Bounds of restriction of characters to submanifolds

Yunfeng Zhang

ABSTRACT. To investigate concentration of Laplacian eigenfunctions on a compact manifold as the eigenvalue grows to infinity, an important route is to bound their restriction to submanifolds. In this paper we take the route in the setting of a compact Lie group, and provide sharp restriction bounds of general Laplacian eigenfunctions as well as important special ones such as matrix coefficients and in particular characters of irreducible representations of the group. We focus on two classes of submanifolds, namely, submanifolds of maximal flats and the conjugation-invariant submanifolds. We prove conjecturally sharp asymptotic L^p bounds of restriction of general Laplacian eigenfunctions to submanifolds of maximal flats for all $p \ge 2$. We also prove sharp asymptotic L^p bounds of restriction of characters to submanifolds of maximal tori for all p > 0, of general matrix coefficients to submanifolds of maximal flats for all $p \ge 2$, and of characters to the conjugation-invariant submanifolds for all $p \ge 2$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we continue the study of concentration properties of general Laplacian eigenfunctions on a compact Lie group as well as important special ones such as matrix coefficients and in particular characters of irreducible representations of the group, after [12]. Let U be a compact simply connected simple¹ Lie group of dimension d and rank r, equipped with the canonical Riemannian metric as induced from the Killing form, using which the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(U)$ are defined. Let Δ denote the Laplace–Beltrami operator, or simply called the Laplacian. We will state our asymptotic bounds of the Laplacian eigenfunctions in terms of the parameter N > 0, so that $-N^2$ is the corresponding Laplacian eigenvalue. In [12] the following character bounds were proved.

Theorem 1.1. Let χ be the character of an irreducible representation of U such that $\Delta \chi = -N^2 \chi$. Then we have the following sharp bound²

$$\|\chi\|_{L^{p}(U)} \leq C \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{d}{p}}, & \text{for } p > \frac{2d}{d-r}, \\ (\log N)^{\frac{d-r}{2d}}, & \text{for } p = \frac{2d}{d-r}, \\ 1, & \text{for } 2 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d-r} \end{cases}$$

Note that it always holds $\|\chi\|_{L^2(U)} = 1$ for characters χ . The following bounds on matrix coefficients were also proved in [12] as consequences of the above character bounds.

Theorem 1.2. Let ψ be any matrix coefficient of an irreducible representation of U, or equivalently any joint eigenfunction of the ring of invariant differential operators³ on U, such that $\Delta \psi = -N^2 \psi$. Then we have the following sharp bound

$$\|\psi\|_{L^p(U)} \le CN^{\frac{a-r}{2} - \frac{d}{p}} \|\psi\|_{L^2(U)}$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E30, 35P20.

¹The simple connectedness and simplicity assumptions are to make the exposition easier, as generalizations of all the results provided in this paper to general compact Lie groups are immediate by passing to universal covers.

²In fact, in [12] sharpness of this bound was only shown for p > 2d/(d-r), and the bound at the kink point p = 2d/(d-r) was not treated. The full results will be demonstrated in this paper as part of the following Theorem 1.8.

³Here a differential operator on U is said to be invariant if it commutes with both left and right translations.

for all p > 4d/(d-r).

Note that the full range of p for the bound in Theorem 1.2 to hold should be p > 2(d+r)/(d-r), as proved in the paper [5] of Marshall but under certain regularity assumption on the spectral parameter of the joint eigenfunction ψ . From [12] we also have bounds of general Laplacian eigenfunctions as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let f be any (smooth) function on U such that $\Delta f = -N^2 f$. Then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(U)} \le CN^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{d}{p}} \|f\|_{L^2(U)}$$

for all p > 2dr(dr - 2d - 2r) and $r \ge 5$, and

$$||f||_{L^p(U)} \le C_{\varepsilon} N^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{d}{p} + \varepsilon} ||f||_{L^2(U)}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $p \ge 2d(r+1)(dr - d - 2r)$ and $r \ge 4$.

The above three theorems follow among a large literature the line of research on global L^p bounds of Laplacian eigenfunctions as a way of measuring their concentration, with the goal of improving the general bounds as established in the fundamental paper [7] of Sogge.

Initiated by the paper [6] of Reznikov and the paper [1] of Burq–Gérard–Tzvetkov, there has appeared a great deal of interesting work on another way of quantifying concentration of eigenfunctions, namely, to establish L^p bounds of restriction of eigenfunctions to submanifolds. We refer to Chapter 12 of [10] for a recent survey of such restriction bounds. The following fundamental restriction bounds for general compact manifolds were established in [1].

Theorem 1.4. Let M be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension d, and let S be a smooth submanifold of dimension k. Let $L^p(M)$, $L^p(S)$ be the Lebesgue spaces associated to the volume measure on M and S respectively as induced from the Riemannian metric. Let Δ be the Laplace–Beltrami operator on M. Then for any (smooth) function φ on M such that $\Delta \varphi = -N^2 \varphi$ with N > 0, we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p(S)} \le CN^{\rho(k,d)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(M)},$$

where

$$\rho(d-1,d) = \begin{cases} \frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{d-1}{p}, & \text{if } \frac{2d}{d-1}
$$\rho(d-2,d) = \frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{d-2}{p}, & \text{if } 2
$$\rho(k,d) = \frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{k}{p}, & \text{if } 1 \le k \le d-3. \end{cases}$$$$$$

If p = 2d/(d-1) and k = d-1, we have

 $\|\varphi\|_{L^p(S)} \le CN^{\frac{d-1}{2d}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(M)},$

and if p = 2 and k = d - 2, we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p(S)} \le CN^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Moreover, all estimates are sharp, except for the log loss, if M is picked to be the standard spheres.

The intention of the current paper is to obtain restriction analogues of Theorem 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, which can improve upon the general restriction bounds as in Theorem 1.4. Due to a limitation of tools, we are not

Type of U	$k/p_k, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$					
$A_r (r \ge 1)$	kr - (k-1)k/2					
$B_r (r \ge 2)$	$2kr-k^2$					
$C_r (r \ge 3)$	$2kr-k^2$					
$D_r (r \ge 4)$	$2kr - k(k+1), 1 \le k \le r - 4;$					
	2r(r-1) - 6, k = r - 3;					
	2r(r-1) - 3, k = r - 2;					
	2r(r-1) - 1, k = r - 1.					
E_6	16, 24, 30, 33, 35					
E_7	27, 43, 51, 57, 60, 62					
E_8	57, 84, 100, 108, 114, 117, 119					
F_4	15,20,23					
G_2	5					

TABLE 1. The critical exponents p_k

yet able to treat all submanifolds of the compact Lie group U. As we would need to exploit the conjugationinvariant property of characters, instead we will focus on the following two classes of submanifolds. The first class consists of maximal flats in U and their submanifolds. A maximal flat in U is defined as a totally geodesic submanifold of sectional curvature zero of maximal dimension in U, or equivalently, a left (or right) translate of any maximal torus of U. The second class consists of submanifolds that are invariant under the conjugation action of the group which we describe in more detail later. For the first class of submanifolds, we will first prove the following restriction bounds of characters.

Theorem 1.5. Let χ be the character of an irreducible representation of U such that $\Delta \chi = -N^2 \chi$. Let S be a smooth k-dimensional submanifold of a maximal torus T of U, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r. Let $p_0 = 0$, $p_r = 2r/(d-r)$, and p_k be given in Table 1 for k = 1, 2, ..., r-1 so that $(d-r)/2 - k/p_k > 0$. Then

$$\|\chi\|_{L^{p}(S)} \leq C \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{k}{p}}, & \text{for } p > p_{k}, \\ N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{k}{p_{k}}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{p_{k}}}, & \text{for } p = p_{k}, \\ N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{k}{p_{k}}}, & \text{for } 0$$

Moreover, the above bound is sharp, in the sense that for any k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r, there exists a smooth kdimensional submanifold S of T for which the above bound is saturated by a sequence of characters for all p > 0.

In fact, we will show that for each k = 0, 1, ..., r, the above bound is saturated if we pick the k-dimensional submanifolds of T to be some of the k-dimensional facets of any Weyl alcove in T. A (closed) Weyl alcove is a simplex formed as the closure of any connected component of the complement of all the root hyperplanes in the maximal torus. Each k-dimensional (open) facet of the Weyl alcove lies on the root hyperplanes exactly corresponding to a rank-(r - k) subsystem of the root system Σ of U, and the ones that lie on the largest number of root hyperplanes among all k-dimensional facets will be chosen as the submanifolds to saturate the above bound. As root hyperplanes consist of focal points⁴ of the origin in the maximal torus with respect

 $^{^{4}}$ Focal points are more commonly called conjugate points, but we avoid the latter as to not confuse with the conjugation of group theory.

to the Riemannian geometry of the compact Lie group, from the semiclassical viewpoint, it is intuitive that mass of Laplacian eigenfunctions should concentrate the most on such chosen submanifolds.

So it is not surprising now the explicitly given numbers k/p_k in the above theorem are exactly the number of (positive) roots one need to remove from the irreducible root system Σ to get the rank-(r-k) subsystem of Σ which is of the largest cardinality among all rank-(r-k) subsystems. We will demonstrate that actually these numbers k/p_k can all be extracted from ways of "peeling an irreducible root system most slowly", and in every step of peeling to get a root subsystem of rank lower by one, one removes fewer roots than the previous step. This phenomenon will play an important technical role in our proof of the above theorem. We will prove the above theorem using the key tool of a barycentric-semiclassical cover of the Weyl alcove as introduced in [12].⁵ This cover monitors how close the points in the alcove are to each facet of the alcove, according to which we adapt the form of characters to make good estimates of them.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.5, using Schur's test, we prove the following sharp (with one exception of log loss explained in the theorem) L^p bounds of restriction of matrix coefficients to maximal flats and their submanifolds.

Theorem 1.6. Let ψ be any matrix coefficient of an irreducible representation of U such that $\Delta \psi = -N^2 \psi$. Let S be a smooth k-dimensional submanifold of any maximal flat in U, where k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r. Then for $p \geq 2$, it holds

$$\|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} \le CN^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{k}{p}} \|\psi\|_{L^2(U)}$$

except when U is the 3-sphere, S is any large circle on U, and p = 2, in which case we have

 $\|\psi\|_{L^2(S)} \le CN^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_{L^2(U)}.$

For the special case of S being the maximal flats themselves, the above bounds were proved in [5] but under certain regularity assumptions on the spectral parameter of ψ . Marshall asked in [5] if these bounds could be established unconditionally and for more general submanifolds. Thus Theorem 1.6 provides positive answers to Marshall's questions. In [5] Marshall treated all compact symmetric spaces, and we believe that it is promising and very interesting to generalize the above results of ours to all compact symmetric spaces using our current framework. It would also be interesting to get L^p restriction bounds of the matrix coefficients for p below 2.

