $r, m > 0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$[K_{S_i}] \text{ are central,} \quad [\widehat{H}_{0,m}, \widehat{H}_{i,r}] = 0, \quad (4.38)$$

$$[\widehat{H}_{0,m}, \widehat{B}_{j,l}] = \begin{cases} \frac{-[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} \widehat{B}_{1,l+m} + \frac{[m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} \widehat{B}_{1,l-m} * [K_{\delta}]^m, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.39)$$

#### 4.6. $\iota$ Quantum group $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ .

**Definition 4.11.** The  $\iota$ quantum group of affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  generated by  $B_i, \mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{K}'_i, c_m$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $m > 0$  with the relations given by (4.1)–(2.5), and

$$c_m \text{ is central, for } m > 0. \quad (4.40)$$

By definition, we have that  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  is naturally a subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ . Moreover,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \mathbb{Q}(v)[c_m \mid m > 0]. \quad (4.41)$$

*Remark 4.12.* In a separate publication, we shall prove that  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  is isomorphic to  ${}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ , which justifies the terminologies; cf. Theorem 4.9 and Corollary 4.8. In particular, the relation between the central elements  $\theta_m$  and  $c_m$  shall be described clearly; cf. [Hu].

*Remark 4.13.* For any  $m > 0$ , define

$$\widehat{c}_m = (-1)^m \mathbf{v}^{-2nm} \sum_{[M]} (-1)^{\dim \text{End}(M)} [M] \in {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n), \quad (4.42)$$

where the sum is taken over all  $[M] \in \text{Iso}(\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_n))$  such that  $\widehat{M} = m\delta$  and  $\text{soc}M \subseteq S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_n$ . We also define  $\widehat{c}_0 = 1$  by convention. In a separate publication, we shall prove that  $\widehat{c}_m (m > 0)$  are central elements, and

$${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \cong {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[\widehat{c}_m \mid m > 0]. \quad (4.43)$$

In particular, we shall prove that  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_v^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \cong {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_n)$ , which maps  $c_m$  to  $\widehat{c}_m$ . (This isomorphism is compatible with the ones given in Theorem 4.9 and Remark 4.12.)

## 5. INJECTIVITY FOR FINITE AND AFFINE TYPE CASES

In this section, we shall prove that the algebra homomorphism  $\Omega : {}^{\text{Dr}}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=\mathbf{v}}^i \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  defined in §3.2 is injective if the star-shaped graph  $\Gamma$  is of finite or affine type.

**5.1.  $\iota$ Composition subalgebra.** Recall the definition of  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  in §3.2. In this subsection, we give some general results on the composition subalgebras  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  for arbitrary  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The algebra  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  contains the classes  $[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})]$  of all line bundles in  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X})$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{t}} l_i \vec{x}_i + l\vec{c}$  in normal form. We prove  $[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})] \in {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  by induction on  $\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{t}} l_i$ . In fact, if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{t}} l_i = 0$ , then  $[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})] = [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})] \in {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  by definition.

If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{t}} l_i > 0$ , then there exists some  $l_i > 0$ . Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}) \rightarrow S_{i,l_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

We obtain that

$$[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})] = \frac{1}{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}} [[S_{i,l_i}], [\mathcal{O}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i)]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} \in {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$$

by the inductive assumption. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.**  *${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is generated by  $[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})]$  ( $\vec{x} \in L(\mathbf{p})$ ) and  $[K_\beta]$  ( $\beta \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the subalgebra of  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  generated by  $[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})]$  ( $\vec{x} \in L(\mathbf{p})$ ) and  $[K_\beta]$  ( $\beta \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ ). By definition, it is enough to check that all the isoclasses of simple sheaves  $[S_{ij}]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$  are in  $\mathbf{H}$ .

