Supernova burst and Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background simulator for Water Cherenkov Detectors*

Fumi Nakanishi ^(b),¹ Shota Izumiyama ^(b),² Masayuki Harada ^(b),¹ and Yusuke Koshio ^(b),³

 ¹Department of Physics, Okayama University 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama Okayama 700-8530, Japan
 ²Depertment of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 H-26, Oookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan
 ³Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),

The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

ABSTRACT

If a galactic supernova explosion occurs in the future, it will be critical to rapidly alert the community to the direction of the supernova by utilizing neutrino signals in order to enable the initiation of followup optical observations. In addition, there is anticipation that observation of the diffuse supernova neutrino background will yield discoveries in the near future, given that experimental upper limits are approaching theoretical predictions. We have developed a new supernova event simulator for water Cherenkov neutrino detectors, such as the highly sensitive Super-Kamiokande. This simulator calculates the neutrino interaction in water for the two types of supernova neutrinos described above. Its purpose is to evaluate the precision in determining the location of supernovae and to estimate the expected number of events related to the diffuse supernova neutrino background in Super-Kamiokande. In this paper, we describe the basic structure of the simulator and its demonstration.

Keywords: Neutrino telescopes (1105) – Supernova neutrinos (1666) – Astronomy software (1855)

1. INTRODUCTION

A core-collapse supernova (CCSN) is an explosion at the end of the evolution of a massive star and one of the most energetic astrophysical phenomena. It releases energy of ~ 10^{53} erg in total, 99% of which is released as neutrinos. A supernova, SN1987A, is the latest event that has been seen by eyes on the Earth. At that time, neutrino detectors, the Kamiokande-II (Hirata et al. 1987), the IMB (Bionta et al. 1987), and the Baksan experiments (Alexeyev et al. 1988), observed neutrinos. Since these are the first and last so far observations of neutrino biservations, studies to reveal the mechanism of the explosion is not yet well understood. Due to the limitation of neutrino observations, studies to reveal the mechanism are based on state-of-the-art numerical simulations. Early studies assumed the spherical symmetry of stars, for example, in the Wilson model (Totani et al. 1998). Thanks to the recent development in computers, multi-dimensional simulations have been developed and are available (Takiwaki et al. 2012; Hanke et al. 2013; Tamborra et al. 2014). While simulation studies have made great progress, there are growing expectations for the next observations of CCSN neutrinos. There are several large-volume neutrino telescopes in the world, such as Super-Kamiokande (SK) (Fukuda et al. 2003), IceCube (Aartsen et al. 2017), KM3NeT (Adrián-Martínez et al. 2016), and KamLAND (Suzuki 2014). There are also alert systems for follow-up observatories using these telescopes. For example, the SK has a real-time burst monitor and an alert system (Abe et al. (2016); Y.Kashiwagi et al. 2024, in

Corresponding author: Fumi Nakanishi nakanishi-suv@s.okayama-u.ac.jp

^{*} Released on March, 1st, 2021

NAKANISHI ET AL.

preparation) via the Global Coordinate Network (GCN 2023). In addition, those large-volume neutrino detectors are coordinated in the SuperNova Early Warning System (SNEWS) (Al Kharusi et al. 2021). Furthermore, unlike burst signals, it is thought that neutrinos generated by past supernovae have occurred in large numbers throughout the history of the universe. The flux from these is called Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background (DSNB) or Supernova Relic Neutrinos (SRN) (Malaney 1997; Hartmann & Woosley 1997). To further improve the sensitivity to the DSNB observation, SK was upgraded by adding gadolinium (Gd), which has the largest thermal neutron capture cross section among all elements, to the water in the detector. This upgrade is called SK-Gd (Abe et al. 2022).

We have developed a Super-Kamiokande SuperNova Simulator (SKSNSim)¹ to evaluate the detector response and sensitivity for supernova explosions (SN burst) and DSNB. SKSNSim, originally developed for the SK, is a simulator of neutrino interactions in water Cherenkov neutrino detectors and applies to any water medium detectors. In this paper, we describe the details of this simulator. Section 2 shows the basic simulation strategy and flow. We show the demonstration of simulation and its usage in Section 3. Finally, we summarize in Section 4.

2. SIMULATION STRUCTURES

SKSNSim is a simulator that calculates and outputs the kinematics of particles generated by neutrino interactions from the input flux of SN burst or DSNB. In this section, we explain the structure and flow of the simulation, consisting of neutrino fluxes, neutrino oscillation effects on propagation from the center of the star to the surface, and cross sections of neutrino with water. Because pipelines for the SN burst and the DSNB share some parts, like cross sections and methods to generate particles, SKSNSim is constructed for both cases.

