

Frustration - no frustration crossover and phase transitions in 2D spin models with zig-zag structure

Jozef Sznajd

*Institute for Low Temperature and Structure Research,
Polish Academy of Sciences, 50-422 Wrocław, Poland.*

Abstract

Three 2D spin models made of frustrated zig-zag chains with competing interactions which by exact summation with respect to some degrees of freedom can be replaced by an effective temperature-dependent interaction were considered. The first model, exactly solvable Ising chains coupled by only four-spin interactions does not exhibit any finite temperature phase transition, nevertheless temperature can trigger a frustration - no frustration crossover accompanied by gigantic specific heat. A similar effect was observed in several two-leg ladder models [Yin Weiguo, arXiv:2006.08921v2 (2020), 2006.15087v1 (2020)]. The anisotropic Ising chains coupled by a direct interchain interaction and competing with it indirect interaction via spins located between chains are analyzed by using exact Onsager's equation and linear perturbation renormalization group (LPRG). Depending on the parameter set such a model exhibits one antiferromagnetic (AF) or ferromagnetic (FM) phase transition or three phase transitions with reentrant disordered phase between AF and FM ones. The LPRG method was also used to study coupled uniaxial XXZ chains which, for example, can be a minimal model to describe the magnetic properties of compounds in which uranium and rare earth atoms form zig-zag chains. As with the Ising model for a certain set of parameters the model can undergo three phase transitions. However, both inchain and interchain plain interactions $s_{i,j}^x s_{k,l}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{k,l}^y$ can eliminate the reentrant disordered phase and then only one transition takes place. Additionally, the XXZ model can undergo temperature-induced metamagnetic transition.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In statistical physics a phase transition is rigorously defined as a point of non-analyticity of free energy which is only possible in the thermodynamic limit. However, in practice in experimental studies or computer simulations, the phase transition point is often taken to be the "anomaly" point of the response function on either side of which the states of the system can be distinguished. Such a definition is most commonly accepted outside physics (e.g., in sociophysics¹) but also sometimes in condensed matter. Thus, it is useful on the one hand to have a tool that allows to distinguish between a crossover and phase transition in models that are not exactly solvable (e.g. attempt propose in Ref. 2) and, on the other hand, understand mechanisms that can lead to the peculiarity of the response function despite the absence of a phase transition. Such peculiarities, namely the entropy jump and a gigantic peak in specific heat, have recently been observed in several decorated one dimensional spin models with short range interactions and frustration³⁻¹⁰ at low but finite temperatures. A paradigm is a two-leg spin ladder⁷ with s_i spins in the legs and with an additional frustrating rung spins b_i . This behavior resembles a phase transition, contrary to the strict theory which clearly indicates that in the one-dimensional models considered in the above papers, a phase transition is not possible. In these decorated models after removing redundant spins b_i an effective interaction between remaining spins s_i depends on temperature and can vanish at a certain temperature⁷ $T = T^*$ as a result of the competition of direct interaction between s_i spins and indirect via b_i spins. Consequently, the system may be frustrated for temperatures on one side of the T^* and unfrustrated on the other side. Thus, it can be assumed that the decisive reason for the peculiar behavior of thermodynamic quantities near T^* is frustration⁷. Studying the two-leg ladder Ising model with trimer rungs Hutak et al⁸ stated that to the emergence of anomalous behavior in such a model "frustration is not vitally necessary; the only demand is to have a suitable $J_{\perp}(T)$ " - effective, temperature-dependent interaction which vanishes at $T = T^*$ and then "the effective model reduces to the standard Ising-chain". So the peculiar low-temperature behaviors of the considered model "are related to the criticality of the standard Ising chain at zero temperature". However, to our knowledge the frustration has been present in all one-dimensional models exhibiting singular behavior of thermodynamic quantities despite the absence of a phase transition, hereinafter referred to as the crossover. Moreover, it seems that the existence of the temperature T^* at which

the effective interaction parameter changes sign is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the crossover. The singularity emerges when T^* coincides with the temperature of bounding between some subsystems of spins accompanied by maximum of the specific heat and inflection point of the spin-spin nearest neighbor (NN) correlation function¹¹.

The purpose of this paper is to study the effect of the temperature-dependent effective interaction discussed above on the thermodynamic properties of the two-dimensional Ising and XXZ models. To do so we consider systems of the coupling chains with zig-zag structure. First in Sec.II, the exactly solvable Ising model with only four-spin coupling which by a simple transformation can be formally reduced to a one dimensional system¹², nevertheless the four-spin interaction is crucial for crossover to occur. Such a system does not, of course, exhibit a phase transition. The phase transitions are analyzed in Sec.III in the systems of Ising chains with zig-zag interaction and standard two-spin coupling and in Sec. IV, in XXZ zig-zag chains with easy axis. Our motivation to consider this model comes also from studies indicating that taking into account the presence of zig-zag chains of uranium or rare earth atoms in crystal structures of several magnetic compounds, seems to be crucial for explaining the character of the magnetic phase transitions¹⁷⁻²⁰.

II. GIANT SPECIFIC HEAT. EXACT RESULTS.

Let us consider 2D Ising model made of spin chains with zig-zag structure interacting via four spin interactions (Fig.1). The model corresponds to a Hamiltonian

$$H = -J_y \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} - j_1 \sum_i (s_{i,j} + s_{i+1,j}) b_{i,j} - j_4 \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} s_{i,j+1} s_{i+1,j+1}, \quad (1)$$

where s_i (full circles in Fig.1) and b_i (open circles) denote spins ± 1 , the label i refers to rows and j to columns. The interaction of the spins s_i in a chain is J_y and "zig-zag" interaction between spins s_i and b_i is j_1 . The chains interact only via four-spin coupling j_4 . Because there is not interaction between b_i spins one can rigorously performed summation over b_i spins degrees of freedom^{7,8} which leads to an effective Hamiltonian in the form

$$H = -\frac{1}{\beta} NA - j_t \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} - j_4 \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} s_{i,j+1} s_{i+1,j+1} \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1: Ising model with inchain J_y , interchain four-spin j_4 couplings and zig-zag structure. Full and open circles denote Ising spins ± 1 s_i and b_i , respectively. j_t indicates an effective inchain interaction after summing over b_i spins.