For  $[S_{ij}]$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}((j-1)\vec{x}_i) \xrightarrow{x_i} \mathcal{O}(j\vec{x}_i) \rightarrow S_{ij} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$[[\mathcal{O}((j-1)\vec{x}_i)], [\mathcal{O}(j\vec{x}_i)]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})[S_{ij}] * [K_{\mathcal{O}((j-1)\vec{x}_i)}].$$

So  $[S_{ij}] \in \mathbf{H}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**5.2. A Drinfeld type presentation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .** Let  $\Gamma = T_{p_1, \dots, p_{\mathbf{t}}}$  be the star-shaped graph defined in (2.23). Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  be the (twisted) Ringel-Hall algebra of  $\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ ; cf. (4.6). Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is a  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ -graded algebra. Note that  $\text{rep}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{nil}}(C_{p_i}) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\lambda_i}$ , which induces an algebra embedding  $\iota_i : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ . Inspired by (4.19), define

$$\hat{x}_{[i,j],k}^+ := (1-q)\iota_i(\hat{x}_{j,k}^+) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad \forall k \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

We shall recall the algebra  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$  defined in [Sch04], which can be viewed as a ‘‘positive part’’ of the quantum loop algebra associated to  $\Gamma$ .

Denote by  $\phi_i : \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{p_i}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$  the algebra embedding obtained in (4.7). We denote by  $E_0^{(i)}, E_{\phi_i(1)}, \dots, E_{\phi_i(p_i-1)}$  the corresponding elements  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{p_i-1}$  under the morphism  $\phi_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ . Inspired by (4.17), we define

$$\mathbf{h}_{[i,0],r} = \frac{[r]_{\mathbf{v}}}{r} \sum_{|\lambda|=r} \mathbf{n}(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{[S_{i,0}^{(\lambda)}]}{|\text{Aut}(S_{i,0}^{(\lambda)})|} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (5.2)$$

Let  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$ -algebra generated by  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_{\star,r}$  for  $r > 0$  and  $E_{\star,k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , subject to a set of relations defined in [Sch04, §4.4].

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  be the composition subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  defined in [Sch04], i.e.,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is generated by  $[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]$ ,  $[S_{ij}]$ ,  $T_r$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ . Here

$$T_r := \sum_{x, d_x | r} \frac{[r]_{\mathbf{v}}}{r} d_x \sum_{|\lambda|=\frac{r}{d_x}} \mathbf{n}_x(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{[\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}(S_x^{(\lambda)})]}{|\text{Aut}(S_x^{(\lambda)})|},$$



see (3.4) for the definition of  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{P}^1}$ . It is a fact that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$  is a subalgebra of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  by using  $\iota_i$ . So  $\widehat{x}_{[i,j],k}^+ \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ ; see (5.1).

**Theorem 5.3** ([Sch04]). *There is an algebra epimorphism  $\Phi : \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  uniquely determined by*

$$E_{\star,k} \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1}[\mathcal{O}(k\vec{c})], \quad E_{\phi_i(j)} \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1}[S_{ij}], \quad E_0^{(i)} \mapsto \frac{-1}{q-1}[S_{i,0}], \quad \mathbf{h}_{\star,r} \mapsto T_r.$$

Moreover,  $\Phi$  is isomorphic if  $\Gamma$  is of finite or affine type.

We assume that  $\Gamma$  is of finite or affine type in the following. Using the presentation of  $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\widehat{\mathbf{n}})$  defined in [Sch04, §4.4] and the isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{v}}(\widehat{\mathbf{n}}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  in Theorem 5.3, we obtain a presentation of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *If  $\Gamma$  is of finite or affine type, then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})$  generated by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]$ ,  $T_r$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r > 0$ , subject to the following relations precisely:*

$$T_r = \mathbf{h}_{[i,0],r}, \quad [\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i}), \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_j})] = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \mathbf{t}; \quad (5.3)$$

$$[T_r, [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]] = \frac{[2r]_{\mathbf{v}}}{r}[\mathcal{O}((l+r)\vec{c})], \quad (5.4)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}(k\vec{c})], [\mathcal{O}((l+1)\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2}} - \mathbf{v}^{-2}[[\mathcal{O}((k+1)\vec{c})], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}^2} = 0, \text{ for } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], [S_{i,0}]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} = 0, \quad [[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], [S_{ij}]] = 0, \text{ if } j > 1, \quad (5.6)$$

$$[[S_{i,0}], [[S_{i,1}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}}] = 0, \text{ if } p_i > 2, \quad (5.7)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}((l+1)\vec{c})], \widehat{x}_{[i,1],r}^+]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} - \mathbf{v}^{-1}[[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], \widehat{x}_{[i,1],r+1}^+]_{\mathbf{v}} = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t_1, t_2 \mid l; [i, 1], \star) = 0, \text{ for } t_1, t_2 > 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(l_1, l_2 \mid r; \star, [i, 1]) = 0, \text{ for } l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, r > 0. \quad (5.10)$$