The significance of proving Theorem 1.6 for all matrix coefficients is reflected in its application to restriction bounds of general Laplacian eigenfunctions. By a standard estimate of the number of ways of representing an integer by a positive definite integral quadratic form, we offer the following consequence of Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 1.7. Let f be any (smooth) function on U such that $\Delta f = -N^2 f$. Let S be a smooth k-dimensional submanifold of any maximal flat in U, where k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r. Then

$$||f||_{L^p(S)} \le CN^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{k}{p}} ||f||_{L^2(U)}$$

for all $p \ge 2$ and $r \ge 5$, and

$$||f||_{L^{p}(S)} \leq C_{\varepsilon} N^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{k}{p} + \varepsilon} ||f||_{L^{2}(U)}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $p \ge 2$ and $2 \le r \le 4$.

Next we discuss the second class of submanifolds, those that are invariant under the conjugation action. The first such example that is not the whole group U itself consists of all the regular points of U, as these

 $^{^{5}}$ We will also provide a more streamlined presentation of the barycentric-semiclassical cover.

regular points form an open and conjugation-invariant subset of U. Recall that a point of U is regular if its centralizer has dimension equal to the rank of U. Equivalently, if we fix a maximal torus and then fix a Weyl alcove in it, a point is regular if it is conjugate to some point in the interior of the alcove, while the nonregular or say singular points are conjugate to points on the lower dimensional facets of the alcove. Now if we pick any point x in the Weyl alcove, then the orbit of x under the conjugation action of U becomes a submanifold of U, the dimension of which depends on how singular the point x is. In fact, the dimension of the orbit equals the number of roots in Σ to which the associated root hyperplanes do not contain x. In particular, the orbits of the points on any fixed facet of the alcove have the same dimension, and it can be shown that the total orbit of any submanifold of any fixed facet forms an immersed submanifold⁶ of U.

Definition. An invariant submanifold Y of U is defined as the orbit of a submanifold S of a facet of a Weyl alcove in a maximal torus of U under the conjugation action. The rank of Y is defined as the dimension of S.

As any Weyl alcove of any maximal torus is a fundamental domain for the conjugation action of U, the submanifold S is determined up to conjugation for any invariant submanifold Y. As an immersed submanifold, each invariant submanifold of U is equipped with a canonical volume measure as induced from the Riemannian metric on U. Restricted to these invariant submanifolds, we will prove the following L^p bounds of characters.

Theorem 1.8. Let Y be an invariant submanifold of U of dimension n and rank k, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r. Let χ be the character of an irreducible representation of U such that $\Delta \chi = -N^2 \chi$. Let $p_0 = 0$, $p_r = 2r/(d-r)$, and p_k be given in Table 1 for k = 1, 2, ..., r - 1. Then

$$\|\chi\|_{L^{p}(Y)} \leq C \cdot \begin{cases} N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{n}{p}}, & \text{for } p > 2 + p_{k}, \\ N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{n}{2+p_{k}}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{2+p_{k}}}, & \text{for } p = 2 + p_{k}, \\ N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{k}{p_{k}} - \frac{n-k-2k/p_{k}}{p}}, & \text{for } 2 \leq p < 2 + p_{k}. \end{cases}$$

Here it always holds that $n - k - 2k/p_k \ge 0$. Moreover, for each k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r, there exists an invariant submanifold Y of U of dimension n and rank k for which $n - k - 2k/p_k = 0$ and that the above bound is saturated by a sequence of characters for all $p \ge 2$.

In particular, for k = r and taking Y to be the orbit of the interior of the Weyl alcove under the conjugation action of U, we retrieve Theorem 1.1 as $U \setminus Y$ is only a lower dimensional subset of U and thus has volume measure zero⁷.

The above theorem parallels Theorem 1.5, and will be proved in the same manner. The adapted Weyl integration formula would effortlessly transfer integration over invariant submanifolds to integration over submanifolds of the facets. The p = 2 case of the above bounds has additional sharpness and may be of independent interest, which we record below as a separate theorem.

Theorem 1.9. Let Y be an invariant submanifold of U of dimension n and rank k, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., r. Let χ be the character of an irreducible representation of U such that $\Delta \chi = -N^2 \chi$. Then

$$\|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)} \le CN^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{n-k}{2}}$$

⁶If one would like to consider only embedded submanifolds, then simply pick embedded pieces of these immersed submanifolds. ⁷Y is simply the open subset of U consisting of all the regular points for which we have $\dim(U \setminus Y) = \dim U - 3$. See (2.10) of this paper and we refer to Ch. VII of [4] for more details.

Moreover, the above bound is sharp in the sense that it is saturated by a sequence of characters whenever Y is the orbit of any facet of the Weyl alcove under the conjugation action.

Just as any Laplacian eigenfunction is a sum of matrix coefficients, any conjugation-invariant Laplacian eigenfunction is a linear combination of characters. Using the same method that gives Theorem 1.7 from Theorem 1.6, we can also use Theorem 1.8 and 1.9 to establish bounds of restriction of general conjugation-invariant Laplacian eigenfunctions to invariant submanifolds; we leave the details to the interested reader. An inspection reveals that all our bounds in Theorem 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9 improve for the most part by powers of N upon or match for the remaining few cases the general restriction bounds as in Theorem 1.4.

Organization. In Section 2 we set up the notations about compact Lie groups, the Weyl alcoves and their facets, the invariant submanifolds, and the characters, and present the barycentric-semiclassical cover of the Weyl alcove. In Section 3 we show the existence of ways of peeling an irreducible root system mostly slowly. The core of this article is Section 4 where we prove Theorem 1.5. Then we demonstrate the sharpness of Theorem 1.5 in Section 5. Theorem 1.6 and 1.7 are proved in Section 6 and 7 respectively. In the last section, we prove Theorem 1.8 and 1.9 along with their sharpness.

Notation. Throughout the paper, we will use $a \leq b$ to mean $a \leq Cb$ for some positive constant C, and $a \approx b$ to mean $a \leq b \leq a$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we will use p' to denote 1/(1-1/p).

Acknowledgments. This project is partially supported by National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022YFA1006700). The author would like to thank Xiaocheng Li for helpful discussions.

2. Preliminaries

We review basic structure and representation theory of compact Lie groups that can be found in standard texts such as [2], [4] and [3], and some machinery developed in [12] for the analysis of characters.

2.1. Structure of compact Lie groups and their alcoves. Let U be a compact simply connected simple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} . Let \mathfrak{t} be a Cartan subalgebra, i.e. a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{u} and let T be the corresponding analytic subgroup which is a maximal torus of U. Let \mathfrak{t}^* denote the real dual space of \mathfrak{t} and let i denote the imaginary unit so that $i\mathfrak{t}^*$ is the space of linear forms on \mathfrak{t} that take imaginary values. Let $\Sigma \subset i\mathfrak{t}^*$ be the root system of $(\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{t})$. Fix a simple system $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\}$ of Σ . Let $\alpha_0 \in \Sigma$ be the corresponding lowest root. For $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the root hyperplanes

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha,n} := \{ H \in \mathfrak{t} : \alpha(H)/2\pi i + n = 0 \}.$$

These hyperplanes cut the ambient space $\mathfrak t$ into alcoves.

For each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, r$, set

$$t_j(H) := \alpha_j(H)/2\pi i + \delta_{0j}$$

where $H \in \mathfrak{t}$. Here δ_{0j} equals 1 if j = 0 and 0 otherwise. Let

$$A := \{ H \in \mathfrak{t} : t_j(H) \ge 0, \forall j = 0, \dots, r \}$$

be the closed fundamental alcove. The walls of A lie on the root hyperplanes $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha_j,\delta_{0j}}$, $j = 0, \ldots, r$. Under the exponential mapping $\exp: \mathfrak{t} \to T$, the alcove A embeds in T, so we may also view A as a subset of T. Let W denote the finite Weyl group that acts on T, \mathfrak{t} as well as on \mathfrak{t}^* .

The alcoves are simplices whose geometry may be described using the extended Dynkin diagram for Σ . Each α_j (j = 0, ..., r) corresponds to a node in the extended Dynkin diagram (Figure 1), and for each



FIGURE 1. Extended Dynkin diagrams

proper subset J of $\{0, \ldots, r\}$, $\{\alpha_j, j \in J\}$ is a simple system for the rank-|J| parabolic subsystem Σ_J of Σ . The finite Dynkin diagram⁸ of Σ_J can be obtained from the extended Dynkin diagram of Σ by removing all the nodes not belonging to J. Associated to the simple system $\{\alpha_j, j \in J\}$ of Σ_J is the positive system Σ_J^+ of Σ_J .

The facets of A correspond to proper subsets of $\{0, \ldots, r\}$. For $J \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$,

$$A_J := \{ H \in A : t_j(H) = 0, \forall j \in J; t_j(H) > 0, \forall j \notin J \}$$

is the corresponding (r - |J|)-dimensional facet. We have

$$A = \bigsqcup_{\substack{J \subseteq \{0, \dots, r\}}} A_J.$$

By the definition of A_J , if a root hyperplane $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha,n}$ contains A_J , then $\alpha \in \Sigma_J$. For $J \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$, let W_J denote the finite Weyl group of Σ_J .

2.2. Barycentric-semiclassical cover. From the semiclassical perspective, the characters of a compact Lie group should concentrate near focal points of the origin, which form the walls of alcoves of maximal tori. This motivates the semiclassical subdivision of the alcove according to how close the points are from each facet. Let N be the growing parameter that is going to be the square root of the negative of the Laplacian eigenvalue in question. For $J \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$ let A_J be the corresponding facet of A. We define a subset P_J of A that consists of points close to A_J within a distance of $\lesssim N^{-1}$ but away from all the A_K ($K \not\subset J$) by a distance of $\gtrsim N^{-1}$, or equivalently and more precisely, points that are $\leq N^{-1}$ close to the root hyperplanes

⁸The finite Dynkin diagram of a root system is more commonly just called the Dynkin diagram. Here we use the former to make clearer the distinction from the extended Dynkin diagram, which is the finite Dynkin diagram adding the node corresponding to the lowest root.

