# Superelliptic Affine Lie algebras and orthogonal polynomials

Felipe Albino dos Santos, Mikhail Neklyudov, Vyacheslav Futorny

#### Abstract

We construct two families of orthogonal polynomials associated with the universal central extensions of the superelliptic Lie algebras. These polynomials satisfy certain fourth order linear differential equations, and one of the families is a particular collection of associated ultraspherical polynomials. We show that the generating functions of the polynomials satisfy fourth order linear PDEs. Since these generating functions can be represented by superelliptic integrals, we have examples of linear PDEs of fourth order with explicit solutions without complete integrability.

Keywords: Krichever-Novikov algebras, superelliptic algebras, affine Lie algebras, universal central extensions, orthogonal polynomials.

#### Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak g$  be a simple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak g \otimes \mathbb C[t, t^{-1}]$  the loop algebra of g with commutation relations  $[x \otimes f, y \otimes g] = [x, y] \otimes fg$ , for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ . In the construction of the loop algebra we may replace the Laurent polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ by any other commutative associative complex algebra  $R$ . In particular, if  $R$  is the algebra of regular functions over an affine scheme of finite type  $X$ , we obtain the Lie algebra of all regular maps from  $X$  to  $\mathfrak g$ . If  $R$  is the ring of meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface with a fixed number of poles we obtain a *current Krichever-Novikov algebra*. These algebras where introduced by Krichever and Novikov in their study of string theory in Minkowski space [\[13\]](#page-24-0), [\[14\]](#page-25-0) and extensively studied by many authors (cf. [\[15\]](#page-25-1) and references therein). In particular, the case of the ring of rational functions on the Riemann sphere regular everywhere except a finite number of points is relevant to the study of tensor module structures for affine Lie algebras ([\[10\]](#page-24-1), [\[11\]](#page-24-2)). The corresponding Lie algebras generalizing the untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras are called N-point algebras.

Denote by  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  the universal central extension of  $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ . In [\[2](#page-24-3)], Bremner described the center C of the universal central extension  $\hat{G}$  of N-point algebras and determined its dimension.

Also, in the case of the ring of regular functions on an elliptic curve with two points removed the universal cocycle  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G} \to C$  was computed.

Following these results, Cox and Jurisich [\[5](#page-24-4)] described the universal central extension of the 3-point current algebra when  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  and, furthermore constructed their explicit realizations by differential operators. In [\[3](#page-24-5)], Bremner constructed the universal central extension of the 4 point current algebra.

Date, Jimbo, Kashiwara and Miwa considered the universal central extension of  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, u]$ with  $u^2 = (t^2 - b^2)(t^2 - c^2)$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-c, c\}$  in their study of Landau-Lifshitz equation [\[9\]](#page-24-6) (the socalled DJKM algebra). This is an example of a Krichever-Novikov algebra with genus different from zero.

The universal central extension of the DJKM algebras was described in [\[6\]](#page-24-7). A realization of these algebras in terms of partial differential operators was constructed in [\[5\]](#page-24-4) for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and in [\[7\]](#page-24-8) for a general g. A study of the universal central extension of the DJKM algebras led to the discovery of new families of orthogonal polynomials in [\[8](#page-24-9)], which belong to the families of associated ultraspherical polynomials. These non-classical orthogonal polynomials satisfy differential equations of fourth order. Orthogonal polynomials play important role in mathematics and physics, particularly in the description of wave phenomena and vibrating systems, theory of random matrix ensembles and other areas. It is especially interesting to have such a connection between the universal central extensions of Krichever-Novikov algebras and associated ultraspherical polynomials.

Building upon the previous research on the universal central extension of the DJKM algebras, we extend the study to the superelliptic case. The equation of a superelliptic curve is  $u^m = p(t)$ , where  $p(t)$  is a polynomial in t. The DJKM algebras correspond to the case  $m = 2$  and  $deg p(t) = 4$ . We generalizes the results of [\[8\]](#page-24-9) for arbitrary superelliptic curve with  $m > 2$  and  $deg p(t) = 4$ . Our analysis reveals the existence for each  $m > 2$  of two new families of orthogonal polynomials which are the solutions of fourth-order differential equations as in the case the DJKM algebras. This is somewhat surprising as we expected the order of differential equations to grow with  $m$ . One constructed family is identified as a family of associated ultraspherical polynomials. The other family is similar but has different initial conditions. As a result, it required an independent proof of the orthogonality.

As a byproduct, we show that certain superelliptic integrals are solutions of elliptic PDEs of fourth-order (cf. Corollaries [2.2](#page-18-0) and [2.4\)](#page-20-0). This is of interest on its own since PDEs we consider are not completely integrable and do not fall into any other class of PDEs with explicit solutions. In the cases when such explicit solutions are known, they are of importance in different areas of mathematics and theoretical physics.

#### 1. Superelliptic affine Lie algebras.

Let R be a ring of the form  $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, u]$ , where  $u^m \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  and  $m \geq 2$ . Thus R has a basis consisting of  $t^i$ ,  $t^i u$ ,  $t^i u^2 \dots, t^i u^{m-1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We will assume that  $P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^D a_i t^i$  where  $a_D = 1$  and  $a_0, a_1$  are not both 0 and that  $P(t)$  has no multiple roots. The equation  $u^m = P(t)$ defines a superelliptic curve. Set  $R^i$  for  $\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]u^i$ , then  $R = R^0 \oplus R^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R^{m-1}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -grading.

Let  $\mathfrak g$  be a simple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra. The Lie algebra  $\mathcal G = \mathfrak g \otimes R$  is an example of superelliptic loop algebras. The  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -grading induces the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ graded Lie algebra on  $\mathcal G$  by setting  $\mathcal G^i = \mathfrak g \otimes R^i$   $(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1)$ .

Let  $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \oplus C$  be the universal central extension of  $\mathcal{G}$ , where C is the center of  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ . By [\[12\]](#page-24-10), the center C is linearly isomorphic to  $\Omega_R^1/dR$ , the space of Kähler differentials of R modulo the exact differentials. Our goal is to determine a basis for  $\Omega_R^1/dR$ .

Let  $F = R \otimes R$  be the left R-module with action  $f(g \otimes h) = fg \otimes h$  for  $f, g, h \in R$ . Let K be the submodule generated by the elements  $1 \otimes fg - f \otimes g - g \otimes f$ . Then  $\Omega_R^1 = F/K$  is the module of Kähler differentials. We denote the element  $f \otimes g + K$  of  $\Omega_R^1$  by  $f dg$ . We define a map  $d: R \to \Omega_R^1$  by  $d(f) = df = 1 \otimes f + K$  and we denote the coset of  $fdg$  modulo  $dR$  by  $\overline{fdg}$ . We have

$$
[x \otimes f, y \otimes g] = [xy] \otimes fg + (x, y)\overline{fdg}, \qquad [x \otimes f, \omega] = 0, \qquad [\omega, \omega'] = 0 \qquad (1.1)
$$

where  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, f, g \in R$  and  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega_R^1/dR$ ; here  $(x, y)$  denotes the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The elements  $t^i u^k \otimes t^j u^l$ , with  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k, l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$  form a basis of  $R \otimes R$ . The following result is fundamental to the description of the universal central extension for  $R = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, u | u^m = P(t)].$ 

**Theorem 1.1** ([\[1\]](#page-23-0), Theorem 2.4). The following elements form a basis of  $\Omega_R^1/dR$ :

$$
\overline{t^{-1}dt},\,\overline{t^{-1}u^ldt},\,\ldots,\,\overline{t^{-D}u^ldt},
$$

where  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., m-1\}$  and we omit  $t^{-D}u^{l}dt$  if  $a_0 = 0$ .

We have the following relation between the basis elements:

**Proposition 1.2** ([\[6](#page-24-7)], Lemma 2.0.2). If  $u^m = P(t)$  and  $R = [t, t^{-1}, u]u^m = P(t)$ ], then in  $\Omega_R^1/dR,$  one has

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
((m+1)n + im)t^{n+i-1}u dt \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ((m+1)j + mi)a_j t^{i+j-1} u dt \mod dR.
$$
 (1.2)

This allows to describe the universal central extension of the superelliptic algebra.

Let us define the sequence of polynomials in  $D+3$  parameters  $P_{m,n,l}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{D-1}) := P_n$ for  $n\ge -D,\, m,l\in {\mathbb Z}_+$  and  $a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{D-1}\in {\mathbb C}$  as follows:

$$
(Dl + (1+n)m)P_n = \sum_{k=0}^{D-1} \left( -a_k \left( kl + (-D+1+n+k)m \right) \right) P_{-D+n+k}, \tag{1.3}
$$

with initial condition

$$
P_{-D} = t^{-D}u^{l}dt, P_{-D+1} = t^{-D+1}u^{l}dt, ..., P_{-1} = t^{-1}u^{l}dt.
$$
\n(1.4)

Then  $P_n = t^n u^l dt$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If  $a_0 \neq 0$  we define the sequence of polynomials in D+3 parameters  $Q_{m,n,l}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{D-1})$  :=  $Q_n$  for  $n \le -D-1$ ,  $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{D-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  as follows

$$
(a_0(1+n)m)Q_n = \sum_{k=1}^{D} \left( -a_k \left( k l + (1+n+k)m \right) \right) Q_{n+k} \tag{1.5}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
Q_{-D} = t^{-D}u^{l}dt, \ Q_{-D+1} = t^{-D+1}u^{l}dt, \ \dots, \ Q_{-1} = t^{-1}u^{l}dt.
$$
 (1.6)

Then  $Q_n = t^n u^l dt$  for  $n \leq -D - 1$ .