We have implemented the main parts of SKSNSim with C++ programming language. The cross section model and output formats are modularized, making it easy to use them in external programs and update the models.

2.1. Simulation Flow

The software has two modes for the SN burst and the DSNB simulation. While some parts are common for both modes, there is a major difference: the SN burst flux is a function of time with sub-second units, whereas the DSNB flux is constant in time.

The SN burst simulation consists of the following steps; (1) calculating the average number of interacting neutrinos for each neutrino type in the defined time and energy bins from the neutrino flux, cross section, and neutrino oscillation effects, (2) throwing a random generator to get the number of interacting neutrinos according to a Poisson distribution, (3) determining the kinematics of particles generated by the neutrino interaction, such as vertex and momentum, generated in each event using random numbers, and (4) repeating from step 1 over the burst duration.

The following steps are used for the DSNB simulation: (1) throwing a random generator to get the neutrino's energy, (2) determining the kinematics of particles generated in each event using random numbers, (3) repeating from step 1 for the number specified by users. The number of necessary events often generates far more than DSNB prediction. In this case, the final number of events must be normalized by the observation time. In contrast to the SN burst steps, the DSNB steps do not include the neutrino oscillation effect in SKSNSim because most DSNB models include it already.

2.2. Input of Supernova Model

There are a variety of models, both for the SN burst and the DSNB, based on different simulation approaches. In dealing with any model, SKSNSim requires the original neutrino flux information for each time and energy in the SN burst simulation. In the case of DSNB simulation, the neutrino flux information for each energy is necessary. The details about the input model in the SN burst, and the DSNB simulation are written in the following sections.

2.2.1. SN burst

Many SN burst modelers publish their results with their data format to express the simulation results efficiently. We decided to support only the text format distributed by Nakazato et al. (2013) in the SN burst simulation to handle all models in a unified manner. This format includes differential neutrino number flux and differential neutrino luminosity for every time and energy bin and types of neutrino $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e, \nu_x, \bar{\nu}_x$. Here, ν_x represents ν_μ (ν_τ) because the distributions of ν_μ and ν_τ are equal, and also ν_μ and ν_τ of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV behave the same way in water Cherenkov detectors. The

¹ Available on the GitHub, https://github.com/SKSNSim/SKSNSim.

Model	Supported configuration	Reference
Nakazato	all parameters in the reference	Nakazato et al. (2013)
Mori	$9.6~M_{\odot}$	Mori et al. (2021)
Wilson	$20 M_{\odot}$	Totani et al. (1998)
Tamborra	27 M_{\odot} and "black" observer direction	Tamborra et al. (2014)
Fischer	$8.8~M_{\odot}$	Fischer et al. (2010)
Hüdepohl	full neutrino interactions and 8.8 M_{\odot}	Nomoto (1987)

Table 1. List of current supported SN burst models.

NOTE—Except for the Nakazato and Mori models, the flux variation is converted to the format that Nakazato et al. (2013) defined.

conversion to the input data format is detailed in Y.Kashiwagi et al. (2024, in preparation). SN burst models listed in Table 1 are implemented in SKSNSim.

2.2.2. DSNB

The time dependence of the DSNB flux is negligible for a typical observation period of ~ 10 years, such as for SK. Therefore, SKSNSim simulates DSNB events using only an energy spectrum written in text format without any time dependence. In addition, the DSNB simulation processes only $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux since a dominant interaction channel in water is inverse beta decay (IBD) in the typical energy region of the DSNB at a few tens of MeV. Users can specify any binned $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum to simulate the IBD interaction via a text file. With this advantage, the flux of other $\bar{\nu}_e$ sources, such as nuclear reactors, can be simulated with this tool. By default, it supports the $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux model provided by Horiuchi et al. (2009). Another characteristic of SKSNSim is that it is implemented to simulate events according to a flat energy spectrum in a positron energy space as well as a neutrino energy space. This implementation is useful in a "re-weighting" method. We explain in detail the use of the re-weighting method for the SK DSNB analysis in Section 3.2.

2.3. Neutrino Oscillation

In SKSNSim, we consider only the Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW) effect (Wolfenstein 1978; Mikheyev & Smirnov 1985) as the effect of neutrino oscillation. There are also collective oscillations (Duan et al. 2010) and an oscillation during propagation in a vacuum. However, these two oscillations are not taken into consideration during an SN burst because no method can easily implement the collective oscillation, and the vacuum oscillation is negligible compared to the MSW. As described above, the neutrino oscillation effect is not included in the DSNB simulation. This section describes the treatment and implementation of the MSW effect in the simulation of an SN burst.

