# Joint Activity and Data Detection for Massive Grant-Free Access Using Deterministic Non-Orthogonal Signatures

Nam Yul Yu, Senior Member, IEEE and Wei Yu, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract-Grant-free access is a key enabler for connecting wireless devices with low latency and low signaling overhead in massive machine-type communications (mMTC). For massive grant-free access, user-specific signatures are uniquely assigned to mMTC devices. In this paper, we first derive a sufficient condition for the successful identification of active devices through maximum likelihood (ML) estimation in massive grant-free access. The condition is represented by the coherence of a signature sequence matrix containing the signatures of all devices. Then, we present a design framework of non-orthogonal signature sequences in a deterministic fashion. The design principle relies on unimodular masking sequences with low correlation, which are applied as masking sequences to the columns of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrix. For example constructions, we use four polyphase masking sequences represented by characters over finite fields. Leveraging algebraic techniques, we show that the signature sequence matrix of proposed non-orthogonal sequences has theoretically bounded low coherence. Simulation results demonstrate that the deterministic non-orthogonal signatures achieve the excellent performance of joint activity and data detection by ML- and approximate message passing (AMP)-based algorithms for massive grant-free access in mMTC.

*Index Terms*—Characters, coherence, grant-free access, massive machine-type communications, non-orthogonal signatures.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**M**ASSIVE machine-type communications (mMTC) is an important use case of 5G and beyond wireless technology for concretizing the Internet of Things (IoT) [1]. In mMTC, only a small fraction of the massive number of wireless devices attempt to access a base station (BS) with no access grant. The *grant-free* access enables massive connectivity with low latency and low control overhead [2]. For uplink grant-free access, signature sequences are uniquely assigned to mMTC devices in a cell so that each active device sends its own signature as a pilot in an access trial. Then, a BS receiver tries to identify active devices, to estimate channel profiles, and/or to detect transmitted data from the superimposed signatures [3]–[8].

In mMTC, uplink grant-free access can be accomplished by a two-phase access scheme [3]–[5], [8]. In the first phase, the BS receiver identifies active devices and estimates their channel profiles jointly from the superimposed signatures. Then in the second phase, it detects each active device's data followed by the signature, exploiting the estimated channel profile. Since signature sequences are uniquely assigned to mMTC devices, the number of non-orthogonal signatures required for this two-phase scheme should be at least the total number of devices in a cell. In addition, a non-coherent access scheme [6] has been proposed by embedding data symbols in signature sequences. The non-coherent scheme can be more efficient for massive access, since identifying signatures at a BS allows joint activity and data detection in a single phase, with no need of channel acquisition. However, this scheme has to uniquely assign a set of multiple signature sequences to each device, which requires the number of non-orthogonal signatures to be larger than the number of devices.

When signature sequences are uniquely assigned to devices, the problem of activity detection at a BS boils down to identifying signature sequences transmitted from active devices. In many research works, the sequence identification problem has been tackled by the technique of compressed sensing (CS) [9]. A variety of algorithms and methods have been deployed in [3]-[7], [10]-[22] to solve the problem under a CS framework. For example, several algorithms based on greedy pursuit [23], [24], approximate message passing (AMP) [25]-[27], and Bayesian learning [28] have been used as detection techniques for the problem. Recently, the maximum likelihood (ML) estimation has been formulated to solve the problem using a sample covariance matrix of received signals [29]. Algorithms based on the coordinate descent method [30], [31] show excellent performance for solving the problem of ML estimation. Moreover, the asymptotic behavior of ML estimation has been studied by numerical analysis of the phase transition in [32], where a necessary and sufficient condition is presented for an accurate solution of the ML estimation employing an asymptotically large number of BS antennas.

In massive grant-free access, a variety of user-specific, nonorthogonal sequences have been considered for signatures, pilots, or spreading, where the number of sequences is much more than the sequence length. In literature, various kinds of randomly generated non-orthogonal sequences, e.g., random complex Gaussian [4], [7], [8], [16]–[18], [21], [30]–[32], random QPSK [6], unimodular with random phase [19], and random partial Fourier [20], [22] sequences have been utilized.

The work of Nam Yul Yu was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIT) (NRF-2022R1F1A1066143). The work of Wei Yu was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada via a Discovery Grant.

Nam Yul Yu is with the School of of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (EECS), Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), Gwangju, 61005, Korea (e-mail: nyyu@gist.ac.kr).

Wei Yu is with the Edward S. Rogers Sr. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5S 3G4, Canada (email: weiyu@comm.utoronto.ca).

Also, complex-valued non-orthogonal sequences randomly taking finite elements have been used for multi-user shared access (MUSA) [33]. Besides random ones, several *deterministic* sequences have been proposed for non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA), including pseudo-random noise [10]–[15], sinusoidal [34], and Golay based [35], [36] sequences. The Zadoff-Chu (ZC) sequences [37] have been adopted as preambles for random access in 3GPP-LTE [38]. Generated in a systematic and structured way, deterministic sequences enable efficient implementation in practice.

Exploiting the results of [32], this paper first derives a sufficient condition for the ML estimation to achieve a true solution of the sequence identification problem. While the asymptotic analysis of [32] relies on numerical experiments of the phase transition, the sufficient condition of this paper is simply represented by the *coherence* of a signature sequence matrix that contains the non-orthogonal signatures of length L from all devices. In particular, the condition is useful when the non-orthogonal signature sequences are generated in a deterministic manner. The sufficient condition suggests that the ML estimation can identify at least K = O(L) transmitted signatures successfully with high probability using an asymptotically large number of BS antennas. The condition highlights the importance of low coherence of the signature sequence matrix for reliable sequence identification.

From our coherence-based analysis, we need a set of nonorthogonal signatures for which the coherence of the corresponding signature sequence matrix is as low as possible. Also, it is important to design a large number of signature sequences for the non-coherent access scheme [6] to accommodate a massive number of devices. For this purpose, we first present a design framework for deterministic signature sequences of length L, where unimodular masking sequences are applied in a masking operation to the columns of the L-point discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrix. For example constructions, we utilize four polyphase masking sequences, where the elements are represented by characters [39] over finite fields. In specific, we consider cubic [40], [41] and trace [42], [43] sequences, represented by additive characters. Also, we use power residue and Sidelnikov sequences [44], represented by multiplicative characters.

By applying the above masking sequences, the deterministic design is able to supply  $\mathcal{O}(L^3)$  non-orthogonal sequences of length L. Leveraging the bounds on character sums [45], we show that the signature sequence matrix containing the nonorthogonal sequences has the theoretically bounded low coherence of  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}})$ , nearly meeting the Welch bound equality [46]. Our coherence-based analysis suggests that the deterministic design, thanks to the low coherence, can guarantee reliable ML estimation for the sequence identification problem with  $K = \mathcal{O}(L)$  transmitted signatures. Moreover, simulation results reveal that the ML estimation can identify the deterministic signatures accurately even for K > L, using a massive number of BS antennas. Compared to randomly generated and algorithmically optimized ones, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures also enjoy low implementation cost, as generated efficiently in practice by the deterministic design.

In simulations, we investigate the performance of pro-

posed non-orthogonal signatures in the non-coherent access scheme. For joint activity and data detection, we deploy the coordinate descent algorithm [30] for maximum likelihood estimation (CD-ML) and the approximate message passing (AMP) with multiple measurement vectors (MMV-AMP) [47], respectively. Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed non-orthogonal signatures achieve excellent performance for joint activity and data detection in the non-coherent access scheme, outperforming several randomly generated signatures, e.g., random complex Gaussian, random MUSA, and random QPSK sequences. In particular, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures of short lengths have outstanding performance for joint activity and data detection using CD-ML with a massive number of BS antennas, which allows massive access with low signaling overhead. In conclusion, the proposed nonorthogonal signatures are promising for massive grant-free access in mMTC, thanks to the theoretically bounded low coherence and the low implementation cost.

The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

- We derive a sufficient condition to achieve a true solution of the sequence identification problem through ML estimation. Given an arbitrary signature sequence matrix, we use the coherence to represent the condition. The sufficient condition gives a guideline on how non-orthogonal signatures should be designed to provide low coherence for the signature sequence matrix, which allows reliable ML estimation for the sequence identification problem.
- We construct four sets of deterministic non-orthogonal signature sequences for massive grant-free access. To be used for the non-coherent access scheme, each sequence set provides a large number of sequences as well as low coherence for the signature sequence matrix. The design principle is based on the application of unimodular sequences for masking the columns of the DFT matrix. This deterministic design provides us with O(L<sup>3</sup>) non-orthogonal signature sequences of length L, where the coherence of the corresponding signature sequence matrix is theoretically bounded by O(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>√L</sub>).
   Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed non-
- Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed nonorthogonal signatures show outstanding performance for joint activity and data detection in massive grant-free access. In particular, the proposed signatures of short lengths support massive grant-free access with low signaling overhead, when the BS has a large number of antennas. Thanks to the low coherence and the low implementation cost, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures based on deterministic design can be suitable for massive grant-free access in mMTC.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II outlines a system model of the non-coherent access scheme, where the problem of joint activity and data detection is formulated. Also, we review the covariance-based ML estimation to solve the problem. In Section III, we derive a sufficient condition to achieve a true solution of the sequence identification problem through ML estimation. Section IV presents a design framework of deterministic non-orthogonal signature sequences. With the design framework, we construct four sets of non-orthogonal signature sequences by using cubic, power residue, Sidelnikov, and trace sequences for masking operation. Section V presents simulation results to demonstrate the performance of proposed non-orthogonal signatures for joint activity and data detection in massive grant-free access. Finally, concluding remarks will be given in Section VI.

Notations: In this paper, a matrix (or a vector) is represented by a bold-face upper (or a lower) case letter. The transpose and the conjugate transpose of a matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  are denoted by  $\mathbf{X}^T$  and  $\mathbf{X}^{H}$ , respectively. Note that  $\mathbf{X}^{*}$  denotes a matrix having the conjugate elements of X with no transpose. The identity matrix is denoted by **I**, where the dimension is determined in the context. diag(h) is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are from a vector **h**. The inner product of vectors **x** and **y** is denoted by  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ . The  $l_2$ -norm of a vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ is denoted by  $||\mathbf{x}||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^N |x_k|^2}$ . The Frobenius norm of a matrix **X** is  $\|\mathbf{X}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{k,l} |\mathbf{X}(k,l)|^2}$ . The coherence of a matrix **X** is defined by  $\mu(\mathbf{X}) = \max_{k \neq l} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_l \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k\|_2 \|\mathbf{x}_l\|_2}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_k$  and  $\mathbf{x}_l$  are the *k*th and the *l*th columns of **X**, respectively. For a pair of vectors x and y,  $x \odot y$  denotes the element-wise multiplication of x and y. If x and y are binary vectors of elements 0 and 1,  $\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{v}$  denotes the bitwise XOR addition of x and y. Finally,  $\mathbf{h} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  is a circularly symmetric complex Gaussian random vector with mean m and covariance Σ.

