

Towards Urban General Intelligence: A Review and Outlook of Urban Foundation Models

Weijia Zhang, Jindong Han, Zhao Xu, Hang Ni, Hao Liu, *Senior Member, IEEE* and Hui Xiong, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract— Machine learning techniques are now integral to the advancement of intelligent urban services, playing a crucial role in elevating the efficiency, sustainability, and livability of urban environments. The recent emergence of foundation models such as ChatGPT marks a revolutionary shift in the fields of machine learning and artificial intelligence. Their unparalleled capabilities in contextual understanding, problem solving, and adaptability across a wide range of tasks suggest that integrating these models into urban domains could have a transformative impact on the development of smart cities. Despite growing interest in Urban Foundation Models (UFMs), this burgeoning field faces challenges such as a lack of clear definitions, systematic reviews, and universalizable solutions. To this end, this paper first introduces the concept of UFM and discusses the unique challenges involved in building them. We then propose a data-centric taxonomy that categorizes current UFM-related works, based on urban data modalities and types. Furthermore, to foster advancement in this field, we present a promising framework aimed at the prospective realization of UFMs, designed to overcome the identified challenges. Additionally, we explore the application landscape of UFMs, detailing their potential impact in various urban contexts. Relevant papers and open-source resources have been collated and are continuously updated at: <https://github.com/usailhkust/Awesome-Urban-Foundation-Models>.

I. INTRODUCTION

Urban General Intelligence (UGI) refers to a conceptualized advanced form of artificial intelligence tailored to understand, interpret, and adeptly manage complex urban systems and environments. Analogous to Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), UGI is envisioned to autonomously perform any intellectual task related to urban contexts, rivaling or even surpassing human capabilities, thereby transforming cities into more livable, resilient, and adaptive spaces.

Machine learning technologies have become pivotal in transforming urban landscapes, underpinning the development of various smart city services. These technologies enhance urban intelligence by enabling more efficient resource allocation,

Weijia Zhang, Zhao Xu, and Hang Ni are with the Artificial Intelligence Thrust, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), Guangzhou, PRC. E-mail: {wzhang411,zxu674,hni017}@connect.hkust-gz.edu.cn

Jindong Han is with the Academy of Interdisciplinary Studies, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, PRC. E-mail: jhanao@connect.ust.hk

Hao Liu and Hui Xiong are with the Artificial Intelligence Thrust, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), Guangzhou, PRC and the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, PRC. E-mail: {liuh,xionghui}@ust.hk

improved public services, and elevated quality of life for city dwellers [1]. Through analyzing vast datasets from diverse sources such as satellites, urban sensors, and social media platforms, machine learning algorithms identify intricate urban patterns and facilitate accurate forecasting of city dynamics. Such analytical power proves essential in boosting urban operational efficiencies, such as smart energy management, traffic flow optimization, and environmental monitoring, all contributing to more sustainable and livable cities [2], [3]. Furthermore, the integration of machine learning algorithms also enhances real-time responsiveness in critical scenarios like emergency services and public safety, thereby redefining urban environments as more adaptive, responsive, and intelligent systems.

The recent advent of foundation models, including Large Language Models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT¹ and Vision Foundation Models (VFM), has significantly reshaped the research landscape in machine learning and artificial intelligence [4]. These models are characterized by their extensive pre-training on large-scale datasets, which imbues them with unparalleled emergent abilities, including contextual reasoning, complex problem solving, and zero-shot adaptability across diverse tasks [5], [6]. Such abilities make foundation models particularly suitable for interacting with dynamic and multifaceted urban environments, leading towards more integrated, intelligent, and responsive urban systems.

Urban Foundation Models (UFMs), as depicted in Figure 1, represent to a novel family of models pre-trained on extensive, diverse urban data sources, encompassing multiple data granularity and modalities. These models exhibit a deep understanding of various urban data types and remarkable adaptability to a wide array of urban tasks [7], significantly contributing towards the ultimate realization of Urban General Intelligence (UGI). By integrating and interpreting diverse urban data types, UFMs can offer comprehensive insights, uncover intricate spatiotemporal patterns, and enhance decision-making across various urban tasks. For instance, in the transportation sector, UFMs can analyze a combination of human instructions, scene imagery, traffic sensor data, and GPS trajectories to optimize traffic efficiency and safety [8]–[10]. In urban planning, UFMs can collectively utilize demographic, land use, and environmental data to provide crucial insights for sustainable city development [11], [12].

Despite the burgeoning interest and potential of UFMs, the field faces several challenges, including the absence of clear definitions, the lack of systematic reviews of existing

¹<https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/>




Fig. 1: Urban Foundation Models (UFMs) are pre-trained on multi-source, multi-granularity and multimodal urban data and can be adapted for a variety of downstream applications.

literature, and the need for universally applicable solutions [7], [8], [13]–[16]. This paper addresses these gaps by presenting a comprehensive survey on UFMs. We start by defining UFMs and discussing their unique challenges. Building upon this, we propose a data-centric taxonomy designed to categorize and encapsulate existing research on UFMs. This taxonomy, rooted in the diverse modalities and types of urban data, aims to highlight the progress and concerted efforts made in this emerging domain. Subsequently, we introduce a new framework for constructing UFMs, which is strategically developed to tackle the identified challenges and is versatile enough to be generalized across various tasks and dynamic urban environments. In addition, we also explore the application landscape of UFMs, detailing how they can be applied to enhance different aspects of urban intelligence.

The major contributions of this paper are as follows:

- We present the first comprehensive and systematic review of Urban Foundation Models, offering a foundational perspective on this evolving field.
- This work introduces the concept of UFMs and delves into the specific challenges associated with their development, shedding light on unexplored aspects.
- We introduce a data-centric taxonomy for UFMs, which categorizes and clarifies existing research based on urban data characteristics, aiding in the understanding of the field’s current state and future directions.
- A novel framework for the development of future UFMs is proposed, highlighting its potential for generalization across diverse urban tasks and dynamic environments.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce basic definitions and concepts of UFMs and highlight the unique challenges involved in building them. Section III delves into existing studies on UFMs, categorized into language-based models, vision-based models, trajectory-based models, time series-based models, multimodal models, and others. Section IV presents a prospective framework for building a general UFM. Section V discusses UFMs’ promising applications in different urban domains. Finally, we conclude this paper in Section VI.

II. BASICS OF URBAN FOUNDATION MODELS

A. Concepts of Urban Foundation Models

Definition 1 (Urban Foundation Models). *Urban Foundation Models (UFMs) are large-scale models pre-trained on vast multi-source, multi-granularity, and multimodal urban data. It benefits significantly from its pre-training phase, exhibiting emergent capabilities and remarkable adaptability to a broader range of multiple downstream tasks and domains in urban contexts.*

1) *Data Characteristics:* Urban Foundation Models (UFMs) are distinguished by their ability to process and analyze a vast array of data types, each contributing uniquely to the comprehensive understanding of urban dynamics. The data characteristics of UFMs, including multi-source, multi-granularity, and multi-modal aspects, are essential for capturing the complexities of urban environments. The following sections detail these key data characteristics.

- **Multi-source.** UFMs integrate data from diverse urban sources including urban text, sensor networks, social media, and satellite imagery, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of urban environments.

- **Multi-granularity.** UFMs handle data at different levels of granularity. At a macro level, they process city-wide patterns like traffic flow or population movement. At a micro level, they focus on specific neighborhoods or streets, analyzing local trends and behaviors.

- **Multi-modal.** UFMs excel in integrating diverse data types such as textual, visual, and sensor-based inputs, enabling them to capture urban complexities more effectively than single-data-type models.

2) *Definition of Data Types:* Below are definitions and introductions to the major data types in urban contexts.

- **Visual data.** This refers to any visual information captured in image or video format, including street view images, satellite imagery, and urban surveillance footage. Formally, an image can be represented as a 3-dimensional matrix $I(x, y, c)$ where x and y represent the spatial dimensions (width and height) of the image, and c represents the color channels. Each entry in the matrix corresponds to a pixel value. For color images,

this extends to a 3D matrix to include color channels, typically RGB (Red, Green, Blue).

- **Textual data.** This refers to data that is composed of written language. Examples include social media posts, geo-text data, and documents relevant to urban environments. Formally, textual data can be represented as a sequence of tokens $T = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ where each token t_i corresponds to a word or character in the text. These tokens are often transformed into numerical representations (like word embeddings) for processing in UFM.

- **Geo-sensory data.** This refers to data collected from physical geospatial sensors in an urban environment. This includes GPS sensors, traffic sensors, weather stations, and other IoT devices. Formally, sensor data can be represented as a time-series collection $S(t) = \{(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2), \dots, (s_n, t_n)\}$ where each data point s_i at time t_i corresponds to a sensor reading. These readings can vary in nature (e.g., GPS positions, traffic volumes, and air quality indices) depending on the sensor type.

3) **Key Techniques of Constructing Urban Foundation Models:** UFM, similar to foundation models in other fields, primarily rely on two key techniques, *i.e.*, pre-training and adaptation.

- **Pre-training.** UFM typically undergo pre-training on extensive, varied datasets comprising geo-text data, social media content, street view images, trajectories, spatiotemporal time series data, point-of-interests, *etc.* The primary goal of pre-training is to enable these models to obtain as much general knowledge and patterns as possible, thereby capturing the overarching characteristics and structures inherent in the data. Pre-training methods for UFM are principally divided into four categories: supervised pre-training, generative pre-training, contrastive pre-training, and hybrid pre-training [17]:

(1) **Supervised pre-training.** This method involves training models on datasets with a substantial volume of labeled data, pairing an input with its corresponding output. This approach allows models to learn the relationship between inputs and outputs in a supervised manner. Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$ is the training dataset, where x_i represents the i -th sample and y_i is the corresponding label. Here is an example of the most commonly used cross-entropy loss function [18]:

$$L_{sup} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C y_{ic} \log(\hat{y}_{ic}), \quad (1)$$

where L_{sup} is the supervised loss function, N is the number of samples, C is the number of classes, y_{ic} is a binary indicator (0 or 1) if class label c is the correct classification for observation i , \hat{y}_{ic} is the predicted probability observation i is of class c .

(2) **Generative pre-training.** This approach trains models to generate or reconstruct input data in the absence of labeled data. It necessitates the model's ability to autonomously discern patterns and regularities, thereby producing outputs that closely mimic real data. Here is an example of loss function in generative pre-training called Negative Log-Likelihood (NLL) loss [19]:

$$L_{gen} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \log P(x_i | x_{<i}, \theta), \quad (2)$$

where L_{gen} is the generative loss function, N is the number of tokens in the sequence, x_i represents the i -th token, $x_{<i}$ represents all tokens before the i -th token, $P(x_i | x_{<i}, \theta)$ is the probability assigned by the model to the correct token x_i , given the preceding tokens $x_{<i}$ and the model parameters θ .

(3) **Contrastive pre-training.** This method trains models to distinguish between data instances and learn robust data representations by evaluating similarities and differences among data samples, all without needing externally provided labels. A simple form of the contrastive loss for a pair of samples can be defined as follows [20]:

$$L_{con} = yD^2 + (1 - y) \max(0, \epsilon - D)^2, \quad (3)$$

where L_{con} is the contrastive loss, y is a binary label indicating whether the pair has same labels ($y = 1$) or different labels ($y = 0$), D is the distance between the representations of the pair of samples, often calculated using a metric like Euclidean distance, and ϵ is a hyperparameter that defines the minimum distance between dissimilar pairs.

(4) **Hybrid pre-training.** This approach integrates multiple pre-training methods, including supervised, generative, and contrastive techniques. This strategy aims to tailor the training process to specific problem demands, leveraging the strengths of each method.

Since obtaining a large amount of high-quality human-labeled data can be expensive or impractical in many domains, generative and contrastive pre-training are frequently employed to fully leverage various types of datasets. These methods optimize the model's performance and adaptability. Supervised pre-training may be used in specific application scenarios, particularly with abundant high-quality labeled data.

- **Adaptation.** After pre-training, models can be customized to specific tasks or domains through several adaptation methods. These adaptation methods enhance the flexibility and power of UFM across diverse application contexts. Selecting suitable adaptation strategies is crucial for maximizing the performance of pre-trained models on specific tasks and datasets while reducing resource costs.

(1) **Model fine-tuning.** Model fine-tuning is a widely used adaptation method in UFM. This approach involves additional training of the pre-trained model using a task-specific dataset. The process of fine-tuning adjusts the parameters of the model to enhance its effectiveness for the specific task. Fine-tuning is direct and effective for task-specific adaptation, but it may demand significant amounts of training data and computational resources. This method is particularly useful when ample labeled data is available for the target task. Besides, there are also some parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods [21] which minimize the number of parameters that require adjustment during adaptation, maintaining a balance between model adaptation performance and resource consumption.

(2) **Prompt tuning.** Diverging from the traditional "pre-train, fine-tune" paradigm, prompt-tuning employs lightweight prompt tokens containing relevant information about the target task. In practice, such prompts are often a small number of task-specific learnable parameters [22]. During the fine-tuning stage, the parameters of the pre-trained backbone model are frozen, and only the trainable prompts are adjusted.

This method has proven effective in leveraging the inherent knowledge embedded in the pre-trained backbone. This “Pre-train, Prompt and Predict” paradigm [23] is more efficient, as it necessitates training only a minimal set of prompt parameters, thereby avoiding the need for extensive alterations to the model’s existing parameters.

(3) *Prompt engineering*. Prompt engineering [24] is a training-free approach to directly adopt foundation models pre-trained on other modalities (like large language models) without altering the parameters of pre-trained models. It involves designing task-specific prompts that guide the model’s responses. These prompts act as instructions or hints, steering the model’s attention toward relevant information within the input data.

These adaptation methods, including fine-tuning, prompt-tuning, and prompt engineering, provide UFMs with the adaptability needed to excel in different urban contexts. The choice of adaptation strategy depends on the availability of data, computational resources, and the specific requirements of the target task. Each method uniquely balances performance and resource efficiency, making UFMs versatile tools for urban applications.

B. Challenges of Building Urban Foundation Models

While Urban Foundation Models (UFMs) offer significant potential for understanding and managing complex urban environments, building UFMs is a complex task that encounters several intricate challenges. These challenges stem primarily from the inherent complexities of urban data, the dynamic nature of urban environments, and the diverse range of applications UFMs are expected to address. Below, we delve into the key challenges that underscore the intricacies of building effective UFMs.

- **Multi-source, multi-granularity, and multi-modal data integration**. The integration of multi-source, multi-granularity, and multi-modal data is one of the primary challenges in building UFMs. UFMs must effectively combine data from various sources like social media, satellite imagery, urban sensors, and traffic records. Each source provides data at different levels of granularity, from broad city-wide patterns to specific local details. Moreover, the data modalities vary significantly, encompassing text, images, videos, and sensor readings. Integrating these disparate data types poses significant challenges in data preprocessing, normalization, and fusion. The models must be able to discern relevant patterns across these diverse datasets and reconcile potential conflicts or discrepancies in the data.

- **Spatio-temporal reasoning capability**. Another major challenge in UFMs is mastering spatio-temporal reasoning. Urban environments are dynamic, with changes and patterns evolving over both space and time. UFMs need to understand and predict complex phenomena that are time-dependent and spatial-dependent. This involves sophisticated modeling of temporal sequences and spatial distributions, requiring advanced algorithms capable of handling high-dimensional data. This spatio-temporal reasoning skill is particularly vital in urban contexts and is often less emphasized or absent in other foundation model types.

- **Versatility to diverse urban task domains**. Finally, the versatility of UFMs to diverse urban task domains is a significant challenge. Urban environments encompass a wide array of domains, such as transportation, public safety, environmental monitoring, and urban planning. Each domain presents unique challenges and requirements. UFMs need to be versatile enough to adapt to these varied contexts. This involves not only tailoring the models to specific tasks but also ensuring that they can be generalized across different urban scenarios. The challenge lies in developing models that are both specialized for particular tasks and flexible enough to accommodate the multifaceted nature of urban environments. This requires a careful balance between model complexity and applicability, ensuring that UFMs can be effectively deployed in real-world urban settings.

III. OVERVIEW OF URBAN FOUNDATION MODELS

As illustrated in Figure 2, we introduce a data-centric taxonomy for the UFMs-related studies to shed light on the progress and efforts made in this field. Based on the urban data modalities, we categorize the existing works on UFMs into six classes: language-based models, vision-based models, trajectory-based models, time series-based models, multimodal models, and others. After that, we introduce these studies through the lens of their focused pre-training and adaptation techniques. All the related works are summarized in Table I in the Appendix.

A. Language-based Models

Currently, a great number of works have been done to develop Pre-trained Language Models (PLMs). PLMs typically leverage Transformer models as the backbone and are pre-trained over large-scale unlabeled corpora, demonstrating strong capabilities in natural language processing (NLP) tasks. Early representative PLMs, such as BERT [25], XLNet [26], and GPT-series models [19], [24] (*i.e.*, GPT-1 and GPT-2), adopt a “pre-training and fine-tuning” paradigm for downstream tasks. Recently, researchers have found that increasing the parameter scale of PLMs to a significant size (*e.g.*, tens of billions of parameters) not only enhances their capacity but also leads to surprising emergent abilities (*e.g.*, in-context learning, reasoning), which are not present in smaller PLMs (*e.g.*, BERT). These enlarged PLMs are also termed Large Language Models (LLMs) in literature [27]. A notable progress of LLMs is the release of ChatGPT, which shows exceptional performance in chatting with humans and has garnered widespread attention from society.

