

A Survey on Decentralized Identifiers and Verifiable Credentials

Carlo Mazzocca , Abbas Acar , Selcuk Uluagac , Rebecca Montanari , Paolo Bellavista , Mauro Conti 

Abstract—Digital identity has always been considered the keystone for implementing secure and trustworthy communications among parties. The ever-evolving digital landscape has gone through many technological transformations that have also affected the way entities are digitally identified. During this digital evolution, identity management has shifted from centralized to decentralized approaches. The last era of this journey is represented by the emerging Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI), which gives users full control over their data. SSI leverages decentralized identifiers (DIDs) and verifiable credentials (VCs), which have been recently standardized by the World Wide Web Community (W3C). These technologies have the potential to build more secure and decentralized digital identity systems, remarkably contributing to strengthening the security of communications that typically involve many distributed participants. It is worth noting that the scope of DIDs and VCs extends beyond individuals, encompassing a broad range of entities including cloud, edge, and Internet of Things (IoT) resources. However, due to their novelty, existing literature lacks a comprehensive survey on how DIDs and VCs have been employed in different application domains, which go beyond SSI systems. This paper provides readers with a comprehensive overview of such technologies from different perspectives. Specifically, we first provide the background on DIDs and VCs. Then, we analyze available implementations and offer an in-depth review of how these technologies have been employed across different use-case scenarios. Furthermore, we examine recent regulations and initiatives that have been emerging worldwide. Finally, we present some challenges that hinder their adoption in real-world scenarios and future research directions.

Index Terms—Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs), Verifiable Credentials (VCs), Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI), Digital Identity, Decentralized Identity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent proliferation of digital services that can be accessed through a network connection has resulted in an unprecedented increase in the number of digital identities [1]. As a result, the vast majority of the world's population owns at least one digital identity, which serves as the key to unlocking a multitude of online capabilities and services. However, the concept of digital identity extends far beyond the identification of human beings [2]. With the widespread adoption of the Internet of Things (IoT) and the power of the 5th generation

(5G) networks, coupled with the upcoming advent of the 6th generation (6G), there has been a remarkable increase in the number of connected devices [3]. These devices require unique digital identities that enable their participation in the digital ecosystem, i.e., establishing secure communications.

How to identify entities in the digital landscape has always been a primary concern as also witnessed by the number of solutions that have occurred over the years. Indeed, the way an entity proves to be the owner of a digital identity can be affected by a wide range of vulnerabilities [4]. One of the main challenges of traditional identification methods lies in the way they are managed, which usually involves centralized identity providers. Information is stored in centralized data repositories, potentially leading to serious data breaches that cause significant loss of personal data and disrupt the stakeholders' reputation [5], [6]. Moreover, in most cases, authentication still relies on the use of usernames and passwords, which is vulnerable to several attacks [7] (e.g., dictionary or phishing attacks). Storing credentials in centralized databases increases the risk to user security, especially when individuals reuse the same login information across multiple services [8]. Finally, centralized identity management systems depend on specific identity service nodes, thus being potentially affected by the single point of failure problem [9].

Some of these challenges have been partially addressed with the advent of federated identity systems [10]. The principal advantage of this identity model lies in empowering users to seamlessly access multiple services using the same identity. This identification paradigm relies on mutual trust among various parties, wherein verification is distributed across all identification systems or parties mutually accept the standards employed by each system. In a typical federated scenario, users authenticate themselves against a singular authority, referred to as the identity provider, granting them to access all other applications on its behalf. Despite representing progress toward decentralization, federated identity still raises significant concerns regarding user privacy. Specifically, this model hinges on a certain level of trust in identity providers, and individuals retain limited control over their data.

Therefore, the increasing use of online services, the growing decentralization, as well as the increasing awareness of the drawbacks of traditional methods are paving the way to more secure and privacy-preserving methods. In this direction, due to the current laws and regulations such as the European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [11], the concept of *self-sovereign identity* (SSI) [12] is gaining much interest from both the academic and industrial worlds.

SSI is based on the idea that an individual must have

Manuscript received X, X; revised X, X.

Carlo Mazzocca, Rebecca Montanari, and Paolo Bellavista are with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Bologna, 40136 Bologna, Italy (e-mail: name.surname@unibo.it).

Abbas Acar and Selcuk Uluagac are with the Cyber-Physical Systems Security Lab, School of Computing and Information Science, Florida International University, 33174 Miami, Florida, United States (e-mail: acar, suluagac@fiu.edu).

Mauro Conti is with the Department of Mathematics, University of Padua, 35131 Padua, Italy (e-mail: conti@math.unipd.it).

TABLE I
COMPARISON OF SURVEYS IN THE FIELD.

Year	Ref.	Summary of the Work
2021	[16]	A systematic mapping study on SSI. The analysis outlines that the use of DIDs and/or VCs is discussed in most of the papers addressing SSI, recognizing their potential for the implementation of SSI. The paper mainly provides insights into trends and demographics of SSI works.
2021	[17]	A survey on SSI ecosystem. The work primarily discusses DIDs and VCs as key technologies for the implementation of SSI systems. However, it does not analyze how these technologies have been utilized in the existing literature, and the examination of regulations is notably limited.
2022	[18]	A concise survey on the use of blockchain in SSI. The concept of DIDs and VCs are only presented as the foundational technologies of SSI, without delving into an examination of relevant research papers.
2022	[19]	A systematic review and mapping of theoretical and practical advances in SSI. The paper comprehensively discusses how DIDs and VCs can be employed in SSI systems. However, the review does not extend to exploring other application domains, nor does it encompass a discussion on emerging regulations and initiatives.
	Ours	A comprehensive survey on DIDs and VCs. Our work covers all the key aspects related to these technologies, ranging from the primary implementations available, and their applications across diverse domains, to the exploration of emerging regulations and initiatives proposed by governments and organizations.

full control of their information [13] without being forced to outsource data to any centralized authority or third party. With an SSI system, users can store their identity data and determine how much of it they wish to share. Thus, they have the autonomy to decide with whom they share their data. A fundamental achievement of SSI is the capability of a user to present their trusted credentials to a third party without having to engage an intermediary. This process is enabled through the ownership and control of decentralized identifiers (DIDs) [14] that define a globally unique and cryptographic identifier scheme. Each DID is associated with a DID Document, which contains publicly available information (e.g., public keys), and is stored on shared data sources such as distributed ledger technologies (DLTs). By presenting a DID, an individual can obtain verifiable credentials (VCs) [15]. These VCs constitute claims regarding the DID, commonly known as the subject, and can be verified by an external party without necessitating direct engagement with the VC issuer. For example, an individual applying for a job online could issue a digitally signed credential from their university, attesting to their acquisition of a bachelor's degree and residency in the relevant country in which they are applying.

Consequently, such technologies can play a key role in establishing trust and secure communications among peers through digital identities [20], encompassing both human and non-human entities such as IoT devices. DIDs and VCs have been proposed as valuable solutions to enhance privacy and security in several application domains (e.g., smart transportation and smart healthcare). These standards can be extended to anyone and anything, including cloud, edge, and

IoT resources. Notably, numerous implementations supporting these technologies have recently been developed and made available by different organizations, including major companies from industry like Microsoft. Additionally, government institutions worldwide have been actively working to promote the widespread adoption of DIDs and VCs. For instance, in 2021, the European Commission proposed the second version of the electronic identification and trust services (eIDAS 2.0) [21]. This initiative seeks to provide European citizens with secure access to online services throughout Europe via an SSI system, reflecting a significant stride toward the advancement of digital identity solutions.

A. Comparison with Related Surveys

The interest in DIDs and VCs has been rapidly increasing worldwide, capturing the attention of academics, companies, governments, and standardization organizations. This growing trend is fueled by the growing number of research papers and initiatives that have emerged in recent years. While numerous works have reviewed digital identities [22]–[25], none have offered a comprehensive analysis specifically focusing on these emerging technologies. Existing surveys that study the advancements of DIDs and VCs have predominantly limited their analysis to SSI ecosystems and only consider a limited number of referenced works. To provide a clear and concise comparison, Table I presents an overview of our work with similar surveys conducted in the field.

Bai et al. [18] present a concise survey on the application of blockchain in SSI. The authors highlight DIDs and VCs as enabling technologies of SSI systems, however, they do not review research papers in the field. Cucko et al. [16] conduct a systematic mapping study to offer a coarse-grained overview of SSI. Their work provides valuable insights into trends and demographics by gathering, analyzing, and classifying the research papers according to several factors, including their contribution, application domain, and IT field. Additionally, Schardong et al. [19] present a systematic review and mapping of theoretical and practical advances in SSI. Contrary to other works, the authors discuss the usage of DIDs and VCs in research papers related to SSI. However, their analysis does not consider other application scenarios, nor does it review emerging regulations and initiatives. Similarly to our work, Soltani et al. [17] review research initiatives, platforms, projects, and regulatory frameworks in the context of SSI. The authors describe DIDs and VCs, also giving examples of platforms that implement them. Nevertheless, they do not investigate the adoption of these technologies in the literature, and the discussion on regulations was highly restricted.

B. Contributions

Related surveys mainly focus on the application of DIDs and VCs in SSI, often neglecting implementations, alternative applications, and regulations. It is worth highlighting that due to the novelty of these technologies, numerous papers and regulations/initiatives have been proposed after the aforementioned surveys; hence, we are the first to revise them.




Fig. 1. Illustrative organization of the survey.

Our survey aims to bridge this gap by presenting a comprehensive overview of these technologies. This work provides an extensive analysis of many aspects of DIDs and VCs, ranging from background concepts, available implementations, application domains, and research directions. We believe that this survey provides readers with thorough knowledge of DIDs and VCs, resulting in a valuable resource for everyone who is seeking a clear understanding of these emerging technologies. The key contributions of the paper can be summarized as follows:

- We present a concise yet informative overview of DIDs and VCs, suitable for both technical and non-technical audiences. In addition, we explore the various commercial implementations available, aiding developers in selecting the most suitable solution for their specific requirements.
- We investigate the extensive utilization of DIDs and VCs in research papers across diverse applications. Our work underscores the versatility and adaptability of these technologies in different contexts.
- We examine a wide array of global initiatives, regulations, and projects that have emerged, capitalizing on DIDs and VCs to drive the development of cutting-edge services. Our analysis provides valuable insights into the real-world impact and potential of these technologies.

TABLE II
LIST OF THE ACRONYMS FREQUENTLY USED IN THIS ARTICLE.

Acronym	Description
ABAC	Attribute-based Access Control
CID	Content Identifier
DAG	Direct Acyclic Graph
DID	Decentralized Identifier
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
DIACC	Digital Identity and Authentication Council of Canada
DLT	Distributed Ledger Technology
DT	Digital Twin
eIDAS	Electronic Identification and Trust Services
EBSI	European Blockchain Services Infrastructure
EU	European Union
EUUDIW	European Digital Wallet
EV	Electric Vehicle
FL	Federated Learning
FIDO	Fast Identity Online
5G	5th Generation Mobile Networks
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
IIoT	Industrial Internet of Things
IoMT	Internet of Medical Things
IoT	Internet of Things
IPFS	Internet Planetary File System
ML	Machine Learning
OAuth	Open Authorization
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
SSI	Self-Sovereign Identity
SSO	Single-Sign On
US	United States
VC	Verifiable Credential
VDR	Verifiable Data Registry
VIN	Vehicle Identification Number
V2X	Vehicle-to-anything
V2V	Vehicle-to-vehicle
VP	Verifiable Presentation
W3C	World Wide Web Community
ZKP	Zero-Knowledge Proof

- We identify and highlight critical challenges that demand attention within the realm of DIDs and VCs. Moreover, we present potential avenues for future research, outlining promising research directions.

C. Organization

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we provide the background of digital identities, outlining their evolution over the years with particular emphasis on DIDs and VCs. Section III explores the spectrum of the main available implementations for DIDs and VCs, which can aid developers in selecting the most suitable solution based on their specific requirements. In Section IV, we carefully review the various applications of these technologies across different research areas. Furthermore, Section V offers insights into the global landscape of regulations, projects, and organizations associated with DIDs and VCs. Then, Section VI summarizes the main features of these technologies. In Section VII, we identify existing challenges that hinder the adoption of these technologies in real-world scenarios and suggest future research directions. Finally, Section VIII draws our conclusions. The structure of this survey is highlighted in Fig. 1, while Table II provides a list of abbreviations frequently used.




Fig. 2. Timeline of digital identity evolution.

II. FUNDAMENTALS OF DIGITAL IDENTITY

This section offers an overview of the development of digital identities with a particular focus on the standards and technologies that enable SSI systems.

A. Digital Identity Evolution

Digital identity development has gone through many eras, which have gradually shifted digital identification from centralized to decentralized models [26]. Figure 2 shows the digital identity evolution over time.

1) *Centralized Identity*: In centralized approaches, identities are managed by a central authority [27]. One of the first forms of digital identity dates back to 1988 when the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) was responsible for determining the validity of IP. Later, the scientific community witnessed the birth of other organizations such as the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) for arbitrating the validity of domain names.

The core of the era of centralized identities is represented by users who are identified through a combination of usernames and passwords. However, centralized approaches suffer from a single point of failure and the information related to users, including those sensitive, is managed by centralized entities, which represents a major concern due to the emerging privacy and law regulations. Password-based approaches also introduce further concerns [28] since users tend to prefer simplicity over security when choosing their password, making them vulnerable to several attacks, i.e. dictionary or phishing attacks. Additionally, individuals are required to have as many identities as the number of services, creating a fragmented landscape.

The widespread adoption of centralized models raises concerns also for service providers [29], necessitating substantial investments in securely storing, preserving, and safeguarding user data in compliance with existing regulations.

2) *Federated Identity*: Federated identity represents the second era of digital identification, capable of overcoming some of the issues of centralized identification. Federated identity allows users to utilize the same identity across multiple sites and applications [30]. By logging in once, users can access various resources without the hassle of creating separate accounts for each system. This approach relies on trusted relationships between different parties to authenticate

and authorize users across systems securely. Indeed, such a federation is achieved by distributing identification and verification components across all identification systems or mutually accepting standards used by different systems

One of the pioneers in proposing federated identity was Microsoft with its Passport program, which allows users to access different websites through a unique login. In 2001, Sun Microsystems formed the Liberty Alliance, also known as the Liberty Federation, a consortium aimed to develop open standards for federated identity and identity-based web services. Recently, major companies like Google and Meta have embraced single sign-on (SSO) support [31]. A typical federated scenario involves a user authenticating against a singular authority, referred to as the identity provider (e.g., Google or Meta identity providers), granting them to access all other applications on its behalf.

While federated models have made notable progress in mitigating identity fragmentation concerns, they still pose considerable challenges to individual privacy. Despite a decrease in the number of centralized entities involved, users must place a certain level of trust in identity providers, which remain centralized and manage their data. Consequently, individuals experience limited control over their information. Furthermore, federated identity relies on mutual recognition between two or more parties, a process that is not always straightforward, particularly within large-scale systems [32].

3) *User-centric Identity*: The growing demand for increased control over personal data has given rise to the concept of user-centric identity, where individuals have the power to manage their identities individually or across multiple authorities without relying on a centralized federation [33], [34]. In this model, users retain complete autonomy and must explicitly grant consent before sharing or modifying their data, ensuring a heightened sense of privacy and security.

In 2005, the Identity Commons, an influential American organization with a mission to support, facilitate, and promote the creation of an open identity layer for the Internet, played a pivotal role in advocating for the Internet Identity Workshop (IIW). The IIW places users at the core of identity management, aiming to empower individuals in shaping their online identities. Moreover, it has been instrumental in fostering numerous renowned projects that embody user-centric principles, including OpenID, OpenID 2.0, OpenID Connect (OIDC), Open Authorization (OAuth), and Fast Identity Online (FIDO)




Fig. 3. Overview of a DID-based architecture and the relationship of the main components.

[34]–[36]. User-centric approaches grant individuals the authority to control their data through various authenticators (e.g., JSON Web Tokens) and certificates issued by different service providers, all securely stored in their devices.

Despite the notable progress in enabling users to directly manage their data, user-centric identity systems still depend on trust relationships with service providers and authorities. As a result, users must place their trust in these entities, hoping that they will handle their information responsibly and not misuse it [37].

4) *Self-Sovereign Identity*: SSI represents the last era of the long and evolving journey of digital identity development. The concept was first introduced in 2012 and stands as a significant advancement over user-centric identity systems [38].

In contrast to conventional identity management systems where the service provider is at the center of the identity model, SSI places the individual at the core. Users are granted full control over their identities, enabling them to decide when, if, and how they wish to disclose or modify their data. The robustness of a secure SSI lies in its reliance on cutting-edge technologies, with a decentralized infrastructure serving as the foundation of its implementation.

The architecture of this identity model is enabled by emerging technologies such as DLTs, DIDs, and VCs. By leveraging DLTs like blockchain, SSI systems effectively eliminate the need for central authorities and foster a trustless environment where users can interact with services and applications without providing their sensitive information to a single entity [39]. This decentralized architecture ensures that data is cryptographically secured and verifiable, establishing a foundation of transparency and immutability for identity management.

In an SSI ecosystem, every individual is uniquely identified by DID, linked to a key pair that is under the direct management of the user. The public key bound to the identifier is shared on the DLT, ensuring accessibility for users to access such information and independently verify identities [12]. In this context, users can prove attributes or claims about themselves through VCs that can be cryptographically verified by any other parties without needing to consult a centralized authority. Such VCs are typically transmitted off-chain due to privacy considerations. Moreover, to verify the legitimacy of these credentials, the verifier leverages publicly available information associated with the identifier and the credential issuer.

The adoption of SSI marks a paradigm shift in how digital identity is conceptualized and implemented. This decentralized not only enhances security but also underscores the shift towards a trustless environment. In this landscape, individuals



Fig. 4. An example of a DID and its DID Document.

maintain control over their identity, determining how and when to share information.

B. Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs)

DIDs have emerged as a groundbreaking form of identifier widely embraced within decentralized identity systems. They were formalized and standardized by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) [40] that dedicated substantial resources and collaborative efforts from 2017 to 2019, ultimately culminating in the publication of the DID specification as an official W3C Recommendation. Fig. 3 reports a basic overview of the major components of a DID-based architecture.

A DID uniquely identifies a *DID Subject*, whether it is a human or non-human entity. It is a textual string comprising three essential components: the Uniform Resource Identifier, the identifier for the specific DID method, and the method-specific identifier for the DID. A DID method specifies the precise operation by which DIDs and *DID Document* are created, resolved, updated, and deactivated. A *DID URL* enhances a basic DID by including additional standard URI components like path, query, and fragment. This extension allows for precise resource location, such as a cryptographic public key within a DID Document or an external resource linked to the DID Document.

Each DID resolves to a DID Document, a machine-readable JSON-LD document, that contains various information about the DID Subject. In particular, it comprises cryptographic public keys, service endpoints, authentication parameters, timestamps, and additional metadata. DIDs have been designed to overcome identity providers and centralized authorities. An entity can prove the ownership of a DID by leveraging the private key corresponding to the public one embedded in the DID document. To verify the proof, the verifier will only need to access the DID Document, which is public and shared through a *Verifiable Data Registry* (VDR). Fig. 4 shows an example of DID and its corresponding DID Document.

The *DID Controller* refers to the entity with the authority to modify the DID Document. A DID might have more than one controller and, as shown in the example, it can coincide with the DID Subject.

```

{
  "@context": [
    "https://www.w3.org/2018/credentials/v1",
    "https://www.w3.org/2018/credentials/examples/v1"
  ],
  "id": "http://example.edu/credentials/1872",
  "type": ["VerifiableCredential", "AlumniCredential"],
  "issuer": "https://example.edu/issuers/565049",
  "issuanceDate": "2010-01-01T19:23:24Z",
  "credentialSubject": {
    "id": "did:example:ebfeb1f712ebc6f1c276e12ec21",
    "alumniOf": {
      "id": "did:example:c276e12ec21ebfeb1f712ebc6f1",
      "name": [{
        "value": "Example University",
        "lang": "en"
      }], {
        "value": "Esempio di Università",
        "lang": "it"
      }
    ]
  }
},
  "proof": {
    "type": "RsaSignature2018",
    "created": "2017-06-18T21:19:10Z",
    "proofPurpose": "assertionMethod",
    "verificationMethod":
      "https://example.edu/issuers/565049#key-1",
    "jws":
      "eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsImIiOiImI2NCI6ZmFsc2UsImNyXQoiOiIsiYjY0..."
  }
}

```

Fig. 5. Example of a VC.

Additionally, the DID specification [41] introduces three types of DIDs:

- *Anywise*: A DID can be utilized with an unspecified number of parties, typically strangers. It allows for broad usage without limiting the number of relationships that can be established.
- *Pairwise*: A DID is only known by its subject and precisely one other party, such as a service provider. Pairwise DIDs are employed to address privacy concerns by ensuring that each relationship has a unique DID, minimizing the risk of correlation between different interactions.
- *N-wise*: A DID designed to be known by strictly N parties, including the subject. It is a more general concept that encompasses pairwise DIDs as a special case when N equals 2.

DIDs are resolved through a universal resolver [42] that supports multiple DID systems. For a DID system to be compatible with the universal resolver, it needs to implement a DID adaptor that acts as an interface between the system-specific DID methods and the universal resolver.

The growing interest in DID has also resulted in novel DID-based communication protocols [43], [44] to enable private and secure communication between two or more SSI entities in a peer-to-peer fashion. This protocol relies on DIDs and facilitates mutual authentication between the participating parties. The DID Auth protocol allows an identity owner to use their client application, such as a mobile device or browser, to prove their control over a DID to a service provider. This protocol utilizes a challenge-response cycle that




Fig. 6. Overview of VC main actors.

can be customized based on the specific situation. By using the DID Auth protocol, traditional forms of authentication like usernames and passwords can be replaced, creating an authenticated communication channel between the identity owner and the service provider.

C. Verifiable Credentials (VCs)

VC is another specification developed by the W3C, aimed at creating an interoperable data structure capable of representing claims that are cryptographically verifiable and tamper-proof. Fig. 5 shows an example of VC that enables all the alumni of "Example University" to get a discount on season tickets to sporting events.

In the VC ecosystem, a holder refers to an entity exercising control over one or more VCs. On the other hand, an issuer (e.g., banks and government agencies) is responsible for creating and issuing new VCs. Finally, a verifier is an entity that obtains one or more VCs to perform verification. For instance, an e-commerce website that requires credentials from its customers acts as a verifier. Fig. 6 illustrates the key roles within the ecosystem of verifiable credentials.

To facilitate the creation and verification of identifiers, keys, verifiable credentials schemas, and other relevant data essential for using VCs, a VDR plays a crucial role. This registry acts as a mediator within the ecosystem. As for DID, VCs also consist of several elements. These include the URI of the subject, the URI of the issuer responsible for the claims, and URIs that uniquely identify the credential. The URI associated with the subject serves the purpose of retrieving the subject's public key, ensuring the verification of the credential's ownership. Simultaneously, the URI linked to the issuer is essential for obtaining their public key and verifying that the credential originates from a trusted entity. Notably, the URI can also take the form of a DID.

Furthermore, a VC includes claim expiration conditions and cryptographic signatures. This inclusion ensures that the credential's validity is maintained over time and that the integrity of the information is secured through cryptographic verification.

It is worth noting that the W3C Verifiable Credentials Working Group has also defined the concept of Verifiable Presentations (VPs), which specify the methods for signing and presenting VCs by the holder. VCs or VPs can be described using JSON-LD, JSON, or JSON Web Token formats. Fig. 7 shows the VP obtained from the VC of the previous example. The standard related to expressing verifiable information on the Web has been published to provide a regulation specification for expressing credentials on the Internet; so, they are

```

{
  "@context": [
    "https://www.w3.org/2018/credentials/v1",
    "https://www.w3.org/2018/credentials/examples/v1"
  ],
  "type": "VerifiablePresentation",
  "verifiableCredential": [
    {
      "@context": [
        "https://www.w3.org/2018/credentials/v1",
        "https://www.w3.org/2018/credentials/examples/v1"
      ],
      "id": "http://example.edu/credentials/1872",
      "type": ["VerifiableCredential", "AlumniCredential"],
      "issuer": "https://example.edu/issuers/565049",
      "issuanceDate": "2010-01-01T19:23:24Z",
      "credentialSubject": {
        "id": "did:example:ebfeb1f712ebc6f1c276e12ec21",
        "alumniOf": {
          "id": "did:example:c276e12ec21ebfeb1f712ebc6f1",
          "name": [{"value": "Example University", "lang": "en"},
            {"value": "Exemple d'Université", "lang": "fr"}]
        }
      }
    },
    {
      "proof": {
        "type": "RsaSignature2018",
        "created": "2017-06-18T21:19:10Z",
        "proofPurpose": "assertionMethod",
        "verificationMethod": "https://example.edu/issuers/565049#key-1",
        "jws": "eyJhbGciOiJSUzI1NiIsImI2NCI6ZmFsc2UsImNyXQ0iOiJyYjY0..."
      }
    }
  ],
  "proof": {
    "type": "RsaSignature2018",
    "created": "2018-09-14T21:19:10Z",
    "proofPurpose": "authentication",
    "verificationMethod": "did:example:ebfeb1f712ebc6f1c276e12ec21#keys-1",
    "challenge": "1f44d55f-f161-4938-a659-f8026467f126",
    "domain": "4jzt78h47fh47",
    "jws": "eyJhbGciOiJSUzI1NiIsImI2NCI6ZmFsc2UsImNyXQ0iOiJyYjY0..."
  }
}

```

Fig. 7. Example of VP.

machine-verifiable, respect privacy, and, most importantly, are cryptographically secure.

D. Verifiable Data Registry (VDR)

In the context of DIDs and VCs, a VDR plays a crucial role in facilitating the creation, management, and verification of identifiers, keys, credential schemas, and other relevant data required to utilize these decentralized identity technologies [45].

A VDR acts as a trusted intermediary or mediator within the ecosystem of DIDs and VCs. It serves as a repository or database that stores and provides access to essential information related to DIDs and VCs. This includes the storage of DID Documents, which contain information about DIDs, such as cryptographic public keys, service endpoints, authentication parameters, timestamps, and metadata. The VDR ensures the availability and accessibility of these DID Documents to support the resolution and verification processes.

The VDR enables the verification of DIDs and VCs by providing a trusted and reliable source of information. When a verifier needs to verify a DID or a VC, it can retrieve the relevant information from the VDR. By accessing the public information stored in the registry, the verifier can validate the authenticity, integrity, and validity of the DIDs and VCs involved in the verification process. This allows for the establishment of trust and confidence in the decentralized identity ecosystem.

The VDR also plays a role in managing and maintaining the lifecycle of DIDs and VCs. It facilitates the creation,

registration, and revocation of DIDs and VCs by acting as a centralized point of control or coordination. For example, when an entity wants to create a new DID or issue a new VC, it can interact with the VDR to ensure the proper registration and management of the associated information. Similarly, when a DID or VC needs to be revoked or updated, the VDR can handle the necessary operations to reflect the changes in the system. Additionally, the VDR can support interoperability and standardization within the decentralized identity ecosystem. It can enforce consistent data formats, validation rules, and data-sharing protocols, ensuring that the information stored in the registry adheres to the established standards and specifications. This promotes compatibility and seamless integration across different DID methods, VC issuers, and verifiers.

The W3C's standards currently lack explicit specifications regarding how the VDR is realized. Most of the proposed approaches are based on DLTs [46], decentralized ledger networks leveraging the computational resources of numerous nodes to ensure the security and transparency of data. Among these, *blockchain* stands out as the most popular type of DLT, characterized by interconnected blocks [47]. Each block has a reference to the previous block's hash, thus building a secure chain. Any tampering would lead to a different hash that can be easily detected. This feature is fundamental to enable the secure sharing of information such as DID Documents. Additionally, many blockchains also support *smart contract* [48], which are self-executing programs stored directly on the blockchain and triggered when specific conditions are met. This inherent design ensures fault tolerance, tamper-proofing, and traceability. In the subsequent sections, we will show how these features make smart contracts a valuable technology in various application domains utilizing DIDs and VCs.

It is worth noting that alternative solutions have also emerged. For example, Information-Centric Networking (ICN) is a novel networking paradigm that can be adopted to implement the registry [49]. Users interact with edge nodes to manage DIDs through HTTP APIs, which are then translated into appropriate ICN flows.

III. IMPLEMENTATIONS

The successful implementation of DIDs and VCs plays a crucial role in the adoption of SSI systems and the development of next-generation services. Developers require efficient implementations that allow them to leverage these technologies with minimal complexity while adhering to standard specifications.

While initially conceived to empower individuals with comprehensive control over their data, the applicability of DIDs and VCs extends beyond human identities. These standards hold the potential to be extended to anything, including cloud, edge, and IoT devices, which feature heterogeneous storage and computing capabilities. Given this diversity in resources, the broad adoption of these technologies demands implementations that efficiently minimize storage and computing overhead.

Currently, the development of DIDs and VCs is still in its early stages, resulting in a limited number of available

TABLE III
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MAIN IMPLEMENTATIONS OF DIDS AND VCS.

Library	Main Target Platform	Programming Language	Compliant to the Standard?	Last Update	Ready to Use?	Open source?
DIDKit [50]	Multi-platforms	C, Java, Android, Python, Javascript	✓	Oct. 2023	✓	✓
IOTA identity framework [51]	Digital identities for IoT devices	Rust, WASM	✓	Dec. 2023	✓	✓
Hyperledger Aries [52]	Multi-platforms	Python, Javascript, Go, .NET	✓	Jul. 2023	✓	✓
Microsoft Entra Wallet [53]	Digital identities for mobile	Android, iOS	✓	Oct. 2023	✓	✓
Veramo [54]	Multi-platforms	Javascript	✓	Dec. 2023	✓	✓

implementations. However, our examination of the existing frameworks offers developers and stakeholders valuable insights into the key differences among the main available solutions, guiding them in selecting the implementation more suitable for their use cases.

Notably, efforts to enhance the efficiency and usability of these implementations are ongoing, including optimization techniques, interoperability frameworks, and standardization efforts to ensure seamless integration and adoption. Furthermore, as technology advances and the ecosystem matures, we can expect a broader range of efficient and scalable implementations of DIDs and VCs. Table III summarizes the main features of main implementations.

A. DIDKit

DIDKit [50] is a toolkit from SpruceID that offers DID and VC functionalities across different platforms. The core libraries are written in Rust that guarantees memory safety, simple dependency web, and suitability across various platforms including embedded systems. The DIDKit SDK includes many libraries and interfaces that make it suitable for a variety of use cases. It also provides libraries written in C, Java, Android, Python, and JavaScript. DIDKit offers the following features:

- 1) It can sign and verify and VC compliant to the standard. DIDKit also includes a ready-to-go HTTP/HTTPS server that can be called through any API interface, including those specified by the W3C standard.
- 2) It handles and translates both the two major signing systems and proof formats used in VC.
- 3) It can handle, authenticate, validate, register, and deterministically generate many kinds of DIDs compliant with the W3C standard. Specific
- 4) It can also issue and consume authorization tokens based on the Object Capabilities model also known as "ZCaps".

B. IOTA Identity Framework

The IOTA Identity framework [51] implements the most common standards and patterns for managing decentralized identity in both a DLT agnostic and `iota` method specification manner. It is designed by IOTA, a next-generation DLT engineered for the IoT world. The IOTA Identity Framework enables the creation of new digital identities for anyone or anything (including IoT devices) at any time. The functionalities are offered both in Rust and Web Assembly (WASM). The IOTA identity framework has been designed to implement

SSI on IOTA, whose data structure is a direct acyclic graph (DAG) that provides some unique features:

- *Feeless*: IOTA does not foresee any miners or validators; therefore, messages are stored without incurring any cost. Thus, SSI applications can be directly deployed on the main network, enabling anyone to create identities at no cost and favoring worldwide inclusion.
- *Ease-of-use*: IOTA Identity can be used without any cryptocurrency token. Furthermore, the framework offers simple APIs that allow standardized and flexible behavior. Finally, IOTA Identity implements a solution named *stronghold* to securely manage secrets.
- *General Purpose DLT*: IOTA is a general-purpose DLT, which means that SSI can be integrated with other DLT features such as payments, data streams, smart contracts, and access control.

C. Hyperledger Aries

Hyperledger Aries [52] is an open-source project under the Hyperledger umbrella that offers a complete set of tools and libraries for building decentralized identity applications. It includes support for DIDs, and VCs, ensuring their secure storing and presentation with maximum privacy preservation. It supports multiple protocols, different credential types, ledgers, and registries. Moreover, it supports multiple programming languages and interoperability tools.

Developers can create novel applications using the Aries agent, by adding application-specific code that controls an Aries agent. Currently, there are several Aries general-purpose agents, while some others are under active development:

- *Aries Cloud Agent - Python*: It can be used for all non-mobile applications and has production deployments. This agent runs together with a controller and communicates using an HTTP interface. The controller can be implemented using any language and the agent embeds Indy-SDK.
- *Aries Framework - .NET*: This agent is used for building mobile and server-side agents and has production deployments. As for the previous agent, the controller can be written in any language supporting embedding the framework as a library in the controller. The framework embeds the Indy-SDK.
- *Aries Static Agent - Python*: It is a configurable agent that does not use persistent storage.

D. Microsoft Entra Wallet Library

Microsoft has been actively developing the Microsoft Entra Wallet Library [53], a novel library to manage DIDs and

VCs on iOS and Android. It empowers applications with the capability to seamlessly integrate with the Microsoft Entra Verified Id platform, supporting the issuance and presentation of VCs in compliance with various industry standards, including OpenID Connect, Presentation Exchange, and VCs. By default, Microsoft Entra Wallet Library uses distinct DIDs for each interaction with relying parties, thereby ensuring privacy by preventing the correlation of user actions. The client will automatically retrieve exchanged VCs directly from the original issuer. The Microsoft Entra Wallet Library supports the following requirements for request handling:

- *GroupRequirement*: A verifier or issuer can request multiple requirements. When more than one requirement is specified, a *GroupRequirement* contains a list of these requirements.
- *VerifiedIdRequirement*: A verifier or issuer has the option to request a VerifiedId. Further details on fulfilling this requirement can be found below.
- *SelfAttestedClaimRequirement*: An issuer may stipulate the need for a self-attested claim, which is essentially a simple string value.
- *PinRequirement*: An issuer may demand a PIN from the user as part of the request.
- *AccessTokenRequirement*: An issuer may request an Access Token. The acquisition of an Access Token typically involves the use of an external library.
- *IdTokenRequirement*: An issuer may request an Identity Token (Id Token). If the Id Token is not already included in the request, it must be obtained using an external library.

E. Veramo

Veramo [54] is a JavaScript Framework designed for managing DIDs and VCs. It is flexible and modular, making it suitable for adapting to complex workflows. A Veramo Agent embodies an Agent implementation that leverages a plugin-driven architecture. This architecture allows Veramo to be modular, scalable, and potentially integrate with the extensive spectrum of emerging standards in the landscape of verifiable data. Veramo Agent is responsible for creating identifiers, resolving identifiers, credential issuance, credential revocation, credential exchange, and secret application hot sauce. Furthermore, it is multi-platform since it runs on Node, Browsers, and React Native straight out of the box.

The architecture of Veramo comprises a core component and a suite of plugins. The core serves as the gateway to the API, seamlessly binding the plugins together and fostering their interoperability. Depending on the selection of plugins, the Veramo Agent can assume various roles, adapting to diverse use cases. The available plugins encompass many functionalities, including DID Methods, messaging protocols, storage solutions, key management, and many others.

