arXiv:2402.00785v2 [gr-qc] 5 Feb 2024

Apparent Dark Matter Inspired by Einstein Equation of State

Kimet Jusufi^{1,*} and Ahmad Sheykhi^{2,†}

¹Physics Department, State University of Tetovo, Ilinden Street nn, 1200, Tetovo, North Macedonia ²Physics Department and Biruni Observatory, College of Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran

The purpose of this article is twofold. First, by means of Padmanabhan's proposal on the emergence nature of gravity, we recover the ACDM model and the effect of the dark matter in the context of cosmology. Toward this goal, we use the key idea of Padmanabhan that states cosmic space emerges as the cosmic time progress and link the emergence of space to the difference between the number of degrees of freedom on the boundary and in the bulk. Interestingly enough, we show that the effect of the cold dark matter in the cosmological setup can be understood by assuming an interaction between the numbers of degrees of freedom in the bulk. In the second part, we follow the Jacobson's argument and obtain the modified Einstein field equations with additional dark matter component emerging due to the interaction term between dark energy and baryonic matter related by $\Omega_{DM,0} = \sqrt{2\alpha\Omega_{M,0}\Omega_{DE,0}}$, where α is a coupling constant. Finally, a correspondence with Yukawa cosmology is pointed out, and the role of massive gravitons as a possibility in explaining the nature of the dark sector as well as the theoretical origin of the Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) are addressed. We speculate that the interaction coupling α fundamentally measures the entanglement between the gravitons and matter fields and there exists a fundamental limitation in measuring the gravitons wavelength.

I. INTRODUCTION

After discovery of the black holes thermodynamics in 1970's, physicists have been speculating that there should be a correspondence between the laws of gravity and the laws of thermodynamics. In 1995, Jacobson [1] disclosed that the Einstein's field equations of gravity can be derived by applying the first law of thermodynamics, $\delta Q = T \delta S$, on the boundary of spacetime, where δQ is the energy flux across the boundary, *T* and *S* are, temperature and entropy associated with the boundary, respectively. This derivation is of gravity. when is applied to a spacetime as a thermodynamic system at large scales, can be translated to the field equations of gravity. Following Jacobson, a lot of works have been done to address the correspondence between the first law of thermodynamics and the field equations of gravity in different setups [2–13].

According to the ACDM cosmological model (which stands as a prominent and widely accepted framework in describing the evolution of the Universe), our Universe comprises three essential constituents: cold dark matter, dark energy, and baryonic matter [14]. Cold dark matter, a substance that eludes electromagnetic interaction and manifests solely through its gravitational influence, offers a potential solution to many problems, including the observed flat rotation curves of spiral galaxies [15–20]. In recent years, dark matter has been widely believed to be made of particles beyond the standard model, which describes baryonic matter. However, today, such an elusive dark matter particle remains undetected. On the other hand, the cosmological constant gives the dark energy, which emerges as a manifestation of energy permeating every corner of the Universe, frequently associated with vacuum energy [21].

As an alternative to dark matter, in addressing the flat rotation curves, the Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) theory was formulated by Milgrom [22–24] (see also [25–31]). It was argued that the theoretical origin of the MOND theory can be understood from Debye entropic gravity perspective [32, 33]. The idea that gravity is not a fundamental interaction and can be regarded as an entropic force caused by the changes in the information associated with the positions of material bodies, was proposed by Verlinde [34]. Verlinde's derivation of Newton's law of gravitation at the very least offers a strong analogy with a well understood statistical mechanism. Therefore, this derivation opens a new window to understand gravity from the first principles. The study on the entropic force has raised a lot of attention recently (see [35–40] and references therein). In the framework of mimetic gravity, the MOND-like acceleration was recovered [41]. According to the entropic force scenario, dark matter represents an apparent manifestation, a consequence of baryonic matter [42]. Using the entropic force, modified Friedmann equations have been obtained recently [43–45].

Verlinde's proposal on the entropic nature of gravity opened a new window on the origin of gravity, however, it assumes the background geometry as a preexist structure. An important question is how one can consider the spacetime as an emergent structure? Padmanabhan [46] was the first who answered this question and argued that the spatial expansion of our universe can be regarded as the consequence of emergence of space and the cosmic space is emergent as the cosmic time progresses. By calculating the difference between the surface degrees of freedom and the bulk degrees of freedom in a region of space and equating the result with the volume change of the space, he derived the Friedmann equations describing the evolution of the universe [46]. Following Padmanabhan, a lot of works have been carried out to investigate the emergence nature of gravity and various aspects/extension of this theory have been addressed in the literatures [47–54].

In this paper, we would like to employ a novel idea and address the dark matter problem in the context of Padmanabhan's proposal of emergence gravity. This leads to modification of Einstein equations of general relativity, too. This paper is structured as follows. In the next section we recover the

^{*} kimet.jusufi@unite.edu.mk

[†] asheykhi@shirazu.ac.ir

ACDM model by assuming an interaction between baryonic matter and dark energy in the bulk. In section III, Following Jacobson [1], we recover the modified Einstein's field equation with an additional energy-momentum term which can be regarded as the apparent dark matter. In section IV, we establish a correspondence with Yukawa cosmology and explain the role of the massive gravitons as a possible candidate to explain the nature of the dark sector and the emergence of MOND. We finish with final remarks in section V.

