

The $(\infty, 2)$ -category of internal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

Raffael Stenzel

September 24, 2024

Abstract

We define and study the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories internal to a general $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathcal{C} via an associated externalization construction.

In the first part, we show various formal closure properties of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ regarding limits, tensors, cotensors and internal mapping objects under the assumption of various suitable closure properties of \mathcal{C} . In particular, we show that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ defines a cartesian closed full sub- ∞ -cosmos of the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ of \mathcal{C} -indexed $(\infty, 1)$ -categories under suitable assumptions on \mathcal{C} . We furthermore characterize the objects of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ by means of a Yoneda lemma that expresses indexed diagrams of internal shape over \mathcal{C} in terms of an $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical totalization.

In the second part, we relate the general theory developed to this point to results in the model categorical literature. We show that every model category \mathbb{M} gives rise to a “hands-on” ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ (of not-necessarily cofibrant objects) directly by restriction of the Reedy model structure on $\mathbb{M}^{\Delta^{op}}$. We then define an according right derived model categorical externalization functor, and use it to show that the $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical and the model categorical constructions correspond to one another whenever \mathcal{C} is presentable and \mathbb{M} is a suitable presentation thereof.

1 Introduction

Terminology. For the sake of readability and conformity with the common conventions, the term “ ∞ -category” shall mean “ $(\infty, 1)$ -category” throughout this paper.

1.1 A brief recollection of internal category theory

The theory of ordinary categories is essentially the systematic study of the 2-category \mathbf{Cat} of (small) categories, functors, and natural transformations. That is, the study of its properties and structures. The non-trivial 2-categorical structure allows to define and study notions such as adjunctions, monads and their algebras, functor categories and categories of presheaves in particular, Kan lifts and extensions as well as many others. Via the practice of formal category theory as pioneered by the Australian School, these notions can be abstracted so to be defined and studied in any suitably rich 2-category. In this spirit, we recall that ordinary category theory is category theory internal to the category \mathbf{Set} of sets. And in fact, for every base category \mathcal{C} the category $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ of internal categories in \mathcal{C} comes equipped with a canonical structure of a 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ as well. Its objects are the internal categories, its morphisms are the internal functors, and its 2-cells are the internal natural transformations for which a formula can be written down by hand (see e.g. [Jac99, Definition 7.2.1]). For $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$, the 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{Set})$ recovers exactly the 2-category \mathbf{Cat} of (ordinary) categories as a special case. As obvious as this is to the contemporary category theorist, the existence of this 2-categorical structure on $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ is a non-trivial observation in as much as the base category

\mathcal{C} has no non-trivial 2-categorical structure to begin with. In this sense, this structure is not inherited from \mathcal{C} but rather is created ex-nihilo by means of \mathcal{C} . Furthermore, various structural properties of the base \mathcal{C} – which in conjunction enable one to think of \mathcal{C} as a suitably rich theory or even an ambient universe of abstract sets itself – imply various structural properties of the 2-category $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$, which in conjunction allow for an increasingly convenient study of the theory of categories internal to \mathcal{C} .

Given that every base category \mathcal{C} is \mathbf{Set} -enriched by definition itself, it follows that the 2-categorical structure on $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{Set})$ is universal among the 2-categorical structures on $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ over arbitrary bases \mathcal{C} in the following way. The left exact Yoneda embedding $y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set})$ induces a functorial push-forward

$$y_*: \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{Set}))$$

which is called the externalization functor in [Jac99]. It takes an internal category $X \in \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ to the \mathcal{C} -indexed category $y_*(X)$ which evaluates an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ at the category whose objects are C -indexed generalized elements of objects in X – i.e. elements in the set $\mathcal{C}(C, X_0)$ – and whose morphisms are C -indexed generalized elements of morphisms in X – i.e. elements in the set $\mathcal{C}(C, X_1)$. The externalization functor can be enhanced to a functor

$$\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}) \tag{1}$$

of 2-categories, where the codomain is canonically \mathbf{Cat} -enriched by virtue of the 2-categorical structure of \mathbf{Cat} . This 2-functor is locally fully-faithful-and-essentially-surjective (and furthermore preserves lots of additional structure as well) as is shown in [Jac99, Section 7.3]. The \mathcal{C} -indexed categories contained in the essential image of the externalization functor are commonly referred to as the “small” categories over \mathcal{C} . Thus, the 2-category of internal categories in \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the 2-category of small indexed categories over \mathcal{C} .

1.2 Internal ∞ -category theory and outline of the paper

Fundamentally, all we said about category theory in the prior section remains true for ∞ -category theory – the latter being the study of the $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{Cat}_∞ of ∞ -categories, homotopy-coherent functors, homotopy-coherent natural transformations, and their higher homotopies. For every base ∞ -category \mathcal{C} there is an ∞ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ of internal ∞ -categories, which in this generality will be defined in Section 2. Once more, it is true that the ∞ -category \mathbf{Cat}_∞ of ∞ -categories is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})$ of ∞ -categories internal to the ∞ -category \mathcal{S} of spaces. This equivalence has been enhanced to an $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical equivalence in [RV22, Proposition E.2.2]. In Section 3 we will more generally define an $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ for every ∞ -categorical base \mathcal{C} , which recovers \mathbf{Cat}_∞ up to equivalence in case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$. While it may be trickier to define such an $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ by hand directly than it is to define a 2-categorical structure on $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{C})$ by hand in the 1-categorical case, we will use the 2-equivalence (1) as motivation to *define* the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ representably by means of an ∞ -categorical externalization construction together with the canonically induced $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ from that of \mathbf{Cat}_∞ . That means we identify internal ∞ -categories with small \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -categories to do so. We will however show that this identification also induces equivalent “enhanced mapping ∞ -categories” on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in the sense of [GHN17] which are expressed in terms of the mapping spaces of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ directly whenever \mathcal{C} has finite limits.

The canonical $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ on $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ induced by that of \mathbf{Cat}_∞ is very rich in structure. To make this precise, we will make use of Riehl and Verity’s framework of ∞ -cosmoses from [RV22] which will be recalled in Section 2. In essence, an

∞ -cosmos \mathbf{C} is a quasi-categorically enriched fibration category of cofibrant objects (or at least with cofibrant replacements) that has enough \mathbf{Cat}_∞ -enriched limits to set up an internal theory of a plethora of ∞ -categorical structures. An ∞ -cosmos \mathbf{C} provides a convenient framework to do formal ∞ -category theory in (the $(\infty, 2)$ -category associated to) \mathbf{C} very much in style of how model categories – or Brown’s fibration categories more generally – provide a convenient framework to do (fragments of) formal homotopy theory in their associated ∞ -category. The main benefit of knowing that a given $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} has an ∞ -cosmological presentation is that Riehl and Verity have generated a large amount of general results and constructions in [RV22] which apply to all (or, respectively, large classes of) ∞ -cosmoses. In particular, these results and constructions can be directly “loaded” into such \mathbf{C} so they do not have to be reconstructed time and time again. This paper will therefore not develop any particular formal ∞ -categorical notions inside $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. Rather, among others, it will give criteria on the base \mathcal{C} that allow to apply the results of [RV17, RV22] to $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$.

Therefore, first and foremost, we use that the $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{Cat}_∞ is (presented by) an ∞ -cosmos, and that $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is so as well for every ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . The main results of Section 3 are the following.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 3.18). *The $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is*

1. *a full finitary sub- ∞ -cosmos of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ whenever \mathcal{C} is left exact. It thus defines an ∞ -cosmos in the weaker sense of [RV17].*
2. *a full sub- ∞ -cosmos of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ that is closed under all limits (and exponentials) whenever \mathcal{C} is complete (and cartesian closed). It thus defines a (cartesian closed) ∞ -cosmos in the sense of [RV22].*

In style of Street’s results [Str80, Section 4] for the 2-category of categories internal to a 1-category, we obtain the following hierarchy of regularity properties of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 3.26 and Proposition 4.32). *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let*

$$\text{Ext} : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$$

be the canonical embedding of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.

1. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is (finitely) complete. Then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is (finitely) $(\infty, 2)$ -complete, and Ext preserves these limits.*
2. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is countably complete and cartesian closed. Then the underlying ∞ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is cartesian closed and Ext preserves exponentials.*
3. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is finitary left extensive. Then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is strongly corepresentable (in a sense dual to that of Gray [Gra71], see Definition 3.19).*
4. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos. Then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is an $(\infty, 1)$ -localic $(\infty, 2)$ -topos (in the sense of Definition 4.31).*

Remark 1.1. Part 4 of Theorem 2 is first and foremost listed as a reference statement the other parts are to be compared against. The statement appears to be folklore; a proof is missing in the literature however to this point, partially due to the fact that the fundamental study of $(\infty, 2)$ -topos theory in general is still in the process of being developed. Thus, to not get into the weeds of what an $(\infty, 2)$ -topos generally is, we will give a definition of an $(\infty, 1)$ -localic $(\infty, 2)$ -topos specifically, and do so in style of Rezk’s model toposes [Rez10]. This allows for a simple proof of Theorem 2.4 in the context of the results of this paper.

The $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical limits in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in Theorem 2.1 are inherited directly from the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ whenever they exist. The $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical colimits – such as the tensors in Theorem 3.26.3 – however are generally not preserved by the embedding of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$. This is a variation of the fact that coproducts – i.e. Set-enriched tensors – are generally not preserved by the Yoneda embedding either. In context of this comparison, we additionally state an according Yoneda lemma (Proposition 3.28) which expresses the ∞ -category of natural transformations out of a small indexed ∞ -category in terms of an according ∞ -categorical totalization. This is useful for instance to show that the ∞ -category of internal presheaves over any internal ∞ -category in an ∞ -topos is again an ∞ -topos (Corollary 3.39).

In Section 4 we point out that constructions parallel to those of Section 3 can be found in the literature regarding combinatorial and left proper model categories. This is the case implicitly in Hovey’s textbook [Hov99] and subsequently in Dugger’s work on internal ∞ -groupoids [Dug01]. This is more explicitly the case in Riehl and Verity’s construction of the ∞ -cosmos of “Rezk-objects” in such a model category, see [RV17, Proposition 2.2.9] and [RV22, Proposition E.3.7]. The aim of Section 4 is to show that the underlying $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structures derived from [Dug01] and [RV17, Proposition 2.2.9] reduce exactly to the ones studied in Section 3. Therefore, we first observe that most of the relevant constructions in the context of such model categories can in fact be carried out for all model categories. We thus define the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ of internal ∞ -categories in any model category \mathbb{M} (in the weaker sense of [RV17] in this generality only in as much as not all objects are necessarily cofibrant). This recovers the ∞ -cosmoses obtained from [Dug01] and [RV17, Proposition 2.2.9] whenever \mathbb{M} is left proper and combinatorial. We then construct a right derived externalization functor which is suitably continuous, enriched and exact, and which furthermore recovers the ∞ -categorical externalization functor from Section 3 whenever \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category. This shows in particular that the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ recovers that of Section 3 in this case, which allows for a straight-forward proof of Part 4 of Theorem 2 (Proposition 4.32).

Acknowledgments. This paper was written while being a guest at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn, Germany, whose hospitality is greatly appreciated. The author thanks Mathieu Anel, Steve Awodey, Jonas Frey, Nicola Gambino, Calum Hughes, Felix Loubaton, Adrian Miranda, Viktoriya Ozornova, and Emily Riehl for their time and accommodation to discuss various parts of this paper during the time it was being written.

2 Preliminaries

Notation. In all of the following, \mathbf{S} will denote the simplicially enriched category of simplicial sets. The simplicially enriched category \mathbf{S} equipped with the standard model structure for Kan complexes will be denoted by $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$, and with the Joyal model structure for quasi-categories by $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$. The category of simplicially enriched categories will be denoted by $\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}$. Variables ranging over ∞ -categories (and over ordinary categories in particular) will be italicized, variables and constructions ranging over (mostly simplicially) enriched categories will be bold faced, variables ranging over categories equipped with additional homotopical structure will be denoted by blackboard letters. We denote the quasi-category of small ∞ -categories (for any of the equivalent models of ∞ -category theory) by \mathbf{Cat}_∞ . We denote the quasi-category of small spaces by \mathcal{S} . The exponential of two quasi-categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} in \mathbf{S} will (most of the times) be denoted by $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. The quasi-category $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the quasi-category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathcal{S})$ of presheaves over \mathcal{C} .

2.1 $(\infty, 2)$ -categories and ∞ -cosmoses

As far as this paper is concerned, an $(\infty, 2)$ -category is a simplicially enriched category \mathbf{C} whose hom-objects are quasi-categories. These are called “strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories” in [Lurb, Section 5.5.8]. Their associated homotopy theory is equivalent to other models of $(\infty, 2)$ -category theory via [Lur09b, Theorem 0.0.3 and Remark 0.0.4].

Example 2.1 (∞ -categories). The model category $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is Quillen equivalent to the category $\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}$ of (small) simplicially enriched categories equipped with the Bergner model structure via the homotopy coherent nerve $N_\Delta: \mathbf{S}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ and its Quillen left adjoint \mathfrak{C} [Cor82, Lur09a]. In particular, the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories (however presented) is equivalent to the homotopy ∞ -category of $(\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}, \text{Bergner})$. Furthermore, the fibrant objects in $(\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}, \text{Bergner})$ are exactly the simplicially enriched categories whose hom-objects are Kan complexes. Thus, the fibrant models of ∞ -categories are exactly those $(\infty, 2)$ -categories whose hom-quasi-categories are Kan complexes.

To recall Riehl and Verity’s definition of ∞ -cosmoses, as well as to treat varying themes thereof which arise in Sections 3 and 4 simultaneously, we only ask the reader to recall Brown’s notion of a category of fibrant objects [Bro73]. We will refer to such as *fibration categories* following [Szu17], and further recall the notion of exact functors between fibration categories [Szu17, Definition 1.6]. The dual theory is that of cofibration categories and exact functors between such. We denote the underlying fibration (cofibration) category of a model category \mathbb{M} by \mathbb{M}^f (\mathbb{M}^c). An object in a fibration category is cofibrant if it has the left lifting property against all trivial fibrations. One defines fibrant objects in cofibration categories dually.

Definition 2.2. Say that a fibration category $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}, W, F)$ is a *fibration category with cofibrant replacements* if for all objects $A \in \mathbb{F}$ there is a trivial fibration $\mathbb{L}A \twoheadrightarrow A$ whose domain is cofibrant. A fibration category \mathbb{F} is a *fibration category of cofibrant objects* if all its objects are cofibrant.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathbb{C} be a cofibration category such that the underlying category of \mathbb{C} is equipped with a monoidal structure \otimes . Say that (\mathbb{C}, \otimes) is a monoidal cofibration category if for every pair $j: A \rightarrow B, k: C \rightarrow D$ of cofibrations in \mathbb{C} the co-gap map $j \hat{\otimes} k: A \otimes D \sqcup_{A \otimes C} B \otimes C \rightarrow B \otimes D$ is again a cofibration in \mathbb{C} which is trivial whenever either j or k is trivial.

One may want to further add cocontinuity conditions on the functor $\otimes: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in Definition 2.3, however we won’t need such for the few definitions to follow in this generality.

Example 2.4. The cofibration category $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ is monoidal via its cartesian product. Let $\mathbf{S}^{\text{fin}} \subset \mathbf{S}$ be the full subcategory spanned by those simplicial sets which are weakly equivalent in $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ to a simplicial set with only finitely many non-degenerate simplices. We will refer to such simplicial sets simply as *finite*. Then \mathbf{S}^{fin} inherits a canonical structure of a monoidal cofibration category $(\mathbf{S}^{\text{fin}}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ by reflection of that from $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$.

Definition 2.5. Let (\mathbb{C}, \otimes) be a monoidal cofibration category, and let \mathbf{F} be a cotensored (\mathbb{C}, \otimes) -enriched category. Furthermore, suppose $\mathbb{F}_0 = (\mathbf{F}_0, W, F)$ is a fibration category structure on the underlying category \mathbf{F}_0 of \mathbf{F} . Say that $\mathbb{F} = (\mathbf{F}, W, F)$ is a \mathbb{C} -enriched fibration category if for every fibration $p: X \twoheadrightarrow Y$ in \mathbb{F}_0 and every cofibration $j: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbb{C} , the gap map $p^j: X^B \rightarrow X^A \times_{Y^A} Y^B$ is a fibration in \mathbb{F}_0 , which is trivial whenever either p or j is trivial.

Example 2.6. If \mathbb{M} is a monoidal model category and $\mathbb{N} = (\mathcal{N}, C, W, F)$ is a model category whose underlying category has a bitensored \mathbb{M} -enrichment \mathbf{N} , then (\mathbf{N}, C, W, F) is an \mathbb{M} -enriched model category ([Lur09a, Section A.3.1]) if and only if (\mathbf{N}^f, W, F) is an \mathbb{M}^c -enriched fibration category.

Definition 2.7. A \mathbb{C} -enriched functor $G: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ between \mathbb{C} -enriched fibration categories is \mathbb{C} -*exact* if its underlying functor is an exact functor of underlying fibration categories, and G preserves all \mathbb{C} -cotensors. If \mathbb{F}_1 has all small products as well as countably sequential limits of fibrations, say G is *transfinitely \mathbb{C} -exact* if G furthermore preserves those limits.

We note that Definition 2.7 only depends on the underlying monoidal category of \mathbb{C} (without its cofibration structure) when domain and codomain are known to be \mathbb{C} -enriched. Therefore, we will suppress the cofibration structure in this context whenever notationally convenient. Clearly, the composition of two \mathbb{C} -exact functors is again \mathbb{C} -exact.

Definition 2.8. Let (\mathbb{C}, \otimes) be a monoidal cofibration category, and let \mathbb{F} be a \mathbb{C} -enriched fibration category. We say that \mathbb{F} is *cartesian closed* if for all objects $A \in \mathbb{F}$ there is a \mathbb{C} -enriched adjunction $A \times (\cdot) \dashv (\cdot)^A$ such that each right adjoint $(\cdot)^A: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ preserves both fibrations and trivial fibrations.

Remark 2.9. A \mathbb{C} -enriched fibration category \mathbb{F} is cartesian closed if and only if the underlying category \mathbf{F}_0 is cartesian closed, and for each $A \in \mathbf{F}$ the right adjoint $(\cdot)^A: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is (transfinitely) \mathbb{C} -exact.

Example 2.10 (∞ -cosmoses). A $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched fibration category \mathbb{F} of cofibrant objects which has countable sequential limits of fibrations and small products is exactly an ∞ -cosmos (“of cofibrant objects”) in the sense of [RV22]. One direction is immediate, the other direction is [RV22, Example C.1.3] (and [RV22, Lemma C.1.9]). Any such $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched fibration category \mathbb{F} is cartesian closed if and only if it is so as an ∞ -cosmos in the sense of [RV22, Definition 1.2.23]. A transfinitely $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -exact functor between ∞ -cosmoses is exactly a cosmological functor in the sense of [RV22, Definition 1.3.1].

