

Far-from-equilibrium universal critical behavior of quantum geometry

Shuohang Wu,^{1,*} Zhengxin Guo,^{1,*} and Zi Cai^{1,2,†}

¹*Wilczek Quantum Center and Key Laboratory of Artificial Structures and Quantum Control, School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

²*Shanghai Research Center for Quantum Sciences, Shanghai 201315, China*

In this study, we show that the quantum critical point in the ground state of quantum many-body systems, can also govern the universal dynamical behavior when the systems are driven far from equilibrium, which can be captured by the evolution of the quantum geometry of the systems. By investigating quantum quench dynamics in a variety of quadratic fermionic models, we find that the quantum volume of these systems typically grows linearly over time, with a growth velocity demonstrating universal behavior: its first derivative over the control parameter exhibits a discontinuity at the quantum critical point, with an universal jump value that is independent of specific models, but is crucially determined by the system dimension. This result reveals universal dynamical properties of non-equilibrium quantum many-body systems.

Introduction – The quantum phase transition, driven by quantum fluctuations rather than by thermal motion, features non-analytic behavior in the ground state of a quantum many-body system. Although it is impossible to cool matter to ground state at absolute zero, the impact of a quantum critical point extends across a broad range of low-energy excited states. This creates a V-shaped quantum criticality regime that fans out to finite temperatures from the quantum phase transition point [1]. Such influence brings the quantum criticality from a concept of theoretical interest to a tangible phenomenon that critically determines the finite-temperature properties of quantum critical materials [2]. To date, most studies have concentrated on the thermal equilibrium properties of quantum critical systems, while the non-equilibrium aspects of the quantum criticality are far less understood[3].

The physics of non-equilibrium systems is generally more complex and richer than in equilibrium ones. Regarding quantum criticality, substantial efforts have explored universal dynamical behaviors near quantum critical points. Examples include universal relaxation at a quantum critical point [4] and ramping dynamics [5–7] governed by the Kimble-Zurek mechanism [8, 9]. These universal phenomena, despite their non-equilibrium nature, involve only low-lying excited states above the critical transition point. Compared to these near-equilibrium dynamics fundamentally tied to the underlying quantum critical points in the ground state, the role of quantum criticality in non-equilibrium dynamics far from the ground state remains less clear. Notably, recent progress has shown intrinsic non-equilibrium critical scaling in the quantum quench dynamics of long-range interacting systems [10, 11]. In this study, we reveal that even in a system as simple as quadratic free fermions, the quantum phase transition in the ground state can lead to universal non-analytic behavior in out-of-equilibrium dynamics far from the ground state, which can be captured by the evolution of the quantum geometry[12].

To measure distances between quantum states, the

quantum geometry is introduced, which contains information of both the phase and amplitude distances. The former is characterized by the Berry curvature, and the latter by the quantum metric [13–15]. Compared to the Berry curvature which has been intensively studied in the context of topological physics[16], the quantum metric has been rarely investigated until very recently. It has been measured experimentally in various synthetic quantum systems including cold atoms [17], nitrogen-vacancy centers [18], and superconducting circuits [19]. It is now understood that the full quantum geometry, including the quantum metric, influences diverse phenomena, from flat-band superconductivity and superfluidity [20–24] to the anomalous Hall effect [25–27], quantum Fisher information [28–30], electron-phonon interactions [31], and fractional Chern insulators [32–35] (for a review, see Ref.[36]). Here, we demonstrate the crucial role of quantum metric in interpreting out-of-equilibrium phenomena in quantum systems, particularly in critical dynamics far from the ground state.

