

# HAMILTONIAN INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS IN A MAGNETIC FIELD AND SYMPLECTIC-HAANTJES GEOMETRY

ONDŘEJ KUBŮ, DANIEL REYES, PIERGIULIO TEMPESTA, AND GIORGIO TONDO

ABSTRACT. We investigate the geometry of classical Hamiltonian systems immersed in a magnetic field in three-dimensional Riemannian configuration spaces. We prove that these systems admit non-trivial symplectic-Haantjes manifolds, which are symplectic manifolds endowed with an algebra of Haantjes (1,1)-tensors. These geometric structures allow us to determine separation variables for known systems algorithmically; besides, the underlying Stäckel geometry is used to construct new families of integrable Hamiltonian models immersed in a magnetic field.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Haantjes geometry and integrable systems	3
2.1. Nijenhuis and Haantjes operators	4
2.2. Haantjes algebras	4
2.3. The symplectic-Haantjes manifolds	5
2.4. Haantjes chains	5
2.5. Construction of DH coordinates	5
2.6. Separation of variables in the Haantjes geometry	6
2.7. Generalized Stäckel systems and symplectic-Haantjes manifolds	7
2.8. Classical Stäckel systems	7
2.9. Magnetic fields and Riemannian geometry	8
3. Separable systems with magnetic field in cylindrical coordinates	8
4. Superintegrability and multiseparability	13
4.1. A superintegrable model in a constant magnetic field	14
4.2. The helical undulator	17
5. Relation with the Stäckel geometry: The AKN systems	19
5.1. Stäckel analysis for the system in a constant magnetic field	19
5.2. Stäckel analysis for the helical undulator	20
6. New families of magnetic systems on a Riemannian space	21
Acknowledgement	23
References	23

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to study classical integrable and superintegrable Hamiltonian models in a magnetic field employing a new geometric perspective

---

*Date:* Jun 3, 2024.

based on the theoretical framework of Haantjes geometry. Haantjes tensors [13], which have been introduced as a generalization of Nijenhuis tensors [31], can be used to define a natural geometric framework for classical Hamiltonian mechanics. On the one hand, the relevance of our approach resides in the fact that the geometric structures we propose shed new light on the properties of known physical systems; on the other hand, it allows to define new magnetic models from the underlying geometric structure.

The general class of models we are interested in is described by a Hamiltonian of the form (in units  $e = -1, m = 1$ )

$$(1.1) \quad H = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}(p_i + A_i)(p_j + A_j) + V(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}p_i p_j + A^i p_i + U(\mathbf{q}),$$

which is defined on a Riemannian manifold  $(Q, g)$  where  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $Q$ , and  $\mathbf{q} = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$ ,  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  are the local coordinates on  $T^*Q$ , which are canonically conjugated. In this article, we shall focus on the case  $n = 3$ . Also,  $A$  is a smooth vector field (the vector potential),  $B = \nabla \times A$  is the magnetic field associated with the model,  $V(\mathbf{q})$  is the scalar potential and

$$(1.2) \quad U := \frac{1}{2}A^i A_i + V.$$

A finite-dimensional Hamiltonian system is superintegrable if it is Liouville integrable and allows more independent integrals of motion than degrees of freedom [30, 40, 29, 18]. The investigation of integrable Hamiltonian models immersed in magnetic fields, or with velocity-dependent potentials, started in the 1960s with the study of certain systems with a magnetic monopole [43, 27, 16, 22, 29]. A more systematic search in the two-dimensional (2D) Euclidean space was initiated in the 1980s with the study of systems admitting first-degree integrals in the momenta [9]; for second-degree integrals the analysis was pursued in the 2000s, leading to a partial classification [28, 5, 7, 32, 33]. The analysis in the three-dimensional (3D) Euclidean space, started with the work [26], is still in progress (see e.g. [15, 21] and references therein). Separability on curved Riemannian spaces has also been considered (see e.g. [3]). Recently, in [4] a novel approach for the study of the orbits of superintegrable systems in a magnetic field, based on Lie group theory, has been proposed.

The theory of classical integrable systems has been formulated in a tensorial perspective in [24] and in [10] using the Nijenhuis geometry (see also [25]). In this context, the relevant notion of  $\omega N$  manifolds, namely symplectic manifolds endowed with a distinguished Nijenhuis tensor, has been introduced and the theory of separation of variables (SoV) formulated. More recently, in [23], the relevance of Haantjes tensors in the theory of integrable systems has been enlightened, and the concept of Haantjes manifold has been introduced. Motivated by this research, in [41, 39] the notion of symplectic-Haantjes manifold has been proposed as another natural geometric scenario where the theory of separation of variables for Hamiltonian systems can also be formulated. Essentially, a symplectic-Haantjes (or  $\omega\mathcal{H}$ ) manifold is a symplectic manifold endowed with a Haantjes algebra of tensor fields, which are required to be compatible with the symplectic form. A comparison between the Haantjes manifold and the  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  approaches has been proposed in [19]. The theory of Haantjes tensors has also been related to the well-known class of hydrodynamic-type systems [11] and with the kinetic gas theory [12]. However, to

our knowledge, Hamiltonian models immersed in a magnetic field were not studied before in the context of Nijenhuis or Haantjes geometries.

The main idea of this work is to study the interplay between magnetic systems and their underlying geometry. In the first part of the paper, we shall consider families of integrable Hamiltonian systems immersed in a magnetic field, which are of physical interest, and we unravel their underlying geometric structure. More precisely, we shall construct the symplectic-Haantjes manifolds associated with the magnetic systems under investigation. Thus, we prove that the Darboux-Haantjes (DH) coordinates for these geometric structures provide coordinate charts where the Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) equations associated with our systems separate into systems of ODEs (*total separability*), or ODEs and PDEs (*partial separability*). Also, we shall prove that there is a direct relation between the Haantjes geometry and the Stäckel theory of separability. We stress that, in contrast to the usual methods based on the configuration space separation, the full generality of the Haantjes geometry, directly defined on the phase space, bypasses the necessity of fixing from the very beginning a specific gauge for the associated vector potentials, usually done in an ad hoc manner. Indeed, it is the geometry of the full phase space that naturally determines the gauge needed for separation.

In the second part of the paper, we go back from geometry to magnetic systems. We will suitably generalize the Haantjes and Stäckel geometries determined in the first part in order to construct new families of integrable Hamiltonian systems, defined on Riemannian manifolds, immersed in suitable magnetic fields.

In summary, we have two main results: first, we prove that the Haantjes geometry is a very useful tool for studying the separability of magnetic systems; second, we use the obtained Haantjes-Stäckel geometric structures to define new large families of integrable models parametrized by arbitrary functions, which after a suitable canonical transformation are interpreted as magnetic systems on curved spaces.

A novel observation is that we can regard our new magnetic models as generalized Stäckel systems in the sense of Arnold-Kozlov-Neistadt [1]. This important class of Hamiltonian integrable models possesses an interesting geometry [41], which will be fundamental in the construction of the new models.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we revise some basic notions of the Nijenhuis and Haantjes geometries, in particular the construction of symplectic-Haantjes manifolds. In Section 3, we derive general systems that are separable in cylindrical coordinates by means of a geometric approach, which also provides us with the associated Haantjes algebras. In section 4, we analyze thoroughly the case of a system in a constant magnetic field. From its superintegrable structure, we were able to determine three different symplectic-Haantjes manifolds, each related to a different separation coordinate system. Another superintegrable model of physical interest, the helical undulator, is also analyzed. In Section 5, utilizing the Jacobi-Sklyanin separation equations (SE), we construct the Stäckel geometry associated with these superintegrable models. In Section 6, we generalize it to define new classes of Hamiltonian models in a magnetic field by solving an inverse problem.

## 2. HAANTJES GEOMETRY AND INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

The purpose of this section is to review some of the basic definitions and results of the theory of symplectic-Haantjes manifolds for Hamiltonian integrable systems, which are crucial for the analysis of magnetic systems. Also, we shall revise the

connection between Stäckel and Haantjes geometries, which is instrumental for the construction of new magnetic models presented in Section 6. A more detailed discussion can be found in the original papers [31, 13] and in [38, 39, 41, 34].

**2.1. Nijenhuis and Haantjes operators.** Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $M$  and  $\mathbf{K} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  a smooth  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field. In the following, all tensors will be considered to be smooth.

**Definition 1.** *The Nijenhuis torsion of  $\mathbf{K}$  is the vector-valued 2-form defined by*

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_{\mathbf{K}}(X, Y) := \mathbf{K}^2[X, Y] + [\mathbf{K}X, \mathbf{K}Y] - \mathbf{K}([X, \mathbf{K}Y] + [\mathbf{K}X, Y]),$$

where  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the commutator of two vector fields.

**Definition 2.** *The Haantjes torsion associated with  $\mathbf{K}$  is the vector-valued 2-form defined by*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{K}}(X, Y) := \mathbf{K}^2\tau_{\mathbf{K}}(X, Y) + \tau_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{K}X, \mathbf{K}Y) - \mathbf{K}(\tau_{\mathbf{K}}(X, \mathbf{K}Y) + \tau_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{K}X, Y)).$$

**2.2. Haantjes algebras.** Another crucial notion is that of Haantjes algebras, which has been introduced in [38] and further studied and generalized in [36].