#### II. SYSTEM MODEL

#### A. Grant-Free Random Access

In this paper, we consider uplink grant-free random access in single-cell mMTC, where a base station (BS) equipped with M antennas accommodates total  $N_d$  single-antenna devices. In each random access interval, only K devices out of total actively transmit their own signatures of length L to the BS synchronously [4], where  $K \ll N_d$  due to sparse activity. In mMTC, small  $L = \mathcal{O}(K)$  would be desirable for massive grant-free access with low signaling overhead. For fully grantfree access, we assume that devices are *static* in a cell and thus BS accommodates a fixed set of devices having their own userspecific signatures<sup>1</sup>.

For efficient data transmission in grant-free random access, we consider a non-coherent access scheme [6], [30] by embedding data information in signature sequences. For this purpose, a unique signature set  $\mathbf{S}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times Q}$  containing Q distinct sequences is allocated to device n, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \left[\mathbf{s}_n^{(1)}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_n^{(Q)}\right],\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{s}_n^{(q)} = (s_{n,1}^{(q)}, \cdots, s_{n,L}^{(q)})^T$  is a signature sequence of length L for  $q = 1, \cdots, Q$  and  $n = 1, \cdots, N_d$ . Note that  $L \ll N_d$  due to a massive number of devices in mMTC. When device n is active and wishes to send J bits of information, it transmits a single sequence out of  $\mathbf{S}_n$ , where  $Q = 2^J$ . Then, one can detect the activity and data of device *n* simultaneously by identifying the transmitted signature from  $\mathbf{S}_n$ .

For device n, an indicator vector can be defined by  $\mathbf{a}_n = (a_n^{(1)}, \cdots, a_n^{(Q)})^T$ , where  $a_n^{(q)} \in \{0, 1\}$  indicates whether or not a sequence  $\mathbf{s}_n^{(q)}$  is transmitted. Since only one sequence of  $\mathbf{S}_n$  is transmitted by active device n,  $\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_1 = 1$  if device n is active, and  $\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_1 = 0$  otherwise. Then,  $\mathcal{I} = \{n \mid \|\mathbf{a}_n\|_1 = 1, n = 1, \cdots, N_d\}$  is a set of active devices, where the number of active devices is  $|\mathcal{I}| = \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \|\mathbf{a}_n\|_1 = K \ll N_d$ . Note that we can detect the activity of device n by checking whether  $\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_1 = 0$  or 1, while data bits from active device n are detected by the index q of  $a_n^{(q)} = 1$  from  $\mathbf{a}_n$ . Let  $g_n \mathbf{h}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  be a channel vector for device n, where

Let  $g_n \mathbf{h}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  be a channel vector for device n, where  $g_n$  is the large-scale fading component determined by the distance between device n and the BS, and  $\mathbf{h}_n \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  is the Rayleigh fading gains over M antennas. Then, the received signal at the BS can be represented by

$$\mathbf{Y} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} a_n^{(q)} g_n \mathbf{s}_n^{(q)} \mathbf{h}_n^T + \mathbf{W}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \mathbf{S}_n \operatorname{diag}(g_n \mathbf{a}_n) \mathbf{H}_n + \mathbf{W},$$
 (2)

where  $\mathbf{H}_n = [\mathbf{h}_n, \cdots, \mathbf{h}_n]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{Q \times M}$  is a channel matrix for device n with Q repeated rows of  $\mathbf{h}_n^T$ . In (2),  $\mathbf{W} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I})$  is the complex Gaussian noise with variance  $\sigma_w^2$ . Let  $\mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{S}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{S}_{N_d}] \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times N}$  be a concatenation of the matrices (1) across  $N_d$  devices, where  $N = N_d Q$ . In (2),  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times M}$  can then be expressed in matrix form, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{W},\tag{3}$$

where  $\Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} = \text{diag}\left([g_1\mathbf{a}_1^T, \cdots, g_{N_d}\mathbf{a}_{N_d}^T]\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  and  $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{H}_1^T \cdots, \mathbf{H}_{N_d}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ . In (3), the diagonal vector of  $\Gamma$  is denoted by  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = [\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1^T, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{N_d}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n = g_n^2 \mathbf{a}_n$ . Finally, the BS receiver tackles the problem (3) to find  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ , or  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n = g_n^2 \mathbf{a}_n$  for all  $n = 1, \cdots, N_d$ , which ultimately detects device activity and data simultaneously.

# B. Covariance-Based Maximum-Likelihood (ML) Estimation

In this paper, we mainly consider the covariance-based maximum-likelihood (ML) estimation to find  $\gamma$  in (3).

As the channel coefficients are i.i.d., each column of  $\mathbf{Y}$ in (3), denoted by  $\mathbf{y}_m \in \mathbb{C}^L, 1 \leq m \leq M$ , follows the complex Gaussian distribution independently, i.e.,  $\mathbf{y}_m \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , where the covariance matrix is  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}_m \mathbf{y}_m^H] = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{S}^H + \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I}$ . To find  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  in (3), the maximum likelihood (ML) estimation is then formulated by [32]

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\gamma} \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}| + \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\right) \text{ subject to } \gamma \ge 0, \quad (4)$$

where  $\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{M} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}^{H}$ , and  $\gamma \geq 0$  means that each element of  $\gamma$  is non-negative, due to  $\gamma_n = g_n^2 \mathbf{a}_n$  for all  $n = 1, \dots, N_d$ . Note that the covariance-based ML estimation problem (4) aims to estimate the device activities and the channel statistics  $\gamma$ . With a sufficiently large number of BS antennas, it is shown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>IoT technologies such as low power wide area networks (LPWAN) [48] typically assume that devices are static. For example, small amount of data are sent by low-cost devices with no mobility management in the narrow-band IoT (NB-IoT) [49], where the IoT devices are assumed to be static or immobile.

in [32] that if the number of active devices is  $K = O(L^2)$ , the reliable performance of joint activity and data detection can be guaranteed for randomly generated **S** by the solution of the ML estimation problem (4).

Although the optimization problem (4) is non-convex, several algorithms have been explored for solving it iteratively. In particular, it turned out that coordinate descent based algorithms [30]–[32] exhibit excellent performance of ML estimation. In this paper, we deploy the coordinate descent algorithm in [30] for ML estimation, which we call CD-ML, to solve the problem (4). In CD-ML, active signature sequences are identified by an estimate of  $\gamma$ , or  $\hat{\gamma}$ , through the coordinate selection rule [30]. From  $\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_N)^T$ , we obtain  $\tilde{\gamma}_n = (\hat{\gamma}_{(n-1)Q+1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{nQ})^T$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N_d$ . Denoting it by  $\tilde{\gamma}_n = (\tilde{\gamma}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_n^{(Q)})^T$ , we have  $\tilde{\gamma}_n^{(q)} = \hat{\gamma}_i$  with  $n = \lfloor \frac{i-1}{Q} \rfloor + 1$  and  $q = (i-1) \pmod{Q} + 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Note that  $\tilde{\gamma}_n^{(q)}$  is the estimated channel statistics corresponding to the signature  $\mathbf{s}_n^{(q)}$  in (1). Then, for each n,

$$\xi_n^{\mathrm{ML}} = \max_{q=1,\cdots,Q} \widetilde{\gamma}_n^{(q)}, \qquad \widehat{q}_n = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{q=1,\cdots,Q} \widetilde{\gamma}_n^{(q)}.$$

Finally, an estimated indicator vector  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n = (\widehat{a}_n^{(1)}, \cdots, \widehat{a}_n^{(Q)})^T$  for device n is obtained by  $\widehat{a}_n^{(q)} = 0$  if  $q \neq \widehat{q}_n$ , and

$$\widehat{a}_{n}^{(\widehat{q}_{n})} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi_{n}^{\text{ML}} \ge \xi_{\text{th}}^{\text{ML}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where  $\xi_{\rm th}^{\rm ML}$  is a threshold for device activity.

# III. SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

In this section, we use the coherence of a signature sequence matrix S to derive a sufficient condition to achieve the true solution by solving the ML estimation problem (4).

# A. Asymptotic Performance Analysis for ML Estimation

Recall  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times N}$  from (3), where  $N = N_d Q$ . Given an arbitrary matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ , let  $\widehat{\mathbf{S}} \in \mathbb{C}^{L^2 \times N}$  be the Khatri-Rao product of  $\mathbf{S}^*$  and  $\mathbf{S}$ , defined by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}} = \left[ (\mathbf{s}_1^{(1)})^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_1^{(1)}, \cdots, (\mathbf{s}_{N_d}^{(Q)})^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_{N_d}^{(Q)} \right], \tag{6}$$

where ' $\otimes$ ' denotes the Kronecker product. In what follows, Theorem 1 presents a necessary and sufficient condition for the ML estimation of (4) to achieve a true solution of  $\gamma$  in (3) with an asymptotically large number of BS antennas, which is a combination of Theorems 2 and 6 in [32].

Theorem 1: ([32]) Let  $\gamma^0 = (\gamma_1^0, \dots, \gamma_N^0)^T$  be a true solution of  $\gamma$  in (3), corresponding to true indicator vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{N_d}$ , where  $\mathcal{Z} = \{i \mid \gamma_i^0 = 0\}$  denotes the index set of zero elements of  $\gamma^0$ . Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \}, \qquad \mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid x_i \ge 0, \ i \in \mathcal{Z} \},$$
(7)

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . As the number of BS antennas increases infinitely, the solution of (4) goes to the true solution, i.e.,  $\hat{\gamma} \to \gamma^0$  as  $M \to \infty$ , if and only if  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

# B. Coherence-Based Analysis for ML Estimation

Now, we use the coherence of an arbitrary matrix **S** to derive a sufficient condition for the ML estimation (4) to achieve the true solution  $\gamma^0$  with an asymptotically large number of BS antennas. To facilitate our analysis, we make the following assumption for the null space of  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  in (6).

A1) The sign of each nonzero element of  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  takes on +1 and -1 equally with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  for an arbitrary signature sequence matrix **S**.