II. RECOVERING ACDM IN PADMANABHAN'S EMERGENT UNIVERSE

To explain why our Universe is expanding, Padmanabhan used the holographic principle and the equipartition law of energy [46]. According to Padmanabhan the basic law governing the emergence of space must relate the emergence of space to the difference between degrees of freedom on the surface and in the bulk, namely $(N_{sur} - N_{bulk})$. He proposed relation [46]

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = L_P^2 (N_{\rm sur} - N_{\rm bulk}),\tag{1}$$

where V and t are, respectively, the volume and the cosmic time in Planck units. More generally, it is expected $(\Delta V/\Delta t)$ to be some function of $(N_{\text{sur}} - N_{\text{bulk}})$ which vanishes when the latter does (note also that $L_P^2 = \hbar G/c^3$). In the present paper, we would like to modify the above relation as

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = L_P^2 \left[N_{\rm sur} - \left(N_{\rm bulk} + N_{\rm bulk}^{\rm int} \right) \right], \tag{2}$$

where we assume there is an additional term for the degrees of freedom in the bulk denoted by $N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{int}}$, which originates from the interaction between matter/energy components in the bulk. The origin of this interaction term will be discussed later on. Such a universe obeys the holographic principle where N_{sur} , the number of degrees of freedom on the spherical surface of Hubble radius H^{-1} , given by [46]

$$N_{\rm sur} = \frac{4\pi}{L_P^2 H^2}.$$
(3)

Here N_{bulk} is the effective number of degrees of freedom which obeys the equipartition law of energy contained inside the universe [46]

$$N_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{|E|}{(1/2)k_B T}.$$
(4)

We shall assume a multi-fluid matter inside the universe with proper Komar energy density

$$E = \sum_{i} (\rho_i + 3p_i) V, \qquad (5)$$

and hence we can write the equipartition law of energy as

$$N_{\text{bulk}} = -\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{2(\rho_{i} + 3p_{i})V}{k_{B}T},$$
(6)

along with

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = L_P^2 \left[N_{\text{sur}} - \left(\varepsilon_i N_{\text{bulk}} + \varepsilon^{\text{int}} N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{int}} \right) \right], \tag{7}$$

where, in our definition, $\varepsilon_i = -1$ stands for baryonic matter and radiation and $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for dark energy [in order to ensure that $N_{\text{bulk}} > 0$; for matter and radiation $\rho_i^M + 3p_i^M > 0$ and $\rho_i^R + 3p_i^R > 0$, and $\rho_{DE} + 3p_{DE} < 0$ for dark energy, respectively]. Furthermore, we take $\varepsilon^{\text{int}} = -1$, meaning that $N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{int}} > 0$, which should behave as baryonic matter for reasons that we will explain below.

The volume of the universe and the temperature associated with the horizon are given by

$$V = \frac{4\pi}{3H^3}, \ T = \frac{H}{2\pi}.$$
 (8)

The novel idea is to consider an interaction term between baryonic matter and dark energy in the bulk. As a result, we propose there is an additional degrees of freedom which comes from the interaction term and assume, for pure dimensional reasons, it can be written as

$$N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{int}} = -\varepsilon^{\text{int}} \sqrt{\alpha \, N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{M}} N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{DE}}},\tag{9}$$

where α is a coupling constant, $N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{M}}$ and $N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{DE}}$ are the degrees of freedom of baryonic matter and dark energy in the bulk, respectively. In the next section, we will give further physical arguments about α . Since $p_M = 0$ for baryonic matter, and $p_{DE} = -\rho_{DE}$ for dark energy fluid, then, for the interaction term, we get

$$N_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{int}} = \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M\rho_{DE}}\frac{2V}{k_BT}.$$
 (10)

Let us now obtain the standard Friedmann equation. Using Eqs. (7), (3), (6), (8), (10) and $p_i = \omega_i \rho_i$, we get

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = 4\pi L_P^2 \Big[\frac{1}{L_P^2 H^2} + \sum_i \rho_i (1+3\omega_i) \frac{4\pi}{3H^4} \\
+ \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M \rho_{DE}} \frac{4\pi}{3H^4} \Big],$$
(11)

where we have set $k_B = 1$. From the last equation, we obtain

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi L_P^2}{3} \left[\sum_i \rho_i (1+3\omega_i) + \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M\rho_{DE}} \right].$$
(12)

In the second term, we have an evolving matter given by $\rho_M = \rho_{M,0}(1+z)^3$ and dark energy $\rho_{DE} = \rho_{DE,0}$, where it is natural to identify this term as the dark matter for reasons we shall argue below. Let us define the following equation as an evolving dark matter

$$\frac{\rho_{DM}}{(1+z)^{3/2}} \equiv \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M\rho_{DE}},\tag{13}$$

with using $\rho_M = \rho_{M,0}(1+z)^3$ and $\rho_{DE} = \rho_{DE,0}$, leads to

$$\rho_{DM} = \rho_{DM,0} a^{-3}, \tag{14}$$

where $1 + z = a^{-1}$ and $\rho_{DM,0} \equiv \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_{M,0}\rho_{DE,0}}$. Note that assuming dark matter is pressureless, then Eq. (14) is also solution of the continuity equation $\dot{\rho}_{DM} + 3H\rho_{DM} = 0$, as expected and justifies ansatz (13).

