

WDVV solutions associated with the genus one holomorphic differential

Chaabane REJEB*

Abstract

Consider the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ of genus one ramified coverings of fixed degree with $m + 1$ prescribed poles of order $n_0 + 1, \dots, n_m + 1$, respectively. Based on a recent formula proved in [35], we derive an explicit solution to the WDVV equations associated with the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold structure on $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ induced by the normalized holomorphic differential ϕ . The resulting solution is a function of $2 + 2m + \sum_{j=0}^m n_j$ variables and is written in terms of Bell polynomials, Eisenstein series as well as Weierstrass functions. In addition, by means of flat coordinates related to ϕ , we construct Landau-Ginzburg superpotentials for these Frobenius manifold structures.

MSC (2020) primary: 53D45, 35C05; secondary: 35G20, 58J60.

Keywords: WDVV equations, Frobenius manifolds, holomorphic differential, Bell polynomials, Eisenstein series, Weierstrass functions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Bell polynomials	3
2.2	Weierstrass functions and Eisenstein series	5
2.3	Frobenius manifold structures on Hurwitz spaces in genus one	8
2.3.1	Frobenius manifolds	8
2.3.2	Hurwitz spaces in genus one	9
2.3.3	Genus one bidifferential	10
2.3.4	Dubrovin's primary differentials and the corresponding Frobenius manifold structures	11
3	Abelian differentials and the corresponding Laurent series	14
3.1	Normalized holomorphic differential	14
3.2	Abelian differentials of the third kind	17
3.3	Abelian differentials of the second kind	21
3.4	Landau-Ginzburg superpotentials parametrization of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$	28
4	WDVV prepotential associated with the normalized holomorphic differential	34
4.1	The main result	34
4.2	Some special cases	47
4.3	Applications	58

*Université de Sherbrooke, CANADA. Email: chaabane.rejeb@usherbrooke.ca, chaabane.rejeb@gmail.com

1 Introduction

In this paper, our focus lies on providing explicit examples of genus one solutions to the Witten-Dijkgraaf-E.Verlinde-H.Verlinde (WDVV) associativity equations [16, 45]:

$$F_\alpha F_1^{-1} F_\beta = F_\beta F_1^{-1} F_\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N, \quad (1.1)$$

where $F = F(t^1, \dots, t^N)$ and F_α denotes the Hessian matrix of $\partial_{t^\alpha} F$ for each α with the requirement that the matrix F_1 is constant and nondegenerate. The Geometric framework behind the WDVV equations has been developed by Dubrovin, culminating in the remarkable theory of Frobenius manifolds [18].

A Hurwitz space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_g(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ of dimension N in genus g is the moduli space of branched coverings of genus g of the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 with N finite simple branch points and a prescribed ramification profile over the point at infinity of fixed branching degrees $n_0 + 1, \dots, n_m + 1$. The N simple branch points can be used as natural local parameters on the complex manifold \mathcal{M} . In [18], Dubrovin constructed a family of N semi-simple Frobenius manifold structures on \mathcal{M} , each of them is induced by a specific choice of particular differential ω_0 (called primary) defined on the underlying Riemann surfaces. The prepotential \mathbf{F}_{ω_0} of the obtained Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold determined by ω_0 arises as an example of quasi-homogeneous solutions to the WDVV equations (1.1). Nevertheless, calculating the explicit form of WDVV solutions $\{\mathbf{F}_{\omega_0}\}$ presents a notably intricate task.

This work aims to provide an answer to this question in the special case of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ in genus one and where the primary differential $\omega_0 = \phi$ is the normalized holomorphic differential, but without any restriction on the combinatorial parameters (n_0, \dots, n_m) . The expression for the obtained WDVV prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ associated with the holomorphic primary differential ϕ is stated in Theorem 4.1 below.

Before moving on to the ideas of our approach, let us review the known results in this direction. The first explicit example of a genus one prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ was obtained by Dubrovin [18] in the particular case where the Hurwitz space is that of the Weierstrass elliptic curves. Moreover, Bertola realized the ϕ -Frobenius manifold structure on the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$ as that on the orbit space of Jacobi group of type A_n and computed for $n = 2, 3, 4$ the corresponding WDVV solution [13, 14]. Other explicit examples are found in [15] and arose from the four dimensional Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold structure and its deformation on the space $\mathcal{H}_1(0, 0)$. The method employed to calculate the mentioned examples uses a Dubrovin bilinear pairing formula written in terms of objects defined on the underlying Riemann surfaces. We refer to Lecture 5 in [18] (and [40]) for details.

Recently, the author established in [35] a new alternative formula to calculate the WDVV prepotential of any Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold of dimension N in genus g . The structure of this formula is based on a precise duality relation between flat coordinates and on the degrees of the Dubrovin primary differentials. The concept of the degree of a primary differential ω_0 arises in a natural way as the power of λ (where λ defines a branched covering of the Riemann sphere) in the integral representation formula of ω_0 with respect to the canonical symmetric bidifferential $W(P, Q)$. Here we would like to point out that, as it was observed in [40] (see also [35]), the symmetric bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ offers a new viewpoint to redescribe the ingredients of the Frobenius manifold structure on the Hurwitz space \mathcal{M} in genus g . The Hurwitz-Frobenius manifolds in genus one and their ingredients, including the genus one bidifferential $W(P, Q)$, primary differentials and the corresponding prepotentials $\{\mathbf{F}_{\omega_0}\}$ are presented in the next section. The new method for calculating the WDVV solutions \mathbf{F}_{ω_0} is given by formula (2.56) below.

The first key element of our approach to obtaining the explicit expression for the prepotential

\mathbf{F}_ϕ is to rewrite the new formula (2.56) in the special case of the holomorphic differential which is of degree 0. This leads to formula (4.5) below which shows that only few terms are unknown and (most of them) occur as the first coefficients of the Laurent expansions of some meromorphic differentials near the poles of a genus one branched covering.

The calculation of these terms using flat coordinates associated with the holomorphic differential ϕ requires several technical steps and their expressions involve Weierstrass functions, Bell polynomials and Eisenstein series. In particular, we construct Landau-Ginzburg (LG) superpotentials of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we collect the properties of the main ingredients used in this work. This includes reviews on Bell polynomials, Weierstrass functions and the Dubrovin-Frobenius manifold structures on the considered Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$. Section 3 is technical, it is devoted to calculating the explicit form of the coefficients of the Laurent expansions of Abelian differentials of the second and third kind whose poles are among those of a fixed covering belonging to the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$. Section 4 focuses on the main result of this work and some applications. The last section deals with the WDVV solutions associated with the deformed Frobenius-Hurwitz manifold determined by the so-called holomorphic q -differential, with q being a fixed complex parameter.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Bell polynomials

This subsection deals with some properties of partial ordinary Bell polynomials that will be repeatedly used in this paper. The reader is referred to [6, 9, 11] for details.

Let $n \geq k \geq 0$ be two integers. The partial ordinary Bell polynomial $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ is defined by [6, 9, 11]

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,k} := \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) := k! \sum_{\Delta(n,k)} \left(\prod_{\mu=1}^{n-k+1} \frac{x_\mu^{j_\mu}}{j_\mu!} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where the summation is over the set $\Delta(n,k)$ of all partitions of n into k parts, that is, over all nonnegative integers j_1, \dots, j_{n-k+1} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 + \dots + j_{n-k+1} &= k; \\ j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + (n-k+1)j_{n-k+1} &= n. \end{aligned}$$

The partial ordinary Bell polynomials have the generating function:

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j t^j \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) t^n. \quad (2.2)$$

In particular, we have the following special cases of partial ordinary Bell polynomials:

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \mathcal{B}_{0,0} = 1; \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,0} = 0; \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,1} = x_n; \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,n} = x_1^n; \quad \mathcal{B}_{n,2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j x_{n-j}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, (2.2) implies that partial ordinary Bell polynomials enjoy the following recursion relation:

$$\mathcal{B}_{n+k,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,k)} \binom{k}{j} x_1^{k-j} \mathcal{B}_{n,j}(x_2, \dots, x_{n-j+2}). \quad (2.4)$$

The partial ordinary Bell polynomials are closely related to the (better-known) partial exponential Bell polynomials $\mathbf{B}_{n,k}$:

$$\mathbf{B}_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \frac{n!}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}\left(\frac{x_1}{1!}, \dots, \frac{x_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

The n th (exponential) complete Bell polynomial is defined by $\mathbf{B}_0 = 1$ and

$$\mathbf{B}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{B}_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}\left(\frac{x_1}{1!}, \dots, \frac{x_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\right). \quad (2.6)$$

The partial ordinary (and exponential) Bell polynomials $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ ($\mathbf{B}_{n,k}$) are homogeneous of degree k :

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,k}(cx_1, \dots, cx_{n-k+1}) = c^k \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}), \quad \forall c. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, according to formulas (11.11) and (11.12) in [9] (see also [11] (Chapter 3)), it is known that the partial exponential Bell polynomials satisfy the recurrence relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{n+1,k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) &= \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} x_{n+1-j} \mathbf{B}_{j,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-k+1}); \\ \mathbf{B}_{n+1,k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n+1}{j} x_{n+1-j} \mathbf{B}_{j,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), we easily see that the analogues of these formulas for the partial ordinary Bell polynomials take the following form:

$$\mathcal{B}_{n+1,k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \frac{k+1}{n+1} \sum_{j=k}^n (n+1-j) x_{n+1-j} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-k+1}); \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{n+1,k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \sum_{j=k}^n x_{n+1-j} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-k+1}). \quad (2.9)$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{B}_{n+1,k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \frac{k+1}{n+2+k} \sum_{j=k}^n (n+2-j) x_{n+1-j} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-k+1}). \quad (2.10)$$

In order to make formulas simpler during the upcoming sections, we introduce the following rational function involving partial ordinary Bell polynomials.

Definition 2.1 Let μ, k be two positive integers satisfying $\mu - k + 1 \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k} := \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{\mu+1})$ be the rational function defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k} := \frac{1}{(\mu - k + 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\mu} \left\{ \left((\mu + 1 - n) x_{\mu+1-n} \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{-k}{\ell} x_1^{-k-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2, \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}) \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\binom{-k}{\ell} := (-1)^\ell \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell}$ is the generalized binomial coefficient.

We have the following remarks:

1. The function $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ is polynomial in the variables $x_2, \dots, x_{\mu+1}$.
2. Because of the homogeneity property (2.7) of partial Bell polynomials, we observe that the function $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ satisfies:

$$\forall c \neq 0, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(cx_1, \dots, cx_{\mu+1}) = c^{1-k} \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{\mu+1}). \quad (2.12)$$

Lemma 2.1 *Let μ, k be two positive integers satisfying $\mu - k + 1 \neq 0$. Then the multivariate rational function (2.11) is also given by*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \binom{-k}{\ell} x_1^{-k-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{\mu-\ell+1}). \quad (2.13)$$

Proof: When $\mu = 1$, then we easily check that (2.13) is valid. Assume that $\mu \geq 2$. To simplify, let us introduce the following notation

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,\ell} := \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-\ell+1}), \quad \text{with } y_j = x_{j+1}.$$

Then from expression (2.11) for $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu - k + 1)x_1^k \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k} \\ &= (\mu + 1)y_\mu + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{-k}{\ell} (\mu + 1 - n) y_{\mu-n} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-\ell+1}) \\ &= (\mu + 1)y_\mu + y_0 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu} \binom{-k}{\ell} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell} + \sum_{n=1}^{\mu-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{-k}{\ell} (\mu + 1 - n) y_{\mu-n} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell} \\ &= (\mu + 1)\mathcal{B}_{\mu,1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell+1} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell} y_0^{-\ell} \left(\sum_{n=\ell}^{\mu-1} (\mu + 1 - n) y_{\mu-n} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell} \right) \\ &= (\mu + 1 - k)\mathcal{B}_{\mu,1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell+1} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{\mu + \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1} \\ &= (\mu + 1 - k)\mathcal{B}_{\mu,1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{k + \ell}{\ell + 1} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{\mu + \ell + 1}{\ell + 1} y_0^{-\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1} \\ &= (\mu + 1 - k) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\mu,\ell+1}}{(\ell + 1)y_0^\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,1}(y_1, \dots, y_\mu) = y_\mu$ in the third equality and applied recurrence relation (2.10) in the fourth equality. □

2.2 Weierstrass functions and Eisenstein series

In this subsection, basic properties of the Weierstrass functions are presented. There exist several texts on the subject of elliptic functions and related topics. For instance, we refer to the monographs [3, 5, 8, 12, 21, 26, 42].

Let τ be a complex number with strictly positive imaginary part and \mathbb{L} be the lattice $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$

generated by 1 and τ . The non-normalized Eisenstein series are defined on the upper half-plane by

$$G_{2k} = G_{2k}(\tau) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{L}, w \neq 0} w^{-2k}, \quad k \geq 2, \quad (2.14)$$

and $G_{2k+1} \equiv 0$. It is known that G_{2k} is a modular form of weight $2k$, which means that

$$G_{2k}\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^{2k} G_{2k}(\tau), \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.15)$$

Expression (2.14) can be extended to $k = 1$ in the following way:

$$G_2 = G_2(\tau) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(m + n\tau)^2}, \quad (2.16)$$

but then the series is not absolutely convergent. The Eisenstein series G_2 is a quasi-modular form of weight 2 [47], since it satisfies

$$G_2\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^2 G_2(\tau) - 2i\pi c(c\tau + d). \quad (2.17)$$

It is known that for each integer $k \geq 1$, the Eisenstein series G_{2k} is a periodic function of period 1 and has the following Fourier expansion (see for instance [5] (Section 3.10) and [8] (Chapter VI)):

$$G_{2k}(\tau) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} + 2 \frac{(2i\pi)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) e^{2in\pi\tau}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $\sigma_k(n)$ is the sum of the k -th powers of all the positive divisors of n . The \mathbb{L} -Weierstrass functions \wp , ζ and σ are respectively defined by:

$$\wp(v) = \wp(v|\tau) := \frac{1}{v^2} + \sum_{w \in \mathbb{L}, w \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{(v-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right); \quad (2.19)$$

$$\zeta(v) = \zeta(v|\tau) := \frac{1}{v} + \sum_{w \in \mathbb{L}, w \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{v-w} + \frac{1}{w} + \frac{v}{w^2} \right); \quad (2.20)$$

$$\sigma(v) = \sigma(v|\tau) := v \prod_{w \in \mathbb{L}, w \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{v}{w} \right) \exp\left(\frac{v}{w} + \frac{v^2}{2w^2} \right). \quad (2.21)$$

The function \wp is elliptic (doubly periodic) with respect to the lattice \mathbb{L} and the functions ζ and σ are quasi-elliptic since they satisfy (2.28) below.

Here is the list of properties of the three functions that will be important in what follows.

1. Differential equations for the \wp -function:

$$\wp'^2(v) = 4\wp^3(v) - g_2\wp(v) - g_3; \quad (2.22)$$

$$\wp''(v) = 6\wp^2(v) - \frac{g_2}{2};$$

$$\wp'''(v) = 12\wp'(v)\wp(v); \quad (2.23)$$

$$\wp^{(4)}(v) = 120\wp^3(v) - 18g_2\wp(v) - 12g_3,$$

where g_2 and g_3 are the Weierstrass invariants given by the Eisenstein series G_4 and G_6 :

$$g_2 = g(\tau) := 60G_4, \quad g_3 = g_3(\tau) := 140G_6. \quad (2.24)$$

2. The Weierstrass function ζ satisfies:

$$\zeta'(v) := -\wp(v). \quad (2.25)$$

3. The function ζ is the logarithmic derivative of σ :

$$\sigma'(v)/\sigma(v) = \zeta(v). \quad (2.26)$$

4. We have the following modular properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\left(\frac{v}{c\tau+d} \middle| \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) &= (c\tau+d)^{-1} \sigma(v|\tau); \\ \zeta\left(\frac{v}{c\tau+d} \middle| \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) &= (c\tau+d) \zeta(v|\tau); \\ \wp\left(\frac{v}{c\tau+d} \middle| \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) &= (c\tau+d)^2 \wp(v|\tau), \end{aligned} \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.27)$$

5. The functions ζ and σ satisfy the following quasi-periodicity properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(v + 2\omega_j) &= \zeta(v) + 2\zeta(\omega_j); \\ \sigma(v + 2\omega_j) &= -\sigma(v) e^{2\zeta(\omega_j)v + 2\omega_j\zeta(\omega_j)}, \end{aligned} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.28)$$

where $\omega_1 = 1/2$, $\omega_2 = \tau/2$ and $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ are the half periods.

6. The periods $\omega_1 = 1/2$, $\omega_2 = \tau/2$ and the quasi-periods of ζ are related by the Legendre relation:

$$2\omega_2\zeta(\omega_1) - 2\omega_1\zeta(\omega_2) = \tau\zeta(1/2) - \zeta(\tau/2) = i\pi. \quad (2.29)$$

7. Addition theorems for the functions \wp and ζ :

$$\wp(u+v) = -\wp(u) - \wp(v) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\wp'(u) - \wp'(v)}{\wp(u) - \wp(v)} \right)^2; \quad (2.30)$$

$$\zeta(u+v) = \zeta(u) + \zeta(v) + \frac{\wp'(u) - \wp'(v)}{2(\wp(u) - \wp(v))}. \quad (2.31)$$

8. The Laurent expansion of the Weierstrass functions \wp and ζ near the origin are given respectively by (see [5] (Theorem 1.11) and [8]):

$$\wp(v) = \frac{1}{v^2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (2\ell+1)G_{2\ell+2}v^{2\ell} = \frac{1}{v^2} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} (\ell+1)G_{\ell+2}v^{\ell}; \quad (2.32)$$

$$\zeta(v) = \frac{1}{v} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} G_{2\ell+2}v^{2\ell+1} = \frac{1}{v} - \sum_{\ell=3}^{\infty} G_{\ell+1}v^{\ell}, \quad (2.33)$$

where $G_{2\ell+1} \equiv 0$ and $G_{2\ell}$, $\ell \geq 2$, are the non-normalized Eisenstein series (2.14). Note that equalities (2.32) and (2.33) are valid whenever v satisfies

$$0 < |v| < \rho := \min \{|w| : w \in \mathbb{L}, w \neq 0\}.$$

9. The Jacobi (odd) function θ_1 is defined by

$$\theta_1(v) = \theta_1(v|\tau) := -i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{i\pi\tau(n+1/2)^2 + 2i\pi(n+1/2)v}. \quad (2.34)$$

Weierstrass functions are related to the Jacobi θ_1 -function by (see [12], Section 13.20, and [3, 42]):

$$\sigma(v|\tau) = e^{\zeta(1/2|\tau)v^2} \frac{\theta_1(v|\tau)}{\theta_1'(0|\tau)}; \quad (2.35)$$

$$\zeta(v|\tau) = \frac{\sigma'(v|\tau)}{\sigma(v|\tau)} = 2\zeta(1/2|\tau)v + \frac{\theta_1'(v|\tau)}{\theta_1(v|\tau)}; \quad (2.36)$$

$$\wp(v|\tau) = -\zeta'(v|\tau) = -2\zeta(1/2|\tau) - \frac{\theta_1''(v|\tau)}{\theta_1(v|\tau)} + \left(\frac{\theta_1'(v|\tau)}{\theta_1(v|\tau)} \right)^2. \quad (2.37)$$

2.3 Frobenius manifold structures on Hurwitz spaces in genus one

2.3.1 Frobenius manifolds

Definition 2.2 A \mathbb{C} -algebra (\mathcal{A}, \circ, e) supplied with a nondegenerate and symmetric inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Frobenius algebra if

- i) the algebra (\mathcal{A}, \circ, e) is associative, commutative with unity e ;
- ii) the multiplication “ \circ ” is compatible with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, in the sense that

$$\langle x \circ y, z \rangle = \langle x, y \circ z \rangle, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A Frobenius algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, e, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called semi-simple if it contains no nilpotent element.

Definition 2.3 Let \mathcal{M} be a complex manifold of dimension N . A semi-simple Frobenius manifold structure on \mathcal{M} is a data of $(\mathcal{M}, \circ, e, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, E)$ such that each tangent space $T_t\mathcal{M}$ is a semi-simple Frobenius algebra varying analytically over \mathcal{M} with the additional properties:

- F1)** the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_t$ on \mathcal{M} is flat (but not necessarily real and positive);
- F2)** the unit vector field e is flat with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the flat metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e. $\nabla e = 0$;
- F3)** the tensor $(\nabla_v \mathbf{c})(x, y, z)$ is symmetric in four vector fields $v, x, y, z \in T_t\mathcal{M}$, where \mathbf{c} is the symmetric 3-tensor
$$\mathbf{c}(x, y, z) := \langle x \circ y, z \rangle, \quad \forall x, y, z \in T_t\mathcal{M};$$
- F4)** the vector field E is covariantly linear, i.e. $\nabla \nabla E = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [E, e] &= -e, \\ [E, x \circ y] - [E, x] \circ y - x \circ [E, y] &= x \circ y, \\ (\text{Lie}_E \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)(x, y) &:= E \langle x, y \rangle - \langle [E, x], y \rangle - \langle x, [E, y] \rangle = (2 - D) \langle x, y \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

for some constant D , called the charge of the Frobenius manifold. The vector field E is called Euler vector field.

From [18], we know that each Frobenius manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \circ, e, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, E)$ gives rise to a quasi-homogeneous solution F to the WDVV equations (1.1), called the prepotential of the Frobenius manifold. For completeness, let us briefly describe the construction of the WDVV prepotential F . First, since the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is flat by **F1**), it follows that locally there exist flat coordinates $\{t^\alpha : 1 \leq \alpha \leq N\}$ such that the matrix $(\eta_{\alpha\beta}) = (\langle \partial_{t^\alpha}, \partial_{t^\beta} \rangle)_{\alpha\beta}$ is constant. Second, the symmetry property of the 4-tensor $\nabla \mathbf{c}$ in the condition **F3**) implies that there is a function $F = F(t^1, \dots, t^N)$ such that its third derivatives (referred to as the 3-point correlation functions in topological field theory) give the 3-tensor \mathbf{c} :

$$\partial_{t^\alpha} \partial_{t^\beta} \partial_{t^\gamma} F = \mathbf{c}(\partial_{t^\alpha}, \partial_{t^\beta}, \partial_{t^\gamma}). \quad (2.39)$$

In particular, the prepotential F is unique up to addition of quadratic polynomial functions of t^1, \dots, t^N . Third, due to the flatness property of the unit vector field e (i.e. $\nabla e = 0$), by making a linear change of flat coordinates, the unit field e can be taken of the form $e = \partial_{t^1}$. As a consequence, the entries of the constant Gram matrix of the flat metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfy

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \partial_{t^\alpha}, \partial_{t^\beta} \rangle = \partial_{t^1} \partial_{t^\alpha} \partial_{t^\beta} F.$$

Now observing that the Frobenius algebra multiplication “ \circ ” is given by

$$\partial_{t^\alpha} \circ \partial_{t^\beta} = \eta^{\gamma\varepsilon} \langle \partial_{t^\alpha} \circ \partial_{t^\beta}, \partial_{t^\varepsilon} \rangle \partial_{t^\gamma} = \eta^{\gamma\varepsilon} \mathbf{c}(\partial_{t^\alpha}, \partial_{t^\beta}, \partial_{t^\varepsilon}) \partial_{t^\gamma}, \quad \text{with } (\eta^{\alpha\beta}) = (\eta_{\alpha\beta})^{-1},$$

(summation over the repeated indices is assumed) and using (2.39), we conclude that the associativity property of the law “ \circ ” is equivalent to the WDVV equations (1.1) for F .

Lastly, let us deal with the quasi-homogeneity of the function F which occurs as a consequence of the requirements in (2.38). Indeed, (2.38) yields that the Lie derivative, along the Euler vector field E , of the symmetric 3-tensor \mathbf{c} satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} (Lie_E \mathbf{c})(x, y, z) &:= E \cdot \mathbf{c}(x, y, z) - \mathbf{c}([E, x], y, z) - \mathbf{c}(x, [E, y], z) - \mathbf{c}(x, y, [E, z]) \\ &= (3 - D) \mathbf{c}(x, y, z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Writing E in the form $E = \sum_\epsilon E^\epsilon(t) \partial_{t^\epsilon}$, with $t = (t^1, \dots, t^N)$ and making use of the requirement $\nabla \nabla E = 0$, we obtain $\partial_{t^\alpha} \partial_{t^\beta} E^\epsilon = 0$, for all α, β, ϵ . From this fact and relations (2.39) and (2.40), we arrive at

$$(Lie_E \mathbf{c})(\partial_{t^\alpha}, \partial_{t^\beta}, \partial_{t^\gamma}) = \partial_{t^\alpha} \partial_{t^\beta} \partial_{t^\gamma} E \cdot F = (3 - D) \partial_{t^\alpha} \partial_{t^\beta} \partial_{t^\gamma} F.$$

Thus the prepotential F is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree $3 - D$, up to an addition of quadratic polynomials in t^1, \dots, t^N . Here we point out that according to Dubrovin [18] (Lecture 1), a generalized quasi-homogeneity property is considered in the context of Frobenius manifolds. More precisely, a function f is called quasi-homogeneous of degree ν_f with respect to the Euler vector field $E = \sum_\alpha (d_\alpha t^\alpha + r_\alpha) \partial_{t^\alpha}$ if

$$E \cdot f = \nu_f f + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha\beta} t^\alpha t^\beta + \sum_\alpha B_\alpha t^\alpha + C. \quad (2.41)$$

2.3.2 Hurwitz spaces in genus one

Let m and n_0, \dots, n_m be nonnegative integers, with $\sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \geq 2$. The Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ in genus one is the moduli space of equivalence classes of branched coverings $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$, where

1. \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} is the complex torus corresponding to the lattice $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, with τ being a complex number such that $\Im\tau > 0$;

2. $\lambda : \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a meromorphic function of degree $\sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1)$ having $m + 1$ prescribed poles $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}$ of order $n_0 + 1, \dots, n_m + 1$, respectively. The meromorphic function λ can be identified with an \mathbb{L} -elliptic (doubly periodic) function on the complex plane;
3. The N zeros P_1, \dots, P_N of the differential $d\lambda(P)$ are simple and their finite λ -images $\lambda_1 := \lambda(P_1), \dots, \lambda_N := \lambda(P_N) \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct. The points P_1, \dots, P_N are known as the simple ramifications points of the covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$ and their λ -projections $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{C}$ are called simple branch points.

Two pairs $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$ and $(\mathbb{C}/\tilde{\mathbb{L}}, \tilde{\lambda})$ are called equivalent if there is a biholomorphic map $h : \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\tilde{\mathbb{L}}$ such that $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda} \circ h$.

According to the Riemann-Hurwitz formula the number N of finite branch points is determined by means of the number $m + 1$ of poles of λ as well as their orders $n_0 + 1, \dots, n_m + 1$. More precisely, we have

$$N = 2 + 2m + \sum_{i=0}^m n_i.$$

Furthermore, from [10, 29, 27], it is known that the already described Hurwitz space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ is a connected complex manifold of dimension N and the simple and finite branch points of a branched covering from \mathcal{M} serve as a system of local coordinates on \mathcal{M} . They also used as a set of canonical coordinates in the construction of the semi-simple Frobenius manifold structures on \mathcal{M} [18].

2.3.3 Genus one bidifferential

The bidifferential on the complex torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} is defined by

$$W(P, Q) = \left(\wp(z_P - z_Q | \tau) + 2\zeta(1/2 | \tau) \right) dz_P dz_Q, \quad (2.42)$$

where $P = z_P + \mathbb{L}, Q = z_Q + \mathbb{L} \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, z_P, z_Q \in \mathbb{C}$ and \wp, ζ are the Weierstrass functions defined by (2.19)-(2.20). According to the already listed properties of the functions \wp and ζ , we observe that the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ satisfies the following properties:

- i) It is a symmetric with respect to P and Q : $W(P, Q) = W(Q, P)$.
- ii) It has a pole of second order on the diagonal with biresidue 1:

$$W(P, Q) \underset{P \sim Q}{=} \left((z_P - z_Q)^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) dz_P dz_Q. \quad (2.43)$$

This follows directly from the Laurent expansion (2.32) of the function \wp near 0.