If  $a_0 = 0$  we define the sequence of polynomials in D+3 parameters  $R_{m,n,l}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{D-1}) :=$  $R_n$  for  $n \le -D-1$ ,  $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{D-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  as follows:

$$
a_1(l + (1 + n + 1)m)R_n = \sum_{k=2}^{D} (-a_k (kl + (1 + n + k)m)) R_{n+k}
$$
 (1.7)

with initial condition

$$
R_{-D+1} = t^{-D+1}u^{l}dt, R_{-D+2} = t^{-D+2}u^{l}dt, ..., R_{-1} = t^{-1}u^{l}dt.
$$
\n(1.8)

We see that  $R_n = t^n u^l dt$ ,  $n \le -D - 1$ .

Set

$$
\psi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} P_{i+j-1} & \text{if } i+j \ge -D+1; \\ Q_{i+j-1} & \text{if } i+j \le -D \text{ and } a_0 \ne 0; \\ R_{i+j-1} & \text{if } i+j \le -D \text{ and } a_0 = 0. \end{cases}
$$
(1.9)

Then  $t^i u^l d(t^j) = j \psi_{i,j}$  [[\[1](#page-23-0)], Proposition 3.4].

We have the following description of the Lie algebra structure on  $\hat{G}$ . Set

$$
\omega_0 = \overline{t^{-1}dt} \text{ and } \omega_{ij} = \overline{t^i u^j dt} \text{ for } j \neq 0.
$$
 (1.10)

**Theorem 1.3** ([\[1\]](#page-23-0), Theorem 3.5). The superelliptic affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -grading in which

$$
\hat{\mathcal{G}}^0 = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega_0, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{G}}^l = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]u^l \bigoplus_{n=1}^D \mathbb{C}\omega_{-n,l}.
$$

The subalgebra  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^0$  is an untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra with commutation relations

 $[x \otimes t^i, y \otimes t^j] = [x, y] \otimes t^{i+j} \oplus \delta_{i+j,0}(x, y)j\omega_0.$ 

The commutation relations in  $\hat{G}$  are

$$
[x \otimes t^i u^{l_1}, y \otimes t^j u^{l_2}] = [x, y] \otimes (t^{i+j} u^{l_1+l_2}) + (x, y) \left(\frac{j l_1 - i l_2}{l_1 + l_2}\right) \omega_{i+j-1, l_1+l_2},
$$

if  $l_1 + l_2 \leq m - 1$ . When  $l_1 + l_2 > m - 1$ ,

$$
[x \otimes t^i u^{l_1}, y \otimes t^j u^{l_2}] = [x, y] \otimes \left(\sum_{k=0}^D a_k t^{i+j+k} u^{l_1+l_2-m}\right) + (x, y) \left(\frac{jl_1 - il_2}{l_1 + l_2}\right) \omega_{i+j-1, l_1+l_2}.
$$

The subspace  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^l$  is a  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^0$ -module with

$$
[x \otimes t^i u^n, y \otimes t^j] = [x, y] \otimes (t^{i+j} u^{n+1}) + (x, y) j \psi_{i,j}.
$$
 (1.11)

In this paper we will consider the superelliptic affine Lie algebras with the polynomial relation

$$
u^m = 1 - 2ct^2 + t^4.
$$

In this case, letting  $k = i + 3$ , the recursion relation [\(1.2\)](#page-2-0) becomes

$$
(4+m+km)\overline{t^k \text{udt}} = -(k-3)m\overline{t^{-4+k} \text{udt}} + 2c(2+(k-1)m)\overline{t^{-2+k} \text{udt}}
$$

Consider a family  $P_k := P_k(c)$  of polynomials in c satisfying the recursion relation

$$
(km+m+4)P_k(c) = 2c((k-1)m+2)P_{k-2}(c) - (k-3)mP_{k-4}(c)
$$
\n(1.12)

for  $k \geq 0$ . Set

$$
P(c, z) = \sum_{k=-4}^{\infty} z^{k+4} P_k(c) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k P_{k-4}(c).
$$

After a straightforward rearrangement of terms we have

$$
0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (km+m+4)z^{k}P_{k}(c) - (2c)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (km-m+2)z^{k}P_{k-2}(c)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-3) m z^{k} P_{k-4}(c)
$$
  
= 
$$
((4-3m)z^{-4} + (2c(-2+3m))z^{-2} - 3m)P(c, z) + m (z^{-3} - 2cz^{-1} + z) \frac{d}{dz}P(c, z)
$$
  
+ 
$$
(2c(2-3m)z^{-2} + (3m-4)z^{-4}) P_{-4}(c) + 2(-2c(m-1)z^{-1} + (m-2)z^{-3}) P_{-3}(c)
$$
  
+ 
$$
(m-4)P_{-2}(c)z^{-2} - 4P_{-1}(c)z^{-1}.
$$

Hence,  $P(c, z)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{dz}P(c,z) + \left(\frac{6cmz^2 - 4cz^2 - 3m(z^4 + 1) + 4}{mz(-2cz^2 + z^4 + 1)}\right)P(c,z) =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{(4z^3)P_{-1} + ((-m+4)z^2)P_{-2} + (2(2c(m-1)z^2 - m + 2)z)P_{-3} + ((-2c(2-3m)z^2 - 3m + 4))P_{-4}}{m(-2cz^3 + z^4 + z)}
$$
\n(1.13)

.

It has an integrating factor

$$
\mu(c, z) = \exp\left\{ \int_{.}^{z} \left( \frac{6cmw^{2} - 4cw^{2} - 3m(w^{4} + 1) + 4}{mw(-2cw^{2} + w^{4} + 1)} \right) dw \right\}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{z^{3 - \frac{4}{m}} (-2cz^{2} + z^{4} + 1)^{1/m}}.
$$

Now, we consider different cases depending on the initial conditions.

### 1.1. Superelliptic case 1

Assume that  $P_{-3} = P_{-2} = P_{-1} = 0$  and  $P_{-4} = 1$ . and denote the generating function in this case by  $P_{-4}(c, z)$ . Then

$$
P_{-4}(c, z) = \sum_{k=-4}^{\infty} P_{-4,k}(c) z^{k+4} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{-4,k-4}(c) z^k
$$

can be written in terms of a superelliptic integral

$$
P_{-4}(c, z) = z^{3-4/m} (1 - 2cz^2 + z^4)^{1/m} \times
$$
  
 
$$
\times \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{z} \frac{(4 - 3m + 2c(-2 + 3m)w^2)}{mw^{4-4/m}(1 - 2cw^2 + w^4)^{1+1/m}} dw + \epsilon^{4/m - 3} + 2c(3 - 2/m)\phi_m(\epsilon) \right],
$$
  
(1.14)

where

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\phi_m(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon^{4/m-1}}{4/m-1} & m \neq 4 \\ \log \epsilon & m = 4 \end{cases}
$$

Remark 1.15. Correction term inside of the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  in the formula [\(1.14\)](#page-5-0) is necessary to ensure finiteness of the limit.

### 1.2. Superelliptic case 2

Suppose now that  $P_{-3} = P_{-4} = P_{-1} = 0$  and  $P_{-2} = 1$ . Then we arrive to the generating function

$$
P_{-2}(c, z) = \sum_{k=-4}^{\infty} P_{-2,k}(c) z^{k+4} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{-2,k-4}(c) z^k,
$$

which is defined in terms of a superelliptic integral

$$
P_{-2}(c,z) = z^{3-4/m} (1 - 2cz^2 + z^4)^{1/m} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^z \frac{(4-m)}{mw^{2-4/m} (1 - 2cw^2 + w^4)^{1+1/m}} dw + \epsilon^{4/m - 1} \right]
$$

.

### 1.3. Gegenbauer case 3

If we take  $P_{-1}(c) = 1$ , and  $P_{-2}(c) = P_{-3}(c) = P_{-4}(c) = 0$  and set  $P_{-1}(c, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_{-1, n-4} z^n$ , then

$$
P_{-1}(c,z) = \frac{\left(4z^{3-\frac{4}{m}}\left(-2cz^2 + z^4 + 1\right)^{1/m}\right)}{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n}Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)}{\frac{4}{m}+2n},
$$

where  $Q_n^{(1+\frac{1}{m})}(c)$  is the *n*-th Gegenbauer polynomial.

