

Inverse problems for one-dimensional fluid-solid interaction models

J. Apraiz* A. Doubova,† E. Fernández-Cara‡ M. Yamamoto§

Abstract

We consider a one-dimensional fluid-solid interaction model governed by the Burgers equation with a time varying interface. We discuss on the inverse problem of determining the shape of the interface from Dirichlet and Neumann data at one end point of the spatial interval. In particular, we establish uniqueness results and some conditional stability estimates. For the proofs, we use and adapt some lateral estimates that, in turn, rely on appropriate Carleman and interpolation inequalities.

AMS Classifications: 35K15, 35R35, 35R30, 35B35, 65M32.

Keywords: Burgers equation, fluid-solid interaction, free boundaries, inverse problems, stability, uniqueness

1 Introduction

We will consider a nonlinear system that models the interaction of a one-dimensional fluid evolving in $(-1, 1)$ and a solid particle. It will be assumed that the velocity of the fluid is governed by the viscous Burgers equation at both sides of the point mass location $y = p(t)$. For simplicity, it will be accepted that the fluid density is constant and equal to 1 and the solid particle has unit mass.

For any p at least in $C^0([0, T])$ satisfying $|p(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, let us introduce the open sets

$$Q(p) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -1 < x < 1, \ x \neq p(t), \ 0 < t < T\},$$

$$Q_\ell(p) = \{(x, t) \in Q(p) : p(t) > x\} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_r(p) = \{(x, t) \in Q(p) : p(t) < x\}.$$

On the other hand, the *jump* of the function f at the point x will be denoted in the sequel by $[f](x)$, that is,

$$[f](x) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(x + s) - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^-} f(x + s).$$

*Universidad del País Vasco, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Dpto. Matemáticas, Barrio Sarriena s/n 48940 Leioa (Bizkaia), Spain. E-mail: jone.apraiz@ehu.eus.

†Universidad de Sevilla, Dpto. EDAN e IMUS, Campus Reina Mercedes, 41012 Sevilla, Spain. E-mail: doubova@us.es.

‡Universidad de Sevilla, Dpto. EDAN e IMUS, Campus Reina Mercedes, 41012 Sevilla, Spain. E-mail: cara@us.es.

§The University of Tokyo, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, Komaba Meguro 153-8914 Japan E-mail: myama@next.odn.ne.jp.

We will consider fluid-particle systems of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_t - w_{xx} + ww_x = 0, & (x, t) \in Q(p), \\ w(p(t), t) = p'(t), \quad [w_x](p(t), t) = p''(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ w(-1, t) = \alpha(t), \quad w(1, t) = \eta(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ w(x, 0) = w_0(x), & x \in (-1, 1), \\ p(0) = q_0, \quad p'(0) = q_1, & \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

where (at least) $w_0 \in L^2(-1, 1)$, $\alpha, \eta \in C^0([0, T])$, $|q_0| < 1$ and $q_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here, $w(x, t)$ is the velocity of the fluid particle located at x at time t , $p(t)$ is the position occupied by the particle at time t and α and η are Dirichlet data. It is assumed that w_0 , q_0 and q_1 are initial data respectively for the fluid velocity, the particle position and the particle velocity.

The first condition at $x = p(t)$ in (1) means that the velocity of the fluid and the solid mass coincide at this point.

In the second condition, we state *Newton's law*: the force exerted by the fluid on the particle equals the product of the particle mass and its acceleration. Thus, if we introduce the notation $u := w|_{Q_\ell(p)}$ and $v := w|_{Q_r(p)}$, the jump condition at the points $(p(t), t)$ can be written in the form

$$(v_x - u_x)(p(t), t) = p''(t), \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (2)$$

The previous system can be viewed as a preliminary simplified version of other more complicate and more realistic models in higher dimensions that we plan to analyze in the future. For example, it is meaningful to consider a system governed by the Navier-Stokes equations around a moving sphere that interacts with the fluid.

More precisely, let R_0 , R_1 and R_{ext} be given with $0 < R_0 < R_{\text{ext}}$, let $B(R_0)$ be the open ball centered at 0 of radius R_0 , let us set

$$\Sigma_{\text{ext}} = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : |\mathbf{x}| = R_{\text{ext}}, \quad 0 < t < T\}$$

and, for any $R \in C^0([0, T])$ with $R_0 \leq R(t) \leq R_{\text{ext}}$, let us introduce

$$\begin{aligned} Q(R) &= \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : R(t) < |\mathbf{x}| < R_{\text{ext}}, \quad 0 < t < T\}, \\ \Sigma(R) &= \{(\mathbf{x}, t) : |\mathbf{x}| = R(t), \quad 0 < t < T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it makes sense to search for functions \mathbf{u} , p and R satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in Q(R), \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, t), & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Sigma_{\text{ext}}, \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\dot{R}(t)}{R(t)} \mathbf{x}, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Sigma(R), \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in B(R_0), \\ R(0) = R_0, \quad \dot{R}(0) = R_1, & \\ (-p \mathbf{Id} + 2\nu D\mathbf{u}) \frac{\mathbf{x}}{R(t)} = \ddot{R}(t) \mathbf{x}, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Sigma(R), \end{array} \right.$$

where the constant $\nu > 0$ and the radially symmetric fields $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x})$ are given, \mathbf{Id} is the identity matrix and $D\mathbf{u}$ denotes the symmetrized gradient of \mathbf{u} , that is,

$$D\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T).$$

A related question is whether we can determine the function R from \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{u}_0 and exterior boundary observations

$$F = (-p\mathbf{Id} + \nu D\mathbf{u}) \frac{\mathbf{x}}{R_{\text{ext}}}$$

on (a part of) Σ_{ext} .

