

DEGREES AND CONNECTIVITIES OF A GRAPH AND ITS δ -COMPLEMENT

SUPAKORN SRISAWAT AND PANUPONG VICHITKUNAKORN

ABSTRACT. The δ -complement G_δ of a graph G , introduced in 2022 by Pai et al., is a variant of the graph complement, where two vertices are adjacent in G_δ if and only if they are of the same degree but not adjacent in G or they are of different degrees but adjacent in G . In this paper, we provide the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type bounds, in the spirit of Nordhaus and Gaddum (1956), over the maximum degrees, the minimum degrees, the vertex connectivities, and the edge connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement. All bounds are attained except for the upper bounds on the product between the minimum degrees of a graph and its δ -complement, the vertex connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement, and the edge connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement.

Keywords. delta-complement, graph complement, Nordhaus-Gaddum relation, vertex degree, vertex connectivity, edge connectivity

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum [NG56] showed the following relations between the chromatic numbers of a graph G and \overline{G} .

$$2\sqrt{n} \leq \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1,$$

and

$$n \leq \chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2.$$

After that, there have been a lot of results discussing the similar relations on other several parameters of a graph and its complement, which are called *Nordhaus-Gaddum problems*. Some examples are minimum degrees [AM71], maximum degrees [Xu91], diameters [Xu87], girths [Xu87], circumferences [Xu87], and domination numbers [JP72]. See [AH13] and the references therein for more details.

In 2022, Pai, et al. [PRD⁺22] defined the δ -complement G_δ of a graph G , which is defined similarly to the complement of a graph but considering the degree of the vertices. In 2023, Vichitkunakorn, et al. [VMT23] have discussed the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations between G and G_δ on the chromatic numbers $\chi(G)$ and $\chi(G_\delta)$, with respect to the original theorem from [NG56].

In this work, we first show the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over the maximum degrees and the minimum degrees of a graph and its δ -complement. The results are then used to show the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over the vertex connectivities and the edge connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation on the chromatic numbers, maximum degrees, minimum degrees, vertex connectivities, and edge connectivities of a graph and its complement. Then we review the definition of the δ -complement of a graph and the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation on the chromatic numbers of a graph and its δ -complement. In Section 3, we give

the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation on the maximum and the minimum degrees of a graph and its δ -complement. Then we show that all bounds are sharp except the upper bound of the minimum degree product, the edge connectivity product, and the vertex connectivity product. Some discussions and further questions are in Section 4.

2. BACKGROUND

The complement of a simple graph $G = (V, E)$, denoted by $\overline{G} = (V, \overline{E})$, is a graph such that $uv \in \overline{E}$ if and only if $uv \notin E$. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is the least amount of colors required to label each vertex in G so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color.

In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum were concerned with finding the relations between the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G and the chromatic number $\chi(\overline{G})$ of the complement \overline{G} . They found the upper bound and lower bound of the sum and the product of $\chi(G)$ and $\chi(\overline{G})$ which they have provided in [NG56].

Theorem 1 ([NG56]). *Let G be a graph of n vertices. Then,*

$$2\sqrt{n} \leq \chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq n + 1,$$

and

$$n \leq \chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, the bounds are sharp for all n .

The maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ of a graph G is the maximum number of edges connected to a vertex. Similarly, the minimum degree $\delta(G)$ of G is the minimum number of edges connected to a vertex.

In 1991, Xu [Xu91] has proved the bounds between $\Delta(G)$ and $\Delta(\overline{G})$ as follows.

Theorem 2 ([Xu91]). *Let G be a graph of n vertices. Then,*

$$n - 1 \leq \Delta(G) + \Delta(\overline{G}) \leq 2n - 3.$$

Moreover, the bounds are sharp for all n .

