

# $G$ -CONNECTIONS ON PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER COMPLETE $G$ -VARIETIES

BIVAS KHAN AND MAINAK PODDAR

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a complete variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero, equipped with an action of an algebraic group  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a reductive group. We study the notion of  $G$ -connection on a principal  $H$ -bundle. We give necessary and sufficient criteria for the existence of  $G$ -connections extending the Atiyah-Weil type criterion for holomorphic connections obtained by Azad and Biswas. We also establish a relationship between the existence of  $G$ -connection and equivariant structure on a principal  $H$ -bundle, under the assumption that  $G$  is semisimple and simply connected. These results have been obtained by Biswas et al. when the underlying variety is smooth.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Atiyah initiated the study of holomorphic connection for holomorphic principal bundles ([Ati57]). However, the existence of a connection in the holomorphic category is not guaranteed. A well-known theorem due to A. Weil and M. F. Atiyah says that a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  over a compact connected Riemann surface  $X$  admits a flat connection if and only if  $\text{degree}(W) = 0$  for every direct summand  $W$  of  $E$ . This criterion has been extended for principal bundles over  $X$  having reductive structure group in [AB02, AB03].

When a manifold  $X$  admits an action of a Lie group  $G$ , the notion of  $G$ -connection on principal bundles over  $X$  was introduced in [BSKN15]. The authors in [BSKN15, BP17] investigated various necessary and sufficient conditions under which a principal bundle over  $X$  admits a  $G$ -connection. If  $X$  is a toric variety under the action of a torus  $T$ , then a  $T$ -connection is nothing but the logarithmic connection singular along the boundary divisor  $D := X \setminus T$  (see [BSKN15, Section 4.2]). In [DKP23], the authors have studied logarithmic connections on principal bundles over  $X$ , which is singular along  $D$ , where  $X$  is a normal projective variety (possibly with singularities) and  $D$  is a reduced Weil divisor on  $X$ . The authors have shown that the existence of a logarithmic connection on a principal bundle over a projective toric variety, singular along the boundary divisor, is equivalent to the existence of a torus equivariant structure on the bundle. The aim of this note is to consider  $G$ -connection, when  $X$  is a complete variety equipped with an action of more general algebraic group  $G$  than that of an algebraic torus. Then our goal is to establish an analogue of Atiyah-Weil type criterion for existence of  $G$ -connection.

Let  $X$  be a complete variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero. Let  $G$  be an algebraic group acting on  $X$ . Let  $H$  be a reductive linear algebraic group and  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. We present an algebro-geometric construction of the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J60, 14M25, 14L30, 53C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Principal bundle, group action, complete variety,  $G$ -connection, toric variety.

introduced in [BSKN15] (see Section 3.1). This will enable us to define a  $G$ -connection as an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear splitting of the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence. We then study relationship between  $G$ -connection on a vector bundle and its associated frame bundle (see Section 3.2). We provide several necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of  $G$ -connection in the spirit of results obtained in [AB02] and [AB03], in terms of the connections on associated bundles. We show that to check the existence of  $G$ -connection it suffices to consider Levi reduction (see Corollary 3.13). When  $H$  is semisimple, we show that a principal  $H$ -bundle admits a (flat)  $G$ -connection if and only if the associated adjoint bundle admits one (see Corollary 3.12). More generally, for a reductive group  $H$ , the necessary and sufficient condition on a  $H$ -bundle to admit a flat connection is described (see Proposition 3.16):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $H$  be a reductive linear algebraic group. Then a principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *the adjoint bundle  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P})$  admits a  $G$ -connection,*
- (2) *for every character  $\chi$  of  $H$ , the associated line bundle  $\mathcal{P} \times^\chi k$  associated to  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection.*

In Section 3.4, we characterize the existence of a  $G$ -connection using the infinitesimal deformation of the principal bundle. Section 4 is devoted to investigating relationship between  $G$ -connection and  $G$ -equivariant structure on a principal bundle. We show the following (see Corollary 4.4):

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. Assume that  $G$  is semisimple and simply connected. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The principal bundle admits an equivariant structure.*
- (2) *The principal bundle admits an integrable  $G$ -connection.*
- (3) *The principal bundle admits a  $G$ -connection.*

Finally, as an application we show that a principal  $H$ -bundle on  $X$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure if and only if for all  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\Phi_g : \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\cong} \rho_g^* \mathcal{P}$$

as principal  $H$ -bundles over  $X$ , where  $G$  is assumed to be semisimple and simply connected (see Proposition 4.6). This generalizes a well-known criterion for existence of torus equivariant structure on bundles (cf. [Kly89, Proposition 1.2.1], [BP17, Corollary 4.4]). In the final section we illustrate some natural  $G$ -connections with examples.

**Acknowledgments.** The first author thanks Vikraman Balaji for helpful conversations. The first author is partially supported by a grant from Infosys Foundation. The research of the second author was supported in part by a SERB MATRICS Grant: MTR/2019/001613.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, we consider algebraic varieties, schemes, and morphisms over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero. Unless explicitly mentioned, we will assume that the

considered schemes are of finite type over  $k$ . By a point of a scheme  $X$ , we will mean a closed point unless explicitly mentioned. A variety is an integral separated scheme. By algebraic group we mean smooth group scheme over  $k$ . Since,  $k$  is of zero characteristic, any algebraic group is reduced and hence, a group variety. By linear algebraic group we mean affine algebraic group.

Let  $X$  be a variety and  $H$  be a linear algebraic group. A principal  $H$ -bundle is a faithfully flat  $H$ -invariant morphism  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  from a right  $H$ -variety  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $X$  such that the morphism

$$\mathcal{P} \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \times_X \mathcal{P}, (z, h) \mapsto (z \cdot h, z) \quad (2.1)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Remark 2.1.** [Bri11, Remark 3.1] *The principal bundle  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  is locally isotrivial, i.e. for any point  $x \in X$  there exists an open subscheme  $U \subset X$  containing  $x$  and a finite étale surjective morphism  $f : U' \rightarrow U$  such that the pullback bundle  $\mathcal{P} \times_U U'$  is trivial.*

We now recall the automorphism functor from [MO67, Section 3]. Given a scheme  $S$ , we denote by  $\text{Aut}_S(X \times S)$  the group of automorphisms of  $X \times S$  viewed as a scheme over  $S$ . The automorphism functor is given by the following contravariant group functor from the category  $\text{Sch}/k$  of schemes over  $k$  to the category  $\text{Gr}$  of groups.

$$\underline{\text{Aut}}(X) : \text{Sch}/k \longrightarrow \text{Gr}, S \longmapsto \text{Aut}_S(X \times S).$$

If this functor is representable we say that the automorphism group scheme of  $X$  exists. Assume that  $X$  is proper scheme over  $k$ , then the functor  $\underline{\text{Aut}}(X)$  is representable by  $\text{Aut}(X)$ , a group scheme locally of finite type over  $k$  (see [MO67, Theorem 3.7]). In particular, the neutral component  $\text{Aut}^0(X)$  is an algebraic group. Also, recall that we have the following isomorphism of Lie algebras (see [MO67, Lemma 3.4 and Introduction], [Li10, Theorem 3.1], [Bri18, Section 2]). Note that here we need characteristic  $k$  to be zero.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_X : \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X)) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X), \text{ given by} \\ \phi &\longmapsto \delta_\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\delta_\phi$  is determined by the following formula

$$\phi(f) = f + \varepsilon \delta_\phi(f) \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X + \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(U, I_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$$

for  $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ ,  $U$  open subset of  $X$ ,  $I_k = \frac{k[\varepsilon]}{\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle}$  is the ring of dual numbers and  $\phi \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X))$ . Here, we have used the following identification (see [MO67, Lemma 3.3]):

$$\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X)) = \{ \phi : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow I_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \text{ is a homomorphism of } k\text{-algebras on } X \mid (r \otimes 1) \circ \phi = \text{id} \},$$

where the map  $r : I_k \rightarrow k$  is given by sending  $\varepsilon$  to zero.

Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle on a proper scheme  $X$ . Consider the abstract group  $\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})$  associated to  $\mathcal{P}$  consisting of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}$  preserving the action of  $H$ . Since  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  is a geometric quotient, for any  $\psi \in \text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})$  there is an automorphism of  $X$ , say  $\theta(\psi)$  satisfying

$$p \circ \psi = \theta(\psi) \circ p. \quad (2.3)$$

Thus we get a group homomorphism

$$\theta : \text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X), \quad (2.4)$$

which yields a map between group functors

$$\theta : \underline{\text{Aut}}^H(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(X).$$

The functor  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^H(\mathcal{P})$  is represented by a group scheme, locally of finite type by [Bri11, Theorem 4.2], which we will denote by  $\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})$ . In particular, the neutral component  $(\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}))^\circ$  is an algebraic group. We have an isomorphism of Lie algebras (see [Bri11, Theorem 4.2], [DG80, Proposition 2.5, Section 4, Ch II])

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\mathcal{P}} : \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})^H \\ \psi &\longmapsto \delta_{\psi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\delta_{\psi}$  is determined by the rule

$$\psi(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f} + \varepsilon \delta_{\psi}(\tilde{f}) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} + \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \Gamma(V, \mathbb{I}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})$$

for  $\tilde{f} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})^H$ ,  $V$  open subset of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\psi \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}))$ .

The map  $\theta$  in (2.4) induces the following map between the corresponding Lie algebras

$$d\theta : \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})) \longrightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X))$$

and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{P}}} & H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})^H \\ d\theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta(X) \\ \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} & H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

(see [DKP23, Figure 2]).

### 3. ATIYAH SEQUENCE FOR G-CONNECTION

Let  $X$  be a variety and  $H$  be a reductive linear algebraic group. Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. We recall the construction of the Atiyah sequence in this set-up (cf. [DKP23, Section 3]). Since, the map  $p$  is smooth, we have the following relative cotangent sequence which is exact (see [Ser06, Theorem C.15]).

$$0 \longrightarrow p^* \Omega_X \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{P}/X} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking dual and using the fact  $(p^* \Omega_X)^\vee = p^*(\Omega_X^\vee) = p^* \mathcal{T}_X$  (see [Har80, Proof of Proposition 1.8]), we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow p^* \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Since  $p$  is affine and  $H$  is reductive, taking invariant pushforward  $p_*^H := p_*(\cdot)^H$ , we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p_*^H(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}/X}) \longrightarrow p_*^H(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}) \longrightarrow p_*^H(p^*\mathcal{T}_X) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

(see [Nev08, Section 2]).

**Definition 3.1.** *The Atiyah sheaf associated to the principal bundle is the subsheaf of  $H$ -invariants of  $p_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}$ :*

$$\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) := p_*^H \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}} \subset p_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** The Atiyah sheaf  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P})$  is coherent as  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  is a principal  $H$ -bundle using [Nev08, Theorem 1.2]. Moreover, since  $p$  is surjective, using [GD71, Proposition 8.4.5], we get that  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P})$  is torsion-free.

Note that  $p_*^H(p^*\mathcal{T}_X) \cong \mathcal{T}_X$  (see [Nev08, Section 2]). Also, using [DKP23, Proposition 3.6], we have  $p_*^H(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}/X})$  is isomorphic to the adjoint bundle  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) := \mathcal{P} \times_H \mathfrak{h}$ , where  $H$  acts on its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  via the adjoint representation. Hence, the exact sequence (3.2) gives the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\iota_0} \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

called the Atiyah sequence. Note that the maps in the Atiyah sequence preserves the natural Lie algebra structures.

**3.1. Atiyah sequence for group action.** Let  $G$  be a connected algebraic group (not necessarily linear algebraic group) acting on a complete variety  $X$  via the regular morphism  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$ . Now  $\rho$  induces the following homomorphism of algebraic groups (see [Bri11, proof of Corollary 2.2], [Bri18, Remark 2.4])

$$\bar{\rho} : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^0(X), \quad g \mapsto (\rho_g : x \mapsto \rho(g, x)).$$

This further induces the following map between the corresponding Lie algebras

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{\rho} : \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(X)), \\ (\varphi : \text{Spec}(I_k) \rightarrow G) &\longmapsto (\bar{\rho} \circ \varphi : \text{Spec}(I_k) \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Composing it with the isomorphism (2.2), we get the following Lie algebra homomorphism (see [DG80, Proposition 4.4, Section 4, Ch II], [Li91, Lemma 1.2] and [Li10])

$$\alpha = \alpha_X \circ d\bar{\rho} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X).$$

This induces the following evaluation map between sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \text{ given by,} \\ \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X(U), \quad f \otimes \delta \mapsto f \alpha(\delta)|_U, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and  $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Note that  $\zeta$  preserves the natural Lie algebra structures between the sheaves,  $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  has a natural Lie bracket induced from the Lie bracket of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Note that for any point  $x \in X$ , the map  $\zeta$  yields the following map between the fibers

$$\zeta_x : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_x(X) := \mathcal{T}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} k(x),$$

which is same as  $\alpha$ . Also,  $\zeta_x$  is identified with the differential of the orbit map  $G \rightarrow X$ ,  $g \mapsto \rho(g, x)$  (cf. [Bri13, the map  $op_X$  on Page 2]). The action map  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$  induces the following map between the corresponding tangent sheaves (see (3.1))

$$\mathcal{T}_{G \times X} \xrightarrow{d\rho} \rho^* \mathcal{T}_X.$$

Let  $p_1 : G \times X \rightarrow G$  and  $p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X$  denote the corresponding projection maps, respectively. Then we have  $\mathcal{T}_{G \times X} \cong p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G \oplus p_2^* \mathcal{T}_X$ . Let  $j : p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{G \times X}$  denote the inclusion map. Consider the following composition of maps:

$$p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{T}_{G \times X} \xrightarrow{d\rho} \rho^* \mathcal{T}_X. \quad (3.5)$$

Let  $\nu : X \cong \{1_G\} \times X \hookrightarrow G \times X$  denote the inclusion map given by  $x \mapsto (1_G, x)$ . Then pulling back the sequence (3.5) via the map  $\nu$  and using the fact that  $\rho \circ \nu = \text{Id}_X$  and  $p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G \cong \mathcal{O}_{G \times X} \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}$  (see [Bri17, p. 13]), we get the following map

$$\zeta' : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X.$$

Note that, on fibers, the map  $\zeta'$  is given by the differential of the orbit map  $G \rightarrow X$ ,  $g \mapsto \rho(g, x)$ . Hence, using Nakayama's lemma we have  $\zeta = \zeta'$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}$  and consider the map

$$\eta - \zeta : \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X, (v, w) \rightarrow \eta(v) - \zeta(w).$$

**Definition 3.4.** Define the  $G$ -Atiyah sheaf

$$\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}) := \text{Ker}(\eta - \zeta)$$

as a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{V}$ .

Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  is torsion-free. Note that being kernel,  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  acquires a natural Lie algebra structure from the component wise Lie algebra structure from  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{V}$ . We have the projection map

$$\text{pr}_2 : \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

and the map

$$\iota : \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}), \iota(v) = (\iota_0(v), 0).$$

Note that  $\iota$  is injective and  $\text{Ker}(\text{pr}_2) = \text{Im}(\iota)$ ; hence, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.6)$$

We call this the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence for the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ . Observe that the above sequence is obtained from the Atiyah sequence (3.3) by pulling back via the map  $\zeta$ , defined in (3.4) (see [HS97, Chapter III, Section 1]). Since both the sheaves  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are locally free,  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  is also locally free. Clearly,  $\text{pr}_2$  preserves the Lie algebra structure. Note that  $\iota$  also preserves the Lie

algebra structure as  $\iota_0$  does so. Hence, the maps in the above sequence (3.6) preserves the natural Lie algebra structures between the sheaves.

**Definition 3.5.** A  $G$ -connection on the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear splitting, i.e., a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}) \text{ such that } pr_2 \circ \lambda = Id_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

A  $G$ -connection  $\lambda$  is said to be flat or integrable if moreover  $\lambda$  preserves the Lie algebra structures of the sheaves.

**Remark 3.6.** The  $G$ -Atiyah sequence (3.6) defines a class  $a_\rho(\mathcal{P}) \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{V}, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}))$ . Thus the principal  $H$ -bundle admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if  $a_\rho(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ . Note that

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{V}, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})) = H^1(X, \mathcal{H}em(\mathcal{V}, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}))) = H^1(X, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}^\vee).$$

Hence,  $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{V}, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}))$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many copies of  $H^1(X, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}))$ . In particular, if  $H^1(X, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection.

**3.2.  $G$ -connection on vector bundle.** As before, let  $G$  be a connected algebraic group acting on a complete variety  $X$  via the regular morphism  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$  over  $X$  and  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be the associated  $GL(r, k)$ -bundle, called the frame bundle. Then by Remark 3.6, the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence (3.6) for the frame bundle defines a class  $a_\rho(\mathcal{P}) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{H}em(\mathcal{V}, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})))$ . Consider the following canonical isomorphisms.

$$\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \cong \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \text{ (cf. [Ati57, Proposition 9])},$$

$$\mathcal{H}em(\mathcal{V}, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})) \cong \mathcal{H}em(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{H}em(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\vee).$$

Let us denote by  $b_\rho(\mathcal{E}) \in H^1(X, \mathcal{H}em(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\vee))$  the image of the class  $a_\rho(\mathcal{P})$ . Then the class  $b_\rho(\mathcal{E})$  corresponds to the following extension (see [Ati57, Proposition 2], [Gra61, Section 3])

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\vee \longrightarrow D_\rho(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that the class  $a_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  is zero if and only if the class  $b_\rho(\mathcal{E})$  is zero. Thus we can define  $G$ -connection for the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear splitting of the sequence (3.7).

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on a complete variety  $X$  admitting a  $G$ -connection. Then there is a  $k$ -linear sheaf homomorphism

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}^\vee$$

satisfying the following Leibniz like condition

$$\nabla(fs) = f \nabla(s) + s \otimes \zeta^\vee(df),$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and  $s \in \mathcal{E}(U)$  for open subset  $U \subset X$ . Here  $\zeta^\vee$  is the dual of the map  $\zeta$  defined in (3.4). Note that  $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$  is the universal derivation and we have the natural map  $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow (\Omega_X^1)^{\vee\vee}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the principal frame bundle associated to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Consider the following canonical isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ -modules (see [DKP23, proof of Proposition 4.6])

$$\Psi : p^*\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\oplus r}, \tilde{s} \longmapsto (\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_r),$$

where  $\tilde{s}$  is a section of  $p^*\mathcal{E}$  over the open subset  $V \subset \mathcal{P}$  and

$$\tilde{s}(e) = (e, \tilde{f}_1 e_1 + \dots + \tilde{f}_r e_r)$$

for all  $e \in V$  and  $e = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$  is an ordered basis of the fiber  $\mathcal{E}(x)$ ,  $x = p(e)$ . Note that  $\Psi$  is  $GL(r, k)$ -equivariant. Define the map

$$\tilde{\nabla} : \mathcal{E} \otimes_k \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

as follows: Let  $\lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P})$  be a  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $s$  and  $w$  be local sections of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ , respectively, over an affine open subset  $U = \text{Spec}(B) \subset X$ . Let  $\lambda(w) = (\tilde{\delta}_w, w)$ , where  $\tilde{\delta}_w$  is a local section of  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $\eta(\tilde{\delta}_w) = \zeta(w)$ . Let  $p^{-1}(U) = \text{Spec}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $(p^*\mathcal{E})(p^{-1}(U)) = \mathcal{E}(U) \otimes_B A$ . So  $s \otimes 1$  defines a local section of  $p^*\mathcal{E}$  over  $p^{-1}(U)$ , where 1 denotes the multiplicative identity of the ring  $A$ . Write

$$\Psi(s \otimes 1) = (\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_r) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\oplus r}.$$

Then, define

$$\tilde{\nabla}(s \otimes w) = \Psi^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_w(\tilde{f}_1), \dots, \tilde{\delta}_w(\tilde{f}_r)).$$

Note that  $\tilde{\nabla}(s \otimes w)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{E}(U)$  as  $\Psi$  is  $GL(r, k)$ -equivariant. Since, the map  $\Psi$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ -linear and  $\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}(cs \otimes w) = c \tilde{\nabla}(s \otimes w) \text{ and } \tilde{\nabla}(s \otimes fw) = f \tilde{\nabla}(s \otimes w). \quad (3.8)$$

Also, note that

$$fs \otimes 1 = (p^{\sharp}f)(s \otimes 1).$$

Then,

$$\Psi(fs \otimes 1) = (p^{\sharp}f)\Psi(s \otimes 1) = ((p^{\sharp}f)\tilde{f}_1, \dots, (p^{\sharp}f)\tilde{f}_r).$$

Thus, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}(fs \otimes w) = \Psi^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_w((p^{\sharp}f)\tilde{f}_1), \dots, \tilde{\delta}_w((p^{\sharp}f)\tilde{f}_r)). \quad (3.9)$$

Note that for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we have

$$\tilde{\delta}_w((p^{\sharp}f)\tilde{f}_i) = (p^{\sharp}f)\tilde{\delta}_w(\tilde{f}_i) + \tilde{f}_i \tilde{\delta}_w((p^{\sharp}f)). \quad (3.10)$$

Then from (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}(fs \otimes w) &= (p^{\sharp}f)\Psi^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_w(\tilde{f}_1), \dots, \tilde{\delta}_w(\tilde{f}_r)) + \tilde{\delta}_w((p^{\sharp}f))\Psi^{-1}(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_r) \\ &= f \tilde{\nabla}(s \otimes w) + \zeta(w)(f) s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we have used

$$\eta(\tilde{\delta}_w)(f) = p_*(\tilde{\delta}_w(p^{\sharp}(f))).$$

Let us define

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}^{\vee}$$



as follows: for a section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  over an open subset  $U \subset X$  consider the  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -linear map

$$\tilde{\nabla}_s^U : \mathcal{V}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(U), \text{ given by } w \longmapsto \tilde{\nabla}^U(s \otimes w).$$

Finally define

$$\nabla^U(s) = \Upsilon \left( \tilde{\nabla}_s^U \right),$$

where  $\Upsilon : \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}^\vee$  is the canonical isomorphism. Then from (3.8) and (3.11), it follows that  $\nabla$  is a  $k$ -linear map satisfying Leibniz rule.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** The above proposition suggests that we can define  $G$ -connection for any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module as well. Note that when  $X$  is nonsingular and the map  $\zeta$  is surjective any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module admitting  $G$ -connection is necessarily locally free (using [BGK<sup>+</sup>87, Proposition 1.2, p. 211]). This does not hold for singular varieties; for example, the cotangent sheaf always admits a  $G$ -connection (use Proposition 4.1) although it need not be locally free.