 $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha_i,\delta_{0_i}}$ for $j \in J$ while $> N^{-1}$ far from the other $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha_i,\delta_{0_i}}$ for $j \notin J$, namely,

$$P_J := \{ H \in A : t_j(H) \le N^{-1}, \ \forall j \in J; \ t_j(H) > N^{-1}, \ \forall j \notin J \}$$

Then we have the so-called semiclassical subdivision (see Figure 2)

(2.1)
$$A = \bigsqcup_{J \subsetneq \{0,\dots,r\}} P_J.$$

The fact that the points in P_J are away from all the A_K $(K \not\subset J)$ by a distance of $\gtrsim N^{-1}$ is not going to be enough for our purpose. We would need to monitor for each point of P_J a little more precisely how far it is from A_K $(K \not\subset J)$: is it close to A_K within a small but fixed distance (independent of N) or away from A_K by at least such a distance? This can be done using a cover of the Weyl alcove, namely, by neighborhoods \mathcal{N}_K of barycenters of the facets A_K $(K \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\})$, which we call the barycentric cover. Each \mathcal{N}_K has the good property of staying close to the facet A_K but away from all the $A_{K'}$ $(K' \not\subset K)$ by at least a certain fixed distance. Now we detail the construction by induction on the dimension of the facet. First, for each $I \subset \{0, \ldots, r\}$ with |I| = r, let \mathcal{N}_I be a small open neighborhood of the vertex A_I of A in \mathfrak{t} . Now for $K \subsetneqq \{0, \ldots, r\}$, suppose $\mathcal{N}_{K'}$ is already defined for all $K' \gneqq K$ $(K' \subsetneqq \{0, \ldots, r\})$. Then we let \mathcal{N}_K be a small open neighborhood of

$$A_K \setminus \bigcup_{K \subsetneq K' \subsetneq \{0, \dots, r\}} \mathcal{N}_{K'}$$

in t.

It is clear that

$$A_K \subset \bigcup_{K \subset K' \subsetneqq \{0, \dots, r\}} \mathcal{N}_{K'}$$

As the closure $\overline{A_K}$ of A_K in \mathfrak{t} equals $\bigsqcup_{K \subset K' \subsetneq \{0, \dots, r\}} A_{K'}$, it is also clear that

$$\overline{A_K} \subset \bigcup_{K \subset K' \subsetneqq \{0, \dots, r\}} \mathcal{N}_{K'}.$$

Since $A = \overline{A_{\varnothing}}$, we have the barycentric cover (see Figure 2)

(2.2)
$$A \subset \bigcup_{K \subsetneqq \{0,\dots,r\}} \mathcal{N}_K.$$

We also have

$$\bigsqcup_{K \subsetneq K' \lneq \{0,...,r\}} \overline{A_{K'}} \subset \bigcup_{K \subsetneq K' \lneq \{0,...,r\}} \mathcal{N}_{K'}$$

Hence $A_K \setminus \bigcup_{K \subsetneq K' \varsubsetneq \{0, ..., r\}} \mathcal{N}_{K'}$ stays a fixed distance away from all the facets $A_{K'}$ $(K \subsetneq K' \gneqq \{0, ..., r\})$, and thus \mathcal{N}_K as a small neighborhood of $A_K \setminus \bigcup_{K \subsetneq K' \gneqq \{0, ..., r\}} \mathcal{N}_{K'}$ also stays a fixed distance away from all the facets $A_{K'}$ $(K \gneqq K' \gneqq \{0, ..., r\})$. As \mathcal{N}_K is also close to A_K , \mathcal{N}_K stays a fixed distance away from all the root hyperplanes not containing A_K . In particular, this gives the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. For $K \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$, \mathcal{N}_K stays a fixed distance away from all the root hyperplanes $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha,n}$ with $\alpha \notin \Sigma_K$.

Now we combine the semiclassical subdivision and the barycentric cover. The following lemma is clear from construction.

Lemma 2.2. For N large enough, we have $\mathcal{N}_K \cap P_J = \emptyset$ unless $J \subset K$.



FIGURE 2. The semiclassical subdivision and barycentric cover: case of SU(3)

For $J \subset K \subsetneqq \{0, \ldots, r\}$, define

$$P_{K,J} = \mathcal{N}_K \cap P_J.$$

By (2.1), (2.2), and Lemma 2.2, we finally have the following result.

Lemma 2.3 (Barycentric-semiclassical cover). We have

$$A = \bigcup_{J \subset K \not\subseteq \{0, \dots, r\}} P_{K, J}.$$

2.3. Behavior of characters across the alcove. We give a formula of the character that would illuminate its behavior on each piece of the barycentric-semiclassical cover. Let (\cdot, \cdot) denote the Killing form on \mathfrak{u} which induces the Riemannian metric on U. Restricted on \mathfrak{t} , the Killing form gives the induced standard flat metric on T. The Killing form also extends to \mathfrak{t}^* by duality and to $i\mathfrak{t}^*$ by linear extension. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the induced norm on \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}^* respectively, on which the Weyl group W acts by isometries. The weight lattice reads

$$\Lambda := \left\{ \mu \in i\mathfrak{t}^* : \frac{2(\mu, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \forall \alpha \in \Sigma \right\}.$$

The action of W on $i\mathfrak{t}^*$ leaves Λ invariant.

Associated to any positive system Σ^+ of Σ is the subset

$$\Lambda^+ := \left\{ \mu \in i\mathfrak{t}^* : \ \frac{2(\mu, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \ \forall \alpha \in \Sigma^+ \right\}$$

of strictly dominant weights. Let

$$\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha$$

be the Weyl vector. Each $\mu \in \Lambda^+$ is associated with an irreducible representation of U of highest weight $\mu - \rho$, and the associated character χ_{μ} can be expressed by the Weyl formula

(2.3)
$$\chi_{\mu}(\exp H) = \frac{\sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\mu)(H)}}{\sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\rho)(H)}}, \text{ for } H \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

The Weyl denominator

$$\delta(H) := \sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\rho)(H)}$$

can also be expressed as follows

(2.4)
$$\delta(H) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} \right), \text{ for } H \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

Note that the Weyl character formula (2.3) makes sense for any $\mu \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$ though the characters are initially defined only for $\mu \in \Lambda^+$.

We now factor the Weyl denominator. For any $J \subsetneq \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, set

$$\delta^{J}(H) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{J}^{+}} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} \right),$$
$$\delta_{J}(H) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+} \setminus \Sigma_{J}^{+}} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} \right),$$

so that

(2.5)
$$\delta(H) = \delta_J(H) \cdot \delta^J(H)$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{t}$. Here the positive system Σ^+ of Σ is chosen as to contain Σ_J^+ . We remark that later when we will be using Lemma 2.3 the barycentric-semiclassical cover of the Weyl alcove, for each fixed pair $J \subset K \subsetneqq \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, we will choose a positive system Σ^+ that contains Σ_K^+ . For example, one can choose Σ^+ to be the set of all roots that are positive in the lexicographic ordering induced by the basis $\{\alpha_j, j \in I\}$ of Σ for any I containing K ($I \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, |I| = r). Now for any $J \subset K \subsetneqq \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, set

$$\delta_J^K(H) := \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_K^+ \setminus \Sigma_J^+} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} \right).$$

We now study the behavior of χ_{μ} near each facet of A. Consider the subspace

(2.6)
$$\mathfrak{t}_J := \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{R} H_{\alpha_j}$$

of \mathfrak{t} , where $H_{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{t}$ is defined such that $(H_{\alpha_j}, H) := \alpha_j(H)/2\pi i$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{t}$. Let H_J denote the orthogonal projection of $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ on \mathfrak{t}_J with respect to the Killing form. Let

which lies in the orthogonal complement

$$\mathfrak{t}_J^\perp := \mathfrak{t} \ominus \mathfrak{t}_J$$

of \mathfrak{t}_J in \mathfrak{t} . Dual to \mathfrak{t}_J , we also consider the root subspace $i\mathfrak{t}_J^* = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{R}\alpha_j$ of $i\mathfrak{t}^*$. For $\mu \in \Lambda$, let μ_J denote the orthogonal projection of μ on $i\mathfrak{t}_J^*$. For $\gamma \in i\mathfrak{t}_J^*$, let

$$\chi^J_{\gamma} := \frac{\sum_{s_J \in W_J} \det s_J \ e^{s_J \gamma}}{\delta^J}$$

be the associated Weyl character. We then have the following key formula of characters.

Lemma 2.4. For any $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\mu \in i\mathfrak{t}^*$, we have

(2.9)
$$\chi_{\mu}(\exp H) = \frac{1}{|W_J|\delta_J(H)} \sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\mu)(H_J^{\perp})} \chi^J_{(s\mu)_J}(\exp H_J).$$

Proof. This can be derived from the Weyl character formula and using (2.5). For details, we refer to Lemma 4.2 of [12]. \Box

We would need the following character bound.

Lemma 2.5. For $\mu \in \Lambda$, $J \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$, we have

 $|\chi_{\mu_J}^J(\exp H_J)| \lesssim |\mu|^{|\Sigma_J^+|}, \text{ for } H \in P_J.$

Proof. When μ_J is regular, i.e. $(\mu_J, \alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma_J$, $\chi^J_{\mu_J}$ is indeed a character of an irreducible representation of the compact simply connected simple Lie group associated to the root system Σ_J , and the above bound follows from the Weyl dimension formula. In general, this may be derived from the Harish-Chandra integral formula. We refer the details to Lemma 6.6 in [12].

2.4. Invariant submanifolds and the adapted Weyl integration formula. Consider the conjugation action of U on each facet A_J , $J \subsetneq \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$. Consider the pointwise stabilizer subgroup

$$T_J = \{ u \in U : \exp \operatorname{Ad}(u) H = \exp H \text{ for each } H \in A_J \}$$

of U.

Lemma 2.6. dim $T_J = r + 2|\Sigma_J^+|$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.1 in Ch. VII of [4]. Note that the T_J defined here looks larger than that as treated in Lemma 5.1, but they are actually the same as they have the same Lie algebra and are both connected.

Then we have the mapping

$$\Psi_J : (uT_J, H) \mapsto \exp \operatorname{Ad}(u)H$$

of $(U/T_J) \times A_J$ into U.