Finally, Veramo extends its versatility by providing a Command Line Interface (CLI) tool. This tool offers the convenience of swiftly creating DIDs and VCs directly from a terminal or running a local cloud agent, streamlining development and testing processes.

F. Lessons Learned

The exploration of the most popular implementations and frameworks highlights their adherence to the W3C standard, open-source nature, and readiness for deployment - three key requirements to favor the widespread adoption of DIDs and VCs. In particular, this adherence ensures seamless interoperability across different systems, a crucial aspect for the successful integration of these identity technologies. By reviewing implementations, we observe that some of them are more generic and web-centric (i.e., DIDKit, Hyperledger Aires, and Veramo), while others are more tailored for specific application domains. Consequently, developers must select a framework based on their needs and application scenarios.

Among the existing implementations, DIDKit offers developers higher flexibility by supporting a variety of popular programming languages. Its inclusion of a ready-to-deploy HTTP/HTTPS server makes it particularly valuable for developers to approach these standards for the first time. Similarly, Hyperledger Aires and Veramo are designed to simplify the creation of decentralized identity applications. The choice between DIDKit and Hyperledger may hinge on the developer's language preferences, given their support for different programming languages. Conversely, while Veramo is modular and adaptable to complex workflows, its limitation to JavaScript may influence developers' decisions.

As outlined throughout this survey, the scope of DIDs and VCs does not encompass only individuals, being potentially extensible to anyone and anything. In this context, the IOTA Identity framework emerges as a natural and promising solution to empower the use of these technologies in real-world scenarios, involving humans and machines (e.g., IoT devices). Since IOTA is a distributed ledger, it not only facilitates the use of these technologies in diverse scenarios but also inherently provides a working VDR. This eliminates the need for additional configuration of another DLT to disseminate publicly available information like DID Documents.

For developers focusing on mobile-centric solutions, the Microsoft Entra Wallet Library stands out as it is specifically designed for managing DIDs and VCs on various mobile devices (e.g., smartphones and smartwatches), featuring iOS and Android operating systems. This implementation empowers developers to provide end-users with comprehensive control over their data through their preferred mobile devices. The active involvement of major technology companies is crucial in advancing these technologies and delivering solutions seamlessly integrated into the modern digital landscape.

IV. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we provide an in-depth analysis of DIDs and VCs, exploring their utility across different application scenarios. The versatility of these technologies becomes clear as they make a significant impact across a wide spectrum of domains such as smart transportation and smart healthcare. Fig. 8 offers a visual representation of this categorization, encapsulating research findings of the state-of-the-art studies that leverage the capabilities of DIDs and VCs.




Fig. 8. Application domains of DID and VC in the existing literature.

TABLE IV
TAXONOMY OF SMART TRANSPORTATION APPLICATIONS.

Work	Use Case	Main Contributions
[55]	V2X protocol	A blockchain-based decentralized vehicle-to-anything protocol that enables vehicles to prove their information.
[56]	V2X reputation system	A V2X reputation system that allows transferring reputation to preserve privacy.
[57]	Vehicle authentication	A double-layer blockchain and decentralized identifiers assisted secure registration and authentication mechanism for decentralized VANETs.
[58]	Seaport truck authentication	A secure, portable, decentralized, and user-controllable identity scheme for truck authentication in seaports.
[59]	Vehicle rights	A decentralized identity management and vehicle rights delegation system.
[60]	Vehicle data	A mechanism for establishing vehicle data provenance in real-time.
[61]	Vehicle identity	A cost-effective implementation of the MOBI standard for vehicle identity.
[62]	Energy trading	A blockchain-based energy trading scheme for electric vehicle-to-vehicle.
[63]	Customer privacy	A user-empowered privacy-preserving authentication protocol for electric vehicle charging.

A. Smart Transportation

Recent technological advancements have significantly contributed to the development of modern intelligent transportation systems (ITS) [64], which impact various aspects of our lives. Smart transportation refers to the integration of communication technologies and vehicular services in transportation systems [65]. Vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communications can broaden the development of novel applications to improve road traffic efficiency and road safety [66]. For example, vehicles can share information related to road conditions or accidents. However, one of the major concerns of V2V communications is the lack of trustworthiness among vehicles that usually do not know each other and rely on centralized network authorities. Therefore, DIDs and VCs have the potential to build a more secure and decentralized ITS ecosystem. In Table IV, we provide a summary of the reviewed literature.

1) *Vehicular Communications*: In V2V communications, DIDs and VCs ensure integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and privacy without any trusted authority. These technologies, along with DLTs, have the potential to promote decentralized solutions that meet the requirements of ITS applications. This trend has been further validated by the MOBI Alliance [67], which introduced a standard in 2019 for blockchain-based vehicle identification (VID) [61]. This standard relies on the DID specification and aims to enhance the vehicle identification




Fig. 9. DID/VC-enabled smart transportation reference architecture presented by MOBI [9].

number (VIN), making it more compatible with the blockchain ecosystem. Fig. 9 shows a high-level architecture of a potential ecosystem based on VID and DLT.

D-V2X [55] is a blockchain-based decentralized vehicle-to-anything (V2X) protocol that does not require any trusted party and can be implemented on top of any communication protocol. The key element is represented by the decentralized vehicular PKI (D-VPKI), which replaces the vehicular public-key infrastructure (VPKI) in V2X. To link a VIN to the

vehicle using a blockchain, the vehicle registers a random DID into the D-VPKI. Then, the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) issues a VC embedding the VIN and any other relevant information. Considering the most decentralized configuration, the vehicle holds the subject and holder. Thus, every time the vehicle needs to prove that its DID is associated with its VIN, it will provide the VC. To preserve privacy, a vehicle registers many different DIDs, which are linked to the master DID through zero-knowledge protocol (ZKP)-enabled VCs issued by the OEM that will remove them after their creation. Alternatively, to prevent the OEM from inferring the original DID, VCs can be issued by leveraging secure multiparty computation. To use pseudonyms, a vehicle creates two VPs: one demonstrating that the new pseudonym and the previous one belong to the same master DID and a second to prove the validity of the master DID without revealing it. In addition, to prove location and avoid GPS spoofing attacks, when a vehicle passes nearby to an infrastructure element (e.g., a traffic light) it is provided with a VC that comprises information about its location.

Privacy-preserving computation is one of the major concerns in communications. In this direction, another approach to avoid tracking participants in V2X communications consists of changing the blockchain address while transferring current reputations [56]. This mechanism prevents an external observer from inferring that the two addresses belong to the same vehicle. A new address is locally generated by the vehicle using a secret K , derived from the private key of its DID. The addresses form a deterministic chain that can be reconstructed for auditing or investigation purposes. To transfer reputation, the vehicle produces a promise comprising the novel address, the reputation value, and a random nonce. Such information is hashed and sent, along with a ZKP, to a smart contract that verifies the proof, checks the correctness of the reputation balance, and stores the hash in a Merkle Tree. In addition, the old address is removed from the reputation mapping. Finally, the reputation is released by the smart contract to whoever can prove the control of the note.

2) *Vehicular Services*: The use of DIDs and VCs paves the way for novel services related to smart transportation, from optimal route planning, and access to trucks in seaports to transferring vehicle rights and V2V payments. BDRA [57] utilizes DIDs and a double-layer blockchain for secure registration and authentication. The upper layer blockchain comprises all authorized roadside units (RSUs), while the underlying layer blockchain consists of the RSU and the vehicles in the covered section. During the registration phase, each vehicle generates its own DID and submits it to the RSU covering. The RSUs interact with each other to create a unique VC, allowing the vehicle access to different services, such as optimal route planning or advance notice of emergency by interacting with road conditions. Furthermore, they enable authenticated communications with other vehicles. When a vehicle receives a message, it authenticates the sender and verifies if the sender's DID is included in the list of users authorized in the underlying layer blockchain, as well as its reputation that must exceed a predetermined threshold. Once the receiver evaluates the veracity of the event described in

the message, it reports feedback to the RSU, which updates the sender's reputation in the underlying blockchain.

DIDs and VCs can be effectively used to securely enhance transportation efficiency in large seaports where thousands of tons of goods are moved [58]. Each truck that enters a seaport has a set of SSI credentials (e.g., vehicle on service) that were previously issued by trusted authorities. DIDs are used to identify each interaction, avoiding repetitions and enhancing the level of privacy. Every time a truck has to perform some actions within the seaport, such as picking off containers, it has to provide the needed VCs.

Combining DIDs and VCs with blockchain also enables the vehicle rights [59]. The owner of a car may bring the vehicle to a service provider for some checks (e.g., emissions) or lend it to a friend. In both cases, the owner has to grant access to the vehicle. The communication among participants is established through pairwise DIDs, which are private and are not shared on the blockchain.

With the increasing number of connected vehicles and mobility applications that rely on data from diverse sources, ensuring data provenance has become a crucial issue. The ability to trace information securely, as it moves through various boundaries, is essential in preventing misuse and manipulation. DIDs can be effectively used to achieve secure data provenance in an automotive data processing chain through a use case involving an ML model that detects hazardous driving situations [60]. Data provenance can be achieved by appending a new link, represented by a DID, in a chain of linked and signed versions. Each data point is signed by the producer and linked to its previous state. This approach enables the tracing of all the information concerning the data transformation (e.g., where and how).

MOBI is developing a vehicle identity standard to enable different blockchain-based use cases such as V2V payments and vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) payments and transactions, automotive insurance, and financing. Connected vehicle information network (CVIN) [61] is a cost-effective implementation of this standard. Each vehicle is identified through a CVID ID, which is an implementation of the DID standard.

3) *Electric Vehicles*: In recent years, we have been witnessing remarkable growth in the electric vehicle (EV) market, which is also motivated by the increasing interest in climate change and sustainability. However, the gradual expansion of the electric vehicle market has not been followed by a proportional growth of charging stations [68]. The progress of the smart grid and the Internet of Vehicles (IoVs) have enabled EVs to charge from a vehicle-to-grid (V2G) and V2V. DIDs, VCs, and DLTs can lay the foundation for novel energy trading schemes for V2V environments [62]. DIDs are used to submit bids and reserve energy, the seller's DID is exposed for publicly verifying the legitimacy of the sellers and bills. The DID Documents are the only information stored on the blockchain. The record of trading results is issued through VCs, including DIDs, trading time, cost, and amount of energy exchanged.

Another concern for EV charging is related to the privacy of the customers who use the service. DIDs and VCs enable preserving user anonymity while charging its vehicle and

TABLE V
TAXONOMY OF SMART HEALTHCARE APPLICATIONS.

Work	Use Case	Main Contributions
[69]	Immunity passport	An application that verify COVID-19 antibody test/vaccination certifications.
[70]	Immunity passport	A blockchain-based privacy-preserving platform for COVID-19 test/vaccine certificates issuing and verifying.
[71]	Immunity passport	A blockchain-based platform for secure sharing and validation of COVID-19 vaccination certificates, which are stored in IPFS.
[72]	Rare disease	A rare disease identity system that facilitates communications among different specialists, simplifying the resolution of patient identities.
[73]	Healthcare data	A privacy-aware access control system for healthcare data based on blockchain and SSI.
[74]	Federated learning	A privacy-preserving decentralized learning framework for healthcare systems that leverages VCs to allow clients to participate.
[75]	IoMT devices authentication	A DID-based authentication system for smart healthcare system.
[76]	Personal data trading	A blockchain-based personal data trading system that enables users to sell their sensitive information.




Fig. 10. Onboarding Process: The pharmacist initiates the onboarding by submitting their information, which undergoes verification through external APIs to generate a DID (a). The user authenticates their identity by uploading a document and receiving a DID (b).




Fig. 11. Certification Process: The user submits their identity information to the pharmacist, who verifies it. Following the completion of the medical test, the application issues a VC encompassing the test results.

enabling other parties to verify the legitimacy of the user before providing the service [63]. The user registers using a DID and a pseudo-ID, which is employed to generate multiple pseudo-IDs that are used in subsequent charging operations. The user and the charging station prove to each other their identity and verify the VC of the other party. The ZKP proves the validity of the VC without disclosing anything more about the user.

B. Smart Healthcare

The well-being of people has gained immense significance in today's modern society. With the increasing number of healthcare services, the focus is on improving the health and

wellness of patients and elderly individuals, regardless of their location or the time of day [77]. In healthcare systems, the adoption of DIDs and VCs holds remarkable potential in addressing critical challenges related to security and privacy, enabling new healthcare services and sharing of personal information. In the following, we summarize the literature studies that cover these aspects. Table V reports the contributions of each reference work.

1) *Healthcare Services*: During the COVID-19 pandemic, the concept of *immunity passport* has been mooted as a way to slowly come back to our normal lives. Many technical schemes have been proposed to implement COVID-19 immunity passport, however, the most promising are based on DIDs and VCs [17]. Mobile apps can provide tamper-proof and privacy-preserving certification for test results and vaccinations [69], [70]. In the proposed systems, the issuer could be either a trusted pharmacy or a national health service. The issuance of VCs would involve essential documents such as test results (e.g., blood tests) and vaccination certificates. These VCs would then be securely stored in either hardware or digital wallets of the citizens, who have undergone proper identity verification and medical testing. Finally, the role of verifiers would be to determine eligibility for participation in official social activities based on the information included in the VCs. They would have the responsibility of enforcing necessary restrictions and ensuring that only individuals meeting the required health criteria can take part in such activities. Fig. 10 illustrates the workflow depicting the onboarding process for issuers and users, while Fig. 11 shows the main dataflows of the certification procedure.

However, other solutions [71] leverage additional technologies such as the Internet Planetary File System (IPFS), which is used for decentralized off-chain document storing. Indeed, storing vaccination certificates in the blockchain would be extremely expensive in terms of storage and time. Due to the criticality of such information, IPFS only stores the encrypted version of the VCs, and only authorized entities are allowed to verify them. The IPFS hash corresponding to the VC is mapped to the citizen's DID, which represents the user key pair.

Furthermore, these technologies can be beneficial for the development of novel services aiming to support patients with particular health conditions. Some patients are affected by rare diseases that require treatments by multiple care providers and specialists. Thus, having an effective identity management service can avoid repetitive steps and help identify patients in a scalable, collaborative, and sustainable way. RDIS [72] is a rare disease identity system that enables communications among different specialists and simplifies the resolution of patient identities. It foresees 4 types of participants, each of them identified through a unique digital identifier (UDID), a DID implementation, linked with a digital profile. The verifiers are both the ones that perform manual verification of documents and attest users' credentials as well as the care providers. The consumers are all the entities that need to access the Unique DID document of a patient, i.e. a specialist needs to access some data. The other participants are patients and their delegates, which may be needed in case patients are minors or suffer from some pathology that limits them. A verifier issues and signs attestations for a user that are attached to the Unique DID document. A consumer verifies an attestation through a smart contract that checks the existence of that user in the verifier registry. Moreover, each interaction is recorded in the audit log as a transaction that only comprises the DID and a timestamp.

2) *Data Sharing*: DIDs and VCs lay the foundation of SSI systems due to their capabilities to selectively disclose attributes while preserving user privacy. DSMAC [73] is a privacy-aware access control system for healthcare data based on blockchain and SSI. DIDs are used to authenticate access requests. In nominal conditions, access is granted or denied according to the roles of the requesters that are assigned through their VCs. However, if an emergency occurs, the system switches to attribute-based access control (ABAC), and adaptive permissions are assigned according to the contextual attributes included in the VC. For the ABAC model, the patient creates the access control policies and embeds them into the DID Document, which is shared on the blockchain. Moreover, the abundance of healthcare data can fuel machine learning (ML) algorithms for predicting a patient's future health status, thereby supporting medical professionals. However, existing regulations prohibit the processing or sharing of an individual's health information without explicit consent, hindering data exchange between hospitals and clinics. To address this, collaborative approaches like federated learning (FL) [78] emerge as a promising and effective paradigm to leverage patient data while safeguarding privacy. In FL, data remains locally stored at the institutions of origin, ensuring privacy and ownership.

Each participant trains a local ML model and only shares model weights with others. Nevertheless, as weights can be vulnerable to inference, they must undergo preprocessing (e.g., differential privacy) before sharing. In FL, another critical issue is the lack of trustworthiness among the participants. This challenge can be overcome by adopting VCs, only participants having a VC issued and signed by a trusted hospital will be authorized to collaborate [74].

The proliferation of the Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) is significantly influencing the provision of dependable and efficient healthcare services. Utilizing numerous small, power-efficient, and lightweight wireless sensors, healthcare providers can remotely monitor patients' health status and gather essential physiological data [79]. These data prove invaluable in making timely emergency medical decisions and detecting chronic diseases. To authenticate such devices when transmitting the sensed data, they have to be registered by the user by generating a DID, VC, and identification number [75]. This information is used by the device to generate the authentication message for the relying party (e.g., hospital webserver).

In addition, DIDs and VCs can also favor the trading of personal data [76]. Users can be authenticated through their DIDs, while VCs are employed to claim ownership of their data. If a request of a buyer is accepted by the owner of data, the buyer is provided with a VC that enables accessing the purchased data. ABAC models offer highly flexible and fine-grained access control by regulating authorization based on user attributes, which may include sensitive personal information.

C. Industry

In recent years, the convergence of information technology (IT) and operational technology (OT) has given rise to a new era known as smart industries [80]. These industries combine traditional manufacturing with cutting-edge technologies like artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics, fostering innovation and growth [81]. As the demand for intelligent solutions continues to surge, software has become a vital part of product development for modern industries. Digital transformation impacts not only products but also industrial processes, leading companies to adapt for competitiveness.

Decentralization has become increasingly significant in this context due to the growing interest in collaboration and interconnection among stakeholders. The application of DIDs and VCs has gained attention as a means to enable secure and interoperable data exchange within industries. Research efforts in this domain have explored the potential of DIDs and VCs in four major areas: smart manufacturing, smart grid, smart agriculture, and smart supply chain. Table VI summarizes the main contributions of each referenced work.