Model and method – We examine a general quadratic fermionic model with translational symmetry, whose Hamiltonian in momentum space is expressed as:

$$H = \sum_k C_k^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k C_k \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k = \vec{h}_k \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ represents a 2×2 matrix. Here, $\vec{\sigma} = (\hat{\sigma}^x, \hat{\sigma}^y, \hat{\sigma}^z)$ denotes the Pauli matrices, and $\vec{h}_k = (h_k^x, h_k^y, h_k^z)$ is a three-dimensional vector. The annihilation and creation fermionic operators, C_k and C_k^\dagger respectively, can be represented either as a two-component fermion $C_k = [c_{\alpha,k}, c_{\beta,k}]^T$, with the indices α, β signifying the degrees of freedom (such as sublattice, orbital, or spin), or through the Nambu representation as $C_k = [c_k, c_{-k}^\dagger]^T$, which describes a fermionic model with pairing terms. The energy spectrum of the system is given by $\epsilon_k^\pm = \pm |d_k|$, leading to an energy gap defined as $\Delta_k = 2|d_k|$. Typically, a quantum phase transition in such a quadratic fermionic model is characterized by the




FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) Quantum volume $g(t)$ for the 1D Kitaev model in the quantum quench dynamics starting from the same initial states with $\lambda_i = 0.2J$, but evolving under the Hamiltonian with different λ_f . (b) The growth velocity v as a function of λ_f . The inset is its derivative with respect to λ_f , which exhibits a discontinuity with an universal jump value 2π at $\lambda_f = J$. (c) $\frac{dv}{d\lambda_f}$ as a function of λ_f starting from initial states with different λ_i , which share the same jump value of 2π at $\lambda_f = J$.

closure of this gap and a non-analytic behavior of Δ_k at one or more specific momenta k_c .

The non-analytic behavior of Δ_k at the quantum critical point affects not only static properties such as the ground state energy but also has striking implications for the dynamics far from the ground state. To elucidate this aspect, we examine the quantum quench dynamics where the system, initially in the ground state of a Hamiltonian H_i , evolves following an abrupt parameter change to a final Hamiltonian H_f . The quench dynamics of such an integrable system has been extensively studied [37–39]; however, we reexamine this problem from a novel perspective of quantum geometry. The Hamiltonian in Eq.(1) is viewed as a set of independent k -modes, each is a two level system evolving as a spin precession at a frequency Δ_k . Therefore, the singularity in Δ_k critically affects the system's dynamics, as manifested in the evolution of the quantum geometry.

Considering two k -modes with the initial states $|u_k^0\rangle$ and $|u_{k+dk}^0\rangle$ that are close to each other, the difference in their precession frequencies will separate these two states after a sufficiently long time. The distance between the two states can be captured by the Fubini-Study metric $\mathbb{B}(k)$, which is a rank-2 tensor for 2D systems:

$$\mathbb{B}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle \partial_i u_{\mathbf{k}} | \partial_j u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle - \langle \partial_i u_{\mathbf{k}} | u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle u_{\mathbf{k}} | \partial_j u_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}$ with $i = x, y$, and $|u_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ is the wavefunction at momentum \mathbf{k} . The imaginary part of $\mathbb{B}_{ij}(k)$ is the well-established Berry curvature, which characterizes the phase differences of quantum states under a small change of \mathbf{k} . The real part of $\mathbb{B}_{ij}(k)$ is the quantum metric, which measures the orthogonality of two vectors and is proportional to the amplitude distance of quantum states that are sufficiently close to each other. To measure the average distance between the quantum states at adjacent mo-

menta, we introduce the quantum volume to characterize the roughness of the manifold composed of the state vectors in the Brillouin zone (BZ). The quantum volume g is defined as

$$g_{2D} = \int d\mathbf{k} \sqrt{\det \text{Re}[\mathbb{B}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})]} \quad (3)$$

where the integral is over the 1st BZ. For a 1D system, Eq.(2) reduces to a rank-1 tensor (a number) as

$$\mathbb{B}(k) = 1 - \langle \dot{u}_k | u_k \rangle \langle u_k | \dot{u}_k \rangle \quad (4)$$

where $\dot{u}_k = du_k/dk$, and the quantum volume $g_{1D} = \int dk \sqrt{\mathbb{B}(k)}$.

