

Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for Lie algebras of rank 2

Milica Anđelić, Carlos M. da Fonseca, Vyacheslav Futorny, Andrew Tsyлке

Abstract

We explicitly construct families of simple modules for Lie algebras of rank 2, on which certain commutative subalgebra acts diagonally and has a simple spectrum. In type A these modules are well known generic Gelfand-Tsetlin modules and they can be viewed as such for other rank 2 Lie algebras.

1 Introduction.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over the complex numbers and let \mathfrak{h} be a fixed Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

A \mathfrak{g} -module M is *weight* (with respect to \mathfrak{h}) if \mathfrak{h} is diagonalizable on M , that is

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\lambda,$$

where $h v = \lambda(h) v$ for any $v \in M_\lambda$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. The subspace M_λ is called a weight subspace of weight λ , if $M_\lambda \neq 0$.

Simple weight modules were studied extensively in the last 50 years. Classical results of Fernando [8] and Mathieu [16] provided a complete classification of simple weight modules with finite dimensional weight subspaces. On the other hand, the classification of simple weight modules with infinite dimensional weight subspaces is still an open problem. The most advanced is the case of Lie algebras of type A , where simple Gelfand-Tsetlin modules were classified (see [13], [17], [18], [19] and references therein). These are weight modules with diagonalizable action of a certain commutative subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ - Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra. Generically, such simple Gelfand-Tsetlin modules have infinite dimensional weight subspaces. In particular, in the case of $sl(2)$ we obtain this way all simple weight modules, they depend on two parameters and have 1-dimensional weight subspaces. In the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ complete description of simple Gelfand-Tsetlin modules was given in [11].

The original approach to the study of weight modules was based on the reduction to study of simple modules over the centralizer $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$: if M is a simple weight \mathfrak{g} -module then M_λ is a simple $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, for any weight λ of M . Hence, every simple weight \mathfrak{g} -module corresponds to a (not unique) simple $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -module and, in its turn, any simple $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -module corresponds to a unique simple weight \mathfrak{g} -module. This approach was successfully used in the case $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ [2]-[4], [1], [9], [10], [6], [7] etc.

As the structure of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is rather complicated (there are 2 commuting generators for $sl(2)$, and there are 6 generators for $sl(3)$ with 3 polynomial relations and 5 commuting generators among them), there were essentially no attempts beyond the $sl(3)$ case.

The goal of the paper is to revise the centralizer's approach and construct new simple weight modules with infinite dimensional weight subspaces for all simple Lie algebras of rank 2. We explicitly construct simple generic modules in the category of Γ -pointed modules for a commutative subalgebra Γ of the centralizer $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$. Γ -pointed modules in type A are celebrated Gelfand-Tsetlin modules and they can be viewed as such in other types.

The structure of the paper is the following. In Section 1 we discuss the structure of the centralizer $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and prove the finiteness of generators of U_0 and show that they satisfy a finite number of relations. We give a generating set of elements and describe an algorithm how to compute all relations between them.

In Section 3 we consider the Lie algebra of type A_2 . Our approach is a suitable modification of [2] and [9], and we recover a construction of generic torsion free A_2 -modules with infinite dimensional weight spaces obtained in [9] and [11]. They are *tame* Gelfand-Tsetlin modules with diagonalizable action of the Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra.

In Section 4 we consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type C_2 and give the generators and the defining relations of the centralizer $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$. We construct two 4-parameter families of simple torsion free C_2 -modules with infinite dimensional weight spaces. These modules are Γ -pointed, where Γ is the 4-generated Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ which has a simple spectrum on such representations. Finally, in Section 5 we construct a 3-parameter family of simple torsion free G_2 -modules with infinite dimensional weight spaces. These modules are Γ -pointed with respect to a 4-generated Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra Γ of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ which has a simple spectrum on such representations.

We hope to use these representations to construct new simple modules for all simple finite dimensional and Affine Lie algebras via the parabolic induction.

2 Cartan centralizers

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_1}\}$ be the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and let $\pi = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k_0}\}$ be a basis of Δ . With respect to the basis π we have the decomposition of Δ into positive and negative roots: $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$. Let W be the Weyl group of the root system Δ .

With respect to the roots system (π, Δ) we choose a basis $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \cup \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , where $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \{h_\beta \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \beta \in \pi\}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$. For notational convenience, we set f_α for $e_{-\alpha}$.

Denote by $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ the centralizer of the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$: $x \in U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ belongs to the centralizer of \mathfrak{h} if and only if $[x, h] = 0$. The centralizer $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is the zero component in the root grading of the universal enveloping algebra.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $U^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$ the vector subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ spanned by the monomials $x_1 x_2 \dots x_j$, where $x_1, \dots, x_j \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $j \leq i$. Then we get an increasing sequence of subspaces

$$U^{(1)}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U^{(2)}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \dots \subset U^{(k)}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

which defines a canonical filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

The canonical filtration of a subalgebra $A \subset U$ is defined as the intersection of A with the members of the canonical filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, the canonical filtration of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is the sequence of subspaces

$$U_0^{(1)}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U_0^{(2)}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \dots \subset U_0^{(k)}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where $U_0^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g}) = U^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_0(\mathfrak{g})$.

Using the canonical filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ define the degree function $\deg(y)$ as follows: $\deg(y) = i$ if $y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{(i)}$, but $y \notin U(\mathfrak{g})^{(i-1)}$.

Let us now fix some order on the set $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_k, \quad (2.3)$$

where k is the dimension of \mathfrak{g} .

With respect to this order define standard monomials of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows:

$$X_S = x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} \dots x_k^{s_k}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here $S = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ is a k -tuple of nonnegative integers and at least one s_i is not zero. Denote by P_i the set of all standard monomials of degree i and set $P_0^i = P^i \cap U_0(\mathfrak{g})$.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the PBW theorem.

Lemma 2.1.

1. The set of all standard monomials $P^{(1)} \cup P^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup P^{(i)}$ is a basis of the vector space $U^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$.
2. The set of all standard monomials $P_0^{(1)} \cup P_0^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup P_0^{(i)}$ is a basis of the vector space $U_0^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$.
3. If $a \in U^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $b \in U^{(j)}(\mathfrak{g})$ then $ab \in U^{(i+j)}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $ab - ba \in U^{(i+j-1)}(\mathfrak{g})$.
4. Let $X \in U_0^{(i)}$ be any monomial of degree i , then there exists a unique standard monomial $Y \in P_0^{(i)}$ such that $X - Y \in U_0^{(i-1)}$.

Let $\hat{P}_0^{(i)} \subset P_0^{(i)}$ be a subset of monomials generated by $x_i \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, and $\hat{P}_0 = \cup_i \hat{P}_0^{(i)}$. For any monomial $X = e_{\beta_1} \cdot e_{\beta_2} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{\beta_n} \in \hat{P}_0$ denote by $R(X) = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ the associated list of roots. Note that a list of roots may contain many instances of the same root. We say that two lists are equivalent if one can be obtained from another by permutations of the roots.

A list of roots $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ is called *central* if $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_n = 0$. Clearly, $X \in \hat{P}_0$ if and only if the associated list $R(X)$ is central. Denote by $B(\Delta)$ the set of all central lists.

Clearly, a disjoint union of two central lists $a = a_1 \sqcup a_2$ is also a central list. Such central list $a = a_1 \sqcup a_2$ is called *decomposable*. If a central list a can not be written as a disjoint union of two central lists, then a is called *indecomposable*. Lists a_1 and a_2 will be called *sublists* of the list a and will be denoted as $a_1, a_2 \sqsubset a$ in this case.

Denote by $B_1(\Delta) \subset B(\Delta)$ the set of all central indecomposable lists.

Define the action of a Weyl group element $w \in W$ on the list $a = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ as follows:

$$w(a) = (w\beta_1, w\beta_2, \dots, w\beta_n). \quad (2.5)$$

Clearly, if $L \in B(\Delta)$ is a central list then $w(L) \in B(\Delta)$ is also a central list. Moreover, if $L \in B(\Delta)$ is an indecomposable list then $w(L) \in B(\Delta)$ is also indecomposable.

The simplest example of an indecomposable list is a central list containing only one positive or only one negative root. Define the set of *primitive* lists $B_2(\Delta) \subseteq B_1(\Delta)$ as follows: $r \in B_2(\Delta)$ if and only if there exists $w \in W$ such that the list $w(r)$ contains only one negative or one positive root.

Let $M = \{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) | n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be set of vectors with non-negative integer coordinates. Order the set M as follows: $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \leq (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$ if $n_i \leq m_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. The following statement is obvious.

Lemma 2.2. If $M' \subset M$ is any infinite subset then there exist two elements $r_1, r_2 \in M'$ such that $r_1 \leq r_2$.

We collect some properties of indecomposable lists of roots in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3.

1. The set of indecomposable lists $B(\Delta(\mathfrak{g}))$ is finite for any simple finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
2. All indecomposable lists of Lie algebras of type $\{A_n, n \geq 1, G_2, C_2, D_4\}$ are primitive, $B_1(\Delta) = B_2(\Delta)$.

Proof.

Define the function σ on the set of lists $B(\Delta)$ as follows:

$$\sigma(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\Delta),$$

where n_i is the number of occurrences of the i -th root of Δ (with respect to the ordering 2.3) in the list $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$. It is clear that a list r_1 is a sublist of a list r_2 if and only if $\sigma(r_1) \leq \sigma(r_2)$. Now the proof of the first statement follows from the previous lemma.

Let us prove the second statement. We will show it for type A . Other cases are similar. Let $\pi = \{\alpha_{ii} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a basis of the root system. Then $\{\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ii} + \alpha_{i+1, i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{jj} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = i, i+1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of all positive roots. Define the length of the root α_{ij} as $len(\alpha_{ij}) = j - i + 1$.

For a set of roots $r \subset \Delta$ denote by $|r|$ the cardinality of r .

Let $r = r^+ \cup r^-$ be an indecomposable list of roots, where r^+ and r^- are subsets of positive and negative roots respectively. Suppose that a root $\alpha_{ij} \in r^+$ has the smallest length, that is, for every $\alpha_{km} \in r^+$ we have $len(\alpha_{ij}) \leq len(\alpha_{km})$. To prove the lemma we need to show that there exists $w \in W$ such that either $|w(r^+)| < |r^+|$ and $|w(r^-)| > |r^-|$, or $|w(r^+)| = |r^+|$ and $len(w(\alpha_{ij})) < len(\alpha_{ij})$.

If $|r^+| = 1$ or $|r| \leq 3$ then the statement of the lemma is obvious. Suppose that our statement holds for all indecomposable lists such that the cardinality of the positive subset is less than $|r|$, or it has the same cardinality and the subset of positive roots contains a root with length less than $len(\alpha_{ij})$.

Let w_i be the reflection corresponding to the root α_{ii} , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

If for some i , the set r has a simple root $\alpha_{ii} \in r^+$, then $-\alpha_{ii} \notin r^-$, since otherwise the sublist $(\alpha_{ii}, -\alpha_{ii})$ is indecomposable, which is not possible. Hence, $w_i(\alpha_{ii}) = -\alpha_{ii}$ and the number of positive roots of the set $w_i(r)$ is less than the initial number of positive roots. Lemma follows.

From now on we assume that the list r^+ does not contain any simple root. Let $\alpha_{ij} \in r^+$ be a root with the smallest length in r^+ . Then both roots $w_i(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{i+1, j}$ and $w_j(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{i, j-1}$ have smaller lengths than α_{ij} .