1) *Smart Manufacturing*: Smart manufacturing represents a cutting-edge approach to optimizing and automating industrial processes by harnessing advanced technologies [94]. However, current centralized approaches often result in reduced flexibility, efficiency, and security. Additionally, the lack of trust in third-party authorities or companies poses a significant concern.

TABLE VI
TAXONOMY OF INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS.

Work	Use Case	Main Contributions
[82]	Anti-counterfeiting system	An anti-counterfeiting system for smartphones.
[83]	Firmware/software updates	A system for secure registering 5G IoT devices and updating their firmware.
[84]	Device communications	A framework for connecting unknown devices, updating firmware, and providing monitoring features.
[85]	Federated learning	A framework that enables trustworthy federated learning among unknown clients.
[86]	Federated learning	A framework that leverages blockchain and decentralized identifiers to simplify the use of FL in industry 4.0 scenarios.
[87]	Smart grid	A distributed energy system incorporating renewable energy generation and heterogeneous end-users (e.g., residential, commercial, and industrial sectors).
[88]	Smart grid	A framework for coordinating distributed energy resources.
[89]	Smart grid	A DID-based attribute-based access control model for the smart grid.
[90]	Agriculture insurance	A framework that enables trusted agricultural IoT data sharing and provides a decentralized oracle-based access control mechanism for smart contracts in agricultural insurance.
[91]	Food supply chain	A system that provides full visibility of process/food certifications.
[92]	Supply chain	A model to track assets among different blockchain-based supply-chain systems.
[93]	Software supply chain	A blockchain-empowered architecture for software bill of materials.

To address these challenges and enhance security in manufacturing, the use of DIDs and VCs can be instrumental. For instance, DIDs and VCs offer a solution to combat the counterfeiting of smart devices, such as smartphones [82]. The manufacturers generate a unique DID for each smartphone, with the International Mobile Equipment Identity (IMEI) number as one of the attributes. Specialized DID methods can be employed to facilitate IMEI DID document verification and ownership management, while VCs serve as a means to prove the device’s ownership.

Furthermore, DIDs and VCs can be also used to update firmware on devices, as demonstrated by the Gnomon framework [83]. In this context, 5G IoT devices have their DIDs registered with an identity hub before delivery, which grants them novel VCs. To update software/firmware, the software publisher issues a new VC to the identity hub, and the device requests the latest software distribution to the identity hub through its VC, and verifies if the software publisher has issued a VC for that device. If the VC refers to a newer version, the device uses the URL included in the VC to download the updated software. A DLT can be employed to facilitate communication among devices, firmware updates, and device monitoring [84]. Each device is assigned a DID, and its identity is certified by the associated DID Document, which also specifies the communication channel. A supervisor entity, implemented through a smart contract, handles logging operations and maintains a list of known devices. Manufacturers can indicate an endpoint in the DID Document to enable secure firmware downloads.

Finally, DIDs and VCs can facilitate collaboration among previously unknown participants. TruFLaaS [85] is a framework that offers FL as a service, enabling different companies to collaborate on common tasks. For example, companies using the same machine can participate in collaborative training to predict machine breakdowns, reducing maintenance costs, and increasing production capacity. Participation is regulated through VCs issued by the service provider, and these VCs can be revoked if the performance of submitted models decreases




Fig. 12. VCs can be used to determine the users’ credit. In the Figure, the commercial user with DID2 has bought less energy than expected, whereas the neighboring user with DID1 has requested the scheduled energy.

over time, ensuring the quality of the collaboration. FlowChain [86] is another framework aiming to simplify the use of FL in Industry 4.0. Each participant is uniquely identified through a DID, which is also used to regulate the participation of IIoT devices in the FL training.

2) *Smart Grid*: We have already discussed how DIDs and VCs can be leveraged to facilitate the charging of electric vehicles. In this subsection, we explore other applications related to smart grid. DIDs and VCs can be applied in blockchain-based energy management systems to evaluate users’ credit [87]. Each user has a DID that can be used to prove credit or evaluate the credit of others while preserving privacy. All the interactions are anonymous, avoiding any kind of disclosure. VCs are issued by energy system operators to prove users’ rights to access the microgrid and P2P trading system. Users can also issue new VC to prove the counterparty has supplied power on demand or bought less than scheduled. VCs




Fig. 13. General workflow for managing DIDs and VCs using DLT and IPFS. In (a), a user uploads their DID on IPFS and publishes the corresponding identifier (i.e., a hash value) on a DLT, such information is then used by verifiers to retrieve the DID document and access to user's publicly available information. Similarly, in (b), issuers and verifiers perform the same operation referring to VC instead of a DID.

comprising the evaluation are stored on the blockchain to avoid being falsified.

Therefore, as shown in Fig 12, the set of VCs issued to a certain user constitutes their credit.

EW Flex [88] is a framework for coordinating distributed energy resources. Stakeholders are registered through DIDs, which are used to interact with multiple parties, such as system operators and retailers. Customers can present predefined documentation to a delegated authority to validate each attribute. For example, a hardware vendor can verify the capacity or model number of the inverter of a rooftop solar system. Thus, DIDs and VCs allow proving attributes about a given user to any authorized market participant or system without disclosing the underlying information.

In the smart grid context, ABAC models are commonly employed for their granularity and flexibility. However, the use of user attributes for access control raises privacy concerns. To address this challenge and mitigate privacy risks, a promising solution is to implement a DID-based ABAC system [89]. This approach leverages pairwise DIDs to uniquely identify participants, with each identifier tailored to the specific context. To further enhance privacy protection, traditional user attributes are replaced with VCs, which attest to the validity of certain user attributes without disclosing sensitive information.

3) *Smart Agriculture*: Agriculture is one of the most valuable sectors of the economy. However, recent global warming challenges have been hurting food production [95], increasing the number of people who are suffering from acute hunger. The production risks are primarily caused by extreme weather conditions such as heavy storms and long droughts. These events may cause severe losses in crop yield and lead to marginal farmers financial bankruptcy. Agriculture insurance is a risk management strategy that can support farmers. However, the lack of trustworthiness in agricultural data-sharing platforms and information asymmetries discourage insurers from developing higher-quality insurance schemes. Moreover, smallholder farmers are reluctant to insure their crops due to limited awareness, high premium costs, delays, and lack of transparency in the payout process.

Using DIDs and VCs combined with blockchain can provide the required guarantees to realize agricultural IoT data sharing [90]. In this context, smart contracts also play a

crucial role since they can automate payout to farmers that satisfy predefined conditions in agricultural risk assessment. However, smart contracts cannot acquire data from agricultural IoT devices due to the deterministic nature of blockchain, while they are fed through an oracle, which realizes a bridge between the blockchain ecosystem and the outside world. Each IoT device deployed in farmland is assigned a DID. The blockchain is also used as a source proof of VCs, which are issued to the devices based on their attributes.

4) *Smart Supply Chain*: The integration of DIDs, VCs, and DLTs holds significant promise for the industrial supply chain within the industry ecosystem. By leveraging these technologies, various aspects of supply chain and logistics activities can be improved, enhancing transparency, traceability, and interoperability.

On the one hand, the transparency and immutability of DLTs can greatly facilitate communication and cooperation between companies and manufacturers in the supply chain. This ensures that all participants have access to reliable and tamper-proof information, fostering trust and efficiency in the ecosystem. On the other hand, DIDs play a crucial role in tracking entities involved in supply chain operations, such as IoT devices and individuals. For instance, each node in the supply chain can require certifications, which are issued as VCs by authorized bodies [91]. These certifications can be openly accessed and verified by anyone. When a participant seeks a process certification, they undergo an off-chain verification procedure to meet the requirements. If successful, the certification body issues a VC, which is stored on the IPFS. The resulting hash from IPFS is signed and recorded on the blockchain. Subsequently, a verifier can retrieve the VC by collecting the hash from the blockchain and accessing the corresponding data on IPFS. This process ensures the integrity and authenticity of the certifications, providing a robust mechanism for trust within the supply chain. Fig. 13 illustrates a general mechanism that leverages IPFS and DLTs to manage DIDs and VCs.

Furthermore, VCs can also facilitate interoperability among different blockchain-based supply chain systems. An example of this is the Verifiable Supply Chain Credential (VSCC) [92], which extends the traditional VC data model to verify alterations related to tracked assets registered in various

TABLE VII
TAXONOMY OF OTHER SMART APPLICATIONS.

Work	Use Case	Main Contributions
[97]	Smart tourism	A smart tourism identity authentication service based on blockchain and DID.
[98]	Smart tourism	An analysis of how blockchain might offer novel travel experiences within the tourism domain.
[99]	Smart education	A systematic literature analysis on the use of digital credentials in higher education institutions.
[100]	Smart education	A mechanism to create secure and machine-verifiable academic credentials.
[101]	Smart education	A decentralized verification solution for higher education certificates.
[102]	Smart education	Empirical findings from a cross-border pilot on the verification of education credentials between two universities in Italy and Belgium.
[103]	Smart education	An investigation on how digital credentials can be integrated into the SSI ecosystem to overcome challenges of academic networks.
[104]	Smart home	An OAuth-based authorization and delegation in smart homes for elderly.
[105]	Smart home	A capabilities-based access control system for IoT devices.
[106]	E-commerce	A reliable reputation systems for the e-commerce ecosystem.
[107]	Digital twin	A framework designed based on data ownership and verifiability principles, aiming to make digital assets in DTs verifiably protected.

blockchains. VSCC allows seamless integration of data from different systems and enhances the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the supply chain. In supply chain management, a shipping verification system is crucial to allow customers to monitor the shipment of items by a shipping deliverer. The use of DIDs and VCs can simplify the interaction between the deliverer and the mailbox designed to store the items [96]. The mailbox is identified through an anonymous DID and can communicate its availability to the marketplace. For each purchase, the shipping deliverer is provided by the marketplace with a VC that opens the mailbox. Similarly, a VC is also provided to the customer as proof of withdrawal.

Beyond supply chain activities, the combination of blockchain and VCs can also revolutionize software development by empowering the sharing of Software Bill of Materials (SBOM) [93]. Blockchain provides a secure and transparent approach to storing and sharing data, while VCs ensure the authenticity and integrity of the SBOM information. In this context, VCs are issued by oversight authorities to certify that a software vendor adheres to secure software development standards and practices. These VCs are then stored on the blockchain, enabling other stakeholders to easily verify the authenticity of the software and its components, thereby promoting trust in software development processes.

D. Other Smart Services

With the continuous progress of cloud and IoT technologies, urban centers have undergone a transformation into smart cities. The smart city concept aims to capitalize on the resources available through ubiquitous devices, offering a wide array of innovative services. A comprehensive smart city setup includes various components such as IoT devices, interconnected networks, robust data storage solutions, and a potent cloud computing center to facilitate service delivery.

While the potential advantages of smart cities are evident, their implementation is still in its nascent stage, and no city can claim complete digitalization at this point. Nevertheless, by combining DIDs and VCs with other technological breakthroughs, these advancements can play a pivotal role in empowering smart city services. In Table VII, we summarize the main contributions of the reviewed works.

1) *Smart Tourism*: The tourism sector is constantly seeking innovative solutions that can increase the number of visitors [108]. On one hand, the development of smart tourism aims to create a more personalized and ever-adapting experience for tourists while increasing the economic, social, and environmental sustainability of the sector itself. On the other hand, we have been witnessing various types of dishonest and illegal behavior led by illicit travel agencies or unqualified part-time guides.

However, the combination of DID, VC, and blockchain can build an effective smart tourism identity authentication service [97]. The tourism company that wants to be authorized provides the authority the identity request information according to relevant national departments and related regulations of the tourism industry. If the verification is successful, they obtain a DID and VC. Similar to other approaches described above, IPFS is used to store the DID Documents and VCs that may represent a burden for the blockchain. The VC states the legitimacy of the smart tourism organization to offer services.

Some nations worldwide are reducing their reliance on traditional passports. For example, starting in 2024, Singapore's Airport is set to implement automated immigration clearance, which will empower travelers to depart without passports, relying solely on biometric data [109]. Blockchain-based SSI has also the potential to enable tourists to travel without paper documentation [98]. A tourist applies for a visa to travel to another country by presenting their DID to the consulate, which is released as a VC. Therefore, the tourist will be able to share their identity information when accessing services, such as hotel reservations and car rentals, that need to verify identity before providing services.

2) *Smart Education*: Many high education institutions still rely on paper-based graduation certificates, resulting in numerous inefficiencies [99]. The process involved in validating their authenticity is notoriously slow, costly, and susceptible to falsification. These challenges affect both certificate holders and recruiters. The former may encounter difficulties when accessing services such as applying for a course of study or changing university, while recruiters may struggle with issues of trust and authenticity. To address these concerns, a potential solution lies in adopting DIDs and VCs to develop a digital education passport, offering a secure and trustworthy digital

alternative to traditional paper-based certificates.

To achieve trustworthiness, certification systems of academic credentials have to be transparent. In this direction, blockchain is considered the ideal technology. The process of issuing a VC can be modeled as a series of sub-credentials issued over time with each certification step being recorded on the blockchain. This approach creates a dependency graph that enables verifiers to detect and prevent malicious actions while establishing a publicly verifiable causal relationship between achievements [100]. To further enhance trust, individual certification steps should be distributed among different officials to prevent a small group of malicious entities from compromising the process. Blockchain technology and consortium smart contracts can be also exploited to enforce decentralized verification [101]. In this system, higher education institutions can register their issued certificates, allowing recruiters to verify the authenticity and integrity of these credentials. The consortium smart contract maps the DIDs of registered educational institutions to their respective smart contract addresses. When an academic organization wants to join the consortium, it must deploy its smart contract and receive acceptance from other consortium members. The smart contract deployed by each higher education institute enables the registration and retrieval of certificate hashes. Recruiters can utilize an application to verify the authenticity and integrity of certificates, while higher education institutes can use another application to interact with the smart consortium contract to express their intent to join the consortium.

Additionally, digital credentials offer a simplified way for students to access educational services. For example, as shown in Fig. 14, university admission offices may need to verify a student's prior degrees from other institutions, possibly in different countries [102]. Existing academic networks like EMREX use PKI to issue and verify the authenticity of digital credentials. However, linking digital identities to students poses a challenge in EMREX as it is not supported by default. To address this, the ELMO2EDS application [103] transforms digital credentials from EMREX into EBSI diplomas, a suitable SSI data format that enables user authentication.

3) *Smart Home*: Smart homes are comprised of various interconnected smart devices that collaborate to offer innovative facilities. These devices communicate through a centralized home gateway, acting as a bridge between the smart home and the Internet. This integration empowers users to remotely control their homes, adding convenience and security to their daily lives [110]. The home gateway also plays a crucial role in authorizing users' access to devices based on predefined access control policies.

However, there are situations where additional individuals may require access such as a technician needing to repair a refrigerator. To address these challenges, DIDs and VCs offer promising solutions. Nevertheless, some smart devices may lack the processing capabilities to handle DIDs and VCs directly. To overcome this limitation, the processing of DIDs and VCs can be delegated to an OAuth server [104]. Considering the example of a broken refrigerator, the technician presents their DID and VC, issued and trusted by their company, to the OAuth server. In response, the OAuth server generates a




Fig. 14. A student seeks to participate in an exchange program at University B, supported by a VC issued by University A. To ensure the legitimacy of the credits acquired at University B, there is an exchange of roles between the issuer (University A) and the verifier (University B).

token that grants access to the refrigerator within the home. It is worth noting that in certain cases, smart devices may possess sufficient resources to independently verify VCs and grant access based on the credentials' validity. These VCs can include information about the user's capabilities over the device, as explored in [105].

4) *Reputation-based Systems*: Nowadays, individuals consistently share their opinions through reviews, expressing preferences for hotels, restaurants, services, and more on platforms like TripAdvisor and Google Reviews. Furthermore, people frequently rely on the experiences of others when making choices, such as selecting a restaurant or purchasing an item. However, it is crucial to recognize that these reviews may not always be reliable, given the inherent bias individuals may exhibit when expressing positive or negative feedback. VCs can be also leveraged to build more reliable reputation systems. For example, concerning e-commerce systems, [106] each seller is identified through a digital identity and issues feedback tokens as VC to every customer after their purchases. Such tokens are then used to submit reviews, ensuring that the feedback and identity information is authentic and tamper-proof. To incentivize participation, once the review has been submitted, the client is rewarded with a discount token accepted by the e-commerce platforms.

5) *Digital Twin*: Digital Twin (DT) represents a cutting-edge technological advancement, offering a virtual counterpart of an object, process, or service by harnessing data derived from its physical counterpart [111]. The primary objective of DTs revolves around conducting temporal and predictive analyses, thereby extracting novel insights to facilitate informed decision-making [112]. For example, a DT can be used for predictive maintenance tasks in smart manufacturing.

Given the criticality of data within this paradigm, it is paramount to address the security concerns that accompany DTs. Data have to be authentic, the sources have to be trusted, and their ownership has to be traceable and verifiable. Furthermore, safeguarding data during transit necessitates robust cryptographic measures, effectively thwarting any unauthorized tampering. SIGNED [107] is a smart city digital twin verifiable data framework that achieves these properties by leveraging DLT and VCs. Each functional unit is equipped with a wallet that enables the seamless sharing of VCs. To secure issuing a VC, all attributes intended for sharing and

TABLE VIII
TAXONOMY OF IDENTITY AND ACCESS MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS.

Work	Use Case	Main Contributions
[113]	Identity system	A decentralized digital identity system.
[114]	Cross-domain identity	A decentralized cross-domain identity management system based on blockchain.
[115]	Identity system	A distributed identity system for IoT.
[116]	Access control	An access control model for cross-organization identity management.
[117]	Identity System	An identity framework that combines SSI and FIDO.
[118]	Naming protocol	A naming protocol for IPFS content identifiers that enable self-verifiable content items.
[119]	Service discovery	A P2P discovery system for web services.
[120]	Cross-domain identity	A cross-chain verification models of decentralized identifiers for JointCloud.
[121]	Routing	A protocol enabling routers to self-verify content advertisements.

subsequent digital signing are encapsulated within a claim, which is further encrypted through a pre-established shared secret. Such a claim is then stored within the VC, which is complemented by a digest field that comprises all the attribute digests present in the claim. This digest field serves as a crucial component in the verification process, enabling verifiers to ascertain the integrity of attributes, thereby detecting any tampering. However, it is worth noting that the issuer of the VC selectively shares attributes based on specific requests. This selection process involves extracting attributes from the VC, culminating in the creation of a novel presentation object.