Lemma 2.7. The smooth mapping $\Psi_J : (U/T_J) \times A_J \to U$ is an immersion. Moreover, let du, $d(uT_J)$, and dH denote the volume form on U, U/T_J , and A_J respectively, all canonically induced from the Riemannian metric on U. Then the pullback $\Psi_J^*(du)$ of du by Ψ_J equals $C|\delta_J(H)|^2 d(uT_J) dH$, where C is a positive constant.

Proof. We omit the details as it follows from Lemma 5.2 and its proof in Ch. VII of [4].

Let U_J denote the image of the mapping $\Psi_J : (U/T_J) \times A_J \to U$. Then U_J is an immersed submanifold of U. Even though U_J may not be strictly a Riemannian manifold by itself as it might have self-intersections, U_J is understood as an immersed Riemannian submanifold of U equipped with a canonical measure still denoted by du which equals the pushforward of $C|\delta_J(H)|^2 d(uT_J) dH$ by Ψ_J , so that the following singular analogues of the Weyl integration formula hold

$$\int_{U_J} f(u) \ du = C \int_{(U/T_J) \times A_J} f(\exp \operatorname{Ad}(u)H) |\delta_J(H)|^2 \ d(uT_J) \ dH.$$

Specializing f to be conjugation-invariant functions, we record this identity as the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose f is a smooth function on U that is conjugation-invariant. Then

$$\int_{U_J} f(u) \ du = C \int_{A_J} f(\exp H) |\delta_J(H)|^2 \ dH.$$

More generally, we may replace each facet A_J by any of its submanifold S, and consider the conjugationaction of U on S.

Definition 2.9. Let k = 0, 1, ..., r. We say Y is an invariant submanifold of U of rank k, if there is a smooth k-dimensional submanifold S of a facet A_J , such that Y equals the image of the smooth mapping

$$\Psi_S : (uT_J, H) \mapsto \exp Ad(u)H$$

of $(U/T_J) \times S$ into U.

Completely analogously, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.10. The smooth mapping $\Psi_S : (U/T_J) \times S \to U$ is an immersion. Moreover, let du, $d(uT_J)$, and dH denote the volume form on U, U/T_J , and S respectively, all canonically induced from the Riemannian metric on U. Then the pullback $\Psi_S^*(du)$ of du by Ψ_S equals $C|\delta_J(H)|^2 d(uT_J) dH$ where C is a positive constant. Then as an immersed Riemannian submanifold of U, Y is equipped with a canonical measure which equals the pushforward of $C|\delta_J(H)|^2 d(uT_J) dH$ by Ψ_S .

Lemma 2.11. Suppose f is a smooth function on U that is conjugation-invariant. Then

$$\int_{Y} f(u) \, du = C \int_{S} f(\exp H) |\delta_J(H)|^2 \, dH$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.6 and 2.10, we have

(2.10)
$$\dim Y = k + d - r - 2|\Sigma_J^+| = k + 2(|\Sigma^+| - |\Sigma_J^+|).$$

3. Peeling the root system

Lemma 3.1. Let Σ be an irreducible root system of rank r and let $\{\alpha_j, j = 0, 1, ..., r\}$ be the extended simple system (containing the lowest root α_0). Let $\mathcal{P} = (j_0, j_1, ..., j_r)$ be any permutation of $\{0, 1, ..., r\}$. For i = 0, 1, ..., r, let $I_i = I_i(\mathcal{P}) = \{j_{i+1}, ..., j_r\}$. In particular, $I_r = \emptyset$. Define

(3.1)
$$n_i = n_i(\mathcal{P}) := |\Sigma^+| - |\Sigma^+_{I_i}|, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

and the "peeling numbers"

(3.2)
$$q_i = q_i(\mathcal{P}) = n_i - n_{i-1} = |\Sigma_{I_{i-1}}^+| - |\Sigma_{I_i}^+|, \ i = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

where we have specified $n_{-1} = 0$ and $\Sigma_{I_{-1}}^+ := \Sigma^+$. Then there exists a permutation \mathcal{P}_0 of $\{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, such that for any (other) permutation \mathcal{P} of $\{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, it holds

$$(3.3) n_i(\mathcal{P}_0) \le n_i(\mathcal{P})$$

for all i = 0, 1, ..., r. Moreover, letting $q_{i,0} = q_i(\mathcal{P}_0)$ (i = 0, 1, ..., r), then they are uniquely given in Table 2, along with $q_{0,0} \equiv 0$. In particular, an inspection of Table 2 reveals that

$$(3.4) q_{1,0} > q_{2,0} > \dots > q_{r,0} = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = (j_0, \ldots, j_r)$ be a permutation of $\{0, \ldots, r\}$. Consider removing the nodes in the extended Dynkin diagram as in Figure 1 one by one in the order of j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_r . First, for the irreducible root systems a case-by-case inspection reveals that indeed there exists (multiple) \mathcal{P}_0 which realizes the *r*-tuple $q_{1,0}, \ldots, q_{r,0}$

Σ	$q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}, \ldots, q_{r,0}$
$A_r (r \ge 1)$	$r,r-1,\ldots,2,1$
$B_r(r \ge 2)$	$2r-1, 2r-3, \ldots, 3, 1$
$C_r (r \ge 3)$	$2r - 1, 2r - 3, \dots, 3, 1$
$D_r(r \ge 4)$	$2r-2, 2r-4, \ldots, 10, 8, 6, 3, 2, 1$
E_6	16, 8, 6, 3, 2, 1
E_7	27, 16, 8, 6, 3, 2, 1
E_8	57, 27, 16, 8, 6, 3, 2, 1
F_4	15, 5, 3, 1
G_2	5, 1

TABLE 2. Peeling the root system

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
A	X	\leq	\leq	\leq	\leq	\leq	\leq
B	\geq	\times	\times	\geq		\leq	\leq
C	\geq	\times	\times	\geq		\leq	\leq
D	\geq	\leq	\leq	X	\leq	\leq	\leq
E	\geq			\geq	\times	\leq	\leq
F	\geq	\geq	\geq	\geq	\geq	\times	\leq
G	\geq	\geq	\geq	\geq	\geq	\geq	\times

TABLE 3. The complexity preorder¹⁰

in Table 2. The inequalities (3.3) require the desired \mathcal{P}_0 give the "slowest way of peeling the irreducible root system", so that at each step the remaining number of roots is no smaller than that from any other way.

Define a "complexity preorder" \leq on the set of (equivalence classes of) irreducible root systems using Table 2 as follows. For irreducible root systems Σ and Σ' , suppose their optimal peeling numbers as appearing on the right side of Table 2 are respectively $q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}, \ldots, q_{r,0}$ and $q'_{1,0}, q'_{2,0}, \ldots, q'_{r',0}$. We say $\Sigma \leq \Sigma'$ provided

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j} q_{r-i,0} \le \sum_{i=0}^{j} q_{r'-i,0}'$$

for all $j = 0, 1, ..., \min\{r, r'\} - 1$. It is clear from Table 2 that irreducible root systems of the same type (A, B, C, D, E, F, G) are equivalent⁹ to each other with respective to this complexity preorder, and the comparison between different types are given in Table 3. For example, $A_r \leq \Sigma$ for any irreducible root system Σ .

A key observation is that after removing any node of the extended Dynkin diagram or the finite Dynkin diagram of any irreducible root system Σ , the remaining diagram is a union of connected components

 $^{{}^{9}\}Sigma_{1}$ and Σ_{2} are said to be equivalent with respect to the preorder if $\Sigma_{1} \leq \Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{2} \leq \Sigma_{1}$.

¹⁰Here \asymp denotes equivalence. For the comparison between B, C and E, we have $E_6 \leq B_r$ $(r \geq 2), E_6 \leq C_r$ $(r \geq 3), E_s \leq B_r$ $(2 \leq r \leq 6, s = 6, 7, 8)$, and $E_s \leq C_r$ $(3 \leq r \leq 6, s = 6, 7, 8)$, but when both r > 6 and s > 6, E_s is not comparable with either B_r or C_r .

representing finite Dynkin diagrams of irreducible root subsystems Σ' with the property $\Sigma' \leq \Sigma$. Using this observation, it is not hard to finish the proof by induction on the rank of Σ . We now give the full details anyways.

By transitivity of the complexity preorder, after removing the two nodes j_0 , j_1 of the extended Dynkin diagram of Σ , the remaining diagram is also a union of connected components representing finite Dynkin diagrams of irreducible root subsystems Σ' with the property $\Sigma' \leq \Sigma$; suppose there are two such connected components representing irreducible root subsystems Σ_1 and Σ_2 of Σ with $\Sigma_i \leq \Sigma$ (i = 1, 2), and the argument will be entirely similar for only one or more than two connected components. For the remaining nodes we write

$$\{j_2, \ldots, j_r\} = \{j_{i_1}, \ldots, j_{i_m}\} \bigsqcup \{j_{k_1}, \ldots, j_{k_n}\}$$

where $2 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq r$ and $2 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n \leq r$ such that $\{j_{i_1}, \ldots, j_{i_m}\}$ and $\{j_{k_1}, \ldots, j_{k_n}\}$ label respectively the nodes of the finite Dynkin diagrams of Σ_1 and Σ_2 as inherited from the initial labeling of the extended Dynkin diagram of Σ .