**Definition 3.** *A Haantjes algebra is a pair  $(M, \mathcal{H})$  satisfying the following properties:*

- $M$  is a differentiable manifold of dimension  $n$ ;
- $\mathcal{H}$  is a set of Haantjes operators  $\mathbf{K} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Also, they generate:
  - a free module over the ring of smooth functions on  $M$ :

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_{(f\mathbf{K}_1 + g\mathbf{K}_2)}(X, Y) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M), \quad \forall \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \in \mathcal{H};$$

– a ring w.r.t. the composition operation

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_{(\mathbf{K}_1\mathbf{K}_2)}(X, Y) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

If

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{K}_1\mathbf{K}_2 = \mathbf{K}_2\mathbf{K}_1, \quad \forall \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \in \mathcal{H},$$

the algebra  $(M, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be an Abelian Haantjes algebra.

In essence, we can think of  $\mathcal{H}$  as an associative algebra of Haantjes operators.

**Remark 1.** A fundamental fact concerning Abelian Haantjes algebras is the existence of a web of *Haantjes coordinates*, allowing us to write simultaneously all  $\mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{H}$  in a block-diagonal form. In particular, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is an algebra of semisimple operators, then in Haantjes coordinates, all  $\mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{H}$  acquire a diagonal form [38].

In the forthcoming considerations, given an operator  $\mathbf{K}$ , we shall denote by  $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{K}) := \{\lambda_1(\mathbf{q}), \dots, \lambda_s(\mathbf{q})\}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , the set of the pointwise distinct eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{K}$ , assumed by default to be *real*. The distribution of all the generalized eigenvector fields associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\mathbf{q})$  will be denoted by

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{D}_i := \ker\left(\mathbf{K} - \lambda_i\mathbf{I}\right)^{\rho_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s,$$

where  $\rho_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  is the Riesz index of  $\lambda_i$  (which by hypothesis will always be taken to be independent of  $\mathbf{q}$ ). The value  $\rho = 1$  characterizes the *proper eigen-distributions*, namely the eigen-distributions of proper eigenvector fields of  $\mathbf{K}$ .

**2.3. The symplectic-Haantjes manifolds.** Symplectic-Haantjes (or  $\omega\mathcal{H}$ ) manifolds are symplectic manifolds endowed with an algebra of Haantjes operators compatible with the symplectic form. Apart from their mathematical properties, these geometric structures are relevant because they provide a simple but sufficiently general setting in which we can naturally formulate the theory of Hamiltonian integrable systems.

**Definition 4.** *A symplectic-Haantjes (or  $\omega\mathcal{H}$ ) manifold of class  $m$  is a triple  $(M, \omega, \mathcal{H})$  which satisfies the following properties:*

- (i)  $(M, \omega)$  is a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{H}$  is an Abelian Haantjes algebra of rank  $m$ ;
- (iii)  $(\omega, \mathcal{H})$  are algebraically compatible, that is

$$(2.7) \quad \omega(X, \mathbf{K}Y) = \omega(\mathbf{K}X, Y) \quad \forall \mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**2.4. Haantjes chains.** The theory of Magri chains (also known as Lenard chains) is a fundamental piece of the geometric approach to soliton hierarchies. Magri chains have been introduced to construct integrals of motion in involution for infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems. The related notion of Haantjes chains, defined in [39] and in [41], will be extensively used in the forthcoming analysis.

**Definition 5.** *Let  $(M, \mathcal{H})$  be a Haantjes algebra of rank  $m$ . We shall say that a smooth function  $H$  generates a Haantjes chain of closed 1-forms of length  $m$  if there exists a basis  $\{\mathbf{K}_1, \dots, \mathbf{K}_m\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$(2.8) \quad d(\mathbf{K}_\alpha^T dH) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\mathbf{K}_\alpha^T : \mathfrak{X}^*(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^*(M)$  is the transposed operator of  $\mathbf{K}_\alpha$ . The (locally) exact 1-forms

$$(2.9) \quad dH_\alpha = \mathbf{K}_\alpha^T dH,$$

which are supposed to be linearly independent, are the elements of the Haantjes chain of length  $m$  generated by  $H$ . The functions  $H_\alpha$  are said to be the potential functions of the chain.

**Lemma 1.** [39] *Let  $(M, \omega, \mathcal{H})$  be an Abelian  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  manifold. Then, the potential functions  $H_\alpha$ , whose differentials belong to all Haantjes chains generated by a single function  $H$ , are in involution among each other and with  $H$ , w.r.t. the Poisson bracket associated to the symplectic form  $\omega$ .*

**2.5. Construction of DH coordinates.** We can diagonalize algebras of semisimple Haantjes operators by constructing a suitable coordinate chart. To this aim, we propose the following procedure [38, 39, 36].

- 1) Given an algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , determine the nontrivial intersections  $\mathcal{V}_a$  of the eigen-distributions of its operators (joint eigen-distributions).
- 2) For each of the annihilators  $(\mathcal{V}_a)^\circ$ , construct a basis of closed one-forms.
- 3) Find the characteristic coordinates by integrating them and imposing the canonical commutation relations.

The coordinate chart so determined represents a set of DH coordinates for the Haantjes operators of  $\mathcal{H}$ , namely they simultaneously diagonalize all the operators of  $\mathcal{H}$  and are Darboux coordinates for the (compatible) symplectic form.

**2.6. Separation of variables in the Haantjes geometry.** A relevant result is Benenti's Theorem [2], stating that a family of Hamiltonian functions  $(H_1, \dots, H_n)$  are separable in a set of canonical coordinates  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  if and only if they satisfy the relations

$$(2.10) \quad \{H_i, H_j\}|_k = \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial q^k} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial q^k} = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

where no summation over  $k$  is understood. In this case, we shall say that the Hamiltonians  $(H_1, \dots, H_n)$  are in *total separable involution* (or  $\mathcal{T}$ -involution). The main results concerning the separability of a Hamiltonian system in the context of Haantjes geometry can be summarized as follows.

**Theorem 1** (Jacobi-Haantjes, [34]). *Let  $M$  be an Abelian semisimple  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  manifold of class  $n$  and  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$  be a set of  $C^\infty(M)$  functions belonging to a Haantjes chain generated by a function  $H \in C^\infty(M)$  via a basis of operators  $\{\mathbf{K}_1, \dots, \mathbf{K}_n\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then, each set  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  of DH coordinates provides us with separation variables for the Hamilton–Jacobi equation associated with each function  $H_j$ .*

*Conversely, if  $M$  is a symplectic manifold and  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$  are  $n$  independent,  $C^\infty(M)$  functions in total separable involution in a set of Darboux coordinates  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ , then they belong to the Haantjes chain generated by the operators*

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{K}_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H_\alpha}{\partial p_i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \otimes dq^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \otimes dp_i \right), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $H$  is any of the functions  $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ , with  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . These operators generate a semisimple  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  structure on  $M$ .

Notice that in the first implication of the previous theorem, the set of functions  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n\}$  is in involution by virtue of Lemma 1.

**Example 1.** Let us consider the Hamiltonian function (1.1) and assume it is independent of the coordinate  $q^a$  (i.e.,  $q^a$  is ignorable). Then, as is well known, the conjugate momenta  $p_a$  is an integral of motion, as it is in involution with  $H$ . More precisely, it is in total separable involution with  $H$ . In such a situation, we can write down a Haantjes operator  $\mathbf{K}_a$  such that  $\mathbf{K}_a^T dH = dp_a$ . We obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbf{K}_a = \frac{1}{g^{ai}(p_i + A_i(\mathbf{q}))} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} \otimes dq^a + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a} \otimes dp_a \right).$$

In fact, from Eq. (2.11) it follows immediately

$$\lambda_b^{(a)} = \frac{\frac{\partial H_a}{\partial p_b}}{\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_b}} = \frac{\delta_{ab}}{g^{bj}(p_j + A_j)},$$

where  $H_a := p_a$ .

We propose here a new result, which completes and generalizes a statement of [34] on *multiseparable Hamiltonian systems*, i.e., systems admitting more than one set of separation coordinates.

**Proposition 1.** *An integrable Hamiltonian system possesses as many inequivalent separation coordinate systems as the number of independent semisimple  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  manifolds of class  $n$  that it admits. Also, for each semisimple  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  manifold of class  $r < n$ , there exists an associated set of coordinates assuring partial separation of the  $HJ$  equations admitted by the Hamiltonian system.*

This result is a direct consequence of Corollary 1 in [34] and of Theorem 5 in [35].