If $-\alpha_{ii} \notin r^-$ (respectively $-\alpha_{jj} \notin r^-$), then we apply w_i (respectively w_j) to the sets r^+ and r^- . We will have $|w_i(r^+)| = |r^+|$ and $len(w_i(\alpha_{ij})) < len(\alpha_{ij})$. Then the statement of the lemma follows.

Let

$$r_1^- = \{-\alpha_{km} | -\alpha_{km} \in r^-, i \leq k \leq m \leq j\}.$$

Define a sequence of the Weyl group elements $w_{ik} = w_i w_{i+1} \dots w_k$, $i \leq k \leq j-1$. It is easy to see that $w_{ik}(-\alpha_{st}) \in \Delta^+$ if and only if $i \leq s \leq t = k$.

Suppose that for some k , $i < k \leq j-1$ the set r_1^- does not contain any root, whose second index equals k . Then $w_{ik}(r^-) \subset \Delta^-$ and $w_{ik}(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{i+1, j}$ has length smaller than the length of α_{ij} , implying the lemma in this case.

Suppose the opposite: for every k , $i < k \leq j-1$ the set r_1^- contains at least one root, whose second index equals k . It immediately implies that r_1^- contains a subset $r_2^- \subset r_1^-$ such that the sum of all roots in r_2^- equals $-\alpha_{ij}$. Thus, the set of roots $\{\alpha_{ij}, r_2^-\}$ is central and indecomposable that means that $r^+ = \{\alpha_{ij}\}$ and $r^- = r_2^-$. Lemma is proved. \square

Let us define the following order on the set of roots Δ derived from 2.3:

$$\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad e_{\beta_1} \leq e_{\beta_2}, \quad \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Delta. \quad (2.6)$$

A monomial $X \in \hat{P}_0$ is called *perfect* if either $X = h_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \pi$, or $X = e_{\beta_1} \cdot e_{\beta_2} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{\beta_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the associated list $R(X) = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ is indecomposable and ordered as in 2.6: $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_n$.

Since the set of all indecomposable lists is finite, then the set of all perfect monomials is also finite. Denote by $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \{p_1, \dots, p_q\}$ the set of all perfect monomials of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ and by $\bar{U}_0(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ the subalgebra generated by perfect monomials.

Denote by d_0 the maximal degree of perfect monomials:

$$d_0 = \max_{x \in C(\mathfrak{g})} (deg(x)).$$

We have the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 2.4. $\bar{U}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = U_0(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let us fix some order on the set of perfect monomials $C(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_q, p_i \in C(\mathfrak{g}), i = 1, \dots, q. \quad (2.7)$$

Suppose that a monomial $X = x_1 x_2 \dots x_j$ is a product of perfect monomials, where

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_j, x_1, \dots, x_j \in C(\mathfrak{g}). \quad (2.8)$$

Then the monomial $X = x_1 x_2 \dots x_j$ is called *semiperfect* if for any monomial $Y = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$ with $y_1, \dots, y_n \in C(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $R(X) = R(Y)$ there exists $s < n, j$ such that $x_i = y_i$ for $i < s$ and $x_s < y_s$. It is easy to see that for every monomial $Y \in P_0$ there exists only one semiperfect monomial X such that $R(X) = R(Y)$.

Denote by $S(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of all semiperfect monomials, and set $S^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. The set of semiperfect monomials $S^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a basis of the vector space $U_0^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and the definition of semiperfect monomials there exist one to one correspondence between standard monomials in $U_0^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$ and semiperfect monomials in $U_0^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, every standard monomial in $U_0^{(i)}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be expressed as a linear combination of semiperfect monomials. The statement follows. \square

Therefore, any element $x \in U_0$ can be written as a linear combination, denoted by $\nu(x)$, of semiperfect elements. We will call the process of transformation of x to $\nu(x)$ the *normalization* or conversion into the *normal form*. In particular, if $x \in U_0$ is linear combination of semiperfect monomials then $x = \nu(x)$.

Since the set of semiperfect monomials $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is a basis of the algebra U_0 then we need to determine how to multiply any two semiperfect monomials and express it as a linear combination of elements of $S(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let A be a free associative algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by free indeterminants indexed by perfect monomials

$$A = \{X_a | a \in C(\mathfrak{g})\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Define a degree function *deg* on the set of monomials of A as follows: if $X = X_{a_1} X_{a_2} \dots X_{a_n}$ then $\text{deg}(X) = n$.

Let $\psi : A \rightarrow U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ be an epimorphism of algebras defined as follows:

$$\psi(X_a) = a, a \in C(\mathfrak{g}). \quad (2.10)$$

Denote by K the kernel of ψ - set of relations. The homomorphism ψ induces the natural isomorphism of algebras A/K and U_0 .

Define a linear function ϕ on the set of semiperfect monomials $S(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows:

$$\phi(a_1 \dots a_n) = X_{a_1} \dots X_{a_n}, a_i \in C(\mathfrak{g}), a_1 \dots a_n \in S(\mathfrak{g}). \quad (2.11)$$

By the definition of functions ψ and ϕ the following holds:

$$\psi(\phi(a_1 \dots a_n)) = a_1 \dots a_n, a_i \in C(\mathfrak{g}), a_1 \dots a_n \in S(\mathfrak{g}). \quad (2.12)$$

Define the following linear function on the algebra A : for any $X \in A$ set

$$\tau(X) := \phi(\nu(\psi(X))).$$

Note that ϕ is defined only on linear combinations of semiperfect monomials. Since the result of the normalization ν is a linear combination of semiperfect monomials, the function τ is well defined.

We are ready to state the main result about the Cartan centralizers of the universal enveloping algebras.

Theorem 2.6.

1. The ideal of relations K is generated by the elements $\{XY - \tau(XY) \mid X, Y \in S(\mathfrak{g})\}$.
2. The ideal of relations K is generated by the elements

$$\{x_1 \cdots x_m - \tau(x_1 \cdots x_m) \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in C(\mathfrak{g}), m \leq d_0\}.$$

Proof. First statement follows from fact that the set of semiperfect monomials $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is a basis of the algebra U_0 .

To prove the second statement suppose $X = X_{a_1} X_{a_2} \cdots X_{a_m} \in A$ is an arbitrary monomial in A such that its image $a = \psi(X) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$ in $U_0^{(i)}$ is not normalized. We can transform it to a normal form using only the following set of relations of degrees not exceeding d_0 :

$$L = \{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j - \nu(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j) \mid x_1, \dots, x_j \in C(\mathfrak{g}), j \leq d_0\}.$$

Indeed, let r be the list of roots $R(a)$ and let $r_1 = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in B_1(\Delta)$ be the minimal indecomposable sublist of r .

Denote by $y \in C(\mathfrak{g})$ a perfect monomial such that $R(y) = r_1$. Every $\beta_m \in r_1$, $m = 1, \dots, n$ is an element of the list of roots $\beta_m \in R(a_s)$ of some perfect monomial a_s . Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_t\}$ be a minimal subset of monomials of the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ such that $R(y) \subset R(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_t)$. It is clear that $t \leq d_0$.

Recall that the commutator of any two perfect monomials $y_1, y_2 \in U_0^{(i)}$ is a relation $y_1 y_2 - y_2 y_1 = b$ of degree 2 and $b \in U_0^{(i-1)}$. Using only the commutativity relation we can move all perfect monomials b_1, \dots, b_t containing the roots of the list r_1 to the front. As the result we will get a monomial $b = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m$ such that $a - b \in U_0^{(i-1)}$ and the list of roots of the product of first n perfect monomials contains all roots of r_1 . Since $n \leq d_0$, the list of relations L contains the relation $b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m - \nu(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$. As the list r_1 is the minimal sublist of $R(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$, we get $a = y a' + a''$, where $a', a'' \in U_0^{(i-1)}$. Applying the above algorithm inductively to a' and a'' we will construct $\nu(a)$ using relations of degrees not exceeding d_0 . □

3 Category of Γ -pointed modules

Let Γ be a commutative subalgebra of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \Gamma \subset U_0(\mathfrak{g})$. By $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ we denote the set of all characters of Γ , that is the set of all \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphisms from Γ to \mathbb{C} .

Let M be a Γ -module. For each $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ we set

$$M_\chi = \{v \in M; av = \chi(a)v \forall a \in \Gamma\}, \tag{3.1}$$

and call it the Γ -weight space of M with weight χ . When $M_\chi \neq \{0\}$, we say that χ is a Γ -weight of M and the elements of M_χ are called Γ -weight vectors with weight χ . If a Γ -module M satisfies

$$M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})} M_\chi, \tag{3.2}$$

then we call M a Γ -weight module. The dimension of the vector space $M_\chi \neq 0$ will be called the Γ -multiplicity of χ in M . Module is called Γ -pointed if Γ -multiplicity of any character χ equals 1,

that is Γ separates the basis elements of M . In particular, M is a *tame* module with diagonalizable action of Γ . A weight module M is *torsion free* provided all root vectors of \mathfrak{g} act injectively on M .

In particular, if $\Gamma = U(\mathfrak{h})$ then Γ -weight module is a classical weight module.

Suppose \mathfrak{g} is of type A and Γ is a Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} [5]. Then $\Gamma \subset U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ and every generic Gelfand-Tsetlin \mathfrak{g} -module is Γ -pointed. We refer to [5] for details. Clearly, every finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module is also Γ -pointed. A family of simple Γ -pointed modules in type A was studied in [14].

4 Construction of simple weight A_2 -modules

In this section we consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$. Even though this case is well understood we give some details to illustrate our approach.

4.1 Centralizer of the Cartan subalgebra of A_2

Even though the case of A_2 is known we will give the details of computations to illustrate our method. Let

$$\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_4 = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_5 = -\alpha_2, \alpha_6 = -\alpha_1\}$$

be the root system of \mathfrak{g} .

Fix a Chevalley basis of $sl(3)$:

$$e_{10} = E_{12}, \quad f_{10} = E_{21}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$e_{01} = E_{23}, \quad f_{01} = E_{32}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$e_{11} = E_{13}, \quad f_{11} = E_{31}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$h_{10} = E_{11} - E_{22}, \quad h_{01} = E_{22} - E_{33}. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us define the following order on the elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$h_{01} < h_{10} < f_{01} < f_{10} < f_{11} < e_{11} < e_{10} < e_{01} \quad (4.5)$$

It is easy to show that the following is the set of all indecomposable lists of roots:

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_6\}, \quad \{\alpha_2, \alpha_5\}, \quad \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}, \quad \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4\}, \quad \{\alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}. \quad (4.6)$$

With respect to the order 4.5 and the set of indecomposable lists of roots 4.6 we get the following set of all perfect monomials:

$$h_1 = h_{01}, \quad h_2 = h_{10}, \quad c_1 = f_{01}e_{01}, \quad c_2 = f_{10}e_{10}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$c_3 = f_{11}e_{11}, \quad c_4 = f_{11}e_{10}e_{01}, \quad c_5 = f_{01}f_{10}e_{11} \quad (4.8)$$

Define the order on the set of perfect monomials as follows:

$$h_1 < h_2 < c_1 < c_2 < c_3 < c_4 < c_5. \quad (4.9)$$

Now we give a construction of elements of a basis of $U_0 = U_0(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that any monomial containing both variables c_4 and c_5 is not semiperfect monomial because the monomial $m' = c_1c_2c_3$

has the same associated list of roots as $m = c_4c_5$, but $c_1 < c_4$ with respect to the definition of order 4.9.