**5.3. Filtered algebra structure of  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .** Recall from Theorem 2.7 that  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  has an  $v$ Hall basis given by  $[M] * [K_{\alpha}]$ , where  $[M] \in \text{Iso}(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ , and  $\alpha \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ . For any  $\gamma \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ , denote by  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\leq \gamma}$  (respectively,  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\gamma}$ ) the subspace of  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  spanned by elements from this basis for which  $\widehat{M} \leq \gamma$  (respectively,  $\widehat{M} = \gamma$ ) in  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ . Then  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is a  $K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ -graded linear space, i.e.,

$${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))} {}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\gamma}. \quad (5.11)$$

Similar to [LW22a, Lemma 5.3], there is a filtered algebra structure on  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ , and we denote the associated graded algebra by

$${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))} {}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\gamma}^{\text{gr}}.$$

It is natural to view the quantum torus  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) := \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$  as a subalgebra of  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$ . Then  ${}^v\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$  is also a  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ -bimodule.

Just as in [LW22a, Lemma 5.4 (ii)], the linear map

$$\varphi : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) \longrightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}, \quad \varphi([M]) = [M], \quad \forall M \in \text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (5.12)$$

is an algebra embedding. Furthermore,  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism of algebras

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})} \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}] \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}},$$

which is also denoted by  $\varphi$ .

Recall that  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is the composition subalgebra of  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ . Then  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is also a filtered algebra, we denote by  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$  its associated graded algebra. For any element  $Y \in {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ , denote by  $\text{gr}(Y)$  the corresponding element in  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *The morphism  $\varphi$  restricts to an embedding of algebras*

$$\varphi : \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) \longrightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}, \quad (5.13)$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}] \cong {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}. \quad (5.14)$$

*Proof.* For (5.13), by definitions, using (5.12), it is enough to prove that  $[S_{i,0}] \in {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ , which follows by the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Let us prove (5.14). By Corollary 3.3 and Definition 2.2, we know  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is generated by  $[K_{\beta}]$  ( $\beta \in K_0(\text{coh}(X))$ ) and

$$[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], \widehat{\Theta}_{\star,r}, \widehat{B}_{[i,j],l}, \widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],r}, \quad \forall [i,j] \in \mathbb{I}_0 - \{\star\}, l \in \mathbb{Z}, r > 0. \quad (5.15)$$

By using Corollary 3.3 again, it follows from [MR01, Chapter 7, Corollary 6.14] that  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$  is generated by

$$\text{gr}([\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]), \text{gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\star,r}), \text{gr}(\widehat{B}_{[i,j],l}), \text{gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],r}), \quad \forall [i,j] \in \mathbb{I}_0 - \{\star\}, l \in \mathbb{Z}, r > 0. \quad (5.16)$$

Obviously,  $\text{gr}([\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]), \text{gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\star,r}) \in \varphi({}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ . Note that  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$  can be viewed as a subalgebra of  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  via the embedding  $\iota_i$  defined in (3.1). From the proof of Proposition 4.7, we have  $\text{gr}(\widehat{B}_{[i,j],l}), \text{gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{[i,j],r}) \in \varphi({}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$  for any  $l \geq 0, r > 0$ . Furthermore, by (2.42) and (4.35), we have  $\text{gr}(\widehat{B}_{[i,j],-l} * [K_{l\delta - \alpha_{ij}}]) \in \varphi({}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$  for any  $l > 0$ . It follows that  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}} = \varphi({}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}]$  since  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$  is generated by (5.16). Together with the injectivity of  $\varphi$ , (5.14) follows.  $\square$