This justifies the relevance of the analysis of inverse problems for (1).

As far as we know, the first works where the simplified model (1) has been considered are [9] and [8]. There, the authors allowed the spatial variable to take any value in \mathbb{R} instead of $(-1, 1)$. In particular, in [9], the authors proved the existence and uniqueness of a solution and described its large-time behavior for just one solid mass submerged in the fluid. In [8], similar result were established in the case of various rigid bodies immersed in the fluid.

These results were later extended to a multi-dimensional framework in [7]. Let us also mention that the controllability properties of a system similar to (1) have been analyzed in [3] and [6].

In what concerns the *direct problem*, that is, to find appropriate w and p verifying the equation and additional conditions in (1), it can be shown that, if $|q_0| + |q_1| + \|w_0\|_{H_0^1(-1,1)}$ is sufficiently small, there exists a solution (w, p) to (1) with $w \in C^0([0, T]; H^1(-1, 1))$, $w_{xx} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(-1, 1))$ and $p \in H^2(0, T)$; see for example [6, Theorem 1.1].

In fact, the result in [6] only states that $p \in C^1([0, T])$. However, the regularity of the restrictions of w to $Q_\ell(p)$ and $Q_r(p)$ shows that the a.e. defined function $t \mapsto [w_x](p(t), t)$ is square-integrable and, consequently, $p'' \in L^2(0, T)$.

The *inverse problem* related to system (1) we are interested in is the following:

Inverse problem - Given the data $T > 0$, $q_0 \in (-1, 1)$, $q_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in C^0([0, T])$ and the observation β with $\beta(t) = w_x(-1, t)$ for $t \in (0, T)$, find $\eta := w(1, \cdot)$.

In this paper, we will study related uniqueness and stability properties. In particular, we will give answers to questions like the following:

Global uniqueness - Let (w_i, p_i) be a solution to (1) associated to some T , q_0 , q_1 and α for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that the corresponding observations coincide at $x = -1$, that is, $w_{1,x}(-1, t) = w_{2,x}(-1, t)$ for $0 < T_1 < t < T_2 < T$. Then, do we have $p_1 = p_2$ and $w_1 = w_2$?

Global stability - Let (w_i, p_i) be as before and set $\beta_i := w_{i,x}(-1, \cdot)$ and $\eta_i = w_i(1, \cdot)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Is there any estimate of the kind

$$\|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{L^\infty(T_1, T_2)} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(T_1, T_2)} \leq \phi(\|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T)})$$

for some continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(s) = 0$?

The paper is organized as follows.

First, in Section 2, we prove a preliminary fundamental lemma that plays a key role in the proof of conditional stability. It provides estimates of the traces on the interface $x = p(t)$ of the difference of two solutions to (1) in terms of the boundary data and observations.

In Section 3, we establish a stability estimate and then the uniqueness of the lateral inverse problem corresponding to the system satisfied in the left part $Q_\ell(p)$ of the whole domain. By reflection, similar results are fulfilled by the solution to the system satisfied in $Q_r(p)$.

Section 4 is devoted to establish a global stability and uniqueness result for the inverse problem in the whole domain $Q(p)$.

2 Preliminaries

As already said, the main result in this section is crucial for the proof of a local stability property that will be established in Section 3 (see Proposition 3.1).

Lemma 2.1 *Let us assume that*

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} + au_x + bu = 0, & (x, t) \in Q_\ell(p), \\ u(-1, t) = \alpha(t), \quad u_x(-1, t) = \beta(t), & t \in (0, T), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with $a, b \in L^\infty(Q_\ell(p))$, $u \in H^2(Q_\ell(p))$ and there exist constants $M > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^2(Q_\ell(p))} \leq M, \quad \|p\|_{H^2(0, T)} \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad |p(t)| \leq 1 - \delta \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4)$$

Then:

a) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $K_\epsilon > 0$ and $\theta_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|u(p(t), t)| \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{|\log \frac{1}{k}|^{\theta_\epsilon}} \quad \forall t \in [\epsilon, T], \quad (5)$$

provided α , β and k satisfy

$$0 \leq \|\alpha\|_{L^2(0, T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0, T)} < k < 1. \quad (6)$$

b) In particular, if $\alpha \equiv 0$ and $\beta \equiv 0$ in $(0, T)$, then $u \equiv 0$ in $Q_\ell(p)$.

Proof:

The proof of part a) can be obtained by adapting some arguments in [10] that rely on appropriate Carleman estimates. Carleman estimates were first used in [2] to establish uniqueness and stability results for inverse problems; see also [4, 5].

The argument is decomposed into three steps.