Example 2.11. In particular, every $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category \mathbb{M} in which all fibrant objects are cofibrant has an underlying ∞ -cosmos \mathbb{M}^f of fibrant objects as defined in [RV22]. Whenever such a model category is furthermore cartesian closed (as a simplicially enriched model category), then so is its underlying ∞ -cosmos \mathbb{M}^f . In particular, both model categories $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ and $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$ have underlying cartesian closed ∞ -cosmoses (of cofibrant objects), which we short-handedly denote by \mathbf{QCat} and \mathbf{Kan} respectively. The former is an ∞ -cosmos of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories in the sense of [RV22]. It in fact is the reference structure for the definition of such ∞ -cosmoses, and up to equivalence the only one. The latter is the according ∞ -cosmos of discrete $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [RV22, Propositions 1.2.12 and 6.1.6]. In particular, its own hom-quasi-categories are Kan complexes, and so \mathbf{Kan} is an ∞ -category in the sense of Example 2.1.

Subsequently, to set things up, in this section we use the ∞ -cosmos \mathbf{QCat} of quasi-categories as a reference structure for ∞ -category theory. We make a note about model independence concerning the rest of the paper in the end of this section.

The model category $(\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}, \text{Bergner})$ itself is not cartesian closed as a model category (see e.g. [Ber18, Remark 4.5.8]), which is one of the reasons that it is rarely worked with as a model for ∞ -category theory in practice. Yet, the category $\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}$ itself is cartesian closed indeed, and there are various special cases in which the simplicially enriched exponential $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ of two simplicially enriched categories \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D} does help to compute the according ∞ -categorical exponential after all. Such a case is given whenever the codomain \mathbf{D} comes equipped with a $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model structure, as is the case in the following example.

Example 2.12. For any small simplicially enriched category \mathbf{C} , the exponential $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ inherits an injective and a projective model structure from both $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ and $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$ each. The simplicially enriched category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ is both tensored and cotensored over \mathbf{S} , all four model structures are $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched, and in the case of the injective model structures also

all objects are cofibrant. We thus obtain underlying ∞ -cosmoses

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat}) := \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}}^f$$

and

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan}) := \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{\text{inj}}^f.$$

If we denote by \mathcal{C} the associated quasi-category of \mathbf{C} (i.e. \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the simplicial nerve of a fibrant replacement of \mathbf{C} in the Bergner model structure), the former is the ∞ -cosmos of \mathcal{C} -indexed quasi-categories. The latter is the ∞ -cosmos of presheaves over \mathcal{C} (which in fact is an ∞ -category in the sense of Example 2.1). By construction, the $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ on the collection of \mathcal{C} -indexed quasi-categories is induced by the $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure of \mathbf{QCat} itself. In particular, its 1-cells are homotopy-coherent natural transformations between functors, and its 2-cells are homotopy-coherent modifications between 1-cells.

The underlying quasi-category (i.e. the simplicial nerve) of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is the quasi-category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{QCat}))$. The underlying quasi-category of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan})$ is the quasi-category $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ of presheaves over \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 2.13. *For all small simplicially enriched categories \mathbf{C} , both $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model categories $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}}$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{\text{inj}}$ are cartesian closed. In particular, the ∞ -cosmoses $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan})$ are cartesian closed.*

Proof. We do the case for $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}}$; the other is completely analogous. We recall that the underlying category of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ is cartesian closed. Its products are computed pointwise, and the exponential G^F for a pair of functors $F, G: \mathbf{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ evaluates an object $C \in \mathbf{C}$ at the simplicial set $\text{Nat}(yC \times F, G)$ of simplicially enriched natural transformations. Each adjunction $F \times (\cdot) \dashv (\cdot)^F$ is a simplicially enriched adjunction by [Rie14, Proposition 3.7.10], because the left adjoints $F \times (\cdot)$ commute with simplicial tensors (as the tensor $G \otimes J$ with a simplicial set J can be computed as the product $G \times c_J$ where c_J is the constant functor with value J). Furthermore, the model category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}}$ is cartesian closed as well. Indeed, the product functor

$$(\cdot) \times (\cdot): \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}} \times \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}}$$

is a left Quillen bifunctor as is verified pointwise using that $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is cartesian closed. That means that $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{inj}}$ is cartesian closed as stated. It follows that the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is cartesian closed by Example 2.11. \square

Definition 2.14. Let \mathbf{C} be an $(\infty, 2)$ -category. The full sub- $(\infty, 2)$ -category generated by a collection $D \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ of objects is the full simplicial subcategory \mathbf{D} of \mathbf{C} spanned by D . That is, the $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{D} given by the objects in D and the hom-quasi-categories $\mathbf{D}(C, D) := \mathbf{C}(C, D)$.

Definition 2.15. Suppose \mathbb{F} is a $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched fibration category. If D is a collection of objects in \mathbb{F} such that the inclusion $\mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ is replete with respect to the class of weak equivalences in \mathbb{F} , and such that D contains the terminal object, is closed under pullbacks of fibrations as well as under all simplicial cotensors with finitely presented simplicial sets, we say that \mathbf{D} equipped with the canonical fibration category structure inherited from \mathbb{F} is a *full finitary sub- ∞ -cosmos* of \mathbb{F} . If \mathbb{F} has all countable sequential limits of fibrations and small products, and D is furthermore closed under countable sequential limits of fibrations, under small products as well as under all simplicial cotensors, we say that \mathbf{D} equipped with the canonical fibration category structure inherited from \mathbb{F} is a *full sub- ∞ -cosmos* of \mathbb{F} .

Example 2.16. Every finitary sub- ∞ -cosmos of a $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched fibration category is an ∞ -cosmos in the weaker sense of [RV17]. Every full sub- ∞ -cosmos of an ∞ -cosmos in the sense of Example 2.10 is again an ∞ -cosmos in the sense of Example 2.10.

Example 2.17. The collection of finite quasi-categories defines a full finitary sub- ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{QCat}^{\text{fin}}$ in \mathbf{QCat} .

Remark 2.18. Just as every ∞ -category \mathbf{C} in the sense of Example 2.1 has an underlying quasi-category given by its associated simplicial nerve $N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{C})$, every $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} has an underlying ∞ -bicategory given by its associated scaled simplicial nerve $N_{\Delta}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbf{C})$ [Lur09b, Section 4]¹. It will be used in Theorem 3.26 only once implicitly to deduce closure of the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ under general $(\infty, 2)$ -limits under the given assumptions from Theorem 3.18.

2.2 The underlying ∞ -category of an $(\infty, 2)$ -category

We recall that the canonical inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$ of quasi-categories has a right adjoint $(\cdot)^{\simeq}: \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. It assigns to an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} its core $\mathcal{C}^{\simeq} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which is essentially defined by the universal property it satisfies by virtue of the adjunction $\iota \dashv (\cdot)^{\simeq}$. In \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} presented as the homotopy quasi-category of $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$, the core $\mathcal{C}^{\simeq} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ of a (small) ∞ -category $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$ has an analytical construction: it is given by the largest Kan complex contained in \mathcal{C} . This assignment is functorial, and in fact is induced by a right Quillen functor $k^!: (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$ which comes together with a natural trivial fibration $k^!(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{\simeq}$ for all quasi-categories \mathcal{C} [JT06, Section 1]. If $I\Delta^n$ denotes the nerve of the free groupoid generated by $[n]$, the functor $k^!$ is given by the formula $k^!(S)_n = \mathbf{S}(I\Delta^n, S)$.

Definition 2.19. The *pith* (or the $(\infty, 1)$ -core) of an $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} is the simplicially enriched category $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ given by $\text{Ob}(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) = \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y) = \mathbf{C}(X, Y)^{\simeq}$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. A quasi-category \mathcal{C} is *the underlying quasi-category* of \mathbf{C} if it is equivalent to the underlying quasi-category $N_{\Delta}(\underline{\mathbf{C}})$.

The terminology “pith” follows [Lurb], the terminology “ $(\infty, 1)$ -core” follows [RV22]. The underlying quasi-category of an $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} is defined to be an equivalence-invariant notion given that, first, in models of ∞ -category theory other than \mathbf{QCat} it generally may only be defined up to equivalence in the first place, and second, it is characterized by the following universal property, of which the pith itself is an analytical instantiation.

Proposition 2.20. *For any $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} and any quasi-category \mathcal{D} , the canonical inclusion $\iota: \underline{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ induces an equivalence*

$$N_{\Delta}(\iota)_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, N_{\Delta}(\underline{\mathbf{C}})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{C}))$$

of quasi-categories.

Proof. By [Lurb, Corollary 5.5.8.8] the inclusion $N_{\Delta}(\iota): N_{\Delta}(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to the inclusion $\text{Pith}(N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{C})) \hookrightarrow N_{\Delta}(\mathbf{C})$ as defined in [Lurb, Section 5.5.5]. It follows that $N_{\Delta}(\iota)_*$ is an isomorphism by [Lurb, Remark 5.5.7.6]. \square

Example 2.21. The underlying quasi-category of \mathbf{QCat} is the quasi-category \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} of (small) quasi-categories. For any quasi-category \mathcal{C} , the underlying quasi-category of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is the quasi-category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})$. Analogously, as $\underline{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})} = \underline{\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan})}$, the underlying quasi-category of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan})$ is the quasi-category $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ of presheaves over \mathcal{C} .

¹To be more precise, the scaled simplicial nerve is really evaluated at the simplicial category \mathbf{C}^{\sharp} whose hom-quasi-categories are canonically marked by their equivalences.

Lemma 2.22. *The underlying quasi-category $\mathcal{C} := N_{\Delta}(\mathbb{M}^{cf})$ of a cartesian closed $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category \mathbb{M} is cartesian closed. The exponential of two bifibrant objects in \mathbb{M} represents the according exponential in \mathcal{C} . In particular, the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_{\infty})$ is cartesian closed for every small ∞ -category \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Given an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$, we want to show that the functor $A \times (\cdot): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint. We may assume that $A \in \mathbb{M}$ is bifibrant. As the ordinary product functor $A \times (\cdot): \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is a (simplicial) left Quillen functor with right adjoint $(\cdot)^A$, it induces a left adjoint $\text{Ho}_{\infty}(A \times (\cdot)): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (see e.g. [MG16, Theorem 2.1]; the more basic proof of [Lur09a, Proposition 5.2.4.6] applies to this context however as well). It thus suffices to show that the endofunctor $\text{Ho}_{\infty}(A \times (\cdot)): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ computes the ∞ -categorical product $A \times (\cdot)$. This however follows from the fact that the (simplicially enriched) product functor $\times: \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is the right Quillen adjoint to the diagonal $\Delta: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{M}$. The second statement follows directly from Lemma 2.13. \square

Lastly, for any given quasi-category \mathcal{C} there may be many $(\infty, 2)$ -categories \mathbf{C} such that \mathcal{C} is the underlying quasi-category of \mathbf{C} . Each such induces an enhanced mapping ∞ -category functor on \mathcal{C} in the sense of [GHN17], whose definition we recall alongside its associated notions of tensors and cotensors.

Definition 2.23 ([GHN17, Definition 6.1]). A mapping ∞ -category functor for a quasi-category \mathcal{C} is a functor

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$$

together with an equivalence from the composite $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}} \text{Cat}_{\infty} \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{\simeq}} \mathcal{S}$ to the mapping space functor $\mathcal{C}(\cdot, \cdot): \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of \mathcal{C} .

Furthermore, we briefly introduce the concept of relative right (and left) adjoints in this context, and show that according left (right) adjoints can be picked functorially whenever they exist. Therefore, let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a functor between ∞ -categories. Then, for any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} we obtain an associated functor defined as the following composition.

$$\mathcal{E}(F(\cdot), _(\cdot)): \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{y_*} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathcal{E}}) \xrightarrow{(F^*)^*} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}^{op}, \mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}))$$

Definition 2.24. Let $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a functor between ∞ -categories. A functor $R: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is an *F-relative right adjoint* if for every object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the copresheaf $\mathcal{E}(F(D), R(\cdot)): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable. A functor $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is an *F-relative left adjoint* if $L^{op}: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{op}$ is a *F^{op}-relative right adjoint*.

As the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ is fully faithful, so is the push-forward

$$y_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, \mathcal{C}^{op}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})).$$

It hence defines an equivalence between the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, \mathcal{C}^{op})$ and the full sub- ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, y[\mathcal{C}^{op}]) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}))$ of functors $K: \mathcal{D}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ such that $K(D): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresentable for all objects $D \in \mathcal{D}$. This defines the full sub- ∞ -category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{FRAdj}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, y[\mathcal{C}^{op}]) \\ \text{||} \cap & \lrcorner & \text{||} \cap \\ \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(F(\cdot), _(\cdot))} & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})) \end{array}$$

of \mathcal{C} -indexed *F*-relative right adjoints. The composition

$$\text{FRAdj}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{op}, y[\mathcal{C}^{op}]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})^{op} \tag{2}$$

associates to any given F -relative right adjoint functor $R: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ an associated F -left adjoint $L: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The case for F -relative left adjoints is entirely dual.

The following two definitions are straight-forward relativizations of [GHN17, Definition 6.5] and [GHN17, Definition 8.2].

Definition 2.25. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a quasi-category with a mapping ∞ -category functor $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\iota: K \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ be the inclusion of a full sub-quasi-category. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is K -tensored if for every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the functor $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \cdot): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ has a ι -relative left adjoint $(\cdot) \otimes C: K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$; in this case these adjoints determine an essentially unique functor $\otimes: K \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ via the composition (2).

Definition 2.26. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a quasi-category with a mapping ∞ -category functor $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\iota: K \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ be the inclusion of a full sub-quasi-category. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is K -cotensored if for every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the functor $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot, C): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{op}}$ has a ι -relative right adjoint $C^{(\cdot)}: K^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$; in this case these adjoints determine an essentially unique functor $(\cdot)^{(\cdot)}: K^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ via the composition (2).

Example 2.27. As stated in [GHN17, Lemma 6.2], every $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} canonically equips its underlying quasi-category \mathcal{C} with a mapping ∞ -category functor that sends a pair (C, D) to the quasi-category of maps $\mathbf{C}(C, D)$. If \mathbf{C} is an ∞ -cosmos, then its simplicially enriched cotensors represent cotensors for this enhanced mapping functor in the sense of Definition 2.26 for $K = \text{Cat}_{\infty}$. If \mathbf{D} is a finitary sub- ∞ -cosmos of \mathbf{C} , then its simplicially enriched cotensors represent cotensors for this enhanced mapping functor in the sense of Definition 2.26 for K the full sub-quasi-category spanned by the finite quasi-categories.

2.3 Notes on model independence

For the sake of the development of the general theory in Section 3, the reader may choose to work in any of the standard models “ \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} ” of ∞ -category theory. More precisely, any ∞ -cosmos \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [RV22, Section E.2] will do. That is, any ∞ -cosmos \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} such that the underlying quasi-category functor $U: \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{QCat}$ is a cosmological equivalence. We will furthermore (for the most part implicitly) work with an ∞ -cosmos \mathbf{CAT}_{∞} of large ∞ -categories, so that there is an ∞ -category $\text{Cat}_{\infty} \in \mathbf{CAT}_{\infty}$ of small ∞ -categories such that $U(\text{Cat}_{\infty})$ is equivalent to the underlying quasi-category of \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} . Similarly, there is an ∞ -category $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{CAT}_{\infty}$ of small ∞ -groupoids. We will omit a formal proof of model independence of the results in this paper, and instead merely appeal to the observation that all constructions in Section 3 exist in (the underlying quasi-category of) any given model \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} , and further are preserved by the equivalence U . Thus, in fact, to use all references to the literature directly, it is simplest and most straight-forward to work in the model $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} := \mathbf{QCat}$ of quasi-categories itself (as well as with the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{CAT}_{\infty} := \mathbf{QCAT}$ of large quasi-categories accordingly). In the following, we will do so implicitly so to not over-indulge in ornamented notation by carrying around the underlying quasi-category functor $U: \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{QCat}$.

Notation. Accordingly, for an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})$ will denote the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{QCat})$.

The choice of the homotopy theory of quasi-categories as an ambient theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories is legitimized by the fact that it presents (and even qualifies) the homotopy theory of ∞ -categories. In the same sense, the homotopy theory of complete Segal spaces presents (and qualifies) the homotopy theory of internal ∞ -categories in the ∞ -category \mathcal{S} of spaces. Consequently, the homotopy theory of complete Segal objects in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} as to be

studied in Section 3 presents (and qualifies) the theory of internal ∞ -categories in \mathcal{C} . This is the presentation of the theory of internal ∞ -categories this paper is primarily concerned with. There however may be other (equivalent) models of internal ∞ -category theory within any given ambient ∞ -cosmos of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. One may for instance synthesize other models of ∞ -category theory within a general base \mathcal{C} , take the notion of Segal categories [DKS89] for example. We therefore will largely stick with the explicit terminology of “complete Segal objects” rather than that of “internal ∞ -categories” in technical contexts, as there is no apparent reason why all such presentations would exhibit all (not necessarily equivalence-invariant) structural results we aim to prove to the same extent. This may be compared to the fact that not all presentations of the theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories are equally well-behaved; take the category of simplicial categories with the Bergner model structure, or even the category of relative categories equipped with the Barwick-Kan model structure [BK12b] for example.

3 The formal theory of internal ∞ -categories

In this section we fix a (not necessarily small but locally small) ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . We recall the following associated notions.

Notation 3.1. For an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we denote the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(N(\Delta^{op}), \mathcal{C})$ of simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} by $s\mathcal{C}$. For $n \geq 0$ and a subset $J \subseteq [n]$ of cardinality j , we denote by $d^J: [j] \rightarrow [n]$ the according inclusion of linear orders with image J , and for a simplicial object $X \in s\mathcal{C}$, by $d_J: X_n \rightarrow X_j$ the according simplicial operator.

3.1 The ∞ -category of internal ∞ -categories

In the following we give a straight-forward generalization of the definition of complete Segal objects in left exact ∞ -categories from [Ras21, Ras22]. Therefore, let $\sigma_n: S^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ be the n -spine [JT06]. Considering σ_n as a natural transformation of presheaves over the category Δ , we obtain a fibered inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{El}(S_n) & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_n)_*} & \text{El}(\Delta^n) \\ & \searrow p_{S_n} & \swarrow p_{\Delta^n} \\ & \Delta & \end{array}$$

of the according categories of elements over Δ . Since Δ^n is the representable at $[n] \in \Delta$, the fibration p_{Δ^n} is just the domain fibration $s: \Delta_{/[n]} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$. In particular $\text{id}_{[n]} \in \text{El}(\Delta^n)$ is terminal and so for every functor $X: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ we obtain a colimiting cocone $X \circ p_{\Delta^n} \rightarrow c(X_n)$ in \mathcal{C}^{op} . Here, $c(X_n): \text{El}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ denotes the constant functor with value X_n . Restriction of this cocone along the inclusion $(\sigma_n)_*$ (and taking opposites) yields a cone $X_n \rightarrow X \circ p_{S_n}^{op}$ for every simplicial object $X: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.2. A Segal object in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is a simplicial object $X \in s\mathcal{C}$ such that its associated cone $X_n \rightarrow X \circ p_{S_n}^{op}$ is a limit cone. We let $S(\mathcal{C}) \subset s\mathcal{C}$ denote the full sub- ∞ -category of Segal objects in \mathcal{C} .