Proposition 4.1. The following set of monomials

$$P = \{h_1^{s_1} h_2^{s_2} c_1^{s_3} c_2^{s_4} c_3^{s_5} c_4^{s_6} \mid s_1, \dots, s_6 \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{h_1^{s_1} h_2^{s_2} c_1^{s_3} c_2^{s_4} c_3^{s_5} c_5^{s_6} \mid s_1, \dots, s_6 \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (4.10)$$

is a basis of U_0 .

Proposition 4.2. The set of elements $\hat{K} = \{\hat{X}, \deg(X) \leq 2\}$ is a generating set of the ideal of relations K .

Now we give the list all the relations of degree 2:

$$c_j h_i = h_i c_j, i = 1, 2, j = 1, \dots, 5 \quad (4.11)$$

$$c_2 c_1 = -c_5 + c_4 + c_1 c_2, \quad (4.12)$$

$$c_3 c_1 = c_5 - c_4 + c_1 c_3, \quad (4.13)$$

$$c_4 c_1 = -2c_5 + (2 + h_1)c_4 + c_1 c_4 - c_1 c_3 + c_1 c_2, \quad (4.14)$$

$$c_5 c_1 = -h_1 c_5 + c_1 c_5 + c_1 c_3 - c_1 c_2, \quad (4.15)$$

$$c_3 c_2 = -c_5 + c_4 + c_2 c_3, \quad (4.16)$$

$$c_4 c_2 = h_2 c_3 + h_2 c_4 + c_2 c_4 + c_2 c_3 - c_1 c_2, \quad (4.17)$$

$$c_5 c_2 = -h_2 c_3 - h_2 c_5 + c_2 c_5 - c_2 c_3 + c_1 c_2, \quad (4.18)$$

$$c_4 c_3 = 2c_5 - (h_2 + h_1 + 2)c_4 + c_3 c_4 - h_2 c_3 - c_2 c_3 + c_1 c_3, \quad (4.19)$$

$$c_5 c_3 = (h_2 + h_1)c_5 + c_3 c_5 + h_2 c_3 + c_2 c_3 - c_1 c_3, \quad (4.20)$$

$$c_5 c_4 = -(2h_2 + h_1)c_5 - c_3 c_5 - 2h_2 c_3 + c_2 c_5 - 2c_2 c_3 - c_1 c_5 + c_1 c_2 c_3 + (h_2 + h_1 + 2)c_1 c_2, \quad (4.21)$$

$$c_4 c_5 = -h_1 c_5 - c_3 c_5 + h_1 h_2 c_3 + c_2 c_5 + h_1 c_2 c_3 - c_1 c_5 + h_2 c_1 c_3 + c_1 c_2 c_3. \quad (4.22)$$

The following Casimir elements generate the center of the universal enveloping algebra [9]:

$$z_1 = c_3 + c_2 + c_1 + \frac{1}{3}(h_2^2 + 3h_2 + h_1^2 + 3h_1 + h_2 h_1) \quad (4.23)$$

$$z_2 = c_5 + c_4 + \frac{1}{3}(h_1 - h_2)c_3 - \frac{1}{3}(6 + 2h_1 + h_2)c_2 + \frac{1}{3}(h_1 + 2h_2)c_1 \\ + \frac{1}{27}(-h_2 - 3 + h_1)(6 + 2h_1 + h_2)(h_1 + 2h_2) \quad (4.24)$$

Define the following function F_1 on U_0 : for any element $x \in U_0$ we set:

$$F_1(x) = [c_1, [c_1, x]] - 2(xc_1 + c_1x) - h_1(h_1 + 2)x. \quad (4.25)$$

Let A be any associative algebra defined by some set of generators S and by some set of relation R (as polynomials on generators of S). The set of generators S can be split into three subsets $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, where S_1 is the centre of the algebra A and $S_1 \cup S_2$ generates a commutative subalgebra of A .

Let us define the *width* function on the set of monomials of A as follows:

$$width(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } X \in S_1 \cup S_2, \\ 1 & \text{for } X \in S_3, \\ width(X_1) + width(X_2) & \text{for } X = X_1 X_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

Extend the definition of *width* with respect to the decomposition S to an arbitrary element $X \in A$ as the minimum number n such that X can be written as a linear combination of monomials of widths less or equal to n .

Now we can split the set of relation R into the union of relations as follows: $R = R_0 \cup R_1 \cup \dots$, where $R_i = \{Y \in R | \text{width}(Y) = i\}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Note that one can have many different decompositions depending on a choice of generators S and a choice of a subset S_2 .

Our goal is to find the "best" set of generators S and "best" decomposition such that the cardinality of the set S_3 is minimal.

Using the relations 4.11 - 6.10, we can reduce the number of generators of U_0 : applying formulas 4.12, 4.23 and 6.10 we can exclude c_3, c_4, c_5 from all other relations. As a result we will get a generating set $S = \{h_1, h_2, z_1, z_2, c_1, c_2\}$ of U_0 . We also get the following decompositions of S and R :

Lemma 4.3.

1. The generating set S has the following decomposition: $S_1 = \{h_1, h_2, z_1, z_2\}$, $S_2 = \{c_1\}$, $S_3 = \{c_2\}$.
2. The set of relations has the following decomposition: $R = R_1 \cup R_2$, where R_1 consist of one relation obtained from the relation 4.15, and R_2 consists of two relations obtained from relations 4.18, 4.21.

4.2 Generic torsion free A_2 -modules

Let Γ be the commutative subalgebra of U_0 generated by the elements h_1, h_2, z_1, z_2, c_1 . This is a Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra of $sl(3)$. We give a construction of a family of Γ -pointed modules $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ which depend on five complex parameters with the restriction $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. These are essentially the universal generic Gelfand-Tsetlin modules initially constructed in [5] and [11] using the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis [15].

Fix arbitrary $a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. To simplify formulas we define the following set of indexed variables:

$$h_{ij}^{(1)} = a_1 + 2i - j, \tag{4.27}$$

$$h_{ij}^{(2)} = a_2 - i + 2j, \tag{4.28}$$

$$s_{j,k} = a_3 - j + 2k - 1, \tag{4.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ijk}^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{jk} + h_{ij}^{(1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_3 - 1) + i - j + k, \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ik}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{0k} - h_{i0}^{(1)}), \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-a_1 + a_3 - 1) - i + k, \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_k^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{0k} + \frac{1}{3}(h_{0,0}^{(1)} + 2h_{00}^{(2)})) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3) + k - \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{jk}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{jk} - \frac{1}{3}(h_{0j}^{(1)} + 2h_{0j}^{(2)})) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}(-a_1 - 2a_2 + 3a_3) - j + k - \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

$$Q_{jk}^- = -\mu + T_{j,k-2}^- \xi - T_{jk}^- T_{j,k-1}^- T_{j,k-2}^-, \tag{4.34}$$

$$Q_k^+ = \mu + T_k^+ \xi - T_k^+ T_{k-1}^+ T_{k-2}^+. \tag{4.35}$$

Define an action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on the vector space

$$V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu) = \{\mathbf{v}_{ijk} | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

as follows:

$$h_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = h_{ij}^{(1)} \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$h_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = h_{ij}^{(2)} \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$e_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j,k}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j,k}, \quad (4.39)$$

$$e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = Q_{jk}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k} + \frac{S_{ik}^-}{s_{j+1,k} s_{jk}} \mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k+1}, \quad (4.40)$$

$$f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = Q_k^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k-1} + \frac{S_{i,j,k}^+}{s_{j+1,k} s_{jk}} \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k}. \quad (4.41)$$

Then using formulas 4.36 - 4.41 we can compute the action of other elements of \mathfrak{g} :

$$e_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = -Q_{jk}^- \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+1,k} + \frac{S_{ijk}^+}{s_{j+1,k} s_{jk}} \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+1,k+1}, \quad (4.42)$$

$$f_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = Q_k^+ \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-1,k-1} + \frac{S_{i,k}^-}{s_{j+1,k} s_{jk}} \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-1,k}, \quad (4.43)$$

$$z_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = \xi \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \quad (4.44)$$

$$z_2(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = \mu \mathbf{v}_{ijk}. \quad (4.45)$$

We have the following statement [5]:

Proposition 4.4.

1. The module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ is a torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -module if and only if $a_1, a_2, a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The weights of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ form the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 .
2. The module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ is simple if and only if $S_{ijk}^+, S_{ik}^-, Q_{jk}^-, Q_k^+$ are different from zero for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Simple subquotients of the module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ give all simple generic Gelfand-Tsetlin \mathfrak{g} -modules with finite or infinite weight multiplicities [12]. Moreover, we have

Proposition 4.5. If V' is a simple generic Gelfand-Tsetlin \mathfrak{g} -module, then it is isomorphic to a subquotient of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ for some suitable parameters such that $0 \leq \text{Re } a_1 < 1$, $0 \leq \text{Re } a_2 < 3$, $0 < \text{Re } a_3 < 2$, $a_3 \neq 1$, where $\text{Re } a$ stands for the real part of a .

Let $I = \mathbb{Z}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a weight lattice (lattice of indexes) of the module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$. Let also $F(x, y, z) = b_1 x + b_2 y + b_3 z + b_4$, be a function in variables x, y, z with integer coefficients b_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

The function $F(x, y, z)$ splits the set I into three subsets as follows: $I = I_F^+ \cup I_F^0 \cup I_F^-$, where

$$I_F^+ = \{(i, j, k) | F(i, j, k) > 0, (i, j, k) \in I\}, \quad (4.46)$$

$$I_F^0 = \{(i, j, k) | F(i, j, k) = 0, (i, j, k) \in I\}, \quad (4.47)$$

$$I_F^- = \{(i, j, k) | F(i, j, k) < 0, (i, j, k) \in I\}. \quad (4.48)$$

Let define the set of splitting functions $F_n(i, j, k)$, $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every indexed variable used in formulas 4.27 - 4.33

$$F_1(i, j, k) = h_{ij}^{(1)} - h_{00}^{(1)}, \quad (4.49)$$

$$F_2(i, j, k) = h_{ij}^{(2)} - h_{00}^{(2)}, \quad (4.50)$$

$$F_3(i, j, k) = s_{jk} - s_{00}, \quad (4.51)$$

$$F_4(i, j, k) = S_{ijk}^+ - S_{000}^+, \quad (4.52)$$

$$F_5(i, j, k) = S_{ik}^- - S_{00}^-, \quad (4.53)$$

$$F_6(i, j, k) = T_k^+ - T_0^+, \quad (4.54)$$

$$F_7(i, j, k) = T_{jk}^- - T_{00}^-. \quad (4.55)$$

We will use the above split functions to modify the basis of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$. It will give us different coefficients in the formulas 4.36 - 4.41.