## 1.4. Gegenbauer case 4

If we take  $P_{-3}(c) = 1$ , and  $P_{-1}(c) = P_{-2}(c) = P_{-4}(c) = 0$  and set  $P_{-3}(c, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_{-1, n-4} z^n$ , then

$$
P_{-3}(c,z) = \frac{\left(z^{3-\frac{4}{m}}\left(-2cz^2 + z^4 + 1\right)^{1/m}\right)}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n} Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c) \left(\frac{m-2}{z^2(m(n-1)+2)} - \frac{2c(m-1)}{mn+2}\right).
$$

We will see in the last section that the family of polynomials described in the superelliptic case 1 is an example of associated ultraspherical polynomials.

#### 2. Orthogonal polynomials in superelliptic cases

#### 2.1. Superelliptic type 1

Let us reindex the polynomials  $P_{-4,n}$  as follows:

$$
P_{-4}(c, z) = z^{3-4/m} (1 - 2cz^{2} + z^{4})^{1/m} \times
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{z} \frac{(4 - 3m + 2c(-2 + 3m)w^{2})}{mw^{4-4/m}(1 - 2cw^{2} + w^{4})^{1+1/m}} dw + \epsilon^{4/m - 3} + 2c(3 - 2/m)\phi_{m}(\epsilon) \right] =
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{-4,k-4}(c)z^{k}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 1 + \frac{3m}{m+4}z^{4} + \frac{6cm(m+2)}{(m+4)(3m+4)}z^{6} + \frac{3m(4c^{2}(m+2)(3m+2) - m(3m+4))}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)}z^{8}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{12cm(3m+2)(2c^{2}(m+2)(5m+2) - m(5m+8))}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)(7m+4)}z^{10}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 3m\left(\frac{(16c^{4}(m+2)(3m+2)(5m+2)(7m+2))}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)(7m+4)(9m+4)} + \frac{-12c^{2}m(3m+2)(5m+2)(7m+12) + 5m^{2}(3m+4)(7m+4)}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)(7m+4)(9m+4)}z^{12} + O(z^{14}).
$$

The first nonzero polynomials are:

$$
P_{-4,0}(c) = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-4,4}(c) = \frac{3m}{m+4},
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-4,6}(c) = \frac{6cm(m+2)}{(m+4)(3m+4)},
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-4,8}(c) = \frac{3m(4c^2(m+2)(3m+2) - m(3m+4))}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)},
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-4,10}(c) = \frac{12cm(3m+2)(2c^2(m+2)(5m+2) - m(5m+8))}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)(7m+4)},
$$

and  $P_n(c) = P_{-4,n}(c)$  satisfies the recursion

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
0 = (2c((k-1)m+2))P_{k+2}(c) - (km+m+4)P_{k+4}(c) - ((k-3)m)P_k(c).
$$
 (2.1)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Our main result is the following theorem which generalizes[[\[8\]](#page-24-9), Theorem 3.1.1].

**Theorem 2.1.** The polynomials  $P_n = P_{-4,n}$  satisfy the following fourth order linear differential equation:

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
0 = 16 (c2 - 1)2 m2Pn(iv) + 160c (c2 - 1) m2Pn'''
$$
  
+ (8m(m((n - 6)n - 10) + 4(n - 6))  
-8c<sup>2</sup> (m<sup>2</sup>(n - 10)(n + 4) + 4m(n - 4) + 8)) P''<sub>n</sub>  
- 24c (m<sup>2</sup>(n - 6)n + 4m(n - 4) + 8) P'<sub>n</sub>  
+ (n - 4)n(m(n - 6) + 4)(m(n - 2) + 4)P<sub>n</sub>. (2.2)

*Proof.* The proof generalizes the proof of Theorem 3.1.1 in [\[8](#page-24-9)] in the case  $m = 2$ . We start off with the generating function

$$
P_{-4}(c, z) = z^{3-4/m} (1 - 2cz^{2} + z^{4})^{1/m}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{z} \frac{4c \left( w^{2} \left( \left( \frac{3m}{2} - 1 \right) w^{\frac{4}{m} - 2} \right) \right) - (3m - 4) w^{\frac{4}{m} - 2}}{mw^{2} \left( -2cw^{2} + w^{4} + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{m} + 1}} dw + \epsilon^{4/m - 3} + 2c(3 - 2/m)\phi_{m}(\epsilon) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= z^{3-4/m} (1 - 2cz^{2} + z^{4})^{1/m} \left( -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m} + 2n - 3} \left( (3m - 4)Q_{n}^{(1 + \frac{1}{m})}(c) \right)}{m(2n - 3) + 4} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m} + 2n - 1} \left( c(6m - 4)Q_{n}^{(1 + \frac{1}{m})}(c) \right)}{m(2n - 1) + 4} \right),
$$

where  $Q_n^{\lambda}(c)$  is the *n*th-Gegenbauer polynomial. The polynomials  $Q_n^{\lambda}(c)$  satisfy the second order linear differential equation

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
(1 - c2) y'' - c(2\lambda + 1)y' + ny(2\lambda + n) = 0,
$$

where the derivatives are with respect to c. Thus for  $\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$  we get

$$
(1 - c^2) (Q_n^{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)})''(c) - c\left(\frac{2}{m} + 3\right) (Q_n^{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)})'(c) + n\left(\frac{2}{m} + n + 2\right) Q_n^{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)}(c) = 0. \tag{2.3}
$$

Rewriting the expansion formula for  $P_{-4}(c,\bar{z})$  we get

$$
z^{-3+4/m}(1-2cz^2+z^4)^{-1/m}P_{-4}(c,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1}\left(c(6m-4)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-1)+4}
$$

$$
-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-3}\left((3m-4)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-3)+4}.
$$
(2.4)

Now we apply the differential operator  $L := (1 - c^2) \frac{d^2}{dc^2} - (c(3 + \frac{2}{m})) \frac{d}{dc}$  to the right hand side and use the identity (formula 4.7.27 in [\[16](#page-25-2)])

$$
(1 - c2) \frac{d}{dc} Q_n^{(\lambda)}(c) = c(2\lambda + n)Q_n^{(\lambda)}(c) - (n+1)Q_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(c).
$$
 (2.5)

Then we get

$$
L\left(c\left(-\frac{4}{m}+6\right)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right) = \left((1-c^2)\frac{d^2}{dc^2} - (c(3+2/m))\frac{d}{dc}\right)\left(c\left(-4/m+6\right)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)
$$
  

$$
= -\frac{2(3m-2)}{m}\left(2m(n+1)Q_{n+1}^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)
$$
  

$$
+ c(n-1)(mn+m+2)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right).
$$

From here we deduce

$$
L\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1} \left(c(6m-4)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-1)+4}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1} \left((2(3m-2))\left(2m(n+1)c_{n+1}^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c) + c(n-1)(mn+m+2)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)\right)}{m(m(2n-1)+4)}
$$
  

$$
=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1} \left((2c(3m-2)(n-1)(mn+m+2))Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(m(2n-1)+4)}
$$
  

$$
+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1} \left((4(3m-2)(n+1))c_{n+1}^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-1)+4}.
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1} \left( \left( 2c(3m-2)(n-1)(mn+m+2) \right) Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c) \right)}{m(m(2n-1)+4)}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{6c(3m-2)(m+4) \int_0^z w^{\frac{4}{m}-2} \left( -2c w^2 + w^4 + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1} dw}{4m^2}
$$
  
- 
$$
\frac{c(3m-2) z^{\frac{4}{m}-1} \left( -2c z^2 + z^4 + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{2m} - \frac{\left( 2c(3m-2) z^{4/m} \right) \frac{d}{dz} \left( -2c z^2 + z^4 + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{4m},
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1} \left( (4(3m-2)(n+1))Q_{n+1}^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c) \right)}{m(2n-1)+4}
$$
  
= 
$$
-\frac{(2(3m-4)(3m-2))\int_0^z w^{\frac{4}{m}-4} \left( \left(-2cw^2+w^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}-1 \right) dw}{m^2}
$$
  

$$
-\frac{(4(3m-2))\left(z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}\left( \left(-2cz^2+z^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}-1 \right) \right)}{2m},
$$

we get

$$
L\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-1}\left(c(6m-4)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-1)+4}\right)
$$
  
=  $-\frac{c(3m-2)z^{\frac{4}{m}-1}\left(-2cz^2+z^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{2m}$   
 $-\frac{\left((2c)(3m-2)z^{4/m}\right)\frac{d}{dz}\left(-2cz^2+z^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{4m}$   
+  $\frac{\left((2c)(3m-2)(3(m+4))\right)\int_0^z w^{\frac{4}{m}-2}\left(-2cw^2+w^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}dw}{4m^2}$   
 $-\frac{\left(2(3m-4)(3m-2)\right)\int_0^z w^{\frac{4}{m}-4}\left(-2cw^2+w^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}dw}{m^2}$   
+  $\frac{(4-6m)z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}\left(-2cz^2+z^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{m}.$ 