Equivalently, a simplicial object $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op}}$ is a Segal object if the cone $X_n \rightarrow X \circ p_{S_n}^{op}$ exhibits X_n as the \mathcal{S} -weighted limit $\{S_n, X\}$ for the weight $S_n: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

The Yoneda embedding $y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ induces a functor $sy: s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ by postcomposition. The ∞ -category $s\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ of simplicial objects in turn is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, s\mathcal{S})$ of \mathcal{C} -indexed simplicial spaces simply by virtue of Currying. As the Yoneda embedding is left exact, it restricts to a functor $sy: S(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$.

Definition 3.3. A Segal object X in \mathcal{C} is complete if for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the Segal space $sy(X)(C)$ is complete in the sense of Rezk [Rez99]. We let $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \subset s\mathcal{C}$ denote the full sub- ∞ -category of complete Segal objects in \mathcal{C} .

We first note that whenever \mathcal{C} has pullbacks, this definition of complete Segal objects via Definition 3.2 and representable completeness coincides with the more familiar internal definition of complete Segal objects e.g. from [Ras22, Definition 7.91]. Thus, let Zig-zag be the category representing the free living zig-zag of the following form.

$$\text{Zig-zag} := \begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} 3 \\ & \searrow & \\ 0 & & 2 \end{array}$$

Whenever \mathcal{C} has pullbacks, to every Segal object X in \mathcal{C} (in fact to every $X \in s\mathcal{C}$) we may associate, first, the object $\text{Zig-zag}(X) := \{\text{Zig-zag}, X\}$ given by the pullback $X_1 \times_{X_0}^{d_1} X_1 \times_{X_0}^{d_0} X_1$ of zig-zags in X , and second, the object $\text{Equiv}(X) \subseteq X_3$ of internal equivalences in X (or more precisely the object of edges together with a left and a right inverse in X) defined as the following pullback.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Equiv}(X) & \longrightarrow & X_3 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow (d_{\{0,2\}}, d_{\{1,2\}}, d_{\{1,3\}}) \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Zig-zag}(X) \\ \downarrow (s_0 d_0, 1, s_0 d_1) & & \end{array}$$

By construction, $\text{Equiv}(X)$ is just the weighted limit $\{\text{Equiv}, X\}$ for $\text{Equiv}: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ the following version of the free living biinvertible arrow.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} 1 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose \mathcal{C} has pullbacks. A simplicial object $X \in s\mathcal{C}$ is a Segal object if and only if its associated maps

$$\{\sigma_n, X\}: \{\Delta^n, X\} \rightarrow \{S_n, X\}$$

between weighted limits (often simply denoted as $X_n \rightarrow X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1$ and referred to as the Segal maps associated to X) are equivalences. A Segal object X in \mathcal{C} is complete if and only if the accordingly factorized degeneracy

$$s_0: X_0 \rightarrow \text{Equiv}(X)$$

is an equivalence in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Whenever \mathcal{C} has pullbacks, the weighted limit $\{S_n, X\}$ is exactly the limit of the precomposition $X \circ p_{S_n}^{op}: \text{El}(S_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Thus, the cone $X_n \rightarrow X \circ p_{S_n}^{op}$ is given by the Segal map precomposed with a limiting cone. Hence, the Segal map is an equivalence if and only if the cone $X_n \rightarrow X \circ p_{S_n}^{op}$ itself is limiting.

Regarding completeness, the push-forward $sy: s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, s\mathcal{S})$ takes the degeneracy $s_0: X_0 \rightarrow \text{Equiv}(X)$ to a natural transformation $y(s_0): y(X_0) \rightarrow y(\text{Equiv}(X))$ of presheaves which evaluates an object C at the degeneracy $s_0: sy(X)(C)_0 \rightarrow \text{Equiv}(sy(X)(C))$ associated to the Segal space $sy(X)(C)$ again because y is left exact. Since y is furthermore conservative, and equivalences in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, s\mathcal{S})$ are exactly the pointwise equivalences, it follows that the degeneracy $s_0: X_0 \rightarrow \text{Equiv}(X)$ is an equivalence in \mathcal{C} if and only if for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the degeneracy $s_0: sy(X)(C)_0 \rightarrow \text{Equiv}(sy(X)(C))$ is an equivalence in \mathcal{S} . Thus, the Segal object X in \mathcal{C} is complete if and only if the degeneracy $s_0: X_0 \rightarrow \text{Equiv}(X)$ is an equivalence as stated. \square

Definition 3.5. A Segal groupoid in \mathcal{S} is a Segal space X such that the structure map $d_{\{1,2\}}: \text{Equiv}(X) \rightarrow X_1$ is an equivalence in \mathcal{S} . A Segal groupoid in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is a Segal object X in \mathcal{C} such that each $sy(X)(C)$ is a Segal groupoid in \mathcal{S} . We denote the full sub- ∞ -category of Segal groupoids in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ by $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{C})$. We denote the full sub- ∞ -category of complete Segal groupoids (say, internal ∞ -groupoids) in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ by $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$.

Again by virtue of left exactness and conservativity of the Yoneda embedding, a (complete) Segal object X in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with pullbacks is a (complete) Segal groupoid if and only if the morphism $d_{\{1,2\}}: \text{Equiv}(X) \rightarrow X_1$ in \mathcal{C} is an equivalence.

Given any simplicial set K , the ∞ -category $s\mathcal{C}$ of simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} has all K -shaped limits whenever \mathcal{C} does so. Furthermore, these limits are computed pointwise in \mathcal{C} [Lur09a, Corollary 5.1.2.3]. In particular, $s\mathcal{C}$ is left exact whenever \mathcal{C} is.

Lemma 3.6. *The full sub- ∞ -categories $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ of $s\mathcal{C}$ are each closed under all limits which exist in $s\mathcal{C}$. In particular, they are all left exact (complete) whenever \mathcal{C} is left exact (complete).*

Proof. Each of these full sub- ∞ -categories of $s\mathcal{C}$ is spanned by a class of objects defined by requiring that a certain cone defined in terms of structure maps coming from Δ^{op} is limiting in \mathcal{C} . Since limits in $s\mathcal{C}$ are computed pointwise, and limits in \mathcal{C} commute with one another, these objects are closed under all limits in $s\mathcal{C}$.

Alternatively, one can argue that each of these notions can be defined representably, and that the full sub- ∞ -categories $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{S})$, $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})$, $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathcal{S})$ of $s\mathcal{S}$ are all reflective and hence complete. \square

3.2 The $(\infty, 2)$ -category of internal ∞ -categories

The Yoneda embedding $sy: s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ thus further restricts to functors

$$sy: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\hat{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}))$$

from complete Segal objects in \mathcal{C} to complete Segal objects in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ (or, equivalently, \mathcal{C} -indexed complete Segal spaces, respectively). The same applies to (complete) Segal groupoids. Lastly, the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})$ of complete Segal spaces exhibits an equivalence to the ∞ -category \mathbf{Cat}_∞ of (small) ∞ -categories given by the “underlying ∞ -category functor” $U: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$. It was constructed in [JT06, Section 4] as an accordingly right derived horizontal projection of simplicial spaces. The same horizontal projection induces an equivalence $U: \mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{S}$ between complete Segal groupoids in ∞ -groupoids and ∞ -groupoids, see [Ber08, Section 6] and [Ste22, Theorem 6.6].

Definition 3.7. The externalization functor $\text{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ associated to an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is given by the composition

$$\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{sy} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{U_*} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty).$$

The externalization functor associated to an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} extends the Yoneda embedding associated to \mathcal{C} in the sense that the following diagram can be shown to commute in both directions.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{y} & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathcal{S}) \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow (\cdot)_\approx \\ \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}} & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Here, the left vertical inclusion c assigns to an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the constant simplicial object in \mathcal{C} with value C , and the right vertical inclusion is the push-forward with the canonical inclusion $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$. The inclusion $c: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ furthermore factors through an equivalence $c: \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, given that a simplicial object $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{op}}$ is a complete Segal groupoid if and only if it is constant.

Definition 3.8. A \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -category \mathcal{E} is *small* if it lies in the essential image of the externalization functor, i.e. if there is a complete Segal object $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\text{Ext}(X) \simeq \mathcal{E}$. We denote the full sub- $(\infty, 2)$ -category of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ spanned by the small \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -categories by $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, and refer to it as the $(\infty, 2)$ -category of internal ∞ -categories in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 3.8 is justified by the following straight-forward lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *The externalization functor $\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is fully faithful and preserves all limits that exist in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is the underlying ∞ -category of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ as defined in Section 2.*

Proof. The Yoneda embedding $y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is fully faithful and hence so is the push-forward $y_*: \mathbf{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(I, \hat{\mathcal{C}})$ for any ∞ -category I . As both $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \subset s\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})) \subset \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, s\mathcal{S})$ are full sub- ∞ -categories, it follows that the restriction $sy: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}))$ is fully faithful, too. Clearly, postcomposition with equivalences preserves fully faithfulness, and so Ext is fully faithful itself. \square

Remark 3.10. In the homotopy theory of complete Segal spaces as a model for ∞ -category theory, the fact that the externalization functor is fully faithful is effectively stated in [Ras22, Theorem 5.34] (see [Ste20, Remark 5.8]). It also can be regarded as a corollary of the Segal–Yoneda Lemma (Proposition 3.28) stated below, just as fully faithfulness of the Yoneda embedding is a corollary of the Yoneda lemma.

The ordinary categorical externalization of a 1-category is defined and studied in [Jac99, Section 7.3] and [Joh03, Section B.2.3]. By [Ste20, Proposition 5.10], the ∞ -categorical externalization functor in Definition 3.7 is an essentially faithful generalization thereof. Indeed, we will see that the properties of the ordinary categorical externalization functor shown in [Jac99, Proposition 7.3.8] generalize accordingly, and that they in fact can be strengthened. For instance, in [Jac99, Propositions 7.2.2 and 7.3.8], it is stated that the externalization functor preserves finite products (which always exist whenever \mathcal{C} is left exact). Or in other words, that it preserves cotensors with all finite sets. We already have seen that Ext is left exact, and that $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ itself is left exact whenever \mathcal{C} is so. Moreover, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is left exact. Then the full sub- $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is closed under cotensors with all finite quasi-categories. If \mathcal{C} is furthermore complete, then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under cotensors with all small quasi-categories; it hence is censored over Cat_∞ .*

Proof. The $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is simplicially censored (when considered as a simplicial category whose hom-objects are quasi-categories). We thus only have to show that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under the respective cotensors. That means, given $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ and J a (finite) quasi-category, we want to show that there is a complete Segal object $X^J \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\text{Ext}(X^J) \simeq \text{Ext}(X)^J$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$. This is exactly [Ste20, Corollary 5.18] and [Ste20, Corollary 5.26]. \square

Remark 3.12. The cotensors in Proposition 3.11 can also be constructed directly. A straight-forward but longish computation shows that for any quasi-category J and any complete Segal object $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, the cotensor $X^J \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is the “décalage” $\{((J \times \Delta^*)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq, X\}$ in

\mathcal{C} whenever it exists. That is, at level n , the \mathcal{S} -weighted limit $(X^J)_n \simeq \{((J \times \Delta^n)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq, X\}$ of X at the weight $((J \times \Delta^n)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ (for the definition and a general theory of such see [Rov21]). It is hence a homotopy-coherent version of the simplicial cotensor construction associated to the ‘‘categorical simplicial structure’’ defined on $s\mathbb{C}$ over a bicomplete category \mathbb{C} in [Dug01]. This can be made precise whenever \mathbb{C} is a suitable model category, see Section 4.

Remark 3.13. We note that the assignment of (finite) quasi-categorical cotensors in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is functorial (as it is so in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$). Thus, via Proposition 3.11 we can define an enhanced mapping ∞ -category functor $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})}$ on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ as recalled in Definition 2.23 via

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y) := U(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet}))$$

for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ as mapping ∞ -categories. It then follows that the externalization functor induces an equivalence between the pair $(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}), \text{Map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})})$ and $N_\Delta(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}))$ equipped with its canonical enhanced mapping ∞ -category functor. This recovers the higher cells between small \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -categories more directly in terms of internal diagrams in \mathcal{C} as we describe more explicitly in the next remark.

Remark 3.14. We can describe the $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical structure on internal ∞ -categories in \mathcal{C} in terms of internal diagrams and their internal natural transformations more explicitly via the enhanced mapping ∞ -category functor from Remark 3.13. We therefore notationally identify an internal ∞ -category $X \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ with its image $\text{Ext}(X) \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ for the sake of readability.

First, the fact that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is the underlying ∞ -category of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ means that for any two internal ∞ -categories X and Y in \mathcal{C} , the hom-space $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y)^\simeq$ is up to natural equivalence given by the space $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y) = s\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ of natural transformations between simplicial objects (i.e. the space of ‘‘internal functors’’) from X to Y . That means, an internal functor from X to Y is but a commutative diagram $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \\ \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow & & \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\ \downarrow \uparrow & & \downarrow \uparrow \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & Y_0 \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} . Furthermore, given two such internal functors $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$, the space $(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)_{Y \times Y}(X, Y^{\Delta^1})^\simeq$ of 2-cells from f to g is up to natural equivalence given by the space of internal functors $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})_{Y \times Y}(X, Y^{\Delta^1})$ over $Y \times Y$, where $Y^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow Y \times Y$ is the canonical projection and $X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ is given by the pair f, g . That is, the space of ‘‘internal natural transformations’’ from f to g . An internal natural transformation from f to g is thus a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Y^{\Delta^1} \\ & \nearrow \alpha & \downarrow (s,t) \\ X & \xrightarrow{(f,g)} & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. For visualization, at level 0, we recall that the object $(Y^{\Delta^1})_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ represents the presheaf $\text{Ext}(Y^{\Delta^1})^\simeq \simeq (\text{Ext}(Y)^{\Delta^1})^\simeq$. It hence is equivalent to the object Y_1 (over $Y_0 \times Y_0$) by [Ste20, Proposition 5.14.1]. Under this equivalence, the morphism $\alpha_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_1$ assigns to

every object x in X a morphism $\alpha_0(x): f_0(x) \rightarrow g_0(x)$. At level 1, the object $(Y^{\Delta^1})_1$ is up to equivalence (over $Y_1 \times Y_1$) the object $(Y^{\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1})_0 \simeq Y_2 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$ of squares in Y for essentially the same reason. Thus, the morphism $\alpha_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_2 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$ assigns to every morphism $a: x \rightarrow z$ contained in X_1 a square in Y of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_0(x) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0(x)} & g_0(x) \\ f_1(a) \downarrow & \alpha_1(a) & \downarrow g_1(a) \\ f_0(z) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0(z)} & g_0(z). \end{array}$$

We thus have seen that the canonical enrichment of \mathcal{C} in the ∞ -category of spaces always induces a (generally locally non-discrete) enrichment of $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in the ∞ -category of quasi-categories. The following proposition shows that an enrichment of a suitably complete ∞ -category \mathcal{C} in itself (considered as a cartesian ∞ -category) likewise induces an enrichment of $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in itself (in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, that is). Here, we recall that the end of a functor $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is defined in terms of the limit of its pullback to the twisted arrow ∞ -category $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ of \mathcal{C} [GHN17, Definition 2.6].

Proposition 3.15. *Suppose \mathcal{C} has countable limits and is cartesian closed. Then so is $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, and $\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ preserves exponentials.*

Proof. Since $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ is cartesian closed and $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ is a full sub- ∞ -category which is closed under products, by Lemma 3.9 it suffices to show that the sub- ∞ -category $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under exponentials. Therefore, let X, Y be a pair of ∞ -categories in \mathcal{C} . To show that the exponential $\text{Ext}(Y)^{\text{Ext}(X)}$ is again small, it suffices to show that the presheaves $(\text{Ext}(Y)^{\text{Ext}(X)})^{\simeq}$ and $((\text{Ext}(Y)^{\text{Ext}(X)})^{\Delta^1})^{\simeq}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are representable [Ste20, Corollary 3.32, Theorem 5.15]. For the former, we observe that there are natural equivalences as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ext}(Y)^{\text{Ext}(X)})^{\simeq}(C) &\simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)(yC \times \text{Ext}(X), \text{Ext}(Y)) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(c(C) \times X), \text{Ext}(Y)) \\ &\simeq s\mathcal{C}(c(C) \times X, Y) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta} \mathcal{C}((c(C) \times X)_n, Y_n) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta} \mathcal{C}(C \times X_n, Y_n) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta} \mathcal{C}(C, Y_n^{X_n}) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}(C, \int_{n \in \Delta} Y_n^{X_n}) \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence in the first line is given by the Yoneda lemma of [RV22, Theorem 5.7.3]; it also follows more directly from Remark 3.29. The expression of the hom-spaces of $s\mathcal{C}$ as an according end construction is given in [GHN17, Proposition 5.1]. It follows that $(\text{Ext}(Y)^{\text{Ext}(X)})^{\simeq}$ is represented by the end $\int_{n \in \Delta} Y_n^{X_n}$ in \mathcal{C} . This end exists as it is a $\text{Tw}(\Delta)$ -indexed (and hence countable) limit in \mathcal{C} . The same argument shows that $((\text{Ext}(Y)^{\text{Ext}(X)})^{\Delta^1})^{\simeq}$ is represented by the end $\int_{n \in \Delta} (Y^{\Delta^1})_n^{X_n}$ in \mathcal{C} , where Y^{Δ^1} is the according cotensor constructed in Proposition 3.11. \square

Remark 3.16. It may be worth to point out that the analogon to Proposition 3.15 in the ordinary categorical case only requires left exactness of \mathcal{C} rather than countably infinite completeness thereof, see [Str80, Paragraph 4.5] or [Jac99, Proposition 7.2.2]. Essentially, this is

because the same proof in this context computes any given exponential of internal categories via the 1-truncated simplex category $\Delta_{\leq 1} = ([0] \rightleftarrows [1])$ instead of the entire simplex category Δ . This however is finite, and hence so is its twisted arrow category. Indeed, note that the explicit formula for the exponential of two internal categories given in the proof of [Jac99, Proposition 7.2.2] is just an explicit computation of exactly this end.

Remark 3.17. For any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and any pair $X, Y \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ there is a binatural equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(X, Y) &:= \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\mathrm{Ext}(X), \mathrm{Ext}(Y)) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(*, \mathrm{Ext}(Y)^{\mathrm{Ext}(X)}) \end{aligned}$$

as $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is cartesian closed. Thus, whenever \mathcal{C} is countably complete and cartesian closed itself, the hom-quasi-categories $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(X, Y)$ are binaturally equivalent to the underlying quasi-category $\mathrm{Ext}(Y^X)(*)$ of the exponential $Y^X \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ by Proposition 3.15 (again by the Yoneda Lemma either in [RV22, Theorem 5.7.3], or, alternatively, in Proposition 3.28). This is up to terminology exactly the ∞ -categorical enrichment of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ defined and studied in [Mar21, Section 3] whenever \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos.