The following equations represent the neutrino flux, including the MSW effect on the surface of the star $(N_{\bullet}^{\text{sur}})$ (Dighe & Smirnov 2000). Here, $N_{\nu_e}^{\text{gen}}$, $N_{\overline{\nu_e}}^{\text{gen}}$, and $N_{\nu_x}^{\text{gen}}$ represent the neutrino flux of ν_e , $\bar{\nu}_e$, and ν_x at generation, respectively. In this notation, $N_{\nu_x}^{\text{gen}}$ expresses the flux of ν_{μ} or ν_{τ} . The neutrino flux on the surface of the star in the normal mass ordering case shows

$$N_{\nu_{e}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\nu_{x}}^{\text{gen}}$$

$$N_{\nu_{\mu}}^{\text{sur}} + N_{\nu_{\tau}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\nu_{e}}^{\text{gen}} + N_{\nu_{x}}^{\text{gen}}$$

$$N_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{\text{gen}} \times \cos^{2}\theta_{12} + N_{\bar{\nu}_{x}}^{\text{gen}} \times \sin^{2}\theta_{12}$$

$$N_{\bar{\nu}_{u}}^{\text{sur}} + N_{\bar{\nu}_{\tau}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{\text{gen}} \times \sin^{2}\theta_{12} + N_{\bar{\nu}_{x}}^{\text{gen}} \times (1 + \cos^{2}\theta_{12}).$$
(1)

In contrast, in the inverted mass ordering case, the neutrino flux represents

$$N_{\nu_{e}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\nu_{e}}^{\text{gen}} \times \sin^{2} \theta_{12} + N_{\nu_{x}}^{\text{gen}} \times \cos^{2} \theta_{12}$$

$$N_{\nu_{\mu}}^{\text{sur}} + N_{\nu_{\tau}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\nu_{e}}^{\text{gen}} \times \cos^{2} \theta_{12} + N_{\nu_{x}}^{\text{gen}} \times (1 + \sin^{2} \theta_{12})$$

$$N_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\bar{\nu}_{x}}^{\text{gen}}$$

$$N_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{\text{sur}} + N_{\bar{\nu}_{x}}^{\text{sur}} = N_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{\text{gen}} + N_{\bar{\nu}_{x}}^{\text{gen}}.$$
(2)



Figure 1. Total cross sections of neutrinos with water as a function of neutrino energy. The solid red indicates IBD, and the green lines represent ES with a neutrino flavor: ν_e (solid), $\bar{\nu}_e$ (dotted), ν_x (dashed), and $\bar{\nu}_x$ (dot-dashed). The solid blue and dashed blue lines represent ¹⁶O CC ν_e and $\bar{\nu}_e$ respectively. The dot-dashed light blue line indicates ¹⁶O NC interaction. The cross sections are calculated according to Strumia & Vissani (2003) for IBD, Bahcall et al. (1995) for ES, Nakazato et al. (2018) for ¹⁶O CC interaction, and Langanke et al. (1996) and Kolbe et al. (2002) for ¹⁶O NC interaction.

In SKSNSim, the oscillation effect is considered by multiplying the expected value by the above formula. The oscillation effect (normal mass ordering, inverted mass ordering, and no oscillation) can be selected by the user.

2.4. Neutrino Interaction

We consider the following four types of neutrino interactions in SKSNSim with a large cross section in the supernova neutrino energy region observed in water. Figure 1 shows the cross section for each interaction.

> $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e/\nu_x/\bar{\nu}_x + e^- \rightarrow \nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e/\nu_x/\bar{\nu}_x + e^ \nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e + {}^{16}\text{O} \rightarrow e^-/e^+ + {}^{16}\text{F}/{}^{16}\text{N}$

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \to n + e^+$$
 Inverse Beta Decay, (3a)

$$\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e/\nu_x/\bar{\nu}_x + {}^{16}\text{O} \to p/n + \gamma + {}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{15}\text{O}$$
 Neutral-current reaction. (3d)

Inverse beta decay (IBD) reaction has the largest cross section for the neutrinos with water. The cross section of IBD is calculated as below based on Strumia & Vissani (2003),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{G_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\cos^{2}\theta_{\mathrm{C}}}{2\pi(s-m_{n}^{2})^{2}}|\mathcal{M}^{2}|,\tag{4}$$

where $G_{\rm F}$ is the Fermi coupling constant, $\theta_{\rm C}$ is the Cabibbo angle, *s* and *t* are the Mandelstam variables which are functions of each particle's momentum, m_p is the proton mass, and $|\mathcal{M}|$ is a matrix element, respectively. The order of the IBD cross section is 10^{-41} cm² for the typical supernova neutrino energies. SKSNSim includes the calculation by Vogel & Beacom (1999), Strumia & Vissani (2003) (default in SKSNSim), and Ricciardi et al. (2022). Users can switch between these calculations.