where $j_t = J_y + \frac{1}{\beta}B$ effective interaction between s_i spins in a chain and⁷

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \ln(4 \cosh 2\beta j_1) \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\cosh 2\beta j_1). \quad (3)$$

The free energy of the model described by the Hamiltonian (2) can be easily found exactly by the simple transformation $s_i s_{i+1} = \Omega_i$

$$H = -\frac{1}{\beta} N A - j_t \sum_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j} - j_4 \sum_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j+1}. \quad (4)$$

which is in fact one dimensional model with an exact free energy per spin¹² $F = -f/\beta$.

$$f = A + \ln[\exp(\beta j_4) \cosh(\beta j_t) + \sqrt{\exp(2\beta j_4) \sinh^2(\beta j_t) + \exp(-2\beta j_4)}] \quad (5)$$

As shown in Fig.2 for the original antiferromagnetic interaction $J_y = -1$ the Hamiltonian (4) describes three possible ground state phases defined by the NN correlation functions:

$$G_{ss} \equiv \langle \Omega_{i,j} \rangle \equiv \langle s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} \rangle = \frac{\partial f}{\partial J_y}, \quad \text{and} \quad G_{sb} \equiv \langle s_{i,j} b_{i,j} \rangle = \frac{\partial f}{\partial j_1}. \quad (6)$$

As seen in the phases AF ($G_{ss} = -1, G_{sb} = 0$) and FM ($G_{ss} = 1, G_{sb} = 1$) the long range (LO) ground state order in individual chain is antiferromagnetic with extinction of the moments $b_{i,j}$ (AF) due to frustration, and fully ferromagnetic (FM), respectively. In the third phase alternate chains are ferro- and antiferromagnetic. A positive four-spin interaction




FIG. 2: Zero temperature phase diagram of the 2D Ising model in the plane (j_1, j_4) (1) with inchain interaction $J_y = -1$ and zig-zag structure (Fig.1)

$j_4 > 0$ does not affect the ground state, not coupling individual chains, while negative can trigger zero-temperature phase transition from *AF* or *FM* phases to *M* with correlations on the borderline *AF* – *M*, $G_{ss} = -1/\sqrt{5}$, $G_{sb} = (1 - 1/\sqrt{5})/2$ and on the borderline *FM* – *M*, $G_{ss} = 1/\sqrt{5}$, $G_{sb} = (1 + 1/\sqrt{5})/2$ (Fig.2)^{7,13,14}. Of course the temperature destroys the LO and there is no finite temperature phase transition in the considered model. Nevertheless for $j_4 > 0$ the specific heat shows peculiar behavior - huge increase at some finite temperature, which resembles singularity at the critical point, as with the aforementioned spin ladders.

Differentiating the free energy (5) with respect to temperature t , J_y , and j_1 we obtain the specific heat C and correlation functions G_{ss} and G_{sb} , respectively. The temperature dependences of these quantities for $j_4 = 0.5$ and several values of j_1 are shown in Fig.3,




FIG. 3: Temperature dependences of the specific heat (solid bold lines), NN correlation functions G_{ss} (dotted), G_{sb} (dashed) and effective interaction j_t (thin) for $J_y = -1$, $j_4 = 0.5$, and several values of j_1 : 0 (a), 0.9 (b), 1.039076 (c), and 1.3 (d).

and for fixed value of $j_1 = 1.1$ and several values of j_4 in Fig.4. We should emphasize that all formulas used for the thermodynamic quantities are exact, however, the estimates of the values given e.g. in Fig.4 are numerical and approximate. Thin lines represent the effective interaction j_t . We always assume original $s_{i,j}s_{i+1,j}$ antiferromagnetic interaction $J_y = -1$. As seen for $j_1 < -J_y$ (Fig.3a and 3b) the effective j_t interaction is negative over the entire temperature range and specific heat shows the typical one-dimensional maximum. The correlation function G_{ss} tends to -1 and G_{sb} due to frustration to zero, as expected. For $j_1 > -J_y$ (Fig.3c and 3d) the effective interaction parameter changes sign for some temperature $t = t_p$ accompanied always by a change in the sign of the correlation $G_{ss} = \langle s_{i,j}s_{i+1,j} \rangle$, which is a necessary condition for a giant jump of the specific heat. However as seen in Fig.3(d) it is not the sufficient one.

The crossover accompanied by a gigantic increase in specific heat is not a phase transition and has no precise thermodynamic definition. Nevertheless, in a previous paper¹¹, we




FIG. 4: Temperature dependences of the specific heat (solid bold lines), correlation functions G_{ss} (dotted), G_{sb} (dashed) and effective interaction j_t (thin) for $J_y = -1$, $j_1 = 1.1$, and several values of j_4 : 0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.88. For comparison in the bottom right plot (d) the specific heat for two cases $j_4 = 1$ and $j_4 = 1.88 \approx j_4^*$ is presented.

proposed defining such a point in the case of a two-leg spin ladder with triple rungs as the point where the temperature at which the effective interleg interaction vanishes coincides with the temperature of bounding spins between the ladder legs. Such a definition for the case under consideration is described in Fig.5. In Fig.5a and 5b the temperature dependences of the specific heat C for $j_4 = 0.5$ and $j_1 = 1.1, 1.08, 1.06, 1.033$ and for $j_1 = 1.04$ and $j_4 = 0.2, 0.25, 0.4, 0.5$ are presented, respectively, and in Fig. 5c the specific heat derivatives $\frac{dC}{dt}$ for $j_1 = 1.04$ and several values of j_4 are shown. As seen in Fig.4 the zig-zag chain with $j_4 = 0$ shows two maxima instead of the standard one-dimensional one. The low temperature maximum marks the temperature at which the spins s_i, s_j become unbound which we denote as $t = t_{max}$. With increasing j_4 this maximum increases and moves towards higher temperatures (Fig.5b) up to a certain value of j_4 . A further increase in j_4 changes only infinitesimally the temperature of the position of the specific heat maximum. In Fig.5c the




FIG. 5: (a) The temperature dependence of the specific heat C for $j_4 = 0.5$ and several values of j_1 , dashed lines denote the effective interaction j_t for $j_1 = 1.1, 1.08, 1.06$ and 1.033 from top to the bottom, (b) C for $j_1 = 1.04$ and several values of j_4 and (c) specific heat derivatives $\frac{dC}{dt}$ for $j_1 = 1.04$ and $j_4 = 0.1, 0.2, 0.25, 0.4$ and 0.5 . The intersections of the vertical lines with the abscissa axis mark the positions of the specific heat maxima. Solid thin line indicates the effective interaction j_t .