Suppose that the optimal peeling numbers for Σ and Σ_1 and Σ_2 are respectively $q_{1,0}, \ldots, q_{r,0}$ and $q_{1,0}^{\Sigma_1}, \ldots, q_{m,0}^{\Sigma_1}$ and $q_{1,0}^{\Sigma_2}, \ldots, q_{m,0}^{\Sigma_2}$. Apply the induction hypothesis to Σ_1 and Σ_2 , using $\Sigma_i \leq \Sigma$ (i = 1, 2), we have

$$q_{j_{i_m}} + q_{j_{i_{m-1}}} + \dots + q_{j_{i_{m-l+1}}} \le q_{m,0}^{\Sigma_1} + q_{m-1,0}^{\Sigma_1} + \dots + q_{m-l+1,0}^{\Sigma_1} \le q_{r,0} + q_{r-1,0} + \dots + q_{r-l+1,0}$$

for all $l = 1, \ldots, m$, and

 $q_{j_{k_n}} + q_{j_{k_{n-1}}} + \dots + q_{j_{k_{n-l+1}}} \le q_{n,0}^{\Sigma_2} + q_{n-1,0}^{\Sigma_2} + \dots + q_{n-l+1,0}^{\Sigma_2} \le q_{r,0} + q_{r-1,0} + \dots + q_{r-l+1,0}$

for all l = 1, ..., n. At last, for each u = 1, ..., r - 1, we have

$$\{j_r, j_{r-1}, \dots, j_{r-u+1}\} = \{j_{i_m}, j_{i_{m-1}}, \dots, j_{i_{m-v+1}}\} \bigsqcup \{j_{k_n}, j_{k_{n-1}}, \dots, j_{k_{n-u+v+1}}\}$$

for some $v = 0, 1, \ldots, u$, so that

$$q_{j_r} + q_{j_{r-1}} + \dots + q_{j_{r-u+1}} \le q_{r,0} + q_{r-1,0} + \dots + q_{r-v+1,0} + q_{r,0} + q_{r-1,0} + \dots + q_{r-u+v+1,0}$$

By (3.4), this implies that for all $u = 1, \ldots, r - 1$,

$$q_{j_r} + q_{j_{r-1}} + \dots + q_{j_{r-u+1}} \le q_{r,0} + q_{r-1,0} + \dots + q_{r-u+1,0}$$

which is the same as the desired inequality (3.3). The missing two cases are the trivial ones: $n_0(\mathcal{P}_0) = 0 \leq n_0(\mathcal{P})$ and $n_r(\mathcal{P}_0) = n_r(\mathcal{P}) = |\Sigma^+|$.

Remark 3.2. The above lemma may be compared with the Appendix in [8], where for each irreducible root system a similar but not always the same r-tuple was given. Our lemma serves the purpose of the Appendix also, but not vice versa.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Let S be a smooth k-dimensional submanifold of the maximal torus T (k = 0, 1, ..., r). To evaluate the $L^p(S)$ (quasi-)norm of the characters χ_{λ} , we may assume without loss of generality that S is a submanifold of the alcove A, as χ_{λ} is conjugation-invariant and the conjugation action is transitive on the collection of alcoves in a maximal torus. Using the barycentric-semiclassical cover of parameter N as in Lemma 2.3, it suffices to estimate the L^p norm of χ_{λ} on each

$$S_{K,J} := S \cap P_{K,J}$$

where $J \subset K \subsetneqq \{0, \ldots, r\}$.

We will need a good coordinate system for each $P_{K,J}$. For $K \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$, recall the notations in (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we write

 $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_K \oplus \mathfrak{t}_K^{\perp}$

so that for $H \in \mathfrak{t}$,

where $H_K \in \mathfrak{t}_K$ and $H_K^{\perp} \in \mathfrak{t}_K^{\perp}$. By our construction, $P_{K,J}$ can be covered by a region in the form of

$$(4.2) P_J^K \times \mathcal{N}_K^{\perp} = \{ H = H_K + H_K^{\perp} : \ H_K \in P_J^K, \ H_K^{\perp} \in \mathcal{N}_K^{\perp} \}$$

where

(4.3)
$$P_J^K := \{ H_K \in \mathfrak{t}_K : \ 0 \le t_j(H_K) \le N^{-1}, \ \forall j \in J; \ c \ge t_j(H_K) > N^{-1}, \ \forall j \in K \setminus J \}$$

for a small positive number c, and \mathcal{N}_K^{\perp} is a neighborhood in \mathfrak{t}_K^{\perp} .

We now use the character formula (2.9) to prove Theorem 1.5. We have the following key proposition giving L^p bounds of a certain term in the formula.

Proposition 4.1. Let $q_{i,0}$ (i = 0, 1, ..., r) be the optimal peeling numbers from Table 2 for the irreducible root system Σ . Let

(4.4)
$$p_k = \frac{k}{q_{1,0} + q_{2,0} + \dots + q_{k,0}}$$

These are the critical exponents listed in Table 1. Then

(4.5)
$$\left\|\frac{1}{\delta_{J}}\right\|_{L^{p}(S_{K,J})} \lesssim \begin{cases} N^{|\Sigma^{+}| - |\Sigma_{J}^{+}| - \frac{k}{p}}, & \text{for } p > p_{k}, \\ N^{|\Sigma^{+}| - |\Sigma_{J}^{+}| - \frac{k}{p_{k}}} (\log N)^{\frac{1}{p_{k}}}, & \text{for } p = p_{k}, \\ N^{|\Sigma^{+}| - |\Sigma_{J}^{+}| - \frac{k}{p_{k}}}, & \text{for } 0$$

Proof. Step 1 (a cover of $S_{K,J}$ and a first use of Fubini's theorem). By Lemma 2.1, for $H \in P_{K,J} \subset \mathcal{N}_K$, we have

$$(4.6) |\delta_J(H)| \asymp |\delta_J^K(H)|$$

which implies by the splitting (4.1) that

(4.7)
$$|\delta_J(H)| \asymp |\delta_J^K(H_K)|$$

Using the splitting (4.1) again and the compactness of the closure of our k-dimensional submanifold Sin the alcove $A \subset \mathfrak{t}$, we may pick a finite cover of S by its open subsets V, so that each (fixed) V can be parametrized uniformly by the k variables s_1, \ldots, s_k where the first h variables s_1, \ldots, s_h parametrize an h-dimensional submanifold S^K of \mathfrak{t}_K , while the remaining variables s_{h+1}, \ldots, s_k parametrize a (k - h)submanifold of \mathfrak{t}_K^{\perp} for each fixed tuple s_1, \ldots, s_h , and moreover on each V the volume measure dH of S satisfies

$$(4.8) dH \asymp ds_1 \cdots ds_k.$$

and that the volume measure dH_K of S^K satisfies

$$(4.9) dH_K \asymp ds_1 \cdots ds_h.$$

In particular, $k - h \leq r - |K|$. Writing

$$S_{K,J} = \bigcup_V V \cap P_{K,J},$$

using the cover (4.2) of $P_{K,J}$, each $V \cap P_{K,J}$ is now parametrized uniformly by the k variables s_1, \ldots, s_k , where the variables s_1, \ldots, s_h parametrize an h-dimensional submanifold S_J^K of P_J^K with $S_J^K \subset S^K$, while the remaining variables s_{h+1}, \ldots, s_k parametrize a submanifold S_K^{\perp} of \mathcal{N}_K^{\perp} for each fixed tuple s_1, \ldots, s_h . Using (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), and the above parametrization of $V \cap P_{K,J}$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_J} \right\|_{L^p(V \cap P_{K,J})} &\lesssim \left(\int_{S_J^K} \left| \frac{1}{\delta_J^K(H_K)} \right|^p \left(\int_{S_K^\perp} ds_{h+1} \cdots ds_k \right) ds_1 \cdots ds_h \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_J^K} \right\|_{L^p(S_J^K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 (a first cover of S_I^K). Recall (4.3), write

(4.10)
$$P_{J}^{K} = \bigcup_{\substack{(j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}) \text{ a} \\ \text{permutation of } K \setminus J}} \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},j_{r-|K|+2},\dots,j_{r-|J|}} = \left\{ H_K \in \mathfrak{t}_K : \ 0 \le t_j(H) \le N^{-1}, \ j \in J; \ N^{-1} < t_{j_{r-|J|}}(H) \le \dots \le t_{j_{r-|K|+2}}(H) \le t_{j_{r-|K|+1}}(H) \le c \right\},$$

so that

(4.11)
$$S_{J}^{K} = \bigcup_{\substack{(j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}) \\ \text{permutation of } K \setminus J}} S_{J}^{K} \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}}$$

Now we let the peeling numbers get into the picture. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma_K^+ \setminus \Sigma_J^+$, write

$$\alpha = \sum_{j \in K} n_j^{\alpha} \alpha_j$$

where the coefficients n_j^{α} 's $(j \in K)$ are all nonnegative integers and there is at least one $j \in K \setminus J$ for which n_j^{α} is positive. For $H_K \in P_J^K$, since the positive constant c in the definition (4.3) of P_J^K can be as small as needed, we have

(4.12)
$$\left| e^{\frac{\alpha(H_K)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H_K)}{2}} \right| \asymp \sum_{j \in K} n_j^{\alpha} t_j(H_K).$$

For each permutation $(j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|})$ of $K \setminus J$, let q_i $(i = r - |K| + 1, r - |K| + 2, \dots, r - |J|)$ be the associated peeling numbers as defined in (3.2), i.e.,

(4.13)
$$q_i = |\Sigma_{I_{i-1}}^+| - |\Sigma_{I_i}^+|$$

where $I_i = \{j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}\} \cup J$. Then q_i $(i = r - |K| + 1, r - |K| + 2, \dots, r - |J|)$ is exactly the number of α in $\Sigma_K^+ \setminus \Sigma_J^+$ such that the coefficients n_j^{α} 's are all zero for $j = j_k$ with $r - |K| + 1 \le k < i$ but the coefficient $n_{j_i}^{\alpha}$ is positive; for such an α and for $H_K \in \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}}$, by (4.12), we then have

$$\left|e^{\frac{\alpha(H_K)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H_K)}{2}}\right| \asymp t_{j_i}(H_K).$$

Hence for $H_K \in \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}}$, it holds

$$(4.14) \qquad |\delta_J^K(H_K)| = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_K^+ \setminus \Sigma_J^+} \left| e^{\frac{\alpha(H_K)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H_K)}{2}} \right| \asymp t_{j_{r-|K|+1}}^{q_{r-|K|+1}}(H_K) t_{j_{r-|K|+2}}^{q_{r-|K|+2}}(H_K) \cdots t_{j_{r-|J|}}^{q_{r-|J|}}(H_K)$$

Step 3 (a second cover of S_J^K and a second use of Fubini's theorem). Recall that the t_j 's $(j \in K)$ parametrize \mathfrak{t}_K . By compactness of the closure of each of the submanifolds S^K in \mathfrak{t}_K as constructed earlier, we can pick a finite cover of S^K by its open subsets V^K , so that each (fixed) V^K can be parametrized uniformly by the *h* variables t_{l_g} $(g = 1, \ldots, h)$ with $l_1, \ldots, l_m \in K \setminus J$ while $l_{m+1}, \ldots, l_h \in J$ for some $m = 1, \ldots, h$, and moreover on each V^K the volume measure dH_K of S^K satisfies

$$(4.15) dH_K \asymp dt_{l_1} \cdots dt_{l_h}.$$

As $S_J^K \subset S^K$, we may write

$$S_J^K = \bigcup_{V^K} V^K \cap S_J^K.$$

With (4.11) in mind, it suffices to get the correct L^p bound of $1/\delta_J^K$ over each $V^K \cap S_J^K \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},\dots,j_{r-|J|}}$. For each permutation $(j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|})$ of $K \setminus J$, we can rearrange the tuple l_1, \dots, l_m if necessary so that $V^K \cap S_J^K \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},\dots,j_{r-|J|}}$ is then parametrized uniformly by t_{l_g} $(g = 1, \dots, h)$, with $l_{m+1}, \dots, l_h \in J$ while l_1, \dots, l_m is a subsequence of $j_{r-|K|+1}, j_{r-|K|+2}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}$, so that $l_g = j_{n_{k-h+g}}$ $(g = 1, \dots, m)$ with

$$r - |K| + 1 \le n_{k-h+1} < \dots < n_{k-h+m} \le r - |J|$$

Using (4.14), (4.15), and the above parametrization of $V^K \cap S_J^K \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},\dots,j_{r-|J|}}$, we estimate