Electron scattering (ES) is a reaction in which a neutrino scatters with an electron, all flavors of neutrino contribute to this interaction. The order of the cross section is two orders of magnitude lower than the IBD cross section. However, IBD has almost no directional sensitivity to the supernova, whereas ES is an important reaction for determining the direction of the supernova in terms of having a strong directional correlation between neutrinos and scattered electrons. The basic equation for the reaction cross section is derived from the weak interaction, and this generator uses the following equation that takes radiative corrections into account according to Bahcall et al. (1995),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{2G_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}m_{e}}{\pi} \left\{ g_{L}^{2}(T) \left[1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} f_{-}(z) \right] + g_{R}^{2}(T)(1-z)^{2} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} f_{+}(z) \right] - g_{R}(T)g_{L}(T)\frac{m_{e}}{q} z \left[1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} f_{+-}(z) \right] \right\}$$
(5)

where m_e represents the electron mass, $T = E - m_e$ represents the kinetic energy of recoil electron, q represents incoming neutrino energy, and z = T/q respectively. Also, g_L and g_R indicate the left-handed and right-handed electron weak couplings, respectively, and $f_{+,-,+-}$ are correction factors from QED. Equation (5) corresponds to neutrinos, while the cross section of antineutrinos corresponds to an interchange of g_L and g_R .

The charged-current reaction with oxygen (16 O CC) in the supernova neutrino energy region is a process in which oxygen nuclei interact with neutrinos, leading to a giant resonance in which the entire assembly of nucleons resonates. An electron or positron is released from the oxygen nucleus for the charged-current reactions, and its nucleus changes to fluorine or nitrogen. The fluorine and nitrogen have many possible excited states. When the fluorine or nitrogen exceeds the particle emission threshold, particles such as protons, neutrons, and alpha are emitted according to their respective thresholds. What is emitted from the nucleus is determined by which channel the nucleus branches into during deexcitation. In this simulation, we consider 43 excited states, as listed in Table 2, with multiple channels considered for a single excited state. The total of 31 channels considered in SKSNSim is detailed in Table 3. The cross section is implemented according to Suzuki et al. (2018); Suzuki (2022, "private communication") and provided in SKSNSim¹.

In the case of a neutral-current reaction with oxygen (¹⁶O NC), SK is expected to detect gamma rays emitted during deexcitation, such as from nitrogen or oxygen. In SKSNSim, we only consider states that emit a single gamma-ray during the deexcitation of ¹⁵N or ¹⁵O, as Langanke et al. (1996) specifically consider states of ¹⁵N or ¹⁵O generated when a single proton or neutron is emitted from ¹⁶O. The cross section is implemented from Langanke et al. (1996) and Kolbe et al. (2002).

2.5. Output Format

This simulation results contain details regarding the interaction channel and particle kinematics for every event. Each neutrino event includes information about the particle type, time, and position of the neutrino interaction, as well as the particle type, direction, and energy of generated particles. This information is available in two data formats: the SK custom format employed in the SK offline analysis package and NUANCE format². For SK, the output information from SKSNSim is transferred to the detector simulation, including simulation of the trigger system, for further assessment of the detector response through the analysis pipeline. Following the simulation, the expected number of events for each interaction is recorded. Specific examples will be provided in the following section.

3. DEMONSTRATION

In this section, we describe demonstrations of SKSNSim. It generates observable particles in water Cherenkov detectors and can pass information about these particles to detector simulators in two modes: the SN burst and the DSNB mode. Section 3.1 provides examples of events generated by neutrino interactions in the SN burst mode and positron events generated by IBD in the DSNB mode. Section 3.2 explains how the generated events can be used for SK analysis.

3.1. Generated Neutrino Events

This section shows the simulation result of an SN burst and a DSNB using SKSNSim. The process begins by calculating the number of events for each interaction, followed by defining the kinematics for each event. Users have the flexibility to change the direction of the neutrino in each event and distance from an supernova to a detector. In both modes, the neutrino energy is determined by a random number that follows the energy distribution defined by the model, and the interaction vertex is generated uniformly inside the detector. Furthermore, using the previously determined energy and direction of the neutrino, the kinematics of outgoing particles, such as positrons in the IBD interaction, are determined based on their respective differential cross section.

Figure 2 shows the time, energy, and angular distributions of neutrino events interacting with water in the case of an SN burst. In the SN burst mode, the type of neutrino interaction, its time and vertex, as well as the type of generated particle, its direction, and energy, are stored as event information for a single supernova explosion. θ_{SN} represents the angle between neutrinos and a generated particle for each interaction. This case assumes the Nakazato model (Nakazato et al. 2013).

Figure 3 shows an example of positron energy distribution from 100,000 IBD events generated by DSNB under several assumptions in Horiuchi et al. (2009). The direction of neutrinos is determined isotropically.

