

Cluster parking functions

Theo Douvropoulos¹ and Matthieu Josuat-Vergès²

¹Brandeis University
²IRIF, CNRS, Université Paris-Cité

February 6, 2024


Abstract

The cluster complex on one hand, parking functions on the other hand, are two combinatorial (po)sets that can be associated to a finite real reflection group. Cluster parking functions are obtained by taking an appropriate fiber product (over noncrossing partitions). There is a natural structure of simplicial complex on these objects, and our main goal is to show that it has the homotopy type of a (pure) wedge of spheres. The unique nonzero homology group (as a representation of the underlying reflection group) is a sign-twisted parking representation, which is the same as Gordon’s quotient of diagonal coinvariants. Along the way, we prove some properties of the poset of parking functions. We also provide a long list of remaining open problems.

1 Introduction

1.1 Parking functions


Before going into the general framework of finite real reflection groups, let us illustrate our main points in the case of the symmetric group. A *parking function* can be represented as a Dyck path, together with some labels, for example



is a parking function of size $n = 8$. The rule is that each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ appears exactly once as a label of some vertical step, and labels are increasing along sequences of consecutive vertical steps. Equivalently, there is a set partition π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that each sequence of k vertical steps in the Dyck paths is associated to a k -element block of π .

Generalizing the $(n \times n)$ -square in the example above, one can consider an $(n \times mn)$ -rectangle (with n rows and mn columns, where $m \geq 1$) and its main diagonal. The paths

above the diagonal are m -Dyck paths (counted by the *Fuß-Catalan numbers* $C_n^{(m)}$) and the labelled paths give the set $\text{PF}_n^{(m)}$ of m -parking functions. For example, an element of $\text{PF}_5^{(3)}$ is



Moreover, let $\text{PF}_n^{\prime(m)}$ denote the subset of *prime* m -parking functions, *i.e.*, those such that the underlying path is a *prime* m -Dyck path (which means that it doesn't touch the diagonal except at the two opposite corners of the rectangle). See Armstrong, Loehr and Warrington [6] (they define rational parking functions indexed by pairs of coprime integers (a, b) , and the two sets $\text{PF}_n^{(m)}$ and $\text{PF}_n^{\prime(m)}$ correspond to $(n, mn + 1)$ and $(n, mn - 1)$, respectively).

For each m -Dyck path, we associate an integer partition λ (its *type*) by the rule that k vertical steps with the same abscissa corresponds to part k in λ . For example, the underlying paths in the examples above respectively gives $(4, 3, 1)$ and $(2, 2, 1)$. The Frobenius characteristic of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acting on $\text{PF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{PF}^{\prime(m)}$ by relabelling can be given explicitly [6]:

$$\text{ch}(\text{PF}^{(m)}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} K_{\lambda, m} h_{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (mn + 1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{p_{\lambda}}{z_{\lambda}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{ch}(\text{PF}^{\prime(m)}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} K'_{\lambda, m} h_{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (mn - 1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{p_{\lambda}}{z_{\lambda}}, \quad (2)$$

where:

- $K_{\lambda, m}$ (respectively, $K'_{\lambda, m}$) is the number of m -Dyck paths (respectively, prime m -Dyck paths) of type λ ,
- $\ell(\lambda)$ is the length of λ , h_{λ} are the homogeneous symmetric functions, p_{λ} are the power-sum symmetric functions, z_{λ} is a combinatorial factor (see Macdonald [29]).

Moreover, there are explicit formulas for $K_{\lambda, m}$ and $K'_{\lambda, m}$:

$$K_{m, \lambda} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)-1} (mn + 1 - i)}{\prod_{i \geq 1} \mu_i(\lambda)!}, \quad K'_{m, \lambda} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)-1} (mn - 1 - i)}{\prod_{i \geq 1} \mu_i(\lambda)!}, \quad (3)$$


where $\mu_i(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of i in λ .

Loosely speaking, our cluster parking functions are similar to parking functions in the sense that we put labels on faces of the *cluster complex*, rather than Dyck paths.

1.2 The cluster complex

Consider the simplicial complex Δ_n of *dissections* of a convex $(n + 2)$ -gon: its vertices are the $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ diagonals, its faces are sets of pairwise noncrossing diagonals, and its facets are triangulations. This is the combinatorial description of the cluster complex of type A_{n-1} ,

see [25]. For example, a face and a facet of Δ_6 are:



The *Fuß analog* can be described similarly, following Fomin and Reading [24]. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer (a *Fuß parameter*). The *generalized cluster complex* $\Delta_n^{(m)}$ (of type A_{n-1}) is the complex of dissections of an $(mn + 2)$ -gon, where each inner polygon in the dissection is a $(mk + 2)$ -gon for some $k \geq 0$. The number of facets in $\Delta_n^{(m)}$ is the Fuß-Catalan number $C_n^{(m)}$.

To each face $f \in \Delta_n^{(m)}$, we associate an integer partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of n (called its *type*, as with parking functions and Dyck paths). The rule is that each inner $(mk + 2)$ -gon gives a part of λ equal to k . In the example above, we get $\lambda = (3, 2, 1)$ for the face with two diagonals, and $\lambda = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ for the facet (or any other facet). This integer partition has a geometric interpretation: the link of the face f in $\Delta_n^{(m)}$ is isomorphic to the product $\Delta_{\lambda_1}^{(m)} \times \Delta_{\lambda_2}^{(m)} \times \dots$ (this is especially relevant when $m = 1$, where the complex Δ_n is the dual of a simple polytope called the *associahedron*: for a face $f \in \Delta_n$ of type λ , the corresponding face in the associahedron is combinatorially isomorphic to a product of smaller associahedra of dimensions $\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 1, \dots$).

There is a natural *positive* subcomplex $\Delta_n^{(m),+} \subset \Delta_n^{(m)}$. The number of faces $f \in \Delta_n^{(m)}$ (respectively, $f \in \Delta_n^{(m),+}$) of a given type λ is denoted $L_{m,\lambda}$ (respectively, $L_{m,\lambda}^+$). One result from our previous work is type-refined enumeration of faces of the generalized cluster complex, and it can be obtained from the relations [19, Theorem 7.1]:

$$L_{m,\lambda} = (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} K'_{-m,\lambda}, \quad L_{m,\lambda}^+ = (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} K_{-m,\lambda}. \quad (4)$$

In particular, explicit formulas can be deduced using those in (3). From (1) and (2) together with the previous equation, we get:

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} L_{m,\lambda} h_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-mn - 1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}, \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} L_{m,\lambda}^+ h_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-mn + 1)^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}. \quad (6)$$


Our cluster parking functions will give a representation theoretic interpretation of (5) and (6).

1.3 Cluster parking functions


At the origin of this work, is the idea that the alternating sum in the left-hand side of (5) (respectively, (6)) can be interpreted as the reduced equivariant Euler characteristic¹ of a simplicial complex $\text{CPF}_n^{(m)}$ (respectively, $\text{CPF}_n^{(m),+}$). An element of $\text{CPF}_n^{(m)}$, called a *cluster parking function*, is a face $f \in \Delta_n^{(m)}$ together with labels such that each inner $(mk + 2)$ -gon

¹The term “equivariant Euler characteristic” is far from standard, and indeed has sometimes different meanings in the literature. See the next section for its meaning here.

has k (unordered) labels and each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ appears exactly once as a label. For example, a 2-dimensional face of CPF_6 (the absence of the Fuß parameter m means that $m = 1$) is:



A smaller face is obtained by removing some diagonals (as in $\Delta_n^{(m)}$, the complex of dissections), and when inner faces merge we accordingly merge their label sets. For example, the smaller faces obtained from the face in the example above are:



(the last element being the empty face). Moreover, the group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on $\text{CPF}_n^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}_n^{(m),+}$ by relabelling just as in the case of parking functions.

Now, our main result says that $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ have the homotopy type of wedges of $(n - 2)$ -dimensional spheres. It follows that the characters of \mathfrak{S}_n acting on their degree $n - 2$ homology are the symmetric functions in (5) and (6) up to a factor $(-1)^n$. Let us complete the picture by stating the main theorem in the general case of finite real reflection groups.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 6.5 below). *Let W be a finite irreducible real reflection group of rank n , and let h be its Coxeter number. The simplicial complexes $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(W)$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}(W)$ (defined in Section 3) have the homotopy type of a wedge of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional spheres, consisting of $(mh + 1)^n$ spheres for the former and $(mh - 1)^n$ spheres for the latter. Moreover, the character of W acting on the nonzero homology group $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}(W))$, respectively $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m),+}(W))$, is*

$$w \mapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)}(mh + 1)^{n-\ell(w)}, \quad (7)$$

respectively

$$w \mapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)}(mh - 1)^{n-\ell(w)} \quad (8)$$

(i.e., these are parking characters twisted by the sign character).

The complex CPF_n^+ (in the case of the symmetric group) was also defined in [14], where we study the topology of a poset structure on parking functions (denoted PF_n). The hope was to use cluster parking functions in order to get a nice derivation of the topology of PF_n . This turned out to be the other way around: an important step of the present work, which is also interesting on its own, is to obtain the topology of $\text{PF}(W)$ as a wedge of spheres (see Theorem 5.5).

A few remarks are in order about the general case of finite real reflection groups. All the character calculations are amenable because they take place in the *parabolic Burnside ring* (which is very well-understood in a uniform way, unlike the full character ring). Various relevant character calculations have been presented in our previous work [19], to which we refer when needed. Our combinatorial construction relies on *noncrossing parking functions*,

introduced by Armstrong, Reiner and Rhoades [5] in the context of their parking space theory. Here (Section 5), we examine the topology of an associated partial order, generalizing the type A results in [14].

To finish this introduction, let us mention that the parking character twisted by sign (the case $m = 1$ in (7)) is also the (ungraded) character of Gordon's ring R_W defined in terms of diagonal coinvariants [26]. So $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\text{CPF})$ is isomorphic to this ring as a representation of W . It is unclear whether this is just a mere coincidence or not.

Let us now outline the contents of this article. After some preliminaries in Section 2, we give first properties of cluster parking functions in Section 3. The proof of the main result has three steps:

- In Section 4, we give a preliminary result about the topology of some order ideals in the poset of noncrossing partitions.
- In Section 5, we describe the topology of the parking function poset PF (using the result in Section 4).
- In Section 6, we use a poset map $\text{CPF} \rightarrow \text{PF}$ and Quillen's theory of Cohen-Macaulay posets to arrive at our main result, Theorem 6.5.

In Section 7, we give positive formulas for the f - and h -vectors of the complexes CPF involving numerical invariants of the corresponding reflection group. In Section 8, we introduce new combinatorial objects that are equinumerous with parking functions as a consequence of the results in Section 5. Several open problems are given in Section 9.

2 Definitions and background

2.1 Poset topology

We refer to Wachs [36] or Kozlov [28] for this topic.

Let Γ be any finite simplicial complex, that we identify it with its face poset (where the order relation is inclusion). We say Γ is *pure* if all its facets (maximal faces) have the same dimension. We denote $|\Gamma|$ the *geometric realization* of Γ . We denote Γ_d its set of d -dimensional faces, for $d \geq -1$. If we have an *orientation* of Γ (each face f is endowed with a total order ω_f , and if $f' \subset f$ then $\omega_{f'}$ is the total order induced by ω_f), we can define the *reduced simplicial homology groups* over \mathbb{Z} , denoted $\tilde{H}_i(\Gamma)$ for $i \geq -1$. The *reduced Euler characteristic* $\tilde{\chi}$ of Γ is:

$$\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma) := \sum_{i \geq -1} (-1)^i \#\Gamma_i = \sum_{i \geq -1} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \tilde{H}_i(\Gamma)).$$

Let G be finite group acting on Γ , so that inclusion and dimension of faces are preserved. Let $\Gamma^g := \{f \in \Gamma : g \cdot f = f\}$ be the fixed-point set of $g \in G$. We assume that the group action is *admissible*, which means that for all $g \in G$, Γ^g is a subcomplex of Γ (an order ideal in the face poset). Let $\mathbf{C}_i(\Gamma)$ denote the character of G acting on Γ_i (the i th chain space). There is also an induced action of G on the homology group $\tilde{H}_i(\Gamma)$, and we denote $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i(\Gamma)$ its character. The (reduced) *equivariant Euler characteristic* is the (virtual) character

$$\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma) := \sum_{i \geq -1} (-1)^i \mathbf{C}_i(\Gamma) = \sum_{i \geq -1} (-1)^i \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i(\Gamma),$$

where the last equality comes from the *Hopf trace formula*. The (reduced) *Lefschetz number* $\tilde{\Lambda}(g, \Gamma)$ is defined as $\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma^g)$. Baclawski and Björner [11] have showed that $\tilde{\Lambda}(\Gamma, g)$ is the evaluation of $\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma)$ at g .

The *order complex* $\Omega(P)$ of a finite poset P is the simplicial complex having P as vertices, and sets of pairwise comparable elements as faces. A d -dimensional face $f \in \Omega(P)$ can be represented as a strict chain $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_d$ in P . In particular, the order induced by P gives a natural orientation of $\Omega(P)$, which we always use to compute reduced homology. As a shortcut, we denote $\tilde{H}_i(P) := \tilde{H}_i(\Omega(P))$ and similarly for $\tilde{\chi}$, *etc.* The poset P is *ranked* iff $\Omega(P)$ is pure. We write $\text{rk}(p)$ for the rank of $p \in P$, omitting P in the notation. If the poset P is the poset of nonempty faces of a simplicial complex Γ , the order complex $\Omega(P)$ is called the *barycentric subdivision* of Γ . A simplicial complex and its barycentric subdivision have homeomorphic geometric realizations.