**2.7. Generalized Stäckel systems and symplectic-Haantjes manifolds.** A large class of generalized Stäckel systems was introduced by Arnold, Kozlov, and Neishtadt (AKN) in [1]. As proved in [41], we can describe these systems in the framework of the symplectic-Haantjes geometry. Indeed, let us consider the AKN Hamiltonian functions

$$(2.13) \quad H_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\tilde{S}_{jk}}{\det(S)} f_k(q^k, p_k), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $S_{ij}$  are the elements of a Stäckel matrix  $S(\mathbf{q})$  (i.e., an invertible matrix whose  $i$ -th row depends on the coordinate  $q^i$  only),  $\tilde{S}_{jk}$  denotes the cofactor of the element  $S_{kj}$ ;  $f_k(q^k, p_k)$  are called the *Stäckel functions*. Thus, the Haantjes chains

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{K}_j^T dH_1 = dH_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

admit as solutions the Haantjes operators defined by

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{K}_j := \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\tilde{S}_{jr}}{\tilde{S}_{1r}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q^r} \otimes dq^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_r} \otimes dp_r \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Remark 2.** The Haantjes operators (2.15) are independent of the Stäckel functions  $f_k(q^k, p_k)$ .

An interesting property of the Haantjes operators associated with an AKN system is that they can be projected along the fibers of  $T^*Q$  by means of the canonical projection map  $\pi : T^*Q \rightarrow Q, (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \mapsto \mathbf{q}$ . We have the following

**Proposition 2.** *The Haantjes operators (2.15) can be projected along the fibers of  $T^*Q$  onto the operators*

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_j := \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\tilde{S}_{jr}}{\tilde{S}_{1r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^r} \otimes dq^r, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

For the classical Stäckel systems, such operators represent Killing tensors, as we shall clarify below.

**2.8. Classical Stäckel systems.** A particular, but important case is that of classical separable Stäckel systems. They arise from Eq. (2.13) when the Stäckel functions are quadratic in the momenta:

$$(2.17) \quad f_k := \frac{1}{2} p_k^2 + W_k(q^k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

With this choice, we obtain the Hamiltonian function

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n g^{jj}(\mathbf{q}) p_j^2 + V(\mathbf{q}),$$

where the functions  $g^{jj}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\tilde{S}_{1j}}{\det(S)}$  can be regarded as the diagonal components of the inverse of a metric tensor  $g$  over the configuration space  $Q$ :

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbf{G} := \sum_{j=1}^n g^{jj} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}.$$

Besides,  $V(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n g^{jj} W_j$  is the corresponding potential energy. Using metric (2.18), we can define the contravariant form of the diagonal Haantjes operators (2.16).

**Remark 3.** It is also noteworthy that the tensor fields (2.16) are Killing tensors for the metric (2.18) and are in involution with respect to the Schouten bracket of two symmetric contravariant tensors.

**2.9. Magnetic fields and Riemannian geometry.** Let us recall some notions that we will use in what follows.

**Definition 6.** Let  $Q$  be a Riemannian manifold, and  $\mathbf{G}$  be the inverse of its metric tensor. It defines the  $C^\infty(Q)$ -linear map (denoted, with a slight abuse of notation, by  $\mathbf{G}$ ) and defined by  $\mathbf{G} : \mathfrak{X}^*(Q) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q), \alpha \mapsto X = \mathbf{G} \alpha$  where  $X$  is the unique vector field such that

$$g(X, Y) = \langle \alpha, Y \rangle \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{X}(Q).$$

Such isomorphism that maps 1-forms onto vector fields is also denoted as  $X = (\alpha)^\sharp$ . The inverse of such isomorphism  $\mathbf{G}^{-1} : \mathfrak{X}(Q) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^*(Q), X \mapsto \alpha = \mathbf{G}^{-1} X$  is also denoted as  $\alpha = X^\flat$ .

Let us recall that, in a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold, the Hodge star operator  $* : \Lambda(Q)^k \rightarrow \Lambda(Q)^{3-k}$  evaluated on the basis two-forms gives us

$$(2.19) \quad *(dq^i \wedge dq^j) = \iota_{\mathbf{G}dq^j} \iota_{\mathbf{G}dq^i} \sqrt{\det(g)} dq^1 \wedge dq^2 \wedge dq^3,$$

where  $\iota_X$  denotes the interior product of a  $k$ -form with a vector field.

If  $A$  denotes the vector field of the magnetic potential, the magnetic vector field can be defined as  $B := \nabla \times A = (*d(A)^\flat)^\sharp = \mathbf{G} * d(\mathbf{G}^{-1} A)$ . In general curvilinear coordinates  $(q^1, q^2, q^3)$ , we can compute  $B$  through the formula

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla \times A = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \begin{vmatrix} h_1 \vec{e}_1 & h_2 \vec{e}_2 & h_3 \vec{e}_3 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3} \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

where  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3})$  are the vector fields of the natural reference frame,  $h_i = \sqrt{g_{ii}}$  their modules and  $(\vec{e}_1 = \frac{1}{h_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \vec{e}_2 = \frac{1}{h_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^2}, \vec{e}_3 = \frac{1}{h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^3})$  the unit vector fields in the same directions. In the following, we shall denote by  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  the components of a vector field  $A(q^1, q^2, q^3)$  along the basis of the gradients  $(\nabla q^1 = \mathbf{G} dq^1, \nabla q^2 = \mathbf{G} dq^2, \nabla q^3 = \mathbf{G} dq^3)$ .

### 3. SEPARABLE SYSTEMS WITH MAGNETIC FIELD IN CYLINDRICAL COORDINATES

In this section, we shall determine the symplectic-Haantjes manifolds associated with a large class of magnetic systems in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ : those separating in cylindrical coordinates. Our strategy will be based on some natural ansätze together with the Jacobi-Haantjes Theorem 1.



In cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \varphi, z)$ , the Euclidean metric reads  $g = \text{diag}[1, r^2, 1]$  and the Hamiltonian (1.1) takes the form

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (p_r + A_r(r, \varphi, z))^2 + \frac{(p_\varphi + A_\varphi(r, \varphi, z))^2}{r^2} + (p_z + A_z(r, \varphi, z))^2 \right] + V(r, \varphi, z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \Pi_r^2 + \frac{\Pi_\varphi^2}{r^2} + \Pi_z^2 \right) + V(r, \varphi, z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pi_r := p_r + A_r(r, \varphi, z)$ ,  $\Pi_\varphi := p_\varphi + A_\varphi(r, \varphi, z)$  and  $\Pi_z := p_z + A_z(r, \varphi, z)$  and  $(A_r, A_\varphi, A_z)$  are respectively, the components of the linear momentum  $\Pi = v$  and the vector magnetic potential  $A$ , along the reference frame  $(\nabla r, \nabla\varphi, \nabla z)$ . From Eq. (2.20) we obtain the expression of the magnetic field:

$$(3.2) \quad B(r, \varphi, z) = \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial z} \right) \vec{e}_r + \left( \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} \right) \vec{e}_\varphi + \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \varphi} \right) \vec{e}_z,$$

where  $(\vec{e}_r, \vec{e}_\varphi, \vec{e}_z)$  are the unit vectors tangent to the coordinate lines. The Haantjes algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{cyl}$ , associated with the cylindrical web, is generated by the set of Haantjes (Nijenhuis) operators

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{L}_1 := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \otimes dr + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_r} \otimes dp_r \right),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{L}_2 := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \otimes d\varphi + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\varphi} \otimes dp_\varphi \right),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{L}_3 := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \otimes dz + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z} \otimes dp_z \right).$$

In the Cartesian frame  $(x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z)$ , they take the matrix form

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{L}_1 = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} x^2 & xy & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ xy & y^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & x p_y - y p_x & 0 & x^2 & xy & 0 \\ -(x p_y - y p_x) & 0 & 0 & xy & y^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{L}_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} y^2 & -xy & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -xy & x^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -(x p_y - y p_x) & 0 & y^2 & -xy & 0 \\ x p_y - y p_x & 0 & 0 & -xy & x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{L}_3 = \text{diag}[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1].$$

Note that they can also be obtained using the complete Yano lift [42, 14] of, respectively, the evaluation of the Euler tensor at the equatorial plane  $z = 0$  (up to the factor  $(x^2 + y^2)^{-1}$ ) and the inertia tensor (in  $\mathbb{E}^2$ ) of a single particle [38].

We obtained three cases of general systems in a magnetic field separable in cylindrical coordinates.

Case 1. Let us look for an integrable system that admits as integrals of motion the momenta conjugated to the coordinates  $(\varphi, z)$ :

$$(3.9) \quad H_2 := p_\varphi, \quad H_3 := p_z.$$

With this ansatz, the coordinates  $(\varphi, z)$  are ignorable in the Hamiltonian function (3.1). Therefore,

$$(3.10) \quad A(r) = A_r(r) \nabla r + A_\varphi(r) \nabla \varphi + A_z(r) \nabla z, \quad V = V(r).$$

From Eq. (3.2), we obtain the magnetic field

$$(3.11) \quad B = -A'_z(r) \vec{e}_\varphi + \frac{A'_\varphi(r)}{r} \vec{e}_z,$$

which is tangent to the cylindrical surfaces of the cylindrical web. As it is independent of  $A_r$ , we can set  $A_r = 0$  without loss of generality. In view of Eq. (3.1), the Hamiltonian function of this system turns out to be

$$(3.12) \quad H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{p_\varphi^2}{r^2} + p_z^2 \right) + \frac{A_\varphi(r)}{r^2} p_\varphi + A_z(r) p_z + V(r) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_\varphi^2(r)}{r^2} + A_z^2(r) \right).$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian functions (3.9), (3.12) are not only in involution but even in total separable involution. Then, Eq. (2.12) implies that the corresponding semisimple Haantjes operators that solve the chain equations  $\mathbf{K}_2^T dH = dH_2$  and  $\mathbf{K}_3^T dH = dH_3$  are

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{r^2}{p_\varphi + A_\varphi(r)} \mathbf{L}_2, \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{p_z + A_z(r)} \mathbf{L}_3.$$

Therefore, the Hamilton-Jacobi equations associated to it are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{h_2^2}{r^2} + h_3^2 \right) + \frac{A_\varphi(r)}{r^2} h_2 + A_z(r) h_3 + V(r) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_\varphi^2(r)}{r^2} + A_z^2(r) \right) = h_1, \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi} = h_2, \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} = h_3. \end{cases}$$

By putting  $p_r = \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}$ ,  $p_z = \frac{\partial W}{\partial z}$ ,  $p_\varphi = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi}$  in the previous formulas, one obtains the Jacobi-Skyaanin separation relations.