FIG. 6: The temperature dependence of the correlation functions G_{ss} (solid lines) and G_{sb} (dashed), $t^* \approx 0.115415603$

temperature $t_{max}(j_4)$ is indicated by the zero of the specific heat derivative $\frac{dC}{dt}$. As seen this temperature with increase of j_4 tends to the temperature at which the effective interaction parameter j_t changes sign $t = t_p$. Fig.5a shows the temperature dependences of the effective interaction j_t (dashed lines) and specific heat (solid lines) for several values of j_1 . As can be seen, changing the sign of the effective interaction j_t is not a sufficient condition for gigantic heat to occur. Thus, it is possible to take as the characteristic crossover point such a value of $j_1 = j_1^*$ for given value of j_4 for which $t_{max} = t_p = t^*$. So, to define a characteristic temperature of crossover we propose $t = t^*$ which can be found from the set of equations $j_t = 0$ and $dC/dt = 0$. This is shown in Fig.5c where the vertical lines mark the points of the low temperature specific heat maximum on the abscissa axis. The analytical form of both equations is of course known but analytical solutions cannot be found. At temperature $t = t^*$, the specific heat shows a giant peak and the correlation functions a very sharp jump G_{ss} from ≈ 1 to ≈ -1 , and G_{ssb} from ≈ 1 to ≈ 0 (Fig.6). However, the effect is not abrupt and a very noticeable increase of the specific heat is observed in the finite range of j_4 , and for example for $j_4 = 0.2$ the value of the specific heat maximum is an order greater than for $j_4 = 0$, for $j_4 = 0.4$ by two orders, and for $j_4 = 0.5$ by three orders, etc (Fig.5b).

The crossover frustration-no frustration is not a phase transition, so the correlation length




FIG. 7: The temperature dependence of the inverse correlation length $1/\xi$ for $j_1 = 1.04$ and $j_4 = 0.0, 0.2$ and 0.5 . The inset shows the inverse correlation length near the temperature of the peculiarity for $j_4 = 0.3, 0.5$ and 0.9

defined by

$$\frac{1}{\xi} = \ln \left[\frac{\exp(\beta j_4) \cosh(\beta j_t) + \sqrt{\exp(2\beta j_4) \sinh^2 \beta j_t + \exp(-2\beta j_4)}}{\exp(\beta j_4) \cosh(\beta j_t) - \sqrt{\exp(2\beta j_4) \sinh^2 \beta j_t + \exp(-2\beta j_4)}} \right]. \quad (7)$$

is huge but finite at crossover temperature (t^*). Fig.7 shows how inverse correlation length $1/\xi$ decreases as $j_4 \rightarrow j_4^* \approx 0.9$ at $t = t^* \approx 0.115415$ (for $J_y = -1, j_1 = 1.04$).

The effective inchain interaction j_t depends on J_y, j_1 and temperature and does not depend on j_4 , nevertheless a finite and positive $j_4 > 0$ is a *sine qua non* condition for the occurrence of the giant specific heat (Figs.4 and 5) allowing to control the position of t_{max} .

In papers Ref. 5,6 the crossover (quasitransition) temperature is defined as a point in which the diagonal elements of the corresponding transfer matrix are equal to each other. For the present model it means the temperature in which the effective interaction parameter j_t changes sign. As shown above, this is a necessary condition for the peculiarities in response functions to occur, however for the considered model this is not a sufficient condition.




FIG. 8: Temperature dependences of the specific heat for $J_y = -1$, $j_1 = 1.04$, and $j_4 = 0$ (dashed line), -0.1 , -0.2 , -0.4 , -0.7 , -1.04 , from left to right. Dotted line indicates the effective interaction j_t .

A. Renormalization Group Approach.

In this subsection we apply to the model under consideration described by the Hamiltonian (4), the Linear Renormalization Group (decimation) method. Because the model is in fact one-dimensional such a transformation should reproduce the exact result. Let us consider 4-spin block of the model (4)

$$H_4 = 2A + k_t(\Omega_1/2 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + \Omega_4/2) + k_4(\Omega_1\Omega_2 + \Omega_2\Omega_3 + \Omega_3\Omega_4). \quad (8)$$

Now the factor $(-\beta)$ has been absorbed in the Hamiltonian, $k_i = -\beta j_i$ and $A = \frac{1}{2} \ln(4 \cosh 2k_1)$.

The RG transformation has the form

$$H'_4 = \ln(\text{Tr}_{\Omega_2, \Omega_3} e^{H_4}) = Z_0 + J_t(\Omega_1 + \Omega_4) + J_4\Omega_1\Omega_4, \quad (9)$$

where

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{4} \ln \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3^2, \quad J_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}, \quad J_4 = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_3^2}. \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= e^{A-3k_t-k_4}(2e^{2k_t} + e^{4k_t} + e^{4k_4}), \lambda_2 = e^{A-k_t-k_4}(1 + 2e^{2k_t} + e^{4(k_t+k_4)}), \\ \lambda_3 &= e^{A-3k_4} + e^{A+k_4} + e^{A-2k_t+k_4} + e^{A+2k_t+k_4}.\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

It is easy to check that the transformation (10-11) has only trivial fixed points at $T = 0$ and $T = \infty$ and the considered model does not exhibit any finite temperature phase transition. Evaluating numerically the RG transformation, one can find the free energy per site

$$f = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{Z_0^{(n)}}{3^n} \quad (12)$$

and then the temperature dependence of the specific heat which reproduces exactly rigorous results as expected.