² The documentation is available on http://neutrino.phy.duke.edu/nuance-format/.

NAKANISHI ET AL.

Table 2. List of excited states included in SKSNSim.

${}^{16}\Omega(\nu_{e} e^{-} N'')N'$	0^{-} (MeV)	$1^{-}(MeV)$	2^{-} (MeV)	$3^{-}(MeV)$	1^+ (MeV)
	14.006	15 157	15 205	15 250	19 664
	14.900	20 567	10.200	13.230	10.004
	27.000	20.007	19.415 21.617		19.451
	21.990	25.271	21.017		20.004
		25.514 25.718	22.475		21.937
		20.710	21.200		22.900
		20.720	21.020		24.431
		27.210	28.105		24.000
		20.141	20.922		20.112
		28.010			20.395
		29.200			27.412
		29.333			27.802
		29.975			28.082
		30.230			29.907
		30.803 21 754			21.004
		51.754			31.093 22.091
16 o (- + xr/l) xr/				a = (3 f x f)	<u>J2.901</u>
$^{10}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N^{\prime\prime})N^{\prime}$	$0^{-}(MeV)$	$1^{-}(MeV)$	$2^{-}(\text{MeV})$	$3^{-}(\text{MeV})$	$1^{+}(\text{MeV})$
	10.932	11.183	11.231	11.276	14.285
	23.606	16.593	15.439		15.052
	01010	10.00-	17 C 49		100
	24.016	19.297	17.043		16.305
	24.016	$19.297 \\ 21.540$	17.643 18.499		$16.305 \\ 17.558$
	24.016	$ \begin{array}{r} 19.297 \\ 21.540 \\ 21.744 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 17.643 \\ 18.499 \\ 23.281 \\ \end{array} $		16.305 17.558 18.589
	24.016	$ \begin{array}{r} 19.297 \\ 21.540 \\ 21.744 \\ 22.754 \end{array} $	17.643 18.499 23.281 23.849		$ \begin{array}{r} 16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ \end{array} $
	24.016	$19.297 \\21.540 \\21.744 \\22.754 \\23.244$	17.643 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479$
	24.016	19.297 21.540 21.744 22.754 23.244 24.167	17.643 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		16.305 17.558 18.589 20.052 20.479 21.393
	24.016	$19.297 \\21.540 \\21.744 \\22.754 \\23.244 \\24.167 \\24.541$	17.643 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214$
	24.016	$19.297 \\21.540 \\21.744 \\22.754 \\23.244 \\24.167 \\24.541 \\25.226$	17.643 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214 \\ 23.033$
	24.016	$19.297 \\21.540 \\21.744 \\22.754 \\23.244 \\24.167 \\24.541 \\25.226 \\25.379$	17.043 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214 \\ 23.033 \\ 23.423 \\$
	24.016	$19.297 \\21.540 \\21.744 \\22.754 \\23.244 \\24.167 \\24.541 \\25.226 \\25.379 \\26.001$	17.043 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214 \\ 23.033 \\ 23.423 \\ 23.703 \\ $
	24.016	19.297 21.540 21.744 22.754 23.244 24.167 24.541 25.226 25.379 26.001 26.276	17.643 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214 \\ 23.033 \\ 23.423 \\ 23.703 \\ 25.588 \\ $
	24.016	19.297 21.540 21.744 22.754 23.244 24.167 24.541 25.226 25.379 26.001 26.276 26.829	17.043 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214 \\ 23.033 \\ 23.423 \\ 23.703 \\ 25.588 \\ 26.705 \\ $
	24.016	19.297 21.540 21.744 22.754 23.244 24.167 24.541 25.226 25.379 26.001 26.276 26.829 27.780	17.043 18.499 23.281 23.849 24.129 24.948		$16.305 \\ 17.558 \\ 18.589 \\ 20.052 \\ 20.479 \\ 21.393 \\ 22.214 \\ 23.033 \\ 23.423 \\ 23.703 \\ 25.588 \\ 26.705 \\ 27.314 \\ 10.558 \\ 27.314 \\ 10.558 \\ 10.558 \\ 2$

NOTE—This table shows excited states for ${}^{16}O(\nu_e, e^- N'')N'$ and

 ${}^{16}O(\bar{\nu}_e, e^+ N'')N'$ case. Here, N' is a nucleus in which ${}^{16}F$ or ${}^{16}N$ changed after deexcitation, and N'' is a nucleus emitted by the deexcitation of ${}^{16}F$ or ${}^{16}N$. $J^{\pi} = 0^-, 1^-, 2^-.3^-$, and 1^+ are ${}^{16}O$ states.