Theorem 3.18. *The $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is*

1. *a full finitary sub- ∞ -cosmos of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ whenever \mathcal{C} is left exact. It thus defines an ∞ -cosmos in the sense of [RV17].*
2. *a full sub- ∞ -cosmos of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ whose underlying ∞ -category is closed under all limits (and exponentials) whenever \mathcal{C} is complete (and cartesian closed). It thus defines a (cartesian closed) ∞ -cosmos in the sense of [RV22].*

Proof. We recall Definition 2.15, and note that small products, pullbacks of fibrations and sequential limits of fibrations in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ compute homotopy limits altogether. As such they represent the according limits in the underlying ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$. Similarly, exponentials in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ represent the according exponentials in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ by Lemma 2.22. Thus, as the inclusion of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is replete by definition, the theorem follows directly from Lemma 3.6, Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.15. \square

We hence can develop the formal ∞ -category theory of small \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -categories using the theory of [RV22]. We point out that the higher non-invertible structure on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is only representably internal (via Remark 3.13 and Remark 3.14). In Section 4 we will see that whenever \mathcal{C} can be presented by a model category, then there is an ∞ -cosmological structure on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ that can be described explicitly internally, and which is equivalent to the one of Theorem 3.18 at least whenever \mathcal{C} is presentable.

In fact, while the ∞ -cosmological structure on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is (defined so to be) compatible with the ∞ -cosmological structure on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$, the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ also admits small quasi-categorical tensors. In the following, we show that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ also admits some quasi-categorical tensors whenever \mathcal{C} has enough well behaved colimits; these however are generally not preserved by the embedding of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ (just as the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ generally does not preserve \mathcal{S} -tensors). Therefore, we introduce the following terminology following a dual definition of Gray's [Gra71, Section 5].

Definition 3.19. Say an $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} is *strongly corepresentable* if there is a cosimplicial object

$$\otimes: \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C})$$

such that for all $n \geq 0$, the endofunctor $\Delta^n \otimes (\cdot): \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ computes a functorial tensoring with Δ^n in \mathbf{C} .

Proposition 3.20. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is finitary lexensive. Then $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is strongly corepresentable.*

Proof. To prove the proposition, we recall the concept of relative right adjoints from Section 2 in this context. Let $\iota: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the canonical inclusion of the category of finite sets into the ∞ -category \mathcal{S} of spaces. We first note that the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ factors through the full sub- ∞ -category $\iota\text{RAdj}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ as \mathcal{C} has finite coproducts. Indeed, for every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and every finite set X , the copresheaf $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathcal{C}(C, \cdot)): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is corepresented by the X -fold copower $X \otimes_0 C := \coprod_X C$ of C in \mathcal{C} (that is, the colimit of the constant functor $X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with value C). The general construction (2) thus induces a composite

$$\otimes_0: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{y} \iota\text{RAdj}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Set}^{\text{fin}}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}},$$

or equivalently, a functor of the form

$$\otimes_0: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \tag{4}$$

which assigns to a pair (C, X) the X -fold copower $X \otimes_0 C$ binaturally.

Furthermore, we can show that the functor (4) preserves pullbacks; therefore it suffices to show that it preserves pullbacks in both variables. Thus, first, for any given object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the ι -left adjoint $(\cdot) \otimes_0 C: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves pullbacks. To verify this, it suffices to show that the canonical factorization $(\cdot) \otimes_0 C: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}$ is left exact (since the canonical projection $\mathcal{C}_{/C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is pullback-preserving). The functor $(\cdot) \otimes_0 C: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}$ evaluates a finite set X at the X -fold copower of the terminal object $1_C \in \mathcal{C}_{/C}$, and as such is the ι -relative left adjoint associated to the global sections functor $\Gamma: \mathcal{C}_{/C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ in (4) when applied to $\mathcal{C}_{/C}$. Thus, we note that $* \otimes_0 C \simeq \coprod_* 1_C$ is a terminal object in $\mathcal{C}_{/C}$ by construction. One can show that $(\cdot) \otimes_0 C: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}$ furthermore preserves binary products and equalizers by hand (virtually following the ordinary categorical case as in [LM92, Section VII.1, p.350] for example, using that \mathcal{C} is finitary extensive). As the ∞ -category Set^{fin} is left exact, it follows that $(\cdot) \otimes_0 C: \text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}$ preserves all finite limits via [Lur09a, Proposition 4.4.3.2].

Second, for any given finite set X , the functor $X \otimes_0 (\cdot): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves pullbacks again by finitary extensiveness of \mathcal{C} .

Thus, we may consider the push-forward $\otimes_0^s := s\otimes_0: s(\text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \times \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}$ which as a consequence preserves pullbacks as well. It hence descends to the respective full sub- ∞ -categories $\text{Cat}_\infty(\text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \times \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty(\text{Set}^{\text{fin}}) \times \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ of complete Segal objects. Since the category Δ is a locally finite category, its Yoneda embedding factors to give a left exact inclusion $y: \Delta \rightarrow s\text{Set}^{\text{fin}}$ (whose codomain literally denotes the category of simplicial objects in finite sets; not to be confused with the ∞ -category of finite spaces). Furthermore, each $y(n) = \Delta^n \in s\text{Set}^{\text{fin}}$ is a Segal object in Set^{fin} ; and as each $n \in \Delta$ is a posetal category, each $\Delta^n \in s\text{Set}^{\text{fin}}$ is in fact a complete Segal object [Ras21, Example 3.9]. The Yoneda embedding of Δ thus further factors to give a left exact inclusion $y: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty(\text{Set}^{\text{fin}})$. We thus obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Delta \times \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{y \times \iota} & s\text{Set}^{\text{fin}} \times s\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\otimes_0^s} & s\mathcal{C} \\ & \searrow^{y \times 1} & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \text{Cat}_\infty(\text{Set}^{\text{fin}}) \times \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes_0^s} & \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

and denote the induced bottom composition by

$$\otimes: \Delta \times \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}).$$

We are left to show that for every $n \geq 0$ and every $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, the complete Segal object $\Delta^n \otimes X$ is a tensor of X with Δ^n in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. In terms of the enhanced mapping ∞ -category

functor from Remark 3.13, this means we are to show that for every $Y \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ there is a binatural equivalence

$$\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^n \otimes X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet}) \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet})^{\Delta^n}$$

of ∞ -categories. By virtue of the existence of (functorial) cotensors, and the fact that cotensors commute with one another, this follows from the existence of a binatural equivalence

$$\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^n \otimes X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet}) \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, (Y^{\Delta^n})^{\Delta^\bullet})$$

of ∞ -categories. For this it in turn suffices to construct a binatural (!) equivalence

$$\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^n \otimes X, Y) \simeq \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y^{\Delta^n}) \quad (5)$$

of spaces. We hence finish the proof with a construction of such an equivalence, using the pointwise description of the cotensor Y^{Δ^n} as a simplicial collection of accordingly weighted limits in \mathcal{C} (Remark 3.12). We compute

$$\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y^{\Delta^n}) \simeq \int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{C}(X_m, \{((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq, Y\}) \quad (6)$$

$$\simeq \int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} s\mathcal{S}(((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq, sy(Y)(X_m)) \quad (7)$$

$$\simeq \int_{(m,l) \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{S}(((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^l})^\simeq, \mathcal{C}(X_m, Y_l))$$

$$\simeq \int_{(m,l) \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{C}(((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^l})^\simeq \otimes_0 X_m, Y_l) \quad (8)$$

$$\simeq \int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} s\mathcal{C}(((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq \otimes_0 X_m, Y) \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\simeq s\mathcal{C}\left(\int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} ((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq \otimes_0 X_m, Y\right) \\ &\simeq s\mathcal{C}(\Delta^n \otimes X, Y) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

binaturally. Here, line (6) follows from Remark 3.12. Line (7) can be shown by expressing the weighted limit functors $\{((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq, \cdot\}$ as right adjoints, for instance by using that they are (ordinary) $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op})$ -indexed limits in \mathcal{C} and that ordinary ∞ -categorical limits are right adjoints. In Line (8) we use the universal property of the coproduct functor \otimes_0 , together with the fact that the spaces $((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^l})^\simeq$ are in fact sets (since the categories $\Delta^n \times \Delta^m$ have no non-trivial isomorphisms). In Line (9), the domain $((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq \otimes_0 X_m$ is defined by pointwise application of $(\cdot) \otimes_0 X_m$ on the simplicial finite set $((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq$. Lastly, Line (10) is essentially an application of the Yoneda lemma: first, we have a natural equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} ((\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq \otimes_0 X_m &\simeq ((\Delta^n)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq \otimes_0^s \int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} ((\Delta^m)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq \otimes_0 X_m \\ &\simeq \Delta^n \otimes \int_{m \in \Delta^{op}} \Delta^m \otimes_0 X_m \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in \mathcal{C} . And second, the right component in (11) is the coend of X with the corepresentables $\Delta^{op}(m, \cdot)$ over Δ^{op} . This can be shown to compute X itself by the usual Yoneda lemma argument. \square

Remark 3.21. By construction, the tensor $\Delta^n \otimes X$ constructed in Proposition 3.20 is a simplicial object in \mathcal{C} which evaluates n at the coproduct $\coprod_{\Delta(m,n)} X_m$. In line with Remark 3.12, this is a general homotopy-coherent version of the simplicial tensors constructed in [Dug01]. Furthermore, by essentially the same proof one can show that whenever all corepresentables

$$\mathcal{C}(C, \cdot): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

have a pullback-preserving left adjoint (relative to the full ∞ -category of finite spaces/ κ -small spaces/ \dots), then there is a functor

$$\otimes: \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{(\text{fin}/\kappa/\dots)} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}), \text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}))$$

which computes tensors with all (finite/ κ -small/ \dots) ∞ -categories in the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$. For example, the corepresentables $\mathcal{C}(C, \cdot)$ have pullback-preserving left adjoints whenever \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos, as each global sections functor $\Gamma: \mathcal{C}/_C \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ has a left exact left adjoint. Hence, if \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos then $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ is also tensored over Cat_{∞} as stated in [Mar21, Section 3.4].

Remark 3.22. Let \mathcal{C} be a finitary lextensive ∞ -category. Then functoriality of the tensoring \otimes in Proposition 3.20 together with binaturality of Equation (5) gives an alternative description of the enhanced mapping ∞ -category functor from Remark 4.17 in terms of its tensoring with the ∞ -categories Δ^n rather than its cotensoring:

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y) \simeq U(\text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^{\bullet} \otimes X, Y)).$$

Remark 3.23. While all cotensors in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ which exist are automatically preserved by the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})$ by Proposition 3.11, the tensors generally are not. Case in point, if \mathcal{C} is finitary lextensive and $*$ is terminal in \mathcal{C} , then $\text{Ext}(\Delta^1 \otimes c(*))$ is generally not the tensor $\Delta^1 \otimes \text{Ext}(*)) \simeq c(\Delta^1) \times * \simeq c(\Delta^1)$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})$. Here, $c(\Delta^1): \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ denotes the constant functor with value Δ^1 . Indeed, if we denote by $\mathfrak{2}$ the coproduct $* \sqcup *$ in \mathcal{C} , then $c(\Delta^1)(\mathfrak{2})$ is just Δ^1 by definition. However $\text{Ext}(\Delta^1 \otimes c(*))(\mathfrak{2})$ is the category $\Delta^1 \sqcup \Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0$. Explicitly, its objects are given by the four morphisms of type $\mathfrak{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{2}$ in \mathcal{C} together with one arrow from the pair $(\text{incl}, \text{incl}): \mathfrak{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{2}$ of left inclusions to the pair $(\text{incr}, \text{incr}): \mathfrak{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{2}$ of right inclusions.

Corollary 3.24. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is finitary lextensive. Then there is a cosimplicial object*

$$\otimes: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C}))$$

such that for all objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and for all $Y \in \text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^{\bullet} \otimes c(C), Y) \simeq \text{sy}(Y)(C)$$

of \mathcal{C} -indexed complete Segal spaces. In particular, there is a natural equivalence

$$U(\text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^{\bullet} \otimes c(C), Y)) \simeq \text{Ext}(Y)(C)$$

of underlying ∞ -categories, and for every $n \in \Delta$ there is a binatural equivalence

$$\text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^n \otimes c(C), Y) \simeq \mathcal{C}(C, Y_n)$$

of spaces. Thus, the functor $\Delta^n \otimes c: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ is left adjoint to evaluation at n .

Proof. The inclusion $c: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ induces a restriction

$$\otimes: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}))$$

of the cosimplicial object in Proposition 3.20. For every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and every $Y \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, we obtain a chain of natural equivalences of \mathcal{C} -indexed complete Segal spaces as follows.

$$\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(\Delta^\bullet \otimes c(C), Y) \simeq \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(c(C), Y^{\Delta^\bullet}) \quad (12)$$

$$\simeq \mathcal{C}(C, \mathrm{ev}_0(Y^{\Delta^\bullet})) \quad (13)$$

$$\simeq \mathcal{C}(C, Y_\bullet) \quad (14)$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{sy}(Y)(C)$$

Here, Line (12) is Equation (5) after restriction along $c: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. Line (13) is part of the adjunction $c \dashv \mathrm{ev}_0$, Line (14) follows from the fact that for any given $n \geq 0$ the presheaf $\mathrm{Ext}(Y^{\Delta^n}) \simeq (\mathrm{Ext}(Y)^{\Delta^n}) \simeq$ is represented by Y_n [Ste20, Proposition 5.14]. These equivalences are natural in Δ^{op} given that the simplicial object $Y^{\Delta^\bullet}: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ was defined in terms of the simplicial object $\mathrm{Ext}(Y)^{\Delta^\bullet}$ and the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is fully faithful (and so has an inverse on its image). The other equivalences in the statement are all immediate consequences. \square

Remark 3.25. Single examples of internal categories of the form $J \otimes c(*)$ in a 1-category \mathcal{C} with a terminal object $*$ – explicitly the internal categories $\Delta^0 \otimes c(*)$, $\Delta^1 \otimes c(*)$, $(\Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0) \otimes c(*)$ and $N(0 \rightrightarrows 1) \otimes c(*)$ – have been described in [Jac99, Example 7.1.2.(iii)].

Our results to this point yield the following hierarchy of structural richness, which expands its ordinary categorical analogues shown in [Str80, Section 4].

Theorem 3.26. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let $\mathrm{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ be the canonical embedding of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.*

1. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is (finitely) complete. Then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ has all flexibly weighted limits (with pointwise finite weights of finite index). Furthermore, the ∞ -bicategory canonically associated to $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is (finitely) $(\infty, 2)$ -complete in the sense of [GHL20], and Ext preserves these limits.*
2. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is countably complete and cartesian closed. Then the underlying ∞ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is cartesian closed and Ext preserves exponentials.*
3. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is finitary left extensive. Then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is strongly corepresentable.*

Proof. For Part 1, we reckon that (finitary) sub- ∞ -cosmoses are generally closed under flexibly (finitely) weighted limits via [RV22, Proposition 6.2.8]. Thus, closure of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ under (finite) flexibly weighted limits follows from Theorem 3.18. As every (finite) simplicial weight $W: J \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ has a (finite) flexible cofibrant replacement, it follows that for every (finite) simplicial diagram $F: J \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ and for every (pointwise finite) simplicial weight $W: J \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, the W -weighted homotopy limit $\mathrm{holim}^W(\mathrm{Ext}(F))$ of the composition $\mathrm{Ext}(F): J \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCAt}))_{\mathrm{inj}}$ is again contained in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. To deduce closure under general $(\infty, 2)$ -limits, let $(\mathbf{S}^+, \mathrm{Cart})$ denote the marked model structure on the category \mathbf{S}^+ of marked simplicial sets [Lur09a, Section 3.1]. By a combination of [GHL20, Corollary 5.3.11], [Lur09b, Remark 0.0.4], a series of suitable fibrant replacements in the canonically induced model structure on the category of \mathbf{S}^+ -enriched categories, and the fact that the fibration categories \mathbf{QCAt} and $(\mathbf{S}^+, \mathrm{Cart})^f$ are isomorphic, one reduces (finite) $(\infty, 2)$ -completeness of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ (that is to say, of its canonically associated ∞ -bicategory as referred to in Remark 2.18) to the fact that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is closed under all (finitely) weighted homotopy limits in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\mathrm{inj}}$.

Parts 2 and 3 are covered by Proposition 3.20 and Proposition 3.15. \square

3.3 A Segal–Yoneda lemma

We finish this section with a version of the Yoneda lemma for small indexed ∞ -categories and some of its applications.

Lemma 3.27. *Let $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ be a complete Segal object in \mathcal{C} . Let $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})$ be a \mathcal{C} -indexed complete Segal space. Then there is a binatural equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}))(sy(X), F) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} F_n(X_n)$$

of spaces which is pointwise induced by the Yoneda lemma for presheaves.

Proof. We argue that there is a sequence of binatural equivalences as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}))(sy(X), F) &\simeq \int_{C \in \mathcal{C}^{op}} s\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}(C, X), F(C)) \\ &\simeq \int_{C \in \mathcal{C}^{op}} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}(C, X_n), F(C)_n) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \int_{C \in \mathcal{C}^{op}} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}(C, X_n), F(C)_n) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \hat{\mathcal{C}}(y(X_n), F(\cdot)_n) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} F_n(X_n) \end{aligned}$$

The first equivalence is again the usual end-description of an ∞ -category of natural transformations [GHN17, Proposition 5.1], given that $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}) \subset s\mathcal{S}$ is a full sub- ∞ -category. The same applies to the second and the fourth equivalence. The last equivalence follows from (binaturality of) the Yoneda lemma. The remaining third equivalence is a direct application of the Fubini theorem, a proof of which can be found in [Lor18, Theorem 2.2]. \square

Proposition 3.28 (A Segal–Yoneda Lemma). *Let $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ be a complete Segal object in \mathcal{C} . For every \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -category $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ there is a binatural equivalence*

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), F) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} F(X_n)^{\Delta^n}$$

of quasi-categories. In particular, there is a binatural equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), F) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} (F(X_n)^{\Delta^n})^\simeq$$

of underlying spaces.