- iii) Using the quasi-periodicity properties (2.28) of the function ζ as well as the Legendre identity (2.29), we can see that periods of the differential $W(P, \cdot)$, with P fixed, are given by:

$$\oint_{Q \in a} W(P, Q) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \oint_{Q \in b} W(P, Q) = 2i\pi dz_P, \quad (2.44)$$

where $\{a, b\}$ is a canonical homology basis on \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} such that the cycles a and b are identified to be the segments $a = [x, x + 1]$ and $b = [x, x + \tau]$.

In the complex manifold $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$, the dependence of the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ on the branch points $\{\lambda_j\}_j$ of a covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$ is specified by Rauch's variational formulas [25, 34, 46]:

$$\partial_{\lambda_j} W(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} W(P, P_j) W(P_j, Q). \quad (2.45)$$

Here $W(P, P_j)$ denotes the evaluation of the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ at $Q = P_j$ with respect to the standard local parameter $\mathbf{x}_j(Q) = \sqrt{\lambda(Q) - \lambda_j}$ near the ramification point P_j :

$$W(P, P_j) := \left. \frac{W(P, Q)}{d\mathbf{x}_j(Q)} \right|_{Q=P_j}.$$

2.3.4 Dubrovin's primary differentials and the corresponding Frobenius manifold structures

Let $[(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)]$ be a point of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$. The genus one primary differentials on the torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} are conveniently expressed by means of the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ (2.42) as follows [18, 40]:

1. $\phi_{\mathbf{t}^i, \alpha}(P) := \frac{\sqrt{n_i + 1}}{\alpha} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i + 1}} W(P, Q), \quad \begin{array}{l} i = 0, \dots, m, \\ \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i; \end{array}$
2. $\phi_{\mathbf{v}^i}(P) := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q) W(P, Q), \quad i = 1, \dots, m;$
3. $\phi_{\mathbf{s}^i}(P) := \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} W(P, Q), \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad (2.46)$
4. $\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(P) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b W(P, Q) = dz_P;$
5. $\phi_{\mathbf{u}}(P) := \oint_a \lambda(Q) W(P, Q).$

Here the points $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}$ are the assigned $m + 1$ poles of the covering λ and $n_0 + 1, \dots, n_m + 1$ are their orders, respectively and $\{a, b\}$ is a canonical homology basis on \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} with $a = [x, x + 1]$ and $b = [x, x + \tau]$.

All the differentials listed in (2.46) share the following particular integral representation formula with respect to the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$:

$$\omega_0(P) = c_0 \int_{\ell_0} (\lambda(Q))^{d_0} W(P, Q), \quad (2.47)$$

where c_0 is a nonzero complex number, $d_0 \geq 0$ and ℓ_0 is a contour on the surface \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} assumed to satisfy the following two conditions:

- i) ℓ_0 does not pass through any of the ramification points P_j of the covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$;
- ii) the λ -projection $\lambda(\ell_0) \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of ℓ_0 is independent of the branch points $\{\lambda_j\}_j$, where by this we mean that the contour $\lambda(\ell_0)$ does not change under small variations of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$.

These conditions imply that derivation, with respect to λ_j , under the integral sign in (2.47) is permitted and due to the Rauch variational formulas (2.45), we have

$$\partial_{\lambda_j} \omega_0(P) = \frac{1}{2} \omega_0(P_j) W(P, P_j), \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_0(P_j) := \left. \frac{\omega_0(P)}{d\mathbf{x}_j(P)} \right|_{P=P_j}.$$

Definition 2.4 [35] A differential ω_0 of the form (2.47) is called quasi-homogeneous differential and the corresponding nonnegative number d_0 is called the quasi-homogeneous degree of ω_0 .

The degrees of the primary differentials (2.46) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^i, \alpha}) &= \frac{\alpha}{n_i + 1}; \\ \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{v}^i}) &= \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{u}}) = 1; \\ \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^i}) &= \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

• **Darboux-Egoroff metrics and the corresponding flat coordinates:** To each differential ω_0 among the list (2.46), Dubrovin associated a Darboux-Egoroff metric (i.e. diagonal with diagonal terms generated by a potential)

$$\eta(\omega_0) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_j (\omega_0(P_j))^2 (d\lambda_j)^2 = \sum_j \left(\operatorname{res}_{P_j} \frac{\omega_0(P)^2}{d\lambda(P)} \right) (d\lambda_j)^2 \tag{2.49}$$

which is defined on the open subset \mathcal{M}_{ω_0} of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ determined by the conditions

$$\omega_0(P_j) \neq 0, \quad \forall j. \tag{2.50}$$

The rotation coefficients of the metric $\eta(\omega_0)$ do not depend on the chosen primary differential ω_0 and are given by [40]

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} W(P_i, P_j) = \frac{W(P_i, P_j)}{dx_i(P) dx_j(P)}, \quad i \neq j, \quad \mathbf{x}_j = \sqrt{\lambda(P) - \lambda_j}.$$

Moreover, the following N functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}^{i, \alpha}(\omega_0) &:= \frac{\sqrt{n_i + 1}}{\alpha} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i + 1}} \omega_0(P), & i = 0, \dots, m, \\ & & \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i; \\ \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_0) &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P) \omega_0(P), & i = 1, \dots, m; \\ \mathbf{s}^i(\omega_0) &:= p.v. \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} \omega_0(P), & i = 1, \dots, m; \\ \mathbf{r}(\omega_0) &:= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b \omega_0(P); \\ \mathbf{u}(\omega_0) &:= \oint_a \lambda(P) \omega_0(P) \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

give a system of flat coordinates of the metric $\eta(\omega_0)$ and the nonzero entries of the constant Gram matrix of the metric $\eta(\omega_0)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\omega_0) (\partial_{\mathbf{t}^i, \alpha}(\omega_0), \partial_{\mathbf{t}^j, \beta}(\omega_0)) &= \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha + \beta, n_j + 1}; \\ \eta(\omega_0) (\partial_{\mathbf{v}^i}(\omega_0), \partial_{\mathbf{s}^j}(\omega_0)) &= \delta_{ij}; \\ \eta(\omega_0) (\partial_{\mathbf{r}}(\omega_0), \partial_{\mathbf{u}}(\omega_0)) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

From [18], it is known that the principal value in the flat function \mathbf{s}^i is defined by omitting the divergent part of the integral as a function of the local parameters $\mathbf{z}_0(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_0 + 1}}$, $\mathbf{z}_i(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_i + 1}}$ near the points ∞^0 and ∞^i , respectively.

• **Duality relations:** As it has been observed in [35], from (2.52), it follows that all the rows of the constant matrix of the Darboux-Egoroff metric $\eta(\omega_0)$ contain exactly one nonzero entry which is 1, bringing to duality relations between flat coordinates (2.51)

$$\mathcal{S}(\omega_0) := \{\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\omega_0), \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_0), \mathbf{s}^i(\omega_0), \mathbf{r}(\omega_0), \mathbf{u}(\omega_0)\}.$$

More precisely, two flat coordinates $\mathbf{t}^A, \mathbf{t}^{A'} \in \mathcal{S}(\omega_0)$ are called dual to each other with respect to the metric $\eta(\omega_0)$ if

$$\eta(\omega_0)(\partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0)}, \partial_{\mathbf{t}^{A'}(\omega_0)}) = 1. \quad (2.53)$$

Due to (2.52), the operations $\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}, \mathbf{v}^i, \mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}$ and the corresponding primary differentials (2.46) are connected by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}^{(i,\alpha)'}(\omega_0) &= \mathbf{t}^{i,n_i+1-\alpha}(\omega_0), & \mathbf{v}^{i'}(\omega_0) &= \mathbf{s}^i(\omega_0), & \mathbf{r}'(\omega_0) &= \mathbf{u}(\omega_0); \\ \phi_{\mathbf{t}^{(i,\alpha)'}}(P) &= \phi_{\mathbf{t}^{i,n_i+1-\alpha}}(P), & \phi_{\mathbf{v}^{i'}}(P) &= \phi_{\mathbf{s}^i}(P), & \phi_{\mathbf{r}'}(P) &= \phi_{\mathbf{u}}(P). \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

Moreover, relations (2.48) together with (2.54) show that the degrees of primary differentials (2.46) enjoy the following duality relation:

$$\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}) + \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{A'}}) = 1, \quad \text{for all A.} \quad (2.55)$$

• **Prepotential:** The following formula, proved in [35], offers a new method to calculate the WDVV prepotential of the semi-simple Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold structure on the open set \mathcal{M}_{ω_0} (2.50) induced by the quasi-homogeneous differential ω_0 (2.47):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0} &= \frac{1}{2(1+d_0)} \sum_{A,B} \frac{((d_0 + d_A)\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0) + \rho_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}})((d_0 + d_B)\mathbf{t}^B(\omega_0) + \rho_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^B}})}{1 + d_0 + d_{A'}} \mathbf{t}^{A'}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{B'}}) \\ &+ \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_0)\mathbf{v}^j(\omega_0) - \frac{3}{4(1+d_0)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_0+1} + \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n_i+1} \right) \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_0)\mathbf{v}^j(\omega_0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

where $d_0, d_A, d_{A'}$ are respectively the degrees of the quasi-homogeneous differentials $\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^{A'}}$ and $\mathbf{t}^{A'}$ is the dual coordinate of \mathbf{t}^A (2.53)-(2.54), while $\rho_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}}$ is the constant determined by:

$$\rho_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{s}^i}} \delta_{\phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}, \phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}} \left(\frac{1}{n_0+1} + \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n_i+1} \right) = \rho_{\phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}, \omega_0}. \quad (2.57)$$

Because of the added quadratic terms in formula (2.56), the functions $\{\mathbf{F}_{\omega_0}\}$ share the Hessian matrix which is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0)} \partial_{\mathbf{t}^B(\omega_0)} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{t}^{A'}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{B'}}) + \mathbf{t}^{B'}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{A'}}) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{t}^{A'}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{B'}}) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (1 - \delta_{ij}) \delta_{\mathbf{t}^A, \mathbf{v}^i} \delta_{\mathbf{t}^B, \mathbf{v}^j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

Lastly, let us point out that with respect to flat coordinates (2.51), the ingredients of the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold associated with the differential ω_0 are as follows [18, 40, 35] (using notation of [35]):

i) the unit vector field is $e = \partial_{\mathbf{t}^{A'_0}}$, whenever $\omega_0 = \phi_{\mathbf{t}^{A_0}}$;

ii) the Euler vector field is given by

$$E = \sum_A ((d_0 + d_A)\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0) + \rho_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}}) \partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0)}, \quad (2.59)$$

where $d_0 = \deg(\omega_0)$, $d_A = \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^A})$ and $\rho_{\omega_0, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}}$ is the constant (2.57);

iii) the symmetric 3-tensor \mathbf{c}_{ω_0} has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{\omega_0}(\partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0)}, \partial_{\mathbf{t}^B(\omega_0)}, \partial_{\mathbf{t}^C(\omega_0)}) &= \partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0)} \partial_{\mathbf{t}^B(\omega_0)} \partial_{\mathbf{t}^C(\omega_0)} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}(P_j) \phi_{\mathbf{t}^B}(P_j) \phi_{\mathbf{t}^C}(P_j)}{\omega_0(P_j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

We also emphasize that the WDVV equations (1.1) for the function \mathbf{F}_{ω_0} (2.56) take the following form

$$\mathbf{F}_{\omega_0, \alpha AC} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0, \beta BC'} = \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0, \beta AC} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0, \alpha BC'}, \quad A, B, C, \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.61)$$

where $N = 2 + 2m + \sum_{j=0}^m n_j$ and

$$\mathbf{F}_{\omega_0, ABC} := \partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_0)} \partial_{\mathbf{t}^B(\omega_0)} \partial_{\mathbf{t}^C(\omega_0)} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_0}.$$

Equations (2.61) follows from the fact that the constant Gram matrix η of the metric $\eta(\omega_0)$ satisfies $\eta^{AB} = \delta_{B, A'}$, by (2.52).

3 Abelian differentials and the corresponding Laurent series

Throughout this section $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$ denotes a branched covering of the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 , with λ being a meromorphic function having $m+1$ poles $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}$ of order n_0+1, \dots, n_m+1 , respectively. This section delves into the explicit form of Abelian differentials of the second and third kind whose poles are among the points $\{\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m\}$, along with their Laurent expansions near these points. The obtained results involve some variables related to the normalized holomorphic differential and will serve as a crucial tool within the next sections.

3.1 Normalized holomorphic differential

The normalized holomorphic differential on the complex torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} is defined by

$$\phi(P) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b W(P, Q) = dz_P \quad (3.1)$$

and its periods are given by

$$\oint_a \phi = 1, \quad \oint_b \phi = \tau.$$

For $j = 0, \dots, m$, consider the (genus one) Abel map $\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j) = \int_{\infty^j}^P \phi = z_P - \infty^j. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, $\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j)$ vanishes at $P = \infty^j$ and its Taylor expansion near the point $P = \infty^j$ is of the following form:

$$\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x_r(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^r, \quad \lambda(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{-n_j-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

The coefficients $x_r(j)$ in (3.3) occur also in the Taylor expansion for the holomorphic differential ϕ near the point $P = \infty^j$:

$$\phi(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P), \quad \lambda(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{-n_j-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

This implies that

$$x_r(j) = \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P)^{\frac{r}{n_j+1}} \phi(P), \quad \forall r \geq 1 \quad (3.5)$$

and, since the genus one normalized holomorphic differential has no zero, we have

$$x_1(j) \neq 0, \quad \forall j = 0, \dots, m.$$

In addition, in view of (3.5) and the residue theorem applied to the differential $\lambda(P)\phi(P) = \lambda(P)dz_P$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) x_{n_j+1}(j) = \sum_{j=0}^m \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P)\phi(P) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

We now introduce the following operators related to holomorphic differential ϕ which are of particular importance in this paper.

Definition 3.1 *Let α be a positive integer and $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}$ be the partial ordinary Bell polynomials defined by (2.1)-(2.2). For $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, we define the (j, α) -Bell operator associated with the holomorphic differential ϕ by*

$$\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi} := \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha-k+1}(j)) \partial_v^k, \quad (3.7)$$

where ∞^j is one of poles of the covering λ of order $n_j + 1$, the coefficients $x_k(j)$ are defined by (3.5) and v is a variables independent of $x_r(j)$.

Let us mention that the Bell operator $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi}$ acts naturally on functions as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi}[f](v) := \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha-k+1}(j)) f^{(k)}(v). \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, using the homogeneity property (2.7) of partial exponential Bell polynomials, we see that the Bell operator (3.7) can also be expressed by means of partial and complete exponential Bell polynomials (2.5)-(2.6):

$$\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi} = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha,k} \left(1!x_1(j), \dots, (\alpha - k + 1)!x_{\alpha-k+1}(j) \right) \partial_v^k = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha} \left(1!x_1(j)\partial_v, \dots, \alpha!x_{\alpha}(j)\partial_v \right).$$

For future use, we will take into account the following Laurent expansions near the prescribed poles $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$ of the covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$.

Proposition 3.1 For $j = 0, \dots, m$, let ∞^j be a pole of λ of order $n_j + 1$ and $\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j)$ be the function defined by (3.2). Then for any positive integer k we have

$$(\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^k \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(j)) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^n; \quad (3.9)$$

$$(\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{-k} \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{1}{(x_1(j))^{k+\ell}} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}(j)) \right\} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n-k}. \quad (3.10)$$

Here $\mathbf{z}_j(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_j+1}}$ is the local parameter induced by the covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$, $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ denotes the partial exponential Bell polynomials defined by (2.1) and (2.2) and $x_r(j)$ are the coefficients in the Taylor expansion (3.4) of the normalized holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$ defined by (3.5).

Proof: Formula (3.9) follows from (3.3) and generating function (2.2) for partial Bell polynomials. Using again Taylor's expansion (3.3) for the Abel map and (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{-k} &\underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \frac{1}{(x_1(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P))^k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_1(j)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x_{r+1}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^r \right)^{-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_1(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P))^k} + \frac{1}{(x_1(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P))^k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{1}{(x_1(j))^\ell} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x_{r+1}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^r \right)^\ell \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_1(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P))^k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{1}{(x_1(j))^{k+\ell}} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}(j)) \right\} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\mathcal{B}_{n,0} = \delta_{n,0}$ we arrive at (3.10). \square

Corollary 3.1 With the notation of the preceding proposition, the following two equalities hold true for any positive integers α, k :

$$\frac{\alpha}{k+1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k+1}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha-k}(j)) = \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^k \phi(P) \right) \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\alpha \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+k}(j)) = \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{-k} \phi(P) \right), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}$ is the rational function given by (2.11) and (2.13).

Proof: Using the Laurent expansions (3.4) and (3.9) and applying recurrence relation (2.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} (z_P - \infty^j)^k \phi(P) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{res}_0 \left\{ \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(j)) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n+r-\alpha-1} d\mathbf{z}_j(P) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=k}^{\alpha-1} (\alpha - n) x_{\alpha-n}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(j)) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{k+1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k+1}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha-k}(j)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (3.4), (3.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} (z_P - \infty^j)^{-k} \phi(P) \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha+k-1} \left\{ (\alpha+k-n) x_{\alpha+k-n}(j) \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{-k}{\ell} \frac{1}{(x_1(j))^{k+\ell}} \mathbf{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}(j)) \right\} \\
&= \alpha \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+k}(j)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

At the end of this subsection let us emphasize that the genus one bidifferential (2.42) can be rewritten in terms of the normalized holomorphic differential (3.1) as follows:

$$W(P, Q) = \left(\wp(z_P - z_Q | \tau) + 2\zeta(1/2 | \tau) \right) \phi(P) \phi(Q). \quad (3.13)$$

This formula and expansions (3.3), (3.4), (3.9) and (3.10) serve as key ingredients for deriving explicit forms for Abelian differentials of the second and third kind, as well as for their Laurent series expansions near the prescribed poles $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$ of the covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$. This will be discussed in the upcoming two subsections.

3.2 Abelian differentials of the third kind

In this subsection we are interested in the Laurent expansions for the Abelian differentials of the third kind $\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j} := \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} W(P, Q)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, near the poles $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$ of the given covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$. We start with the following explicit formula for the differential $\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P)$ involving the Weierstrass zeta function (2.20).

Proposition 3.2 *For $j = 1, \dots, m$, the normalized Abelian differential of the third kind $\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P)$ is given by*

$$\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P) = \left(2\zeta(1/2)(\infty^j - \infty^0) + \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \right) \phi(P), \quad (3.14)$$

where ϕ is the normalized holomorphic differential (3.1). The periods of the differential $\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}$ are such that

$$\oint_a \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \oint_b \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j} = 2i\pi(\infty^j - \infty^0). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof: Due to relations (2.25), (2.42) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P) &:= \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} W(P, Q) = \left(\int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} \left(\wp(z_P - z_Q) + 2\zeta(1/2) \right) dz_Q \right) dz_P \\
&= \left(2\zeta(1/2)(\infty^j - \infty^0) + \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \right) \phi(P).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the periods (3.15) using successively formula (3.14), equality (2.26) which states that $\zeta(u) = \partial_u \log(\sigma(u))$, the quasi-periodicity property (2.28) of the Weierstrass function σ and the Legendre identity (2.29).

□

The purpose of the next theorem is to calculate the quantities:

$$\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P), \quad \forall \alpha \geq 1. \quad (3.16)$$

To simplify, in what follows, we shall frequently use the following convention: for any positive integers k_0, μ , we understand the sum $\sum_{\nu=k_0}^{\mu}$ in the sense that

$$\sum_{\nu=k_0}^{\mu} := \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{k_0-1} \delta_{\mu,j}\right) \sum_{\nu=k_0}^{\mu}, \quad (3.17)$$

(with δ being the Kronecker delta), that is the sum $\sum_{\nu=k_0}^{\mu}$ is 0 when $\mu < k_0$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and α be a positive integer. For $n \geq k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}(i) := \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(i), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(i))$ be the partial Bell polynomials (2.1), where the variables $\{x_n(i)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are defined by (3.5).*

1) *If $i \neq j$ and $i \neq 0$, then the quantity (3.16) is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] &= 2\alpha x_\alpha(i)(\infty^j - \infty^0)\zeta(1/2) \\ &+ \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(i) \left(\zeta^{(k-1)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k-1)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \\ &= 2\alpha x_\alpha(i)(\infty^j - \infty^0)\zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^i - \infty^j) - \alpha \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^i - \infty^0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(u) = \log \sigma(u)$ and $\mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}]$ is the function defined by (3.8).

2) *When $j = i$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{j,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] &= 2\alpha x_\alpha(j)(\infty^j - \infty^0)\zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+1}(j)) \\ &- \alpha \sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j)}{\ell} G_\ell - \alpha \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^j - \infty^0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}$ is the rational function given by (2.11) and (2.13) and G_ℓ are the Eisenstein series (2.14).

3) *Assume that $i = 0$. Then the quantity $\mathcal{I}_{0,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}]$ is of the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{0,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] &= 2\alpha x_\alpha(0)(\infty^j - \infty^0)\zeta(1/2) - \alpha \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(x_1(0), \dots, x_{\alpha+1}(0)) \\ &+ \alpha \sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(0)}{\ell} G_\ell + \alpha \mathbf{L}_{0,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^0 - \infty^j). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Using (3.17), the sum $\sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha}$ in formulas (3.19) and (3.20) takes the value 0 for $\alpha < 4$.

Proof: Consider the meromorphic function h on the complex torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} defined by

$$h_{0j}(P) := \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0), \quad j = 1, \dots, m \quad (3.21)$$

which appears in expression (3.14) for the differential $\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P)$. The proof of the theorem relies essentially on the asymptotic behavior of the meromorphic h_{0j} near the point ∞^i with respect to the standard local parameter $\mathbf{z}_i(P)$ defined by $\lambda(P) = \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{-n_i-1}$.

1) Assume that $i \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$. We observe that the function h_{0j} (3.21) is holomorphic on a small neighborhood of the point ∞^i and its Taylor series at $P = \infty^i$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{0j}(P) &:= \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \\
&= \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^j + z_P - \infty^i) - \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^0 + z_P - \infty^i) \\
&\underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} h_{0j}(\infty^i) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \frac{(z_P - \infty^i)^k}{k!} \\
&= h_{0j}(\infty^i) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \left[\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right] \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x_r(i) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^r \right)^k \right) \\
&= h_{0j}(\infty^i) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \left(\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(i) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^n \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.3) in the forth equality and (3.9) in the last one.

This and (3.4) imply that the Taylor expansion for the Abelian differential (3.14) near the point $P = \infty^i$ takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P) &:= \left(2\zeta(1/2)(\infty^j - \infty^0) + h_{0j}(P) \right) \phi(P) \\
&\underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} \left(2\zeta(1/2)(\infty^j - \infty^0) + \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(i) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_i(P) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \left(\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(i) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(i) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{n+r-1} \right\} \right) d\mathbf{z}_i(P).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P) \\
&= \alpha x_\alpha(i) \left(2\zeta(1/2)(\infty^j - \infty^0) + \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1}) \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \left(\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \sum_{n=k}^{\alpha-1} (\alpha - n) x_{\alpha-n}(i) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(i) \right\} \\
&= 2\alpha x_\alpha(i) (\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha x_\alpha(i) \left(\zeta(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1}) \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k+1}(i) \left(\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \\
&= 2\alpha x_\alpha(i) (\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k+1}(i) \left(\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) - \zeta^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^0) \right) \\
&= 2\alpha x_\alpha(i) (\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^i - \infty^j) - \alpha \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^i - \infty^0),
\end{aligned}$$

where we applied recurrence formula (2.8) for partial Bell polynomials in the third equality and the relation $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}(i) = x_\alpha(i)$ in the forth equality. In the last equality, we have used expressions (3.7) and (3.8) for the Bell operator $\mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi$ acting on the function $\mathcal{K}(u) = \log \sigma(u)$. This establishes (3.18).

2) Making use of (3.9), (3.10) with $k = 1$ and the Laurent series (2.33) for the Weierstrass zeta function near 0, we see that the meromorphic function h_{0j} (3.21) behaves as follows near the point

∞^j :

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{0j}(P) &:= \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \\
&\stackrel{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \frac{1}{z_P - \infty^j} - \sum_{\ell=3}^{\infty} G_{\ell+1} (z_P - \infty^j)^\ell - \zeta(\infty^j - \infty^0) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^j - \infty^0)}{k!} (z_P - \infty^j)^k \\
&= -\zeta(\infty^j - \infty^0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(x_1(j))^{\ell+1}} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}(j)) \right\} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n-1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} G_{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^n - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^j - \infty^0)}{k!} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^n \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As consequence, the Laurent series of the differential $\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P)$ near $P = \infty^j$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P) &:= \left(2(\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) + h_{0j}(P) \right) \phi(P) \\
&\stackrel{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(2(\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) - \zeta(\infty^j - \infty^0) \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\{ r x_r(j) \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(x_1(j))^{\ell+1}} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}(j)) \right\} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n+r-2} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P) \\
&\quad - \left(\sum_{\ell=3}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) G_{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n+r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P) \\
&\quad - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^j - \infty^0)}{k!} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n+r-1} \right\} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we are now in position to calculate the residue $\mathcal{I}_{j,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{j,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}(P) \\
&= 2\alpha x_\alpha(j) (\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) - \alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(\infty^j - \infty^0) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha} \left\{ (\alpha + 1 - n) x_{\alpha+1-n}(j) \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(x_1(j))^{\ell+1}} \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n-\ell+2}(j)) \right\} \\
&\quad - (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1} - \delta_{\alpha,2} - \delta_{\alpha,3}) \sum_{\ell=3}^{\alpha-1} G_{\ell+1} \left\{ \sum_{n=\ell}^{\alpha-1} (\alpha - n) x_{\alpha-n}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(j) \right\} \\
&\quad - (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1}) \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \frac{\zeta^{(k)}(\infty^j - \infty^0)}{k!} \sum_{n=k}^{\alpha-1} (\alpha - n) x_{\alpha-n}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \right\} \\
&= 2\alpha x_\alpha(j) (\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+1}(j)) \\
&\quad - (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1} - \delta_{\alpha,2} - \delta_{\alpha,3}) \alpha \sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j)}{\ell} G_\ell - \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j)}{k!} \zeta^{(k-1)}(\infty^j - \infty^0) \\
&= 2\alpha x_\alpha(j) (\infty^j - \infty^0) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+1}(j)) \\
&\quad - \alpha \sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j)}{\ell} G_\ell - \alpha \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^j - \infty^0),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used expression (2.11) for the rational function $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}$ and applied twice recurrence relation (2.8).

3) We have

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}] := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_0+1}} \Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}(P) = -\operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_0+1}} \Omega_{\infty^j\infty^0}(P) = -\mathcal{I}_{0,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^j\infty^0}].$$

Thus (3.20) follows from (3.19) by permuting the role of the indices j and 0 . \square

Remark 3.1

• Let $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ be fixed. Then the above theorem yields that the Laurent expansions of the differential $\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}$ near the point ∞^i takes the following explicit form:

$$\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{z_j(P)} - \frac{\delta_{i0}}{z_i(P)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}] \right) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{\alpha-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_i(P),$$

where the coefficients $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}]$ are given by formulas (3.18)-(3.20) and $\mathbf{z}_i(P)$ is the standard local parameter near ∞^i defined by $\mathbf{z}_i(P) = \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_i+1}}$.