**3.3. Homomorphisms and induced  $G$ -connections.** Let  $G$  be a connected algebraic group acting on a complete variety  $X$  via the regular morphism  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\tau : G_1 \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism of connected algebraic groups. This induces an action of  $G_1$  on  $X$  as follows:

$$\rho_1 : G_1 \times X \longrightarrow X, \text{ given by } (g_1, x) \longmapsto \rho(\tau(g_1), x).$$

Now  $\rho_1$  induces a homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\bar{\rho}_1 : G_1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^0(X), g_1 \longmapsto ((\rho_1)_{g_1} : x \longmapsto \rho(\tau(g_1), x)).$$

Thus we have  $\bar{\rho}_1 = \bar{\rho} \circ \tau$ . This implies that the corresponding maps between their Lie algebras satisfy  $d\bar{\rho}_1 = d\bar{\rho} \circ d\tau$ . This implies we get  $\alpha_1 = \alpha \circ d\tau$ . Hence, the corresponding map defined in (3.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_1 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \text{ given by,} \\ \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_1 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X(U), f \otimes \delta_1 \longmapsto f \alpha_1(\delta)|_U, \end{aligned}$$

where  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  and  $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Thus, we get

$$\zeta_1 = \zeta \circ d\tau.$$

Hence, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_1) & \xrightarrow{Id \oplus d\tau} & \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow \eta - \zeta_1 & & \downarrow \eta - \zeta \\ \mathcal{T}_X & \xrightarrow{Id} & \mathcal{T}_X \end{array}$$

This further induces the following map between the kernels,

$$\tilde{\tau} : \mathcal{A}t_{\rho_1}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P}), (v, w_1) \longmapsto (v, d\tau(w_1)).$$

Thus, we get the following commutative diagram of the corresponding Atiyah sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \mathcal{A}t_{\rho_1}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} & & \downarrow \tilde{\tau} & & \downarrow d\tau \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

**Proposition 3.9.** *A  $G$ -connection on the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  induces a  $G_1$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* If the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection, the map  $\iota$  in (3.12) admits a splitting. This will produce a splitting of  $\iota_1$  by the commutativity of the exact sequences in (3.12). Thus  $\mathcal{P}$  will admit a  $G_1$ -connection as well.  $\square$

Next we consider  $G$ -connections induced on associated bundles of a principal bundle. This has been studied for logarithmic connections in [DKP23, Section 5]. Let  $X$  be a complete variety and  $\tau : H \rightarrow H_1$  be a homomorphism between reductive algebraic groups  $H$  and  $H_1$ . Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. The associated principal  $H_1$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}_1 := \mathcal{P} \times^H H_1$  is constructed as the quotient

$$(\mathcal{P} \times H_1) / \sim$$

where

$$(e, h_1) \sim (eh, \tau(h)^{-1}h_1) \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

The projection map

$$p' : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow X \text{ is given by } p'([e, h_1]) = p(e).$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *With the notation as above, a (flat)  $G$ -connection on the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  induces a (flat)  $G$ -connection on the associated principal  $H_1$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .*

*Proof.* Note that we have the following commutative diagram of the corresponding Atiyah sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \mathrm{ad}(\tau) & & \downarrow \mathrm{At}(\tau) & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1) & \xrightarrow{\iota'_0} & \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_1) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

(see [DKP23, proof of the Proposition 5.1]). From the commutativity of the second square in the above diagram, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\eta - \zeta} & \mathcal{T}_X \\
\downarrow \mathrm{At}(\tau) \oplus \mathrm{Id} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} \\
\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\eta' - \zeta} & \mathcal{T}_X
\end{array}$$

This further induces the following map between the kernels,

$$\mathrm{At}_{\rho}(\tau) : \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P}_1), (v, w) \longmapsto (\mathrm{At}(\tau)(v), w).$$

Thus, we get the following commutative diagram of the corresponding Atiyah sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \mathrm{ad}(\tau) & & \downarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\tau) & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}_1) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array} \tag{3.13}$$

Let the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection  $h$ , then from the commutativity of (3.13),  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\tau) \circ h$  will be a  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Note that the map  $\mathcal{A}t(\tau)$  preserves the Lie algebra structure; hence, does the map  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\tau)$ . Thus, if  $h$  is flat, the induced  $G$ -connection  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\tau) \circ h$  is also flat.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $\tau : H \rightarrow H_1$  be an injective homomorphism between reductive algebraic groups  $H$  and  $H_1$ . Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. Then a (flat)  $G$ -connection on the associated principal  $H_1$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}_1 := \mathcal{P} \times^H H_1$  induces a (flat)  $G$ -connection on the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* We have a map  $\bar{\gamma} : \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $\bar{\gamma} \circ \mathrm{ad}(\tau) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P})}$  (see [DKP23, proof of the Proposition 5.3]). Note that a  $G$ -connection  $\lambda_1$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1$  induces a splitting

$$\lambda'_1 : \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1)$$

of  $\iota_1$  in (3.13). Then  $\bar{\gamma} \circ \lambda'_1 \circ \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\tau)$  gives a splitting of  $\iota$ . Hence, we get a  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Note that the map  $\bar{\gamma}$  preserves the Lie algebra structure. If  $G$ -connection  $h_1$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is flat, we can choose the splitting  $\lambda'_1$  to preserve the Lie algebra structure. Hence,  $\bar{\gamma} \circ \lambda'_1 \circ \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\tau)$  will give a flat  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

As a corollary, we get the following result analogous to a result for holomorphic connection in [AB03, Proposition 2.2].

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $H$  be a semisimple linear algebraic group and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle on  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a (flat)  $G$ -connection if and only if the associated adjoint bundle  $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P})$  admits a (flat)  $G$ -connection.*

We now recall the notion of Levi subgroup. Let  $H$  be a connected reductive affine algebraic group over  $k$ . Let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $H$  and  $R_u(P)$  be the unipotent radical of  $P$ . There is a connected closed subgroup  $L \subset P$ , called the Levi subgroup, such the restriction to  $L$  of the quotient map

$$\pi : P \longrightarrow P/R_u(P)$$

is an isomorphism. Note that  $L$  is also reductive (see [Hum75, Section 30.2], [Mil17, Page 559]). Given a principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  on a projective variety  $X$  over  $k$  there is a natural reduction of structure group  $\mathcal{P}_L \subset \mathcal{P}$  to a certain Levi subgroup  $L \subset H$  associated to  $\mathcal{P}$  (see [BBN05]). As a consequence of Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.11, we get the following.

**Corollary 3.13.** *When  $X$  is projective, with the notation as above, to study existence of (flat)  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$  it suffices to check existence of (flat)  $G$ -connection on a Levi reduction.*

We now extend Corollary 3.12 for any reductive group  $H$  (cf. [AB03, Section 3]). Let  $Z^0(H) \subset H$  denote the connected component of the center of  $H$  containing the identity element. Let  $H' :=$

$H/Z^0(H)$  be the quotient. Note that  $H'$  is semisimple. Let  $[H, H]$  denote the commutator and  $A := H/[H, H]$  be the abelian quotient. For a principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_1$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{P}_2$ ) denote the principal  $H'$ -bundle (respectively, principal  $A$ -bundle) obtained by extending the structure group of  $\mathcal{P}$  under the obvious projection map of  $H$  to  $H'$  (respectively,  $A$ ). Then we have the following (cf. [AB02, Lemma 3.4]).