• **Step 1:** First, we introduce the change of variables

$$y = \frac{x+1}{p(t)+1}, \quad z(y, t) = u(x, t) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in Q_\ell(p).$$

In this way, the values of y remain in the new spatial domain $(0, 1)$, $Q_\ell(p)$ is transformed into $Q_\ell := (0, 1) \times (0, T)$ and z satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} z_t - \frac{1}{(p(t)+1)^2} z_{yy} + \frac{p'(t)}{p(t)+1} A(y, t) z_y + \frac{1}{p(t)+1} B(y, t) z = 0, & (y, t) \in Q_\ell, \\ z(0, t) = \alpha(t), \quad z_y(0, t) = (p(t)+1)\beta(t), & t \in (0, T), \end{cases}$$

where

$$A(y, t) := a \left(\frac{x+1}{p(t)+1} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad B(y, t) := b \left(\frac{x+1}{p(t)+1} \right).$$

Then, we perform a second change of variables:

$$x^* = 2 - 2y, \quad u^*(x^*, t) = z(y, t) \quad \text{for} \quad (y, t) \in Q_\ell$$

and we now have

$$\begin{cases} u_t^* - \frac{1}{(p(t)+1)^2} u_{x^*x^*}^* - \frac{2p'(t)}{p(t)+1} A^*(x^*, t) u_{x^*}^* + \frac{1}{p(t)+1} B^*(x^*, t) u^* = 0, & (x^*, t) \in Q^*, \\ u^*(2, t) = \alpha(t), \quad u_{x^*}^*(2, t) = -\frac{1}{2}(p(t)+1)\beta(t), & t \in (0, T), \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $Q^* := (0, 2) \times (0, T)$.

After skipping the stars in these variables, coefficients and sets, we see that the task is reduced to prove the existence of K_ϵ and θ_ϵ such that

$$|u(0, t)| \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{\left| \log \frac{1}{k} \right|^{\theta_\epsilon}} \quad \forall t \in [\epsilon, T]. \quad (8)$$

Thus, the rest of the proof is devoted to establish (8).

• **Step 2:** Let us start with the proof of the following intermediate estimate:

$$|u(0, \bar{t})| \leq \frac{K_{0,\epsilon}}{\left| \log \frac{1}{F_\epsilon} \right|^{\theta_0}} \quad \forall \bar{t} \in [\epsilon, T], \quad (9)$$

where $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ is independent of ϵ and

$$F_\epsilon := \sup_{x \in [1, 2]} (\|u(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\epsilon, T)} + \|u_x(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\epsilon, T)}).$$

To this purpose, let us fix $\bar{t} \in [\epsilon, T]$ and let us introduce a new change of variables:

$$\hat{t} = \frac{T}{2\bar{t}} t, \quad \hat{u}(x, \hat{t}) = u(x, t) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in (0, 2) \times (0, T). \quad (10)$$

Then, \hat{u} is defined in $\hat{Q} := (0, 2) \times (0, T^2/(2\bar{t}))$ and satisfies a system similar to (7) with coefficients \hat{D} , \hat{A} and \hat{B} that are uniformly bounded for $\bar{t} \geq \epsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_{\hat{t}} - \hat{D}(\hat{t})\hat{u}_{xx} - \hat{A}(x, \hat{t})\hat{u}_x + \hat{B}(x, \hat{t})\hat{u} = 0, & (x, \hat{t}) \in \hat{Q}, \\ \hat{u}(2, \hat{t}) = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{t}), \quad \hat{u}_x(2, \hat{t}) = \hat{\beta}(\hat{t}), & \hat{t} \in (0, T^2/(2\bar{t})). \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Therefore, what we have to prove is (9) for $\hat{t} = T/2$, that is,

$$|\hat{u}(0, T/2)| \leq \frac{K_{0,\epsilon}}{\left| \log \frac{1}{F_\epsilon} \right|^{\theta_0}}. \quad (12)$$

In the sequel, we will distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $\bar{t} \leq T/2$.

Let us introduce

$$\psi(x, \hat{t}) := x - \rho|\hat{t} - T/2|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x, \hat{t}) := e^{\lambda\psi(x, \hat{t})}, \quad (13)$$

where $4/(T - 2\epsilon)^2 < \rho < 16/T^2$ and $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently large and the sets

$$Q(\eta) := \{(x, \hat{t}) \in (0, 2) \times (0, T) : 0 < x < 1 + \eta, \psi(x, \hat{t}) > \eta\}$$

for $\eta \in (0, 1)$; see Figure 1.




Figure 1: The set $Q(\eta)$ when $\bar{t} \leq T/2$.

For any $\eta \in (0, 1/4)$, let us introduce a function $\chi_\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\chi_\eta(x, \hat{t}) = 1$ for $\psi(x, \hat{t}) \geq 3\eta$, $\chi_\eta(x, \hat{t}) = 0$ for $\psi(x, \hat{t}) \leq 2\eta$ and, for instance, $0 \leq \chi_\eta \leq 1$. Then

$$\chi_\eta(x, \hat{t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } Q(3\eta), \\ 0 & \text{in } Q(\eta) \setminus Q(2\eta); \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

see Figures 2 and 3.

Moreover,

$$|\chi_{\eta,x}| + |\chi_{\eta,\hat{t}}| \leq \frac{C}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad |\chi_{\eta,xx}| + |\chi_{\eta,x\hat{t}}| + |\chi_{\eta,\hat{t}\hat{t}}| \leq \frac{C}{\eta^2}. \quad (15)$$

Then, let us set $v_\eta := \hat{u}\chi_\eta$. At this point, we can use a global Carleman estimate for v_η in $Q(\eta)$ (see [10, Theorem 3.2]).