• Due to relations (2.36) and (3.14), the Abelian differential $\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}$ can be rewritten in terms of the Jacobi θ_1 -function as follows:

$$\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}(P) = \left(\frac{\theta_1'(z_P - \infty^j)}{\theta_1(z_P - \infty^j)} - \frac{\theta_1'(z_P - \infty^0)}{\theta_1(z_P - \infty^0)} \right) dz_P.$$

3.3 Abelian differentials of the second kind

This subsection focuses on providing an explicit expression for the differential

$$\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(Q)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} W(P, Q), \quad j = 0, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \geq 1, \quad (3.22)$$

as well as for the coefficients of the corresponding Laurent series near the points ∞^i , $i = 0, \dots, m$. Here, as above, $W(P, Q)$ denotes the genus one bidifferential given by (2.42) and (3.13).

Proposition 3.3 *Assume that $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) := \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(j))$, $n \geq k \geq 1$, be the partial Bell polynomials (2.1)-(2.2) and $x_n(j)$ be the coefficients (3.5) appearing in Taylor's expansion (3.4) of the normalized holomorphic differential ϕ near the point $P = \infty^j$. Then for any positive integer α , the differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P)$ (3.22) is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) &= \left(2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(1/2) - \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j) \wp^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \phi(P) \\ &= \left(2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[\zeta](\infty^j - z_P) \right) \phi(P). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Here $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[\zeta]$ is the function (3.8) obtained by the action of the Bell operator (3.7) on the Weierstrass zeta function.

Proof: Using the relation $\wp(u) = -\partial_u \zeta(u)$ (2.25) and expression (3.8) for $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[f]$, we deduce that the second equality in (3.23) is an immediate consequence of the first one.

The Taylor series of the function $x \mapsto \wp(u-x)$ at $x=0$ (with $u \neq 0$) is given by

$$\wp(u-x) \underset{x \sim 0}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\wp^{(n)}(u)}{n!} x^n.$$

From this and (3.9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(z_P - z_Q) &= \wp((z_P - \infty^j) - (z_Q - \infty^j)) \\ &\underset{Q \sim \infty^j}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) (\mathcal{A}(Q; \infty^j))^k \\ &= \wp(z_P - \infty^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n(j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^j \right)^k \\ &= \wp(z_P - \infty^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(j)) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^n \right) \\ &= \wp(z_P - \infty^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the differential $\frac{W(P,Q)}{\phi(P)}$ has the following Laurent series at $Q = \infty^j$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W(P,Q)}{\phi(P)} &= \left(\wp(z_P - z_Q) + 2\zeta(1/2) \right) \phi(Q) \\ &\underset{Q \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(2\zeta(1/2) + \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(Q) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^n \right\} \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(Q) \\ &= \left(2\zeta(1/2) + \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(Q) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} r x_r(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) (\mathbf{z}_j(Q))^{n+r-1} \right\} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(Q), \end{aligned}$$

where we used formula (3.13) in the first equality and Taylor's expansion (3.4) for the holomorphic differential ϕ in the second equality.

Now, the obtained behavior of the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ near $Q = \infty^j$ and recurrence formula

(2.8) for the partial exponential Bell polynomials imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(Q) \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} W(P, Q) \\
&= \left(2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha x_\alpha(j) \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \phi(P) \\
&+ \left\{ (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1}) \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\alpha-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} (\alpha - n) x_{\alpha-n}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right\} \phi(P) \\
&= \left(2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha x_\alpha(j) \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \phi(P) \\
&+ \left\{ (1 - \delta_{\alpha,1}) \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k+1}(j) \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right\} \phi(P).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}(j) = x_\alpha(j)$ by (2.3), we get the expression claimed in the proposition. \square

In the next proposition we study the characteristic properties of the differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ (3.23).

Proposition 3.4 *Let $j = 0, \dots, m$, α be a positive integer and $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ be the differential defined by (3.23). Then $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ is a normalized Abelian differential of the second kind. It has the only pole at the point ∞^j with the following principal part:*

$$\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{z}_j(P)^{\alpha+1}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P), \quad \lambda(P) = \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{-n_j-1}. \quad (3.24)$$

Moreover, the periods of the differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ are as follows:

$$\oint_a \Psi_{j,\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \oint_b \Psi_{j,\alpha} = 2i\pi \alpha x_\alpha(j). \quad (3.25)$$

Proof: This statement follows from definition (3.22) of $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ together with the properties (2.43)-(2.44) of the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ (2.42) (see [40, 35] for details), but let us give a direct proof using expression (3.23).

Due to (3.23), the periodicity (resp. the quasi-periodicity) property of the function \wp (resp. ζ) and Lendgre's identity (2.29) and the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}(j) = x_\alpha(j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\oint_a \Psi_{j,\alpha} &= 2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}(j) \int_x^{x+1} \wp(z_P - \infty^j) dz_P = 0; \\
\oint_b \Psi_{j,\alpha} &= 2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \tau \zeta(1/2) + \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}(j) \int_x^{x+\tau} \wp(z_P - \infty^j) dz_P = 2i\pi \alpha x_\alpha(j).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to arrive at the asymptotic behavior (3.24), we shall calculate the residue of the differentials $(z_P - \infty^j)^k \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P)$, $k = 0, \dots, \alpha$, using the two local parameters $z_P - \infty^j$ and $\mathbf{z}_j(P) = \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_j+1}}$ near the point $P = \infty^j$ and then compare the results.

In view of the following singular part of the function $\wp^{(k)}$ near $u = 0$ which follows from (2.32)

$$\forall k \geq 0, \quad \wp^{(k)}(u) \underset{u \sim 0}{=} (-1)^k \frac{(k+1)!}{u^{k+2}} + \mathcal{O}(1),$$

we deduce that the differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ (3.23) behaves as follows near the point $P = \infty^j$:

$$\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j)}{(z_P - \infty^j)^{k+1}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) dz_P.$$

As consequence, we obtain

$$\forall k = 0, \dots, \alpha, \quad \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left((z_P - \infty^j)^k \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \right) = (1 - \delta_{k,0}) \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j). \quad (3.26)$$

On the other hand, with respect to the local parameter $\mathbf{z}_j(P) = \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_j+1}}$ near the pole ∞^j of λ , the singular part of the differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P)$ takes the following form:

$$\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha} c_{\ell} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{-\ell-1} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P).$$

This and Laurent expansion (3.9) for $(\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^k$ imply that

$$(z_P - \infty^j)^k \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) = \left(\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j) \right)^k \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} c_{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n-\ell-1} + \dots \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left((z_P - \infty^j)^k \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \right) &= \sum_{\ell=k}^{\alpha} c_{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell,k}(j) \\ &= \delta_{k,0} c_0 + (1 - \delta_{k,0}) \sum_{\ell=k}^{\alpha} c_{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell,k}(j), \end{aligned} \quad \forall k = 0, \dots, \alpha. \quad (3.27)$$

Finally, the results in (3.26) and (3.27) prove that $c_0 = 0$ and

$$\forall k = 1, \dots, \alpha, \quad \sum_{\ell=k}^{\alpha} c_{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell,k}(j) = \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j)$$

and this leads to the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\alpha} &= \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\alpha}; \\ c_{\alpha-1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha-1,\alpha-1} + c_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\alpha-1} &= \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\alpha-1}; \\ &\vdots \\ c_1 \mathcal{B}_{1,1} + c_2 \mathcal{B}_{2,1} + \dots + c_{\alpha-1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha-1,1} + c_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1} &= \alpha \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by taking into account the fact that

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,n}(j) = (x_1(j))^n \neq 0, \quad \forall n,$$

we get

$$c_{\alpha} = \alpha, \quad c_{\alpha-1} = \dots = c_1 = 0$$

as desired. □

Now we move on to calculate the following quantities:

$$\mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}] := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_i+1}} \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \quad (3.28)$$

which appear as the coefficients of the Laurent expansion of the Abelian differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ (3.23) near the prescribed pole ∞^i of order $n_i + 1$ of the covering λ .

Theorem 3.2 Let $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ and α, β be two positive integers. For $n \geq k \geq 1$, denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}(i) := \mathcal{B}_{n,k}(x_1(i), \dots, x_{n-k+1}(i))$ the partial Bell polynomials (2.1), with $\{x_n(i)\}_{n \geq 1}$ being the coefficients defined by (3.5).

1) If $i \neq j$, then the quantity $\mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}]$ (3.28) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}] &= 2\alpha\beta x_\alpha(j)x_\beta(i)\zeta(1/2) - \alpha\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\beta} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!\ell!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j)\mathcal{B}_{\beta,\ell}(i)\wp^{(k+\ell-2)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \\ &= 2\alpha\beta x_\alpha(j)x_\beta(i)\zeta(1/2) + \alpha\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j)\mathbf{L}_{i,\beta}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k)}](\infty^i - \infty^j). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Here, as above, $\mathbf{L}_{i,\beta}^\phi[\mathcal{K}]$ denotes the function defined by (3.8) and $\mathcal{K}(u) = \log \sigma(u)$, with σ being the Weierstrass sigma function (2.21).

2) When $i = j$, then by means of the function $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ (2.11)-(2.13) and the non-normalized Eisenstein series (2.14), the quantity $\mathcal{I}_{j,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}]$ in (3.28) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{j,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}] &= 2\alpha\beta x_\alpha(j)x_\beta(j)\zeta(1/2) + \alpha\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j)\mathcal{R}_{\beta+k,k+1}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\beta+k+1}(j)) \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \left((-1)^k \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j) \sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{\beta} \frac{1}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\beta,\ell}(j) G_{k+\ell} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where, as in (3.17), the sum $\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{\beta}$ takes the value 0 whenever $\beta + k < 4$.

Proof: Using (3.23) and (3.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_i+1}} \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \\ &= 2\alpha\beta x_\alpha(j)x_\beta(i)\zeta(1/2) - \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j) \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_i+1}} \wp^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \phi(P) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to compute the following residue:

$$\mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi] := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_i+1}} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi(P) \right), \quad \forall k \geq 0. \quad (3.31)$$

1) Let k be a nonnegative integer. According to (3.9), we can write the following Taylor series for the function $\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)$ at $P = \infty^i$, with $i \neq j$:

$$\begin{aligned} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) &= \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^i + \infty^i - \infty^j) = \wp^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j + \mathcal{A}(P, \infty^i)) \\ &=_{P \sim \infty^i} \wp^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\wp^{(k+\ell)}(\infty^i - \infty^j)}{\ell!} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} x_r(i) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^r \right)^\ell \\ &= \wp^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\varepsilon=\ell}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\ell!} \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon,\ell}(i) \wp^{(k+\ell)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \right) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Taylor's series (3.4) for the holomorphic differential ϕ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi(P) &\underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} \wp^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(i) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_i(P) \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \wp^{(k+\ell)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \sum_{\varepsilon=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(i) \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \ell}(i) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{\varepsilon+r-1} \right\} d\mathbf{z}_i(P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, due to recurrence relation (2.8) and the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{\beta, 1}(i) = x_{\beta}(i)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{i, \beta} [\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_i+1}} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi(P) \right) \\ &= \beta x_{\beta}(i) \wp^{(k)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) + (1 - \delta_{\ell, 1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{\ell!} \wp^{(k+\ell)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \left\{ \sum_{\varepsilon=\ell}^{\beta-1} (\beta - \varepsilon) x_{\beta-\varepsilon}(i) \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \ell}(i) \right\} \\ &= \beta \sum_{\ell=0}^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{(\ell+1)!} \mathcal{B}_{\beta, \ell+1}(i) \wp^{(k+\ell)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) = \beta \sum_{\ell=1}^{\beta} \frac{1}{\ell!} \mathcal{B}_{\beta, \ell}(i) \wp^{(k+\ell-1)}(\infty^i - \infty^j). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (3.29) is an immediate consequence of the first equality, the fact that $\wp(u) = -\mathcal{K}''(u)$ and expression (3.8) for the action of the Bell operator on the function $\mathcal{K}(u) = \log \sigma(u)$.

2) As above, in order to calculate the residue $\mathcal{I}_{j, \beta} [\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi]$ (3.31), we start with writing the Laurent series of the meromorphic differential $\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi(P)$ near its pole $P = \infty^j$. By (2.32), we know that the series expansion of $\wp^{(k)}$, $\forall k \geq 0$, near the origin is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \wp^{(k)}(u) &= (-1)^k \frac{(k+1)!}{u^{k+2}} + \sum_{\ell=2, \ell \geq k}^{\infty} (\ell+1)! G_{\ell+2} \frac{u^{\ell-k}}{(\ell-k)!} \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{(k+1)!}{u^{k+2}} + (k+1)! \sum_{\ell=0, \ell+k \geq 2}^{\infty} \binom{\ell+k+1}{\ell} G_{\ell+k+2} u^{\ell}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Therefore, due to (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j) - (-1)^k (k+1)! (z_P - \infty^j)^{-k-2} \\ &= \wp^{(k)}(\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j)) - (-1)^k (k+1)! (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{-k-2} \\ &\underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} (k+1)! \sum_{\ell=0, \ell+k \geq 2}^{\infty} \binom{k+\ell+1}{\ell} G_{\ell+k+2} (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{\ell} \\ &= (k+1)! \sum_{\ell=0, \ell+k \geq 2}^{\infty} \left\{ \binom{k+\ell+1}{\ell} G_{\ell+k+2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n, \ell}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of this and (3.4), we get the following expansion for the differential $\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^i)\phi(P)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi(P) - (-1)^k (k+1)! (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{-k-2} \phi(P) \\ &\underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} (k+1)! \sum_{\ell=0, k+\ell \geq 2}^{\infty} \left\{ \binom{k+\ell+1}{\ell} G_{\ell+k+2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r(j) \mathcal{B}_{n, \ell}(j) \right\} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n+r-1} d\mathbf{z}_j(P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (3.12) and recurrence relation (2.8) for Bell polynomials, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{j,\beta}[\wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_j+1}} \wp^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j)\phi(P) \right) \\
&= (-1)^k (k+1)! \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_j+1}} (\mathcal{A}(P; \infty^j))^{-k-2} \phi(P) \right) \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{\beta+k,1} - \delta_{\beta+k,2})(k+1)! \sum_{\ell=0, k+\ell \geq 2}^{\beta-1} \left\{ \binom{k+\ell+1}{\ell} G_{\ell+k+2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\beta-1} (\beta-n)x_{\beta-n}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n,\ell}(j) \right\} \\
&= (-1)^k (k+1)! \beta \mathcal{R}_{\beta+k+1, k+2}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\beta+k+2}(j)) \\
&\quad + (1 - \delta_{\beta+k,1} - \delta_{\beta+k,2})(k+1)! \beta \sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 3}^{\beta} \frac{1}{k+\ell+1} \binom{k+\ell+1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\beta,\ell}(j) G_{k+\ell+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2 *With respect to the local parameter $\mathbf{z}_i(P)$ defined by $\mathbf{z}_i(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_i+1}}$, the Laurent expansion of the differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ (3.23) near the pole $P = \infty^i$ of λ is given by*

$$\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} \left(\delta_{ij} \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{z}_i(P)^{\alpha+1}} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}]) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{\beta-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_i(P),$$

where the coefficients $\mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}]$ are given by (3.29) and (3.30).

As an application of the results (3.23) and (3.24), we can derive the following (new) recurrence relation for partial ordinary Bell polynomials involving the rational function $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ (2.13).

Proposition 3.5 *For any positive integers $\alpha \leq n$, the partial ordinary Bell polynomial $\mathcal{B}_{n+1,\alpha}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{B}_{n+1,\alpha} = \alpha x_1^\alpha \sum_{k=\alpha+1}^{n+1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k} \mathcal{R}_{k-\alpha, k+1}, \tag{3.33}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{k-\alpha, k+1} = \mathcal{R}_{k-\alpha, k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-\alpha+1})$ is the function defined by (2.13).

Proof: Denote by $x_r := x_r(0)$ the coefficients in the Taylor series (3.4) of the holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$ near the pole ∞^0 . Then, reasoning as in the proof of (3.12), we get

$$\operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{-\alpha}{n_0+1}} (z_P - \infty^0)^{-k-1} \phi(P) \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq k < \alpha; \\ x_1^{-\alpha} & \text{if } k = \alpha \geq 1; \\ -\alpha \mathcal{R}_{k-\alpha, k+1} & \text{if } k > \alpha \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, due to expression (3.23) for the particular Abelian differential $\Psi_{0, n_0+1}(P)$ as well as its

behavior (3.24) near the pole ∞^0 , we can write for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_0$ the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{-\alpha}{n_0+1}} \Psi_{0, n_0+1}(P) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1, k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_0+2-k}) \operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{-\alpha}{n_0+1}} \wp^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^0) \phi(P) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0+1} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1, k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_0+2-k}) \operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \left(\lambda(P)^{\frac{-\alpha}{n_0+1}} (z_P - \infty^0)^{-k-1} \phi(P) \right) \\
&= x_1^{-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1, \alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_0+2-\alpha}) - \alpha \sum_{k=\alpha+1}^{n_0+1} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1, k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_0+2-k}) \mathcal{R}_{k-\alpha, k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-\alpha+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Laurent series (3.32) for $\wp^{(k)}$ in the third equality. \square

3.4 Landau-Ginzburg superpotentials parametrization of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$

This purpose of this section is to construct explicit Landau-Ginzburg (LG) superpotential of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ in terms of the variables

$$\left\{ x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j) : j = 0, \dots, m \right\}$$

related to the holomorphic differential ϕ via (3.4)-(3.5). The main result here is the following.

Theorem 3.3 *Let λ be a meromorphic function on the torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} , with \mathbb{L} being the lattice $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Im\tau > 0$, and $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$ be the poles of λ of order n_0+1, \dots, n_m+1 , respectively. Let $x_r(j)$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, be the coefficients in Taylor's expansion (3.4) of the normalized holomorphic differential ϕ near the point ∞^j . Then λ admits the following representation:*

$$\lambda(P) = c - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) \zeta^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right), \quad P \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} \quad (3.34)$$

where c is a constant (with respect to $z_P \in \mathbb{C}$), $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) := \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1-k+1}(j))$ is the partial ordinary Bell polynomial (2.1)-(2.2) and ζ is the Weierstrass function (2.20).

Proof: Using the relation $\zeta^{(k-1)} = -\wp^{(k-2)}$ for all $k \geq 2$ and the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, 1}(j) = x_{n_j+1}(j)$ and taking into account equality (3.6), we easily see that the right hand side of (3.34) defines an \mathbb{L} -elliptic function.

Let Ψ_{j, n_j+1} be the Abelian differential of the second kind given by (3.23). Then, in view of the principal part (3.24) of the differential Ψ_{j, n_j+1} near ∞^j and the fact that

$$\forall j = 0, \dots, m, \quad d\lambda(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} -\frac{n_j + 1}{\mathbf{z}_j(P)^{n_j+2}} d\mathbf{z}_j(P), \quad \lambda(P) = \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{-n_j-1},$$

we deduce that the differential

$$\Psi(P) := d\lambda(P) + \sum_{j=0}^m \Psi_{j, n_j+1}(P)$$

has no pole and its a -period is zero. Thus, Ψ must vanish identically. Therefore, due to (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda(P) &= -\sum_{j=0}^m \Psi_{j,n_j+1}(P) \\ &= -\sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left\{ 2x_{n_j+1}(j)\zeta(1/2) - \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \wp^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right\} dz_P \\ &= \partial_{z_P} \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left\{ -2x_{n_j+1}(j)\zeta(1/2)z_P - \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \zeta^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right\} dz_P. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there is a constant c (with respect to z_P) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) &= c - 2z_P \zeta(1/2) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \zeta^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \\ &= c - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \zeta^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (3.6). \square

As a corollary, we investigate further equivalent expressions for the LG superpotential (3.34).

Corollary 3.3 *Let λ be the meromorphic function given by (3.34). Then λ takes also the following forms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) &= c + (1 - \delta_{m,0}) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m (1 - \delta_{n_j,0}) (n_j+1) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \wp^{(k-2)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

$$= c - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \partial_{z_P}^k \log \sigma(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \quad (3.36)$$

$$= c - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \mathbf{L}_{j,n_j+1}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](\infty^j - z_P) \quad (3.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= c - \zeta(1/2) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left(2x_{n_j+1}(j)\infty^j + (1 - \delta_{n_j,0}) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} x_k(j)x_{n_j+1-k}(j) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \partial_{z_P}^k \log(\theta_1(z_P - \infty^j)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Here the functions \wp, ζ, σ and $\mathcal{K} = \log \sigma$ are the Weierstrass functions (2.19)-(2.21), θ_1 is the Jacobi function (2.34), $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) = \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1-k+1}(j))$ is the partial ordinary Bell polynomial (2.1)-(2.2) and $\mathbf{L}_{j,n_j+1}^\phi$ is the Bell operator given by (3.7).

Proof: Formula (3.35) is a direct consequence of (3.34), (3.6) and the equality $\wp(u) = -\zeta'(u)$. Using (3.34) and the fact that the function ζ is the logarithmic derivative of the function σ (2.26), we see that (3.36) holds true. The expression defining the function \mathcal{K} and definition (3.7) of the Bell operators imply that formulas (3.36) and (3.37) are equal. Furthermore, relation (2.35) implies that

$$\log(\sigma(u)) = \zeta(1/2)u^2 + \log(\theta_1(u)) - \log(\theta_1'(0)). \quad (3.39)$$

Therefore, recalling the expressions for the Bell polynomials $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,1}(j)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j)$ presented in (2.3), we deduce that expression (3.38) follows from (3.36), (3.39) and (3.6). \square

Remark 3.2

Let λ be the meromorphic function (3.34). Then the divisor of $\lambda'(P)$ is of the form

$$(\lambda'(P)) = \sum_{r=1}^N P_r - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 2) \cdot \infty^j = (d\lambda(P)), \quad \text{with } N = \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 2).$$

Thus, because of the LG superpotentials (3.34), the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ in genus one (see Section 2.3.2) can be identified with the open set of elements

$$\left(c, \infty^0, \dots, \infty^m, x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0+1}(0), \dots, x_1(m), \dots, x_{n_m+1}(m) \right) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{H}$$

(with \mathbb{H} being the upper half-plane) such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $\lambda''(P_j) \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$ (by the assumption of simple ramification at the ramification points P_j);
- ii) the branch points $\lambda_j = \lambda(P_j)$ are distinct;
- iii) $\sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j) = 0$, by (3.6);
- iv) the divisor (λ') is equal to zero in the quotient $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.3

It is known that the elliptic function from Theorem 3.3 can also be written as rational function in the Weierstrass sigma function (2.21) (or in the Jacobi θ_1 -function (2.34)) as follows [3, 42]

$$\lambda(P) = C_1 \prod_{j=0}^m \prod_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{\sigma(z_P - \mathfrak{z}_{j,k})}{\sigma(z_P - \infty^j)} = C_2 \prod_{j=0}^m \prod_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{\theta_1(z_P - \mathfrak{z}_{j,k})}{\theta_1(z_P - \infty^j)}, \quad (3.40)$$

where C_1, C_2 are two nonzero constants and $\mathfrak{z}_{j,k}$ are the zeros of λ . In our context, the aforementioned expressions (3.34)-(3.35) are more appropriate than the product versions in (3.40), as we shall see below.

The coming result presents a first useful consequence stemming from expressions (3.34)-(3.35) for λ .

Corollary 3.4 *The periods of the Abelian differential $\lambda(P)dz_P = \lambda(P)\phi(P)$ are given by*

$$u := \oint_a \lambda(P)\phi(P) = c - 2(1 - \delta_{m,0})\zeta(1/2) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)(\infty^j - \infty^0) \\ - \zeta(1/2) \sum_{j=0}^m (1 - \delta_{n_j,0})(n_j + 1)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j); \quad (3.41)$$

$$\widehat{u} := \oint_b \lambda(P)\phi(P) = c\tau - 2(1 - \delta_{m,0})(\tau\zeta(1/2) - i\pi) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)(\infty^j - \infty^0) \\ - (\tau\zeta(1/2) - i\pi) \sum_{j=0}^m (1 - \delta_{n_j,0})(n_j + 1)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j). \quad (3.42)$$

Proof: Let $\omega_1 = 1/2$ and $\omega_2 = \tau/2$ be the half-period. Then, making use of expression (3.35) for the meromorphic function λ and the properties (2.25), (2.26) and (2.28) of the Weierstrass functions, we find for $r = 1, 2$:

$$\int_x^{x+2\omega_r} \lambda(P)dz_P \\ = 2\omega_r c + (1 - \delta_{m,0}) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \int_x^{x+2\omega_r} \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \right) dz_P \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m (1 - \delta_{n_j,0})(n_j + 1)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j) \int_x^{x+2\omega_r} \wp(z_P - \infty^j) dz_P \\ = 2\omega_r c - 2(1 - \delta_{m,0})\zeta(\omega_r) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)(\infty^j - \infty^0) \\ - \zeta(\omega_r) \sum_{j=0}^m (1 - \delta_{n_j,0})(n_j + 1)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j).$$

This and Legendre's identity (2.29) give the desired result. \square

Proposition 3.6 *Let $j = 0, \dots, m$. Then, with respect to the local parameter $z_P - \infty^j$, the Laurent expansion for the meromorphic function λ (3.34) near its pole ∞^j of order $n_j + 1$ is given by*

$$\lambda(P)_{P \sim \infty^j} = (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j)}{k(z_P - \infty^j)^k} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_\ell(j)(z_P - \infty^j)^\ell, \quad (3.43)$$

where for $\ell \geq 0$, the coefficient $\mathbf{f}_\ell(j)$ is defined by

$$\forall \ell \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{f}_\ell(j) := \frac{\Lambda_j^{(\ell)}(\infty^j)}{\ell!} + (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) G_{k+\ell} \quad (3.44)$$

and $\Lambda_j^{(\ell)}(\infty^j)$ is given by

$$\Lambda_j^{(\ell)}(\infty^j) = \delta_{\ell,0}c - \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^m (n_i + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_i+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}(i) \zeta^{(k+\ell-1)}(\infty^j - \infty^i) \right). \quad (3.45)$$

Proof: Consider the function

$$\Lambda_j(P) := c - \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^m (n_i + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_i+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1, k}(i) \zeta^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^i) \right)$$

which is holomorphic near the point $P = \infty^j$. Then, expression (3.34) for $\lambda(P)$ and the Laurent expansions (2.33) and (3.32) of the functions ζ and $\zeta^{(k-1)} = -\wp^{(k-2)}$, $k \geq 2$, near the origin, permit us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) &= \Lambda_j(P) - (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) \zeta^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \\ &\stackrel{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_j^{(\ell)}(\infty^j)}{\ell!} (z_P - \infty^j)^\ell - (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) \left\{ (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{(z_P - \infty^j)^k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (k-1)! \sum_{\ell=0, \ell+k \geq 4}^{\infty} \binom{\ell+k-1}{\ell} G_{\ell+k} (z_P - \infty^j)^\ell \right\} \\ &= (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j)}{k (z_P - \infty^j)^k} + \Lambda_j(\infty^j) + (1 - \delta_{n_j, 0} - \delta_{n_j, 1} - \delta_{n_j, 2}) (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=4}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) G_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_j^{(\ell)}(\infty^j)}{\ell!} + (1 - \delta_{n_j, 0} - \delta_{n_j, 1}) (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) G_{k+\ell} \right\} (z_P - \infty^j)^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we arrive at (3.43) by taking into account the convention (3.17). \square

The upcoming result demonstrates that the coefficients $x_{n_j+2}(j)$, $x_{n_j+3}(j)$, \dots in formulas (3.4)-(3.5) can be obtained recursively as explicit function (although non trivial) of the parameters τ , c , $x_1(i)$, \dots , $x_{n_i+1}(i)$, ∞^i of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$. Note that formula (3.46) is useful since the coefficients $x_{n_j+2}(j)$, $x_{n_j+3}(j)$, \dots occur in expression (3.30) for the functions $\mathcal{I}_{j, \beta}[\Psi_{j, \alpha}]$ which are among the required terms for calculating the desired WDVV prepotential associated with the holomorphic differential (see formula (4.5) below). The examples of WDVV solutions listed in Corollaries 4.1 and 4.3 illustrate the usefulness of formula (3.46).