References—Suzuki et al. (2018); Suzuki (2022, "private communication")

3.2. Usage for Super-Kamiokande

This section briefly explains the usage in the SK analysis. The simulator was originally designed for the analysis in SK, especially for sensitivity calculations to the SN burst (Y.Kashiwagi et al. 2024, in preparation) and the optimization of the DSNB analysis (Harada et al. 2023). The pipeline for the analysis consists of a neutrino interaction part (SKSNSim), the full detector simulation of generated particles, and the detailed analysis for specific studies. The SK

Table 3. List of channels included in SKSNSim.

		Reaction: ${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^-N'')N'$		
$16 O(\nu_{\rm e}, {\rm e}^- \gamma)^{16} F$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{\rm e},{ m e^{-}n}){ m ^{15}F}$			
${ m ^{16}O}(\nu_{\rm e},{\rm e^-p})^{15}{ m O}$	${}^{16}{\rm O}(\nu_{\rm e},{\rm e^-pn}){}^{14}{\rm O}$			
${ m ^{16}O}(u_{\rm e},{ m e^{-}2p})^{14}{ m N}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-3}\mathrm{He}){}^{13}\mathrm{N}$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{ m e},{ m e^-}lpha){ m ^{12}N}$		
${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{ m e},{ m e}^-N''){}^{13}{ m C}$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{ m e},{ m e^-}N^{\prime\prime}){ m ^{12}C}$	${}^{16}O(\nu_{e},e^{-}p\alpha)^{11}C$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N^{\prime\prime}){}^{10}\mathrm{C}$	
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N''){}^{12}\mathrm{B}$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{ m e},{ m e^-}N''){ m ^{11}B}$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{ m e},{ m e}^-N^{\prime\prime}){ m ^{10}B}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N^{\prime\prime}){}^{9}\mathrm{B}$	
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N''){}^{11}\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N^{\prime\prime}){}^{10}\mathrm{Be}$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{ m e},{ m e}^{-}N^{\prime\prime})^{9}{ m Be}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N''){}^{8}\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{ m e},{ m e}^-N'')^7{ m Be}$
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N''){}^{10}\mathrm{Li}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(u_{ m e},{ m e}^-N'')^9{ m Li}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{ m e},{ m e}^-N'')^8{ m Li}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{ m e},{ m e}^-N'')^7{ m Li}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{ m e},{ m e}^-N''){}^6{ m Li}$
${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{\rm e},{ m e}^-N''){}^6{ m He}$	${ m ^{16}O}(u_{ m e},{ m e^-}N^{\prime\prime}){ m ^5He}$	${ m ^{16}O}(\nu_{\rm e},{ m e}^-N'')^4{ m He}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\nu_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{-}N''){}^{3}\mathrm{He}$	
$^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{\rm e},{ m e}^{-}N'')^{3}{ m H}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\nu_{\rm e},{ m e}^-N'')^2{ m H}$			
		Reaction: ${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^+N^{\prime\prime})N^\prime$		
$16 O(\bar{\nu}_{e}, e^{+}\gamma)^{16} N$	${}^{16}O(\bar{\nu}_{e},e^{+}n)^{15}N$	${}^{16}O(\bar{\nu}_{e},e^{+}2n)^{14}N$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{13}\mathrm{N}$	
${}^{16}{ m O}(\bar{\nu}_{e},e^{+}{ m pn}){}^{14}{ m C}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+3}\mathrm{H}){}^{13}\mathrm{C}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(ar{ u}_{ m e},{ m e}^+N''){}^{12}{ m C}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{11}\mathrm{C}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{10}\mathrm{C}$
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{14}\mathrm{B}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N^{\prime\prime}){}^{13}\mathrm{B}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(ar{ u}_{ m e},{ m e}^+lpha){}^{12}{ m B}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{11}\mathrm{B}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{10}\mathrm{B}$
${}^{16}{ m O}(\bar{\nu}_{\rm e},{ m e}^+N'')^9{ m B}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\bar{\nu}_{\rm e},{ m e}^+N''){}^8{ m B}$			
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{12}\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^+N''){}^{11}\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^+N''){}^{10}\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{9}\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N'')^{8}\mathrm{Be}$
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{7}\mathrm{Be}$				
${}^{16}{ m O}(\bar{\nu}_{ m e},{ m e}^+N'')^9{ m Li}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\bar{\nu}_{ m e},{ m e}^+N'')^8{ m Li}$	${}^{16}{ m O}(ar{ u}_{ m e},{ m e}^+N'')^7{ m Li}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{6}\mathrm{Li}$	
${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^+N''){}^{6}\mathrm{He}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^{+}N''){}^{4}\mathrm{He}$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(\bar{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}},\mathrm{e}^+N''){}^{3}\mathrm{He}$		
${}^{16}{\rm O}(\bar{\nu}_{\rm e},{\rm e}^+N''){}^{3}{\rm H}$	${}^{16}{\rm O}(\bar{\nu}_{\rm e},{\rm e}^+N^{\prime\prime})^2{\rm H}$			

NOTE—This table shows channels for ${}^{16}O(\nu_e, e^-N'')N'$ and ${}^{16}O(\bar{\nu}_e, e^+N'')N'$ case. Here, N' is a nucleus in which ${}^{16}F$ or ${}^{16}N$ changed after deexcitation, and N'' is a nucleus emitted by deexcitation of ${}^{16}F$ or ${}^{16}N$.