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_{J}^{K}} \right\|_{L^{p}(V^{K} \cap S_{J}^{K} \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1}, \dots, j_{r-|J|}})} \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_{\substack{0 \le t_{l_{g}} \le N^{-1}, \ g = m+1, \dots, h \\ N^{-1} < t_{j_{r-|K|+1}} \le c}} t_{j_{r-|K|+1}}^{-q_{r-|K|+1}p} \cdots t_{j_{r-|J|}}^{-q_{r-|J|}p} \ dt_{l_{1}} \cdots dt_{l_{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}} \left(\int_{N^{-1} < t_{j_{r-|J|}} \le \cdots \le t_{j_{r-|K|+1}} \le c} t_{j_{r-|K|+1}}^{-q_{r-|K|+1}p} \cdots t_{j_{r-|J|}}^{-q_{r-|J|}p} \ dt_{j_{n_{k-h+1}}} \cdots dt_{j_{n_{k-h+m}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{split}$$

Step 4 (Reverse the first use of Fubini's theorem). Now we add k - h variables back to the integration! Pick any permutation $j_0, \ldots, j_{r-|K|}$ of $\{0, 1, \ldots, r\} \setminus K$. As $k - h \leq r - |K|$, we pick any subsequence $j_{n_1}, \ldots, j_{n_{k-h}}$ of $j_1, \ldots, j_{r-|K|}$ such that

$$1 \le n_1 < \dots < n_{k-h} \le r - |K|.$$

Associated to the tuple $j_0, \ldots, j_{r-|J|}$, the q_i 's can now be defined for all $i = 0, \ldots, r - |J|$ as in (4.13).

We estimate

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_{J}^{K}} \right\|_{L^{p}(V^{K} \cap S_{J}^{K} \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},\dots,j_{r-|J|}})} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}} \left(\int_{N^{-1} < t_{j_{r-|J|}} \le \dots \le t_{j_{1}-|K|+1} \le c} t_{j_{1}}^{-(q_{0}+q_{1})p} t_{j_{2}}^{-q_{2}p} t_{j_{3}}^{-q_{3}p} \cdots t_{j_{r-|J|}}^{-q_{r-|J|}p} dt_{j_{n_{1}}} \cdots dt_{j_{n_{k-h+m}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}} \left(\int_{N^{-1} < t_{j_{r-|J|}} \le \dots \le t_{j_{1}} \le c} t_{j_{1}}^{-(q_{0}+q_{1})p} t_{j_{2}}^{-q_{2}p} t_{j_{3}}^{-q_{3}p} \cdots t_{j_{r-|J|}}^{-q_{r-|J|}p} dt_{j_{n_{1}}} \cdots dt_{j_{n_{k-h+m}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}} + q_{n_{k-h+m}+1} + \dots + q_{r-|J|} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{N^{-1} < t_{j_{n_{k-h+m}}} \le \dots \le t_{j_{n_{1}}} \le c} t_{j_{n_{1}}}^{-(q_{0}+q_{1}) + \dots + q_{n_{1}})^{p} \cdots t_{j_{n_{k-h+m}}}^{-(q_{n_{k-h+m}-1}+1 + \dots + q_{n_{k-h+m}})^{p}} dt_{j_{n_{1}}} \cdots dt_{j_{n_{k-h+m}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}+q_{k-h+m+1} + \dots + q_{r-|J|}} \left(\int_{N^{-1} < s_{k-h+m} \le \dots \le s_{1} \le c} s_{1}^{-(q_{0}+q_{1})p} s_{2}^{-q_{2}p} \cdots s_{k-h+m}^{-q_{k-h+m}p} ds_{1} \cdots ds_{k-h+m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{split}$$

Here we have set $s_g := t_{j_{n_g}}$ (g = 1, ..., k - h + m), and used crucially the property $N^{-1} < s_{k-h+m} \le \cdots \le s_1$ in the last step.

Now we can use the peeling inequality (3.3), which gives

$$q_0 + q_1 + \dots + q_g \ge q_{0,0} + q_{1,0} + \dots + q_{g,0} = q_{1,0} + \dots + q_{g,0}$$

for all $g = 1, \ldots, k - h + m$. This implies

$$N^{|\Sigma_{J}^{+}|} \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_{J}^{K}} \right\|_{L^{p}(V^{K} \cap S_{J}^{K} \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},\dots,j_{r-|J|}})} \\ \lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}+q_{k-h+m+1}+\dots+q_{r-|J|}+|\Sigma_{J}^{+}|} \left(\int_{N^{-1} < s_{k-h+m} \le \dots \le s_{1} \le 2c} s_{1}^{-(q_{0}+q_{1})p} s_{2}^{-q_{2}p} \cdots s_{k-h+m}^{-q_{k-h+m}p} \, ds_{1} \cdots \, ds_{k-h+m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \lesssim N^{-\frac{h-m}{p}+q_{k-h+m+1,0}+\dots+q_{r,0}} \left(\int_{N^{-1} < s_{k-h+m} \le \dots \le s_{1} \le 2c} s_{1}^{-q_{1,0}p} s_{2}^{-q_{2,0}p} \cdots s_{k-h+m}^{-q_{k-h+m,0}p} \, ds_{1} \cdots \, ds_{k-h+m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Here we have also used

$$q_0 + q_1 + \dots + q_{r-|J|} + |\Sigma_J^+| = q_{1,0} + q_{2,0} + \dots + q_{r,0} = |\Sigma^+|.$$

Last step (Reverse the second use of Fubini's theorem). For the last step, we add another h-m variables $s_{k-h+m+1}, \ldots, s_k$ back to the integration! Then we can further estimate

$$\begin{split} N^{|\Sigma_{J}^{+}|} \cdot \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_{J}^{K}} \right\|_{L^{p}(V^{K} \cap S_{J}^{K} \cap \mathcal{R}_{j_{r-|K|+1},\dots,j_{r-|J|}})} \\ &\lesssim N^{q_{k+1,0}+\dots+q_{r,0}} \left(\int_{0.5N^{-1} \leq s_{k} \leq s_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq s_{k-h+m+1} \leq N^{-1}} s_{1}^{-q_{1,0}p} \cdots s_{k}^{-q_{k,0}p} \ ds_{1} \cdots \ ds_{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim N^{q_{k+1,0}+\dots+q_{r,0}} \left(\int_{0.5N^{-1} < s_{k} \leq \dots \leq s_{1} \leq 2c} s_{1}^{-q_{1,0}p} \cdots s_{k}^{-q_{k,0}p} \ ds_{1} \cdots \ ds_{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{split}$$

Finally, using (3.4), the proposition follows by an exercise in multiple integrals which we record below as a lemma. \Box

Lemma 4.2. Let $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_k$ and c be positive numbers given as constants. Let N be a parameter that takes large positive values. Let $A = a_1 + \cdots + a_k$, and $p_0 = k/A$. Then

$$\left(\int_{N^{-1} < s_k \le s_{k-1} \le \dots \le s_1 \le c} s_1^{-a_1 p} \cdots s_k^{-a_k p} \, ds_1 \cdots ds_k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \asymp \begin{cases} N^{A-\frac{k}{p}}, & \text{for } p > p_0, \\ (\log N)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}, & \text{for } p = p_0, \\ 1, & \text{for } 0$$

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 1.5. For $\mu \in \Lambda^+$, the Laplacian eigenvalue of χ_{μ} equals $-|\mu|^2 + |\rho|^2 = -N^2$ (see Chapter 5 of [3]). As discussed at the beginning of this section, it suffices to get the correct L^p bound of χ_{λ} on each $S_{K,J}$ ($J \subset K \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$). Using (2.9), we have

$$|\chi_{\mu}(\exp H)| \leq \frac{1}{|W_J| \cdot |\delta_J(H)|} \sum_{s \in W} \left| \chi^J_{(s\mu)_J}(\exp H_J) \right|.$$

Now Lemma 2.5 gives that for $H \in S_{K,J} \subset P_J$,

$$|\chi_{\mu}(\exp H)| \lesssim N^{|\Sigma_J^+|} \cdot \frac{1}{|\delta_J(H)|}.$$

The desired bound follows from Proposition 4.1. Sharpness of the bound will be demonstrated in the next section.

5. Sharpness of Theorem 1.5

We prove in this section that the character bounds in Theorem 1.5 are sharp for all p > 0 (as well as the bounds in Theorem 1.6 for all $p \ge 2$ with the one exception). Among the k-dimensional submanifolds of the maximal torus T of a compact Lie group, we will pick the k-dimensional facet A_J of the alcove Awhere J is chosen according to the slowest ways of peeling irreducible root systems. Using Lemma 3.1, for any permutation $\mathcal{P}_0 = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ of $\{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$ that realizes $q_i(\mathcal{P}_0) = q_{i,0}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$, set $J := \{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_r\}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mu = N\rho \in \Lambda^+$, where N is any (large) natural number. Then $\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^p(A_J)}$ saturate the bounds in Theorem 1.5 for all p > 0.