Since it is separated, we can split  $W$  as  $W(r, \varphi, z) = W_1(r) + W_2(\varphi) + W_3(z)$ . Thus, the resulting system consists of three ODEs, and solving them yields

$$(3.14) \quad W(r, \varphi, z; h_1, h_2, h_3) = h_2 \varphi + h_3 z \pm \int \sqrt{-2 \left( \frac{h_2^2}{2r^2} + \frac{h_3^2}{2} - h_1 + \frac{A_\varphi(r)}{r^2} h_2 + A_z(r) h_3 + U(r) \right)} dr,$$

where  $U(r) = V(r) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_\varphi^2(r)}{r^2} + A_z^2(r) \right)$ ,  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  are the values taken by the integrals of motion  $(H, H_2, H_3)$  on the leaves of the Lagrangian foliation.

Case 2. Let us seek an integrable system possessing the momentum conjugated to the coordinate  $\varphi$  as an integral of motion:

$$(3.15) \quad H_2 := p_\varphi.$$

With this ansatz, the coordinates  $\varphi$  must be ignorable in the Hamiltonian (3.1). This implies that the vector and the scalar potentials are, respectively, of the form

$$(3.16) \quad A(r, z) = A_r(r, z) \nabla r + A_\varphi(r, z) \nabla \varphi + A_z(r, z) \nabla z, \quad V = V(r, z),$$

where the functions  $A_r, A_\varphi, A_z, V$  are smooth arbitrary functions of their arguments. We deduce for the magnetic field the expression

$$(3.17) \quad B = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial z} \vec{e}_r + \left( \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} \right) \vec{e}_\varphi + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} \vec{e}_z,$$

so that the Hamiltonian function turns out to be

$$(3.18) \quad H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{p_\varphi^2}{r^2} + p_z^2 \right) + A_r(r, z) p_r + \frac{A_\varphi(r, z)}{r^2} p_\varphi + A_z(r, z) p_z \\ + V(r, z) + \frac{1}{2} \left( A_r^2(r, z) + \frac{A_\varphi^2(r, z)}{r^2} + A_z^2(r, z) \right),$$

which is in separable involution with the integral (3.15). From Eq. (2.12) we get the semisimple Haantjes operator for the chain  $\mathbf{K}_2^T dH = dH_2$ :

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{r^2}{p_\varphi + A_\varphi(r, z)} \mathbf{L}_2.$$

In order to determine another integral of motion in separable involution with (3.18) and (3.15) and a corresponding Haantjes operator  $\mathbf{K}_3$ , we can assume that  $\varphi$  is ignorable in  $\mathbf{K}_3$  and that, for simplicity, it depends on  $p_\varphi, p_z$  but not on  $p_r$ :

$$\mathbf{K}_3 = \text{diag} [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_1, a_2, a_3],$$

where  $a_i = a_i(r, z, p_\varphi, p_z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By requiring the local exactness condition  $d(\mathbf{K}_3^T dH) = \mathbf{0}$ , we get an overdetermined system of six PDEs in three unknowns. We obtain the particular solution

$$(3.20) \quad A(r, z) = \left( f_2(r) + r^2 f_3(z) \right) \nabla\varphi, \quad B = -r f_3'(z) \vec{e}_r + \left( \frac{f_2'(r)}{r} + 2f_3(z) \right) \vec{e}_z$$

$$(3.21) \quad V = f_5(r) + f_4(z) - \frac{r^2 f_3^2(z)}{2} - f_2(r) f_3(z),$$

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{r^2 f_3(z)}{p_\varphi + A_\varphi} \mathbf{L}_2 + \mathbf{L}_3,$$

$$(3.23) \quad H_3 = \frac{1}{2} p_z^2 + f_3(z) p_\varphi + f_4(z),$$

where  $f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5$  are arbitrary functions.

The complete integral of the set of Hamilton-Jacobi equations is

$$(3.24) \quad W(r, \varphi, z; h_1, h_2, h_3) = h_2 \varphi \pm \int \sqrt{-2 \left( \frac{h_2^2}{2r^2} - h_1 + \frac{f_2(r)}{r^2} h_2 + h_3 + f_6(r) \right)} dr \\ \pm \int \sqrt{-2 (f_3(z) h_2 - h_3 + f_4(z))} dz,$$

where  $f_6(r) = f_5(r) + f_2(r)^2/2r^2$ ,  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  are the values taken by the integrals of motion  $(H, H_2, H_3)$  on the leaves of the Lagrangian foliation.

*Case 3.* Let us proceed as in Case 2, by interchanging  $\varphi$  with  $z$ . To this aim, let us look for a Hamiltonian system admitting the integral

$$(3.25) \quad H_3 := p_z.$$

With this ansatz, the coordinate  $z$  is ignorable in the Hamiltonian function (3.1). This implies that the vector and the scalar potential are of the form

$$(3.26) \quad A(r, \varphi) = A_r(r, \varphi) \nabla r + A_\varphi(r, \varphi) \nabla \varphi + A_z(r, \varphi) \nabla z, \quad V = V(r, \varphi),$$

where the functions  $A_r, A_\varphi, A_z, V$  are arbitrary (smooth) functions of  $r$  and periodic functions of  $\varphi$ . The associated magnetic field is

$$(3.27) \quad B = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \varphi} \vec{e}_r - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} \vec{e}_\varphi + \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \varphi} \right) \vec{e}_z.$$

The Hamiltonian function of this system reads

$$(3.28) \quad H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{p_\varphi^2}{r^2} + p_z^2 \right) + A_r(r, \varphi) p_r + \frac{A_\varphi(r, \varphi)}{r^2} p_\varphi + A_z(r, \varphi) p_z \\ + V(r, \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \left( A_r^2(r, \varphi) + \frac{A_\varphi^2(r, \varphi)}{r^2} + A_z^2(r, \varphi) \right),$$

that is in separable involution with the integral (3.25). From Eq. (2.12) we get that the following semisimple Haantjes operator solves the chain equations  $\mathbf{K}_3^T dH = dH_3$ :

$$(3.29) \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{p_z + A_z(r, \varphi)} \mathbf{L}_3.$$

By analogy with the previous case, we can determine another integral of motion in separable involution with (3.28) and (3.25), and the associated Haantjes operator  $\mathbf{K}_2$  by assuming that  $z$  is ignorable in  $\mathbf{K}_2$  and that it depends on  $p_\varphi, p_z$  but not on  $p_r$ :

$$\mathbf{K}_2 = \text{diag}[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_1, b_2, b_3],$$

where  $b_i = b_i(r, \varphi, p_\varphi, p_z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The local exactness condition  $d(\mathbf{K}_2^T dH) = \mathbf{0}$  provides us with an overdetermined system of PDEs, from which we obtain

$$(3.30) \quad A = \left( f_1(r) + \frac{f_2(\varphi)}{r^2} \right) \nabla z, \quad B = \frac{f_2'(\varphi)}{r^3} \vec{e}_r + \left( -f_1'(r) + 2 \frac{f_2(\varphi)}{r^3} \right) \vec{e}_\varphi,$$

$$(3.31) \quad V = f_3(r) - \frac{f_1(r)f_2(\varphi)}{r^2} - \frac{f_2^2(\varphi)}{2r^4} + \frac{f_4(\varphi)}{r^2},$$

$$(3.32) \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = r^2 \mathbf{L}_2 + \frac{f_2(\varphi)}{p_z + A_z} \mathbf{L}_3,$$

$$(3.33) \quad H_2 = \frac{p_\varphi^2}{2} + f_2(\varphi) p_z + f_4(\varphi).$$

The complete integral of the set of Hamilton-Jacobi equations is

$$(3.34) \quad W(r, \varphi, z; h_1, h_2, h_3) = h_3 z \pm \int \sqrt{-2 \left( \frac{h_3^2}{2} - h_1 + \frac{h_2}{r^2} + f_1(r)h_3 + \frac{1}{2}f_1^2(r) + f_3(r) \right)} dr \\ \pm \int \sqrt{-2(-h_2 + f_2(\varphi)h_3 + f_4(\varphi))} d\varphi.$$

**Remark 4.** Let us note that, if we trade the triple of the Hamiltonian functions  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)$  with the triple  $(\tilde{H}_1 := H_1 - \frac{1}{2}H_3^2, \tilde{H}_2 := H_2, \tilde{H}_3 := H_3)$ , we get a Stäckel system with the following Stäckel matrix and Stäckel vector

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{r^2} & -f_1(r) \\ 0 & 1 & -f_2(\varphi) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_r^2}{2} + \frac{f_1^2(r)}{2} + f_3(r) \\ \frac{p_\varphi^2}{2} + f_4(\varphi) \\ p_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

The modified Hamiltonian functions  $\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3$  form two Haantjes chains by means of the modified Haantjes operators, constructed via Eq. (2.15):

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_2 = r^2 \mathbf{L}_2 + \frac{f_2(\varphi)}{A_z} \mathbf{L}_3, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_3 = \frac{1}{A_z} \mathbf{L}_3;$$

however, they belong to the same Haantjes algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{cy}1}$ . Thus, we can say that the Lagrangian foliation generated by  $(H_1, H_2, H_3)$  admits the Stäckel basis  $(\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3)$ . We have not been able to find the Stäckel basis for Cases 1 and 2. The general problem of constructing a Stäckel basis for a given Lagrangian foliation will be addressed elsewhere.