In addition we have

$$
L\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-3} \left((3m-4)Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-3)+4}\right) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-3} \left((3m-4) \left(n \left(\frac{2}{m}+n+2\right)\right) Q_n^{\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)}(c)\right)}{m(2n-3)+4} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{7 \left(9m^2-24m+16\right)}{4m(2mn-3m+4)} + \frac{(3m-4)n}{2m} + \frac{7(3m-4)}{4m}\right) z^{\frac{4}{m}+2n-3} - \frac{7(3m-4)z^{\frac{4}{m}-3} \left(-2cz^2+z^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{4m} - \frac{\left(3m-4\right)z^{\frac{4}{m}-2} \frac{d}{dz} \left(-2cz^2+z^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{4m} - \frac{\left(7 \left(9m^2-24m+16\right)\right) \int_0^z w^{\frac{4}{m}-4} \left(-2cw^2+w^4+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1} dw}{4m}.
$$

Applying the operator  $L$  to the left hand side of  $(2.4)$ , we get

$$
L\left(z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}\left(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1\right)^{-1/m}P_{-4}(c,z)\right)
$$
  
=
$$
\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}-1}\left(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-2}\left(4c^{2}(2m+1)z^{2}-2c(3m+2)\left(z^{4}+1\right)+4(m+1)z^{2}\right)P_{-4}(c,z)}{m^{2}}
$$

$$
+\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}\left(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}\left(6c^{2}mz^{2}-c(3m+2)\left(z^{4}+1\right)+4z^{2}\right)P'_{-4}(c,z)}{m}
$$

$$
-\left(c^{2}-1\right)z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}\left(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1\right)^{-1/m}P''_{n-4}(c,z).
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
-\frac{3(m+4)z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{4m} - \frac{c(3m-2)z^{\frac{4}{m}-1}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}}{2m} + \frac{(m+1)(3m-4)(c-z^{2})z^{\frac{4}{m}-1}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}-2}}{m^{2}}
$$
  

$$
-\frac{2c(m+1)(3m-2)(c-z^{2})z^{\frac{m+4}{m}}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}-2}}{m^{2}}
$$
  

$$
+\frac{3(m+4)}{4m}\left(z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-1/m}P_{-4}(c,z)\right)
$$
  

$$
=\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}-1}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}-2}(4c^{2}(2m+1)z^{2}-2c(3m+2)(z^{4}+1)+4(m+1)z^{2})P_{-4}(c,z)}{m^{2}}
$$
  

$$
+\frac{z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-\frac{1}{m}-1}(6c^{2}mz^{2}-c(3m+2)(z^{4}+1)+4z^{2})P'_{-4}(c,z)}{m}
$$
  

$$
-(c^{2}-1)z^{\frac{4}{m}-3}(-2cz^{2}+z^{4}+1)^{-1/m}P''_{n-4}(c,z),
$$

which gives us

$$
\frac{1}{4} \left( -z^4 \left( 4c^2(m+2)(3m-2) + (3m+4)(5m-4) \right) + 2c \left( 9m^2 + 6m - 8 \right) z^6 \right.
$$
  
\n
$$
+4c(3m(m+2)-4)z^2 - 3m(m+4))
$$
  
\n
$$
= -\left( m \left( 4c^2 z^4 - 6c \left( z^6 + z^2 \right) + 3z^8 + 2z^4 + 3 \right) + 4z^2 \left( - \left( c^2 + 1 \right) z^2 + cz^4 + c \right) \right.
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{3}{4}m^2 \left( -2cz^2 + z^4 + 1 \right)^2 \right) P_{-4}(c, z)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ m \left( -2cz^2 + z^4 + 1 \right) \left( 6c^2 mz^2 - c(3m+2) \left( z^4 + 1 \right) + 4z^2 \right) P'_{-4}(c, z)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \left( c^2 - 1 \right) m^2 \left( -2cz^2 + z^4 + 1 \right)^2 P''_{-4}(c, z).
$$

Expanding this out and writing  $P_{-4,k}(c)$  as  $P_k$  we will have the following equality:

$$
0 = \left(c^2(-(m+2))(3m-2) - \frac{1}{2}m(3m+4) + 4\right)P_{n-4} + (c(3m(m+2)-4))P_{n-2}
$$
  
\n
$$
-\frac{1}{4}(3m(m+4))P_n + \frac{1}{4}(-3m(m+4))P_{n-8} + (c(3m(m+2)-4))P_{n-6}
$$
  
\n
$$
-(cm(3m+2))P'_n + (4(c^2m(3m+1)+m))P'_{n-2}
$$
  
\n
$$
-(6cm(2c^2m+m+2))P'_{n-4} + (4(c^2m(3m+1)+m))P'_{n-6}
$$
  
\n
$$
+(-cm(3m+2))P'_{n-8} - ((c^2-1)m^2)P''_n + (4c(c^2-1)m^2)P''_{n-2}
$$
  
\n
$$
-((c^2-1)m^2)P''_{n-8} + (4c(c^2-1)m^2)P''_{n-6}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (2(-2c^4+c^2+1)m^2)P''_{n-4}.
$$
  
\n(2.6)

We now differentiate twice with respect to  $c$  the recursion [2.1:](#page-7-0)

<span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
0 = 2((k - 1)m + 2)P_{k+2} + 2c((k - 1)m + 2)P'_{k+2}
$$
  
-(k - 3)mP'\_{k} - (km + m + 4)P'\_{k+4} (2.7)  

$$
0 = (4(k - 1)m + 8)P'_{k+2} + 2c((k - 1)m + 2)P''_{k+2}
$$
  
-(km + m + 4)P''\_{k+4} - (k - 3)mP''\_{k}. (2.8)

Substituting  $k = n - 8$  in the last equation we obtain

$$
0 = 4(m(n-9) + 2)P'_{n-6} - m(n-11)P''_{n-8}
$$
  
+ 2c(m(n-9) + 2)P''\_{n-6} - (m(n-7) + 4)P''\_{n-4}. (2.9)

Multiplying [\(2.6\)](#page-11-0) by  $-11 + n$  and adding it to the above equation multiplied by  $(1 - c^2)m$  gives

$$
0 = 4c(c^2 - 1) m^2(n - 11) P''_{n-2} - (c^2 - 1) m^2(n - 11) P''_n
$$
  
+ 4m(c<sup>2</sup>(2m(n - 12) + n - 13) + (m + 1)(n - 9)) P'\_{n-6}  
- 6cm(n - 11) (2c<sup>2</sup>m + m + 2) P'\_{n-4}  
+ 2c(c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m(m(n - 13) - 2) P''\_{n-6}  
- (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m (m (4c<sup>2</sup>(n - 11) + n - 15) - 4) P''\_{n-4}  
+ (n - 11) (c<sup>2</sup>(-(m + 2))(3m - 2) -  $\frac{1}{2}$ m(3m + 4) + 4) P<sub>n-4</sub>  
+ 4(n - 11) (c<sup>2</sup>m(3m + 1) + m) P'\_{n-2} -  $\frac{3}{4}$ (m + 4)m(n - 11)P<sub>n-8</sub>  
-  $\frac{3}{4}$ (m + 4)m(n - 11)P<sub>n</sub> - c(3m + 2)m(n - 11)P'\_{n-8}  
- c(3m + 2)m(n - 11)P'\_{n} + c(3m(m + 2) - 4)(n - 11)P\_{n-6}  
+ c(3m(m + 2) - 4)(n - 11)P\_{n-2}. (2.10)

Now substitute  $k = n - 8$  in [\(2.7\)](#page-11-1) to get

$$
0 = 2(m(n-9) + 2)P_{n-6} - m(n-11)P'_{n-8} + 2c(m(n-9) + 2)P'_{n-6}
$$
  
- $(m(n-7) + 4)P'_{n-4}.$  (2.11)

Multiplying this equation by  $-c(2+3m)$  and adding it to the previous equation we obtain

$$
0 = 4c(c^2 - 1) m^2(n - 11)P''_{n-2} - (c^2 - 1) m^2(n - 11)P''_n
$$
  
+ 2c(c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m(m(n - 13) - 2)P''\_{n-6}  
+ (1 - c<sup>2</sup>) m (m (4c<sup>2</sup>(n - 11) + n - 15) - 4) P''\_{n-4}  
+ (n - 11) (c<sup>2</sup>(-(m + 2))(3m - 2) -  $\frac{1}{2}$ m(3m + 4) + 4) P<sub>n-4</sub>  
+ (2c<sup>2</sup>(m(m(n - 21) - 14) - 4) + 4m(m + 1)(n - 9)) P'\_{n-6}  
+ (c(3m + 2)(m(n - 7) + 4) - 6cm(n - 11) (2c<sup>2</sup>m + m + 2)) P'\_{n-4}  
+ 4(n - 11) (c<sup>2</sup>m(3m + 1) + m) P'\_{n-2}  
-  $\frac{3}{4}$ (m + 4)m(n - 11)P<sub>n-8</sub> -  $\frac{3}{4}$ (m + 4)m(n - 11)P<sub>n</sub>  
- c(3m + 2)m(n - 11)P'<sub>n</sub> - c(m(3m(n - 7) - 2n + 42) + 4(n - 9))P<sub>n-6</sub>  
+ c(3m(m + 2) - 4)(n - 11)P<sub>n-2</sub>.