First of all, we use  $\operatorname{spark}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}})$  to give a sufficient condition to achieve the true solution  $\gamma^0$  for any set of K active devices, where  $\operatorname{spark}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}})$  is the smallest number of columns of  $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$  that are linearly dependent.

Theorem 2: Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$  be defined as in Theorem 1 for a given matrix **S**, while  $\mathcal{Z}$  varies depending on device activity, but has a fixed set size. Let the number of active devices be  $K = |\mathcal{Z}^c|$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}^c = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  for  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, N\}$ . If  $\operatorname{spark}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}) > K + \delta$ , the solution of (4) goes to the true solution  $\gamma^0$  asymptotically, i.e.,  $\widehat{\gamma} \to \gamma^0$  as  $M \to \infty$ , with probability exceeding  $1 - 2^{-\delta}$ for any set of K active devices. *Proof*: See Appendix A.

Based on Theorem 2, we give a sufficient condition for the ML estimation to achieve the true solution  $\gamma^0$  for an arbitrary matrix **S**, which is represented by the coherence of **S**.

Theorem 3: Recall that K is the number of active devices. Given an arbitrary matrix **S**, the solution of (4) goes to the true solution  $\gamma^0$  asymptotically, i.e.,  $\hat{\gamma} \to \gamma^0$  as  $M \to \infty$ , with probability exceeding  $1 - 2^{-\delta}$  for any set of K active devices, provided that the coherence of **S** satisfies

$$\mu(\mathbf{S}) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{K+\delta-1}}.\tag{8}$$

Proof: See Appendix B.

From the Welch's lower bound [46], the coherence of the matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times N}$  satisfies  $\mu(\mathbf{S}) \geq \sqrt{\frac{N-L}{L(N-1)}}$ , which yields  $\mu(\mathbf{S}) = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\right)$  for large N. Then, a sufficient condition of Theorem 3 is that if  $K = \mathcal{O}(L)$ , the ML estimation (4) can achieve the true solution successfully with high probability, using an asymptotically large number of BS antennas. We remark that this sufficient condition is far from being necessary, because the covariance-based ML estimation is known to be able to detect up to  $K = \mathcal{O}(L^2)$  number of active devices [32]. Nevertheless, Theorem 3 is still useful in indicating that if the coherence of  $\mathbf{S}$  becomes lower, the ML estimation can guarantee reliable activity and data detection for more active devices. Also, the result is useful for an arbitrary matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ , particularly if the entries are generated in a deterministic way.

It is implicitly understood in many prior research works that the low coherence of a signature sequence or pilot matrix plays an essential role in guaranteeing reliable detection performance for grant-free access. With this awareness, several efforts [50], [51] have been made to achieve low coherence for the matrix via optimization algorithms. In this paper, the sufficient condition of Theorem 3 presents a theoretical justification for the low coherence of a signature sequence matrix in the ML estimation, which contributes to the novelty of this work.

We would like to point out that the sufficient conditions of Theorems 2 and 3 are for the ML estimation problem (4), exploiting the spark and coherence of  $\hat{S}$  defined in Theorem 1, respectively. Clearly, the sufficient conditions can be applied to covariance-based algorithms, e.g., CD-ML, which attempt to solve the ML estimation problem (4). However, the conditions cannot be applicable to other algorithms, e.g., AMP-based algorithms, which deal with a different problem setting of estimating jointly the device activities and the channel realizations.

*Remark 1:* In this paper, we assume  $N = N_d Q > L^2$ , which is suitable for practical mMTC systems. Under the assumption, the Zadoff-Chu (ZC) sequences [37] of prime length L cannot afford to support the non-coherent access scheme of  $N > L^2$ , since at most L(L-1) signature sequences are available from all cyclic shifts of ZC sequences with distinct roots. Thus, the ZC sequences, one of the best known deterministic sequences, will not be considered for signatures in this paper. For practical mMTC, we are motivated to construct a large number of new deterministic signature sequences of short lengths, i.e.,  $N > L^2$ , for accommodating a massive number of devices with low signaling overhead.

# IV. DESIGN OF DETERMINISTIC NON-ORTHOGONAL SIGNATURES

In this section, we construct four sets of non-orthogonal signature sequences in a deterministic fashion, where each set presents a signature sequence matrix S with low coherence.

#### A. General Framework

Let  $\mathcal{V} = {\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_B}$  be a set of *B* unimodular masking sequences<sup>2</sup> of length *L*, where  $\mathbf{v}_b = (v_b(0), \dots, v_b(L-1))^T$  for  $b = 1, \dots, B$ . In what follows, we construct a set of nonorthogonal signature sequences using the masking sequences in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

*Construction 1:* Let  $\mathbf{F}_L = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}e^{-\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}}\right]$  be the *L*-point discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrix, where  $0 \le k, l \le L - 1$ . Using each masking sequence in  $\mathcal{V}$ , define an  $L \times L$  matrix by

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_b = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{v}_b) \cdot \mathbf{F}_L, \qquad b = 1, \cdots, B.$$
(9)

Concatenating  $\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_B$ , we obtain a matrix  $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times N_s}$  by

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = [\boldsymbol{\Phi}_1, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\Phi}_B] = [\boldsymbol{\phi}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{N_s}], \qquad (10)$$

where  $N_s = BL$ , and  $\phi_n \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times 1}$  is a sequence of length L for  $n = 1, \dots, N_s$ .

In Construction 1,  $\Phi$  supplies total  $N_s = BL$  sequences of length L, where a group of Q sequences is uniquely allocated to each device. Thus,  $\Phi$  can support at most  $\lfloor N_s/Q \rfloor$  devices with its column sequences. In (3), **S** is a submatrix of  $\Phi$ , containing the  $\lfloor N_s/Q \rfloor \cdot Q$  columns of  $\Phi$  as its signature sequences. In what follows, we show that the coherence of the signature sequence matrix **S** from Construction 1 is bounded

<sup>2</sup>In this section, the element index of each masking sequence is  $k = 0, \dots, L-1$  for convenience of analysis.

by the maximum magnitude of the inverse Fourier transforms of masking sequence pairs multiplied element-wise.

Theorem 4: Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a set containing the sequences of  $\mathbf{v}_i^* \odot \mathbf{v}_j$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathcal{V}$  for i < j. In other words,

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{w}_D\} = \{\mathbf{v}_1^* \odot \mathbf{v}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{B-1}^* \odot \mathbf{v}_B\},\$$

where  $D = \frac{B(B-1)}{2}$ . For  $d = 1, \dots, D$ , let  $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \mathbf{F}_L^* \mathbf{w}_d = (\widehat{w}_d(0), \dots, \widehat{w}_d(L-1))^T$ . Then, the coherence of **S** from Construction 1 is given by

$$\mu(\mathbf{S}) \le \max_{1 \le d \le D} \max_{0 \le l \le L-1} \left| \widehat{w}_d(l) \right|.$$
(11)

Proof: See Appendix C.

# B. Example Constructions

We employ several known polyphase sequences with low correlation for masking operation of Construction 1. Then, the design framework produces four sets of deterministic non-orthogonal signature sequences. For each set, the coherence bound of a signature sequence matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  containing the sequences is derived by leveraging the bounds on character sums [45], which is described in Appendix D. In essence, the example constructions exploit the low correlation of the polyphase masking sequences, which causes the multiplied masking sequence pair to have a bounded maximum magnitude after the inverse DFT. Finally, by Theorem 4, the resulting deterministic non-orthogonal sequences.

Before describing the details of example constructions, we introduce some algebraic concepts, which are necessary for understanding the polyphase masking sequences. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ , which denotes an integer ring of q elements, and  $\mathbb{Z}_q^+ = \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ . For prime p and a positive integer  $m, \mathbb{F}_q = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}\}$  is a finite field of  $q = p^m$  elements, where  $\alpha$  is the primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ . The *trace* function from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{Tr}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{p^i}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}.$$

Also, the *logarithm* over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is defined by

$$\log_{\alpha} x = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } x = \alpha^t, \ 0 \le t \le q - 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

For more details on finite fields and algebraic foundations, readers are referred to [39], [52].

We are now ready to present example constructions using four polyphase masking sequences.

1) Signatures from Cubic Masking Sequences: In [40], Alltop presented complex-valued *cubic* sequences with low correlation. Also, it is straightforward to generalize them to a cubic sequence family of odd prime length [41]. We use the cubic sequences for masking operation in Construction 1.

Definition 1: For odd prime L and integers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_L$ , define a masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b = (v_b(0), \cdots, v_b(L-1))^T$  by

$$v_b(k) = \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi(\lambda_1k^3 + \lambda_2k^2)}{L}\right)$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \lfloor \frac{b-1}{L} \rfloor$  and  $\lambda_2 = (b-1) \pmod{L} + 1$ . We construct a masking sequence set  $\mathcal{V}_C = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{L^2}\}$ , where the set size is  $B = |\mathcal{V}_C| = L^2$ . Using  $\mathcal{V}_C$ , Construction 1 gives a set of signature sequences from (9) and (10), where the total number of signatures is  $N_s = L^3$ .

From the studies of [40] and [41], it can be seen that the cubic based sequences of Definition 1 are not new, and it is easy to show that the corresponding signature sequence matrix has theoretically bounded low coherence.

Theorem 5: Assume that each device has its unique signature sequence set of size Q in  $\mathbf{S} \subset \Phi$  from Construction 1, where the masking sequence set is  $\mathcal{V}_C$  from Definition 1. Then, the maximum number of devices to be supported is  $\lfloor N_s/Q \rfloor = \lfloor L^3/Q \rfloor$ . Also, the coherence of  $\mathbf{S}$  is bounded by

$$\mu(\mathbf{S}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}, & \text{if } N_d \leq \frac{L^2}{Q}, \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{L}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* The proof is straightforward from Theorem 5 of [41]. If  $N \leq L^2$ , the bound is obtained from Lemma 3 of [41].

2) Signatures from Power-Residue Masking Sequences: In [44], Sidelnikov presented two classes of polyphase sequences, called *power-residue (PR)* and *Sidelnikov* sequences. Construction 1 can use each one for masking sequences.