In quantum quench dynamics, we observe that the quantum volume increases linearly with time, $g(t) \sim v[\lambda_f]t$, where the growth velocity $v[\lambda_f]$ is dependent on the control parameter λ_f in the final Hamiltonian H_f . If H_f undergo a quantum phase transition at $\lambda_f = \lambda_c$, our key result is that the derivative of $v[\lambda_f]$ demonstrates a universal discontinuity at the quantum critical point:

$$\left. \frac{dv}{d\lambda_f} \right|_{\lambda_f=\lambda_c^+} - \left. \frac{dv}{d\lambda_f} \right|_{\lambda_f=\lambda_c^-} = \mathcal{N}C_d \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{N} is the number of the gap closing points in the 1st BZ, and C_d represents a dimensionless universal constant (the lattice constant is set to be unit), independent on most system details including initial states prior to the quench, the form of Hamiltonian H_f , and its energy spectrum near the critical point. However, it is fundamentally determined by the system dimension d .

$$C_d = \begin{cases} 2\pi, & d = 1 \\ 8, & d = 2 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Subsequently, we elucidate this phenomenon through specific examples in 1D and 2D systems.

1D models – The first model under consideration is the 1D Kitaev chain [40], characterized by a Hamiltonian represented by Eq.(1) in momentum space. Here, $C_k = [c_k, c_{-k}^\dagger]$ denotes the spinless fermionic operator in the Nambu representation, and the Hamiltonian vector is given by [40]

$$\vec{h}(k) = [0, i\Delta \sin k, -J \cos k - \lambda(t)], \quad (7)$$

where J and Δ represent the hopping and pairing amplitudes of fermions on adjacent sites, respectively. For simplicity, we assume $\Delta = J$. The term $\lambda(t)$, signifying the chemical potential, acts as a time-dependent control parameter in this context. Notably, this model facilitates a topological quantum phase transition in its ground state at the critical threshold $\lambda_c = J$, where the gap closes at $k_c = \pi$, and near the critical point, the energy spectrum approximates to $\epsilon_k \sim J|k - k_c|$.

We examine the quench dynamics of the model, initiating from the ground state of the Hamiltonian with a control parameter $\lambda = \lambda_i$. This parameter is abruptly altered to λ_f , and we study the subsequent evolution under the new Hamiltonian. The dynamics of $g_{1D}(t)$, originating from the same initial state but evolving under various λ_f , is depicted in Fig. 1 (a), revealing a linear increase in $g(t)$ with superimposed oscillations. The velocity of growth v , as a function of λ_f , is presented in Fig. 1 (b), displaying a distinct cusp at the quantum critical point $\lambda_f = J$. This cusp signifies a discontinuity in the first-order derivative of $v(\lambda_f)$ at $\lambda_f = \lambda_c$, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1 (b). Noteworthy is Fig. 1 (c), which indicates that the magnitude of the discontinuity $C_1 = 2\pi$ the value is independent on the initial states parameter λ_i .

To analytically derive C_1 , we consider $\lambda_i = 0$ for simplicity (the cases with general initial states are discussed in the supplementary material(SM)[41]). The initial state of the k -th mode is $|u_k^0\rangle = [\sin \frac{k}{2}, i \cos \frac{k}{2}]^T$. At time t , its wavefunction evolves to $|u_k(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathcal{H}kt}|u_k^0\rangle$. The $\mathbb{B}(k, t)$, defined in Eq. (4), is given by:

$$\mathbb{B}(k, t) = A_k(t) + B_k(t)t + R_k t^2 \quad (8)$$

where the time-dependent coefficients $A_k(t)$ and $B_k(t)$ are functions of $\cos \epsilon_k t$ and $\sin \epsilon_k t$, thus oscillate with frequency $\epsilon_k = \sqrt{\lambda_f^2 + J^2 + 2\lambda_f J \cos k}$ [41]. The quadratic term in Eq. (8), proportional to t^2 , governs the long-time dynamics, with $R_k = \frac{\lambda_f^4 J^2 \sin^4 k}{\epsilon_k^4}$. Following its definition in 1D, the quantum volume linearly increases over time for sufficiently long periods as $g(t) \sim vt$, where the velocity $v = \sum_k \sqrt{R_k}$. Calculating the momentum integral yields $v(\lambda_f) = \frac{\lambda_f^2 \pi}{J}$ for $\lambda_f \in [0, \lambda_c]$, and $v(\lambda_f) = J\pi$ otherwise. Thus, $C_1 = 2\pi$ is readily verified from its definition in Eq.(5).