**5.4. A presentation of  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .** Recall that  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$  is the composition subalgebra of  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$ . The morphism  $\widetilde{\psi}_{C_{p_i}} : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{p_i}) \rightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$  induces an isomorphism  $\widetilde{\psi}_{C_{p_i}} : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}}^i(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{p_i}) \rightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$ . Inspired by Lemma 3.1, for  $r > 0$  we denote

$$\widehat{H}_{[i,0],r} = \frac{[r]_{\mathbf{v}}}{r} \sum_{|\lambda|=r} \mathbf{n}(\ell(\lambda) - 1) \frac{[S_{i,0}^{(\lambda)}]}{|\text{Aut}(S_{i,0}^{(\lambda)})|} - \delta_{r, ev} \mathbf{v}^{-\frac{r}{2}} \frac{[r/2]_{\mathbf{v}}}{r} [K_{\frac{r}{2}\delta}] \in {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i}). \quad (5.17)$$

Recall the morphism  $\Omega : \text{Dr} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}}^i \rightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  obtained in Theorem 3.2. For  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ , set

$$B_{[i,0]} := [B_{[i,1],-1}, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_{\mathbf{v}} C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1}, \quad (5.18)$$

where  $\theta_i := \alpha_{i,1} + \dots + \alpha_{i,p_i-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** *We have  $\Omega(B_{[i,0]}) = \frac{-1}{q-1}[S_{i,0}]$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ .*

*Proof.* A direct computation shows that

$$[[S_{i,0}^{(p_i-j)}], [S_{i,j+1}]]_{\mathbf{v}} = (1-q)[S_{i,0}^{(p_i-j-1)}] * [K_{S_{i,j+1}}]$$

for any  $1 \leq j < p_i - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(B_{[i,0]}) &= \left(\frac{-1}{q-1}\right)^{p_i-1} [[S_{i,0}^{(p_i-1)}], [S_{i,2}], \dots, [S_{i,p_i-1}]]_{\mathbf{v}} * [K_{\theta_i-\alpha_{i,1}}]^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{-1}{q-1}\right)^{p_i-2} [[S_{i,0}^{(p_i-2)}], [S_{i,3}], \dots, [S_{i,p_i-1}]]_{\mathbf{v}} * [K_{\theta_i-\alpha_{i,1}-\alpha_{i,2}}]^{-1} \\ &= \dots = \frac{-1}{q-1} [S_{i,0}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

By using the presentation described in Corollary 5.4, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be of finite or affine type. Then  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is isomorphic to the algebra  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}$  generated by  ${}^v\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]$ ,  $\hat{H}_{\star,r}$ ,  $[K_{\mu}]^{\pm 1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}$ , subject to the following relations precisely:*

$$[K_{\mu}] * [K_{\mu}]^{-1} = 1, \quad [K_{\mu}] \text{ is central, for } \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\star,r} = \hat{H}_{[i,0],r}, \quad [{}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i}), {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_j})] = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \mathbf{t}, \quad (5.20)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], [S_{ij}]] = 0, \text{ if } j > 1, \quad (5.21)$$

$$[\hat{H}_{\star,m}, [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]] = \frac{[2m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} [\mathcal{O}((l+m)\vec{c})] - \frac{[2m]_{\mathbf{v}}}{m} [\mathcal{O}((l-m)\vec{c})] * [K_{m\delta}], \quad (5.22)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}(k\vec{c})], [\mathcal{O}((l+1)\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-2}} - \mathbf{v}^{-2} [[\mathcal{O}((k+1)\vec{c})], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}^2} \quad (5.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (1-q)^2 \left( \mathbf{v}^{-2} \hat{\Theta}_{\star, l-k+1} * [K_{\delta}]^k * [K_{\mathcal{O}}] - \mathbf{v}^{-4} \hat{\Theta}_{\star, l-k-1} * [K_{\delta}]^{k+1} * [K_{\mathcal{O}}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{v}^{-2} \hat{\Theta}_{\star, k-l+1} * [K_{\delta}]^l * [K_{\mathcal{O}}] - \mathbf{v}^{-4} \hat{\Theta}_{\star, k-l-1} * [K_{\delta}]^{l+1} * [K_{\mathcal{O}}] \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$[[S_{i,0}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}} = (1-q)^{2-p_i} [[\mathcal{O}((l-1)\vec{c})], [S_{i,1}], \dots, [S_{i,p_i-1}]]_{\mathbf{v}} * [K_{S_{i,0}}], \quad (5.24)$$