Proposition 3.7 *Let $x_r(j)$ be defined by (3.5) and (3.4), with $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and $r \geq 1$. Then for any positive integer α , the following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n_j+1+\alpha}(j) &= \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+\alpha-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{-n_j-1}{k} (x_1(j))^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+\alpha, k+1}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n_j+\alpha-k+1}(j)) \\ &\quad + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1, k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1, k}(j) + \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \mathbf{f}_\ell(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \ell+1}(j), \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where, as above, $\mathcal{B}_{n, k}(j)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu, k}(j)$ are respectively the Bell polynomial (2.1)-(2.2) and the rational function (2.13), while \mathbf{f}_ℓ is defined by (3.44).

Proof: Due to (3.5) and the behavior (3.43) of $\lambda(P)$ near ∞^j , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(n_j + 1 + \alpha)x_{n_j+1+\alpha}(j) &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P)^{\frac{n_j+1+\alpha}{n_j+1}} \phi(P) \\
&= (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left((z_P - \infty^j)^{-k} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} \phi(P) \right) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(j) \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \left((z_P - \infty^j)^{\ell} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} \phi(P) \right) \\
&= \alpha(n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) + \alpha \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell+1}(j) \\
&= \alpha(x_1(j))^{n_j+1} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+n_j,n_j+1}(j) + \alpha(n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) + \alpha \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell+1}(j),
\end{aligned}$$

with the third equality being a direct consequence of (3.11) and (3.12).
On the other hand, (2.13) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(x_1(j))^{n_j+1} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+n_j,n_j+1}(j) &= \alpha x_{n_j+1+\alpha}(j) \\
&+ \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+\alpha-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{-n_j-1}{k} (x_1(j))^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+\alpha,k+1}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n_j+\alpha-k+1}(j)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1+\alpha}(j) &= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+\alpha-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{-n_j-1}{k} (x_1(j))^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+\alpha,k+1}(x_2(j), \dots, x_{n_j+\alpha-k+1}(j)) \\
&+ \alpha(n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) + \alpha \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell+1}(j)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Example 3.1

Consider the even elliptic function defined by

$$\lambda(v) = c + x_1^2 \wp(v|\tau), \quad \text{with } x_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By the Laurent expansion (2.32), we have

$$\lambda(v) \underset{v \sim 0}{=} c + \frac{x_1^2}{v^2} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} (\ell+1) G_{\ell+2} v^{\ell}.$$

Therefore formulas (3.44) and (3.46) imply that $\mathbf{f}_{\ell} = \delta_{\ell,0}c$ for all $\ell \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 &:= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{res}_0 \lambda(v) dv = 0; \\
x_{\alpha+2} &:= \frac{1}{\alpha+2} \operatorname{res}_0 \lambda(v)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+1} dv = \frac{c}{2} \alpha x_{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{x_1^k} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha+1,k+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{\alpha-k+2}), \quad \forall \alpha \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

4 WDVV prepotential associated with the normalized holomorphic differential

4.1 The main result

In this section, we look for an explicit form of the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ of the semi-simple Frobenius manifold structure associated with the primary differential $\phi(P) = dz_P = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b W(P, Q)$ which is quasi-homogeneous of degree 0 (in the sense of Definition 2.4).

Let $\eta(\phi)$ be the Darboux-Egoroff metric (2.49) on the Hurwitz space $\widehat{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ induced by $\phi(P) = dz_P$. From Section 2.3 (see also [18] and [35, 40]), we already know that the following N functions, with $N = 2 + 2m + \sum_{i=0}^m n_i$, provide a system of flat coordinates of the flat metric $\eta(\phi)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\phi) &:= \frac{\sqrt{n_i+1}}{\alpha} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} \phi(P), & i = 0, \dots, m, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i; \\
\mathbf{v}^i(\phi) &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P) \phi(P), & i = 1, \dots, m; \\
s^i &:= \mathbf{s}^i(\phi) := \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} \phi(P) = \infty^i - \infty^0, & i = 1, \dots, m; \\
t &:= \mathbf{r}(\phi) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b \phi(P) = \frac{\tau}{2i\pi}; \\
u &:= \mathbf{u}(\phi) := \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi(P).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let $j \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, n_j + 1\}$ and $x_\alpha(j)$ be the coefficients given by (3.5) and appearing in the Taylor series (3.4) for the holomorphic differential ϕ . Then, with the notation (4.1), we have*

$$x_\alpha(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbf{t}^{j,\alpha}(\phi)}{\sqrt{n_j+1}}, & \text{if } n_j \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha = 1, \dots, n_j; \\ \frac{\mathbf{v}^j(\phi)}{n_j+1}, & \text{if } j \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha = n_j + 1; \\ -\frac{1}{n_0+1} \sum_{r=1}^m \mathbf{v}^r(\phi), & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } \alpha = n_0 + 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Proof: The cases $1 \leq \alpha \leq n_j$ and $\alpha = (1 - \delta_{j,0})(n_j + 1)$ can be easily checked using (3.5) and (4.1). The expression for $x_{n_0+1}(0)$ in (4.2) is nothing but (3.6) which follows from the residue theorem applied to the differential $\lambda(P)\phi(P)$. □

Relationship (4.2) implies that the variables

$$\left\{ x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0), x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j), s^j, \tau = 2i\pi t, u : \text{ with } j = 1, \dots, m \right\} \tag{4.3}$$

serve also as a system of flat coordinates for the metric $\eta(\phi)$. Moreover, because of (2.52) and (4.2), we deduce that the nonzero entries of the constant matrix of $\eta(\phi)$ with respect to coordinates (4.3)

are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(\phi)(\partial_{x_\alpha(i)}, \partial_{x_\beta(j)}) &= (n_j + 1)\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha+\beta, n_j+1}; \\
\eta(\phi)(\partial_{x_{n_i+1}(i)}, \partial_{s^j}) &= (n_j + 1)\delta_{ij}; \\
\eta(\phi)(\partial_\tau, \partial_u) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

with $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_i, \beta = 1, \dots, n_j$.

For the sake of simplicity and readability, we choose to express the WDVV prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ associated with the holomorphic differential ϕ (3.1) as a function of the variables listed in (4.3) and (4.2) instead of those in (4.1). We start with describing a preliminary expression for \mathbf{F}_ϕ by means of flat coordinates introduced in (4.3) and (4.2). To achieve this goal we shall apply formula (2.56) giving the WDVV solution induced by any primary and quasi-homogeneous differential ω_0 .

Proposition 4.1 *Let \mathbf{F}_ϕ be the WDVV prepotential associated with the Frobenius manifold structure on the Hurwitz space $\widehat{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ and induced by the normalized holomorphic differential ϕ (3.1). Let $\{x_\alpha(j) : j = 0, \dots, m\}$ be the coefficients appearing in the Taylor series (3.4), $\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j})$ be the operation defined by the principal value in the family (2.51) and $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}]$ and $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}]$ be respectively the quantities defined by (3.16) and (3.28). Then \mathbf{F}_ϕ takes the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_\phi &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + u \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_\alpha(i)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} + \frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1} \right) (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}] \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} (n_i + 1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}] \right) \\
&+ \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \\
&- \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{n_0 + 1} + \delta_{ij}(n_j + 1) \right) x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof: Since the normalized holomorphic differential ϕ is quasi-homogeneous of degree $d_0 = 0$ and by (2.57) the quantities $\rho_{\phi, \phi_{\mathbf{t}^A}}$ appearing in (2.56) vanish, it follows that formula (2.56) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_\phi &- \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \mathbf{v}^i(\phi)\mathbf{v}^j(\phi) + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_0 + 1} + \delta_{ij}\frac{1}{n_i + 1} \right) \mathbf{v}^i(\phi)\mathbf{v}^j(\phi) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B} \frac{d_A d_B}{1 + d_A} \mathbf{t}^{A'}(\phi)\mathbf{t}^{B'}(\phi)\mathbf{t}^A(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^B}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B} \frac{(1 - d_A)(1 - d_B)}{1 + d_A} \mathbf{t}^{A'}(\phi)\mathbf{t}^{B'}(\phi)\mathbf{t}^A(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^B})
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used duality relation (2.55) which states that $d_{A'} = 1 - d_A$ in the second equality. Thus, observing that, due to (2.48), $1 - d_A \neq 0$ only when $\mathbf{t}^A \in \{\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}, \mathbf{s}^i, \mathbf{r}\}$ and taking into account notation (4.1) as well as the precise duality relations listed in (2.54), we arrive at the following expression in terms of flat coordinates given by (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_\phi &= \frac{u^2}{2}t + u \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{v}^i(\phi) s^j + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)(n_i + 1)} \mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\phi) \mathbf{t}^{i,n_i+1-\alpha}(\phi) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{v}^i(\phi) \mathbf{v}^j(\phi) \mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} + \frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1} \right) \mathbf{t}^{i,n_i+1-\alpha}(\phi) \mathbf{v}^j(\phi) \mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)(n_j + 1)} \mathbf{t}^{i,n_i+1-\alpha}(\phi) \mathbf{t}^{j,n_j+1-\beta}(\phi) \mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{j,\beta}}) \\
&+ \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \mathbf{v}^i(\phi) \mathbf{v}^j(\phi) - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_0 + 1} + \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n_i + 1} \right) \mathbf{v}^i(\phi) \mathbf{v}^j(\phi).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, because of (2.46), (2.51), (3.16) and (3.28), we have

$$\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{j,\beta}}(P) = \frac{\sqrt{n_j + 1}}{\beta} \Psi_{j,\beta}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\omega_0) = \frac{\sqrt{n_i + 1}}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\omega_0].$$

This brings us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) &= \mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}) = \frac{\sqrt{n_i + 1}}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}]; \\
\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{j,\beta}}) &= \frac{\sqrt{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}}{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the claimed result (4.5) follows from (4.6), (4.2), (4.3) and the two previous equalities: \square

It remains to write expressions for lines two, three and four by means of variables (4.3). We begin with the second line.

• **Expression for the second line in (4.5)**

The next result deals with the expression for the following functions given by the principal value

$$\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) = \mathbf{s}^i(\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}) := p.v. \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Here we recall that the principal value is defined by subtracting the divergent part of the integral as a function of the local parameters $\mathbf{z}_0(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_0+1}}$ and $\mathbf{z}_j(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_j+1}}$ near the points ∞^0 and ∞^j , respectively.

A general formula for $\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j})$ in terms of the Riemann theta function with (odd and non-singular) half integer characteristics was recently obtained in [35] for an arbitrary genus $g \geq 1$ of the underlying Riemann surfaces. Here we prefer to rewrite the genus one case by means of the Weierstrass function $\mathcal{K}(u) := \log \sigma(u)$, with σ being the sigma function (2.21).

Lemma 4.2 Let $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, $\mathcal{K}(u) := \log \sigma(u)$ and $s^j := \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} \phi = \infty^j - \infty^0$, where $\phi = dz_P$ is the holomorphic differential (3.1) and $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$ are the prescribed poles of a covering $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)$.

i) If $i \neq j$, then

$$\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) = \mathcal{K}(s^i - s^j) - \mathcal{K}(s^i) - \mathcal{K}(s^j) + 2s^i s^j \zeta(1/2) + \log(-x_1(0)). \quad (4.7)$$

ii) When $i = j$, we have

$$\mathbf{s}^j(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) = \log(x_1(j)) + \log(-x_1(0)) - 2\mathcal{K}(s^j) + 2(s^j)^2 \zeta(1/2). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof: Let $\theta_1(\cdot|\tau) = -\Theta_{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(\cdot|\tau)$ be the Jacobi function defined by (2.34). Using the genus one case of Theorem 3.3 in [35], we can deduce that the functions $\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j})$ are explicitly expressed by means of the Jacobi θ_1 -function as follows:

$$\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) = \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{\theta_1'(0)\theta_1(\infty^i - \infty^j)}{\theta_1(\infty^i - \infty^0)\theta_1(\infty^j - \infty^0)}\right) + \log(\phi(\infty^0)) - \log(-1), & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \log(\phi(\infty^j)) + \log(\phi(\infty^0)) - 2\log\left(\frac{\theta_1(\infty^j - \infty^0)}{\theta_1'(0)}\right) - \log(-1), & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, due to relation (2.35) which gives the link between the functions σ and θ_1 , we conclude that $\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j})$ can be rewritten in terms of the Weierstrass function $\mathcal{K}(u) := \log \sigma(u)$. More precisely, when $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) &= \log\left(\frac{\sigma(\infty^i - \infty^j)}{\sigma(\infty^i - \infty^0)\sigma(\infty^j - \infty^0)}\right) + 2(\infty^i - \infty^0)(\infty^j - \infty^0)\zeta(1/2) + \log(-\phi(\infty^0)) \\ &= \log\left(\frac{\sigma(s^i - s^j)}{\sigma(s^i)\sigma(s^j)}\right) + 2s^i s^j \zeta(1/2) + \log(-\phi(\infty^0)) \\ &= \mathcal{K}(s^i - s^j) - \mathcal{K}(s^i) - \mathcal{K}(s^j) + 2s^i s^j \zeta(1/2) + \log(-x_1(0)) \end{aligned}$$

and when $i = j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^j(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) &= \log(\phi(\infty^j)) + \log(-\phi(\infty^0)) - 2\log(\sigma(\infty^j - \infty^0)) + 2(\infty^j - \infty^0)^2 \zeta(1/2) \\ &= \log(\phi(\infty^j)) + \log(-\phi(\infty^0)) - 2\mathcal{K}(s^j) + 2(s^j)^2 \zeta(1/2) \\ &= \log(x_1(j)) + \log(-x_1(0)) - 2\mathcal{K}(s^j) + 2(s^j)^2 \zeta(1/2). \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the desired formulas (4.7) and (4.8). \square

As a direct consequence of formulas (4.7) and (4.8) and the following link between $\zeta(1/2|\tau)$ and the quasi-modular Eisenstein series $G_2(\tau)$:

$$\zeta(1/2|\tau) = \frac{1}{2}G_2(\tau), \quad \forall \tau, \quad \Im\tau > 0, \quad (4.9)$$

we obtain the expression for the second line of the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ (4.5). Relation (4.9) can be found in [3] (Section 20) and [18] (formulas (C.72)-(C.73)) and can be seen from the Fourier expansion (2.18) for the Eisenstein series G_2 .

Proposition 4.2 Let $\mathcal{K}(u) = \log \sigma(u)$ and G_2 be the quasi-modular Eisenstein series defined by (2.16) and (2.18). Then the second line in expression (4.5) for the WDVV prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathbf{s}^i(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathcal{K}(s^i - s^j) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (n_i+1)x_{n_i+1}(i) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathcal{K}(s^j) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \left((n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2 \log(x_1(j)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2 \log(x_1(0)) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

• **Expression for the third line in (4.5)**

For $0 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq n_i$, let us introduce the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(i, j, \alpha) &:= \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] - 2\alpha x_\alpha(i)s^j \zeta(1/2); \\ A_{ij} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(i, j, \alpha) \right); \\ B_{ij} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} (n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(i, j, \alpha) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Then in terms of $\varrho(i, j, \alpha)$, A_{ij} and B_{ij} , we can write the third line as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3 &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1+\alpha} + \frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1} \right) (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}] \right) \\ &= \zeta(1/2) \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1+\alpha} + \frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1} \right) (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_\alpha(i)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1+\alpha} + \frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1} \right) (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(i, j, \alpha) \right) \\ &= \zeta(1/2) \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1+\alpha} + \frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1} \right) (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_\alpha(i)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m B_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to express the functions A_{ij} and B_{ij} in terms of flat coordinates (4.2)-(4.3). We distinguish three cases.

First case: $i \neq j$. Because of (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](s^i - s^j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](s^i). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (3.18) and recurrence relation (2.8) for partial ordinary Bell polynomials imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{ij} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} (n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(i) \left(\mathcal{K}^{(k)}(s^i - s^j) - \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(s^i) \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{(k + 1)!} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k+1}(i) \left(\mathcal{K}^{(k)}(s^i - s^j) - \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(s^i) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{n_i+1} \frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{k!} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}(i) \left(\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^i - s^j) - \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^i) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Second case: $i = j \geq 1$. Expressions (3.19) and (4.10) for $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^j}]$ and $\varrho(i, j, \alpha)$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{jj} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)^2}{n_j + 1 + \alpha} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(j, j, \alpha) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)^2}{n_j + 1 + \alpha} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)^2}{n_j + 1 + \alpha} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j)}{\ell} G_{\ell} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)^2}{n_j + 1 + \alpha} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi}[\mathcal{K}](s^j)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{jj} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(j, j, \alpha) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j)}{\ell} G_{\ell} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j) \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(s^j) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell=4}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j + 1)^2}{\ell(\ell + 1)} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,\ell+1}(j) G_{\ell} - \sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(n_j + 1)^2}{k!} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^j),
\end{aligned}$$

where, as in the first case, the last equality follows from recurrence relation (2.8) for Bell polynomials.

Third case: $i = 0$ and $j \geq 1$. As above, using now (3.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{0j} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \frac{(n_0+1-\alpha)(n_0+1)(n_j+1)}{n_0+1+\alpha} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(0, j, \alpha) \right) \\
&= -(n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \frac{(n_0+1-\alpha)(n_0+1)}{n_0+1+\alpha} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(0) \\
&\quad + (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \left\{ \frac{(n_0+1-\alpha)(n_0+1)}{n_0+1+\alpha} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \left(\sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(0)}{\ell} G_{\ell} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \frac{(n_0+1-\alpha)(n_0+1)}{n_0+1+\alpha} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \mathbf{L}_{0,\alpha}^{\phi}[\mathcal{K}](-s^j)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{0j} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} (n_0+1-\alpha)(n_j+1) x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \varrho(0, j, \alpha) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} (n_0+1-\alpha)(n_j+1) x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(0) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} (n_0+1-\alpha)(n_j+1) x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(0)}{\ell} G_{\ell} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} (n_0+1-\alpha)(n_j+1) x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(0) \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(-s^j) \right) \\
&= -(n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} (n_0+1-\alpha) x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(0) - \sum_{\ell=4}^{n_0} \frac{(n_0+1)}{\ell(\ell+1)} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,\ell+1}(0) G_{\ell} \right\} \\
&\quad + (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \sum_{k=2}^{n_0+1} \frac{(n_0+1)}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,k}(0) \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(-s^j) \\
&= -(n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} (n_0+1-\alpha) x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(0) - \sum_{\ell=4}^{n_0} \frac{(n_0+1)}{\ell(\ell+1)} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,\ell+1}(0) G_{\ell} \right\} \\
&\quad + (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n_0+1} \frac{(n_0+1)}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,k}(0) \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(-s^j) - (n_0+1) x_{n_0+1}(0) \mathcal{K}(s^j) \right\} \\
&\quad - (\log(-1))(n_0+1)(n_j+1) x_{n_0+1}(0) x_{n_j+1}(j).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the last equality we have taken into account the fact that

$$\mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,1}(0) = x_{n_0+1}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(-u) = \log \sigma(-u) = \log(-1) + \mathcal{K}(u).$$

Summarizing the above computations and bearing in mind the relation $G_2(\tau) = 2\zeta(1/2|\tau)$, we arrive at the following explicit form for the third line.

Proposition 4.3 *Using notation of Theorem 3.1, the third line in (4.5) has the following form in*

terms of flat coordinates listed in (4.3) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3 &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1+\alpha} + \frac{n_i+1-\alpha}{n_i+1} \right) (n_i+1)(n_j+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} G_2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(2n_i+2+\alpha)}{n_i+1+\alpha} (n_j+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](s^i - s^j) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} (n_0+1)x_{n_0+1}(0) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)}{n_i+1+\alpha} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](s^i) \right\} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{n_i+1} \frac{(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{k!} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}(i) \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^i - s^j) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{n_i+1} \frac{(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{k!} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}(i) \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^i) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{n_j+1+\alpha} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(j) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{n_j+1+\alpha} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \left(\sum_{\ell=4}^{\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j)}{\ell} G_\ell \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(j) - \sum_{\ell=4}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j+1)^2}{\ell(\ell+1)} x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,\ell+1}(j) G_\ell \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \frac{(n_0+1-\alpha)(n_0+1)(n_j+1)}{n_0+1+\alpha} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathbf{L}_{0,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}](-s^j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \sum_{k=1}^{n_0+1} \frac{(n_0+1)}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,k}(0) \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(-s^j) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} (n_0+1)x_{n_0+1}(0) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \mathcal{K}(s^j) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where, by (3.6), we have

$$(n_0+1)x_{n_0+1}(0) = - \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j).$$

• **Expression for the fourth line in (4.5)**

Lastly, we move on to find the explicit form of the fourth line involving the functions $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}]$

(3.28):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_4 &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} (n_i+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} G_2(\tau) \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} (n_i+1)x_\alpha(i)x_\beta(j)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_i+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j)\chi(i,j;\alpha,\beta),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi(i,j;\alpha,\beta) := \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \left(\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}] - 2\alpha\beta x_\alpha(i)x_\beta(j)\zeta(1/2) \right). \quad (4.11)$$

Lemma 4.3 For $i, j = 0, \dots, m$, define the functions

$$\mathbf{Q}_{ij} := \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_i+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j)\chi(i,j;\alpha,\beta), \quad (4.12)$$

where $\chi(i,j;\alpha,\beta)$ is given by (4.11).

1) If $i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}_{ij} &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left\{ \left(\frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}](\infty^i - \infty^j) \right) \right\}. \quad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

2) When $i = j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}_{jj} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{k(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=2, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n_j+1} \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{k(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k+\ell-1} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j) G_{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\}, \quad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+k}(j))$ denotes the rational function (2.13).

Proof: Assume first that $i \neq j$. Then expression (3.29) for $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}]$ and (4.11) imply that

$$\chi(i,j;\alpha,\beta) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!\ell!} \mathcal{B}_{\beta,k}(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(i) \wp^{(k+\ell-2)}(\infty^i - \infty^j).$$

Now, using (4.12) and applying recurrence relation (2.8) for partial ordinary Bell polynomials and observing that $\mathcal{K}''(u) = -\wp(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}_{ij} &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \left(\frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_i+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!\ell!} \mathcal{B}_{\beta,k}(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(i) \wp^{(k+\ell-2)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \left((-1)^k \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)(k+1)!} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k+1}(j) \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\ell!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(i) \wp^{(k+\ell-2)}(\infty^i - \infty^j) \right) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \left((-1)^k \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)k!} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^{\phi}[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}](\infty^i - \infty^j) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now deal with the case where $i = j$. As above, by employing (3.30) and (4.11) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(j, j; \alpha, \beta) &:= \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \left(\mathcal{I}_{j,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}] - 2\alpha\beta x_{\alpha}(j) x_{\beta}(j) \zeta(1/2) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\beta,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k,k+1}(j) - \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \left((-1)^k \mathcal{B}_{\beta,k}(j) \sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j) G_{k+\ell} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}_{jj} &:= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_j+1)}{(n_j+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \chi(j, j; \alpha, \beta) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_j+1)}{(n_j+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \mathcal{B}_{\beta,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k,k+1}(j) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \left\{ \left(\frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_j+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \left[(-1)^k \mathcal{B}_{\beta,k}(j) \sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j) G_{k+\ell} \right] \right] \Big\} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{k(n_j+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=2, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n_j+1} \left\{ \left((-1)^k \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{k(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k+\ell-1} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j) G_{k+\ell-1} \right] \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.4 Let $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+k}(j))$ be the rational function (2.13). Then with the notation of Theorem 3.2, the expression for the fourth line in (4.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_4 &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} (n_i+1)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} G_2 \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_i+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_\alpha(i)x_\beta(j)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left\{ \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_j+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}](s^i - s^j) \right) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left\{ \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_i+1)(n_0+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n_0+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,k}(0) \mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}](s^i) \right) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \left\{ \frac{(n_0+1-\alpha)(n_0+1)(n_j+1)}{(n_0+1+\alpha)} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathbf{L}_{0,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}](-s^j) \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=2}^{n_j+1} \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{k(n_j+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=2, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n_j+1} \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n_j+1-\alpha)(n_j+1)^2}{k(n_j+1+\alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j) \frac{G_{k+\ell-1}}{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since

$$\infty^i - \infty^j = \delta_{j,0} s^i - \delta_{i,0} s^j + (1 - \delta_{i,0} - \delta_{j,0})(s^i - s^j), \quad \forall i, j = 0, \dots, m,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_4 &= \frac{1}{2} G_2(\tau) \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(n_j+1-\beta)(n_i+1)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)} x_\alpha(i)x_\beta(j)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \mathbf{Q}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Q}_{i0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{Q}_{0j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \mathbf{Q}_{jj},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that the desired result holds by employing expressions (4.13) and (4.14). \square

We are in position to finally state our main theorem which is an immediate consequence of (4.5), Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 and simples computations.

Theorem 4.1 Consider the semi-simple Frobenius-Hurwitz manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ induced by the normalized holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$ and denote by \mathbf{F}_ϕ the corresponding WDVV prepotential. Let

1. \mathcal{K} be the function $\mathcal{K}(v) = \mathcal{K}(v|\tau) := \log \sigma(v|\tau)$, with σ being the Weierstrass sigma function and $G_{2\ell}$ be the Eisenstein series (2.18);

2. $\{x_\alpha(j) : \text{with } j = 0, \dots, m, \alpha = 1, \dots, n_j + 1\}$ be the variables defined by (3.4)-(3.5) and satisfying (3.6) and τ, u, s^j be such that

$$\tau := \oint_b \phi(P), \quad u := \oint_a \lambda(P)\phi(P) \quad \text{and} \quad s^j := \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} \phi(P) = \infty^j - \infty^0;$$

3. $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) = \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+2-k}(j))$ be the partial ordinary Bell polynomials (2.1)-(2.2);
4. $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi$ be the Bell operator defined by (3.7);
5. $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\alpha+k}(j))$ be the rational function given by (2.13) which depends (when $\alpha + k > n_j + 1$) on the quantities $x_{n_j+1+\beta}(j)$, $\beta = 1, \dots, n_j$, determined by formula (3.46).

Then, with the above notation, the WDVV prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_\phi &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + u \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_\alpha(i)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j \right)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} x_\alpha(i)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}G_2(\tau) \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)(n_i + 1)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_\alpha(i)x_\beta(j)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i)x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n_i+1} \frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{k!} x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}(i)\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^i - s^j|\tau) \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n_i+1} \frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{k!} x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}(i)\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(s^i|\tau) \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \sum_{k=1}^{n_0+1} \frac{(n_0 + 1)}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,k}(0)\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(-s^j|\tau) \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left\{ \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j)\mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(\cdot|\tau)](s^i - s^j) \right) \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left\{ \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_i + 1)(n_0 + 1)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_0+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_0+1,k}(0)\mathbf{L}_{i,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(\cdot|\tau)](s^i) \right) \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_0} \left\{ \frac{(n_0 + 1 - \alpha)(n_0 + 1)(n_j + 1)}{(n_0 + 1 + \alpha)} x_{n_0+1-\alpha}(0) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j)\mathbf{L}_{0,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(\cdot|\tau)](-s^j) \right) \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)^2}{k(n_j + 1 + \alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(j) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \sum_{k=1, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n_j+1} \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)^2}{k(n_j + 1 + \alpha)} x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}(j) \frac{G_{k+\ell-1}(\tau)}{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} (n_j + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}(j) - \sum_{\ell=4}^{n_j} \frac{(n_j + 1)^2}{\ell(\ell + 1)} x_{n_j+1}(j)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,\ell+1}(j)G_\ell(\tau) \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \left((n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2 \log(x_1(j)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2 \log(x_1(0)) \\
&+ \log(-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1)x_{n_j+1}(j) \right)^2 + \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j) \\
&- \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{n_0 + 1} + \delta_{ij}(n_j + 1) \right) x_{n_i+1}(i)x_{n_j+1}(j).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us point out that, by (2.59) and (4.2), the unit and Euler vector fields of the ϕ -Frobenius manifold structure on $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ are such that $e = \partial_u$ and

$$E = u\partial_u + \sum_{j=1}^m x_{n_j+1}(j)\partial_{x_{n_j+1}(j)} + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} x_\alpha(j)\partial_{x_\alpha(j)}. \quad (4.15)$$

In addition, the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ from Theorem 4.1 is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree 2 with respect to the Euler vector field (4.15):

$$E \cdot \mathbf{F}_\phi = 2\mathbf{F}_\phi$$

and solves the WDVV equations (1.1) with respect to the $N = 2 + 2m + \sum_{k=0}^m n_k$ variables

$$u, \tau, s^1, \dots, s^m, x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0), x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j), \quad \text{with } j = 1, \dots, m$$

where the distinguished variable corresponding to F is u , i.e. the constant Gram matrix (4.4) of the flat metric $\eta(\phi)$ coincides with the Hessian matrix of $\partial_u \mathbf{F}_\phi$.