Definition 2: For odd prime L, let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element in  $\mathbb{F}_L$  and H > 2 be a positive integer that divides L - 1. For integers  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_L$  and  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_H^+$ , define a masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b = (v_b(0), \dots, v_b(L-1))^T$  by

$$v_b(k) = \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi\lambda_2\log_\alpha(k+\lambda_1)}{H}\right),\tag{12}$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \lfloor \frac{b-1}{H-1} \rfloor$  and  $\lambda_2 = (b-1) \pmod{H-1} + 1$ . We construct a masking sequence set  $\mathcal{V}_P = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{(H-1)L}\}$ , where the set size is  $B = |\mathcal{V}_P| = (H-1)L$ . Using  $\mathcal{V}_P$ , Construction 1 gives a set of signature sequences from (9) and (10), where the total number of signatures is  $N_s = (H-1)L^2$ .

In Definition 2, if H = L-1, Construction 1 gives  $\Phi$  of size  $N_s = (L-2)L^2$  using  $\mathcal{V}_P$ . Consider  $\mathbf{c} = (c(0), \dots, c(L-1))^T$  with  $c(k) = \log_{\alpha} k \pmod{H}$  for  $0 \le k \le L-1$ , which is an *H*-ary power residue (PR) sequence [44] of length *L*. Treating the PR sequence as a *seed*, each masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b$  of (12) is a modulated version of the seed sequence  $\mathbf{c}$  with  $\lambda_1$ -shift and  $\lambda_2$ -multiple, which has been directly used as pilots for CS based random access [53].

Theorem 6: Assume that each device has its unique signature sequence set of size Q in  $\mathbf{S} \subset \Phi$  from Construction 1, where the masking sequence set is  $\mathcal{V}_P$  from Definition 2. Then, the maximum number of devices to be supported is  $\lfloor N_s/Q \rfloor = \lfloor (H-1)L^2/Q \rfloor$ . Also, the coherence of  $\mathbf{S}$  is bounded by

$$\mu(\mathbf{S}) \le \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{L}+1}{L}, & \text{if } N_d \le \frac{(H-1)L}{Q} \\ \frac{2\sqrt{L}+2}{L}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* See Appendix E.

#### 3) Signatures from Sidelnikov Masking Sequences:

Definition 3: For prime p and a positive integer m, let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  and H be a positive integer that

divides  $L = p^m - 1$ . For integers  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_L$  and  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_H^+$ , define a masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b = (v_b(0), \cdots, v_b(L-1))^T$  by

$$v_b(k) = \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi\lambda_2\log_\alpha(1+\alpha^{k+\lambda_1})}{H}\right),\qquad(13)$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \lfloor \frac{b-1}{H-1} \rfloor$  and  $\lambda_2 = (b-1) \pmod{H-1} + 1$ . We construct a masking sequence set  $\mathcal{V}_S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{(H-1)L}\}$ , where the set size is  $B = |\mathcal{V}_S| = (H-1)L$ . Using  $\mathcal{V}_S$ , Construction 1 gives a set of signature sequences from (9) and (10), where the total number of signatures is  $N_s = (H-1)L^2$ .

In Definition 3, if H = L, Construction 1 gives  $\Phi$  of size  $N_s = (L-1)L^2$  using  $\mathcal{V}_S$ . Consider  $\mathbf{c} = (c(0), \dots, c(L-1))^T$  with  $c(k) = \log_{\alpha}(1+\alpha^k) \pmod{H}$  for  $0 \le k \le L-1$ , which is an *H*-ary Sidelnikov sequence [44] of length *L*. Treating it as a seed, each masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b$  of (13) is a modulated version of the seed sequence  $\mathbf{c}$  with  $\lambda_1$ -shift and  $\lambda_2$ -multiple.

Theorem 7: Assume that each device has its unique signature sequence set of size Q in  $\mathbf{S} \subset \Phi$  from Construction 1, where the masking sequence set is  $\mathcal{V}_S$  from Definition 3. Then, the maximum number of devices to be supported is  $\lfloor N_s/Q \rfloor = \lfloor (H-1)L^2/Q \rfloor$ . Also, the coherence of  $\mathbf{S}$  is bounded by

$$\mu(\mathbf{S}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{L+1}+3}{L}, & \text{if } N_d \leq \frac{(H-1)L}{Q} \\ \frac{2\sqrt{L+1}+4}{L}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: See Appendix F.

1

4) Signatures from Trace Masking Sequences: A general construction of *trace* sequences was presented in [42]. For a masking sequence set, we consider a special case of the trace sequences from Corollary 7.3 of [43].

Definition 4: For odd prime p and a positive integer m, let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  and  $L = p^m - 1$ . For an integer  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{L+1}$ , let  $\theta = 0$  if  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , and  $\theta = \alpha^{\lambda_1 - 1}$  otherwise. For  $\theta$  and  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_L$ , define a masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b = (v_b(0), \cdots, v_b(L-1))^T$ , where

$$v_b(k) = \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha^{k+\lambda_2} + \theta \alpha^{2(k+\lambda_2)}\right)}{p}\right), \qquad (14)$$

where  $\lambda_1 = \lfloor \frac{b-1}{L} \rfloor$  and  $\lambda_2 = (b-1) \pmod{L}$ . Then, we construct a masking sequence set  $\mathcal{V}_T = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{v}_{L(L+1)}\}$ , where the set size is B = L(L+1). Using  $\mathcal{V}_T$ , Construction 1 gives a set of signature sequences of size  $N_s = L^2(L+1)$ .

Consider  $\mathbf{c} = (c(0), \dots, c(L-1))^T$  with  $c(k) = \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha^k)$ for  $0 \le k \le L-1$ , which is a *p*-ary *m*-sequence [52] of length L. Treating it as a seed, each masking sequence  $\mathbf{v}_b$  of (14) is an added and then modulated version of a pair of seed sequences with shift and decimation. As a *p*-ary *m*-sequence is generated by a linear feedback shift register (LFSR) over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , the trace masking sequences of  $\mathcal{V}_T$  can be generated by a pair of *m*-stage LFSRs, which allows low-cost implementation. For more details on the LFSR implementation of a trace function, readers are referred to [52].

Theorem 8: Assume that each device has its unique signature sequence set of size Q in  $\mathbf{S} \subset \Phi$  from Construction 1, where the masking sequence set is  $\mathcal{V}_T$  from Definition 4. Then, the maximum number of devices to be supported is

TABLE I Examples of Masking Sequence Seeds

Masking Sequences	Seed
Power Residue	0, 0, 2, 16, 4, 1, 18, 19, 6, 10, 3, 9,
(L = 23, H = 22)	20, 14, 21, 17, 8, 7, 12, 15, 5, 13, 11.
Sidelnikov	6, 17, 5, 2, 11, 13, 18, 21, 4, 19, 1, 9,
(L = 24, H = 24)	0, 22, 15, 10, 20, 14, 12, 8, 7, 23, 3, 16.
Trace	2, 4, 2, 0, 1, 4, 4, 3, 4, 0, 2, 3,
(L = 24, p = 5)	3, 1, 3, 0, 4, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0, 3, 2.

 $\lfloor N_s/Q \rfloor = \lfloor L^2(L+1)/Q \rfloor$ . Also, the coherence of **S** is bounded by

$$\mu(\mathbf{S}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{L+1+2}}{L}, & \text{if } N_d \leq \frac{L^2}{Q} \\ \frac{2\sqrt{L+1+2}}{L}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proof: See Appendix G.

Table I presents the seed examples for PR, Sidelnikov, and trace masking sequences, respectively. In specific, the seeds of PR and Sidelnikov sequences are  $\log_{\alpha} k$  and  $\log_{\alpha}(1+\alpha^k)$ , respectively, while the seed of trace sequences is  $Tr(\alpha^k)$ , where  $0 \le k \le L - 1$ . Then, each masking sequence is generated by cyclic shifts, constant multiples, and/or decimation of the seed sequence. Finally, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures can be generated on-the-fly only using the masking seeds, which will be stored in mMTC devices and a BS.

Each example construction of Section IV.B provides  $\mathcal{O}(L^3)$ non-orthogonal signature sequences of length L, which accommodate  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{L^3}{Q})$  devices in the non-coherent access scheme. Also, the proposed non-orthogonal sequences ensure that the signature sequence matrix **S** has the theoretically bounded low coherence of  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}})$ , nearly meeting the Welch bound equality. Thanks to the low coherence, the sufficient condition of Theorem 3 suggests that the proposed non-orthogonal signatures can guarantee reliable activity and data detection for  $K = \mathcal{O}(L)$  active devices through the ML estimation (4). Moreover, simulation results of Section V reveal that the ML estimation achieves the reliable performance of joint activity and data detection even for K > L, using a massive number of BS antennas.

#### V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we present simulation results to demonstrate the performance of proposed non-orthogonal signatures for joint activity and data detection in massive grant-free access. In simulations, each signature of length L has the norm of  $\sqrt{L}$ and the noise variance is set as  $\sigma_w^2 = 0.1$ . As in [29] and [31], we assume that the large-scale fading gain is  $g_n = 1$  for each device n, with the prior knowledge of its distance from the BS. Under this assumption, we set  $\xi_{\rm th}^{\rm ML} = 0.25$  in (5) through numerical experiments.

# A. Tested Non-Orthogonal Signature Sequences

The deterministic design of Section IV does not produce non-orthogonal sequences of an arbitrary length. Instead, the cubic and the power residue (PR) based sequences from Definitions 1 and 2 take the prime length L, whereas the Sidelnikov and the trace based sequences from Definitions 3 and 4 have  $L = p^m - 1$  for prime p. To maximize the sequence set size, we choose H = L - 1 and H = L for PR and Sidelnikov based sequences, respectively. In simulations, the signature sequence length is L = 23 for cubic and PR based sequences, while L = 24 for Sidelnikov and trace based ones.

For performance comparison, we consider some known sequences for signatures, where the elements are generated in a random fashion. First, we use random Gaussian sequences, where each element is drawn from the i.i.d. complex Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unit variance. Second, we consider the complex-valued MUSA sequences, where each element is randomly taken from the 3-level signal constellation, i.e.,  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ [ $\pm 1\pm j, \pm 1, \pm j, 0$ ], in Fig. 2(b) of [33]. Finally, we employ random QPSK signature sequences used in [6], where each element is randomly taken from  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1\pm j)$ . To obtain each set of random Gaussian, MUSA, and QPSK sequences of length L = 23, we construct an  $L \times N$  signature sequence matrix with the lowest coherence through 10 random trials, where we observe that the coherence is much higher than those for the proposed non-orthogonal signatures.