E. Identity and Access Management

We have already discussed how DIDs and VCs can be employed respectively to identify entities and prove certain properties to access services and data. In this section, we review other research works that are related to general identity and access management systems, without referring to any specific application domain. Table VIII offers an overview of the referenced papers.

1) *Users and Devices*: CanDID [113] is a decentralized identity system that enables issuing credentials in a user-friendly manner. The identity subsystem ports identities and credentials securely from existing web services (e.g., social media platforms and online bank accounts), thus it can create trustworthy credentials without the need to explicitly create DID-compatible credentials. CanDID also offers a key recovery service that allows users to effectively retrieve their keys.

BiDM [114] is a decentralized cross-domain identity management system that operates on blockchain technology. The system uses DIDs to identify identities and remove any single-point dependencies. The public key corresponding to each identity is retrieved via a blockchain-based identity provider, ensuring secure and trustworthy identity management. BiDM's design includes a one-way accumulator that accumulates valid key-value pairs, composed of DIDs and public keys and enables efficient proof of membership. These accumulator states are stored on a blockchain consortium system, which prevents a single point of failure and ensures synchronization across multiple domains. For cross-domain authentication, the identity-relying party retrieves the authenticated entity's information and confirms the identity's authenticity via the accumulator. Additionally, to better represent the user's identity and attributes, BiDM divides identities into master and shadow. The

master identity corresponds to the DID used to identify users uniquely in blockchain networks, while the shadow identity is issued by the identity provider and stored offline. SmartDID [115] is another blockchain-based distributed identity system for IoT. Beyond the identity system, it also comprises a dual-credential mode and a distributed proof system. The dual-credential mode is based on commitment and zero-knowledge proofs to protect the privacy of sensitive attributes, on-chain identity data, and linkage of credentials.

SSIBAC [116] is an access control model based on the XACML specification that leverages DIDs, VCs, and blockchain technologies to provide privacy and data sovereignty. VCs are encoded in VPs and mapped to access control policies, which are stored in a policy retrieval point. Access requests are regulated through permission validators that bind VPs to attributes, roles, or other data abstractions. VCs are associated with different validators according to their input. Thus, each VC corresponds to an attribute or role specified by an access control policy.

To add an extra layer of security, SSI identity frameworks can be integrated with additional authentication protocols [117] like FIDO [35]. This strategic combination provides an added layer of protection, mitigating the risk of personal data compromise and preventing unauthorized access to resources, even in the event of identity theft. Each individual uses a FIDO authenticator that generates a locally stored key pair serving as their credentials. This key pair is linked to the user's DID, facilitating identification and verification of ownership of VCs. In cases where users lack external authentication devices, such as USB tokens, they can opt for a Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) to function as a FIDO authenticator.

2) *Services and Data*: IPFS is a decentralized protocol for storing and sharing data, where each item is identified by a content identifier (CID) obtained by applying a hash function. However, any modification to the data would result in a new CID, which can cause issues in managing the identifiers at scale. The integration of DID and DNS can help to address this concern [118]. DIDs are used as content names, and DNSlink enables the binding of a DID to a CID. The content owner publishes a self-verifiable content item on IPFS, which includes the DID document, proof, signed metadata, and the item itself. The generated DID is associated with the CID through DNSlink, allowing the location of a content item to know its DID. Thus, every time the CID returned by IPFS is

modified, the corresponding DNS record is updated.

Beyond data storage, DIDs can also be extended to service discovery in peer-to-peer networks by using them to identify threads as global resources [119]. DID and VCs are enabling technologies to allow identity verification among multiple entities that belong to different organizations. However, they are usually employed to verify identities within a single blockchain. Enabling cross-chain verification of DIDs belonging to different blockchains can favor communication and collaboration among entities that need to establish trust. For example, in JointCloud, a novel cloud computing paradigm that connects different clouds, to manage the heterogeneity of VCs issued by multiple organizations, it is possible to leverage a novel data structure signed by any entity, called verifiable claim [120]. To conduct verification of signed claims on other blockchains, the verifier executes cross-chain contracts and, if allowed, acquires and verifies the signed claims. To enhance the trustworthiness of this approach, verifiable claims, signers, and verifiers are assigned a credibility value.

The use of DIDs can be also extended to build self-verifiable content advertisement in named data networking (NDN) [121], which enables routing information through content identifiers instead of network location identifiers. Content owners use hierarchical DIDs as content names and authorize a publisher to advertise a content name prefix to a specific router. To secure content advertisement, the content owner generates a DID document including the JWK representation of a key. Thus, the publisher with that key will be authorized to advertise that content.

F. Lessons Learned

The review of the existing body of research outlines that DIDs and VCs have found applications across seemingly unrelated fields, including smart transportation and smart healthcare. Despite the dissimilarity, in most of the considered domains, these technologies are used as integral components in realizing SSI systems.

A key feature of DIDs and VCs is their capacity to empower individuals with complete control over their data, enabling selective information sharing. Consequently, communication becomes more secure and the risk of potential data breaches is reduced, contributing to building a decentralized and safer digital landscape. Moreover, as underscored by the differences between application domains, these digital identities are utilized across a multitude of different platforms and applications, thereby favoring interoperability and improving user experience. Notably, VCs can seamlessly enable access to both educational and healthcare services.

While existing literature primarily focuses on DIDs and VCs as building blocks for SSI, their ability to provide decentralized verification and the use of DLTs extends beyond, paving the way for fulfilling the objectives of various applications. As highlighted in the literature review, DIDs and VCs can be leveraged in many scenarios where decentralized verification and/or immutability are required, and human involvement is not the primary focus. For instance, in vehicular scenarios, these technologies are used to enable secure and verifiable

communications between vehicles and roadside units [55]. Similarly, the Gnomon framework [83] employs DIDs and VCs to securely update firmware on devices.

Finally, as discussed in Section III, there is a connection between the chosen implementation and the application domain. For example, referring to the frameworks reported in Table III, in contexts such as smart agriculture and smart transportation, where entities like smart sensors and vehicles require digital identities, IOTA Identity stands as a natural solution. On the other hand, for individuals who tend to prefer managing their digital credentials through mobile applications, e.g., storing medical certificates or travel information, the Microsoft Entra Wallet offers all the necessary functionalities.

V. REGULATIONS, PROJECTS & ORGANIZATIONS

Recently, we have been witnessing a growing interest in DIDs and VCs, as demonstrated by the increasing number of regulations, projects, and consortiums emerging worldwide. To provide a comprehensive overview of the global landscape, this section aims to analyze the key initiatives that have emerged since 2019. These initiatives represent collaborative efforts among stakeholders, including government entities, technology firms, research institutions, and standardization bodies. Table IX reports the main initiatives all over the world.

A. Europe

Europe has always been at the forefront of developing innovative identity management solutions that enable European citizens to use electronic identities (eIDs) for online authentication and communication with online services provided by Member States [122]. This interest in decentralized digital identity is even previous to the rise of DIDs and VCs. In 2014, the European Commission recognized the importance of digital identity with the publication of Regulation 910/2014 on electronic identification and trust services (eIDAS) [123]. This regulation laid the groundwork for European citizens to securely access online services across the European Union (EU) through a standardized set of digital identity credentials.

1) *eIDAS 2.0*: The eIDAS regulation is based on the federated identities model, wherein users are registered with an identity provider. This provider enables them to seamlessly share their identities with service providers that place trust in the said identity provider. Although this approach provides an SSO experience, it has raised privacy concerns as users do not have direct control over their data, and the identity provider has the potential to aggregate information from multiple service providers, potentially profiling clients.

To address such challenges, the European Commission proposed an updated version of eIDAS in 2021 known as eIDAS 2.0 [21]. This reviewed version shifts from a federated to an SSI model. Thus, users have direct control over their information and only share the minimum amount of data required by the service provider to fulfill the client's request.

Additionally, the eIDAS 2.0 proposal also introduces the concept of a European Union Digital Wallet (EUDIW) [124]. The EUDIW serves as a secure storage for European citizens to store their identity data, credentials, and attributes

TABLE IX
WORLDWIDE INITIATIVES ON DIDS AND VCS.

Government	Initiative	Description
Europe	eIDAS 2.0	Enables European citizens to access online services across the EU through SSI.
Europe	EUDIW	Secure storage for European citizens to store credentials, and attributes on their identity, and provide them to service providers.
Europe	eSSIF-Lab	EU project to promote the adoption of SSI. It is a collaborative ecosystem that brings together governments and enterprises to simplify the implementation and utilization of SSI.
Europe	EBSI	Unified platform, involving all 27 EU members and Norway and Liechtenstein, that offers seamless cross-border services.
Canada	PCTF	A set of rules, standards, and best practices that define how VCs can be used across Canada.
Argentina	DIDI	Increase trust and reduce socioeconomic and financial barriers that limit the access to quality goods to vulnerable populations.
South Korea	Busan Blockchain ID App	Enables citizens of Busan city to use DIDs for accessing smart city facilities.
China	RealDID	A digital identity service for verifying real-name digital identities, encrypting personal data, and certification.
Taiwan	Taiwan DID	Offers digital services based on the residency of users.
Africa	Diwala	Leverages VCs to easily verify credentials.
Africa	NDIP	Securely identify citizens through DIDs and VCs.
New Zealand	DISTF	Framework to promote the use of VCs in daily lives.

concerning their identity to provide them to service providers during digital interactions. In this ecosystem, each EUDIW is associated with a DID, and the credentials stored in the EUDIW are in the form of VCs issued by eIDAS issuers. The verification keys of these credentials need to be publicly accessible by anyone for any use case, ensuring transparency and interoperability.

2) *eSSIF-LaB*: The European Self-Sovereign Identity Framework Lab (eSSIF-LaB) [125] is an EU-funded project that focuses on accelerating the adoption of SSI. This next-generation digital identity solution aims to revolutionize electronic transactions, both online and in real-life scenarios, by offering a secure, open, and trustworthy framework. eSSIF-LaB functions as a collaborative ecosystem that brings together various stakeholders, including governments and enterprises, to simplify the implementation and utilization of SSI technology.

3) *EBSI*: The European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI) [126] is a collaborative effort between the EU and the European Blockchain Partnership (EBP) to establish a unified platform involving all 27 EU MSs, as well as Norway and Liechtenstein. Its primary objective is to create a robust infrastructure that supports seamless cross-border services. For example, one significant application of EBSI lies in leveraging DIDs and VCs to streamline the management of educational credentials. To illustrate the potential of EBSI in this context, notable institutions like the University of Bologna in Italy and the University of Leuven in Belgium have recently participated in a pilot study focused on the verification of educational credentials [102]. This pilot study encompasses verifiable student IDs and transcripts of student records, which can be reliably verified through the EBSI framework.

4) *Regional Initiatives*: Besides initiatives directly led by European institutions, there are some further projects at the regional level [127]. Among European countries, Finland, Spain, Netherlands, and Germany are those that are most steadily working on the development of national SSI frameworks. Moreover, the Spanish Association for Standardization published in 2021 is the first global standard on decentralized

identity management based on DLTs.

B. North America

The United States (US) has shown a long-standing interest in trusted digital identities with significant developments starting as early as 2011 when the National Strategy for Trusted Identity in Cyberspace (NSTIC) was implemented [128]. In 2016, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) recognized the potential of blockchain-based digital identity technology, and they awarded grants to enterprises involved in this field [129], including one that funded the establishment of the DID working group within the W3C. Within a year, the DHS began providing financial support, accumulating a total of \$4 million in funding, specifically targeted at small and medium-sized enterprises in the DID domain [130].

Over the years, the US government's interest in DIDs and VCs has remained consistent. In June 2023, the DHS further showcased its commitment to advancing this field by publishing a solicitation seeking cutting-edge solutions for a privacy-preserving digital credentialing ecosystem [131]. The DHS aims to incorporate these solutions into various components and offices, including U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), and the DHS Privacy Office (PRIV). The global success and adoption of DIDs and VCs drove this interest. Specifically, the DHS is looking for privacy-preserving components that can seamlessly integrate with existing credentialing systems utilized by DHS. Among the valuable technical topic areas, they identified digital wallets and software-based verifier implementations for mobile devices.

The Government of Canada, through its Digital Identity and Authentication Council of Canada (DIACC), has been actively exploring the use of DIDs and VCs. DIACC is a nonprofit coalition of public and private sector leaders that work together to develop a digital identity framework for Canada [132]. One of the key initiatives in this space is the Pan-Canadian Trust Framework (PCTF), which comprises a set of rules, standards, and best practices that define how digital identity and VCs

can be used across Canada. It aims to establish a trusted and interoperable digital identity ecosystem that enables secure and privacy-enhancing transactions. Furthermore, Canada is actively involved in international collaborations and standards development efforts related to DIDs and VCs. For example, the DIACC participates in the W3C Credentials Community Group. In 2018, Canadian public authorities created the Verifiable Organizations Network (VON) to enable governments and organizations to exchange data with VCs that are employed to issue digital licenses, permits, and registration to legal entities [133].

C. South America

In South America, Argentina is the most active country that is working on digital identity projects that incorporate DIDs and VCs. The main digital identity project is DIDI [134], which aims to improve levels of trust and break down some of the socioeconomic and financial barriers that impede access to quality goods and services for vulnerable populations. For example, in 2021, to favor financial inclusion, they started providing farmers in the Gran Cacho region with VCs about their sustainable practices. Such credentials will count towards climate risk scores, that can be presented to financial institutions looking to promote access to financial credit for rural producers and communities. Recently, the province of Misiones approved a law that permits the use of blockchain in government management operations. Therefore, they launched in this area a project to improve the adoption of digital wallets. DIDs and VCs can also facilitate secure and trusted cross-border trade.

South American countries are exploring the use of these technologies to streamline customs processes and enhance trade security. For example, Brazil, Columbia, and Costa Rica are among the main countries involved in Farmer Connect [135], a project that leverages SSI technologies to enable end-to-end traceability across the food and agriculture supply chain while addressing regulatory compliance needs.

D. Asia

Although digital identities are very widespread in Asia, many of the existing solutions do not specifically employ DID and VCs. Indeed, most of the approaches are still centralized. However, it is worth noting that they could be easily integrated with these technologies, whose growing recognition of potential has also been recently emphasized by the Founder CTO of Aadhaar [136], India's biometric ID system.

South Korea stands out as one of the Asian countries at the forefront of adopting DIDs and VCs with a thriving digital identity ecosystem and numerous ongoing projects [137]. The Korea Financial Telecommunications & Clearings Institute (KFTC) has introduced a blockchain-based digital ID for financial services, while actively participating in the DID Alliance Korea. In 2020, the city of Busan in South Korea launched the Busan Blockchain ID App, enabling citizens to utilize DIDs for accessing various facilities, such as the 'multi-child family love' card that provides benefits to households with three or more children. South Korea has also been

actively involved in international standardization efforts related to DIDs and VCs, with the Korea Internet & Security Agency (KISA) being a member of the W3C Credentials Community Group.

In late 2023, the Chinese Ministry of Public Security collaborated with the Blockchain-based Service Network (BSN) to introduce RealDID [138], an initiative designed to verify real-name digital identities, encrypt personal data, and certification. The RealDID system is expected to issue 5 million IDs through 2024. Furthermore, the Chinese WeBank launched WeIdentity [139], a project that aims to establish a decentralized identity ecosystem using DIDs and VCs. In Hong Kong, the utilization of blockchain, DIDs, and VCs has facilitated the development of innovative platforms like ARTRACX Curator [140], which establishes digital identities for fine art and collectibles, ensuring proper intellectual property protection and authentication. These initiatives showcase the diverse applications and forward-thinking approaches to digital identity in the region.

Since 2023, Taiwan's government has been actively working with W3C and IOTA to implement decentralized identity solutions. Taiwan DID [141] is a service that provides Taiwanese citizens with VCs once their residency has been verified. Taiwan DID can be used for digital content subscription services that offer different pricing and content in multiple countries.

E. Africa

DIDs and VCs have held significant potential in addressing identity management challenges in less technologically advanced countries such as those in Africa. Indeed, many of the identity issues experienced in technologically advanced countries, such as Europe and the US, have also recently emerged in the second-largest continent. One major concern is the ease of forging digital certificates, where credentials can be easily manipulated using tools like Photoshop. This creates a pressing need to enable easy online verification of credentials for trainees, recruiters, and employers. VCs offer a promising solution in this regard. For instance, Gravity Training, a prominent provider of commercial work-at-height solutions in South Africa, has partnered with Dock Network to embrace the use of VCs [142]. Additionally, local initiatives like Diwala have facilitated the use of VCs in more than 50 institutions across 9 African countries [143].

Another critical issue is to broaden and facilitate access to financial services. Kiva is a nonprofit organization that aims to enhance financial access for underserved communities. In 2019, Sierra Leone, a West African nation of about 7 million, launched the National Digital Identity Platform (NDIP) that uses the Kiva Protocol. This platform leverages DIDs and VCs to enable fast, affordable, and secure identity verification for citizens [144]. SSI technology can also be valuable in facilitating birth registration in less developed countries. In Kenya, the use of DIDs and VCs can empower relatives to interact with health workers through smartphones, enabling efficient birth registration and linking mothers to their babies [145].