Proof. Define

(5.1)
$$S_N := \{ H \in A_J : N^{-1} < t_{j_k}(H) \le \dots \le t_{j_1}(H) \le c \}.$$

Recall that $q_{0,0} = 0$, hence $\alpha_{j_1}, \alpha_{j_2}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_r}$ is a simple system for Σ which induces the positive system Σ^+ . By a similar reasoning that arrives at (4.14), we can choose c small enough such that

(5.2)
$$|\delta_J(H)| = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_J^+} \left| e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} \right| \asymp t_{j_1}^{q_{1,0}}(H) \cdots t_{j_k}^{q_{k,0}}(H)$$



FIGURE 3. The nodal set of $\delta_J(N \cdot)$ on A_J

uniformly for $H \in S_N$. We will show that $\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^p(S_N)}$ already saturates the bounds in Theorem 1.5 for all p > 0. By the Weyl character formula, we have

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\mu}(\exp H) &= \frac{\sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s(N\rho))(H)}}{\sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\rho)(H)}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\rho)(NH)}}{\sum_{s \in W} \det s \ e^{(s\rho)(H)}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha(NH)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(NH)}{2}}\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \left(e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}}\right)}. \end{split}$$

For $H \in A_J$, it holds

(5.3)
$$|\chi_{\mu}(\exp H)| = \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{J}^{+}} \lim_{H_{\varepsilon} \to 0} \frac{e^{\frac{\alpha(NH_{\varepsilon})}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(NH_{\varepsilon})}{2}}}{e^{\frac{\alpha(H_{\varepsilon})}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H_{\varepsilon})}{2}}}\right) \frac{|\delta_{J}(NH)|}{|\delta_{J}(H)|} = N^{|\Sigma_{J}^{+}|} \frac{|\delta_{J}(NH)|}{|\delta_{J}(H)|}.$$

As the volume measure dH on S_N satisfies

$$dH \asymp dt_{j_1} \cdots dt_{j_k},$$

by (5.2), we have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\delta_J}\right\|_{L^p(S_N)} \asymp \left(\int_{N^{-1} < t_{j_k} \le \dots \le t_{j_1} \le c} t_{j_1}^{-q_{1,0}p} \cdots t_{j_k}^{-q_{k,0}p} dt_{j_1} \cdots dt_{j_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

which by (3.4) and Lemma 4.2 saturates the bound on the right side of (4.5).

 $\overline{A_J}$ can be thought of as an alcove in the (r - |J|)-dimensional affine subspace $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha_j, \delta_{0j}}$ of \mathfrak{t} , cut out by the hyperplanes $\mathfrak{p}_{\alpha,n}$ of \mathfrak{t} where $\alpha \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_J$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now all the hyperplanes

$$\{H \in \mathfrak{t} : \alpha(NH)/2\pi i + n = 0\}$$

of \mathfrak{t} where $\alpha \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_J$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, cut $\overline{A_J}$ further into tiny alcoves A_β (all identical to A_J in shape) of scale $\approx N^{-1}$. Shrinking each alcove A_β to its center by half of its size (say) into A'_β , it then holds that

$$|\delta_J(NH)| \gtrsim 1$$

for H lying in each A'_{β} . Thus we have

(5.4)
$$\left\|\frac{\delta_J(N\cdot)}{\delta_J(\cdot)}\right\|_{L^p(S_N)} \gtrsim \left(\sum_{\beta} \int_{A'_{\beta}\cap S_N} t_{j_1}^{-q_{1,0}p} \cdots t_{j_k}^{-q_{k,0}p} dt_{j_1} \cdots dt_{j_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

As $t_{j_i} > N^{-1}$ on S_N (i = 1, ..., k) and A_β is of scale $\approx N^{-1}$, the values of each t_{j_i} on each $A_\beta \cap S_N$ are more or less the same. Moreover, every pair $A_\beta \cap S_N$ and $A'_\beta \cap S_N$ are comparable in size. So we can further estimate

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{\delta_J(N \cdot)}{\delta_J(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^p(S_N)} \gtrsim \left(\sum_{\beta} \int_{A_{\beta} \cap S_N} t_{j_1}^{-q_{1,0}p} \cdots t_{j_k}^{-q_{k,0}p} dt_{j_1} \cdots dt_{j_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = \left(\int_{S_N} t_{j_1}^{-q_{1,0}p} \cdots t_{j_k}^{-q_{k,0}p} dt_{j_1} \cdots dt_{j_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \asymp \left\| \frac{1}{\delta_J(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^p(S_N)}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\|\delta_J^I(N\cdot)/\delta_J^I\|_{L^p(S_N)}$ also saturates the bound on the right side of (4.5). With (5.3) this implies that $\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^p(S_N)}$ for $\mu = N\rho$ indeed saturates the bound in Theorem 1.5.

Remark 5.2. As mentioned in the Introduction, it is intuitive that the A_J chosen above should make $\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^p(A_J)}$ saturate the bound, as A_J is contained in the largest number $(|\Sigma_J^+|)$ of root hyperplanes among all k-dimensional submanifolds of the maximal torus by our choice of J.

Remark 5.3. Choosing $\mu = N\rho$ in χ_{μ} makes the computation simpler than otherwise. For general μ , as a consequence of Lemma 2.4, we have the following formula for restriction of characters to facets of the alcove. For any $H \in A_J$, it holds

$$\chi_{\mu}(\exp H) = \frac{e^{\rho_J(H_0)}}{\delta_J(H)} \sum_{s \in W_J \setminus W} \det s \ e^{(s\mu)(H+H_0)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_J^+} (\alpha, s\mu)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_J^+} (\alpha, \rho_J)}$$

where H_0 is the unique vector in \mathfrak{t}_J obeying $t_j(H_0) = 0$ for all $j \in J$, and $\rho_J = (\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_J^+} \alpha)/2$ is the Weyl vector for Σ_J^+ . Using this formula, there seems to be no reason to doubt that choosing other μ 's that are regular enough say staying in a fixed cone away from the walls of the Weyl chamber could also make $\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^p(A_J)}$ saturate the bound in Theorem 1.5. To make this rigorous, the difficulty is to make an explicit analysis of the nodal set of the sum in the above formula which looks much more complicated than $\delta_J(N \cdot)$; see also the discussion in Remark 7.2. The study of nodal sets of Laplacian eigenfunctions is also a subject by itself; see Chapter 13 of [10] for a recent survey.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We refer to Chapter 5 of [3] for basic information about the characters and matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of a compact Lie group. Any matrix coefficient ψ of the irreducible representation of U of highest weight $\mu - \rho$ ($\mu \in \Lambda^+$) is of Laplacian eigenvalue $-|\mu|^2 + |\rho|^2 = -N^2$. Moreover, it holds

$$\psi = \psi * (d_\mu \chi_\mu)$$

where d_{μ} denotes the dimension of the irreducible representation, and * denotes convolution on the group U. We will use the dimension bound

(6.1)
$$d_{\mu} = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}} (\alpha, \mu)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}} (\alpha, \rho)} \lesssim N^{\frac{d-r}{2}}.$$

Let S be a submanifold of a maximal flat in U. As the space of matrix coefficients of an irreducible representation is invariant under left (and right) translations, we may as well assume that S is a submanifold of a maximal torus T of U. To prove Theorem 1.6, it suffices to derive the desired bound for the norm of the operator $\mathcal{T}: L^2(U) \to L^p(S)$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{T}f)(x) := (f * (d_{\mu}\chi_{\mu}))(x) = \int_{U} f(u) d_{\mu}\chi_{\mu}(u^{-1}x) \ du.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}^* : L^{p'}(S) \to L^2(U)$ be the dual of \mathcal{T} . A direct computation shows that the operator $\mathcal{TT}^* : L^{p'}(S) \to L^p(S)$ is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{TT}^*g(x) = \int_S g(y)\mathscr{K}(y,x) \, dy$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{K}(y,x) &= \int_U d_\mu \chi_\mu(u^{-1}x) \overline{d_\mu \chi_\mu(u^{-1}y)} \, du \\ &= \int_U d_\mu \chi_\mu(u^{-1}x) d_\mu \chi_\mu(y^{-1}u) \, du \\ &= (d_\mu \chi_\mu) * (d_\mu \chi_\mu)(x^{-1}y) \\ &= d_\mu \chi_\mu(x^{-1}y). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the conjugation-invariant property of χ_{μ} .

Let $p \geq 2$. We have for any $y \in S$

$$\|\mathscr{K}(y,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S)} \le d_{\mu} \|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S_{y})}$$

and for any $x \in S$

$$\|\mathscr{K}(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S)} \le d_{\mu} \|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S_x)}$$

where $S_y = \{x^{-1}y \in T : x \in S\}$, $S_x = \{x^{-1}y \in T : y \in S\}$ are respectively k-dimensional submanifolds of T. By Theorem 1.5, since

$$p_k \le p_r = \frac{2r}{d-r} \le 1 \le \frac{p}{2}$$

which is a consequence of (3.4) and (4.4), we have

$$\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S_{y})} \lesssim N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{2k}{p}}, \ \forall y \in S$$

and

$$\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S_x)} \lesssim N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{2k}{p}}, \ \forall x \in S$$

except when p = 2, U is the three sphere, and S is a large circle, in which case an extra multiplicative factor of log N should be added to the above bounds on the right side. Using (6.1), we have

(6.2)
$$\left\|\mathscr{K}(y,\cdot)\right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S)} \lesssim N^{d-r-\frac{2k}{p}}, \ \forall y \in S$$

and

(6.3)
$$\left\|\mathscr{K}(\cdot,x)\right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(S)} \lesssim N^{d-r-\frac{2k}{p}}, \ \forall x \in S,$$

adding the $\log N$ factor for the exceptional case.

Now we recall Schur's test as in Lemma 1.11.14 of [9].

Lemma 6.1 (Schur's test). Let $\mathscr{K}: X \times Y \to \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function obeying the bounds

$$\|\mathscr{K}(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{q_0}(Y)} \le B_0$$

for almost every $x \in X$, and

$$\left\|\mathscr{K}(\cdot, y)\right\|_{L^{p_1'}(X)} \le B_1$$

for almost every $y \in Y$, where $1 \leq p_1, q_0 \leq \infty$ and $B_0, B_1 > 0$. Then for every $0 < \theta < 1$, the integral operator

$$Tf(y) := \int_X \mathscr{K}(x,y) f(x) \ d\mu(x)$$

is well-defined for all $f \in L^{p_{\theta}}(X)$ and almost every $y \in Y$, and furthermore

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_{\theta}}(Y)} \le B_0^{1-\theta} B_1^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^{p_{\theta}}(X)}.$$

Here we adopt the convention that $p_0 := 1$ and $q_1 := \infty$, thus $q_\theta = q_0/(1-\theta)$ and $p'_\theta = p'_1/\theta$.