The poset P is called a G -poset if there is an action of G preserving the order relation. There is an induced action of G on $\Omega(P)$, and it is admissible. The ζ -character $\zeta(P, m)$ of a G -poset P is a polynomial in m with values in the character ring of G such that, for each integer $m \geq 1$, $\zeta(P, m)$ is the character of G acting on chains $p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_m$. If P has a minimal element 0 but no maximal element, we have the relation (see [13, Proposition 1.7]):

$$\tilde{\chi}(P \setminus \{0\}) = -\zeta(P, -1). \quad (9)$$

For any poset P , denote \bar{P} the *proper part* of P , obtained by removing its unique minimal element if it exists, and its unique maximal element if it exists. A finite poset P is *bounded* if it has a minimal and a maximal element. If P is not bounded, denote \hat{P} the smallest bounded poset containing P (thus obtained by adding an extra top element when P doesn't already have one, and an extra bottom element similarly).

Let \mathbb{S}^d denote the standard d -dimensional sphere, and \vee denote the wedge product (to be precise, the sphere should be considered as a *pointed* topological space to define wedge products). The k -fold wedge product of \mathbb{S}^d is thus denoted $(\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee k}$. When $k = 0$, this is the one-point topological space, denoted \bullet . Generally speaking, poset topology deals with the problem of finding the homotopy type of $\Omega(P)$ for a finite poset P . Some of the most simple homotopy types are given by wedge of spheres, and typical results have the form $\Omega(P) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee k}$ for some nonnegative integers d and k (\simeq stands for homotopy equivalence).

Let us also mention the following characterization of wedge of spheres (see [36, Theorem 1.5.2] or [27, Section 4.C]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let Γ be a d -dimensional simplicial complex with $d \geq 2$ such that:*

- Γ is simply connected,
- $\tilde{H}_d(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k for some integer $k \geq 0$,
- all other homology groups vanish.

Then $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee k}$.

Note that the assumption $d \geq 2$ is not restrictive: for a 1-dimensional simplicial complex Γ (a graph), we always have $\Gamma \simeq (\mathbb{S}^1)^{\vee k}$ for some k .

2.2 Finite real reflection groups and noncrossing partitions

Through this work, W is a finite real reflection group, e its neutral element, $T \subset W$ the set of reflections, $S \subset T$ a set of simple reflections. We don't assume W to be irreducible. For $I \subset S$, denote W_I the *standard parabolic subgroup* generated by I . A *parabolic subgroup* $P \subset W$ is a subgroup conjugate to W_I for some $I \subset S$.

Let V denote the geometric representation of W , and for $w \in W$ we denote $V^w \subset V$ its fixed-point set: $V^w := \ker(w - e)$. The *intersection lattice* \mathcal{L} of W is

$$\mathcal{L} := \{V^w : w \in W\},$$

where by convention the partial order is reverse inclusion. The map

$$F \mapsto \{w \in W : F \subset V^w\}$$

is a poset automorphism from \mathcal{L} to the poset of parabolic subgroups of W (where the partial order is inclusion).

We refer to [4] concerning noncrossing partitions and related material. Let c be a *standard Coxeter element*, i.e., the product all simple reflections $s \in S$ in some (arbitrary) order. Let ℓ denote the *reflection length* in W :

$$\ell(w) := \min\{k \geq 0 : \exists t_1, \dots, t_k \in T, w = t_1 \cdots t_k\}.$$

We also have:

$$\ell(w) = n - \dim(V^w).$$

Note that $\ell(c) = n$. The *absolute order* on W is defined by

$$v \geq w \Leftrightarrow \ell(v) + \ell(v^{-1}w) = \ell(w).$$

Another characterization is the following: consider a minimal reflection factorization $w = t_1 \cdots t_k$ (which means that k is minimal and each t_i is a reflection), then $v \geq w$ iff a minimal reflection factorization of v can be extracted as a subword of $t_1 \cdots t_k$. We denote by NC the lattice of *noncrossing partitions*:

$$\text{NC} := \{w \in W : w \geq c\},$$

i.e., this is the interval $[c, e]$ in the absolute order. Moreover, denote $\text{NC}' \subset \text{NC}$ the set of *prime noncrossing partitions*, i.e., those $\pi \in \text{NC}$ that don't belong to a proper standard parabolic subgroup of W . The rank function on NC is given by

$$\text{rk}(\pi) = n - \ell(\pi) = \dim(V^\pi).$$

Remark 2.2. Our definition of NC and the absolute order is such that c is the minimal element, and e is the maximal element. The dual convention (the other way around) is more common. If we accept this unusual convention, some other common definitions will be respected and several poset maps will be order-preserving rather than order-reversing.

For each $\pi \in \text{NC}$, there is an associated *noncrossing parabolic subgroup* defined by

$$W_\pi := \{w \in W : V^\pi \subset V^w\}.$$

The map $\pi \mapsto W_\pi$ from NC to the lattice of parabolic subgroups is injective and order-reversing. It can be used to describe the join operation \vee of the lattice NC, as we have:

$$W_{\pi \vee \tau} = W_\pi \cap W_\tau. \tag{10}$$

This property follows from the proof of the lattice property by Brady and Watt [16, Section 7].

2.3 Parking functions

We use here *noncrossing parking functions*, as introduced by Armstrong, Reiner and Rhoades in [5]. The poset structure on type A parking functions was introduced by Edelman [21] (on slightly different objects, called 2-noncrossing partitions), and further studied in [14].

Definition 2.3. The W -poset of *parking functions*, denoted PF , is defined as a set of cosets:

$$\text{PF} := \{wW_\pi : w \in W, \pi \in \text{NC}\}.$$

The partial order is reverse inclusion, and the action of W is the natural one by left multiplication on right cosets. A parking function $wW_\pi \in \text{PF}$ is *prime* if $\pi \in \text{NC}'$, and we denote $\text{PF}' \subset \text{PF}$ the subposet of prime parking functions. Note that the action clearly preserves inclusion, so that PF and PF' are indeed W -posets.

Before stating elementary properties of the poset, let us quickly explain the link with “classical” parking functions. As explained in [5, Section 2.3], noncrossing parking functions of type A_{n-1} as defined above can be seen pictorially as (classical) noncrossing partitions with labels, for example:



The underlying noncrossing partitions is $\pi = \{\{1, 3, 4\}, \{2\}, \{5, 9\}, \{6, 7, 8\}, \{10\}\}$ (this is obtained via the standard labelling with $1, 2, \dots$ from left to right, unwritten here), and the noncrossing parabolic subgroup is $\mathfrak{S}_\pi = \mathfrak{S}_{\{1,3,4\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{5,9\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{6,7,8\}}$ (a product of symmetric groups). The labels correspond to the permutation $\sigma = 2347158(10)96$, which is a minimal length coset representative in $\mathfrak{S}_{10}/\mathfrak{S}_\pi$ (meaning here that the labels are increasing in each block of π , from left to right). The order relation and other combinatorial properties (in particular the link with a more classical definition of parking functions) on these type A_{n-1} objects have been discussed in [14].

The *coset poset* of a group was introduced by Brown [17], who obtained some of its topological properties. Up to some details (Brown only consider proper cosets, and the order is inclusion rather than reverse inclusion), PF is a subposet of this coset poset. Note also that a subposet of PF is the *Coxeter complex*, which is the poset of cosets wW_I where W_I is a standard parabolic subgroup.

It is clear that PF has a unique minimal element, namely W itself, and $|W|$ maximal elements, namely the singletons $\{w\}$ for $w \in W$. (Representation-theoretically, we have the trivial representation in rank 0 and the regular representation in rank n .) The poset PF is ranked, and the rank function is such that

$$\text{rk}(wW_\pi) = \text{rk}(\pi)$$

(see below for details).

Lemma 2.4. *Each element of PF can be uniquely written wW_π , where $\pi \in \text{NC}$ and w is a minimal length coset representative in W/W_π .*

Proof. Uniqueness of π follows from the fact that each orbit of cosets contains a unique subgroup, together with the injectivity of the map $\pi \mapsto W_\pi$ defined for $\pi \in \text{NC}$. We then use uniqueness of the minimal length coset representative. \square

In what follows, by writing $wW_\pi \in \text{PF}$ we always assume that $\pi \in \text{NC}$ (and make explicit when we assume that w is a minimal length coset representative). The previous lemma makes clear that the orbits of PF are naturally indexed by NC, in such a way that the orbit indexed by $\pi \in \text{NC}$ is W/W_π as a W -set.

Lemma 2.5. *The map $\text{PF} \rightarrow \text{NC}$ defined by $wW_\pi \mapsto \pi$ is order-preserving and rank-preserving. Moreover, its restriction to any interval $[W, \{w\}]$ is a poset isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that the second part of the statement implies the first one. So let $w \in W$, we show that the map $[W, \{w\}] \rightarrow \text{NC}$, $wW_\pi \mapsto \pi$ is an isomorphism.

First note that

$$[W, \{w\}] = \{wW_\pi : \pi \in \text{NC}\}.$$

Indeed, if $w'W_\pi \in [W, \{w\}]$, we have $w'W_\pi \leq \{w\}$, i.e., $w \in w'W_\pi$, and it follows $w'W_\pi = wW_\pi$. The previous lemma implies that the map $[W, \{w\}] \rightarrow \text{NC}$, $wW_\pi \mapsto \pi$ is bijective. Moreover, it preserves the order because the map $\pi \mapsto W_\pi$ does. \square

Note that the previous lemma implies that the principal order ideal $\{w'W_{\pi'} \in \text{PF} : w'W_{\pi'} \leq wW_\pi\}$ is isomorphic to the interval $[c, \pi]$ in NC. The next lemma gives the structure of a principal order filter.

In the next statement, we use the notation $\text{PF}(W_\pi)$ to indicate that we consider the poset PF defined with respect to the reflection group W_π . (Similar notation will be used for NC, CPF, etc.)

Lemma 2.6. *For each $wW_\pi \in \text{PF}$, there is a natural poset isomorphism*

$$\{w'W_{\pi'} \in \text{PF} : w'W_{\pi'} \geq wW_\pi\} \rightarrow \text{PF}(W_\pi). \quad (11)$$

Proof. Via the action of W , we can assume $w = e$ in the left-hand side of (11). The relation $w'W_{\pi'} \geq wW_\pi = W_\pi$ means $w'W_{\pi'} \subset W_\pi$. In particular we get $w' \in W_\pi$ and $\pi' \in W_\pi$ (i.e., $\pi' \geq \pi$), so $w'W_{\pi'} \in \text{PF}(W_\pi)$. It is straightforward to complete the proof. \square

Remark 2.7. To complete the previous lemma, note that the group $wW_\pi w^{-1}$ acts on the left-hand side of (11), and W_π acts on the right-hand side. It is easily seen that the characters of these actions are the same (if we identify the characters of W_π and $wW_\pi w^{-1}$ in the natural way).

Although we will not use the following property of being a lattice, it is worth writing it.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $wW_\pi, w'W_{\pi'} \in \text{PF}$ such that $wW_\pi \cap w'W_{\pi'} \neq \emptyset$. Then $wW_\pi \cap w'W_{\pi'} \in \text{PF}$ and it is the least upper bound of wW_π and $w'W_{\pi'}$ in PF.*

Proof. Let $w'' \in wW_\pi \cap w'W_{\pi'}$, so that $wW_\pi = w''W_\pi$ and $w'W_{\pi'} = w''W_{\pi'}$. We have:

$$wW_\pi \cap w'W_{\pi'} = w''W_\pi \cap w''W_{\pi'} = w''(W_\pi \cap W_{\pi'}) = w''W_{\pi \vee \pi'} \in \text{PF},$$

by (10). As the order is reverse inclusion, $wW_\pi \cap w'W_{\pi'}$ is clearly the least upper bound of wW_π and $w'W_{\pi'}$. \square

Proposition 2.9. *The poset $\hat{\text{PF}}$ is a lattice.*

Proof. It is clear from the previous lemma that joins in $\widehat{\text{PF}}$ always exist. If we identify the extra top element $\hat{1} \in \widehat{\text{PF}}$ with \emptyset , a join of two elements is given by taking their intersection. As $\widehat{\text{PF}}$ is bounded, it follows that meets also exist. \square

Definition 2.10. For each integer $m \geq 1$, let \mathbf{Park}_m denote the character of W acting on m -element chains $w_1 W_{\pi_1} \leq \dots \leq w_m W_{\pi_m}$ in PF , *i.e.*:

$$\mathbf{Park}_m := \zeta(\text{PF}, m).$$

And for each integer $m \geq 1$, let \mathbf{Park}'_m denote the character of W acting on m -element chains $w_1 W_{\pi_1} \leq \dots \leq w_m W_{\pi_m}$ in PF such that $w_1 W_{\pi_1} \in \text{PF}'$.

We refer to [19] for more context and results about these two characters, in particular for the analog of Equations (1) and (2) in the context of finite real reflection groups. As we focus here on the topology of cluster parking functions, these characters will only be used in the final step where we consider the characters of W acting on the homology of cluster parking functions.

Proposition 2.11. *If W is irreducible and its Coxeter number is h , we have for each $w \in W$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Park}_m(w) &= (mh + 1)^{n-\ell(w)}, \\ \mathbf{Park}'_m(w) &= (mh - 1)^{n-\ell(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality is a conjecture by Rhoades [32], who proved it for infinite families in the finite type classification (more precisely, his conjecture is more general because it involves an extra cyclic group). The variant about prime parking functions has been introduced in [19], where it is proved that the two statements are equivalent. Eventually, a full (case-free) proof has been announced in [20]. \square

We finish this section with a conjecture. See Proposition 3.5 for its relevance concerning cluster parking functions.