Finally, substitute  $k = n-8$  in [\(2.1\)](#page-7-0), multiply it by  $-3(4+m)$  and add to the previous equation

multiplied by 4:

$$
0 = -2c(m(m(9n-69) + 8n - 18) + 8(n - 6))P_{n-6}
$$
  
+  $(-4c^2(m+2)(3m-2)(n-11) + 16(m+n-8) + m(4n-3m(n-15))) P_{n-4}$   
+  $4c(3m(m+2) - 4)(n-11)P_{n-2} - 3m(m+4)(n-11)P_n$   
+  $(8c^2(m(m(n-21) - 14) - 4) + 16m(m+1)(n-9)) P'_{n-6}$   
+  $4(c(3m+2)(m(n-7) + 4) - 6cm(n-11) (2c^2m + m + 2)) P'_{n-4}$   
+  $16(n-11) (c^2m(3m+1) + m) P'_{n-2} - 4cm(3m+2)(n-11)P'_{n}$   
+  $8c (c^2 - 1) m(m(n-13) - 2)P''_{n-6}$   
+  $4(1-c^2) m (m (4c^2(n-11) + n-15) - 4) P''_{n-4}$   
+  $16c (c^2 - 1) m^2(n-11)P''_{n-2} - 4(c^2 - 1) m^2(n-11)P''_{n}.$ 

We obtained the equation without terms with index  $n - 8$ .

The next step is to eliminate  $P_{n-6}$ . After setting  $k = n-6$  in [\(2.8\)](#page-11-2), [\(2.7\)](#page-11-1) and in the recursion we obtain the following equations:

$$
0 = 4(m(n-7) + 2)P'_{n-4} - m(n-9)P''_{n-6}
$$
  
+ 2c(m(n-7) + 2)P''\_{n-4} - (m(n-5) + 4)P''\_{n-2}, (2.12)

$$
0 = 2(m(n-7) + 2)P_{n-4} - m(n-9)P'_{n-6}
$$
  
+ 2c(m(n-7) + 2)P'\_{n-4} - (m(n-5) + 4)P'\_{n-2}, (2.13)

$$
0 = -m(n-9)P_{n-6} + 2c(m(n-7) + 2)P_{n-4} - (m(n-5) + 4)P_{n-2}.
$$

Proceeding as in the previous case and using these three equations we can eliminate all terms with index  $n - 6$ :

$$
0 = (-64c3(m + 1)(2m + 1)(m(n - 4) + 2) – 4cm(m(m(n(3n - 104) + 629)
$$
  
+2(n - 54)n + 714) – 24n + 184)) P'<sub>n-4</sub>  
-4 (c<sup>2</sup> – 1) m<sup>3</sup>(n – 11)(n – 9) P''<sub>n</sub>  
+ (m(n - 9) (m<sup>2</sup>(29n – 179) + 36m(n – 4) + 16(n – 4))  
-16c<sup>2</sup>(m + 1)(2m + 1)(n – 4)(m(n – 6) + 4)) P<sub>n-4</sub>  
+ m (m(n - 5) + 4) (8c<sup>2</sup> (-m(n – 21) +  $\frac{4}{m}$  + 14) – 16(m + 1)(n – 9))  
+16(n – 11)(n – 9) (c<sup>2</sup>m(3m + 1) + m)) P'<sub>n-2</sub>  
-4 (c<sup>2</sup> – 1) m (16c<sup>2</sup>(m + 1)(2m + 1) + m(n – 9)(m(n – 15) – 4)) P''<sub>n-4</sub>  
+8c (c<sup>2</sup> – 1) m(m(m((n – 22)n + 133) – 2n + 42) + 8) P''<sub>n-2</sub>  
-3(m + 4)m<sup>2</sup>(n – 11)(n – 9) P<sub>n</sub> – 4c(3m + 2)m<sup>2</sup>(n – 11)(n – 9) P'<sub>n</sub>  
+ (4cm(3m(m + 2) – 4)(n – 11)(n – 9) + 3m – 18) + 8(n – 6))) P<sub>n-2</sub>.

Next step is to eliminate terms with index  $n-4$ . Substituting  $k = n - 6$  in [\(2.8\)](#page-11-2), [\(2.7\)](#page-11-1) and in the recursion we obtain the following equations:

$$
0 = 4(m(n-5) + 2)P'_{n-2} - m(n-7)P''_{n-4}
$$
  
+ 2c(m(n-5) + 2)P''\_{n-2} - (m(n-3) + 4)P''\_n,

$$
0 = 2(m(n-5) + 2)P_{n-2} - m(n-7)P'_{n-4}
$$
  
+ 2c(m(n-5) + 2)P'\_{n-2} - (m(n-3) + 4)P'\_{n},

$$
0 = -m(n-7)P_{n-4} + 2c(m(n-5) + 2)P_{n-2} - (m(n-3) + 4)P_n.
$$

Using these equations we can eliminate terms with index  $n - 4$ :

$$
0 = 2c(n-2)(m(n-4) + 4) (c2(m(n-5) + 2) - m(n-8)) Pn-2-(n-4)(m(n-6) + 4) (c2(m(n-3) + 4) - m(n-9)) Pn- 4c (c2(m(n-4) + 2)(m(n-3) + 4) + m(m(6 - (n-7)n) - 6n + 46)) P'n+ 8c (c2 - 1) m (c2(m(n-5) + 2) - m(n-8)) P''n-2- 4 (c2 - 1) m (c2(m(n-3) + 4) - m(n-9)) P''n+ 4 (2c4(m(n-5) + 2)(m(n-2) + 2) + c2m(m((28 - 3n)n - 47) - 8n + 52)+ m2(n-9)(n-5)) P'n-2. (2.14)
$$

Finally, we will eliminate all terms with index  $n-2$ . Substituting  $k = n-2$  in [\(2.8\)](#page-11-2), [\(2.7\)](#page-11-1) and in the recursion we obtain:

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
0 = 4(m(n-3) + 2)P'_n - m(n-5)P''_{n-2}
$$
  
+ 2c(m(n-3) + 2)P''\_n + (m(-n) + m - 4)P''\_{n+2},

$$
0 = 2(m(n-3) + 2)P_n - m(n-5)P'_{n-2} + 2c(m(n-3) + 2)P'_n
$$
  
+ (m(-n) + m - 4)P'\_{n+2},

$$
0 = -m(n-5)P_{n-2} + 2c(m(n-3) + 2)P_n + (m(-n) + m - 4)P_{n+2}.
$$

These equations substitution  $n\rightarrow n-2$  lead to the following equation:

$$
0 = 2c(n-4)(m(n-6) + 4)(-m(n-3) - 4) (c2(m(n-7) + 2) - m(n-10)) Pn
$$
  
+8c (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m(-m(n-3) - 4) (c<sup>2</sup>(m(n-7) + 2) - m(n-10)) P''<sub>n</sub>  
+4c (4c<sup>4</sup>(m(n-7) + 2)(m(n-5) + 2)(m(n-2) + 2)  
+c<sup>2</sup>m (m (-m(n-5)(7(n-11)n + 136) - 34n<sup>2</sup> + 404n - 1026) - 40n + 296)  
+m<sup>2</sup>(m(n(n(3n-56) + 303) - 454) + 2n(5n - 78) + 554)) P'<sub>n-2</sub>  
+ (n - 2)(m(n - 4) + 4) (4c<sup>4</sup>(m(n - 7) + 2)(m(n - 5) + 2)  
+c<sup>2</sup>m(-m(n-5)(5n - 47) - 12(n - 9)) + m<sup>2</sup>(n - 11)(n - 7)) P<sub>n-2</sub>  
+4 (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m (4c<sup>4</sup>(m(n - 7) + 2)(m(n - 5) + 2)  
+c<sup>2</sup>m(-m(n - 5)(5n - 47) - 12(n - 9)) + m<sup>2</sup>(n - 11)(n - 7)) P''<sub>n-2</sub>  
+m(-m(n - 3) - 4)  $\left(\frac{8c4(m(n - 7) + 2)(m(n - 4) + 2)}{m}\right)$   
-4c<sup>2</sup>(m(n(3n - 40) + 115) + 8n - 68) + 4m(n - 11)(n - 7)) P'<sub>n</sub>. (2.15)