People living in cities have generated an extensive corpus of textual data reflecting urban patterns, including documents (*e.g.*, traffic reports), dialogs (*e.g.*, ride-hailing conversations), and geo-texts (*e.g.*, geo-tagged tweets). Therefore, it is essential to explore the application of PLMs in urban context. Prior research primarily falls into two categories: pre-training and adaptation approaches. We will review each of them in subsequent sections.




Fig. 2: A data-centric taxonomy for existing UFMs-related works based on the types of urban data modalities.

1) *Pre-training*: Extensive efforts have been directed towards the development of general-purpose PLMs. These models are trained on text corpora that cover a wide array of topics and domains. While specialized urban datasets, such as traffic reports and social media posts, have been incorporated during training, the goal of them is to improve the broad capabilities of PLMs. Recently, several studies [28], [29] focus on training PLMs by only using domain-specific data, outperforming generalist counterparts on tasks within those domains. For example, ERNIE-GeoL [28] is a pre-trained language model dedicated to improving geography-related tasks at Baidu Maps. The model is pre-trained on massive data extracted from a heterogeneous graph that contains rich toponym and spatial knowledge, by using masked language modeling and geocoding tasks. Ding et al. [29] propose MGeo, a language model specifically pre-trained on abundant geographic context data for query-POI matching. MGeo designs a geographical encoder to encode geographic context representations. It also utilizes masked language modeling and contrastive learning in the pre-training stage. However, large-scale pre-training often requires substantial computational resources and electricity, which may not be easy for researchers to obtain.

2) *Adaptation*: Instead of training urban language models from scratch, previous studies mainly focus on adapting existing PLMs to urban scenarios. In this way, we can make full

use of the world knowledge encapsulated in existing PLMs while significantly reducing the demanded computational cost. There are two categories of approaches: prompt engineering and model fine-tuning.

- *Prompt engineering*. Prompting aims to steer PLM's behavior toward desired outcomes via pre-defined text inputs, *i.e.*, task description or a set of demonstrations, which allows PLMs to handle tasks they have never explicitly been trained for. Prompting offers a flexible, efficient, and user-friendly way to harness the capabilities of PLMs without the necessity of retraining or fine-tuning the model. In recent literature, several preliminary studies suggest that PLMs have encoded a wealth of urban factual and spatio-temporal knowledge from their training corpora. These knowledge can be effectively queried by prompting techniques. For instance, Gurnee [30] conducts empirical studies demonstrating that LLMs learn representations of space and time, which are resilient to changes of prompts and coherent across different urban geographies. Similarly, Ji et al. [31] conduct experiments to indicate that LLMs are capable of representing geometric properties and relationships of spatial objects. In addition, Prabin et al. [32] investigate a set of geospatial skills of LLMs, including geospatial knowledge, awareness, and reasoning. By leveraging appropriate prompts, LLMs can utilize the encoded geospatial knowledge to benefit diverse tasks, such as deriving

coordinates of cities and understanding spatial prepositions.

Moreover, several studies have applied LLMs to various urban perception and decision-making tasks, including urban concept comprehension [33], travel planning [34], and geospatial question answering [35]. To name a few, Aghzal et al. [36] systematically evaluate the spatiotemporal reasoning abilities of LLMs in path planning tasks via different few-shot prompting strategies. GeoLLM [37] focuses on extracting geospatial knowledge from LLMs by using prompts augmented with auxiliary map data, achieving superior performance in real-world geospatial prediction tasks. Zheng et al. [9] explore the potential of LLMs in traffic safety applications through prompt information, including accident reports automation, traffic data augmentation, multisensory data analysis, and contrastive cross-modal learning. Mai et al. [16], [38] perform a comprehensive study on the validity of LLM prompting across a wide range of urban applications. By using prompting, LLMs can act as zero-shot or few-shot learners and outperform tailored models in multiple tasks, such as toponym recognition, country-level time series forecasting, urban function classification, and so on. While direct prompting is flexible and resource-efficient, it demands powerful, generalist LLMs and rich contextual information to achieve satisfactory performance, which may restrict the applicability of LLMs in complex real-world applications.

- **Model fine-tuning.** Generalist PLMs, pre-trained on vast amounts of Web textual data, might lack sufficient knowledge for specific tasks or domains. Some studies focus on fine-tuning PLMs with domain-specific data to enhance model performance in particular areas. SpaBERT [39] is a spatial language model designed for geo-entity comprehension. It extends BERT to capture the spatial varying semantics of ge-entities by fine-tuning synthetic sentences from geographic databases. Additionally, SpaBERT introduces a spatial coordinate embedding module to preserve spatial relationships of geo-entities in latent feature space. GeoLM [40] proposes to jointly learn linguistic and geospatial context via contrastive learning and masked language modeling. Compared with SpaBERT, GeoLM can effectively retain general linguistic information, which is critical for many geospatial tasks. Xie [41] propose QUERT, a language model tailored for interpreting user search queries in the travel domain. Concretely, QUERT is jointly fine-tuned on several domain-specific tasks such as geohash code prediction and geography-aware mask modeling, showing great performance improvements in various downstream travel-related tasks. More recently, Mei et al. [42] introduce a fine-tuned BERT model for improving the query intent understanding in POI retrieval tasks. The model is initialized from the pre-trained weights of BERT, and fine-tuned based on the knowledge from both the user behavior logs and the POI corpus.

In addition, there have been efforts to fine-tune open-source LLMs (e.g., LLaMA [43], ChatGLM [44]) for urban applications. For example, Wang et al. [45] develop an LLM in the field of urban renewal field. The authors first generate domain-specific instruction data via the self-instruct [46] method, and then fine-tune ChatGLM on instruction data through Prefix [47] and LoRA [21] strategies. Deng et al. [48]

introduce K2, a LLaMA-based geoscience knowledge understanding and utilization model. The model is fine-tuned on both unlabeled geoscience text corpus (5.5 billion tokens) and domain-supervised data. K2 exhibits strong geoscience expertise, which significantly improves the performance of question answering and instruction within the geoscience area. Compared to prompt engineering, model fine-tuning can fundamentally enhance specific problem-solving abilities of PLMs in the target domain but requires extensive computation resources to update the model parameters.

B. Vision-based Models

Recent years have witnessed significant advances in the development of Vision Foundation Models (VFMs) [49]–[51]. Early studies [52], [53] focus on pre-training Convolution Neural Networks (CNNs) (e.g., ResNet [54]) on ImageNet [55] dataset for downstream tasks. Unfortunately, CNN-based architectures struggle with scaling up to very large datasets due to their limited capacity [51]. Vision Transformer (ViT) [56] was proposed to learn visual representations with Transformer by representing an image as a sequence of image patches. Compared with CNN-based counterparts, ViT architecture has a much higher capacity, which can effectively absorb knowledge from vastly available data.

Since the advent of ViT, numerous studies have utilized ViT to develop advanced VFMs. In existing literature, there are two categories of VFMs: language-augmented VFMs and vision-only VFMs. Language-augmented models, such as CLIP [49], aim to learn an image encoder by aligning paired text and images with contrastive learning. Conversely, vision-only VFMs are trained on purely visual content data through self-supervised pre-training objectives, such as masked auto-encoder (MAE) [57] and LVM [51]. These emerging VFMs, pre-trained on general visual data, exhibit robust generalization and impressive zero-shot learning abilities across a wide range of computer vision tasks. However, since the distributions of urban visual data (e.g., satellite images) are significantly different from general visual data [14], existing VFMs might not be suitable for handling urban-centric tasks. Consequently, building tailored urban VFMs becomes highly necessary and practical. We will discuss representative techniques in the following sections.

1) *Pre-training:* With the widespread deployment of camera and satellite technologies, a vast volume of visual data has been collected in urban spaces, capturing various aspects of city landscapes, infrastructures, public spaces, and human activities. The massive availability of data prompts a series of research to train large urban vision models from scratch. We classify existing models based on the type of pre-training data they utilize: on-site urban visual data, remote sensing data, and grid-based meteorological data.

- **On-site urban visual data.** On-site urban visual data, such as street-view imagery [58] and surveillance footage [59], are generated by ground-level devices (e.g., smartphones, cameras, and automotive LiDARs) deployed in cities, providing specific details of street scenes, vehicular and pedestrian traffic *etc.* Such kind of data can be utilized to assess real property

values [60], discover high-congestion areas [61], and quantify the socioeconomic impact of cities [62].

Early research in this field predominantly relies on task-specific supervision signals, such as demographic variables [63], to learn visual representations of urban imagery. However, these approaches incur additional labeling costs and often exhibit limited generalization capabilities. To address these issues, recent studies [62], [64], [65] have shifted towards self-supervised learning, which learns image representations from unlabeled visual data to empower a variety of prediction tasks. For instance, Urban2Vec [64] applies the Tobler’s First Law of Geography [66]—which posits that “everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things”—to develop a contrastive learning framework. This framework learns representations of street-view images by enforcing that spatially adjacent images are similar in latent feature space. Another example, KnowCL [62] uses contrastive loss to maximize the mutual information between a region’s street-view image representation and its corresponding knowledge graph embedding. So far, existing studies mainly focus on relatively small datasets, and the pre-training of VFMs on large-scale on-site urban visual data has not been well studied yet.

- **Remote sensing data.** Remote Sensing (RS) data are typically captured from satellites, aircraft, or drones. RS can cover extensive urban areas in a single image or dataset, which is particularly useful for large-scale analysis like land use classification, urban planning, and environmental monitoring. Motivated by the success of self-supervised learning (SSL) in computer vision, numerous studies [67], [68] have explored the application of SSL techniques to extract knowledge from unlabeled RS data. More details about SSL algorithms for RS data can be found in [46]. Building on these works, recently the research on RS foundation models has been largely advanced, attracting widespread attention from both academia [56], [69] and industry [14].

Most RS foundation models follow the masked image modeling (MIM) [57] paradigm, which first encodes a masked RS image with an image encoder, and then decodes the unmasked portion to reconstruct the entire image. We hereafter elaborate on the specific methodologies they employed. Wang et al. [69] train a plain ViT with 100 million parameters to solve various RS tasks, ranging from detecting urban objects to identifying region functions and understanding the whole city’s landscape. Notably, a rotated varied-size window attention is proposed to replace the standard attention block in ViT, significantly reducing the computation cost for high-resolution RS images. ScaleMAE [70] introduces scale invariance into standard ViT and adopts a Laplacian-pyramid network to learn multi-scale semantics in RS images. More recently, Cha et al. [71] examine the impact of scaling up ViT to one billion parameters in the RS domain. Additionally, RingMo [72] leverages Swin Transformer [73], a well-known variant of ViT, as the model backbone, which can effectively capture dense and small objects that are often overlooked in RS scenarios. RingMo-Sense [74] further extends RingMo for RS spatiotemporal prediction tasks. It develops a multi-branch structure to learn multi-scale spatiotemporal representations, and utilizes

different masking strategies for different branches for MIM-based pre-training. Different from the aforementioned works, CSP [75] learns visual representations by aligning paired locations and RS images via a contrastive pre-training objective.

- **Grid-based meteorological data.** Grid-based meteorological data is generated via a systematic process that involves the integration and interpolation of observations from various sources [76], including ground-based stations, satellites, and other remote sensing technologies. The gridded data can be naturally represented as images, where pixel intensities characterize the spatial distribution of meteorological variables (*e.g.*, temperature, humidity, and pressure), which can then be processed by vision models.

In recent years, the rapid development of VFMs has been making an important impact on weather and climate research [77]. Large VFMs, pre-trained on massive, diverse meteorological datasets, show strong generalization capability and can be adapted for a broad range of weather and climate analysis tasks [78]–[82]. As a pioneering study, FourCastNet [78] is a high-resolution global weather forecasting model based on ViT architecture and adaptive Fourier neural operator [83]. It is pre-trained on extensive reanalysis of meteorological records using an autoregressive objective. Following this, Pangu-Weather [79] proposes a 3D Earth-specific transformer for medium-range weather forecasting, outperforming the world’s best Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) system on reanalysis data. Nguyen et al. [80] present ClimaX, the first foundation model for climate and weather analysis. It improves the vanilla ViT architecture with decoupled variable encoding and aggregation strategies, which improve the model’s adaptability for heterogeneous atmosphere variables. ClimaX also generalizes well to scenarios involving regions and variables not encountered during pre-training. Furthermore, FengWu [82] treats weather modeling as a multi-modal multi-task problem, and develops a Transformer-based architecture to fuse different atmosphere variables/modalities and make predictions separately. Different from the above models trained in an autoregressive manner, W-MAE [81] introduces the concept of MAE into the weather and climate domain. This model is pre-trained by reconstructing the spatial relations among meteorological variables, which can be fine-tuned to benefit downstream forecasting tasks.

2) *Adaptation:* In urban contexts, we may not have enough domain-specific data to support the development of a large-scale VFM. To tackle this challenge, several studies directly adapt off-the-shelf VFMs, pre-trained on general visual data, for urban tasks. This section discusses how such adaptations can be effectively achieved through prompt engineering and model fine-tuning techniques.

- **Prompt engineering.** Current research in this category mainly focuses on leveraging the Segment Anything Model (SAM) [50] for urban RS image segmentation. SAM is a foundation model tailored to segmentation tasks, which consists of three components: (1) a ViT-based image encoder for generating image embeddings; (2) a prompt encoder that processes user prompts (specify what to segment in an image) to create embeddings; (3) a lightweight mask decoder that combines image and prompt embeddings to predict segmen-

tation masks. Particularly, the user prompt can range from sparse (e.g., points, boxes, and texts) to dense (e.g., coarse-grained masks). Since SAM is trained on natural images and has trouble generalizing to RS scenes, we hereafter discuss specific prompted methods to effectively adapt SAM for RS datasets. Wang et al. [84] propose SAMRS for RS segmentation by directly harnessing the zero-shot capabilities of SAM. SAMRS manually designs six basic prompts based on the characteristics of RS images, and leverages the optimal combination of prompts identified in experiments for segmentation. RSPrompter [85] further simplifies the process by automating prompt generation. It generates appropriate prompts, such as point or box embeddings, for SAM input by analyzing the hidden layers of the encoder.

Besides SAM-based approaches, recently Roberts et al. [86] explore the geographic and geospatial capabilities of multimodal LLMs (e.g., GPT-4V) by using Chain of Thought (CoT) prompting [87]. Through a series of experiments on various types of visual geographic data, the study demonstrates multimodal LLMs' impressive capabilities in extracting fine-grained details from urban imagery, but they struggle with tasks requiring precise localization and accurate drawing of bounding boxes.

- **Model fine-tuning.** In urban scenarios, model fine-tuning is utilized to improve a pre-trained VFM for a certain capability (e.g., RS image segmentation). For instance, GeoSAM [88] enhances SAM's performance on mobility infrastructure segmentation through parameter-efficient fine-tuning, with the help of automatically generated visual prompts. RingMo-SAM [89] adopts a fine-tuning strategy to update the prompt encoder of SAM for multi-source RS segmentation. Moreover, some recent studies [90], [91] have explored the use of language-augmented VFMs (e.g., CLIP) in street scene analysis. Specifically, Zhang et al. [90] present a method for street-view image analysis using a language-augmented VFM, fine-tuned with customized loss function and classification layers. The proposed method enables the extraction of detailed textual descriptions from varied urban landscapes, linking street view images with geo-tagged text. In addition, StreetCLIP [91] adapts CLIP for street-view image geolocation tasks by fine-tuning it with synthetic captions through a meta-learning approach. As foundation models for the computer vision domain are still an emerging research area, exploring the adaptation of general-purpose VFMs is still in an early stage.

C. Trajectory-based Models

Trajectory data, a prevalent data modality in urban applications, is crucial in various downstream tasks such as driving behavior analysis, next location prediction, and human mobility analysis. Formally, trajectory data is a specific type of geo-sensory data that can be defined as a sequence of spatio-temporal points, $T = \{(p_1, t_1), (p_2, t_2), \dots, (p_n, t_n)\}$, where each point p_i is a location in space (often represented as geographic coordinates) and t_i is the corresponding timestamp. Several studies have focused on empowering VFMs to effectively comprehend trajectory data and adapt to a broad spectrum of downstream tasks. This section discusses

two primary types of trajectory-based models: (1) unimodal approaches and (2) cross-modal transfer approaches.

1) **Unimodal Approaches:** Unimodal models are foundation models that are trained on massive amounts of data in a single modality. The trajectory-based unimodal approach primarily involves pre-training from scratch and domain adaptation with pre-trained models.

- **Pre-training.** Trajectory data, inherently specific to its application context, requires tailored modeling to accurately capture the unique characteristics of each dataset. Consequently, pre-training approaches play a pivotal role in equipping the model to handle the intricacies of trajectory data. This section introduces pre-trained methods applied to two trajectory categories: road network trajectories and free space trajectories.

(1) **Road network trajectory.** Trajectory in road networks refers to trajectories that are confined within a road network, representing the paths of vehicles or pedestrians on streets and highways. Learning low-dimensional embeddings of trajectories and paths is one of the most crucial tasks in trajectory-related data mining, as these embeddings can benefit various downstream tasks like travel time estimation and route planning.

Regarding trajectories within road networks, T2vec [92] and Traj2vec [93] represent early attempts at trajectory-based pre-training models, adopting the sequence-to-sequence (Seq2seq [94]) encoder-decoder framework to learn trajectory representations. These models are pre-trained by reconstructing trajectory sequences, a generative self-supervised task. However, these methods process raw trajectories as input, potentially compromising the quality of trajectory representation due to noise. To mitigate this, Trembr [95] projects raw trajectories onto road networks, using road segment sequences as inputs. Furthermore, the seq2seq model's decoder in Trembr is tailored to reconstruct both road segments and their associated travel times. This enhancement enables the effective use of learned trajectory representations in various downstream applications, such as trajectory similarity measurement, travel time prediction, and destination forecasting.

