

Detecting Extragalactic Axion-like Dark Matter with Polarization Measurements of Fast Radio Bursts

Bao Wang^{1,2,*}, Xuan Yang^{1,2,*}, Jun-Jie Wei^{1,2,†}, Song-Bo Zhang^{1,‡} and Xue-Feng Wu^{1,2,§}

¹*Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210023, China*

²*School of Astronomy and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

Axions or axion-like particles (ALPs) are one of the most promising candidates of dark matter (DM). A prevalent method to detect axion-like DM is to seek the periodic oscillation feature in the polarization angles of linearly polarized light emitted from astrophysical sources. In this work, we use the time-resolved polarization measurements of the hyperactive repeating fast radio burst, FRB 20220912A, detected by the Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST) to search for extragalactic axion-like DM for the first time. Given a DM density profile of FRB 20220912A's host, we obtain upper limits on the ALP-photon coupling constant of $g_{a\gamma} < (2.9 \times 10^{-11} - 1.1 \times 10^{-9}) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for the ALP masses $m_a \sim (1.4 \times 10^{-21} - 5.2 \times 10^{-20}) \text{ eV}$. Persistent polarimetric observations with FAST would further improve the constraints. We prove that FRBs offer an alternative way to detect axion-like DM on extragalactic distance scales, complementary to other galactic DM probes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, one of the most important tasks that remain unresolved in modern physics is the detection of dark matter (DM) particles, and numerous candidates of DM have been proposed. Axion-like particles (ALPs), a type of ultralight bosons, have emerged as the most prevailing candidates in the search for DM [1–3]. Thanks to the special properties of ultralight scalar particles ($m_a \sim 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$), they can provide a natural solution to the challenges encountered in small-scale structures of the Universe [4, 5]. A lot of strategies for finding axion-like DM have been explored, including photons from axion conversion [6–9], nuclear magnetic resonance [10, 11], periodic oscillations of linearly polarized light [12–15], and other many terrestrial or astronomical experiments [16, 17].

Among the various axion-like DM detection methods, detecting periodic oscillations of polarized light has been regarded as a promising approach in astrophysics [12–15, 18–20]. When light propagates through the ALP field, photons would interact with ALPs, and the interaction term is $\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma} = \frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma} a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, where $g_{a\gamma}$ represents the coupling strength between the axion field (a) and the electromagnetic field ($F_{\mu\nu}$). The interaction leads to modifications in the dispersion relations [21, 22]. The left- and right-handed circular polarization modes of light experience opposite corrections due to their different dispersion relations. This effect is known as cosmic birefringence, resulting in changes in the polarization angles (PAs) of the light. Therefore, in the presence of an ALP field, if the light is linearly polarized, its PAs will oscillate with the ALP field, with an amplitude proportional

to $g_{a\gamma}$. So far, ALP-photon couplings have been provided increasingly stringent constraints from a multitude of astrophysical observations [12–15, 18–20].

Fast radio bursts (FRBs) are brief and intense radio transients that originate from cosmological distances [23–25]. They have been powerful astrophysical laboratories for studying cosmology and fundamental physics [26–34]. FRBs also have the potential to play an important role in the detection of DM, such as constraining millicharged DM from timing of FRBs [19], bounding the dark photon DM parameter space with the time delays of photons from FRBs [35], probing compact DM with FRB microstructure [36] or with strongly lensed FRBs [37–40], and constraining primordial black hole DM with lensed FRBs [41]. More recently, Gao *et al.* [42] proposed a future-feasible method to hunt axion DM with gravitationally lensed FRBs, indicating the potential of FRBs for searching for axion DM.

To date, no studies have utilized real polarization observations of repeating FRBs to directly constrain axion-like DM. The repetition pattern of FRBs enables us to monitor their polarization properties long-term to detect axion-like DM on extragalactic distance scales, complementary to other galactic DM probes. One intriguing sample for such a study is FRB 20220912A, an active repeating source with highly linear polarization [43, 44] and its local environment is very clean [45]. Its long-term polarization observations from the Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST) [44] provide a remarkable opportunity to detect extragalactic axion-like DM through searching for a periodic oscillation in the PAs.