Thus, let us rewrite the PDE in (11) in the form $E\hat{u} = 0$. There exist $s_0, \lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for any $s \geq s_0$ and any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, one has

$$s^3\lambda^4 \iint_{Q(\eta)} e^{2s\varphi} \varphi^3 |v_\eta|^2 dx dt \leq C_{0,\epsilon} \iint_{Q(\eta)} e^{2s\varphi} |H|^2 dx dt + C_{1,\epsilon} e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2, \quad (16)$$




Figure 2: The curves $\psi = \eta$, $\psi = 2\eta$ and $\psi = 3\eta$.

where $C_{0,\epsilon}$ and $C_{1,\epsilon}$ are constants stemming from the uniform bounds of the coefficients in (11) for all $\hat{t} \geq \epsilon > 0$ and $H := Ev_\eta$. Note that H can be nonzero only in $Q(2\eta) \setminus Q(3\eta)$.

In (16), we can take

$$G_\sigma^2 := \sup_{x \in [1,2]} \left(\|\hat{u}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\sigma, T-\sigma)}^2 + \|\hat{u}_x(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\sigma, T-\sigma)}^2 \right),$$

with $\sigma = T/2 - 1/\sqrt{\rho}$. Then, thanks to the choice of ρ in (13) one has $[\sigma, T - \sigma] \subset (\epsilon, T)$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $G_\sigma < 1$.




Figure 3: The sets $Q(2\eta)$, $Q(3\eta)$ and $Q(4\eta)$.

In the sequel, we will denote by C (resp. C_ϵ) a generic positive constant independent of s and ϵ (resp. independent of s). Of course, both constants can change from line to line.

Taking into account the definition of $Q(\eta)$ (see Figure 3), we have from (16) that

$$s^3 \lambda^4 \iint_{Q(4\eta) \cap Q(\eta)} e^{2s\varphi} \varphi^3 |\hat{u}|^2 dx dt \leq C_\epsilon \iint_{Q(2\eta) \setminus Q(3\eta)} e^{2s\varphi} |H|^2 dx dt + C_\epsilon e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2 \quad (17)$$

for any $s \geq s_0$ and any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.

Now, in view of (15), using the fact that $\varphi \geq \mu_2 := e^{4\lambda\eta}$ in $Q(4\eta) \cap Q(\eta)$ and $\varphi \leq \mu_1 := e^{3\lambda\eta}$ in $Q(2\eta) \setminus Q(3\eta)$, we obtain from (17) that

$$e^{2s\mu_2} \iint_{Q(4\eta) \cap Q(\eta)} |\hat{u}|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{\eta^4} e^{2s\mu_1} \iint_{Q(2\eta) \setminus Q(3\eta)} (|\hat{u}|^2 + |\hat{u}_x|^2) dx dt + C_\epsilon e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2$$

and, therefore,

$$\iint_{Q(4\eta) \cap Q(\eta)} |\hat{u}|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{\eta^4} e^{-2s(\mu_2 - \mu_1)} \|\hat{u}\|_{H^1(Q)}^2 + C_\epsilon e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2 \quad \forall s \geq s_0.$$

From the definition of G_σ and assumption (4), one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(Q(4\eta))}^2 &\leq 2\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(Q(4\eta) \cap Q(\eta))}^2 + 2\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(Q(4\eta) \setminus Q(\eta))}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{\eta^4} e^{-2s(\mu_2 - \mu_1)} \|\hat{u}\|_{H^1(Q)}^2 + C_\epsilon e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{\eta^4} e^{-2s\lambda\eta} M^2 + C_\epsilon e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we fix λ , we take $s' = s + s_0$ and then rename s' as s , we deduce that

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(Q(4\eta))} \leq C_\epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} e^{-s\lambda\eta} M + G_\sigma e^{Cs} \right) \quad \forall s \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

Now, in order to get the best estimate, we minimize this quantity by choosing

$$s = \frac{1}{C + \lambda\eta} \log \left(\frac{M\lambda}{C G_\sigma \eta} \right)$$

and we deduce that

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(Q(4\eta))} \leq C_\epsilon \frac{M^{C/(C+\lambda\eta)}}{\eta^{1+C/(C+\lambda\eta)}} G_\sigma^{\lambda\eta/(C+\lambda\eta)} \quad \text{for } 0 < \eta < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then, using the classical Sobolev embedding and an interpolation inequality, for any $\ell \in (1, 2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}\|_{L^\infty(Q(4\eta))} &\leq C \|\hat{u}\|_{H^\ell(Q(4\eta))} \leq C \|\hat{u}\|_{H^{\ell+1}(Q(4\eta))}^{\ell/(\ell+1)} \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(Q(4\eta))}^{1/(\ell+1)} \\ &\leq C_\epsilon M^{\ell/(\ell+1)} \left(\frac{M^{C/(C+\lambda\eta)}}{\eta^{1+C/(C+\lambda\eta)}} \right)^{1/(\ell+1)} G_\sigma^{\lambda\eta/((C+\lambda\eta)(\ell+1))} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $0 < \eta < 1/4$. In particular, after a choice of ℓ in $(1, 2)$, one has for some $a \in (1, 2)$ independent of η that

$$|\hat{u}(4\eta, T/2)| \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{\eta^{1-a/2}} M G_\sigma^{C\eta} \quad \forall \eta \in (0, 1/4).$$