Transitioning to more advanced methods, models like Toast [96] and PIM [97] introduce innovative techniques to further refine trajectory representations. Toast [96] initially learns road representations from the semantic information of road networks, subsequently generating trajectory representations using a pre-trained bidirectional Transformer [25] encoder. During the pre-training phase, it employs two generative self-supervised methods: route recovery and trajectory discrimination. PIM [97] is also a two-stage trajectory representation model employing node2vec for generating road embeddings. It utilizes mutual information maximization, a contrastive learning-based method, to train the LSTM encoder for route embeddings. Unlike Toast and PIM, LightPath [98] directly learns path representations for diverse downstream tasks. This model applies a self-supervised relational reasoning method to expedite the training of path encoders. STPT [99] presents a spatial-temporal pre-training and fine-tuning approach for modeling human trajectory representation. It enhances various downstream tasks by fine-tuning with task-specific data. In pre-training, it predicts the source of

sub-trajectories through a self-supervised similarity learning task. The fine-tuning phase adapts the pre-trained model to diverse tasks using task-specific adapters. STPT shows significant improvements in multi-class trajectory classification and distinguishing between seeking and serving trajectories.

While the aforementioned methods utilize generative or contrastive approaches in pre-training, MMTEC [100] contends that these methods introduce biases in the embeddings. To mitigate this, MMTEC employs a unique pre-training task using maximum entropy coding to reduce biases in trajectory embeddings. This strategy facilitates the development of versatile, high-quality trajectory embeddings for various downstream tasks. MMTEC’s effectiveness was demonstrated in tasks like similar trajectory search, travel time estimation, and destination prediction. Additionally, some studies explore pre-training methods that combine both generative and comparative approaches. HMTRL [101] is a route representation learning framework tailored for multi-modal transportation recommendations. It integrates a spatiotemporal graph neural network to capture spatial and temporal autocorrelations and an attentive module for semantic coherence in route sequences. It also employs a hierarchical multi-task learning module for route representations across transport modes. The framework’s spatiotemporal pre-training strategy, featuring masked attribute prediction and trajectory contrastive learning, bolsters its generalization capabilities. START [102] introduces two self-supervised tasks for pre-training a trajectory representation model: span-masked trajectory recovery and trajectory contrastive learning. After pre-training, the model shows robust transferability across varied road network datasets and excels in three distinct downstream tasks.

(2) *Free space trajectory.* Free space trajectory refers to trajectories in open, unstructured spaces without the constraints of a road network, such as pedestrian movements in parks or open areas. A significant subset of this category is check-in trajectories, where individuals record their location at specific points of interest, often using social media or location-based services.

To address the challenges in free space trajectory analysis, several innovative approaches have been developed, focusing on simulating and understanding human mobility in unstructured environments. Feng et al. [103] introduce MoveSim, a generative adversarial framework designed for simulating human mobility. This framework utilizes unique pre-training tasks for both the generator and discriminator, capitalizing on spatial continuity and temporal periodicity in human mobility, thereby markedly improving simulation fidelity. SML [104] is a framework developed for modeling human mobility. By utilizing spatiotemporal context learning and integrating common data augmentation techniques, it addresses data sparsity, thereby improving its capability to understand human mobility patterns accurately. Additionally, the authors implement a pre-training task for model pre-training, followed by fine-tuning through contrastive trajectory learning. The effectiveness of SML is demonstrated in downstream location-based tasks, such as next location prediction and trajectory classification. CTLE [105] presents a pre-training model tailored to learn representations of locations, primarily for tasks such as next

location prediction. This model uses the masked language model (MLM) pre-training task from BERT, and generates location embeddings by considering contextual neighbors within trajectories, effectively addressing the multi-functional properties of locations. Gong et al. [106] propose CACSR, an adversarial contrastive model for learning check-in sequence representations. The model features a contrastive learning-based pre-training task for its encoder, thereby improving representation learning. After pre-training, CACSR is fine-tuned for diverse multi-classification problems, including location prediction and trajectory-user link tasks, showcasing notable performance.

- *Adaptation.* Adaptation for trajectory-based foundation models primarily focuses on the transfer of a pre-trained model on one trajectory dataset to a different but related dataset, such as adapting a model trained on trajectories from one city to another.

Reformd [107] employs standard transfer learning procedures, transferring model parameters learned on a data-rich region to a target region with scarce data. This method models the invariant region dynamics in mobility prediction tasks within the origin region via a marked temporal point process (MTPP). It concurrently learns the time interval and spatial distance distributions of check-in sequences as two distinct independent normalizing flows (NFs). During the transfer process, the spatial and temporal flows that have been learned are transferred, followed by fine-tuning the model parameters for the target region, incorporating an attention parameter for enhanced specificity. Axolotl [108] also focuses on cross-region model transfer to enhance Point-of-Interest (POI) recommendations in data-scarce regions by leveraging data from data-rich regions. The method employs cluster-based knowledge transfer without the need for any common users or POIs between regions. Expanding on this concept, Building on this idea, CATUS [109] addresses data scarcity and imbalance in POI recommendations across cities by transferring category-level universal transition knowledge. It achieves this through two self-supervised tasks in the pre-training phase: next category prediction and next POI prediction. During fine-tuning, CATUS uses a distance-oriented sampler better to align POI representations with a city’s local context, taking into account the geographical distance and semantic similarity between POIs.

In summary, the unimodal approaches in trajectory-based models highlight the critical role of pre-training and adaptation in extracting and utilizing valuable insights from trajectory data. These unimodal approaches demonstrate a strong potential for enhancing various downstream tasks such as next location prediction, travel time estimation, trajectory classification, and route planning, ensuring efficient and effective handling of trajectory data in urban applications.

2) *Cross-modal Transfer Approaches:* Cross-modal transfer involves transferring learned patterns, features, or knowledge from one modality to another. Recent advancements in Large Language Models (e.g., GPT-4 [110], Llama2 [111]) have demonstrated exceptional zero-shot learning and generalization capabilities across various domains. In the realm of trajectory analysis, several studies have utilized LLMs for

trajectory-based tasks.

A number of research have investigated the use of LLMs in tackling tasks related to human mobility by employing prompt engineering techniques. AuxMobLCast [112] converts numerical temporal sequences into natural language sentences and fine-tunes pre-trained language models such as BERT, RoBERTa, GPT-2, and XLNet to predict visitor counts at points-of-interest (POIs). LLM-Mob [113] designs effective prompts to enhance LLMs' reasoning on mobility data, producing both accurate and interpretable results on human mobility prediction tasks. To capture long-term and short-term dependencies, the mobility data is formatted into historical stays and context stays. Experimental results of three additional tasks including converting numerical input to language, summarising mobility patterns and inferencing home or workplace indicate LLM-Mob's superior predictive performance and interoperability. Zhang et al. [114] conduct empirical research to assess LLMs' effectiveness and limitations in identifying anomalous behaviors in human mobility data, comparing their performance with specialized anomaly detection algorithms. LLM-MPE [115] seeks to use LLMs for forecasting human mobility in complex scenarios, such as public events, employing a chain-of-thought prompting approach to base predictions on historical mobility patterns and event descriptions.

Additionally, within the realm of autonomous driving, initiatives have been undertaken to harness LLMs for the purposes of motion planning and trajectory forecasting. Keysan et al. [116] present an innovative approach that integrates descriptions of scenes in text form with pre-trained language encoders, aimed at predicting trajectories in the context of autonomous driving. Similarly, GPT-Driver (referenced in [117]) redefines motion planning as a language modeling task, converting heterogeneous planner inputs into language tokens. This process employs a ChatGPT model to process the input tokens and generate driving trajectory predictions. LanguageMPC [118] employs LLMs such as ChatGPT to direct Model Predictive Control (MPC) in autonomous driving. It uses prompts to feed environmental information to the LLM and leverages chain-of-thought in the dialogue to decompose the problem into manageable sub-problems.

Overall, these cross-modal transfer approaches underline the versatility and potential of LLMs in transcending conventional data modalities, proving their efficacy not only in language processing but also in complex spatial-temporal tasks like trajectory prediction and human mobility analysis.

D. Time Series-based Models

Time series is the collection of observable records in an ordered manner, which represents the evolution of the variable states in the real world. In urban scenarios, time series data are ubiquitous due to the temporal characteristic of urban data, associated with diverse machine learning tasks such as traffic forecasting [119]–[121], air quality forecasting [122]–[124], energy consumption prediction [125], etc. Formally, we could denote a time series as $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N}$, where T is the number of time steps and N is the number of variables. For multivariate

time series, some works [126] treat it as spatio-temporal data which explicitly incorporate dependencies between variables, and we denote it as $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N \times C}$, where C denotes the number of channels. In this section, we review the recent advances in adopting foundation models for addressing time series data in urban scenarios.

1) *Unimodal Approaches*: Unimodal foundation models comprise two pivotal techniques: pre-training and adaptation. Typically, they are pre-trained with large-scale data in the modality of time series, and then be adapted to specific downstream tasks or data.

- **Pre-training**. Pre-training of foundation models on time series involves training a neural network on a large time series dataset in a supervised or self-supervised manner. In pre-training, the foundation model learns to capture the intricate temporal patterns and inter-variable correlations. In this section, we respectively illustrate the pre-training methods for foundation models on ordinary time series and spatial-correlated time series.

(1) *Ordinary time series*. For ordinary time series, existing works focus on how to extract the temporal dependencies during pre-training. Generally, recent studies of time pre-training strategies for time series foundation models could be categorized into four types: supervised, generative, contrastive, and hybrid methods, according to the training schemes.

Supervised approaches directly pre-train the model with ground truth information (e.g., labels for classification, future values for forecasting). Oreshkin et al. [127] proposes a meta-learning framework for zero-shot univariate time series forecasting to tackle the cold start problem of existing models. Drawn by the strong capacities of LLMs, Lag-Llama [128] develops a foundation model with LLaMA as the backbone, which pushes the limits of zero-shot forecasting on unseen traffic time series data. Specifically, Lag-Llama is pre-trained over a large corpus of time series, and lag features enhance the inputs to capture the seasonalities of various time series. In addition, many supervised pre-training methods [129]–[131] for time series classification could be treated as unsupervised domain adaptation problem that has access to the data of the target domain but without target ground truths. For example, CoDATS [130] proposes a domain classifier to discriminate features from source and target domains, and designs an adversarial learning framework to train the feature extractor and domain classifier, to achieve domain-invariant representations. Also, the weak supervision information is utilized as a constraint for parameter searching. Except for adversarial learning, an alternative method for unsupervised domain adaptation is based on Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD) [132]. For instance, to extract domain-invariant information from time series data, SASA [129] proposes sparse associative structure alignment as a regularization for air quality data in source-target cities, by minimizing MMD of the attention maps of multi-scale segments and multiple variables. Besides, SASA explicitly captures the dependencies of multiple variables for the time series representation.

Generative approaches usually use a masked reconstruction scheme that adds random masking to input time series and trains the model to recover the missing contents [133]. Fur-

ther, SimMTM [134] observes that in urban domains (*e.g.*, weather, electricity, traffic), directly masking a portion of time series will seriously ruin the temporal semantic variations. It regards masked reconstruction from a manifold perspective and proposes the idea of recovering the original time series from multiple masked series. Besides, many other masked reconstruction-based pre-training approaches [135]–[137] focus on how to improve the model architecture for better time series analysis. For instance, PatchTST [135] proposes two intriguing techniques: patching and channel-independence, based on Transformer architecture, to reduce time and space complexity and enable a much longer look-back window for time series forecasting. TSMixer [136] finds that the self-attention block in Transformer results in severe temporal information loss and thus utilizes lightweight MLP-Mixers without disturbing the temporal ordering of input. Besides, it proposes two reconciliation heads to capture inherent temporal hierarchical structure and cross-channel dependency. Additionally, PT-Tuning [137] proposes a Cross-MAE architecture that conducts cross-attention for unmasked and masked time points.

Contrastive approaches aim to enhance the consistency between the representations of time series objects that share similar semantic meaning (*i.e.*, positive pairs) and reduce that for those with disparate semantics (*i.e.*, negative pairs). The key to contrastive-based time series pre-training lies in how to define the consistency of positive pairs that should be drawing close to each other. Inspired by [132], we stress five types of consistency: subseries consistency [138] that assumes the representations of a reference time series should be similar to its subseries; transformation consistency [139], [140] indicates that time series of distinct augmentation views should be consistent; temporal consistency [141] means that adjacent subseries in a time series should be similar; contextual consistency [142] assumes that the representations of the same timestamp in two augmented view of the same subseries should be consistent; consecutive consistency [131], [139], [143] implies that the two consecutive parts: history and horizon, of a time series should be consistent. To name a few, TS2Vec [142] aims to learn fine-grained representations for low-level tasks such as time series forecasting and anomaly detection, via contextual consistency-based contrastive learning without strong inductive bias. Besides, to distinguish the multi-scale contextual information with different granularities, TS2Vec proposes temporal and instance contrast with different scales of negative pairs. Because the non-stationary property may hinder the generalization of weather and electricity time series representations, COST [140] proposes trend-seasonal disentangled contrastive learning, including time domain contrast for trend features and frequency domain contrast for seasonal features. SimTS [143] is tailored for the forecasting tasks in time series domains such as weather and electricity, via a contrastive paradigm that predicts the future based on past time windows rather than discriminating between instances.

Hybrid approaches integrate the aforementioned training schemes for robust pre-training. For example, UniTime [144] aims at pre-training a unified model capable of generalizing

across various unseen domains for time series forecasting, and further focuses on multi-source pre-training that learns from diverse domains via batch learning. To capture the variations of temporal characteristics over source domains (*e.g.*, weather, electricity), it empowers the input embedding with domain-specific text information as the manual prompt. Additionally, UniTime utilizes input and output padding to adapt varied data characteristics (*e.g.*, length of history and horizon), and designs input masking mechanism for imbalanced learning which is robust to varying convergence rates.

(2) *Spatial-correlated time series.* Beyond ordinary time series pre-training that considers only the temporal dimension, the studies on spatial-correlated time series additionally incorporate the spatial dimension, explicitly involving the dependencies between variables. Specially, in urban scenarios, most related works also name it as spatio-temporal data.

In terms of how to capture the spatial information in city regions, some works [145]–[147] model the spatial relations as a grid map with fixed sizes and employ Convolution Neural Networks (CNNs) to encode the spatial pattern. For example, ST-GSP [147] proposes a semantic flow encoder with ResNet as the backbone to capture the spatial dependencies. Besides, it devises a Transformer encoder with an early-fusion paradigm to capture multi-scale temporal information for reconstruction-based pre-training and downstream urban flow prediction. However, grid-based spatial modeling is limited to local regular dependencies and fails to exploit irregular and arbitrary variable-wise connections.

In light of that, recent works [148]–[152] focus on graph-based spatial encoding and usually use Spatio-Temporal Graph Neural Networks (STGNNs) to extract the spatio-temporal information. For instance, due to data scarcity, STGCL [152] devises a contrastive pre-training framework for traffic forecasting. Specifically, for spatiotemporal contrastive learning, it proposes node- and graph-level contrast paradigms, four data augmentation techniques, and debiased negative filtering strategies from both temporal and spatial views. However, these methods adopt pre-defined graph structures that are noisy and biased, which negatively impact spatial information extraction [126] or cross-city knowledge transfer [153].

To address the aforementioned drawbacks, STEP [126] proposes a graph structure learning module in downstream forecasting. STGNNs only consider short-term historical time series, but the analysis of temporal and spatial patterns requires long-term historical time series data, thus STEP devises TSFormer for time series pretraining to capture long-time temporal patterns with segment-level representations. Further, TransGTR [153] focuses on how to learn the graph structure in a transferable way, which pre-trains a structure generator and transfers both the generator and the spatiotemporal forecasting module across cities. Specifically, TransGTR firstly extracts city-agnostic node features via knowledge distillation from the TSFormer [126] pre-trained with long-term time series in data-rich source cities. Then it proposes a temporal decoupled regularization to learn transferable graph structures with a discrepancy-based domain adaptation paradigm. Further, MC-STL [154] spots the spatiotemporal heterogeneity (*i.e.*, spatial correlation and region importance are time-varied), and

proposes two pre-training strategies. The first is spatial masked reconstruction, which utilizes a multi-head attention mechanism to dynamically estimate the inter-region correlations. The second is temporal contrastive learning, which leverages a global-local cross-attention block to understand the shifts in global urban flow over time and the corresponding changes in regional importance across different periods. Similar to TransGTR, TPB [155] focuses on cross-city transfer learning for spatio-temporal forecasting. Specifically, to capture the traffic patterns in data-rich cities for transferring to data-scarce cities, TPB generates the representative traffic patterns via clustering, and conducts pattern aggregation during downstream forecasting. Additionally, it devises an attention-based graph reconstruction followed by STGNN for spatio-temporal pattern extraction. Note that during downstream forecasting, the modules are not trained from scratch but initialized by meta-learning from multiple source cities. GPT-ST [156] observes that existing methods encounter the lack of customized representations of spatio-temporal patterns and insufficient consideration of different levels of spatial dependencies and pre-trains the spatio-temporal input encodings used for STGNN models. Concretely, it designs a hierarchical hypergraph structure to capture temporal and multi-level spatial dependencies from a global perspective, with a model parameter customization scheme.