The following are obvious upper bound and lower bound of the product of $\Delta(G)$ and $\Delta(\overline{G})$. However, they are not sharp.

$$0 \leq \Delta(G) \cdot \Delta(\overline{G}) \leq (n - 1)^2.$$

In 1971, Alavi and Mitchem [AM71] provided the bounds on the sum and the product between the minimum degrees of a graph and its complement as follows.

Theorem 3 ([AM71]). *For $n \geq 2$, let G be a graph of n vertices. Then,*

$$1 \leq \delta(G) + \delta(\overline{G}) \leq n - 1,$$

and

$$0 \leq \delta(G) \cdot \delta(\overline{G}) \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil & \text{if } n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

All bounds are sharp except the upper bound of the product which has not been proved.

The vertex connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum amount of vertices needed to be removed so that the graph becomes disconnected or remains one vertex. Similarly, the edge connectivity $\lambda(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum amount of edges needed to be removed so that the graph becomes disconnected.

The bounds on the sum and the product between the vertex connectivities of a graph and its complement and the bounds on the sum and the product between the edge connectivities of a graph and its complement are also provided in [AM71] as follows.

Theorem 4 ([AM71]). *For $n \geq 2$, let G be a graph of n vertices. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \kappa(G) + \kappa(\overline{G}) \leq n - 1, & \quad 0 \leq \kappa(G) \cdot \kappa(\overline{G}) \leq M(n), \\ 1 \leq \lambda(G) + \lambda(\overline{G}) \leq n - 1, & \quad 0 \leq \lambda(G) \cdot \lambda(\overline{G}) \leq M(n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(n) = \begin{cases} \binom{\frac{n-3}{2}}{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil & \text{if } n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, all eight bounds are sharp for all $n \geq 2$.

In 2022, Pai et al. [PRD⁺22] have defined the δ -complement of a graph as follows.

Definition 5. Consider a graph $G = (V, E)$. A graph $G_\delta = (V, E_\delta)$ such that for any $u, v \in V$, $uv \in E_\delta$ if and only if $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$ and $uv \notin E$, or $\deg(u) \neq \deg(v)$ and $uv \in E$. Then G_δ is called a **δ -complement of G** .

Vichitkunakorn et al. [VMT23] considered a δ -complement variant of the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation as follows.

Theorem 6 ([VMT23]). *For $n \geq 4$, let G be a graph of n vertices. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m be degrees of vertices in G . Partition $V(G)$ into m non-empty subsets V_{d_i} . Then*

$$2 \cdot \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|V_{d_i}|\}} \leq \chi(G) + \chi(G_\delta) \leq m + n,$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|V_{d_i}|\} \leq \chi(G) \cdot \chi(G_\delta) \leq \left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)^2.$$

To the best of the authors' knowledge, other works on Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over other invariants of a graph and its δ -complement are yet to be found.

3. NORDHAUS-GADDUM-TYPE RELATIONS

Before showing the bounds on the sum and product of the minimum degrees of a graph and its δ -complement, we will show a significant theorem first.

Theorem 7. *Let G be a graph of n vertices. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\binom{k}{2} + 1 \leq n \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\delta(G_\delta) = n - p$, then*

$$\delta(G) \leq n - k + p - 1.$$

Proof. Assume G is a graph of n vertices such that $\delta(G_\delta) = n - p$. Partition $V(G)$ into m subsets by degrees in G as $V_{r_1}, V_{r_2}, \dots, V_{r_m}$ such that $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_m$.

Let $v \in V_{r_i}$. Then $\deg_{G_\delta}(v) \geq n - p$. Write $\deg_G(v) = a + b$ where a is the number of vertices of different degrees as v whom v is adjacent to in G , and b is the number of vertices of the same degree as v whom v is adjacent to in G .