**Proposition 3.14.** *The principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if both the bundles  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  admit  $G$ -connection.*

*Proof.* The forward direction is a consequence of Proposition 3.9. For the converse direction, consider the diagonal homomorphism  $\Delta : H \rightarrow H' \times A$  induced by the projections from  $H$  to  $H'$  and  $A$ . Note that  $\Delta$  is a surjective map between linear algebraic group with  $\ker(\Delta) = Z^0(H) \cap [H, H]$ , which is finite (see [Hum75, Lemma 19.5]). Hence,  $\Delta$  is an étale morphism. Let  $\mathcal{P}(\Delta) := \mathcal{P} \times^H (H' \times A)$  denote the principal  $(H' \times A)$ -bundle obtained by extending the structure group of  $\mathcal{P}$  by the homomorphism  $\Delta$ . Now consider the map

$$\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta), \text{ given by } z \longmapsto [z, (1, 1)].$$

This is an étale morphism as étale locally, the above map is of the form  $Id \times \Delta$ . Note that there is an isomorphism of principal bundles

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\Delta) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P}_1 \times_X \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ given by} \\ [z, (h', a)] &\longmapsto [(z, h'), (z, a)]. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse map is given by

$$[(z, h'), (zh, a)] \longmapsto [z, (h', [h]^{-1}a)],$$

where  $[h]$  denote the image of  $h$  in  $A$ . Hence, the composite map  $\tilde{\Delta} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1 \times_X \mathcal{P}_2$  is an étale morphism. As a consequence, the Atiyah sequence for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}' := \mathcal{P}_1 \times_X \mathcal{P}_2$  coincide (see [BMW12, Lemma 1.12]). Note that étaleness of the map  $\tilde{\Delta}$  implies that  $d\tilde{\Delta} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\Delta}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}'})$  is an isomorphism (using [Mil80, Ch. I, Proposition 3.5] and [Ser06, Theorem C.15]). Thus, the induced map  $\text{At}(\tilde{\Delta})$  between the corresponding Atiyah sheaves is also isomorphism. Thus, we get the following commutative diagram of the corresponding Atiyah sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{ad}(\tilde{\Delta}) & & \downarrow \text{At}(\tilde{\Delta}) & & \downarrow Id \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}') & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}') & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

This forces that the map  $\text{ad}(\tilde{\Delta})$  is also an isomorphism. This shows that the Atiyah sequence for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}' := \mathcal{P}_1 \times_X \mathcal{P}_2$  coincide. Hence, their  $G$ -Atiyah sequences also coincide. It remains to show that if the  $G$ -Atiyah sequences corresponding to  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  split, then the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence for  $\mathcal{P}'$

also splits. We have the following fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}' := \mathcal{P}_1 \times_X \mathcal{P}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \mathcal{P}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X \end{array} .$$

Let the Atiyah sequence for  $\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be the following

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_i) \xrightarrow{\iota_i} \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_i) \xrightarrow{\eta_i} \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

And, denote the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence for  $\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  by

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_i) \xrightarrow{\iota_i^0} \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}_i) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence, by the functoriality of the Atiyah sequence (see [Ati57, Page 188], [Her20]), we get that

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_2) \xrightarrow{\iota'} \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}') \xrightarrow{\eta'=(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

is the Atiyah sequence for  $\mathcal{P}'$ , where

$$\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}') = \text{Ker}(\eta_1 \circ a_1 - \eta_2 \circ a_2) \subset \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_2).$$

Here the map  $a_i$  denote the projection of  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_2)$  to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  factor for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus, the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence for the bundle  $\mathcal{P}'$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_2) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}') \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}') = \{(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta) : (\eta_1 \circ a_1)(\delta_1) = (\eta_2 \circ a_2)(\delta_2) = \zeta(\delta)\} \subset \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}_2) \oplus \mathcal{V}.$$

We are given that  $\mathcal{P}_i$  admits a  $G$ -connection, i.e., a splitting

$$\lambda_i : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}_i)$$

of (3.14). This will induce a splitting of the sequence (3.15). Hence,  $\mathcal{P}'$  admits a  $G$ -connection. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** *As a corollary of the proof we get that the principal bundles  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  admit  $G$ -connection if and only if the fiber product  $\mathcal{P}_1 \times_X \mathcal{P}_2$  admits  $G$ -connection.*

Now we give a necessary and sufficient criterion for a principal  $H$ -bundle to admit  $G$ -connection (cf. [AB03, Theorem 3.1]).

**Proposition 3.16.** *Let  $H$  be a reductive linear algebraic group. With the notation as above, a principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *the adjoint bundle  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P})$  admits a  $G$ -connection,*
- (2) *for every character  $\chi$  of  $H$ , the line bundle  $\mathcal{P} \times^X k$  associated to  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection.*

*Proof.* As  $H'$  is semisimple, Corollary 3.12 implies that  $\mathcal{P}_1$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1)$  admits a  $G$ -connection. Note that we have the following direct sum decomposition

$$\text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \cong \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_1) \oplus \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_2)$$

from the proof of Proposition 3.14. Also, note that the adjoint bundle  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P}_2)$  is trivial as  $A$  is abelian. Hence, it always admits a connection which implies it admits a  $G$ -connection also. Thus,  $\mathcal{P}_1$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P})$  admits a  $G$ -connection by Remark 3.15. Next we determine the criterion for existence of  $G$ -connection on the associated  $A$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}_2$ . Note that the abelian quotient  $A := H/[H, H]$  is a product of copies of  $k^*$ , say  $A \cong (k^*)^n$ . Then the principal  $A$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}_2$  becomes a direct sum of  $n$  line bundles, say  $L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$  (as  $(k^*)^n$  acts faithfully on  $k^n$ ). Hence, by Remark 3.15  $\mathcal{P}_2$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if each of the line bundles  $L_i$  admits so. Consider the character

$$\chi_i : (k^*)^n \rightarrow k^* \text{ given by } (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto t_i.$$

Then, we have the isomorphism of line bundles

$$L_i \cong \mathcal{P}_2 \times^{\chi_i} k.$$

Hence, the principal  $A$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if the associated line bundles  $\mathcal{P}_2 \times^{\chi} k$  admits  $G$ -connection for all characters  $\chi$  of  $A$ . Note that since any character  $\chi$  of  $H$  factors through the abelian quotient  $A$ , say  $\chi'$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 \times^{\chi'} k \cong \mathcal{P} \times^H A \times^{\chi'} k \cong \mathcal{P} \times^{\chi} k.$$

Then we are done by Proposition 3.14. □

**3.4. Infinitesimal deformation map and connection.** Let  $X$  be a complete variety and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle on  $X$ . Let  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$  be an action of a connected algebraic group  $G$  on  $X$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} := \rho^* \mathcal{P} \rightarrow G \times X$  be the pull back of the principal  $H$ -bundle. Note that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}|_{\{1_G\} \times X} \cong \mathcal{P}$$

as principal bundles over  $X$ . Considering  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  as a family of principal  $H$ -bundles on  $X$ , we have the infinitesimal deformation map

$$\kappa_1 : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})), \tag{3.16}$$

which arises as follows:

Consider the Atiyah sequence for the pull back principal  $H$ -bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  (see (3.3))

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}_0} \text{At}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} \mathcal{T}_{G \times X} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Let  $p_1 : G \times X \rightarrow G$  and  $p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X$  denote the corresponding projection maps, respectively. Then we have  $\mathcal{T}_{G \times X} \cong p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G \oplus p_2^* \mathcal{T}_X$ . Hence, pulling back the exact sequence (3.17) by the inclusion

$j : p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{G \times X}$  we get the following commutating diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\iota'_0} & \mathcal{A}t(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\mathcal{T}_{G \times X}} p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow j & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_0} & \mathcal{A}t(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} & \mathcal{T}_{G \times X} & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array} \tag{3.18}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}t_j(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) := \mathcal{A}t(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\mathcal{T}_{G \times X}} p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G$  for the notational convenience. Restricting the short exact sequence of the top row to  $\{1_G\} \times X$  and taking the connecting homomorphism we get the map  $\kappa_1$  in (3.16) (see [BS07, Section 3]).