- **Adaptation.** Adaptation for time series foundation models aims to tailor the pre-trained foundation models to novel time series tasks or data for downstream performance enhancement. In the area of time series foundation models, despite most research efforts being the design of pre-training, advanced fine-tuning strategies receive little attention. Alternatively, due to the emergence of prompt tuning methods in a wide range of fields (*e.g.*, natural language, vision, and graph) [157], prompting methods for time series also receive some attention. For example, PT-Tuning [137] adds tunable prompts into masked tokens to achieve the consistency of task objectives between pre-training (*i.e.*, masked reconstruction) and adaptation (*i.e.*, forecasting) in urban time series data. For time series classification, POND [158] further designs an instance-level prompt generator for adaptive prompting, besides the common prompt. Also, to capture diverse domain-specific information, POND proposes a meta-learning paradigm as well as elaborated contrastive constraints for prompt initialization. Moreover, there have been several studies on prompt tuning for spatial-correlated time series tasks. To name a few, PromptST [159] adds tunable prompts to spatiotemporal data to efficiently fine-tune the pre-trained traffic forecasting model and avoid catastrophic forgetting issue. MetePFL [160] and FedWing [161] focus on federated weather forecasting and use prompting techniques for personalized federated learning to reduce communication overheads and enhance privacy protection.

2) *Cross-modal transfer approaches:* As the lack of a large amount of data to train a foundation model from scratch for time series analysis, many works focus on cross-modal transfer approaches, that directly adopt the foundation models pre-trained on other modalities and aim to transfer the modality-sharing knowledge to time series. For instance, a fascinating

work is V2S [162] that leverages a pre-trained acoustic model for time series classification, by input reprogramming and output label mapping.

Recently, most cross-modal studies have focused on how to adapt pre-trained LLMs for time series to leverage the remarkable abilities of LLMs sufficiently. Upon whether the LLMs are open-sourced or purely black-box, we categorize them into two types: embedding-invisible LLM (*e.g.*, ChatGPT, GPT-4²) and embedding-visible LLM (*e.g.*, GPT-2 [24], LLaMA [43]), which follows [163]. For the former type of LLMs, we could just infer by prompting with API calls, while for the latter type, we can fine-tune the model parameters or reprogram the time series inputs in various tasks.

- **Prompt engineering.** Some recently released LLMs hold striking capabilities but are closed-sourced (*i.e.*, embedding-invisible). A plausible solution for adaptation is to transform the time series into natural language sentences as the prompts for API calls. PromptCast [125] firstly proposes a novel prompt-based forecasting paradigm and releases a large-scale dataset PISA constructed with template-based prompts including weather temperature, electricity consumption and human mobility prediction tasks. Further, LLTime [164] contends that LLMs can be used directly as zero-shot forecasters without any added text or prompt engineering, if the input time series data are carefully preprocessed.

- **Model fine-tuning.** Beyond prompting the generalist LLM, many works have contributed to how fine-tuning embedding-visible LLMs for time series tasks. GPT(6) [165] adopts pre-trained LLMs for diverse time series tasks. Specifically, it only fine-tunes a small part of the parameters of LLM (*i.e.*, positional embedding and layer normalization layer) for efficiency, and uses linear probing and patching to align the time series of various urban domains (weather, electricity, traffic) to language modality. Incrementally, GPT(6)-adapter [166] designs frequency adapter, and temporal adapter channel adapter for tuning, to strengthen the LLM-based time series analysis. To align the modalities of time series and language, LLM4TS [167] design a two-stage fine-tuning process: firstly adopts autoregressive supervised fine-tuning for time series; then fine-tunes the pre-trained model for downstream time series forecasting. Further, TEMPO [168] highlights that LLMs fail to capture the time series characteristics such as the trend, seasonality and distribution shift. It adopts the trend-seasonality decomposition for the input time series and respectively passes them to the LLM for reasoning. Also, tunable prompts are added to conquer the distribution shift in diverse time series data. To adapt the LLM for spatial-correlated time series, GATGPT [169] uses a graph attention module for spatial graph encoding and passes the spatiotemporal embeddings to the pre-trained GPT-2 model for downstream spatiotemporal imputation.

- **Model reprogramming.** To explicitly align times series to natural language, model reprogramming is an effective way that neither edits the time series inputs directly nor fine-tunes the pre-trained LLM. For example, to unleash the potential of LLMs for time series forecasting, Time-LLM [170] repro-

²<https://openai.com/blog/gpt-4-api-general-availability>

gram time series into text prototype representations and add language-based prompts that describe the statistics of time series data. TEST [171] focuses on a data-centric framework that freezes all the parameters of pre-trained LLM and tries to elaborate the model inputs. Concretely, to make the LLM understand the time series embedding, TEST aligns it to the text representation space with text-prototype-based contrastive learning and adds tunable prompts to help the LLM fit in the downstream forecasting and classification tasks.

To conclude, LLM-based adaptation approaches hinge on how to align the modalities of natural language and time series [170]. Existing works either leverage the neural networks to automatically achieve the alignment in a data-driven way (*e.g.*, linear probing [165]–[167], [169], prompt tuning [168], [171]), explore the characteristics of time series (*e.g.*, trend-seasonal decomposition [168], spatial correlation encoding [169]), or explicitly utilize text information as the guidance (*e.g.*, reprogramming [170], [171] and manual prompting [125], [164], [170]).

E. Multimodal Models

Due to the intricate nature of urban environments, characterized by diverse data types, such as geo-text data, street view images, trajectory data, and time series data, there is growing attention on developing multimodal foundation models to handle urban tasks. By integrating these disparate data modalities, multimodal foundation models aim to achieve a more holistic understanding of urban dynamics, largely enhancing various urban applications and setting the stage for urban general intelligence. Current studies primarily rely on two techniques: pre-training and adaptation, to establish multimodal UFGMs.

1) *Pre-training*: Pre-training of multimodal UFGMs aims to systematically train the model on extensive multimodal datasets containing urban-specific information such as textual, visual, and geographic data, to develop a comprehensive understanding of urban environments. These works can be classified into single-domain models and multi-domain models.

- *Single-domain models*. Among the existing pretraining-based studies, some works focus on improving a specific urban domain such as urban profiling and transportation. Urban-CLIP [172] combines LLMs with satellite imagery for urban profiling. It leverages LLMs to generate textual descriptions for satellite images and employs contrastive learning as well as language modeling loss as supervision for urban visual representation learning of the model. TengYun [173] is a transportation foundation model designed for cognition, operation, management, and control within TransVerse. It utilizes a training method combining pre-training and prompt tuning. Initially, TengYun undergoes pre-training on cloud servers, where it processes historical multi-modal data using the Transformer framework along with advanced deep reinforcement learning techniques. This pre-trained model is then transferred to edge servers, incorporating traffic knowledge within its parameters. During the prompt tuning stage, these edge servers adapt prompts for various tasks and strategies. The training process is structured as a self-supervised regression issue,

where some tokens are part of the prompt template and others form the answer template. This approach effectively turns the training into a predictive task.

- *Multi-domain models*. While the above efforts have been made in pre-training multimodal UFGMs, their focus on training models using data specific to a single urban domain can limit their application to broader urban tasks. Therefore, some works attempt to construct multimodal UFGMs that can be applied to multiple urban domains. CityFM [7] is a framework that pre-trains foundation models by using spatial, visual, and textual data from OpenStreetMap in a self-supervised manner. It utilizes contrastive objectives to learn multimodal representations of geospatial entities, and demonstrate effectiveness in downstream tasks such as traffic speed inference and building functionality classification. AllSpark [174] introduces the Language as Reference Framework (LaRF) to develop a multimodal spatiotemporal general AI model that amalgamates thirteen distinct modalities into a single framework. LaRF’s concept centers around correlating abstract concepts from each modality with language, facilitating a collective interpretation within the language-based unified representation space. In various modalities like RGB and trajectory, AllSpark demonstrates comparable accuracy to state-of-the-art models and exhibits remarkable flexibility in handling modalities such as MSI, HSI, PointCloud, tabular data, code, and graph.

2) *Adaptation*: Another line of work explores introducing foundation models from other domains, *e.g.*, LLMs, to address urban challenges. The adaptation approaches of these works primarily include prompt engineering and model fine-tuning.

- *Prompt engineering*. The works adopting prompt engineering involve combining foundation models with domain-specific tools like Geographic Information System (GIS) and external databases. TrafficGPT [8] is a framework for urban traffic management that integrates ChatGPT and various external tools. It involves prompt management, task understanding and planning through LLMs, and leverages LLMs to invoke available tools for specific executions (*e.g.*, database retrieval and analysis, data visualization, and system optimization). TrafficGPT also concludes with dialogue memory storage to enhance its conversational context for future interactions. GeoGPT [175] is a framework integrating LLMs with mature GIS tools to assist non-professionals in solving complex geospatial tasks using natural language. It leverages LLMs for understanding user demands and autonomously conducts data collection, processing, and analysis in GIS, enabling users to perform tasks like spatial queries and facility siting.

- *Model fine-tuning*. Beyond directly invoking the ready-made foundation models, some studies also introduce a fine-tuning process for better adapting other domain foundation models to urban applications. VELMA [176] is an embodied LLM-based agent for Vision and Language Navigation. It uses a verbalization pipeline to translate visual information and navigation instructions into textual prompts for decision-making and integrates visual observations from Street View through CLIP for landmark recognition. It fine-tunes LLM on urban domain training instances using LoRA [21], which adapts attention query and value projections in the LLM. UGI [13] is a foundational platform that integrates LLMs

into urban systems to address various urban challenges. The authors use a continued pre-training method to incorporate urban knowledge into a general LLM called CityGPT, which is trained on general text corpus, domain-specific urban knowledge (including urban knowledge graph, geographic data, *etc.*) and task-solving process data. Subsequently, the supervised fine-tuning method is employed to further refine and align CityGPT with specific urban tasks and human preferences.

F. Other Models

Except for the aforementioned modalities, there exist some studies exploring the foundation models in other urban scenarios, such as the geographical data [177], road network [178] and control policy [10].

1) *Unimodal Approaches*: OpenStreetMap (OSM) is a vital information source of geographic information worldwide [179]. Nicolas et al. [177] provide GeoVectors which is a world-scale corpus of OSM entity embeddings, to enable the convenient usage of geographic information for machine learning algorithms (*e.g.*, location recommendation, human mobility prediction, travel time estimation). Specifically, these embeddings capture the semantic relations (*i.e.*, annotation tag similarity) and geographic relations (*i.e.*, geographic entity proximity) of entities. SARN [178] proposes a contrastive-based graph pre-training method for spatial structure-aware road network embedding, to facilitate downstream road property prediction, trajectory similarity prediction, and shortest-path distance prediction tasks.

2) *Cross-modal Transfer Approaches*: In the field of intelligent transportation, there emerge some studies on how to leverage the zero-shot capacities of LLMs for complicated traffic control tasks [10], [180], [181]. For example, PromptGAT [181] uses LLMs to generate human knowledge, that helps the neural networks understand realistic cases (*e.g.*, weather conditions) in traffic signal control tasks. LLM-Light [10] proposes an innovative framework that utilizes LLMs as control agents to enable human-like decision-making in traffic signal control tasks.

IV. PROSPECTS OF URBAN FOUNDATION MODELS

While there have been burgeoning endeavors to develop UFM, these attempts have predominantly focused on integrating a narrow range of urban data types and applying them to a limited set of urban contexts. Moreover, the potential of UFM for spatio-temporal reasoning and privacy issues when building UFM remains largely untapped. Ideally, UFM should be capable of processing multi-source urban data with various modalities and be adaptable to a broad spectrum of urban tasks and domains. Additionally, they should possess intelligent spatio-temporal reasoning abilities to grasp and interpret the intricate dynamics and interconnections within urban environments. This should be achieved without compromising on data privacy and security while ensuring usability for ordinary beings who may not specialize in relevant techniques of UFM. Therefore, we propose a potential framework to overcome current obstacles and towards building future versatile UFM. The entire framework is illustrated in Figure 3. The

major processes to build versatile UFM involve Urban Data Integration, Multimodal UFM Construction, Spatio-temporal Reasoning, Utility Augmentation, and Privacy Preservation. We elaborate on these processes in the following.

A. Urban Data Integration

1) *Multi-source Data Collection and Preprocessing*: The standard procedure of building UFM begins with multi-source urban data collection and preprocessing.

- **Collect multi-source urban data**. The collection of urban data requires the aggregation of multiple data sources and diverse data types to form a comprehensive multimodal urban dataset [182]. The multi-source multimodal urban data may include but is not limited to geo-text data, social media data, street view images, remote sensing data, trajectory data, time series data, POI, and road network data [16], [183]. The granularity of these data is diverse, ranging from city-level (*e.g.*, weather data) to individual-level (*e.g.*, individual trajectory). The data collection process typically involves approaches such as extracting from databases, crawling from the web, gathering from various deployed sensors, crowdsourcing [184], [185], *etc.*

- **Preprocess multi-source urban data**. This stage, typically involving data cleaning, normalizing, and annotating as needed, is critical to ensure the usability and effectiveness of the data in foundation models training and analysis [186]. Data cleaning identifies and corrects (or removes) errors, noise, and inconsistencies in the data to improve its quality. Data normalization ensures that data from various sources are on a comparable scale. Data annotation is required by supervised learning of models for a better understanding of specific urban tasks and scenarios. This might involve tagging images, categorizing text, marking events in time series, *etc.*

2) *Multi-granularity Data Integration*: Multi-granularity data integration aims to employ suitable data processing methods and GIS tools to realize a meticulous blend and correlation for multi-granularity urban data. We present three methods to enable an effective integration of multi-granularity data, encompassing data standardization, hierarchical data structuring, and data cross-referencing.

- **Data standardization**. Data standardization [187] typically involves standardizing data formats and data scales to ensure spatial, temporal, and semantic consistency across multi-granularity data. Standardizing the data formats across different granularities can ensure multi-granularity data compatibility. This might involve converting all geographic data to a common coordinate system, standardizing temporal resolution across datasets, harmonizing readings from various sensors, *etc.* Standardizing the data scales is to ensure that variables from different sources and granularities can be compared and combined effectively. For instance, aligning population density metrics from both city-level and neighborhood-level data, and demographic statistics to a common format for city-wide and local community data.

- **Hierarchical data structuring**. Organizing the data into a hierarchical structure is an effective strategy in dealing with the complexity and diversity of urban data [188], as it allows




Fig. 3: A prospective framework for building versatile multimodal UFM.

for a more nuanced representation that closely mirrors the real urban landscape. At the top of this hierarchy would be city-level data, encompassing broad metrics and trends that define the city as a whole. This could include overarching demographic statistics, city-wide economic indicators, or general environmental data. Beneath this top layer, the hierarchy would then break down into more localized data sets, *e.g.*, districts, neighborhoods, and individuals. Each layer offers a finer resolution of data, capturing the unique characteristics and dynamics of smaller areas. For instance, district-level data might focus on regional traffic patterns or specific economic activities, while neighborhood-level data could delve into local public services, housing trends, and community-specific issues. At the most granular level, individual data may include detailed individual trajectories and other mobile records. Such a structuring aids in systematically analyzing data at each level and understanding their intricate relationships and interactions.

- **Data cross-referencing.** Cross-referencing multi-granularity urban data is a complex process that is crucial for gaining comprehensive insights into urban environments. It involves identifying, aligning, and correlating relevant data from various data granularity, such as correlating the micro-level data: *e.g.*, driver trajectories, individual emissions, or local crime reports, with macro-level data: *e.g.*, city-wide traffic measurements, general air pollution indices, or overarching economic indicators, thereby, providing valuable insights from different urban data resolution for each other.

To achieve cross-referencing for multi-granularity urban data, a systematic approach necessitates that begins with the

preprocessing and standardization of varied data sources as mentioned above. Advanced GIS tools are usually employed in this step, enabling the overlay of diverse datasets on a common spatial grid. Once aligned, analytical techniques like statistical correlation analysis, spatial analysis, and machine learning algorithms are applied to identify patterns, relationships, and anomalies within the integrated data [189], [190]. This phase is pivotal in extracting meaningful insights from the complex interplay of varied urban parameters. Finally, the insights can be presented through data visualization tools [191], which translate the complex data relationships into understandable and actionable formats, facilitating the UFM design for researchers.

3) **Multimodal Data Consolidation:** Multimodal data consolidation is crucial in developing UFM as it integrates diverse data types to create a comprehensive understanding of urban environments from multiple data perspectives. This holistic view is fundamental to enhancing UFM with robust generalization and adaptation capabilities across a wide range of urban tasks and domains.

- **Data encoding.** To harmonize heterogeneous data (*e.g.*, text, image, trajectory) and facilitate their integration, the first step is to encode the diverse urban data modalities into a latent representation space. This can be achieved by respectively developing embedding layers for each data modality [192] or utilizing the corresponding established unimodal foundation model as an encoder for each data modality [193]. For instance, text data is processed through Transformers or LLMs, and images through CNNs or VFM. The encoding process

transforms raw urban data into a unified vector representation, capturing the intrinsic properties and patterns of the data, and serving as the foundation for subsequent multimodal data alignment and fusion steps.