In this work, we constrain the ALP-photon coupling constant $g_{a\gamma}$ using polarization data of FRBs for the first time. We analyze the polarization angle variations of linearly polarized emission from FRB 20220912A observed by FAST. All currently available observations from October 28th, 2022 to December 5th, 2022 are adopted for our study. The observational time coverage of ~ 38 days

* These two authors contributed equally to this work.

† Corresponding author: jjwei@pmo.ac.cn

‡ Corresponding author: sbzhang@pmo.ac.cn

§ Corresponding author: xfwu@pmo.ac.cn

with a cadence of ~ 1 day is sensitive to ALPs with mass ranging from 1.4×10^{-21} eV to 5.2×10^{-20} eV. By estimating the changes in linear PAs, we can place upper limits on $g_{a\gamma}$ directly. Finally, we also predict further constraints from continued polarization observations of FRB 20220912A in the future.

II. MODELS

A. ALP-photon Coupling

ALPs can be described as a scalar field $a(x, t)$ with mass m_a , where x is the spatial coordinates and t is the time. The ALP field can interact with the electromagnetic field, and its dynamics can be captured by the Lagrangian terms [46]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu a\partial_\mu a - \frac{1}{2}m_a^2 a^2 + \frac{1}{4}g_{a\gamma}aF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the electromagnetic field tensor, $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}F_{\lambda\sigma}$ is the dual of $F^{\mu\nu}$, and $g_{a\gamma}$ represents the ALP-photon coupling constant which characterizes the strength of interaction. This coupling leads to a modification in the dispersion relation:

$$\omega_\pm \simeq k \pm \frac{1}{2}g_{a\gamma}n^\mu\partial_\mu a, \quad (2)$$

where n^μ is null tangent vector of light, k is the wave vector¹, and the frequency ω_\pm corresponds to two circular polarization states. When two vertically polarized electromagnetic waves of these two states propagate, a phase shift occurs between them due to the disparity in their phase velocities. This phase shift leads to the rotation of the polarization plane, known as cosmic birefringence. Specifically, the frequency difference between the two polarization components is $\Delta\omega = \omega_+ - \omega_- = g_{a\gamma}n^\mu\partial_\mu a$. If waves emitted from the source at position x_1 at time t_1 are received by an observer at position x_2 and time t_2 , the ALP-induced PA shift is then expressed as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2}\int_C \Delta\omega ds = \frac{1}{2}g_{a\gamma}[a(x_2, t_2) - a(x_1, t_1)], \quad (3)$$

where C is the propagation path of waves. The equation of motion for the ALP field is given by the Klein-Gordon equation. When we neglect the backaction term, the solution simplifies and exhibits as an oscillating form:

$$a(x, t) = a_0(x) \sin(m_a t + \delta), \quad (4)$$

where δ is the position-dependent phase. $a_0(x)$ is the amplitude that relates to the energy density of the ALP field ρ (or equivalently the energy density of DM, if the

dominant DM is assumed to be made up of ALPs), i.e., $\rho = \frac{1}{2}m_a a_0^2$. The oscillation period of the ALP field is given by $T = 2\pi/m_a$, which depends on the ALP mass. If the energy density of the ALP field at the observer is much lower than the one at the source (i.e., $a(x_2, t_2) \ll a(x_1, t_1)$), Eq. (3) can be converted to an oscillatory expression,

$$\phi(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}g_{a\gamma}m_a^{-1}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\sin\left(2\pi\frac{t}{T'} + \delta\right), \quad (5)$$

where $T' = T(1+z)$ is the observed period on Earth, taking into account of the cosmic expansion. Eq. (5) describes that the PAs have the periodic oscillation characteristic when linearly polarized waves are coupled with ALPs.

B. DM Density Profile

Outside the solitonic cores of galaxies, the DM density distribution $\rho(r)$ can be approximately described by the generalized Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile [47]:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{(r/r_s)^\beta (1+r/r_s)^{3-\beta}}, \quad (6)$$

where r is the distance from the galaxy center, ρ_0 is the characteristic density, r_s is the scale radius, and β is the power-law index. Also, $\rho(r) \propto r^{-\beta}$ when $r \ll r_s$ and $\rho(r) \propto r^{\beta-3}$ when $r \gg r_s$. For the case of $\beta = 1$, Eq. (6) is reduced to the original NFW profile [48]. In principle, these coefficients (ρ_0 , r_s , and β) can be determined by fitting the rotation curves of galaxies. The physical origins of FRBs are still unknown, but some of them have been localized in extragalactic host galaxies. Once we have enough observational information about the FRB host galaxy, we can estimate the DM density ρ in the vicinity of the FRB source.