$$\left[ [S_{i,0}], [S_{i,1}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})] \right]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} = 0, \text{ if } p_i > 2, \quad (5.25)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}((l+1)\vec{c})], \hat{B}_{[i,1],r}]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} - \mathbf{v}^{-1} [[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], \hat{B}_{[i,1],r+1}]_{\mathbf{v}} = 0, \quad (5.26)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{S}}(t_1, t_2 | l; [i, 1], \star) = (1-q)^2 \hat{\mathbb{R}}(t_1, t_2 | l; [i, 1], \star), \text{ for } t_1, t_2 > 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.27)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{S}}(l_1, l_2 | r; [i, 1], \star) = (1-q)^2 \hat{\mathbb{R}}(l_1, l_2 | r; \star, [i, 1]), \text{ for } l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, r > 0. \quad (5.28)$$

*Proof.* We will show that the assignment

$$[K_{\mu}] \mapsto [K_{\mu}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})] \mapsto [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})], [S_{ij}] \mapsto [S_{ij}], \hat{H}_{\star,r} \mapsto \hat{H}_{\star,r}, \hat{H}_{[i,0],r} \mapsto \hat{H}_{\star,r}$$

yields an algebra isomorphism

$$\Upsilon : {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}} \longrightarrow {}^v\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (5.29)$$

First, in order to prove that  $\Upsilon$  is well defined, by using Corollary 4.10 and the proof of Theorem 3.2, it remains to check (5.24)–(5.25) in  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$ .

A direct computation shows

$$[[S_{i,0}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}} = (1-q)[\mathcal{O}((l-1)\vec{c} + (p_i-1)\vec{x}_i)] * [K_{S_{i,0}}], \quad (5.30)$$

$$[[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c} + (j-1)\vec{x}_i)], [S_{ij}]]_{\mathbf{v}} = (1-q)[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c} + j\vec{x}_i)], \quad (5.31)$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$ . It follows that

$$[\mathcal{O}((l-1)\vec{c} + (p_i-1)\vec{x}_i)] = (1-q)^{-p_i+1} [[\mathcal{O}((l-1)\vec{c})], [S_{i,1}], \dots, [S_{i,p_i-1}]]_{\mathbf{v}}$$

Thus

$$[[S_{i,0}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}} = (1-q)^{2-p_i} [[\mathcal{O}((l-1)\vec{c})], [S_{i,1}], \dots, [S_{i,p_i-1}]]_{\mathbf{v}} * [K_{S_{i,0}}],$$

and then (5.24) holds.

Now we prove (5.25). By (5.31) we have

$$[[S_{i,1}], [\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]]_{\mathbf{v}^{-1}} = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^{-1})[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c} + \vec{x}_i)].$$

Moreover, there are no homomorphisms and extensions between  $\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c} + \vec{x}_i)$  and  $S_{i,0}$  when  $p_i > 2$ . Hence (5.25) follows.

Secondly, in order to prove that  $\Upsilon$  is isomorphic, it is enough to prove that it is injective, which is given below.

Define  $\deg([\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]) = l\delta + \alpha_{\star}$  for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\deg(\widehat{H}_{\star,r}) = r\delta$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $\deg([K_{\mu}]) = 0$  for  $\mu \in K_0(\text{coh}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}))$ . Using the filtered algebra structure on  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$ , we can make  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}$  to be a filtered algebra. In this way,  $\Upsilon$  is a morphism of filtered algebras. Denote by  $\text{gr } \Upsilon : {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{\text{gr}} \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}}$  the graded morphism between their associated graded algebras.