F. Australia and Oceania

Australia has many ongoing and proposed projects focused on storing VCs issued by the government in device-native or state-government wallets and applications. These initiatives aim to facilitate seamless and secure digital identity verification processes. Additionally, Australian jurisdictions are utilizing existing applications and digital wallets for various use cases with a particular emphasis on employment and education. For instance, when a recent graduate applies for a job or a higher degree, they may be required to present VCs as proof of their education [146]. However, also cross-jurisdictional use cases are emerging, where citizens may be asked to provide credentials issued by one or more state-level jurisdictions.

In New Zealand, the Digital Identity Services Trust Framework (DISTF) Bill was approved at the beginning of 2023, establishing the legal foundation for an open accreditation scheme. This framework aims to promote the widespread use of VCs and digital identity in our daily lives [147]. For instance, the Credentials Verification Service for the Nursing Council of New Zealand (CVS-NCNZ) enables nurses educated and licensed outside the country to have their credentials verified and authenticated [148]. This service plays a crucial role in allowing qualified nurses to work regularly in New Zealand.

G. Lessons Learned

The analysis of emerging regulations, projects, and organizations underscores the global momentum behind DIDs and VCs. These technologies are not only advancing digital identity but also empowering individuals, communities, and organizations across continents. Europe is undergoing a remarkable digital transformation, moving towards the SSI model, as exemplified by eIDAS 2.0. European citizens are going to be empowered with more control over their data, while the introduction of the EUDIW enables securing the storage and sharing of DIDs and VCs. Moreover, many countries are actively working on national SSI frameworks, recognizing the importance of tailoring digital identity solutions to local needs and regulations.

In North America, government commitment to promoting the adoption of DIDs and VCs is evident. The US DHS is currently seeking innovative solutions for the privacy-preserving digital credential ecosystem, highlighting the potential of such technologies. The Canadian Digital Identity and Authentication Council is shaping the Pan-Canadian Trust Framework, emphasizing trust and interoperability in the digital identity ecosystem.

Argentina is the most active country in the South America. The DIDI project exemplifies how DIDs and VCs can allow vulnerable populations to break socioeconomic and financial barriers. The use of VCs to promote climate-responsible agriculture and secure rural credit highlights the real-world impact these technologies can have on local communities.

Among Asian countries, South Korea stands as one of the leaders in adopting DIDs and VCs in digital identity solutions. These initiatives reflect the forward-thinking approach

to digital identity and its potential to transform various sectors, including finance and public services. In late 2023, China unveiled its plans for the introduction of a new digital identity service based on DIDs and VCs, supporting the vision that these technologies will experience a significant boost shortly.

DIDs and VCs are also envisioned as valuable technologies to promote inclusivity and face the unique challenges that affect Africa. For example, they can enhance financial inclusion and secure birth registration. Local initiatives like Diwaka and partnerships with organizations (e.g., Kiva) demonstrate the adaptability and impact of DIDs and VCs in different African contexts.

Finally, Australia and New Zealand are currently pursuing the widespread adoption of DIDs and VCs. These initiatives focus on enabling citizens to store and use government-issued VCs, providing secure and seamless identity verification. Cross-jurisdictional use cases demonstrate the potential of VCs to enhance digital identity across borders.

VI. MAIN FEATURES OF DIDs AND VCS

The comprehensive examination of existing literature on DIDs and VCs, coupled with the analysis of emerging regulations and initiatives worldwide, underscores the crucial role these emerging standards play in shaping both the current and future digital identity landscapes. This section presents the main features of DIDs and VCs.

A. DID Features

- 1) *Self-Sovereignty*: Users have control and ownership over their DIDs, which can be created and managed by themselves. This self-sovereignty makes individuals independent from central authorities or third-party entities.
- 2) *Inclusivity*: DIDs facilitate inclusivity by providing digital access to individuals in least-developed countries lacking traditional forms of identification such as government-issued IDs.
- 3) *Privacy and Security*: DIDs allow users to selectively disclose information, preventing the oversharing of personal data. Employing cryptographic techniques like PKI for key generation, digital signature, and encryption, DIDs ensure robust defenses against unauthorized access and data breaches. Additionally, the use of decentralized networks such as DLT reduces the risk of a single point of failure, enhancing overall security.
- 4) *Interoperability and Portability*: DIDs are designed to be compatible with various platforms, fostering seamless integration and communication across different systems. Moreover, they promote portability by enabling users to easily transfer their identifiers and associated data across various platforms.
- 5) *Persistence*: DIDs are consistent and permanent, offering a reliable means of identification even as individuals switch service providers or platforms.

B. VC Features

- 1) *Decentralized Verification*: Entities issuing VCs are inherently trusted, ensuring reliable verification of credential authenticity and contained information. VCs can be

verified independently at any location and time without direct interaction with the issuer.

- 2) *Privacy and Security*: VCs support ZKP and selective disclosure, granting users the autonomy to share only necessary information with verifiers, without compromising entire credentials. Personal information is not stored on Distributed Ledger Technologies DLTs or centralized systems, minimizing the risk of data breaches. Moreover, by providing data owners full control over their data, VCs can help organizations become compliant with existing regulations such as the GDPR.
- 3) *Interoperability and Portability*: W3C standards promote a standardized approach to VC implementation, ensuring high interoperability and consistency. VCs are designed to seamlessly operate across different platforms and applications. Individuals can store VCs in their digital wallet and take them anywhere while still being verifiable, offering users flexibility and convenience in presenting their credentials.
- 4) *Temper-Resistance*: VCs are cryptographically signed, making them resistant to tampering or unauthorized alterations, thereby ensuring the integrity of the information included.

VII. CHALLENGES & FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

From the extensive review of the applications of DIDs and VCs, we identify possible challenges and future research directions.

A. Standardization

Although DIDS and VCs have been standardized by the W3C, there are several standardization challenges related to their content and protocols at different levels. In this direction, one of the primary concerns is related to the fact that DID method specifications present differences in format, completeness of information, information density, and even versioning of the specifications [149]. This issue also affects VCs since there are just a few attempts of standardization that are related to specific application domains, such as EBSI which is actively working to define an education credential accepted by different European universities [102]. On the other hand, there are some other contexts where the use of VCs is in the very early stages and a standardized data structure would be valuable to favor their adoption. For example, IoT lacks standardization for VCs shared among devices.

It is worth noting that standardization challenges go beyond the content and data structure of DIDs and VCs, as they also affect the related protocols from different perspectives. At the state-of-the-art, many aspects are still non-normative. For example, from a security point of view, building a consensus on these security standards can be complex. Currently, there are no specifications available on cryptographic algorithms or key management practices. These considerations can be extended to other levels including lifecycle management, interoperability, laws, regulations, etc.

B. Seamless Integration

The implementation of DIDs and VCs faces significant challenges when attempting to seamlessly integrate with legacy systems. Current solutions that adopt these technologies usually consider a collaborative environment where a community of issuers of VCs actively participates. However, this assumption does not always align with reality, as only a limited number of entities are ready to issue VCs. Traditional issuers of digital credentials may be unwilling to issue signed variants like VCs [113].

In practice, the broad-scale adoption of these technologies demands the usage of VCs constructed from data available in existing and unmodified services [150], [151]. Overcoming the inertia associated with legacy systems and encouraging seamless interoperability between traditional and decentralized credentialing processes emerge as critical challenges in ensuring the effective integration and widespread acceptance of DIDs and VCs. Addressing these challenges requires innovative approaches that facilitate a smooth transition for legacy systems, fostering an environment where both traditional and decentralized credential issuers can coexist and collaborate seamlessly.

C. Digital Wallet

The practical use of digital identities relies heavily on the existence of a control system that empowers users to manage them efficiently. This involves inspecting the status of their entitlements, overseeing data, and granting consent for, as well as initiating, information disclosures similar to the handling of physical credentials. To achieve this in the decentralized identity landscape, individuals should have the capability to securely store their DIDs and VCS in a user-friendly and secure manner. In the decentralized identity context, the tool offering users control over their data is known as a digital wallet [152]. Unlike conventional storage in large databases, which raises ethical, security, and privacy concerns [153], the use of a digital wallet provides a more personalized and secure approach. Such instruments Digital wallets should possess the functionality to store both VCs and the associated cryptographic key pairs of DIDs. This information enables users to respond to various service providers, even when an internet connection is unavailable (e.g., using Bluetooth or NFC). Additionally, the independence of wallet software from any control by identity providers or relying parties is crucial to ensure user autonomy and security.

The interest in digital wallets is remarkably growing all over the world. For instance, by 2024, all EU member states are mandated to make an EUDIW available to every interested citizen [154]. Consequently, a hot research avenue involves not only the design and development of digital wallets but also the exploration and evaluation of worthwhile applications that support and enhance their functionality.

D. Application Domains

As outlined in Section IV, DIDs and VCs have demonstrated their versatility and applicability across a wide array of domains for distinct purposes. Nevertheless, upon a

comprehensive review of the existing literature, it becomes evident that there exists a notable disparity in the adoption of these technologies across various application domains. In some domains, we find a robust body of literature and practical implementations, reflecting a consistent and well-established presence of DIDs and VCs. Conversely, in certain other fields, the utilization of DIDs and VCs remains comparatively limited, despite the potential these technologies hold to effectively address prevailing privacy and security challenges. To bridge this gap and fully unlock their potential, future research should also focus on under-considered domains. In the following, we discuss some of them.

1) *E-voting*: We claim that DIDs and VCs are very promising in the development of novel e-voting systems that securely store all the preferences without leaking any information about the individuals [155]. In this context, DIDs are used to uniquely identify voters, while VCs are issued by trusted entities, such as government agencies or identity providers, confirming a voter's eligibility without exposing their details. DIDs and VCs, however, allow for the creation of pseudonymous, unlinkable digital identities. Voters can cast their ballots without revealing their real-world identity, and these votes can be securely recorded on the blockchain, ensuring privacy. Furthermore, the use of DIDs and VCs opens up opportunities for remote and mobile voting. Indeed, voters can potentially participate from anywhere, reducing geographical barriers and increasing overall voter turnout. These technologies provide a strong foundation for end-to-end verifiable e-voting systems, ensuring that votes are accurately counted and users can verify to have been included in the count [156].

However, it is important to note that the adoption of DIDs and VCs in e-voting systems also brings challenges. Standardization, trust frameworks, and robust authentication mechanisms must be established to create a resilient and trustworthy e-voting ecosystem.

2) *Digital Twin*: In the context of DTs, there is only a work that proposes the use of such technologies despite their capacity to establish trustworthiness among entities, which is a crucial concern in implementing digital replicas of objects and environments [157]. DIDs and VCs provide a standardized and secure way to verify the authenticity of data sources, ensuring that the data used to create and update the digital twin is accurate and reliable. Trustworthiness also encompasses the assurance that the data collected from the physical entity and mirrored in the digital twin remains unaltered and secure throughout its lifecycle. DIDs provide immutable linking of data sources to DTs, reducing the risk of unauthorized tampering or data breaches. On the other hand, VCs offer a mechanism to ensure that the entities providing data to the digital twin can be authenticated, enhancing the overall security of the system. Moreover, DTs often interact with a multitude of systems and entities, making interoperability and cross-domain trust essential. DIDs and VCs offer a standardized way to establish trust and data exchange across domains.

3) *Smart Grid*: Furthermore, there are also suitable to enhance next-generation services such as Grid-to-Go [158], which consider a scenario where a landlord of an Airbnb has to pay the energy bill for their tenants although they

may consume an excessive amount of energy (e.g., charging electric vehicles). To avoid such a concern, smart meters can be programmed to charge the tenant by routing consumption measurements from the landlords utility to the tenants utility. The use of DIDs can be utilized to provide among participants in a decentralized way, avoiding placing trust in specific entities.

E. Security and Privacy

Although DIDs and VCs offer many security benefits especially in bolstering privacy and providing users greater control over their data, some security issues need to be further explored.

1) *DID Management*: Granting third parties access to manage DID documents introduces potential vulnerabilities that must be approached with caution. One such risk is the possibility of the DID controller impersonating the DID owner by generating a new key pair and modifying the public key in the DID document. Authorization and auditing mechanisms are needed to detect and prevent unauthorized modifications. The public key associated with a DID is usually registered to a blockchain enabling access to other nodes of the network. The compromising of the corresponding private key is then followed by key rotation that generates and registers a new key pair. To replace the current key pair, the DID owner publishes the novel public key signed with the previous one [159]. Since the moment at which the private key cannot be known in advance, to avoid malicious attacks based on the compromised private key, entities can only update the key pair regularly to reduce the vulnerability window. This is a primary concern for a DID-based system since compromising the private key will lead to complete control of the attacker over that identity. Furthermore, the continuous generation of novel key pairs also exhibits usability issues. To tackle such challenges, DIDs should support flexible key rotation [160]. The security credentials of the DID can be enriched with a one-time password (OTP) based on the hash chain that serves as nonce and second-factor authentication for key rotation. In this way, the attacker must obtain the OTP and the private key to steal the victim's digital identity.

2) *VC Revocation*: Despite the growing interest in VCs, the current landscape lacks a substantial body of research focused on developing novel and efficient approaches for their revocation. At the time of this paper, there is only one specification proposed, which does not hold the status of a W3C standard, nor is it on the W3C standards track. This specification, known as "Revocation List 2020" [161], revolves around a bitstring-based mechanism for managing revocations. In this arrangement, each bit within the bitstring corresponds to an index stored in the VCs. When the bit is set to 1 the VC is revoked, otherwise it is still valid. It is worth noting that the degree of privacy achievable through this approach is directly linked to the size of the revocation list. A large number of VCs issued makes it more difficult to correlate a VC to the owner. Another favorable facet of this strategy is its potential for compression.

Given that the majority of credentials remain valid, lengthy stretches of bits often retain identical values. This trait lends

itself to compression techniques like ZLIB [162], allowing for the reduction of the bitstring’s size. For instance, a 16KB bitstring housing only a handful of revoked VCs could potentially be compressed down to a mere few hundred bytes. To visualize this, refer to Fig. 15 for an illustrative representation of Revocation List 2020 in action.




Fig. 15. Revocation List 2020.

3) *Accountability*: Ensuring privacy while simultaneously adhering to existing regulations, such as Know-Your-Customer (KYC) [163] and Anti-Money-Laundering (AML) [164], poses a significant challenge for DIDs. DIDs are designed with privacy preservation as a core objective, but reconciling this feature with the need to screen users presents several complexities. Modern systems leveraging DIDs should meet these regulations and be able to screen users of the system. Specifically, they should be able to identify and validate credentials associated with users. This becomes crucial for screening individuals against various lists, such as sanctions lists, to determine if any preventative actions, like blacklisting, are warranted.

4) *Privacy*: Research in this domain should explore novel methods and technologies that can seamlessly integrate privacy-preserving features within DIDs while concurrently enabling compliance with regulatory measures. This involves developing cryptographic techniques or privacy-enhancing protocols that empower DIDs to provide verifiable information without compromising the sensitive details of users.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Digital identification has always been a persistent concern since the first inception of the Internet. Over the years, a multitude of solutions have been proposed, and the contemporary landscape reflects a shift towards decentralization, prioritizing end-user control over their data and aligning with evolving privacy regulations. However, the concept of digital identification is not limited to individuals, being potentially extendible to anyone and anything, including cloud, edge, and IoT resources.

In this article, we present a comprehensive survey on DIDs and VCs, two building blocks to authenticate and authorize entities in the modern digital landscape, enabling secure and trustworthy communication. This survey aims to comprehensively analyze DIDs and VCs in terms of implementations, application domains, and regulations. Through the investigation of the available implementations available, we

provide developers with valuable insights into the features of existing libraries, facilitating informed choices across different projects. While the analysis of the application DIDs and VCs demonstrates that their utility goes far beyond SSI systems. Furthermore, our survey also explores global initiatives and projects spearheaded by governments and political institutions, offering a tangible glimpse into the trajectory DIDs and VCs are taking on a global scale. Finally, as these technologies are relatively novel, we outline emerging challenges and highlight avenues for future research, serving as a valuable starting point for ongoing and future research endeavors in this field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the project SER-ICS (PE00000 014) under the MUR National Recovery and Resilience Plan program funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU, the U.S. National Science Foundation (Award: NSF-EAGER-2219920), and Microsoft. The views expressed by the authors in this paper are their own, not those of the funding entities.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. A. Grassi, M. E. Garcia, and J. L. Fenton, “Digital identity guidelines,” *NIST special publication*, vol. 800, pp. 63–3, 2017.
- [2] K.-Y. Lam and C.-H. Chi, “Identity in the Internet-of-Things (IoT): New Challenges and Opportunities,” in *Information and Communications Security*, K.-Y. Lam, C.-H. Chi, and S. Qing, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2016, pp. 18–26.
- [3] K. Shafique, B. A. Khawaja, F. Sabir, S. Qazi, and M. Mustaqim, “Internet of Things (IoT) for Next-Generation Smart Systems: A Review of Current Challenges, Future Trends and Prospects for Emerging 5G-IoT Scenarios,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 23 022–23 040, 2020.
- [4] M. A. Olivero, A. Bertolino, F. J. Domnguez-Mayo, M. J. Escalona, and I. Matteucci, “Digital persona portrayal: Identifying pluridentity vulnerabilities in digital life,” *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, vol. 52, p. 102492, 2020.
- [5] S. Alneyadi, E. Sithirasanen, and V. Muthukkumarasamy, “A survey on data leakage prevention systems,” *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 62, pp. 137–152, 2016.
- [6] A. Shabtai, Y. Elovici, and L. Rokach, *A survey of data leakage detection and prevention solutions*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [7] D. Silver, S. Jana, D. Boneh, E. Chen, and C. Jackson, “Password Managers: Attacks and Defenses,” in *23rd USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 14)*. San Diego, CA: USENIX Association, Aug. 2014, pp. 449–464. [Online]. Available: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity14/technical-sessions/presentation/silver>
- [8] K. Thomas, J. Pullman, K. Yeo, A. Raghunathan, P. G. Kelley, L. Invernizzi, B. Benko, T. Pietraszek, S. Patel, D. Boneh, and E. Bursztein, “Protecting accounts from credential stuffing with password breach alerting,” in *28th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 19)*. Santa Clara, CA: USENIX Association, Aug. 2019, pp. 1556–1571. [Online]. Available: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity19/presentation/thomas>
- [9] P. C. Bartolomeu, E. Vieira, S. M. Hosseini, and J. Ferreira, “Self-Sovereign Identity: Use-cases, Technologies, and Challenges for Industrial IoT,” in *2019 24th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, 2019, pp. 1173–1180.
- [10] M. Alizadeh, K. Andersson, and O. Scheln, “Comparative Analysis of Decentralized Identity Approaches,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 92273–92283, 2022.
- [11] EU, “Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation),” 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj/eng>