III. OBSERVATIONS OF FRB 20220912A

A. The Host Galaxy

The Deep Synoptic Array localised the repeater FRB 20220912A to a host galaxy, PSO J347.2702+48.7066, at redshift $z = 0.0771$ [43]. The host galaxy has a stellar mass of approximately $10^{10} M_\odot$, a star-formation rate of $\gtrsim 0.1 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and an effective radius of 2.2 kpc. Gordon *et al.* [49] compared the optical host luminosities of repeating and nonrepeating FRBs across redshift, and defined a demarcation at luminosity $10^9 L_\odot$ below which a host can be classified as a dwarf galaxy. FRB 20220912A sits just above the borderline at $\approx 1.1 \times 10^9 L_\odot$ (see Fig. 9 of [49]), suggesting that its host may be a dwarf galaxy.

Since they have higher fractions of DM compared to more massive systems, dwarf galaxies are deemed as ideal

¹ The natural unit system $\hbar=c=1$.




Figure 1. Linear polarization measurements of the bursts from FRB 20220912A detected by FAST. The upper and lower panels denote the observed PAs ϕ_{obs} and the ALP-induced PA shifts $\phi(t)$, respectively. Each burst is depicted by a yellow point, the green points represent the daily medians, and the light green shaded area encompasses the 1σ confidence range. The ALP-induced PA shifts are estimated through $\phi(t) = \phi_{\text{obs}} - \langle\phi_{\text{bkg}}\rangle$, where $\langle\phi_{\text{bkg}}\rangle = -24.95^\circ$ (see section IV).

systems to probe the DM density profile [50]. However, we currently lack rotation curve observations of the host of FRB 20220912A to investigate its DM density distribution. Here we use the DM density profile of a dwarf galaxy, NGC 4451 (with a similar stellar mass of $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ and a similar radius of ~ 2.2 kpc [50]), as a reference. Note that the differences in DM density profiles between NGC 4451 and FRB 20220912A’s host have negligible effects within the precision range of our study. Based on the stellar rotation curve, Cooke *et al.* [50] determined the coefficients of the generalized NFW profile (Eq. (6)) for NGC 4451, i.e., $\rho_0 = 0.41 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-3}$, $r_s = 2.2$ kpc, and $\beta = 0$. Furthermore, a recent milliarcsecond localization of FRB 20220912A shows that its transverse offset from the host galaxy center is $r \approx 0.8$ kpc [51]. With this information, an estimate on the DM density at the location of FRB 20220912A from Eq. (6) is $\rho \sim 0.16 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-3}$. This value is much larger than the DM density near our Earth, which is $\sim 0.01 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-3}$ estimated by the Galactic NFW profile [52].

B. The Polarization Angles

After the initial discovery of FRB 20220912A, subsequent observations from multiple telescopes have consistently detected a large number of bursts from this specific source [44, 45, 53–62]. It is noteworthy that FRB 20220912A was monitored by FAST for a period of several dozen days, during which a total of 1076 bursts were recorded [44]. Most of these bursts exhibit nearly 100% linear polarization. The rotation measure (RM) of FRB 20220912A is very close to 0 and did not show any varia-

tion during the FAST observation period, indicating that FRB 20220912A is located in a relatively clean local environment [44, 45]. The non-variable RM also means that the PAs of FRB 20220912A are relatively stable.

As described in section II, when a linearly polarized light propagates in an external ALP field, the corresponding PAs would have a time-dependent change due to the ALP-photon coupling effect. By analyzing the PA variations, we thus can constrain the ALP-photon coupling strength, thereby facilitating the potential detection of axion-like DM. Here, the PAs of FRB 20220912A are processed from the raw data of FAST. The central frequency, bandwidth, number of frequency channels, and sampling time for the raw data were 1.25 GHz, 0.5 GHz, 4096, and 49.152 μs , respectively. We use the GPU accelerated transient detection software HEIMDALL² and process the data on FAST’s high-performance-computer facilities. The dispersion measure range that we search is between 200 and 250 pc cm^{-3} . The signal-to-noise ratio threshold and maximum boxcar are set as 6.5 and 512, respectively. The RM is searched from -2000 to 2000 rad m^{-2} in steps of 1 rad m^{-2} using the RMFIT program [63]. After correcting the data with the best-fitted RMs, we derive the position angles of the linearly polarized component. During a total of 9.2 hours of observations between October 28th, 2022 and December 5th, 2022 (corresponding to MJD 59880 and MJD 59918), we obtain 700 bursts with RM measurements. Fig. 1 displays PA measurements of FRB 20220912A as a function of time. One can see from this plot that PAs vary