Proof. The inverse of the underlying ∞ -category functor $U: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ is given by the functor $((\cdot)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq: \text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S})$ by virtue of [JT06, Theorem 4.12]. It thus suffices to construct a binatural equivalence

$$\left(\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), F)^{\Delta^\bullet} \right)^\simeq \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\left(\int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} F(X_n)^{\Delta^n} \right)^{\Delta^\bullet} \right)^\simeq$$

of complete Segal spaces. Since $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is (functorially) cotensored over \mathbf{Cat}_∞ , we first get a binatural equivalence

$$\left(\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\mathrm{Ext}(X), F)^{\Delta^\bullet}\right)^\simeq \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\mathrm{Ext}(X), F^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq$$

of complete Segal spaces. By definition, the codomain is just the simplicial object of hom-spaces $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\mathrm{Ext}(X), F^{\Delta^\bullet})$. Now, the \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -category $\mathrm{Ext}(X)$ is by definition the (pointwise) underlying ∞ -category $U(\mathrm{sy}(X))$ of the \mathcal{C} -indexed complete Segal space $\mathrm{sy}(X)$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{sy}(X) \simeq ((\mathrm{Ext}(X))^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq,$$

and we obtain a binatural equivalence

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\mathrm{Ext}(X), F^{\Delta^\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}))(\mathrm{sy}(X), ((F^{\Delta^\bullet})^{\Delta^{(\cdot)}})^\simeq)$$

of complete Segal spaces. By Lemma 3.27, the right hand side is binaturally equivalent to the end

$$\int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} ((F^{\Delta^\bullet}(X_n))^{\Delta^n})^\simeq$$

of spaces. But quasi-categorical cotensors of indexed ∞ -categories are computed pointwise and commute with one another, while ends commute both with quasi-categorical cotensors and the core construction. We thus obtain a binatural equivalence

$$\int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} ((F^{\Delta^\bullet}(X_n))^{\Delta^n})^\simeq \simeq \left(\left(\int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} F(X_n)^{\Delta^n} \right)^{\Delta^\bullet} \right)^\simeq.$$

Concatenation of this sequence of binatural equivalences of complete Segal spaces finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.29. It is easy to see that the Segal–Yoneda lemma recovers the standard Yoneda lemma for the base \mathcal{C} when applied to internal ∞ -groupoids: For any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and any presheaf F , by commutativity of Diagram (3) we have $\mathrm{Ext}(c(C)) \simeq y(C)$ and hence

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}(yC, F) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\mathrm{Ext}(c(C)), F) \simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} F(C)^{\Delta^n}.$$

As each $F(C)$ is a space, the end on the right hand side is the totalization (and hence the homotopy-limit) of the constant simplicial space $F(C)$. This however is equivalent to $F(C)$ itself. It follows more generally that $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(yC, F) \simeq F(C)$ for any given general \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -category F .

The Segal–Yoneda lemma states that the small indexed ∞ -categories $\mathrm{Ext}(X)$ are freely generated by the diagram of identities $1_{X_n} \in \mathrm{Ext}(X)(X_n)$ for $n \geq 0$. Indeed, informally, the equivalence in Proposition 3.28 maps a natural transformation

$$\alpha: \mathrm{Ext}(X) \rightarrow F$$

to the tuple

$$(\alpha_{X_n}(1_{X_n}) | n \geq 0) \in \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} (F(X_n)^{\Delta^n})^\simeq.$$

Formally, the lemma equivalently states that the following.

Corollary 3.30. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. Then there is a 2-cell*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{\Delta^\bullet} & \text{Cat}_\infty \\ X^{op} \downarrow & \Downarrow 1_{X^\bullet} & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}(X)} & \end{array}$$

that exhibits $\text{Ext}(X)$ as the left Kan extension $(X^{op})_!(\Delta^\bullet)$ of the canonical inclusion $\Delta^\bullet: \Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ along $X^{op}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The ∞ -category Cat_∞ is cocomplete, and so there is a global left Kan extension functor $(X^{op})_!: \text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$. For every $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ we obtain a binatural equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)((X^{op})_!(\Delta^\bullet), F) &\simeq \text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Cat}_\infty)((\Delta^\bullet, (X^{op})^*F)) \\ &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta} (F(X_n)^{\Delta^n})^{\simeq} \end{aligned}$$

again via [GHN17, Proposition 5.1]. This end (despite the subtle difference in the indexing domain) is exactly the end that computes binaturally the hom-space $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), F)$ by Lemma 3.28. Indeed, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Tw}(\Delta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta \times \Delta^{op} & \xrightarrow[\text{(\pi}_2, \pi_1)]{\simeq} & \Delta^{op} \times \Delta \\ (X^{op}, (F(\cdot))^{\Delta^\bullet})^{\simeq} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\Delta^\bullet, F \circ X^{op}) \\ \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \hat{\mathcal{C}} & & \text{Cat}_\infty \times \text{Cat}_\infty \\ \text{ev} \downarrow & \swarrow \text{Hom} & \\ \mathcal{S} & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Here, the top horizontal equivalence is given in [Lurb, Remark 8.1.1.7]. It follows that $\text{Ext}(X) \simeq (X^{op})_!(\Delta^\bullet)$. The according 2-cell 1_{X^\bullet} in the statement is given by the element in $\text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Cat}_\infty)((\Delta^\bullet, (X^{op})^*\text{Ext}(X)))$ corresponding to the identity $1_{\text{Ext}(X)}$ via Lemma 4.12. \square

Corollary 3.30 generalizes the fact that the ordinary Yoneda lemma equivalently states that the 2-cell

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\{*\}} & \mathcal{S} \\ \{C\} \downarrow & \Downarrow 1_C & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{y_C} & \end{array}$$

is a left Kan extension for every ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and every object $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 3.31 (Relation to the generalized Yoneda lemma of Riehl and Verity). The category theoretical literature is not short of formulations and generalizations of the Yoneda lemma in various contexts. One such generalization very much relevant for the context at hand is the generalized Yoneda lemma for cartesian fibrations of Riehl and Verity [RV22, Theorem 5.7.3, Corollary 5.7.19] in the standard case of an ∞ -cosmos of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. Applied to a simplicial diagram $X: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a cartesian fibration p over \mathcal{C} , it yields an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{cart}}(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X, p) \simeq \text{Func}(X, p)$$

from the quasi-category of cartesian functors between the comma-object $\mathcal{C} \downarrow X$ and p over \mathcal{C} , and the quasi-category of functors between X and p over \mathcal{C} . Via [GHN17, Proposition 6.9, Proposition 7.1], for every simplicial object $X \in s\mathcal{C}$ and every $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ it equivalently states the existence of a binatural equivalence

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\text{St}(\mathcal{C} \downarrow X), F) \simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} (F(X_n))^{\Delta/n}$$

of quasi-categories, where the right hand side computes the oplax limit of the composition $F \circ X^{op}: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ [GHN17, Definition 2.9].

In comparison to Proposition 3.28, we note that the overcategories Δ/n are very different from the categories Δ^n , and generally the associated ends differ as well. Indeed, the externalization $\text{Ext}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (considered as cartesian fibration over \mathcal{C} via its Unstraightening) and the comma-object $\mathcal{C} \downarrow X$ associated to X are generally different concepts. For example, if \mathcal{C} is the terminal ∞ -category $*$ and $X = c(*)$ is the unique simplicial object in \mathcal{C} , one computes that $\mathcal{C} \downarrow X = \Delta^{op}$ and $\text{Ext}(X) = *$ in $\text{Cart}(*) = \text{Cat}_\infty$. Indeed, for objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$ when considered as constant simplicial objects $c(C)$ in \mathcal{C} , the comma-object $\mathcal{C} \downarrow c(C)$ does generally not even compute the representable $y(C)$. That is, because the comma-object is overloaded with non-contractible structure coming from Δ^{op} ; one rather has to consider C in the contractible context $\{C\}: * \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ for the comma-object $\mathcal{C} \downarrow \{C\}$ to recover the corresponding representable. As we have seen earlier, $\text{Ext}(c(C))$ on the other hand is equivalent to $y(C)$. In summary, we see that the two Yoneda lemmas generalize the classical Yoneda lemma to a classification of cartesian functors out of two different classes of fibrations. Both reduce to the same statement when applied to the subclass of representable right fibrations however.

We finish this section with two applications of Lemma 3.28. First, for later use in Section 4 we recall that if \mathcal{C} is a complete ∞ -category, then the externalization functor $\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{RAdj}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty) \quad (15)$$

into the full sub- ∞ -category spanned by the right adjoint functors by corestriction as shown in [Ste20, Proposition 5.23]. Indeed, $\text{Ext}(X)$ is the nerve of the cosimplicial object $X^{op}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ whose left adjoint is in this case given by the left Kan extension of X^{op} along the generating inclusion $y: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$. The adjunction $y_!(X^{op}) \dashv \text{Ext}(X)$ for any given $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ restricts the equivalence $y_!: \text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathcal{C}^{op}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{LAdj}(s\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}^{op})$ to an equivalence

$$y_!: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{LAdj}(\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{C}^{op}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{LAdj}(\text{Cat}_\infty, \mathcal{C}^{op}).$$

The description of externalization as a right adjoint under the given assumption thereby holds not only pointwise but functorially (as to be expected) as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 3.32. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a complete ∞ -category. Then the triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{y_!^{op}} & \text{LAdj}(\text{Cat}_\infty, \mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} \\ & \searrow \text{Ext} & \simeq \downarrow \rho \\ & & \text{RAdj}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty) \end{array} \quad (16)$$

commutes up to equivalence. Here, the vertical equivalence ρ from left to right adjoints is as defined in Diagram (2) for F the identity.

Proof. We compute that the space of natural transformations from Ext to the composition $\rho \circ y_!^{op}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), \rho(y_!^{op}(X))) &\simeq \int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} (\rho(y_!^{op}(X)))(X_n)^{\Delta^n} \simeq \\
&\simeq \int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \text{Cat}_\infty(\Delta^n, \rho(y_!^{op}(X)))(X_n) \\
&\simeq \int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{C}^{op}(y_!^{op}(X)(\Delta^n), X_n) \\
&\simeq \int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{C}^{op}(X_n, X_n) \\
&\simeq \int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} \mathcal{C}(X_n, X_n) \\
&\simeq \int_{X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})} \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, X) \\
&\simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}), \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}))(\text{id}, \text{id}).
\end{aligned}$$

As \mathcal{C} is potentially large, the ends indexed over $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ above are large limits of essentially small ∞ -categories, and hence are computed in the very large ∞ -category of large ∞ -categories. Let $\alpha: \text{Ext} \Rightarrow \rho \circ y_!^{op}$ be the essentially unique natural transformations that corresponds to the identity on id under this chain of equivalences. We are to show that this natural transformation is a (pointwise) equivalence. Therefore, let $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. We have seen in Corollary 3.30 that $\text{Ext}(X)$ is the left Kan extension $X_!^{op}(\Delta^\bullet)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta & \xrightarrow{\Delta^\bullet} & \text{Cat}_\infty \\
X^{op} \downarrow & \searrow \downarrow 1_{X^\bullet} & \nearrow \text{Ext}(X) \\
\mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\rho y_!^{op}(X)} & \text{Cat}_\infty \\
& \searrow \downarrow \alpha_X & \nearrow
\end{array}$$

Both $\text{Ext}(X)$ and $\rho y_!^{op}(X)$ are a right adjoint to the left Kan extension $y_!(X^{op})$ each by construction, and hence they are equivalent to one another. Under this equivalence and the adjunction $X_!^{op} \dashv (X^{op})^*$, the natural transformation $\alpha_X: X_!^{op}(\Delta^\bullet) \rightarrow X_!^{op}(\Delta^\bullet)$ corresponds precisely to the unit

$$1_{X^\bullet}: \Delta^\bullet \rightarrow (X^{op})^* X_!^{op}(\Delta^\bullet)$$

by definition of α_X . It follows that α_X is a natural equivalence. \square

Remark 3.33. Whenever \mathcal{C} is presentable (and hence in particular complete), the adjoint functor theorem and the equivalence (15) together imply that an indexed ∞ -category $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ is small if and only if it is small limit preserving. If \mathcal{C} is in fact an ∞ -topos, this identifies the $(\infty, 2)$ -category of ∞ -categories internal to \mathcal{C} with the full sub- $(\infty, 2)$ -category of sheaves of ∞ -categories over \mathcal{C} . This latter characterization can be found as well in [Mar21, Section 3.5].

Second, Lemma 3.28 can also be used to characterize the ∞ -category of internal presheaves over an internal ∞ -category X in any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with pullbacks. Therefore we denote the canonical indexing of \mathcal{C} over itself – defined as the Straightening of the target fibration $t: \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ – by $\mathcal{C}_{/(-)}: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ (or with codomain CAT_∞ in case \mathcal{C} is itself large).

Definition 3.34. Let $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. The quasi-category $[X, \mathcal{C}]$ of internal covariant presheaves over X in \mathcal{C} is the quasi-category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), \mathcal{C}_{/(-)})$.

Construction 3.35. For every $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ there is an $X^{op} \in \text{Cat}_\infty(X)$ defined in such a way that the externalization of X^{op} computes the composition

$$\mathcal{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}(X)} \text{Cat}_\infty \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{op}} \text{Cat}_\infty.$$

This can be seen quickly for instance from [Ste20, Theorem 5.15] together with the fact that the core of the opposite of an ∞ -category \mathcal{D} is naturally equivalent to the core of \mathcal{D} . The opposite X^{op} also can be defined directly as the precomposition of X with $(\cdot)^{op}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ as in [Lur09a, Section 1.2.1].

Definition 3.36. Let $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. The quasi-category of internal (contravariant) presheaves over X in \mathcal{C} is the ∞ -category $[X^{op}, \mathcal{C}]$.

Example 3.37. If we represent an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} as a complete Segal object $(\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq$ in \mathcal{S} , then $\text{Ext}((\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq)$ is just the naive indexing $\mathcal{C}^{(-)}: \mathcal{S}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ of \mathcal{C} as shown in [Ste20, Example 5.12]. More precisely, the functor $(\cdot)^{(-)}: \text{CAT}_\infty \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{S}^{op}, \text{CAT}_\infty)$ – which assigns to an ∞ -category its associated naive indexing – is the composition

$$\text{CAT}_\infty \xrightarrow{((\cdot)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq} \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{S}) \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{S}^{op}, \text{CAT}_\infty)$$

of the equivalence $((\cdot)^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq$ with the externalization functor on \mathcal{S} . It thus is fully faithful by Lemma 3.9, and yields a functor $(\cdot)^{(-)}: \mathbf{QCAT} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{S}^{op}, \mathbf{QCAT})$ that induces equivalences of hom-quasi-categories as it preserves quasi-categorical cotensors as well. The canonical indexing $\mathcal{S}_{/(-)}$ of \mathcal{S} over itself is naturally equivalent to the naive indexing $\mathcal{S}^{(-)}: \mathcal{S}^{op} \rightarrow \text{CAT}_\infty$ as well (by the unmarked Straightening construction, see [Lur09a, Theorem 2.2.1.2]). It follows that the quasi-category $[(\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq, \mathcal{S}]$ of \mathcal{S} -internal covariant presheaves over $(\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^\bullet})^\simeq$ is (as to be expected) just the quasi-category

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{S}^{op}, \mathbf{QCAT})(\mathcal{C}^{(-)}, \mathcal{S}^{(-)}) \simeq \mathbf{QCAT}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$$

of covariant presheaves over \mathcal{C} . The same goes for contravariant presheaves over \mathcal{C} .

Corollary 3.38. *Let $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$. Then there are natural equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} [X, \mathcal{C}] &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} (\mathcal{C}_{/X_n})^{\Delta^n}, \\ [X^{op}, \mathcal{C}] &\simeq \int_{n \in \Delta^{op}} (\mathcal{C}_{/(X^{op})_n})^{\Delta^n} \end{aligned}$$

of quasi-categories. □

Corollary 3.39. *If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos, then for every $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, the quasi-category $[X, \mathcal{C}]$ of internal covariant presheaves over X is again an ∞ -topos. Trivially, the same applies to $[X^{op}, \mathcal{C}]$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos, the diagram

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{op} \times \Delta &\rightarrow \text{CAT}_\infty \\ ([n], [m]) &\mapsto (\mathcal{C}_{/X_m})^{\Delta^n} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

factors through the ∞ -category $\text{LTop} \subset \text{CAT}_\infty$, where LTop denotes the opposite ∞ -category of ∞ -toposes and geometric morphisms [Lur09a, Definition 6.3.1.5]. But the inclusion $\text{LTop} \subset \text{CAT}_\infty$ creates all small limits [Lur09a, Proposition 6.3.2.3], and so $[X, \mathcal{C}] \simeq \lim((17) \circ p_\Delta)$ is an ∞ -topos, where $p_\Delta: \text{Tw}(\Delta) \rightarrow \Delta^{op} \times \Delta$ denotes the canonical projection. □

Remark 3.40. The argument in Corollary 3.39 applies more generally to every (potentially super-large) countably complete ∞ -category K of ∞ -categories (whose inclusion $K \subset \text{CAT}_\infty$ is fully faithful on n -cells for $n \geq 2$) such that $\mathcal{C} \in K$ implies

1. $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^n} \in K$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{/C} \in K$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$,
2. $\alpha^*: \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^m} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^n}$ is in K for all $\alpha: n \rightarrow m$, and
3. $f^*: \mathcal{C}_{/D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/C}$ is contained in K for all morphisms $f: C \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{C} .

It thus applies for instance also to the ∞ -category of presentable ∞ -categories with universal colimits (and cocontinuous functors in-between them).

4 Model categorical presentations

Whenever a given ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is the homotopy ∞ -category of a model category \mathbb{M} , we can relate the main results of Section 3 such as Theorem 3.18 and Proposition 3.20 to the classical theory of model categories. Notably, every model category \mathbb{M} induces a Reedy model structure on the category $s\mathbb{M}$ of simplicial objects on \mathbb{M} , and bicompleteness of \mathbb{M} furthermore induces a simplicial enrichment of the category $s\mathbb{M}$ (explicitly presented in [Dug01]). While the Reedy model structure generally does not cohere with this enrichment, we will see that the induced Reedy fibration category structure on the full subcategory $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subset s\mathbb{M}$ of complete Segal objects in \mathbb{M} is always $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enriched, and that the induced fibration category structure on the full subcategory $\text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subset s\mathbb{M}$ of complete Segal groupoids in \mathbb{M} (these are the “frames” in the sense of [Hov99] and the “complete Bousfield-Segal objects” in the sense of [Ber08, Ste22]) is always (\mathbf{S}, Kan) -enriched. They in particular each form an ∞ -cosmos in the weaker sense of [RV17]. By virtue of Example 2.6, this specialises to the fact that the associated model categories $(s\mathbb{M}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ [RV17] and $(s\mathbb{M}, \text{Gpd}_\infty)$ [Dug01] are $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enriched and (\mathbf{S}, Kan) -enriched, respectively, in case \mathbb{M} is combinatorial and left proper.

We further define a simplicially enriched model categorical right derived externalization functor

$$\mathbf{RExt}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{L}_\Delta(\mathbb{M}, W)^{op}, \mathbf{QCat}),$$

elaborate on instances of this functor that arise in classical simplicial homotopy theory, and show that it is cosmological in a suitable sense. We show that \mathbf{RExt} lifts the ∞ -categorical externalization construction of Section 3 under additional assumptions on \mathbb{M} , and use this to show that $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is an $(\infty, 1)$ -localic $(\infty, 2)$ -topos as defined in Section 4.3 whenever \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos.

We fix a model category \mathbb{M} for this entire section. The homotopy ∞ -category $\mathbb{M}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ of \mathbb{M} [Lura, Definition 1.3.4.15] will be denoted by \mathcal{C} .