Using this lemma with $\theta = 1/2$ and $q_0 = p'_1 = p/2$, (6.2) and (6.3) together imply

$$\|\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*\|_{L^{p'}(S)\to L^p(S)} \lesssim N^{d-r-\frac{2k}{p}},$$

adding the extra log N factor for the exceptional case. Taking square root of the above bound gives the desired bound for $\|\mathcal{T}\|_{L^2(U)\to L^p(S)}$ and thus Theorem 1.6.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.7

The Peter–Weyl theorem tells that any $f \in L^2(U)$ is an (infinite) sum of matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of U, namely,

$$f = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^+} f_\mu$$

where f_{μ} is a matrix coefficient of the irreducible representation of U of highest weight $\mu - \rho$ ($\mu \in \Lambda^+$), and we have the orthogonality condition

$$\|f\|_{L^2(U)}^2 = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^+} \|f_{\mu}\|_{L^2(U)}^2.$$

Now let f be a Laplacian eigenfunction of eigenvalue $-N^2$. Then each nonzero f_{μ} appearing in the sum is also a Laplacian eigenfunction of eigenvalue $-N^2$, for which we have $-|\mu|^2 + |\rho|^2 = -N^2$. Set

$$\Lambda_N := \left\{ \mu \in \Lambda^+ : \ -|\mu|^2 + |\rho|^2 = -N^2 \right\}.$$

Let S be a smooth k-dimensional submanifold of any maximal flat in U, where k = 0, 1, ..., r. Let $p \ge 2$. For each $x \in U$, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|f(x)| = \left| \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_N} f_{\mu}(x) \right| \le |\Lambda_N|^{1/2} ||f_{\mu}(x)||_{l^2_{\mu}(\Lambda_N)}.$$

By the Minkowski inequality, we then have

(7.1)
$$\|f\|_{L^p(S)} \le |\Lambda_N|^{1/2} \|\|f_\mu\|_{L^p(S)} \|_{l^2_\mu(\Lambda_N)}.$$

A standard estimate of $|\Lambda_N|$ is in order.

Lemma 7.1. We have $|\Lambda_N| \lesssim N^{r-2}$ for $r \geq 5$, and $|\Lambda_N| \lesssim_{\varepsilon > 0} N^{r-2+\varepsilon}$ for $2 \leq r \leq 4$.

Proof. We are counting the number of ways of representing $N^2 + |\rho|^2$ by $|\mu|^2$, which is a positive definite quadratic form of rational coefficients in $\mu \in \Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$. The estimate is classical, and we refer to Lemma 23 of [11] for a detailed proof.

By Theorem 1.6, we have

$$||f_{\mu}||_{L^{p}(S)} \lesssim N^{\frac{d-r}{2}-\frac{k}{p}} ||f_{\mu}||_{L^{2}(U)}.$$

Apply this and Lemma 7.1 to (7.1), we then have

$$\|f\|_{L^{p}(S)} \lesssim N^{\frac{r-2}{2} + \frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{k}{p}} \left\| \|f_{\mu}\|_{L^{2}(U)} \right\|_{l^{2}_{\mu}(\Lambda_{N})} = N^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{k}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{2}(U)}$$

for all $r \geq 5$, adding the extra N^{ε} factor for $2 \leq r \leq 4$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Remark 7.2. We conjecture that the bounds in Theorem 1.7 are all sharp. Sharpness of the $p = \infty$ cases may be established by considering the eigenfunctions

$$f = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_N \\ \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle \gtrsim N \ \forall \alpha \in \Sigma^+}} \chi_{\mu}.$$

Here the regularity condition $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle \gtrsim N \ \forall \alpha \in \Sigma^+$ makes sures that when evaluating at the identity e of U, it holds $\chi_{\mu}(e) = d_{\mu} \asymp \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle \gtrsim N^{(d-r)/2}$; and the counting estimates in Lemma 7.1 remain sharp when Λ_N is replaced by the smaller spherical cap as defined by this regularity condition. For general $p \geq 2$, the above eigenfunctions should also saturate the bound, but a rigorous verification would require a detailed study of the nodal sets of χ_{μ} for μ satisfying the regularity condition; see the discussion in Remark 5.3.

8. Proof of Theorem 1.8 and 1.9

Recall that for $\mu \in \Lambda^+$, the Laplacian eigenvalue of χ_{μ} equals $-|\mu|^2 + |\rho|^2 = -N^2$. As an invariant submanifold of U of rank k, Y equals the image of the smooth mapping

$$\Psi_S : (uT_J, H) \mapsto \exp \operatorname{Ad}(u)H$$

of $(U/T_J) \times S$ into U, where S is a smooth k-dimensional submanifold of a facet A_J , and T_J is the pointwise stabilizer of A_J . By Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^{p}(Y)}^{p} = \int_{Y} |\chi_{\mu}(u)|^{p} \, du = C \int_{S} |\chi_{\mu}(\exp H)|^{p} \cdot |\delta_{J}(H)|^{2} \, dH = C \left\|\chi_{\mu} \cdot |\delta_{J}|^{\frac{2}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(S)}^{p}$$

Using the barycentric-semiclassical cover again, it suffices to estimate the above integral replacing S by each

$$S_{K,J'} := S \cap P_{K,J'}$$

where $J' \subset K \subsetneq \{0, \ldots, r\}$. As $S \subset A_J$, we may assume that $J \subset J'$. Using (2.9), we have

$$|\chi_{\mu}(\exp H)| \cdot |\delta_{J}(H)|^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \frac{|\delta_{J}^{J'}(H)|^{\frac{2}{p}}}{|W_{J'}| \cdot |\delta_{J'}(H)|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \sum_{s \in W} \left|\chi_{(s\mu)_{J'}}^{J'}(\exp H_{J'})\right|.$$

Note that for $H \in S_{K,J'} \subset P_{J'}$,

$$|\delta_J^{J'}(H)| = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{J'}^+ \backslash \Sigma_J^+} \left| e^{\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha(H)}{2}} \right| \lesssim N^{-|\Sigma_{J'}^+| + |\Sigma_J^+|}.$$

Now Lemma 2.5 gives that for $H \in S_{K,J'} \subset P_{J'}$,

$$|\chi_{\mu}(\exp H)| \cdot |\delta_{J}(H)|^{\frac{2}{p}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{2}{p}(|\Sigma_{J'}^{+}| - |\Sigma_{J}^{+}|) + |\Sigma_{J'}^{+}|} \cdot \frac{1}{|\delta_{J'}(H)|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}}.$$

Hence

$$\left\|\chi_{\mu} \cdot |\delta_{J}|^{\frac{2}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(S_{K,J'})} \lesssim N^{-\frac{2}{p}(|\Sigma_{J'}^{+}| - |\Sigma_{J}^{+}|) + |\Sigma_{J'}^{+}|} \cdot \left\|\frac{1}{\delta_{J'}}\right\|_{L^{p-2}(S_{K,J'})}^{1-\frac{d}{p}}$$

Then the desired bounds follow from Proposition 4.1, noting the dimension formula (2.10). Note that the p = 2 case does not require Proposition 4.1.

Taking orbits of the facets which have been shown in Section 5 to saturate the bounds in Theorem 1.5, we get the invariant submanifolds for which the bounds in Theorem 1.8 can be saturated. Namely, for each $k = 0, 1, \ldots, r$, using Lemma 3.1, for any permutation $\mathcal{P}_0 = (j_0, j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ of $\{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$ that realizes $q_i(\mathcal{P}_0) = q_{i,0}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$, set $J := \{j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_r\}$. Let Y be the image of the mapping $\Psi_J : (uT_J, H) \mapsto \exp \operatorname{Ad}(u)H$ of $(U/T_J) \times A_J$ into U. Using (5.3) and the adapted Weyl integration formula as in Lemma 2.11, an entirely similar computation as in Section 5 shows that $\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^p(Y)}$ saturates the bounds for all $p \geq 2$, where we still let $\mu = N\rho$ where N is a natural number growing to infinity.

Lastly, we show that the bound of the p = 2 case can actually be saturated on orbits of any facets. For any facet A_J of the alcove A, still let Y be the image of the mapping $\Psi_J : (uT_J, H) \mapsto \exp \operatorname{Ad}(u)H$ of $(U/T_J) \times A_J$ into U. Still for $\mu = N\rho$ where N is any (large) natural number, using (5.3) and Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\|\chi_{\mu}\|_{L^{2}(Y)} \asymp N^{|\Sigma_{J}^{+}|} \|\delta_{J}(N \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(A_{J})} = N^{\frac{d-r}{2} - \frac{n-k}{2}} \|\delta_{J}(N \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(A_{J})}.$$

By an argument entirely similar to (5.4), we get $\|\delta_J(N\cdot)\|_{L^2(A_J)} \gtrsim 1$. Hence $\|\chi_\mu\|_{L^2(Y)} \gtrsim N^{(d-r)/2-(n-k)/2}$. Thus the bound for the p = 2 case is indeed sharp for any such submanifold Y.

References

- N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov. Restrictions of the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions to submanifolds. Duke Math. J., 138(3):445–486, 2007.
- [2] Nicolas Bourbaki. Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4-6. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- [3] Sigurdur Helgason. Groups and geometric analysis. Integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions, volume 83 of Math. Surv. Monogr. Reprint with corrections of the 1984 original. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2000.
- [4] Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, volume 34 of *Grad. Stud. Math.* Reprint with corrections of the 1978 original. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2001.
- [5] Simon Marshall. L^p norms of higher rank eigenfunctions and bounds for spherical functions. J. Eur. Math. Soc., 18(7):1437–1493, 2016.
- [6] Andre Reznikov. Norms of geodesic restrictions for eigenfunctions on hyperbolic surfaces and representation theory. Preprint, arXiv:math/0403437.
- [7] Christopher D. Sogge. Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds. J. Funct. Anal., 77(1):123–138, 1988.
- [8] Robert J. Stanton and Peter A. Tomas. Polyhedral summability of Fourier series on compact Lie groups. Amer. J. Math., 100(3):477–493, 1978.
- [9] Terence Tao. An epsilon of room. I: Real analysis. Pages from year three of a mathematical blog, volume 117 of Grad. Stud. Math. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2010.
- [10] Steve Zelditch. Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences (CBMS), 2017.
- [11] Yunfeng Zhang. Schrödinger equations on compact globally symmetric spaces. J. Geom. Anal., 31(11):10778–10819, 2021.
- [12] Yunfeng Zhang. On Fourier restriction type problems on compact Lie groups. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 72(6):2631– 2699, 2023.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY *Email address*: yunfengzhang1080gmail.com