In conclusion in this section we focused on the Ising chains coupled by four-spin interaction $j_4 > 0$ with $J_y = -1$ and $j_1 > -J_y$. The ground state of such a model is a fully ordered ferromagnetic in a chain, however the spins of the individual chains may be parallel (ferromagnetic) or antiparallel (antiferromagnetic) to each other. The positive four-spin interaction $j_4 > 0$ does not order the chains. At any finite temperature the long range order is destroyed, the correlation function $G_{ss} > 0$ (Fig.3c and 3d) and the system is unfrustrated up to the temperature $t = t^*(j_1, j_4)$. At this point the effective inchain interaction j_t changes sign, the specific heat shows giant growth, the correlation function G_{ss} a jump from $\approx +1$ to ≈ -1 and the system becomes frustrated. So, the giant specific heat indicates triggered by temperature a crossover from unfrustrated to frustrated state which in numerics could be confused with critical phase transition. As shown in Fig.8 the effect of the giant specific heat does not occur for a negative j_4 where the spins from b subsystem are not fully frustrated at any temperature even though there is a point at which the effective interaction j_t changes sign.

III. 2D ISING MODEL WITH ZIG-ZAG INTERACTION.

In this section we consider a genuinely 2D Ising model with different two-spin interactions j_p and j_2 in the x and y directions and zig-zag interaction j_1 (Fig.9) described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -j_p \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} - j_1 \sum_{i,j} (s_{i,j} + s_{i,j+1}) b_{i,j} - j_2 \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i,j+1}. \quad (13)$$

As before one can perform a strict summation over the spins $b_{i,j}$ degrees of freedom and obtain the Hamiltonian of the standard Ising model on the square lattice with temperature-dependent interchain interaction parameter j_t

$$H = -NA t - j_p \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} - j_t \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i,j+1}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$j_t = j_2 + B t, \quad (15)$$

A and B have been defined in (3), $t = k_B T / |j_p|$, and in numerical calculation we will always assume $j_p/k_B = 1$.

In order to find a critical temperature of the model (14) one can use the known exact Onsager's solution formula for the anisotropic 2D Ising model

$$\sinh \frac{2j_p}{t_c} \sinh \frac{2j_t}{t_c} = 1. \quad (16)$$

It is easy to check that due to the temperature dependence of j_t , for a given value of $j_2 < 0$ there is a range of j_1 values for which instead of one solution the equation (16) has three solutions. These solutions are presented in Fig.12 for $j_2 = -1$ as thin solid lines. The same result, three successive phase transitions has already been found by using the Onsager equation (16) for decorated Ising lattice²².

To study the character of the singularity indicated by the equation (16) we use the linear perturbation renormalization group (LPRG¹²) technique which for the Ising-type models starts with exact decimation of one chain Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = AN + k_p \sum_i s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j}. \quad (17)$$

We should emphasized that as usual when using RG methods the factor $-\beta$ has been absorbed in the Hamiltonian, $k_i = -\beta j_i$, and $k_t = k_2 + B$, $A = \frac{1}{2} \ln(4 \cosh 2k_1)$, $B = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\cosh 2k_1)$. Then, on the basis of it the interchain interaction

$$H_I = k_t \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i,j+1} \quad (18)$$

is renormalized in a perturbative way. Denoting by σ subsystem of decimated spins $s_{i,j}$ the renormalized Hamiltonian can be written in the form

$$H' = \ln(\text{Tr}_\sigma e^{H_0}) + \ln \langle e^{H_I} \rangle_0 \quad (19)$$




FIG. 9: 2D Ising model with zig-zag structure.

where

$$\langle A \rangle_0 = \frac{\text{Tr}_\sigma A e^{H_0}}{\text{Tr}_\sigma e^{H_0}}. \quad (20)$$

It was shown¹⁵ that LPRG method with the cluster presented in Fig.10 used to obtain the renormalized Hamiltonian leads to satisfactory results for the standard Ising model on the square lattice. For example in the third order cumulant expansion the LPRG gives the inverse critical temperature $k_p^c \approx 0.443$ which should be compared with the exact result $k_p^c \approx 0.4407$, and for the anisotropic case with inchain interaction $j_p = 1$ and interchain $0.35 < j_t < 1$ the deviation from the exact result is about 0.5%.

In the third order of the cumulant expansion, transformation (19) for the cluster presented in Fig.10 has the form

$$H' = Z_0 + J_0(S_1 S_2 + V_1 V_2) + \langle H_I \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\langle H_I^2 \rangle - \langle H_I \rangle^2) + \frac{1}{6}(\langle H_I^3 \rangle - 3\langle H_I \rangle \langle H_I^2 \rangle + 2\langle H_I \rangle^3), \quad (21)$$

where S_i and V_i spins which survives in the RG procedure (Fig.10), and

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln(10 + 3e^{-4k_p} + 3e^{4k_p}), \quad J_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{e^{2k_p}(3 + e^{4k_p})}{1 + 3e^{4k_p}}. \quad (22)$$

The spin averages required to evaluate the transformation (21) for a decimated row (first and fourth in Fig.10) read¹²

$$\langle \sigma_1 \rangle = a_1 S_1 + a_2 S_2, \quad \langle \sigma_2 \rangle = a_2 S_1 + a_1 S_2, \quad \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle = a_1 + a_2 S_1 S_2, \quad (23)$$




FIG. 10: Cluster used to obtain the renormalized Hamiltonian of the model (13). Open circles denote decimated σ and full squares survived S quantum spins .

where

$$a_1 = \frac{2(e^{8k_p} - 1)}{(3 + e^{4k_p})(2 + 3e^{4k_p})}, \quad a_2 = \frac{(e^{4k_p} - 1)^2}{(3 + e^{4k_p})(2 + 3e^{4k_p})}. \quad (24)$$

and for the removed rows (second and third in Fig.10)

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_{i+n} \rangle = r, \quad \text{and} \quad r = \tanh k_p. \quad (25)$$

As usually the RG transformation generates new interaction which have to be included in the original Hamiltonian (14). In this case, these are two-spin diagonal interactions j_d and four-spin j_4 .