On the other hand, as we have already highlighted, a crucial aspect in constructing of the explicit form of the specific WDVV prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ relies on the fact that the procedure requires only the computation of some flat coordinates among the list $\{\mathbf{t}^A(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^B})\}$ related to the N primary differentials listed in (2.46). In fact, the remaining operations $\{\mathbf{t}^A(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^B})\}$, whose calculations are in general rather difficult, can be directly obtained from the Hessian matrix of the function \mathbf{F}_ϕ using formula (2.58). For example, equality (2.58), duality relations (2.54) and (4.2) lead to the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_a \lambda(P)\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}(P) &= \mathbf{u}(\phi_{\mathbf{s}^j}) = \partial_{\mathbf{u}'(\phi)}\partial_{\mathbf{s}^{j'}(\phi)}\mathbf{F}_\phi = \frac{2i\pi}{n_j+1}\partial_\tau\partial_{x_{n_j+1}(j)}\mathbf{F}_\phi; \\ \oint_a \lambda(P)\Psi_{j,n_j+1}(P) &= \mathbf{u}(\phi_{\mathbf{v}^j}) = \partial_{\mathbf{u}'(\phi)}\partial_{\mathbf{v}^{j'}(\phi)}\mathbf{F}_\phi = 2i\pi\partial_\tau\partial_{s^j}\mathbf{F}_\phi; \\ \oint_a \lambda(P)\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n_j+1}}\mathbf{u}(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{j,\alpha}}) = \frac{2i\pi\alpha}{n_j+1}\partial_\tau\partial_{x_{n_j+1-\alpha}(j)}\mathbf{F}_\phi; \\ \oint_a \lambda(P)\left(\oint_a \lambda(Q)W(P,Q)\right) &= \mathbf{u}(\phi_{\mathbf{u}}) = (2i\pi)^2\partial_\tau^2\mathbf{F}_\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where λ is the meromorphic function given by (3.35), $\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}$ is the Abelian differential of the third kind given by (3.14), $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ is the Abelian differentials of the second kind (3.23), $W(P,Q)$ is the genus one bidifferential (2.42) and \mathbf{F}_ϕ is given by Theorem 4.1.

4.2 Some special cases

By considering particular choices of the assigned combinatorial parameters (n_0, \dots, n_m) of the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ associated with ϕ , this section is devoted to discuss and highlight some interesting specific cases of the WDVV solution \mathbf{F}_ϕ presented in Theorem 4.1. To accomplish this goal, we need the following special cases of the rational function $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{\mu+1})$ (2.13).

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ be the rational function given by (2.13). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{1,1} &= -\frac{x_2}{x_1}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{2,1} &= \frac{x_3}{x_1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{2,2} &= \frac{x_3}{x_1^2} - \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^3}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{3,1} &= \frac{x_4}{x_1} - \frac{x_2 x_3}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{x_2^3}{x_1^3}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{3,2} &= \frac{x_4}{x_1^2} - 2 \frac{x_2 x_3}{x_1^3} + \frac{x_2^3}{x_1^4}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{3,3} &= \frac{x_4}{x_1^3} - 3 \frac{x_2 x_3}{x_1^4} + 2 \frac{x_2^3}{x_1^5}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{4,2} &= \frac{x_5}{x_1^2} - \frac{2x_2 x_4 + x_3^2}{x_1^3} + \frac{3x_2^2 x_3}{x_1^4} - \frac{x_2^4}{x_1^5}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{4,3} &= \frac{x_5}{x_1^3} - 3 \frac{x_2 x_4}{x_1^4} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{x_3^2}{x_1^4} + 6 \frac{x_2^2 x_3}{x_1^5} - \frac{5}{2} \frac{x_2^4}{x_1^6}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{4,4} &= \frac{x_5}{x_1^4} - 2 \frac{2x_2 x_4 + x_3^2}{x_1^5} + 10 \frac{x_2^2 x_3}{x_1^6} - 5 \frac{x_2^4}{x_1^7}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{5,3} &= \frac{x_6}{x_1^3} - 3 \frac{x_2 x_5 + x_3 x_4}{x_1^4} + 6 \frac{x_2^2 x_4 + x_2 x_3^2}{x_1^5} - 10 \frac{x_2^3 x_3}{x_1^6} + 3 \frac{x_2^5}{x_1^7}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{5,4} &= \frac{x_6}{x_1^4} - 4 \frac{x_2 x_5 + x_3 x_4}{x_1^5} + 10 \frac{x_2^2 x_4 + x_2 x_3^2}{x_1^6} - 20 \frac{x_2^3 x_3}{x_1^7} + 7 \frac{x_2^5}{x_1^8}; \\
\mathcal{R}_{6,4} &= \frac{x_7}{x_1^4} - 2 \frac{2x_2 x_6 + 2x_3 x_5 + x_4^2}{x_1^5} + \frac{10}{3} \frac{3x_2^2 x_5 + 6x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_3^3}{x_1^6} - 10 \frac{2x_2^3 x_4 + 3x_2^2 x_3^2}{x_1^7} \\
&\quad + 35 \frac{x_2^4 x_3}{x_1^8} - \frac{28}{3} \frac{x_2^6}{x_1^9}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Proof: These expressions are gotten by straightforward application of definition (2.13) using relations (2.3) and (2.4) for partial Bell polynomials. \square

• **The Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(0, \dots, 0)$**

We first start with the Hurwitz space of \mathbb{L} -elliptic functions λ having simple poles $\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m$. That is, by (3.35), λ is given by

$$\lambda(P) = c + \sum_{j=1}^m x^j \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \right), \quad m \geq 1.$$

In this case, the Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(0, \dots, 0)$ is of dimension $N = 2 + 2m$ and flat coordinates (4.3) of the metric $\eta(\phi)$ (2.49) are $u = \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi(P)$, $\tau = \oint_b \phi(P)$ and

$$x^j = x_1(j) = \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P) \phi(P), \quad s^j = \infty^j - \infty^0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proposition 4.5 *Assume that $n_0 = \dots = n_m = 0$. Then, with the preceding notation, the WDVV prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ of the semi-simple Frobenius-Hurwitz manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(0, \dots, 0)$ takes the following form*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_\phi &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + u \sum_{j=1}^m x^j s^j + \frac{1}{2} G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m x^j s^j \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m x^i x^j \mathcal{K}(s^i - s^j | \tau) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x^i \right) \sum_{j=1}^m x^j \mathcal{K}(s^j | \tau) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m x^j \log(x^j) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m x^j \right)^2 \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x^i \right) \\ &\quad + \log(-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m x^j \right)^2 + \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m x^i x^j - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (1 + \delta_{ij}) x^i x^j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(v|\tau) = \log \sigma(v|\tau)$ and σ is the Weierstrass function (2.21). Moreover, the unit and Euler vector fields (4.15) are

$$e = \partial_u, \quad E = u \partial_u + \sum_{j=1}^m x^j \partial_{x^j}.$$

Proof: The result follows from Theorem 4.1 and the relations

$$\mathcal{K}(-v) = \log(-1) + \mathcal{K}(v), \quad x^0 = -(x^1 + \dots + x^m).$$

□

Remark 4.1 *The WDVV solution (4.18) was obtained in [35] and written in terms of the Jacobi θ_1 -function. The case $m = 1$ was first worked out in [20, 15] using the Dubrovin bilinear method.*

• **The Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(1, \dots, 1)$**

Let us now deal with the WDVV solution \mathbf{F}_ϕ associated with the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold parameterized by elliptic functions of the following form:

$$\lambda(P) = c + 2(1 - \delta_{m,0}) \sum_{j=1}^m t^j \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^m (x^j)^2 \wp(z_P - \infty^j).$$

This is nothing but the case where $n_j = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(j) &:= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P) \phi(P) = t^j; \\ x_1(j) &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \sqrt{\lambda(P)} \phi(P) = x^j, \end{aligned} \quad \forall j = 0, \dots, m \quad (4.19)$$

of formula (3.35). The list of flat coordinates (4.3) reduces to

$$\left\{ u, \tau, s^1 = \infty^1 - \infty^0, \dots, s^m = \infty^m - \infty^0, t^1, \dots, t^m, x^0, x^1, \dots, x^m \right\}. \quad (4.20)$$

Proposition 4.6 *Let $m \geq 0$. Then the prepotential of the $(3m + 3)$ -dimensional semi-simple*

Frobenius-Hurwitz manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(1, \dots, 1)$ is the function of variables (4.20) given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_\phi &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + 2u \sum_{j=1}^m t^j s^j + u \sum_{i=0}^m (x^i)^2 + 2G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j s^j \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j s^j + \sum_{i=0}^m (x^i)^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m (x^j)^2 \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m t^i t^j \mathcal{K}(s^i - s^j | \tau) + 2 \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i)^2 t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^i - s^j | \tau) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^i - s^j | \tau) - 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j \mathcal{K}(s^j | \tau) \right) - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}'(s^j | \tau) \right) \\
&- 2(x^0)^2 \sum_{j=1}^m t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^j | \tau) - (x^0)^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^j | \tau) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (t^j)^2 \log(x^j) + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j \right)^2 \log(x^0) \\
&+ 2 \log(-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j \right)^2 + \log(-1) \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m t^i t^j - 3 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right)^2 - 4 \sum_{j=1}^m (t^j)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

The function \mathbf{F}_ϕ satisfies the WDVV equations (1.1), where the distinguished variable corresponding to the constant invertible matrix is u and ∂_u plays the role of the unit vector field. Furthermore, we have $E \cdot \mathbf{F}_\phi = 2\mathbf{F}_\phi$, with E being the following Euler vector field

$$E = u \partial_u + \sum_{j=1}^m t^j \partial_{t^j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m x^j \partial_{x^j}.$$

Proof: Using Theorem 4.1 and the fact that all the poles of the coverings λ are double and taking into account notation (4.19) as well as expressions for the functions $\mathcal{R}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2,2}$ listed in (4.17), we can see that the expression for the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_\phi &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + 2u \sum_{j=1}^m t^j s^j + \frac{5}{6} u \sum_{i=0}^m (x^i)^2 + 2G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j s^j \right)^2 + \frac{5}{3} G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j s^j \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m (x^i)^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} G_2(\tau) \sum_{i,j=0}^m (x^i x^j)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m t^i t^j \mathcal{K}(s^i - s^j) + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i)^2 t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^i - s^j) - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^m t^i t^j \mathcal{K}(s^i) \\
&- \sum_{i,j=1}^m (x^i)^2 t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^i) - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j \mathcal{K}(s^j) \right) - (x^0)^2 \sum_{j=1}^m t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^j) + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i)^2 t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^i - s^j) \\
&- \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^i - s^j) - \frac{2}{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (x^i)^2 \mathcal{K}'(s^i) \right) - \frac{2}{3} (x^0)^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^j) - \frac{2}{3} (x^0)^2 \sum_{j=1}^m t^j \mathcal{K}'(s^j) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^m x^j x_3(j) + \frac{1}{3} x^0 x_3(0) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (t^j)^2 \log(x^j) + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j \right)^2 \log(x^0) \\
&+ 2 \log(-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t^j \right)^2 + \log(-1) \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m t^i t^j - \frac{7}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (1 + \delta_{ij}) t^i t^j.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, because of (3.46) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_3(j) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_1(j))^{-1}(x_2(j))^2 + x_2(j)\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(j) + \frac{1}{2}x_1(j)\mathbf{f}_0(j) \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}(x_1(j))^{-1}(x_2(j))^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1(j)c + x_1(j) \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^m x_2(i)\zeta(\infty^j - \infty^i) - \frac{1}{2}x_1(j) \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^m (x_1(i))^2 \zeta'(\infty^j - \infty^i) \\
&= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{(t^j)^2}{x^j} + \frac{1}{2}x^j \left(u + 4\zeta(1/2) \sum_{i=1}^m t^i s^i + 2\zeta(1/2) \sum_{i=0}^m (x^i)^2 \right) + x^j \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^m t^i \mathcal{K}'(s^j - s^i) \\
&\quad - x^j \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right) \mathcal{K}'(s^j) - \frac{1}{2}x^j \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^j - s^i) - \frac{1}{2}x^j (x^0)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^j),
\end{aligned}$$

with $j = 1, \dots, m$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
x_3(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_1(0))^{-1}(x_2(0))^2 + x_2(0)\mathcal{R}_{1,1}(0) + \frac{1}{2}x_1(0)\mathbf{f}_0(0) \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}(x_1(0))^{-1}(x_2(0))^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1(0)c + x_1(0) \sum_{i=1}^m x_2(i)\zeta(\infty^0 - \infty^i) - \frac{1}{2}x_1(0) \sum_{i=1}^m (x_1(i))^2 \zeta'(\infty^0 - \infty^i) \\
&= \frac{3}{2x^0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right)^2 + \frac{x^0}{2} \left(u + 4\zeta(1/2) \sum_{i=1}^m t^i s^i + 2\zeta(1/2) \sum_{i=0}^m (x^i)^2 \right) - x^0 \sum_{i=1}^m t^i \mathcal{K}'(s^i) - \frac{1}{2}x^0 \sum_{i=1}^m (x^i)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^i).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from equality (4.9) relating $\zeta(1/2)$ and the Eisenstein series G_2 , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^m x^j x_3(j) + \frac{1}{3} x^0 x_3(0) \\
&= \frac{u}{6} \sum_{j=0}^m (x^j)^2 + \frac{1}{3} G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m (x^j)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i s^i \right) + \frac{1}{6} G_2(\tau) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m (x^j)^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^j)^2 t^i \mathcal{K}'(s^i - s^j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right) \sum_{j=1}^m (x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}'(s^j) - \frac{1}{3} (x^0)^2 \sum_{i=1}^m t^i \mathcal{K}'(s^i) - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (x^i x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^i - s^j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3} (x^0)^2 \sum_{j=1}^m (x^j)^2 \mathcal{K}''(s^j) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t^i \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m (t^j)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the fifth line of the above expression for \mathbf{F}_ϕ , we arrive at the desired explicit form (4.21). □

- **The Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$, with $n \geq 1$.**

Let us assume that the Hurwitz space is of type $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$, with $n \geq 1$. Let $[(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \lambda)]$ be a point of $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$. This means that the meromorphic function λ has a unique pole ∞^0 of order $n+1 \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z_{\infty^0} = 0$. Thus, the LG superpotential formula

(3.35) and expression (3.41) for $u := \oint_a \lambda(P)\phi(P)$ imply that λ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(P) &= c + (n+1) \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+2}) \wp^{(k-2)}(z_P) \\ &= u + \frac{n+1}{2} G_2(\tau) \sum_{k=1}^n x_k x_{n+1-k} \\ &\quad + (n+1) \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k+2}) \wp^{(k-2)}(z_P),\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

where, as in (3.4), the coefficients $(x_r)_{r \geq 1}$ are defined by the following Taylor series of the holomorphic differential ϕ near the pole $z_P = 0$ of λ :

$$\phi(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^0}{=} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_r \mathbf{z}(P)^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}(P), \quad \text{with } \mathbf{z}(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

Note that

$$x_{n+1} := \frac{1}{n+1} \operatorname{res}_{z_P=0} \lambda(P) dz_P = 0\tag{4.23}$$

and $\{x_{n+1+\alpha}\}_{\alpha \geq 1}$ are functions of the flat coordinates $\{u, x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau\}$ of the flat metric $\eta(\phi)$ (2.49), given by (3.46).

The general WDVV solution stated in Theorem 4.1 amounts to the following result.

Proposition 4.7 *For any positive integer n , the WDVV solution associated with the $(n+2)$ -dimensional ϕ -Frobenius manifold structure on $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$ and denoted by $\mathbf{F}_{\phi,n}$ takes the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_{\phi,n} = \mathbf{F}_{\phi,n}(u, x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau) &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(2n+2+\alpha)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_\alpha x_{n+1-\alpha} \\ &+ \frac{n+1}{2} G_2(\tau) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(n+1-\beta)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_\alpha x_\beta x_{n+1-\alpha} x_{n+1-\beta} \\ &+ \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(n+1+\alpha)} x_{n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k} \\ &+ \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{k=2, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n+1} \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(n+1+\alpha)} x_{n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell} \frac{G_{k+\ell-1}(\tau)}{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{n+1,k} = \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2-k})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k} = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha+k})$ are respectively the partial Bell polynomial (2.1) and the rational function (2.13). The prepotential $\mathbf{F}_{\phi,n}$ (4.24) is a quasi-homogeneous function of degree 2 with respect to the Euler vector field

$$E = u \partial_u + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k x_k \partial_{x_k}$$

We now provide a very compact form of the WDVV solution (4.24) in the special cases where $n = 1, 2, 3$. The proof below highlights the practicality of the aforementioned formulas, including those for $x_{n+1+\alpha}$ (3.46) and \mathbf{f}_ℓ (3.43)-(3.44) which appear respectively in the Laurent series of the differential ϕ and the elliptic function λ (4.22) near the pole 0.

Corollary 4.1 *We have the following WDVV solutions*

$$\mathbf{F}_{\phi,1} = \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + ux_1^2 + \frac{x_1^4}{2}G_2; \quad (4.25)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\phi,2} = \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + 3ux_1x_2 - \frac{3x_2^4}{4x_1^2} + \frac{9}{2}x_1^2x_2^2G_2 - \frac{3}{4}x_1^6G_4; \quad (4.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\phi,3} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + u\left(4x_1x_3 + 2x_2^2\right) + \frac{8x_3^3}{3x_1} - 8\frac{x_2^2x_3^2}{x_1^2} + 4\frac{x_2^4x_3}{x_1^3} - \frac{2x_2^6}{3x_1^4} \\ &\quad + \left(8x_1^2x_3^2 + 8x_1x_2^2x_3 + 2x_2^4\right)G_2 + \left(4x_1^5x_3 - 10x_1^4x_2^2\right)G_4 + \frac{5}{3}x_1^8G_6. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Proof: The WDVV solution (4.25) was first obtained by Dubrovin in [18] and reworked out in [14, 35]. Here we are going to derive it again using (4.24). Indeed, the particular case $n = 1$ of (4.24) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\phi,1} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + \frac{5}{6}ux_1^2 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^4G_2 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^3\mathcal{R}_{2,2}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + \frac{5}{6}ux_1^2 + \frac{1}{3}G_2x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3}x_1x_3. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, from (3.46) and (3.41) it follows that

$$x_3 = \frac{x_1}{2}c = \frac{x_1}{2}\left(u + x_1^2G_2\right)$$

and thus (4.25) holds. Note that if we consider the case $m = 0$ of (4.21), then we also arrive at (4.25).

Let us now deal with the case $n = 2$. Applying (4.24) with $n = 2$ and using (4.17) and the fact that $x_3 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\phi,2} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + \frac{51}{20}ux_1x_2 + \frac{63}{20}x_1^2x_2^2G_2 + \frac{9}{4}x_1x_2^2\mathcal{R}_{2,2}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \frac{3}{4}x_2x_1^3\mathcal{R}_{3,3}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &\quad + \frac{9}{10}x_1^2x_2\mathcal{R}_{3,2}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + \frac{3}{10}x_1^4\mathcal{R}_{4,3}(x_1, \dots, x_5) - \frac{9}{20}x_1^6G_4 \\ &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + \frac{51}{20}ux_1x_2 + \frac{63}{20}x_1^2x_2^2G_2 - \frac{3x_2^4}{5x_1^2} + \frac{3}{4}x_2x_4 + \frac{3}{10}x_1x_5 - \frac{9}{20}x_1^6G_4. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, formula (3.46) and (3.41) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} x_4 &= \frac{1}{3}\sum_{k=1}^2\frac{1}{k+1}\binom{-3}{k}x_1^{-k}\mathcal{B}_{3,k+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{4-k}) + \sum_{k=1}^2\frac{1}{k}\mathcal{B}_{3,k}\mathcal{R}_{k,k} + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{f}_0\mathcal{B}_{1,1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}\frac{x_2^3}{x_1^2} + \frac{c}{3}x_1 = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{x_2^3}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{3}ux_1 + x_1^2x_2G_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_5 &= -x_1^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{4,2}(x_2, x_3, x_4) + \frac{4}{3}x_1^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{4,3}(x_2, x_3) - \frac{5}{3}x_1^{-3}\mathcal{B}_{4,4}(x_2) + \mathcal{B}_{3,2}\mathcal{R}_{3,2} + \frac{2}{3}cx_2 + \frac{1}{3}x_1^2\mathbf{f}_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\frac{x_2^4}{x_1^3} + \frac{2}{3}ux_2 + 2x_1x_2^2G_2 - x_1^5G_4. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (4.26). We now move on to prove (4.27). We first calculate x_5, x_6 and x_7 . By (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}_0 &= c + x_1^4 G_4 = u + (4x_1 x_3 + 2x_2^2)G_2 + x_1^4 G_4; \\ \mathbf{f}_1 &= -4\mathcal{B}_{4,3}G_4 = -12x_1^2 x_2 G_4; \\ \mathbf{f}_2 &= 6(2x_1 x_3 + x_2^2)G_4 + 10x_1^4 G_6.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $x_4 = 0$ and for all $\alpha \geq 1$

$$x_{\alpha+4} = \frac{\alpha}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha+2} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{-4}{k} x_1^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha+3, k+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{\alpha-k+4}) + \alpha \sum_{k=2}^3 \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{4,k} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1, k} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \mathbf{f}_\ell \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \ell+1},$$

by (3.46), we can deduce after some computations based on (4.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}x_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_3^2}{x_1} - \frac{x_2^2 x_3}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{x_2^4}{x_1^3} + \frac{x_1}{4} u + \left(x_1^2 x_3 + \frac{1}{2} x_1 x_2^2\right) G_2 + \frac{x_1^5}{4} G_4; \\ x_6 &= -2 \frac{x_2 x_3^2}{x_1^2} + 2 \frac{x_2^3 x_3}{x_1^3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_2^5}{x_1^4} + \frac{x_2}{2} u + (2x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2^3) G_2 - \frac{5}{2} x_1^4 x_2 G_4; \\ x_7 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{x_3^3}{x_1^2} + 3 \frac{x_2^2 x_3^2}{x_1^3} - \frac{9}{4} \frac{x_2^4 x_3}{x_1^4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_2^6}{x_1^5} + \frac{3}{4} x_3 u + \left(3x_1 x_3^2 + \frac{3}{2} x_2^2 x_3\right) G_2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{15}{4} x_1^4 x_3 - \frac{15}{2} x_1^3 x_2^2\right) G_4 + \frac{5}{2} x_1^7 G_6.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_{\phi,3} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \frac{(4-\alpha)(8+\alpha)}{(4+\alpha)} x_\alpha x_{4-\alpha} + 2G_2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \sum_{\beta=1}^3 \frac{(4-\alpha)(4-\beta)}{(4+\alpha)} x_\alpha x_\beta x_{4-\alpha} x_{4-\beta} \\ &\quad + 8 \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \sum_{k=2}^4 \frac{(4-\alpha)}{k(4+\alpha)} x_{4-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{4,k} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1, k} \\ &\quad + 8 \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \sum_{k=2, k+\alpha \geq 5}^4 \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(4+\alpha)} x_{4-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{4,k} \right] \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \ell} \frac{G_{k+\ell-1}}{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + u \left(\frac{122}{35} x_1 x_3 + \frac{5}{3} x_2^2 \right) + \left(\frac{208}{35} x_1^2 x_3^2 + \frac{592}{105} x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + \frac{4}{3} x_2^4 \right) G_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{7} x_1 x_7 + \frac{2}{3} x_2 x_6 + \frac{6}{5} x_3 x_5 + \frac{232}{105} \frac{x_3^3}{x_1} - \frac{664}{105} \frac{x_2^2 x_3^2}{x_1^2} + \frac{316}{105} \frac{x_2^4 x_3}{x_1^3} - \frac{10}{21} \frac{x_2^6}{x_1^4} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{92}{35} x_1^5 x_3 - \frac{130}{21} x_1^4 x_2^2 \right) G_4 + \frac{20}{21} x_1^8 G_6\end{aligned}$$

and this gives (4.27). □

Remark 4.2 (Jacobi forms for the Jacobi group of type A_n)

It is shown in [13, 14] that the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$ determined by the holomorphic differential ϕ can be identified with the Frobenius manifold structure on the orbit space of the Jacobi group $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ of type A_n acting on the product $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{H}$, with \mathbb{H} being the upper half-plane and $\mathbb{C}^n = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{n+1} = 0\}$. This correspondence is

realized by the map (4.28) below and involves the so-called (weak) Jacobi forms for the Jacobi group $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ which are holomorphic functions in $(v, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}, \tau)$, invariant under permutations of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_{n+1} and have precise modular and quasi-periodicity properties [22, 44, 13]. The theory of Jacobi forms was first investigated by Eichler and Zagier in [22] for the Jacobi group $\mathcal{J}(A_1)$ and generalized to the other cases of root systems by Wirthmüller in [44] and can be viewed as an analogue of polynomial invariant theory for finite Coxeter groups. In particular, there is an analogue of Chevalley's theorem for the Jacobi group $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ [44] stating the following: the algebra of (weak) Jacobi forms is a polynomial ring (over the graded ring $\mathbb{C}[G_4, G_6]$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -modular forms) generated by $n + 1$ algebraically independent Jacobi forms $\varphi_0, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}$ of weight $0, -1, \dots, -(n + 1)$, respectively. Here the weight $-k$ is an integer that determines the transformation properties of the Jacobi form under modular transformations, similar to the weight of modular forms G_{2k} (2.14)-(2.15) (see [44, 13] for a precise definition). A set of generators of the algebra of Jacobi forms for the Jacobi group $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ was obtained by Bertola in ([13], Theorem 1.4) using the following generating function:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_0, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}, \tau) \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(A_n) &\longmapsto \lambda^{A_n}(P) = e^{2i\pi v} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\theta_1(\mathfrak{z}_j - z_P|\tau)}{\theta_1(z_P|\tau)} \Bigg|_{\mathfrak{z}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{z}_{n+1} = 0} \\ &= \varphi_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \varphi_k \wp^{(k-2)}(z_P|\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{n+1}$ are the distinct zeros of the superpotential λ^{A_n} and $v = \oint_a \log(\lambda(P))\phi(P)$ and \wp and θ_1 are respectively the Weierstrass and Jacobi functions. The proof of the second equality in (4.28) is based on formula (5.102) in [18] together with the following expression for the lightest generator φ_{n+1} constructed by Wirthmüller [44]:

$$\varphi_{n+1} = e^{2i\pi v} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\theta_1(\mathfrak{z}_j|\tau)}{\theta_1'(0|\tau)} \Bigg|_{\mathfrak{z}_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{z}_{n+1} = 0}.$$

Furthermore, in ([14], Corollary 1.1), Bertola found explicit polynomial expressions for the Jacobi forms $\varphi_0, \varphi_2, \varphi_{n+1}$ by means of flat coordinates of the metric $\eta(\phi)$ (2.49) induced by the differential $\phi = dz_P$.

As an improvement of this result, the upcoming proposition provides a complete and simple description of the generators of the algebra of $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ -Jacobi forms in terms of coordinates $\{u, x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau\}$ related to ϕ . This follows from (4.28) and formula (4.22) constructing LG superpotentials of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$.