Using Lemma 5.5 and Corollary 5.4, we have

$$\rho' : {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}] \cong \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}].$$

By comparing the presentations of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}$  and  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}$  obtained in Corollary 5.4 and Proposition 5.7 respectively, there exists a natural algebra morphism

$$\rho'' : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{v})[[K_i]^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}] \longrightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{\text{gr}}.$$

Then we have an algebra morphism  $\rho := \rho'' \circ \rho' : {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\text{gr}} \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{\text{gr}}$ . Using [MR01, Chapter 7, Corollary 6.14], we get that  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{\text{gr}}$  is generated by  ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}C_{p_i})$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})]$ ,  $\widehat{H}_{\star,r}$ ,  $[K_{\mu}]^{\pm 1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\rho \circ \text{gr } \Upsilon = \text{Id}$ . So  $\text{gr } \Upsilon$  is injective. Then  $\Upsilon$  is injective by a standard argument of filtered algebras.  $\square$

**5.5. Injectivity of  $\Omega$ .** In this subsection, we prove that  $\Omega : \text{Dr } \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{v=\mathbf{v}}^i \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is an isomorphism if  $\Gamma$  is of finite type or affine type.

**Proposition 5.8.** *There exists an algebra homomorphism  $\Psi : {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow \text{Dr } \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{v=\mathbf{v}}^i$  which sends*

$$[K_{\mu}] \mapsto \mathbb{K}_{\mu}, \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{I}, \quad (5.32)$$

$$[\mathcal{O}(l\vec{c})] \mapsto (1-q)B_{\star,l}, \quad \widehat{H}_{\star,r} \mapsto H_{\star,r}, \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z}, r > 0, \quad (5.33)$$

$$[S_{ij}] \mapsto (1-q)B_{[i,j]}, \quad [S_{i,0}] \mapsto (1-q)B_{[i,0]}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}, 1 \leq j \leq p_i - 1. \quad (5.34)$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.7, we identify  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_k)$  with  ${}^i\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{X}_k}$  in the following. So it is enough to prove that  $\Psi$  preserves the relations (5.22), (5.23), (5.19)–(5.28). By Definition 2.2, [LR21, (7.12)] and (5.18), it remains to prove that  $\Psi$  preserves (5.24)–(5.25), which follows from Lemma 5.9 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *For  $1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{t}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following equalities hold in  ${}^{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^i$ :*

$$[B_{[i,0]}, B_{\star,l}]_v = -[B_{\star,l-1}, B_{[i,1]}, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1}; \quad (5.35)$$

$$[B_{[i,0]}, [B_{[i,1]}, B_{\star,l}]_{v^{-1}}] = 0, \text{ if } p_i > 2. \quad (5.36)$$

*Proof.* For (5.35), by (2.12), we have  $[B_{[i,j]}, B_{\star,l}] = 0$  for  $2 \leq j < p_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [B_{[i,0]}, B_{\star,l}]_v &= [B_{[i,1],-1}, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}, B_{\star,l}]_v C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1} \\ &= [[B_{[i,1],-1}, B_{\star,l}]_v, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1} \\ &= -[B_{\star,l-1}, B_{[i,1]}, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows by (2.13).

For (5.36), we have

$$[B_{[i,0]}, [B_{[i,1]}, B_{\star,l}]_{v^{-1}}] = -[B_{\star,l}, [B_{[i,0]}, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}}] - [B_{[i,1]}, [B_{\star,l}, B_{[i,0]}]_{v^{-1}}].$$

Claim:

$$[B_{\star,l}, [B_{[i,0]}, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}}] = 0, \quad (5.37)$$

$$[B_{[i,1]}, [B_{\star,l}, B_{[i,0]}]_{v^{-1}}] = 0. \quad (5.38)$$

Then (5.36) follows.

For (5.37), since  $p_i > 2$ , by (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [B_{[i,0]}, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}} &= [[B_{[i,1],-1}, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}} C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1} \\ &= [[B_{[i,1],-1}, B_{[i,2]}]_v, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1} \\ &= -[[B_{[i,2],-1}, B_{[i,1]}]_v, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1} \\ &= v^{-1} [B_{[i,2],-1}, B_{[i,3]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v \mathbb{K}_{[i,1]} C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

where we use (2.15) in the last equality. Using (2.12) again, we have  $[B_{\star,l}, [B_{[i,0]}, B_{[i,1]}]_{v^{-1}}] = 0$ .