Combining this with [\(2.14\)](#page-15-0) we obtain

<span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
0 = 2c(n-2)(m(n-4) + 4) (c2(m(n-5) + 2) - m(n-8)) Pn-2-(n-4)(m(n-6) + 4) (c2(m(n-3) + 4) - m(n-9)) Pn- 4c (c2(m(n-4) + 2)(m(n-3) + 4) + m(m(6 - (n-7)n) - 6n + 46)) P'n+ 8c (c2 - 1) m (c2(m(n-5) + 2) - m(n-8)) P''n-2- 4 (c2 - 1) m (c2(m(n-3) + 4) - m(n-9)) P''n+ 4 (2c4(m(n-5) + 2)(m(n-2) + 2) + c2m(m((28 - 3n)n - 47) - 8n + 52)+ m2(n-9)(n-5)) P'n-2. (2.16)
$$

Now we use [\(2.16\)](#page-16-0) and [\(2.15\)](#page-16-1) to simplify the coefficient by  $P''_{n-2}$ . Combining them Multi-plying [\(2.16\)](#page-16-0) by  $-2c(mn-7m+2)$  and adding it to [\(2.15\)](#page-16-1) gives:

$$
0 = -4 (c2 - 1) m2(n - 11) (c2(m(n - 1) + 4) - m(n - 7)) P''n-2+ 8c (c2 - 1) (3m + 2) m2(n - 11) P''n+ 4m(n - 11) (c2 (m2((n - 10)n + 39) + 4m(n - 1) + 8) - m(n - 7)(m(n - 3) + 4)) P'n- 4cm(n - 11) (c2(m(n - 2) + 2)(m(n - 1) + 4) + m(m(16 - (n - 3)n) - 6n + 34)) P'n-2- m(n - 11)(n - 2)(m(n - 4) + 4) (c2(m(n - 1) + 4) - m(n - 7)) Pn-2+ 2c(3m + 2)m(n - 11)(n - 4)(m(n - 6) + 4) Pn.
$$

From now on we assume that  $n > 11$ . Then the equation simplifies to

$$
0 = (4m(n-7)(m(n-3)+4) - 4c2 (m2((n-10)n+39) + 4m(n-1)+8)) P'n
$$
  
+ (n-2)(m(n-4)+4) (c<sup>2</sup>(m(n-1)+4) - m(n-7)) P<sub>n-2</sub>  
+ 4c (c<sup>2</sup>(m(n-2)+2)(m(n-1)+4) + m(m(16 - (n-3)n) - 6n + 34)) P'<sub>n-2</sub>  
+ 4 (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m (c<sup>2</sup>(m(n-1)+4) - m(n-7)) P''<sub>n-2</sub>  
- 8c (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m(3m+2)P''<sub>n</sub> - 2c(3m+2)(n-4)(m(n-6)+4)P<sub>n</sub>. (2.17)

Multiplying it by  $-2c(mn - 5m + 2)$  and adding it to [\(2.14\)](#page-15-0) multiplied by  $4 + mn - m$ , we get:

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
0 = (4c2 (m2((n - 6)n + 23) + 4m(n + 1) + 8) - 4m(n - 5)(m(n - 1) + 4)) P'_{n-2}
$$
  
+ (n - 4)(m(n - 6) + 4) (c<sup>2</sup>m(n - 7) - mn + m - 4) P<sub>n</sub>  
- 4c (m (c<sup>2</sup>(n - 7)(m(n - 6) + 2) - m((n - 13)n + 24) - 2n + 38) + 8) P'<sub>n</sub>  
+ 8c (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m(3m + 2)P''<sub>n-2</sub>  
+ 4 (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) m (c<sup>2</sup>m(n - 7) - mn + m - 4) P''<sub>n</sub>  
+ 2c(3m + 2)(n - 2)(m(n - 4) + 4)P<sub>n-2</sub>. (2.18)

Multiplying this equation by  $c(-mn + m - 4)$  and adding it to [\(2.17\)](#page-17-0) multiplied by  $6m + 4$ , we get:

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
0 = c(n - 4)(m(n - 6) + 4) (c2(m(n - 1) + 4) - m(n + 5) - 8) Pn+ 4c (m (c2(n - 5)(m(n - 1) + 4) + m(13 - (n - 6)n) - 4n + 44) + 8) P'n-2+ 8 (c2 - 1) m(3m + 2)P''n-2+ 4c (c2 - 1) m (c2(m(n - 1) + 4) - m(n + 5) - 8) P''n+ (-4c4(m(n - 6) + 2)(m(n - 1) + 4) + 4c2(m(n - 6) + 2)(m(n + 5) + 8)-8(3m + 2)(m(n - 3) + 4)) P'n.+ 2(3m + 2)(n - 2)(m(n - 4) + 4)Pn-2. (2.19)
$$

Multiplying it by  $-c$  and adding to [\(2.18\)](#page-17-1) we obtain the following equation:

<span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
0 = 4 (c2 - 1) mP''n + (n - 4)(m(n - 6) + 4)Pn
$$
  
+ 4m(n - 5)P'<sub>n-2</sub> - 4c(m(n - 6) + 2)P'<sub>n</sub>. (2.20)

Differentiate the last equation with respect to  $c$  to get

$$
0 = 4 (c2 - 1) mP''n + (n - 6)(m(n - 8) + 4)P'n+ 4m(n - 5)P''n-2 - 4c(m(n - 8) + 2)P''n.
$$
 (2.21)

Multiplying it by  $-2(c^2-1)(3m+2)$  and adding to [\(2.19\)](#page-17-2) multiplied by  $n-5$  gives us the following equation"

$$
0 = -8 (c2 - 1)2 m(3m + 2)P''n
$$
  
+ 4c (c<sup>2</sup> - 1) (m (c<sup>2</sup>(n - 5)(m(n - 1) + 4) – m((n - 6)n + 23) – 4(n - 5)) + 8) P''<sub>n</sub>  
+ c(n - 5)(n - 4)(m(n - 6) + 4) (c<sup>2</sup>(m(n - 1) + 4) – m(n + 5) – 8) P<sub>n</sub>  
+ 4c(n - 5) (m (c<sup>2</sup>(n - 5)(m(n - 1) + 4) + m(13 – (n - 6)n) – 4n + 44) + 8) P'<sub>n-2</sub>  
+ ((n - 5) (-4c<sup>4</sup>(m(n - 6) + 2)(m(n - 1) + 4) + 4c<sup>2</sup>(m(n - 6) + 2)(m(n + 5) + 8)  
-8(3m + 2)(m(n - 3) + 4)) – 2 (c<sup>2</sup> – 1) (3m + 2)(n – 6)(m(n – 8) + 4)) P'<sub>n</sub>  
+ 2(3m + 2)(n – 5)(n – 2)(m(n – 4) + 4)P<sub>n-2</sub>.

Multiplying now  $(2.20)$  by  $c(m(c^2(n-5)(m(n-1)+4)+m(13-(n-6)n)-4n+44)+8)$ and adding it to the equation above multiplied by  $-m$  gives the following equation:

$$
0 = -24c(c2 - 1) m2 P''n - 4(c2 - 1)2 m2 P''n
$$
  
+ (c<sup>2</sup>(m(3n(m(n - 6) + 4) - 40) + 16)  
+m(-3m((n - 6)n + 4) - 12n + 56)) P'<sub>n</sub>  
+ m(n - 5)(n - 2)(m(n - 4) + 4)P<sub>n-2</sub>  
- c(n - 4)(m(n - 6) + 4)(m(n - 2) + 2)P<sub>n</sub>.

If we differentiate this equation, multiply by  $-4$  and add to [\(2.20\)](#page-17-3) multiplied by  $(n-2)(mn 4m + 4$ ) we will come to the desired linear differential equation of order 4. This proves the theorem.

<span id="page-18-1"></span> $\Box$ 

<span id="page-18-0"></span>Denote  $v := \ln z$  and, consequently,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ .

Corollary 2.2. Generating function  $P_{-4} = P_{-4}(c, z)$  satisfies the following linear PDE of fourth order:

$$
0 = m^{2} \left[ 4(1 - c^{2}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial c^{2}} - 12c \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}} + 2(\frac{2}{m} - 3) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + 4(1 - \frac{2}{m}) \right]^{2} P_{-4} + 16(m^{2} - 8m) \frac{\partial^{2} P_{-4}}{\partial c^{2}} - 16(2 - m)^{2} (c \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + 1)^{2} P_{-4}, \quad (2.22)
$$

whith  $(c, z) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, 1)$  and with the following boundary conditions:

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
P_{-4}(c,0) = 1, \frac{\partial P_{-4}}{\partial z}(c,0) = \frac{\partial^2 P_{-4}}{\partial z^2}(c,0) = \frac{\partial^3 P_{-4}}{\partial z^3}(c,0) = 0.
$$
 (2.23)

*Proof.* It follows from [\(1.14\)](#page-5-0) that  $P_{-4}$  is an analytic function represented by the series  $P_{-4}(c, z)$  =  $\sum^{\infty}$  $\sum_{n=0} P_{-4,n}(c)z^n$  (in some ball of small radius). Hence, by Theorem [2.1](#page-7-1) and some elementary algebraic calculations,  $P_{-4}$  satisfies the linear PDE [\(2.22\)](#page-18-1) in the ball. By the uniqueness of analytic continuation, it satisfies the PDE [\(2.22\)](#page-18-1) in the domain  $(-1, 1) \times (0, 1)$ . The boundary conditions  $(2.23)$  immediately follow from the definition of  $P_{-4}$ .  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.24. Boundary conditions for P−<sup>4</sup> on other parts of the boundary of the domain  $[-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$  can be explicitly calculated using formula [\(1.14\)](#page-5-0).