² <https://sourceforge.net/projects/heimdall-astro>

greatly within a day, but they are relatively stable on the timescale of months. Our focus is primarily on the PA variations in the order of days, so we calculate the median value of the PA in each day. In this case, the minimum time interval is 1 day, while the total observational time is 38 days. According to the theory presented in [section II](#), the lower and upper limits of the ALP mass m_a depend on the total observational time and the minimum time interval, respectively. That is, the sensitive ALP mass m_a falls within the range of 1.4×10^{-21} eV to 5.2×10^{-20} eV.

IV. CONSTRAINTS ON THE ALP-PHOTON COUPLING

Variations in PAs of FRBs are complex and puzzling [[64–66](#)]. The prevailing understanding is that these variations are mainly attributed to the significant fluctuations in the magnetic fields surrounding FRB sources. However, if the axion-like DM exists in the host galaxy and envelops the FRB sources, the observed PA (ϕ_{obs}) is expected to be composed of two components: one from the PA contribution of the astrophysical background (e.g., the magnetic field), ϕ_{bkg} , and the other one is the ALP-induced PA shift, $\phi(t)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{obs}} &= \phi_{\text{bkg}} + \phi(t) \\ &\simeq \langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle + \Delta\phi_{\text{bkg}} + \phi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle$ represents the mean value of the PA caused by the background magnetic field and $\Delta\phi_{\text{bkg}}$ corresponds to the PA fluctuation arising from the changes of the magnetic field. Since $\Delta\phi_{\text{bkg}}$ is unpredictable, we assume that the observed PA fluctuations are attributed to the ALP-photon coupling effect, i.e., $\phi_{\text{obs}} = \langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle + \phi(t)$, which will conduct conservative upper limits on the ALP-photon coupling constant $g_{a\gamma}$ for different ALP mass.

In this work, we employ two analysis methods to search for the ALP-photon coupling effect. The first way is to estimate the standard deviation ($\Delta\phi$) of the ALP-induced PA shift $\phi(t)$. Given the randomness of the value of the phase δ (see [Eq. \(5\)](#)), we use the standard deviation of $\phi(t)$ to characterize its oscillation amplitude [[14](#)]. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\phi &\equiv \sqrt{\langle \phi^2(t) \rangle} \\ &\simeq 1.96^\circ \left(\frac{\rho}{0.16 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-21} \text{eV}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{g_{a\gamma}}{10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The mean value of the observed daily median PAs is $\langle \phi_{\text{Med}} \rangle = -24.95^\circ \pm 4.16^\circ$. Here the mean -24.95° is regarded as the mean background $\langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle$, and the 1σ standard deviation 4.16° is regarded as $\Delta\phi$. From [Eq. \(8\)](#), we can see that $\Delta\phi$ is proportional to $g_{a\gamma}$ for a given ALP mass m_a . With the ALP mass ranging from 1.4×10^{-21}

eV to 5.2×10^{-20} eV, the corresponding upper limits on $g_{a\gamma}$ can be obtained.

Additionally, the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fitting method is also applied for our analyses. Firstly, we construct a grid in the parameter space of (m_a, δ) . For a set of fixed ALP mass m_a from 1.4×10^{-21} eV to 5.2×10^{-20} eV and fixed phase δ in the range of $[0, 2\pi]$, we perform a fitting procedure to simultaneously optimize the ALP-photon coupling constant $g_{a\gamma}$ and the mean background $\langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle$. This process involves minimizing the χ^2 value by adjusting the two parameters $g_{a\gamma}$ and $\langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle$ with the MCMC technology. The standard χ^2 statistic is given by

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\phi_{\text{obs},i} - \phi(t_i) - \langle \phi_{\text{bkg}} \rangle}{\sigma_i} \right]^2, \quad (9)$$

where $\phi_{\text{obs},i}$ and σ_i are the observed PA and its error. Then we average over $g_{a\gamma}$ for the same m_a , which is equivalent to marginalizing over the nuisance parameter δ .