References—Suzuki et al. (2018); Suzuki (2022, "private communication").



Figure 2. Distributions of neutrino events generated by a typical SN burst. These distributions show true kinematics without detector response. (a) Timing distributions of generated neutrino events. The left focuses on the neutronization burst and accretion phases. The right figure shows that of cooling phase. (b) Energy distribution of generated neutrino events integrated over all phases. The numbers in the legend are the mean energy for each neutrino type. (c) $\theta_{\rm SN}$ distribution between neutrinos and positrons in the IBD distribution, between neutrinos and electrons in the ES distribution, between neutrinos and electrons or positrons in the ¹⁶O CC, and between neutrinos and gamma-rays in the ¹⁶O NC. The model is Nakazato et al. (2013), for which the parameters of the progenitor are mass of $M = 20 M_{\odot}$, shock revival time of 200 ms, metallicity of Z = 0.02, and distance of d = 10 kpc. We assume no neutrino oscillation in these plots.



Figure 3. Energy spectra of positrons generated by DSNB with water via the IBD channel. Here, the calculation of Horiuchi et al. (2009) is used for the DSNB model, and Strumia & Vissani (2003) for the IBD cross section.

software package holds an internal data format that is consistent throughout the tools processing pipeline using the ROOT package (Brun & Rademakers 1997). The SK detector simulator simulates the detector response for particles generated in neutrino interactions, which are the output of SKSNSim.

In the case of an SN burst, the simulation flow is straightforward. SKSNSim generates the neutrino event information, and the detector simulator processes the output for each neutrino event. After that, the time series of neutrino events is considered. SK observes Cherenkov photons emitted by charged particles generated in a neutrino interaction. These photons may overlap with each other in neutrino events, especially under high event rates during the early phase. For this reason, the detected photons are ordered by arrival time independently of the neutrino event, and after being combined with the continuous detector noise, a trigger simulation is applied. After being reconstructed as SK events by trigger simulation, the timing profile and energy of neutrino emission from the SN burst, and the direction of the original supernova source are estimated (Y.Kashiwagi et al. 2024, in preparation).

In contrast, the DSNB process uses a different procedure (Harada et al. 2023). In the step of SKSNSim, we first generate the necessary number of positron events, assuming that the positron energy distribution is flat, and then determine the original $\bar{\nu}_e$ kinematics by throwing a random number according to the IBD differential cross section for each positron event. Each positron event is weighted according to the original neutrino energy distribution when making the positron energy distribution in the analysis pipeline. This method is called the "re-weighting" method. It can be applied with any $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum, for example, $\bar{\nu}_e$ from reactors, because only the IBD interaction of $\bar{\nu}_e$ is considered.

4. SUMMARY

SKSNSim is software to simulate neutrino interaction events of supernova bursts and diffuse supernova neutrino background. We have developed SKSNSim originally for SK and modified it for general purposes and public use. In this paper, we described the basic structures. It outputs the interaction position and the outgoing particles' type, direction, and energy according to the differential cross section of neutrino interactions in the water. Based on this output information, the detector simulator traces the Cherenkov photons emitted from the interacted particles. We demonstrated SKSNSim in both SN burst and DSNB and explained how it is used in these analyses. It applies to any water-based detector, for example, the Hyper-Kamiokande detector, which is now under construction (Wilson 2022).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Y. Kashiwagi for providing the text data of the SN burst models, and T. Suzuki for many useful suggestions about oxygen-excited states after neutrino interactions. We are also grateful for the helpful discussions with K. Scholberg, M. Nakahata, H. Sekiya, M. Ikeda, and G. Pronost. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ1609, JP23KJ0890, JP20J20189, and JP20H00162. This work was supported by JST, the establishment of university fellowships towards the creation of science technology innovation, Grant Number JPMJFS2112.