$$k_d \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j+1} + k_4 \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} s_{i+1,j} s_{i,j+1} s_{i+1,j+1}, \quad (26)$$

($k_d = -\beta j_d, k_4 = -\beta j_4$). Now it is very easy to determine all the averages from equation (21) for example

$$\langle H_I \rangle = 3a_2 r k_4 + (14a_1 + 9r) r k_4 (S_1 S_2 + V_1 V_2), \quad (27)$$

although the final formulas for renormalized interaction parameters are rather complicated. Finally, the renormalized Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H' = Z + J_p(S_1 S_2 + V_1 V_2) + J_t(S_1 V_1 + S_2 V_2) + J_d(S_1 V_2 + S_2 V_1) + J_4 S_1 S_2 V_1 V_2. \quad (28)$$

The recursion relations of the LPRG transformation read

$$J_\alpha = f_\alpha(k_p, k_t, k_d, k_4), \quad \text{with} \quad k_t(j_2, j_1, t), \quad (29)$$

and the free energy can be calculated from the formula (12). We should emphasize that RG approach relies on nonsystematic approximation and must be treated with caution. However, with respect to the existence of singularities, it seems plausible and confirmed by strict results for models for which such results are available.

We have evaluated numerically the RG transformation from the original set of coupling parameters j_α to the set of renormalized parameters J_α and for $j_2 = -1$ and $j_1 \leq 1$ ($j_t \leq 0$ over the entire temperature range) have found two stable trivial fixed points at $J_\alpha = 0$ and $J_\alpha = \infty$ and the critical point corresponding to the continuous phase transition to an ordered phase. The applied RG method does not allow to conclusively determine the character of the ordered phase due to divergence of the interaction parameters however one can assume a partially frustrated antiferromagnetic system of the ferromagnetic chains ($j_p = 1$). For $1 < j_1 < j_1^c$ the RG transformation exhibits two nontrivial fixed points as shown in Fig.11. In the used LPRG approximation $j_1^c \approx 1.185$ and the exact result from the formula (16) is $j_1^c \approx 1.212$. With the increase of j_1 from 1 the temperature $t_c^{(1)}$ and $t_c^{(2)}$ (Fig.12) approach each other and at $j_1 = j_1^c$, $t_c^{(1)} = t_c^{(2)} = t_b$. The relevant phase diagram in the plane (t, j_t) is shown in Fig.12. For $-j_2 < j_1 < j_1^c$ the system undergoes three continuous phase transitions from the disordered phase (D) to the ordered ('AF') then reentrant transition back to the disordered phase and finally to the ordered phase ('FM'). In Fig.13 the temperature dependence of the specific heat which diverges at three critical temperatures is presented. As mentioned above on the basis of LPRG calculation we are not able to identify the type of spin order nevertheless it seems clear that phase ordered ('FM') is fully ferromagnetic. The existence of the 'FM' phase, the only one ordered for $j_1 > j_1^c$, obviously has a connection with a change in the sign of j_t from negative to positive. However, the phase transition between disordered and 'FM' phases is not a transition between frustrated - unfrustrated phases and as seen in Fig.13 the temperature of this transition is not the same as the temperature of the sign change of the interaction parameter j_t .




FIG. 11: Illustration of the lines of flow in the plane $(j_t, 1/t)$ for the 2D Ising model (13) with $j_1 = 1.17$ and $j_2 = -1$ from nontrivial antiferromagnetic fixed point (full square) and ferromagnetic fixed point (full circle) to disordered point (dashed lines) and to ordered ones (solid lines).




FIG. 12: Phase diagram in the plane (t_c, j_1) of the 2D Ising model with inchain $j_p = 1$, interchain $j_2 = -1$ and zig-zag j_1 interactions. The solid lines denote exact results from formula (16) and dashed lines from LPRG approximation.




FIG. 13: Temperature dependences of the specific heat C (solid lines) and effective interchain interaction j_t (thin) for the 2D Ising model with $j_2 = -1$ and $j_1 = 1.117$.

IV. THREE PHASE TRANSITIONS IN XXZ MODEL.

In several uranium and rare earth compounds the magnetic atoms form zig-zag chains. The mechanism responsible for the magnetic properties of such materials is a competition of interactions. In this paper we consider models whose structure makes it possible to sum over some degrees of freedom, reducing this competition of interactions to a single temperature-dependent interaction. The Ising model analyzed in the previous sections satisfactorily describes the basic features of phase transitions however, in real materials transition scenario can, of course, be much more complex. Therefore, in this section we study the more general 2D quantum XXZ model made of zig-zag chain with easy axis along z direction described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{XXZ} = H_0 + H_{int}. \quad (30)$$

where one chain part reads

$$H_0 = k_x \sum_{i,j} (s_{i,j}^x s_{i+1,j}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i+1,j}^y) + k_z \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j}^z s_{i+1,j}^z, \quad (31)$$

whereby for simplicity a zig-zag structure is adopted only for the z spin component, and interchain coupling is

$$H_{int} = k_{x1} \sum_{i,j} (s_{i,j}^x s_{i,j+1}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i,j+1}^y) + k_{z1} \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j}^z s_{i,j+1}^z + k_1 \sum_{i,j} (s_{i,j}^z + s_{i,j+1}^z) b_{i,j}^z, \quad (32)$$

where $\hat{s}_i = (s_i^x, s_i^y, s_i^z)$ are Pauli spin operators, $s_i^z = \pm 1$, the factor $-\beta$ has already absorbed in the Hamiltonian ($k_i = -\beta j_i$, $t = k_B T / |j_z|$ and $|j_z| > |j_x|$). In the isotropic case ($j_x = j_z, j_{x1} = j_{z1}$) and $j_1 = 0$ according to the Mermin-Wagner theorem the long range order of the model (31-32) is constrained to zero temperature. While the easy axis model studied in this paper exhibits finite temperature Ising type phase transition for all values of anisotropy cf., e.g., Ref. 21. Since j_1 only changes the value of the effective interaction between chains, and does not change the symmetry of the problem cannot change the nature of the transition, if any takes place.