For initial states characterized by $\lambda_i \neq 0$, the analytic expression for $v(\lambda_f)$ becomes more intricate. Neverthe-

less, the relationship $C_1 = 2\pi$ remains valid as demonstrated in Ref.[41], indicating its independence from the choice of the initial state. More notably, the universal value of C_1 transcends specific models, provided they feature a gap-closing point within their energy spectrum. Examples include the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model [42] and the free-fermion model with staggered chemical potential (refer to Ref.[41]).

This universal discontinuity stems from the fact the jump at $\lambda_f = \lambda_c$ is attributed to the singularity in $\epsilon_k(\lambda_c)$ at $k = k_c$, consequently, only k -modes close to k_c are important in determining C_d , while other k -modes only contribute to the analytic part of $v[\lambda_c]$. This observation simplifies the analysis to these relevant k -modes, yielding an effective model described by the Hamiltonian vector

$$\vec{h}(k) = [Jk^n, 0, \lambda], \quad k \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda], \quad (9)$$

where Λ represents the ultraviolet cutoff in momentum space. Here, n is an integer, with $n = 1$ characterizing the Dirac fermion with mass λ , corresponding to a low-energy effective depiction of the previously discussed models. The energy spectrum gap, $\epsilon_k = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + J^2 k^{2n}}$, vanishes at $k_c = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, where $\epsilon_k \sim |k|^n$. Subsequently, we will demonstrate the independence of C_1 from this spectral function. For brevity, we consider a simple initial state as the ground state of Eq.(9) with $\lambda_i = \infty$, while the analysis of other initial states is elaborated in the SM [41]. The growth rate is given by

$$v(\lambda_f) = \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{nJ^3 |k|^{3n-1}}{\lambda_f^2 + J^2 k^{2n}} = 2\Lambda^n J - 2\lambda_f \arctan \frac{\Lambda^n J}{\lambda_f} \quad (10)$$

From Eq.(10), differentiation with respect to λ_f yields the jump value at $\lambda_f = 0$ as $C_1 = 2\pi$. The independence of C_1 from the value of n indicates that this universal jump is also insensitive to the spectrum function close to the quantum critical point.

2D models – Generalizing these results to 2D systems is straightforward. We consider the Qi-Wu-Zhang (QWZ) model [43] as an example, with its Hamiltonian vector defined as:

$$\vec{h}_{QWZ}(\mathbf{k}) = [J \sin k_x, J \sin k_y, J(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + \lambda] \quad (11)$$

where $(k_x, k_y) \in (-\pi, \pi]$. This model exhibits topological quantum phase transitions at $\lambda = 0, \pm 2J$ separately. In the cases of $\lambda = 0$, there are two gap closing points in the 1st BZ $\mathcal{N} = 2$: $\mathbf{k}_c^1 = (0, \pi)$ and $\mathbf{k}_c^2 = (\pi, 0)$, while for $\lambda = 2J(-2J)$, there is only one gap closing point $\mathcal{N} = 1$ at $\mathbf{k}_c = (0, 0)$ ($\mathbf{k}_c = (\pi, \pi)$). Analogous to the 1D scenario, we initialize the system in the ground state of the Hamiltonian. (11) with $\lambda = \lambda_i$, then abruptly change the parameter to λ_f and examine the subsequent evolution of the quantum volume $g(t)$. Similar to the 1D case, $g(t)$ demonstrates linear growth over time, as depicted in Fig. 2 (a). From this, we derive the growth velocity $v(\lambda_f)$ and evaluate its derivative with respect to λ_f . Fig. 2(b) and (c) indicate that the universal jump values at $\lambda_f = 0$




FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Quantum volume $g(t)$ for the 2D Qi-Wu-Zhang model in the quantum quench dynamics starting from the same initial states with $\lambda_i = -0.5J$, but evolving under the Hamiltonian with different λ_f . (b) and (c) $\frac{dv}{d\lambda_f}$ as a function of λ_f around the different quantum critical points at (b) $\lambda_f = -2J$ and (c) $\lambda_f = 0$. The number of the gap closing points in the 1st BZ is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ ($\mathcal{N} = 2$) for the critical point at $\lambda_f = -2J$ ($\lambda_f = 0$).

and $\lambda_f = -2J$ satisfy Eq.(5) with $C_2 = 8$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2(1)$ for $\lambda_f = 0(-2J)$.