In [3], it has been proved that the three cases discussed above give the most general systems in a magnetic field separable in cylindrical coordinates. Thus, our analysis in the geometric setting of Haantjes algebras is coherent with the results obtained in [3] with a different approach.

#### 4. SUPERINTEGRABILITY AND MULTISEPARABILITY

The purpose of this section is to construct the symplectic-Haantjes manifolds associated with several cases of physically relevant superintegrable magnetic systems. According to Corollary 1, we shall determine as many Haantjes structures as the number of separation variables admitted by the system under analysis.

We shall first consider the case of the constant magnetic field. On the one hand, this case can be regarded as a specialization of the general discussion concerning separability in cylindrical coordinates and Haantjes geometry developed in Section 3. On the other hand, the presence of a constant magnetic field allows the system to possess two additional integrals of motion. This, in turn, implies that there exist additional symplectic-Haantjes structures related to the extra integrals, providing total or partial separation coordinates [35].

**Remark 5.** In Cartesian coordinates, the physical Hamiltonian that describes a system defined in a Euclidean 3D space with a magnetic field and vanishing potential is

$$(4.1) \quad H = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p} + A(\mathbf{x}))^2,$$

with  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ . The kinetic momentum of the system, which coincides with the velocity vector in our units, is given by [17]

$$(4.2) \quad \Pi(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{p} + A(\mathbf{x}).$$

**4.1. A superintegrable model in a constant magnetic field.** The simplest magnetic system we can study in three dimensions is the one in a constant magnetic field, that without loss of generality we can define as

$$(4.3) \quad B(x, y, z) = b \vec{e}_z,$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrary constant. The Hamiltonian model (4.1) is maximally superintegrable [26]. The integrals of the motion of first degree in the momenta are

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} H_1 &= \Pi_x + b y, \\ H_2 &= \Pi_y - b x, \\ H_3 &= \Pi_z, \\ H_4 &= \Lambda_z - \frac{b}{2}(x^2 + y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\Lambda_z = x \Pi_y - y \Pi_x$  is the z-component of the total angular momentum  $\Lambda := \mathbf{x} \times \Pi$ . The set of these integrals jointly with the Hamiltonian (4.1) is not functionally independent; however, another independent, nonpolynomial integral is given by

$$(4.5) \quad H_5 = -\Pi_x \cos\left(\frac{bz}{\Pi_z}\right) - \Pi_y \sin\left(\frac{bz}{\Pi_z}\right).$$

It commutes with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . In the subsequent discussion, we shall construct Haantjes manifolds associated with commutative Poisson subalgebras generated by the previous integrals.

**4.1.1. Semisimple Haantjes operators and spectral analysis.** In the following, we shall provide a set of *semisimple* Haantjes operators that solve the chain equations  $\mathbf{K}_i^T dH = dH_i$ , jointly with their spectral properties. We obtain for the first chain the following operator and the corresponding eigen-distributions:

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{K}_1 = \frac{1}{\Pi_x} \mathbf{R}_1,$$

with

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbf{R}_1 := \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \partial_x A_y & \partial_x A_z & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\partial_x A_y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\partial_x A_z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^{(1)} &= 0, \quad \rho_1^{(1)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_1 = \left\langle \partial_x A_z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \partial_x A_y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \partial_x A_y \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z} \right\rangle, \\ \lambda_2^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\Pi_x}, \quad \rho_2^{(1)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \partial_x A_y \frac{\partial}{\partial p_y} - \partial_x A_z \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the second chain, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{1}{\Pi_y} \mathbf{R}_2,$$

with

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{R}_2 := \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -\partial_y A_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_y A_x & 0 & \partial_y A_z & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_y A_z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

and

$$\lambda_1^{(2)} = 0, \quad \rho_1^{(2)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_3 = \left\langle \partial_y A_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \partial_y A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \partial_y A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial p_y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z} \right\rangle,$$

$$\lambda_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\Pi_y}, \quad \rho_2^{(2)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_4 = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \partial_y A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} - \partial_y A_z \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_y} \right\rangle.$$

Solving the third chain, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{\Pi_z} \mathbf{R}_3,$$

with

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{R}_3 := \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -\partial_z A_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\partial_z A_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_z A_x & \partial_z A_y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right],$$

$$\lambda_1^{(3)} = 0, \quad \rho_1^{(3)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_5 = \left\langle \partial_z A_y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \partial_z A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \partial_z A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_y} \right\rangle,$$

$$\lambda_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\Pi_z}, \quad \rho_2^{(3)} = 1, \quad \mathcal{D}_6 = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \partial_z A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} - \partial_z A_y \frac{\partial}{\partial p_y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_z} \right\rangle.$$

The operators  $\mathbf{R}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_3$  introduced above will play a significant role in the discussion of Section 6. Finally,

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbf{K}_4 = \frac{1}{\Lambda_z} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} y^2 & -xy & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -xy & x^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & f_4 & g_4 & y^2 & -xy & 0 \\ -f_4 & 0 & h_4 & -xy & x^2 & 0 \\ -g_4 & -h_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} f_4 &= -\Lambda_z + xy (\partial_x A_x - \partial_y A_y) - x^2 \partial_y A_x + y^2 \partial_x A_y, \\ g_4 &= y (-x \partial_y A_z + y \partial_x A_z), \\ h_4 &= x (x \partial_y A_z - y \partial_x A_z). \end{aligned}$$

The spectral analysis for  $\mathbf{K}_4$  can be performed in full analogy with the previous cases and is not reported here.

4.1.2. *Construction of the DH coordinates.* The operators  $\{\mathbf{K}_1, \dots, \mathbf{K}_4\}$  generate several commutative Haantjes algebras  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . We shall determine the Darboux-Haantjes coordinates for these algebras, which both diagonalize all semisimple Haantjes operators of each algebra and leave the symplectic form invariant. For the sake of clarity, we discuss in full detail the case of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_3\}$ . Defining the distributions

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_5, \quad \mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_6, \quad \mathcal{V}_3 = \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathcal{D}_5,$$

we can work out the annihilators

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2)^\circ &= \langle dx, \partial_x A_y dy + \partial_z A_x dz + dp_x \rangle, \\ (\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3)^\circ &= \langle dz, \partial_x A_z dx + \partial_y A_z dy + dp_z \rangle, \\ (\mathcal{V}_2 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3)^\circ &= \langle dy, \partial_x A_y dx + \partial_z A_y dz + dp_y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating them, we find the set of Darboux-Haantjes coordinates

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= x, & p_1 &= \Pi_x + by, \\ q^2 &= y, & p_2 &= \Pi_y, \\ q^3 &= z, & p_3 &= \Pi_z. \end{aligned}$$

In this chart, the operators of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1$  read

$$(4.17) \quad \mathbf{K}_1 = \frac{1}{p_1 - bq^2} \text{diag}[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0], \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{p_3} \text{diag}[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1];$$

and the Hamiltonian function and the integrals of the motion read

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} [(p_1 - bq^2)^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2], \\ H_1 &= p_1, \\ H_3 &= p_3. \end{aligned}$$

We shall similarly analyze the remaining algebras, skipping most technical details. For the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{K}_3\}$ , we define the distributions

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{V}_4 = \mathcal{D}_3 \cap \mathcal{D}_5, \quad \mathcal{V}_5 = \mathcal{D}_3 \cap \mathcal{D}_6, \quad \mathcal{V}_6 = \mathcal{D}_4 \cap \mathcal{D}_5.$$

Integrating the corresponding annihilators, we find the set of Darboux-Haantjes coordinates

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= x, & p_1 &= \Pi_x, \\ q^2 &= y, & p_2 &= \Pi_y - bx, \\ q^3 &= z, & p_3 &= \Pi_z. \end{aligned}$$

In this chart, the operators of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_2$  read

$$(4.21) \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{1}{p_2 + bq^1} \text{diag}[0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0], \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{p_3} \text{diag}[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1];$$

and the Hamiltonian function and the integrals of the motion read

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} [p_1^2 + (p_2 + bq^1)^2 + p_3^2], \\ H_2 &= p_2, \\ H_3 &= p_3. \end{aligned}$$