The resulting constraints on $g_{a\gamma}$ from both the two methods are shown in [Fig. 2](#), along with other constraints from different astrophysical sources. For the first method, we obtain an upper limit of $g_{a\gamma} < 2.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ for an ALP mass $m_a \sim 10^{-21}$ eV. For the second one, the obtained upper limit is $g_{a\gamma} < 2.7 \times 10^{-10} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ for the same ALP mass. The worse result obtained in the second method is probably due to the large daily fluctuations of PAs after using the complete data in MCMC, which can be regarded as a pessimistic estimation. It should be emphasized that these results are the first constraints on the ALP-photon coupling derived from FRB polarization measurements. Finally, we also forecast a future constraint. If the polarization observations of FRB 20220912A last for up to one year, the limit would be further improved by one order of magnitude, yielding $g_{a\gamma} < 3.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{GeV}^{-1}$.

Recently, Gao *et al.* [[42](#)] proposed gravitationally lensed FRBs as probes for hunting Galactic axion DM. They predicted that, with a single lensed FRB, the ALP-photon coupling could be constrained to be $g_{a\gamma} < 7.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ for an axion mass $m_a \sim 10^{-21}$ eV. This forecast limit is similar to our result of $g_{a\gamma} < 2.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ from real FRB polarization observations. Furthermore, as shown in [Fig. 2](#), our result is slightly better than the constraint from the CERN Axion Solar Telescope (CAST) ($g_{a\gamma} < 6.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$) [[8](#)] and comparable with the constraint from the supernovae observed by the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) ($g_{a\gamma} < 2.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{GeV}^{-1}$) [[17](#)]. In contrast to the galactic probes, such as pulsars [[14](#)], black hole Sgr A* [[15](#)], and protoplanetary disk [[18](#)], our method can detect ALPs on kiloparsec scales, which highlights the potential of FRBs for detecting extragalactic axion-like DM.




Figure 2. The resulting constraints on the ALP-photon coupling constant $g_{a\gamma}$ for different ALP mass, obtained using the polarization measurements of FRB 2022012A (green shaded area). The green straight and irregular lines correspond to the upper limits of $g_{a\gamma}$ derived from the standard deviation method and the MCMC method, respectively. The green dashed line represents the future constraints from continued polarization observations of FRB 2022012A up to one year. Other constraints from different astrophysical sources are also displayed for comparisons, including the CAST experiment (yellow shaded area) [8], the X-ray observation of super star clusters (blue solid line) [16], the Fermi-LAT observation of supernovae (red dot-dashed line) [17], the supernova SN1987A (gray dot-dashed line) [7], the VLBA polarization observations of jets from active galaxies (orange shaded area) [12], the polarized pulsar light (gray shaded area) [14], and the polarization measurements of the black hole Sgr A* (red solid line) [15]. Please refer to this link^a for a more complete summary.

^a <https://cajohare.github.io/AxionLimits/docs/ap.html>

V. SUMMARY

Linearly polarized light emitted from FRBs can interact with the ALPs, producing a periodic oscillation of the PAs. Searching for this periodic oscillation feature in FRB polarization measurements can thus help us to detect the axion-like DM. In this work, we attempted to detect extragalactic ALPs in the host galaxies of the hyperactive repeating FRBs for the first time. With the state-of-the-art observations of the PAs of the bursts from the repeating source FRB 20220912A taken by FAST, we obtained upper limits on the ALP-photon coupling constant of $g_{a\gamma} < (2.9 \times 10^{-11} - 1.1 \times 10^{-9}) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for the ALP masses $m_a \sim (1.4 \times 10^{-21} - 5.2 \times 10^{-20}) \text{ eV}$. If the polarization observations of FRB 20220912A are expected to continue for one year, the $g_{a\gamma}$ limit would be improved to be $g_{a\gamma} < 3.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for an ALP mass $m_a \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$. Extragalactic FRBs provide an alternative way to detect axion-like DM on kiloparsec scales, complementary to other galactic DM probes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Bao Wang thanks Lei Lei for helpful discussions on dark matter. This work is partially supported by the National SKA Program of China (2022SKA0130100), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 12373053, 12321003, and 12041306), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences (grant No. ZDBS-LY-7014) of Chinese Academy of Sciences, International Partnership Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences for Grand Challenges (114332KYSB20210018), the CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research (grant No. YSBR-063), the CAS Organizational Scientific Research Platform for National Major Scientific and Technological Infrastructure: Cosmic Transients with FAST, and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (grant No. BK20221562).