To ascertain that C_2 remains invariant across different models, we investigate a Boron-Nitride-like lattice, characterized by a honeycomb lattice with staggered chemical potential on alternating sublattice sites. The Hamiltonian vector for the free fermion model on such a lattice is given by:

$$\vec{h}_{BN}(\mathbf{k}) = [J(\cos k_x + 2 \cos \frac{k_x}{2} \cos \frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2}), J(\sin k_x + 2 \sin \frac{k_x}{2} \cos \frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2}), \lambda] \quad (12)$$

Here, the staggered chemical potential λ acts as the mass term for Dirac fermions. The gap closes at $\lambda = 0$ at the two Dirac points $\mathbf{k}_c^1 = (0, \frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}})$; $\mathbf{k}_c^2 = (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}})$ in the 1st BZ. Both phases flanking the critical points are topologically trivial. Fig. 3 confirms that $C_2 = 8$ with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ for this model, indicating that the universal jump is not necessarily linked to a topological quantum critical point, but is universal for general models with gap closing in its energy spectrum.

The universal constant C_2 can also be understood through a low-energy effective model characterized by the Hamiltonian vector:

$$\vec{h}(\mathbf{k}) = [k_x, k_y, \lambda], \quad |k| \leq \Lambda, \quad (13)$$

and the energy spectrum $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda) = \sqrt{|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \lambda^2}$. At the ground state, this model undergoes a third-order quantum phase transition at $\lambda = 0$ [44]. Beginning with the initial state where $\lambda_i = \infty$, the growth velocity can be calculated as:

$$v(\lambda_f) = \int_0^\Lambda k dk \frac{2k^2}{(\lambda_f^2 + k^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{2[|\lambda_f| - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda_f)]^2}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda_f)} \quad (14)$$




FIG. 3: (Color online) In the quantum quench dynamics of a fermionic model in a BN like lattice, $\frac{dv}{d\lambda_f}$ as a function of λ_f starting from initial states with different λ_i , which also exhibit universal jump at $\lambda_f = 0$.

The singularity in $v(\lambda_f)$ arises due to the $|\lambda_f|$ term in Eq. (14). From its definition in Eq. (5), the discontinuity at $\lambda_f = 0$ yields a jump value of $C_2 = 8$.

Conclusion and outlook – In conclusion, we demonstrate that quantum criticality can govern the non-equilibrium dynamics far from the ground state and induce universal dynamical behavior. Further developments include extending these results to interacting fermionic models. Although defining a quantum metric for this case is challenging, recent progress about interacting systems with well-resolved quasi-particle poles [45] have shed light on this issue. Another significant direction involves rigorously proving the universal jump value and investigating its potential topological or geometrical

origins, which would deepen our understanding of this universal phenomena.

Acknowledgments.— This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFA0309000), NSFC of China (Grant No.12174251), Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (Grant No.22ZR142830), Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (Grant No.2019SHZDZX01).