If we multiply both sides of Eq. (12) by $2a\dot{a}$, and using the continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho}_i + 3H(1+\omega_i)\rho_i = 0, \tag{15}$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter and $\rho_i = \rho_{i,0}a^{-3(1+\omega_i)}$, assuming several matter fluids with a constant equation of state parameters ω_i . We then arrive at

$$d(\dot{a}^2) = \frac{8\pi L_P^2}{3} d\left(\sum_i \rho_{i,0} a^{-1-3\omega_i} + \rho_{DM,0} a^{-1-3\omega_{DM}}\right), \quad (16)$$

where $\Omega_{DM} = 0$. In the l.h.s, we get a constant of integration *k* as follows

$$\dot{a}^{2} + k = \frac{8\pi L_{P}^{2}}{3} \int d\left(\sum_{i} \rho_{i,0} a^{-1-3\omega_{i}} + \rho_{DM,0} a^{-1}\right).$$
(17)

By solving the integral in the r.h.s, we get

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi L_{P}^{2}}{3} \left(\rho_{R,0} a^{-4} + \rho_{M,0} a^{-3} + \rho_{DM,0} a^{-3} + \rho_{cur} a^{2} + \rho_{DE,0} a^{0}\right),$$
(18)

where we have also included the spatial curvature density

$$\rho_{\rm cur} \equiv \frac{3k}{8\pi L_P^2 a^2}.$$
(19)

If we use the critical density

$$\rho_{\rm crit} = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi L_P^2},\tag{20}$$

we get the equation in terms of the density parameters or in terms of redshift z as follows

$$E^{2}(z) = \Omega_{R,0}(1+z)^{4} + \Omega_{M,0}^{\text{tot}}(1+z)^{3} + \Omega_{\text{curv}}(1+z)^{2} + \Omega_{DE,0},$$
(21)

where $E(z) = H/H_0$, and

$$\Omega_{DM,0} = \sqrt{2 \,\alpha \,\Omega_{M,0} \Omega_{DE,0}},\tag{22}$$

along with

$$\Omega_{M,0}^{\text{tot}} = \Omega_{M,0} + \sqrt{2 \, \alpha \, \Omega_{M,0} \Omega_{DE,0}} = \Omega_{M,0} + \Omega_{DM,0}. \quad (23)$$

That suggests that dark matter can evolve due to the expansion of the Universe, which is related to the fact that the baryonic matter evolves, and the coupling parameter is a function of z, as we pointed out. As a special case, if we consider a flat universe with k = 0 for the late-time Universe, which is consistent with the result obtained in [55]. We shall elaborate more about this connection in this work. However, it is important

to see from these equations that dark matter is only an apparent effect due to the interaction between the dark energy and matter. That is the key distinction as compared to the ACDM model, whose respective Hubble parameter as a function of the redshift for a flat space ($\Omega_{curv} = 0$) is given by (written in our notation)

$$H(z) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{R,0} (1+z)^4 + \Omega_{M,0}^{\text{tot}} (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{DE,0}}, \quad (24)$$

the last expression is obtained from Eq. (21) and it perfectly matches the Λ CDM model.

III. EINSTEIN FIELD EQUATIONS WITH APPARENT DARK MATTER

In this section, we will closely follow the seminal paper by Jacobson [1]. Specifically, Jacobson derived the Einstein's field equations of gravity through the application of the first law of thermodynamics and one can view the Einstein's field equation as an equation of state. In the current study, we aim to extend this framework, incorporating the influences of baryonic matter, dark energy, and an additional term stemming from the interaction between baryonic matter and dark energy. First, we need to consider the heat flow across the horizon attributed to the energy carried by the matter field, characterized by an energy-momentum tensor T_{ab}^M representing baryonic matter

$$\delta Q^M = -\kappa \int_H \xi T^M_{ab} k^a k^b d\xi dS.$$
 (25)

In this equation with *S* we represent the area of the horizon *H*. The vector k^a corresponds to the tangent vector of the horizon generators, further κ is known as the surface gravity and, finally, ξ is an appropriate affine parameter. We also introduce a dark energy term contribution

$$\delta Q^{DE} = -\kappa \int_{H} \xi T^{DE}_{ab} k^{a} k^{b} d\xi dS.$$
 (26)

Building upon the concept introduced in the preceding section, which serves as the fundamental idea in this paper, we incorporate an interaction term between baryonic matter and dark energy. Consequently, we anticipate the emergence of a contribution term

$$\delta Q^{int.} = -\kappa \int_{H} \xi T^{int}_{ab} k^{a} k^{b} d\xi dS, \qquad (27)$$

where we have introduced an interaction term

$$T_{ab}^{int} = u_a u_b \sqrt{2\alpha \rho_{M,0} \rho_{DE,0}} a^{-3},$$
(28)

where α is an interaction parameter between dark energy and baryonic matter, *a* is the scale factor, here 4-velocity of the prefect fluid with $g^{ab}u_au_b = -1$. For reasons that will be clarified later on we shall identify the interaction term as the dark matter term, i.e. $T_{ab}^{DM} \equiv T_{ab}^{int}$. We get

$$T_{ab}^{DM} = u_a u_b \sqrt{2\alpha \rho_{M,0} \rho_{DE,0}} a^{-3} \equiv \rho_{DM} u_a u_b,$$
(29)