#### 2.2. Superelliptic type 2

Now we consider the second family of polynomials  $P_{-2,n}$ . We have

$$
P_{-2}(c, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{-2,k-4}(c) z^{k} =
$$
  
=  $z^{3-\frac{4}{m}} \left( -2cz^{2} + z^{4} + 1 \right)^{1/m} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \int_{\epsilon}^{z} \frac{(4-m)w^{\frac{4}{m}-2}}{m \left( -2cw^{2} + w^{4} + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{m}+1}} dw + \epsilon^{4/m-1} \right] =$   
=  $z^{2} - \frac{2c(m-2)}{m+4} z^{4} + \frac{z^{6} \left( m(m+4) - 4c^{2} \left( m^{2} - 4 \right) \right)}{(m+4)(3m+4)} - \frac{4z^{8} \left( c(m+2) \left( 2c^{2} (m-2)(3m+2) - 3m^{2} \right) \right)}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)} + O(z^{9}),$ 

and the polynomials  $P_{-2,n}(c)$  satisfy the following recursion:

$$
0 = 2c(km - m + 2)P_{-2,k+2}(c) - (km + m + 4)P_{-2,k+4}(c) - (km - 3m)P_{-2,k}(c).
$$
 (2.25)

In particular, the first nonzero polynomials of the sequence are:

$$
P_{-2,2}(c) = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-2,4}(c) = -\frac{2c(m-2)}{m+4},
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-2,6}(c) = \frac{m(m+4) - 4c^2 (m^2 - 4)}{(m+4)(3m+4)},
$$
  
\n
$$
P_{-2,8}(c) = -\frac{4c(m+2) (2c^2(m-2)(3m+2) - 3m^2)}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)}.
$$

**Theorem 2.3.** The polynomials  $P_n = P_{-2,n}(c)$  satisfy the following fourth order linear differential equation:

$$
0 = 16 (c2 - 1)2 m2 Pn(iv) + 160c (c2 - 1) m2 P''n
$$
  
+ [8m(m(n<sup>2</sup> - 6n - 2) + 4(n - 8))  
-8c<sup>2</sup> (m<sup>2</sup>((n - 6)n - 32) + 4m(n - 6) + 8)] P''<sub>n</sub>  
- 24c (m<sup>2</sup>(n - 4)(n - 2) + 4m(n - 6) + 8) P'<sub>n</sub>  
+ (n<sup>2</sup> - 4)(mn - 8m + 4)(mn - 4m + 4)P<sub>n</sub>. (2.26)

<span id="page-20-0"></span>Corollary 2.4. Generating function  $P_{-2} = P_{-2}(c, z)$  satisfies the following linear PDE of fourth order:

$$
0 = m^{2} \left[ 4(1 - c^{2}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial c^{2}} - 12c \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}} + 2(\frac{2}{m} - 3) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - 4 \right]^{2} P_{-2} + 144m^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} P_{-2}}{\partial c^{2}} - 16(3m - 2)^{2} (c \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + 1)^{2} P_{-2}, \quad (2.27)
$$

(where  $v = \ln z$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ) with  $(c, z) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, 1)$  and with the following boundary conditions:

$$
\frac{\partial^2 P_{-2}}{\partial z^2}(c,0) = 1, P_{-2}(c,0) = \frac{\partial P_{-2}}{\partial z}(c,0) = \frac{\partial^3 P_{-2}}{\partial z^3}(c,0) = 0.
$$
 (2.28)

<span id="page-20-1"></span> $\Box$ 

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary [2.2.](#page-18-0)

#### 3. Uniqueness of solutions

Let us check now if the differential equations [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) and [\(2.26\)](#page-20-1) have other polynomial solutions. The argument below was suggested by A.Shyndyapin.

Clearly, if  $\{P_n\}$  is a family of polynomials satisfying  $(2.2)$  (respectively,  $(2.26)$ ), then for any choice of nonzero scalars  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , the family  $\{\lambda_n P_n\}$  also satisfies [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) (respectively, [\(2.26\)](#page-20-1)). We will call such families of polynomials equivalent. Also, we will ignore the families of polynomials obtained by zeroing some members of the family.

Consider first the equation [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) and assume that

$$
Q_n = \sum_{i=0}^{r(n)} \alpha_i(n) c^i
$$

is its polynomial solution. We will simply write r for  $r(n)$  and  $\alpha_i$  for  $\alpha_i(n)$ . After the substitution in [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r} ((2i - n + 4)(2i + n)(m(2i - n + 6) - 4)(m(2i + n - 2) + 4))\alpha_i c^i
$$
  
+  $(-8(i - 1)im (m (4i^2 - (n - 6)n - 6) - 4(n - 6)))\alpha_i c^{i-2}$   
+  $(16(i - 3)(i - 2)(i - 1)im^2)\alpha_i c^{i-4} = 0,$ 

which is equivalent to the following system of linear equations:

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
[(2i - n + 4)(2i + n)(m(2i - n + 6) - 4)(m(2i + n - 2) + 4)]\alpha_i
$$
  
+
$$
[-8(i + 1)(i + 2)m(m(4i(i + 4) - (n - 6)n + 10) - 4(n - 6))] \alpha_{i+2}
$$
  
+
$$
[16(i + 1)(i + 2)(i + 3)(i + 4)m^2]] \alpha_{i+4} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., r.
$$
\n(3.1)

Here  $\alpha_s$  is assumed to be zero if  $s > r$ . The coefficient matrix of the system is upper triangular with

$$
(2i - n + 4)(2i + n)(m(2i - n + 6) - 4)(m(2i + n - 2) + 4), i = 0, ..., r
$$

on the diagonal. Hence, for each n, the solution of the system is unique and trivial if  $(2i - n + 1)$  $4)(m(2i-n+6)-4) \neq 0$  for all  $i = 0, 1, ..., r$ . Suppose  $(2i-n+4)(m(2i-n+6)-4) = 0$ . First consider the case  $m > 4$ . Then we must have  $2i - n + 4 = 0$ . From [\(3.1\)](#page-21-0) we get that  $Q_{2k+1} = 0$ for all  $k > 0$ , and  $Q_{2k}$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar for each  $k > 1$ . The degree of  $Q_{2k}$  is  $\geq k-2$ . Now, if  $m=4$  then either  $i=\frac{n}{2}-2$  or  $i=\frac{n+1}{2}-3$ . Therefore,  $Q_{2k}$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar as a polynomial of degree  $\geq k-2$ ,  $Q_1 = Q_3 = 0$  and  $Q_{2k+1}$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar as a polynomial of degree  $\geq k-2$  for each  $k \geq 2$ .

Consider now the equation[\(2.26\)](#page-20-1). Arguing as above we come a linear system on the coefficients of the polynomial solution  $Q_n$ . The matrix of this system is again upper triangular with diagonal entries

$$
(4(i + 1)2 – n2) (m(2i – n + 8) – 4)(m(2i + n – 4) + 4), i = 0,...,r.
$$

Assume that  $(4(i+1)^2 - n^2) (m(2i - n + 8) - 4)(m(2i + n - 4) + 4) = 0$ . If  $m > 4$ , then  $Q_{2k+1} = 0$  for all  $k > 0$ , and  $Q_{2k}$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar for each  $k > 1$ , while  $Q_2 = 0$ . The degree of  $Q_{2k}$  is  $\geq k-2$ . Suppose now that  $m=4$  and  $n>1$ . Then either  $i=\frac{n}{2}-1$  or  $i = \frac{n+1}{2} - 3$ . Therefore,  $Q_{2k}$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar as a polynomial of degree  $\geq k-2$ ,  $Q_3 = Q_5 = 0$  and  $Q_{2k+1}$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar as a polynomial of degree  $\geq k-2$ for each  $k \geq 3$ . When  $m = 4$ ,  $n = 1$  and  $i = 1$  we also get 0. Hence,  $Q_1$  is defined uniquely up to a scalar.

We proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** For any  $m \geq 4$ , the differential equations [\(2.2\)](#page-7-2) and [\(2.26\)](#page-20-1) have unique polynomial solutions up to equivalence.