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

† Electronic address: zcai@sytu.edu.cn

- [1] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [2] P. Coleman and A. J. Schofield, *Nature* **433**, 226 (2005).
- [3] E. G. Dalla Torre, E. Demler, T. Giamarchi, and E. Altman, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 806 (2010).
- [4] S. Sachdev and A. P. Young, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2220 (1997).
- [5] W. H. Zurek, U. Dorner, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 105701 (2005).
- [6] J. Dziarmaga, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 245701 (2005).
- [7] B. Damski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 035701 (2005).
- [8] T. W. B. Kibble, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **9**, 1387 (1976).
- [9] W. Zurek, *Nature* **317**, 505 (1985).
- [10] P. Titum and M. F. Maghrebi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 040602 (2020).
- [11] A. De, P. Cook, K. Collins, W. Morong, D. Paz, P. Titum, G. Pagano, A. V. Gorshkov, M. Maghrebi, and C. Monroe, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2309.10856 (2023), 2309.10856.
- [12] R. Resta, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **79**, 121 (2011).
- [13] J. P. Provost and G. Vallee, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **76**, 289 (1980).
- [14] S. Matsuura and S. Ryu, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 245113 (2010).
- [15] Y.-Q. Ma, S. Chen, H. Fan, and W.-M. Liu, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 245129 (2010).
- [16] A. Bohm, A. Mostafazadeh, H. Ko, Q. Niu, and J. Zwanziger, *The Geometric Phase in Quantum Systems* (Springer, Berlin, 2003).
- [17] C.-R. Yi, J. Yu, H. Yuan, R.-H. Jiao, Y.-M. Yang, X. Jiang, J.-Y. Zhang, S. Chen, and J.-W. Pan, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, L032016 (2023).
- [18] M. Yu, P. Yang, M. Gong, Q. Cao, Q. Lu, H. Liu, S. Zhang, M. B. Plenio, F. Jelezko, T. Ozawa, et al., *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **7**, 254 (2019).
- [19] W. Zheng, J. Xu, Z. Ma, Y. Li, Y. Dong, Y. Zhang, X. Wang, G. Sun, P. Wu, J. Zhao, et al., *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **39**, 100202 (2022).
- [20] S. Peotta and P. Törmä, *Nat. Comms* **6**, 8944 (2015).
- [21] A. Julku, S. Peotta, T. I. Vanhala, D.-H. Kim, and P. Törmä, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 045303 (2016).
- [22] S. Peotta, K.-E. Huhtinen, and P. Törmä, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2308.08248 (2023), 2308.08248.
- [23] H. Tian, X. Gao, Y. Z. abd Shi Che, T. Xu, P. Cheung, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Randeria, F. Zhang, C. N. Lau, et al., *Nature* **641**, 440 (2023).
- [24] A. de Jesús Espinosa-Champo and G. G. Naumis, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **36**, 015502 (2023).
- [25] A. Gianfrate, O. Bleu, L. Dominici, V. Ardizzone, M. D. Giorgi, D. Ballarini, G. Lerario, K. West, L. N. Pfeiffer, D. D. Solnyshkov, et al., *Nature* **578**, 381 (2020).
- [26] C. Wang, Y. Gao, and D. Xiao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 277201 (2021).
- [27] A. Gao, Y.-F. Liu, J.-X. Qiu, B. Ghosh, T. V. Trevisan, Y. Onishi, C. Hu, T. Qian, H.-J. Tien, S.-W. Chen, et al., *Science* **381**, 181 (2023).
- [28] S. L. Braunstein and C. M. Caves, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 3439 (1994).
- [29] P. Zanardi, P. Giorda, and M. Cozzini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 100603 (2007).
- [30] P. Hauke, M. Heyl, L. Tagliacozzo, and P. Zoller, *Nature Physics* **12**, 778 (2016).
- [31] J. Yu, C. J. Ciccarino, R. Bianco, I. Errea, P. Narang, and B. A. Bernevig, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2305.02340 (2023), 2305.02340.
- [32] S. A. Parameswaran, R. Roy, and S. L. Sondhi, *C.R. Phys.* **14**, 816 (2013).
- [33] T. Neupert, C. Chamon, T. Iadecola, L. Santos, and C. Mudry, *Phys. Scr.* **2015**, 014005 (2015).
- [34] B. Mera and T. Ozawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 045104 (2021).
- [35] B. Mera and T. Ozawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 115160 (2021).
- [36] P. Törmä, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 240001 (2023).
- [37] T. Barthel and U. Schollwöck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 100601 (2008).
- [38] P. Calabrese, F. H. L. Essler, and M. Fagotti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 227203 (2011).
- [39] A. Mitra, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **9**, 245 (2018).
- [40] A. Kitaev, *Phys.-Usp.* **44**, 131 (2001).
- [41] See the supplementary material for an elaboration of the fractal cluster dimension, as well as a comparison between the ground states within the single-cluster subspace and subspaces with other cluster numbers.
- [42] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1698 (1979).
- [43] X.-L. Qi, Y.-S. Wu, and S.-C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 085308 (2006).
- [44] Z. Cai, S. Chen, S. Kou, and Y. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 035123 (2008).
- [45] A. Kruchkov and S. Ryu, arXiv e-prints arXiv:2312.17318 (2023), 2312.17318.