After rigorous summation over b_i degrees of freedom the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_{int} = AN + k_{x1} \sum_{i,j} (s_{i,j}^x s_{i,j+1}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i,j+1}^y) + k_t \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j}^z s_{i,j+1}^z, \quad (33)$$

where

$$k_t = k_{z1} + B, \quad A = \frac{1}{2} \ln(4 \cosh 2j_1), \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\cosh 2j_1). \quad (34)$$

To study the XXZ model we use the LPRG method¹² which starts with an approximate decimation of one dimensional system²⁴. Then, similarly as for the Ising spins in the previous section, the interchain interaction is renormalized in a perturbation way. We use the cluster presented in Fig.10 in third order of cumulant expansion. As usual, the RG transformation from the original spins \vec{s}_i to effective \vec{S}_i is defined by

$$e^{H'(\vec{S})} = Tr_{\vec{s}} P(\vec{S}, \vec{s}) e^{H(\vec{s})} \quad (35)$$

and the weight operator $P(\vec{S}, \vec{s})$ is chosen in the linear form for four spin block (Fig.10)

$$P(\vec{S}, \vec{s}) = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \vec{s}_1 \vec{S}_1) (1 + \vec{s}_4 \vec{S}_2). \quad (36)$$

In such a procedure the renormalized Hamiltonian of a single chain has the form

$$H'_0 = \ln Z_0, \quad Z_0 = L_0 + L_x \sum_{i=1}^2 (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y) + J_{z0} \sum_{i=1}^2 S_i^z S_{i+1}^z, \quad (37)$$

where

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{4}Tr_s e^{H_0}, \quad L_x = \frac{1}{4}Tr_s s_1^x s_4^x e^{H_0}, \quad L_z = \frac{1}{4}Tr_s s_1^z s_4^z e^{H_0} \quad (38)$$

thus

$$H'_0 = G_0 + J_{x0} \sum_{i=1}^2 (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y) + J_{z0} \sum_{i=1}^2 S_i^z S_{i+1}^z, \quad (39)$$

where

$$G_0 = \frac{1}{4} \ln(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3^2), \quad J_{x0} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}, \quad J_{z0} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \quad (40)$$

and

$$\lambda_1 = L_0 + 2L_x - L_z, \quad \lambda_2 = L_0 - 2L_x - L_z, \quad \lambda_3 = L_0 + L_z. \quad (41)$$

In this approach the LPRG generates two new interactions

$$H_g = k_{x2} \sum_{i,j} (s_{i,j}^x s_{i+1,j+1}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i+1,j+1}^y) + k_{z2} \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j}^z s_{i+1,j+j}^z. \quad (42)$$

which must be included in the original Hamiltonian. Ultimately, the LPRG transformation from the original interaction parameters k_i to the effective J_i has the form of six recursion relations

$$[k_x, k_z, k_{x1}, k_{x2}, k_{z2}, k_t(j_{z1}, j_1, t)] \rightarrow (J_x, J_z, J_{x1}, J_{x2}, J_{z2}, J_t). \quad (43)$$

To evaluate the LPRG transformation perturbatively using cumulant expansion (21) we have to know the averages of the spin components

$$\langle s_1^\alpha \rangle = S_1^\alpha, \quad \langle s_4^\alpha \rangle = S_2^\alpha, \quad \alpha = x, y, z, \quad (44)$$

and

$$\langle s_2^\alpha \rangle = (a_1^\alpha S_1^\alpha + a_2^\alpha S_2^\alpha) Z_0^{-1}, \quad \langle s_3^\alpha \rangle = (a_2^\alpha S_1^\alpha + a_1^\alpha S_2^\alpha) Z_0^{-1}, \quad (45)$$

with

$$a_1^\alpha = \frac{1}{4} Tr_{\bar{s}} s_2^\alpha s_1^\alpha e^{H_0}, \quad a_2^\alpha = \frac{1}{4} Tr_{\bar{s}} s_2^\alpha s_4^\alpha e^{H_0} \quad (46)$$

$$\langle s_2^\alpha s_3^\alpha \rangle = (d_0^\alpha + d_{\alpha\alpha} S_1^\alpha S_2^\alpha + d_{\alpha\beta} S_1^\alpha S_2^\beta + d_{\alpha\gamma} S_1^\alpha S_2^\gamma) Z_0^{-1}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$d_0^\alpha = \frac{1}{4} Tr_{\bar{s}} s_2^\alpha s_3^\alpha e^{H_0}, \quad d_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} Tr_{\bar{s}} s_2^\alpha s_3^\alpha s_1^\alpha s_4^\alpha e^{H_0}, \quad d_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} Tr_{\bar{s}} s_2^\alpha s_3^\beta s_1^\beta s_4^\beta e^{H_0}. \quad (48)$$

Evaluating numerically the LPRG recursion relations, one can find the free energy per site according to the formula (12) and Hamiltonian flow in six-dimensional parameter space (effective coupling k_t (34) is the function of j_{z1}, j_1 and temperature). Henceforth, we will determine the values of two original parameters $j_z = 1, j_{z1} = -0.3$ and two generated by the LPRG transformation $j_{x2} = 0$ and $j_{z2} = 0$, so the role of only three variables j_x, j_{x1} and j_1 will be studied.

In Fig.14 the specific heat for the system with $j_{x1} = -0.2$ and $j_1 = 0.4$ as a function of temperature is presented for several values of $j_x = 0, 0.6, 0.7$ and 0.8 . The thin dotted line denotes the temperature dependence of the effective interchain $s_{i,j}^z s_{i,j+1}^z$ interaction j_t . As seen for $j_x < 0.8$ the specific heat exhibits three very sharp peaks, corresponding to the continuous phase transitions from disordered phase at high temperatures $t = t_c^{(1)}$ to the ordered phase ' AF ', then reentrant phase transition to the disordered phase at $t = t_c^{(2)}$ and finally to the ordered phase ' FM ' at $t = t_c^{(3)}$. For larger values of the inchain interaction j_x the system undergoes only one phase transition to the phase ' FM '. In Fig.15 the specific heat for fixed values of $j_x = 0.7$ and 0.8 for several values of j_{x1} is presented. For $j_x = 0.8$ the system shows only one phase transition for the whole range of values of j_{x1} whereas for $j_x = 0.7$ there is only one phase transition for a sufficiently large $|j_{x1}| \geq j_{x1}^t$ (for used set of parameters $j_{x1}^t \approx 0.34$).