Conjecture 2.12. *PF has the Helly property, i.e.: if $k \geq 3$ and $w_1 W_{\pi_1}, \dots, w_k W_{\pi_k} \in \text{PF}$ are such that $w_i W_{\pi_i} \cap w_j W_{\pi_j} \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^k w_i W_{\pi_i} \neq \emptyset$.*

Remark 2.13. It is easily seen that $\widehat{\text{PF}}$ is an atomistic lattice (every element is a join of atoms). This follows from NC being atomistic. Using this, one easily shows that PF has the Helly property if and only if its subset of rank 1 elements have the Helly property.

The Helly numbers of cosets in finite groups have been investigated in [18].

2.4 The cluster complex

Let $\Phi = \Phi_+ \cup \Phi_-$ be a root system for W (in the sense of Coxeter groups), let $\Pi \subset \Phi_+$ denote the set of simple roots, and for $\alpha \in \Phi$ we denote $t_\alpha \in T$ the corresponding reflection. Consider a set partition $\Pi = \Pi_\bullet \uplus \Pi_\circ$ where each term Π_\bullet or Π_\circ contains pairwise orthogonal elements, let $\mathbf{c}_\bullet = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet} t_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{c}_\circ = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi_\circ} t_\alpha$. The (standard) Coxeter element $c = \mathbf{c}_\bullet \mathbf{c}_\circ$ is called the *bipartite Coxeter element*.

There are several equivalent definitions for the cluster complex, and a review sufficient for our purpose has been given in [19, Section 6]. We give here the original definition of Fomin and Reading [24].

Definition 2.14 ([24]). A *colored root* is a pair $(\alpha, i) \in \Phi \times \mathbb{N}$, denoted α^i . Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. The set of *m-colored positive roots* is $\Phi_+^{(m)} := \Phi_+ \times \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, and the set of *m-colored almost positive roots* is:

$$\Phi_{\geq -1}^{(m)} := \Phi_+^{(m)} \uplus \{(-\alpha)^1 : \alpha \in \Pi\}.$$

The *rotation* \mathcal{R} is a bijection of $\Phi_{\geq -1}^{(m)}$ onto itself, defined by:

$$\mathcal{R}(\alpha^i) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{i+1} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Phi_+ \text{ and } i < m, \\ (-\alpha)^1 & \text{if } \alpha \in -\Pi_\bullet \text{ and } i = 1, \text{ or } \alpha \in \Pi_\circ \text{ and } i = m, \\ c(\alpha)^1 & \text{if } \alpha \in -\Pi_\circ \text{ and } i = 1, \text{ or } \alpha \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Pi_\circ \text{ and } i = m. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.15 ([24]). The binary relation \parallel on $\Phi_{\geq -1}^{(m)}$ is defined by the following properties.

- $(-\alpha)^1 \parallel \beta^i$ with $\alpha \in \Pi$ holds iff α has coefficient 0 in the expansion of β as a linear combination of simple roots,
- $\alpha^i \parallel \beta^j \iff \mathcal{R}(\alpha^i) \parallel \mathcal{R}(\beta^j)$, for all $\alpha^i, \beta^j \in \Phi_{\geq -1}^{(m)}$.

The simplicial complex $\Delta^{(m)}$ is defined as the flag complex with vertex set $\Phi_{\geq -1}^{(m)}$ associated to the binary relation \parallel , and $\Delta^{(m),+}$ is the full subcomplex with vertex set $\Phi_+^{(m)}$.

The definition of the binary relation immediately gives some useful properties:

- For $\alpha \in \Pi$, the link of $(-\alpha)^1$ in $\Delta^{(m)}$ is $\Delta^{(m)}(W_{S \setminus \{t_\alpha\}})$.
- The self-map on $\Delta^{(m)}$ induced by \mathcal{R} is an automorphism.

Remark 2.16. It is natural to include the case $m = 0$ by declaring that $\Delta^{(0)}$ is a $(n - 1)$ -simplex with vertex set $-\Pi$. The properties of $\Delta^{(m)}$ generally holds for $m = 0$ as well. It might seem natural to declare that $\Delta^{(0),+}$ is the empty complex, however this will not reflect the properties of $\Delta^{(m),+}$ in general. In what follows, we assume $m \geq 1$ and discuss explicitly the case $m = 0$.

An alternative definition of the complex $\Delta^{(m)}$ was given by Athanasiadis and Tzanaki [10], and a useful consequence is the following:

Proposition 2.17 ([10]). *For each $f \in \Delta^{(m)}$, there is an indexing $f = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ such that $\prod f := \prod_{i=1}^k t_{\alpha_i}$ is in NC, moreover $\prod_{i=1}^k t_{\alpha_i}$ is a reduced factorization.*

When NC is defined with respect to the bipartite Coxeter element, define for each $\pi \in \text{NC}$:

$$\pi^\kappa := c_\bullet \pi c_\circ.$$

The map $\pi \mapsto \pi^\kappa$ is an involutive anti-automorphism of NC, called the *bipartite Kreweras complement* (see [15]).

Definition 2.18 ([19]). For $f \in \Delta^{(m)}$, we define

$$\underline{f} := \left(\prod f \right)^\kappa.$$

As the Kreweras complement of $\prod f \in \text{NC}$, we have $\underline{f} \in \text{NC}$.

As explained in [19], it is the conjugacy class of \underline{f} which is of primary interest. In type A_{n-1} , the conjugacy class of \underline{f} is an integer partition of n , defined as the cyclic type of \underline{f} as an element of the symmetric group.

Lemma 2.19. *The map $f \mapsto \underline{f}$ is order-preserving.*

Proof. If $f' \subset f$, by definition $\prod f'$ is a subword of $\prod f$. By definition of the absolute order, this shows that the map $f \mapsto \prod f$ is order-reversing. Since the Kreweras complement is also order-reversing, we get the result. \square

It was proved in [24] that the link of a face $f \in \Delta^{(m)}$ is isomorphic to $\Delta^{(m)}(W_I)$ for some $I \subset S$. The following is a more precise statement:

Proposition 2.20 ([19, Remark 6.15]). *The link of f in $\Delta^{(m)}$ is isomorphic to $\Delta^{(m)}(W_{\underline{f}})$.*

Proof. The proof was just sketched in [19], so let us write some details. First assume that f contains $(-\alpha)^1$ where $\alpha \in \Pi$. Since the link of $\{(-\alpha)^1\}$ in $\Delta^{(m)}$ identifies with $\Delta^{(m)}(W_{S \setminus \{\alpha\}})$, the link of f in $\Delta^{(m)}$ identifies with the link of $f \setminus \{-\alpha\}$ in $\Delta^{(m)}(W_{S \setminus \{\alpha\}})$. Moreover, we have

$$\prod(f \setminus \{-\alpha\}) = \begin{cases} t_\alpha(\prod f) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_\bullet, \\ (\prod f)t_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_\circ. \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\underline{f \setminus \{-\alpha\}} = \underline{f}$ (where in the left-hand side, the bipartite Kreweras complement is computed inside $W_{S \setminus \{\alpha\}}$). The conclusion is that when f contains an element of $-\Pi$, the result holds via an induction on the rank.

Now assume $f \cap (-\Pi) = \emptyset$. There is an integer i such that $\mathcal{R}^i(f) \cap (-\Pi) \neq \emptyset$ (see [24]). Moreover, it has been shown in [19, Proposition 11.1] that \underline{f} and $\underline{\mathcal{R}(f)}$ are conjugate in W . These two properties shows that the general case where $f \cap (-\Pi) = \emptyset$ follows from the previous case. \square

Proposition 2.21. *For each $\pi \in \text{NC}$, the subcomplexes*

$$\Delta^{(m),+}(\pi) := \{f \in \Delta^{(m),+} : \underline{f} \leq \pi\}, \quad \Delta^{(m)}(\pi) := \{f \in \Delta^{(m)} : \underline{f} \leq \pi\} \quad (12)$$

of $\Delta^{(m)}$ are purely $(\text{rk}(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional and shellable.

Proof. These results are essentially in Athanasiadis and Tzanaki [10] (the first one is explicitly stated, and the second one is a straightforward consequence of their methods and results). For the sake of thoroughness, let us give some details.

First note that since $f \mapsto \underline{f}$ is order-preserving, both $\Delta^{(m),+}(\pi)$ and $\Delta^{(m)}(\pi)$ are order ideals in the face poset of $\Delta^{(m)}$ (i.e., subcomplexes of $\Delta^{(m)}$). For $I \subset -\Pi$, define:

$$\Gamma(\pi, I) := \{f \in \Delta^{(m)} : \underline{f} \leq \pi \text{ and } f \cap (-\Pi) \subset I\}.$$

It is again immediate to check that this is a subcomplex of $\Delta^{(m)}$. By induction on $\#I$ and the rank of W , we show that these subcomplexes are all purely $(n - \ell(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional and shellable. The proposition will follow from the cases $I = \emptyset$ and $I = -\Pi$, respectively. In the case where $I = \emptyset$, the result is precisely [10, Proposition 3.1, (i)]. So, now we assume $I \neq \emptyset$ and write $I = I' \uplus \{\alpha\}$ for some $\alpha \in -\Pi$.

First note that if α is a vertex of $f \in \Gamma(\pi, I)$, we get $t_\alpha \geq \prod f \geq K(\pi)$. So, in the case where $t_\alpha \not\geq K(\pi)$, α cannot be a vertex of $f \in \Gamma(\pi, I)$. So we have $\Gamma(\pi, I) = \Gamma(\pi, I')$, and the result holds by the induction hypothesis.

Now consider the case $t_\alpha \geq K(\pi)$. We examine two subcomplexes of $\Gamma(\pi, I)$.

- The *deletion* of the vertex α in $\Gamma(\pi, I)$: this is $\Gamma(\pi, I')$, by definition. By the induction hypothesis, it is purely $(n - \ell(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional and shellable.
- The *link* of the vertex α in $\Gamma(\pi, I)$: it can be described as follows. Let W' be the maximal standard parabolic subgroup of W generated by $S - \{t_\alpha\}$. Its rank is $n' = n - 1$. We have either $\pi = t_\alpha \pi'$ with $\pi' \in \text{NC}(W')$ (if $\alpha \in -\Pi_\bullet$), or $\pi = \pi' t_\alpha$ with $\pi' \in \text{NC}(W')$ (if $\alpha \in -\Pi_\circ$). In each case, we can directly identify $\Gamma(\pi, I)$ with $\Gamma(\pi', I')$, where the latter is defined in the cluster complex attached to W' . By the induction hypothesis, it is purely $(n' - \ell(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional and shellable.

From the properties of these two subcomplexes, we deduce that $\Gamma(\pi, I)$ is also purely $(n - \ell(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional and shellable (see [10, Lemma 2.1, (i)], for example). \square

We end this section by stating a result from our previous work [19]. Define the sign character **Sign** of W by

$$\mathbf{Sign}(w) := (-1)^{\ell(w)}.$$

For each parabolic subgroup P , there is a left action of W on the quotient W/P . The character of this action is denoted $\mathbf{Ch}(W/P)$. In the following result, we consider an alternating sum in the character ring of W . Essentially, the identities below comes from a refined enumeration of faces of $\Delta^{(m)}$ and $\Delta^{(m),+}$ according to the conjugacy class of \underline{f} (see [19] for details).

Proposition 2.22 ([19, Section 12, Equations (72,73)]). *We have:*

$$\sum_{f \in \Delta^{(m)}} (-1)^{\dim(f)} \mathbf{Ch}(W/W_{\underline{f}}) = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}_m, \quad (13)$$


$$\sum_{f \in \Delta^{(m),+}} (-1)^{\dim(f)} \mathbf{Ch}(W/W_{\underline{f}}) = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}'_m. \quad (14)$$

This is the analog of Equations (5) and (6) from the introduction.

2.5 Combinatorial models in terms of dissections of polygons

Let us describe explicitly the combinatorics of type A_{n-1} cluster complex, following Fomin and Zelevinsky [25]. As mentioned in the introduction, vertices are diagonals of an $(n + 2)$ -gon. Let $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The indexing is characterized (up to an order 2 symmetry of the polygon) by the following properties.


- Negative simple roots $(-e_i + e_{i+1})_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ form the “snake” triangulation



in such a way that two diagonals indexed by $-e_i + e_{i+1}$ and $-e_j + e_{j+1}$ share an endpoint if and only if $j - i = \pm 1$.

- The diagonal indexed by a positive root $e_i - e_j$ (with $i < j$) crosses the diagonal indexed $-e_k + e_{k+1}$ if and only if $i \leq k < j$.

For example,




respectively correspond to the faces

$$f_1 = \{-e_1 + e_2, -e_2 + e_3, e_3 - e_6, e_5 - e_6\} \in \Delta_6 \text{ and } f_2 = \{e_2 - e_3, e_2 - e_5\} \in \Delta_6.$$

See [24, 25] for detailed combinatorial descriptions of $\Delta^{(m)}$ and $\Delta^{(m),+}$ in types A , B , etc.


Let us go on with describing the noncrossing partition $\prod f \in \text{NC}$ in type A . To this end, we label n vertices on a circle with $1, \dots, n$ in such a way that going counterclockwise we read the labels $1, 3, 5, \dots, n-1, n, n-2, \dots, 4, 2$ (if n is even) or $1, 3, 5, \dots, n-2, n, n-1, \dots, 4, 2$ (if n is odd). These labels comes from reading the bipartite Coxeter element as a cycle. A *noncrossing forest* is obtained from f by drawing an edge between j and k if f contains an element α^i such that t_α is the transposition $(j, k) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. For example, the faces f_1 and f_2 as above respectively give



The noncrossing partition $\prod f$ is obtained by taking the connected components of this noncrossing forest, so

$$\prod f_1 = \{\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}, \{4\}\}, \quad \prod f_2 = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{4\}, \{6\}\}.$$

Now, write n additional labels $1', \dots, n'$ on the circle so that reading it clockwise gives $1, 2', 3, 4', \dots, 3', 2, 1'$. The bipartite Kreweras complement of the noncrossing partition is obtained by connecting i' with j' by a path whenever it is possible to do so without creating a crossing, and looking at the connected components created by these paths. In the example above, the pictures become



This illustrates that

$$\underline{f}_1 = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4\}, \{6\}\} \text{ and } \underline{f}_2 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 5, 6\}, \{4\}\},$$

which can also be checked in \mathfrak{S}_n by unfolding the definitions of the general case.