Clearly, $a \leq n - |V_{r_i}|$. Since $\deg_{G_\delta}(v) \geq n - p$, there are at most $p - 1$ vertices of the same degree as v such that v is adjacent to in G . This will get $b \leq p - 1$. Thus,

$$r_i = \deg_G(v) = a + b \leq n - |V_{r_i}| + p - 1.$$

This implies

$$|V_{r_i}| \leq n - r_i + p - 1.$$

We are now going to prove that $\delta(G) \leq n - k + p - 1$. If $p \geq k$, then we are done. Assume $p < k$. Suppose to the contrary that $\delta(G) > n - k + p - 1$. This means $r_i > n - k + p - 1$. This will get

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq |V_{n-k+p}| + |V_{n-k+p+1}| + \dots + |V_{n-2}| + |V_{n-1}| \\ &\leq (k-1) + (k-2) + \dots + (p+1) + p \\ &= \binom{k}{2} - \binom{p}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

But since $n > \binom{k}{2}$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, $\delta(G) \leq n - k + p - 1$. \square

These results prove the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation over the sum of the minimum degrees of G and G_δ as follows.

Theorem 8. *For $n \neq 2$, let G be a graph of n vertices. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\binom{k}{2} + 1 \leq n \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$0 \leq \delta(G) + \delta(G_\delta) \leq 2n - k - 1.$$

Moreover, the bounds are sharp for all n .

Proof. Let $n \neq 2$. The lower bound is trivial. For each n , if a graph G contains exactly one isolated vertex, then this vertex will not be adjacent to any other vertices in G_δ . Hence $\delta(G) = \delta(G_\delta) = 0$.

From Theorem 7, we can get the upper bound by adding up $\delta(G)$ and $\delta(G_\delta)$.

For each n , if G is a complete multipartite graph $K_{1,2,\dots,l-1,l+1,\dots,k-1,k}$ where $l = \sum_{i=1}^k i - n$, then the minimum degree of G in this case is $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{k-1} i = n - k$, and G_δ is a complete graph, so $\delta(G_\delta) = n - 1$. Adding $\delta(G)$ and $\delta(G_\delta)$ up will get the equality of upper bound. \square

The additive upper bound from Theorem 8 can also imply the multiplicative upper bound as the following.

Theorem 9. *Let G be a graph of n vertices. Then*

$$0 \leq \delta(G) \cdot \delta(G_\delta) \leq \left(\frac{2n - k - 1}{2} \right)^2.$$

where $\binom{k}{2} < n \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the lower bound is sharp for all n .

Proof. The lower bound is obvious. For all n , the bound is attained when $G = K_n$. This implies $G_\delta = N_n$ which consists of only isolated vertices, i.e., their degrees are zero.

By Theorem 8, $\delta(G) + \delta(G_\delta) \leq 2n - k - 1$. If $\delta(G) + \delta(G_\delta) = 2n - k - 1$, then by AM-GM inequality,

$$\delta(G) \cdot \delta(G_\delta) \leq \left(\frac{2n - k - 1}{2} \right)^2.$$

□

Theorem 8 can also imply the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation over the maximum degrees of G and G_δ , using the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let G be a graph.*

$$\overline{G}_\delta \cong (\overline{G})_\delta.$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in V(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} uv \in E(\overline{G}_\delta) &\iff uv \notin E(G_\delta) \\ &\iff \deg_G(u) = \deg_G(v) \text{ and } uv \in E(G) \text{ or} \\ &\quad \deg_G(u) \neq \deg_G(v) \text{ and } uv \notin E(G) \\ &\iff \deg_{\overline{G}}(u) = \deg_{\overline{G}}(v) \text{ and } uv \notin E(\overline{G}) \text{ or} \\ &\quad \deg_{\overline{G}}(u) \neq \deg_{\overline{G}}(v) \text{ and } uv \in E(\overline{G}) \\ &\iff uv \in E((\overline{G})_\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\overline{G}_\delta \cong (\overline{G})_\delta$. □

Theorem 11. *For $n \neq 2$, let G be a graph of n vertices. Then*

$$k - 1 \leq \Delta(G) + \Delta(G_\delta) \leq 2n - 2.$$

where $\binom{k}{2} + 1 \leq n \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the bounds are sharp for all n .