4.1 Complete Segal objects and model categorical externalization

We recall that the derived mapping spaces $\mathbb{M}(A, B)^h$ of \mathbb{M} compute the mapping spaces $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and may be calculated in terms of coframes on its cofibrant objects A , or frames on its fibrant objects B [Hov99, Chapter 5]. Here, a frame on a fibrant object B is a homotopically constant Reedy fibrant simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} such that $X_0 \cong B$. A frame is the same thing as a complete Bousfield-Segal object in the sense of [Ber08, Section 6] by [Ste22, Lemma 6.4]. We will refer to such as *complete Segal groupoids* in \mathbb{M} to cohere with the ∞ -categorical terminology. We denote by $\text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subseteq s\mathbb{M}$ the full subcategory of complete Segal groupoids in \mathbb{M} . In [Hov99, Corollary 5.4.4], Hovey shows that for every fibrant object B in \mathbb{M} and every complete

Segal groupoid X on B , the left Kan extension of $X^{op}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ along the Yoneda embedding $y: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ yields a Quillen adjunction

$$y_!(X^{op}): (\mathbf{S}, \text{Kan}) \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} \mathbb{M}^{op}: \mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_\bullet)$$

such that $\mathbb{M}(A, X_\bullet) \simeq \mathbb{M}(A, B)^h$ for all cofibrant objects A . Thus, we can assign to every simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} a right adjoint $\mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ which is a right Quillen functor whenever X is a complete Segal groupoid. Since every fibrant object in \mathbb{M} is the object component of some homotopically unique complete Segal groupoid [Hov99, Theorem 5.2.8], we may think of these right Quillen functors

$$\mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_\bullet): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \text{Kan})$$

as representable \mathbb{M} -indexed Kan complexes. It is not hard to show that in fact every right Quillen functor of type $\mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \text{Kan})$ is exactly of the form $\mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_\bullet)$ for some complete Segal groupoid X in \mathbb{M} (see Proposition 4.7 below).

More generally, the functor $\mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_\bullet): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is well-defined for every simplicial object in \mathbb{M} and features prominently under the name “ $X/__$ ” in the Joyal-Tierney calculus developed in [JT06, Section 7]. Indeed, for a simplicial object $X \in s\mathbb{M}$, the left Kan extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{X^{op}} & \mathbb{M}^{op} \\ y \downarrow & \nearrow y_!(X^{op}) & \\ \mathbf{S} & & \end{array}$$

of X^{op} along the Yoneda embedding always exhibits a right adjoint, explicitly given by the nerve $\mathbb{M}^{op}(X_\bullet, \cdot): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. By definition, for every $X \in s\mathbb{M}$, we have natural isomorphisms $y_!(X^{op})(\Delta^n) \cong X_n$. The left adjoint $y_!(X^{op})$ takes the n -th boundary inclusion $\delta^n: \partial\Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ to the n -th matching object $X_n \rightarrow M_n X$ in \mathbb{M} , and the n -th spine inclusion $S_n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ to the n -th Segal map $X_n \rightarrow \{S_n, X\} = X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1$.

Definition 4.1. A simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} is Reedy fibrant if the matching object $X_n \rightarrow M_n X$ is a fibration for all $n \geq 0$. A Reedy fibrant simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} is a Segal object if the Segal maps

$$X_n \rightarrow X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1$$

are homotopy equivalences for all $n \geq 2$. A Segal object X in \mathbb{M} is complete if the functor $y_!(X^{op}): \mathbf{S}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ takes the endpoint inclusion $\Delta^0 \rightarrow I\Delta^1$ to an acyclic fibration. The full subcategory of complete Segal objects in \mathbb{M} will be denoted by $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subset s\mathbb{M}$.

The definition of completeness is a straight-forward generalization of Rezk’s original definition of completeness for Segal spaces. It is used in [JT06] to define a Quillen equivalence from Rezk’s model structure $(s\mathbf{S}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ for complete Segal spaces to the Joyal model structure $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$. A comparison to the internal definition of completeness as given in Definition 3.3, and as used e.g. in [Lur09b] and [Ras22], is given in [Ste23b]. Definition 4.1 is chosen in such a way that we immediately obtain the following characterization.

Lemma 4.2. *The left Kan extension $y_!(X^{op}): \mathbf{S}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ takes*

- *boundary inclusions (and hence all monomorphisms) in \mathbf{S} to fibrations in \mathbb{M} if and only if X is Reedy fibrant;*
- *furthermore inner horn inclusions (and hence all mid anodyne morphisms) in \mathbf{S} to trivial fibrations if and only if X is a Segal object;*
- *furthermore the endpoint inclusion $\Delta^0 \rightarrow I\Delta^1$ to a trivial fibration if and only if X is a complete Segal object.*

Proof. This is the dual to [Ste23b, Proposition 3.2]. In a nutshell, Part 1 follows directly from a saturation argument and the fact that $y_!(X^{op})$ takes the boundary inclusions to the according matching objects. Similarly, a Reedy fibrant simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} is a Segal object if and only if the functor $y_!(X^{op}): \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{op}$ takes the spine inclusions to acyclic cofibrations in \mathbb{M}^{op} . The latter holds if and only if $y_!(X^{op})$ takes the class of inner horn inclusions to acyclic fibrations by [JT06, Lemma 1.21, Lemma 3.5]. \square

The global left Kan extension functor $y_!: \text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathbb{M}^{op}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbb{M}^{op})$ corestricts to equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} s\mathbb{M} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{LAdj}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbb{M}^{op})^{op} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{RAdj}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}) \\ X &\mapsto y_!(X^{op}) \mapsto \mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_\bullet) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

into the opposite of the full subcategory of left adjoints in $\text{Fun}(\mathbf{S}^{op}, \mathbb{M})$, and the full subcategory of right adjoints in $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$, respectively. This is noted already in [Hov99, Proposition 3.1.5].

Definition 4.3. Restriction of the composition (18) to the full subcategory $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subset s\mathbb{M}$ of complete Segal objects in \mathbb{M} defines the model categorical externalization functor

$$\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}).$$

We say that a functor $\mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is *small* if it is naturally isomorphic to the model categorical externalization of a complete Segal object in \mathbb{M} .

Against the background of Definition 4.3 we will refer to the functor $\mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_\bullet): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ of a general simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} as the externalization $\text{Ext}(X)$ of X at times as well to simplify notation.

Example 4.4. Every complete Segal groupoid X in \mathbb{M} is a complete Segal object in \mathbb{M} . For such X , the functor $\text{Ext}(X)$ is a model for the derived mapping space functor $\mathbb{M}(\cdot, X_0)^h: \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ since X is a simplicial frame on X_0 .

Example 4.5. If \mathbb{C} is an internal category in (the underlying category of) \mathbb{M} , we can construct the internal nerve $N(\mathbb{C}) \in s\mathbb{M}$ in \mathbb{M} , see e.g. [Joh03, Remark B.2.3.2]. This will generally not be Reedy fibrant, let alone complete. If by h we denote the left adjoint to the (Set-internal) nerve functor $N: \text{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, then the push-forward $h \circ \mathbb{M}(\cdot, N(\mathbb{C})_\bullet): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is exactly the externalization of the category object \mathbb{C} in the 1-categorical sense [Jac99, Section 7.3].

Notation 4.6. For any model category \mathbb{N} , let $\text{Qrf}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbb{N}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbb{N})$ denote the full subcategory of right Quillen functors.

Proposition 4.7. *The functor $\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ restricts to equivalences*

1. $\text{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Qrf}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat}))$, and
2. $\text{Ext}: \text{Fr}(\mathbb{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Qrf}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \text{Kan}))$.

Proof. Since the map (18) is an equivalence, for Part 1 we only have to show that a simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} is a complete Segal object if and only if its associated functor $\text{Ext}(X)$ is a right Quillen functor with respect to the Joyal model structure. This follows directly from Lemma 4.2. For Part 2 we are left to show that a simplicial object X in \mathbb{M} is a complete Segal groupoid if and only if its associated functor $\text{Ext}(X)$ is a right Quillen functor with respect to the Quillen model structure for Kan complexes. But a Reedy fibrant X is homotopically constant if and only if all boundary maps $y_!(X^{op})(d^i): X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ are acyclic fibrations (since the degeneracies are sections thereof). By an application of [JT06, Lemma 3.7] this in turn holds if and only

if the left adjoint $y_!(X^{op})$ takes all anodyne maps in \mathbf{S} to acyclic cofibrations in \mathbb{M}^{op} . Thus, it follows that X is a complete Segal groupoid if and only if the functor $y_!(X^{op}): (\mathbf{S}, \text{Kan}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{op}$ is a left Quillen functor. \square

Remark 4.8. Proposition 4.7 states that the small (representable) \mathbb{M} -indexed simplicial sets are exactly the contravariant right Quillen functors from \mathbb{M} into the Joyal (Kan) model structure on \mathbf{S} . This is a model categorical analogon to the more general ∞ -categorical fact exploited in Proposition 3.32: Whenever \mathcal{C} is a complete ∞ -category, the small \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -categories (presheaves) are exactly the contravariant right adjoint functors from \mathcal{C} to Cat_∞ (to \mathcal{S}).

This model categorical externalization construction of complete Segal objects can be dualized to a nerve construction of (not necessarily reduced) interval objects in the sense of Toën [Toë05, Definition 3.4]. This comprises many examples throughout the literature.

Example 4.9. When the category Cat of small categories is equipped with the canonical model structure, the ordinary nerve

$$N: \text{Cat} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$$

is a right Quillen functor. Hence, it is a small Cat^{op} -indexed simplicial set and as such it is given by the externalization of the complete Segal object Δ^\bullet in Cat^{op} . Completeness of the Segal object Δ^\bullet corresponds exactly to the fact that the endpoint inclusion into the freely walking isomorphism is an acyclic cofibration in Cat . The simplicial object Δ^\bullet itself is the internal nerve of the internal category $\Delta_{\leq 1}$ in Cat^{op} given by the “free co-composition” on the cograph $[0] \rightleftarrows [1]$ in Cat . That is, the functor $[1] \rightarrow [1] \cup_{[0]} [1]$ which maps the non-degenerate edge to the edge freely added between the two outer endpoints. Thus, $N \cong \text{Ext}(N(\Delta_{\leq 1}))$.

The internal category $\Delta_{\leq 1}$ in Cat^{op} can also be externalized in the ordinary categorical sense (Example 4.5). The according Cat^{op} -indexed category $\text{Ext}(\Delta_{\leq 1}): \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is isomorphic to the identity on Cat . Its Grothendieck construction $p_{\Delta_{\leq 1}}: \int \text{Ext}(\Delta_{\leq 1}) \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is given by pointed categories and oplax-pointed functors between them. The opfibration $p_{\Delta_{\leq 1}}$ is the universal opfibration in the sense that every opfibration with fibres in Cat is equivalent to the pullback of $p_{\Delta_{\leq 1}}$ along its associated 1-categorical indexing.

Example 4.10. Similarly, the homotopy-coherent nerve

$$N_\Delta: (\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}, \text{Bergner}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$$

with its left adjoint \mathfrak{C} yields a Quillen equivalence. In particular, N_Δ is the small $(\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}^{op})$ -indexed simplicial set given by externalization of the complete Segal object $\mathfrak{C}|_{\Delta^{op}}$ in $\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}^{op}$. When considered as a cosimplicial object in $\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}$, this is known to be the Reedy cofibrant replacement of the diagram $\Delta^\bullet \in \mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}^\Delta$. That means dually $\mathfrak{C}|_{\Delta^{op}}$ is the Reedy fibrant replacement of Δ^\bullet in $s(\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}^{op})$. As the co-Segal maps of $\Delta^\bullet \in \mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}^\Delta$ are still isomorphisms, the Segal object Δ^\bullet is the internal nerve of the (levelwise locally discrete) internal category $\Delta_{\leq 1}$ in $\mathbf{S}\text{-Cat}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{C} \simeq \text{Ext}(\mathbb{R}(N(\Delta_{\leq 1})))$ where \mathbb{R} denotes an according Reedy fibrant replacement functor.

Analogously one can show that Dwyer and Kan’s \bar{W} -construction for simplicial groupoids [DK84, Section 3] is equivalent to $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{R}(N(I\Delta_{\leq 1})))$ for $I\Delta_{\leq 1}$ in $\mathbf{S}\text{-Gpd}^{op}$ given by the levelwise push-forward of $\Delta_{\leq 1}$ by the free groupoid functor $I(\cdot): \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Gpd}$ left adjoint to the canonical inclusion.

Example 4.11. More generally, in [Toë05] Toën considers criteria on a model category \mathbb{M} for the existence of an indexed simplicial space $(\mathbb{M}^{op})^{op} \rightarrow (s\mathbf{S}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ which is the right part of a Quillen equivalence with respect to Rezk’s model structure for complete Segal spaces. Therefore, he gives a general construction of functors $S_X: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow s\mathbf{S}$ associated to cosimplicial

objects X in \mathbb{M} which is part of a Quillen equivalence if and only if the pair (\mathbb{M}, X) is a “theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories” [Toë05, Definition 4.2]. One essential part of this definition is that X is a complete Segal object in \mathbb{M}^{op} with contractible base X_0 , there called an *interval* in \mathbb{M} [Toë05, Definition 3.4]. Given a theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories (\mathbb{M}, X) , he constructs in the proof of [Toë05, Theorem 5.1] the Quillen equivalence

$$S_X: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow (s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{CS})$$

exactly such that its postcomposition with the underlying-quasi-category functor $U: (s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{CS}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ yields the externalization of the complete Segal object X in \mathbb{M}^{op} . Since U is a Quillen equivalence itself, Toën’s theorem can be rephrased stating that a pair (\mathbb{M}, X) is a theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories if and only if the externalization $\text{Ext}(X^{op}): \mathbb{M} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is a Quillen equivalence.

Since every Quillen pair between model categories induces an adjunction on underlying ∞ -categories [MG16, Theorem 2.1], every complete Segal object X in \mathbb{M} induces a \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -category

$$\text{Ho}_\infty(\text{Ext}(X)): \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty \quad (19)$$

via Proposition 4.7. It also induces a Segal object $\text{Ho}_\infty(X)$ in \mathcal{C} by postcomposition of $X: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ with the ∞ -categorical localization functor $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. This Segal object in \mathcal{C} is complete and hence an internal ∞ -category via [Ste23b, Theorem 4.6, Remark 4.8]².

Proposition 4.12. *For every complete Segal object X in \mathbb{M} the functor*

$$\text{Ho}_\infty(\text{Ext}(X)): \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$$

is naturally equivalent to the ∞ -categorical externalization $\text{Ext}(\text{Ho}_\infty(X))$.

Proof. Every Quillen pair between model categories induces an adjunction on underlying ∞ -categories. Thus, to show that the right adjoints $\text{Ext}(\text{Ho}_\infty(X))$ and $\text{Ho}_\infty(\text{Ext}(X))$ are naturally equivalent, it suffices to show that so are the left adjoints $y_!(\text{Ho}_\infty(X)^{op})$ and $\text{Ho}_\infty(y_!(X^{op}))$ which we consider as functors of type $\text{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$. The former left adjoint is the left Kan extension of $\text{Ho}_\infty(X)^{op}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ along the canonical embedding $y: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ [Ste20, Proposition 5.23]. As both left adjoints preserve colimits and the embedding $y: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ generates Cat_∞ under colimits, it suffices to show that the two restrictions $y_!(\text{Ho}_\infty(X^{op})) \circ y$ and $\text{Ho}_\infty(y_!(X^{op})) \circ y$ of type $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$ are naturally equivalent. The former is naturally equivalent to $\text{Ho}_\infty(X^{op})$ since y is fully faithful [Lur09a, Section 4.3.2]. Regarding the latter, we have a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & & \\ \downarrow y & \searrow^{X^{op}} & \\ (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}) & \xrightarrow{y_!(X^{op})} & \mathbb{M}^{op} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Cat}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\text{Ho}_\infty(y_!(X^{op}))} & \mathcal{C}^{op} \end{array}$$

simply by the definition of the two vertical functors. Here, the two unlabelled vertical arrows denote the respective ∞ -categorical localization functors. The left vertical composition is exactly the generating canonical embedding $y: \Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$. Thus, the outer square yields an equivalence $\text{Ho}_\infty(y_!(X^{op})) \circ y \simeq \text{Ho}_\infty(X^{op})$ as well. \square

²Theorem 4.6 in [Ste23b] is stated under the assumption of right properness (as made explicit in Remark 4.8). This however is not necessary; Remark 4.8, and hence Theorem 4.6, apply to any model category.

We will show a global version of Proposition 4.12 under additional assumptions on \mathbb{M} in Theorem 4.27.

Example 4.13. In Section 2.2 we recalled the existence of a right Quillen functor

$$k^!: (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$$

which comes with a natural weak equivalence to the core functor $(\cdot)^\simeq: \mathbf{QCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$ when restricted to the full subcategory of quasi-categories. Postcomposition of a small \mathbb{M} -indexed simplicial set $\text{Ext}(X): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ with $k^!$ yields a right Quillen functor $k^! \circ \text{Ext}(X): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$. Hence, by Proposition 4.7, there is a complete Segal groupoid X^\simeq in \mathbb{M} such that

$$\text{Ext}(X^\simeq) \cong k^! \circ \text{Ext}(X).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.12 that the two complete Segal groupoids $\text{Ho}_\infty(X^\simeq)$ and $\text{Ho}_\infty(X)^\simeq$ from Definition 3.3 are naturally equivalent. One may therefore refer to X^\simeq as the core of the complete Segal object X in \mathbb{M} . It is explicitly constructed in [Ste22, Lemma 5.6] for $\mathbb{M} = (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$.

Remark 4.14. Dugger showed in [Dug01] that whenever \mathbb{M} is left proper and combinatorial there is a model structure $(s\mathbb{M}, \text{Gpd}_\infty)$ whose fibrant objects are exactly the complete Segal groupoids, and such that the inclusion $\Delta: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow (s\mathbb{M}, \text{Gpd}_\infty)$ is the left part of a Quillen equivalence. In particular, the composition

$$\mathbb{M} \xrightarrow{\Delta} s\mathbb{M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{RAdj}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$$

with the equivalence (18) yields a Quillen equivalence from \mathbb{M} to a model structure on the functor category $\text{RAdj}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ whose fibrant objects are exactly the right Quillen functors into $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$ by Proposition 4.7.

The same observation under the same assumptions on \mathbb{M} induces a model structure for right Quillen functors into the Joyal model structure $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ via the model structure for complete Segal objects on $s\mathbb{M}$ constructed for example in [RV17, Proposition 2.2.9].

4.2 The ∞ -cosmos of complete Segal objects in a model category

While the existence of a model structure on $s\mathbb{M}$ for complete Segal groupoids and a model structure on $s\mathbb{M}$ for complete Segal objects requires additional assumptions on \mathbb{M} , both notions always come equipped with a fibrational structure automatically. In the following, the fibration category $s\mathbb{M}^f$ will denote the category of Reedy fibrant objects in $s\mathbb{M}$.