-
- [1] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, *Physics Letters B* **120**, 127 (1983).
- [2] D. J. E. Marsh, *Physics Reports* **643**, 1 (2016), arXiv:1510.07633 [astro-ph.CO].
- [3] K. Choi, S. H. Im, and C. S. Shin, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **71**, 225 (2021), arXiv:2012.05029 [hep-ph].
- [4] W. Hu, R. Barkana, and A. Gruzinov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1158 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0003365 [astro-ph].
- [5] L. Hui, J. P. Ostriker, S. Tremaine, and E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 043541 (2017), arXiv:1610.08297 [astro-ph.CO].
- [6] D. Horns, *et al.*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2013**, 016 (2013), arXiv:1212.2970 [hep-ph].
- [7] A. Payez, *et al.*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2015**, 006 (2015), arXiv:1410.3747 [astro-ph.HE].
- [8] V. Anastassopoulos, *et al.*, *Nature Physics* **13**, 584 (2017), arXiv:1705.02290 [hep-ex].
- [9] N. Du, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 151301 (2018), arXiv:1804.05750 [hep-ex].
- [10] P. W. Graham and S. Rajendran, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 035023 (2013), arXiv:1306.6088 [hep-ph].
- [11] D. Budker, P. W. Graham, M. Ledbetter, S. Rajendran, and A. O. Sushkov, *Physical Review X* **4**, 021030 (2014), arXiv:1306.6089 [hep-ph].
- [12] M. M. Ivanov, *et al.*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2019**, 059 (2019), arXiv:1811.10997 [astro-ph.CO].
- [13] Y. Chen, J. Shu, X. Xue, Q. Yuan, and Y. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 061102 (2020), arXiv:1905.02213 [hep-ph].
- [14] T. Liu, G. Smoot, and Y. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 063012 (2020), arXiv:1901.10981 [astro-ph.CO].
- [15] G.-W. Yuan, *et al.*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2021**, 018 (2021), arXiv:2008.13662 [astro-ph.HE].
- [16] C. Dessert, J. W. Foster, and B. R. Safdi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 261102 (2020), arXiv:2008.03305 [hep-ph].
- [17] M. Meyer, T. Petrushevska, and Fermi-LAT Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 119901 (2020).
- [18] T. Fujita, R. Tazaki, and K. Toma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 191101 (2019), arXiv:1811.03525 [astro-ph.CO].
- [19] A. Caputo, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 063515 (2019), arXiv:1902.02695 [astro-ph.CO].
- [20] T. Liu, X. Lou, and J. Ren, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 121401 (2023), arXiv:2111.10615 [astro-ph.HE].
- [21] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field, and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 1231 (1990).
- [22] D. Harari and P. Sikivie, *Physics Letters B* **289**, 67 (1992).
- [23] D. Xiao, F. Wang, and Z. Dai, *Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy* **64**, 249501 (2021), arXiv:2101.04907 [astro-ph.HE].
- [24] J.-G. Zhang, *et al.*, *Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy* **66**, 120412 (2023), arXiv:2307.01605 [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] B. Zhang, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **95**, 035005 (2023), arXiv:2212.03972 [astro-ph.HE].
- [26] J.-J. Wei, H. Gao, X.-F. Wu, and P. Mészáros, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 261101 (2015), arXiv:1512.07670 [astro-ph.HE].
- [27] X.-F. Wu, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **822**, L15 (2016), arXiv:1602.07835 [astro-ph.HE].
- [28] Y.-P. Yang and B. Zhang, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **830**, L31 (2016), arXiv:1608.08154 [astro-ph.HE].
- [29] J. P. Macquart, *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **581**, 391 (2020), arXiv:2005.13161 [astro-ph.CO].
- [30] J.-J. Wei and X.-F. Wu, *Frontiers of Physics* **16**, 44300 (2021), arXiv:2102.03724 [astro-ph.HE].
- [31] K. B. Yang, Q. Wu, and F. Y. Wang, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **940**, L29 (2022), arXiv:2211.04058 [astro-ph.HE].