To complete this combinatorial description of type A , it is possible to show the following lemma (details are left to the reader). It shows that the partition λ that we defined in the introduction (the type of a face $f \in \Delta_n^{(m)}$) corresponds to the cyclic type (or the conjugacy class) of \underline{f} .

Lemma 2.23. *Let $f \in \Delta_n^{(m)}$, seen as a dissection of an $(mn + 2)$ -gon. There is a bijection between inner polygons of the dissection and blocks of \underline{f} , in such a way that a $(mk + 2)$ -gon corresponds to a k -element block.*


Let us now describe the combinatorics in the case where $n = 2$, i.e., W is a dihedral group $I_2(k)$ for some $k \geq 2$. There is natural embedding $\Delta^{(m)}(I_2(k)) \subset \Delta^{(m)}(\mathfrak{S}_k)$ (though only as a subposet rather than as a subcomplex, as dimension is not preserved). All this relies on the embedding $I_2(k) \subset \mathfrak{S}_k$. A facet f of $\Delta^{(m)}(I_2(k))$ is a $(m + 2)$ -angulation of the regular $(mk + 2)$ -gon such that:

- the adjacency graph of its inner polygons is the path graph on k vertices,
- by taking every other diagonal (every other edge in the adjacency graph), we get a set of pairwise parallel diagonals.

A vertex of this facet f is a dissection obtained by keeping every other diagonal. For example, a facet of $\Delta^{(3)}(I_2(5))$ is the quintangulation of the heptadecagon



and its two vertices are the dissections:



We omit to give more details, but it is straightforward to see that this model is equivalent to the one given in [24, Section 4].

3 Cluster parking functions

3.1 Definition and properties

We announced that cluster parking functions form a simplicial complex, however it is convenient to introduce them as a poset (recall that we identify a simplicial complex with its face poset).

Definition 3.1. The W -poset of m -cluster parking functions, denoted $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$, is defined as follows:

- its elements are the pairs $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \in \text{PF} \times \Delta^{(m)}$,
- the order relation is inherited from the product order on $\text{PF} \times \Delta^{(m)}$,
- and the action of W is inherited from the left action of W on PF .

Moreover, let $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ denote the set of *positive cluster parking functions*, i.e., those $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f)$ such that $f \in \Delta^{(m),+}$.

Note that the poset $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ can be seen as the fiber product of PF and $\Delta^{(m)}$ over NC , along the order-preserving maps

$$wW_{\pi} \mapsto \pi, \quad \text{and} \quad f \mapsto \underline{f}.$$

This point of view will be helpful in Section 6, where we use a fiber poset theorem.

Remark 3.2. In the case $m = 0$, $\Delta^{(0)}$ contains a unique facet and its faces are in bijection with standard parabolic subgroups via $f \mapsto W_{\underline{f}}$. So $\text{CPF}^{(0)}$ (endowed with the action of W) naturally identifies to the *Coxeter complex* of \bar{W} .

Lemma 3.3. For each $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \in \text{CPF}^{(m)}$, the order ideal of its smaller elements is a Boolean lattice of rank $\#f$. In particular, $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ is ranked poset and the rank of $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f)$ is $\#f$.

Proof. Let $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \in \text{CPF}^{(m)}$. A smaller element can be written $(w'W_{\underline{f}'}, f')$ with $f' \subset f$ and $w'W_{\underline{f}'} \supset wW_{\underline{f}}$, since the order is inherited from $\text{PF}(W) \times \Delta^{(m)}$. By Lemma 2.5, we can choose $w' = w$. These smaller elements can thus be identified to the subsets of f via the map $(w'W_{\underline{f}'}, f') \mapsto f'$. \square

Proposition 3.4. The poset $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ is the face poset of a simplicial complex.

Proof. Let X be the set of rank 1 elements in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ (which should be thought of as the vertices of the underlying simplicial complex). In view of Lemma 3.3, it remains only to prove that the map

$$(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \mapsto \{v \in X : v \leq (wW_{\underline{f}}, f)\} \tag{15}$$

is injective. Indeed, this map will then permit to identify each element of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ with a subset of X , providing explicitly the structure of a simplicial complex with X as its vertex set.

So, consider $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \in \text{CPF}^{(m)}$, and write $f = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. The rank 1 elements below $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f)$ are $(wW_{\{\alpha_i\}}, \{\alpha_i\})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ (this follows from the bijection in the proof of the previous lemma). To show injectivity, we need to recover $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f)$ from these rank 1 elements, which is done as follows:

- $f = \cup_{i=1}^k \{\alpha_i\}$,
- $wW_{\underline{f}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k wW_{\{\alpha_i\}}$.

Let us justify the latter point. First note that $\prod f = t_{\alpha_1} \cdots t_{\alpha_k}$ is the meet in NC of the elements t_{α_i} ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Since the Kreweras complement is an anti-automorphism, \underline{f} is the join of the elements $\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). By (10), we also have

$$W_{\underline{f}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}}$$

and the result follows. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that Conjecture 2.12 holds (i.e., PF has the Helly property). Then $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ is a flag simplicial complex. (And consequently, $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ is also flag.)*

Proof. Let $(w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}}, \{\alpha_i\})_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ be a family of vertices in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$. Assume that each pair of vertices form an edge in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$. By the previous result and its proof, this means that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have:

- $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}$ is an edge in $\Delta^{(m)}$,
- $w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}} \cap w_j W_{\underline{\{\alpha_j\}}} = w_{i,j} W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}}}$ for some $w_{i,j} \in W$.

The first property above imply that $f = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\} \in \Delta_{k-1}^{(m)}$, since $\Delta^{(m)}$ is a flag simplicial complex. Moreover, \underline{f} is the join in NC of the elements $\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The second property above implies $w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}} \cap w_j W_{\underline{\{\alpha_j\}}} \neq \emptyset$. By the Helly property, $\bigcap_{i=1}^k w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}} \neq \emptyset$. Let $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}}$. Using the isomorphism between the interval $[W, \{w\}]$ and NC, we see that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}} = w W_{\underline{f}}$.

By construction, the vertices of the simplex $(w W_{\underline{f}}, f) \in \text{CPF}_{k-1}^{(m)}$ are $(w_i W_{\underline{\{\alpha_i\}}}, \{\alpha_i\})_{1 \leq i \leq k}$. This proves the flag property. \square

Proposition 3.6. *The link of $(w W_{\underline{f}}, f)$ in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(W_{\underline{f}})$.*

Proof. By definition of the order relations, this link is

$$\{(w' W_{\underline{f'}}, f') : w' W_{\underline{f'}} \subset w W_{\underline{f}} \text{ and } f \subset f'\}.$$

By Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.20, this naturally identifies to a subposet of $\text{PF}(W_{\underline{f}}) \times \Delta^{(m)}(W_{\underline{f}})$. It is straightforward to complete the proof. \square

Proposition 3.7. *If W is reducible and $W \simeq W_1 \times W_2$, we have $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(W) \simeq \text{CPF}^{(m)}(W_1) * \text{CPF}^{(m)}(W_2)$ where $*$ is the join operation on simplicial complexes.*

The proof is straightforward and omitted. This is analog to the corresponding statement on $\Delta^{(m)}$ [24].

Proposition 3.8. *We have:*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}) = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}_m, \tag{16}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}^{(m),+}) = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}'_m. \tag{17}$$

Proof. By definition of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$, its orbits are in bijection with $\Delta^{(m)}$. More precisely: to each $f \in \Delta_i^{(m)}$, there corresponds an orbit in $\text{CPF}_i^{(m)}$ isomorphic to $W/W_{\underline{f}}$ as a W -set. So, we have

$$\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}) = \sum_{f \in \Delta^{(m)}} (-1)^{\dim(f)} \text{Ch}(W/W_{\underline{f}}), \quad (18)$$


$$\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}^{(m),+}) = \sum_{f \in \Delta^{(m),+}} (-1)^{\dim(f)} \text{Ch}(W/W_{\underline{f}}). \quad (19)$$

The result is then a direct consequence of Proposition 2.22. □

3.2 Combinatorial models and examples

Let us work out the combinatorics of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ in type A , in order to recover the labelled dissections that we defined in the introduction. Let $f \in \Delta_n^{(m)}$, seen as a dissection of an $(mn + 2)$ -gon. A parking function having \underline{f} as underlying noncrossing partition is obtained by labeling the blocks of \underline{f} , as described at the beginning of Section 2.3. Using the bijection in Lemma 2.23, we can as well see this as a labelling of the inner polygons defined by the dissection f . By the properties of the bijection, each inner $(mk + 2)$ -gon receives k labels. To complete the picture, it remains to see why the partial orders agree: when we remove a diagonal in f to get f' , its two adjacent inner polygons merge and correspond to the blocks of \underline{f} that merge to give \underline{f}' . Details are left to the reader.


Furthermore, it is possible to describe cluster parking functions of type B as centrally symmetric dissections of a $(2n)$ -gon (which are the faces of the type B_n cluster complex) and labelling the inner polygons with blocks of a type B set partition, in such a way that the central symmetry sends each block to the opposite block. More explicitly, consider the complex $\text{CPF}_{2n}^{(m)}$ where the $2n$ labels are now the integers $\{\pm i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. A face of this complex is in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(B_n)$ iff it is fixed by the half-turn symmetry composed with the relabelling $i \mapsto -i$. For example, two 1-dimensional faces of $\text{CPF}(B_2)$ are:



(where \bar{i} means $-i$), and other 1-dimensional faces are obtained via symmetries of the hexagon and relabelling through the action of signed permutations.


There is also an explicit combinatorial model in the dihedral case. Building on the embedding $\Delta^{(m)}(I_2(k)) \subset \Delta^{(m)}(\mathfrak{S}_k)$, there is an embedding $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(I_2(k)) \subset \text{CPF}^{(m)}(\mathfrak{S}_k)$. Consider a facet in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(\mathfrak{S}_k)$, such that the underlying $(m + 2)$ -angulation is in $\Delta^{(m)}(I_2(k))$. The condition on the labels for this to be a facet in $\text{CPF}^{(m)}(I_2(k))$ is the following: by reading labels from one extremity to the other in the adjacency graph, the sequence has the form $i, i - 1, i + 1, i - 2, i + 2, \dots$ or $i, i + 1, i - 1, i + 2, i - 2, \dots$ (where $1 \leq i \leq k$ and labels are

taken modulo k). For example, a facet and its two adjacent vertices in $\text{CPF}^{(3)}(I_2(5))$ are:



The group $I_2(k)$ acts via the relabelling $i \mapsto i + j$ and $i \mapsto -i + j$ (where indices are taken modulo k , and $0 \leq j < k$).

We end this section by explicit examples when $m = 1$ in type A_1 and A_2 , using the combinatorial description as in the introduction. Counting faces will illustrate Proposition 3.8. The 0-dimensional complex CPF_2 contains 4 isolated vertices, namely:



So $\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}_2) = -1 + 4 = 3 = \#\text{PF}_2$.

The 1-dimensional complex CPF_3 is the graph in Figure 1. Its number of facets (edges) is $3! \times C_3 = 6 \times 5 = 30$. By Proposition 3.6 and the previous example about CPF_2 , the graph CPF_3 is 4-regular (the link of a vertex has Coxeter type A_1 , which is the only possibility for a rank 1 subtype of A_2). So CPF_3 has 15 vertices (half the number of edges). So $\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}_3) = -1 + 15 - 30 = -16 = -\#\text{PF}_3$. It turns out that this graph can be drawn on a torus: see Figure 1, where the red parallelogram encloses a fundamental domain (for readability, we did not write the labelled triangulations corresponding to each edge). The sides of this parallelogram are the vectors $(3, -3)$ and $(4, 1)$. If $\sigma = (23)$, it is easily seen that the fixed-point subcomplex CPF_3^σ contains 5 isolated vertices, namely those where a triangle is labelled 1 and a quadrangle is labelled 23. So, $\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}_3^\sigma) = -1 + 5 = 4$ (which is also the number of elements in PF_3 fixed by σ).

One can check that $\text{CPF}(I_2(m))$ is a 4-regular graph that can be drawn on a torus, just as in the case of CPF_3 . Here, the sides of the fundamental parallelogram for this torus are the vectors $(m, -m)$ and $(m + 1, 1)$.

4 Topology on noncrossing partitions

The *order ideal* generated by a subset $X \subset P$ in a poset P is:

$$\mathcal{I}(P, X) := \{y \in P : \exists x \in X, y \leq x\}.$$

It is considered as a poset itself, where the order is inherited from P . The goal of this section is to show that certain order ideals in $\bar{\text{NC}}$ (the proper part of NC) have the homotopy type of wedge of spheres, and this will be used in the next section.