- [12] A. Mhle, A. Grner, T. Gayvoronskaya, and C. Meinel, "A survey on essential components of a self-sovereign identity," *Computer Science Review*, vol. 30, pp. 80–86, 2018.
- [13] N. Romandini, A. Mora, C. Mazzocca, R. Montanari, and P. Bellavista, "Federated Unlearning: A Survey on Methods, Design Guidelines, and Evaluation Metrics," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.05146*, 2024.
- [14] W3 Recommendation, "Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) v1.0," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.w3.org/TR/did-core/>
- [15] W. Recommendation, "Verifiable Credentials Data Model v1.1," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.w3.org/TR/vc-data-model/>
- [16] S. Cucko and M. Turkanovi, "Decentralized and Self-Sovereign Identity: Systematic Mapping Study," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 139009–139027, 2021.
- [17] R. Soltani, U. T. Nguyen, and A. An, "A Survey of Self-Sovereign Identity Ecosystem," *Security and Communication Networks*, vol. 2021, p. 8873429, Jul 2021.
- [18] Y. Bai, H. Lei, S. Li, H. Gao, J. Li, and L. Li, "Decentralized and Self-Sovereign Identity in the Era of Blockchain: A Survey," in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain (Blockchain)*, 2022, pp. 500–507.
- [19] F. Schardong and R. Custdio, "Self-sovereign identity: A systematic review, mapping and taxonomy," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 15, 2022.
- [20] M. Davie, D. Gisolfi, D. Hardman, J. Jordan, D. O'Donnell, and D. Reed, "The Trust over IP Stack," *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 46–51, 2019.
- [21] European Union, "Regulation of the European Parliament and of The Council Amending Regulation (Eu) No 910/2014 as Regards Establishing a Framework for a European Digital Identity," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021PC0281>
- [22] T. El Maliki and J.-M. Seigneur, "A Survey of User-centric Identity Management Technologies," in *The International Conference on Emerging Security Information, Systems, and Technologies (SECUREWARE 2007)*, 2007, pp. 12–17.
- [23] A. Prez-Mndez, F. Pereguez-Garca, R. Marn-Lpez, G. Lpez-Milln, and J. Howlett, "Identity Federations Beyond the Web: A Survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 2125–2141, 2014.
- [24] J. Torres, M. Nogueira, and G. Pujolle, "A Survey on Identity Management for the Future Network," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 787–802, 2013.
- [25] Y. Liu, D. He, M. S. Obaidat, N. Kumar, M. K. Khan, and K.-K. Raymond Choo, "Blockchain-based identity management systems: A review," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 166, p. 102731, 2020.
- [26] L. Ante, C. Fischer, and E. Strehle, "A bibliometric review of research on digital identity: Research streams, influential works and future research paths," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 62, pp. 523–538, 2022.
- [27] D. Pöhn and W. Hommel, "An Overview of Limitations and Approaches in Identity Management," in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security*, ser. ARES '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2020.
- [28] M. Yıldırım and I. Mackie, "Encouraging users to improve password security and memorability," *International Journal of Information Security*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 741–759, Dec 2019.
- [29] D. Zhe, W. Qinghong, S. Naizheng, and Z. Yuhan, "Study on Data Security Policy Based on Cloud Storage," in *2017 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Big Data Security on Cloud (BigDataSecurity), IEEE International Conference on High Performance and Smart Computing (Hpsc), and IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Data and Security (ids)*, 2017, pp. 145–149.
- [30] D. W. Chadwick, *Federated Identity Management*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 96–120.
- [31] A. Armando, R. Carbone, L. Compagna, J. Cuellar, and L. Tobarra, "Formal Analysis of SAML 2.0 Web Browser Single Sign-on: Breaking the SAML-Based Single Sign-on for Google Apps," in *Proceedings of the 6th ACM Workshop on Formal Methods in Security Engineering*, ser. FMSE '08. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2008, p. 110.
- [32] A. A. Malik, H. Anwar, and M. A. Shibli, "Federated Identity Management (FIM): Challenges and opportunities," in *2015 Conference on Information Assurance and Cyber Security (CIACS)*, 2015, pp. 75–82.
- [33] R. Laborde, A. Oglaza, S. Wazan, F. Barrere, A. Benzekri, D. W. Chadwick, and R. Venant, "A User-Centric Identity Management Framework based on the W3C Verifiable Credentials and the FIDO Universal Authentication Framework," in *2020 IEEE 17th Annual Consumer Communications & Networking Conference (CCNC)*, 2020, pp. 1–8.
- [34] D. Recordon and D. Reed, "OpenID 2.0: A Platform for User-Centric Identity Management," in *Proceedings of the Second ACM Workshop on Digital Identity Management*, ser. DIM '06. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2006, p. 1116.
- [35] S. Srinivas, D. Balfanz, E. Tiffany, A. Czeskis, and F. Alliance, "Universal 2nd factor (U2F) overview," *FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard*, vol. 15, 2015.
- [36] D. Hardt, "The OAuth 2.0 authorization framework," Tech. Rep., 2012.
- [37] A. Jøsang, C. Rosenberger, L. Miralabé, H. Klevjer, K. A. Varmedal, J. Daveau, K. E. Husa, and P. Taugbøl, "Local user-centric identity management," *Journal of Trust Management*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 1, Jan 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40493-014-0009-6>
- [38] A. Tobin and D. Reed, "The inevitable rise of self-sovereign identity," *The Sovrin Foundation*, vol. 29, no. 2016, p. 18, 2016.
- [39] M. S. Ferdous, F. Chowdhury, and M. O. Alassafi, "In Search of Self-Sovereign Identity Leveraging Blockchain Technology," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 103059–103079, 2019.
- [40] W. Recommendation, "World Wide Web Consortium," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.w3.org/>
- [41] I. Foundation, "Peer DID Method Specification," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://identity.foundation/peer-did-method-spec/>
- [42] M. Sabadello, "A universal resolver for self-sovereign identifiers," *Decentralized Identity Foundation*, 2017.
- [43] B. Alangot, P. Szalachowski, T. T. A. Dinh, S. Meftah, J. I. Gana, K. M. M. Aung, and Z. Li, "Decentralized Identity Authentication with Auditability and Privacy," *Algorithms*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2023.
- [44] M. Sabadello, K. Den Hartog, C. Lundkvist, C. Franz, A. Elias, A. Hughes, J. Jordan, and D. Zagidulin, "Introduction to did auth," *Rebooting the Web of Trust VI*, 2018.
- [45] M. Alizadeh, K. Andersson, and O. Scheln, "Performance Analysis of Verifiable Data Registry Solutions for Decentralized Identifiers," in *2022 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Computer Science and Data Engineering (CSDE)*, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [46] J. García-Rodríguez, R. Torres Moreno, J. Bernal Bernabé, and A. Skarmeta, "Towards a Standardized Model for Privacy-Preserving Verifiable Credentials," in *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security*, ser. ARES '21. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2021.
- [47] P. Dunphy and F. A. Petitcolas, "A First Look at Identity Management Schemes on the Blockchain," *IEEE Security & Privacy*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 20–29, 2018.
- [48] W. Zou, D. Lo, P. S. Kochhar, X.-B. D. Le, X. Xia, Y. Feng, Z. Chen, and B. Xu, "Smart Contract Development: Challenges and Opportunities," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 2084–2106, 2021.
- [49] B. Alzahrani, "An Information-Centric Networking Based Registry for Decentralized Identifiers and Verifiable Credentials," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 137198–137208, 2020.
- [50] DIDKit, "DIDKit." [Online]. Available: <https://www.spruceid.dev/didkit/didkit>
- [51] I. Foundation, "IOTA Identity Framework." [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/iotaledger/identity.rs>
- [52] Hyperledger, "Hyperledger Aries." [Online]. Available: <https://www.hyperledger.org/projects/aries>
- [53] Microsoft, "Microsoft Entra Wallet Library." [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/microsoft/entra-verifiedid-wallet-library-ios#microsoft-entra-wallet-library>
- [54] Veramo, "Veramo." [Online]. Available: <https://veramo.io/>
- [55] I. Agudo, M. Montenegro-Gmez, and J. Lopez, "A Blockchain Approach for Decentralized V2X (D-V2X)," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 4001–4010, 2021.
- [56] P. Michalopoulos, J. Meijers, S. F. Singh, and A. Veneris, "A V2X Reputation System with Privacy Considerations," in *2022 IEEE 13th International Conference on Software Engineering and Service Science (ICSESS)*, 2022, pp. 8–14.
- [57] X. Li, T. Jing, R. Li, H. Li, X. Wang, and D. Shen, "BDRA: Blockchain and Decentralized Identifiers Assisted Secure Registration and Authentication for VANETs," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1–1, 2022.
- [58] P. C. Bartolomeu, J. Bernardino, and J. Ferreira, "Decentralized Ad-Hoc Seaport Truck Authentication," in *2022 IEEE 19th Annual Consumer Communications & Networking Conference (CCNC)*, 2022, pp. 417–420.

- [59] S. Terzi, C. Savvaïdis, A. Sersemis, K. Votis, and D. Tzovaras, "Decentralizing Identity Management and Vehicle Rights Delegation through Self-Sovereign Identities and Blockchain," in *2021 IEEE 45th Annual Computers, Software, and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, 2021, pp. 1217–1223.
- [60] D. Wilms, C. Stoecker, and J. Caballero, "Data Provenance in Vehicle Data Chains," in *2021 IEEE 93rd Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2021-Spring)*, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [61] N. Prakash, D. G. Michelson, and C. Feng, "CVIN: Connected Vehicle Information Network," in *2020 IEEE 91st Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2020-Spring)*, 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [62] M. Kim, J. Lee, J. Oh, K. Park, Y. Park, and K. Park, "Blockchain based energy trading scheme for vehicle-to-vehicle using decentralized identifiers," *Applied Energy*, vol. 322, p. 119445, 2022.
- [63] R. P. Parameswarath, P. Gope, and B. Sikdar, "User-empowered privacy-preserving authentication protocol for electric vehicle charging based on decentralized identity and verifiable credential," *ACM Trans. Manage. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 13, no. 4, aug 2022.
- [64] S. Di Martino, E. Landolfi, N. Mazzocca, F. Rocco di Torrepadula, and L. L. L. Starace, "A visual-based toolkit to support mobility data analytics," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 238, p. 121949, 2024.
- [65] A. Feraudo, A. Calvio, A. Bujari, and P. Bellavista, "A Novel Design for Advanced 5G Deployment Environments with Virtualized Resources at Vehicular and MEC Nodes," in *2023 IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC)*, 2023, pp. 97–103.
- [66] R. K. Jurgen, *V2V/V2I communications for improved road safety and efficiency*. SAE International, 2012, vol. 154.
- [67] "Mobility Open Blockchain Initiative (MOBI)." [Online]. Available: <https://dlt.mobil/>
- [68] M. Campaa, E. Inga, and J. Crdenas, "Optimal Sizing of Electric Vehicle Charging Stations Considering Urban Traffic Flow for Smart Cities," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 16, 2021.
- [69] M. Eisenstadt, M. Ramachandran, N. Chowdhury, A. Third, and J. Domingue, "COVID-19 Antibody Test/Vaccination Certification: There's an App for That," *IEEE Open Journal of Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 1, pp. 148–155, 2020.
- [70] A. Abid, S. Cheikhrouhou, S. Kallel, and M. Jmaiel, "Novid-Chain: Blockchain-based privacy-preserving platform for COVID-19 test/vaccine certificates," *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 841–867, 2022.
- [71] M. Abubakar, P. McCarron, Z. Jaroucheh, A. Al Dubai, and B. Buchanan, "Blockchain-based Platform for Secure Sharing and Validation of Vaccination Certificates," in *2021 14th International Conference on Security of Information and Networks (SIN)*, vol. 1, 2021, pp. 1–8.
- [72] F. A. Rafid, E. Benissan, L. Murr, I. Ermakov, K. Oikonomou, and P. Zhang, "A Decentralized Identity System for Accelerating Medical Communications within Rare Disease Communities," in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain, Smart Healthcare and Emerging Technologies (SmartBlock4Health)*, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [73] H. Saidi, N. Labraoui, A. A. A. Ari, L. A. Maglaras, and J. H. M. Emati, "Dsmac: Privacy-aware decentralized self-management of data access control based on blockchain for health data," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 101 011–101 028, 2022.
- [74] H. Kasyap and S. Tripathy, "Privacy-Preserving Decentralized Learning Framework for Healthcare System," *ACM Trans. Multimedia Comput. Commun. Appl.*, vol. 17, no. 2s, jun 2021.
- [75] A. M. Alnour and K. H. Kim, "Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs)-Based Authentication Scheme for Smart Health Care System," in *2022 Thirteenth International Conference on Ubiquitous and Future Networks (ICUFN)*, 2022, pp. 443–438.
- [76] D. Yoon, S. Moon, K. Park, and S. Noh, "Blockchain-based Personal Data Trading System using Decentralized Identifiers and Verifiable Credentials," in *2021 International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC)*, 2021, pp. 150–154.
- [77] Z. Wang, Z. Yang, and T. Dong, "A Review of Wearable Technologies for Elderly Care that Can Accurately Track Indoor Position, Recognize Physical Activities and Monitor Vital Signs in Real Time," *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 2, 2017.
- [78] P. Bellavista, L. Foschini, and A. Mora, "Decentralised Learning in Federated Deployment Environments: A System-Level Survey," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 54, no. 1, feb 2021.
- [79] P. Gope and T. Hwang, "BSN-Care: A secure IoT-based modern healthcare system using body sensor network," *IEEE sensors journal*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1368–1376, 2015.
- [80] L. Patera, A. Garbugli, A. Bujari, D. Scotece, and A. Corradi, "A Layered Middleware for OT/IT Convergence to Empower Industry 5.0 Applications," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2022.
- [81] B.-h. Li, B.-c. Hou, W.-t. Yu, X.-b. Lu, and C.-w. Yang, "Applications of artificial intelligence in intelligent manufacturing: a review," *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 86–96, Jan 2017.
- [82] A. Sghaier Omar and O. Basir, "Decentralized Identifiers and Verifiable Credentials for Smartphone Anticounterfeiting and Decentralized IMEI Database," *Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 174–180, 2020.
- [83] R. Ansey, J. Kempf, O. Berzin, C. Xi, and I. Sheikh, "Gnomon: Decentralized Identifiers for Securing 5G IoT Device Registration and Software Update," in *2019 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [84] G. Pescetelli, L. Petrosino, S. D. Valle, G. Ronga, M. Merone, and L. Vollero, "Framework for IoT ecosystems based on distributed ledger technologies and decentralized identifiers," in *2022 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for Industry 4.0 & IoT (MetroInd4.0&IoT)*, 2022, pp. 87–91.
- [85] C. Mazzocca, N. Romandini, M. Mendula, R. Montanari, and P. Bellavista, "TruFLaaS: Trustworthy Federated Learning as a Service," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1–1, 2023.
- [86] P. Bellavista, L. Foschini, R. Montanari, and N. Romandini, "FlowChain: The Playground for Federated Learning in Industrial Internet of Things Environments," *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 78–83, 2022.
- [87] Y. Li, W. Yang, P. He, C. Chen, and X. Wang, "Design and management of a distributed hybrid energy system through smart contract and blockchain," *Applied Energy*, vol. 248, pp. 390–405, 2019.
- [88] S. Hartnett, J. Morris, and I. Vlachos, "Chapter 16 - EW Flex: A decentralized flexibility marketplace fostering TSO-DSO cooperation," in *Mathematical Modelling of Contemporary Electricity Markets*, A. Dagoumas, Ed. Academic Press, 2021, pp. 279–286.
- [89] B. Kim, W. Shin, D.-Y. Hwang, and K.-H. Kim, "Attribute-Based Access Control(ABAC) with Decentralized Identifier in the Blockchain-Based Energy Transaction Platform," in *2021 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN)*, 2021, pp. 845–848.
- [90] M. T., K. Makkithaya, and N. V.G., "A trusted IoT data sharing and secure oracle based access for agricultural production risk management," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 204, p. 107544, 2023.
- [91] L. Cocco, R. Tonelli, and M. Marchesi, "Blockchain and Self Sovereign Identity to Support Quality in the Food Supply Chain," *Future Internet*, vol. 13, no. 12, 2021.
- [92] Y. Mezquita, B. Podgorelec, A. B. Gil-Gonzlez, and J. M. Corchado, "Blockchain-Based Supply Chain Systems, Interoperability Model in a Pharmaceutical Case Study," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 4, 2023.
- [93] B. Xia, D. Zhang, Y. Liu, Q. Lu, Z. Xing, and L. Zhu, "Trust in Software Supply Chains: Blockchain-Enabled SBOM and the AIBOM Future," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02088*, 2023.
- [94] R. Venanzi, S. Dahdal, M. Solimando, L. Campioni, A. Cavalucci, M. Govoni, M. Tortonesi, L. Foschini, L. Attana, M. Tellarini, and C. Stefanelli, "Enabling adaptive analytics at the edge with the Bi-Rex Big Data platform," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 147, p. 103876, 2023.
- [95] M. L. Caterina Agrimonti and G. Visioli, "Smart agriculture for food quality: facing climate change in the 21st century," *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 971–981, 2021.
- [96] A. De Salve, A. Lisi, P. Mori, L. Ricci, and C. Turco, "Self-Sovereign Identity for Privacy-Preserving Shipping Verification System," in *Proceedings of the 2022 5th International Conference on Blockchain Technology and Applications*, ser. ICBTA '22. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2023, p. 147157.
- [97] J. Li, Q. He, R. Liang, and B. Jiang, "Smart Tourism Identity Authentication Service Based on Blockchain and Decentralized Identifier," in *Blockchain and Trustworthy Systems*, H.-N. Dai, X. Liu, D. X. Luo, J. Xiao, and X. Chen, Eds. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2021, pp. 545–558.
- [98] M. Y. Baer, T. Bykbee, and M. Kizildag, "What if we could travel without passport? First sight to blockchain-based identity management in tourism," *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 341–363, 2023.
- [99] E. Wolz, M. Gottlieb, and H. Pongratz, "Digital Credentials in Higher Education Institutions: A Literature Review," in *Innovation Through Information Systems*, F. Ahlemann, R. Schütte, and S. Stieglitz, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 125–140.
- [100] R. Q. Saramago, L. Jehl, H. Meling, and V. Estrada-Galianes, "A Tree-based Construction for Verifiable Diplomas with Issuer Transparency,"