Finally, for the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_3 = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K}_3, \mathbf{K}_4\}$ , the corresponding annihilators can be easily integrated in several ways, providing us with different choices for the DH coordinates. The most natural choice is that of cylindrical coordinates:

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, & p_1 &= \frac{x \Pi_x + y \Pi_y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}, \\ q^2 &= \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right), & p_2 &= x\left(\Pi_y - \frac{b}{2}x\right) - y\left(\Pi_x + \frac{b}{2}y\right), \\ q^3 &= z, & p_3 &= \Pi_z; \end{aligned}$$

where the operators  $\mathbf{K}_3$  and  $\mathbf{K}_4$  take the form

$$(4.24) \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{p_3} \mathbf{L}_3, \quad \mathbf{K}_4 = \frac{2(q^1)^2}{2p_2 + b(q^1)^2} \mathbf{L}_2.$$

In this chart, the Hamiltonian function and the integrals of the motion read

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_1^2 + \frac{1}{(q^1)^2} \left( p_2 + \frac{b}{2} (q^1)^2 \right)^2 + p_3^2 \right], \\ H_3 &= p_3, \\ H_4 &= p_2. \end{aligned}$$

This case can also be obtained by specializing Case 1 of Section 3 by choosing  $B = \text{const.}$  in Eq. (3.11).

**4.2. The helical undulator.** It is an interesting magnetic model [26], described by the Hamiltonian (4.1) with a magnetic field of the form

$$(4.26) \quad B(x, y, z) = -b_3 \cos\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right) \vec{e}_x + b_3 \sin\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right) \vec{e}_y,$$

where  $b_3$  and  $a$  are arbitrary constants.<sup>1</sup> The model is minimally superintegrable and the associated integrals of the motion are

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} H_1 &= \Pi_x + \frac{b_3 a}{2} \cos\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right), \\ H_2 &= \Pi_y - \frac{b_3 a}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right), \\ H_3 &= \Lambda_z - \frac{a}{2} \Pi_z - \frac{1}{2} \left[ b_3 a x \sin\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right) + b_3 a y \cos\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Their commutation relations read

$$(4.28) \quad \{H_1, H_2\} = 0, \quad \{H_1, H_3\} = -H_2, \quad \{H_2, H_3\} = H_1.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>A slightly more general system can be obtained by adding a constant contribution along the  $z$ -axis to the magnetic field (4.26). The system so obtained is still superintegrable, but not separable in any orthogonal coordinate system on  $Q$  (see [20]).

The Haantjes operators associated with this system are  $\{\mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{K}_5\}$ , where  $\mathbf{K}_1$  and  $\mathbf{K}_2$  are given by Eqs. (4.6) and (4.8) respectively, and

$$(4.29) \quad \mathbf{K}_5 = \frac{1}{a\Lambda_z - 2(x^2 + y^2)\Pi_z} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} ay^2 & -axy & 2y(x^2 + y^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -axy & ax^2 & -2x(x^2 + y^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{a^2}{2}y & -\frac{a^2}{2}x & a(x^2 + y^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & f_5 & g_5 & ay^2 & -axy & \frac{a^2}{2}y \\ -f_5 & 0 & h_5 & -axy & ax^2 & -\frac{a^2}{2}x \\ -g_5 & -h_5 & 0 & 2y(x^2 + y^2) & -2x(x^2 + y^2) & a(x^2 + y^2) \end{array} \right],$$

with

$$f_5 = \frac{a}{2} [ax \partial_z A_x + ay \partial_z A_y + 2(xy(\partial_x A_x - \partial_y A_y) + (y^2 - x^2)\partial_x A_y - \Lambda_z)],$$

$$g_5 = \frac{1}{2} a^2 y \partial_z A_z - a(x^2 + y^2) \partial_z A_x + a y^2 \partial_x A_z - a x y \partial_y A_z - 2y(y^2 + x^2) \partial_x A_x + 2x(x^2 + y^2) \partial_x A_y + 2(x^2 + y^2) \Pi_y,$$

$$h_5 = -\frac{1}{2} a^2 x \partial_z A_z - a(x^2 + y^2) \partial_z A_y + a x^2 \partial_y A_z - a x y \partial_x A_z + 2x(x^2 + y^2) \partial_y A_y - 2y(x^2 + y^2) \partial_x A_y - 2(x^2 + y^2) \Pi_x.$$

Integrating the annihilators associated with the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2\}$ , we find the set of Darboux-Haantjes coordinates

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= x, & p_1 &= \Pi_x + \frac{1}{2} a b_3 \cos\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right), \\ q^2 &= y, & p_2 &= \Pi_y - \frac{1}{2} a b_3 \sin\left(\frac{2z}{a}\right), \\ q^3 &= z, & p_3 &= \Pi_z. \end{aligned}$$

In this chart, the operators of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1$  read

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_1 &= \frac{1}{p_1 - \frac{1}{2} a b_3 \cos\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right)} \text{diag}[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0], \\ \mathbf{K}_2 &= \frac{1}{p_2 + \frac{1}{2} a b_3 \sin\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right)} \text{diag}[0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0]; \end{aligned}$$

and the Hamiltonian function and the integrals of the motion take the form

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( p_1 - \frac{1}{2} a b_3 \cos\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right) \right)^2 + \left( p_2 + \frac{1}{2} a b_3 \sin\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right) \right)^2 + p_3^2 \right], \\ H_1 &= p_1, \\ H_2 &= p_2. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.1. *Partial separability of the helical undulator.* We also mention that it is possible to construct a set of partial separation coordinates [6, 8, 35] for the helical undulator. This set is related to an incomplete system of commuting Hamiltonians, i.e., a system possessing fewer integrals than the dimension of the configuration space. In our case, the incomplete Poisson algebra is  $(H, H_3)$ , to which corresponds

the Haantjes algebra  $\{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K}_5\}$ . The operator  $\mathbf{K}_5$  is semisimple and diagonalizes in the chart

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= r, & p_1 &= \Pi_r, \\ q^2 &= \frac{a}{2}\varphi + z, & p_2 &= \frac{2}{a}\Pi_\varphi + \Pi_z + b_3 r \sin\left(\varphi + \frac{2z}{a}\right), \\ q^3 &= \frac{a}{2}\varphi - z, & p_3 &= \frac{2}{a}\Pi_\varphi - \Pi_z - b_3 r \sin\left(\varphi + \frac{2z}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

These coordinates represent a set of partial separation variables. The integrals of the motion take the form

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{8(q^1)^2} \left[ a^2(p_2 + p_3)^2 + 4b_3(q^1)^3 \sin\left(\frac{2q^2}{a}\right) \left( b_3 q^1 \sin\left(\frac{2q^2}{a}\right) - 2p_2 + 2p_3 \right) + 4(q^1)^2 (p_1^2 + (p_2 - p_3)^2) \right], \\ H_3 &= a p_3. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding system of Hamilton-Jacobi equations splits into a system of a PDE and an ODE, which a priori provide an integral  $W$  for the undulator:

$$\begin{cases} a^2 \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^2} + h_3 \right)^2 + 4b_3(q^1)^3 \sin\left(\frac{2q^2}{a}\right) \left( b_3 q^1 \sin\left(\frac{2q^2}{a}\right) - 2\frac{\partial W}{\partial q^2} + 2h_3 \right) + 4(q^1)^2 \left( \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^2} - h_3 \right)^2 \right) \\ \quad = 8(q^1)^2 h, \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^3} = h_3, \end{cases}$$

where  $W(q^1, q^2, q^3)$  is the Hamilton's characteristic function and  $h, h_3$  are arbitrary constants.

## 5. RELATION WITH THE STÄCKEL GEOMETRY: THE AKN SYSTEMS

In this section, we shall establish a new connection between the Haantjes geometry for Hamiltonian systems in a magnetic field and the Stäckel geometry. In particular, we shall prove that the magnetic models discussed above belong to the generalized class of Stäckel systems introduced in [1], namely, they are AKN systems.

To prove this result, we shall determine the *Sklyanin separation equations* [37] and the associated Stäckel matrices for two specific systems, namely the Hamiltonian superintegrable model for a constant magnetic field and the helical undulator.

For each set of separation coordinates, there exists a corresponding set of SE and an associated Stäckel matrix, as we shall see.

**5.1. Stäckel analysis for the system in a constant magnetic field.** We shall distinguish three cases.

(I) We shall first work in the coordinates (4.16) that diagonalize the Haantjes algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . In order to obtain the corresponding Stäckel matrix, we need to rewrite the system (4.18) with a suitable functional combination of the Hamiltonians:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_1 &= 2H - H_1^2 = -2bq^2 p_1 + b^2(q^2)^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2, \\ \tilde{H}_2 &= H_1 = p_1, \\ \tilde{H}_3 &= H_3^2 = p_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easy to see that the equations

$$(5.2) \quad S \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_1 \\ \tilde{H}_2 \\ \tilde{H}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(q^1, p_1) \\ f_2(q^2, p_2) \\ f_3(q^3, p_3) \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}(q^1) & S_{12}(q^1) & S_{13}(q^1) \\ S_{21}(q^2) & S_{22}(q^2) & S_{23}(q^2) \\ S_{31}(q^3) & S_{31}(q^3) & S_{31}(q^3) \end{bmatrix}$$

can be solved by choosing

$$(5.3) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2bq^2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and therefore  $f_1(q^1, p_1) = p_1$ ,  $f_2(q^2, p_2) = p_2^2 + b^2 (q^2)^2$  and  $f_3(q^3, p_3) = p_3^2$ . Notice that Eqs. (5.2) represent the SE for our Hamiltonian model (5.1).