Proposition 4.15. *The full subcategories $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $\text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ of $s\mathbb{M}^f$ are closed under small products, pullbacks along Reedy fibrations, transfinite towers of Reedy fibrations, and Reedy cofibrant replacements. They both are replete with respect to the class of weak equivalences in $s\mathbb{M}^f$. In particular, they both inherit the fibration category structure (with cofibrant replacements) of $s\mathbb{M}^f$ such that the inclusions $\text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \hookrightarrow s\mathbb{M}^f$ are exact.*

Proof. We formulate the proof for $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$; it is completely analogous (in fact even more straight-forward) for $\text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$. The fact that $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subset s\mathbb{M}$ is closed under small products follows directly from the fact that the class of trivial fibrations in \mathbb{M} is closed under small products (as well as the fact that the Segal map of a product of simplicial objects is the product of according Segal maps). The proofs regarding pullbacks along fibrations and transfinite towers of fibrations are similarly straight-forward.

For the fibration category structure on $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ we define a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between complete Segal objects X and Y in \mathbb{M} to be a fibration (weak equivalence) if it is a fibration

(weak equivalence) in the fibration category $s\mathbb{M}^f$. To verify that this defines the structure of a fibration category, one essentially is only left to verify that every morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ factors into a weak equivalence followed by a fibration. Thus, given a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$, let $j: X \rightarrow Z$ be a weak equivalence and $p: Z \rightarrow Y$ be a fibration in $s\mathbb{M}^f$ such that $pj = f$. Then the pair (j, p) is a factorization in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ as desired if Z is again contained in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$. The simplicial object Z is Reedy fibrant by assumption. As $j: X \rightarrow Z$ is a (pointwise) weak equivalence, Z is again a complete Segal object in \mathbb{M} . Indeed, validation of the Segal conditions is immediate. Validation of completeness follows from [Ste23b, Lemma 4.4.2]³. Exactness of the inclusion $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \subset s\mathbb{M}$ follows trivially. Closure under cofibrant replacements follows in the same way. \square

We recall from [Dug01, Section 4.1] that the category $s\mathbb{M}$ is always simplicially enriched and that it is furthermore both tensored and cotensored over \mathbf{S} . In summary, for $K \in \mathbf{S}$ and $X \in s\mathbb{M}$, define $K \otimes X \in s\mathbb{M}$ via

$$(K \otimes X)_n := \coprod_{K_n} X_n,$$

and

$$X^K := y_!(X^{op})(K \times \Delta^\bullet). \quad (20)$$

The latter is Dugger's original formula up to an explicit pointwise description of the left Kan extension $y_!(X^{op})$. For X and Y in $s\mathbb{M}$ this induces the definition of a mapping object

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathbb{M}}(X, Y) = s\mathbb{M}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes X, Y) \cong s\mathbb{M}(X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet}).$$

We recall that these mapping objects are generally not Kan complexes for Reedy bifibrant simplicial objects X and Y . However, they do induce a canonical $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enrichment of the fibration category $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and a canonical (\mathbf{S}, Kan) -enrichment of the fibration category $\text{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ instead.

Proposition 4.16. *The full simplicially enriched subcategories $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ in $s\mathbb{M}$ are cotensored over \mathbf{S} as well.*

Proof. We again show the case for $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ only. The case for $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is analogous. We are to show that for every $X \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and every simplicial set $K \in \mathbf{S}$, the cotensor $X^K \in s\mathbb{M}$ is again a complete Segal object. By Proposition 4.7 we therefore have to show that

$$y_!((X^K)^{op}): (\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{op}$$

is a left Quillen functor. But $y_!((X^K)^{op}) \cong y_!(X^{op})(K \times (\cdot))$ given that both functors are cocontinuous and restrict to the same functor on Δ . The latter is the composition of the left Quillen endofunctor $K \times (\cdot)$ on $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ with the left Quillen functor $y_!(X^{op})$. As such it is a left Quillen functor itself. \square

Remark 4.17. In analogy to the formula of Remark 3.13, it follows that the mapping objects of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ can be computed directly in $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ by

$$\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y) = \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet}).$$

Corollary 4.18. *Let \mathbb{M} be a model category.*

³As referred to in Footnote 2, the lemma does in fact not make use of the ambient assumption of right properness of \mathbb{M} contrary to what is stated there.

1. The fibration category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched.
2. The fibration category $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})^c$ -enriched.

Proof. We again consider $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ only. By [Dug01, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 4.4]⁴ we are only left to show that for every $X \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and every acyclic cofibration $j: A \rightarrow B$ in $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ the fibration $X^j: X^B \rightarrow X^A$ is trivial in $s\mathbb{M}$. But $X^j \cong y_!(X^{op})(j)$ is a trivial fibration because $y_!(X^{op}): (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{op}$ is a left Quillen functor by Proposition 4.7. \square

Corollary 4.19. *Let \mathbb{M} be a model category. Then the Reedy model structure on $s\mathbb{M}$ equips both simplicially enriched subcategories $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ with the structure of an ∞ -cosmos in the weaker sense of [RV17]. Nevertheless, they both have all cosmological limits from [RV22, Definition 1.2.1 (i)], that is, all simplicial cotensors (instead finitely presented ones only), small products, pullbacks of fibrations and countable towers of fibrations. \square*

Remark 4.20. Corollary 4.19 can be understood as a decompression of the main result in [Dug01] into two parts. First, the fibration category $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ comes equipped with an enrichment over $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$ for every model category \mathbb{M} . The evaluation functor $\text{ev}_0: \mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^f$ is always exact, and in fact it is easy to show that it is a weak equivalence of fibration categories in the sense of [Szu17, Definition 1.7]. Thus, every model category can be replaced by a simplicially enriched fibration category. And second, this fibration category underlies an (automatically simplicially enriched) model structure on $s\mathbb{M}$ whenever the model category \mathbb{M} is furthermore combinatorial and left proper. In this case, the weak equivalence $\text{ev}_0: \mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^f$ is the underlying exact functor of a Quillen equivalence.

Under the same additional assumptions on \mathbb{M} , there is an intermediate (combinatorial and left proper) model structure \mathbf{Cat}_∞ on $s\mathbb{M}$ obtained by left Bousfield localization of the Reedy model structure on $s\mathbb{M}$ as well, such that $(s\mathbb{M}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)^f = \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$, and such that $(s\mathbb{M}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ is a $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category. This is [RV17, Proposition 2.2.9]. If \mathbb{M} even is a Cisinski model category (to be recalled in Theorem 4.27), then all simplicial objects in \mathbb{M} are Reedy cofibrant. It follows that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is an ∞ -cosmos (of cofibrant objects) as defined in [RV22], see [RV22, Proposition E.3.7].

4.3 The right derived externalization functor

In the rest of this section we prove various exactness properties of the model categorical externalization functor of Definition 4.3, and show that it recovers the ∞ -categorical externalization functor from Section 3 whenever the model category \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category. We give an application in Proposition 4.32 which shows that $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is an $(\infty, 1)$ -localic $(\infty, 2)$ -topos as defined in Definition 4.31 whenever \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos.

Notation 4.21. In the following we will assume the existence of a Grothendieck universe V (via a suitable inaccessible cardinal ν) which contains the model category \mathbb{M} . In particular, we assume that \mathbb{M} is a model category in V (so it has at most ν -many objects and all hom-sets have less than ν -many objects). Furthermore, it is V -complete, and all results of Sections 4.1 and 4.2 apply in the context of the cartesian closed model category \mathbf{S}_V of V -small simplicial sets. In particular, the fibration category $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is $(\mathbf{S}_V, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched, and $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is $(\mathbf{S}_V, \mathbf{Kan})^c$ -enriched. Without loss of generality the cardinal ν can be chosen in such a way that the underlying ∞ -category of the model category $(\mathbf{S}_V, \mathbf{QCat})$ is the ∞ -category $(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)_V$

⁴Proposition 3.2 in [Dug01] is stated under the assumption of left properness of \mathbb{M} . This however is used only to reduce the left lifting property against all cofibrations to the left lifting property against all cofibrations between cofibrant objects. This in fact is valid in any model category as shown in [JT06, Corollary 7.13].

of ν -compact (say, V -small) ∞ -categories as well by [Ste23a, Corollary 3.16]. We denote the composition

$$\mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}_V) \xrightarrow{L_*} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$$

with the push-forward along the canonical inclusion $\iota: \mathbf{S}_V \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}$ by Ext as well. A functor will be said to be V -continuous if it preserves all limits of diagrams indexed by categories contained in V .

Consider the category \mathbb{M} as a discrete simplicial category and let $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ be the simplicially enriched category of simplicial presheaves. Its underlying category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})_0$ is exactly $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$. Let $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\mathrm{proj}}$ denote the category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ equipped with the according projective model structure. Then $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\mathrm{proj}}$ is a $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category [Lur09a, Proposition A.3.3.2]. We denote its underlying $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})^c$ -enriched fibration category by

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\mathrm{proj}} := \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\mathrm{proj}}^f.$$

Let $\lambda: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ be a functorial cofibrant replacement functor. By [Lur09a, Proposition A.3.3.7, Example A.3.2.23] restriction along λ induces an $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched right Quillen functor

$$\lambda^*: \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\mathrm{proj}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\mathrm{proj}}.$$

Proposition 4.22. *The pointwise right derived externalization $\lambda^* \circ \mathrm{Ext}$ gives rise to transfinitely \mathbf{S}_V -exact functors (Definition 2.7)*

$$\mathbf{RExt}: \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\mathrm{proj}} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\mathbf{RExt}: \mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan})_{\mathrm{proj}}.$$

In particular, they are functors of ∞ -cosmoses in the sense of [RV17, Definition 2.1.9].

Proof. We show the statement for $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$; the case $\mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ is completely analogous.

First, let us show that $\mathrm{Ext}: \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}_V)$ preserves V -small simplicial cotensors. Therefore, let $X \in \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $S \in \mathbf{S}_V$. In the proof of Proposition 4.16 we noted that the left adjoint $y_1((X^S)^{op}): \mathbf{S}_V \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{op}$ is naturally isomorphic to the composition $y_1(X^{op})(S \times \cdot)$ of left adjoints. It follows that the right adjoint $\mathrm{Ext}(X^S)$ is naturally isomorphic to the composition $\mathrm{Ext}(X)^S$ of respective right adjoints. This however computes the according cotensor of $\mathrm{Ext}(X)$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}_V)$ as well as in the large simplicial category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ accordingly. In particular, for any two $X, Y \in \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ we obtain a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y) &\cong \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}_V)(\mathrm{Ext}(X), \mathrm{Ext}(Y^{\Delta^\bullet})) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})(\mathrm{Ext}(X), \mathrm{Ext}(Y^{\Delta^\bullet})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})(\mathrm{Ext}(X), \mathrm{Ext}(Y)^{\Delta^\bullet}) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})(\mathrm{Ext}(X), \mathrm{Ext}(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

of natural isomorphisms of simplicial sets. Here the first isomorphism is given in Remark 4.17, and the second one is given by the natural action of Ext on morphisms which is levelwise an isomorphism (e.g. by the equivalence (18)). The functor $\mathrm{Ext}: \mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ furthermore preserves all ordinary categorical limits that exist in $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$, given that it is naturally isomorphic to the composition $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \hookrightarrow s\mathbb{M} \xrightarrow{sy} s\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathrm{Set})$ of continuous functors. It follows that Ext gives rise to a simplicially enriched functor \mathbf{RExt} which preserves

all conical limits as well as all V -small simplicial cotensors. Hence, so does the composition $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext} = \lambda^* \circ \mathbf{Ext}$ in (21).

We are left to show that $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}$ preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations. Therefore let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be a (trivial) fibration in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$. To show that $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}(p): \mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}(Y)$ is a projective (trivial) fibration in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$, it suffices to show that for all cofibrant objects $M \in \mathbb{M}$, the map $\mathbf{Ext}(p)(M): \mathbf{Ext}(X)(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ext}(Y)(M)$ is a (trivial) fibration in $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$. Thus, let $M \in \mathbb{M}$ be cofibrant and let $j: A \hookrightarrow B$ be a (trivial) cofibration in $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$. We are to show that the gap map

$$(\mathbf{Ext}(p)(M)^j)_0: (\mathbf{Ext}(X)(M)^B)_0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{Ext}(X)(M)^A)_0 \times_{(\mathbf{Ext}(Y)(M)^A)_0} (\mathbf{Ext}(Y)(M)^B)_0$$

of sets has a section. By the above, this map is isomorphic to the map

$$\mathbf{Ext}(p^j)(M)_0: \mathbb{M}(M, (X^B)_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(M, (X^A \times_{Y^A} Y^B)_0).$$

The morphism $p^j: X^B \rightarrow X^A \times_{Y^A} Y^B$ is a trivial fibration in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ by Corollary 4.18. Hence, so is $(p^j)_0: (X^B)_0 \rightarrow (X^A \times_{Y^A} Y^B)_0$ in \mathbb{M} . Given that M is cofibrant in \mathbb{M} , we obtain the desired section. \square

Remark 4.23. Whenever all objects in \mathbb{M} are cofibrant, the cofibrant replacement functor $\lambda: \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ can without loss of generality be chosen to be the identity. In that case the right derived externalization functor $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}$ is just the externalization $\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}$ itself (and hence is an embedding of simplicial categories).

Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{M}^{\Delta^1}$ denote the set of weak equivalences in (the small category) \mathbb{M} . As the $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$ is left proper and combinatorial, we may consider its (again $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched) left Bousfield localization

$$\mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}},$$

as well as its $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan})$ -enriched left Bousfield localization $\mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{\text{proj}}$. By the (simplicially enriched) Yoneda lemma, an accordingly projectively fibrant simplicial presheaf $F: \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is $y[W]$ -local if and only if it takes weak equivalences in \mathbb{M} to equivalences of quasi-categories (Kan complexes).

Proposition 4.24. *The pointwise right derived externalization functor factors through transitively \mathbf{S}_V -exact functors*

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}^f \quad (22)$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{\text{proj}}^f. \quad (23)$$

In particular, they are functors of ∞ -cosmoi in the sense of [RV17, Definition 2.1.9].

Proof. The identity

$$\text{id}: \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$$

induces an inclusion

$$\text{Rid}: \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}^f \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}} \quad (24)$$

of a full simplicially enriched subcategory whose $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched fibration category structure is induced from that of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}$. This inclusion hence reflects all according limits,

all cotensors, and all fibrations and trivial fibrations. By Proposition 4.22, we thus only have to show that $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}$ factors pointwise through the inclusion (24). But for any $X \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$, the functor $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}(X): \mathbb{M}^{op} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is the right derived functor of the Quillen right adjoint $\mathbf{Ext}(X)$. It hence is projectively fibrant, and takes weak equivalences in \mathbb{M}^{op} to weak equivalences in $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$. Thus, it is $y[W]$ -local. \square

Corollary 4.25. *For every model category \mathbb{M} and every simplicial category \mathbf{M} which is DK-equivalent to the simplicial localization $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(\mathbb{M}, W)$ (of the underlying category of \mathbb{M} at W) the pointwise right derived externalization induces transfinitely \mathbf{S}_V -exact functors*

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Gpd}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{Kan})_{\text{proj}}.$$

Proof. We again only treat the case for $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$; the ∞ -groupoidal case is completely analogous. By Proposition 4.24, externalization gives rise to a transfinitely \mathbf{S}_V -exact functor

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{y[W]} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}^f. \quad (25)$$

Via Dwyer and Kan's work on simplicial localizations of homotopical categories [DK80, DK87], as well as Lurie's work on enriched model categories [Lur09a, Section A.3.3], there is a zig-zag of simplicially enriched right Quillen equivalences between the $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model categories $\mathcal{L}_{y[W]} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{L}_\Delta(\mathbb{M}, W)^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$. By assumption, there is a zig-zag of DK-equivalences between $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(\mathbb{M}, W)$ and \mathbf{M} , and so we furthermore obtain a zig-zag of simplicially enriched right Quillen equivalences between the $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model categories $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{L}_\Delta(\mathbb{M}, W)^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$. Every such (composite) zig-zag of simplicially enriched Quillen equivalences can be replaced by a single simplicially enriched right Quillen equivalence

$$\mathcal{L}_{y[W]} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$$

by [Ste23a, Proposition 3.14]⁵. The resulting postcomposition of the functor (25) with the right derivation of this right Quillen equivalence yields a functor as stated. \square

Remark 4.26. Whenever \mathbb{M} is itself a simplicially enriched model category, the simplicial localization $\mathcal{L}_\Delta(\mathbb{M}, W)$ is DK-equivalent to the simplicial category \mathbb{M}^{cf} of bifibrant objects in \mathbb{M} [BK12a, Theorem 1.8]. Thus, in this case \mathbf{M} can be taken to be \mathbb{M}^{cf} .

We recall that a model category \mathbb{M} is commonly referred to as a *Cisinski model category* if it is cofibrantly generated, its cofibrations are exactly the monomorphisms, and the underlying category of \mathbb{M} is a Grothendieck topos. In particular, if \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category then all objects in \mathbb{M} are cofibrant, and so the model categorical externalization functor $\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}$ is automatically pointwise right derived. In the following, let \mathcal{C} denote the underlying quasi-category $\mathbb{M}[W^{-1}]$ of \mathbb{M} .

Theorem 4.27. *Suppose \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category. Then the model categorical externalization functor*

$$\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{y[W]} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$$

from Proposition 4.24 returns the externalization functor

$$\mathbf{Ext}: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty) \quad (26)$$

from Section 3 on homotopy ∞ -categories.

⁵The proposition is phrased for simplicial model categories, but it in fact applies to any monoidal model category \mathcal{V} and any pair of combinatorial \mathcal{V} -enriched model categories \mathbb{M} and \mathbb{N} .