In this section, a model of spin chains with a magnetically easy axis along the z axis ($|j_z| > |j_x|$) is considered. Thus, one could speculate that the effect of x and y terms in the Hamiltonian on the nature of phase transitions is negligible. As you can see this is not true because both inchain plain interactions j_x and interchain j_{x1} can significantly change the phase transitions scenario. In Fig. 16 the phase diagrams in the plane (t, j_x) and (t, j_1) with three possible phases are presented. For certain values of j_1 the line of the transition between phase disordered $D1$ and phase called ' AF ' and the line of the transition $D2 - 'FM'$ coincide at point M_t , which indicates a temperature-induced metamagnetic transition.

In Fig.17 the range of disordered reentrant phase for a model with $j_x = 0.7, j_1 = 0.34$, and several values of interchain coupling j_{x1} is shown. This range decreases with the increase of the parameter $|j_{x1}|$ and vanishes for a certain value of it. As seen for $j_{x1} > -0.25$ model the effective interchain interaction changes sign within the disordered phase while for $j_{x1} < -0.3$ in ordered phase ' FM ' which character cannot be studied in the frame of the present method.

Finally, we should emphasize that the LPRG transformation for quantum systems is




FIG. 14: Temperature dependences of the specific heat of the 2D XXZ model with $j_1 = 0.4$, $j_{x1} = -0.2$, and $j_x = 0$ (dotted lines), $j_x = 0.6$ (solid), $j_x = 0.7$ (dashed), and $j_x = 0.8$ (thin).




FIG. 15: Temperature dependences of the specific heat of the 2D XXZ model: left plot for $j_1 = 0.4$, $j_x = 0.7$, and $j_{x1} = -0.05$ (dotted line), -0.2 (solid), -0.3 (dashed), -0.4 (thin), and right plot for $j_1 = 0.4$, $j_x = 0.8$ and $j_{x1} = 0.0$ (dotted line), -0.15 (solid), -0.2 (dashed), -0.3 (thin). The thin dotted lines denote temperature-dependent effective interchain interaction j_t (15).

obtained by several approximations¹⁶: that is, (i) the approximate decimation of one dimensional model (this procedure takes quantum effects within single block and neglects the effects of non commutativity of several blocks) which is not the case with an Ising like model, (ii) the approximate calculation of chain averages, (iii) the abbreviation of the cumulant expansion. The approximations (i) is a high temperature approximation and for sufficiently high temperature leads to the values of the free energy of XY model¹⁶ very close to those found rigorously by Katsura²⁷. The approximation (iii) is justified for sufficiently weak interaction between chains. In conclusion, as expected, the phase diagram of the quantum




FIG. 16: Phase diagrams of the 2D XXZ model in the plane (t, j_x) for $j_{x1} = -0.2$ and $j_1 = 0.4$ (left plot) and in the plane (t, j_1) for $j_{x1} = -0.2$ and $j_x = 0.7$




FIG. 17: Temperature dependences of the specific heat of the 2D XXZ model for $j_x = 0.7$ and $j_1 = 0.34$, and $j_{x1} = 0$ (thin line), -0.12 (dashed), -0.13 (dotted), and -0.135 (solid). The thin dotted lines denote temperature-dependent effective interchain interaction j_t (14) multiplied by 1000 for visualization.

uniaxial XXZ model resembles that of the Ising model with one difference. The point where the 'AF' and 'FM' phases meet (the metamagnetic transition) has been shifted from zero temperature for the Ising model to finite temperature for the XXZ model. Given the approximate nature of the LPRG method this result requires confirmation by complementary methods e.g. MC simulations.

V. CONCLUSION

We have considered three spin models made of zig-zag chains: (i) Ising chains coupled only by four-spin interaction (Fig.1), (ii) ferromagnetic Ising chains coupled by frustrated

zig-zag two-spin interactions (Fig.9), and (iii) coupled XXZ chains with easy axis along "z" direction and zig-zag structure only for the z spin component (31-32). Common features of these models are the frustration associated with the zig-zag structure and the possibility, by *strictly* summing up with respect to some degrees of freedom, of replacing competing interactions with an effective temperature-dependent interaction.

(i) Taking into account the character of the interchain coupling (only via four-spin interaction), the first model is in fact one dimensional and does not exhibit any finite temperature phase transition. Nevertheless it has been shown strictly that in the presence of the negative, antiferromagnetic inchain interaction $J_y < 0$ and positive interchain four-spin interaction $j_4 > 0$, temperature triggers a frustration-no frustration crossover accompanied by gigantic specific heat. Huge specific heat and correlation length (close to zero but finite $1/\xi$) are found not only in the characteristic point of the crossover $j_4 = j_4^*$ but also in its surroundings (Fig.4 and Fig.7, respectively). Whereby this characteristic point is defined by such a value of j_4 , for which the temperature at which the effective interaction j_t (2) changes sign $t = t_p$, equals the temperature t_{max} , at which the spins in chain become unbound ($t_p = t_{max} = t^*$). It was also rigorously shown that the giant specific heat does not occur for negative j_4 where spins from the b_i subsystem are not fully frustrated at any temperature. The simple RG linear transformation reproduces exactly the rigorous results.

(ii) In the genuine 2D Ising model (13) with antiferromagnetic direct interchain coupling $j_2 < 0$, the temperature-dependent effective interchain interaction $j_t = j_2 + Bt, B = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\cosh \frac{2j_1}{t})$ (15) obtained after strict summation with respect to the degrees of freedom of spins b_i can result in three in place of the standard one phase transition. For $j_1 < -j_2$ the system exhibits only one phase transition from disordered D phase to antiferromagnetically ordered ferromagnetic chains (for $j_p > 0$) $'AF'$. However, for $-j_2 < j_1 < j_1^c$ the system shows sequence of three phase transitions with reentrant transition to the disordered phase $D - 'AF' - D - 'FM'$. For $j_1 > j_1^c$ the system undergoes directly from disordered to $'FM'$ phase. Using the exact formula for the critical temperature of the 2D anisotropic Ising model (16) one can find exactly phase diagram in the plane (t, j_1) (Fig.12) with one or three phase transitions. The three successive phase transitions were already found for exactly solvable semi-decorated Ising model on the square lattice in Ref. 22. The above mentioned phase diagram is reproduced satisfactory by the LPRG method (Fig.12).