**Lemma 3.17.** *The connecting homomorphism*

$$\kappa_2 : \mathfrak{g} = H^0(X, \mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}))$$

arising from the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence (3.6) coincides with the homomorphism  $\kappa_1$  defined in (3.16).

*Proof.* Let  $\nu : X \cong \{1_G\} \times X \hookrightarrow G \times X$  denote the inclusion map given by  $x \mapsto (1_G, x)$ . We show that the restriction of the top exact sequence in (3.18) via  $\nu$  coincides with the  $G$ -Atiyah sequence (3.6) for the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ . This will immediately imply that  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are also same, being the connecting homomorphism of the same sequence. First note that we have the following canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu^*(\mathrm{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})) &\cong \mathrm{ad}(\nu^*\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \cong \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \text{ since } \rho \circ \nu = \mathrm{Id}_X \text{ (see [BMW12, Lemma 1.5])}, \\
 \nu^*(p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G) &\cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \text{ since } \mathcal{T}_G \cong \mathcal{O}_G \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } p_1 \circ \nu = 1_G.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the functoriality of the Atiyah sequence (see [BMW12, Lemma 1.12]), the map  $\rho$  induces the following commutating diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_0} & \mathcal{A}t(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} & \mathcal{T}_{G \times X} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow d\rho & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \rho^* \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \rho^* \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \rho^* \mathcal{T}_X & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array} \tag{3.19}$$

Here the left most vertical arrow is the canonical isomorphism using [BMW12, Lemma 1.5]. The bottom row is exact since the map  $\rho$  is flat (see [Bri17, Remark 2.2.3]). Then using [HS97, Chapter III, Lemma 1.3], we get that the right-hand square in (3.19) is a pull-back diagram. Now pulling back the (3.18) via  $\nu$ , we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \nu^* \mathcal{A}t_j(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \nu^* p_1^* \mathcal{T}_G & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \mathrm{Id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu^*(j) & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \nu^* \mathcal{A}t(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \longrightarrow & \nu^* \mathcal{T}_{G \times X} & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array} \tag{3.20}$$

Here the bottom row is exact as the map  $j$  is injective. Also the right-hand square is a pull-back diagram as before. Finally pulling back (3.19) via  $\nu$ , we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \nu^* \mathcal{A}t(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) & \longrightarrow & \nu^* \mathcal{T}_{G \times X} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \nu^*(d\rho) \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_X \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array} \tag{3.21}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\rho \circ \nu = \text{Id}_X$ . From the diagrams (3.20) and (3.21), we see that the top row in (3.20) is the pull-back of the bottom row of (3.21) via the composition  $\nu^*(d\rho) \circ \nu^*(j)$ . Note that this map coincides with the map  $\zeta$  in (3.4) by Remark 3.3. Hence, the lemma follows.  $\square$

The following proposition indicates that infinitesimal deformations of a principal bundle can be characterized in terms of  $G$ -connections. When the underlying variety is smooth, this has been obtained in [BP17, Section 2.3].

**Proposition 3.18.** *The principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection if and only if  $\kappa_1$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  be a  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Consider the induced map

$$\lambda_* : H^0(X, \mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}))$$

between the corresponding global sections. Let

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})) \xrightarrow{\kappa_0} H^0(X, \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} H^1(X, \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})) \tag{3.22}$$

be the long exact sequence arising from the Atiyah sequence (3.6). Since  $\lambda$  is a connection, we have  $\kappa_0 \circ \lambda_* = \text{Id}_{H^0(X, \mathcal{V})}$ . This shows that  $\kappa_0$  is surjective. Hence,  $\kappa_2 = 0$  from the exactness of (3.22). Finally, using Lemma 3.17 we get  $\kappa_1 = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\kappa_1$  is the zero map. Hence, using Lemma 3.17 and (3.22) we get that the map  $\kappa_0$  is surjective. Let  $V \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}))$  be a subspace such that the restriction

$$\kappa = \kappa_0|_V : V \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism. Using this define a map

$$\underline{\lambda} : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\kappa^{-1}} V \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})),$$

which further induces the following map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}).$$

Note that  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \lambda = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is a  $G$ -connection on the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$



## 4. EQUIVARIANT STRUCTURE AND G-CONNECTION

In this section we investigate the relationship between the condition that a principal bundle admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure and the condition that it admits a (flat)  $G$ -connection. When the underlying variety is smooth, this has been studied in [BSKN15, BP17].

Let  $X$  be a complete variety over  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle on  $X$  and  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$  be an action of a connected algebraic group  $G$  on  $X$ . An equivariant structure on  $\mathcal{P}$  is a lift of the action  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  given by a morphism  $\sigma : G \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  such that

- the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow Id \times p & & \downarrow p \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \end{array} . \quad (4.1)$$

- the actions of  $H$  and  $G$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  commute.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If the principal  $H$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an equivariant structure, then  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an integrable  $G$ -connection.*

*Proof.* Let the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admit an equivariant structure. The action  $\sigma$  induces the following homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\bar{\sigma} : G \longrightarrow (\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}))^0, \quad g \mapsto (\sigma_g : z \mapsto \sigma(g, z)),$$

since the action of  $G$  and  $H$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  are compatible. This gives rise to the following map between Lie algebras

$$d\bar{\sigma} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})).$$

Composing it with the isomorphism (2.5), we get the map

$$\beta = \beta_{\mathcal{P}} \circ d\bar{\sigma} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})^H \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

This induces the following map as in (3.4)

$$\tilde{\zeta} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}},$$

which is also compatible with the natural Lie algebra structures on the sheaves. Note that  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes_k \mathfrak{g}$  has a natural  $H$ -equivariant structure where  $H$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  trivially. Let  $V \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  be an  $H$ -invariant open subset. Then for  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(V)$ ,  $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta}((\tilde{f} \otimes \delta) h) &= \tilde{\zeta}(\tilde{f} \otimes \delta h) = (\tilde{f} h) \beta(\delta)|_V \\ &= (\tilde{f} \beta(\delta)|_V) h \quad (\text{since } \beta(\delta) \in H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})^H) \\ &= \tilde{\zeta}((\tilde{f} \otimes \delta)) h. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map  $\tilde{\zeta}$  is also  $H$ -equivariant. Applying  $H$ -invariant pushforward  $p_*^H$ , we get

$$\zeta' : \mathcal{V} \cong p_*^H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) \otimes_k \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow p_*^H \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{At}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Now define the map  $\lambda$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \mathcal{V} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{V} \\ v &\longmapsto \zeta'(v) \oplus v. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We show that  $\eta \circ \zeta' = \zeta$ . First note that as the bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  is equivariant from (4.1), we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & (\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}))^0 \\ & \searrow \bar{\rho} & \swarrow \theta \\ & & \text{Aut}^0(X) \end{array}, \tag{4.3}$$