According to the Raychaudhuri equation, for the change of the horizon area we have

$$\delta S = \int_{H} \theta d\xi dS = -\int_{H} \xi R_{ab} k^{a} k^{b} d\xi dS, \qquad (30)$$

in which θ is known as the expansion/contraction scalar. Finally, for the first law of thermodynamics we obtain

$$\delta(Q^M + Q^{DE} + Q^{int}) = Td\mathbb{S},\tag{31}$$

where S is the entropy and we note that *T* is the temperature and it reads $T = \kappa/2\pi$. Using the Bekenstein-Hawking relation between the entropy and the horizon area S = S/4, and with the help of the above relations we get

$$\int_{H} \xi \left(T_{ab}^{M} + T_{ab}^{DE} + T_{ab}^{int} \right) k^{a} k^{b} d\xi dS = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{H} \xi R_{ab} k^{a} k^{b} d\xi dS.$$
(32)

From the last equation it is evident that one has

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G} \left(R_{ab} k^a k^b \right) = \left(T_{ab}^M + T_{ab}^{DE} + T_{ab}^{int} \right) k^a k^b, \quad (33)$$

which is valid for all null vector k^a . The last equation can be further rewritten as

$$8\pi GT_{ab}^{tot} = R_{ab} + \zeta g_{ab}, \tag{34}$$

with ζ being some function. In addition we have defined the total energy-momentum tensor to be

$$T_{ab}^{tot} = T_{ab}^{M} + T_{ab}^{DE} + T_{ab}^{DM}.$$
 (35)

If we apply the covariant derivative to and we further utilize the contracted Bianchi identity, we obtain:

$$\nabla_b (R_{ab} + \zeta g_{ab}) = 0 \Rightarrow -\nabla_b \left(\frac{R}{2}\right) = \partial_b \zeta.$$
 (36)

We have also assumed $\nabla_b T_{ab}^{tot} = 0$. From the last equation it is easy to see that we get for the function ξ

$$\xi = -\left(\frac{R}{2}\right) + C. \tag{37}$$

In our case we can fix the constant C to zero. But we further define

$$T_{ab}^{DE} = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} g_{ab}.$$
(38)

In this way we obtain the Einstein field equations as a thermodynamics equation of state with dark matter

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = 8\pi G \left(T_{ab}^{M} + T_{ab}^{DM}\right).$$
 (39)

One should keep in mind that the dark matter is only an apparent effect and obtained via the interaction of dark energy and matter. With this equation in hand, we can apply to find the cosmological model of the universe. Let us now use the Einstein equation with dark matter and extend our discussion of the cosmological setup. Assuming the background spacetime to be spatially homogeneous and isotropic, which is given by the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2} \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) \right], \quad (40)$$

where we can further use R = a(t)r, $x^0 = t, x^1 = r$, the two dimensional metric $h_{\mu\nu}$. Here *k* denotes the curvature of space with k = 0, 1, -1 corresponding to flat, closed, and open universes, respectively. The dynamical apparent horizon, a marginally trapped surface with vanishing expansion, is determined by the relation $h^{\mu\nu}(\partial_{\mu}R)(\partial_{\nu}R) = 0$. A simple calculation gives the apparent horizon radius for the FRW universe

$$R = ar = 1/\sqrt{H^2 + k/a^2}.$$
 (41)

For the matter source in the FRW universe, we shall assume a perfect fluid described by the stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_i + p_i)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + p_i g_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (42)

this leads to the continuity equation have assumed several matter fluids with a constant equation of state parameters ω_i and continuity equations we have the expression for densities $\rho_i = \rho_{i0}a^{-3(1+\omega_i)}$ (this includes baryonic matter $\omega_i = 0$, radiation $\omega_i = 1/3$, dark matter $\omega_i = 0$ and dark energy $\omega_i = -1$). with $H = \dot{a}/a$ being the Hubble parameter. If we rewrite the Einstein equations as

$$R_{ab} = 8\pi G \left(T_{ab}^{tot} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} T^{tot} \right).$$
(43)

From the Einstein field equations, we can obtain the Friedmann's equation, namely

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\left(\frac{4\pi G}{3}\right)\sum_{i} \left(\rho_i + 3p_i\right). \tag{44}$$

where we have the expression for densities $\rho_i = \rho_{i0}a^{-3(1+\omega_i)}$. Eq. (44) becomes

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\left(\frac{4\pi G}{3}\right) \sum_{i} (1+3\omega_i) \rho_{i0} a^{-3(1+\omega_i)}.$$
 (45)

Next, by multiplying $2\dot{a}a$ on both sides of Eq. (45), and by integrating we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_{i} \rho_{i0} a^{-3(1+\omega_i)}$$
(46)

or

$$H^{2} + \frac{k}{a^{2}} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \sum_{i} \rho_{i0} a^{-3(1+\omega_{i})}$$
(47)

We further assume, the energy-momentum tensor of each component is in the form of the perfect fluid,

$$T_{ab}^i = (\rho_i + p_i)u_a u_b + p_i g_{ab} \tag{48}$$

where i = DM, DE, M and u^a is the 4-velocity of the prefect fluid with $g^{ab}u_au_b = -1$. For the pressureless dark matter with $p_{DM} = 0$, we have

$$T^a{}_b{}^{DM} = \rho_{DM} u^a u_b. \tag{49}$$

Comparing with the equation

$$T^{a}{}_{b}{}^{DM} = u^{a}u_{b}\sqrt{2\alpha\rho_{M,0}\rho_{DE,0}} a^{-3}.$$
 (50)