Let us fix some split function $P = F_n(i, j, k) - m$ from the list above and some nonzero numbers $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a new basis v'_{ijk} , $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ as follows:

$$v'_{ijk} = \begin{cases} t_1^{-1} v_{ijk} & \text{for } (i, j, k) \in I_P^+ \cup I_P^0, \\ t_2^{-1} v_{ijk} & \text{for } (i, j, k) \in I_P^-. \end{cases} \quad (4.56)$$

It is easy to see that coefficients in the formulas 4.36 - 4.41 will remain the same if participating basis vectors belong to the same part of splitted sets $I_P^+ \cup I_P^0$ and I_P^- . On the other hand, if, for example, $(i, j, k) \in I_P^+ \cup I_P^0$ and $(i+1, j, k) \in I_P^-$, then the formula 4.38 will become the following for the new basis:

$$e_{01}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \frac{t_2}{t_1} S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}'_{i+1, j, k}. \quad (4.57)$$

Let us consider the case of the split function $P(i, j, k) = F_6(i, j, k) - m$ and $t_1 = Q_m^+$, $t_2 = 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$I_P^+ = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k - m > 0\}, \quad (4.58)$$

$$I_P^0 = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k - m = 0\}, \quad (4.59)$$

$$I_P^- = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k - m < 0\}. \quad (4.60)$$

It is easy to see that in all cases in formulas 4.36 - 4.41 all basis vectors will be in the same part of the splitted set, except in the following two cases: formula 4.40 for $k = m - 1$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and formula 4.41 for $k = m$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The formulas in these two cases in a new basis will be as follows:

$$e_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{i, j, m-1}) = Q_{j, m-1}^- \mathbf{v}'_{i, j+1, m-1} + \frac{Q_m^+ S_{i, m-1}^-}{s_{j+1, m-1} s_{j, m-1}} \mathbf{v}'_{i, j+1, m}, \quad (4.61)$$

$$f_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijm}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i, j-1, m-1} + \frac{S_{i, j, m}^+}{s_{j+1, m} s_{j, m}} \mathbf{v}'_{i, j-1, m}. \quad (4.62)$$

If we apply this algorithm for $P(i, j, k) = F_6(i, j, k) - m$ and $t_1 = Q_m^+$, $t_2 = 1$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, we will get the following formulas

$$e_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = Q_{j, k+1}^- \mathbf{v}'_{i, j+1, k} + \frac{Q_k^+ S_{i, k}^-}{s_{j+1, k} s_{j, k}} \mathbf{v}'_{i, j+1, k}, \quad (4.63)$$

$$f_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i,j-1,k-1} + \frac{S_{ijk}^+}{s_{j+1,k}s_{j,k}} \mathbf{v}'_{i,j-1,k}. \quad (4.64)$$

Let now $P(i, j, k) = F_7(i, j, k) - m$ and $t_1 = Q_{j,j+m}^-$, $t_2 = 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$I_P^+ = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, -j + k - m > 0\} \quad (4.65)$$

$$I_P^0 = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, -j + k - m = 0\} \quad (4.66)$$

$$I_P^- = \{(i, j, k) | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, -j + k - m < 0\}. \quad (4.67)$$

Note that $Q_{j,k}^- = Q_{j+n,k+n}^-$ for all $j, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then formulas 4.38 in the new basis will be as follows:

$$e_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{i,j,j+m+1}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i,j+1,j+m+1} + \frac{S_{i,j+m+1}^-}{s_{j+1,j+m+1}s_{j,j+m+1}} \mathbf{v}'_{i,j+1,j+m+2}, \quad (4.68)$$

$$f_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{i,j,j+m}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i,j-1,j+m-1} + \frac{S_{i,j,j+m}^- Q_{j,j+m+1}^-}{s_{j+1,j+m}s_{j,j+m}} \mathbf{v}'_{i,j-1,j+m}. \quad (4.69)$$

Finally, applying the change of basis algorithms for functions F_6, F_7 for $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ we will get the modified formulas 4.36 - 4.45

$$h_{01}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = h_{ij}^{(1)} \mathbf{v}'_{ijk}, \quad (4.70)$$

$$h_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = h_{ij}^{(2)} \mathbf{v}'_{ijk}, \quad (4.71)$$

$$e_{01}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}'_{i+1,j,k}, \quad (4.72)$$

$$f_{01}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}'_{i-1,j,k}, \quad (4.73)$$

$$e_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i,j+1,k} + \frac{Q_{k+1}^+ S_{ik}^-}{s_{j+1,k}s_{j,k}} \mathbf{v}'_{i,j+1,k+1}, \quad (4.74)$$

$$f_{10}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i,j-1,k-1} + \frac{Q_{j,k+1}^- S_{ijk}^+}{s_{j+1,k}s_{j,k}} \mathbf{v}'_{i,j-1,k}. \quad (4.75)$$

$$e_{11}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = -\mathbf{v}'_{i+1,j+1,k} + \frac{Q_{k+1}^+ S_{ijk}^+}{s_{j+1,k}s_{j,k}} \mathbf{v}'_{i+1,j+1,k+1}, \quad (4.76)$$

$$f_{11}(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \mathbf{v}'_{i-1,j-1,k-1} + \frac{Q_{j,k+1}^- S_{i,k}^-}{s_{j+1,k}s_{j,k}} \mathbf{v}'_{i-1,j-1,k}, \quad (4.77)$$

$$z_1(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \xi \mathbf{v}'_{ijk}, \quad (4.78)$$

$$z_2(\mathbf{v}'_{ijk}) = \mu \mathbf{v}'_{ijk}. \quad (4.79)$$

4.3 Subquotients of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$

As we saw in the previous section, the Γ -pointed module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ is simple whenever $S_{ijk}^+, S_{ik}^-, Q_{jk}^-, Q_k^+$ are nonzero for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider now what happens when one or more coefficients are equal to zero. We have the following cases.

Case 1. Assume that $a_1 + a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $a_1 - a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ (equivalently, S_{ijk}^+ or S_{ik}^- equal to zero for some indices i, j, k).

Suppose that $S_{i_0,j_0,k_0}^+ = 0$ for some $i_0, j_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m_0 = F_4(i_0, j_0, k_0)$. Then it easy to see that for any $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $F_4(i, j, k) = 0$ holds $S_{ijk}^+ = 0$. Consider the following function $F(i, j, k) = F_4(i, j, k) - m_0$ on the set I . It splits the set I into three parts $I = I_F^+ \cup I_F^0 \cup I_F^-$ as

above. Then we have

Proposition 4.6. The subspace $V' = \{\mathbf{v}_{ijk} | (i, j, k) \in I_F^-\} \subset V$ is a submodule of V .

Similar is true if $S_{i_0, k_0}^- = 0$ for some $i_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case 2. $Q_k^+ = 0$ or $Q_{jk}^- = 0$ for some j and k .

Suppose that $Q_{k_0}^+ = 0$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ (respectively, $Q_{j_0, k_0}^- = 0$ for some $j_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$). Set $m_0 = F_6(i, j, k_0)$ (respectively, $m_0 = F_6(i, j_0, k_0)$) and consider the function $F(ijk) = F_6(ijk) - m_0$ on the set I with the corresponding splitting $I = I_F^+ \cup I_F^0 \cup I_F^-$.

Proposition 4.7. The subspace $V' = \{\mathbf{v}_{ijk} | (i, j, k) \in I_F^+\} \subset V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$ is a submodule of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)$. If none of S_{ijk}^+ or S_{ik}^- equals zero for all choices of indices, then V' and $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi, \mu)/V'$ are simple torsion free modules.

5 Construction of simple weight C_2 -modules

In this section we use the technique developed in the previous section to construct simple Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for the Lie algebra of type C_2 .

5.1 The centralizer for C_2

Consider the root system for C_2 :

$$\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_4 = 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_5 = -\alpha_4, \alpha_6 = -\alpha_3, \alpha_7 = -\alpha_2, \alpha_8 = -\alpha_1\}.$$

Let us fix the Chevalley basis of C_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} e_{10} &= E_{12} - E_{43}, & f_{10} &= E_{21} - E_{34}, \\ e_{01} &= E_{31}, & f_{01} &= E_{13}, \\ h_{10} &= [e_{10}, f_{10}] = (E_{11} - E_{22} - E_{33} + E_{44}), & h_{01} &= [e_{01}, f_{01}] = -E_{11} + E_{33}, \\ e_{11} &= [e_{10}, e_{01}] = -E_{32} - E_{41}, & e_{21} &= [e_{10}, e_{11}] = 2E_{42}, \\ f_{11} &= [f_{01}, f_{10}] = -E_{14} - E_{23}, & f_{21} &= [f_{11}, f_{10}] = 2E_{24} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

with the following order:

$$h_{10} < h_{01} < f_{10} < f_{01} < f_{11} < f_{21} < e_{21} < e_{11} < e_{01} < e_{10} \quad (5.2)$$

It is easy to show that the following is a complete set of all indecomposable lists of roots:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha_1, \alpha_8\}, & \quad \{\alpha_2, \alpha_7\}, & \quad \{\alpha_3, \alpha_6\}, & \quad \{\alpha_4, \alpha_5\}, \\ \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_6\}, & \quad \{\alpha_3, \alpha_7, \alpha_8\}, & \quad \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5\}, & \quad \{\alpha_4, \alpha_6, \alpha_8\}, \\ \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_5\}, & \quad \{\alpha_4, \alpha_7, \alpha_8, \alpha_8\}, & \quad \{\alpha_3, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_7\}, & \quad \{\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_6, \alpha_6\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Let us define the generating elements of the algebra $U_0 = U_0(C_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= h_{01}, & h_2 &= h_{10}, & c_1 &= f_{01}e_{01}, \\ c_2 &= f_{21}e_{21}, & c_3 &= f_{10}e_{10}, & c_4 &= f_{11}e_{11}, \\ c_5 &= f_{11}e_{01}e_{10}, & c_6 &= f_{10}f_{01}e_{11}, & c_7 &= f_{21}e_{11}e_{10}, \\ c_8 &= f_{10}f_{11}e_{21}, & c_9 &= f_{21}e_{01}e_{10}e_{10}, & c_{10} &= f_{10}f_{10}f_{01}e_{21}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$c_{11} = f_{01}f_{21}e_{11}e_{11}, \quad c_{12} = f_{11}f_{11}e_{21}e_{01}.$$

The order on the set of perfect monomials is as follows:

$$h_1 < h_2 < c_1 < c_2 < c_3 < c_4 < c_5 < c_6 < c_7 < c_8 < c_9 < c_{10} < c_{11} < c_{12}. \quad (5.5)$$

A direct computation gives the following basis of U_0 .

Lemma 5.1. 1. The following set of monomials

$$P = \{h_1^{s_1} h_2^{s_2} c_1^{s_3} c_2^{s_4} c_3^{s_5} c_4^{s_6} c_m^{s_m} c_n^{s_n} \mid s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6, s_m, s_n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ (m, n) \in \{(5, 9), (5, 12), (6, 10), (6, 11), (7, 9), (7, 11), (8, 10), (8, 12)\}\} \quad (5.6)$$

is a basis of the vector space U_0 .

Proof. Suppose that X is a semiperfect monomial. Then it can not contain certain pairs of perfect elements. For example, X can not contain both c_5 and c_6 , because $L(c_5c_6) = L(c_1c_3c_4)$ and $c_1c_3c_4 < c_5c_6$.

Direct calculation shows that the only possible combinations of perfect monomials in a semiperfect monomial are given above. For example, $h_1^{s_1} h_2^{s_2} c_1^{s_3} c_2^{s_4} c_3^{s_5} c_4^{s_6} c_5^{s_7} c_9^{s_8}$ and $h_1^{s_1} h_2^{s_2} c_1^{s_3} c_2^{s_4} c_3^{s_5} c_4^{s_6} c_8^{s_7} c_{12}^{s_8}$ are semiperfect. \square

Lemma 5.2. The set of elements $\hat{K} = \{\hat{X} \mid \deg(X) \leq 2\}$ is generating set of ideal of relation K .