where that the map  $\theta$  is defined in (2.4). To see this, take  $g \in G$ . We need to show that  $\theta(\sigma_g) = \rho_g$ . But note that from (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta(\sigma_g))(p(z)) &= p(\sigma_g(z)) \\ &= \rho_g(p(z)) \text{ (using commutativity of the diagram in (4.1)).} \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows using the surjectivity of the projection map  $p$ . Taking the corresponding Lie algebras in (4.3) and using (2.6), we get the following commutative diagram of Lie algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}})^H \\ & \searrow \alpha & \swarrow \eta(X) \\ & & H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \end{array}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  and  $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Denote by  $V = p^{-1}(U)$ . Note that  $\zeta(1 \otimes \delta) = \alpha(\delta)|_U$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}(1 \otimes \delta) = \beta(\delta)|_V$ . From (4.4), we have  $(\eta(X) \circ \beta)(\delta) = \alpha(\delta)$ . Since  $\eta$  is a sheaf homomorphism, we get

$$\eta(\beta(\delta)|_V) = \eta(X)(\beta(\delta))|_V = \alpha(\delta)|_U.$$

Hence, we get  $\eta \circ \zeta' = \zeta$ . Thus

$$(\eta - \zeta)(\lambda(v)) = (\eta \circ \zeta')(v) - \zeta(v) = 0.$$

Hence, we get that  $\text{Im}(\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P})$  and the induced map from (4.2) will also be denoted by

$$\lambda : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t_{\rho}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Clearly, the composition  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \lambda = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . So  $\lambda$  defines a  $G$ -connection on the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ . Also, since  $\zeta'$  preserves the Lie algebra structure,  $\lambda$  is in fact an integrable connection.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let the principal  $H$ -bundle  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  admit an integrable  $G$ -connection. Assume that  $G$  is semisimple and simply connected. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an equivariant structure.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  be an integrable  $G$ -connection. Since,  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \lambda = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{V}}$ , we get

$$\lambda(w) = (v, w),$$

where  $v, w$  are sections of the sheaves  $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $(v, w)$  is a section of  $\mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$ , i.e.

$$\eta(v) = \zeta(w). \quad (4.5)$$

Consider the following composite of maps

$$\lambda' : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{P}).$$

So  $\lambda'(w) = v$ . Taking global sections we get the following map.

$$\lambda'(X) : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}})^H.$$

Note that  $\lambda'(X)$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism as  $\lambda$  is integrable. Using (4.5), we see that

$$\eta(X) \circ \lambda'(X) = \alpha. \quad (4.6)$$

Since  $G$  is connected, simply connected and semisimple for any algebraic group  $G'$  and any Lie algebra homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\text{Lie}(G')$  lifts to a unique morphism from  $G$  to  $G'$  (see [DG80, Proposition 2.9(b), Section 6, Ch II]). Thus there are algebraic group homomorphisms  $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}' : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$  and  $\bar{\sigma} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P})$  such that

$$\text{Lie}(\bar{\rho}) = \alpha, \text{Lie}(\bar{\rho}') = \eta(X) \circ \lambda'(X) \text{ and } \text{Lie}(\bar{\sigma}) = \lambda'(X).$$

Since  $\eta(X) \circ \lambda'(X) = \text{Lie}(\theta) \circ \text{Lie}(\bar{\sigma}) = \text{Lie}(\theta \circ \bar{\sigma})$ , we have  $\bar{\rho}' = \theta \circ \bar{\sigma}$ , i.e. the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & \text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}) \\ & \searrow \bar{\rho} & \swarrow \theta \\ & & \text{Aut}(X) \end{array}$$

(see [DG80, Proposition 2.1, Section 6, Ch II]). This implies that the conditions in (4.1) are satisfied (cf. [Bri18, Remark 2.4(i)]). Hence,  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an equivariant structure.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose the principal  $H$ -bundle  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  admits a  $G$ -connection. Assume that  $G$  is semisimple. Then,  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an integrable  $G$ -connection.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$  be a  $G$ -connection. Then taking global sections, we get

$$\lambda(X) : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})),$$

which is  $k$ -linear. Since  $\lambda$  is a connection, we have  $\text{pr}_2(X) \circ \lambda(X) = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Hence, the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\text{pr}_2(X)$  is also surjective. Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple there exists a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}))$  such that

$$q := \text{pr}_2(X)|_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism of Lie algebras (see [Bou60, Corollaire 3, pg. 91]). Consider the homomorphism of sheaves

$$\lambda' : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P})$$

induced from the map  $q^{-1} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \subseteq H^0(X, \mathcal{A}t_\rho(\mathcal{P}))$ . Clearly,  $\lambda'$  preserves the Lie algebra structures and  $\text{pr}_2 \circ \lambda' = \text{Id}_Y$  holds. Thus  $\lambda'$  is an integrable  $G$ -connection.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. Assume that  $G$  is semisimple and simply connected. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *The principal bundle admits an equivariant structure.*
- (2) *The principal bundle admits an integrable  $G$ -connection.*
- (3) *The principal bundle admits a  $G$ -connection.*

**Remark 4.5.** Some examples of semisimple and simply connected algebraic groups are  $SL(n, k)$ ,  $Sp(2n, k)$  and  $Spin(n, k)$  and non-examples are  $PGL(n, k)$  and  $SO(n, k)$  (see [MT11, Table 9.2]).

The following proposition provides a criterion for existence of equivariant structure extending [BP17, Corollary 4.4] to the case of varieties possibly having singularities.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle on  $X$  such that for all  $g \in G$  we have isomorphisms*

$$\Phi_g : \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\cong} \rho_g^* \mathcal{P} \tag{4.7}$$

*of principal  $H$ -bundles over  $X$ . Assume that  $G$  is semisimple and simply connected. Then,  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an equivariant structure.*

*Proof.* We first show that the given condition (4.7) implies that there is an isomorphism as principal  $H$ -bundles over  $G \times X$

$$\Psi : p_2^* \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\cong} \rho^* \mathcal{P} =: \tilde{\mathcal{P}},$$

where  $p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X$  denotes the projection onto the second factor. Note that

$$p_2^* \mathcal{P} = \{(g, x, z) \in (G \times X \times \mathcal{P}) : x = p(z)\} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \{(g, x, z) \in (G \times X \times \mathcal{P}) : \rho(g, x) = p(z)\}.$$

Let  $(g, x, z) \in p_2^* \mathcal{P}$ . Then using the isomorphism  $\Phi_g$ , we get

$$\Phi_g(z) = (x, z') \text{ such that } \rho(g, x) = p(z').$$

Hence, we define  $\Psi(g, x, z) = (g, x, z')$ . Note that its inverse map is given by

$$(g_1, x_1, z_1) \longmapsto (g_1, x_1, \Phi_{g_1}^{-1}(x_1, z_1)).$$

Thus the top exact sequence in (3.18) admits a canonical splitting (cf. [NR75, Section 3]). Hence, by Lemma 3.17 the infinitesimal deformation map  $\kappa_1$  is zero map. Then by Proposition 3.18 the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a  $G$ -connection. Finally, using Corollary 4.4 we conclude that  $\mathcal{P}$  admits an equivariant structure.  $\square$

## 5. EXAMPLES

**5.1. Toric varieties.** Let  $X$  be a complete toric variety under the action of the algebraic torus  $T \cong (k^*)^n$ . Let  $D = X \setminus T$  be the boundary divisor. Then by [Oda88, Proposition 3.1], we have that the logarithmic tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_X$  is isomorphic to the trivial bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \text{Lie}(T)$ . Hence, a  $T$ -connection is same as a logarithmic connection singular along  $D$ . Note that the torus  $T$  is not semisimple, so we cannot directly apply Corollary 4.4. A different proof is given in [DKP23].