Define the *inversion set* of $w \in W$ by:

$$\text{Inv}(w) := \{t \in T : \ell_S(wt) < \ell_S(w)\}$$

where ℓ_S is the Coxeter length (the reflection length ℓ could be denoted ℓ_T). It is well-known that inversions can be reformulated in terms of roots as follows:

$$\text{Inv}(w) = \{t_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi_+ \cap w^{-1}(\Phi_-)\}.$$




Figure 1: The graph CPF_3 , drawn on a torus.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $w \in W$. Assume $w \neq e$ (equivalently, $\text{Inv}(w) \neq \emptyset$), then we have:*

$$\Omega(\mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-2})^{\vee k_w},$$

where the integer k_w is:

$$k_w := \#\{F \in \Delta_{n-1}^+ : F \subset \text{Inv}(w)\}. \quad (20)$$

If w is the longest element of W , denoted w_\circ , we have $\text{Inv}(w_\circ) = T$ and the order ideal in the proposition is the whole poset $\bar{\text{NC}}$. Athanasiadis *et al.* [10] have proved via EL-shellability that $\Omega(\bar{\text{NC}}) \simeq (\mathcal{S}^2)^{\vee(\text{Cat}^+)}$, where $\text{Cat}^+ = \#\Delta_{n-1}^+$ is the number of facets in Δ^+ . Our statement is a generalization, and the proof is indeed inspired from [10] (and will take the rest of this section).

The proof heavily relies on the combinatorics and geometry of the positive cluster complex Δ^+ (which is $\Delta^{(1),+}(W)$ in earlier notation). A key property is the convexity of an inversion set, and to use it we need to describe the geometry of Δ^+ rather than seeing it as an abstract simplicial complex. Consider a vector ρ such that $\langle \alpha | \rho \rangle > 0$ for every positive root α , and define

$$\begin{aligned} H &:= \{v \in V : \langle v | \rho \rangle = 0\}, & H^1 &:= \{v \in V : \langle v | \rho \rangle = 1\}, \\ H^+ &:= \{v \in V : \langle v | \rho \rangle > 0\}, & H^- &:= \{v \in V : \langle v | \rho \rangle < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the choice of ρ , it is easily seen that $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\Pi) \cap H^1$ is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex in H^1 , and its vertices are the simple roots (up to a positive scalar factor).




Figure 2: The complex Δ^+ in type A_2 with a bipartite Coxeter element (left). Illustration of the poset $\Theta'(w)$ (right).

Lemma 4.2. *The simplices $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(f) \cap H^1$ for $f \in \Delta^+$ form a triangulation of the simplex $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\Pi) \cap H^1$, and this triangulation is combinatorially isomorphic to Δ^+ .*

Proof. The cones $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(f)$ form a simplicial fan, as a convex subset in the *cluster fan* (see [25]). Taking the intersection with the affine hyperplane thus gives a triangulation. \square

See for example the left part in Figure 2, in the case A_3 and a bipartite Coxeter element (where (i, j) is a reflection in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_4 , *i.e.*, a transposition). We can thus see the geometric realization of Δ^+ (or any of its faces) as a simplex in H^1 .

Define a subposet $\Theta(w)$ of (the face poset of) Δ^+ as:

$$\Theta(w) := \{f \in \Delta^+ : f \cap \text{Inv}(w) \neq \emptyset\},$$

and a subposet $\Theta'(w)$ of $\Theta(w)$ by removing elements of Δ_{n-1}^+ :

$$\Theta'(w) := \{f \in \Theta(w) : \dim(f) < n - 1\}.$$

In particular, if $\Theta(w) \cap \Delta_{n-1}^+ = \emptyset$ then $\Theta(w) = \Theta'(w)$.

For example, see the right part of Figure 2. Here, w is the permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_4$ with inversion set $\{(1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$ (namely, $\sigma = 2431$). The hyperplane $w^{-1}(H)$ is the red line, it separates the inversions of w (to its right) from its non-inversions (to its left). The poset $\Theta(w)$ contains the faces having a vertex in H^- (the half-plane to the right of the red line), this gives 4 vertices, 9 edges, and all 5 clusters. The poset $\Theta'(w)$ contains 4 vertices and 9 edges (thick edges in the picture). Note that these posets don't contain the empty face.

Though these posets are what we really use in the proof, to write precise arguments it is convenient to use the following two simplicial complexes:

$$\Xi(w) := \{f \in \Delta^+ : f \subset \text{Inv}(w)\}$$

is the full subcomplex of Δ^+ with vertex set $\text{Inv}(w)$, and:

$$\Xi'(w) := \{f \in \Xi(w) : \dim(f) < n - 1\}$$

is its $(n - 2)$ -skeleton. Note that the integer k_w defined in (20) is the number of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional faces of $\Xi(w)$. The complex $\Xi'(w)$ has 4 vertices and 4 edges (the four plain

thick edges in Figure 2), and the poset $\Theta'(w)$ has 5 more elements (the dashed edges). The complex $\Xi(w)$ contains one cluster (the one entirely to the right of the red line), and there are 4 clusters that are in $\Theta(w)$ but not in $\Xi(w)$ (those through which goes the red line).

Geometrically, we can see $\Theta(w)$ as the *open star* of $\Xi(w)$ inside Δ^+ (the open star is usually defined only for a face rather than a subcomplex, see [28]). Similarly, $\Theta'(w)$ is the open star of $\Xi'(w)$ inside the $(n-2)$ -skeleton of Δ^+ .

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\Omega(\Theta(w)) \simeq \Xi(w)$, and $\Omega(\Theta'(w)) \simeq \Xi'(w)$.*

Proof. Essentially, any subcomplex of a complex is a deformation retract of its open star, and in particular a subcomplex and its open star are homotopy equivalent. However, we did not formally define the geometric realization of open stars, so let us also give a more formal poset-theoretic proof.

Suppose that we have two posets P_1 and P_2 , and two poset maps $f, g : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ such that $f(p) \leq g(p)$ for all $p \in P_1$. It is shown by Quillen [31] that the maps $\Omega(P_1) \rightarrow \Omega(P_2)$ induced by f and g are then homotopy equivalent. Here, we consider the map $\phi : \Theta(w) \rightarrow \Xi(w)$ defined by

$$f \mapsto f \cap \text{Inv}(w).$$

The image of ϕ is the poset of nonempty faces of $\Xi(w)$. We also consider the map ψ defined as the inclusion of $\text{im}(\phi)$ in $\Theta(w)$. We have $(\phi \circ \psi)(p) = p$ for all $p \in \text{im}(\phi)$, and $(\psi \circ \phi)(p) \leq p$ for all $p \in \Theta(w)$. By the result of Quillen mentioned above, the map induced by ϕ and ψ on the order complexes are homotopy equivalences inverse to each other. This proves the result. The statement about $\Theta'(w)$ and $\Xi'(w)$ is similar. \square

Lemma 4.4. *The complex $\Omega(\Theta(w))$ and $\Xi(w)$ are topologically trivial.*

Proof. Consider $X := w^{-1}(H^-) \cap |\Delta^+| \subset H^1$. In the example of Figure 2, it is the part of the gray triangle that lies to the right of the red line. It is convex as an intersection of two convex subsets in H^1 (a half-space and a simplex), so it is contractible. The geometric realization of a vertex $t \in \Delta_1^+$ (as a simplex in H^1) lies in X if and only if $t \in \text{Inv}(w)$, by the characterization of inversions in terms of roots.

We build a deformation retract from X to $|\Xi(w)|$ as follows. Let $v \in X$, and $f \in \Theta(w)$ be such that $v \in |f|$. There is a unique decomposition $v = (1-\lambda)v^+ + \lambda v^-$ where $v^+ \in |f \cap w^{-1}(H^+)|$, $v^- \in |f \cap w^{-1}(H^-)|$, and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. More concretely, we write v as a convex combination of the vertices of f , then keep the vertices that correspond to inversions of w (respectively, non-inversions of w) to get v_- (respectively, v_+). This is done with the convention that if $v \in |\Xi(w)|$, v^+ doesn't exist and $v = v^-$.

Now, the deformation retract $H : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow |\Xi(w)|$ is defined as follows:

$$H(t, v) := (1-t)v + tv^-.$$

The map $v \mapsto v^-$ is affine on each simplex $|f|$ for $f \in \Theta(w)$, and in particular continuous. It follows that H is affine (hence continuous) on each subspace $[0, 1] \times |f|$. Clearly, we have $H(0, v) = v$, $H(1, v) = v^- \in |\Xi(w)|$, and $H(t, v) = v$ if $v \in |\Xi(w)|$. As a deformation retract is a homotopy equivalence, $\Xi(w)$ is topologically trivial. By the previous lemma, $\Omega(\Theta(w))$ is as well. \square

Lemma 4.5. *We have $\Omega(\Theta'(w)) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-2})^{\vee k_w}$.*

Proof. We prove $\Xi'(w) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-2})^{\vee k_w}$, and the result will follow by Lemma 4.3. The idea is to note that $\Xi(w)$ is topologically trivial (by the previous lemma) and $\Xi'(w)$ is obtained by removing k_w $(n-1)$ -dimensional facets.

It is straightforward to describe the chain complex of $\Xi'(w)$ in terms of that of $\Xi(w)$, and we get that $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\Xi'(w))$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{k_w} and all other homology groups vanish. If $n > 3$, $\Xi'(w)$ is simply-connected because the fundamental group of a complex only depends on its 2-skeleton (and $\Xi(w)$ is simply-connected). We can use Proposition 2.1 to complete the proof. \square

To prove the last lemma of this series, we use the complex $\Delta^+(\pi)$ for $\pi \in \text{NC}$ (the case $m = 1$ of the complex $\Delta^{(m),+}(\pi)$ defined in Proposition 2.21). When $\ell(\pi) > 0$, the geometric realization of $\Delta^+(\pi)$ is a $(\ell(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional ball and in particular this complex is topologically trivial. See [16, Corollary 7.7]. Another way to see this is to identify $\Delta^+(\pi)$ with the positive cluster complex associated to the parabolic subgroup W_π (but a technical point is that we need a definition of Δ^+ for each standard Coxeter element, not just the bipartite Coxeter element, see for example [15]).

Lemma 4.6. *We have:*

$$\Omega(\Theta'(w)) \simeq \Omega(\mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))).$$

Proof. Define a poset map

$$\rho : \Theta'(w) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))$$

by $\rho(f) = \prod f$. Our goal is to apply Quillen fiber lemma [31, Proposition 1.6] to get the result.

Let us first check that the image of ρ is indeed in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))$. First note that by definition of $\Theta'(w)$, its elements have cardinality between 1 and $n-1$, so that $f \in \Theta'(w)$ implies $\prod f \in \bar{\text{NC}}$. Next, $f \in \Theta'(w)$ means that $f \in \Delta^+$ contains a vertex $t \in \text{Inv}(w)$. So, $\prod f \leq t$ and it follows $\prod f \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))$.

Now, for $\pi \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))$, consider its fiber:

$$\pi \setminus \rho := \{f \in \Theta'(w) : \prod f \geq \pi\}.$$

It is a subset of $\Delta^+(\pi^\kappa)$, because the condition $\prod f \geq \pi$ is equivalent to $\underline{f} \leq \pi^\kappa$. By definition of $\Theta'(w)$, we also have:

$$\pi \setminus \rho = \{f \in \Delta^+(\pi^\kappa) : f \cap \text{Inv}(w) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \dim(f) < n-1\}. \quad (21)$$

Note that the condition $\dim(f) < n-1$ can be removed: if $\dim(f) = n-1$ for $f \in \Delta^+$, we have $\prod f = c \not\leq \pi^\kappa$ (since $\pi \in \bar{\text{NC}}$). From (21), we can identify the fiber $\pi \setminus \rho$ with a poset $\Theta'(v)$, where:

- Instead of Δ^+ , the poset is defined relatively to $\Delta^+(\pi^\kappa)$ (the complex Δ^+ associated to the parabolic subgroup W_{π^κ}),
- $v \in W_{\pi^\kappa}$ is defined by the condition $\text{Inv}(v) = \text{Inv}(w) \cap W_\pi$.

It follows from Lemma 4.4 that $\pi \setminus \rho \simeq \bullet$.

Via Quillen's fiber lemma [31, Proposition 1.6], ρ induces an homotopy equivalence of the order complexes of $\Theta'(w)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w))$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. This is an immediate consequence of the previous two lemmas. \square

5 Topology of the parking function poset

In this section, we describe the homotopy type of $\Omega(\overline{\text{PF}})$ as a wedge of spheres. Note that the type A case has been obtained in [14, Section 2], with a rather intricate method leading to shellability of the poset.

We use here a mixture of different methods (and we don't prove that $\overline{\text{PF}}$ is shellable). To begin, the next two lemmas give an inductive tool to show that a complex has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $d \geq 1$. Suppose that a d -dimensional simplicial complex X is a union of two d -dimensional subcomplexes $A \cup B$, where for some $k, \ell \geq 0$ we have:*

- $A \simeq (\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee k}$,
- B is topologically trivial,
- $A \cap B \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{\vee \ell}$ (where the intersection is taken in the geometric realization of X).

Then we have $X \simeq (\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee(k+\ell)}$.

Proof. We use the Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence in homology (in the framework of simplicial complexes, see [28]). It gives that $\tilde{H}_d(X)$ is an extension of $\tilde{H}_d(A) \oplus \tilde{H}_d(B) = \tilde{H}_d(A) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$ by $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(A \cap B) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism $\tilde{H}_d(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k+\ell}$. Moreover, the other reduced homology groups vanish.

If $d = 1$, the result is clear because any 1-dimensional complex is a wedge of \mathbb{S}^1 . Now assume $d \geq 2$, then a straightforward application of the (simplicial) Van Kampen theorem shows that X is simply connected. Since moreover X has a unique nonzero homology group which is a free abelian group, this determines its homotopy type as being a wedge of spheres via Proposition 2.1. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that a d -dimensional complex X is a union of d -dimensional subcomplexes $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_m$, where:*

- $\forall i \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, A_i is topologically trivial,
- $\forall i \in \llbracket 2, m \rrbracket$, $\exists k_i \geq 0$, such that $(\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j) \cap A_i \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{\vee k_i}$.