As additional benchmarks, we consider two non-orthogonal sequences of length L = 23, exploiting the optimization techniques of C-SIDCO and U-SIDCO in [51]. The C-SIDCO presents a complex-valued incoherent frame by solving a coherence minimization problem numerically, while the U-SIDCO tackles the problem with an additional constraint that the entries of a frame have equal magnitude. From each frame, the frame vectors become non-orthogonal signatures. As obtained by optimization algorithms, the signature sequences can provide low coherence for the signature sequence matrix.

To validate the assumption A1) of Section III.B numerically for the tested signatures, we examined the average ratios of negative to nonzero elements in  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is the null space of  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  in (6). For each matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ , we check the ratios with 1000 vectors randomly taken from  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , where the chosen parameters are  $(L, N_d, Q) =$ (23, 200, 4), (47, 1000, 4), (79, 1000, 8), respectively<sup>3</sup>. From the numerical test, we observe that the average ratios are nearly 0.5 for the tested signatures, from which the assumption A1) turns out to be valid for the coherence-based analysis.

#### B. Joint Activity and Data Detection

To evaluate the performance of proposed non-orthogonal signatures, we use the CD-ML of Section II.B. For comparison purpose, we also use the MMV-AMP algorithm proposed in [47]. The MMV-AMP carries out joint activity and data detection by estimating the sparse device activities and the channel realizations jointly, which is described in Appendix H. Recall that the sufficient conditions of Theorems 2 and 3 cannot be applicable to AMP-based algorithms. In this paper, the MMV-AMP algorithm is only introduced to evaluate the detection performance of non-orthogonal signatures in simulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For the signatures from C-SIDCO and U-SIDCO, we checked the average ratios only for  $(L, N_d, Q) = (23, 200, 4)$ , since the optimization algorithms take very long time to generate the signature sequences for large  $N_d$ .



Fig. 1. Probability of errors of tested signatures over the number of BS antennas by CD-ML, where  $N_d = 200, J = 2$ , and Q = 4. The number of active devices is (a) K = 20 < L and (b) K = 40 > L, respectively, where L = 23 for random, C-SIDCO, U-SIDCO, cubic, and PR based sequences, and L = 24 for Sidelnikov and trace based ones.

In Section II.A, recall that the indicator vector  $\mathbf{a}_n$  has only a single 1 if device n is active, and  $\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{0}$  otherwise. The detection algorithms of Section II.B ensure that its estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_n$  from each algorithm also has a single 1 at most. Given  $\mathbf{a}_n$ and  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_n$ , we define an error indicator  $e_n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N_d$ , i.e.,  $e_n = 1$  if  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_n$  differs from  $\mathbf{a}_n$  in at least one position, or  $\mathbf{a}_n \oplus \hat{\mathbf{a}}_n \neq \mathbf{0}$ , while  $e_n = 0$  if  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_n$  is identical to  $\mathbf{a}_n$ , or  $\mathbf{a}_n \oplus \hat{\mathbf{a}}_n = \mathbf{0}$ . Then, an error vector  $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_{N_d})$  can be created for all devices at each access trial. Note that the error vector  $\mathbf{e}$  includes miss detection and false alarm errors for activity detection. In addition, it also contains data detection errors for active devices. Finally, we evaluate the detection performance by averaging the error probability  $P_e = \frac{\|\mathbf{e}\|_1}{N_d}$ .

Fig. 1 sketches the error probability  $P_e$  of tested nonorthogonal signatures over the number of BS antennas by CD-ML in the non-coherent access scheme, where  $N_d = 200$ , J = 2, and Q = 4. In the figure, dotted and solid lines correspond to  $P_e$  of benchmark and proposed signatures, respectively. Fig. 1(a) reveals that if the number of active devices is K = 20, which is less than the sequence lengths, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures have little or no gain over random ones by CD-ML. Although the Sidelnikov and the trace based sequences show less  $P_e$  than others, it seems to be due to their larger sequence length. In contrast, Fig. 1(b) shows that if K = 40 > L, the proposed signatures achieve significant performance improvements over random ones by CD-ML using a massive number of BS antennas. All the proposed signatures outperform random ones by CD-ML, thereby saving at least 40 BS antennas to achieve a target error probability, e.g.,  $P_e = 10^{-4}$ , which demonstrates the superiority of proposed non-orthogonal signatures for joint activity and data detection in massive grant-free access.

In Fig. 2, we increase the total number of devices to  $N_d = 500$  in evaluating the error probability  $P_e$  of tested signature sequences by CD-ML, where J = 1 and Q = 2. Similar to Fig. 1, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures show no gain over random ones in Fig. 2(a), but Fig. 2(b) shows that for K > L, the proposed signatures outperform random ones apparently via CD-ML. Thus, the proposed signatures



Fig. 2. Probability of errors of tested signatures over the number of BS antennas by CD-ML, where  $N_d = 500, J = 1$ , and Q = 2. The number of active devices is (a) K = 20 < L and (b) K = 40 > L, respectively, where L = 23 for random, C-SIDCO, U-SIDCO, cubic, and PR based sequences, and L = 24 for Sidelnikov and trace based ones.



Fig. 3. Probability of errors of tested signatures over the number of BS antennas by MMV-AMP, where (a)  $N_d = 200, J = 2, Q = 4$  and (b)  $N_d = 500, J = 1, Q = 2$ , respectively. The number of active devices is K = 20 < L, where L = 23 for random, C-SIDCO, U-SIDCO, cubic, and PR based sequences, and L = 24 for Sidelnikov and trace based ones.

also save a number of BS antennas to achieve the target error probability  $P_e = 10^{-4}$ , when the number of devices is large.

Fig. 3 depicts the error probability  $P_e$  of tested sequences over the number of BS antennas by MMV-AMP, where (a)  $N_d = 200$ , J = 2, Q = 4 and (b)  $N_d = 500$ , J = 1, Q =2. In the figure, we set K = 20, since the performance of MMV-AMP is meaningful<sup>4</sup> for K < L. Fig. 3 shows that the proposed signatures outperform random ones by MMV-AMP, thereby saving a few BS antennas to achieve the target  $P_e = 10^{-4}$ . In comparison to Figs. 1(a) and 2(a), we observe that for K < L, the proposed signatures achieve more reliable performance of joint activity and data detection than random ones by MMV-AMP, which suggests that the impact of low coherence is more outstanding in MMV-AMP than in CD-ML.

Fig. 4 sketches the error probability  $P_e$  of tested sequences over the number of active devices, where  $N_d = 200, J =$ 2, and Q = 4. In Fig. 4(a), CD-ML has been deployed for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>When K > L, we observed that the proposed non-orthogonal signatures beat random ones, but the error probability of MMV-AMP flattens out quickly for all the signatures even if M continues to increase.



Fig. 4. Probability of errors of tested signatures over the number of active devices by (a) CD-ML and (b) MMV-AMP, where  $N_d = 200, J = 2$ , and Q = 4. The number of BS antennas is M = 192 for (a) CD-ML, and M = 10 for (b) MMV-AMP, respectively. The sequence lengths are L = 23 for random, C-SIDCO, U-SIDCO, cubic, and PR based sequences, while L = 24 for Sidelnikov and trace based ones.

K > L using a massive number of BS antennas, i.e., M = 192. Fig. 4(b) shows the performance of MMV-AMP for K < L, where M = 10. Fig. 4 confirms that the proposed nonorthogonal signatures are superior to random ones, achieving less  $P_e$  for K > L by CD-ML and for K < L by MMV-AMP, respectively. In each case, the proposed signatures are able to support more active devices than random ones by achieving the same error probability for massive grant-free access.

Figs. 1-4 show that the performance of the benchmark signatures from C-SIDCO and U-SIDCO is similar to that of the proposed non-orthogonal signatures. It is owing to the low coherence of the corresponding signature sequence matrices, which turned out to be lower than the coherence from the proposed signatures. However, the proposed signatures have advantages over the benchmarks in practical implementation, which will be discussed in next subsection.

# C. Discussion

The simulation results of this section demonstrate that the proposed non-orthogonal signatures outperform random ones in terms of joint activity and data detection for massive grant-free access. The superiority of proposed signatures is evident for K > L by CD-ML, and for K < L by MMV-AMP, respectively, where the coherence turns out to be a good indicator for detection performance. While Theorem 3 suggests that the ML estimation (4) can guarantee reliable activity and data detection for K = O(L) active devices, it is noteworthy that the proposed non-orthogonal signatures achieve accurate detection even for K > L via CD-ML with a massive number of BS antennas.

For joint activity and data detection, the simulation results confirm that CD-ML always outperforms MMV-AMP in terms of the probability of errors. Meanwhile, we observe that the running time of CD-ML is much longer than that of MMV-AMP, as witnessed by Fig. 11 of [32]. Thus, as long as K < L, MMV-AMP can be a good alternative to CD-ML for achieving reliable activity and data detection by consuming a few more BS antennas, but far less computation time. Further trade-off between detection performance and computation time may require the development of new algorithms. We believe that our deterministic non-orthogonal signatures will be superior to random ones for any type of new algorithms, as long as the low coherence is exploited effectively.

Further experimental results reveal that the proposed nonorthogonal signatures outperform random ones for various lengths, but the performance gain becomes more outstanding when the signature length is shorter. In particular, for given N and K, the proposed signatures of small L < K clearly outperform random ones for joint activity and data detection by CD-ML using a massive number of BS antennas. As a consequence, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures of short lengths will be promising for massive grant-free access with low signaling overhead.

In practical implementation, both mMTC devices and a BS receiver require storage space for random signature sequences. As constructed numerically by algorithms, the signatures from C-SIDCO and U-SIDCO also need to be stored at mMTC devices and a BS receiver, requiring a large amount of storage space, which will be a drawback in practical implementation. In contrast, the cubic based sequences can be generated onthe-fly. The PR, Sidelnikov, and trace based sequences can also be generated on-the-fly, only using their masking seeds stored in memory. For instance, random QPSK sequences, which require the smallest storage space among the benchmarks, need 2QL-bit memory for each mMTC device, while a BS needs to store the sequences of all devices with  $2QLN_d$ -bit memory. Meanwhile, the storage space for a seed of PR or Sidelnikov based sequences is  $L[\log_2 L]$  bits for an mMTC device and a BS, respectively. Thus, if  $\lceil \log_2 L \rceil < 2Q$ , an mMTC device requires less storage space for the deterministic sequence than for random QPSK. Moreover, the storage space of a BS is much less than that for random QPSK, since the signatures of all devices can be generated by the seed. Consequently, the proposed non-orthogonal signatures enjoy the benefit of small storage space for practical implementation, thanks to the systematic structure.

# VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied the problem of joint activity and data detection for massive grant-free access in mMTC. We first derived a sufficient condition for the ML estimation to solve the problem, which is represented by the coherence of the signature sequence matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ . While it is far from being necessary, the sufficient condition highlights the importance of low coherence in signature sequence design. In short, it is desirable to design non-orthogonal signatures such that the coherence of  $\mathbf{S}$  is as low as possible, which can guarantee reliable activity and data detection for more active devices via the ML estimation.

Then, we presented a design framework of deterministic non-orthogonal signature sequences. Under the framework, we constructed four sets of non-orthogonal sequences. This deterministic design produces  $\mathcal{O}(L^3)$  sequences of length L, where the coherence of **S** is theoretically bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}})$ . Simulation results demonstrated that the proposed non-orthogonal signatures show the outstanding performance of joint activity and data detection via CD-ML and MMV-AMP, respectively. In particular, the proposed signatures of short lengths, supported by CD-ML with a massive number of BS antennas, enable massive grant-free access with low signaling overhead. Thanks to the excellent performance and the low implementation cost, the non-orthogonal signatures based on deterministic design will be promising for massive grant-free access in mMTC.

# Appendix A

# **PROOF OF THEOREM 2**

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a support of  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . If  $|\mathcal{T}| = T \leq K$ , there may exist some  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{Z}^c$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathbf{0}$ , leading to  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \cap \mathcal{C}$  for the corresponding  $\mathcal{Z}$ , which violates the condition of Theorem 1. To avoid this, the support set size T should be greater than K for all  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

When T > K, all elements of  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  cannot be zero and at least one element of  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  must be negative to achieve the condition of Theorem 1. For  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , the number of nonzero elements of  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is  $|\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{Z}| = |\mathcal{T} \setminus (\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{Z}^c)| \ge T - K$  from  $|\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{Z}^c| \le K$ , where we denote  $T - K \triangleq \delta > 0$ . To have  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ with its support size  $T = K + \delta$ , every subset of  $K + \delta$ columns of  $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$  should be linearly independent, or equivalently<sup>5</sup> spark( $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ ) >  $K + \delta$ . Finally, if spark( $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ ) >  $K + \delta$ , at least one element of  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{Z}}$  is negative with probability exceeding  $1 - 2^{-\delta}$ under the assumption A1), which leads to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  with high probability for large  $\delta$ .

# APPENDIX B Proof of Theorem 3

To prove Theorem 3, we first study the coherence of  $\mathbf{S}$ .

*Lemma 1:* Let  $\mu(\mathbf{S})$  be the coherence of an arbitrary matrix **S**. Then, the coherence of  $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$  in (6) is given by

$$\mu(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}) = \mu^2(\mathbf{S}). \tag{15}$$

*Proof*: Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{S}} = [\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_1, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_N]$  from (6), where each column is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i = (\mathbf{s}_n^{(q)})^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_n^{(q)}, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, N$$
 (16)

with  $n = \lfloor \frac{i-1}{Q} \rfloor + 1$  and  $q = (i-1) \pmod{Q} + 1$ . In (16), we denote  $i \sim (n, q)$ . Then, the  $l_2$ -norm of  $\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i$  is

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \left|s_{n,l}^{(q)}\right|^{2} \cdot \left\|\mathbf{s}_{n}^{(q)}\right\|_{2}^{2}} = \left\|\mathbf{s}_{n}^{(q)}\right\|_{2}^{2}.$$
 (17)

Also, the inner product of a pair of distinct columns of  $\mathbf{S}$  is

$$\langle \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i_1}, \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i_2} \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{L} s_{n_1,l}^{(q_1)} \left( s_{n_2,l}^{(q_2)} \right)^* \cdot \left( \mathbf{s}_{n_1}^{(q_1)} \right)^* \mathbf{s}_{n_2}^{(q_2)}$$

$$= \left| \left\langle \mathbf{s}_{n_1}^{(q_1)}, \mathbf{s}_{n_2}^{(q_2)} \right\rangle \right|^2,$$
(18)

<sup>5</sup>While the spark condition is for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , it also holds for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  in (7).

10

where  $i_1 \sim (n_1, q_1)$  and  $i_2 \sim (n_2, q_2)$ . From (17) and (18), the coherence of  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  is

$$\begin{split} \mu(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}) &= \max_{1 \le i_1 \ne i_2 \le N} \frac{|\langle \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i_1}, \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i_2} \rangle|}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i_1}\|_2 \|\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{i_2}\|_2} \\ &= \max_{1 \le i_1 \ne i_2 \le N} \left( \frac{\left| \left\langle \mathbf{s}_{n_1}^{(q_1)}, \mathbf{s}_{n_2}^{(q_2)} \right\rangle \right|}{\left\| \mathbf{s}_{n_1}^{(q_1)} \right\|_2 \left\| \mathbf{s}_{n_2}^{(q_2)} \right\|_2} \right)^2 = \mu^2(\mathbf{S}), \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

Based on the result of Lemma 1, Theorem 3 can be proven as follows.

*Proof of Theorem 3*: By the Gersgorin's circle theorem [54], spark( $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ ) > 1 +  $\mu^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}})$  [9] for an arbitrary  $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ . Thus, the condition of Theorem 2 is met if  $1 + \mu^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}) > K + \delta$ , which is equivalent to (8) from  $\mu(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}) = \mu^2(\mathbf{S})$ .

# APPENDIX C Proof of Theorem 4

To derive an upper bound on the coherence of S, we compute the bound of  $\Phi$  instead, since  $\mu(S) \leq \mu(\Phi)$  due to  $S \subset \Phi$ . In (10), we define

$$\mathbf{G}_{b_1,b_2} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{b_1}^* \mathbf{\Phi}_{b_2} = \mathbf{F}_L^* \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{v}_{b_1}^* \odot \mathbf{v}_{b_2}) \mathbf{F}_L, \quad (19)$$

where  $1 \le b_1, b_2 \le B$ . Case 1)  $b_1 = b_2 = b$ : In this case, (19) yields

$$\mathbf{G}_{b,b} = \mathbf{I},\tag{20}$$

which clearly shows that the inner product of a pair of distinct columns of  $\Phi_b$  is  $\mu_1 = 0$  for any  $b = 1, \dots, B$ .

*Case 2*)  $b_1 \neq b_2$ : In this case, each element of  $\mathbf{G}_{b_1,b_2}$  in (19) is given by

$$\mathbf{G}_{b_1,b_2}(r,c) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} v_{b_1}^*(k) v_{b_2}(k) e^{\frac{j2\pi(r-c)k}{L}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} w_d(k) e^{\frac{j2\pi(r-c)k}{L}},$$

where  $0 \leq r, c \leq L-1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_d = \mathbf{v}_{b_1}^* \odot \mathbf{v}_{b_2}$ . From  $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \mathbf{F}_L^* \mathbf{w}_d$ , we have  $\widehat{w}_d(l) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} w_d(k) e^{\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}}$ . Then, it is obvious that  $\max_{b_1, b_2, r, c} |\mathbf{G}_{b_1, b_2}(r, c)| = \max_{d, l} |\widehat{w}_d(l)|$  for  $d = 1, \cdots, D$  and  $l = 0, \cdots, L-1$ . In Case 1), (20) implies that each column of  $\Phi$  has unit norm, i.e.,  $\|\boldsymbol{\phi}_n\|_2 = 1$  for  $n = 1, \cdots, N_s$ . Thus, the coherence of  $\Phi$  in Case 2) is

$$\mu_2 = \max_{b_1, b_2, r, c} |\mathbf{G}_{b_1, b_2}(r, c)| = \max_{1 \le d \le D} \max_{0 \le l \le L-1} |\widehat{w}_d(l)|.$$

From Cases 1) and 2),  $\mu(\Phi) = \max(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \mu_2$ . Since  $\mathbf{S} \subset \Phi$ , we have  $\mu(\mathbf{S}) \leq \mu(\Phi) = \mu_2$ , which completes the proof.

# APPENDIX D CHARACTER SUMS AND THEIR BOUNDS

Before presenting the proofs of Theorems 6-8, we introduce the basic concepts of characters, character sums, and their bounds, which will be the background of the proofs. Let  $q = p^m$  for prime p and a positive integer m. For  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , an *additive* character [39] of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is defined by

$$\chi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi \operatorname{Tr}(ax)}{p}\right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{F}_q,$$

where  $\chi(x+y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $\chi(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\chi$  is a trivial character.

Let *H* be a positive integer that divides q - 1. For  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{H}^{+}$ , a *multiplicative* character [39] of  $\mathbb{F}_{q}$  of order *H* is defined by  $\psi(0) = 0$  and

$$\psi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi b \log_{\alpha} x}{H}\right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*,$$

where  $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . If  $\psi(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $\psi$  is a trivial character. For simplicity, we assume a = 1 and b = 1 for additive and multiplicative characters, respectively.

Assuming  $\psi(0) = 1$ , Facts 1 and 2 give useful bounds [45] on character sums. In the following,  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  denotes the algebraic closure of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is a polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The degree of a polynomial f(x) is denoted as deg(f).

Fact 1: [45] Let  $\chi$  be a nontrivial additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ and  $\psi$  be a nontrivial multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of order H, respectively, where  $\psi(0) = 1$ . For  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  with  $\deg(f(x)) = r$  and  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , where  $g(x) \neq c \cdot h^H(x)$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , let s and e be the numbers of distinct roots of g(x) in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , respectively. Then,

$$\left|\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi(f(x))\psi(g(x))\right| \le (r+s-1)\sqrt{q}+e.$$
(21)

For the summation over  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(f(x))\psi(g(x))\right| \le (r+s-1)\sqrt{q}+e+1.$$
(22)

Fact 2: [45] Let  $\psi$  be a nontrivial multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of order H, where  $\psi(0) = 1$ . For  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , where  $g(x) \neq c \cdot h^H(x)$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , let sand e be the numbers of distinct roots of g(x) in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , respectively. Then,

$$\left|\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\psi(g(x))\right| \le (s-1)\sqrt{q} + e + 1,\tag{23}$$

For more details on character sums and their bounds, readers are referred to [39], [45].

We are now ready to present the proofs of Theorems 6-8 using Facts 1 and 2. In Appendices E-G, the proofs are based on the DFT spectra analysis of [45].