**5.2. Line bundle.** Let  $X$  be a normal complete variety over  $k$ . Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group acting on  $X$ . Then for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  there exists an integer  $n$  such that the tensor power  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure (see [MFK94, Corollary 1.6]). Hence,  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  admits an integrable  $G$ -connection by Corollary 4.4. If  $X$  satisfies  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , then by Remark 3.6 we see that any line bundle on  $X$  admits a  $G$ -connection. As a consequence,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  admits a  $PGL(n+1, k)$ -connection but it does not admit any  $PGL(n+1, k)$ -equivariant structure (see [MFK94, Page 33]). Note that  $PGL(n+1, k)$  is not simply connected ( $SL(n+1, k)$  being the simply connected cover). This shows that the assumptions on  $G$  in Corollary 4.4 are necessary.

**5.3. Trivial Action.** If the action  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$  is trivial, the image of the induced map  $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(X)$  is  $Id_X$ . Hence, the induced map  $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  is the zero map. Hence, we have

$$At_\rho(\mathcal{P}) = \text{ad}(\mathcal{P}) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence, a  $G$ -connection on the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  corresponds to a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{ad}(\mathcal{P})$ . Note that there is a tautological  $G$ -connection on  $\mathcal{P}$  induced from the zero map from  $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  to  $\text{ad}(\mathcal{P})$ . Hence, by Proposition (4.1) the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  admits a natural integrable  $G$ -connection.

**5.4. Tautological  $G$ -Connection.** Let  $X$  be a complete variety over  $k$  and  $p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X$  be a principal  $H$ -bundle. Recall that  $G := (\text{Aut}^H(\mathcal{P}))^\circ$  is an algebraic group. Note that  $G$  has a tautological action on  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $X$ , respectively given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 : G \times \mathcal{P} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}, \text{ given by } (\psi, z) \rightarrow \psi(z) \\ \sigma_0 : G \times X &\rightarrow X, \text{ given by } (\psi, x) \rightarrow \theta(\psi)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi \in G, x \in X, z \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\theta$  is defined in (2.4). Note that under these actions the principal bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  becomes  $G$ -equivariant using (2.3). Hence, it admits an integrable  $G$ -connection by Proposition 4.1 (cf. [BP17, Proposition 3.3]).

## REFERENCES

- [Ati57] M. F. Atiyah, *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 181–207, DOI 10.2307/1992969. MR0086359
- [AB02] Hassan Azad and Indranil Biswas, *On holomorphic principal bundles over a compact Riemann surface admitting a flat connection*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), no. 2, 333–346, DOI 10.1007/s002080100273. MR1893920
- [AB03] ———, *On holomorphic principal bundles over a compact Riemann surface admitting a flat connection. II*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 4, 440–444, DOI 10.1112/S0024609303002182. MR1978996

- [BBN05] V. Balaji, Indranil Biswas, and D. S. Nagaraj, *Krull-Schmidt reduction for principal bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **578** (2005), 225–234, DOI 10.1515/crll.2005.2005.578.225. MR2113896
- [BMW12] Indranil Biswas, Souradeep Majumder, and Michael Lennox Wong, *Root stacks, principal bundles and connections*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 4, 369–398, DOI 10.1016/j.bulsci.2012.03.006. MR2923408
- [BP17] Indranil Biswas and Arjun Paul, *Equivariant bundles and connections*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **51** (2017), no. 4, 347–358, DOI 10.1007/s10455-016-9538-9.
- [BS07] Indranil Biswas and Georg Schumacher, *Kähler structure on moduli spaces of principal bundles*, Differential Geom. Appl. **25** (2007), no. 2, 136–146, DOI 10.1016/j.difgeo.2006.05.001. MR2311730
- [BSKN15] Indranil Biswas, S. Senthamarai Kannan, and D. S. Nagaraj, *Equivariant principal bundles for  $G$ -actions and  $G$ -connections*, Complex Manifolds **2** (2015), no. 1, 178–185, DOI 10.1515/coma-2015-0013.
- [BS04] Indranil Biswas and S. Subramanian, *Flat holomorphic connections on principal bundles over a projective manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 10, 3995–4018, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-04-03567-6. MR2058516
- [Bri11] Michel Brion, *On automorphism groups of fiber bundles*, Publ. Mat. Urug. **12** (2011), 39–66. MR3012239
- [Bri13] ———, *On connected automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **28A** (2013), 41–54.
- [Bri17] ———, *Some structure theorems for algebraic groups*, Algebraic groups: structure and actions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 53–126, DOI 10.1090/pspum/094/04. MR3645068
- [Bri18] ———, *Notes on automorphism groups of projective varieties* (2018), available at [https://www-fourier.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/~mbrion/autos\\_final.pdf](https://www-fourier.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/~mbrion/autos_final.pdf).
- [BGK<sup>+</sup>87] A. Borel, P.-P. Grivel, B. Kaup, A. Haefliger, B. Malgrange, and F. Ehlers, *Algebraic  $D$ -modules*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 2, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987. MR0882000
- [Bou60] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. XXVI. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre 1: Algèbres de Lie*, Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1285, Hermann, Paris, 1960 (French).
- [DKP23] Jyoti Dasgupta, Bivas Khan, and Mainak Poddar, *Logarithmic connections on principal bundles over normal varieties* (2023), available at 2211.03047.
- [DG70] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs.*, Masson & Cie, Éditeurs, Paris; North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970 (French). Avec un appendice *Corps de classes local* par Michiel Hazewinkel.
- [DG80] Michel Demazure and Peter Gabriel, *Introduction to algebraic geometry and algebraic groups*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 39, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980. Translated from the French by J. Bell.
- [Gra61] John W. Gray, *Extensions of sheaves of algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 159–174. MR0122854
- [GD71] A. Grothendieck and J. A. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971 (French).
- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176, DOI 10.1007/BF01467074.
- [Her20] Andres Fernandez Herrero, *On the quasicompactness of the moduli stack of logarithmic  $G$ -connections over a curve*, arXiv, posted on 2020, DOI arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.11832.
- [HS97] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach, *A course in homological algebra*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR1438546
- [Hum75] James E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups.*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. MR0396773
- [Kly89] A. A. Klyachko, *Equivariant bundles over toric varieties*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 5, 1001–1039, 1135.

- [Li91] Ke-Zheng Li, *Actions of group schemes (I)*, *Compositio Mathematica* **80** (1991), no. 1, 55–74, DOI [http://www.numdam.org/item/CM\\_1991\\_\\_80\\_1\\_55\\_0/](http://www.numdam.org/item/CM_1991__80_1_55_0/) (en).
- [Li10] ———, *Differential operators and automorphism schemes*, *Sci. China Math.* **53** (2010), no. 9, 2363–2380, DOI 10.1007/s11425-010-4073-9. MR2718832
- [MT11] Gunter Malle and Donna Testerman, *Linear algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, vol. 133, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. MR2850737
- [MO67] Hideyuki Matsumura and Frans Oort, *Representability of group functors, and automorphisms of algebraic schemes*, *Invent. Math.* **4** (1967), 1–25, DOI 10.1007/BF01404578.
- [Mil80] James S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Vol. No. 33., Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980. MR0559531
- [Mil17] J. S. Milne, *Algebraic groups*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, vol. 170, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field. MR3729270
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, 3rd ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*, vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. MR1304906
- [NR75] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, *Deformations of the moduli space of vector bundles over an algebraic curve*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **101** (1975), 391–417, DOI 10.2307/1970933. MR0384797
- [Nev08] Thomas Nevins, *Descent of coherent sheaves and complexes to geometric invariant theory quotients*, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), no. 6, 2481–2495, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.04.011.
- [Oda88] Tadao Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*, vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties; Translated from the Japanese. MR0922894
- [Ser06] Edoardo Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 334, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR2247603

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, CHENNAI, INDIA

*Email address:* bivaskhan10@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH-PUNE, PUNE, INDIA

*Email address:* mainak@iiserpune.ac.in