- **Data alignment.** Once multimodal urban data is encoded, the next step is to align these representations from different modalities. The goal is to create a unified representation that encapsulates the multifaceted nature of urban environments, enabling more comprehensive understanding and insights. There are several potential ways to achieve alignment between different modalities [192]:

- Projection layers: Add trainable projection layers on top of each modality foundation model. These layers can transform the outputs of the foundation models into a unified representation space. Since these layers are lightweight (with fewer parameters) compared to the foundation models, they require less data and computational resources to train.
- Cross-modal mapping: Develop mapping functions that transform the output of one modality to the space of another. For instance, mapping the feature space of VFMs to the feature space of LLMs. This can be achieved through training on paired data, e.g., image-caption pairs.
- Adversarial training: Use a Generative adversarial Network (GAN) framework, where a discriminator is trained to distinguish between the real unified representation and the ones generated by different modality foundation models. The generator learns to produce representations that are indistinguishable from the discriminator.
- Regularization techniques: Employ regularization techniques like contrastive loss or triplet loss to align the outputs of foundation models. These losses encourage representations of similar items (across modalities) to be close in the latent space while pushing dissimilar items apart.
- Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) or its variants: Apply CCA or Deep CCA to find linear or nonlinear transformations of the outputs of foundation models, respectively, that are maximally correlated. This approach is useful for aligning two or more types of data in a way that preserves their relationships.
- Hybrid approaches: Combine multiple techniques, such as using projection layers combined with regularization loss for a better cross-modality alignment.

- **Multimodal data fusion.** The final step is the fusion of these aligned representations to create a comprehensive multimodal understanding. Fusion techniques vary based on the application but typically involve combining features or embeddings from different modalities in a way that preserves and leverages the unique information each modality provides. This can be done through simple concatenation, more complex operations like weighted or attentive summation where different modalities are given different importance, or through neural network architectures specifically designed for multimodal data fusion [194].

B. Multimodal UFM Construction

To build versatile UFMs that can handle diverse urban tasks and domains, the key is to augment UFMs' capabilities to understand multimodal urban data. However, directly building a unified UFM that can handle all urban data modalities would be extremely challenging because of the significant heterogeneity and distinct granularity from diverse data modalities and sources. A more plausible way towards multimodal UFMs is to further decompose the goal that separately constructs unimodal foundation models for various urban data modalities. This method not only offers flexibility in integrating an unlimited range of modalities but also allows for more tailored approaches for different modalities. Achieving this involves two key strategies: fine-tuning foundation models for established modalities or building foundation models for domain-specific modalities.

1) *Fine-tuning foundation models for established modalities:* For data modalities that already have general foundation models, such as LLMs and VFMs, we engage in a fine-tuning process. This involves adapting these pre-existing foundation models to the specific context of urban data. The fine-tuning can be done in three primary ways:

- Supervised fine-tuning [21]: The model is refined using a labeled dataset in a supervised manner, where the urban data has annotations that guide the model towards better performance in tasks like street image recognition or geo-text understanding.
- Self-supervised fine-tuning: This approach leverages the patterns inherent in the data to construct the self-supervised objectives without needing explicit labels. For example, predicting the next word in a city council transcript (for LLMs) or recognizing continuity in a sequence of street view images (for VFMs).
- Prompt tuning [195]: The model is fine-tuned by adjusting a small set of parameters associated with specially designed input prompts, rather than the entire model. These prompts act as adaptable guides, helping the model to focus and improve on specific tasks like generating thematic text responses or understanding nuanced queries. This method effectively harnesses the large model's pre-trained knowledge base, allowing for efficient and task-specific tuning without extensive retraining.

2) *Building foundation models for domain-specific modalities:* For modalities that lack existing foundation models, such as trajectory data or POIs, there are two strategies to build the corresponding modality foundation models:

- Pretraining foundation models from scratch: If a substantial amount of data is available in a particular modality, we can build a foundation model using self-supervised learning techniques [7]. This might involve predicting the next location in a trajectory sequence or classifying POIs based on their features [196]. Additionally, supervised learning can be applied when labeled data is available, further enhancing the model's accuracy and relevance to urban contexts.
- Adaptation from foundation models of other modalities: For modalities with limited data, we can align the ur-

ban data into the representation space of existing other modality foundation models (e.g., LLMs, VFMs). This can be done by: (1) Re-training lightweight encoders and decoders to transform the specific urban data modality into the representation space that is comprehensible by existing foundation models [165]; (2) utilizing data re-programming techniques to reformat the data to mimic the format that the pre-trained foundation models expect [170], [197]. It's a creative way to leverage powerful existing foundation models for new types of data they were not originally designed for.

C. Spatio-temporal Reasoning

Spatio-temporal reasoning refers to the ability to understand and analyze both the spatial (geographical and locational) and temporal (time-related) aspects of urban data in an integrated manner. It is crucial to empower UFM to equip more comprehensive understandings and accurate predictions for urban dynamics, facilitating effective planning and management of complex urban environments.

- **Empower spatial reasoning.** Inspired by the success of word embeddings like word2vec [198], we introduce a method to learn the general location embeddings for diverse locations across the Earth. Analogous to word2vec (e.g., CBOW and skip-gram), it can use the adjacent locations' embedding to predict a location's embedding, and vice versa. Note that adjacency is not limited to mere geographical proximity. Locations with high mobility flow between them, or those sharing similar urban functions, are also considered adjacent. This broader definition captures a richer array of spatial relationships. Like word embeddings, location embeddings can be pre-trained in an unsupervised manner, which results in embeddings that inherently capture geographical semantics; for instance, locations that are geographically or functionally close tend to have similar embeddings. Drawing inspiration from the success of word embeddings, integrating these pre-trained location embeddings into UFM is promising to enhance their spatial reasoning capabilities. This allows UFM for a deeper understanding of spatial relationships and patterns within urban contexts.

- **Empower temporal reasoning.** The temporal aspect begins with encoding time via time embeddings. This can be achieved by learning distinct embeddings for different granularities of time, e.g., years, months, days, hours, minutes, and seconds [199]. Alternatively, a learnable continuous time encoding using trigonometric functions can be employed [200], which provides a more fine-grained representation of time. After that, we introduce a temporal contextualization approach to empower UFM's temporal reasoning. This involves collecting and preparing datasets that are annotated with temporal tags or sequence indicators to highlight time-specific elements, e.g., timestamped news articles, social events, images, and trajectories. Besides, the time embeddings will be incorporated to enhance the temporal semantics of these datasets. The UFM are then fine-tuned using these temporally enriched datasets, aiming to emphasize temporal reasoning for UFM from multimodal perspectives.

D. Utility Augmentation

The utility of UFM lies in their ability to offer user-friendly interaction with users and integrate various additional models, functions, tools, and information sources in a coordinated way. It can significantly enhance the usability and effectiveness of urban data analysis.

1) *AI agents construction for user-friendly interactions:* To enhance user-friendliness and accessibility, AI agents³ can be designed to understand and respond to a wide range of user queries in a conversational and intuitive manner. This usually can be achieved by using an LLM such as ChatGPT to serve as the central agent for interaction, which is capable of processing multimodal input contents from users [201]. Users can input queries or data in forms like text, images, and geographic data, and the LLM, with its advanced understanding and processing abilities, interprets these inputs accurately. The versatility of the LLM in handling various forms of communication makes the UFM more approachable and easier to use, especially for those who may not be familiar with technical or specialized software interfaces [8].

2) *Intelligent coordination and external tools integration:* The central LLM agent is tasked with intelligently orchestrating the different unimodal UFM specialized in various urban data modalities [202]. It acts as a conduit, directing user queries to the appropriate unimodal UFM module for processing textual data, visual information, or any other specific urban data modality. This intelligent routing ensures that each query is handled by a coordination of the most suitable models, thereby enhancing the effectiveness and accuracy of the response.

Furthermore, the LLM agent is equipped to integrate and utilize external tools like databases, search engines, and knowledge graphs. Recent tool learning techniques [203] empower the LLM to extend its capabilities beyond its core functions. By accessing these external resources, the agent can improve and supplement its responses with a broader range of information, making the outputs more accurate, comprehensive, and informative. This integration is particularly beneficial for complex queries where additional contextual or background information is required [204]. Moreover, the ability to invoke specialized tools (e.g., GIS tools) largely enhances UFM's profession in the specific domains, which alleviates the notorious hallucination problem of foundation models and facilitates faithful inference [205].

E. Privacy Preservation

UFMs necessitate to be trained on vast multi-source urban data that are collected from different institutes or persons. However, these urban data often contain sensitive information, such as personal mobility patterns and social records, that can raise severe privacy concerns [206], [207]. Traditional centralized training methods require all data to be pooled in a single location, posing risks of data breaches or misuse. Fortunately, federated learning techniques [208] are developed to address this challenge by enabling models to learn from

³<https://github.com/Significant-Gravitas/AutoGPT>

decentralized data sources without the need to share the actual data, thereby protecting privacy and security.

Nevertheless, federated learning for training large models like UFM presents unique challenges compared to smaller models, primarily due to the increased memory, communication, and computation demands [209]. In the federated learning framework, training is distributed across multiple nodes (e.g., various urban data institutes or sources), where each node trains a local model on its data subset. However, unlike smaller models, the larger size and complexity of UFM necessitate more memory, computational resources and bandwidth for each node to process and transmit model updates. Additionally, the aggregation of these updates into a global model at a central server requires sophisticated techniques to effectively combine diverse, potentially non-IID data from various nodes. This aggregation must also account for the higher risk of overfitting and maintaining model stability that comes with large model architectures. To address these challenges, advanced federated learning strategies are employed, such as model parallelism [210], which partitions and distributes the foundation models across devices for parallel processing; parameter-efficient training methods [211], [212], which adopts the adapter or prompt tuning to fine-tune foundation models; model compression [213], which reduces model size through knowledge distillation [214], quantization [215], *etc.* These considerations ensure that the federated learning process is feasible and more efficient for large foundation models like UFM, enabling them to leverage diverse, decentralized data sources while maintaining data privacy and reducing the computational burden on individual nodes.

V. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we discuss several potential urban scenarios that can benefit from UFM. Although extensive efforts have already been made for these areas by using AI technologies, we envision UFM will enable more comprehensive solutions for each application domain.

A. Transportation

Intelligent transportation systems have profoundly revolutionized various aspects of our society, ranging from road safety [216] and public transportation [217] to traffic management decision-making [218]. Currently, an immense volume of data reflecting traffic dynamics has been generated and collected through a variety of sources, including social media feeds, digital government platforms, cameras, and massive Internet of Things (IoT) devices. Based on these data, a few studies have attempted to develop foundation models for transportation, such as TransGPT [219] and TFM [220]. Nevertheless, most of these approaches primarily focus on specific aspects of transportation, *e.g.*, natural language tasks. Developing a versatile UFM capable of addressing a diverse set of transportation tasks still remains an open problem. One major challenge lies in that data from transportation systems is inherently heterogeneous and multi-modal, which encompasses time series, trajectories, images, and text *etc.* Therefore, UFM need to effectively deal with the heterogeneity and

multi-modality of traffic data while achieving satisfactory performance across a wide spectrum of applications.

B. Urban Planning

Effective urban planning is crucial in developing intelligent, efficient, and eco-friendly cities. The widely available urban data, such as road network structure and human mobility, has indeed uncovered the underlying issues of a city, providing urban planners with valuable insights for making informed decisions [221]. UFM can bring substantial benefits to urban planning. On the one hand, they can assist in analyzing vast amounts of urban data, generating creative ideas to support urban planners and policymakers for better future planning formulation. On the other hand, UFM can also enhance the participatory planning process by mining public feedback from various digital platforms. For instance, citizens can have real-time interactions with UFM to provide suggestions about urban infrastructures, public transportation schedules, and health services. This results in a significant shift towards more inclusive and responsive urban planning, where the voice of the public plays an important role in shaping urban landscapes.

C. Energy Management

Artificial intelligence has proven to be beneficial for the operational procedures of urban energy systems [222]–[225]. In addition, the proliferation of urban energy data makes it possible to build domain-specific foundation models. Thus, the application of UFM in the domain of energy management holds great promise. As one of the initial efforts in this area, Huang et al. [226] explore a set of capabilities of existing foundation models (*i.e.*, GPT-4 and GPT-4 Vision), showcasing promising results in addressing intricate challenges in power systems. However, a potential challenge is that most energy data, such as household electricity consumption, naturally possess high sensitivity and personal privacy information, making the industry unwilling to disclose the trained models and related data to the public. Federated learning [208] could become an effective solution to fully unleash the potential of foundation models in energy management.

D. Environmental Monitoring

The rapid urbanization progress has generated numerous environmental issues, such as air pollution, water contamination, and resource depletion, posing tremendous threats to public health and cities' sustainable development. Recently, Saeid [227] introduced ChatClimate, a retrieval-augmented framework to enhance the professional skills of LLMs in the field of climate change by incorporating up-to-date domain-specific data. Furthermore, a series of research studies [80], [81] have developed specialized foundation models for climate-related tasks, using numerical data collected from satellites and in-situ sensors. Despite these advancements, the utilization of UFM for broader environmental problems, such as air pollution analysis [124], still remains under-explored. Large UFM, potentially integrated with existing LLMs, could significantly enrich existing research and further facilitate a wide range of environmental applications.

E. Public Safety and Security

Public safety and security is a critical aspect of urban life, directly impacting the well-being of citizens. Building foundation models for this area can advance various applications, including crime prevention, emergency response, and disaster management. Recently, some LLM-based approaches have been explored for public safety and security, such as TrafficSafetyGPT [228] and ChatLaw [229]. These models fine-tuned on instructions derived from human annotators and ChatGPT, demonstrate great promise in producing responses with reliable traffic safety and legal domain knowledge. Currently, the full potential of LLMs in enhancing urban public safety remains largely untapped. For example, LLM can analyze crime reports to discern emerging patterns or trends, helping law enforcement agencies identify potential hotspots of criminal activities and allocate resources more effectively. To achieve this goal, future research might focus on integrating external tools, such as search engines and crime prediction models, to improve the problem-solving capabilities of LLMs.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In conclusion, this paper has offered a comprehensive review of Urban Foundation Models (UFMs), underscoring their transformative potential in deepening our understanding of urban dynamics and significantly enhancing the realization of Urban General Intelligence (UGI). We began by defining UFMs and identifying the major challenges in their development. Our taxonomy of current UFM studies, based on urban data modalities and types, provides a clear framework for ongoing research. Additionally, our proposed solutions aim to surmount existing obstacles, paving the way for versatile and effective UFMs.

Looking ahead, the evolution of UFMs promises exciting advancements. We anticipate further research focusing on the integration and analysis of multimodal and multi-granular urban data, supporting an expansive range of urban applications. The development of UFMs capable of real-time data processing and providing timely urban insights remains a crucial area of focus. Enhancing their spatiotemporal reasoning capabilities will further amplify their effectiveness in dynamic urban environments. Moreover, as UFMs evolve, balancing the utility of urban data with privacy and security considerations will be paramount. Developing models that respect individual privacy while leveraging urban data for the greater good will be a significant challenge, but one that is essential for the ethical advancement of urban intelligence. We are enthusiastic about the future developments in this field and the myriad ways in which UFMs can contribute to smarter, more resilient, and adaptive urban living.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Ullah, F. Al-Turjman, L. Mostarda, and R. Gagliardi, "Applications of artificial intelligence and machine learning in smart cities," *Computer Communications*, vol. 154, pp. 313–323, 2020.
- [2] S. Nosratabadi, A. Mosavi, R. Keivani, S. Ardabili, and F. Aram, "State of the art survey of deep learning and machine learning models for smart cities and urban sustainability," in *International conference on global research and education*. Springer, 2019, pp. 228–238.
- [3] C. Kyrkou, P. Kolios, T. Theocharides, and M. Polycarpou, "Machine learning for emergency management: A survey and future outlook," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2022.
- [4] C. Zhou, Q. Li, C. Li, J. Yu, Y. Liu, G. Wang, K. Zhang, C. Ji, Q. Yan, L. He *et al.*, "A comprehensive survey on pretrained foundation models: A history from bert to chatgpt," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09419*, 2023.
- [5] R. Bommasani, D. A. Hudson, E. Adeli, R. Altman, S. Arora, S. von Arx, M. S. Bernstein, J. Bohg, A. Bosselut, E. Brunskill *et al.*, "On the opportunities and risks of foundation models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*, 2021.
- [6] J. Kaplan, S. McCandlish, T. Henighan, T. B. Brown, B. Chess, R. Child, S. Gray, A. Radford, J. Wu, and D. Amodei, "Scaling laws for neural language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08361*, 2020.
- [7] P. Balsebre, W. Huang, G. Cong, and Y. Li, "City foundation models for learning general purpose representations from openstreetmap," *arXiv e-prints*, pp. arXiv–2310, 2023.
- [8] S. Zhang, D. Fu, Z. Zhang, B. Yu, and P. Cai, "Trafficgpt: Viewing, processing and interacting with traffic foundation models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.06719*, 2023.
- [9] O. Zheng, M. Abdel-Aty, D. Wang, Z. Wang, and S. Ding, "Chatgpt is on the horizon: Could a large language model be all we need for intelligent transportation?" *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05382*, 2023.
- [10] S. Lai, Z. Xu, W. Zhang, H. Liu, and H. Xiong, "Large language models as traffic signal control agents: Capacity and opportunity," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16044*, 2023.
- [11] D. Magee and K. Johnson, "Steamlining urban planning with ai tools," *Planning News*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 11–12, 2023.
- [12] D. Wang, C.-T. Lu, and Y. Fu, "Towards automated urban planning: When generative and chatgpt-like ai meets urban planning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03892*, 2023.
- [13] F. Xu, J. Zhang, C. Gao, J. Feng, and Y. Li, "Urban generative intelligence (ugi): A foundational platform for agents in embodied city environment," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11813*, 2023.
- [14] J. Jakubik, S. Roy, C. Phillips, P. Fraccaro, D. Godwin, B. Zadrozny, D. Szwarcman, C. Gomes, G. Nyirjesy, B. Edwards *et al.*, "Foundation models for generalist geospatial artificial intelligence," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.18660*, 2023.
- [15] Y. Xie, Z. Wang, G. Mai, Y. Li, X. Jia, S. Gao, and S. Wang, "Geofoundation models: Reality, gaps and opportunities," in *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [16] G. Mai, W. Huang, J. Sun, S. Song, D. Mishra, N. Liu, S. Gao, T. Liu, G. Cong, Y. Hu *et al.*, "On the opportunities and challenges of foundation models for geospatial artificial intelligence," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06798*, 2023.
- [17] X. Liu, F. Zhang, Z. Hou, L. Mian, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, and J. Tang, "Self-supervised learning: Generative or contrastive," *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 857–876, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2021.3090866>
- [18] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep learning*. MIT press, 2016.
- [19] A. Radford, K. Narasimhan, T. Salimans, I. Sutskever *et al.*, "Improving language understanding by generative pre-training," 2018.
- [20] R. Hadsell, S. Chopra, and Y. LeCun, "Dimensionality reduction by learning an invariant mapping," in *2006 IEEE computer society conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR'06)*, vol. 2. IEEE, 2006, pp. 1735–1742.
- [21] E. J. Hu, Y. Shen, P. Wallis, Z. Allen-Zhu, Y. Li, S. Wang, L. Wang, and W. Chen, "Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- [22] M. Jia, L. Tang, B.-C. Chen, C. Cardie, S. Belongie, B. Hariharan, and S.-N. Lim, "Visual prompt tuning," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2022, pp. 709–727.
- [23] P. Liu, W. Yuan, J. Fu, Z. Jiang, H. Hayashi, and G. Neubig, "Pre-train, prompt, and predict: A systematic survey of prompting methods in natural language processing," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 195:1–195:35, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3560815>
- [24] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, I. Sutskever *et al.*, "Language models are unsupervised multitask learners," *OpenAI blog*, vol. 1, no. 8, p. 9, 2019.
- [25] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [26] Z. Yang, Z. Dai, Y. Yang, J. Carbonell, R. R. Salakhutdinov, and Q. V. Le, "Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language