- in 2021 *IEEE International Conference on Decentralized Applications and Infrastructures (DAPPS)*, 2021, pp. 101–110.
- [101] D. Serranito, A. Vasconcelos, S. Guerreiro, and M. Correia, “Blockchain Ecosystem for Verifiable Qualifications,” in *2020 2nd Conference on Blockchain Research & Applications for Innovative Networks and Services (BRAINS)*, 2020, pp. 192–199.
- [102] E. Tan, E. Lerouge, J. Du Caju, and D. Du Seuil, “Verification of Education Credentials on European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI): Action Research in a Cross-Border Use Case between Belgium and Italy,” *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2023.
- [103] P. Herbke and H. Yildiz, “ELMO2EDS: Transforming Educational Credentials into Self-Sovereign Identity Paradigm,” in *2022 20th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET)*, 2022, pp. 1–7.
- [104] P. N. Mahalle and G. R. Shinde, *OAuth-Based Authorization and Delegation in Smart Home for the Elderly Using Decentralized Identifiers and Verifiable Credentials*. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2021, pp. 95–109.
- [105] N. Fotiou, V. A. Siris, G. C. Polyzos, Y. Kortensniemi, and D. Lagutin, “Capabilities-based access control for IoT devices using Verifiable Credentials,” in *2022 IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops (SPW)*, 2022, pp. 222–228.
- [106] J. Doan and H. Karacan, “A Blockchain-Based E-Commerce Reputation System Built With Verifiable Credentials,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 47 080–47 097, 2023.
- [107] Z. Pervez, Z. Khan, A. Ghafoor, and K. Soomro, “SIGNED: Smart city digital verifiable Data Framework,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 29 430–29 446, 2023.
- [108] A. Sabbioni, T. Villano, and A. Corradi, “An Architecture for Service Integration to Fully Support Novel Personalized Smart Tourism Offerings,” *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 4, 2022.
- [109] H. Chen, “This world-class airport will soon go passport-free,” *Cable News Network (CNN)*. [Online]. Available: <https://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/changi-airport-singapore-passport-free-travel-intl-hnk/index.html>
- [110] B.-C. Chifor, I. Bica, V.-V. Patriciu, and F. Pop, “A security authorization scheme for smart home Internet of Things devices,” *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 86, pp. 740–749, 2018.
- [111] A. De Benedictis, N. Mazzocca, A. Somma, and C. Strigaro, “Digital Twins in Healthcare: An Architectural Proposal and Its Application in a Social Distancing Case Study,” *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 5143–5154, 2023.
- [112] S. West, O. Stoll, J. Meierhofer, and S. Zst, “Digital Twin Providing New Opportunities for Value Co-Creation through Supporting Decision-Making,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 9, 2021.
- [113] D. Maram, H. Malvai, F. Zhang, N. Jean-Louis, A. Frolov, T. Kell, T. Lobban, C. Moy, A. Juels, and A. Miller, “CanDID: Can-Do Decentralized Identity with Legacy Compatibility, Sybil-Resistance, and Accountability,” in *2021 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*, 2021, pp. 1348–1366.
- [114] R. Chen, F. Shu, S. Huang, L. Huang, H. Liu, J. Liu, and K. Lei, “BiDM: A Blockchain-Enabled Cross-Domain Identity Management System,” *Journal of Communications and Information Networks*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 44–58, 2021.
- [115] J. Yin, Y. Xiao, Q. Pei, Y. Ju, L. Liu, M. Xiao, and C. Wu, “SmartDID: A Novel Privacy-Preserving Identity Based on Blockchain for IoT,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 6718–6732, 2023.
- [116] R. Belchior, B. Putz, G. Pernul, M. Correia, A. Vasconcelos, and S. Guerreiro, “SSIBAC: Self-Sovereign Identity Based Access Control,” in *2020 IEEE 19th International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications (TrustCom)*, 2020, pp. 1935–1943.
- [117] V. Bolgouras, A. Angelogianni, I. Politis, and C. Xenakis, “Trusted and Secure Self-Sovereign Identity Framework,” in *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security*, ser. ARES ’22. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2022.
- [118] N. Fotiou, V. A. Siris, and G. C. Polyzos, “Enabling self-verifiable mutable content items in IPFS using Decentralized Identifiers,” in *2021 IFIP Networking Conference (IFIP Networking)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [119] C. Farmer, S. Pick, and A. Hill, “Decentralized identifiers for peer-to-peer service discovery,” in *2021 IFIP Networking Conference (IFIP Networking)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [120] T. Zhong, P. Shi, and J. Chang, “Jointcloud cross-chain verification model of decentralized identifiers,” in *2021 IEEE International Performance, Computing, and Communications Conference (IPCCC)*, 2021, pp. 1–8.
- [121] N. Fotiou, Y. Thomas, V. A. Siris, G. Xylomenos, and G. C. Polyzos, “Securing Named Data Networking routing using Decentralized Identifiers,” in *2021 IEEE 22nd International Conference on High Performance Switching and Routing (HPSR)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [122] A. Sharif, M. Ranzi, R. Carbone, G. Sciaretta, F. A. Marino, and S. Ranise, “The eIDAS Regulation: A Survey of Technological Trends for European Electronic Identity Schemes,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 24, 2022.
- [123] European Union, “Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on Electronic Identification and Trust Services for Electronic Transactions in the Internal Market and Repealing Directive 1999/93/EC,” 2014. [Online]. Available: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2014.257.01.0073.01.ENG
- [124] B. Podgorelec, L. Alber, and T. Zefferer, “What is a (Digital) Identity Wallet? A Systematic Literature Review,” in *2022 IEEE 46th Annual Computers, Software, and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, 2022, pp. 809–818.
- [125] European Union, “European Self-Sovereign Identity Framework Lab.” [Online]. Available: <https://essif-lab.eu/>
- [126] European Commission, “Regulation of the European Parliament and of The Council Amending Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 as Regards Establishing a Framework for a European Digital Identity.” [Online]. Available: <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-building-blocks/wikis/display/EBSI/Home>
- [127] Decentralized Identity, “European Digital Identity and SSI.” [Online]. Available: <https://decentralized-id.com/government/europe/#regional>
- [128] J. Li and Y. Jing, “Establishing an International Engagement Model of Digital Identity Based on Blockchain,” *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2022, p. 6988211, Aug 2022.
- [129] O. Avellaneda, A. Bachmann, A. Barbir, J. Brenan, P. Dingle, K. H. Duffy, E. Maler, D. Reed, and M. Sporny, “Decentralized Identity: Where Did It Come From and Where Is It Going?” *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 10–13, 2019.
- [130] J. Li and Y. Jing, “Establishing an International Engagement Model of Digital Identity Based on Blockchain,” *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2022, 2022.
- [131] U.S. Department of Homeland Security, “News Release: DHS S&T Seeks Solutions for Privacy Preserving Digital Credential Wallets & Verifiers,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.dhs.gov/science-and-technology/news/2023/06/22/st-seeks-solutions-privacy-preserving-digital-credential-wallets-verifiers>
- [132] Digital Identity and Authentication Council of Canada, “Perspectives on the Adoption of Verifiable Credentials,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://diacc.ca/2023/05/09/perspectives-on-the-adoption-of-verifiable-credentials/>
- [133] J. Sedlmeir, R. Smethurst, A. Rieger, and G. Fridgen, “Digital identities and verifiable credentials,” *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 603–613, 2021.
- [134] “Identidad Digital para la Inclusión.” [Online]. Available: <https://didi.org.ar/en/>
- [135] “Farmer Connect.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.farmerconnect.com/>
- [136] S. Nadhamuni, “The Future of Digital Identity Verification: In the era of AI Deep Fakes,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://curator.artracx.com/>
- [137] S. Kim, A. Zhang, R. Liao, W. Zheng, Z. Hu, and Z. Sun, “Sampling blockchain-enabled smart city applications among South Korea, the United States and China,” *Journal of Smart Cities and Society*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 53–70, 2022.
- [138] “China introduces identity verification through RealDID on blockchain.” [Online]. Available: <https://medium.com/@coinness/gl/china-introduces-identity-verification-through-realdid-on-blockchain-57a30c4adb6d>
- [139] WeBank, “WeIdentity.” [Online]. Available: <https://weidentity.readthedocs.io/en/latest/README.html>
- [140] “Artracx Curator.” [Online]. Available: <https://curator.artracx.com/>
- [141] “Taiwan DID.” [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/tw-did/tw-did>
- [142] Dock Network, “Gravity case study.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.dock.io/gravity-case-study>
- [143] Diwala, “Diwala.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.diwala.io/>
- [144] “Case Study: Kiva launches Africa’s first national decentralized ID system with Hyperledger Indy,” 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.hyperledger.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Hyperledger_CaseStudy_Kiva_Printable.pdf
- [145] M. Freytsis, I. Barclay, S. K. Radha, A. Czajka, G. H. Siwo, I. Taylor, and S. Bucher, “Development of a mobile, self-sovereign identity approach for facility birth registration in Kenya,” *Frontiers in Blockchain*, vol. 4, p. 631341, 2021.

- [146] Z. Ziyi Li, K. L. Joseph, J. Yu, and D. Gasevic, "Blockchain-based Solutions for Education Credentialing System: Comparison and Implications for Future Development," in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain (Blockchain)*, 2022, pp. 79–86.
- [147] J. Soong, "2023 the break out year for Digital Identity — June Newsletter," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://digitalidentity.nz/2023/06/21/2023-the-break-out-year-for-digital-identity-june-newsletter/>
- [148] C. International, "The Credentials Verification Service for the Nursing Council of New Zealand." [Online]. Available: <https://ncnz.cgfns.org/>
- [149] F. Hoops, A. Mhle, F. Matthes, and C. Meinel, "A Taxonomy of Decentralized Identifier Methods for Practitioners," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Decentralized Applications and Infrastructures (DAPPS)*, 2023, pp. 57–65.
- [150] F. Zhang, E. Cecchetti, K. Croman, A. Juels, and E. Shi, "Town Crier: An Authenticated Data Feed for Smart Contracts," in *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, ser. CCS '16. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2016, p. 270282.
- [151] F. Zhang, D. Maram, H. Malvai, S. Goldfeder, and A. Juels, "DECO: Liberating Web Data Using Decentralized Oracles for TLS," in *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, ser. CCS '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2020, p. 19191938.
- [152] M. Korir, S. Parkin, and P. Dunphy, "An Empirical Study of a Decentralized Identity Wallet: Usability, Security, and Perspectives on User Control," in *Eighteenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS 2022)*. Boston, MA: USENIX Association, Aug. 2022, pp. 195–211. [Online]. Available: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/soups2022/presentation/korir>
- [153] J. Sedlmeir, R. Smethurst, A. Rieger, and G. Fridgen, "Digital Identities and Verifiable Credentials," *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 603–613, Oct 2021.
- [154] Z. E. Ansaroudi, R. Carbone, G. Sciarretta, and S. Ranise, "Control is Nothing Without Trust a First Look into Digital Identity Wallet Trends"," in *Data and Applications Security and Privacy XXXVII*, V. Atluri and A. L. Ferrara, Eds. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 113–132.
- [155] A. Shankar, P. Spethuraja, K. Sumathi, T. Stephan, and P. Sharma, "Privacy preserving E-voting cloud system based on ID based encryption," *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 2399–2409, Jul 2021.
- [156] M. Sallal, R. de Frin, and A. Malik, "PVPBC: Privacy and Verifiability Preserving E-Voting Based on Permissioned Blockchain," *Future Internet*, vol. 15, no. 4, 2023.
- [157] S. Mihai, M. Yaqoob, D. V. Hung, W. Davis, P. Towakel, M. Raza, M. Karamanoglu, B. Barn, D. Shetve, R. V. Prasad, H. Venkataraman, R. Trestian, and H. X. Nguyen, "Digital Twins: A Survey on Enabling Technologies, Challenges, Trends and Future Prospects," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2255–2291, 2022.
- [158] A. Farao, E. Veroni, C. Ntantogian, and C. Xenakis, "P4G2Go: A Privacy-Preserving Scheme for Roaming Energy Consumers of the Smart Grid-to-Go," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 8, 2021.
- [159] M.-H. Rhie, K.-H. Kim, D. Hwang, and K.-H. Kim, "Vulnerability Analysis of DID Documents Updating Process in the Decentralized Identifier Systems," in *2021 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN)*, 2021, pp. 517–520.
- [160] C.-S. Park and H.-M. Nam, "A New Approach to Constructing Decentralized Identifier for Secure and Flexible Key Rotation," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 13, pp. 10610–10624, 2022.
- [161] W. Recommendation, "Revocation List 2020," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://w3c-ccg.github.io/vc-status-rl-2020/>
- [162] J.-I. Gailly and M. Adler, "Zlib compression library," 2004.
- [163] R. R. Mullins, M. Ahearne, S. K. Lam, Z. R. Hall, and J. P. Boichuk, "Know Your Customer: How Salesperson Perceptions of Customer Relationship Quality Form and Influence Account Profitability," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 38–58, 2014.
- [164] A. K. Shaikh, M. Al-Shamli, and A. Nazir, "Designing a relational model to identify relationships between suspicious customers in anti-money laundering (aml) using social network analysis (sna)," *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 20, Jan 2021.



Carlo Mazzocca received his M.Sc. and B.Sc. degrees in Computer Engineering in 2018 and 2020, respectively, both from the University of Naples Federico II, Italy. He is currently a Ph.D. student in Computer Science and Engineering at the University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. His research interests mainly include digital identity, security mechanisms based on distributed ledger technologies, and authentication and authorization solutions for the cloud-tothing continuum.



Abbas Acar received his MSc and Ph.D. degrees in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Florida International University in 2019 and 2020, respectively. Before that, he received his B.S. degree in Electrical and Electronics Engineering from Middle East Technical University in 2015. His research interests include continuous authentication, IoT security/privacy, and homomorphic encryption. More information can be obtained from <https://web.eng.fiu.edu/aacar/>



Selcuk Uluagac is an Eminent Scholar Chaired Professor and the director of the Cyber-Physical Systems Security Lab in the School of Computing and Information Sciences at Florida International University (FIU), Miami, Florida, USA. Before FIU, he was a Senior Research Engineer at Georgia Institute of Technology and at Symantec. He holds an M.S. and Ph.D. from Georgia Tech and an M.S. from Carnegie Mellon University. He is an expert on security and privacy topics with hundreds of scientific/creative works in practical and applied aspects of these areas. He received the US NSF CAREER Award (2015), the US Air Force Office of Sponsored Researchs Summer Faculty Fellowship (2015), and the University of Padovas (Italy) Summer Faculty Fellowship (2016). His research in cybersecurity has been funded by numerous government agencies and industry. He has served on the program committees of top-tier security conferences such as ACM CCS, IEEE Security & Privacy (Oakland), NDSS, and Usenix Security, inter alia. He was the General Chair of the ACM Conference on Security and Privacy in Wireless and Mobile Networks (ACM WiSec) in 2019. Currently, he serves on the editorial boards of IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security as Deputy Editor-in-Chief, and IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing and Elsevier Computer Networks Journal as associate editor. More information can be obtained from <http://nwbe.eng.fiu.edu/selcuk/>.



Rebecca Montanari full professor at the University of Bologna since 2020 carries out her research in the area of information security and of the design/development of middleware solutions for the provision of services in mobile and IoT systems. Her research is currently focused on blockchain technologies to support various supply chains, including agrifood, manufacturing and fashion and on security systems for Industry 4.0.



Paolo Bellavista received the Ph.D. degree in computer science engineering from the University of Bologna, Italy, in 2001. He is currently a Full Professor with the University of Bologna. His research interests include middleware for mobile computing, QoS management in the cloud continuum, infrastructures for big data processing in industrial environments, and performance optimization in wide-scale and latency-sensitive deployment environments. He serves on the Editorial Boards of IEEE COMMUNICATIONS SURVEYS AND TUTORIALS, IEEE

TRANSACTIONS ON NETWORK AND SERVICE MANAGEMENT, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SERVICES COMPUTING, ACM CSUR, ACM TIOT, and PMC (Elsevier). He is the Scientific Coordinator of the H2020 IoTwins Project (<https://www.iotwins.eu>).



Mauro Conti received the Ph.D. degree from the Sapienza University of Rome, Italy, in 2009. He is a Full Professor at the University of Padua, Italy. He is also affiliated with TU Delft and the University of Washington, Seattle. His research in the area of Security and Privacy is also funded by companies, including Cisco, Intel, and Huawei. He published more than 450 papers in topmost international peer-reviewed journals and conferences. He is the Editor-in-Chief for IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security and has been an

Associate Editor for several journals, including IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials, IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing, and IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management. He is a Fellow of YAE and a Senior Member of ACM.