#### 4. Associated ultraspherical polynomials

After shifting the indices back by 4 we obtain that both families of the polynomials  $P_{-4,n}(c)$ and  $P_{-2,n}(c)$  satisfy the recurrence relation

$$
(km+m+4)Pk(c) = 2c(km-m+2)Pk-2(c) - (k-3)mPk-4(c),
$$
\n(4.1)

where  $P_k(c) \in \{P_{-4,k-4}(c), P_{-2,k-4}(c)\}\.$  Note that all odd polynomials are zero. Set  $k = 2(n+1)$ and  $q_s = q_s(c) := P_{2s}(c)$ . Then we have

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
2c(2mn+m+2)q_n = (2mn+3m+4)q_{n+1} + m(2n-1)q_{n-1}.
$$
\n(4.2)

For example, we have:  $q_0 = 1, q_1 = \frac{6cm(m+2)}{(m+4)(3m+4)}$ ,

$$
q_2 = \frac{3m(3m+2)\left(4c^2(m+2)-2m\right)}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)}, \ \ q_3 = \frac{12cm(3m+2)(5m+2)\left(2c^2(m+2)-6m\right)}{(m+4)(3m+4)(5m+4)(7m+4)}.
$$

We will show that  $\{q_n\}$  is a special case of the associated ultraspherical polynomials.

Let c and v are two complex numbers. Let  $C_n^{(\nu)}(x;c)$  denotes the family of associated ultraspherical polynomials with initial conditions  $C_{-1}^{(\nu)}(x;c) = 0$  and  $C_0^{(\nu)}(x;c) = 1$  (cf. [\[4](#page-24-11)], p. 729). Then they satisfy the following difference equation:

$$
2x(n+\nu+c)C_n^{(\nu)}(x;c) = (n+c+1)C_{n+1}^{(\nu)}(x;c) + (2\nu+n+c-1)C_{n-1}^{(\nu)}(x;c). \tag{4.3}
$$

Choosing  $c = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{m}$  and  $\nu = -\frac{1}{m}$  this equation becomes the recurrence relation [\(4.2\)](#page-22-0). Hence, we conclude that polynomials  $P_{-4,n}$ , is a special case of associated ultraspherical polynomials. We immediately have

Corollary 4.1. Polynomials  $P_{-4,n}$  are non-classical orthogonal polynomials.

#### 5. Orthogonality of  $P_{-2,n}$

Polynomials  $P_{-2,n}$  satisfy the same recurrence relation as polynomials  $P_{-4,n}$  but have different initial conditions. Hence, we do not know immediately whether they are associated ultraspherical polynomials and whether they are orthogonal. We will give an independent proof of the orthogonality of these polynomials. Set  $q_s(c) = P_{-2,2s}(c)$ . In particular, we have

$$
q_1 = 1 \ \ q_2 = -\frac{2c(m-2)}{m+4}, \ \ q_3 = \frac{m(m+4) - 4c^2(m^2-4)}{(m+4)(3m+4)}.
$$

Now we set  $\overline{q}_n := q_{n+1}, n \geq -1$ . Then polynomials  $\overline{q}_n$  have degree n, and [\(4.2\)](#page-22-0) becomes

$$
2(2mn + 3m + 2)c\overline{q}_n = (2mn + m)\overline{q}_{n-1} + (2mn + 5m + 4)\overline{q}_{n+1}.
$$
\n(5.1)

We recall the following result.

**Theorem 5.1** ([\[8\]](#page-24-9), Theorem 5.0.4). Let  $\{p_n, n \ge 0\}$  be a sequence of polynomials, where  $p_n$  has degree n for any n, satisfying the following recursion

$$
\chi p_n = a_{n+1} p_{n+1} + b_n p_n + c_{n-1} p_{n-1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \qquad (5.2)
$$

for some complex numbers  $a_{n+1}$ ,  $b_n$ ,  $c_{n-1}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  and  $p_{-1} = 0$ . Then  $\{p_n, n \ge 0\}$  is a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to some (unique) weight measure if and only if  $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c_n = \overline{a}_n + 1 \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We apply the theorem in the case when

$$
a_{n+1} = \frac{(2mn+5m+4)}{2(2mn+3m+2)}, \ b_n = 0, \ c_{n-1} = \frac{(2mn+m)}{2(2mn+3m+2)}.
$$

**Theorem 5.2.** The polynomials  $P_{-2,n}(c)$  are orthogonal with respect to some weight function.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to check that there exists a family of orthonormal polynomials  $f_n$  and constants  $\lambda_n$  such that  $q_n = \lambda_n f_n$  for all n.

We have the following recursion for  $f_n$ :

$$
f_n = \frac{(2mn+m)\lambda_{n-1}}{2(2mn+3m+2)c\lambda_n} f_{n-1} + \frac{(2mn+5m+4)\lambda_{n+1}}{2(2mn+3m+2)c\lambda_n} f_{n+1},
$$

for  $n \geq 1$ .

Set

$$
A_{n+1} = \frac{(2mn+5m+4)\lambda_{n+1}}{2(2mn+3m+2)\lambda_n}
$$
  

$$
C_{n-1} = \frac{(2mn+m)\lambda_{n-1}}{2(2mn+3m+2)\lambda_n}
$$

Then  $A_n = C_{n-1}$  if and only if

$$
\lambda_n^2 = \frac{(2mn+m+2)(2mn+m)}{(2mn+3m+4)(2mn+3m+2)}\lambda_{n-1}^2.
$$

Taking  $\lambda_0 = 1$  we can find a family of constants  $\lambda_n$  satisfying this relation.

 $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgments

Vyacheslav Futorny was supported by China NSF grant (No.1231101349).

#### References

### References

<span id="page-23-0"></span>[1] F. Albino dos Santos, On the universal central extension of superelliptic affine Lie algebras, Communications in Algebra 50 (5) (2021) 2045–2055. [doi:10.1080/00927872.2021.1998515](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2021.1998515).

- <span id="page-24-3"></span>[2] M. Bremner, Universal central extensions of elliptic affine Lie algebras, Journal of Mathematical Physics 35 (12) (1994) 6685–6692. [doi:10.1063/1.530700](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.530700).
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>[3] M. Bremner, Four-point affine Lie algebras, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 123 (7) (1995) 1981–1989. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1995-1249871-8](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1995-1249871-8).
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>[4] J. Bustoz, M.E.H. Ismail, The associated ultraspherical polynomials and their q-analogues, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 34 (3) (1982) 718–736. [doi:10.4153/CJM-1982-049-6](https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1982-049-6)
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>[5] B. Cox, E. Jurisich, Realizations of the three-point Lie algebra  $sl(2,R)+(\Omega R/dR)$ , Pacific Journal of Mathematics 270 (1) (2014) 27–48. [doi:10.2140/pjm.2014.270.27](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2014.270.27).
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>[6] B. Cox, V. Futorny, DJKM algebras I: Their universal central extension, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 139 (10) (2011) 3451–3451. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-10906-7](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2011-10906-7).
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>[7] B. Cox, V. Futorny, R. A. Martins, Free field realizations of the Date-Jimbo-Kashiwara-Miwa algebra, Developments in Mathematics 38 (2014) 111–136. [doi:10.1007/978-3-319-09804-3\\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-09804-3_5).
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>[8] B. Cox, V. Futorny, J. A. Tirao, DJKM algebras and non-classical orthogonal polynomials, Journal of Differential Equations 255 (9) (2013) 2846–2870. [doi:10.1016/j.jde.2013.07.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2013.07.020).
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>[9] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, Landau-Lifshitz equation: Solitons, quasiperiodic solutions and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 16 (2) (1983) 221–236. [doi:10.1088/0305-4470/16/2/006](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/16/2/006).
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>[10] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Affine lie algebras and quantum groups, International Mathematics Research Notices (1991). [doi:10.1155/S1073792891000041](https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792891000041).
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>[11] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. IV, Journal of the American Mathematical Society (1994). [doi:10.1007/s00464-008-0019-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-008-0019-5).
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>[12] C. Kassel, Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over a commutative algebra, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 34 (2) (1984) 265–275. [doi:10.1016/0022-4049\(84\)90040-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(84)90040-9).
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>[13] I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov, Algebras of virasoro type, riemann surfaces and structures of the theory of solitons, Functional Analysis and Its Applications 21 (2) (1987) 126–142. [doi:10.1007/BF01078026](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01078026).
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>[14] I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov, Virasoro type algebras, Riemann surfaces and strings in Minkowski space, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen. 21 (4) (1988) 47–61. [doi:10.1007/BF01077803](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01077803).
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>[15] M. Schlichenmaier, Krichever-Novikov Type Algebras, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Luxembourg, 2014. [doi:10.1515/9783110279641](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110279641).
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>[16] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, American Mathematical Society, 4th edition, 1975.

Felipe Albino dos Santos: University of São Paulo and Mackenzie Presbyterian University, São Paulo, Brazil

email: falbinosantos@gmail.com

Vyacheslav Futorny: Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics, SUSTech, China and University of S˜ao Paulo, Brazil

email: vfutorny@gmail.com

Mikhail Neklyudov: Federal University of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

email: misha.neklyudov@gmail.com