Equating Eqs. (49) and (50) we finally get

$$\rho_{DM} = \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_{M,0}\rho_{DE,0}}(1+z)^3.$$
(51)

Using the continuity equation for the matter, we have $\rho_M = \rho_{M,0}(1+z)^3$, while for the cosmological constant (DE) the energy density is constant, namely $\rho_{DE} = \rho_{DE}^0$, in the general case we define

$$\rho_{DM} = \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M^0 \rho_{DE}^0} (1+z)^3,$$
 (52)

or

$$\rho_{DM} = \rho_{DM}^0 \, (1+z)^3, \tag{53}$$

that it we obtain the standard Friedmann equation

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\rho_{R,0} a^{-4} + \rho_{M,0} a^{-3} + \rho_{DM,0} a^{-3} + \rho_{curv} a^{2} + \rho_{DE,0} a^{0}\right),$$
(54)

In addition, we have the spatial curvature density

$$\rho_{\rm cur} = \frac{3k}{8\pi Ga^2}.$$
(55)

If we use the critical density

$$\rho_{\rm crit} = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G},\tag{56}$$

we get the equation in terms of the density parameters or in terms of redshift z as follows

$$E^{2}(z) = \Omega_{R,0}(1+z)^{4} + \Omega_{M,0}^{\text{tot}}(1+z)^{3} + \Omega_{\text{curv}}(1+z)^{2} + \Omega_{DE,0}.$$
(57)

where $\Omega_{M,0}^{\text{tot}} = \Omega_{M,0} + \sqrt{2 \alpha \Omega_{M,0} \Omega_{DE,0}}$. This result coincides with Eq. (21) in the last section.

IV. CORRESPONDENCE WITH YUKAWA COSMOLOGY AND RECOVERING MOND

A. Correspondence with Yukawa cosmology

In this section, we would like to elaborate more on the nature of dark energy. Einstein famously introduced the cosmological constant into the field equation $G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}/c^4$ to explain the expansion of the Universe. Dark energy is commonly linked to represent the energy density of the vacuum [56]; hence, it is just a constant. Specifically, the energy density can be calculated by integrating the vacuum fluctuation energies using $\rho_{DE}^{vac} \simeq \Lambda_{cut}^4$ where we integrate up to a certain ultraviolet momentum cutoff k_{max} , we get $\rho_{DE}^{\text{vac}} \sim \hbar k_{\text{max}}^4$ [56]. That is the origin of the famous discrepancy, namely, when one compares the theoretical value with the observed value, that gives a huge discrepancy of the order $\sim 10^{120}$. Speculation regarding a potential symmetry leads to a vanishing vacuum energy. The problem surrounding the cosmological constant remains unsolved and continues to challenge researchers. Certainly, alternative concepts have been proposed to elucidate the cosmological constant. In this context, we will present an argument for the involvement of massive gravitons in clarifying the nature of the cosmological constant. Moreover, we will draw attention to a correlation with Yukawa cosmology, the subject of recent investigation in [55, 57]. At large distances, one can obtain a Yukawa-like potential

$$\Phi = -\frac{GMm}{r} \left(1 + \alpha \exp\left(-r/\lambda\right)\right), \tag{58}$$

with the wavelength of massive graviton reads [58]

$$\lambda = \frac{\hbar}{m_g c}.$$
(59)

It is interesting to note that the Yukawa potential has been obtained in modified theories of gravity such as the f(R) gravity [59, 60]). It was shown that the dark matter can be viewed as an apparent effect, namely, dark matter is obtained via the long-range force modification in terms of the following equation [55, 57]. In particular, one can obtain effectively the Λ CDM using [55, 57]

$$\Omega_{DM,0}^{\Lambda \text{CDM}} = \sqrt{2\Omega_{M,0}^{\Lambda \text{CDM}} \Omega_{DE,0}^{\Lambda \text{CDM}}},\tag{60}$$

where

$$\Omega_{DE,0}^{\Lambda \text{CDM}} \sim \frac{c^2 \alpha}{\lambda^2 H_0^2}.$$
 (61)

We can therefore make the correspondence if we define the dark energy density in the Padmanbhan universe as

$$\Omega_{DE,0}^{\text{Padmanbhan}} \sim \frac{c^2}{\lambda^2 H_0^2}.$$
 (62)

In other words, there is an equivalence with the Yukawa cosmology [55] with two parameters: λ that specifies the dark energy density and it is related to the gravitons mass and α that specifies the interaction between matter and dark energy. We can further write the energy density of the cosmological constant contribution in terms of the graviton mass. Since we found a correspondence with Yukawa cosmology, one can see that the parameter α appears in the modified Newton's potential and, therefore, Newton's law in large distances. The quest for a viable theory grounded in a healthy and well-defined action that can integrate the concept of massive gravitons remains an ongoing endeavour that has yet to reach a conclusive resolution. the parameter α plays a crucial role in altering Newton's law. Yet, an intriguing question emerges regarding the fundamental origin of this parameter. We shall present compelling arguments linking the modified entropy to adjusted gravity, with α emerging as a consequence of entropy modification. In some deep sense, α could potentially be influenced by the entanglement entropy arising from the intricate interplay between baryonic matter and the fluctuations in the gravitational field (gravitons). One can already see a hint for this if we use $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}^{\Lambda CDM} = \rho_{DE,0}/\rho_{crit}$, where $\rho_{crit} = 3H_0^2/(8\pi G)$ and $\rho_{DE,0} = \Lambda c^2/(8\pi G)$ one can obtain the cosmological constant in terms of α , as follows

$$\Lambda \sim \frac{3\alpha}{\lambda^2}.$$
 (63)

In this way, we get for the energy density of dark energy

$$\rho_{DE} \sim \frac{3\,\alpha\,c^2}{8\pi G\lambda^2},\tag{64}$$

which has the form of a holographic dark energy expression for the cosmological constant. This signals that α fundamentally measures the entanglement between the gravitons and matter fields.