After integration with respect to η and a variable change $x = 4\eta$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |\hat{u}(x, T/2)|^2 dx &= 4 \int_0^{1/4} |\hat{u}(4\eta, T/2)|^2 d\eta \leq C_\epsilon M^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\eta^{2-a}} G_\sigma^{2C\eta} d\eta \\ &= C_\epsilon M^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\eta^{2-a}} e^{-2C \log(1/G_\sigma)\eta} d\eta = C_\epsilon M^2 (\log(1/G_\sigma))^{-(a-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using again interpolation, we see that, for any $m \in (1/2, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}(\cdot, T/2)\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} &\leq \|\hat{u}(\cdot, T/2)\|_{H^m(0,1)} \leq \|\hat{u}(\cdot, T/2)\|_{H^1(0,1)}^m \|\hat{u}(\cdot, T/2)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^{1-m} \\ &\leq CM^m \|\hat{u}(\cdot, T/2)\|_{L^2(0,1)}^{1-m} \leq C_\epsilon M \frac{1}{|\log(1/G_\sigma)|^{\theta_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2}(a-1)(1-m)$.

We note that θ can be taken arbitrarily close to 0 and is independent of ϵ . It is clear that $G_\sigma \leq F_\epsilon$. Therefore, if $\bar{t} \leq T/2$, we have (12), which gives (9).

Case 2: $\bar{t} > T/2$.

Let us introduce again the function ψ , given by (13). In this case, we consider the sets

$$Q(\eta) = \{(x, \hat{t}) \in (0, 2) \times (0, T^2/(2\bar{t})) : 0 < x < 1 + \eta, \psi(x, \hat{t}) > \eta\}$$

for $0 < \eta < 1/4$; see Figure 4.




Figure 4: The set $Q(\eta)$ when $\bar{t} > T/2$.

Using once more $v_\eta = \hat{u}\chi_\eta$ and arguing as before, we get

$$s^3 \lambda^4 \iint_{Q(4\eta) \cap Q(\eta)} e^{2s\varphi} \varphi^3 |\hat{u}|^2 dx dt \leq C_\epsilon \iint_{Q(2\eta) \setminus Q(3\eta)} e^{2s\varphi} |H|^2 dx dt + C_\epsilon e^{Cs} G_\sigma^2, \quad (19)$$

where we have set

$$G_\sigma^2 := \sup_{x \in [1,2]} \left(\|\hat{u}(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\sigma, T^2/(2\bar{t}))}^2 + \|\hat{u}_x(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\sigma, T^2/(2\bar{t}))}^2 \right).$$

It is straightforward to see that the arguments applied in the previous case yield again (12). Consequently, we also have (9) in this case.

• **Step 3:** Let us establish the following lateral estimate of F_ϵ : for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $C_\epsilon > 0$ and $\theta_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$G_\sigma \leq F_\epsilon \leq C_\epsilon M^{1-\theta_\epsilon} (\|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0,T)})^{\theta_\epsilon} + C_\epsilon (\|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0,T)}). \quad (20)$$

To this purpose, we first use Theorem 5.1 in [10] and deduce that

$$F_\epsilon \leq C_{0,\epsilon} M^{1-\theta_{0,\epsilon}} (\|\alpha\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0,T)})^{\theta_{0,\epsilon}} + C_\epsilon (\|\alpha\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0,T)}), \quad (21)$$

where $\theta_{0,\epsilon} \in (0, 1)$ and $C_\epsilon > 0$.

Then, we use an interpolation inequality

$$\|\alpha\|_{H^1(0,T)} \leq \|\alpha\|_{H^2(0,T)}^{1/2} \|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)}^{1/2} \leq C \|u\|_{H^2(Q)}^{1/2} \|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)}^{1/2} \leq C M^{1/2} \|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)}^{1/2} \quad (22)$$

and we observe that (21) and (22) imply (20) when $\|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0,T)} < k < 1$.

Finally, part b) of the lemma is straightforward: it suffices to use (5) with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and k arbitrarily small. \square

Remark 2.2 A similar result can be obtained when the right-hand side of the first equation in (3), is not zero. Thus, if

$$u_t - u_{xx} + au_x + bu = f \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_\ell(p)$$

and (for instance) $0 \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q_\ell(p))} + \|\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)} + \|\beta\|_{L^2(0,T)} < m < 1$, we can prove that, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$|u(p(t), t)| \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{(\log \frac{1}{m})^{\theta_\epsilon}} \quad \forall t \in [\epsilon, T] \quad (23)$$

for some $K_\epsilon > 0$ and some $\theta_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. \square

Remark 2.3 A more involved argument is needed to take $\epsilon = 0$ in (5) and (23). The stability rate is expected to be weaker than single logarithmic. This will be analyzed in a forthcoming paper. \square

3 Lateral estimates and uniqueness

This section is devoted to study the stability and uniqueness of (1) on the left part of the domain, $Q_\ell(p)$. Later, we will extend these results to $Q_r(p)$ and will obtain similar results in the whole domain $Q(p)$.