- understanding,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [27] W. X. Zhao, K. Zhou, J. Li, T. Tang, X. Wang, Y. Hou, Y. Min, B. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Dong *et al.*, “A survey of large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18223*, 2023.
- [28] J. Huang, H. Wang, Y. Sun, Y. Shi, Z. Huang, A. Zhuo, and S. Feng, “Ernie-geol: A geography-and-language pre-trained model and its applications in baidu maps,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2022, pp. 3029–3039.
- [29] R. Ding, B. Chen, P. Xie, F. Huang, X. Li, Q. Zhang, and Y. Xu, “Mgeo: Multi-modal geographic language model pre-training,” in *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 2023, pp. 185–194.
- [30] W. Gurnee and M. Tegmark, “Language models represent space and time,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02207*, 2023.
- [31] Y. Ji and S. Gao, “Evaluating the effectiveness of large language models in representing textual descriptions of geometry and spatial relations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03678*, 2023.
- [32] P. Bhandari, A. Anastasopoulos, and D. Pfoser, “Are large language models geospatially knowledgeable?” in *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [33] J. Fu, H. Han, X. Su, and C. Fan, “Towards human-ai collaborative urban science research enabled by pre-trained large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11418*, 2023.
- [34] J. Roberts, T. Lüddecke, S. Das, K. Han, and S. Albanie, “Gpt4geo: How a language model sees the world’s geography,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00020*, 2023.
- [35] P. Mooney, W. Cui, B. Guan, and L. Juhász, “Towards understanding the geospatial skills of chatgpt: Taking a geographic information systems (gis) exam,” in *Proceedings of the 6th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on AI for Geographic Knowledge Discovery*, 2023, pp. 85–94.
- [36] M. Aghzal, E. Plaku, and Z. Yao, “Can large language models be good path planners? a benchmark and investigation on spatial-temporal reasoning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03249*, 2023.
- [37] R. Manvi, S. Khanna, G. Mai, M. Burke, D. Lobell, and S. Ermon, “Geollm: Extracting geospatial knowledge from large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06213*, 2023.
- [38] G. Mai, C. Cundy, K. Choi, Y. Hu, N. Lao, and S. Ermon, “Towards a foundation model for geospatial artificial intelligence (vision paper),” in *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, 2022, pp. 1–4.
- [39] Z. Li, J. Kim, Y.-Y. Chiang, and M. Chen, “Spabert: A pretrained language model from geographic data for geo-entity representation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.12213*, 2022.
- [40] Z. Li, W. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chiang, and M. Chen, “Geollm: Empowering language models for geospatially grounded language understanding,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14478*, 2023.
- [41] J. Xie, Y. Liang, J. Liu, Y. Xiao, B. Wu, and S. Ni, “Quert: Continual pre-training of language model for query understanding in travel domain search,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.06707*, 2023.
- [42] L. Mei, J. Mao, J. Hu, N. Tan, H. Chai, and J.-R. Wen, “Improving first-stage retrieval of point-of-interest search by pre-training models,” *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 1–27, 2023.
- [43] H. Touvron, T. Lavril, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozière, N. Goyal, E. Hambro, F. Azhar *et al.*, “Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [44] Z. Du, Y. Qian, X. Liu, M. Ding, J. Qiu, Z. Yang, and J. Tang, “Glm: General language model pretraining with autoregressive blank infilling,” in *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2022, pp. 320–335.
- [45] X. Wang, X. Ling, T. Zhang, X. Li, S. Wang, Z. Li, L. Zhang, and P. Gong, “Optimizing and fine-tuning large language model for urban renewal,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15490*, 2023.
- [46] Y. Wang, C. M. Albrecht, N. A. A. Braham, L. Mou, and X. X. Zhu, “Self-supervised learning in remote sensing: A review,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.13188*, 2022.
- [47] X. L. Li and P. Liang, “Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00190*, 2021.
- [48] C. Deng, T. Zhang, Z. He, Q. Chen, Y. Shi, L. Zhou, L. Fu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Zhou *et al.*, “Learning a foundation language model for geoscience knowledge understanding and utilization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05064*, 2023.
- [49] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark *et al.*, “Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision,” in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763.
- [50] A. Kirillov, E. Mintun, N. Ravi, H. Mao, C. Rolland, L. Gustafson, T. Xiao, S. Whitehead, A. C. Berg, W.-Y. Lo *et al.*, “Segment anything,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02643*, 2023.
- [51] Y. Bai, X. Geng, K. Mangalam, A. Bar, A. Yuille, T. Darrell, J. Malik, and A. A. Efros, “Sequential modeling enables scalable learning for large vision models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00785*, 2023.
- [52] T. Chen, S. Kornblith, M. Norouzi, and G. Hinton, “A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations,” in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 1597–1607.
- [53] K. He, H. Fan, Y. Wu, S. Xie, and R. Girshick, “Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 9729–9738.
- [54] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, “Deep residual learning for image recognition,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [55] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, “Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database,” in *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. Ieee, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [56] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly *et al.*, “An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [57] K. He, X. Chen, S. Xie, Y. Li, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick, “Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 16000–16009.
- [58] F. Biljecki and K. Ito, “Street view imagery in urban analytics and gis: A review,” *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 215, p. 104217, 2021.
- [59] N. Buch, S. A. Velastin, and J. Orwell, “A review of computer vision techniques for the analysis of urban traffic,” *IEEE Transactions on intelligent transportation systems*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 920–939, 2011.
- [60] S. Law, B. Paige, and C. Russell, “Take a look around: using street view and satellite images to estimate house prices,” *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1–19, 2019.
- [61] Y. Shi, F. Lv, X. Wang, C. Xia, S. Li, S. Yang, T. Xi, and G. Zhang, “Open-transmind: A new baseline and benchmark for 1st foundation model challenge of intelligent transportation,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 6327–6334.
- [62] Y. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Ding, Y. Xi, and Y. Li, “Knowledge-infused contrastive learning for urban imagery-based socioeconomic prediction,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, 2023, pp. 4150–4160.
- [63] T. Gebru, J. Krause, Y. Wang, D. Chen, J. Deng, E. L. Aiden, and L. Fei-Fei, “Using deep learning and google street view to estimate the demographic makeup of neighborhoods across the united states,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 114, no. 50, pp. 13 108–13 113, 2017.
- [64] Z. Wang, H. Li, and R. Rajagopal, “Urban2vec: Incorporating street view imagery and pois for multi-modal urban neighborhood embedding,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 01, 2020, pp. 1013–1020.
- [65] T. Li, S. Xin, Y. Xi, S. Tarkoma, P. Hui, and Y. Li, “Predicting multi-level socioeconomic indicators from structural urban imagery,” in *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, 2022, pp. 3282–3291.
- [66] H. J. Miller, “Tobler’s first law and spatial analysis,” *Annals of the association of American geographers*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 284–289, 2004.
- [67] K. Ayush, B. Uzket, C. Meng, K. Tanmay, M. Burke, D. Lobell, and S. Ermon, “Geography-aware self-supervised learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 10 181–10 190.
- [68] O. Manas, A. Lacoste, X. Giró-i Nieto, D. Vazquez, and P. Rodriguez, “Seasonal contrast: Unsupervised pre-training from uncurated remote sensing data,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 9414–9423.
- [69] D. Wang, Q. Zhang, Y. Xu, J. Zhang, B. Du, D. Tao, and L. Zhang, “Advancing plain vision transformer toward remote sensing founda-

- tion model,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, pp. 1–15, 2022.
- [70] C. J. Reed, R. Gupta, S. Li, S. Brockman, C. Funk, B. Clipp, K. Keutzer, S. Candido, M. Uyttendaele, and T. Darrell, “Scale-mae: A scale-aware masked autoencoder for multiscale geospatial representation learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023, pp. 4088–4099.
- [71] K. Cha, J. Seo, and T. Lee, “A billion-scale foundation model for remote sensing images,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05215*, 2023.
- [72] X. Sun, P. Wang, W. Lu, Z. Zhu, X. Lu, Q. He, J. Li, X. Rong, Z. Yang, H. Chang *et al.*, “Ringmo: A remote sensing foundation model with masked image modeling,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2022.
- [73] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, and B. Guo, “Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 10012–10022.
- [74] F. Yao, W. Lu, H. Yang, L. Xu, C. Liu, L. Hu, H. Yu, N. Liu, C. Deng, D. Tang *et al.*, “Ringmo-sense: Remote sensing foundation model for spatiotemporal prediction via spatiotemporal evolution disentangling,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2023.
- [75] G. Mai, N. Lao, Y. He, J. Song, and S. Ermon, “Csp: Self-supervised contrastive spatial pre-training for geospatial-visual representations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.01118*, 2023.
- [76] R. Lam, A. Sanchez-Gonzalez, M. Willson, P. Wirnsberger, M. Fortunato, F. Alet, S. Ravuri, T. Ewalds, Z. Eaton-Rosen, W. Hu *et al.*, “Learning skillful medium-range global weather forecasting,” *Science*, p. eadi2336, 2023.
- [77] S. Chen, G. Long, J. Jiang, D. Liu, and C. Zhang, “Foundation models for weather and climate data understanding: A comprehensive survey,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03014*, 2023.
- [78] J. Pathak, S. Subramanian, P. Harrington, S. Raja, A. Chattopadhyay, M. Mardani, T. Kurth, D. Hall, Z. Li, K. Azizzadenesheli *et al.*, “Fourcastnet: A global data-driven high-resolution weather model using adaptive fourier neural operators,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.11214*, 2022.
- [79] K. Bi, L. Xie, H. Zhang, X. Chen, X. Gu, and Q. Tian, “Accurate medium-range global weather forecasting with 3d neural networks,” *Nature*, vol. 619, no. 7970, pp. 533–538, 2023.
- [80] T. Nguyen, J. Brandstetter, A. Kapoor, J. K. Gupta, and A. Grover, “Climax: A foundation model for weather and climate,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.10343*, 2023.
- [81] X. Man, C. Zhang, C. Li, and J. Shao, “W-mae: Pre-trained weather model with masked autoencoder for multi-variable weather forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08754*, 2023.
- [82] K. Chen, T. Han, J. Gong, L. Bai, F. Ling, J.-J. Luo, X. Chen, L. Ma, T. Zhang, R. Su *et al.*, “Fengwu: Pushing the skillful global medium-range weather forecast beyond 10 days lead,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02948*, 2023.
- [83] J. Guibas, M. Mardani, Z. Li, A. Tao, A. Anandkumar, and B. Catanzaro, “Adaptive fourier neural operators: Efficient token mixers for transformers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.13587*, 2021.
- [84] D. Wang, J. Zhang, B. Du, M. Xu, L. Liu, D. Tao, and L. Zhang, “Samrs: Scaling-up remote sensing segmentation dataset with segment anything model,” in *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2023.
- [85] K. Chen, C. Liu, H. Chen, H. Zhang, W. Li, Z. Zou, and Z. Shi, “Rsprompter: Learning to prompt for remote sensing instance segmentation based on visual foundation model,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16269*, 2023.
- [86] J. Roberts, T. Lüddecke, R. Sheikh, K. Han, and S. Albanie, “Charting new territories: Exploring the geographic and geospatial capabilities of multimodal llms,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14656*, 2023.
- [87] T. Kojima, S. S. Gu, M. Reid, Y. Matsuo, and Y. Iwasawa, “Large language models are zero-shot reasoners,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 35, pp. 22 199–22 213, 2022.
- [88] R. I. Sultan, C. Li, H. Zhu, P. Khanduri, M. Brocanelli, and D. Zhu, “Geosam: Fine-tuning sam with sparse and dense visual prompting for automated segmentation of mobility infrastructure,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11319*, 2023.
- [89] Z. Yan, J. Li, X. Li, R. Zhou, W. Zhang, Y. Feng, W. Diao, K. Fu, and X. Sun, “Ringmo-sam: A foundation model for segment anything in multimodal remote-sensing images,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, pp. 1–16, 2023.
- [90] Y. Zhang, F. Zhang, and N. Chen, “Migratable urban street scene sensing method based on vision language pre-trained model,” *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, vol. 113, p. 102989, 2022.
- [91] L. Haas, S. Alberti, and M. Skreta, “Learning generalized zero-shot learners for open-domain image geolocalization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00275*, 2023.
- [92] X. Li, K. Zhao, G. Cong, C. S. Jensen, and W. Wei, “Deep representation learning for trajectory similarity computation,” in *34th IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE 2018, Paris, France, April 16-19, 2018*. IEEE Computer Society, 2018, pp. 617–628. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE.2018.00062>
- [93] D. Yao, C. Zhang, Z. Zhu, J. Huang, and J. Bi, “Trajectory clustering via deep representation learning,” in *2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, IJCNN 2017, Anchorage, AK, USA, May 14-19, 2017*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 3880–3887. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.2017.7966345>
- [94] I. Sutskever, O. Vinyals, and Q. V. Le, “Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2014, December 8-13 2014, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*, Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N. D. Lawrence, and K. Q. Weinberger, Eds., 2014, pp. 3104–3112. [Online]. Available: <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2014/hash/a14ac55a4f27472c5d894ec1c3c743d2-Abstract.html>
- [95] T. Fu and W. Lee, “Trembr: Exploring road networks for trajectory representation learning,” *ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 10:1–10:25, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3361741>
- [96] Y. Chen, X. Li, G. Cong, Z. Bao, C. Long, Y. Liu, A. K. Chandran, and R. Ellison, “Robust road network representation learning: When traffic patterns meet traveling semantics,” in *CIKM ’21: The 30th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Virtual Event, Queensland, Australia, November 1 - 5, 2021*, G. Demartini, G. Zuccon, J. S. Culpepper, Z. Huang, and H. Tong, Eds. ACM, 2021, pp. 211–220. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3459637.3482293>
- [97] S. B. Yang, C. Guo, J. Hu, J. Tang, and B. Yang, “Unsupervised path representation learning with curriculum negative sampling,” in *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2021, Virtual Event / Montreal, Canada, 19-27 August 2021*, Z. Zhou, Ed. ijcai.org, 2021, pp. 3286–3292. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2021/452>
- [98] S. B. Yang, J. Hu, C. Guo, B. Yang, and C. S. Jensen, “Lightpath: Lightweight and scalable path representation learning,” in *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2023, Long Beach, CA, USA, August 6-10, 2023*, A. K. Singh, Y. Sun, L. Akoglu, D. Gunopulos, X. Yan, R. Kumar, F. Ozcan, and J. Ye, Eds. ACM, 2023, pp. 2999–3010. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3580305.3599415>
- [99] M. Hu, Z. Zhong, X. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Xie, X. Jia, X. Zhou, and J. Luo, “Self-supervised pre-training for robust and generic spatial-temporal representations,” *ICDM*, 2023.
- [100] Y. Lin, H. Wan, S. Guo, J. Hu, C. S. Jensen, and Y. Lin, “Pre-training general trajectory embeddings with maximum multi-view entropy coding,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2023.
- [101] H. Liu, J. Han, Y. Fu, Y. Li, K. Chen, and H. Xiong, “Unified route representation learning for multi-modal transportation recommendation with spatiotemporal pre-training,” *Vldb J.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 325–342, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00778-022-00748-y>
- [102] J. Jiang, D. Pan, H. Ren, X. Jiang, C. Li, and J. Wang, “Self-supervised trajectory representation learning with temporal regularities and travel semantics,” in *39th IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering, ICDE 2023, Anaheim, CA, USA, April 3-7, 2023*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 843–855. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE55515.2023.00070>
- [103] J. Feng, Z. Yang, F. Xu, H. Yu, M. Wang, and Y. Li, “Learning to simulate human mobility,” in *KDD ’20: The 26th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Virtual Event, CA, USA, August 23-27, 2020*, R. Gupta, Y. Liu, J. Tang, and B. A. Prakash, Eds. ACM, 2020, pp. 3426–3433. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3394486.3412862>
- [104] F. Zhou, Y. Dai, Q. Gao, P. Wang, and T. Zhong, “Self-supervised human mobility learning for next location prediction and trajectory classification,” *Knowl. Based Syst.*, vol. 228, p. 107214, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2021.107214>
- [105] Y. Lin, H. Wan, S. Guo, and Y. Lin, “Pre-training context and time aware location embeddings from spatial-temporal trajectories for user