# APPENDIX E Proof of Theorem 6

In Definition 2, each PR masking sequence is represented by  $v_b(k) = \psi(g_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x))$ , where  $\psi$  is a multiplicative character of order H and  $g_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x) = (x + \lambda_1)^{\lambda_2}$  at  $x = k \in \mathbb{F}_L$ . For a pair of distinct masks  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ , let  $v_b(k) = \psi(g_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x))$ 

and  $v_{b'}(k) = \psi(g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x))$ . Then,  $w_d(k) = v_b^*(k)v_{b'}(k)$  is represented by

$$w_d(k) = \psi\left(g_{\lambda_1, H-\lambda_2}(x)g_{\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2}(x)\right) = \psi\left(g(x)\right),$$

where  $g_{\lambda_1,H-\lambda_2}(x) = (x+\lambda_1)^{H-\lambda_2}$ ,  $g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x) = (x+\lambda'_1)^{\lambda'_2}$ , and  $g(x) = g_{\lambda_1,H-\lambda_2}(x)g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x)$ , respectively.

Since  $\operatorname{Tr}(x) = x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_L$ , we have  $\exp\left(\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}\right) = \chi(lx)$ at  $x = k \in \mathbb{F}_L$ , where  $\chi$  is an additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_L$  and f(x) = lx has the degree of r = 1. Thus,

$$\widehat{w}_d(l) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} w_d(k) e^{\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_L} \chi(lx) \psi(g(x)) \,.$$

If the number of signatures in **S** is at most (H - 1)L, i.e.,  $N = N_d Q \leq (H - 1)L$ , it means that  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ are from a subset of  $\mathcal{V}_P$  containing the first H - 1 masking sequences, i.e.,  $b, b' \leq H - 1$ , which yields  $\lambda_1 = \lambda'_1 = 0$ . Then,  $g(x) = x^{H - \lambda_2 + \lambda'_2}$  has s = 1 and e = 1 roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_L$ and  $\mathbb{F}_L$ , respectively, where  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda'_2$  for distinct masks  $\mathbf{v}_b$ and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ . Thus, for any d and l, (21) of Fact 1 yields

$$\left|\widehat{w}_{d}(l)\right| = \frac{1}{L} \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{L}} \chi\left(lx\right) \psi\left(g(x)\right) \right| \le \frac{\sqrt{L} + 1}{L}.$$
 (24)

Meanwhile, if  $N_d Q > (H-1)L$ ,  $g(x) = (x + \lambda_1)^{H-\lambda_2}(x + \lambda_1')^{\lambda_2'}$  has s = 2 and e = 2 roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_L$  and  $\mathbb{F}_L$ , respectively. Then, (21) of Fact 1 gives

$$|\widehat{w}_d(l)| \le \frac{2\sqrt{L}+2}{L}.$$
(25)

From (24) and (25), (11) yields the coherence bound of S.  $\Box$ 

# APPENDIX F Proof of Theorem 7

In Definition 3, each Sidelnikov sequence is represented by  $v_b(k) = \psi(g_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x))$ , where  $\psi$  is a multiplicative character of order L,  $g_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x) = (1 + \alpha^{\lambda_1} x)^{\rho\lambda_2}$  at  $x = \alpha^k \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ , and  $\rho = \frac{L}{H}$  is a positive integer. For a pair of distinct masks  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ , let  $v_b(k) = \psi(g_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x))$  and  $v_{b'}(k) = \psi(g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x))$ . Then,  $w_d(k) = v_b^*(k)v_{b'}(k) = \psi(\bar{g}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x)g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x))$ , where  $\bar{g}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x) = (1 + \alpha^{\lambda_1} x)^{L-\rho\lambda_2}$  and  $g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x) = (1 + \alpha^{\lambda'_1} x)^{\rho\lambda'_2}$ . Letting  $\exp\left(\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}\right) = \psi(x^l)$  with  $x = \alpha^k \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ ,

$$\widehat{w}_d(l) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} w_d(k) e^{\frac{j2\pi k l}{L}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*} \psi\left(g(x)\right),$$

where  $g(x) = \overline{g}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x)g_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x) \cdot x^l$ .

If  $N = N_d Q \leq (H-1)\hat{L}$ , it means that  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$  are from a subset of  $\mathcal{V}_S$  containing the first H-1 masking sequences, i.e.,  $b, b' \leq H-1$ , which yields  $\lambda_1 = \lambda'_1 = 0$ . Then,  $g(x) = (1+x)^{L-\rho\lambda_2+\rho\lambda'_2}x^l$  has at most s = 2 and e = 2 roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p^m}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , respectively, where  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda'_2$  for distinct masks  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ . Thus, for any d and l, (23) of Fact 2 yields

$$\left|\widehat{w}_d(l)\right| \le \frac{1}{L} \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*} \psi\left(g(x)\right) \right| \le \frac{\sqrt{L+1}+3}{L}.$$
 (26)

Meanwhile, if  $N_d Q > (H-1)L$ ,  $q(x) = (1+\alpha^{\lambda_1}x)^{L-\rho\lambda_2}(1+\alpha^{\lambda_1}x)^{L-\rho\lambda_2}$  $(\alpha^{\lambda'_1}x)^{\rho\lambda'_2}x^l$  has at most s=3 and e=3 roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{n^m}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , respectively. Therefore, (23) of Fact 2 yields

$$|\widehat{w}_d(l)| \le \frac{2\sqrt{L+1}+4}{L}.$$
 (27)

From (26) and (27), the proof is completed by (11).

# APPENDIX G **PROOF OF THEOREM 8**

In Definition 4, each trace masking sequence is represented by additive characters in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , i.e.,  $v_b(k) = \chi(f_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x))$  at  $x = \alpha^k \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ , where  $f_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x) = \alpha^{\lambda_2}x + \theta \alpha^{2\lambda_2}x^2$ . For a pair of distinct masks  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ , let  $v_b(k) = \chi(f_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x))$ and  $v_{b'}(k) = \chi(f_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x))$ . Then,  $w_d(k) = v_b^*(k)v_{b'}(k) =$  $\chi\left(-f_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x)+f_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x)\right)$ . With  $\exp\left(\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}\right) = \psi(x^l)$  at  $x = \alpha^k \in \mathbb{F}_{n^m}^*,$ 

$$\widehat{w}_d(l) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} w_d(k) e^{\frac{j2\pi kl}{L}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{pm}^*} \chi(f(x)) \, \psi(x^l),$$

where  $f(x) = -f_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(x) + f_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2}(x)$ . If  $N = N_d Q \leq L^2$ , it means  $b, b' \leq L$ , or  $\theta = \theta' = 0$ from Definition 4, where  $f(x) = (\alpha^{\lambda'_2} - \alpha^{\lambda_2})x$  has the degree r = 1 from  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda'_2$  for distinct masks  $\mathbf{v}_b$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{b'}$ . Also,  $x^l$  has s = 1 and e = 1 roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p^m}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , respectively. Thus, (22) of Fact 1 yields

$$|\widehat{w}_{d}(l)| = \frac{1}{L} \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}^{*}} \chi(f(x)) \psi(x^{l}) \right| \le \frac{\sqrt{L+1}+2}{L}.$$
 (28)

Meanwhile, if  $N_d Q > L^2$ ,  $f(x) = (\alpha^{\lambda'_2} - \alpha^{\lambda_2})x + (\theta' \alpha^{2\lambda'_2} - \alpha^{\lambda_2})x + (\theta' \alpha^{\lambda_2} - \alpha^{\lambda_2})x + (\theta'$  $\theta \alpha^{2\lambda_2} x^2$  has the degree of at most r = 2, and  $x^l$  has s = 1and e = 1 roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p^m}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , respectively. Thus, (22) of Fact 1 gives

$$|\widehat{w}_d(l)| \le \frac{2\sqrt{L+1}+2}{L}.$$
(29)

Finally, (28) and (29) complete the proof with (11).

# APPENDIX H APPROXIMATE MESSAGE PASSING (AMP)-BASED **ESTIMATION**

For joint activity and data detection, we describe here a different problem setting of estimating the sparse device activities and the channel realizations jointly using the AMP-based algorithm. Note that the covariance-based ML estimation of Section II.B estimates the device activities and the channel statistics only.

By defining a channel matrix  $\mathbf{X} = \Gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}$ , one can translate (3) into

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{W}.$$
 (30)

Given Y and S, we tackle the *multiple measurement vector* (MMV) problem (30) to find the row-wise sparse X for jointly estimating the device activities and the channel realizations. An important class of algorithms for solving this problem

is called the approximate message passing (AMP) [25]-[27], which aims to find the minimum mean squared error (MMSE) estimate of X by performing low-complexity message passing over a bipartite graph. With a sufficiently large number of BS antennas, it is shown in [4], [5] that the AMP-based algorithm can estimate X with  $K = \mathcal{O}(L)$  active devices reliably for randomly generated S. Although the theoretical result is derived in an asymptotic regime, numerical results reveal that the channel matrix can be estimated accurately by the AMP-based algorithm for finite N, K, and L.

In this paper, we use the MMV-AMP algorithm<sup>6</sup> proposed in [47] to estimate  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}$ . While it resorts to the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm to estimate the hyperparameters, we assume here that the parameters are known with the prior knowledge of activity rate, noise variance, and large-scale fading component. When the MMV-AMP returns  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}} = [\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_1^T, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_N^T]^T$  as an estimate of the channel matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ , we obtain  $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_n = [\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{(n-1)Q+1}^T, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{nQ}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{Q \times M}$  for n =1,...,  $N_d$ . Denoting it by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_n = [(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^{(1)})^T, \cdots, (\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^{(Q)})^T]^T$ , we have  $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^{(q)} = \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_i$  with  $n = \lfloor \frac{i-1}{Q} \rfloor + 1$  and q = (i-1)(mod Q)+1 for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Note that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^{(q)}$  is the estimated channel realization across M antennas corresponding to the signature  $\mathbf{s}_n^{(q)}$  in (1). For each *n*, we compute

$$\xi_n^{\text{AMP}} = \max_{q=1,\cdots,Q} \frac{\|\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^{(q)}\|_2^2}{M}, \qquad \widehat{q}_n = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{q=1,\cdots,Q} \frac{\|\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^{(q)}\|_2^2}{M}.$$