B. Recovering MOND

In this section, we would like to point out that the parameter α that modifies the Newton's law in the large distances, can explain theoretical origin of the MOND theory. To see this, let us rewrite Eq. (12) as follows

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left[\sum_{i} \rho_i (1+3\omega_i) + \sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M\rho_{DE}} \right], \quad (65)$$

where we have restored the Newton's constant G. This equation can also be written as

$$m\ddot{R} = -\frac{Gm}{R^2} \left[\frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \sum_i \rho_i (1+3\omega_i) + \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \sqrt{2\alpha \rho_M \rho_{DE}} \right].$$
(66)

Using the fact that the Komar mass [similar to Komar energy with $p_i = \omega_i \rho_i$] reads

$$M = \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \sum_{i} \rho_i (1 + 3\omega_i),$$
 (67)

and if we consider only matter $[\omega_i = 0]$ and dark energy $\omega_i = -1$] (we shall neglect radiation contribution), we get

$$m\ddot{R} = -\frac{Gm}{R^2} \left[\frac{4\pi R^3}{3} (\rho_M - 2\rho_{DE}) + \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \sqrt{2\alpha \rho_M \rho_{DE}} \right].$$
(68)

For Newton's law in cosmological scales, we therefore get

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a} = -\left[\frac{G\,m(M - M_{DE})}{R^2} + \frac{G\,m}{R^2}V\,\sqrt{2\alpha\rho_M\rho_{DE}}\right]\vec{r_0},$$
(69)

where $\vec{r_0}$ is some unit vector, and $M = 4\pi R^3 \rho_M / 3$ (although in general *M* depends on *R*) along with $M_{DE} = 4\pi R^3 (2\rho_{DE})/3$.

On cosmological scales, the dark energy mass M_{DE} dominates over baryonic mass M, implying a negative sign which means an expanding universe. Conversely, on galactic scales, the baryonic mass dominates and the total force is toward the galactic center. Nevertheless, the presence of the second term which depends on α , yields an additional contribution from the long-range gravity modification; such a term can be attributed to the dark matter having a mass

$$M_{DM} = \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \sqrt{2\alpha \rho_M \rho_{DE}},\tag{70}$$

we can restore Newton's law of gravity

$$\vec{F} = -\frac{Gm(M - M_{DE} + M_{DM})}{R^2}\vec{r_0}.$$
(71)

provided there is an extra mass term due to the dark matter. However, there is another way of rewriting Eq. (66) as follows

$$a = \frac{G\left(M - M_{DE}\right)}{R^2} + \sqrt{\alpha\left(\frac{GM}{R^2}\right)\left(\frac{GM_{DE}}{R^2}\right)}$$
(72)

Let us define the acceleration due to the matter and the dark energy enclosed in that region of volume V as

$$a_M = \frac{GM}{R^2}$$
 and $a_{DE} = \frac{GM_{DE}}{R^2}$, (73)

we obtain the total acceleration

$$a = a_M - a_{DE} + \sqrt{\alpha a_M a_{DE}}.$$
 (74)

The last equation is the acceleration in MOND theory.

• Cosmological scales

In cosmological scales, as we pointed out the dark energy dominates over baryonic matter [in general it also should depend in the scale distance M = M(R)], and for cosmological scales $R \sim 10^{26}$ m, $a_M \rightarrow 0$, or $a_M << a_{DE}$ and, from the lat equation we get $a = -a_{DE}$. It is clear that the negative sign explains the expanding universe due to dark energy. One can further check that

$$a_{DE} = \frac{G M_{DE}}{R^2} \sim \frac{4\pi G \rho_{DE} R}{3},\tag{75}$$

where for the dark energy density we have

$$\rho_{DE}^{\text{cosmology}} \sim \frac{3\,\alpha\,c^2}{8\pi G\lambda_{\text{cosmology}}^2},\tag{76}$$

hence we get

$$a_{DE} \sim \frac{\alpha c^2 R}{2\lambda_{\rm cosmology}^2} \sim 10^{-10} {\rm m/s^2}.$$
 (77)

The last result gives the acceleration of the universe. Here we note that the value of $\lambda_{\rm cosmology}$ is of the order of the observable radius of the universe, i.e., $\lambda_{\rm cosmology} \sim 10^{26}$ m (see from observation constrains reported in [55, 57]). In addition, we have used $\alpha \sim 0.41$ along with $R \sim 10^{26}$ m, and consequently the energy density of dark energy can be approximated to $\rho_{DE} \sim 7 \times 10^{-27}$ kg/m³.