Then $X \simeq (\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee k}$ with $k = \sum_{i=2}^m k_i$.

Proof. By iterating the previous lemma, an immediate induction on i shows that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^i A_j \simeq (\mathbb{S}^d)^{\vee \ell_i}$$

where $\ell_i = \sum_{j=2}^i k_j$. We then take $i = m$. \square

Note that the previous lemma encompasses the notion of shellability (which, corresponds to the case where each A_i is a d -simplex and each $(\cup_{j=1}^i A_j) \cap A_{i+1}$ a nonempty union of $(d-1)$ -simplices).

The general idea is to apply the previous lemma to $\Omega(\overline{\text{PF}})$, by taking each A_i to be of the form $\Omega(\mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{PF}}, \{\mu\}))$ where μ is a maximal element of PF . It remains to find an

appropriate total order on the maximal elements of PF (we will see below that we can take any linear extension of the Bruhat order), and understand the topology of the intersections of subcomplexes as in the second assumption of Lemma 5.2. A couple of lemmas will help dealing with the latter point.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $w'W_{\pi'}, wW_{\pi} \in \text{PF}$ such that $w'W_{\pi'} \leq wW_{\pi}$. Assume that w' and w are minimal length coset representatives. Then $w' \leq w$ in the Bruhat order on W , and*

$$w' = w \iff \pi' \notin \mathcal{I}(\text{NC}, \text{Inv}(w)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, the relation $w'W_{\pi'} \leq wW_{\pi}$ implies $\pi' \leq \pi$. We deduce $W_{\pi} \subset W_{\pi'}$.

By definition, the relation $w'W_{\pi'} \leq wW_{\pi}$ means that we have the inclusion $wW_{\pi} \subset w'W_{\pi'}$. Multiplying on the right by $W_{\pi'}$ (and using $W_{\pi} \subset W_{\pi'}$), we get $wW_{\pi'} = w'W_{\pi'}$. Since w' is a minimal length representative in $W/W_{\pi'}$, we get $w' \leq w$.

The case of equality means that w is also a minimal-length representative in $W/W_{\pi'}$. This is also equivalent to $\text{Inv}(w) \cap W_{\pi'} = \emptyset$. Since

$$T \cap W_{\pi'} = \{t \in T : \pi' \leq t\},$$

the condition $\text{Inv}(w) \cap W_{\pi'} = \emptyset$ is also equivalent to

$$\text{Inv}(w) \cap \{t \in T : \pi' \leq t\} = \emptyset,$$

i.e., $\pi' \notin \mathcal{I}(\text{NC}, \text{Inv}(w))$. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let w_1, w_2, \dots be a linear extension of the Bruhat order on W . For all $i > 1$, the map $w_iW_{\pi} \mapsto \pi$ realizes an isomorphism of poset:*

$$\mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{PF}}, \{\{w_1\}, \dots, \{w_{i-1}\}\}) \cap \mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{PF}}, \{\{w_i\}\}) \simeq \mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w_i)).$$

Proof. First note that $w_iW_{\pi} \mapsto \pi$ is a bijective poset map from $\mathcal{I}(\text{PF}, \{\{w_i\}\})$ to NC, see Lemma 2.5. By removing the bottom element, it also gives a bijective poset map from $\mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{PF}}, \{\{w_i\}\})$ to $\overline{\text{NC}} \cup \{e\}$. To show that a further restriction gives the announced isomorphism, it remains to show that for all $\pi \in \overline{\text{NC}}$, we have:

$$w_iW_{\pi} \in \mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{PF}}, \{\{w_1\}, \dots, \{w_{i-1}\}\}) \iff \pi \in \mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{NC}}, \text{Inv}(w_i)). \quad (22)$$

for any $\pi \in \overline{\text{NC}}$. To do that, consider w' defined as the minimal-length representative in w_iW_{π} , so that $w' \leq w_i$ in the Bruhat order and $w'W_{\pi} = w_iW_{\pi}$. Because w_1, w_2, \dots is a linear extension of the Bruhat order, we have $w' = w_j$ for some $j \leq i$.

By Lemma 5.3, used on the relation $w_jW_{\pi} \leq \{w_i\}$, we obtain that the condition on the right-hand side of (22) is equivalent to $w_j \neq w_i$, *i.e.*, $j < i$.

On the other side, the condition on the left-hand side of (22) means that there exists $k < i$ such that $w_iW_{\pi} \leq \{w_k\}$ holds in PF, *i.e.*, $w_k \in w_iW_{\pi}$. This is equivalent to w_i not being the minimal-length representative in w_iW_{π} , *i.e.*, $j < i$. So, both sides of (22) are indeed equivalent. □

Theorem 5.5. *We have:*

$$\Omega(\overline{\text{PF}}) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{\vee(h-1)^n}.$$

Moreover, we have $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\overline{\text{PF}}) = \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}'$.

Proof. Let w_1, w_2, \dots be a linear extension of the Bruhat order on W as in the previous lemma. We apply Lemma 5.2 with $X = \Omega(\overline{\text{PF}})$ and $A_i = \Omega(\mathcal{I}(\overline{\text{PF}}, \{w_i\}))$. Note that A_i is topologically trivial because $\{w_i\}$ is a cone point, so the first condition in Lemma 5.2 holds. By Lemma 5.4 together Proposition 4.1, the second condition in Lemma 5.2 holds as well. The outcome of Lemma 5.2 is that $\Omega(\overline{\text{PF}}) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{\vee \ell}$ with $\ell = \sum_{w \in W} k_w$. The expression $\ell = (h-1)^n$ will follow from the second part of the theorem, since $\dim(\mathbf{Park}') = (h-1)^n$. (See Section 8 for more about the equality $\sum_{w \in W} k_w = (h-1)^n$.)

Since that $\Omega(\overline{\text{PF}})$ is a wedge of $(n-1)$ -dimensional spheres, it has nonzero homology only in degree $n-1$, so that $\tilde{\chi}(\overline{\text{PF}}) = (-1)^{n-1} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\overline{\text{PF}})$. By Equation (9), we have the relation $\tilde{\chi}(\overline{\text{PF}}) = -\zeta(\text{PF}, -1)$ so that $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\overline{\text{PF}}) = (-1)^n \zeta(\text{PF}, -1)$. Using the formula in Proposition 2.11 for $\zeta(\text{PF}, m)$, the evaluation of $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\overline{\text{PF}})$ at $w \in W$ is thus:

$$(-1)^n (-h+1)^{n-\ell(w)} = (-1)^{\ell(w)} (h-1)^{n-\ell(w)},$$

and the two factors in the latter expression are precisely the character evaluations $\mathbf{Sign}(w)$ and $\mathbf{Park}'(w)$. \square

6 The topology of cluster parking functions

The results in this section are consequences of Theorem 5.5, using the notion of Cohen-Macaulay posets and an associated fiber poset theorem. We refer to Quillen [31] concerning this material.

Definition 6.1 (Quillen [31]). Let P be a ranked poset. Every $x, y \in \hat{P}$ such that $x < y$ define an open interval $(x, y) := \{z \in P : x < z < y\}$ as a subposet of P (or \hat{P}). We say that P is *Cohen-Macaulay* if any nonempty open interval (x, y) satisfies

$$\Omega((x, y)) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{\text{rk}(y) - \text{rk}(x) - 2})^{\vee k} \tag{23}$$

for some $k \geq 0$.

There exist other definitions of Cohen-Macaulay posets in the literature (see [36]). A common one has the weaker assumption that $\tilde{H}_i((x, y)) = 0$ for $i < \text{rk}(y) - \text{rk}(x) - 2$ instead of Equation (23). To get the homotopy type of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ as a wedge of $n-1$ -dimensional spheres (which is slightly stronger than the homology vanishing in dimension $< n-1$), it is convenient to use Quillen's definition.

Proposition 6.2. *The poset PF is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \hat{\text{PF}}$ such that $x < y$. To check that Equation (23) holds, we first consider the case $y \in \text{PF}$. By Lemma 2.5, the open interval (x, y) is isomorphic to an open interval in NC, so Equation (23) holds because NC is a shellable poset (see [9]).

Now, consider the case where y is the extra top element and x the bottom element. The open interval (x, y) is $\overline{\text{PF}}$, so that Equation (23) holds by Theorem 5.5.

In the remaining case, y is the extra top element and x is an arbitrary element of PF . By Lemma 2.6, the half-open interval $[x, y) := \{z \in \text{PF} : x \leq z < y\}$ is isomorphic to a product $\text{PF}(W_1) \times \text{PF}(W_2) \times \dots$. Via an induction hypothesis, we can deduce that $[x, y)$ is Cohen-Macaulay as a product of Cohen-Macaulay posets, each of them having a bottom element. In particular, Equation (23) holds. \square

Remark 6.3. The result about products of posets in the previous paragraph is [36, Exercise 5.1.6] for the homology version of Cohen-Macaulay posets. Let us outline the proof for Quillen's definition. Let P_1 and P_2 be two ranked posets with minimal elements 0_1 and 0_2 (respectively). Then, by a result of Quillen [31, Proposition 1.9], we have

$$\Omega((P_1 \times P_2) \setminus (0_1, 0_2)) \simeq \Omega(P_1 \setminus \{0_1\}) \star \Omega(P_2 \setminus \{0_2\})$$

where \star is the join operation (see [27, Chapter 0]). Now, if $\Omega(P_i \setminus \{0_i\}) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{d_i-1})^{\vee k_i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we deduce $\Omega((P_1 \times P_2) \setminus (0_1, 0_2)) \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{d_1+d_2-1})^{\vee(k_1 k_2)}$. This follows from the facts that: i) the join is distributive over wedge sums, ii) $\mathbb{S}^{d_1-1} \star \mathbb{S}^{d_2-1} = \mathbb{S}^{d_1+d_2-1}$. This argument can be generalized to any finite number of factors, and using it on the product $\text{PF}(W_1) \times \text{PF}(W_2) \times \dots$ shows that Equation (23) holds in the last case of the previous proof.

Proposition 6.4. *The posets $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Consider the projection $\rho : \text{CPF}^{(m)} \rightarrow \text{PF}$ defined by:

$$(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \mapsto wW_{\underline{f}}.$$

It clearly preserves the order relations and rank functions. Our goal is to apply Quillen's fiber poset theorem [31, Theorem 9.1]. First, we know that PF is a Cohen-Macaulay poset by Proposition 6.2. It remains to check that each fiber

$$\rho/(wW_{\pi}) := \rho^{-1}(\mathcal{I}(\text{PF}, \{wW_{\pi}\}))$$

is a Cohen-Macaulay poset, for $wW_{\pi} \in \text{PF}$.

Explicitly, the fiber is

$$\rho/(wW_{\pi}) = \{(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \in \text{CPF}^{(m)} : \underline{f} \leq \pi\}.$$

Since the projection $(wW_{\underline{f}}, f) \mapsto f$ is clearly bijective, we have an isomorphism of posets:

$$\rho/(wW_{\pi}) \simeq \{f \in \Delta^{(m)} : \underline{f} \leq \pi\}. \quad (24)$$

By Proposition 2.21, the right-hand side (as a simplicial complex) is purely $(\text{rk}(\pi) - 1)$ -dimensional and shellable. As shellability implies that a poset is Cohen-Macaulay, the fibers are indeed Cohen-Macaulay posets. We can thus use Quillen's fiber theorem as announced.

The statement about $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ is similar, except that the fibers are subcomplexes of $\Delta^{(m),+}$. \square

We eventually arrive at our main result:

Theorem 6.5. *We have:*

$$\text{CPF}^{(m)} \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{\vee(mh+1)^n}, \quad \text{CPF}^{(m),+} \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{\vee(mh-1)^n},$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}) = \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}_m, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m),+}) = \mathbf{Sign} \otimes \mathbf{Park}'_m. \quad (25)$$

Proof. Since $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and purely $(n-1)$ -dimensional, we have $\text{CPF}^{(m)} \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{\vee k}$ for some $k \geq 0$. The exact value of k follows from the second part of the corollary, since $\dim(\mathbf{Park}_m) = mh + 1$.

Since $\text{CPF}^{(m)} \simeq (\mathbb{S}^{n-1})^{\vee k}$, its nontrivial homology is in degree $n-1$ so that $\tilde{\chi}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}) = (-1)^{n-1} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m)})$. So, Equation (25) follows from Proposition 3.8.

The statements about $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are proved similarly. \square

7 f -vectors and h -vectors for cluster parking functions

In this section we present some numerical results for the face counts of many of the simplicial complexes we have seen so far. Most of the results will follow from similar known theorems on the posets PF, NC, and the cluster complex, but the particularly nice expressions for the h -vectors in Theorem 7.2 suggest the existence of nice combinatorial shellings (see also Section 9) for the complexes $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$.

Recall that the f -vector (*face vector*) of an abstract $(d - 1)$ -dimensional complex Γ is the tuple $f(\Gamma) := (f_{-1}, f_0, \dots, f_{d-1})$ with f_i the number of faces of Γ that have dimension i and $f_{-1} = 1$. When Γ is simplicial, its face count is often encoded via the related h -vector $h(\Gamma) = (h_0, \dots, h_d)$, a transformation of the f -vector defined as follows. Define the f -polynomial and h -polynomial by

$$f(\Gamma; z) := \sum_{i=-1}^{d-1} f_i z^{i+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h(\Gamma; z) := \sum_{i=1}^d h_i z^i,$$

then the relation is

$$h(\Gamma; z) = (1 - z)^d f(\Gamma; \frac{z}{1-z}).$$

The h -polynomial of a simplicial complex Γ always satisfies $h(\Gamma; 1) = f_{d-1}$ and can be in certain cases (for instance when Γ is shellable) interpreted via a statistic on the facets of Γ . But even the weaker property that Γ is Cohen-Macaulay (which is true in our cases), guarantees that its h -vector is non-negative and its leading entry h_d is equal to the dimension of its non-trivial homology (see for example [34, Ch. II]). In this context, we view Theorem 7.2 below as a refinement of the numerical part of Theorem 6.5 (see more details in Remark 7.3).