Proof. Recall the following relation of degree two $c_i c_j = \nu(c_i c_j)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, 12$. One can easily see that $\nu(X_1 X_2)$ can be computed using only relations of degree 2 for any semiperfect monomials X_1, X_2 . For example, consider $X_1 = c_5 c_9$ and $X_2 = c_8 c_{12}$. Then the element $Y = c_2 c_3 c_5 c_5 c_{12}$ is semiperfect and $L(X_1 X_2) = L(Y)$, moreover $L(c_8 c_9) = L(c_2 c_3 c_5)$. \square

Denote $h_3 = h_1 + h_2$ and let $U'_0 = U_0[h_1^{-1}, h_3^{-1}]$. It will be convenient for us to work with U'_0 .

The list of all relation is rather big, so we will give only those of them that are used in our calculations. The following list of relations is used to replace the elements c_{12}, \dots, c_5 via $c_4, c_3, c_2, c_1, h_1, h_3, z_1$ in U'_0 :

$$c_{12} = -c_{10} - c_2 - 2c_8 + [c_1, c_8], \quad (5.7)$$

$$c_{11} = -c_9 - 2c_7 + c_2 - [c_1, c_7], \quad (5.8)$$

$$c_{10} = c_9 - c_8 + c_7 + \frac{1}{2}[c_5, c_2] + [c_1, c_8] \quad (5.9)$$

$$c_9 = \frac{1}{2h_1}([c_1, c_1, c_7] - 2c_1c_2 - 2c_7c_1 - 2c_1c_7) + \frac{1}{2}[c_7, c_1] - 2c_7 - c_2, \quad (5.10)$$

$$c_8 = c_7 + \frac{1}{2}[c_2, c_3] \quad (5.11)$$

$$c_7 = \frac{1}{16h_3}(-[c_2, c_2, c_3] + 8c_3c_2 - 8c_2c_4 - 8h_1c_2) + \frac{1}{4}[c_3, c_2] - \frac{1}{2}c_2, \quad (5.12)$$

$$c_6 = c_5 + [c_3, c_1] \quad (5.13)$$

$$c_5 = -c_4 + c_1c_3 + \frac{1}{2h_1}(-[c_1, c_1, c_3] - c_3c_1(h_1 - 2) - 2c_1c_4). \quad (5.14)$$

The following relations are used to find relations between the elements $c_4, c_3, c_2, c_1, h_1, h_3, z_1$.

$$c_5c_1 = -c_6 + (h_1 + 1)c_5 + h_1c_4 + c_1c_5 + c_1c_4 - c_1c_3 \quad (5.15)$$

$$c_7c_2 = -4h_3c_7 + c_2c_7 - 2c_2c_4 + 2c_2c_3 + (-2h_3 - 2h_1)c_2 \quad (5.16)$$

$$c_5c_3 = c_9 + c_8 - 2c_6 + (h_3 - h_1)c_5 + c_3c_5 - c_3c_4 + 2c_1c_3 \quad (5.17)$$

$$c_7c_3 = -2c_9 - 2c_8 + (h_3 - h_1)c_7 + 4c_6 + c_3c_7 + 2c_3c_4 - c_2c_3 \quad (5.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_6c_5 &= c_{10} + 2c_8 - c_7 + (h_3 - h_1 - 2)c_6 - c_4c_5 \\ &\quad - c_3c_6 - 2c_3c_4 - c_1c_8 + 2c_1c_6 + c_1c_3c_4 + (h_3 + h_1 + 2)c_1c_3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Theorem 5.3. The ideal of relations R between the generators of U_0 is generated by polynomials of degree 2.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 5.2. □

Now we give a formula of two generators of the center of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

$$\text{Casimir 1: } z_1 = 4c_1 + c_2 + 2c_3 + 2c_4 + 2h_1^2 + 2h_3^2 + 2h_1 + 4h_3,$$

Casimir 2:

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 &= 2c_{12} + 2c_{11} - 2c_{10} - 2c_9 + (2h_1 + 1)c_8 + (2h_1 - 1)c_7 \\ &\quad + (4h_3 + 6)c_6 + (4h_3 + 10)c_5 - c_4^2 + (-2h_1h_3 - 4h_1 + 2h_3 + 6)c_4 - 2c_3c_4 \\ &\quad - c_3^2 + (2h_1h_3 + 4h_1 + 2h_3 + 6)c_3 - (h_1 - 1)(h_1 + 1)c_2 - 4c_1c_2 \\ &\quad - 4(h_2 + 3)(h_2 + 1)c_1 - h_1(h_3 + 3)(h_3 + 1)(h_1 + 2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

As in type A case, our goal is to find the "best" choice of a generating set S of U_0 , such that the cardinality of the set S_3 is minimal.

Lemma 5.4.

1. The set $S = \{h_1, h_2, z_1, z_2, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ is a generating set of the centralizer U'_0 with the following decomposition: $S_1 = \{h_1, h_2, z_1, z_2\}$, $S_2 = \{c_1, c_2\}$, $S_3 = \{c_3\}$.
2. The decomposition of the set of relations is the following: $R = R_1 \cup R_2$, where R_1 consists of two relations obtained from the relations 5.15, 5.16, and R_2 consists of three relations obtained from relations 5.17, 5.18 and 5.19.

Proof. Using the relations 5.7 - 5.14 and 6.4 we can exclude the generators c_{12}, \dots, c_4 from all other relations. As a result we will get the generating set $S = \{h_1, h_2, z_1, c_1, c_2, c_3\}$. The rest can be verified by direct computations. □

Remark. We can not claim that S is a generating set of U_0 . Nevertheless, any U_0 -module M with a nonzero scalar action of h_1 is a U'_0 -module.

5.2 Construction of torsion free C_2 -modules

Let Γ be the commutative subalgebra of $U_0(C_2)$ generated by the elements h_1, h_2, z_1, z_2, c_1 . We give a construction of two families of Γ -pointed modules which depend on 4 complex parameters. We call these representations Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for C_2 .

Fix arbitrary complex a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and ξ and define the following set of indexed variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{ij}^{(1)} &= a_1 + 2i - j, \\
h_{ij}^{(2)} &= a_2 - 2i + 2j, \\
s_{jk} &= a_3 - j + 2k - 1, \\
t_{jk} &= a_4 - j + 2k - 1, \\
Q_{jk} &= \frac{\xi + 4}{2s_{jk}s_{j+1,k}} - 1 \\
S_{ijk}^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{jk} + h_{ij}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_3 + 2i - 2j + 2k - 1), \\
S_{ik}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{0k} - h_{i0}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{2}(-a_1 + a_3 - 2i + 2k - 1), \\
T_k^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(t_{0k} + h_{00}^{(1)} + h_{00}^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + 2k - 1), \\
T_{jk}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(t_{jk} - h_{0j}^{(1)} - h_{0j}^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}(-a_1 - a_2 + a_4 - 2j + 2k - 1),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider the vector space $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi) = \{\mathbf{v}_{ijk} | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and define the action of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{ij}^{(1)} \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
h_2(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{ij}^{(2)} \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
e_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j,k}, \\
f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j,k}, \\
e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k+1} + Q_{j+1,k} T_{j+1,k}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k}, \\
f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k-1} + Q_{j-1,k-1} T_{k-1}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Using these formulas we can compute the action of other elements of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= -S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+1,k+1} + T_{j+1,k}^- Q_{j+1,k} \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+1,k}, \\
f_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_i^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-1,k} - T_{k-1}^+ Q_{j-1,k-1} \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-1,k-1}, \\
e_{21}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= 2T_{j+1,k}^- \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+2,k+1}, \\
f_{21}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= 2T_{k-1}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-2,k-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Lemma 5.5. Subalgebra Γ has a simple spectrum on $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ and hence, separates the basis elements \mathbf{v}_{ijk} if and only if $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We need to show that Γ acts with different characters on basis elements $\mathbf{v}_{i,j,k}$. It is sufficient to consider vectors from the same weight space. Suppose

$$h_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = (a_1 + 2i - j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk} = \lambda \mathbf{v}_{ijk},$$

$$h_2(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = (a_2 - 2i + 2j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk} = \mu \mathbf{v}_{ijk},$$

for some fixed λ and μ . Then i and j are uniquely determined: $j = \lambda + \mu - a_1 - a_2$ and $i = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + j - a_1)$. Hence, basis elements of this weight subspace differ by the third index. Consider \mathbf{v}_{ijk_1} and \mathbf{v}_{ijk_2} for arbitrary integer i, j, k_1, k_2 . We have

$$e_{01}f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = S_{ik}^- S_{i-1,j,k}^+ (\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = \frac{1}{4}(-a_1 + a_3 - 2i + 2k - 1)(a_1 + a_3 + 2i - 2j + 2k - 3) =$$

$$= 4k^2 + 4(a_3 - j - 2)k + (-a_1 + a_3 - 2i - 1)(a_1 + a_3 + 2i - 2j - 3).$$

Suppose that c_1 has the same value on \mathbf{v}_{ijk_1} and \mathbf{v}_{ijk_2} . Then $4k_1^2 + 4(a_3 - j - 2)k_1 = 4k_2^2 + 4(a_3 - j - 2)k_2$ and $k_1 + k_2 = -(a_3 - j - 2)$, which implies the statement. \square

Denote $V_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi) = V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_3, \xi)$ and $V_2(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, -4)$.

Theorem 5.6. Let $V = V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$. Then

1. V is a \mathfrak{g} -module if and only if $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $V = V_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi)$ or $V = V_2(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$.
2. The \mathfrak{g} -module V is a torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -module if and only if $S_{ijk}^+ \neq 0$, $S_{ik}^- \neq 0$, $T_{jk}^- \neq 0$ and $T_k^+ \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
3. The torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -module V is simple if and only if $Q_{jk} \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
4. If V' is a simple torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -module with a basis parametrized by the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and with separating action of Γ on basis elements, then $V' \simeq V(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3, a'_4, \xi')$ for some suitable choice of parameters such that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a'_1 < 1$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a'_2 < 2$, $0 < \operatorname{Re} a'_3 < 1$, $0 < \operatorname{Re} a'_4 < 1$, where $\operatorname{Re} a$ denotes the real part of a .
5. The action of the Casimir elements in the case $a_3 = a_4$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= \xi \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\ z_2(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= -\frac{1}{4} \xi (\xi + 4) \mathbf{v}_{ijk} \end{aligned}$$

and in the case $a_3 \neq a_4$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= ((a_3 - a_4)^2 - 4) \mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\ z_2(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that formulas 5.21, 5.22 and 5.23 are well defined if and only if $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The statement that V is a \mathfrak{g} -module follows by checking all defining relations of the Lie algebra. Then the relations 5.15-5.19 give only two alternatives $V_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, \xi)$ and $V_2(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$.

It follows immediately from the formulas of the action of C_2 that $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ is a torsion free module if and only if $S_{i,j,k}^+ \neq 0$, $S_{i,k}^- \neq 0$, $T_{j,k}^- \neq 0$ and $T_k^+ \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that Γ has a simple spectrum on $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ and hence, the action of Γ separates the basis elements by Lemma 5.5. In particular, $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ is Γ -pointed. This implies the second statement.

Using the separating action of Γ on basis elements, it is easy to see that conditions $Q_{jk} \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are necessary and sufficient to guarantee that any element of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ generates the whole module, which is equivalent to the simplicity of the module. This implies the third statement.