Let us now elaborate the case of galaxies where *R* is of pc or kpc order. However, near the galactic center in general the mass term is a function of distance, i.e., M(R). In other words, in the galactic center, we know that baryoinic matter dominates compared to the dark energy $(a_M >> a_{DE})$, hence we get

$$a = a_M + \sqrt{\alpha \, a_M \, a_{DE}}.\tag{78}$$

Further let us define a_0 , by writing

$$a_0 = \alpha \, a_{DE}, \tag{79}$$

to get a MOND-like form for the acceleration

$$a = a_M + \sqrt{a_M a_0}.\tag{80}$$

The interesting part here is when one studies the large distances, say when *R* is of pc or kpc order. Hence let us take for the outer part of the galaxy $R \sim 10^{17}$ m, we can try to compute a_0 which gives

$$a_0 = \alpha a_{DE} \sim \frac{4\pi \alpha^2 G \rho_{DE} R}{3} \sim 10^{-20} \text{m/s}^2!$$
 (81)

We have expected for a_0 , as MOND predicts, $a_0 \sim 10^{-10} \text{m/s}^2$. To address this inconsistency, let's initially highlight that the energy density of dark energy on galactic scales is given by

$$\rho_{DE}^{\text{galaxy}} \sim \frac{3\alpha c^2}{8\pi G \lambda_{\text{galaxy}}^2},\tag{82}$$

and rewrite a_0 in terms of the energy density as follows

$$a_0 \sim \frac{\alpha^2 c^2}{2\lambda_{\text{galaxy}}^2}.$$
 (83)

As we shall elaborate the energy density of dark energy depends on the scale of measurements (observations) due its dependence on λ . In some sense there exists a fundamental limitations on measuring the dark energy density due to the uncertainty principle when we measure λ . To see this more clearly, let us go back in Eq. (59) and after we set $\lambda = \Delta x$, and $\Delta p = m_g c$, for graviton we get the momentum-position uncertainty relation

$$\Delta x \Delta p \sim \hbar.$$
 (84)

Now lets see the implications of this relation. When $\lambda^{\text{cosmology}} \sim 10^{26} \text{ m.}$, i.e. in cosmological scales, we have more uncertainty in position $\lambda^{\text{cosmology}} = \Delta x^{\text{cosmology}} \sim 10^{26} \text{ m, but more precision on the momentum } \Delta p^{\text{cosmology}}$, namely

$$\Delta p^{
m cosmology} \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta x^{
m cosmology}} \sim 10^{-60} \,\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{s}\,.$$
 (85)

This simply means that when we apply the uncertainty relation for the graviton entirety of the universe, our knowledge of position becomes more uncertain, but we gain greater precision in measuring momentum. Conversely, when focusing on galactic scales, the uncertainty in position decreases, approximately $\lambda^{\text{galaxy}} = \Delta x^{\text{galaxy}} \sim 10^{19}$ m. However, this reduction in position uncertainty is accompanied by an increase in momentum uncertainty, denoted as Δp^{galaxy} , specifically we can see this from

$$\Delta p^{\text{galaxy}} \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta x^{\text{galaxy}}} \sim 10^{-53} \,\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{s} \,.$$
 (86)

In order to obtain a consistent result, we need $R \gtrsim 10^{15}$ m, namely

$$a_0 \sim \frac{\alpha^2 c^2 R}{2\lambda_{\text{galaxy}}^2} \sim 10^{-10} \text{m/s}^2.$$
(87)

As we increase the scale of observations R, λ will increase and there is no sense to speak about $R > \lambda$. Our findings align with recent observations related to the applicability of Newton's law over substantial distances, specifically employing wide binary systems as exemplified by Hernandez and Chae [61, 62]. Consequently, it is evident that there are inherent constraints in accurately measuring the graviton wavelength within the realm of cosmology. As we elaborated, the inconsistency that emerges when comparing analyses conducted on galactic and cosmological scales, can be explained through the prism of uncertainty relations.

V. FINAL REMARKS AND CONCLUSIONS

The central and novel result we found in this paper is that by adopting the emergence perspective of gravity, one can interpret the dark matter as an effective/apparent phenomenon. In this viewpoint the dark matter appears as a result of interaction between dark energy and baryonic matter which modifies the numbers of degrees of freedom in bulk. This approach leads naturally to derive the ACDM model of cosmology. The second important result is that, we applied the Jacobson's approach by taking into account an interaction term between baryonic matter and dark energy which plays the role of dark matter. We thus constructed the modified Einstein field equations as an equation of state. The Einstein field equations obtained in this method, contains energy momentum tensor of apparent dark matter as well as dark energy. Both methods are consistent and we confirm how dark matter naturally emerges by including an interaction term between dark energy and baryonic matter. Finally, we obtained the Λ CDM model in cosmology and established a correspondence with Yukawa cosmology. We then explored the relation and the role of massive graviton in the dark sector. For the energy density of dark energy we obtained a holographic dark energy expression which implies that the interaction term α fundamentally measures the entanglement between the gravitons and matter fields. Finally, a MOND-like expression was obtained and the role of uncertainty relation for the gravitons' wavelength was discussed in cosmological/galactic observations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of A.Sheykhi is supported by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) under grant No. 4022705.