We need first to fix some terminology from the theory of reflection arrangements. For a general hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{K} in some real space V we will denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ its *intersection lattice* and we will call its elements $X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ *flats* (they are arbitrary intersections of the hyperplanes of \mathcal{K}). The *characteristic polynomial* $\chi(\mathcal{K}, t) := \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}} \mu(V, X) t^{\dim(X)}$ records the Möbius function in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and encodes many combinatorial invariants of \mathcal{K} ; for instance by Zaslavsky's theorem the quantity $r(\mathcal{K}) := (-1)^{\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}})} \cdot \chi(\mathcal{K}, -1)$ counts the number of regions determined by the arrangement \mathcal{K} .

For any flat $X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ we can define two new hyperplane arrangements, the *localization* $\mathcal{K}_X := \{H \in \mathcal{K} \mid H \supseteq X\}$ which consists of all hyperplanes that contain X and the *restriction* \mathcal{K}^X , which is an arrangement with ambient space X defined as the collection of top dimensional flats properly contained in X . More formally, we have $\mathcal{K}^X := \{H \cap X \mid H \in \mathcal{K}, H \not\supseteq X\}$. When \mathcal{A} is the arrangement of hyperplanes of a reflection group W , the characteristic polynomials of all its localizations and restrictions factor with non-negative integer roots. When W is irreducible, for any flat $X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we have that

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}^X, t) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (t - b_i^X),$$

where the b_i^X are positive integers known as the *Orlik-Solomon (OS) exponents* of the flat X . The group W acts on the intersection lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the OS exponents depend only on the orbit $[X] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}/W$. In the case of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n and for any flat X , the OS exponents are just the numbers $(b_i^X) = (1, 2, \dots, \dim(X))$.

Proposition 7.1. *For a finite and irreducible real reflection group W with reflection arrangement \mathcal{A} and intersection lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the f -polynomials of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are:*

$$f(\text{CPF}^{(m)}; z) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 + b_i^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$f(\text{CPF}^{(m),+}; z) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh - 1 + b_i^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)},$$

where b_i^X are the OS exponents for the flat X .

Proof. As we mentioned earlier, in our previous work [19] we gave product formulas for the number of faces in $\Delta^{(m)}$ and $\Delta^{(m),+}$ that refined the corresponding f -vectors. In particular, we showed [19, Corol. 7.3] that the number of faces $f \in \Delta^{(m)}$ (respectively $f \in \Delta^{(m),+}$) such that $W_{\underline{f}}$ is conjugate to a given parabolic subgroup W_X is equal to

$$\frac{1}{[N(X) : W_X]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 + b_i^X) \quad \text{respectively} \quad \frac{1}{[N(X) : W_X]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh - 1 + b_i^X),$$

where b_i^X are the OS exponents of the flat X and $N(X)$ and W_X its setwise and pointwise stabilizers.

By construction, the fiber of the projection $\text{CPF}^{(m)} \rightarrow \Delta^{(m)}$ over a face f such that $W_{\underline{f}} \sim W_X$ has precisely $[W : W_X]$ elements, all of which have dimension equal to $\dim(X) - 1$. Therefore, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{CPF}^{(m)}; z) &= \sum_{[X] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}/W} \left(\frac{[W : W_X]}{[N(X) : W_X]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 + b_i^X) \right) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} \\ &= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 + b_i^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

since there are exactly $[W : N(X)]$ -many flats X in the orbit $[X] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}/W$. The case of $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ is analogous and this completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to present the main result of this section, computing the h -polynomials of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$. Recall that in a real reflection group W with set of simple generators S , some $s \in S$ is a (left) *descent* for an element $w \in W$ if $\ell_S(sw) < \ell_S(w)$ for the Coxeter length ℓ_S . The descent polynomial of W , denoted $\text{Des}(W; z)$ is the generating function of the elements $w \in W$ with respect to their number of descents.

Theorem 7.2. *For a finite and irreducible real reflection group W with reflection arrangement \mathcal{A} and intersection lattice $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the h -polynomials of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are given via*

$$h(\text{CPF}^{(m)}; z) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 - b_i^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} \cdot \text{Des}(W_X; z) \quad \text{and}$$

$$h(\text{CPF}^{(m),+}; z) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh - 1 - b_i^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} \cdot \text{Des}(W_X; z),$$

where b_i^X are the OS exponents for the flat X and $\text{Des}(W_X; z)$ is the descent polynomial of W_X .

Proof. After Proposition 7.1 and via the f - to h -vector transformation, we can write the h -polynomial of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ as

$$h(\text{CPF}^{(m)}; z) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 + b_i^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} (1 - z)^{\text{codim}(X)}. \quad (26)$$

We will expand the factor $\prod (mh + 1 + b_i^X)$ by using Kung's identity (as in [2, eq. (3.2)]) below, which relates the characteristic polynomials of all restrictions and localizations of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{K} (here s and t are arbitrary parameters):

$$\chi(\mathcal{K}, st) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}} \chi(\mathcal{K}_Y, s) \cdot \chi(\mathcal{K}^Y, t). \quad (27)$$

Indeed, applying Kung's identity (27) on the restricted reflection arrangement \mathcal{A}^X with the values $s = -1$ and $t = mh + 1$, and after Zaslavsky's theorem, gives

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 + b_i^X) = \sum_{Y \subseteq X} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(Y)} (mh + 1 - b_i^Y) \cdot r(\mathcal{A}_Y^X), \quad (28)$$

where recall that $r(\mathcal{A}_Y^X)$ denotes the number of regions of the arrangement \mathcal{A}_Y^X . Combining equations (28) and (26) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(\text{CPF}^{(m)}; z) &= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} z^{\dim(X)} (1 - z)^{\text{codim}(X)} \cdot \sum_{Y \subseteq X} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(Y)} (mh + 1 - b_i^Y) \cdot r(\mathcal{A}_Y^X), \\ &= \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 - b_i^Y) \cdot \sum_{X \supseteq Y} r(\mathcal{A}_Y^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} (1 - z)^{\text{codim}(X)} \\ &= \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 - b_i^Y) \cdot z^{\dim(Y)} \cdot \text{Des}(W_Y; z). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equation above, notice that the f -vector of the Coxeter complex of W_Y is given by $\sum_{X \supseteq Y} r(\mathcal{A}_Y^X) \cdot z^{\dim(X) - \dim(Y)}$ so that the equation is just the f - to h -transformation for the Coxeter complex of W_Y (we are also using the known facts –see for example [35, §1.2]– that the h -polynomial of the complex is symmetric and equals the generating function for the descent statistic of W_Y). The argument for $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ is the same; the proof is complete. \square

Remark 7.3. Notice that Theorem 7.2 explicitly shows that the h -vectors of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are non-negative –a fact guaranteed by the Cohen-Macaulay property– since for any flat X , we have $h \geq b_i^X$ for all i .

To see that it also generalizes the enumerological part of Theorem 6.5, we need only calculate the top entries h_n of the h -vectors and show that they agree with the dimension of the non-trivial homology of the complex –again as expected by the Cohen-Macaulay property.

For the case of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$, notice that by Theorem 7.2 we have (since the descent polynomials are monic and of degree equal to the rank of the group)

$$h_n = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 - b_i^X) = (mh + 1)^n,$$

where the second equality comes from the well known identity of hyperplane arrangements $t^{\text{rank}(\mathcal{K})} = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}} \chi(\mathcal{K}^X, t)$ applied on the arrangement \mathcal{A}^X and after setting $t = mh + 1$. The case of $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ is analogous.

Remark 7.4 (Equivariant h -vector). There is a version of Theorem 7.2 which generalizes the representation theoretic Equation (25) of Theorem 6.5 and which we briefly describe here.

When there is a group action on the faces of a simplicial complex Γ , its f -vector can naturally be replaced by a tuple of permutation representations $\mathbf{f}(\Gamma) := (\mathbf{f}_{-1}, \mathbf{f}_0, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{d-1})$ and then one can define an equivariant h -vector as a virtual character following the f - to h -transformation recipe (as in [33] or see also the exposition in [1, §2.4]). The generalization of Theorem 7.2 is given in terms of an equivariant version of the descent polynomial of a real reflection group (W, S) coming from Solomon's descent algebra and defined as

$$\mathbf{Des}(W; z) := \sum_{I \subseteq S} \beta_I z^{|I|}, \quad \text{where } \beta_I := \sum_{J \supseteq S \setminus I} (-1)^{|S| - |I| - |J|} \uparrow_{\langle J \rangle}^W \text{triv}.$$

Here the β_I are the homology representations of W on the top homology of the I -selected subcomplex of the Coxeter complex (as in [12, §6]) and are also known [30, §6] as ribbon representations because in the case of the symmetric group S_n they correspond to skew Schur characters indexed by ribbon shapes.

Then, with essentially the same proof as in Theorem 7.2 one can show that

$$\mathbf{h}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}; z) = \sum_{[X] \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}/W} \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} (mh + 1 - b_i^X)}{[N(X) : W_X]} \right) \cdot z^{\dim(X)} \cdot \uparrow_{W_X}^W \mathbf{Des}(W_X; z), \quad (29)$$

and similarly for $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$. Notice that in $\mathbf{Des}(W_X; z)$ the highest degree coefficient is always the sign representation, so that equation (25) can be deduced from (29) after the usual decomposition of the parking space into parabolic cosets.

In the case of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , the h -polynomial of CPF_n has appeared before in a different context. Archer et al. [3] introduced quasi-Stirling permutations as a generalization of the Stirling permutations of Gessel and Stanley. The *quasi-Stirling polynomial* $\overline{Q}_n(z)$ is the generating function for quasi-Stirling permutations of length $2n$ with respect to their number of descents. Elizalde [22, Thm. 2.5] gave the following formula for $\overline{Q}_n(z)$:

$$\overline{Q}_n(z) = (1 - z)^{2n+1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{i^n}{n+1} \binom{n+i}{i} z^i. \quad (30)$$

Proposition 7.5. *The h -vector of CPF_n and the quasi-Stirling polynomial $\overline{Q}_n(z)$ satisfy*

$$\overline{Q}_n(z) = z \cdot h(\text{CPF}_n; z).$$

Proof. In the case of the symmetric groups $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $m = 1$, Equation (26) from the proof of Theorem 7.2 can be rewritten as

$$\frac{z \cdot h(\text{CPF}_n; z)}{(1-z)^{2n+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^n S_2(n, k) \cdot (n+2) \cdots (n+k) \cdot z^k (1-z)^{-1-n-k},$$

where $S_2(n, k)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind (since flats of the reflection arrangement of \mathfrak{S}_n correspond to set partitions and since $b_i^X = i$ in S_n). To compare with equation (30) we compute the coefficient of z^i in the expression above; it equals

$$\sum_{j=1}^i S_2(n, j) \cdot (n+2) \cdots (n+j) \cdot \binom{n+i}{i-j} = \sum_{j=1}^i S_2(n, j) \cdot \frac{i!}{(i-j)!} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n+i}{i},$$

which completes the proof after the standard identity $\sum_{j=1}^i S_2(n, j) \cdot i!/(i-j)! = i^n$. \square

On a different direction we may compare CPF and PF. Let us begin with a result from [14] (in the case of the symmetric group). The *Whitney numbers of the first kind* of PF are defined by

$$w_i(\text{PF}) = \sum_{wW_\pi \in \text{PF}, \text{rk}(wW_\pi)=i} \mu_{\text{PF}}(wW_\pi)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Here, μ_{PF} is the Möbius function of PF.

Proposition 7.6. *We have $f_i(\text{CPF}^+) = (-1)^i w_i(\text{PF})$.*

Proof. This is exactly the same proof as [14, Proposition 5.7]. By Lemma 2.5, the interval $[W, wW_\pi]$ in PF is isomorphic to the interval $[c, \pi]$ in NC, so that $\mu_{\text{PF}}(wW_\pi) = \mu_{\text{NC}}(\pi)$. This number $\mu_{\text{NC}}(\pi)$ is also the number of $f \in \Delta^+$ such that $\underline{f} = \pi$. (This follows from two well-known interpretations of Cat^+ : either the Möbius number of NC or the number of facets of Δ^+ .) We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^i w_i(\text{PF}) &= \sum_{wW_\pi \in \text{PF}, \text{rk}(wW_\pi)=i} (-1)^i \mu_{\text{NC}}(\pi) \\ &= \sum_{wW_\pi \in \text{PF}, \text{rk}(wW_\pi)=i} \#\{f \in \Delta^+ : \underline{f} = \pi\} = f_i(\text{CPF}^+). \end{aligned}$$

\square

8 New combinatorial objects equinumerous with parking functions

Recall that we defined, for each $w \in W$:

$$k_w := \#\{f \in \Delta_{n-1}^+ : f \subset \text{Inv}(w)\}. \quad (31)$$

By a double counting of the number of spheres in the homotopy type of the parking function poset PF, we got the identity

$$\sum_{w \in W} k_w = (h-1)^n \quad (32)$$

(see the proof of Theorem 5.5). We investigate here some enumerative consequences of this identity. Through this section, we use the inversion set defined as a set of positive roots: for $w \in W$,

$$\text{Inv}(w) := w^{-1}(\Phi_-) \cap \Phi_+.$$

Definition 8.1. Let LC denote the set of W -labelled clusters, defined by:

$$\text{LC} := \{(f, w) \in \Delta_{n-1} \times W : f \cap \text{Inv}(w) = \emptyset\}.$$

Moreover, let $\text{LC}^+ := \text{LC} \cap (\Delta_{n-1}^+ \times W)$ denote the set of W -labelled positive clusters.