Let V' be a simple torsion free Γ -pointed G_2 -module with a basis $\{v'_{ijk}, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that Γ acts by different characters on the basis elements v'_{ijk} . Fix one basis element v'_{ijk} and apply the generators of the centralizer $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$. One can see directly from the action that $U_0(\mathfrak{g})v'_{ijk}$ will be equal to the whole weight space of V' , which v'_{ijk} belongs to. Moreover, the action of the generators of Γ will determine uniquely the corresponding parameters a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, ξ . Hence, we get a nonzero homomorphism θ of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules $U_0(\mathfrak{g})v_{ijk}$ and $U_0(\mathfrak{g})v'_{ijk}$: $\theta(v_{ijk}) = v'_{ijk}$. Moreover, $U_0(\mathfrak{g})v'_{ijk}$ is a simple $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ -module as V' is simple. Hence, θ is surjective. It extends to a surjective homomorphism from $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ to V' . Comparing the bases of both modules we conclude the isomorphism $V' \simeq V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$. This proves the fourth statement.

The last statement follows by direct computation. \square

Hence, Theorem 5.6 provides two 4-parameter families of simple torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -modules. If $V(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \xi)$ is a torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -module which is not simple, then all its simple subquotients are torsion free Γ -pointed modules. They exhaust all *generic* simple torsion free Γ -pointed \mathfrak{g} -modules, which are analogs of generic simple Gelfand-Tsetlin modules in type A.

6 Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for G_2

In this section we extend the results of previous sections to the of the Lie algebra of type G_2 .

6.1 Construction of Centralizer of G_2

Let us fix the Chevalley basis of G_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{01} &= E_{31} + E_{64} & f_{01} &= E_{13} + E_{46} \\
e_{10} &= 2E_{17} + E_{23} - E_{45} - E_{76} & f_{10} &= E_{32} - E_{54} - 2E_{67} + E_{71} \\
e_{11} &= [e_{01}, e_{10}] = -E_{21} + 2E_{37} - E_{65} + E_{74} & f_{11} &= [f_{10}, f_{01}] = -E_{12} + 2E_{47} - E_{56} + E_{73} \\
e_{21} &= [e_{10}, e_{11}] = E_{14} + 2E_{27} + E_{36} + E_{75} & f_{21} &= [f_{11}, f_{10}] = E_{41} + 2E_{57} + E_{63} + E_{72} \\
e_{31} &= [e_{10}, e_{21}] = E_{15} + E_{26} & f_{31} &= [f_{21}, f_{10}] = E_{51} + E_{62} \\
e_{32} &= [f_{31}, f_{01}] = -E_{24} + E_{35} & f_{32} &= [e_{01}, e_{31}] = -E_{42} + E_{53} \\
h_{01} &= [e_{01}, f_{01}] = -E_{11} + E_{33} - E_{44} + E_{66} & h_{10} &= [e_{10}, f_{10}] = 2E_{11} + E_{22} - E_{33} + E_{44} - E_{55} - 2E_{66} \\
h_{31} &= [e_{31}, f_{31}] = E_{11} + E_{22} - E_{55} - E_{66} & h_{21} &= [e_{21}, f_{21}] = E_{11} + 2E_{22} + E_{33} - E_{44} - 2E_{55} - E_{66}
\end{aligned}$$

Define the root system for G_2 (for convenience will use notation $\beta_{i,j}$ for $\alpha_{-i,-j}$):

$$\Delta = \{\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \beta_{32}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{01}\},$$

with respect to the basis $\pi = \{\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{10}\}$, where $\alpha_{ij} = i\alpha_{10} + j\alpha_{01}$. Consider the following order on the elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$h_{01} < h_{10} < f_{01} < f_{10} < f_{11} < f_{21} < f_{31} < f_{32} < e_{32} < e_{31} < e_{21} < e_{11} < e_{10} < e_{01}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 we get a description of all indecomposable lists of roots of Lie algebra G_2 .

Lemma 6.1. The following is a complete list of all indecomposable sets of roots:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\beta_{01}, \alpha_{01}), & &(\beta_{10}, \alpha_{10}), \\
&(\beta_{11}, \alpha_{11}), & &(\beta_{11}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{01}), \\
&(\beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \alpha_{11}), & &(\beta_{21}, \alpha_{21}), \\
&(\beta_{21}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{10}), & &(\beta_{10}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{21}), \\
&(\beta_{21}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{01}), & &(\beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \alpha_{21}), \\
&(\beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{01}), & &(\beta_{01}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}), \\
&(\beta_{31}, \alpha_{31}), & &(\beta_{31}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{10}), \\
&(\beta_{10}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{31}), & &(\beta_{31}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}), \\
&(\beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{1,1}, \alpha_{31}), & &(\beta_{31}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{01}), \\
&(\beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \alpha_{31}), & &(\beta_{32}, \alpha_{32}), \\
&(\beta_{3,2}, \alpha_{3,1}, \alpha_{01}), & &(\beta_{32}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{11}), \\
&(\beta_{01}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{32}), & &(\beta_{11}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{32}), \\
&(\beta_{32}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{01}), & &(\beta_{1,1}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{01}), \\
&(\beta_{32}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{1,0}), & &(\beta_{01}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{11}), \\
&(\beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{32}), & &(\beta_{10}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{1,1}, \alpha_{32}), \\
&(\beta_{32}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{01}), & &(\beta_{10}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{01}), \\
&(\beta_{01}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{10}), & &(\beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{32}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\beta_{32}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{01}, \alpha_{01}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \alpha_{32}), \\
& (\beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{01}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}), \\
& (\beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{01}, \alpha_{01}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{01}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}), \\
& (\beta_{11}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{10}), & (\beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{10}), \\
& (\beta_{10}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{11}), & (\beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{11}), \\
& (\beta_{10}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}), & (\beta_{11}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}), \\
& (\beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{10}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}), \\
& (\beta_{11}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{01}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{11}), \\
& (\beta_{21}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}), & (\beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{21}), \\
& (\beta_{21}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}), & (\beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{21}), \\
& (\beta_{31}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}, \alpha_{10}), & (\beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{31}), \\
& (\beta_{31}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}), & (\beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{31}), \\
& (\beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{01}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{31}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}), \\
& (\beta_{32}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{01}), & (\beta_{32}, \beta_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{11}), \\
& (\beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{31}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{32}), & (\beta_{01}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{21}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{32}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Using Lemma 6.1 and the order 6.1 we obtain the description of perfect monomials.

Lemma 6.2. We have the following set of all perfect monomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= h_{01}, & h_2 &= h_{21}, & , \\
c_1 &= f_{01}e_{01}, & c_2 &= f_{10}e_{10}, & c_3 &= f_{11}e_{11}, \\
c_4 &= f_{11}e_{10}e_{01}, & c_5 &= f_{01}f_{10}e_{11}, & c_6 &= f_{21}e_{21}, \\
c_7 &= f_{21}e_{11}e_{10}, & c_8 &= f_{10}f_{11}e_{21}, & c_9 &= f_{21}e_{10}^2e_{01}, \\
c_{10} &= f_{01}f_{10}^2e_{21}, & c_{11} &= f_{11}^2e_{21}e_{01}, & c_{12} &= f_{01}f_{21}e_{11}^2, \\
c_{13} &= f_{31}e_{31}, & c_{14} &= f_{31}e_{21}e_{10}, & c_{15} &= f_{10}f_{21}e_{31}, \\
c_{16} &= f_{31}e_{11}e_{10}^2, & c_{17} &= f_{10}^2f_{11}e_{31}, & c_{18} &= f_{31}e_{10}^3e_{01}, \\
c_{19} &= f_{01}f_{10}^3e_{31}, & c_{20} &= f_{32}e_{32}, & c_{21} &= f_{32}e_{31}e_{01}, \\
c_{22} &= f_{32}e_{21}e_{11}, & c_{23} &= f_{01}f_{31}e_{32}, & c_{24} &= f_{11}f_{21}e_{32}, \\
c_{25} &= f_{32}e_{21}e_{10}e_{01}, & c_{26} &= f_{11}f_{21}e_{31}e_{01}, & c_{27} &= f_{32}e_{11}^2e_{10}, \\
c_{28} &= f_{01}f_{31}e_{21}e_{11}, & c_{29} &= f_{01}f_{10}f_{21}e_{32}, & c_{30} &= f_{10}f_{11}^2e_{32}, \\
c_{31} &= f_{32}e_{11}e_{10}^2e_{01}, & c_{32} &= f_{10}f_{11}^2e_{31}e_{01}, & c_{33} &= f_{01}f_{31}e_{11}^2e_{10}, \\
c_{34} &= f_{01}f_{10}^2f_{11}e_{32}, & c_{35} &= f_{32}e_{10}^3e_{01}^2, & c_{36} &= f_{01}^2f_{10}^3e_{32}, \\
c_{37} &= f_{11}^3e_{32}e_{01}, & c_{38} &= f_{01}f_{32}e_{11}^3, & c_{39} &= f_{11}^3e_{31}e_{01}^2, \\
c_{40} &= f_{01}^2f_{31}e_{11}^3, & c_{41} &= f_{11}f_{31}e_{32}e_{10}, & c_{42} &= f_{21}^2e_{32}e_{10}, \\
c_{43} &= f_{10}f_{32}e_{31}e_{11}, & c_{44} &= f_{21}^2e_{31}e_{11}, & c_{45} &= f_{10}f_{32}e_{21}^2, \\
c_{46} &= f_{11}f_{31}e_{21}^2, & c_{47} &= f_{21}^2e_{31}e_{10}e_{01}, & c_{48} &= f_{01}f_{10}f_{31}e_{21}^2, \\
c_{49} &= f_{11}f_{32}e_{21}^2e_{01}, & c_{50} &= f_{01}f_{21}^2e_{32}e_{11}, & c_{51} &= f_{21}f_{31}e_{32}e_{10}^2, \\
c_{52} &= f_{10}^2f_{32}e_{31}e_{21}, & c_{53} &= f_{21}f_{32}e_{31}e_{11}^2, & c_{54} &= f_{11}^2f_{31}e_{32}e_{21}, \\
c_{55} &= f_{31}^2e_{32}e_{10}^3, & c_{56} &= f_{10}^3f_{32}e_{31}^2, & c_{57} &= f_{31}f_{32}e_{21}^3, \\
c_{58} &= f_{2,1}^3e_{32}e_{31}, & c_{59} &= f_{21}^3e_{31}^2e_{01}, & c_{60} &= f_{01}f_{31}^2e_{21}^3, \\
c_{61} &= f_{32}^2e_{21}^3e_{01}, & c_{62} &= f_{32}^2e_{31}e_{11}^3, & c_{63} &= f_{11}^3f_{31}e_{32}^2, \\
c_{64} &= f_{01}f_{21}^3e_{32}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Let us define the order on the set of perfect monomials as follows:

$$h_1 < h_2 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{63} < c_{64}. \quad (6.3)$$

Theorem 6.3. The centralizer subalgebra $U_0(G_2)$ is generated by a finite set of monomials $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \{h_1, h_2, c_1, \dots, c_{64}\}$, with a finite number of relations $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$, where r_i is a polynomial in $h_1, h_2, c_1, \dots, c_{64}$ of degree less or equal 4.