Proof. Under the given assumption, the underlying ∞ -category of the model category $(s\mathbb{M}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ is $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$, and the underlying ∞ -category of $\mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$ is $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$. By the choice of V in Notation 4.21, the underlying ∞ -category of $(\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat})$ is the ∞ -category $(\text{Cat}_\infty)_V$ of V -small ∞ -categories as well. We are thus to show that the outer square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (s\mathbb{M}, \text{Cat}_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}} \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}))_{\text{proj}} & \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat}))_{\text{proj}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, (\text{Cat}_\infty)_V) & \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty) \end{array}$$

commutes up to equivalence, where the vertical arrows are the according ∞ -categorical localization functors. The right hand square commutes basically by construction. We are to show that the left hand square commutes as well. Therefore, consider the following expression of Ext as the ordinary categorical nerve construction of cosimplicial objects in \mathbb{M}^{op} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}((\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}), \mathbb{M}^{op})_{\text{proj}}^{op} & \\ & \nearrow^{(y_!)^{op}} & \uparrow \iota_L \\ (s\mathbb{M}, \text{Cat}_\infty) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(18)} (\text{LAdj}(\mathbf{S}_V, \mathbb{M}^{op}), \text{QLF})^{op} & \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{RAj}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}_V), \text{QRF}) \\ & \searrow_{\text{Ext}} & \downarrow \iota_R \\ & & \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}))_{\text{proj}} \end{array} \quad (27)$$

Here, first, the pair $((y^*)^{op}, (y_!)^{op})$ on the top left is a homotopy localization [JT06, Definition 7.16]. Indeed, the pair

$$(y_!, y^*): ((\mathbb{M}^{op})^\Delta)_{\text{proj}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}), \mathbb{M}^{op})_{\text{proj}}$$

is a Quillen pair [Lur09a, Proposition A.2.8.7] which can be post-composed with the left Bousfield localization at $y[W]$. The model category $((\mathbb{M}^{op})^\Delta)_{\text{proj}}$ is the opposite of the model category $(\mathbb{M}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}$, which in turn coincides with the Reedy model structure on $s\mathbb{M}$ as \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category [BR13, Proposition 3.9]. We hence obtain a composite Quillen pair

$$(y_!, y^*): (s\mathbb{M}, \text{Cat}_\infty)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{y[W]}\mathbf{Fun}((\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}), \mathbb{M}^{op})_{\text{proj}} \quad (28)$$

by restriction to the according Bousfield (co)localization. This pair is a homotopy colocalization itself, as, first, all objects in $\text{Fun}((\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}), \mathbb{M}^{op})_{\text{proj}}$ are fibrant given that all objects in \mathbb{M}^{op} are fibrant. And second, as for any complete Segal object X in \mathbb{M} the left Kan extension $y_!(X^{op}) \in \text{Fun}((\mathbf{S}_V, \text{QCat}), \mathbb{M}^{op})$ is a left Quillen functor (Proposition 4.7) and as such $y[W]$ -local as already observed. It follows that the derived unit of the Quillen pair (28) at X is equivalent to the according ordinary unit at X . This however is an isomorphism. We thus have shown that the pair $((y^*)^{op}, (y_!)^{op})$ on the top left of Diagram (27) is a homotopy localization.

Second, the model structure QLF on the full subcategory $\text{LAdj}(\mathbf{S}_V, \mathbb{M}^{op}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathbf{S}_V, \mathbb{M}^{op})$ of left adjoints is defined so as to be equivalent to $(s\mathbb{M}, \text{Cat}_\infty)$ along the equivalence (18). Its fibrant objects are exactly the left Quillen functors with respect to the Joyal model structure on \mathbf{S}_V as noted in Remark 4.14. In particular, the triangle on the top left commutes by construction. It follows that the inclusion ι_L in Diagram (27) is exact and furthermore fully faithful on underlying ∞ -categories. The model category $(\text{RAj}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{S}_V), \text{QRF})$ is defined accordingly. Thus, exactness of Ext implies exactness of the inclusion ι_R , too. It thus induces a functor of underlying ∞ -categories. It follows that Diagram (27) induces the following commutative

diagram of underlying ∞ -categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \text{Fun}((\text{Cat}_\infty)_V, \mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} & \\
\begin{array}{c} \nearrow (y)^{op} \\ \nearrow (y^*)^{op} \end{array} & & \uparrow \iota_L \\
\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(15)} \text{LAdj}((\text{Cat}_\infty)_V, \mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{RAdj}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, (\text{Cat}_\infty)_V) \\
& \searrow \text{Ho}_\infty(\text{Ext}) & \downarrow \iota_R \\
& & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, (\text{Cat}_\infty)_V)
\end{array} \tag{29}$$

Here, all functors (except possibly the bottom arrow) are the according ∞ -categorical notions; in particular, ι_L and ι_R are the according inclusions of full sub- ∞ -categories. It then follows that $\text{Ho}_\infty(\text{Ext})$ is the ∞ -categorical externalization functor by Proposition 3.32 as well. \square

Corollary 4.28. *Suppose \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category. Then the model categorical externalization functor*

$$\mathbf{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{\text{proj}}$$

from Corollary 4.25 induces equivalences of derived hom-quasi-categories. That is, for every pair of complete Segal objects $X, Y \in \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$, and any projective cofibrant replacement $\lambda: \mathbb{L}\mathbf{Ext}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Ext}(X)$, the induced functor

$$\lambda^* \circ \mathbf{Ext}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}(\mathbb{L}\mathbf{Ext}(X), \mathbf{Ext}(Y)) \tag{30}$$

is an equivalence of quasi-categories.

Proof. Given complete Segal objects X, Y in \mathbb{M} , to show that the functor (30) is an equivalence of quasi-categories it suffices to show that the corresponding functor

$$((\lambda^* \circ \mathbf{Ext}(X, Y))^{\Delta^\bullet})^{\simeq}: (\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y)^{\Delta^\bullet})^{\simeq} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}(\mathbb{L}\mathbf{Ext}(X), \mathbf{Ext}(Y))^{\Delta^\bullet})^{\simeq}$$

is a (pointwise) equivalence of complete Segal spaces. By virtue of the fact that both sides are cotensored over finite simplicial sets and that \mathbf{Ext} preserves them, this is to show that for any $n \geq 0$ the map

$$(\lambda^* \circ \mathbf{Ext}(X, Y^{\Delta^n}))^{\simeq}: \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})(X, Y^{\Delta^n})^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{\text{proj}}(\mathbb{L}\mathbf{Ext}(X), \mathbf{Ext}(Y^{\Delta^n}))^{\simeq}$$

is an equivalence of hom-spaces. By Theorem 4.27 this functor is equivalent to the action

$$\text{Ext}(X, Y^{\Delta^n}): \text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})(X, Y^{\Delta^n}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Cat}_\infty)(\text{Ext}(X), \text{Ext}(Y^{\Delta^n}))$$

of the ∞ -categorical externalization functor on according hom-spaces. The latter is an equivalence as externalization is fully faithful (Lemma 3.9). \square

For the following concluding corollary we recall the convention to write $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ for the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})$ as fixed in Section 2.3. We apply the same convention to the projective case.

Corollary 4.29. *Suppose \mathbb{M} is a Cisinski model category. Then the $(\infty, 2)$ -categories $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $\text{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent. This is to say they are connected by a zig-zag of equivalences in the (\mathbf{S}, Cat) -induced model structure on the category of simplicial categories.*

Proof. We have the following diagram of simplicial categories, where λ denotes any simplicially enriched projectively cofibrant replacement functor.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C}) & \\
& \downarrow & \\
& \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{inj} & \\
& \downarrow & \\
\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Ext}} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{proj} & \xrightarrow[\lambda]{\simeq} & \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{proj}^{cf}
\end{array} \tag{31}$$

The two essential images of $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{proj}$ coincide by Theorem 4.27. In particular, they also do so in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{M}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat}))_{proj}^{cf}$ as cofibrant replacement preserves weak equivalences. The horizontal composition $\lambda \circ \mathbf{Ext} = \lambda \circ \mathbb{R}\mathbf{Ext}$ in (31) induces equivalences on hom-quasi-categories by Corollary 4.25 applied to $\mathbf{M} = \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})$. The inclusion of injectively fibrant to projectively fibrant presheaves followed by projective cofibrant replacement is easily seen to induce equivalences on hom-quasi-categories as well. It follows that both $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathbb{M})$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent to the same full (and replete) sub- $(\infty, 2)$ -category of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, \mathbf{QCat})_{proj}^{cf}$ [Lur09a, Definition A.3.2.1]. \square

Remark 4.30. Every presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} has a model categorical presentation \mathbb{M} which is a Cisinski model category by [Lur09a, Proposition A.3.7.6] (or by its proof rather). Thus, in this case the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ defined via the ∞ -categorical externalization functor in Section 3 can always be presented internally in such a model category \mathbb{M} .

We end this section with a short proof of Theorem 2.4 as stated in the introduction when formulated in the given context of strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. Therefore, we recall Rezk's model structure $(s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ for (Reedy fibrant) complete Segal spaces [Rez99] as well as its Quillen equivalence to $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ as an $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category [JT06, RV22].

Definition 4.31. Let \mathbb{M} be an $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category. We refer to the \mathbf{QCat} -enriched category \mathbb{M}^{cf} of bifibrant objects as the *underlying $(\infty, 2)$ -category* of \mathbb{M} . Say that an $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathbf{C} is an $(\infty, 1)$ -localic $(\infty, 2)$ -topos if there is a small \mathbf{Kan} -enriched category \mathbf{B} together with a set $T \subset \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, s\mathbf{S})$ of morphisms such that the following two conditions hold.

1. The left Bousfield localization $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty))_{inj} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_T \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty))_{inj}$ at T preserves homotopy-cartesian squares and finite simplicial cotensors of fibrant objects. The latter is to say that T -local fibrant replacement of injectively fibrant objects preserves finite simplicial cotensors up to T -local equivalence.
2. The underlying $(\infty, 2)$ -category of $\mathcal{L}_T \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty))_{inj}$ is equivalent to \mathbf{C} (in the $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Cat})$ -induced model structure on the category of simplicial categories).

Proposition 4.32. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is an $(\infty, 1)$ -topos. Then $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty(\mathcal{C})$ is an $(\infty, 1)$ -localic $(\infty, 2)$ -topos.*

Proof. Via [Rez10, Section 6] and [Lur09a, Section A3.7], there is a small \mathbf{Kan} -enriched category \mathbf{B} together with a set $T \subset \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, \mathbf{S})$ of morphisms such that

1. the left Bousfield localization $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{inj} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_T \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{inj}$ at T preserves homotopy-cartesian squares, and
2. the ∞ -topos \mathbf{C} is equivalent to the underlying $(\infty, 1)$ -category of $\mathcal{L}_T \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Kan}))_{inj}$.

We use this localization to construct a left Bousfield localization as required in Definition 4.31 basically by specifying it pointwise. Therefore, in the following we denote the (\mathbf{S}, Kan) -enriched model category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (\mathbf{S}, \text{Kan}))_{\text{inj}}$ by $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$. The simplicially enriched left exact left Bousfield localization $\hat{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}}$ at the set T induces a simplicially enriched left exact left Bousfield localization $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}$. Furthermore, let $\text{CS} \in \mathbf{S}^{\Delta^1}$ be the set of spine inclusions together with the endpoint-inclusion of the walking isomorphism (as recalled in Section 3.1). Let $B \in \mathbf{S}^{\Delta^1}$ be the class of standard boundary inclusions. Then, for any set I of generating cofibrations of $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$, left Bousfield localization at the set

$$\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}) := \{(f \hat{\times} b) \hat{\otimes} i \mid f \in \text{CS}, b \in B, i \in I\}$$

of according Leibniz tensor products yields the simplicially enriched model categories $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}$ of Rezk objects in $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}$ of Rezk objects in $\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}}$, respectively, by [RV22, Proposition E.3.7]. We obtain a square of simplicially enriched left Bousfield localizations as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}} \end{array} \quad (32)$$

Indeed, the left Bousfield localization $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}$ is precisely the left Bousfield localization of $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}$ at the set sT of natural transformations which are pointwise contained in T . To see this one verifies that the fibrant objects in $\mathcal{L}_{sT}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}$ are exactly the injectively fibrant (or, equivalently, the Reedy fibrant) simplicial objects in $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ that are pointwise T -local. Thus, the dashed vertical arrow on the right hand side of (32) exists and is again a left Bousfield localization by the fact that left Bousfield localizations at different sets of morphisms commute with one another:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\mathcal{L}_{sT}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}) = \mathcal{L}_{sT}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}).$$

The left Bousfield localization $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}$ furthermore preserves $\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})$ -locality of fibrant objects. That is, because fibrant replacement of a Reedy fibrant object $X \in \hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}}$ in $(\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}$ can be computed by pointwise fibrant replacement in $\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}}$, the latter of which preserves homotopy pullbacks by assumption. In particular, the fact that $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}$ preserves homotopy pullbacks as well implies that the left Bousfield localization

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}((\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}}) \quad (33)$$

preserves homotopy pullbacks, too (all of this can be verified easily on underlying ∞ -categories). The left Bousfield localization (33) is $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enriched if both model categories are equipped with their respective canonical $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enrichment from [RV22, Proposition E.3.7]. By Remark 4.20, the underlying $(\infty, 2)$ -category of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}((\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})_{\text{inj}}^{\Delta^{op}})$ is precisely the ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})$, which is equivalent to the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ by Corollary 4.29. The $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enriched model category $\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}(\hat{\mathbb{B}})}(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{\Delta^{op}})_{\text{inj}}$ is isomorphic to the (accordingly) $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ -enriched model category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{B}^{op}, (s\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}))_{\text{inj}}$. We are hence only left to show that the localization (33) preserves finite simplicial cotensors of fibrant objects up to sT -local equivalence.

Thus, let X be a complete Segal object in $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ and let K be a finite simplicial set. As the sT -local fibrant replacement of a complete Segal object can be computed by its pointwise T -local fibrant replacement, we are to show that $\rho_*(X^S) \simeq \rho_*(X)^S$ in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}})$ for $\rho: \mathcal{L}_T \hat{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{L}_T\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ any simplicially enriched fibrant replacement functor. Therefore, consider the following (generally non-commutative) diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Delta & \xrightarrow{X^{op}} & \hat{\mathbb{B}}^{op} & \xrightarrow{\rho^{op}} & \mathcal{L}_T\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{op} \\
 \downarrow y & & \nearrow y_!(X^{op}) & & \nearrow y_!(\rho X^{op}) \\
 \mathbf{S} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

By definition of the simplicial cotensor X^S in (20), we are to exhibit a natural homotopy equivalence between the functors $\rho^{op}y_!(X^{op}): \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_T\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{op}$ and $y_!(\rho X^{op}): \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_T\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{op}$ when restricted to the subcategory $\mathbf{S}^{\text{fin}} \subset \mathbf{S}$ of (homotopically) finite simplicial sets. Existence of such an equivalence now follows from the fact that both left Quillen functors agree when restricted to Δ , that $y: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\text{fin}}$ generates \mathbf{S}^{fin} under finite homotopy colimits, and that ρ^{op} preserves finite homotopy colimits by assumption. \square

References

- [Ber08] J.E. Bergner, *Adding inverses to diagrams II: Invertible homotopy theories are spaces*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **10** (2008), no. 2, 175–193.
- [Ber18] ———, *The Homotopy Theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -Categories*, LMS Student Texts, no. 90, Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [BK12a] C. Barwick and D. M. Kan, *A characterization of simplicial localization functors and a discussion of DK equivalences*, Indagationes Mathematicae **23** (2012), no. 1–2, 69–79.
- [BK12b] ———, *Relative categories: Another model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, Indagationes Mathematicae **23** (2012), no. 1–2, 42–68.
- [BR13] J.E. Bergner and C. Rezk, *Reedy categories and the θ -construction*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **274** (2013), no. 1-2, 499–514.
- [Bro73] K. Brown, *Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **186** (1973), 419–458.
- [Cor82] J.M. Cordier, *Sur la notion de diagramme homotopiquement cohérent*, Cah. Topol. Geom. Differ. **23** (1982), no. 1, 93–112.
- [DK80] W.G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Simplicial localizations of categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **17** (1980), 267–284.
- [DK84] ———, *Homotopy theory and simplicial groupoids*, Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings) **87** (1984), no. 4, 379–385.
- [DK87] ———, *Equivalences between homotopy theories of diagrams*, Algebraic Topology and Algebraic K-theory, Princeton University Press (1987), 180–204.
- [DKS89] W.G. Dwyer, D. M. Kan, and J.H. Smith, *Homotopy commutative diagrams and their realizations*, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra **57** (1989), 5–24.
- [Dug01] D. Dugger, *Replacing model categories with simplicial ones*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **353** (2001), no. 12, 5003–5027.

- [GHL20] A. Gagna, Y. Harpaz, and E. Lanari, *Fibrations and lax limits of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04537>, 2020, v2 uploaded 10 Mar 2021.
- [GHN17] D. Gepner, R. Haugseng, and T. Nikolaus, *Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories*, Documenta Mathematica **22** (2017), 1225–1266.
- [Gra71] J.W. Gray, *The meeting of the midwest category seminar in zurich august 24–30*, Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar V, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 195, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1971, pp. 248–255.
- [Hov99] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [Jac99] B. Jacobs, *Categorical logic and type theory*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 141, Elsevier Science B.V., 1999.
- [Joh03] P.T. Johnstone, *Sketches of an elephant: A topos theory compendium*, Oxford Logic Guides, vol. 43, Clarendon Press, 2003.
- [JT06] A. Joyal and M. Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, Categories in Algebra, Geometry and Mathematical Physics, AMS, 2006, pp. 277–326.
- [LM92] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk, *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A first introduction to topos theory*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1992.
- [Lor18] F. Loregian, *A Fubini rule for ∞ -coends*, Preprints of the MPIM (2018), no. 68.
- [Lura] J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, Last update September 2017.
- [Lurb] ———, *Kerodon*, <https://kerodon.net/>, Version from 22 September 2023.
- [Lur09a] ———, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 170, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur09b] ———, *$(\infty, 2)$ -categories and the Goodwillie calculus I*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0462>, 2009, [Online, last revised 08 May 2009].
- [Mar21] L. Martini, *Yoneda’s lemma for internal higher categories*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.17141>, 2021, v3, uploaded 1 Apr 2022.
- [MG16] A. Mazel-Gee, *Quillen adjunctions induce adjunctions of quasicategories*, New York Journal of Mathematics **22** (2016), 57–93.
- [Ras21] N. Rasekh, *Univalence in Higher Category Theory*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.12762>, 2021, [Online, v2 accessed 29 March 2018].
- [Ras22] ———, *Cartesian fibrations and representability*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **24** (2022), no. 2, 135 – 161.
- [Rez99] C. Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (1999), 973–1007.
- [Rez10] C. Rezk, *Toposes and homotopy toposes (version 0.15)*, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255654755_Toposes_and_homotopy_toposes, 2010.

- [Rie14] E. Riehl, *Categorical Homotopy Theory*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 24, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [Rov21] M. Rovelli, *Weighted limits in an $(\infty, 1)$ -category*, Applied Categorical Structures **29** (2021), 1019 – 1062.
- [RV17] E. Riehl and D. Verity, *Fibrations and Yoneda’s lemma in an ∞ -cosmos*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), no. 3, 499–564.
- [RV22] ———, *Elements of ∞ -category theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 194, Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [Ste20] R. Stenzel, *$(\infty, 1)$ -categorical comprehension schemes*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09663>, 2020, v3 uploaded 19 Jul 2024.
- [Ste22] ———, *Bousfield-segal spaces*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **4** (2022), no. 1, 217–243.
- [Ste23a] ———, *On notions of compactness, object classifiers, and weak Tarski universes*, Mathematical Structures in Computer Science **33** (2023), no. 8, 661 – 678.
- [Ste23b] ———, *Univalence and completeness of Segal objects*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **227** (2023), no. 4.
- [Str80] R. Street, *Cosmoi of internal categories*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **258** (1980), no. 2, 271–318.
- [Szu17] K. Szumilo, *Homotopy theory of cocomplete quasicategories*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **17** (2017), no. 2, 765–791.
- [Toë05] B. Toën, *Vers une axiomatisation de la théorie des catégories supérieures*, K Theory, Springer Verlag **34** (2005), no. 3, 233–263.