Assume that

$$\begin{cases} u_t^i - u_{xx}^i + u^i u_x^i = 0, & (x, t) \in Q_\ell(p_i), \\ u^i(-1, t) = \alpha^i(t), \quad u_x^i(-1, t) = \beta^i(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ u^i(p_i(t), t) = p_i'(t), & t \in (0, T), \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Let us formulate an inverse problem concerning the left part of the domain:

Lateral uniqueness in $Q_\ell(p)$: Let (u^i, p_i) , $i = 1, 2$ be two solutions to (24) in $Q_\ell(p_i)$. Assume that the corresponding observations coincide at the boundary $x = -1$, that is,

$$u_x^1(-1, t) = u_x^2(-1, t) \quad \text{in some time interval } (T_1, T_2).$$

Then, do we have $p_1 = p_2$ in $(0, T)$ and $u^1 = u^2$ in $Q_\ell(p)$ with $p = p_1 = p_2$?

As before, we will denote in the sequel by C a generic positive constant. We will also use C_ϵ , K_ϵ , R_ϵ , etc. to denote constants that can depend on ϵ .

The following proposition may be viewed as a first conditional stability result:

Proposition 3.1 (Local stability for the lateral inverse problem) *Let us assume that*

$$\|u^i\|_{H^2(Q_\ell(p_i))} \leq M, \quad \|p_i\|_{H^2(0, T)} \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad |p_i(t)| \leq 1 - \delta \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Also, let us assume that $0 < \epsilon < \bar{t} < T$ and

$$0 \leq D := \|\alpha^1 - \alpha^2\|_{L^2(0, T)} + \|\beta^1 - \beta^2\|_{L^2(0, T)} < k < 1.$$

Then there exist $R_\epsilon, R_0 > 0$ and $\mu_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{R_\epsilon}{\left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\mu_\epsilon}} + R_0 |p_1(\bar{t}) - p_2(\bar{t})|. \quad (25)$$

Proof:

For instance, let us assume that $p_1(t) \leq p_2(t)$ for $t \in (t_0, t_1) \subset [\epsilon, T]$ and set $h := p_1 - p_2$. Then, for all $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= u^1(p_1(t), t) - u^2(p_2(t), t) \\ &= [u^1(p_1(t), t) - u^2(p_1(t), t)] + [u^2(p_1(t), t) - u^2(p_2(t), t)] \\ &\leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{\left(\log \frac{1}{D}\right)^{\theta_\epsilon}} + 2Mh(t). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have applied Lemma 2.1 to $u^1 - u^2$ in combination with the *Mean Value Theorem* to $u^2(\cdot, t)$.

It is clear that we can get a similar estimate in the whole interval $[\epsilon, T]$:

$$h'(t) \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{(\log \frac{1}{D})^{\theta_\epsilon}} + C |h(t)|$$

and, consequently

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |h(t)|^2 \leq C |h(t)|^2 + \frac{K_\epsilon}{(\log \frac{1}{D})^{2\theta_\epsilon}}.$$

Hence, from Gronwall's Lemma, we finally see that

$$|h(t)|^2 \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{(\log \frac{1}{D})^{2\theta_\epsilon}} + C |h(\bar{t})|^2 \quad \forall t \in [\epsilon, T]$$

and this leads to (25) □

Remark 3.2 Let the assumptions in Proposition 3.1 be satisfied. Also, suppose that

$$\|u^i\|_{W^{2,\infty}(Q_\ell(p_i))} \leq M.$$

Then, it can be ensured that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist K_ϵ, K_0 and $\theta_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|p'_1 - p'_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} + \|p''_1 - p''_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{(\log \frac{1}{D})^{\theta_\epsilon}} + K_0 |p_1(\bar{t}) - p_2(\bar{t})|. \quad (26)$$

Indeed, when $p_1(t) \leq p_2(t)$, we have

$$p'_1(t) - p'_2(t) = u^1(p_1(t), t) - u^2(p_2(t), t) = (u^1(p_1(t), t) - u^2(p_1(t), t)) + (u^2(p_1(t), t) - u^2(p_2(t), t))$$

and a similar identity holds when $p_1(t) > p_2(t)$. Consequently, using Lemma 2.1 and the Mean Value Theorem, we can estimate $\|p'_1 - p'_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T)}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} p''_1(t) - p''_2(t) &= (u_x^1(p_1(t), t) p'_1(t) + u_t^1(p_1(t), t)) - (u_x^2(p_2(t), t) p'_2(t) + u_t^2(p_2(t), t))) \\ &= u_x^1(p_1(t), t) (p'_1(t) - p'_2(t)) + (u_x^1(p_1(t), t) - u_x^2(p_1(t), t)) p'_2(t) \\ &\quad + (u_x^2(p_1(t), t) - u_x^2(p_2(t), t)) p'_2(t) + (u_t^1(p_1(t), t) - u_t^2(p_1(t), t)) \\ &\quad + (u_t^2(p_1(t), t) - u_t^2(p_2(t), t)) \end{aligned}$$

and, once more, a similar identity holds when $p_1(t) > p_2(t)$. Using Lemma 2.1, the interpolation inequality, the Mean Value Theorem and the bounds

$$\|u_{xx}^i\|_{L^\infty} \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{xt}^i\|_{L^\infty} \leq M \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we can estimate $\|p''_1 - p''_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)}$ and find (26). □

Corollary 3.3 *Under the assumptions in Proposition 3.1, if $0 < \bar{t} < T$ and $\alpha^1 \equiv \alpha^2$ and $\beta^1 \equiv \beta^2$ in $(0, T)$, there exists a constant $R_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \leq R_0 |p_1(\bar{t}) - p_2(\bar{t})|, \quad (27)$$

where R_0 is independent of \bar{t} .