Proof. Let $v \in V(G)$. Clearly, $\deg_{\overline{G}}(v) = (n - 1) - \deg_G(v)$. This will get

$$(1) \quad \Delta(G) = (n - 1) - \delta(\overline{G}).$$

In the same way, by Lemma 10, we get

$$(2) \quad \Delta(G_\delta) = (n - 1) - \delta(\overline{G}_\delta) = (n - 1) - \delta((\overline{G})_\delta).$$

Adding up (1) and (2) together will get

$$\Delta(G) + \Delta(G_\delta) = 2(n - 1) - (\delta(\overline{G}) + \delta((\overline{G})_\delta)).$$

By Theorem 8, we get

$$k - 1 \leq \Delta(G) + \Delta(G_\delta) \leq 2(n - 1).$$

Furthermore, an example of the lower bound being sharp is when G is a collection of complete graphs $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} K_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^k K_i$ where $l = \binom{k+1}{2} - n$. An example for the sharp upper bound is $G = K_{1, n-1}$ because there exists a unique vertex v that is adjacent to all other vertices so $\deg_G(v) = n - 1$, and $G_\delta = K_n$ whose vertices are all of degree $n - 1$. □

Theorem 12. *Let G be a graph of n vertices. Then*

$$0 \leq \Delta(G) \cdot \Delta(G_\delta) \leq (n-1)^2.$$

Moreover, the bounds are sharp for all n .

Proof. Since $0 \leq \deg_G(v) \leq n-1$, both bounds are obvious. An example of the sharp lower bound is when $G = K_n$, while an example of the sharp upper bound is when $G = K_{1,n-1}$. \square

Remark 13. When $n = 2$, we have $\delta(G) + \delta(G_\delta) = 1$ and $\Delta(G) + \Delta(G_\delta) = 1$.

We can also use Theorem 8 and the fact that $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$ to show the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over the sum of $\kappa(G)$ and $\kappa(G_\delta)$ and over the sum of $\lambda(G)$ and $\lambda(G_\delta)$ as follows.

Theorem 14. *For $n \neq 2$, let G be a graph of n vertices. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\binom{k}{2} + 1 \leq n \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$. Then*

$$0 \leq \kappa(G) + \kappa(G_\delta) \leq 2n - k - 1,$$

and

$$0 \leq \lambda(G) + \lambda(G_\delta) \leq 2n - k - 1.$$

Moreover, all bounds are sharp for all n .

Proof. Let $n \neq 2$. Using Theorem 8 and the fact that $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$, the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over the sum of $\kappa(G)$ and $\kappa(G_\delta)$ and over the sum of $\lambda(G)$ and $\lambda(G_\delta)$ hold.

The lower bounds for both sums are sharp for all n when G contains exactly one isolated vertex. So, this vertex remains isolated in G_δ . This means G and G_δ are both disconnected.

An example of the sharp upper bounds for both sums for all n is when G is a complete multipartite graph $G = K_{1,2,\dots,l-1,l+1,\dots,k-1,k}$ where $l = \sum_{i=1}^k i - n$. Notice that the induced subgraph $G[S]$ is disconnected if and only if all vertices in S are from the same partite set. Hence, the least amount of vertices to be removed from G to make G disconnected is $n - k$, as the largest partite set of G is of size k . Therefore, $\kappa(G) = n - k$. Since G is a multipartite graph of different partition sizes, $G_\delta = K_n$. So, $\kappa(G_\delta) = n - 1$. This will get $\kappa(G) + \kappa(G_\delta) = 2n - k - 1$.