- next location prediction,” in *Thirty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 2021, pp. 4241–4248. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v35i5.16548>
- [106] L. Gong, Y. Lin, S. Guo, Y. Lin, T. Wang, E. Zheng, Z. Zhou, and H. Wan, “Contrastive pre-training with adversarial perturbations for check-in sequence representation learning,” in *Thirty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, B. Williams, Y. Chen, and J. Neville, Eds. AAAI Press, 2023, pp. 4276–4283.
- [107] V. Gupta and S. Bedathur, “Region invariant normalizing flows for mobility transfer,” in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, 2021, pp. 3053–3057.
- [108] —, “Doing more with less: overcoming data scarcity for poi recommendation via cross-region transfer,” *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1–24, 2022.
- [109] K. Sun, T. Qian, C. Li, X. Ma, Q. Li, M. Zhong, Y. Zhu, and M. Liu, “Pre-training across different cities for next POI recommendation,” *ACM Trans. Web*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 31:1–31:27, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3605554>
- [110] OpenAI *et al.*, “Gpt-4 technical report,” 2023.
- [111] H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone, P. Albert, A. Almahairi, Y. Babaei, N. Bashlykov, S. Batra, P. Bhargava, S. Bhosale *et al.*, “Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [112] H. Xue, B. P. Voutharoja, and F. D. Salim, “Leveraging language foundation models for human mobility forecasting,” in *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems, SIGSPATIAL 2022, Seattle, Washington, November 1-4, 2022*, M. Renz and M. Sarwat, Eds. ACM, 2022, pp. 90:1–90:9. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3557915.3561026>
- [113] X. Wang, M. Fang, Z. Zeng, and T. Cheng, “Where would I go next? large language models as human mobility predictors,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2308.15197, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.15197>
- [114] Z. Zhang, H. Amiri, Z. Liu, A. Züfle, and L. Zhao, “Large language models for spatial trajectory patterns mining,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2310.04942, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.04942>
- [115] Y. Liang, Y. Liu, X. Wang, and Z. Zhao, “Exploring large language models for human mobility prediction under public events,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2311.17351, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.17351>
- [116] A. Keysan, A. Look, E. Kosman, G. Gürsun, J. Wagner, Y. Yao, and B. Rakitsch, “Can you text what is happening? integrating pre-trained language encoders into trajectory prediction models for autonomous driving,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2309.05282, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.05282>
- [117] J. Mao, Y. Qian, H. Zhao, and Y. Wang, “Gpt-driver: Learning to drive with GPT,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2310.01415, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.01415>
- [118] H. Sha, Y. Mu, Y. Jiang, L. Chen, C. Xu, P. Luo, S. E. Li, M. Tomizuka, W. Zhan, and M. Ding, “Languagempc: Large language models as decision makers for autonomous driving,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/2310.03026, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.03026>
- [119] Y. Ning, H. Liu, H. Wang, Z. Zeng, and H. Xiong, “Uukg: Unified urban knowledge graph dataset for urban spatiotemporal prediction,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11443*, 2023.
- [120] W. Zhang, H. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Zhou, and H. Xiong, “Semi-supervised hierarchical recurrent graph neural network for city-wide parking availability prediction,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 01, 2020, pp. 1186–1193.
- [121] F. Liu, H. Liu, and W. Jiang, “Practical adversarial attacks on spatiotemporal traffic forecasting models,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 19035–19047, 2022.
- [122] J. Han, H. Liu, H. Xiong, and J. Yang, “Semi-supervised air quality forecasting via self-supervised hierarchical graph neural network,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 5230–5243, 2022.
- [123] J. Han, H. Liu, H. Zhu, and H. Xiong, “Kill two birds with one stone: A multi-view multi-adversarial learning approach for joint air quality and weather prediction,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2023.
- [124] J. Han, W. Zhang, H. Liu, and H. Xiong, “Machine learning for urban air quality analytics: A survey,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09620*, 2023.
- [125] H. Xue and F. D. Salim, “Promptcast: A new prompt-based learning paradigm for time series forecasting,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2023.
- [126] Z. Shao, Z. Zhang, F. Wang, and Y. Xu, “Pre-training enhanced spatial-temporal graph neural network for multivariate time series forecasting,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2022, pp. 1567–1577.
- [127] B. N. Oreshkin, D. Carпов, N. Chapados, and Y. Bengio, “Meta-learning framework with applications to zero-shot time-series forecasting,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 10, 2021, pp. 9242–9250.
- [128] K. Rasul, A. Ashok, A. R. Williams, A. Khorasani, G. Adamopoulos, R. Bhagwatkar, M. Biloš, H. Ghonia, N. V. Hassen, A. Schneider *et al.*, “Lag-llama: Towards foundation models for time series forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08278*, 2023.
- [129] R. Cai, J. Chen, Z. Li, W. Chen, K. Zhang, J. Ye, Z. Li, X. Yang, and Z. Zhang, “Time series domain adaptation via sparse associative structure alignment,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 8, 2021, pp. 6859–6867.
- [130] G. Wilson, J. R. Doppa, and D. J. Cook, “Multi-source deep domain adaptation with weak supervision for time-series sensor data,” in *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, 2020, pp. 1768–1778.
- [131] M. Ragab, E. Eldele, Z. Chen, M. Wu, C.-K. Kwok, and X. Li, “Self-supervised autoregressive domain adaptation for time series data,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2022.
- [132] Q. Ma, Z. Liu, Z. Zheng, Z. Huang, S. Zhu, Z. Yu, and J. T. Kwok, “A survey on time-series pre-trained models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10716*, 2023.
- [133] G. Zerveas, S. Jayaraman, D. Patel, A. Bhamidipaty, and C. Eickhoff, “A transformer-based framework for multivariate time series representation learning,” in *Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, 2021, pp. 2114–2124.
- [134] J. Dong, H. Wu, H. Zhang, L. Zhang, J. Wang, and M. Long, “Simtm: A simple pre-training framework for masked time-series modeling,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00861*, 2023.
- [135] Y. Nie, N. H. Nguyen, P. Sinthong, and J. Kalagnanam, “A time series is worth 64 words: Long-term forecasting with transformers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.14730*, 2022.
- [136] V. Ekambaram, A. Jati, N. Nguyen, P. Sinthong, and J. Kalagnanam, “Tsmixer: Lightweight mlp-mixer model for multivariate time series forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09364*, 2023.
- [137] H. Liu, J. Gan, X. Fan, Y. Zhang, C. Luo, J. Zhang, G. Jiang, Y. Qian, C. Zhao, H. Ma *et al.*, “Pt-tuning: Bridging the gap between time series masked reconstruction and forecasting via prompt token tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03768*, 2023.
- [138] J.-Y. Franceschi, A. Dieuleveut, and M. Jaggi, “Unsupervised scalable representation learning for multivariate time series,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [139] E. Eldele, M. Ragab, Z. Chen, M. Wu, C. K. Kwok, X. Li, and C. Guan, “Time-series representation learning via temporal and contextual contrasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.14112*, 2021.
- [140] G. Woo, C. Liu, D. Sahoo, A. Kumar, and S. Hoi, “Cost: Contrastive learning of disentangled seasonal-trend representations for time series forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.01575*, 2022.
- [141] S. Tonekaboni, D. Eytan, and A. Goldenberg, “Unsupervised representation learning for time series with temporal neighborhood coding,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.00750*, 2021.
- [142] Z. Yue, Y. Wang, J. Duan, T. Yang, C. Huang, Y. Tong, and B. Xu, “Ts2vec: Towards universal representation of time series,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 36, no. 8, 2022, pp. 8980–8987.
- [143] X. Zheng, X. Chen, M. Schürch, A. Mollaysa, A. Allam, and M. Krauthammer, “Simts: Rethinking contrastive representation learning for time series forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18205*, 2023.
- [144] X. Liu, J. Hu, Y. Li, S. Diao, Y. Liang, B. Hooi, and R. Zimmermann, “Unitime: A language-empowered unified model for cross-domain time series forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09751*, 2023.
- [145] L. Wang, X. Geng, X. Ma, F. Liu, and Q. Yang, “Cross-city transfer learning for deep spatio-temporal prediction,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.00386*, 2018.
- [146] H. Yao, Y. Liu, Y. Wei, X. Tang, and Z. Li, “Learning from multiple cities: A meta-learning approach for spatial-temporal prediction,” in *The world wide web conference*, 2019, pp. 2181–2191.
- [147] L. Zhao, M. Gao, and Z. Wang, “St-gsp: Spatial-temporal global semantic representation learning for urban flow prediction,” in *Pro-*

- ceedings of the Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, 2022, pp. 1443–1451.
- [148] Z. Pan, Y. Liang, W. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Zheng, and J. Zhang, “Urban traffic prediction from spatio-temporal data using deep meta learning,” in *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, 2019, pp. 1720–1730.
- [149] Y. Jin, K. Chen, and Q. Yang, “Selective cross-city transfer learning for traffic prediction via source city region re-weighting,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2022, pp. 731–741.
- [150] B. Lu, X. Gan, W. Zhang, H. Yao, L. Fu, and X. Wang, “Spatio-temporal graph few-shot learning with cross-city knowledge transfer,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2022, pp. 1162–1172.
- [151] Y. Tang, A. Qu, A. H. Chow, W. H. Lam, S. Wong, and W. Ma, “Domain adversarial spatial-temporal network: a transferable framework for short-term traffic forecasting across cities,” in *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, 2022, pp. 1905–1915.
- [152] X. Liu, Y. Liang, C. Huang, Y. Zheng, B. Hooi, and R. Zimmermann, “When do contrastive learning signals help spatio-temporal graph forecasting?” in *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, 2022, pp. 1–12.
- [153] Y. Jin, K. Chen, and Q. Yang, “Transferable graph structure learning for graph-based traffic forecasting across cities,” in *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2023, pp. 1032–1043.
- [154] X. Zhang, Y. Gong, X. Zhang, X. Wu, C. Zhang, and X. Dong, “Mask-and contrast-enhanced spatio-temporal learning for urban flow prediction,” in *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, 2023, pp. 3298–3307.
- [155] Z. Liu, G. Zheng, and Y. Yu, “Cross-city few-shot traffic forecasting via traffic pattern bank,” in *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, 2023, pp. 1451–1460.
- [156] Z. Li, L. Xia, Y. Xu, and C. Huang, “Gpt-st: Generative pre-training of spatio-temporal graph neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04245*, 2023.
- [157] X. Sun, H. Cheng, J. Li, B. Liu, and J. Guan, “All in one: Multi-task prompting for graph neural networks,” 2023.
- [158] J. Wang, G. Bai, W. Cheng, Z. Chen, L. Zhao, and H. Chen, “Prompt-based domain discrimination for multi-source time series domain adaptation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12276*, 2023.
- [159] Z. Zhang, X. Zhao, Q. Liu, C. Zhang, Q. Ma, W. Wang, H. Zhao, Y. Wang, and Z. Liu, “Promptst: Prompt-enhanced spatio-temporal multi-attribute prediction,” in *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, 2023, pp. 3195–3205.
- [160] S. Chen, G. Long, T. Shen, and J. Jiang, “Prompt federated learning for weather forecasting: Toward foundation models on meteorological data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.09152*, 2023.
- [161] S. Chen, G. Long, T. Shen, T. Zhou, and J. Jiang, “Spatial-temporal prompt learning for federated weather forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14244*, 2023.
- [162] C.-H. H. Yang, Y.-Y. Tsai, and P.-Y. Chen, “Voice2series: Reprogramming acoustic models for time series classification,” in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 11 808–11 819.
- [163] M. Jin, Q. Wen, Y. Liang, C. Zhang, S. Xue, X. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Chen, X. Li *et al.*, “Large models for time series and spatio-temporal data: A survey and outlook,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10196*, 2023.
- [164] N. Gruver, M. Finzi, S. Qiu, and A. G. Wilson, “Large language models are zero-shot time series forecasters,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07820*, 2023.
- [165] T. Zhou, P. Niu, X. Wang, L. Sun, and R. Jin, “One fits all: Power general time series analysis by pretrained lm,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- [166] T. Zhou, P.-S. Niu, X. Wang, L. Sun, and R. Jin, “One fits all: Universal time series analysis by pretrained lm and specially designed adaptors,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14782*, 2023.
- [167] C. Chang, W.-C. Peng, and T.-F. Chen, “Llm4ts: Two-stage fine-tuning for time-series forecasting with pre-trained llms,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08469*, 2023.
- [168] D. Cao, F. Jia, S. O. Arik, T. Pfister, Y. Zheng, W. Ye, and Y. Liu, “Tempo: Prompt-based generative pre-trained transformer for time series forecasting,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04948*, 2023.
- [169] Y. Chen, X. Wang, and G. Xu, “Gatgpt: A pre-trained large language model with graph attention network for spatiotemporal imputation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14332*, 2023.
- [170] M. Jin, S. Wang, L. Ma, Z. Chu, J. Y. Zhang, X. Shi, P.-Y. Chen, Y. Liang, Y.-F. Li, S. Pan *et al.*, “Time-llm: Time series forecasting by reprogramming large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01728*, 2023.
- [171] C. Sun, Y. Li, H. Li, and S. Hong, “Test: Text prototype aligned embedding to activate llm’s ability for time series,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08241*, 2023.
- [172] Y. Yan, H. Wen, S. Zhong, W. Chen, H. Chen, Q. Wen, R. Zimmermann, and Y. Liang, “When urban region profiling meets large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.18340*, 2023.
- [173] C. Zhao, X. Wang, Y. Lv, Y. Tian, Y. Lin, and F.-Y. Wang, “Parallel transportation in transverse: From foundation models to decastr,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023.
- [174] R. Shao, C. Yang, Q. Li, Q. Zhu, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Tang, D. Liu, S. Yang *et al.*, “Allspark: a multimodal spatiotemporal general model,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00546*, 2023.
- [175] Y. Zhang, C. Wei, S. Wu, Z. He, and W. Yu, “Geogpt: Understanding and processing geospatial tasks through an autonomous gpt,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07930*, 2023.
- [176] R. Schumann, W. Zhu, W. Feng, T.-J. Fu, S. Riezler, and W. Y. Wang, “Velma: Verbalization embodiment of llm agents for vision and language navigation in street view,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06082*, 2023.
- [177] N. Tempelmeier, S. Gottschalk, and E. Demidova, “Geovectors: A linked open corpus of openstreetmap embeddings on world scale,” in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, 2021, pp. 4604–4612.
- [178] Y. Chang, E. Tanin, X. Cao, and J. Qi, “Spatial structure-aware road network embedding via graph contrastive learning,” *Advances in Database Technology-EDBT*, vol. 26, pp. 144–156, 2023.
- [179] J. Jokar Arsanjani, A. Zipf, P. Mooney, and M. Helbich, “An introduction to openstreetmap in geographic information science: Experiences, research, and applications,” *OpenStreetMap in GIScience: Experiences, research, and applications*, pp. 1–15, 2015.
- [180] M. Villarreal, B. Poudel, and W. Li, “Can chatgpt enable its? the case of mixed traffic control via reinforcement learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08094*, 2023.
- [181] L. Da, M. Gao, H. Mei, and H. Wei, “Llm powered sim-to-real transfer for traffic signal control,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14284*, 2023.
- [182] M. M. Rathore, A. Paul, W.-H. Hong, H. Seo, I. Awan, and S. Saeed, “Exploiting iot and big data analytics: Defining smart digital city using real-time urban data,” *Sustainable cities and society*, vol. 40, pp. 600–610, 2018.
- [183] H. Luo, J. Zhou, Z. Bao, S. Li, J. S. Culpepper, H. Ying, H. Liu, and H. Xiong, “Spatial object recommendation with hints: When spatial granularity matters,” in *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 2020, pp. 781–790.
- [184] O. Alvear, C. T. Calafate, J.-C. Cano, and P. Manzoni, “Crowdsensing in smart cities: Overview, platforms, and environment sensing issues,” *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 460, 2018.
- [185] H. Xin, X. Lu, T. Xu, H. Liu, J. Gu, D. Dou, and H. Xiong, “Out-of-town recommendation with travel intention modeling,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 5, 2021, pp. 4529–4536.
- [186] J. Chen, H. Zhang, W. Li, and R. Shibasaki, “Spatio-temporal data preprocessing technologies,” in *Big Data and Mobility as a Service*. Elsevier, 2022, pp. 25–75.
- [187] M. S. Gal and D. L. Rubinfield, “Data standardization,” *NYUL Rev.*, vol. 94, p. 737, 2019.
- [188] S. Hadlak, C. Tominski, H.-J. Schulz, and H. Schumann, “Visualization of attributed hierarchical structures in a spatiotemporal context,” *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1497–1513, 2010.
- [189] S. Shekhar, M. R. Evans, J. M. Kang, and P. Mohan, “Identifying patterns in spatial information: A survey of methods,” *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 193–214, 2011.
- [190] G. Atluri, A. Karpatne, and V. Kumar, “Spatio-temporal data mining: A survey of problems and methods,” *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1–41, 2018.
- [191] C. Zhong, T. Wang, W. Zeng, and S. Müller Arisona, “Spatiotemporal visualisation: A survey and outlook,” *Digital Urban Modeling and Simulation*, pp. 299–317, 2012.