For (5.38), using (5.35), we have

$$[B_{[i,1]}, [B_{\star,l}, B_{[i,0]}]_{v^{-1}}] = v^{-1} [B_{[i,1]}, [B_{\star,l-1}, B_{[i,1]}, B_{[i,2]}, \dots, B_{[i,p_i-1]}]_v] C\mathbb{K}_{\theta_i}^{-1}.$$

Using  $[B_{[i,1]}, B_{[i,j]}] = 0$  for  $j > 2$ , it is enough to show that

$$[[B_{\star,l-1}, B_{[i,1]}, B_{[i,2]}]_v, B_{[i,1]}] = 0. \quad (5.40)$$

In fact, since  $[B_{\star,l-1}, B_{i,2}] = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(-v^{-2}) \cdot \text{LHS}(5.40) \\ &= B_{[i,1]} B_{[i,2]} B_{[i,1]} B_{\star,l-1} - v^{-1} B_{[i,1]} B_{[i,1]} B_{\star,l-1} B_{[i,2]} + v^{-2} B_{[i,1]} B_{\star,l-1} B_{[i,1]} B_{[i,2]} \\ &\quad - B_{[i,2]} B_{[i,1]} B_{\star,l-1} B_{[i,1]} + v^{-1} B_{[i,2]} B_{\star,l-1} B_{[i,1]} B_{[i,1]} - v^{-2} B_{\star,l-1} B_{[i,1]} B_{[i,2]} B_{[i,1]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{[2]} (B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,2]} + B_{[i,2]}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]} + v^{-1}B_{[i,2]}\mathbb{K}_{[i,1]})B_{\star,l-1} - v^{-1}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,2]}B_{\star,l-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{v^{-2}}{[2]} (B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]}B_{\star,l-1} + B_{\star,l-1}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]} + v^{-1}B_{\star,l-1}\mathbb{K}_{[i,1]})B_{[i,2]} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{[2]}B_{[i,2]}(B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]}B_{\star,l-1} + B_{\star,l-1}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]} + v^{-1}B_{\star,l-1}\mathbb{K}_{[i,1]}) + v^{-1}B_{[i,2]}B_{\star,l-1}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]} \\
&\quad - \frac{v^{-2}}{[2]}B_{\star,l-1}(B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,2]} + B_{[i,2]}B_{[i,1]}B_{[i,1]} + v^{-1}B_{[i,2]}\mathbb{K}_{[i,1]}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows by using (2.15). The proof is completed.  $\square$

Now we can prove the main result Theorem 3.2.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* It is enough to prove that  $\Omega : \text{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=v}^i \rightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is an isomorphism. By definition,  $\Omega$  is epimorphism. By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 5.8, it is easy to see that  $\Psi \circ \Omega = \text{Id}$ , and then  $\Omega$  is injective. So  $\Omega : \text{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=v}^i \rightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

*Remark 5.10.* For the injectivity of  $\Omega$ , the corresponding result for the whole quantum loop algebras has not been proved except for the special case of the quantum affine  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . In fact, it is only proved in [Sch04] that a “positive part” of the quantum loop algebras can be realized by the Hall algebra of  $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}}$  if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of finite or affine type; see Theorem 5.3.

We expect the algebra epimorphism  $\Omega : \text{Dr}\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{|v=v}^i \rightarrow {}^i\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{k}})$  to be injective for any Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; see a similar expectation for quantum loop algebras in [Sch04, DJX12].

## REFERENCES

- [BK20] P. Baseilhac and S. Kolb, *Braid group action and root vectors for the  $q$ -Onsager algebra*, Transform. Groups **25** (2020), 363–389.
- [BKa01] P. Baumann and C. Kassel, *The Hall algebra of the category of coherent sheaves on the projective line*, J. reine angew. Math. **533** (2001), 207–233.
- [Be94] J. Beck, *Braid group actions and quantum affine algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 555–568.
- [Br13] T. Bridgeland, *Quantum groups via Hall algebras of complexes*, Ann. Math. **177** (2013), 739–759.
- [BS12] I. Burban and O. Schiffmann, *Two descriptions of the quantum affine algebra  $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  via Hall algebra approach*, Glasgow Math. J. **54** (2012), 283–307.
- [BS13] I. Burban and O. Schiffmann, *The composition Hall algebra of a weighted projective line*, J. reine angew. Math. **679** (2013), 75–124.
- [Da15] I. Damiani, *From the Drinfeld realization to the Drinfeld-Jimbo presentation of affine quantum algebras: injectivity*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **51** (2015), 131–171.
- [DDF12] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur-Weyl theory*, LMS Lect. Notes Ser. **401**, 2012, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [DJX12] R. Dou, Y. Jiang and J. Xiao, *Hall algebra approach to Drinfeld’s presentation of quantum loop algebras*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 2593–2625.
- [Dr88] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212–216.
- [GL87] W. Geigle and H. Lenzing, *A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles, Lect. Notes Math. 1273(1987), 265–297.