Proof:

We can argue as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Thus, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every small $k > 0$, we obtain

$$\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{R_\epsilon}{\left(\log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\mu_\epsilon}} + R_0 |p_1(\bar{t}) - p_2(\bar{t})|.$$

Then, taking $k \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq R_0 |p_1(\bar{t}) - p_2(\bar{t})|.$$

Finally, taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at (27). \square

Corollary 3.4 (Lateral uniqueness) *In addition to the assumptions in Corollary 3.3, let us assume that $p_1(\bar{t}) = p_2(\bar{t})$ for some $\bar{t} \in (0, T)$. Then,*

$$p_1 \equiv p_2 \quad \text{in } (0, T) \quad \text{and} \quad u^1 \equiv u^2 \quad \text{in } Q_\ell(p).$$

Corollary 3.5 *In addition to the assumptions in Proposition 3.1, let us assume that $p_1(\bar{t}) = p_2(\bar{t})$ for some \bar{t} with $0 < \bar{t} < T$. Then, for any small $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $K_\epsilon > 0$ and $\theta_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{\left(\log \frac{1}{D}\right)^{\theta_\epsilon}}. \quad (28)$$

Remark 3.6 If we do not assume that $p_1(\bar{t}) = p_2(\bar{t})$ for some $\bar{t} \in (0, T)$, then we do not have uniqueness in general. Indeed, the following particular functions furnish a counter-example:

- Let us set

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^1(x, t) = e^{-a^2 t} \sin ax + A & \text{in } Q_\ell := (0, \ell) \times (0, T), \\ \varphi^2(x, t) = e^{-a^2 t} \sin ax + A & \text{in } Q_L := (0, L) \times (0, T), \end{cases}$$

where $a = n\pi/\ell = k\pi/L$ with $0 < \ell < L$ and $n \neq k$ and $A > 0$. Then $\varphi^i = \varphi^i(x, t)$ satisfies the heat equation for $i = 1, 2$ and we observe that $\varphi^1(\ell, t) \equiv \varphi^2(L, t) = A$.

- Let us take

$$p_1(t) = \ell \quad \text{and} \quad p_2(t) = L \quad \text{in } (0, T)$$

and set $u^i := -\varphi_x^i/\varphi^i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the functions u^1 and u^2 satisfy the Burgers' equation respectively in Q_ℓ and Q_L and do not coincide (see for example [1, Section 2.1.2]). However the associated α^i and β^i coincide. \square

4 Global estimates and uniqueness

In this section we will present global stability and uniqueness results for the inverse problem formulated in Section 1 in the whole domain $Q(p)$.

Theorem 4.1 (Conditional stability) *Let (w_1, p^1) and (w_2, p^2) be the solutions to (1) respectively corresponding to the data $u_0, \alpha, m, q_0, q_1, \beta^i$ and η^i and set $\beta^i(t) = w_x^i(-1, t)$ and $\eta^i(t) = w_i(1, t)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and all $t \in (0, T)$. Assume that there exist constants $\delta, \kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $M > 0$ such that $|p_i(t)| \leq 1 - \delta$ for all $t \in (0, T)$,*

$$\|u^i\|_{W^{2,\infty}(Q_\ell(p_i))} \leq M, \quad \|u^i\|_{W^{2,\infty}(Q_r(p_i))} \leq M \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \|\beta^1 - \beta^2\|_{L^2(0,T)} < \kappa < 1.$$

Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $C_0, C_\epsilon > 0$ and $\theta_\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{|1 + \log |\log \kappa|^{\theta_\epsilon}|} + C_0 |\eta^1(\bar{t}) - \eta^2(\bar{t})|, \quad (29)$$

for all $\bar{t} \in [\epsilon, T)$.

Proof:

We will find estimates of $\|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)}$ in terms of $p_1 - p_2, p'_1 - p'_2$ and $p''_1 - p''_2$ and then we will use (25) and (26).

Let us set $v^i = w_i|_{Q_r(p_i)}$, for $i = 1, 2$. We introduce a change of variables to move from $Q_r(p_i)$ to $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$. For example, we set

$$\bar{v}^1(\bar{y}, t) = v^1(x, t) \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{y} = \frac{x - p_1(t)}{1 - p_1(t)}.$$

Accordingly, we get the system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{v}_t^1 - \frac{1}{(1 - p_1(t))^2} \bar{v}_{\bar{y}\bar{y}}^1 - \frac{p'_1(t)(1 - \bar{y})}{1 - p_1(t)} \bar{v}_{\bar{y}}^1 + \frac{1}{1 - p_1(t)} \bar{v}^1 \bar{v}_{\bar{y}}^1 = 0, & (\bar{y}, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ \bar{v}^1(0, t) = p'_1(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ \bar{v}_{\bar{y}}^1(0, t) = (1 - p_1(t)) v_x^1(p_1(t), t), & t \in (0, T), \\ \bar{v}^1(1, t) = \eta^1(t), & t \in (0, T). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we note from (2) that

$$(1 - p_1(t)) v_x^1(p_1(t), t) = (1 - p_1(t)) u_x^1(p_1(t), t) + (1 - p_1(t)) p''_1(t)$$

for any $t \in (0, T)$.