Proposition 4.8 *Let $\{u, x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau\}$ be the flat coordinates on the Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$ associated with the holomorphic differential dz_P determined by*

$$u := \oint_a \lambda(P) dz_P, \quad x_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{res}_{z_P=0} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} dz_P, \quad \tau = \oint_b dz_P.$$

Then the fundamental Jacobi forms $\varphi_0, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}$ with respect to the Jacobi group $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ defined by (4.28) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= u + \frac{n+1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) G_2(\tau); \\ \varphi_k &= \frac{(n+1)}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2-k}), \quad \forall k = 2, \dots, n+1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}$ denotes the homogeneous Bell polynomial of degree k (2.1)-(2.2).
In particular, the ring of $\mathcal{J}(A_n)$ -Jacobi forms is a subring of

$$\mathbb{C}[G_2, G_4, G_6, u, x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

• **The Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$, with $n \geq 0$.**

As in the preceding case, the $(n+4)$ -dimensional Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$ induced by the holomorphic primary differential ϕ can be identified with the Frobenius manifold structure on the orbit space of the \tilde{A}_{n+1} -extended affine Jacobi group. This was recently studied in [1] by adapting the scheme of the aforementioned A_n -Jacobi group case.

According to (3.35) and (3.41), the LG superpotentials of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) = & u + ysG_2(\tau) + \frac{n+1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}(x_1, \dots, x_n)G_2(\tau) + y\left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^1) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0)\right) \\ & + (1 - \delta_{n,0})(n+1) \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2-k}) \wp^{(k-2)}(z_P - \infty^0), \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where $u, y, s, \tau, (x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are the parameters related to the holomorphic differential $\phi = dz_P$ on the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \oint_b \phi, & u &= \oint_a \lambda(P)\phi(P), & s &= \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^1} \phi(P) = \infty^1 - \infty^0, \\ x_k &= \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^0} \lambda(P) \frac{k}{n+1} \phi(P), \quad \forall k \geq 1 & \text{and} & & y &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \lambda(P)\phi(P). \end{aligned}$$

As we know from (4.3) and (4.4), the variables

$$\{u, y, s, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

give a system of flat coordinates of the flat metric $\eta(\phi)$ defined on $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$ by (2.49).

In particular, using results from [1] and reasoning as in (4.29), it turns out that formula (4.30) allows us to obtain a basis of generators of the algebra of extended Jacobi forms for the extended Jacobi group of type \tilde{A}_{n+1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_0 &= u + ysG_2(\tau) + \frac{n+1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}(x_1, \dots, x_n)G_2(\tau); \\ \tilde{\varphi}_1 &= -y; \\ \tilde{\varphi}_k &= \frac{(n+1)}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2-k}), \quad \forall k = 2, \dots, n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by taking into account the fact that

$$y = -(n+1)x_{n+1},$$

we can obtain from Theorem 4.1 the following explicit form of WDVV solution associated with the Frobenius manifold structure on the orbit space of the \tilde{A}_{n+1} -extended affine Jacobi group.

Proposition 4.9 *Let n be a nonnegative integer and $\{u, s, x_1, \dots, x_n, y, \tau\}$ be the variables defined above. Then the ϕ -WDVV solution corresponding to the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$ is the function $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi, n} = \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi, n}(u, s, x_1, \dots, x_n, y, \tau)$ determined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi, n} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + uys + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(2n+2+\alpha)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_\alpha x_{n+1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(ys)^2 G_2(\tau) \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{2} ys G_2(\tau) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(\frac{n+1-\alpha}{n+1+\alpha} + \frac{n+1-\alpha}{n+1} \right) x_\alpha x_{n+1-\alpha} \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{2} G_2(\tau) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(n+1-\beta)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_\alpha x_\beta x_{n+1-\alpha} x_{n+1-\beta} \\
&- y^2 \mathcal{K}(s|\tau) + \frac{n+1}{2} y \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, k} \mathcal{K}^{(k-1)}(-s|\tau) + \frac{n+1}{2} y \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_{n+1-\alpha} \mathbf{L}_\alpha^\phi[\mathcal{K}(\cdot|\tau)](-s) \\
&- \frac{n+1}{2} y \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(\frac{n+1-\alpha}{n+1+\alpha} + \frac{n+1-\alpha}{n+1} \right) x_{n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha, 1} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(n+1+\alpha)} x_{n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, k} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1, k} \\
&+ \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{k=1, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n+1} \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(n+1+\alpha)} x_{n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, k} \right] \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \ell} \frac{G_{k+\ell-1}(\tau)}{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\} \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{2} y \sum_{\ell=4}^n \frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, \ell+1} G_\ell(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} y^2 \log(xy) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2} y^2 - \frac{3}{4} \frac{n+2}{n+1} y^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Here, as in Theorem 4.1, $\mathcal{K} := \log \sigma$, $\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\phi[\mathcal{K}] := \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, k} \mathcal{K}^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu, \nu}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1, k}$ are the functions of the variables $(x_k)_k$ defined by (2.1) and (2.13), respectively.

Using expressions (4.24) and (4.31) for the ϕ -WDVV solutions corresponding to the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifolds $\mathcal{H}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$, we deduce that they are linked by the following limiting behavior.

Corollary 4.2 *Let n be a positive integer. With the notation of Propositions 4.7 and 4.9, the WDVV solutions $\mathbf{F}_{\phi, n}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi, n}$ given respectively by (4.24) and (4.31) satisfy*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi, n}(u, s, x_1, \dots, x_n, y, \tau) = \mathbf{F}_{\phi, n}(u, x_1, \dots, x_n, \tau). \tag{4.32}$$

Note that when the considered Hurwitz space is of type $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$, formulas (3.44) and (3.46) read respectively as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{f}_\ell &:= \delta_{\ell, 0} \left(u + ys G_2 + \frac{n+1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, 2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) G_2 \right) - \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell!} y \mathcal{K}^{(\ell+1)}(s) \\
&+ (n+1) \sum_{k=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2-k}) G_{k+\ell}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1+\alpha} &= \frac{\alpha}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+\alpha-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{-n-1}{k} x_1^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{n+\alpha, k+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{n+\alpha-k+1}) \\
&+ \alpha \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n+1, k}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2-k}) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1, k}(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha+k}) + \frac{\alpha}{n+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mathbf{f}_\ell}{\ell+1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \ell+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-\ell}).
\end{aligned}$$

By utilizing this and applying formula (4.31) while adopting the scheme of the proof of Corollary 4.1, we derive, after carrying out some simplification, the following examples of ϕ -WDVV solutions associated with the low-dimensional Frobenius manifolds $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Corollary 4.3 *We have the following special cases $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ of the WDVV solution (4.31):*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0} = \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + uys + y^2 \log(y) + \frac{1}{2}(ys)^2 G_2(\tau) - y^2 \mathcal{K}(s|\tau) + (\log(-1) - 3/2)y^2; \quad (4.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,1} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + u(ys + x^2) + \frac{1}{2}y^2 \log(xy) + \frac{1}{2}(y^2 s^2 + 2x^2 ys + x^4)G_2(\tau) - y^2 \mathcal{K}(s|\tau) \\ &\quad - x^2 y \mathcal{K}'(s|\tau) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2}y^2 - \frac{7}{4}y^2; \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,2} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + u(ys + 3x_1 x_2) + 2\frac{x_2^2 y}{x_1} - \frac{3x_2^4}{4x_1^2} + \frac{1}{2}y^2 \log(x_1 y) + \frac{1}{2}(y^2 s^2 + 6x_1 x_2 ys + 9x_1^2 x_2^2)G_2(\tau) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4}x_1^6 G_4 - y^2 \mathcal{K}(s|\tau) - 3x_1 x_2 y \mathcal{K}'(s|\tau) + \frac{1}{2}x_1^3 y \mathcal{K}''(s|\tau) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2}y^2 - \frac{3}{4}y^2; \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,3} &= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + uys + 4ux_1 x_3 + 2ux_2^2 + 2\frac{x_2 x_3 y}{x_1} + \frac{2x_2^3 y}{3x_1^2} + \frac{8x_3^3}{3x_1} - 8\frac{x_2^2 x_3^2}{x_1^2} + 8\frac{x_2^4 x_3}{x_1^3} - \frac{2x_2^6}{3x_1^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}y^2 \log(x_1 y) + \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 s^2 + 4x_1 x_3 ys + 2x_2^2 ys + 8x_1^2 x_3^2 + 8x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + 2x_2^4\right)G_2(\tau) \\ &\quad + \left(4x_1^5 x_3 - 10x_1^4 x_2^2\right)G_4(\tau) + \frac{5}{3}x_1^8 G_6(\tau) - y^2 \mathcal{K}(s|\tau) - \left(4x_1 x_3 y + 2x_2^2 y\right)\mathcal{K}'(s|\tau) \\ &\quad + 2x_1^2 x_2 y \mathcal{K}''(s|\tau) - \frac{1}{6}x_1^4 y \mathcal{K}'''(s|\tau) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2}y^2 - \frac{3}{4}y^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

4.3 Applications

According to formula (2.58), all the prepotentials of the Hurwitz-Frobenius structures induced by Dubrovin's primary differentials (2.46) share the same Hessian matrix. We shall employ this geometric viewpoint to determine the derivatives of the Weierstrass functions with respect to the modulus τ . Our proposed formulas take on a very concise form using the introduced function \mathcal{W} in (4.39) and its derivatives. The obtained results can be found in [7] (Section 10) and the references therein.

Proposition 4.10 *Let σ be the Weierstrass function (2.21), $\mathcal{K}(v) := \log \sigma(v)$ and G_2 be the quasi-modular Eisenstein series (2.16). Then we have*

$$\partial_\tau \left(\mathcal{K}(v|\tau) - \frac{v^2}{2} G_2(\tau) \right) = -\frac{1}{4i\pi} \mathcal{W}(v); \quad (4.37)$$

$$\partial_\tau \left(\mathcal{K}'(v|\tau) - v G_2(\tau) \right) = -\frac{1}{4i\pi} \mathcal{W}'(v), \quad (4.38)$$

where \mathcal{W} is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}(v) = \mathcal{W}(v|\tau) := \wp(v) - \left(\zeta(v) - G_2(\tau)v \right)^2 - 2G_2(\tau) \quad (4.39)$$

and \wp and ζ are the Weierstrass functions (2.19)-(2.20).

The function \mathcal{W} is even and multivalued. Indeed, because of the quasi-periodicity property of the function ζ and Legendre's identity (2.29), the function \mathcal{W} (4.39) satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(v+1) - \mathcal{W}(v) &= 0; \\ \mathcal{W}(v+\tau) - \mathcal{W}(v) &= 4i\pi\left(\zeta(v) - G_2(\tau)v\right) - (2i\pi)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, making use of the differential equation $\wp'' = 6\wp^2 - 30G_4$ and observing that

$$2(\zeta - G_2v)\mathcal{W}' = 4\wp^2 - 2\wp'(\zeta - G_2v) - 4(\wp + G_2)\mathcal{W} - 4G_2\wp - 8G_2^2,$$

then we can see that the function \mathcal{W} (4.39) obeys the following differential equation

$$\mathcal{W}'' = 2(\zeta - G_2v)\mathcal{W}' + 4(\wp + G_2)\mathcal{W} - 6(5G_4 - G_2^2). \quad (4.40)$$

To prove the preceding proposition, we place ourselves in the context of the four dimensional Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(0, 0)$ whose prepotential and LG superpotential are respectively given by (4.33) and the case $n = 0$ of (4.30), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(P) &= u + ysG_2(\tau) + y\left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^1) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0)\right), \quad \text{with} \\ u &= \oint_a \lambda(P)dz_P, \quad y = \operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \lambda(P)dz_P \quad \text{and} \quad s = \infty^1 - \infty^0.\end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

From (2.46), we have four primary differentials given by

$$\phi(P) = dz_P, \quad \Omega_{\infty^0\infty^1}, \quad \operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \lambda(Q)W(P, Q) = \left(\wp(z_P - \infty^1) + G_2(\tau)\right)dz_P, \quad \oint_a \lambda(Q)W(P, Q),$$

where $W(P, Q)$ is the bidifferential (2.42) on the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$. The last primary differential is described by the following useful lemma.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $W(P, Q)$ be the genus one bidifferential (2.42), λ be the meromorphic function (4.41) and \mathcal{W} be the multivalued function (4.39). Then*

$$\phi_{\mathbf{u}}(P) := \oint_a \lambda(Q)W(P, Q) = -\frac{y}{2}\left(\mathcal{W}'(z_P - \infty^1) - \mathcal{W}'(z_P - \infty^0)\right)dz_P. \quad (4.42)$$

Proof: Putting $\alpha_0 = -y$ and $\alpha_1 = y$, we observe that the elliptic function (4.41) takes the following form

$$\lambda(P) = u + ysG_2 + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \zeta(z_P - \infty^j).$$

Therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint_a \lambda(Q) \frac{W(P, Q)}{dz_P} = \oint_a \lambda(Q) (\wp(z_P - z_Q) + G_2) dz_Q \\
& = G_2 \oint_a \lambda(Q) dz_Q + (u + ysG_2) \oint_a \wp(z_P - z_Q) dz_Q + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \oint_a \zeta(z_Q - \infty^j) \wp(z_Q - z_P) dz_Q \\
& = -ysG_2^2 + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \int_{x-z_P}^{x-z_P+1} \zeta(v + z_P - \infty^j) \wp(v) dv \\
& = -ysG_2^2 + \left(\int_{x-z_P}^{x-z_P+1} \wp(v) dv \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \int_{x-z_P}^{x-z_P+1} \left(\frac{\wp'(v) - \wp'(z_P - \infty^j)}{2(\wp(v) - \wp(z_P - \infty^j))} \right) \wp(v) dv \\
& = -G_2 \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - G_2(\tau)(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \int_{x-z_P}^{x-z_P+1} \left(\frac{\wp'(v) - \wp'(z_P - \infty^j)}{2(\wp(v) - \wp(z_P - \infty^j))} \right) \left(\wp(v) - \wp(z_P - \infty^j) + \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \right) dv \\
& = -G_2 \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - G_2(\tau)(z_P - \infty^j) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \wp'(z_P - \infty^j) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \int_{x-z_P}^{x-z_P+1} \frac{\wp'(v) - \wp'(z_P - \infty^j)}{2(\wp(v) - \wp(z_P - \infty^j))} dv \\
& = -G_2 \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - G_2(\tau)(z_P - \infty^j) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \wp'(z_P - \infty^j) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \wp(z_P - \infty^j) \int_{x-z_P}^{x-z_P+1} \left(\zeta(v + z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(v) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^j) \right) dv \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \left(\wp'(z_P - \infty^j) + 2 \left[\wp(z_P - \infty^j) + G_2(\tau) \right] \left[\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - G_2(\tau)(z_P - \infty^j) \right] \right) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j \mathcal{W}'(z_P - \infty^j),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

- expression (2.42) for the genus one bidifferential and the relations $G_2(\tau) = 2\zeta(1/2) = -\oint_a \wp(v) dv$ in the first, third and fifth equalities;
- the addition theorem (2.31) for the ζ -function in the fourth and seventh equalities;
- the fact that $ys = y(\infty^1 - \infty^0) = -\sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_j (z_P - \infty^j)$ in the fifth equality;
- the relation $\zeta(v) = \partial_v \log(\sigma(v))$ (2.26) and the quasi-periodicity properties (2.28) of the function σ in the eighth equality;
- expression (4.39) for the function \mathcal{W} in the last equality.

□

Proof of Proposition 4.10: Although (4.38) follows directly from (4.37), both relations come from

the following two special cases of formulas (4.16):

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_a \lambda(P) \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^1}(P) &= 2i\pi \partial_\tau \partial_y \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0}(u, y, s, \tau); \\ \oint_a \lambda(P) \left(\operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \lambda(Q) W(P, Q) \right) &= 2i\pi \partial_\tau \partial_s \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0}(u, y, s, \tau), \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0}$ is the WDVV solution (4.33). In other words, we have two ways to calculate the integrals of right hand sides of (4.43).

On the one hand, the function W defined by (4.39) is extendable to a holomorphic function inside a fundamental parallelogram F containing the origin with

$$W(0) := \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} W(v) = 0 = W'(0).$$

Therefore, by interchanging the order of integration and using step 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_a \lambda(P) \Omega_{\infty^0 \infty^1}(P) &= \oint_a \lambda(P) \left(\int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^1} W(P, Q) \right) = \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^1} \left(\oint_{P \in a} \lambda(P) W(P, Q) \right) \\ &= -\frac{y}{2} \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^1} \left(W'(z_Q - \infty^1) - W'(z_Q - \infty^0) \right) dz_Q = yW(s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_a \lambda(P) \left(\operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \lambda(Q) W(P, Q) \right) &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \left(\lambda(Q) \oint_{P \in a} \lambda(P) W(P, Q) \right) \\ &= -\frac{y}{2} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \left(\lambda(Q) \left(W'(z_Q - \infty^1) - W'(z_Q - \infty^0) \right) dz_Q \right) = \frac{y^2}{2} W'(s). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using expression (4.33) for the prepotential $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0}(u, y, s, \tau)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2i\pi \partial_\tau \partial_y \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0}(u, y, s, \tau) &= -4i\pi y \left(\partial_\tau \mathcal{K}(s) - \frac{s^2}{2} \partial_\tau G_2 \right); \\ 2i\pi \partial_\tau \partial_s \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,0}(u, y, s, \tau) &= -2i\pi y^2 \left(\partial_\tau \mathcal{K}'(s) - s \partial_\tau G_2(\tau) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus comparing these two ways using (4.43), we arrive at the stated relations (4.37) and (4.38). \square

As corollary, we give a simple proof of Ramanujan's differential equations for all the non-normalized series G_{2m} , $m \geq 1$.

Corollary 4.4 *For any positive integer m , the Eisenstein series G_{2m} defined by (2.14), (2.16) and (2.18) satisfy the following Ramanujan differential equations:*

$$\partial_\tau G_{2m}(\tau) = \frac{m}{2i\pi} \left((2m+3)G_{2m+2} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} G_{2\ell+2} G_{2m-2\ell} \right). \quad (4.44)$$

Proof: The Laurent expansions (2.32) and (2.33) for the Weierstrass functions \wp and ζ and simple calculations enable us to obtain the following Taylor expansion for the function W (4.39) near the origin:

$$\begin{aligned} W(v) &= \wp(v) - \left(\zeta(v) - G_2 v \right)^2 - 2G_2 \\ &\underset{v \sim 0}{=} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left((2m+3)G_{2m+2} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} G_{2\ell+2} G_{2m-2\ell} \right) v^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{W}'(v) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(2m(2m+3)G_{2m+2} - 2m \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} G_{2\ell+2}G_{2m-2\ell} \right) v^{2m-1}.$$

This together with the equality (4.38) and τ -derivation in the Laurent series (2.33) of the function $\mathcal{K}'(v) - G_2v = \zeta(v) - G_2v$ show (4.44). \square

Remark 4.3

• Let $E_{2m} := G_{2m}/2\tilde{\zeta}(2m)$ be the normalized Eisenstein series of weight $2m$, where $\tilde{\zeta}$ denotes in our case the Riemann zeta function (to distinguish it with the Weierstrass zeta function). Then making use of the following recursion formula for the Riemann zeta function that can be found in [43, 4]):

$$(2m+3)\tilde{\zeta}(2m+2) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{\zeta}(2k)\tilde{\zeta}(2m+2-2k), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 1, \quad (4.45)$$

we conclude that (4.44) can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \partial_{\tau} E_{2m} = \frac{m}{\pi^2 \tilde{\zeta}(2m)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\tilde{\zeta}(2k+2)\tilde{\zeta}(2m-2k) \right) \left(E_{2k+2}E_{2m-2k} - E_{2m+2} \right).$$

This was worked out in ([36], Theorem D) and extends the well-known Ramanujan's differential equations for the Eisenstein series E_2, E_4 and E_6 [33].

• Relations (4.37) and (4.38) and the Ramanujan differential equation (4.44) for the quasi-modular form G_2 bring us to the following formulas for the τ -derivative of Weierstrass functions $\sigma, \zeta, \wp, \wp^{(k)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau} \log(\sigma(v|\tau)) &= -\frac{1}{4i\pi} \left(\mathcal{W}(v) - (5G_4 - G_2^2)v^2 \right); \\ \partial_{\tau} \zeta(v|\tau) &= -\frac{1}{4i\pi} \left(\mathcal{W}'(v) - 2(5G_4 - G_2^2)v \right); \\ \partial_{\tau} \wp(v|\tau) &= \frac{1}{4i\pi} \left(\mathcal{W}''(v) - 2(5G_4 - G_2^2) \right); \\ \partial_{\tau} \wp^{(k)}(u|\tau) &= \frac{1}{4i\pi} \mathcal{W}^{(k+2)}(v), \quad \forall k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

In addition, expression (4.39) for the function \mathcal{W} and differential equation (4.40) as well as the above relations lead to the following heat equation

$$\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{W}(v|\tau) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left(\mathcal{W}''(v) - 2(\wp(v) + G_2)\mathcal{W} \right).$$

This turns out to obtain the second order τ -derivative of Weierstrass functions by means of the function \mathcal{W} (4.39) and its derivatives.

Proposition 4.11 Consider the function ψ defined by

$$\psi(v) := \log \sigma(v) - \frac{G_2}{2}v^2 = \log \left(\frac{\theta_1(v)}{\theta_1'(0)} \right), \quad (4.47)$$

where σ is the Weierstrass function (2.21), G_2 is the quasi-modular form (2.16) and θ_1 is the Jacobi function (2.34). Then the function ψ solves the following nonlinear partial differential equations:

$$\psi^{(4)} + 6(\psi'')^2 + 12G_2\psi'' + 6(G_2^2 - 5G_4) = 0; \quad (4.48)$$

$$(4i\pi)\partial_{\tau}\psi = \psi'' + (\psi')^2 + 3G_2. \quad (4.49)$$

Proof: Since

$$\psi'(v) = \zeta(v) - G_2v \quad \text{and} \quad \psi''(v) = -\wp(v) - G_2,$$

it follows that (4.48) is an immediate consequence of the differential equation

$$\wp''(v) = 6\wp^2(v) - \frac{g_2}{2} = 6\wp^2(v) - 30G_4.$$

Moreover, the previous expressions for ψ' and ψ'' imply that

$$\psi'' + (\psi')^2 + 3G_2 = -\mathcal{W}, \quad (4.50)$$

with \mathcal{W} being the function (4.39). Accordingly the heat equation (4.49) follows directly from (4.37) and (4.50). \square

In the next theorem, we show that the 4-dimensional Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{H}_1(0,0)$ associated with the holomorphic differential $\phi = dz_P$ is a geometric realization of partial differential equations (4.48)-(4.49) satisfied by the function ψ (4.47), in the sense that the WDVV associativity equations (1.1) for the corresponding prepotential $\mathbf{F}_{\phi,0}$ (4.33) are equivalent to (4.48)-(4.49).

Theorem 4.2 *Let $F = F(t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3)$ be the function defined by*

$$F = \frac{(t_3)^2}{2}t_0 + t_1t_2t_3 + (t_2)^2 \log(t_2) - (t_2)^2 \psi(t_1|2i\pi t_0) + \text{quadratic terms}, \quad (4.51)$$

for some $\psi = \psi(v|\tau)$ defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, with \mathbb{H} being the upper half-plane. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i) F satisfies the WDVV equations (1.1);
- ii) there is a function $f = f(\tau)$ such that ψ is a solution to the following differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \psi^{(4)} + 6(\psi'')^2 + 4f(\tau)\psi'' - (4i\pi)f'(\tau) = 0; \\ (4i\pi)\partial_\tau \psi = \psi'' + (\psi')^2 + f(\tau). \end{cases} \quad (4.52)$$

Proof: Let us denote by \mathbf{H} the Hessian matrix of the function F introduced in (4.51). Since the matrix $\partial_{t_3}\mathbf{H}$ is constant and anti-diagonal, it follows that the WDVV equations (1.1) for the function F can be written as follows:

$$(\partial_{t_j}\mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_3}\mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_k}\mathbf{H}) = (\partial_{t_k}\mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_3}\mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_j}\mathbf{H}), \quad \forall j, k = 0, 1, 2.$$

For all $j = 0, 1, 2$, we see that the matrix $\partial_{t_j}\mathbf{H}$ has the following form:

$$\partial_{t_j}\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{j00} & c_{j01} & c_{j02} & 0 \\ c_{j10} & c_{j11} & c_{j12} & \delta_{j2} \\ c_{j20} & c_{j21} & c_{j22} & \delta_{j1} \\ 0 & \delta_{j2} & \delta_{j1} & \delta_{j0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad c_{jks} := \partial_{t_j}\partial_{t_k}\partial_{t_s}F.$$

This implies that

$$(\partial_{t_j}\mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_3}\mathbf{H}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{j02} & c_{j01} & c_{j00} \\ \delta_{j2} & c_{j12} & c_{j11} & c_{j10} \\ \delta_{j1} & c_{j22} & c_{j21} & c_{j20} \\ \delta_{j0} & \delta_{j1} & \delta_{j2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_{t_3}\mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_j}\mathbf{H}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{j2} & \delta_{j1} & \delta_{j0} \\ c_{j20} & c_{j21} & c_{j22} & \delta_{j1} \\ c_{j10} & c_{j11} & c_{j12} & \delta_{j2} \\ c_{j00} & c_{j01} & c_{j02} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{t_j} \mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_3} \mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_k} \mathbf{H}) = (\partial_{t_k} \mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_3} \mathbf{H})(\partial_{t_j} \mathbf{H}), \quad \forall j, k = 0, 1, 2; \\
\iff & \begin{cases} c_{j02}c_{k11} + c_{j01}c_{k21} + \delta_{k2}c_{j00} = \delta_{j2}c_{k00} + c_{k01}c_{j21} + c_{k02}c_{j11}; \\ c_{j02}c_{k12} + c_{j01}c_{k22} + \delta_{k1}c_{j00} = \delta_{j1}c_{k00} + c_{k01}c_{j22} + c_{k02}c_{j12}; \\ \delta_{j2}c_{k02} + c_{j11}c_{k22} + \delta_{k1}c_{j10} = \delta_{j1}c_{k10} + c_{k11}c_{j22} + \delta_{k2}c_{j02}, \end{cases} \quad \forall j, k = 0, 1, 2; \\
\iff & (S) \begin{cases} c_{111}c_{222} = c_{112}c_{122} + 2c_{012}; \\ c_{011}c_{222} = c_{112}c_{022} + c_{002}; \\ c_{002}c_{122} + c_{001}c_{222} = 2c_{022}c_{012}; \\ c_{011}c_{122} + c_{001} = c_{111}c_{022}; \\ c_{002}c_{112} + c_{001}c_{122} + c_{000} = (c_{012})^2 + c_{011}c_{022}; \\ c_{002}c_{111} + c_{001}c_{112} = 2c_{012}c_{011}; \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by using (4.51) we can deduce that the preceding sixth equations in the system (S) are nothing but the following partial differential equations satisfied by the function ψ :

$$\psi''' + 2\psi''\psi' - 2(2i\pi)(\partial_\tau\psi)' = 0; \quad (\text{E.1})$$

$$(2i\pi)\partial_\tau^2\psi - 2\psi''(\partial_\tau\psi) - (\partial_\tau\psi)'' = 0; \quad (\text{E.2})$$

$$(\partial_\tau^2\psi)' - 2(\partial_\tau^2\psi)\psi' + 4(\partial_\tau\psi)(\partial_\tau\psi)' = 0; \quad (\text{E.3})$$

$$(2i\pi)(\partial_\tau^2\psi)' - 2(\partial_\tau\psi)''\psi' + 2\psi'''(\partial_\tau\psi) = 0; \quad (\text{E.4})$$

$$(2i\pi)\partial_\tau^3\psi - 4\left((\partial_\tau^2\psi)\psi'' - [(\partial_\tau\psi)']^2\right) + 2(\partial_\tau\psi)(\partial_\tau\psi)'' - 2\psi'(\partial_\tau^2\psi)' = 0; \quad (\text{E.5})$$

$$(\partial_\tau^2\psi)\psi''' + (\partial_\tau^2\psi)'\psi'' - 2(\partial_\tau\psi)'(\partial_\tau\psi)'' = 0. \quad (\text{E.6})$$

Here the notation $(\partial_\tau^r Q)^{(m)}$ means that $\partial_v^m \partial_\tau^r Q(v|\tau)$. At this level, we have proved that the WDVV equations for the function F in (4.51) are equivalent to equations (E.1)-(E.6).