REFERENCES

- 2023, General Coordinates Network (GCN)
- Aartsen, M. G., Ackermann, M., Adams, J., et al. 2017, Journal of Instrumentation, 12, P03012, doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/12/03/P03012
- Abe, K., Haga, Y., Hayato, Y., et al. 2016, Astroparticle Physics, 81, 39, doi: 10.1016/j.astropartphys.2016.04.003
- Abe, K., Bronner, C., Hayato, Y., et al. 2022, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A, 1027, 166248, doi: 10.1016/j.nima.2021.166248
- Adrián-Martínez, S., Ageron, M., Aharonian, F., et al. 2016, Journal of Physics G Nuclear Physics, 43, 084001, doi: 10.1088/0954-3899/43/8/084001
- Al Kharusi, S., BenZvi, S. Y., Bobowski, J. S., et al. 2021, New Journal of Physics, 23, 031201, doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/abde33
- Alexeyev, E. N., Alexeyeva, L. N., Krivosheina, I. V., & Volchenko, V. I. 1988, Physics Letters B, 205, 209, doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(88)91651-6
- Bahcall, J. N., Kamionkowski, M., & Sirlin, A. 1995, PhRvD, 51, 6146, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.51.6146
- Bionta, R. M., Blewitt, G., Bratton, C. B., et al. 1987, PhRvL, 58, 1494, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.1494
- Brun, R., & Rademakers, F. 1997, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A, 389, 81, doi: 10.1016/S0168-9002(97)00048-X
- Dighe, A. S., & Smirnov, A. Y. 2000, PhRvD, 62, 033007, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.62.033007
- Duan, H., Fuller, G. M., & Qian, Y.-Z. 2010, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, 60, 569, doi: 10.1146/annurev.nucl.012809.104524
- Fischer, T., Whitehouse, S. C., Mezzacappa, A., Thielemann, F. K., & Liebendörfer, M. 2010, A&A, 517, A80, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/200913106
- Fukuda, S., Fukuda, Y., Hayakawa, T., et al. 2003, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A, 501, 418, doi: 10.1016/S0168-9002(03)00425-X
- Hanke, F., Müller, B., Wongwathanarat, A., Marek, A., & Janka, H.-T. 2013, ApJ, 770, 66, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/66
- Harada, M., Abe, K., Bronner, C., et al. 2023, ApJL, 951, L27, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acdc9e
- Hartmann, D. H., & Woosley, S. E. 1997, Astroparticle Physics, 7, 137, doi: 10.1016/S0927-6505(97)00018-2

- Hirata, K., Kajita, T., Koshiba, M., et al. 1987, PhRvL, 58, 1490, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.1490
- Horiuchi, S., Beacom, J. F., & Dwek, E. 2009, PhRvD, 79, 083013, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.083013
- Kolbe, E., Langanke, K., & Vogel, P. 2002, PhRvD, 66, 013007, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.66.013007
- Langanke, K., Vogel, P., & Kolbe, E. 1996, PhRvL, 76, 2629, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.2629
- Malaney, R. A. 1997, Astroparticle Physics, 7, 125, doi: 10.1016/S0927-6505(97)00012-1
- Mikheyev, S. P., & Smirnov, A. Y. 1985, Yadernaya Fizika, 42, 1441
- Mori, M., Suwa, Y., Nakazato, K., et al. 2021, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 2021, 023E01, doi: 10.1093/ptep/ptaa185
- Nakazato, K., Sumiyoshi, K., Suzuki, H., et al. 2013, ApJS, 205, 2, doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/205/1/2
- Nakazato, K., Suzuki, T., & Sakuda, M. 2018, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 2018, 123E02, doi: 10.1093/ptep/ptv134
- Nomoto, K. 1987, ApJ, 322, 206, doi: 10.1086/165716
- Ricciardi, G., Vignaroli, N., & Vissani, F. 2022, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2022, 212, doi: 10.1007/JHEP08(2022)212
- Strumia, A., & Vissani, F. 2003, Physics Letters B, 564, 42, doi: 10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00616-6
- Suzuki, A. 2014, European Physical Journal C, 74, 3094, doi: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3094-x
- Suzuki, T. 2022, "private communication"
- Suzuki, T., Chiba, S., Yoshida, T., Takahashi, K., & Umeda, H. 2018, Phys. Rev. C, 98, 034613, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.98.034613
- Takiwaki, T., Kotake, K., & Suwa, Y. 2012, ApJ, 749, 98, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/749/2/98
- Tamborra, I., Raffelt, G., Hanke, F., Janka, H.-T., & Müller, B. 2014, PhRvD, 90, 045032, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.045032
- Totani, T., Sato, K., Dalhed, H. E., & Wilson, J. R. 1998, ApJ, 496, 216, doi: 10.1086/305364
- Vogel, P., & Beacom, J. F. 1999, PhRvD, 60, 053003, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.60.053003
- Wilson, J. 2022, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.6693820
- Wolfenstein, L. 1978, PhRvD, 17, 2369, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.17.2369