We know that $n - k = \kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G)$. Let v be a vertex in the largest partite set of G . Removing all $n - k$ edges incident to v makes G disconnected. Hence $\lambda(G) = n - k$. Since $\lambda(G_\delta) = \lambda(K_n) = n - 1$, we have $\lambda(G) + \lambda(G_\delta) = 2n - k - 1$. \square

Remark 15. When $n = 2$, we have $\kappa(G) + \kappa(G_\delta) = 1$ and $\lambda(G) + \lambda(G_\delta) = 1$.

Similarly, we use Theorem 9 to show the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over the product of $\kappa(G)$ and $\kappa(G_\delta)$ and over the product of $\lambda(G)$ and $\lambda(G_\delta)$ as follows.

Theorem 16. *Let G be a graph of n vertices. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\binom{k}{2} + 1 \leq n \leq \binom{k+1}{2}$. Then*

$$0 \leq \kappa(G) \cdot \kappa(G_\delta) \leq \left(\frac{2n - k - 1}{2} \right)^2,$$

and

$$0 \leq \lambda(G) \cdot \lambda(G_\delta) \leq \left(\frac{2n - k - 1}{2} \right)^2.$$

Moreover, the lower bounds are sharp for all n .

Proof. Using Theorem 9 and using the fact that $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$, the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations over the product of $\kappa(G)$ and $\kappa(G_\delta)$ and over the product of $\lambda(G)$ and $\lambda(G_\delta)$ hold.

The lower bounds for both products are sharp for all n when at least one of G and G_δ is disconnected. Thus, it requires zero vertices or zero edges to be removed. \square

4. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We gave the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations on the minimum degrees, the maximum degrees, the vertex connectivities, and the edge connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement. Thirteen out of sixteen bounds are found sharp. Our upper bounds on the product between the minimum degrees of a graph and its δ -complement, the vertex connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement, and the edge connectivities of a graph and its δ -complement are not sharp for all n . We also conjecture that these bounds are only sharp for a finitely many n .

Results on the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation on other graph invariants will also be interesting. Furthermore, one can also study the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relations of a graph and its δ' -complement, which is defined in [PRD⁺22] similarly to the δ -complement. In addition to the Nordhaus-Gaddum-type relation, the relations between two (or more) different invariants of a graph and its δ -complement are also interesting to study. See [BD06] and the references therein for more examples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supakorn Srisawat was supported by Graduate Fellowship (Research Assistant), Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Contract no. 1-2565-02-028.

REFERENCES

- [AH13] M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen. A survey of nordhaus–gaddum type relations. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 161:466–546, 2013.
- [AM71] Y. Alavi and J. Mitchem. The connectivity and line connectivity of complementary graphs. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 1–3, 1971.
- [BD06] Robert Brigham and Ronald Dutton. A compilation of relations between graph invariants. *Networks*, 15:73 – 107, 10 2006.
- [JP72] F. Jaeger and C. Payan. Relations du type nordhaus–gaddum pour le nombre d’absorption d’un graphe simple. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A*, 274:728–730, 1972.
- [NG56] E. A. Nordhaus and J. W. Gaddum. On complementary graphs. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 63(3):175, 1956.
- [PRD⁺22] Amrithalakshmi Pai, Harshitha A. Rao, Sabitha D’Souza, Pradeep G. Bhat, and Shankar Upadhyay. δ -complement of a graph. *Mathematics*, 10(8):1203, 2022.
- [VMT23] Panupong Vichitkunakorn, Rasimate Maungchang, and Wipawee Tangjai. On nordhaus-gaddum type relations of δ -complement graphs. *Heliyon*, 9(6), 2023.
- [Xu87] S. J. Xu. Some parameters of graph and its complement. *Discrete Mathematics*, 65(2):197 – 207, 1987.
- [Xu91] S. J. Xu. Relations between parameters of a graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 89:65–88, 1991.

Email address: supakorn.swt@gmail.com

Email address, Corresponding author: panupong.v@psu.ac.th

DIVISION OF COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, PRINCE OF SONGKLA UNIVERSITY, HAT YAI, SONGKHLA, 90110, THAILAND