Let us move on to prove that **ii**) \Rightarrow **i**). Differentiating with respect to the variable v in the second equation of the system (4.52) we see that (E.1) holds. Moreover, due to (4.52), we have

$$(4i\pi)(\partial_\tau\psi)' = \psi''' + 2\psi'\psi'';$$

$$(4i\pi)(\partial_\tau\psi)'' = \psi^{(4)} + 2\psi'\psi''' + 2(\psi'')^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4i\pi)^2\partial_\tau^2\psi &= (4i\pi)(\partial_\tau\psi)'' + 2(4i\pi)\psi'(\partial_\tau\psi)' + (4i\pi)f'(\tau) \\
&= \psi^{(4)} + 4\psi'\psi''' + 2(\psi'')^2 + 4(\psi')^2\psi'' + (4i\pi)f'(\tau) \\
&= 2\psi^{(4)} + 4\psi'\psi''' + 8(\psi'')^2 + 4(\psi')^2\psi'' + 4f(\tau)\psi'';
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4i\pi)^2(\partial_\tau^2\psi)' &= 2\left(\psi^{(5)} + 2\psi'\psi^{(4)} + 10\psi''\psi''' + 2(\psi')^2\psi'''' + 4\psi'(\psi'')^2 + 2f(\tau)\psi''''\right) \\
&= 4\left(\psi'\psi^{(4)} - \psi''\psi''' + (\psi')^2\psi'''' + 2\psi'(\psi'')^2 - f(\tau)\psi''''\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that equations (E.2), (E.3) and (E.6) are satisfied.

Now if we differentiate with respect to τ in (E.1) and with respect to v in (E.2) and take the sum, then we arrive at equation (E.4). Similarly, equation (E.5) comes from (E.2) and (E.3).

Conversely, assume that the function ψ obeys equations (E.1)-(E.6). Given that ψ solves (E.1), there exists a function $f(\tau)$ such that

$$(4i\pi)\partial_\tau\psi = \psi'' + (\psi')^2 + f(\tau).$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} (4i\pi)^2 \partial_\tau^2 \psi &= ((4i\pi) \partial_\tau \psi)'' + 2\psi'((4i\pi) \partial_\tau \psi)' + (4i\pi) f'(\tau) \\ &= \psi^{(4)} + 4\psi' \psi''' + 2(\psi'')^2 + 4(\psi')^2 \psi'' + (4i\pi) f'(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand (E.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (4i\pi)^2 \partial_\tau^2 \psi &= 2(4i\pi)(\partial_\tau \psi)'' + 4(4i\pi)\psi'' \partial_\tau \psi \\ &= 2\psi^{(4)} + 4\psi' \psi''' + 4(\psi'')^2 + 4\psi''(\psi'' + (\psi')^2 + f(\tau)) \\ &= 2\psi^{(4)} + 4\psi' \psi''' + 8(\psi'')^2 + 4(\psi')^2 \psi'' + 4f(\tau)\psi''. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we must have

$$\psi^{(4)} + 6(\psi'')^2 + 4f(\tau)\psi'' - (4i\pi)f'(\tau) = 0.$$

This establishes that **i**) \Rightarrow **ii**) and finishes the proof the theorem. \square

Remark 4.4 *Differential equations (4.48) and (4.49) and Ramanujan's identity (4.44) for the Eisenstein series G_2 enable us to conclude that the function ψ defined by (4.47) obeys differential equations (4.52) where we take $f(\tau) = 3G_2(\tau)$.*

5 WDVV solutions associated with the deformed Frobenius manifold structure

This section explores a generalization of Theorem 4.1 to the framework of q -deformed Frobenius manifold structures on the N -dimensional Hurwitz space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ in genus one, with $N = 2 + 2m + \sum_{j=0}^m n_j$ and q being a fixed complex parameter.

Deformed Hurwitz-Frobenius manifolds were constructed in [41] and their descriptions make use of deformed bidifferentials defined on the underlying Riemann surfaces. Moreover, to calculate the corresponding WDVV prepotentials, a new method utilizing a formula similar to that in (2.56) was derived in [35] (Section 5). This inspires us to adapt the scheme outlined in Sections 3 and 4 as we work toward our goal.

We begin by extending the main results from Section 3 within the context of the q -deformation setting, where $q \in \mathbb{C}$ is fixed parameter. Let us then consider a lattice $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, where τ is a complex number with positive imaginary part, further satisfying the requirement

$$1 + q\tau \neq 0 \tag{5.1}$$

and a meromorphic function $\lambda : \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ having a fixed ramification profile over the point at infinity $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\lambda^{-1}(\infty) = \{\infty^0, \dots, \infty^m \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}\}$ and the order of the pole ∞^j is $n_j + 1$, $j = 0, \dots, m$. Throughout this section, the meromorphic function $\lambda(P)$ under consideration will be assumed to be the LG superpotential given by (3.34) and we will maintain the notation used there

- *Normalized holomorphic q -differential*

The genus one q -bidifferential on the torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} is the q -deformation of the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ (2.42) and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W_q(P, Q) &= W(P, Q) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1 + q\tau} \phi(P)\phi(Q) \\ &= \left(\wp(z_P - z_Q) + 2\zeta(1/2) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1 + q\tau} \right) dz_P dz_Q, \end{aligned} \quad P, Q \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\phi(P) = dz_P$ is the normalized holomorphic differential and \wp, ζ are the Weierstrass functions. The q -bidifferential $W_q(P, Q)$ reduces to the bidifferential $W(P, Q)$ when $q = 0$. Motivated by (3.1), the q -deformation of the usual normalized holomorphic differential is defined by means of the q -bidifferential $W_q(P, Q)$ as

$$\phi_q(P) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b W_q(P, Q) = \frac{1}{1+q\tau} \phi(P) = \frac{dz_P}{1+q\tau}, \quad (5.3)$$

with the second equality being an immediate consequence of expression (5.2) for $W_q(P, Q)$. The periods of the holomorphic differential ϕ_q (5.3) are given by

$$\oint_a \phi_q = \frac{1}{1+q\tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_q := \oint_b \phi_q = \frac{\tau}{1+q\tau} \quad (5.4)$$

and then it satisfies the normalization condition:

$$\oint_a \phi_q + q \oint_b \phi_q = 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Analogously to (3.4), for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, let us write the Taylor expansion of the holomorphic q -differential ϕ_q (5.3) near the pole ∞^j of λ in the following form

$$\phi_q(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r x_{q,r}(j) \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{r-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_j(P), \quad \lambda(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} \mathbf{z}_j(P)^{-n_j-1}. \quad (5.6)$$

In particular, because of (5.3) and (5.6), the coefficients $x_{q,r}(j)$ and $x_r(j)$ in (3.4)-(3.5) are related by

$$x_{q,r}(j) = \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P)^{\frac{r}{n_j+1}} \phi_q(P) = \frac{x_r(j)}{1+q\tau}, \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (5.7)$$

For later use and in a similar manner as in the non-deformed case (i.e. when $q = 0$), we shall introduce the following objects and notation involving the coefficients $\{x_{q,r}(j)\}$ (5.6)-(5.7) associated with the q -differential ϕ_q :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}^q(j) &:= \mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}(x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,\mu-k+1}(j)); \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}^q(j) &:= \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,\mu+1}(j)); \\ \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi_q} &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}^q(j) \partial_v^k, \end{aligned} \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (5.8)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ are respectively partial Bell polynomial (2.1) and the related rational function (2.13) and $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi_q}$ is the q -analogue of Bell operator (3.7).

- *Abelian q -differentials of the third kind*

For $j = 1, \dots, m$, define the Abelian q -differential of the third kind $\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P) &:= \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} W_q(P, Q) = \Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}(P) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} s^j \phi(P) \\ &= \left(\zeta(z_P - \infty^j) - \zeta(z_P - \infty^0) + 2\zeta(1/2) s^j - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} s^j \right) \phi(P), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $W_q(P, Q)$ is the q -bidifferential (5.2), $\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}$ is the normalized differential of the third kind (3.14) and $s^j = \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} \phi = \infty^j - \infty^0$. A straightforward calculation using (3.15) and the first line

in (5.9) shows that the Abelian q -differential of the third kind $\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P)$ is normalized by the property

$$\oint_a \Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P) + q \oint_b \Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P) = 0.$$

Let $i = 0, \dots, m$. Then, formula (5.9) implies that the Laurent expansion of the q -differential $\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P)$ near the point ∞^i takes the following form

$$\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{z_i(P)} - \frac{\delta_{i0}}{z_i(P)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}] \right) \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{\alpha-1} \right) d\mathbf{z}_i(P), \quad \lambda(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^i}{=} \mathbf{z}_i(P)^{-n_i-1},$$

where the coefficients $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}]$ are the q -analogue of $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}]$ (3.16) and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} \Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}] - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \alpha x_\alpha(i) s^j, \quad \forall \alpha \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore, expressions (3.18)-(3.20) for the terms $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}]$ and formula (5.10) show that the coefficients $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}]$ are explicitly describable in terms of the variables τ , $s^j = \infty^j - \infty^0$ and $x_r(j)$ (3.5) associated with the usual normalized holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$.

As another consequence of (5.9), we mention that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^k(\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}) &:= p.v. \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^k} \Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}(P) \\ &= \mathbf{s}^k(\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} s^j s^k, \quad \forall k, j = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where, as above, the principal value is defined by subtracting the divergent part of the integral as a function of the local parameters $\mathbf{z}_0(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_0+1}}$ and $\mathbf{z}_j(P) := \lambda(P)^{-\frac{1}{n_j+1}}$ near the points ∞^0 and ∞^j , respectively and $\mathbf{s}^k(\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}) = \mathbf{s}^k(\phi_{s^j})$ is explicitly determined by (4.7)-(4.8).

- *Abelian q -differentials of the second kind*

By following (3.22), for fixed $j = 0, \dots, m$ and fixed positive integer α , consider the meromorphic q -differential

$$\Psi_{q,j,\alpha}(P) := \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(Q)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} W_q(P, Q) = \Psi_{j,\alpha}(P) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \alpha x_\alpha(j) \phi(P), \quad (5.12)$$

where $W_q(P, Q)$ denotes the q -bidifferential (5.2), $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ is the Abelian differential of the second kind (3.22) and as above $\alpha x_\alpha(j)$ are defined by Taylor's series (3.4) for the differential ϕ near the pole ∞^j of λ . Formula (5.12) implies that the meromorphic q -differential $\Psi_{q,j,\alpha}(P)$ has the same singularity structure (3.24) as the Abelian differential $\Psi_{j,\alpha}(P)$. In addition, expression (3.23) for $\Psi_{j,\alpha}$ turns out to the following explicit for its q -analogue

$$\Psi_{q,j,\alpha}(P) = \left(2\alpha x_\alpha(j) \zeta(1/2) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \alpha x_\alpha(j) - \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,k}(j) \wp^{(k-1)}(z_P - \infty^j) \right) \phi(P).$$

On the other hand, as in (5.10), the following holds true for all $i = 0, \dots, m$ and all $\beta \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{q,j,\alpha}] &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\beta}{n_i+1}} \Psi_{q,j,\alpha}(P) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{i,\beta}[\Psi_{j,\alpha}] - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \alpha \beta x_\alpha(j) x_\beta(i). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

- *Primary q -differentials and flat coordinates of the corresponding flat metrics*

In the deformation setting depending on the fixed parameter $q \in \mathbb{C}$, we also have N semi-simple Frobenius manifold structures on the N -dimensional Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ in genus one, with $N = 2 + 2m + \sum_{j=0}^m n_j$. These structures are associated with the following list of N primary q -differentials defined on a surface $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, with $\Im\tau > 0$ and $1 + q\tau \neq 0$ (see [41, 35] for details):

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{q,\mathbf{t}^i,\alpha}(P) &:= \frac{\sqrt{n_i+1}}{\alpha} \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_i+1}} W_q(P, Q) = \frac{\sqrt{n_i+1}}{\alpha} \Psi_{q,i,\alpha}(P), & i = 0, \dots, m, \\
& & \alpha = 1, \dots, n_i; \\
\phi_{q,\mathbf{v}^i}(P) &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^i} \lambda(Q) W_q(P, Q) = \Psi_{q,i,n_i+1}(P), & i = 1, \dots, m; \\
\phi_{q,\mathbf{s}^i}(P) &:= \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^i} W_q(P, Q) = \Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^i}(P), & i = 1, \dots, m; \\
\phi_{q,\mathbf{r}}(P) &:= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_b W_q(P, Q) = \phi_q(P); \\
\phi_{q,\xi}(P) &:= \oint_a \lambda(Q) W_q(P, Q) + q \oint_b \lambda(Q) W_q(P, Q) \\
&= \phi_{\mathbf{u}}(P) + q\phi_{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}}(P) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} (u + q\widehat{u})\phi(P),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

where $\lambda(P)$ is the meromorphic function given by (3.3) and satisfying the conditions indicated in Remark 3.2, $\Psi_{q,j,\mu}(P)$ is the Abelian q -differential of the second kind (5.12), $\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^i}(P)$ is the Abelian q -differential of the third kind (5.9), $\phi_q(P)$ is the holomorphic q -differential (5.3), $\phi_{\mathbf{u}}(P)$ is the multivalued differential in (2.46) and

$$\phi_{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}}(P) := \oint_b \lambda(P) W(P, Q), \quad u = \oint_a \lambda(P) dz_P, \quad \widehat{u} = \oint_b \lambda(P) dz_P.$$

Apart from the multivalued q -differential $\phi_{q,\xi}$, the q -differentials (5.14) have the same appearance of Dubrovin's primary differentials (2.46), but with respect to the q -bidifferential $W_q(P, Q)$ (5.2). In addition, from [35], all the N primary q -differentials in (5.14) are also quasi-homogeneous and their degrees of quasi-homogeneity occur in a manner similar to (2.47)-(2.48) and represent the power of $\lambda(Q)$ in their integral representation formulas relative to the q -bidifferential $W_q(P, Q)$. Now, let us assume that $\omega_{q,0}$ is one of previously mentioned primary q -differentials (5.14) of quasi-homogeneous degree $d_0 \geq 0$. Then from [41] and as in (2.49), we know that the formula

$$\eta(\omega_{q,0}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_j (\omega_{q,0}(P_j))^2 (d\lambda_j)^2 \tag{5.15}$$

defines a Darboux-Egoroff metric (which is the metric of the Frobenius manifold) on the open set $\mathcal{M}_{\omega_{q,0}}$ of equivalence classes $[(\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}), \lambda)] \in \mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ such that

$$1 + q\tau \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{q,0}(P_j) := \left. \frac{\omega_{q,0}(P)}{d\mathbf{x}_j(P)} \right|_{P=P_j} \neq 0, \quad \forall j,$$

where P_1, \dots, P_N are the simple ramification points of the covering $(\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}), \lambda)$ and $\mathbf{x}_j(P) = \sqrt{\lambda(P) - \lambda_j}$ is the standard local parameter near P_j . Note that, since the genus one q -bidifferential $W_q(P, Q)$ (5.2) turns out to satisfy variational formulas which look like those for the bidifferential (2.45), the rotation coefficients $\beta_{ij}(q)$ of the metric $\eta(\omega_{q,0})$ are of the form:

$$\beta_{ij}(q) = \frac{1}{2} W_q(P_i, P_j) := \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{W_q(P, Q)}{d\mathbf{x}_i(P) d\mathbf{x}_j(Q)} \right|_{P=P_i, Q=P_j}, \quad i \neq j.$$

In addition, the following set is a system of flat coordinates (satisfying analogous duality relations as (2.54)) for the metric $\eta(\omega_{q,0})$:

$$\left\{ \mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}(\omega_{q,0}), \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_{q,0}), \mathbf{s}^i(\omega_{q,0}), \mathbf{r}(\omega_{q,0}), \xi(\omega_{q,0}) \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{t}^{i,\alpha}$, \mathbf{v}^i , \mathbf{s}^i , \mathbf{r} are the same operations defined by (2.51) and ξ is the following one

$$\xi(\omega_{q,0}) := \oint_a \lambda(P)\omega_{q,0}(P) + q \oint_b \lambda(P)\omega_{q,0}(P) \quad (5.16)$$

which plays the role of the last flat coordinate $\mathbf{u}(\omega_0)$ in (2.51) and in particular, the coordinates $\xi(\omega_{q,0})$ and $\mathbf{r}(\omega_{q,0})$ are dual to each other with respect to the metric $\eta(\omega_{q,0})$, where by this we mean (as above) that

$$\eta(\omega_{q,0})(\partial_{\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_{q,0})}, \partial_{\xi(\omega_{q,0})}) = \delta_{\mathbf{t}^A, \mathbf{r}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{t}^A.$$

- *Prepotentials of deformed semi-simple Hurwitz-Frobenius manifolds:*

According to Theorem 5.1 in [35], the following function depending on the parameter $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and looking exactly as (2.56) is the prepotential of the deformed Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold structure determined by the primary and quasi-homogeneous q -differential $\omega_{q,0}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\omega_{q,0}} &= \frac{1}{2(1+d_0)} \sum_{A,B} \frac{((d_0 + d_A)\mathbf{t}^A(\omega_{q,0}) + \rho_{\omega_{q,0}, \phi_q, \mathbf{t}^A})((d_0 + d_B)\mathbf{t}^B(\omega_{q,0}) + \rho_{\omega_{q,0}, \phi_q, \mathbf{t}^B})}{1 + d_0 + d_{A'}} \mathbf{t}^{A'}(\phi_{q, \mathbf{t}^{B'}}) \\ &+ \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_{q,0})\mathbf{v}^j(\omega_{q,0}) - \frac{3}{4(1+d_0)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n_0+1} + \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n_i+1} \right) \mathbf{v}^i(\omega_{q,0})\mathbf{v}^j(\omega_{q,0}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where $d_0 = \deg(\omega_{q,0})$, $d_A = \deg(\phi_{q, \mathbf{t}^A})$, $d_{A'} = \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{t}^{A'}})$, $\mathbf{t}^{A'}$ is the dual coordinate of \mathbf{t}^A in according with the following relations

$$\mathbf{t}^{(i,\alpha)'} = \mathbf{t}^{i, n_i+1-\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{v}^{i'} = \mathbf{s}^i, \quad \mathbf{s}^{i'} = \mathbf{v}^i, \quad \mathbf{r}' = \xi, \quad \xi' = \mathbf{r}$$

and $\rho_{\omega_{q,0}, \phi_q, \mathbf{t}^A}$ is the q -analogue of the constant (2.57) determined by:

$$\rho_{\omega_{q,0}, \phi_q, \mathbf{t}^A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta_{\omega_{q,0}, \phi_q, \mathbf{s}^i} \delta_{\phi_q, \mathbf{t}^A, \phi_q, \mathbf{s}^j} \left(\frac{1}{n_0+1} + \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n_i+1} \right) = \rho_{\phi_q, \mathbf{t}^A, \omega_{q,0}}.$$

- *WDVV solution associated with the normalized holomorphic q -differential*

Let us now turn our attention to the prepotential of the Hurwitz-Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\phi_q} \subset \mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ determined by the q -deformed holomorphic differential ϕ_q (5.3). Here, we mention that the differential ϕ_q has no zero and then the open set \mathcal{M}_{ϕ_q} is only determined by condition (5.1). Moreover, the two flat metrics $\eta(\phi)$ (2.49) and $\eta(\phi_q)$ (5.15) corresponding respectively to the usual normalized holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$ and to its q -deformation $\phi_q(P) = dz_P/(1+q\tau)$ (5.3) are conformally related to each other, in the sense that

$$\eta(\phi_q) = \frac{1}{(1+q\tau)^2} \eta(\phi). \quad (5.18)$$

Moreover, as a local system of flat coordinates for the flat metric $\eta(\phi_q)$, we can take the following one which is closely linked to that (4.3) for the metric $\eta(\phi)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{q,\alpha}(j) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_j+1}} \mathbf{t}^{j,\alpha}(\phi_q) := \frac{1}{\alpha \infty^j} \operatorname{res} \lambda(P)^{\frac{\alpha}{n_j+1}} \phi_q(P) = \frac{x_\alpha(j)}{1+q\tau}, & j=0, \dots, m, \\
& & \alpha=1, \dots, n_j; \\
x_{q,n_j+1}(j) &= (n_j+1) \mathbf{v}^j(\phi) = \operatorname{res}_{\infty^j} \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) = \frac{x_{n_j+1}(j)}{1+q\tau}, & j=1, \dots, m; \\
s_q^j &:= \mathbf{s}^j(\phi_q) := \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^j} \phi_q(P) = \frac{\infty^j - \infty^0}{1+q\tau} = \frac{s^j}{1+q\tau}, & j=1, \dots, m; \\
\tau_q &:= 2i\pi \mathbf{r}(\phi_q) := \oint_b \phi_q(P) = \frac{\tau}{1+q\tau}; \\
\xi_q &:= \xi(\phi_q) := \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) + q \oint_b \lambda(P) \phi_q(P).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

The latter coordinate ξ_q is described in the upcoming lemma as a function of flat coordinates (4.3) related to the holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $(\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}), \lambda)$ be the covering from Theorem 3.3, with $\Im\tau > 0$ and $1+q\tau \neq 0$, ϕ and ϕ_q be respectively the usual normalized holomorphic differential (3.1) and its q -deformation (5.3). Then, with the notation of Theorem 3.3, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_q &:= \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) + q \oint_b \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) \\
&= u + \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) s^j + \frac{i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j) := (1-\delta_{n_j,0}) \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} (n_j+1) x_\beta(j) x_{n_j+1-\beta}(j)$, $s^j = \infty^j - \infty^0$ and $u := \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi(P)$. Conversely, the a -period u of the Abelian differential $\lambda(P) \phi(P)$ can be expressed in terms of flat coordinates (5.19) as follows:

$$u := \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi(P) = \xi_q - \frac{2i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}^q(j), \tag{5.21}$$

with $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}^q(j) := (1-\delta_{n_j,0}) \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} x_{q,\beta}(j) x_{q,n_j+1-\beta}(j)$.

Proof: Using expression (5.3) for the holomorphic q -differential ϕ_q along with formulas (3.41) and (3.42) that provide the periods u and \widehat{u} of the differential $\lambda(P) \phi(P)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_q &:= \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) + q \oint_b \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) = \frac{u + q\widehat{u}}{1+q\tau} \\
&= c - 2 \left(\zeta(1/2) - i\pi \frac{q}{1+q\tau} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) s^j - \left(\zeta(1/2) - i\pi \frac{q}{1+q\tau} \right) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j) \\
&= u + \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j+1) x_{n_j+1}(j) s^j + \frac{i\pi q}{1+q\tau} \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j+1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have applied (3.41) once again to rewrite c in terms of variables $\{u, \tau, s^j, x_\alpha(j)\}$ corresponding to the holomorphic differential $\phi(P) = dz_P$. On the hand, since the

partial Bell polynomial $\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}$ is homogeneous of degree 2, equality (5.21) follows from (5.19) and (5.20). \square

Note that, formulas (5.19), (5.20) and (5.21) define a change of coordinates transformation \mathbf{T}_q from $\eta(\phi)$ -flat coordinates (4.3) to their deformations (5.19), where \mathbf{T}_q is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}_q\left(\tau, x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0), x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j), s^j, u\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+q\tau}\left(\tau, x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0), x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j), s^j, (1+q\tau)u\right) \\ &+ \frac{i\pi q}{1+q\tau}\left(0, \dots, 0, 2\sum_{j=1}^m(n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j + \sum_{j=0}^m(n_j+1)\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j)\right) \\ &= \left(\tau_q, x_{q,1}(0), \dots, x_{q,n_0}(0), x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+1}(j), s_q^j, \xi_q\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

and its inverse is such that $\mathbf{T}_q^{-1} = \mathbf{T}_{-q}$.

Our main result here states that the WDVV solution \mathbf{F}_{ϕ_q} corresponding to the holomorphic q -differential ϕ_q can be conveniently described in terms of its non-deformed counterpart \mathbf{F}_ϕ , previously obtained in Theorem 4.1 and the following homogeneous polynomial function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{Q}(\tau, x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0), x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j), s^j, u) \\ &:= \frac{u^2}{4i\pi}\tau + u\sum_{j=1}^m(n_j+1)x_{n_j+1}(j)s^j + \frac{u}{2}\sum_{i=0}^m\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i}\frac{(n_i+1-\alpha)(2n_i+2+\alpha)}{(n_i+1+\alpha)}x_\alpha(i)x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

which is nothing but the first line in the expression for the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ (see Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 5.1 *Consider the deformed semi-simple Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\phi_q} \subset \mathcal{H}_1(n_0, \dots, n_m)$ determined by*

- the flat metric $\eta(\phi_q)$ induced by the holomorphic q -differential ϕ_q (5.3) and given by (5.15) and (5.18);
- the system $\left\{\tau_q, x_{q,1}(0), \dots, x_{q,n_0}(0), x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+1}(j), s_q^j, \xi_q\right\}$ of flat coordinates (5.19) of the flat metric $\eta(\phi_q)$;
- the unit vector field $e = \partial_{\xi_q}$;
- the Euler vector field as the q -analogue of (4.15):

$$E_q = \xi_q \partial_{\xi_q} + \sum_{j=1}^m x_{q,n_j+1}(j) \partial_{x_{q,n_j+1}(j)} + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j} \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} x_{q,\alpha}(j) \partial_{x_{q,\alpha}(j)}. \quad (5.24)$$

Then the WDVV solution associated with the deformed ϕ_q -Frobenius manifold structure is as fol-

lows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\phi_q} &= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j + \frac{\xi_q}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \\
&+ (1 - q\tau_q)^2 \mathbf{F}_\phi \circ \mathbf{T}_{-q}(\tau_q, x_{q,1}(0), \dots, x_{q,n_0}(0), x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+1}(j), s_q^j, \xi_q) \\
&- (1 - q\tau)^2 \mathbf{Q} \circ \mathbf{T}_{-q}(\tau_q, x_{q,1}(0), \dots, x_{q,n_0}(0), x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+1}(j), s_q^j, \xi_q) \\
&- \frac{i\pi q}{1 - q\tau_q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j \right)^2 \\
&- \frac{i\pi q}{1 - q\tau_q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,\alpha}(i) \right) \\
&- \frac{i\pi q}{1 - q\tau_q} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} (n_i + 1) x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,\beta}(j) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_j+1-\beta}(j),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

where \mathbf{F}_ϕ is the WDVV prepotential from Theorem 4.1 induced by the usual normalized holomorphic differential, \mathbf{T}_{-q} is the inverse of the map \mathbf{T}_q defined by (5.22) and \mathbf{Q} is the polynomial function given by (5.23).

The function \mathbf{F}_{ϕ_q} is quasi-homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to Euler's vector field (5.24):

$$E_q \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\phi_q} = 2\mathbf{F}_{\phi,q}$$

Furthermore, the Hessian matrix of $\partial_{\xi_q} \mathbf{F}_{\phi_q}$ coincides the Gram constant matrix of the metric $\eta(\phi_q)$ (5.18) in flat coordinates (5.19).