Finally, an estimated indicator vector  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_n = (\widehat{a}_n^{(1)}, \cdots, \widehat{a}_n^{(Q)})^T$  for device n is obtained by  $\widehat{a}_n^{(q)} = 0$  if  $q \neq \widehat{q}_n$ , and

$$\widehat{a}_{n}^{(\widehat{q}_{n})} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi_{n}^{\text{AMP}} \ge \xi_{\text{th}}^{\text{AMP}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we set  $\xi_{\text{th}}^{\text{AMP}} = 0.25$  as a threshold for device activity.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bockelmann, N. Pratas, H. Nikopour, K. Au, T. Svensson, C Stefanovic, P. Popovski, and A. Dekorsy, "Massive machine-type communications in 5G: Physical and MAC-layer solutions," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 59-65, Sep. 2016.
- [2] A. C. Cirik, N. M. Balasubramanya, L. Lampe, G. Vos, and S. Bennett, "Toward the standardization of grant-free operation and the associated NOMA strategies in 3GPP," IEEE Communications Standards Magazine, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 60-66, Dec. 2019.
- [3] L. Liu, E. G. Larsson, W. Yu, P. Popovski, C. Stefanovic and E. de Carvalho, "Sparse signal processing for grant-free massive connectivity: A future paradigm for random access protocols in the internet of things," IEEE Signal Process. Mag., vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 88-99, Sept. 2018.
- [4] L. Liu and W. Yu, "Massive connectivity with massive MIMO part I: Device activity detection and channel estimation," IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 2933-2946, June 2018.
- L. Liu and W. Yu, "Massive connectivity with massive MIMO part [5] II: Achievable rate characterization," IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 2947-2959, June 2018.
- [6] K. Senel and E. G. Larsson, "Grant-free massive MTC-enabled massive MIMO: A compressive sensing approach," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 6164-6175, Dec. 2018.
- [7] S. Jiang, X. Yuan, X. Wang, C. Xu, and W. Yu, "Joint user identification, channel estimation, and signal detection for grant-free NOMA," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 6960-6976, Oct. 2020.

<sup>6</sup>We used the code from https://github.com/gaozhen16/Source-Code-M.Ke/ blob/main/code\_globalsip2018/mmv\_amp.m.

- [8] Y. Cui, S. Li, and W. Zhang, "Jointly sparse signal recovery and support recovery via deep learning with applications in MIMO-based grant-free random access," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 788-803, Mar. 2021.
- [9] Y. C. Eldar and G. Kutyniok, Compressed Sensing Theory and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [10] B. Wang, L. Dai, T. Mir, and Z. Wang, "Joint user activity and data detection based on structured compressive sensing for NOMA," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1473-1476, July 2016.
- [11] C. Wei, H. Liu, Z. Zhang, J. Dang, and L. Wu, "Approximate message passing-based joint user activity and data detection for NOMA," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 640-643, Mar. 2017.
- [12] Y. Du *et al.*, "Efficient multi-user detection for uplink grant-free NOMA: Prior-information aided adaptive compressive sensing perspective," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 2812-2828, Dec. 2017.
- [13] A. Cirik, N. M. Balasubramanya, and L. Lampe, "Multi-user detection using ADMM-based compressive sensing for uplink grant-free NOMA," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 46-49, Feb. 2018.
- [14] Y. Du, B. Dong, W. Zhu, P. Gao, Z. Chen, X. Wang, and J. Fang, "Joint channel estimation and multiuser detection for uplink grant-free NOMA," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 682-685, Aug. 2018.
- [15] Y. Du, C. Cheng, B. Dong, Z. Chen, X. Wang, J. Fang, and S. Li, "Block-sparsity-based multiuser detection for uplink grant-free NOMA," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 7894-7909, Dec. 2018.
- [16] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, and W. Yu, "Multi-cell sparse activity detection for massive random access: Massive MIMO versus cooperative MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 4060-4074, Aug. 2019.
- [17] X. Shao, X. Chen and R. Jia, "A dimension reduction-based joint activity detection and channel estimation algorithm for massive access," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 420-435, 2020.
- [18] M. Ke, Z. Gao, Y. Wu, X. Gao, and R. Schober, "Compressive sensingbased adaptive active user detection and channel estimation: Massive access meets massive MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Signal. Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 764-779, 2020.
- [19] T. Hara, H. Iimori, and K. Ishibashi, "Hyperparameter-free receiver for grant-free NOMA systems with MIMO-OFDM," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 810-814, Apr. 2021.
- [20] Y. Mei, Z. Gao, Y. Wu, W. Chen, J. Zhang, D. W. K. Ng, and D. Di Renzo, "Compressive sensing-based joint activity and data detection for grant-free massive IoT access," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1851-1869, Mar. 2022.
- [21] Y. Zhu, G. Sun, W. Wang, L. You, F. Wei, L. Wang, and Y. Chen, "OFDM-based massive grant-free transmission over frequencyselective fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 70, no. 7, July 2022.
- [22] W. Jiang, M. Yue, X. Yuan, and Y. Zuo, "Massive connectivity over MIMO-OFDM: Joint activity detection and channel estimation with frequency selectivity compensation," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 9, Sep. 2022.
- [23] J. A. Tropp, A. C. Gilbert, and M. J. Strauss, "Algorithms for simultaneous sparse approximation. Part I: Greedy pursuit," *Signal Process.*, vol. 86, pp. 572-588, Apr. 2006.
- [24] W. Dai and O. Milenkovic, "Subspace pursuit for compressive sensing signal reconstruction," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 2230–2249, May 2009.
- [25] D. L. Donoho, A. Maleki, and A. Montanari, "Message passing algorithms for compressed sensing: I. Motivation and construction," *Proc. IEEE Inf. Theory Workshop (ITW)*, Jan. 2010, pp. 1–5.
- [26] J. Kim, W. Chang, B. Jung, D. Baron, and J. C. Ye, "Belief propagation for joint sparse recovery," arXiv:1102.3289v1 [cs.IT], Feb. 2011.
- [27] J. Ziniel and P. Schniter, "Efficient high-dimensional inference in the multiple measurement vector problem," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 340-354, Jan. 2013.
- [28] D. Wipf and B. Rao, "An empirical Bayesian strategy for solving the simultaneous sparse approximation problem," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 55, no. 7, Part 2, pp. 3704–3716, 2007.
- [29] A. Fengler, S. Haghighatshoar, P. Jung, and G. Caire, "Non-Bayesian activity detection, large-scale fading coefficient estimation, and unsourced random access with a massive MIMO receiver," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp.2925–2951, May 2021.
- [30] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, Y.-F. Liu, and W. Yu, "Covariance based joint activity and data detection for massive random access with massive

MIMO," Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC), Shanghai, China, May 2019.

- [31] W. Jiang, Y. Jia, and Y. Cui, "Statistical device activity detection for OFDM-based massive grant-free access," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, Early Access, 2022.
- [32] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, Y-.F. Liu, and W. Yu, "Phase transition analysis for covariance-based massive random access with massive MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 1696–1715, Mar. 2022.
- [33] Z. Yuan, G. Yu, W. Li, Y. Yuan, X. Wang, and J. Xu, "Multi-user shared access for Internet of Things," *IEEE 83rd Veh. Technol. Conf.* (*VTC Spring*), pp. 1-5, China, May 15-18, 2016.
- [34] S. M. Hasan, K. Mahata, and M. M. Hyder, "Uplink grant-free NOMA with sinusoidal spreading sequences," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 3757-3770, June 2021.
- [35] N. Y. Yu, "Binary Golay spreading sequences and Reed-Muller codes for uplink grant-free NOMA," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 276-290, Jan. 2021.
- [36] N. Y. Yu, "Non-orthogonal Golay-based spreading sequences for uplink grant-free access," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 2104-2108, Oct. 2020.
- [37] C. Chu, "Polyphase codes with good periodic correlation properties," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 531-532, Jul. 1972.
- [38] 3GPP TS 36.211 V13.1.0, Physical Channel and Modulation, Mar. 2016.
- [39] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, in *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [40] W. O. Alltop, "Complex sequences with low periodic correlations," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol.IT 26, no. 3, pp. 350-354, May 1980.
- [41] Z. Ye, Z. Zhou, P. Fan, Z. Liu, X. Lei, and X. Tang, "Low ambiguity zone: Theoretical bounds and Doppler-resilient sequence design in integrated sensing and communication systems," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1809-1822, June 2022.
- [42] V. M. Sidelnikov, "On mutual correlation of sequences," *Soviet Math. Dokl*, vol. 12, pp. 197-201, 1971.
- [43] T. Helleseth and P. V. Kumar, Sequences With Low Correlation. A chapter in Handbook of Coding Theory. Edited by V. Pless and C. Huffman. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1998.
- [44] V. M. Sidelnikov, "Some k-valued pseudo-random sequences and nearly equidistant codes," *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, vol. 5, pp. 12-16, 1969.
- [45] Z. Wang, G. Gong, and N. Y. Yu, "New polyphase sequence families with low correlation derived from the Weil bound of exponential sums," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 3990-3998, June 2013.
- [46] L. R. Welch, "Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-20, no. 3, pp. 397-399, May 1974.
- [47] M. Ke, Z. Gao, Y. Wu, and X. Meng, "Compressive massive random access for massive machine-type communications (mMTC)," *Proc. IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inform. Process. (GlobalSIP)*, Anaheim, USA, Nov. 2018, pp. 156-161.
- [48] U. Raza, P. Kulkarni and M. Sooriyabandara, "Low power wide area networks: An overview," *IEEE Commun. Surveys & Tuts.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 855-873, Secondquarter 2017.
- [49] R. Ratasuk, N. Mangalvedhe, Y. Zhang, M. Robert and J.-P. Koskinen, "Overview of narrowband IoT in LTE Rel-13," *IEEE Conference* on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN), Berlin, Germany, 2016, pp. 1-7.
- [50] H. Iimori, T. Takahashi, K. Ishibashi, G. T. F. de Abreu, and W. Yu, "Grant-free access via bilinear inference for cell-free MIMO with lowcoherence pilots," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 7694-7710, Nov. 2021.
- [51] C. Rusu, N. González-Prelcic, and R. W. Heath, Jr., "Algorithm for the construction of incoherent frames under various design constraints," *Signal Process.*, vol. 152, pp. 363-372, 2018.
- [52] S. W. Golomb and G. Gong, Signal Design for Good Correlation for Wireless Communication, Cryptography, and Radar, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [53] N. Y. Yu, K. Lee, and J. Choi, "Pilot signal design for compressive sensing based random access in machine-type communications," *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Mar. 19-22, 2017.
- [54] M. Tsatsomeros, *Matrix Equalities and Inequalities*, A chapter in *Handbook of Linear Algebra*, Edited by L. Hogben, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, 2014.