(II) Let us consider the DH coordinates associated with the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . As before, we suitably combine the integrals of the motion to get the Stäckel basis

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_1 &= 2H - H_2^2 - H_3^2 + H_3 = p_1^2 + 2bq^1 p_2 + p_3 + b^2 (q^1)^2, \\ \tilde{H}_2 &= H_2 = p_2, \\ \tilde{H}_3 &= H_3 = p_3. \end{aligned}$$

From Eqs. (5.2), we deduce the Stäckel matrix

$$(5.5) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2bq^1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the Stäckel functions  $f_1(q^1, p_1) = p_1^2 + b^2 (q^1)^2$ ,  $f_2(q^2, p_2) = p_2$  and  $f_3(q^3, p_3) = p_3$ .

(III) The cylindrical coordinates (4.23) diagonalize the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_3$ . Adopting the same procedure as before, we obtain the Stäckel basis

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_1 &= 2H - bH_4 = p_1^2 + \frac{p_2^2}{(q^1)^2} + p_3^2 + \frac{1}{4} b^2 (q^1)^2, \\ \tilde{H}_2 &= H_4 = p_2^2, \\ \tilde{H}_3 &= H_3 = p_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

The Stäckel matrix and functions are, respectively

$$(5.7) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{(q^1)^2} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $f_1(q^1, p_1) = p_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} b^2 (q^1)^2$ ,  $f_2(q^2, p_2) = p_2^2$ ,  $f_3(q^3, p_3) = p_3^2$ .

**5.2. Stäckel analysis for the helical undulator.** By analogy with the previous discussion, we will work in the chart that diagonalizes the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_1$  of the helical undulator. From the equations

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_1 &= 2H - H_1^2 - H_2^2 - \frac{1}{4} a^2 b_3^2 = -a b_3 p_1 \cos\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right) + a b_3 p_2 \sin\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right) + p_3^2, \\ \tilde{H}_2 &= H_1 = p_1, \\ \tilde{H}_3 &= H_2 = p_2, \end{aligned}$$

using (5.2) we deduce the Stäckel matrix

$$(5.9) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a b_3 \cos\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right) & -a b_3 \sin\left(\frac{2q^3}{a}\right) \end{bmatrix},$$

and the Stäckel functions  $f_1(q^1, p_1) = p_1$ ,  $f_2(q^2, p_2) = p_2$  and  $f_3(q^3, p_3) = p_3^2$ .

## 6. NEW FAMILIES OF MAGNETIC SYSTEMS ON A RIEMANNIAN SPACE

In this section, we show that the previous geometric construction leads to new integrable models. Precisely, we wish to solve an inverse problem: given a Stäckel matrix  $S$ , find the most general Hamiltonian family of systems admitting a given Haantjes web. This means that the family shares  $S$  as the associated Stäckel matrix and the same  $\omega\mathcal{H}$  manifold. Systems belonging to the same Haantjes web will automatically be separable in the same coordinate system.

The model with a constant magnetic field, being multiseparable, provides us with three different Stäckel matrices. We will generalize them in order to construct families of separable models.

(A) Let us consider the Stäckel matrix

$$(6.1) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & b \lambda_1(q^2) & \lambda_2(q^2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are arbitrary functions. It clearly generalizes the Stäckel matrix (5.3). We define the auxiliary integrable model

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1 &= -b \lambda_1(q^2) \mu_1(q^1) p_1 + \mu_2(q^2) p_2^2 + \lambda_2(q^2) \mu_3(q^3) p_3^2 + b^2 \mu_4(q^2), \\ \hat{H}_2 &= \mu_1(q^1) p_1, \\ \hat{H}_3 &= \mu_3(q^3) p_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$  are additional arbitrary functions. We can consider this model as a generalization of the system (5.1). The Stäckel functions for the system (6.2) are  $f_1 = \mu_1(q^1) p_1$ ,  $f_2 = \mu_2(q^2) p_2^2 + b^2 \mu_4(q^2)$ ,  $f_3 = \mu_3(q^3) p_3^2$ . In order to construct the ‘‘magnetic’’ version of the latter model, we introduce the associated Hamiltonians

$$(6.3) \quad (\hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2^2, \hat{H}_2, \hat{H}_3).$$

Then, using the transformation

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= x, & p_1 &= \Pi_x + \frac{b \lambda_1(y)}{2 \mu_1(x)}, & \mu_1(x) &\neq 0 \\ q^2 &= y, & p_2 &= \Pi_y, \\ q^3 &= z, & p_3 &= \Pi_z, \end{aligned}$$

system (6.3) provides us with the family of integrable magnetic models which are the goal of our construction:

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \mu_1^2(x) \Pi_x^2 + \mu_2(y) \Pi_y^2 + \lambda_2(y) \mu_3(z) \Pi_z^2 + b^2 \left( \mu_4(y) - \frac{\lambda_1^2(y)}{4} \right), \\ H_1 &= \mu_1(x) \left( \Pi_x + \frac{b \lambda_1(y)}{2 \mu_1(x)} \right), & H_2 &= \mu_3(z) \Pi_z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this system admits a Riemannian metric  $g^{ii} = \text{diag} [\mu_1^2(x), \mu_2(y), \lambda_2(y)\mu_3(z)]$ , where we assume  $\mu_2(y) > 0$  and the nonvanishing functions  $\lambda_2(y)$ ,  $\mu_3(z)$  have the same sign. The associated magnetic field is  $B = \frac{b}{2}\lambda_1'(y)\sqrt{\mu_2(y)}\vec{e}_z$ ; this condition also implies that the transformation (6.4) is canonical.

This system admits a semisimple Haantjes algebra of operators:

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbf{K}_1 = \frac{1}{2\mu_1(x)\Pi_x}\mathbf{R}_1, \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2(y)}\mathbf{R}_3, \quad \lambda_2(y) \neq 0,$$

with  $\mathbf{R}_1$  and  $\mathbf{R}_3$  defined as in Eqs. (4.7) and (4.11).

(B) As in the previous case, the form of both Stäckel matrices (5.5) and (5.7) suggests the following generalization:

$$(6.7) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\psi_1(q^1) & \psi_2(q^1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\psi_1(q^1)$  and  $\psi_2(q^1)$  are arbitrary functions. Following the same strategy (which entails some algebraic combinations of the involved Hamiltonians), we are led to the auxiliary integrable model

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1 &= \nu_1(q^1)p_1^2 + \psi_1(q^1)\nu_2^2(q^2)p_2^2 + \psi_2(q^1)\nu_3(q^3)p_3^2 + \nu_4(q^1), \\ \hat{H}_2 &= \nu_2^2(q^2)p_2^2, \quad \hat{H}_3 = \nu_3(q^3)p_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

with Stäckel functions  $f_1 = \nu_1(q^1)p_1^2 + \nu_4(q^1)$ ,  $f_2 = \nu_2^2(q^2)p_2^2$ ,  $f_3 = \nu_3(q^3)p_3^2$ . It widely generalizes the Stäckel basis (5.6), since  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$ ,  $\nu_3$ ,  $\nu_4$  are also arbitrary functions. To construct, as before, the “magnetic” version of system (6.8), we first observe that  $\hat{H}_4 = \nu_2(q^2)p_2$  is a first integral. Then, we consider the associated model

$$(6.9) \quad (\hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_4, \hat{H}_2, \hat{H}_3).$$

By means of the transformation

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} q^1 &= x, & p_1 &= \Pi_x, \\ q^2 &= y, & p_2 &= \Pi_y - \frac{1}{2\psi_1(x)\nu_2(y)}, & \psi_1(x), \nu_2(y) &\neq 0 \\ q^3 &= z, & p_3 &= \Pi_z, \end{aligned}$$

we get the new integrable Hamiltonian family of magnetic system

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \nu_1(x)\Pi_x^2 + \psi_1(x)\nu_2^2(y)\Pi_y^2 + \psi_2(x)\nu_3(z)\Pi_z^2 + \nu_4(x) - \frac{1}{4\psi_1(x)}, \\ H_1 &= \nu_2^2(y)\left(\Pi_y - \frac{1}{2\psi_1(x)\nu_2(y)}\right)^2, \\ H_2 &= \nu_3(z)\Pi_z^2. \end{aligned}$$

This system admits as well a Riemannian metric  $g^{ii} = \text{diag} [\nu_1(x), \psi_1(x)\nu_2^2(y), \psi_2(x)\nu_3(z)]$ , when  $\psi_1(x), \nu_1(x) > 0$  and the nonvanishing functions  $\nu_3(z)$ ,  $\psi_2(x)$  have the same sign. The associated magnetic field is  $B = -\frac{\psi_1'(x)\sqrt{\nu_1(x)}}{2\psi_1^{\frac{3}{2}}(x)}\vec{e}_z$ ; this ensures that the transformation (6.10) is canonical.