The term “labelled cluster” occasionally appears in the cluster algebra literature, as the cluster in a labelled seed. It is thus better that the terminology refers to the group W .


Let us describe explicitly the type A_{n-1} (i.e., \mathfrak{S}_n -labelled clusters) in the case of the linear Coxeter element $c = (2, 3, \dots, n-1, n, 1)$. It is convenient to represent a type A_{n-1} cluster f as follows: draw n dots on an horizontal line, draw an arch above the line from the i th dot to the j th dot if f contains the positive root $e_i - e_j$ where $i < j$. This representation can be characterized by the following properties:

- the arches are noncrossing and alternating, i.e., there is no two arches (i, j) and (k, l) such that $i < k \leq j < l$,
- each connected component defined by the arches is an interval of consecutive dots.

Such a system of arches is, for example,



Now, a \mathfrak{S}_n -labelled cluster is obtained by labelling the n dots with integers in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, in such a way that for each arch, the label at its left endpoint is smaller than the label at its right endpoint. For example, the 16 \mathfrak{S}_3 -labelled clusters are:



Proposition 8.2. We have $\#\text{LC} = (h+1)^n$, and $\#\text{LC}^+ = (h-1)^n$.

Proof. We begin with positive W -labelled clusters. Let $w \in W$, and note that we have a set partition $\Phi_+ = \text{Inv}(w) \uplus \text{Inv}(ww_o)$ (where w_o is the longest element of W). Consequently, for $f \in \Delta^+$ there is an equivalence: $f \cap \text{Inv}(w) = \emptyset$ iff $f \subset \text{Inv}(ww_o)$. The number of $f \in \Delta_{n-1}^+$ such that (f, w) is a positive W -labelled cluster is thus k_{ww_o} (by the definition in (31)). By summing over w , the number of positive labelled clusters is:

$$\#\text{LC}^+ = \sum_{w \in W} k_{ww_o} = \sum_{w \in W} k_w = (h-1)^n,$$

by Equation (32).

To get the other result, first recall that there is a bijection $W \rightarrow (W/W_I) \times W_I$ given by

$$w \mapsto (wW_I, w_I)$$

where $w_I \in W_I$ is defined by $\text{Inv}(w_I) = \text{Inv}(w) \cap \Phi_+^I$ (and $\Phi_+^I \subset \Phi_+$ is the set of positive roots of W_I). Using that, we can give a bijection:

$$\text{LC} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{I \subset S} W/W_I \times \text{LC}^+(W_I). \quad (33)$$

Explicitly, let $(f, w) \in \text{LC}$ and let $I \subset S$ be such that the negative roots in f are indexed by $S \setminus I$. Then, this (f, w) is associated to $(wW_I, (f \cap \Phi_+, w_I)) \in W/W_I \times \text{LC}^+(W_I)$. It is straightforward to check that this defines a bijection as in (33).

Now, there is also a bijection:

$$\text{PF} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{I \subset S} W/W_I \times \text{PF}'(W_I). \quad (34)$$

It is a consequence of an identity on characters given in [19, Proposition 4.1]. It can be given explicitly by sending wW_π to $(wW_I, w_I W_\pi)$ where $I \subset S$ is minimal such that $\pi \in W_I$.

Using the two bijections in (33) and (34), together with $\#\text{LC}^+(W_I) = \#\text{PF}'(W_I)$, we get $\#\text{LC} = \#\text{PF}$. It follows that $\text{LC} = (h+1)^n$. \square

Let us mention that the naive Fuß generalization of the previous result doesn't hold (more precisely: counting pairs $(f, w) \in \Delta_{n-1}^{(m)} \times W$ such that $f \cap \text{Inv}(w) = \emptyset$ does not give $(mh+1)^n$, or any interesting number whatsoever).

Let us end this section with a small remark. Consider the quantities:

$$\ell_w := \#\{f \in \Delta_{n-1} : f \cap \text{Inv}(w) = \emptyset, \} \quad (35)$$

$$m_w := \#\{\pi \in \text{NC} : W_\pi \cap \text{Inv}(w) = \emptyset. \} \quad (36)$$

Note that $\ell_w = k_{ww_\circ}$. On the other side, m_w is the number of $wW_\pi \in \text{PF}$ where w is a minimal length coset representative. There seems to be some similarities between these numbers. We have $\ell_e = m_e$ (this is the Catalan number of W), $\ell_{w_\circ} = m_{w_\circ} = 0$, and $\sum_{w \in W} \ell_w = \sum_{w \in W} m_w = (h+1)^n$. Very often, we have $\ell_w = m_w$. However, this equality does not hold in general. When $W = \mathfrak{S}_4$ and $c = (1234)$, with $w = 2413$ we have $\ell_w = 4$ and $m_w = 5$.

9 Open problems

Open problem 9.1. Complete Section 3.2 by giving a combinatorial model for type D cluster parking functions (possibly building on the combinatorial model of type D clusters in [24, Section 5.3]). It could be useful to have combinatorial models for the three infinite families of the finite type classification.

Open problem 9.2. Complete Proposition 3.5 by showing that $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are flag complexes. This could be done by proving Conjecture 2.12 about the Helly property (which might be interesting to investigate on its own).

Open problem 9.3. Via the rotation \mathcal{R} , the finite cyclic group of order $mh + 2$ (denoted \mathbb{Z}_{mh+2}) acts on $\Delta^{(m)}$ by automorphisms (see [24, Theorem 3.4]). We showed in [19, Proposition 10.1] that the conjugacy class of \underline{f} is invariant under this action. This suggests to extend this action on $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$, in a way that is compatible with the action of W : is there a natural action of $W \times \mathbb{Z}_{mh+2}$ on $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$? In type A , B , and I , \mathbb{Z}_{mh+2} acts by rotation of labelled dissections.

Open problem 9.4. Show that $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ and $\text{CPF}^{(m),+}$ are shellable complexes, and construct a shelling that gives a combinatorial proof and interpretation of Theorem 7.2.

Open problem 9.5. The h -polynomial of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ evaluates at 1 as

$$h(1) = f_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m)}) = |W| \cdot \text{Cat}^{(m)}(W).$$

This is also the number of regions of the m -Catalan arrangement in crystallographic types (see for example [8]). Moreover, the leading coefficient $h_n = (mh + 1)^n$ is again the number of regions of the m -Shi arrangement, a subarrangement of the m -Catalan. It would be interesting to find an interpretation of the h -polynomial of $\text{CPF}^{(m)}$ in the m -Catalan arrangement (perhaps building on [23]).

Open problem 9.6. Build an explicit basis $(\beta_\phi)_{\phi \in \text{PF}^{(m)}}$ of $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m)})$ (respectively, an explicit basis $(\beta_\phi)_{\phi \in \text{PF}'^{(m)}}$ of $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\text{CPF}^{(m),+})$), such that the action of W is given by:

$$w \cdot \beta_\phi = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \beta_{w \cdot \phi}.$$

Indeed, the combinatorial nature of the group action on the homology suggests their existence. (Note that we need to take the homology with rational coefficients to ensure the existence of such a basis.)

Open problem 9.7. We defined cluster parking functions as a subposet of $\text{PF} \times \Delta^{(m)}$. In another direction, the parking function poset also has a Fuß analog $\text{PF}^{(m)}$. It has been described explicitly (in type A , but this extends immediately to the general case) in [14, Section 6]. This suggests to mix both Fuß generalizations. Let m_1 and m_2 be positive integers. Can we define m_1 -cluster m_2 -parking functions as a subposet of $\text{PF}^{(m_2)} \times \Delta^{(m_1)}$ and study their properties?

Open problem 9.8. Complete Section 8 by finding explicit bijections $\text{PF}' \rightarrow \text{LC}^+$ and $\text{PF} \rightarrow \text{LC}$. (Note that one can focus on the case $\text{PF}' \rightarrow \text{LC}^+$: such a bijection can be used to give the other one, following the ideas in Proposition 8.2.)

Open problem 9.9. Find an explicit isomorphism of W -module between $\tilde{H}_{n-1}(\text{CPF})$ and Gordon's ring R_W , defined in [26] as a quotient of diagonal coinvariants.

Open problem 9.10. In type A , there are combinatorial definitions of both *rational* parking functions [6] and *rational* associahedra [7]. Build on these to define rational cluster parking functions.

References

- [1] A. ADAMS, V. REINER: *A colorful Hochster formula and universal parameters for face rings*. J. Commut. Algebra, 15(2) (2023), 151–176.
- [2] M. AGUIAR, J. BASTIDAS, S. MAHAJAN: *Characteristic elements for real hyperplane arrangements*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. 82B (2020), 12p.
- [3] K. ARCHER, A. GREGORY, B. PENNINGTON, S. SLAYDEN: *Pattern restricted quasi-Stirling permutations*. Australas. J. Combin. 74 (2019), 389–407.
- [4] D. ARMSTRONG: *Generalized noncrossing partitions and combinatorics of Coxeter groups*. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 202(949) (2009), x+159 pp.
- [5] D. ARMSTRONG, V. REINER, B. RHOADES: *Parking spaces*. Adv. Math. 269 (2015), 647–706.
- [6] D. ARMSTRONG, N. A. LOEHR, G. S. WARRINGTON: *Rational parking functions and Catalan numbers*. Ann. Comb. 20(1) (2016), 21–58.
- [7] D. ARMSTRONG, B. RHOADES, N. WILLIAMS: *Rational associahedra and noncrossing partitions*. Electron. J. Comb. 20, No. 3, Research Paper P54, 27 p. (2013).
- [8] C.A. ATHANASIADIS: *Generalized Catalan numbers, Weyl groups and arrangements of hyperplanes*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 36, No. 3 (2004), 294–302.
- [9] C.A. ATHANASIADIS, T. BRADY, J. MCCAMMOND, C. WATT: *h-vectors of generalized associahedra and noncrossing partitions*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006(12) (2006), Article ID 69705, 28 pp.
- [10] C. A. ATHANASIADIS, E. TZANAKI: *Shellability and higher Cohen-Macaulay connectivity of generalized cluster complexes*. Isr. J. Math. 167 (2008), 177–191.
- [11] K. BACLAWSKI, A. BJÖRNER: *Fixed points in partially ordered sets*. Adv. Math. 31 (1979), 263–287.
- [12] A. BJÖRNER: *Some combinatorial and algebraic properties of Coxeter complexes and Tits buildings*. Adv. in Math. 52 (1984), 173–212.
- [13] B. DELCROIX-OGER: *Semi-pointed partition posets and species*. J. Algebr. Comb. 45(3) (2017), 857–886.
- [14] B. DELCROIX-OGER, M. JOSUAT-VERGÈS, L. RANDAZZO: *Some properties of the parking function poset*. Electronic J. Combin. 29(4) (2022), Article P4.42.
- [15] P. BIANE, M. JOSUAT-VERGÈS: *Noncrossing partitions, Bruhat order and the cluster complex*. Ann. Institut. Fourier (Grenoble) 69(5) (2019), 2241—2289.
- [16] T. BRADY, C. WATT: *Non-crossing partition lattices in finite real reflection groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360(4) (2008), 1983–2005.
- [17] K. S. BROWN: *The coset poset and probabilistic zeta function of a finite group*. J. Algebra 225(2) (2000), 989–1012.
- [18] M. DOMOKOS: *Typical separating invariants*. Transfor. Groups 12 (1) (2007), 49–63.
- [19] T. DOUVROPOULOS, M. JOSUAT-VERGÈS: *The generalized cluster complex: refined enumeration of faces and related parking spaces*. SIGMA 19 (2023), 069, 40 pp.
- [20] T. DOUVROPOULOS, M. JOSUAT-VERGÈS: *Recursions and Proofs in Cataland*. Proceedings of FPSAC 2023.
- [21] P. H. EDELMAN: *Chain enumeration and noncrossing partitions*. Discrete Math. 31(2) (1980), 171–180.

- [22] S. ELIZALDE: *Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations*. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 180, Article ID 105429, 36 p. (2021).
- [23] S. FISHEL, M. KALLIPOLITI, E. TZANAKI: *Facets of the generalized cluster complex and regions in the extended Catalan arrangement of type A*. Electron. J. Comb. 20, No. 4, Research Paper P7, 21 p. (2013).
- [24] S. FOMIN, N. READING: *Generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics*. Int. Math. Res. Notices 2005(44) (2005), 2709–2757.
- [25] S. FOMIN, A. ZELEVINSKY: *Y-systems and generalized associahedra*. Ann. Math. (2) 158(3) (2003), 977–1018.
- [26] I. GORDON: *On the quotient ring by diagonal invariants*. Invent. Math. 153(3) (2003), 503–518.
- [27] A. HATCHER: *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [28] D. KOZLOV: *Combinatorial algebraic topology*. Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [29] I. G. MACDONALD: *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials* (2nd edition). Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995, x + 475 pp.
- [30] A. MILLER: *Reflection arrangements and ribbon representations*. European J. Combin. 39 (2014), 24–56.
- [31] D. QUILLEN: *Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial p -subgroups of a group*. Adv. Math. 28 (1978), 101–128.
- [32] B. RHOADES: *Parking structures: Fuss analogs*. J. Algebr. Comb. 40(2) (2014), 417–473.
- [33] R. STANLEY: *Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 32(2) (1982), 132–161.
- [34] R. STANLEY: *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996, x+164 pp.
- [35] J.R. STEMBRIDGE: *Coxeter cones and their h -vectors*. Adv. Math. 217 (2008), 1935–1961.
- [36] M. L. WACHS: *Poset topology: tools and applications*. Geometric combinatorics. AMS, Princeton, 2007, pp. 497–615.