- [192] T. Baltrušaitis, C. Ahuja, and L.-P. Morency, “Multimodal machine learning: A survey and taxonomy,” *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 423–443, 2018.
- [193] S. Wu, H. Fei, L. Qu, W. Ji, and T.-S. Chua, “Next-gpt: Any-to-any multimodal llm,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.05519*, 2023.
- [194] J. Gao, P. Li, Z. Chen, and J. Zhang, “A survey on deep learning for multimodal data fusion,” *Neural Computation*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 829–864, 2020.
- [195] B. Lester, R. Al-Rfou, and N. Constant, “The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08691*, 2021.
- [196] R. Wu, G. Luo, J. Shao, L. Tian, and C. Peng, “Location prediction on trajectory data: A review,” *Big data mining and analytics*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 108–127, 2018.
- [197] P.-Y. Chen, “Model reprogramming: Resource-efficient cross-domain machine learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10629*, 2022.
- [198] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, “Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781*, 2013.
- [199] S. M. Shankaranarayana and D. Runje, “Attention augmented convolutional transformer for tabular time-series,” in *2021 International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 537–541.
- [200] S. N. Shukla and B. Marlin, “Multi-time attention networks for irregularly sampled time series,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [201] L. Wang, C. Ma, X. Feng, Z. Zhang, H. Yang, J. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Tang, X. Chen, Y. Lin *et al.*, “A survey on large language model based autonomous agents,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11432*, 2023.
- [202] Y. Shen, K. Song, X. Tan, D. Li, W. Lu, and Y. Zhuang, “Hugginggpt: Solving ai tasks with chatgpt and its friends in huggingface,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17580*, 2023.
- [203] Y. Qin, S. Hu, Y. Lin, W. Chen, N. Ding, G. Cui, Z. Zeng, Y. Huang, C. Xiao, C. Han *et al.*, “Tool learning with foundation models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08354*, 2023.
- [204] Y. Qin, S. Liang, Y. Ye, K. Zhu, L. Yan, Y. Lu, Y. Lin, X. Cong, X. Tang, B. Qian *et al.*, “Toollm: Facilitating large language models to master 16000+ real-world apis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.16789*, 2023.
- [205] V. Rawte, A. Sheth, and A. Das, “A survey of hallucination in large foundation models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.05922*, 2023.
- [206] S. Gao, J. Ma, W. Shi, G. Zhan, and C. Sun, “Trpf: A trajectory privacy-preserving framework for participatory sensing,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 874–887, 2013.
- [207] J. Shi, R. Zhang, Y. Liu, and Y. Zhang, “Prisense: privacy-preserving data aggregation in people-centric urban sensing systems,” in *2010 Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 1–9.
- [208] Q. Yang, Y. Liu, T. Chen, and Y. Tong, “Federated machine learning: Concept and applications,” *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1–19, 2019.
- [209] W. Zhuang, C. Chen, and L. Lyu, “When foundation model meets federated learning: Motivations, challenges, and future directions,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15546*, 2023.
- [210] B. Yuan, Y. He, J. Davis, T. Zhang, T. Dao, B. Chen, P. S. Liang, C. Re, and C. Zhang, “Decentralized training of foundation models in heterogeneous environments,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 25 464–25 477, 2022.
- [211] W. Lu, X. Hu, J. Wang, and X. Xie, “Fedclip: Fast generalization and personalization for clip in federated learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13485*, 2023.
- [212] H. Zhao, W. Du, F. Li, P. Li, and G. Liu, “Fedprompt: Communication-efficient and privacy-preserving prompt tuning in federated learning,” in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [213] R. Song, L. Zhou, L. Lyu, A. Festag, and A. Knoll, “Resfed: Communication efficient federated learning by transmitting deep compressed residuals,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.05602*, 2022.
- [214] G. Hinton, O. Vinyals, and J. Dean, “Distilling the knowledge in a neural network,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*, 2015.
- [215] M. Nagel, M. Fournarakis, R. A. Amjad, Y. Bondarenko, M. Van Baalen, and T. Blankevoort, “A white paper on neural network quantization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08295*, 2021.
- [216] B. He, D. Zhang, S. Liu, H. Liu, D. Han, and L. M. Ni, “Profiling driver behavior for personalized insurance pricing and maximal profit,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*, 2018, pp. 1387–1396.
- [217] H. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Fu, H. Mei, J. Zhou, X. Ma, and H. Xiong, “Polestar: An intelligent, efficient and national-wide public transportation routing engine,” in *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 2020, pp. 2321–2329.
- [218] W. Zhang, H. Liu, F. Wang, T. Xu, H. Xin, D. Dou, and H. Xiong, “Intelligent electric vehicle charging recommendation based on multi-agent reinforcement learning,” in *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*, 2021, pp. 1856–1867.
- [219] W. Peng, “Duomo/transgpt,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/DUOMO/TransGPT>
- [220] X. Wang, D. Wang, L. Chen, and Y. Lin, “Building transportation foundation model via generative graph transformer,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14826*, 2023.
- [221] Y. Zheng, L. Capra, O. Wolfson, and H. Yang, “Urban computing: concepts, methodologies, and applications,” *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1–55, 2014.
- [222] X. Zhan, H. Xu, Y. Zhang, X. Zhu, H. Yin, and Y. Zheng, “Deepthermal: Combustion optimization for thermal power generating units using offline reinforcement learning,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 36, no. 4, 2022, pp. 4680–4688.
- [223] Z. Yao, Y. Lum, A. Johnston, L. M. Mejia-Mendoza, X. Zhou, Y. Wen, A. Aspuru-Guzik, E. H. Sargent, and Z. W. Seh, “Machine learning for a sustainable energy future,” *Nature Reviews Materials*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 202–215, 2023.
- [224] W. Zhang, H. Liu, H. Xiong, T. Xu, F. Wang, H. Xin, and H. Wu, “Rlcharge: Imitative multi-agent spatiotemporal reinforcement learning for electric vehicle charging station recommendation,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2022.
- [225] W. Zhang, H. Liu, J. Han, Y. Ge, and H. Xiong, “Multi-agent graph convolutional reinforcement learning for dynamic electric vehicle charging pricing,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2022, pp. 2471–2481.
- [226] C. Huang, S. Li, R. Liu, H. Wang, and Y. Chen, “Large foundation models for power systems,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07044*, 2023.
- [227] S. A. Vaghefi, D. Stammbach, V. Muccione, J. Bingler, J. Ni, M. Kraus, S. Allen, C. Colesanti-Senni, T. Wekhof, T. Schimanski *et al.*, “Chatclimate: Grounding conversational ai in climate science,” *Communications Earth & Environment*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 480, 2023.
- [228] O. Zheng, M. Abdel-Aty, D. Wang, C. Wang, and S. Ding, “Trafficafetyt: Tuning a pre-trained large language model to a domain-specific expert in transportation safety,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15311*, 2023.
- [229] J. Cui, Z. Li, Y. Yan, B. Chen, and L. Yuan, “Chatlaw: Open-source legal large language model with integrated external knowledge bases,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16092*, 2023.

APPENDIX

TABLE I: Summary of existing works.

Study	Data Type	Pre-training	Adaptation	Cross-modal transfer	Cross-domain transfer	Application
Language-based Models						
ERNIE-GeoL [28]	POI corpus, user behavior logs	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Map services
MGeo [29]	POI corpus	Generative, contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Query-POI matching
Ji et al. [31]	Geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to geospatial	Geographic information systems
Bhandari et al. [32]	Geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to geospatial	Geographic information systems
GPT4GEO [34]	Geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to geospatial	Geographic information systems
Mooney et al. [35]	Geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to geospatial	Geographic information systems
Mai et al. [16]	Geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to geospatial	Geographic information systems
Fu et al. [33]	Documents, geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to urban science	Urban science
Aghzal et al. [36]	Geo-text, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to path planning	Path planning
GeoLLM [37]	POI corpus	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to geospatial	Geospatial prediction
Zheng et al. [9]	Traffic documents, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to transportation	Intelligent transportation
SpaBERT [39]	Geo-text	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to geospatial	Geographic language understanding
GeoLM [40]	Geo-text	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to geospatial	Geographic language understanding
QUERT [41]	User behavior logs	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to travel search	Travel query understanding
GEDR [42]	POI corpus, user behavior logs	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to POI search	Query-POI matching
Wang et al. [45]	LLM-generated instructions	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to urban renewal	Urban renewal
K2 [48]	Geo-text, geoscience instructions	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to geoscience	Geoscience tasks
Vision-based Models						
Urban2vec [64]	Street-view images	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Demographic prediction, socioeconomic prediction
Li et al. [65]	Street-view images	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Socioeconomic prediction
KnowCL [62]	Street-view images	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Socioeconomic prediction
Wang et al. [69]	Remote sensing images	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Remote sensing image analysis
ScaleMAE [70]	Remote sensing images	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Remote sensing image analysis
Cha et al. [71]	Remote sensing images	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Remote sensing image analysis
RingMo [72]	Remote sensing images	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Remote sensing image analysis
RingMo-Sense [74]	Remote sensing images	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Remote sensing spatiotemporal prediction
CSP [75]	Remote sensing images	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Satellite image classification
FourCastNet [78]	Grid-based meteorological data	Generative	-	-	-	Weather forecasting, tropical cyclones tracking
Pangu-Weather [79]	Grid-based meteorological data	Generative	-	-	-	Weather forecasting, tropical cyclones tracking
FengWu [82]	Grid-based meteorological data	Generative	-	-	-	Weather forecasting, tropical cyclones tracking
ClimaX [80]	Grid-based meteorological data	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Weather and climate modeling
W-MAE [81]	Grid-based meteorological data	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Weather forecasting, precipitation forecasting
SAMRS [84], RSPrompter [85]	Remote sensing images	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to remote sensing	Remote sensing semantic segmentation
Roberts et al. [86]	Remote sensing images	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to remote sensing	Remote sensing image analysis

Continued on next page

Table I Summary of existing works (continued).

Study	Data Type	Pre-training	Adaptation	Cross-modal transfer	Cross-domain transfer	Application
GeoSAM [88]	Remote sensing images	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to remote sensing	Mobility infrastructure segmentation
RingMo-SAM [89]	Remote sensing images	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to remote sensing	Multi-source remote sensing segmentation
Zhang et al. [90]	Street-view images	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to street scene sensing	Street-view image analysis
StreetCLIP [88]	Street-view images	-	Model fine-tuning	-	General to street scene sensing	Image geolocalization
Trajectory-based Models						
t2vec [92]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Similar trajectory search
traj2vec [93]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Trajectory cluster
Trembr [95]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Similar trajectory search, travel time estimation, location prediction
Toast [96]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Road classification, similar trajectory search, travel time estimation
PIM [97]	Trajectory in road networks	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Travel time estimation, path ranking
STPT [99]	Trajectory in road networks	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Trajectory classification
LightPath [98]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Travel time estimation, path ranking
MMTEC [100]	Trajectory in road networks	Maximum Entropy Coding	Prompt engineering	-	-	Similar trajectory search, travel time estimation, location prediction.
START [102]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative, contrastive	Prompt engineering	-	-	Travel time estimation, trajectory classification, similar trajectory search
HMTRL [101]	Trajectory in road networks	Generative, contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Route recommendation
Movesim [103]	Trajectory in free space	Generative, contrastive	-	-	-	Human mobility simulation
SML [104]	Trajectory in free space	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Location prediction, trajectory-user linking
CTLE [105]	Trajectory in free space	Generative	-	-	-	Location prediction
CACSR [106]	Trajectory in free space	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Location prediction, trajectory user linking
Reformd [107]	Trajectory in free space	-	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Mobility prediction
Axolotl [108]	Trajectory in free space	-	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Location recommendation
CATUS [109]	Trajectory in free space	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	-	-	Location prediction
AuxMobLCast [112]	Mobility prompt, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to human mobility	Human mobility forecasting
LLM-Mob [113]	Mobility prompt, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to human mobility	Location prediction
Zhang et al. [114]	Mobility prompt, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to human mobility	Anomalous trajectory detection
LLM-MPE [115]	Mobility prompt, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to human mobility	Human mobility forecasting
Keysan et al. [116]	Text description of scene, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to autonomous driving	Motion planning, driving trajectory prediction
GPT-Driver [117]	Text description of scene, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to autonomous driving	Motion planning, driving trajectory prediction
LanguageMPC [118]	Text description of scene, user instructions	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for trajectory	General to autonomous driving	Motion planning, driving trajectory prediction
Time series-based Models						
Oreshkin et al. [127]	Ordinary time series	Supervised	-	-	Electricity to traffic	Energy consumption forecasting, traffic forecasting
Lag-Llama [128]	Ordinary time series	Supervised	-	-	General to traffic	Traffic forecasting
SASA [129]	Ordinary time series	Supervised	-	-	-	Air quality regression

Continued on next page

Table I Summary of existing works (continued).

Study	Data Type	Pre-training	Adaptation	Cross-modal transfer	Cross-domain transfer	Application
TST [133]	Ordinary time series	Generative	-	-	-	Traffic regression
SimMTM [134]	Ordinary time series	Generative	-	-	Weather to traffic	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
PatchTST [135]	Ordinary time series	Generative	-	-	Electricity to others	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
TSMixer [136]	Ordinary time series	Generative	-	-	-	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
PT-Tuning [137]	Ordinary time series	Generative	Prompt engineering	-	-	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
T-Loss [138]	Ordinary time series	Contrastive	-	-	-	Traffic and energy consumption forecasting
COST [140]	Ordinary time series	Contrastive	-	-	-	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
TS2Vec [142]	Ordinary time series	Contrastive	-	-	-	Traffic and energy consumption classification
SimTS [143]	Ordinary time series	Contrastive	-	-	-	Weather and traffic forecasting
UniTime [144]	Ordinary time series	Hybrid	-	-	General to urban time series	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
ST-GSP [147]	Spatial time series	Generative	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
STGCL [152]	Spatial time series	Contrastive	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
STEP [126]	Spatial time series	Generative	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
TransGTR [153]	Spatial time series	Hybrid	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
MC-STL [154]	Spatial time series	Hybrid	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
TPB [155]	Spatial time series	Hybrid	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
GPT-ST [156]	Spatial time series	Generative	-	-	-	Traffic forecasting
PromptST [159]	Spatial time series	-	Prompt tuning	-	-	Traffic and complaint forecasting
MetePFL [160]	Spatial time series	-	Prompt tuning	-	-	Weather forecasting
FedWing [161]	Spatial time series	-	Prompt tuning	-	-	Weather forecasting
PromptCast [125]	Ordinary time series	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Weather, energy consumption and human mobility forecasting
LLMTime [164]	Ordinary time series	-	Prompt engineering	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
GPT(6) [165]	Ordinary time series	-	Model fine-tuning	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
GPT(6)-adapter [166]	Ordinary time series	-	Model fine-tuning	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
LLM4TS [167]	Ordinary time series	-	Model fine-tuning	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
TEMPO [168]	Ordinary time series	-	Model fine-tuning	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Weather, energy consumption and traffic forecasting
GATGPT [169]	Spatial time series	-	Model fine-tuning	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Traffic forecasting, air quality imputation
V2S [162]	Ordinary time series	-	Model Reprogramming	LLM for time series	Speech to time series	Traffic classification
Time-LLM [170]	Ordinary time series	-	Model reprogramming	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Traffic forecasting
TEST [171]	Ordinary time series	-	Model reprogramming	LLM for time series	Language to time series	Traffic, energy consumption and air quality forecasting
Multimodal Models						
UrbanCLIP [172]	Satellite image, textual description	Contrastive	Model fine-tuning	LLM for image	General to urban science	Urban region profiling
TengYun [173]	Traffic-related text and image	Generative	Prompt tuning	-	-	Traffic prediction and control, transportation planning
CityFM [7]	Spatial, visual, and textual geo-data	Contrastive	-	-	-	Traffic speed inference, building functionality classification
AllSpark [174]	Text, image, trajectory, graph, etc.	Contrastive	Prompt engineering	-	-	Spatiotemporal data analysis
TrafficGPT [8]	Multimodal traffic data	-	Prompt engineering	-	General to traffic management	Traffic management

Continued on next page

Table I Summary of existing works (continued).

Study	Data Type	Pre-training	Adaptation	Cross-modal transfer	Cross-domain transfer	Application
GeoGPT [175]	Multimodal geospatial data	-	Prompt tuning	-	General to geospatial	Autonomous geospatial data collection, processing, and analysis
VELMA [176]	Street image, navigation instruction	-	Model fine-tuning	LLM for image	General to urban navigation	Autonomous street navigation
UGI [13]	Urban textual, visual, knowledge graph, human behavior data	-	Continue learning, model fine-tuning	-	General to urban environment	Urban planning and simulation, location recommendation