- [Gor18] M. Gorsky, *Semi-derived and derived Hall algebras for stable categories*, IMRN, Vol. **2018**, No. 1, 138–159.
- [Gr95] J.A. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), 361–377.
- [Hu05] A. Hubery, *Symmetric functions and the centre of the Ringel-Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver*, Math. Z. **251** (2005), 705–719.
- [Hu] A. Hubery, *Three presentations of the Hopf algebra  $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$* , preprint.
- [Ka97] M. Kapranov, *Eisenstein series and quantum affine algebras*, J. Math. Sci. **84** (1997), 1311–1360.
- [Ko14] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, Adv. Math. **267**(2014), 395–469.
- [KP11] S. Kolb and J. Pellegrini, *Braid group actions on coideal subalgebras of quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra **336** (2011), 395–416.
- [Let99] G. Letzter, *Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), 729–767.
- [LinP19] J. Lin and L. Peng, *Modified Ringel-Hall algebras, Green’s formula and derived Hall algebras*, J. Algebra **526** (2019), 81–103.
- [LP21] M. Lu and L. Peng, *Semi-derived Ringel-Hall algebras and Drinfeld double*, Adv. Math. **383** (2021), 107668.
- [LR21] M. Lu and S. Ruan,  *$\imath$ Hall algebras of weighted projective lines and quantum symmetric pairs*, [arXiv:2110.02575v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.02575v2)
- [LRW23] M. Lu, S. Ruan and W. Wang,  *$\imath$ Hall algebra of the projective line and  $q$ -Onsager algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376**(2023), 1475–1505.
- [LW23] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs of Kac-Moody type*, Adv. Math. **430** (2023), 109215.
- [LW21a] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs II: reflection functors*, Commun. Math. Phys. **381** (2021), 799–855.
- [LW21b] M. Lu and W. Wang, *A Drinfeld type presentation of affine  $\imath$ quantum groups I: split ADE type*, Adv. Math. **393** (2021), 108111.
- [LW22a] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Hall algebras and quantum symmetric pairs I: foundations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **124** (2022), 1–82.
- [LW22b] M. Lu and W. Wang, *Braid group symmetries on quasi-split  $\imath$ quantum groups via  $\imath$ Hall algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **28** (2022), no. 5, Paper No. 84.
- [MR01] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, with the cooperation of L. W. Small, revised edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **30** AMS, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [Rin90] C.M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583–591.
- [Rin96] C.M. Ringel, *PBW-bases of quantum groups*, J. reine angew. Math. **470** (1996), 51–88.
- [Sch02] O. Schiffmann, *The Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver and canonical bases of Fock spaces*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **8** (2002), 413–440.
- [Sch04] O. Schiffmann, *Noncommutative projective curves and quantum loop algebras*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), 113–168.
- [Sch12] O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on Hall algebras*, In: Geometric methods in representation theory. II, 1–141, Sémin. Congr., 24-II, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2012).
- [SV99] B. Sevenhant and M. Van den Bergh, *On the double of the Hall algebra of a quiver*, J. Algebra **221** (1999), 135–160.
- [X97] J. Xiao, *Drinfeld double and Ringel-Green theory of Hall algebras*, J. Algebra **190** (1997), 100–144.
- [XY01] J. Xiao and S. Yang, *BGP-reflection functors and Lusztig’s symmetries: A Ringel-Hall approach to quantum groups*, J. Algebra **241** (2001), 204–246.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R.CHINA  
Email address: luming@scu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, P.R.CHINA  
Email address: sqruan@xmu.edu.cn