(iii) The zig-zag structure has been found or is postulated in several uranium^{19,20,26} and

rear earth²⁵ compounds. Particularly interesting are materials with competing interactions and possible frustrations such as SrR_2O_4 ($R = Ho, Dy$)²⁵ or $UNi_{1-x}Ge_2$ ^{19,26}. In this context, investigation of a minimal model showing the role of temperature-dependent interactions in spin systems, beyond the single-component Ising model, may be the way to explain the magnetic properties of such materials. In Sec IV simple easy axis XXZ model with inchain ferromagnetic and interchain antiferromagnetic interactions and with zig-zag structure adopted only for the z spin component is considered. As with the Ising model, for a certain set of interaction parameters the model can undergo three phase transitions ' $D1'$ – ' AF' – ' $D2'$ – ' FM' (Fig.15). However, in this case both inchain plain interactions $j_x(s_{i,j}^x s_{i+1,j}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i+1,j}^y)$ (in Fig.13 for $j_x \geq 0.8$) and interchain $j_{x1}(s_{i,j}^x s_{i,j+1}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i,j+1}^y)$ (in Fig.15 for $j_x = 0.7$ and $j_{x1} \leq -0.4$) can eliminate the ' $D2'$ phase then the system shows only one transition. The decrease of the disordered phase range ' $D2'$ with increasing $|j_{x1}|$ is depicted in Fig.17. Although, as mentioned above, we are unable to determine the nature of the ordered phases within this method in the case of the Ising model we can assume that phases ' AF' and ' FM' correspond to a simple arrangement of antiferromagnetically and ferromagnetically ordered spin chains, respectively. In the quantum XXZ model such an assumption is not so obvious. Furthermore, while in the Ising model the lines of the ' $D1'$ – ' AF' and ' $D2'$ – ' FM' phase transitions coincide at $t=0$ (Fig.12), in the case of the XXZ model this takes place at finite temperature at the point M_t (Fig.16), which indicates a temperature-induced metamagnetic transition.

¹ Toral R, Tessone C J , 2007 Commun. Comput. Phys. **2** 177

² Wenjian Hu, Singh Rajiv R P and Scalettar Richard T 2017 Phys. Rev. E **95** 062122

³ Gálisová L, Strečka J 2015 Phys. Rev. E **91** 0222134

⁴ Strečka J, Alécio R C, Lyra M L and Rojas O, 2016 J. Magn. Magn. Mat. **409** 124

⁵ deSouza S M, Rojas O 2017 Solid State Commun. **269** 131

⁶ Rojas O, Strečka J, deSouza S M 2019 Phys. Rev. E, **99** 042117

⁷ Yin Weiguo, arXiv:2006.08921v2 (2020), 2006.15087v1 (2020).

⁸ Hutak T, Krokhmalskii T, Rojas O, de Souza S M and Derzhko O, Phys. Lett. A **387** 127020

⁹ Weichselbaum A, Weiguo Yin, Tselik A M 2021 Phys. Rev. B **103** 125120

- ¹⁰ Rojas O, Valverde J S, de Souza S M 2009 *Physica A*, **388** 1419
- ¹¹ Sznajd J 2022 *J. Stat. Mech.* 023402. DOI 10.1088/1742-5468/ac4518
- ¹² Sznajd J 2001 *Phys. Rev. B* **63** 184404
- ¹³ Weiguo Yin, Christopher R. Roth, and Alexei M. Tselik *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 054427
- ¹⁴ S. Redner *Journal of Statistical Physics* **25**, 15 (1981)
- ¹⁵ Sznajd J 2016 *Phys. Rev. E* **94** 062134
- ¹⁶ Sznajd J 2008 *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 214411
- ¹⁷ Shinozaki Masahiro, Motoyama Gaku, Tsubouchi Masahiro , Sezaki Masumi , Gouchi Jun, Nishigori Shijo, Mutou Tetsuya, Yamaguchi Akira, Fujiwara Kenji , Miyoshi Kiyotaka and Uwatoko Yoshiya 2020 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **89** 033703
- ¹⁸ Poole A, Pomjakushin V Y, Uldry A, Delley B, Prévost B, Désilets-Benoit A , Bianchi A D, Bewley R I, Hansen B R, Kurita N, Movshovich R, Klimszuk T, Ronning F, Cava R J and Kenzelmann M 2014 *Phys. Rev. B* **89** 224511
- ¹⁹ Pikul A P, Szlawska M, Ding X, Sznajd J, Ohashi M, Kowalska D A, Pasturel M and Gofryk K 2022 *Phys. Rev. Materials* **6** 104408 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.6.104408
- ²⁰ Aoki D, Ishida K, Flouquet J 2019 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **88** 022001
- ²¹ Farnell D and Bishop R 2004 *Quantum Magnetism Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 645* Springer
- ²² Syozi I 1968 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **39** 1967
- ²³ Plackowski T, Kaczorowski D and Sznajd J 2011 *Phys. Rev. B* **83** 174443
- ²⁴ Suzuki M and Takano H 1979 *Phys. Lett.* **69A** 426
- ²⁵ Poole Amy, Pomjakushin Vladimir , Uldry Anne-Christine, Delley Bernhard, Prevost Bobby, Desilets-Benoit Alexandret, Bianchi Andrea D, Bewley Robert I, Hansen Britt R , Klimczuk Thomasz, Cava Robert J, Kenzelmann Michel 2014 *Phys. Rev. B* **89** 224511
- ²⁶ Pasturel M, Szlawska M, Ćwik J, Kaczorowski D and Pikul A P 2021 *Intermetallics* **131** 107112 (2021)
- ²⁷ Katsura S 1962 *Phys. Rev.* **127** 1508