We will use the following quadratic Casimir element of $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$z_1 = 3c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_6 + 3c_{13} + 3c_{20} + h_{01}^2 + h_{01}h_{10} + h_{10}^2 + 4h_{01} + 5h_{10}. \quad (6.4)$$

Tedious computations show that using the relations the elements c_{64}, \dots, c_5 can be written in terms of the elements $c_4, c_3, c_2, c_1, h_1, h_2, z_1$. For example

$$c_{64} = -c_{59} + [c_1, c_{58}], \quad (6.5)$$

$$c_{63} = -4c_{41}h_1 - 2c_{41}h_{10} + 2c_{46}h_1 + c_{46}h_{1,0} - 4c_{54}h_1 - 2c_{54}h_{1,0} - c_{54}c_3 + c_3c_{54} - c_3c_{46} \quad (6.6)$$

$$+ 2c_3c_{41} - 4c_{41} + 2c_{46} + 4c_{54}. \quad (6.7)$$

The Lie algebra G_2 contains the subalgebra of type A_2 generated by the following elements $h_{01}, h_{31}, e_{01}, f_{01}, e_{31}, f_{31}, e_{32}, f_{32}$. Denote this Lie subalgebra by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. We will be using the results from Sections 3.1, 3.2 just adding "hat" for all generators and to all formulas. Consider a natural embedding of the algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ into \mathfrak{g} :

$$\nu : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\hat{h}_{01}) &= h_{01}, & \nu(\hat{h}_{10}) &= h_{31}, \\ \nu(\hat{e}_{01}) &= e_{01}, & \nu(\hat{f}_{01}) &= f_{01}, \\ \nu(\hat{e}_{10}) &= e_{31}, & \nu(\hat{f}_{10}) &= f_{31}, \\ \nu(\hat{e}_{11}) &= e_{32}, & \nu(\hat{f}_{11}) &= f_{32}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

and extend ν to the embedding of the universal enveloping algebras.

The following elements are the images of the Casimir elements $z_1, z_2 \in U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1)$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$Z_1 = \nu(\hat{z}_1) = c_{20} + c_{13} + c_1 + \frac{1}{3}(h_{31}^2 + 3h_{31} + h_{01}^2 + 3h_{01} + h_{31}h_{01}) \quad (6.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 = \nu(\hat{z}_2) &= c_{23} + c_{21} + \frac{1}{3}(h_{01} - h_{31})c_{20} - \frac{1}{3}(6 + 2h_{01} + h_{31})c_{13} + \frac{1}{3}(h_{01} + 2h_{31})c_1 \\ &+ \frac{1}{27}(-h_{31} - 3 + h_{01})(6 + 2h_{01} + h_{31})(h_{01} + 2h_{31}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

6.2 Torsion free G_2 -modules

Let Γ be commutative subalgebra of $U_0(G_2)$ generated by elements h_1, h_2, z_1, c_1 . This our Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra for G_2 . We will give a construction of a 3-parameter family of Γ -pointed modules with separating action of Γ on basis elements.

Fix $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Define the following set of indexed variables:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{01}(i, j) &= a_1 + 2i - j, \\ h_{21}(i, j) &= a_2 + j, \\ h_{10}(i, j) &= \frac{1}{2}(h_{21}(i, j) - 3h_{01}(i, j)) = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - 3a_1) - 3i + 2j, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11}(i, j) &= \frac{1}{2}(h_{21}(i, j) + 3h_{01}(i, j)) = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 + 3a_1) + 3i - j, \\
h_{31}(i, j) &= \frac{1}{2}(h_{21}(i, j) - h_{01}(i, j)) = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - a_1) - i + j, \\
h_{32}(i, j) &= \frac{1}{2}(h_{21}(i, j) + h_{01}(i, j)) = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 + a_1) + i, \\
s_{jk} &= a_3 - j + 2k - 1, \\
S_{ijk}^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{jk} + h_{01}(i, j)) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_3 + 2i - 2j + 2k - 1), \\
S_{ik}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{0k} - h_{01}(i, 0)) = \frac{1}{2}(-a_1 + a_3 - 2i + 2k - 1), \\
T_{jk}^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{jk} + \frac{1}{3}h_{2,1}(0, j)) = \frac{1}{6}(a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 - 2j + 6k - 3), \\
T_{jk}^- &= \frac{1}{2}(s_{jk} - \frac{1}{3}h_{2,1}(0, j)) = \frac{1}{6}(-a_1 - 2a_2 + 3a_3 - 4j + 6k - 3), \\
A_{jk}^+ &= \frac{T_{j-1, k-1}^- T_{jk}^+ T_{j+1, k}^+}{9s_{jk}s_{j+1, k}}, \\
A_{jk}^- &= \frac{T_{j-1, k-1}^- T_{jk}^- T_{j+1, k}^+}{9s_{jk}s_{j+1, k}}, \\
B_{jk}^+ &= \frac{T_{j-1, k}^+ T_{jk}^+ T_{j+1, k}^+}{27s_{jk}s_{j+1, k}}, \\
B_{jk}^- &= \frac{T_{j-1, k-1}^- T_{jk}^- T_{j+1, k+1}^-}{27s_{jk}s_{j+1, k}},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We can deduce the following basic relations between the above defined variables:

$$s_{jk} = s_{j+2, k+1} \tag{6.12}$$

$$S_{ijk}^+ = S_{i, j+1, k+1}^+ = S_{i+1, j+1, k}^+ \tag{6.13}$$

$$S_{ik}^- = S_{i+1, k+1}^- \tag{6.14}$$

$$T_{jk}^+ = T_{i+3, k+1}^+ \tag{6.15}$$

$$T_{jk}^- = T_{i+3, k+2}^- \tag{6.16}$$

$$T_{j, k}^+ S_{i, k}^- - T_{j, k}^- S_{i, j, k}^+ = s_{j, k} h_{10}(i, j) \tag{6.17}$$

$$T_{j+3, k}^+ S_{i, k-1}^- - T_{j, k-1}^- S_{i, j+1, k}^+ = s_{j, k-1} h_{10}(i, j) \tag{6.18}$$

$$T_{j+1, k}^- T_{j+2, k}^+ - T_{j-1, k-1}^- T_{j+1, k}^+ = 3s_{j+1, k}. \tag{6.19}$$

Consider the vector space $V(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \{\mathbf{v}_{ijk} | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and define the action of the Lie

algebra \mathfrak{g} on $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{01}(i, j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
h_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{10}(i, j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
h_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{11}(i, j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
h_{21}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{21}(i, j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
h_{31}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{31}(i, j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
h_{32}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= h_{32}(i, j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk}, \\
e_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_{i,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j,k}, \\
f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= S_{i,k}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j,k}, \\
e_{21}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= T_{j+1,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+2,k+1}, \\
f_{21}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= T_{j-1,k-1}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-2,k-1}, \\
e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= 3\mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k} + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k+1}, \\
f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= -3\mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k-1} - A_{jk}^- S_{ik}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k}, \\
e_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= -3\mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+1,k} + A_{jk}^+ S_{i+1,j+1,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+1,k+1}, \\
f_{11}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= -3\mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-1,k-1} + A_{jk}^- S_{i+1,k+1}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-1,k}, \\
e_{31}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+3,k+1} - B_{jk}^+ S_{i+1,k+1}^- \mathbf{v}_{i+1,j+3,k+2}, \\
f_{31}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-3,k-2} - B_{jk}^- S_{i+1,j+1,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j-3,k-1}, \\
e_{32}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= -\mathbf{v}_{i+2,j+3,k+1} - B_{jk}^+ S_{i+1,j+1,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i+2,j+3,k+2}, \\
f_{32}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= \mathbf{v}_{i-2,j-3,k-2} + B_{jk}^- S_{i+1,k+1}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-2,j-3,k-1}, \\
z_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) &= \frac{14}{3}\mathbf{v}_{ijk}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Lemma 6.4. Subalgebra Γ has a simple spectrum on $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and hence, separates the basis elements \mathbf{v}_{ijk} if and only if $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We need to show that Γ acts with different characters on basis elements \mathbf{v}_{ijk} . It is sufficient to consider vectors from the same weight space. Suppose

$$h_1(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = (a_1 + 2i - j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk} = \lambda\mathbf{v}_{ijk},$$

$$h_2(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = (a_2 + j)\mathbf{v}_{ijk} = \mu\mathbf{v}_{ijk},$$

for some fixed λ and μ . Then i and j are uniquely determined: $j = \mu - a_2$ and $i = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu - a_1 - a_2)$. Hence, basis elements of this weight subspace differ by the third index. Consider \mathbf{v}_{ijk_1} and \mathbf{v}_{ijk_2} for arbitrary integers i, j, k_1, k_2 . We have

$$e_{01}f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = S_{ik}^- S_{i-1,j,k}^+ (\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = \frac{1}{4}(-a_1 + a_3 - 2i + 2k - 1)(a_1 + a_3 + 2i - 2j + 2k - 3),$$

which is the same expression as in the proof of Lemma 5.5. From here we obtain that c_1 separates the basis elements \mathbf{v}_{ijk_1} and \mathbf{v}_{ijk_2} implying the statement. \square

Theorem 6.5. For any complex a_1, a_2, a_3 such that $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the formulas define the G_2 -module structure on the space $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

Proof. To prove that $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is a module over G_2 we need to check that the defining relations of the Lie algebra G_2 are satisfied on $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

Prove for example that for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds using formulas 6.12 - 6.19:

$$e_{01}f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) - f_{01}e_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = h_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{01}(f_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk})) - f_{01}(e_{01}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk})) &= e_{01}(S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j,k}) - f_{01}(S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{ijk}) \\
&= S_{i-1,j,k}^+ S_{i,k}^- (\mathbf{v}_{i-1,j,k}) - S_{i+1,k}^- S_{ijk}^+ (\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) \\
&= ((S_{ijk}^+ - 1)S_{ik}^- - (S_{i+1,k}^- - 1)S_{ijk}^+) \mathbf{v}_{ijk} \\
&= (-S_{ik}^- + S_{ijk}^+) \mathbf{v}_{ijk} \\
&= h_{01}(i, j)(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Now we show that for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds:

$$e_{10}f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) - f_{10}e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}) = h_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk}).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{10}(f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk})) - f_{10}(e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk})) &= e_{10}(-3\mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k-1} - A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k}) - f_{10}(3\mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k} + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k+1}) \\
&= -3e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k-1}) - A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{i,j-1,k}) - 3f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k}) - A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{i,j+1,k+1}) \\
&= -3(3\mathbf{v}_{i,j,k-1} + A_{j-1,k-1}^+ S_{i,k-1}^- \mathbf{v}_{ijk}) - A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ (3\mathbf{v}_{ijk} + A_{j-1,k}^+ S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1}) \\
&\quad - 3(-3\mathbf{v}_{i,j,k-1} - A_{j+1,k}^- S_{i,j+1,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{ijk}) - A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- (-3\mathbf{v}_{ijk} - A_{j+1,k+1}^- S_{i,j+1,k+1}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1}) \\
&= -3A_{j-1,k-1}^+ S_{i,k-1}^- \mathbf{v}_{ijk} - 3A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ \mathbf{v}_{ijk} - A_{jk}^+ S_{ijk}^- A_{j-1,k}^+ S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1} \\
&\quad + 3A_{j+1,k}^- S_{i,j+1,k}^+ \mathbf{v}_{ijk} + 3A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- \mathbf{v}_{ijk} + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- A_{j+1,k+1}^- S_{i,j+1,k+1}^+ \mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1} \\
&= 3(-A_{j-1,k-1}^+ S_{i,k-1}^- - A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ + A_{j+1,k}^- S_{i,j+1,k}^+ + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^-) \mathbf{v}_{ijk} \\
&\quad (-A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ A_{j-1,k}^+ S_{ik}^- + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- A_{j+1,k+1}^- S_{i,j+1,k+1}^+) \mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Now we simplify the coefficients by elements \mathbf{v}_{ijk} and $\mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ A_{j-1,k}^+ S_{ik}^- + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- A_{j+1,k+1}^- S_{i,j+1,k+1}^+ \\
&= S_{ik}^- S_{ijk}^+ (-A_{jk}^- A_{j-1,k}^+ + A_{jk}^+ A_{j+1,k+1}^-) \\
&= S_{ik}^- S_{ijk}^+ \left(-\frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{j,k}^- T_{j+1,k}^+}{9s_{jk}s_{j+1,k}} - \frac{T_{j-2,k-1}^- T_{j-1,k}^+ T_{jk}^+}{9s_{j-1,k}s_{jk}} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{jk}^+ T_{j+1,k}^+}{9s_{jk}s_{j+1,k}} - \frac{T_{jk}^- T_{j+1,k+1}^- T_{j+2,k+1}^+}{9s_{j+1,k+1}s_{j+2,k+1}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