Once again, we derive the associated semisimple algebra of Haantjes operators:

$$(6.12) \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{2\psi_1(x)\nu_2(y)\Pi_y - 1}{2\psi_1^2(x)\nu_2(y)\Pi_y} \mathbf{R}_2, \quad \mathbf{K}_3 = \frac{1}{\psi_2(x)} \mathbf{R}_3,$$

with  $\mathbf{R}_2$  and  $\mathbf{R}_3$  defined as in Eqs. (4.9) and (4.11).

**Remark 6.** Some of the restrictions on the arbitrary functions appearing in the models previously defined can be relaxed if one wishes to define these systems on a pseudo-Riemannian geometry.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

O. K. was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Technical University in Prague, grant No. SGS22/178/OHK4/3T/14. The research was initiated during O. K. ERASMUS+ stay at UCM, Madrid, to which he thanks for the warm hospitality. D. R. N. acknowledges the financial support of EXINA S.L. The research of P. T. has been supported by the Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (CEX2019-000904-S), Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades y Agencia Estatal de Investigación, Spain. P. T. is member of the Gruppo Nazionale di Fisica Matematica (GNFM) of INDAM.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov and A. I. Neishtadt. *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin (1997).
- [2] S. Benenti. Separability structures on Riemannian manifolds. *Lect. Notes Math.* **836**, 512–538, Springer, Berlin (1980).
- [3] S. Benenti, C. Chanu and G. Rastelli. Variable separation for natural Hamiltonians with scalar and vector potentials on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 2065–2091 (2001).
- [4] S. Bertrand and M. C. Nucci. Linearity of minimally superintegrable systems in a static electromagnetic field. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **56**, 295201 (2023).
- [5] J. Bérubé and P. Winternitz. Integrable and superintegrable quantum systems in a magnetic field. *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, 1959 (2004).
- [6] C. M. Chanu and G. Rastelli. Block-separation of variables: a form of partial separation for natural Hamiltonians. *SIGMA* **15**, paper 013 (22 pages) (2019).
- [7] F. Charest, C. Hudon and P. Winternitz. Quasiseperation of variables in the Schrödinger equation with a magnetic field. *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007).
- [8] T. Daudé, N. Kamran and F. Nicoleau. Separability and symmetry operators for Painlevé metrics and their conformal deformations. *SIGMA* **15**, 069 (42 pages) (2019).
- [9] B. Dorizzi, B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani and P. Winternitz. Integrable Hamiltonian systems with velocity-dependent potentials, *J. Math. Phys.* **26**, 3070 (1985).
- [10] G. Falqui and M. Pedroni. Separation of variables for bi-Hamiltonian systems. *Math. Phys., Anal. and Geom.* **6**, 139-179 (2003).
- [11] E. V. Ferapontov and K. Khusnutdinova. The Haantjes tensor and double waves for multi-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type: a necessary condition for integrability. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **462**, 1197-1219 (2006).
- [12] E. V. Ferapontov and M. V. Pavlov. Kinetic equation for soliton gas: integrable reductions. *J. Nonl. Sci.* **32**, paper 26 (22 pages) (2022).
- [13] J. Haantjes. On  $X_{n-1}$ -forming sets of eigenvectors. *Indag. Math.* **58**, 158–162 (1955).
- [14] A. Ibort, F. Magri and G. Marmo. Bi-Hamiltonian structures and Stäckel separability. *J. Geom. Phys.* **33**, 210-228 (2000).
- [15] M. F. Hoque, O. Kubů, A. Marchesiello and L. Šnobl. New classes of quadratically integrable systems with velocity dependent potentials: non-subgroup type cases. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, **138**, 845 (2023).
- [16] R. Jackiw. Dynamical symmetry of the magnetic monopole. *Ann. Phys.* **129**, 183-200 (1980).
- [17] J. D. Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, USA (1999).

- [18] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress and W. Miller Jr. *Separation of Variables and Superintegrability*. IOP Publishing, Bristol, UK (2018).
- [19] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Beyond recursion operators (preprint arXiv:1712.08908, 2017), in *Proceedings of the XXXVI Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics*, Białowieża, Poland, July 2017, Birkhauser, (2019).
- [20] O. Kubů, A. Marchesiello and L. Šnobl. New classes of quadratically integrable systems in magnetic fields: the generalized cylindrical and spherical cases. *Ann. Phys.* **451**, 169264 (2023).
- [21] O. Kubů, A. Marchesiello and L. Šnobl. Integrable systems in magnetic fields: the generalized parabolic cylindrical case. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **57**, 235203 (2024).
- [22] S. Labelle, M. Mayrand and L. Vinet. Symmetries and degeneracies of a charged oscillator in the field of a magnetic monopole. *J. Math. Phys.*, **32**, 1516-1521 (1991).
- [23] F. Magri. Haantjes manifolds. *J. Phys.: Conf. Series* **482**, paper 012028 (2014).
- [24] F. Magri and C. Morosi. Characterization of Integrable Systems through the Theory of Poisson–Nijenhuis Manifolds. *Quaderno S 19*, Università di Milano (1984).
- [25] F. Magri, C. Morosi and G. Tondo. The Geometry of Soliton Equations. *Symm. and Nonl. Phen.* **9**, 146-187 (1988).
- [26] A. Marchesiello, L. Šnobl and P. Winternitz. Three-dimensional superintegrable systems in a static electromagnetic field. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **48**, 395206 (2015).
- [27] H. V. McIntosh and A. Cisneros. Degeneracy in the presence of a magnetic monopole. *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 896-916 (1970).
- [28] E. McSween and P. Winternitz. Integrable and superintegrable Hamiltonian systems in magnetic fields. *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 2957 (2000).
- [29] W. Miller Jr, S. Post and P. Winternitz. Classical and Quantum Superintegrability with Applications. *J. Phys. A* **46**, 423001 (2013).
- [30] A. S. Mischenko and A. T. Fomenko. Generalized Liouville method of integration of Hamiltonian systems. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12**, 113-121 (1978).
- [31] A. Nijenhuis.  $X_{n-1}$ -forming sets of eigenvectors. *Indag. Math.* **54**, 200–212 (1951).
- [32] G. Pucacco. On integrable Hamiltonians with velocity dependent potentials. *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.* **90**, 109-123 (2004).
- [33] G. Pucacco and K. Rosquist. Integrable Hamiltonian systems with vector potentials. *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 012701 (2005).
- [34] D. Reyes, P. Tempesta and G. Tondo. Classical multiseparable Hamiltonian systems, superintegrability and Haantjes geometry. *Commun. Nonl. Sci. Num. Sim.* **104**, 106021 (2022).
- [35] D. Reyes, P. Tempesta and G. Tondo. Partial separability and symplectic-Haantjes manifolds. Preprint arXiv:2305.06844 (2023).
- [36] D. Reyes, P. Tempesta and G. Tondo. Generalized Nijenhuis Torsions and block-diagonalization of operator fields. *J. Nonl. Sci.* **33**, 35 (2023).
- [37] E. K. Sklyanin. Separation of variables: new trends. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **118**, 35–60 (1995).
- [38] P. Tempesta and G. Tondo. Haantjes Algebras and diagonalization (preprint, arXiv:1710.04522) *J. Geom. Phys.* **160**, paper 103968 (21 pages) (2021).
- [39] P. Tempesta and G. Tondo. Haantjes manifolds of classical integrable systems (preprint, arXiv:1405.5118). *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **201**, 57–90 (2022).
- [40] P. Tempesta, P. Winternitz, J. Harnad, W. Miller Jr, G. Pogosyan and M. A. Rodríguez (eds). *Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems*. Montréal, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, AMS, vol. **37** (2004).
- [41] G. Tondo and P. Tempesta. Haantjes structures for the Jacobi-Calogero model and the Benenti Systems. *SIGMA* **12**, paper 023 (2016).
- [42] K. Yano and S. Ishihara. *Tangent and cotangent bundle*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 16. Dekker (1973).
- [43] D. Zwanziger. Exactly Soluble Nonrelativistic Model of Particles with Both Electric and Magnetic Charges. *Phys. Rev.* **176**, 1480-1488 (1968).



CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, FACULTY OF NUCLEAR SCIENCES AND PHYSICAL ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, BŘEHOVÁ 7, 115 19 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*Email address:* `ondrej.kubu@fjfi.cvut.cz`

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA TEÓRICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 – MADRID, SPAIN, AND INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, C/ NICOLÁS CABRERA, No 13–15, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN  
*Email address:* `danreyes@ucm.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA TEÓRICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 – MADRID, SPAIN, AND INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, C/ NICOLÁS CABRERA, No 13–15, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN  
*Email address:* `ptempt@ucm.es`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, INFORMATICA E GEOSCIENZE, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRIESTE, PIAZZA LE EUROPA 1, I-34127 TRIESTE, ITALY (RETIRED)  
*Email address:* `tondo@units.it`