- [1] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, (1995) 1260.
- [2] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. 406, (2005) 49.
- [3] T. Padmanabhan, Rept. Prog. Phys. 73, (2010) 046901.
- [4] A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar, T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D 74, (2006) 104015.
- [5] R. G. Cai and S. P. Kim, JHEP 0502, (2005) 050.
- [6] M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D 75, (2007) 084003.
- [7] B. Wang, Y. Gong and E. Abdalla, Phys. Rev. D 74, (2006) 083520.
- [8] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Nucl. Phys. B **779**, (2007) 1.
- [9] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D 76, (2007) 023515.
- [10] A. Sheykhi, Class. Quantum Grav. 27 (2010) 025007.
- [11] A. Sheykhi, Eur. Phys. J. C 69, (2010) 265.
- [12] A. Sheykhi and S. H. Hendi, Phys. Rev. D 84, (2011) 044023.
- [13] S. Di Gennaro, H. Xu and Y. C. Ong, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) no.11, 1066
- [14] V. Springel, C. S. Frenk, S. D. M. White, Nature, 440, (2006) 1137.
- [15] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk, S. D. White, ApJ, 490, (1997) 493.
- [16] J.F. Navarro et al., MNRAS, 402, (2010) 21.
- [17] B. Moore et al., MNRAS, 310, (1999) 1147.
- [18] G. Gilmore et al., ApJ, **663**, (2007) 948.
- [19] P. Salucci, et al., MNRAS, 378, (2007) 41.
- [20] R. Kuzio de Naray, S. S. McGaugh, W. J. G. de Blok, ApJ, 676, (2008) 920.
- [21] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys., 61, (1989) 1.
- [22] M. Milgrom, Astrop. J, 270, (1983) 365.
- [23] M. Milgrom, Astrop. J, 270, (1983) 371.
- [24] M. Milgrom, Astrop. J, 270, (1983) 384.
- [25] P. G. Ferreira, G. D. Starkman, Science, 326, (2009) 812.
- [26] M. Milgrom, R. H. Sanders, Astrop. J, 599, (2003) L25.
- [27] O. Tiret et al., Astro. Astrophys., **476** (2007) L1.
- [28] P. Kroupa et al., Astro. Astrophys., 523, (2010) 32.
- [29] V. F. Cardone, et al., MNRAS, **412**, (2011) 2617.
- [30] T. Richtler et al. Astro. Astrophys, 531, (2011) 100.
- [31] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D, 70, (2004) 083509.
- [32] Xin Li, Zhe Chang, Commun. Theor. Phys. 55,(2011) 733.
- [33] A. Sheykhi and K. Rezazadeh Sarab, JCAP 10, (2012) 012.
- [34] E. P. Verlinde, JHEP, 1104, (2011) 029.
- [35] R.G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D 81, (2010) 061501.
- [36] A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D 81, (2010) 104011.

- [37] Y. Ling and J.P. Wu, JCAP 1008, (2010) 017.
- [38] L. Modesto, A. Randono, [arXiv:1003.1998];
 L. Smolin, [arXiv:1001.3668];
 X. Li, Z. Chang, [arXiv:1005.1169].
- [39] Y.F. Cai, J. Liu, H. Li, Phys. Lett. B 690, (2010) 213;
 M. Li and Y. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 687, (2010) 243.
- [40] S. H. Hendi and A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D 83, (2011) 084012.
- [41] S. Vagnozzi, Class. Quant. Grav. 34, (2017) 185006.
- [42] E. P. Verlinde, SciPost Phys., 2, no.3, (2017) 016.
- [43] K. Jusufi and A. Sheykhi, Phys. Lett. B, 836, (2023) 137621.
- [44] A. D. Millano, K. Jusufi and G. Leon, Phys. Lett. B 841, (2023) 137916.
- [45] K. Jusufi, A. Sheykhi and S. Capozziello, Phys. Dark Univ. 42, (2023) 101270.
- [46] T. Padmanabhan, [arXiv:1206.4916].
- [47] R. G. Cai, JHEP 11, (2012) 016.
- [48] K. Yang, Y. X. Liu and Y. Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 86, (2012) 104013.
- [49] A. Sheykhi, M.H. Dehghani, S.E. Hosseini , JCAP 04, (2013) 038.
- [50] F. Q. Tu and Y. X. Chen, JCAP, 05 (2013) 024;
 Y. Ling and W. J. Pan, [arXiv:1304.0220].
- [51] A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D 87 (R) (2013) 061501.
- [52] F. F. Yuan, Y. C. Huang, [arXiv:1304.7949].
- [53] M. Eune and W. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 88, (2013) 067303.
- [54] A.Sheykhi, M.H.Dehghani, S.E. Hosseini, Phys. Lett. B 726, (2013) 23.
- [55] K. Jusufi, G. Leon and A. D. Millano, Phys. Dark Univ. 42, (2023) 101318.
- [56] S. M. Carroll, Living Rev. Rel. 4 (2001) 1.
- [57] E. González, K. Jusufi, G. Leon and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Dark Univ. 42, (2023) 101304.
- [58] M. Visser, Gen. Rel. Grav., 30, (1998) 1717.
- [59] Capozziello, S. and Stabile, A. and Troisi, A., Mod. Phys. Lett. A 24, (2009) 659.
- [60] Benisty, D. and Mifsud, J. and Levi Said, J. and Staicova, D. [arXiv2303.15040].
- [61] X. Hernandez, V. Verteletskyi, L. Nasser, A. Aguayo-Ortiz, https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.10995; X. Hernandez, Kyu-Hyun Chae, https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.03162
- [62] K. H. Chae, Astrophys. J. 952 (2023) no.2, 128; K. H. Chae, Astrophys. J. 960 (2024) no.2, 114