Hence, the coefficient of $\mathbf{v}_{i,j,k+1}$ equals zero. We also have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -A_{j-1,k-1}^+ S_{i,k-1}^- - A_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ + A_{j+1,k}^- S_{i,j+1,k}^+ + A_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^- \\
&= -\frac{T_{j-2,k-2}^- T_{j-1,k-1}^+ T_{j,k-1}^+ S_{i,k-1}^-}{9s_{j-1,k-1} s_{j,k-1}} - \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{j,k}^- T_{j+1,k}^+ S_{i,j,k}^+}{9s_{j,k} s_{j+1,k}} \\
&+ \frac{T_{j,k-1}^- T_{j+1,k}^- T_{j+2,k}^+ S_{i,j+1,k}^+}{9s_{j+2,k} s_{j+1,k}} + \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{jk}^- T_{j+1,k}^+ S_{ik}^-}{9s_{jk} s_{j+1,k}} \\
&= -\frac{T_{j+1,k}^- T_{j+2,k}^+ T_{j+3,k}^+ S_{i,k-1}^-}{9s_{j+2,k} s_{j+1,k}} - \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{jk}^- T_{j+1,k}^+ S_{ijk}^+}{9s_{jk} s_{j+1,k}} \\
&+ \frac{T_{j,k-1}^- T_{j+1,k}^- T_{j+2,k}^+ S_{i,j+1,k}^+}{9s_{j+2,k} s_{j+1,k}} + \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{jk}^- T_{j+1,k}^+ S_{ik}^-}{9s_{jk} s_{j+1,k}} \\
&= \frac{T_{j+1,k}^- T_{j+2,k}^+}{9s_{j+2,k} s_{j+1,k}} (-T_{j+3,k}^+ S_{i,k-1}^- + T_{j,k-1}^- S_{i,j+1,k}^+) \\
&+ \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{j+1,k}^+}{9s_{jk} s_{j+1,k}} (-T_{jk}^- S_{ijk}^+ + T_{jk}^+ S_{ik}^-). \\
&= -\frac{T_{j+1,k}^- T_{j+2,k}^+}{9s_{j+2,k} s_{j+1,k}} s_{j,k-1} h_{10}(i, j) + \frac{T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{j+1,k}^+}{9s_{jk} s_{j+1,k}} s_{j,k} h_{10}(i, j) \\
&= \frac{h_{10}(i, j)}{9s_{j+1,k}} (-T_{j+1,k}^- T_{j+2,k}^+ + T_{j-1,k-1}^- T_{j+1,k}^+) \\
&= \frac{h_{10}(i, j)}{9s_{j+1,k}} (3s_{j+1,k}) = \frac{1}{3} h_{10}(i, j).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Substituting the result in the equation 6.22 we will obtain the desired equality:

$$e_{10}(f_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk})) - f_{10}(e_{10}(\mathbf{v}_{ijk})) = h_{10}(i, j) \mathbf{v}_{ijk}.$$

Other relations are checked analogously. We omit further details. \square

Theorem 6.6. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then

1. $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is a torsion free Γ -pointed G_2 -module if and only if $S_{ijk}^+ \neq 0$ and $S_{ik}^- \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
2. The torsion free Γ -pointed G_2 -module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is simple if and only if $T_{jk}^- \neq 0$ and $T_{jk}^+ \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
3. If V' is a simple torsion free Γ -pointed G_2 -module with a basis parametrized by the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and with separating action of Γ on basis elements, then it is isomorphic to $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ for suitable parameters a_1, a_2, a_3 such that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a_1 < 1$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a_2 < 3$, $0 < \operatorname{Re} a_3 < 2$ and $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. It follows immediately from the formulas of the action of G_2 that $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is a torsion free module if and only if $S_{ijk}^+ \neq 0$ and $S_{ik}^- \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that Γ has a simple spectrum on $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and hence, the action of Γ separates the basis elements by Lemma 6.4. In particular, $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is Γ -pointed. Using this fact, it is easy to see that conditions $T_{jk}^- \neq 0$ and $T_{jk}^+ \neq 0$ for all $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are necessary and sufficient to guarantee that any element of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ generates the whole module, and hence the simplicity of the module.

Suppose that V' is a simple torsion free Γ -pointed G_2 -module with a basis $\{v'_{ijk}, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that Γ acts by different characters on the basis elements v'_{ijk} . Then the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.6 shows that $V' \simeq V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ for some choice of complex parameters a_1, a_2, a_3 with $a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Since module V' is torsion free, then we can move to a different weight space and, hence the parameters can be chose in such a way that their real parts satisfy the inequalities $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a_1 < 1$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} a_2 < 3$, $0 < \operatorname{Re} a_3 < 2$. This completes the proof.

□

Define a homomorphism $\theta : A_2 \rightarrow G_2$ of Lie algebras as follows:

$$\theta(\hat{e}_{01}) = e_{01}, \quad \theta(\hat{f}_{01}) = f_{01}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\theta(\hat{e}_{10}) = e_{31}, \quad \theta(\hat{f}_{10}) = f_{31}, \quad (6.26)$$

$$\theta(\hat{e}_{11}) = e_{32}, \quad \theta(\hat{f}_{11}) = f_{32}, \quad (6.27)$$

$$\theta(\hat{h}_{01}) = h_{01}, \quad \theta(\hat{h}_{10}) = h_{31}. \quad (6.28)$$

Consider the restriction of $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ onto the subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. Denote the resulting A_2 -module with the action 6.28 by $\hat{V}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$. We have the following decomposition of $\hat{V}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

Theorem 6.7. Suppose that the module $V(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is torsion free.

1. The A_2 -module $\hat{V}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ decomposes into a direct sum of three torsion free submodules

$$\hat{V}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \hat{V}(1) \oplus \hat{V}(2) \oplus \hat{V}(3),$$

where

$$\hat{V}(m) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_{i,3j+m,k} | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq V(a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, a_3, \frac{-8}{9}, \frac{8}{9}),$$

$$a_1^{(m)} = a_1 - m, \quad a_2^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - a_1) + m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2.$$

Proof. Consider the subspace $\hat{V}(m) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_{i,3j+m,k} | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $\hat{V}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $m = 0, 1, 2$. Clearly, $\hat{V}(m)$ is an A_2 -submodule of $\hat{V}(a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

Let

$$V(a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, a_3, \frac{-8}{9}, \frac{8}{9}) = \text{span}\{w_{ijk} | i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

be the A_2 -module the formulas 4.70 - 4.79, where $a_1^{(m)} = a_1 - m$, $a_2^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - a_1) + m$, $m = 0, 1, 2$. Then we have a homomorphism of A_2 -modules:

$$\psi_m : V(a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, a_3, \frac{-8}{9}, \frac{8}{9}) \rightarrow \hat{V}(m),$$

such that $\psi_m : w_{ijk} \mapsto v_{i+j,3j+m,k+j}$, $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m = 0, 1, 2$. Since ψ_m is a linear isomorphism, the statement follows. □

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Kuwait University, Research Grant 02/22.

References

- [1] D.J. Britten, V.Futorny, F.W.Lemire, *Simple A_2 -modules with a finite dimensional weight space*, Communication in Algebra, **23(2)**, 467–510(1995).
- [2] D. Britten, F. Lemire, *Irreducible representations of A_n with one-dimensional weight space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 509–540.
- [3] D. Britten, F. Lemire, *A classification of pointed A_n -modules*, Lect. Notes Math., 933 (1982), 63–70.
- [4] D. Britten, F. Lemire, *A classification of simple Lie modules having a 1-dimensional weight space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299 (1987), 111–121.

- [5] Drozd, V. Futorny, S. Ovsienko, *Harish-Chandra subalgebras and Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Math. and Phys. Sci. 424 (1994), 72–89.
- [6] Y. Drozd, V. Futorny, S. Ovsienko, *Irreducible weighted $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ -modules*, Funktsionalnyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, 23 (1989), 57–58.
- [7] Y. Drozd, V. Futorny, S. Ovsienko, *Gelfand-Tsetlin modules over Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$* , Contemp. Math. 131 (1992) 23–29.
- [8] S. Fernando, *Lie algebra modules with finite dimensional weight spaces I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 322 (1990), 757–781.
- [9] V. Futorny, *Irreducible $sl(3)$ -modules with infinite-dimensional weight subspaces*, Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, 41 (1989), 856–859.
- [10] V. Futorny, *Weight $sl(3)$ -modules generated by semiprimitive elements*, Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal 43 (1991), 281–285.
- [11] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, L. E. Ramírez, *Classification of simple Gelfand- Tsetlin modules of $sl(3)$* , Bulletin of Mathematical Science, (2021), 1-109.
- [12] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, L. E. Ramírez, *Irreducible generic Gelfand-Tsetlin modules of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$* , SIGMA 11 (2015) 018, 13 pp.
- [13] V.Futorny, D.Grantcharov, L.E.Ramirez, *Drinfeld category and the classification of singular Gelfand-Tsetlin gl_n -modules*. International Mathematics Research Notices, 2017, rnx159, doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnx159.
- [14] V.Futorny, L.E.Ramirez, J.Zhang, *Combinatorial construction of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for gl_n* , Advances in Mathematics, 343 (2019), 681-711.
- [15] I.Gelfand, M.Tsetlin, *Finite-dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.s.), 71 (1950), 825-828.
- [16] O.Mathieu, *Classification of irreducible weight modules*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 50 (2000), 537–592.
- [17] L. E. Ramírez, P. Zadunaisky, *Gelfand-Tsetlin modules over $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ with arbitrary characters*, J. Algebra, 502 (2018), 328–346.
- [18] E.Vishnyakova, *Geometric approach to p -singular Gelfand-Tsetlin \mathfrak{gl}_n -modules*, Differential Geometry and Applications, 56 (2018), 155-160.
- [19] B. Webster, *Gelfand-Tsetlin modules in the Coulomb context*, arXiv:1904.05415.

(Milica Anđelić)DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KUWAIT UNIVERSITY, AL-SHADADIYAH, KUWAIT
E-mail address: milica.andelic@ku.edu.kw
(Carlos M. da Fonseca)KUWAIT COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DOHA DISTRICT, SAFAT 13133, KUWAIT
E-mail address: c.dafonseca@kcst.edu.kw
(Vyacheslav Futorny) SHENZHEN INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CHINA, AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL
E-mail address: vfutorny@gmail.com
(Andrew Tsylyke) KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: andrew4tsylyke@gmail.com