- [32] C. W. James, *et al.*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **516**, 4862 (2022), arXiv:2208.00819 [astro-ph.CO].
- [33] Y. Liu, H. Yu, and P. Wu, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **946**, L49 (2023), arXiv:2210.05202 [astro-ph.CO].
- [34] B. Wang and J.-J. Wei, *Astrophys. J.* **944**, 50 (2023), arXiv:2211.02209 [astro-ph.CO].
- [35] R. G. Landim, *European Physical Journal C* **80**, 913 (2020), arXiv:2005.08621 [astro-ph.CO].
- [36] M. W. Sammons, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **900**, 122 (2020), arXiv:2002.12533 [astro-ph.CO].
- [37] J. B. Muñoz, E. D. Kovetz, L. Dai, and M. Kamionkowski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 091301 (2016), arXiv:1605.00008 [astro-ph.CO].
- [38] Y. K. Wang and F. Y. Wang, *Astron. Astrophys.* **614**, A50 (2018), arXiv:1801.07360 [astro-ph.CO].
- [39] K. Liao, S. B. Zhang, Z. Li, and H. Gao, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **896**, L11 (2020), arXiv:2003.13349 [astro-ph.CO].
- [40] S. C. C. Ho, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **950**, 53 (2023), arXiv:2304.04990 [astro-ph.HE].
- [41] K. Krochek and E. D. Kovetz, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 103528 (2022), arXiv:2112.03721 [astro-ph.CO].
- [42] R. Gao, *et al.*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2312.12997 (2023), arXiv:2312.12997 [astro-ph.CO].
- [43] V. Ravi, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **949**, L3 (2023), arXiv:2211.09049 [astro-ph.HE].
- [44] Y.-K. Zhang, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **955**, 142 (2023), arXiv:2304.14665 [astro-ph.HE].
- [45] Y. Feng, *et al.*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2304.14671 (2023), arXiv:2304.14671 [astro-ph.HE].
- [46] F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1799 (1987).
- [47] H. Zhao, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **278**, 488 (1996), arXiv:astro-ph/9509122 [astro-ph].
- [48] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, *Astrophys. J.* **462**, 563 (1996), arXiv:astro-ph/9508025 [astro-ph].
- [49] A. C. Gordon, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **954**, 80 (2023), arXiv:2302.05465 [astro-ph.GA].
- [50] L. H. Cooke, *et al.*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **512**, 1012 (2022), arXiv:2203.00694 [astro-ph.GA].
- [51] D. M. Hewitt, *et al.*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2312.14490 (2023), arXiv:2312.14490 [astro-ph.HE].
- [52] F. Nesti and P. Salucci, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2013**, 016 (2013), arXiv:1304.5127 [astro-ph.GA].
- [53] Y. Bhusare, *et al.*, *The Astronomer's Telegram* **15806**, 1 (2022).
- [54] V. A. Fedorova and A. E. Rodin, *The Astronomer's Telegram* **15713**, 1 (2022).
- [55] W. Herrmann, *The Astronomer's Telegram* **15691**, 1 (2022).
- [56] F. Kirsten, *et al.*, *The Astronomer's Telegram* **15727**, 1 (2022).
- [57] O. S. Ould-Boukattine, *et al.*, *The Astronomer's Telegram* **15817**, 1 (2022).

- [58] D. Pelliciani, *et al.*, The Astronomer's Telegram **15695**, 1 (2022).
- [59] B. Perera, *et al.*, The Astronomer's Telegram **15734**, 1 (2022).
- [60] K. Rajwade, *et al.*, The Astronomer's Telegram **15791**, 1 (2022).
- [61] S. Sheikh, *et al.*, The Astronomer's Telegram **15735**, 1 (2022).
- [62] Z. Yu, *et al.*, The Astronomer's Telegram **15758**, 1 (2022).
- [63] W. van Straten, P. Demorest, and S. Osłowski, *Astronomical Research and Technology* **9**, 237 (2012), [arXiv:1205.6276 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [64] H. Cho, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **891**, L38 (2020), [arXiv:2002.12539 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [65] R. Luo, *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **586**, 693 (2020), [arXiv:2011.00171 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [66] H. Xu, *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **609**, 685 (2022), [arXiv:2111.11764 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).