Proof: Making use of formula (5.17) and reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we arrive at the following expression for the prepotential \mathbf{F}_{ϕ_q} which bears a resemblance to (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{\phi_q} &= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j + \frac{\xi_q}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1) x_{q,n_i+1}(i) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) \mathbf{s}^i(\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} + \frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1} \right) (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}] \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} (n_i + 1) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_j+1-\beta}(j) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{q,j,\beta}] \right) \\
&+ \frac{\log(-1)}{4} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1) x_{q,n_i+1}(i) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) \\
&- \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \left(\frac{(n_i + 1)(n_j + 1)}{n_0 + 1} + \delta_{ij}(n_j + 1) \right) x_{q,n_i+1}(i) x_{q,n_j+1}(j),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{s}^i(\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j})$, $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}]$ and $\mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{q,j,\beta}]$ are respectively given by (5.11), (5.10) and (5.13).

Now, due to the change of variables (5.19), we easily see that formulas (5.11), (5.10) and (5.13) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^i(\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}) &= \mathbf{s}^i(\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} s_q^i s_q^j, & i, j &= 1, \dots, m; \\ \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{q,\infty^0\infty^j}] &= \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Omega_{\infty^0\infty^j}] - \frac{2i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \alpha x_{q,\alpha}(i) s_q^j, & i, j &= 0, 1, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \quad j \neq 0; \\ \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{q,j,\beta}] &= \mathcal{I}_{i,\alpha}[\Psi_{j,\beta}] - \frac{2i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \alpha \beta x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,\beta}(j), & i, j &= 0, 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

These relations together with the equality $x_{q,\alpha}(j) = x_\alpha(j)/(1+q\tau)$ (5.7) bring us to the following expression involving the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ (4.5) relative to the usual holomorphic differential ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\phi_q} &= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j + \frac{\xi_q}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(1+q\tau)^2} \left\{ \frac{u^2}{4i\pi} \tau + u \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{n_j+1}(j) s^j + \frac{u}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_\alpha(i) x_{n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(1+q\tau)^2} \mathbf{F}_\phi(\tau, x_1(0), \dots, x_{n_0}(0), x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+1}(j), s^j, u) \\ &\quad - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1) x_{q,n_i+1}(i) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j s_q^k \\ &\quad - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \left(\frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} + \frac{n_i + 1 - \alpha}{n_i + 1} \right) (n_i + 1)(n_j + 1) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j \\ &\quad - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} (n_i + 1) x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,\beta}(j) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_j+1-\beta}(j) \\ &= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j + \frac{\xi_q}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) \\ &\quad - (1 - q\tau)^2 \mathbf{Q} \circ \mathbf{T}_q^{-1}(\tau_q, x_{q,1}(0), \dots, x_{q,n_0}(0), x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+1}(j), s_q^j, \xi_q) \\ &\quad + (1 - q\tau_q)^2 \mathbf{F}_\phi \circ \mathbf{T}_q^{-1}(\tau_q, x_{q,1}(0), \dots, x_{q,n_0}(0), x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+1}(j), s_q^j, \xi_q) \\ &\quad - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(2n_i + 2 + \alpha)}{n_i + 1 + \alpha} x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,\alpha}(i) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_i} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_j} \frac{(n_i + 1 - \alpha)(n_j + 1 - \beta)}{(n_i + 1 + \alpha)} (n_i + 1) x_{q,\alpha}(i) x_{q,\beta}(j) x_{q,n_i+1-\alpha}(i) x_{q,n_j+1-\beta}(j). \end{aligned}$$

□

- *Deformed Eisenstein series and Weierstrass functions*

It would be more convenient during the rest of this section to utilize the q -deformed version of Eisenstein series and Weierstrass functions defined below. In particular, formula (5.25) from

Theorem 5.1 and these q -deformed special functions enable us to present the deformed WDVV solution \mathbf{F}_{ϕ_q} (5.25) in a form closely resembling that of the prepotential \mathbf{F}_ϕ from Theorem 4.1. This provides an efficient approach for producing the q -analogues of explicit WDVV solutions previously obtained in Section 4.

Let τ be as in (5.1). For $\ell \geq 2$, define the q -deformation of the Eisenstein series G_ℓ (2.18) by

$$G_{q,\ell}(\tau_q) := (1 - q\tau_q)^{-\ell} G_\ell\left(\frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) - \delta_{\ell,2} \frac{2i\pi q}{1 - q\tau_q}, \quad \tau_q := \frac{\tau}{1 + q\tau}. \quad (5.26)$$

Note that the following q -analogue of Ramanujan's differential equations (4.44) are satisfied:

$$\forall m \geq 1, \quad \partial_{\tau_q} G_{q,2m}(\tau_q) = \frac{m}{2i\pi} \left((2m+3)G_{q,2m+2}(\tau_q) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} G_{q,2\ell+2}(\tau_q)G_{q,2m-2\ell}(\tau_q) \right). \quad (5.27)$$

Let σ be the Weierstrass function (2.21). We shall define the q -deformation of the function $\mathcal{K}(v|\tau) := \log \sigma(v|\tau)$ by

$$\mathcal{K}_q(v) = \mathcal{K}_q(v|\tau_q) := \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{v}{1 - q\tau_q} \middle| \frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) + \log(1 - q\tau_q), \quad \tau_q := \frac{\tau}{1 + q\tau}. \quad (5.28)$$

In particular, for any positive integer k we have

$$\mathcal{K}_q^{(k)}(v) := \partial_v^k \mathcal{K}_q(v|\tau_q) = \frac{1}{(1 - q\tau_q)^k} \mathcal{K}^{(k)}\left(\frac{v}{1 - q\tau_q} \middle| \frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right). \quad (5.29)$$

Let us point out that q -Eisenstein series appear in the Laurent expansion of the function $\mathcal{K}'_q(v)$ (which is the q -analogue of the Weierstrass zeta function) near $v = 0$, in like manner as (2.33):

$$\mathcal{K}'_q(v) = \frac{1}{(1 - q\tau_q)} \zeta\left(\frac{v}{1 - q\tau_q} \middle| \tau\right) \underset{v \sim 0}{=} \frac{1}{v} - \sum_{\ell=3}^{\infty} G_{q,\ell+1}(\tau_q) v^\ell. \quad (5.30)$$

In addition, when q is an integer, the b -period $\tau_q = \tau/(1 + q\tau)$ (5.4) of the holomorphic q -differential ϕ_q has also a positive imaginary part. Thus, owing to the modularity properties of Eisenstein series (2.15)-(2.17) and those of Weierstrass functions (2.27), formulas (5.26) and (5.28) read respectively as

$$G_{q,\ell}(\tau_q) = G_\ell(\tau_q) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_q(v|\tau_q) = \mathcal{K}(v|\tau_q).$$

The next result presents an alternative description of the LG superpotential (3.34) from Theorem 3.3 involving deformed Eisenstein series and Weierstrass functions (5.26)-(5.29) along with flat coordinates (5.19) associated with the q -differential ϕ_q . Let us emphasize that the obtained q -version (5.31) below looks exactly as expression (3.34) which is nothing but the case $q = 0$ of (5.31).

Proposition 5.1 *Let \mathbb{L} be the lattice $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, with $\Im\tau > 0$ and $1 + q\tau \neq 0$. Let λ be a meromorphic function on the torus \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{L} given by (3.34). Then, with notation (5.26), (5.29) and (5.8), the meromorphic function λ takes the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) = & \xi_q + G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j + \frac{1}{2} G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}^q(j) \\ & - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}^q(j) [\partial_v^k \mathcal{K}_q(\cdot|\tau_q)] ((1 - q\tau_q)(z_P - \infty^j)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

where ξ_q and s_q^j are given by (5.19) and (5.20). Moreover, for any $j = 0, \dots, m$, the function λ (5.31) has the following Laurent expansion near its pole ∞^j of order $n_j + 1$:

$$\lambda(P) \underset{P \sim \infty^j}{=} (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}^q(j)}{k(1-q\tau_q)^k (z_P - \infty^j)^k} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j) (1-q\tau_q)^\ell (z_P - \infty^j)^\ell, \quad (5.32)$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j)$ is the quantity defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j) = & \delta_{\ell,0} \left(\xi_q + G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j + \frac{1}{2} G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}^q(j) \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{i=0, i \neq j}^m (n_i + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_i+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_i+1,k}^q(i) [\partial_v^{k+\ell} \mathcal{K}_q(\cdot | \tau_q)](s_q^j - s_q^i) \right) \\ & + (n_j + 1) \sum_{k=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}^q(j) G_{q,k+\ell}(\tau_q). \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

Here, according to convention (3.17), the term containing the sum $\sum_{j=1}^m$ in formulas (5.31) and (5.33) is omitted for $m = 0$. Similarly, we should understand the sum $\sum_{k=1, k+\ell \geq 4}^{n_j+1}$ in (5.33).

Proof: By (3.34) and (3.41) and the equalities $\zeta(v) = \mathcal{K}'(v)$ and $2\zeta(1/2|\tau) = G_2(\tau)$ (4.9), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) = & u + (1 - \delta_{m,0}) G_2(\tau) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{n_j+1}(j) s^j + \frac{1}{2} G_2(\tau) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}(j) \\ & - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{n_j+2-k}(j)) \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(z_P - \infty^j | \tau) \right) \\ = & \xi_q + (1 - \delta_{m,0}) \left((1 - q\tau)^{-2} G_2\left(\frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1 - q\tau_q} \right) \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j + 1) x_{q,n_j+1}(j) s_q^j \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - q\tau)^{-2} G_2\left(\frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) - \frac{2i\pi q}{1 - q\tau_q} \right) \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,2}^q(j) \\ & - \sum_{j=0}^m (n_j + 1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_j+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(1 - q\tau_q)^k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+2-k}(j)) \mathcal{K}^{(k)}\left(z_P - \infty^j \middle| \frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality, we used the change of coordinates \mathbf{T}_{-q} (5.22) and the homogeneity property (2.7) of Bell polynomials. Thus, due to relations (5.26), (5.29) and notation (5.8), we conclude that (5.31) holds. To get the Laurent expansion (5.32), it suffices to use the q -deformation version (5.31) of $\lambda(P)$ and the Laurent series for the derivatives of the function $\mathcal{K}_q(v)$ and proceed as in the proof of (3.43). \square

Due to the homogeneity property (2.7) of partial Bell polynomial and the relation $x_{q,r}(j) = (1 - q\tau_q)x_r(j)$ from (5.7), we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}^q(j) := \mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}(x_{q,1}(j), \dots, x_{q,\mu-k+1}(j)) = (1 - q\tau_q)^k \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}(j).$$

Therefore, the principal parts in the two Laurent series (5.32) and (3.43) coincide. Now, the uniqueness of the Laurent series expansion for the LG superpotential $\lambda(P)$ implies the following link between the coefficients of their regular parts.

Corollary 5.1 Let λ be the meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$, with $\Im\tau > 0$ and $1 + q\tau \neq 0$ and given by formula (3.34) and its q -analogue (5.31). Let $j = 0, \dots, m$ and $\mathbf{f}_\ell(j)$ and $\mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j)$ be the coefficients defined respectively by (3.44) and (5.33) and appeared in the Laurent series (3.43) and (5.32) of $\lambda(P)$. Then $\mathbf{f}_\ell(j)$ and $\mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j)$ are linked by

$$\forall \ell \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{f}_\ell(j) = (1 - q\tau)^\ell \mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j). \quad (5.34)$$

As another consequence, we obtain the q -analogue of formula (3.46).

Corollary 5.2 For $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, let $\{rx_{q,r}(j)\}_r$ be the coefficients of Taylor's series (5.6) of the holomorphic q -differential ϕ_q (5.3) near the pole ∞^j of $\lambda(P)$ (5.31). Then for any positive integer α , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{q,n_j+1+\alpha}(j) &= \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j+\alpha-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{-n_j-1}{k} (x_{q,1}(j))^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+\alpha,k+1}(x_{q,2}(j), \dots, x_{q,n_j+\alpha-k+1}(j)) \\ &\quad + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n_j+1,k}^q(j) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}^q(j) + \frac{\alpha}{n_j+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\ell+1} \mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell+1}^q(j), \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^q(j)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}^q(j)$ are defined (5.8) and $\mathbf{f}_{q,\ell}(j)$ is given by (5.33).

Proof: From (5.7), we know that

$$x_{q,\mu}(j) = (1 - q\tau_q)x_\mu(j), \quad \forall \mu \geq 1.$$

This and the homogeneity properties (2.7) and (2.12) of the functions $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}(j) &:= \mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\mu-k+1}(j)) = (1 - q\tau_q)^{-k} \mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}^q(j); \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(j) &:= \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(x_1(j), \dots, x_{\mu+1}(j)) = (1 - q\tau_q)^{k-1} \mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}^q(j). \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Therefore, we get (5.35) by using its non-deformed case (3.46), relations (5.36) as well as the link (5.34). \square

Remark 5.1

• Let $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi$ and $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi_q}$ be respectively the Bell operators (3.7) and (5.8) related to the holomorphic differentials ϕ (3.1) and ϕ_q (5.3), with $j = 0, \dots, m$ and α a positive integer. Note that (5.29) and (5.36) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k)}(\cdot|\tau)]\left(\frac{v}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,r}^q(j)}{(1 - q\tau_q)^r} \mathcal{K}^{(k+r)}\left(\frac{v}{1 - q\tau_q} \middle| \frac{\tau_q}{1 - q\tau_q}\right) \\ &= (1 - q\tau_q)^k \mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^{\phi_q}[\mathcal{K}_q^{(k)}(\cdot|\tau_q)](v), \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

where $\mathcal{K} := \log \sigma$ is the Weierstrass function and \mathcal{K}_q is its q -deformation (5.28).

• Applying formula (2.56), we can see that to write the q -analogue of the general WDVV solution \mathbf{F}_ϕ from Theorem 4.1, it suffices to replace ϕ -flat coordinates, Eisenstein series, the Weierstrass function $\mathcal{K}(v) = \log \sigma(v)$ and its derivatives, partial Bell polynomials $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}(j)$, the rational function $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}(j)$ and Bell operators $\mathbf{L}_{j,\alpha}^\phi[\mathcal{K}^{(k)}(\cdot|\tau)]$ by their q -deformations listed respectively in (5.19), (5.26), (5.28)-(5.29), (5.36) and (5.37).

This will be illustrated in the next example.

Example 5.1

We shall discuss the already described WDVV solution (5.25) when the considered Hurwitz space is of type $\mathcal{H}_1(n, 0)$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, we are going to give the q -analogue of the WDVV prepotential $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi, n}$ (4.31), denoted by $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q, n}$. According to the LG superpotential given by (4.30) and the notation used there, we deduce that the q -deformed flat coordinates (5.19)-(5.20) are

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_q &:= \oint_b \phi_q = \frac{\tau}{1+q\tau}; \\ x_{q,k} &:= \frac{1}{k \infty^0} \operatorname{res} \lambda(P)^{\frac{k}{n+1}} \phi_q(P) = \frac{x_k}{1+q\tau}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \\ y_q &:= \operatorname{res}_{\infty^1} \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) = \frac{y}{1+q\tau}; \\ s_q &:= \int_{\infty^0}^{\infty^1} \phi_q = \frac{s}{1+q\tau}; \\ \xi_q &:= \oint_a \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) + q \oint_b \lambda(P) \phi_q(P) \\ &= u + \frac{2i\pi q}{1+q\tau} y s + \frac{i\pi q}{1+q\tau} (1 - \delta_{n,0}) (n+1) \sum_{\beta=1}^n x_\beta x_{n+1-\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the inverse of the map (5.22) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T}_{-q} &\left(\tau_q, x_{q,1}, \dots, x_{q,n}, y_q, s_q, \xi_q \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q\tau_q} \left(\tau_q, x_{q,1}, \dots, x_{q,n}, y_q, s_q, (1-q\tau_q)\xi_q - 2i\pi q y_q s_q - i\pi q (n+1) \mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}^q \right),\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}^q := (1 - \delta_{n,0}) \sum_{k=1}^n x_{q,k} x_{q,n+1-k}$. Moreover, by (5.31), the q -deformation of the LG superpotential (4.30) reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(P) &= \xi_q + y_q s_q G_{q,2}(\tau_q) + \frac{n+1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}^q G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \\ &\quad - (n+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}^q [\partial_v^k \mathcal{K}_q(\cdot | \tau_q)] ((1-q\tau_q)(z_P - \infty^j)),\end{aligned}\tag{5.38}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}^q = \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}(x_{q,1}, \dots, x_{q,n+2-k})$ and \mathcal{K}_q is defined by (5.28).

On the other hand, formula (5.25) implies that the q -WDVV solution $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q, n}$ has the following form

involving the function $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,n}$ (4.31):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q,n} \left(\tau_q, x_{q,1}, \dots, x_{q,n}, y_q, s_q, \xi_q \right) \\
&= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q y_q s_q + \frac{\xi_q}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(2n+2+\alpha)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,\alpha} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \\
&+ (1-q\tau_q)^2 \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,n} \left(\frac{\tau_q}{1-q\tau_q}, \frac{x_{q,1}}{1-q\tau_q}, \dots, \frac{x_{q,n}}{1-q\tau_q}, \frac{y_q}{1-q\tau_q}, \frac{s_q}{1-q\tau_q}, \xi_q - \frac{2i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} y_q s_q - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} (n+1) \mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}^q \right) \\
&- (1-q\tau_q)^2 \mathbf{Q} \left(\frac{\tau_q}{1-q\tau_q}, \frac{x_{q,1}}{1-q\tau_q}, \dots, \frac{x_{q,n}}{1-q\tau_q}, \frac{y_q}{1-q\tau_q}, \frac{s_q}{1-q\tau_q}, \xi_q - \frac{2i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} y_q s_q - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} (n+1) \mathcal{B}_{n+1,2}^q \right) \\
&- \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} (y_q s_q)^2 - \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} y_q s_q \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(2n+2+\alpha)}{n+1+\alpha} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} x_{q,\alpha} \\
&- \frac{i\pi q}{1-q\tau_q} (n+1) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(n+1-\beta)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,\alpha} x_{q,\beta} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} x_{q,n+1-\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using expression (4.31) for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi,n}$, q -Eisenstein series (5.26), Weierstrass function \mathcal{K}_q (5.28)-(5.29) and bearing in mind the homogeneity properties (5.36) of the functions $\mathcal{B}_{\mu,k}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mu,k}$, we can conclude, after carrying out some computation, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q,n} \left(\tau_q, x_{q,1}, \dots, x_{q,n}, y_q, s_q, \xi_q \right) &= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q y_q s_q + \frac{1}{2} \xi_q \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(2n+2+\alpha)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,\alpha} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (y_q s_q)^2 G_{q,2}(\tau_q) + \frac{1}{2} y_q s_q G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(2n+2+\alpha)}{n+1+\alpha} x_{q,\alpha} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{2} G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)(n+1-\beta)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,\alpha} x_{q,\beta} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} x_{q,n+1-\beta} \\
&- y_q^2 \mathcal{K}_q(s_q) + \frac{n+1}{2} y_q \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}^q \mathcal{K}_q^{(k-1)}(-s_q) + \frac{n+1}{2} y_q \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \mathbf{I}_{\alpha}^{\phi_q} [\mathcal{K}_q](-s_q) \\
&- \frac{n+1}{2} y_q \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(\frac{n+1-\alpha}{n+1+\alpha} + \frac{n+1-\alpha}{n+1} \right) x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,1}^q + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}^q \mathcal{R}_{\alpha+k-1,k}^q \\
&+ \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{k=1, k+\alpha \geq 5}^{n+1} \left\{ \left[(-1)^k \frac{(n+1-\alpha)}{k(n+1+\alpha)} x_{q,n+1-\alpha} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,k}^q \right] \left[\sum_{\ell=1, k+\ell \geq 5}^{\alpha} \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\ell}^q \frac{G_{q,k+\ell-1}(\tau_q)}{k+\ell-1} \right] \right\} \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{2} y_q \sum_{\ell=4}^n \frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)} \mathcal{B}_{n+1,\ell+1}^q G_{q,\ell}(\tau_q) + \frac{1}{2} y_q^2 \log(x_{q,1} y_q) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2} y_q^2 - \frac{3}{4} \frac{n+2}{n+1} y_q^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The two special cases $n=0$ and $n=1$ read as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q,0} = \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q y_q s_q + y_q^2 \log(y_q) + \frac{1}{2} (y_q s_q)^2 G_{q,2}(\tau_q) - y_q^2 \mathcal{K}_q(s_q | \tau_q) + (\log(-1) - 3/2) y_q^2; \quad (5.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q,1} &= \frac{\xi_q^2}{4i\pi} \tau_q + \xi_q (y_q s_q + x_q^2) + \frac{1}{2} y_q^2 \log(x_q y_q) + \frac{1}{2} (y_q^2 s_q^2 + 2x_q^2 y_q s_q + x_q^4) G_{q,2}(\tau_q) \\
&- y_q^2 \mathcal{K}_q(s_q | \tau_q) - x_q^2 y_q \mathcal{K}'_q(s_q | \tau_q) + \frac{\log(-1)}{2} y_q^2 - \frac{7}{4} y_q^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

Moreover, as in Theorem 4.2, the WDVV equations for the deformed prepotential $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\phi_q,0}$ are equivalent to the following q -counterpart of PDEs (4.48)-(4.49):

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_q^{(4)} + 6(\psi_q'')^2 + 12G_{q,2}(\tau_q)\psi_q'' + 6(G_{q,2}^2(\tau_q) - 5G_{q,4}(\tau_q)) &= 0; \\ (4i\pi)\partial_{\tau_q}\psi_q &= \psi_q'' + (\psi_q')^2 + 3G_{q,2}(\tau_q),\end{aligned}$$

where ψ_q is the function

$$\psi_q(v) := \mathcal{K}_q(v|\tau_q) - \frac{1}{2}G_{q,2}(\tau_q)v^2,$$

and $G_{q,2}$ and \mathcal{K}_q are respectively the q -deformed Eisenstein series (5.26) of weight 2 and the Weierstrass function (5.28).

References

- [1] G. F. Almeida. *Differential Geometry of Orbit space of Extended Affine Jacobi Group A_n* . Journal of Geometry and Physics, volume 171, (2022), 104409.
- [2] M.J. Ablowitz, S. Chakravarty and L. Takhtajan. *Integrable systems, self-dual Yang-Mills equations and modular forms*. PAM preprint, vol. 113, University of Colorado, Boulder, (1991).
- [3] N. I. Akhiezer. *Elements of the Theory of Elliptic Functions*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Volume 79, American Mathematical Society, (1990).
- [4] T.M. Apostol. *Another Elementary Proof of Euler's Formula for $\zeta(2n)$* . The American Mathematical Monthly 80, no. 4 (1973), 425-31.
- [5] T.M. Apostol. *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag, (1976).
- [6] E. T. Bell. *Exponential polynomials*. Ann. of Math. (2), 35(2), (1934), 258-277.
- [7] Y. V. Brezhnev. *Non-canonical extension of θ -functions and modular integrability of θ -constants*. Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh, 143(4), (2013), 689-738.
- [8] K. Chandrasekharan. *Elliptic Functions*. Springer, (1985).
- [9] C. A. Charalambides. *Enumerative Combinatorics*. CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2002).
- [10] A. Clebsch. *Zur Theorie der Riemanns'schen Flächen*. Math. Ann. 6, (1872), 216-230.
- [11] L. Comtet. *Advanced Combinatorics: The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions*. Revised and Enlarged Edition, D. Reidel Publishing Co., (1974).
- [12] H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi. *Higher Transcendental Functions*. Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1953).
- [13] M. Bertola. *Frobenius manifold structure on orbit space of Jacobi groups; Part I*. Differential Geom. Appl. 13 (2000), 19-41.
- [14] M. Bertola. *Frobenius manifold structure on orbit space of Jacobi groups; Part II*. Differential Geom. Appl. 13 (2000), 213-233.
- [15] M. Cutimanco and V. Shramchenko. *Explicit examples of Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds in genus one*. J. Math. Phys. 61, 013501, (2020).
- [16] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde. *Notes on topological string theory and 2D quantum gravity*. In String Theory and Quantum Gravity, Proceedings of the Trieste Spring School (1990), 91-156.
- [17] B. Dubrovin. *Integrable systems in topological field theory*. Nucl. Phys. B. (1992), 379, 627-689.
- [18] B. Dubrovin. *Geometry of 2D topological field theories*. Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups, Lect. Notes Math, 1620, (1996), 120-348.
- [19] B. Dubrovin and Y.Zhang. *Extended affine Weyl groups and Frobenius manifolds*. Compositio Mathematica, 111, (1998), 167-219.

- [20] B. Dubrovin. *Hamiltonian Perturbations of Hyperbolic PDEs: from Classification Results to the Properties of Solutions*. New Trends in Mathematical Physics. Selected contributions of the XVth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, 231-276, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2009).
- [21] P. Du Val. *Elliptic functions and elliptic curves*. London Mathematical Society, 9, (1973).
- [22] M. Eichler and D. Zagier. *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 55. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., (1985).
- [23] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra. *Riemann surfaces*. 2nd edition, Springer Verlag, (1992).
- [24] J. D. Fay. *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*. Lect. Notes. Math, 352, (1973).
- [25] J. D. Fay. *Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces*. Memoirs of the AMS, 96 no.464, AMS (1992).
- [26] A. R. Forsyth. *Theory of functions of a complex variable*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1893).
- [27] W. Fulton. *Hurwitz schemes and irreducibility of moduli of algebraic curves*. Ann. Math. 90, (1969), 542-575.
- [28] C. Hertling. *Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities*. Cambridge University Press, volume 151, (2002).
- [29] A. Hurwitz. *Über Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*". Math. Ann. 39, (1891), 1-61.
- [30] J. Lewittes. *Riemann surfaces and the theta function*. Acta Mathematica, Acta Math. 111, (1964), 37-61.
- [31] Y. I. Manin. *Frobenius Manifolds, Quantum Cohomology, and Moduli Spaces*. AMS, Colloquium Publications, vol. 47, (1999).
- [32] O. I. Mokhov. *Theory of submanifolds, associativity equations in 2D topological quantum field theories, and Frobenius manifolds*. Theor. Math. Phys. 152, (2007), 1183-1190.
- [33] S. Ramanujan. *On certain arithmetical functions*. Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc 22, no. 9, (1916), 159-184.
- [34] H. E. Rauch. *Weierstrass points, branch points, and moduli of Riemann surfaces*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12, (1959), 543-560.
- [35] C. Rejeb. *New formula for the prepotentials associated with Hurwitz-Frobenius manifolds and generalized WDVV equations*. arXiv:2312.00317.
- [36] L. C. Shen. *On the logarithmic derivative of a theta function and a fundamental identity of Ramanujan*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 177, (1993), 299-307.
- [37] Y. Shen and J. Zhou. *Ramanujan Identities and Quasi-Modularity in Gromov-Witten Theory*. Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. 11, (2017), no. 2, 405-452.
- [38] I. A. B. Strachan. *Frobenius manifolds: Natural submanifolds and induced bi-Hamiltonian structures*. Differ. Geom. Appl. 20, (2004), 67-99.
- [39] M. Pavlov and A. Sergeyev. *Oriented associativity equations and symmetry consistent conjugate curvilinear coordinate nets*. J. Geometry Phys. 85, (2014), 46-59.
- [40] V. Shramchenko. *"Real doubles" of Hurwitz Frobenius manifolds*. Comm. Math. Phys. 256, (2005), 635-680.
- [41] V. Shramchenko. *Deformations of Hurwitz Frobenius structures*. Int. Math. Res. Not. no. 6, (2005), 339-387.
- [42] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A Course of Modern Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Fifth edition, (2021).
- [43] G. T. Williams. *A New Method of Evaluating $\zeta(2n)$* . The American Mathematical Monthly 60, no. 1 (1953), 19-25.
- [44] K. Wirthmüller. *Root systems and Jacobi forms*. Comp. Math. 82, (1992), 293-354.
- [45] E. Witten. *On the Structure of the Topological Phase of Two-dimensional Gravity*. Nucl. Phys. B 340, (1990), 281-332.
- [46] A. Yamada. *Precise variational formulas for abelian differentials*. Kodai Math.J. 3, (1980), 114-143.
- [47] D. Zagier. *Elliptic Modular Forms and Their Applications: The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms*. Universitext, Springer, Berlin (2008), pp. 1-103.