A similar change of variables and a similar system hold for \bar{v}^2 and p_2 .

Then, after some computations, for $v := \bar{v}^1 - \bar{v}^2$ and $p := p_1 - p_2$, we find that

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \frac{1}{(1 - p_1(t))^2} v_{\bar{y}\bar{y}} - \frac{p'_1(t)(1 - \bar{y})}{1 - p_1(t)} v_{\bar{y}} + \frac{1}{1 - p_1(t)} v = f, & (\bar{y}, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ v(0, t) = \tilde{\alpha}(t), \quad v_{\bar{y}}(0, t) = \tilde{\beta}(t), & t \in (0, T), \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = p_1'(t) - p_2'(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta}(t) &= (1 - p_1(t)) u_x^1(p_1(t), t) + (1 - p_1(t)) p_1''(t) \\ &\quad - (1 - p_2(t)) u_x^2(p_2(t), t) - (1 - p_2(t)) p_2''(t) \\ &= (1 - p_1(t)) v_x^1(p_1(t), t) - (1 - p_2(t)) v_x^2(p_2(t), t).\end{aligned}$$

One has

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty((0,1) \times (\epsilon, T))} \leq C_1 (\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} + \|p_1' - p_2'\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)})$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} + \|\tilde{\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq C_1 (\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} + \|p_1' - p_2'\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} + \|p_1'' - p_2''\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)}).$$

Using now (23), we see that

$$\|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{(\log[C_2/\|p_1 - p_2\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\epsilon/2, T)}])^{\theta_\epsilon}} + K_0 |\eta^1(\bar{t}) - \eta^2(\bar{t})|. \quad (30)$$

Also, using (25) and (26), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|p_1 - p_2\|_{w_{2,\infty}(\epsilon/2, T)} &\leq \frac{K_\epsilon}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_{L^2(0, T)}}\right)^{\theta_\epsilon}} + K_0 |p_1(\tilde{t}) - p_2(\tilde{t})| \\ &\leq \frac{K'_\epsilon}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\|\beta_1 - \beta_2\|_{L^2(0, T)}}\right)^{\theta_\epsilon}}\end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for \tilde{t} close enough to 0 and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough.

Hence, we obtain (29) for some C_ϵ and C_0 .

This ends the proof. \square

Corollary 4.2 (Global uniqueness) *Let the assumptions in Theorem 4.1 be satisfied and let us assume that $\beta^1 = \beta^2$ in $(0, T)$. Then*

$$\eta^1 = \eta^2 \quad \text{in } (0, T). \quad (32)$$

Proof:

Given an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we take $\bar{t}_\epsilon = 2\epsilon$. For every $\kappa > 0$, using Theorem 4.1 we can write that

$$\|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{|1 + \log |\log \kappa||^{\theta_\epsilon}} + C_0 |\eta^1(\bar{t}_\epsilon) - \eta^2(\bar{t}_\epsilon)|.$$

Taking now $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$\|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{L^\infty(\epsilon, T)} \leq C_0 |\eta^1(\bar{t}_\epsilon) - \eta^2(\bar{t}_\epsilon)|.$$

Finally, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$\|\eta^1 - \eta^2\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \leq C_0 |\eta^1(0) - \eta^2(0)|$$

and, since $\eta^1(0) = \eta^2(0) = w_0(1)$, we deduce that $\eta^1 = \eta^2$ in $(0, T)$. \square

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by the Grant PID2021-126813NB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by “ERDF A way of making Europe” and by the grant IT1615-22 funded the Basque Government.

The second and third authors were partially supported by MICINN, under Grant PID2020-114976GB-I00.

The fourth author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 20H00117 and Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Research (Pioneering) 21K18142 of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] J. Apraiz, A. Doubova, E. Fernández-Cara, M. Yamamoto, *Some inverse problems for the Burgers equation and related systems*, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation **107** (2022), 106113.s
- [2] A.L. Bukhgeim and M.V. Klibanov, *Global uniqueness of a class of multidimensional inverse problems*, Soviet. Math. Doklady **24**, (1981), 244–247.
- [3] A. Doubova and E. Fernández-Cara, *Some control results for simplified one-dimensional models of fluid-solid interaction*, Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Sciences **15**, no. 5 (2005), 783–824.
- [4] M.V. Klibanov, *Inverse problems and Carleman estimates*, Inverse Problems **8** (1992) 575–596.
- [5] M.V. Klibanov and A.A. Timonov, *Carleman estimates for coefficient inverse problems and numerical applications*, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 2004.
- [6] Y. Liu, T. Takahashi, and M. Tucsnak, *Single input controllability of a simplified fluid-structure interaction model*, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations **19** (2013) 20–42.
- [7] A. Munnier and E. Zuazua, *Large time behavior for a simplified n-dimensional model of fluid–solid interaction*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **30**, no. 3, (2005) 377–417.
- [8] J.L. Vázquez and E. Zuazua, *Lack of collision in a simplified 1-d model for fluid-solid interaction*, Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Sciences **16**, no. 5, (2006), 637–678.
- [9] J.L. Vázquez and E. Zuazua, *Large time behavior for a simplified 1D model of fluid-solid interaction*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **28** (2003), no. 9–10, 1705–1738.
- [10] M. Yamamoto, *Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications*, Inverse Problems **25** (2009) 123013.